



3 1761 1200804 0



Gov. Doc

2-3 EDWARD VII.

Canada, Agriculture, Department
of Experimental Farms

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

A. 1903

APPENDIX TO THE REPORT OF THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS

REPORTS

OF THE

DIRECTOR	Wm. SAUNDERS, LL.D.
AGRICULTURIST	J. H. GRISDALE, B. Agr.
HORTICULTURIST	W. T. MACCOUN
CHEMIST	F. T. SHUTT, M.A.
ENTOMOLOGIST AND BOTANIST	JAS. FLETCHER, LL.D.
POULTRY MANAGER	A. G. GILBERT
SUPT. EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.	R. ROBERTSON
HORTICULTURIST " " "	W. S. BLAIR
SUPT. EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MAN.	S. A. BEDFORD
" " " INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.	ANGUS MACKAY
" " " AGASSIZ, B.C.	THOS. A. SHARPE

FOR

1902 - 1904.

PRINTED BY ORDER OF PARLIAMENT



OTTAWA

PRINTED BY S. E. DAWSON, PRINTER TO THE KING'S MOST
EXCELLENT MAJESTY

1903

[No. 16—1903.]

613430

4.7.55



APPENDIX

TO THE

REPORT OF THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE

ON

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS

OTTAWA, December 1, 1902.

SIR,—I beg to submit for your approval the sixteenth annual report of the work done, and in progress, at the several experimental farms.

In addition to my report, you will find appended, reports from the following officers of the Central Experimental Farm: From the Agriculturist, Mr. J. H. Grisdale; from the Horticulturist, Mr. W. T. Macoun; from the Chemist, Mr. Frank T. Shutt, and from the Entomologist and Botanist, Dr. James Fletcher. A report is also submitted from the Poultry Manager, Mr. A. G. Gilbert.

From the Branch Experimental Farms there are reports from Mr. R. Robertson, Superintendent, and from Mr. W. S. Blair, Horticulturist of the Experimental Farm for the Maritime Provinces, at Nappan, Nova Scotia; from Mr. S. A. Bedford, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for Manitoba, at Brandon; from Mr. Angus Mackay, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for the North-west Territories, at Indian Head, and from Mr. Thos. A. Sharpe, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for British Columbia, at Agassiz.

In these reports there will be found the results of many important and carefully conducted experiments in agriculture, horticulture and arboriculture, the outcome of practical work in the fields, barns, dairy and poultry buildings, orchards and plantations at the several experimental farms; also of scientific investigations in the chemical laboratory and of information gained from the careful study of the life histories and habits of injurious insects and the methods by which noxious weeds are propagated and spread, together with the most practical and economical measures for their destruction. In the report of the Entomologist and Botanist will also be found particulars of the experiments and observations which have been made during the past year in connection with the Apiary.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

The large and constantly increasing demand by the farmers of the Dominion for the publications issued from the experimental farms and the rapidly extending correspondence is a gratifying evidence of the desire for information among this class of the community, also of the high esteem in which the records of the work of the farms are held. It is hoped that the facts brought together in the present issue will be found of much practical value to the Canadian farmer and fruit-grower and that they may assist in advancing agriculture and horticulture in this country.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

WM. SAUNDERS,

Director of Experimental Farms.

To the Honourable

The Minister of Agriculture,

Ottawa.

ANNUAL REPORT

ON THE

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR, WM. SAUNDERS, LL.D., F.R.S.C., F.L.S.

The farmers of the Dominion of Canada have good reason to be satisfied with the results of the harvest of 1902. Seldom have the crops been so generally good. Both from the east and the west have come gratifying reports of the exceptionally good character of the harvest.

In Ontario the yield of hay has been excellent, and oats—now much the largest of the grain crops—have given the heaviest returns on record, averaging from 20 to 25 per cent above past years, and the grain is unusually good. Ontario also rejoices in a heavy crop of winter wheat much above the average, a crop almost free this year from insect injury and but little affected by rust. Spring wheat and barley have also given gratifying returns.

In the benefits arising from abundant crops of these important cereals, Quebec, the Maritime Provinces and the Western Provinces and Territories have largely shared; indeed it is doubtful if the farmers of Canada have ever experienced a season so generally satisfactory as that of 1902. In addition to the abundant crops of grain and hay the pastures have been excellent, and thus the dairy and stock industries have also prospered. In the Eastern Provinces and in British Columbia the yield of field roots has been satisfactory, and potatoes which in some districts have suffered from rot have on the whole yielded well.

Pease have been much injured in many localities by the curculio or pea weevil, and the crops of Indian corn owing to the cool summer have not matured as well as usual but these are comparatively small items in the products of the country, and present no serious offset to the abundant crops of cereals and grasses.

It is gratifying to note the rapid improvement going on in all lines of agriculture in Canada. Farmers are paying more attention to the thorough cultivation of the soil, to the proper care and use of barn-yard manure, to the enriching of their land by the ploughing under of clover, also to the selection of the most productive sorts of grain for sowing. The unusually large crop of the past season, while due no doubt in part to favourable weather is also due in part to better conditions brought about by more intelligent farming.

For sixteen years past the Dominion Experimental Farms have enlisted the co-operation of a great host of farmers from the Atlantic to the Pacific in a general experimental testing of promising varieties of grain and other important farm crops, with the view of ascertaining which are best adapted to the varying climates and soils found in different parts of this country. During the past seven years an average of more than thirty thousand Canadian farmers have thus associated themselves each year with the Experimental Farms. Seven years' experience with such an army of workers, backed as it has been by continued and helpful tests at the experimental farms and the distribution of much information on the subject, has resulted in the introduction almost everywhere of better and more productive sorts of cereals, and this has doubtless been an important factor in the large harvest of 1902. Where difficulties present themselves in farm work, the farmer can consult the publications he receives from the experimental farms and if these do not give him all the information he needs he can write the officers of the farms whose large experience is at his command and from whom he will receive advice suited to his conditions. By the free use of such timely aid, always available, together with the other helpful measures devised both by the Dominion and Provincial Governments the farmers of this country are advancing rapidly in intelligence and experience, and the outlook for much greater progress in agricultural affairs is very bright.

The accompanying annual report, the sixteenth of the series, will be found to contain a large amount of practical information which it is hoped will be helpful to farmers in every part of Canada.

EXPERIMENTAL WORK

CONDUCTED AT THE CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM
OTTAWA, ONTARIO.

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

Ninety-seven varieties of oats have been under trial at the Central Experimental Farm during 1902, for the purpose of ascertaining which are the most productive, and which are the earliest in ripening. The soil on which these oats were sown was very uniform in character, a clay loam of good quality more or less mixed with sandy loam. The previous crop was field roots. The land received a dressing during the winter of 1900-1901 of about twelve tons of fresh barn-yard manure per acre, which was placed on the frozen ground in small heaps of about one-third of a cart load each, and spread and ploughed under in the spring. No manure has been applied since. In the autumn of 1901 after the roots were gathered, the land was ploughed about seven inches deep and left in that condition until the following spring, when it was cultivated twice with a two-horse cultivator and harrowed twice with the smoothing harrow before the oats were sown.

Seventy-one of these varieties were sown on April 18, the remainder on April 22 on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The seed used in each case was in the proportion of two bushels per acre.

By consulting the following table it will be seen that oats have given above an average crop this year. Forbes, one of the new cross-bred sorts introduced last year, a cross of Giant Cluster with Prize Cluster, stands second on the list at Ottawa with a yield of 85 bushels 30 lbs. per acre.

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.		Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Improved American.	Aug. 14	118	54-56	Stiff.....	8½-10	Branching	90	20 33	Slightly.
2	Forbes	" 25	125	56-58	Medium....	9½-11	Sided.....	85	30 32½	Badly.
3	Sensation	" 12	116	56-58	Weak	8½-10	Branching	83	18 34	"
4	White Russian.	" 13	117	50-52	Medium....	8-9½	"	83	18 33	"
5	Siberian.....	" 14	118	52-54	Stiff.....	10-11	"	82	12 30½	Considerably.
6	Atlantic.....	" 12	112	47-49	Medium....	8-9½	"	82	12 33	Badly.
7	Banner.....	" 10	114	51-53	"	8½-9½	"	81	6 30	"
8	Columbus.....	" 12	116	50-52	Weak	7-8½	"	81	6 30½	"
9	Flying Scotchman....	" 13	117	50-52	Medium....	8½-10	"	80	32	"
10	Salzer's Big Four....	" 14	118	52-54	Stiff.....	8½-10	"	78	28 31½	Slightly.
11	New Zealand.....	" 19	123	58-60	"	12½-13½	Sided....	77	22 35	Considerably.
12	White Giant.....	" 13	117	56-58	"	9½-10½	Branching	77	22 29½	"
13	Holstein Prolific	" 13	117	52-54	Medium....	8½-10	"	77	22 30½	"
14	Early Golden Prolific	" 13	117	48-50	Weak	9½-11	"	77	22 28½	Badly.
15	Virginia White									
	Abundance.	" 11	111	46-48	"	8-9½	"	77	22 32½	"
16	Probstey	" 12	112	52-54	Stiff.....	9½-10½	"	77	22 34	"
17	Golden Beauty.....	" 9	113	50-52	"	8½-10	"	76	16 32½	"
18	Newmarket.....	" 7	111	48-50	Medium....	8-9½	"	76	16 33	"
19	Hazlett's Seizure.....	" 14	118	55-57	Stiff.....	10-11½	"	75	10 33½	Slightly.
20	Danish Island	" 12	116	51-53	Medium....	8½-10	"	75	10 29	Badly.
21	Buckbee's Illinois...	" 12	116	53-55	"	8-9½	"	75	10 35	Considerably.
22	Anderbecker	" 12	112	48-50	"	8½-10	"	75	10 32½	Badly.
23	20th Century	" 13	117	50-52	Stiff.....	9½-10½	"	72	32 31	Considerably.
24	Mennonite.....	" 14	118	50-52	Weak	9-10½	"	72	31 30	Badly.
25	Waverley	" 12	116	58-60	Stiff.....	9-10½	"	71	26 31½	"
26	Bestehorn's Abund'ce	" 13	113	48-50	Medium....	8-9½	"	71	26 30	"
27	Australian	" 22	122	50-52	Stiff.....	10-11½	Sided.....	71	26 33½	Slightly.
28	White Schonen.....	" 13	117	50-52	"	8½-10	Branching	70	20 32	Considerably.
29	Joanette	" 11	115	44-46	Medium....	8-9½	"	70	20 35	"
30	Brandon	" 14	118	58-60	Weak	10½-12	Half sided	70	20 30½	"
31	Cream Egyptian	" 12	116	56-58	Stiff.....	9-10½	"	70	20 31½	Badly.
32	American Beauty....	" 7	111	50-52	"	8½-10	Branching	69	14 35½	"
33	Irish Victor	" 13	117	50-52	"	8-9½	"	69	14 33	Considerably.
34	Scottish Chief.....	" 10	110	48-50	Medium....	8½-10	"	69	14 34	Badly.
35	Wide Awake.....	" 12	116	49-51	Stiff.....	9-10½	"	68	8 31	"
36	Early Gothland.....	" 12	116	47-49	Medium....	10-11½	Half sided	68	8 28	"
37	American Triumph...	" 13	117	52-54	Stiff.....	8-9½	Branching	68	8 30	"
38	Abundance.....	" 13	117	49-51	"	8½-10	"	67	2 31	Considerably.
39	Improved Ligowo....	" 10	114	44-46	"	7-8½	"	67	2 36½	Badly.
40	Goldfinder.....	" 14	118	46-48	"	8-9½	Half sided	65	30 28	"
41	Lincoln.....	" 13	117	53-55	"	8½-9½	Branching	65	30 31½	"
42	Selchower	" 14	114	56-58	"	9½-11	Sided.....	65	30 31	"
43	Great Northern	" 14	114	53-55	Medium....	8½-10	Branching	65	30 28	"
44	Bavarian.....	" 13	117	52-54	Stiff.....	8½-10	"	64	24 31	"
45	Black Beauty.....	" 13	117	50-52	Medium....	8½-10	"	64	24 31	Considerably.
46	Kendal Black.....	" 13	117	56-58	Stiff.....	9-10½	Half sided	64	24 35	Badly.
47	Salines	" 19	123	61-63	Medium....	9½-11	Branching	64	24 30	Considerably.
48	Holland.....	" 19	123	57-59	"	11-12½	Sided.....	64	24 27	Badly.
49	Pense White.....	" 13	113	48-50	"	8-9½	Half sided	63	18 30	"
50	Prolific Black Tartarian	" 13	117	52-54	Stiff.....	8½-10	Sided.....	63	18 33	"
51	Wallis	" 7	111	52-54	Medium....	9-11	Branching	63	18 34	"
52	Early Maine.....	" 13	117	50-52	Stiff.....	9-10½	"	62	12 30	"
53	Olive, Black.....	" 13	117	57-59	Medium....	10-11½	Half sided	62	12 33½	"
54	Pense, Black.....	" 12	116	58-60	Stiff.....	10-11½	"	62	12 35	"
55	Master	" 14	118	50-52	Medium....	10-11½	"	62	12 30	"
56	Russell, Half Sided..	" 13	113	55-57	"	10½-12	"	62	12 30	"
57	Duppaner Summer, No. 5	" 16	116	49-51	Stiff.....	9-10½	Branching	62	12 33	Considerably.
58	Thousand Dollar.....	" 13	117	50-52	"	8½-10	"	61	6 33	Badly.
59	Golden Tartarian....	" 19	123	59-61	"	12-13½	Sided.....	61	6 29	"
60	Bayonet.....	" 16	116	48-50	Medium....	8½-10	Branching	61	6 30	Considerably.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
61	Golden Giant.....	Aug. 18	122	54-56	Stiff.....	10-11½	Sided.....	61 6	28½	Considerably.
62	Bonanza.....	" 7	111	49-51	Weak.....	10-11½	Branching	60 —	32½	Badly.
63	Milford, Black.....	" 13	117	51-53	Stiff.....	9-11	Half sided	60 —	34½	"
64	Early Blossom.....	" 15	119	54-56	Medium.....	9½-11	"	60 —	27	"
65	Milford, White.....	" 15	119	53-55	Stiff.....	9½-10½	"	60 —	33	"
66	Überfluss.....	" 14	114	50-52	".....	9½-10½	Branching	60 —	32	Considerably.
67	Russell, Branching..	" 18	118	53-55	Medium.....	11-12½	"	60 —	32	"
68	Leutenwitzer.....	" 12	116	51-53	".....	10-11½	"	60 —	30½	Badly."
69	Oderbruch.....	" 14	118	56-58	".....	9½-11	Half sided	58 28	29	"
70	Black Mesdag.....	" 2	106	47-49	".....	9-10	Branching	58 28	30½	"
71	Tartar King.....	" 10	114	53-55	Stiff.....	10-11½	Sided.....	56 16	36	"
72	Abyssinia.....	" 13	117	53-55	Medium.....	8½-10	Half sided	56 16	33½	"
73	California Prolific, Black.....	" 14	118	52-54	Stiff.....	10-11½	Sided.....	56 16	32	"
74	Sorgenfrei.....	" 12	112	46-48	Weak.....	8½-10	Branching	56 16	35	"
75	Swedish Select No. 2788.....	" 19	119	48-50	Medium.....	8½-9½	"	56 16	34	Considerably.
76	Oxford.....	" 13	117	54-56	Weak.....	11-12	Half sided	56 16	31½	"
77	King.....	" 13	117	50-52	Stiff.....	9-10½	Branching	56 16	28½	"
78	Aitken Black.....	" 14	118	53-55	Weak.....	11½-12½	"	56 16	30	Badly.
79	Black No. 6 Summer	" 14	114	58-60	Stiff.....	9½-10	Sided.....	55 10	26½	"
80	Rosedale.....	" 13	117	51-53	".....	9-10½	Half sided	55 10	31½	"
81	Early Archangel.....	" 14	118	53-55	".....	11-12½	Branching	54 4	33½	"
82	Olive, White.....	" 16	116	56-58	Medium.....	9-10½	Half sided	54 4	30	Considerably.
83	Rennie's Prize White	" 14	114	44-46	Stiff.....	8-9½	Branching	54 4	34	Badly.
84	Cromwell.....	" 14	118	53-55	".....	11½-13	Half sided	54 4	33	"
85	Pioneer.....	" 10	114	44-46	".....	9-10½	Branching	54 4	33	"
86	Miller.....	" 13	117	51-53	Medium.....	8½-10	"	54 4	30	"
87	Beseler.....	" 13	117	56-58	Stiff.....	10½-12	"	52 32	32	"
88	Russell.....	" 14	118	56-58	Weak.....	10½-12	"	52 32	33½	"
89	Victoria Prize.....	" 7	111	46-48	".....	9½-10½	"	52 32	33	"
90	Dixon.....	" 15	115	58-60	Stiff.....	9½-10½	Sided.....	50 20	32½	"
91	Kendal White.....	" 16	115	50-52	".....	9½-10½	Half sided	50 20	34	Considerably.
92	Zhelanni, No. 2963..	" 13	113	51-53	Weak.....	10-11½	Branching	50 20	26½	Badly.
93	Eureka.....	" 18	118	44-46	Medium.....	8½-10	"	48 8	32	Considerably.
94	Liberty.....	" 20	120	51-53	".....	9½-11	"	45 30	29	"
95	Tobolsk No. 2800....	" 13	117	54-56	Weak.....	10-11½	"	45 30	31½	Badly.
96	Scotch Potato.....	" 17	121	58-60	".....	9½-10½	"	36 16	28	Considerably.
97	Longhoughton.....	" 16	120	53-55	".....	11-12½	"	31 26	33	Badly.

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

Seventy-three different sorts of barley have been tested in the trial plots at the Central Experimental Farm during 1902. Thirty-one of these have been two-rowed sorts and forty-two six-rowed. The land on which the barley was sown, was adjoining that used for oats and was of the same character and quality and had similar manuring and preparation. The size of the plots was one-fortieth of an acre each, fifty of them were sown on April 17, the remainder on April 21. The two-rowed sorts were sown at the rate of two bushels per acre, and the six-rowed at the rate of one and three-quarter bushels per acre.

It will be seen that both the two-rowed and six-rowed sorts have given larger crops than usual.

TWO-ROWED BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	French Chevalier.....	Aug. 4	109	47-49	Medium....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	68 16	53	Slightly.
2	Danish Chevalier.....	" 4	109	49-51	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	64 8	50	"
3	Canadian Thorpe.....	" 4	109	46-48	Stiff.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	62 24	53 $\frac{1}{2}$	No rust.
4	Fichtel Mountain.....	" 5	106	40-42	Medium....	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	60 40	53	Slightly.
5	Kinver Chevalier.....	" 3	108	50-52	Weak.....	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	60	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
6	Duck-bill.....	" 9	110	40-42	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	56 32	49	"
7	Gordon.....	" 4	109	50-52	Medium....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	55 40	51	"
8	Fulton.....	" 3	108	53-55	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	55	52	"
9	Logan.....	" 4	109	56-58	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	54 8	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
10	Bolton.....	July 31	105	50-52	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	53 16	53	"
11	Dunham.....	Aug. 4	109	52-54	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	53 16	51	"
12	Beaver.....	July 31	105	52-54	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	52 24	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
13	Pelham.....	Aug. 1	102	41-43	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	52 24	52 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
14	Newton.....	" 6	111	47-49	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	50 40	54 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
15	Standwell.....	" 5	110	46-48	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	50	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
16	Victor.....	July 31	105	50-52	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	48 16	52 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
17	Harvey.....	Aug. 4	109	52-54	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	48 16	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
18	Pacer.....	" 1	102	48-50	Medium....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	48 16	52 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
19	Sidney.....	" 3	108	49-51	Weak.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	46 32	52	"
20	Prize Prolific.....	" 7	112	43-45	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	46 32	49 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
21	Monck.....	" 12	113	47-49	Medium....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	46 32	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
22	Nepean.....	July 31	105	46-48	Stiff.....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	46 32	53	Slightly.
23	Clifford.....	" 31	105	55-57	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	46 32	53	"
24	Invincible.....	Aug. 6	111	44-46	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	45 40	54	"
25	Oregon.....	" 12	113	41-43	Medium....	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	45 40	48	Badly.
26	Jarvis.....	" 2	107	54-56	Stiff.....	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	45	51	Slightly.
27	Plumage from Norway.....	" 12	113	40-42	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	41 32	52	"
28	Bestehorn's Kaiser.....	" 21	122	44-46	Medium....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	41 32	51	"
29	Leslie.....	" 4	109	47-49	Stiff.....	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	39 8	52	"
30	Improved Thanet.....	" 19	120	32-34	Medium....	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	24 8	47 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
31	Rigid.....	" 19	120	39-41	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	22 24	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	"

SIX-ROWED BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

1	Blue Long Head.....	Aug. 3	108	38-40	Medium....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	74 8	46	Slightly.
2	Yale.....	July 31	105	50-52	Weak.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	73 16	51	"
3	Trooper.....	" 29	103	51-53	Medium....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	65 40	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
4	Stella.....	" 31	105	46-48	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	65 40	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
5	Odessa.....	" 30	104	54-56	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	65	51	"
6	Mensury.....	" 31	105	52-54	Medium....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	64 8	50	"
7	Hulless Black.....	" 30	104	36-38	Weak.....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	63 16	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
8	Surprise.....	" 30	104	46-48	Medium....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	63 16	52	"
9	Nugent.....	Aug. 1	106	50-52	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	60 40	48	"
10	Bronze.....	" 2	107	49-51	Weak.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	60 40	51	"
11	Pioneer.....	" 2	107	49-51	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	60	49	"
12	Princess Sialof.....	" 9	110	38-40	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	58 16	51	Considerably.
13	Hulless White.....	July 26	100	39-41	Medium....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	57 24	61	Slightly.
14	Salzer's Silver King.....	Aug. 1	102	44-46	Weak.....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	55 40	50	"
15	Garfield.....	July 30	104	49-51	Medium....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	55	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
16	Petschora.....	Aug. 1	106	43-45	Weak.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	53 16	47 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
17	Oderbruch.....	July 30	104	44-46	Stiff.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	52 24	52 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
18	Argyle.....	Aug. 4	109	49-51	Weak.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	51 32	50	"
19	Success.....	July 27	101	40-42	Medium....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	50 40	47 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
20	Sisolsk Spring No. 2562.....	" 30	100	44-46	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	50 40	48 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
21	Common.....	" 30	104	40-42	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	50 40	52	"
22	Munro.....	" 30	100	38-40	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	50 40	51	"
23	Vanguard.....	" 29	103	45-47	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	50 40	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
24	Rennie's Improved.....	" 30	104	46-48	Weak.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	49 8	51	"
25	Lytton.....	" 31	101	39-41	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	49 8	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
26	Excelsior.....	" 27	101	50-52	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	48 16	45	Slightly.
27	Royal.....	" 29	103	45-47	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	47 24	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
28	Albert.....	" 30	104	45-47	Medium....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	47 24	52 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
29	Summit.....	" 29	103	47-49	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	47 24	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SIX-ROWED BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripen- ing.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs	
30	Mansfield.....	Aug. 4	109	46-48	Medium...	3-3½	46 32	50½	Slightly.
31	Empire.....	" 1	106	44-46	"	2½-3½	46 32	51½	"
32	Champion.....	July 27	101	50-52	Stiff.....	3-3½	45 40	46	"
33	Parkin.....	Aug. 1	102	40-42	Weak.....	2½-3½	45 40	45	"
34	No. 8 from Norway.....	" 1	102	40-42	"	2½-3½	45 . .	49	"
35	Beardless from Salzer.....	July 27	97	44-46	Medium....	2½-3	44 8	45½	"
36	Chinese Hulless.....	Aug. 3	104	37-39	Weak.....	2½-2¾	40 . .	61	"
37	Hordeum Chousk (Hulless).....	July 28	98	24-26	"	2½-2¾	40 . .	57	"
38	Claude.....	" 31	105	45-47	Stiff.....	2½-3½	37 24	50½	"
39	Turkestan.....	" 28	98	22-24	Weak.....	2½-2¾	36 32	55½	"
40	Phoenix.....	" 29	103	42-44	Medium....	2½-2¾	36 32	52	"
41	Baxter.....	" 29	103	45-47	"	2½-3½	35 . .	51½	"
42	Blue Short Head.....	Aug. 16	117	28-30	Stiff.....	2-2½	27 24	41	Considerably.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

One hundred and nineteen varieties of this grain were under trial during 1902. The soil was adjoining that on which the oats were grown, was similar in character and quality and received the same treatment and preparation. Most of the varieties were sown on April 15, the remainder on April 21. The size of the plots was one-fortieth of an acre each and the grain was sown in the proportion of 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripen- ing.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bush.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.		Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Pringle's Champlain.	Aug. 8	115	54-56	Medium..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	46	—	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
2	Dawson	" 15	122	58-60	Stiff.....	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	44	40	61
3	Rio Grande.....	" 13	120	58-60	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	44	—	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
4	Preston.....	" 8	115	55-57	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	43	20	63
5	Huron.....	" 15	122	54-56	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	42	40	62
6	Red Fern.....	" 15	122	52-54	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	42	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
7	Roumanian.....	" 17	124	56-58	Medium..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	42	40	64
8	White Russian.....	" 16	123	54-56	Stiff.....	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	42	—	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
9	Herisson Bearded...	" 16	123	49-51	Medium..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded..	42	—	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
10	Admiral.....	" 15	122	58-60	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	Beardless..	42	—	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
11	Blenheim.....	" 14	121	59-61	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	42	—	61
12	Hungarian.....	" 14	121	55-57	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	40	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
13	Dawn.....	" 8	115	56-58	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	Beardless..	40	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
14	Crown.....	" 11	118	58-60	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	40	40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
15	Crawford.....	" 8	115	53-55	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	40	40	62
16	Laurel.....	" 13	120	58-60	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	40	40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
17	Bishop.....	" 8	115	51-53	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	40	40	61
18	Percy.....	" 8	115	58-60	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	40	—	62
19	Countess.....	" 11	118	53-55	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	40	—	61
20	Monarch.....	" 16	123	54-56	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	39	20	61

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES—Continued.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.		Bush.	Lbs.	
21	Plumper	Aug. 13	120	53-55	Weak	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	39 20	62	Slightly.
22	Ebert	" 3	110	50-54	Stiff	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	39 20	61	"
23	Red Fife	" 14	121	53-55	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	38 40	61	"
24	White Fife	" 15	122	56-58	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	38 40	61	"
25	Dion's	" 14	121	56-58	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	38 40	63	"
26	Vernon	" 16	123	54-56	Medium	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	38 40	61	Considerably.
27	Campbell's White Chaff	" 14	121	54-55	Stiff	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	38 —	62	Slightly.
28	Benton	" 15	122	55-57	Weak	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	38 —	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
29	Byron	" 15	122	52-54	Medium	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	38 —	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
30	Clyde	" 17	124	58-60	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	38 —	61	Considerably.
31	Essex	" 18	125	57-59	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	38 —	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
32	Prospect	" 14	121	53-55	Weak	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	38 —	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
33	Minnesota No. 149	" 16	123	48-50	Stiff	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	38 —	62	"
34	Australian No. 19	" 16	123	51-53	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	38 —	61	"
35	White Connell	" 15	122	48-50	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	37 20	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
36	Japanese	" 12	119	50-52	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	37 20	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
37	Robin's Rust Proof	" 15	122	58-60	Medium	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	37 20	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
38	Minnesota No. 181	" 15	122	54-56	Stiff	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	37 20	60	"
39	Australian No. 13	" 17	124	50-52	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	37 20	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
40	Colorado	" 11	118	58-58	Medium	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	36 40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	Badly.
41	Hastings	" 7	114	57-59	Stiff	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	36 40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
42	Rideau	" 6	113	49-51	Weak	5-5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	36 40	60	Badly.
43	Advance	" 14	121	56-58	Stiff	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	36 40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
44	Progress	" 15	122	58-60	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	36 40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
45	Nixon	" 15	122	56-58	Medium	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	36 40	61	"
46	Minnesota No. 169	" 15	122	51-53	Stiff	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	36 40	61	"
47	Minnesota No. 163	" 16	123	53-55	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	36 40	61	"
48	Mason	" 13	120	58-57	Medium	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	36 —	63	Considerably.
49	Lakefield	" 14	121	58-60	Stiff	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	36 —	60	Slightly.
50	Beaudry	" 11	118	52-54	Medium	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	35 20	62	"
51	Fraser	" 1	108	48-50	Stiff	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	35 20	61	"
52	Norval	" 7	114	55-57	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	35 20	62	Badly.
53	Morley	" 15	122	58-60	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	35 20	62	Slightly.
54	Harper	" 15	12	55-57	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	35 20	60	"
55	Wellman's Fife	" 15	122	52-54	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	34 40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
56	Harold	" 3	110	48-50	Weak	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	34 40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
57	Blair	" 8	115	44-46	Stiff	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3	Beardless.	34 40	62	Slightly.
58	Red Swedish	" 12	119	57-59	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	34 —	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
59	Gehun	" 6	113	47-49	Weak	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3	"	34 —	59	"
60	Captor	" 11	118	55-57	Stiff	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4	Beardless.	34 —	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
61	Robson	" 13	120	57-59	"	5 $\frac{1}{2}$ -6 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	34 —	59	"
62	Orleans	" 15	122	58-60	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	34 —	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
63	Markham	" 16	123	57-59	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	34 —	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
64	Ladoga	" 11	118	55-57	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	33 20	61	"
65	Beauty	" 15	122	57-59	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	33 20	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
66	Florence	" 14	121	54-56	"	3-3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	33 20	61	Considerably.
67	Felbrig Australian No. 7	" 16	123	55-57	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	33 20	59	Slightly.
68	Australian H.	" 19	120	52-54	Medium	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	"	33 20	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
69	Emporium	" 16	123	57-59	Stiff	5-5 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	32 40	62	Slightly.
70	Alpha	" 16	123	54-56	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	Beardless.	32 40	61	"
71	Weldon	" 15	122	59-61	Medium	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	32 40	60	"
72	Tracey	" 18	119	54-56	Stiff	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	"	32 40	61	"
73	Boyle	" 15	122	60-62	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	"	32 40	61	"
74	Australian No 35	" 15	122	57-59	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	"	32 —	60	"
75	Oxbow	" 16	117	46-48	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	32 —	60	"
76	Chester	" 14	121	50-52	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	31 20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
77	Stanley	" 14	121	56-58	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	30 40	62	"
78	Dayton	" 8	115	56-58	Weak	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded.	30 40	59	Considerably.
79	Newdale	" 16	123	53-55	Stiff	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless.	30 40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
80	Australian No. 1	" 14	115	50-52	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	30 40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
81	Australian No. 12	" 18	119	50-52	Medium	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	"	30 40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
82	Australian No. 28	" 15	116	51-53	Stiff	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	30 40	61	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.		Bush.	Lbs.	
83	Australian No. 9....	Aug. 16	123	52-54	Stiff....	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	Bearded..	30	40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$ Considerably.
84	Cartier	" 15	122	49-51	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	30	—	62 $\frac{1}{2}$ Slightly.
85	Speltz	" 14	121	48-50	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	"	30	—	38 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
86	Early Riga	" 7	114	50-52	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	Beardless..	29	20	60 Considerably.
87	Australian No. 15....	" 16	117	45-47	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	29	20	60 "
88	Australian No. 27....	" 16	123	56-58	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	29	20	60 Slightly.
89	Angus	" 13	120	55-57	Medium..	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	18	40	63 "
90	Australian No. 25....	" 16	123	53-55	Stiff	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	28	40	60 "
91	Steinmedal fr. Victoria, Aust.....	" 16	117	46-48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	28	40	59 Badly.
92	Dufferin	" 13	120	53-55	Medium ..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	28	—	61 $\frac{1}{2}$ Considerably.
93	Australian No. 18....	" 16	117	47-49	Stiff	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	28	—	60 $\frac{1}{2}$ Slightly.
94	Australian No. 10....	" 16	123	47-49	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	27	20	62 "
95	Goose	" 15	122	53-55	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	26	—	62 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
96	Spence	" 11	112	50-52	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	24	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
97	Cassel	" 15	122	57-59	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -5	Beardless..	24	—	61 "
98	Washington No. 2959	" 16	117	44-46	Medium..	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	24	—	29 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
99	Washington No. 5643	" 16	117	50-52	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	23	20	60 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
100	Washington No. 5646	" 17	118	43-45	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	"	22	40	63 "
101	Grant	" 16	117	48-50	Weak	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	22	—	60 "
102	Washington No. 5645	" 20	121	48-50	Stiff	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	22	—	60 Considerably.
103	Australian No. 21....	" 11	112	42-44	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	20	40	53 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
104	Australian No. 14....	" 12	113	46-48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	"	19	20	58 Badly.
105	Washington No. 5642	" 16	117	53-55	Medium..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	Bearded..	19	20	60 "
106	Washington No. 5644	" 16	117	50-52	Stiff	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	19	20	58 Considerably.
107	Australian No. 11....	" 16	117	48-50	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	18	—	58 "
108	Leutewitzer Sand....	" 9	110	40-42	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	18	—	54 Badly.
109	Strubes	" 16	117	49-51	"	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	17	20	60 Considerably.
110	Perron (Les Eboulements)	" 11	112	44-46	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	16	40	57 Badly.
111	Powell	" 12	113	43-45	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	14	40	57 $\frac{1}{2}$ Slightly.
112	Washington No. 5639	" 19	120	41-43	Weak	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	14	40	58 "
113	Washington No. 5800	" 16	117	49-51	Medium..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	14	40	56 Badly.
114	Kingsford	" 12	113	46-48	Stiff	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	13	20	57 "
115	Redpath	" 17	118	45-47	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	12	40	59 Slightly.
116	Australian No. 2....	" 16	117	48-50	Weak	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	10	40	54 Considerably.
117	Washington No. 5799	" 16	117	44-46	Medium..	5 $\frac{1}{2}$ -6 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	10	40	54 Badly.
118	Polonian	" 18	119	40-42	Stiff	6-6 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	8	40	52 $\frac{1}{2}$ "

EXPERIMENTS WITH FALL WHEAT.

Twenty varieties of fall wheat were under trial last season. They were sown on September 6, 1901, on a sandy loam of good quality in plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The grain was sown at the rate of 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ bushels per acre.

All the varieties wintered well, made a strong and even growth and produced good crops.

FALL WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.		Bush. Lbs. Lbs.		
1	Dawson's Golden Chaff	July	25	322	55-57	Stiff.....	3½-4	Beardless..	53 20 60	Considerably.
2	Imperial Amber	"	26	323	58-60	Medium..	3-3½	Bearded..	46 .. 62½	Slightly.
3	Egyptian Amber	"	28	325	53-55	Stiff.....	2½-3½	"	45 20 61½	"
4	Surprise	"	28	325	57-59	"	3-3½	Beardless..	44 .. 60	"
5	Jones' Winter Fife	"	27	324	49-51	"	3-3½	"	42 40 61	Considerably.
6	Reliable	"	27	324	48-50	"	3½-3¾	Bearded..	41 20 62	Slightly.
7	Red Velvet Chaff	"	27	324	57-59	"	3½-3¾	Beardless..	41 20 61½	"
8	Gold Coin	"	26	323	50-52	"	2½-3½	"	40 40 61	Considerably.
9	Poole	"	28	325	49-51	"	3½-4	"	40 .. 60½	Slightly.
10	Velvet Chaff	"	25	322	53-55	"	2½-3	Bearded..	40 .. 62½	"
11	Buda Pesth	"	26	323	50-52	Medium..	2½-3½	"	40 .. 61½	Considerably.
12	Golden Cross	"	29	326	43-45	Stiff.....	2-2½	"	39 20 62	Badly.
13	Treadwell	"	29	326	50-52	"	2½-3	"	36 .. 61½	Slightly.
14	Early Red Clawson	"	28	325	49-51	"	3½-3¾	Beardless..	36 .. 60	"
15	Long Berry Red	"	26	323	50-52	Weak...	2½-3½	Bearded..	36 .. 61½	Badly.
16	Tasmania Red	"	26	323	49-51	Medium..	2½-3½	"	35 20 62	Considerably.
17	Turkey Red	"	27	324	45-47	"	3-3½	"	34 40 62½	Slightly.
18	Pride of Illinois	"	26	323	49-51	Stiff.....	3½-3¾	Beardless..	34 .. 61½	Badly.
19	Bonnell	"	28	325	53-60	"	3½-3¾	"	33 20 61	Slightly.
20	American Bronze	"	28	325	48-50	"	3½-4	"	28 40 61	Considerably.

GRAIN SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON SANDY AND CLAY LOAM.

These experiments were all conducted on plots of one-fortieth acre each on both sandy loam and clay loam. It will be noticed that the crops are heaviest on the clay loam in every instance while those on the sandy loam are very variable probably owing to unevenness in the quality of the land.

WHEAT SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON SANDY LOAM SOIL.

(Sown in 1902, May 3; ripe, August 18.)

Name of Variety.	1901.		1902.	
	No. of Days Maturing.	Yield per Acre.	No. of Days Maturing.	Yield per Acre.
		Bush. Lbs.		Bush. Lbs.
Preston 1 bush. per acre.....	100	10 20	108	24 —
" 1½ "	100	15 —	108	20 40
" 1½ "	100	19 40	108	15 20
" 2 "	100	20 20	108	10 40
" 2½ "	100	21 —	108	20 40
" 3 "	100	19 40	108	17 20

WHEAT SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON CLAY LOAM SOIL.

(Sown in 1902, April 24; ripe, August 10.)

Preston 1 bush. per acre.....	97	23 20	108	24 40
" 1½ "	97	28 20	108	24 40
" 1½ "	97	29 —	108	29 20
" 2 "	97	26 20	108	28 —
" 2½ "	97	26 20	108	30 —
" 3 "	97	25 —	108	24 40

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON SANDY LOAM SOIL.

(Sown in 1902, May 3; ripe, August 17.)

Name of Variety.	1901.		1902.	
	No. of Days Maturing.	Yield per Acre. Bush. Lbs.	No. of Days Maturing.	Yield per Acre. Bnsh. Lbs.
Banner $1\frac{1}{2}$ bush. per acre.....	96	41 6	107	60 —
" 2 "	96	59 14	107	45 30
" $2\frac{1}{2}$ "	96	57 2	107	52 32
" 3 "	96	43 18	107	50 20
" $3\frac{1}{2}$ "	96	31 26	107	50 20
" 4 "	96	35 10	107	54 4

OATS SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON CLAY LOAM SOIL.

(Sown in 1902, April 24; ripe, August 13.)

Banner $1\frac{1}{2}$ bush. per acre.....	92	58 28	111	63 18
" 2 "	92	65 30	111	62 12
" $2\frac{1}{2}$ "	92	67 2	111	72 32
" 3 "	92	64 24	111	67 2
" $3\frac{1}{2}$ "	92	61 6	111	70 20
" 4 "	92	57 22	111	67 2

BARLEY SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON SANDY LOAM SOIL.

(Sown in 1902, May 3; ripe, August 5.)

Mensury $1\frac{1}{2}$ bush. per acre.....	84	35 35	95	40 40
" 2 "	84	37 19	95	28 16
" $2\frac{1}{2}$ "	84	43 11	95	27 24
" 3 "	84	42 19	95	37 24
" $3\frac{1}{2}$ "	84	39 23	95	26 32
" 4 "	84	43 11	95	45 —

BARLEY SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON CLAY LOAM SOIL.

(Sown in 1902, April 24; ripe, August 1.)

Mensury $1\frac{1}{2}$ bush. per acre.....	83	37 —	99	64 8
" 2 "	83	40 35	99	70 40
" $2\frac{1}{2}$ "	83	44 3	99	68 16
" 3 "	83	45 35	99	69 8
" $3\frac{1}{2}$ "	83	45 35	99	65 —
" 4 "	83	44 3	99	62 24

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

Sixty-one varieties were included in the uniform trial plots during the season of 1902. The soil on which they were sown was a mixed clay and sandy loam, in some parts the clay predominated, in others it was more sandy. The previous crop was experimental plots of wheat. The land received a dressing of fresh barn-yard manure of about twelve tons per acre during the winter of 1899-1900, which was put on the frozen ground in small heaps of about one-third of a cart load each, and spread and ploughed under in the spring. No manure has been applied since. In the autumn of 1901 after the wheat was harvested the land was ploughed shallow to start shed grain and weed seeds, and ploughed again later about seven inches deep and left in that condition until the following spring, when it was cultivated twice with the two-horse cultivator and harrowed twice with the smoothing harrow before the pease were sown.

The size of the plots was one-fortieth of an acre each and the pease were sown on April 23 at the rate of two to three bushels per acre, depending on the size of the pea.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
					Inches.	Inches.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Cooper.....	Aug. 21..	120	Medium....	65-70	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	48	40	63
2	Mackay.....	" 22..	121	Strong.....	70-75	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	46	40	62
3	Pride.....	" 23..	122	Medium....	45-50	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	46	..	61
4	Nelson.....	" 18..	117	"	45-50	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	46	..	63
5	Pearl.....	" 21..	120	Strong.....	70-75	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	45	20	61
6	Paragon.....	" 19..	118	Medium....	30-35	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	45	20	63
7	Arthur.....	" 18..	117	Strong.....	55-60	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	44	40	63
8	Fenton.....	" 23..	122	"	60-65	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	44	40	62
9	Harrison's Glory.....	" 23..	122	"	70-75	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	44	40	63
10	Crown.....	" 22..	121	"	60-65	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	43	20	63
11	Canadian Beauty.....	" 22..	121	"	65-70	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	43	20	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
12	Field Gray.....	" 18..	117	Medium....	60-65	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2	42	40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
13	Prince Albert.....	" 23..	122	Strong.....	75-80	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	42	40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
14	Alma.....	" 22..	121	"	55-60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	42	..	61
15	Kent.....	" 22..	121	"	55-60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	42	..	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
16	Prince.....	" 24..	123	"	70-75	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	42	..	62
17	Early Britain.....	" 23..	122	"	45-50	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	41	20	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
18	Daniel O'Rourke.....	" 23..	122	"	70-75	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	40	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
19	Golden Vine.....	" 21..	120	"	60-65	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	40	..	63
20	White Wonder.....	" 20..	119	Medium....	30-35	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	39	20	63
21	English Gray.....	" 24..	123	Strong.....	60-65	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	38	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
22	Prussian Blue.....	" 21..	120	"	70-75	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	38	..	63
23	Black Eyed Marrowfat.....	" 26..	125	"	70-75	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	37	20	62
24	Multiplier.....	" 29..	128	"	70-75	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	37	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
25	Chancellor.....	" 18..	117	"	70-75	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	37	20	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
26	King.....	" 23..	122	Medium....	45-50	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	37	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
27	Duke.....	" 22..	121	Strong.....	60-65	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	37	20	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
28	Carleton.....	" 25..	124	"	75-80	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	36	..	62
29	Elliot.....	" 26..	125	"	75-80	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	35	20	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
30	New Potter.....	" 27..	126	"	55-60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	35	20	62
31	Trilby.....	" 23..	122	"	70-75	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
32	Creepier.....	" 21..	120	"	60-65	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	40	63
33	Agnes.....	" 21..	120	"	70-75	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
34	Vincent.....	" 24..	123	Medium....	60-65	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	..	62
35	Pergus.....	" 30..	129	"	65-70	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	..	63
36	Dover.....	" 27..	126	Strong.....	70-75	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	34	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
37	Macoun.....	" 27..	126	"	75-80	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	34	..	63
38	Wisconsin Blue.....	" 23..	122	"	70-75	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
39	Archer.....	" 25..	124	"	70-75	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	33	20	62
40	Elephant Blue.....	" 23..	122	Medium....	55-60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	32	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
41	Bruce.....	" 22..	121	Strong.....	60-65	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	32	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
42	Oddfellow.....	" 19..	118	Medium....	48-54	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	32	..	65
43	Large White Marrowfat.....	" 23..	122	Strong.....	65-70	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	31	20	63
44	Gray (Pisum Arvense, No. 13 from Norway).....	" 20..	119	"	65-70	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2	31	20	64
45	Gregory.....	" 26..	125	"	75-80	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	31	20	62
46	Maple.....	" 29..	128	"	70-75	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	31	20	63
47	Victoria.....	" 28..	127	"	75-80	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
48	Centennial.....	" 27..	126	"	70-75	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	30	40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
49	Mummy.....	" 24..	123	"	55-60	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	40	63
50	French Canner.....	" 20..	119	Medium....	50-55	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
51	Perth.....	" 23..	122	Strong.....	60-65	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	30	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
52	Bright.....	" 25..	124	"	75-80	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	29	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
53	Bedford.....	" 27..	126	"	70-75	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	29	20	63
54	Picton.....	" 22..	121	"	50-55	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	29	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
55	Lanark.....	" 28..	127	"	75-80	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	28	40	63
56	German White.....	" 22..	121	Medium....	55-60	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	28	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
57	Elder.....	" 29..	128	Strong.....	80-85	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	27	20	63
58	Herald.....	" 29..	128	"	75-80	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	26	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
59	Chelsea.....	" 26..	125	"	70-75	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	26	..	63
60	Grass Pea.....	Sept. 4..	133	"	60-65	1-1 $\frac{1}{2}$	23	20	59
61	Marrowfat (fr. Norway).....	Aug. 15..	114	"	40-45	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	40	60



EXPERIMENTAL PLOTS OF GRAIN, PHOTOGRAPHED BEFORE HEADING, CENTRAL FARM, OTTAWA.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

Thirty-eight varieties of Indian corn were tested during the season of 1902, side by side on fairly uniform land. The soil was a sandy loam of good quality, which received a dressing of barn-yard manure about 12 tons to the acre, during the winter of 1901-2. This was placed on the frozen land, fresh from the barn yard in small heaps of about one third of a cart load each and spread and ploughed under in the spring. The previous crop was experimental plots of oats and wheat. The land was gang-ploughed shallow shortly after harvest to start shed grain and weed seeds and ploughed again late in the autumn about seven inches deep. In the spring of 1902 after the manure was ploughed under the land was harrowed twice with the smoothing harrow before sowing. The corn was sown with the seed drill in rows thirty-five inches apart, also in hills thirty-five inches apart each way. When the plants were from five to seven inches high they were thinned so as to leave them from six to eight inches apart in the rows, and from four to five plants in each hill. The varieties were all sown May 27 and were cut for ensilage September 24. The yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of the crop cut from two rows each 66 feet long.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Height.	Leafiness.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre grown in Rows.		Weight per Acre grown in Hills.	
			Inches.			Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Eureka.....	Very strong	125-130	Leafy	Early milk..	32	460	22	..
2	North Dakota Yellow.....	"	95-100	"	"	31	1,800	31	920
3	Salzer's All Gold.....	"	95-100	"	"	30	60	27	1,440
4	King Philip.....	Strong.....	90-95	Medium.....	"	28	320	25	1,040
5	Early Butler.....	"	95-105	Leafy	Late milk..	26	1,020	23	420
6	Thoro'bred White Flint.....	Very strong	105-110	Very leafy	Early milk..	26	360	32	240
7	Mamm. Eight-rowed Flint.....	Strong.....	100-105	Leafy	"	26	140	22	440
8	Amber Rice.....	Medium.....	85-90	"	Doughy	26	140	22	1,540
9	Country Gentleman.....	"	80-90	Very leafy	"	25	1,700	20	920
10	Superior Fodder.....	Strong.....	85-90	"	Early milk..	25	300	16	560
11	White Cap Yellow Dent.....	Very strong.	100-105	Leafy	"	24	1,500	20	700
12	Sanford.....	Strong.....	80-85	Very leafy	Late milk..	24	1,280	27	1,440
13	North Dakota White.....	Very strong.	100-105	Leafy	Early milk..	24	840	23	200
14	Compton's Early.....	Strong.....	95-105	Very leafy	Late milk..	24	400	23	1,520
15	Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	Very strong.	90-100	Leafy	Early milk..	24	400	17	1,860
16	Pearce's Prolific.....	Strong.....	90-95	Medium.....	Doughy	24	400	28	1,640
17	Pride of the North.....	"	90-95	Leafy	"	23	1,520	23	1,520
18	Selected Leaming.....	Very strong.	100-105	"	Late milk..	23	1,300	17	980
19	White Pearl Pop.....	Strong.....	100-105	Medium.....	Early milk..	22	1,320	25	1,260
20	Mammoth Cuban.....	Very strong.	100-105	Leafy	Late milk..	22	1,320	17	1,640
21	Red Cob Ensilage.....	"	100-105	Medium.....	"	22	1,100	24	1,280
22	Early Golden Surprise.....	"	115-120	Leafy	"	22	..	21	1,340
23	King of the Earliest.....	Strong.....	85-95	"	"	20	920	18	300
24	Champion White Pearl.....	"	90-100	"	"	20	700	20	920
25	Longfellow.....	"	85-90	"	Doughy	20	700	20	260
26	Angel of Midnight.....	"	90-100	"	Late milk..	20	..	22	1,100
27	Black Mexican.....	Medium.....	75-80	"	Early milk..	20	..	18	520
28	Yellow Six Weeks.....	"	60-65	"	Late milk..	19	1,160	12	1,740
29	Evergreen Sugar.....	"	80-90	Very leafy	Early milk..	18	1,840	14	160
30	Early Yellow Long Eared.....	"	80-90	Leafy	Doughy	18	1,620	23	1,960
31	Cloud's Early Yellow.....	Very strong.	105-115	Very leafy	Late milk..	18	960	18	1,400
32	Canada White Flint.....	Strong.....	95-105	Leafy	"	17	760	18	1,400
33	Early Mastodon.....	"	90-100	"	Early milk..	17	760	14	1,920
34	Mitchell's Early.....	Medium.....	65-70	"	Doughy	17	760	12	1,960
35	Salzer's Earliest Ripe.....	"	60-65	Medium.....	"	17	100	11	1,100
36	Extra Early Huron.....	Strong.....	85-95	Leafy	"	16	1,220	12	1,960
37	Kendall's Early Giant.....	Medium.....	65-75	"	Late milk..	14	1,920	11	880
38	Early August.....	Short & weak	25-30	"	Ripe.....	3	1,920	1	1,740

INDIAN CORN PLANTED AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES.

Three varieties were chosen for this test, the Champion White Pearl, Selected Leaming and Longfellow. They were sown in rows at different distances apart. The soil was alongside of that used for the test of varieties, and its treatment and preparation were the same. The corn was sown with the seed drill on May 27, and was cut for ensilage September 24. Four rows were sown in each case, and the yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of crop obtained from the two inside rows, each 66 feet long.

Name of Variety.	Width of Row.	Character of Growth.	Height when Cut.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre.	
	In.		In.		Tons.	Lbs.
Champion White Pearl.....	21	Strong.....	95—100	Late milk...	22	472
" "	28	"	95—100	" ...	25	1,183
" "	35	Very strong.	98—102	" ...	29	1,770
" "	42	" ..	98—102	" ...	25	341
Selected Leaming.....	21	Strong.....	105—110	" ...	22	181
"	28	"	105—110	" ...	22	820
"	35	Very strong.	108—114	" ...	20	752
"	42	" ..	108—114	" ...	21	1,393
Longfellow	21	Strong.....	90—95	" ...	23	507
"	28	"	90—95	" ...	24	970
"	35	Very strong.	95—100	" ...	23	638
"	42	" ..	95—100	" ...	19	676

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

Thirty varieties of turnips were under test in 1902, all sown side by side on similar land. This land was adjoining that on which the Indian corn was sown; it was similar in character, and its treatment and preparation were the same. Before sowing, the land was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller, which flattened the drills nearly one-half, leaving a firm seed bed. The seed was sown at the rate of three pounds per acre. Two sowings were made of each sort, the first on May 12, the second on May 26. They were also pulled on two different dates, the first on October 14, the 2nd on October 28. The yield per acre has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from one row 66 feet in length.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing.	
		1st Pulling Oct. 14.		1st Pulling Oct. 14.		2nd Pulling Oct. 28.		2nd Pulling Oct. 28.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	East Lothian.....	53	1,910	34	1,960	48	30	39	1,530
2	Good Luck.....	52	280	29	740	55	550	34	1,300
3	Halewood's Bronze Top.....	49	670	30	1,710	46	1,390	25	1,480
4	Jumbo.....	48	360	22	880	53	260	28	100
5	Hall's Westbury.....	46	1,720	28	1,420	47	1,700	24	1,170
6	Imperial Swede.....	46	1,720	28	1,090	46	730	26	1,790
7	Emperor Swede.....	46	1,390	36	1,260	43	1,780	27	1,770
8	Magnum Bonum.....	46	730	33	660	51	1,620	33	330
9	Giant King.....	46	400	30	60	45	750	26	140
10	Elephant's Master.....	45	1,740	25	490	45	90	19	1,930
11	New Century.....	45	750	21	1,560	56	1,190	26	1,130
12	Bangholm Selected.....	44	1,430	33	660	43	790	30	1,050
13	Mammoth Clyde.....	44	770	26	470	46	1,060	23	530
14	Prize Winner.....	44	770	29	1,400	51	900	29	1,400
15	Champion Purple Top.....	44	110	31	1,690	44	770	24	1,830
16	Shamrock Purple Top.....	44	110	29	1,730	52	610	33	660
17	Drummond Purple Top.....	43	1,120	27	1,110	54	1,890	27	1,110
18	Perfection Swede.....	43	790	25	820	53	260	37	1,900
19	Prize Purple Top.....	42	810	26	1,130	51	1,950	34	640
20	Kangaroo.....	42	480	28	100	56	860	31	40
21	Skirvings.....	39	1,860	19	940	49	340	25	490
22	Selected Purple Top.....	39	1,530	29	80	51	60	33	
23	Marquis of Lorne.....	39	1,200	24	1,830	45	1,740	27	480
24	Monarch.....	38	1,880	25	1,150	51	300	26	1,130
25	Carter's Elephant.....	37	910	21	1,230	35	1,610	24	180
26	New Arctic.....	36	930	27	1,440	45	1,740	33	1,650
27	West Norfolk Red Top.....	35	1,610	19	610	37	1,240	23	200
28	Selected Champion.....	28	1,420	24	1,170	36	1,590	20	1,910
29	Sutton's Champion.....	22	1,540	21	1,890	33	1,320	31	1,030
30	Webb's New Renown.....	20	1,580	20	260	29	1,730	20	920

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average from the 1st sowing 1st pulling was.....	42	84
The average from the 2nd sowing 1st pulling was.....	37	318
The average from the 1st sowing 2nd pulling was.....	47	783
The average from the 2nd sowing 2nd pulling was.....	28	794

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Twenty-eight varieties of mangels were under test in 1902. They were all sown side by side adjoining the Indian corn. The land was similar in character and its treatment and preparation were the same. The drills were made up two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to make a firm bed before the seed was sown. Two sowings were made of each sort—the first on May 12, the second on May 26. They were also pulled on two different dates. The first pulling was on October 14, the second on October 28. The yield per acre has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from one row 66 feet long.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per acre from 1st Sowing 1st Pulling October 14.		Yield per acre from 2nd Sowing 1st Pulling. October 14.		Yield per acre from 1st Sowing 2nd Pulling October 28.		Yield per acre from 2nd Sowing 2nd Pulling October 28.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Mammoth Long Red.....	55	550	35	1,940	46	1,390	32	350
2	Norbiton Giant.....	52	280	30	720	46	1,720	34	640
3	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	51	1,950	36	930	45	750	39	540
4	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	51	300	37	1,240	44	110	34	970
5	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	50	1,970	36	600	48	690	29	1,400
6	Mammoth Oval Shaped.....	49	1,000	32	680	44	1,760	31	1,690
7	Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....	47	50	32	20	41	170	34	640
8	Yellow Intermediate.....	46	1,060	35	1,280	44	1,100	30	1,050
9	Leviathan Long Red.....	44	1,760	35	620	55	550	32	20
10	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	44	440	30	1,710	38	1,220	27	780
11	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	43	1,450	25	1,150	39	540	25	1,150
12	Warden Orange Globe.....	43	1,120	30	390	44	440	38	1,880
13	Canadian Giant.....	42	1,470	30	1,380	37	580	31	40
14	Gate Post.....	41	1,820	31	700	42	150	32	1,010
15	Giant Yellow Globe.....	41	830	27	1,110	42	480	23	1,090
16	Ward's Large Oval Shaped.....	40	850	26	1,130	45	1,080	25	490
17	Selected Yellow Globe.....	39	540	26	1,460	38	560	23	1,190
18	Half Long Sugar White.....	33	210	29	740	44	110	37	580
19	Champion Yellow Globe.....	38	1,880	30	1,050	38	1,880	27	1,110
20	Giant Sugar Mangel.....	38	230	31	700	32	680	23	1,420
21	Gate Post Yellow.....	36	270	33	660	32	1,010	31	40
22	Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	35	1,610	25	820	30	60	22	1,540
23	Elvethan.....	34	1,630	23	1,190	27	1,440	26	1,790
24	Yellow Fleshed Tankard.....	33	1,980	25	1,810	33	1,880	25	490
25	Giant Yellow Half Long.....	32	1,340	20	590	39	1,840	23	100
26	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	25	1,150	20	920	41	170	37	580
27	Golden Fleshed Tankard.....	23	200	21	900	41	500	31	1,030
28	Red Fleshed Tankard.....	22	1,540	20	1,580	23	1,750	25	490

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average from the 1st sowing 1st pulling was.....	40	1,982
The average from the 2nd sowing 1st pulling was.....	29	841
The average from the 1st sowing 2nd pulling was.....	40	1,450
The average from the 2nd sowing 2nd pulling was.....	30	932

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

Twenty varieties were under trial in 1902. They were all sown side by side adjoining the turnips and mangels. The land was similar in character, and its treatment and preparation were the same. The drills were made up two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to make a firm bed before the seed was sown. Two sowings were made of each sort, the first on May 12, the second on May 26. The roots were pulled on two different dates, the first pulling was on October 14, the second on October 28. The yield per acre has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from one row 66 feet long.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Name of Variety.	Yield per acre from 1st Sowing 1st Pulling Oct. 14.		Yield per acre from 2nd Sowing 1st Pulling Oct. 14.		Yield per acre from 1st Sowing 2nd Pulling Oct. 28.		Yield per acre from 2nd Sowing 2nd Pulling Oct. 28.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1. Carter's Orange Giant.....	39	1,200	21	900	37	780	20	1,250
2. Mammoth White Intermediate.....	35	1,610	28	100	37	1,240	28	1,750
3. Half Long White.....	35	620	26	800	34	640	25	820
4. Improved Short White.....	33	990	28	760	38	1,220	31	40
5. Iverson's Champion.....	33	990	29	1,730	36	1,590	27	1,770
6. Giant White Vosges.....	33	330	27	1,770	38	560	29	410
7. Green Top White Orthe.....	31	1,360	24	1,500	35	620	29	1,400
8. Half Long Chantenay.....	31	1,030	27	780	30	1,380	25	1,810
9. Ontario Champion.....	31	1,030	24	1,830	39	1,530	30	1,710
10. New White Intermediate.....	30	1,380	26	800	36	270	32	680
11. Long Yellow Stump Rooted.....	27	1,440	21	1,890	29	1,070	25	490
12. Guerande or Ox-heart.....	26	1,130	26	470	25	1,480	28	1,420
13. White Vosges Large Short.....	24	1,830	20	260	27	1,770	22	1,540
14. White Belgian.....	24	180	20	920	33	1,650	24	1,830
15. Yellow Intermediate.....	24	180	17	980	26	470	18	1,620
16. Long Scarlet Altringham.....	23	1,520	15	30	26	800	18	300
17. Early Gem.....	22	1,210	22	880	26	1,790	22	1,870
18. Long Orange or Surrey.....	17	1,640	14	1,700	17	650	17	980
19. Scarlet Intermediate.....	16	1,000	15	690	23	1,190	20	1,580
20. Scarlet Nantes.....	14	1,040	11	1,100	18	1,950	15	690

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling was.....	32	1,382
The average from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling was.....	25	1,150
The average from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling was.....	29	1,854
The average from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling was.....	23	489

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of sugar beets were tested in 1902. These were sown side by side on land adjoining the turnips and mangels. The land was similar in character and its treatment and preparation were the same. The drills were made up two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to make a firm bed before the seed was sown. Two sowings were made of each sort the first on May 12 the second on May 26. They were also pulled on two different dates, the first pulling was on October 14, the second on October 28. The yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of roots gathered from one row 66 feet long.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing.	
		1st Pulling Oct. 14.		1st Pulling Oct. 14.		2nd Pulling Oct. 28.		2nd Pulling Oct. 28.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Royal Giant.....	38	1,880	28	1,750	36	1,920	26	140
2	Danish Improved.....	37	1,570	30	720	43	460	30	1,380
3	Danish Red Top.....	37	1,570	33	35	1,610	30	1,710
4	Red Top Sugar.....	35	1,940	25	1,150	36	1,260	26	1,730
5	Improved Imperial.....	31	700	27	450	23	1,850	21	570
6	Wanzleben.....	28	1,420	21	900	21	1,560	20	1,580
7	Vilmorin's Improved.....	27	780	20	1,580	24	1,170	17	320
8	French "Very Rich".....	23	1,190	17	650	16	1,000	12	420

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling was	27	1,885
The average from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling was.....	22	1,094
The average from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling was.....	30	1,133
The average from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling was.....	24	1,698

FIELD PLOTS OF POTATOES EACH ABOUT $\frac{1}{4}$ ACRE.

The following field plots of potatoes were included in the area devoted to experimental purposes. The land on which these potatoes were planted was similar throughout, and the preparation and treatment were the same for all. The soil was a sandy loam. The previous crop was experimental plots of wheat. After the wheat crop was cut the land was gang-ploughed shallow to start into growth any shed grain or weed seeds lying on the surface; later in the autumn it was again ploughed seven to eight inches deep. During the winter of 1901 and 1902 it received a dressing of about 12 tons of fresh barn-yard manure per acre, which was placed during the winter on the frozen ground in small piles of about a third of a cart load each to prevent fermentation. This was spread in the spring, ploughed under about six inches deep, and harrowed with the smoothing harrow, then made into drills two and a half feet apart and six inches deep for planting. The sets were put from 12 to 15 inches apart. They were all planted May 22, and dug October 6.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.	
		Bush.	Lbs.
1	Sir Walter Raleigh.....	355	50
2	Uncle Sam.....	334	35
3	Honeye Rose.....	304	3
4	Wonder of the World.....	258	20
5	New Queen.....	256	40
6	American Wonder.....	244	40
7	Early Harvest.....	244	30
8	Vigorosa.....	238	8
9	Canadian Beauty.....	236	40
10	Rochester Rose.....	228	40
11	Everett.....	224	11
12	Early White Prize.....	204	12

The following plots of potatoes, of about one-quarter of an acre each, were planted on similar soil to those last mentioned and received a similar dressing of manure; but this land was in oats in 1901 with which clover was sown. Much of this clover was destroyed by a severe frost in the spring, shortly after the young plants started. These plots had the advantage of a very light crop of clover ploughed under in addition to the manure.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.	
		Bush.	Lbs.
1	Carman No 1.....	321	2
2	Early Sunrise.....	269	35
3	Bovee.....	245	20
4	Prize Taker.....	240	20
5	Early Andes.....	203	00

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FLAX.

Seed sown on sandy loam on plots of one fortieth of an acre each, to gain information as to the best time for sowing and the quantity of seed required to give the best results.

FIRST SOWING.

Plot 1.—Forty pounds of seed per acre. Sown April 30, came up May 15 and was ripe August 8. Made a strong and even growth; all standing well.

Weight of straw per acre.....	3,440 pounds.
Yield of seed per acre.....	8 bush. 40 lbs.

Plot 2.—Eighty pounds of seed per acre. Sown April 30, came up May 15 and was ripe August 8. Made a strong and even growth; all standing well.

Weight of straw per acre.....	4,720 pounds.
Yield of seed per acre.....	9 bush. 20 lbs.

SECOND SOWING.

Plot 3.—Forty pounds of seed per acre. Sown May 7, came up May 20 and was ripe August 10. Made a strong and even growth; all standing well.

Weight of straw per acre.....	5,520 pounds.
Yield of seed per acre.....	8 bush.

Plot 4.—Eighty pounds of seed per acre. Sown May 7, came up May 20 and was ripe August 10. Made a strong and even growth; all standing well.

Weight of straw per acre.....	5,760 pounds.
Yield of seed per acre.....	6 bush. 40 lbs.

THIRD SOWING.

Plot 5.—Forty pounds of seed per acre. Sown May 14, came up May 28 and was ripe August 15. Made a strong and even growth; all standing well.

Weight of straw per acre.....	5,680 pounds.
Yield of seed per acre.....	8 bush.

Plot 6.—Eighty pounds of seed per acre. Sown May 14, came up May 28 and was ripe August 15. Made a strong growth; but was considerably lodged.

Weight of straw per acre.....	5,920 pounds.
Yield of seed per acre.....	5 bush. 20 lbs.

FOURTH SOWING.

Plot 7.—Forty pounds of seed per acre. Sown May 21, came up May 31 and was ripe August 17. Made a strong growth, but was partly lodged.

Weight of straw per acre.....	5,600 pounds.
Yield of seed per acre.....	8 bush.

Plot 8.—Eighty pounds of seed per acre. Sown May 21, came up May 31 and was ripe August 17. Made a strong growth, but was considerably lodged.

Weight of straw per acre.....	6,440 pounds.
Yield of seed per acre.....	8 bush. 40 lbs.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SOJA BEANS.

Three plots of one-fortieth acre each were sown in rows at different distances apart, viz.: 21, 28 and 35 inches, to gain information as to the best distance for sowing to secure the heaviest crops. The soil was a light sandy loam, which received a dressing of barn-yard manure during the winter of 1899 and 1900 of about 12 tons per acre. The previous crop was millet. After the millet was cut, the land was ploughed late in the autumn to the depth of about seven or eight inches, and left in that condition until the following spring, when it was cultivated once with a two-horse cultivator and twice with a smoothing harrow. The beans were sown with a seed drill on May 14, and cut on September 24.

Plot 1.—Sown in rows 21 inches apart; growth strong and even, leafy; average height 30 to 35 inches. The pods were well formed, but the beans were soft when the crop was cut. Total yield of green crop 9 tons 80 lbs. per acre. Yield of beans 12 bushels per acre.

Plot 2.—Sown in rows 28 inches apart; growth strong and leafy; average height 30 to 35 inches. Plot all standing, stalks considerably stiffer than in plot No. 1. The pods were well formed, but the beans were soft when cut. Total yield of green crop 10 tons 1,200 lbs. per acre. Yield of beans 14 bushels 40 lbs. per acre.

Plot 3.—Sown in rows 35 inches apart; growth strong and even, leafy; stems hard and woody; average height 35 to 39 inches. The plants were better podded than those in plots 1 or 2, and the beans were harder when cut, but the plant was less valuable for fodder. Total yield of green crop 9 tons 1,840 lbs. per acre. Yield of beans 10 bushels 40 lbs. per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH HORSE BEANS.

Three plots of one-fortieth acre each were sown in rows 21, 28 and 35 inches apart to gain information as to the best distance for sowing to secure the heaviest crop. The land was adjoining that used for soja beans, was similar in quality and received the same treatment. The previous crop was millet. The beans were sown with the seed drill. All the plots were sown on May 14, and cut on September 22.

Plot 1.—Sown in rows 21 inches apart; growth strong, well podded; height 48 to 52 inches, crop all standing. The beans were nearly ripe when cut. Total yield 7 tons 800 lbs. per acre. Yield of beans 37 bushels 20 lbs. per acre.

Plot 2.—Sown in rows 28 inches apart; growth very strong and well podded; height 49 to 53 inches, crop all standing, stalks considerably stiffer than in plot No. 1. The beans were nearly ripe when cut. Total yield 8 tons 160 lbs. per acre. Yield of beans 34 bushels 40 lbs. per acre.

Plot 3.—Sown in rows 35 inches apart; growth strong, medium and well podded; height 49 to 53 inches, crop all standing, stalks stiff. The beans nearly ripe when cut. Total yield 7 tons 1,600 lbs. per acre. Yield of beans 30 bushels 40 lbs.

EXPERIMENTS WITH MILLETS.

Nine varieties of millet were sown on plots of one-fortieth acre each in drills seven inches apart. The soil was a light sandy loam. The previous crop was soja beans. The land received a dressing of barn-yard manure during the winter of 1899 and 1900 of about twelve tons per acre. After the beans were cut the land was ploughed to the depth of seven or eight inches, and left in that condition until the following spring, when it was cultivated once with a two-horse cultivator and twice with a smoothing harrow before sowing. The seed was sown with a Planet Junior seed drill, and all the varieties were sown on May 14. These were all cut when the seed was in the doughy state.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

MILLETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date Cut.	Length of Straw.	Character of Growth.	Weight per Acre Green.		Weight per Acre Dry.	
					Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Algerian.	Sept. 4	50—55	Strong.	17	560	8	800
2	Japanese.	" 4	50—53	"	17	240	8	1920
3	Pearl, late or Cat-tail.	" 14	50—53	Medium.	16	320	8
4	German or Golden.	" 6	48—51	Strong.	14	800	6	320
5	Italian or Indian.	" 6	49—52	"	13	1360	4	800
6	White Round Extra French.	Aug. 30	63—65	"	12	5	1840
7	Moha Hungarian.	" 30	48—50	"	9	1200	5	1520
8	No. 5648 (Dept. Agr., Wash., U.S.A.).	Sept. 4	25—28	Weak.	5	1520	2	1440
9	No. 5647 " "	" 4	30—33	Medium.	3	1360	2

EXPERIMENTS WITH MIXED ROOTS AND WITH MIXED ROOTS AND VEGETABLES.

This experiment, first tried last year, has been repeated during the past season. Five rows were sown about 100 feet long and two feet apart. The seed was put in about the usual thickness and the plants subsequently thinned. They were sown on May 12. The vegetables were gathered about the middle of September and the roots pulled late in October. It is evident that any farmer may supply his household with an assortment of vegetables with very little labour or expense by the adoption of this simple method.

Mixed Roots and Roots and Vegetables.				Yield per acre.	
				Tons.	Lbs.
Plot 1—Mangels and turnips.				46	730
" 2—Mangels, carrots and turnips.				42	480
" 3—Carrots and turnips.				36	1,260
" 4—Mangels and carrots.				33	1,980
Carrots, turnips, cabbage, tomatoes and parsnips—					
Plot 5—Yield per acre of cabbage.			Tons. Lbs.		
" " tomatoes.			14	1,535	
" " turnips.			5	1,880	
" " turnips.			5	230	
" " carrots.			4	1,405	
" " parsnips.				1,650	
				31	700

SPECIAL EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS.

In the annual report of the Experimental Farms for 1893, details were given on pages 8 to 24 of the results of a series of tests which were carried on during the previous five or six years with the object of gaining information regarding the effects which follow the application of certain fertilizers and combinations of fertilizers on the more important farm crops. The particulars there given covered the results of six years' experience with crops of wheat and Indian corn and five years' experience with crops of oats, barley, turnips and mangels. The results of similar tests conducted for three years with carrots and one year with sugar beets were also given.

These experiments have been continued, and a summary of the results obtained has been given each year, taking the average yield of crops from the beginning adding the results for the current year, and then giving the average yield for the full time. These tests were undertaken on virgin soil, on a piece of land which was cleared for the purpose. For particulars regarding the clearing and preparing of the land for crop in 1887-88 and its subsequent treatment the reader is referred to the earlier issues of this report.

OBJECT IN VIEW IN CONDUCTING THESE EXPERIMENTS.

In establishing and conducting this series of experiments, the object in view has been to gain information as to the effects produced by certain fertilizers and combinations of fertilizers on particular crops. They were never intended to serve as model test plots such as farmers could copy with advantage in their general practice. On the contrary, to gain the information desired, it has been found necessary to use some fertilizers in unusual quantities, and in other instances to more or less exhaust the soil by a succession of crops of the same sort, practices which in ordinary farming would be extravagant or detrimental. From this long conducted series of tests much useful information has been gained, which appeals to the mind with greater force as experience accumulates from year to year.

VALUABLE INFORMATION GAINED.

These trials, have shown that barn-yard manure can be most economically used in the fresh or unrotted condition; that fresh manure is equal, ton for ton, in crop-producing power to rotted manure, which, other experiments have shown, loses during the process of rotting about 60 per cent of its weight. In view of the vast importance of making the best possible use of barn-yard manure, it is difficult to estimate the value of this one item of information.

When these experiments were planned, the opinion was very generally held that untreated mineral phosphate, if very finely ground, was a valuable fertilizer, which gradually gave up its phosphoric acid for the promotion of plant growth. Ten years' experience has shown that mineral phosphate, untreated, is of no value as a fertilizer.

The use of sulphate of iron, which at the time these tests were begun, was highly recommended, as a means of producing increased crops, has also been proven to be almost useless for this purpose.

Common salt, which has long had a reputation with many farmers for its value as a fertilizer for barley, while others disbelieved in its efficacy, has been shown to be a most valuable agent for producing an increased crop of that grain, while it is of much less use when applied to crops of spring wheat or oats. Land plaster or gypsum has also proven to be of some value as a fertilizer for barley, while of very little service for wheat or oats. Some light has also been thrown on the relative usefulness of single and combined fertilizers.

CHANGES MADE IN THE EXPERIMENTS.

After ten years' experience had demonstrated that finely-ground, untreated mineral phosphate was of no value as a fertilizer, its use was discontinued in 1898. Prior to this it had been used in each set of plots in Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7 and in No. 8 also, in all the different series of plots, excepting roots. In 1898 and 1899, similar weights of the Thomas' phosphate were used in place of the mineral phosphate, excepting in plot 6 in each series. In this plot the Thomas' phosphate was used in 1898 only.

After constant cropping for ten or eleven years, it was found that the soil on those plots to which no barn-yard manure had been applied was much depleted of humus, and hence its power of holding moisture had been lessened, and the conditions for plant growth, apart from the question of plant food, had on this account become less favourable. In 1899 the experiments were modified and an effort made to restore some

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

proportion of the humus and at the same time gain further information as to the value of clover as a collector of plant food. In the spring of that year ten pounds of red clover seed per acre was sown with the grain on all the plots of wheat, barley and oats. The clover seed germinated well, and after the grain was cut the young clover plants made rapid growth, and by the middle of October there was a thick mat of foliage varying in height and density on the different plots, which was ploughed under. The growing of carrots and potatoes on one half of the cereal plots has been discontinued since 1898, and each plot of the wheat, barley and oats have occupied the full tenth of an acre.

In 1900, 1901 and 1902 clover was again sown on all the grain plots, and was ploughed under in October. In 1900 and 1901 a good growth of clover was obtained, but in 1902 a severe frost in the spring destroyed a large proportion of the young plants so that the crop available for ploughing under in the autumn was very light.

APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS DISCONTINUED.

Another direction in which information was sought was in reference to the length of time which a liberal application of barn-yard manure would continue to affect subsequent crops, and in 1899 on plots 1, 2 and 6 the barn-yard manure, which had been used for ten or eleven years in succession, was discontinued. The phosphate fertilizer was also omitted on plot 6 in each series.

In 1900 all the fertilizers on all the plots were discontinued, and it is proposed to continue to grow the same crops on all these plots from year to year without fertilizers for some years, sowing clover with the grain each season. In this way it is expected that much information will be gained as to the value of clover as a collector of plant food, and also as to the unexhausted values of the different fertilizers which have been used on these plots since the experiments were begun.

SPECIAL TREATMENT OF PLOTS OF INDIAN CORN AND ROOTS.

As it was not practicable to sow clover to advantage on the Indian corn and root plots, the sowing of these latter crops was discontinued in the spring of 1900 and clover sown in their place in the proportion of 12 pounds per acre, and no fertilizers were applied. The clover on these plots made strong growth, so strong as to necessitate twice cutting during the season, the cut clover being left on the ground in each case to decay and add to the fertility of the soil, and the clover left over for further growth in the spring of 1901, and ploughed under for the roots about May 10, and for corn about the middle of that month. Then roots and Indian corn were again sown. This course will be continued for some years, growing Indian corn and roots every second year alternating these crops with clover. No fertilizers were applied in 1900 or 1901, and it is proposed to discontinue their use entirely for some years, so that the effect on these crops of the ploughing under of clover may be carefully studied under the varying conditions presented by these more or less exhausted plots.

WHEAT PLOTS.

The seed sown on each of these plots from the beginning has been in the proportion of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre, excepting in 1894; and the varieties used were as follows:—In 1888-89-90 and 1891, White Russian, and in 1892-3, Campbell's White Chaff. In 1894, the Rio Grande wheat was used, when, owing to lack of germinating power in the seed, a larger quantity was required. In 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900, 1901 and 1902 Red Fife wheat was used in the usual quantity of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre. In 1902, the Red Fife was sown April 30, came up May 8, and was ripe from August 10 to 12.

The season of 1902 has been favourable for the growing of spring wheat at Ottawa, and all the plots have increased in yield notwithstanding that the fertilizers have been discontinued for the past three years. This shows that the ploughing under of the green clover is having a beneficial effect. On the check plots which have been unmanured from the beginning the increase both in grain and straw is remarkable.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF WHEAT $\frac{1}{10}$ TH ACRE EACH.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each Year from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the Autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.		15TH SEASON, 1902, VARIETY RED FIFE.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
1	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) well rotted, 12 tons per acre in 1888; 15 tons per acre each year after to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	21 49 $\frac{3}{4}$	4,065	30 5	3,885	22 22 $\frac{1}{8}$	4,053
2	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) fresh, 12 tons per acre in 1888; 15 tons per acre each year after to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	22 14 $\frac{3}{4}$	4,099	29 40	3,865	22 44 $\frac{3}{4}$	4,083
3	Unmanured from the beginning.	11 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,908	16 50	2,650	11 26	1,957
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' Phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11 17 $\frac{3}{4}$	2,081	19 45	2,270	11 51	2,094
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 36 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,853	14 10	2,420	12 43	2,824
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 500 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	19 6 $\frac{1}{4}$	3,371	24 40	3,115	19 28 $\frac{3}{8}$	3,354
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 4	2,608	17 5	3,035	13 20 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,636
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11 5 $\frac{3}{4}$	2,154	15 30	2,770	11 23 $\frac{3}{16}$	2,195
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11 55 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,928	16 25	2,480	12 13 $\frac{1}{8}$	1,965
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,009	14 55	2,135	13 8 $\frac{1}{8}$	2,951

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF WHEAT $\frac{1}{10}$ TH ACRE EACH—Continued.

No. of plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.		15TH SEASON, 1902. VARIETY, RED TIFE.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	14 23 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,887	14 40	3,220	14 25	2,909
12	Unmanured from the beginning.....	10 10	1,931	14	2,065	10 25 $\frac{5}{16}$	1,940
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 18 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,021	15 55	2,550	12 33 $\frac{3}{16}$	2,056
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre; used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	15 7 $\frac{2}{16}$	2,573	18 20	3,700	15 20	2,643
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	13 48 $\frac{8}{16}$	2,395	16 55	3,395	14 1	2,462
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	15 30 $\frac{8}{16}$	2,187	19 5	2,985	15 44 $\frac{8}{16}$	2,240
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 38 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,370	17 15	2,865	12 57 $\frac{2}{16}$	2,403
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 35 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,932	16 30	3,060	12 51 $\frac{5}{16}$	2,007
19	Common salt (Sodium chloride), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	13 36	1,560	17 30	2,755	13 51 $\frac{4}{16}$	1,640
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	12 37	1,908	16	2,940	12 50 $\frac{8}{16}$	1,977
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been used since then.....	13 6	1,904	14 15	2,875	13 10 $\frac{9}{16}$	1,969

BARLEY PLOTS.

The quantity of seed sown per acre on the barley plots was 2 bushels in 1889, 1890 and 1891, $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels in 1892 and 1893, and 2 bushels in 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900, 1901 and 1902. Two-rowed barley has been used for seed throughout until 1902 when Mensury a six-rowed sort was tried. The varieties used were as follows: 1889, 1890, and 1891, Saale; 1892, Goldthorpe; 1893, Duck-bill; and in 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900 and 1901, Canadian Thorpe, a selected form of the Duck-bill. In 1902 the Mensury was sown on April 16, came up May 1, and was harvested from July 27 to 29.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF BARLEY, $\frac{1}{10}$ TH ACRE EACH.

No. of plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.		14TH SEASON, 1902. VARIETY, MENSURY.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
1	Barn-yard manure, well rotted, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	34 23 $\frac{1}{3}$	3,021	43 6	3,930	35 5 $\frac{1}{4}$	3,086
2	Barn-yard manure, fresh, 15 tons per acre, each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	34 35 $\frac{1}{4}$	3,195	40 45	4,010	35 8 $\frac{1}{4}$	3,253
3	Unmanured from the beginning.	12 42 $\frac{1}{3}$	1,482	27 4	2,330	13 43 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,543
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used, no fertilizers have been applied since then.	14 7 $\frac{1}{3}$	1,446	29 28	2,270	15 12 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,505
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	20 16 $\frac{1}{3}$	2,205	29 13	2,410	20 47 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,220
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	27 19 $\frac{1}{3}$	2,394	40 10	2,525	28 15 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,403
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	24 32 $\frac{1}{3}$	2,355	42 34	2,700	25 46 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,380
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	19 29 $\frac{2}{3}$	1,729	40 ..	3,010	21 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,821
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	20 4 $\frac{1}{8}$	1,753	35 15	1,805	21 8 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,757
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	27 23 $\frac{1}{3}$	2,388	35 25	2,125	23 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,369

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF BARLEY, $\frac{1}{10}$ ACRE EACH.

No. of plot.	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1889 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.		14TH SEASON, 1902. VARIETY, MENSURY.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	25 32 $\frac{4}{10}$	2,484	41 42	2,545	26 39 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,488
12	Unmanured from the beginning.....	12 33 $\frac{3}{13}$	1,237	26 12	1,050	13 32 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,224
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	13 37 $\frac{7}{13}$	1,430	26 47	1,220	14 34 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,415
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	22 26 $\frac{4}{13}$	2,051	41 2	2,370	23 41 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,074
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	21 28 $\frac{2}{13}$	2,334	30 20	1,640	22 10 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,284
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	22 7 $\frac{7}{13}$	1,843	33 41	2,095	22 41 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,861
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	18 22 $\frac{7}{13}$	1,949	30 25	1,860	19 15 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,943
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	17 30 $\frac{3}{13}$	1,630	33 16	1,585	18 36	1,673
19	Common salt (Sodium chloride), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	27 4 $\frac{1}{13}$	1,846	36 22	2,530	27 36 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,895
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	19 27 $\frac{4}{13}$	1,582	29 33	1,910	20 14 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,605
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	19 46 $\frac{1}{13}$	1,746	34 8	2,265	20 46 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,783

OAT PLOTS.

The quantity of seed sown per acre on the oat plots, was 2 bushels in 1889 and 1890; 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ bushels in 1891, 1892 and 1893, and 2 bushels in 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900, 1901 and 1902. The varieties used were as follows: In 1889, Early English; in 1890, 1891, 1892, 1893, Prize Cluster; and in 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900, 1901 and 1902, Banner. In 1902 the Banner was sown April 16, came up May 1, and the plots were harvested from August 2 to 4.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF OATS, $\frac{1}{10}$ ACRE EACH.

Number of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.		14TH SEASON, 1902. VARIETY, BANNER.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
1	Barn-yard manure, well rotted, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then	50 31 $\frac{3}{4}$	3,216	57 17	3,565	51 13 $\frac{3}{4}$	3,241
2	Barn-yard manure, fresh, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then	55 13 $\frac{3}{4}$	3,405	59 4	3,740	55 22 $\frac{7}{16}$	3,422
3	Unmanured from the beginning.	33 7 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,609	46 11	2,725	34 5 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,689
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then	32 33 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,763	50 5	2,725	34 7 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,832
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	49 5 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,673	52 22	2,590	49 13 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,667
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898, 500 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	47 24 $\frac{3}{16}$	2,688	57 32	3,130	48 15 $\frac{7}{16}$	2,720
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	48 19 $\frac{1}{16}$	3,170	57 27	2,915	49 7 $\frac{1}{16}$	3,152
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	42 7 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,432	55 5	2,955	43 4 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,460
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been used since then	36 20 $\frac{3}{16}$	1,947	45 20	2,300	37 16 $\frac{3}{16}$	1,972
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizer: have been applied since then.	47 3 $\frac{7}{16}$	2,721	52 32	2,330	47 17 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,693
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs. nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	37 31 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,410	51 6	2,490	38 29 $\frac{3}{16}$	2,416
12	Unmanured from the beginning.	22 14 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,431	32 12	970	23 4 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,398
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	34 13 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,034	39 24	2,050	34 26 $\frac{7}{16}$	2,035

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF OATS $\frac{1}{10}$ ACRE EACH—Continued.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1889 to 1893 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.		14TH SEASON, 1902. VARIETY, BANNER.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,560 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	40 19 $\frac{8}{13}$	2,241	50 25	2,695	41 10 $\frac{4}{14}$	2,273
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	47 22	2,736	47 27	3,055	47 22 $\frac{5}{14}$	2,759
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	37 23 $\frac{7}{13}$	2,162	52 27	2,790	38 26 $\frac{3}{14}$	2,207
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	44 27 $\frac{6}{13}$	2,859	52 12	2,320	45 11 $\frac{11}{14}$	2,820
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	37 5 $\frac{7}{13}$	2,023	54 9	1,955	38 13 $\frac{1}{14}$	2,018
19	Common salt (Sodium chloride) 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	36 26 $\frac{6}{13}$	1,941	50 10	2,150	37 25 $\frac{3}{14}$	1,956
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate) 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	33 29 $\frac{12}{13}$	1,937	46 1	1,985	34 25 $\frac{1}{14}$	1,959
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	34 33 $\frac{8}{13}$	1,854	43 3	2,015	35 19	1,860

INDIAN CORN PLOTS.

The experiments with the plots of Indian corn have been conducted with the object of obtaining the largest weight of well matured green fodder for the silo, and to have the corn so far advanced when cut, that the ears shall be as far as is practicable in the the late milk, or glazed condition. Each plot has been divided from the outset into two equal parts, on one of which—known as No. 1—one of the stronger growing and somewhat later ripening sorts has been tried, and on the other, marked No. 2, one of the earlier maturing varieties. During the first four years one of the Dent varieties was tested under No. 1. The Mammoth Southern Sweet was tried in 1888, 1889 and 1890. In 1891 the Red Cob Ensilage was used, and in 1892, 1893, 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1901 and 1902 a free growing Flint variety, the Rural Thoroughbred White Flint, was tested. On the other half of the plot (No. 2) the Canada Yellow Flint was used in 1888, 1889 and 1890, the Thoroughbred White Flint in 1891, Pearce's Prolific in 1892, 1893 and 1894, and the Mammoth Eight Rowed Flint in 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1901 and 1902. For the first four years the No. 1 series was planted in drills 3 feet apart, using about 24 pounds of seed to the acre and thinning the plants, when up, to 6 or 8 inches, and the No. 2 in hills 3 feet apart each way and 4 or 5 kernels in a hill. During the past seven years both sorts have been grown in hills.

In 1900 no crop of Indian corn was grown on these plots, but clover was sown in its place on May 5 in the proportion of twelve pounds per acre. This made a strong growth was cut twice during the season and left on the ground to decay so that when ploughed under the land might get the full benefit of the clover crop. The clover was allowed to remain growing until May 20, 1901, by which time it had made a very heavy growth. It was then ploughed under about six inches deep, and harrowed well before the corn was planted. The corn in both series of plots was planted in 1902, on May 26, and cut for ensilage on September 25.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF INDIAN CORN, $\frac{1}{10}$ TH ACRE EACH, CUT GREEN FOR ENSILAGE.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the corn and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the corn was planted.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.				14TH SEASON, 1902.				AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.			
		Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder		Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder		Plot No. 1— Thoroughbred White Flint weight of green fodder		Plot No. 2— Man. 8 row- ed, weight of green fodder		Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder		Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder	
		Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.		
		Tons	lbs.	Tons	lbs.	Tons	lbs.	Tons	lbs.	Tons	lbs.	Tons	lbs.
1	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) well rotted, 12 tons per acre, each year from 1888 to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	16	508	12	1,521	18		17	560	16	757	13	167
2	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) fresh, 12 tons per acre each year from 1888 to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	16	1,383	11	860	11	800	12		16	627	11	941
3	Unmanured from the beginning.	7	646	5	672	3		2	200	7	28	5	209
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 800 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	7	1,668	5	75	9	800	3	840	7	1,749	4	1,844
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 800 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 800 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11	714	9	664	11	560	3	1,600	11	703	8	1,874
6	Barn yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 500 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	16	1,017	12	112	12	1,680	10	600	16	493	11	1,861
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	15	1,014	11	752	11	1,800	8	1,200	15	499	11	355

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS, ON PLOTS OF INDIAN CORN—*Concluded.*

No. of plot.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.		14TH SEASON, 1902.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.	
		Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder	Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder	Plot No. 1— Thoroughbred White Flint, weight of green fodder	Plot No. 2— Man. 8 row- ed, weight of green fodder	Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder	Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.
	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the corn and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the corn was planted.						
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	12 300	9 701	11 200	8 1,800	12 150	9 637
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	11 828	8 1,678	9 ..	6 600	11 483	8 1,315
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	13 1,713	10 1,667	9 1,200	6 800	13 1,105	10 1,034
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	16 1,010	12 1,005	13 240	9 1,520	16 526	12 613
12	Unmanured from the beginning.....	11 233	9 215	9 560	7 920	10 1,970	8 1,979
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 360	9 1,011	12 520	7 1,840	12 371	9 784
14	Bone, finely ground 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 1,482	9 1,583	14 400	11	12 1,690	9 1,755
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	13 310	7	8 400	5 1,600	12 1,317	9 1,406
16	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	13 662	10 859	10 1,840	5 1,320	13 317	10 178
17	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 600 lbs.; muriate of potash, 200 lbs.; sulphate of ammonia, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	13 1,320	9 1,953	11 1,800	9 1,600	13 1,069	9 1,027
18	Muriate of potash, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	9 1,762	6 1,951	10 800	8 1,600	9 1,836	7 211
19	Double sulphate of potash and magnesia, 300 lbs. per acre in 1889 and '90; (muriate of potash, 200 lbs., substituted each year since); dried blood, 300 lbs.; mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs., per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 717	8 1,665	11 640	9	12 569	8 1,689
20	Wood ashes, unleached, 1,900 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	10 1,615	8 276	11 1,360	8 1,400	10 1,739	8 356
21	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; sulphate of ammonia, 200 lbs.; muriate of potash, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	12 1,955	7 1,011	8 1,440	8 1,760	12 1,347	7 1,207

PLOTS OF MANGELS AND TURNIPS.

In conducting these experiments the roots only have been taken from the land, the tops have always been cut off and left on the ground to be ploughed under, so that the plant food they have taken from the soil may be returned to it. One-half of each one-tenth acre plot in the series has been devoted to the growth of mangels, and the other half to turnips, and these crops have been alternated from year to year. The preparation of the land has been the same for both these roots. It was ploughed in the autumn after the crop was gathered, gang-ploughed deeply in the spring after the barnyard manure had been spread on plots 1, 2 and 6, and after gang-ploughing, the other fertilizers were spread by scattering them evenly over the surface, after which it was all harrowed with the smoothing harrow, then made in ridges 2 feet apart, rolled and sown.

In 1889, the variety of mangel used was the Mammoth Long Red. In 1890, three varieties were sown: 15 rows of Mammoth Long Red, 6 of Mammoth Long Yellow, and 6 of Golden Intermediate on each plot. In 1891, each plot again had three varieties: 18 rows of Mammoth Long Red, 3 of Yellow Fleshed Tankard, and 6 of Golden Tankard. In 1892, 1893, 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1901 and 1902, one variety only was used, namely, the Mammoth Long Red. About 4 pounds of seed were sown per acre each year.

Two varieties of turnips were sown on the half plots devoted to these roots in 1889; 25 rows of Carter's Prize Winner, and 2 rows of Carter's Queen of Swedes, and in 1890, a single variety, Carter's Elephant Swede. In 1891, six varieties were sown: 6 rows of Lord Derby Swede, 4 of New Giant King, 3 of Imperial Swede, 6 of Champion Swede, 4 of Purple Top Swede, and 4 of East Lothian Swede. In 1892 the Improved Purple Top Swede only was sown, in 1893 and 1894 the Prize Purple Top Swede, in 1895 the Imperial Swede, and in 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1901 and 1902 the Prize Purple Top Swede. The land used for the turnips, which are usually sown later than the mangels, was prepared in the same manner and the fertilizers spread on it at the same time as for the mangels. It was then allowed to stand until the day before sowing, when it was gang-ploughed shallow or cultivated to kill weeds and loosen the soil, ridged, rolled and sown. About three pounds of seed were sown per acre,

In 1900 no crops of mangels and turnips were grown, but clover was sown in their place on May 5 in the proportion of twelve pounds per acre. This made a strong growth, and was cut twice during the season, and left on the ground to decay so that when ploughed under, the land might get the full benefit of the clover crop. The clover was allowed to remain growing until May 10, 1901, by which time it had made a very heavy growth. It was then ploughed under about six inches deep and harrowed well, then made up into ridges two feet apart. These were rolled with a hand roller, which flattened the ridges considerably and made a firm, even seed bed. It is proposed to alternate the crops of clover and roots in this way for some years, for the purpose of gaining information as to the fertilizing effect of crops of green clover ploughed under on land to be used for growing roots.

In 1902 the mangels were sown on May 12, and pulled on October 14; the turnips were sown May 12, and pulled October 14. The yield per acre has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 66 feet long.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON ROOTS: PLOTS OF MANGELS AND TURNIPS
7/10TH ACRE EACH.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the roots and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the roots were sown.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR TWELVE YEARS.		13TH SEASON, 1902. VARIETIES.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.	
		Mangels, Weight of Roots.	Turnips, Weight of Roots.	West Half Plot.	East Half Plot.	Mangels, Weight of Roots.	Turnips, Weight of Roots.
		Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.
		Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.
1	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) well rotted, 20 tons per acre each year from 1889 to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	22 1,089	15 1,194	23 1,400	16 920	22 1,267	15 1,327
2	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) fresh, 20 tons per acre each year from 1889 to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	21 1,041	15 1,522	19 1,800	15 1,520	21 792	15 1,522
3	Unmanured from the beginning....	8 1,756	7 859	11 520	7 920	9 122	7 864
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	8 835	7 1,837	13 480	8 760	8 1,577	7 1,908
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 1,000 lbs., nitrate of soda, 250 lbs. wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then....	14 617	10 77	19 840	9 400	14 1,403	9 1,948
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate untreated, finely ground, 1,000 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1889 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 1,000 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then....	18 264	13 308	16 1,520	10 1,640	18 53	12 1,949
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 1,000 lbs.; sulphate of potash, 200 lbs. in 1889 and 1890 (substituted by muriate of potash, 250 lbs. in 1891 and subsequent years); nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 1,000 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	11 386	9 1,232	16 1,640	8 600	11 1,252	9 1,030
8	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs.; sulphate of potash, 200 lbs. in 1889 and 1890 (substituted by muriate of potash, 250 lbs. in 1891 and subsequent years); nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	13 1,649	12 896	17 280	7 280	14 159	11 1,618

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON ROOTS; PLOTS OF MANGELS AND TURNIPS—*Concluded.*

No. of plot.	Fertilizers applied each Year from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the roots and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the roots were sown.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR TWELVE YEARS.		13TH SEASON, 1902, VARIETIES.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.	
		Mangels, Weight of Roots.	Turnips, Weight of Roots.	West Half Plot.	East Half Plot.	Mangels, Weight of Roots.	Turnips, Weight of Roots.
		Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.
		Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	9 341	9 481	15 1,480	8 640	9 1,306	9 339
10	Nitrate of soda, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	14 328	9 1,181	17 760	7 1,760	14 823	9 918
11	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	12 538	10 1,715	13 1,200	11 760	12 743	10 1,795
12	Unmanured from the beginning.....	7 449	7 482	10 240	8 600	7 894	7 645
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	12 675	8 1,469	13 840	11 960	12 842	8 1,891
14	Wood ashes, unleached, 2,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	10 1,886	8 103	12 360	9 880	11 76	8 317
15	Common salt (Sodium chloride) 400 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	9 1,437	7 927	9 1,240	6 1,600	9 1,422	7 825
16	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	13 173	10 1,908	13	9 1,000	13 130	10 1,896
17	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 1,624	9 1,919	22 1,840	15	13 1,179	10 694
18	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs.; muriate of potash, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	12 1,552	10 1,425	15 1,160	16 6 0	12 1,981	11 285
19	Double sulphate of potash and magnesias, 300 lbs. per acre in 1889 and 1890 (muriate of potash, 200 lbs., substituted each year since); dried blood, 250 lbs.; mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	14 342	11 1,838	17 560	16 480	14 820	12 503
20	Wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs.; common salt (Sodium chloride), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	14 1,244	10 1,712	21 1,280	9 1,760	15 324	10 1,562
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	14 1,482	11 51	18 840	12 1,490	15 45	11 309

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RESULTS OBTAINED FROM THE PLOUGHING UNDER OF CLOVER.

In 1900 and 1901 further experiments were undertaken to demonstrate the usefulness of the ploughing under of clover to add fertility to the soil. The following tests were made with oats, Indian corn and potatoes showing the effect on these crops in 1902, of clover ploughed under in 1901.

In the second series of tables the effect from the ploughing under of clover in 1900 is shown on the two succeeding crops, those of 1901 and 1902. These experiments were all made on plots of one eightieth of an acre each.

EFFECTS of the Ploughing-under of Green Clover, in 1901, as a Fertilizer for Oats in 1902.

Variety.	Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield of Oats Per Acre.	Weight of Straw Per Acre.
(Banner Oats grown after).	Inches.	Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Wheat, 1901, no clover.....	49 — 51	9 — 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	63 18	3,280
" 1901, with "	51 — 53	9 — 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	72 32	5,280
Barley, 1901, no "	49 — 51	9 — 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	61 6	2,720
" 1901, with "	51 — 53	9 — 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	70 20	4,960
Oats, 1901, no "	49 — 51	9 — 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	58 28	3,120
" 1901, with "	51 — 53	9 — 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	70 20	4,720

EFFECTS of the Ploughing-under of Green Clover, in 1901, as a Fertilizer for Indian Corn in 1902.

Variety.	Height.	Leafiness.	Condition When Cut.	Weight of Green Fodder Per Acre.
(Selected Leaming grown after).	Inches.			Tons. Lbs.
Wheat, 1901, no clover.....	85 — 95	Medium...	Late milk...	16 800
" 1901, with "	110 — 120	Very leafy..	" ...	22 1,600
Barley, 1901, no "	85 — 95	Medium....	" ...	17 720
" 1901, with "	110 — 120	Very leafy..	" ...	23 1,200
Oats, 1901, no "	85 — 95	Medium	" ...	15 —
" 1901, with "	110 — 120	Very leafy..	" ...	20 800

EFFECTS of the Ploughing-under of Green Clover in 1901, as a Fertilizer for Potatoes in 1902.

Variety.	Yield Per Acre.
(Everett after grown).	Bush. Lbs.
Wheat, 1901, no clover.....	353 20
" 1901, with "	396 —
Barley, 1901, no "	346 40
" 1901, with "	386 20
Oats, 1901, no "	358 —
" 1901, with "	392 40

SECOND Series of Plots showing effect of Clover one and two years after Ploughing-under.

Crop Sown in 1900.	1901. Banner Oats.		1902. Everett Potatoes.	
	Yield of Oats Per Acre.	Weight of Straw Per Acre.		
	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	
Wheat, no clover.....	47 2	2,480	274	40
" with "	49 14	3,440	293	20
Barley, no "	37 22	1,920	270	40
" with "	42 12	2,640	272	—
Oats, no "	35 10	2,240	333	20
" with "	40 —	3,040	353	20

Crop Sown in 1900.		1901. Everett Potatoes.		1902. Corn, Selected Leaming.	
		Bush. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.		
Wheat, Preston, no clover..		396 40	16	1,600	
" " with "		440 —	19	—	
Barley, Mensury, no "		396 —	15	880	
" " with "		420 —	16	640	
Oats, Banner, no "		381 20	16	1,600	
" " with "		411 20	20	200	

Crop Sown in 1900.	1901. Corn, Selected Leaming.		1902. Banner Oats.		
			Yield of Oats Per Acre.	Weight of Straw Per Acre.	
	Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.		
Wheat, Preston, no clover.....	19 1,280	51 26	2,320		
" " with "	27 1,760	75 10	4,160		
Barley, Mensury, no "	15 1,600	47 2	2,000		
" " with "	27 880	70 20	3,920		
Oats, Banner, no "	20 160	58 28	3,120		
" " with "	25 1,600	70 20	3,840		

EFFECTS OF FERTILIZERS ON SPRING WHEAT, OATS AND Awnless BROME GRASS.

During the season of 1900 four series consisting in each case of nine one-eightieth acre plots, were laid out, seven of which were treated with different fertilizers, and the remaining two left as check plots which received no fertilizer.

One set of these plots was sown with spring wheat (Preston), one with oats (Improved Ligowo), a third with Awnless Brome grass *Bromus inermis*, and a fourth with common red clover.

The object in view in these tests is to gain information as to the effects on crops sown on land in a fair average condition of fertility, of superphosphate of lime and

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Thomas' phosphate, both used singly, also of superphosphate of lime with kainit and nitrate of soda, and of Thomas' phosphate with kainit and nitrate of soda.

The land chosen was in a fairly good condition of tilth. The soil was a sandy loam which has been under cultivation since 1887, has been cropped each year with a suitable rotation of crops and has received a dressing of barn-yard manure about once in four years. The last application of manure was in 1897 when it received about 12 tons per acre.

It is proposed to grow the same crops on this land for a series of years, using the same fertilizers in the quantities mentioned every second year. The fertilizers were applied in the spring of 1900 and again in the spring of 1902.

A large proportion of the plants in the plots of common red clover died during the winter of 1901-2, and it was thought best to plough them under and resow in the spring of 1902. Most of the plants from the first sowing were destroyed by a severe spring frost, after which the plots were again sown and the plants had made a medium growth before the close of the season. The first sowing of clover was on May 3, the second on May 23, sown in each instance at the rate of 12 lbs. per acre. The second application of fertilizers was made to these plots before the clover seed was sown in the spring.

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO SPRING WHEAT.

Sown April 29, ripe August 15.

No. of Plot.	Name of Variety, Preston.	Yield of grain per acre.		Yield of straw per acre.
		Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	24	—	3,920
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	24	—	3,760
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre.....	28	—	4,240
4	Check.....	28	—	3,840
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	26	40	3,520
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	25	20	3,360
7	Check.....	26	40	3,760
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	30	40	4,560
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	28	—	4,320

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO OATS.

Sown April 29, ripe August 21.

No. of Plot.	Name of Variety, Improved Ligowo.	Yield of grain per acre.		Yield of straw per acre.
		Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	60	—	3,800
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	75	10	4,960
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre.....	70	20	4,560
4	Check.....	75	10	2,480
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	68	8	3,680
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	68	8	4,400
7	Check.....	72	32	4,720
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	72	32	4,640
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	63	18	5,680

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO AWNLESS BROME GRASS.

No. of Plot.	Awnless Brome Grass (<i>Bromus inermis</i>).	Length of Brome Grass.	Yield per Acre, Green.		Yield per Acre, Cured.	
		Inches.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre	45-50	7	160	3	1,360
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre	45-50	6	1,520	3	1,200
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre	43-45	5	480	2	1,440
4	Check	43-45	4	800	2	800
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	43-45	5	1,200	2	1,360
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	43-45	5	880	2	1,200
7	Check	45-47	6	..	3	1,360
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	45-50	7	440	3	400
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	45-50	8	320	3	1,200

DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES OF SEED GRAIN TO FARMERS FOR TRIAL.

A further distribution was made in the spring of 1902, of samples of the most promising sorts of oats, spring wheat, barley, pease, Indian corn and potatoes. By the careful growing of one of these samples, which weigh three pounds each, the farmer can soon provide himself with seed of one of the best sorts sufficient for a large area at no cost beyond that of his own labour. From the many appreciative letters received from farmers who have had these samples and have grown from them the seed grain they are now using on their farms, it is evident that this branch of the work of the experimental farms is doing much good and is rapidly accomplishing the object for which it was begun, that of the general introduction among farmers throughout the Dominion of the best and most productive sorts of these important farm crops. Another proof of the appreciation in which this work is held, is the very large demand each year for samples.

The samples sent out from the Central Experimental Farm during the early months of 1902 were distributed as follows :—

Name of Grain.	Prince Edward Island.	Nova Scotia.	New Brunswick.	Quebec.	Ontario.	Manitoba.	North-west Territories.	British Columbia.
Oats	781	1,421	1,202	5,021	4,272	1,144	583	143
Barley	118	365	163	1,186	539	159	133	63
Wheat	256	474	691	2,102	776	307	295	54
Pease	57	321	274	845	354	265	151	55
Indian corn.....	39	179	184	640	568	92	55	15
Potatoes.....	167	866	847	3,329	2,170	684	530	198
Total.....	1,418	3,026	3,361	13,123	8,679	2,651	1,747	528

Total number of samples distributed..... 35,133

Number of applicants supplied..... 35,077

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The following list shows the number of three pound packages of the different varieties which have been sent out:—

Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.	Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.
OATS.		PEASE—Con.	
Improved Ligowo.....	3,021	French Canner.....	75
Banner.....	2,088	Creepers.....	59
Tartar King.....	1,848	Prince Albert.....	57
Waverley.....	1,812	New Potter.....	38
Abundance.....	1,154	Black Eyed Marrowfat.....	34
Wide Awake.....	803	Crown.....	29
Bavarian.....	755	Alma.....	29
Goldfinder.....	711	Kirly.....	28
New Zealand.....	626	Daniel O'Rourke.....	28
Thousand Dollar.....	574	Mummy.....	27
Black Beauty.....	305	Paragon.....	27
American Beauty.....	236	Cooper.....	26
Golden Beauty.....	207	Prince.....	26
Early Archangel.....	104	Duke.....	26
Lincoln.....	103	King.....	25
Joanette.....	86	Fergus.....	25
Siberian.....	76	Archer.....	24
Pioneer.....	58	Elephant Blue.....	24
Total.....	14,567	German White.....	24
BARLEY.		Vincent.....	22
<i>Six-rowed.</i>		Bedford.....	20
Mensury.....	1,189	Bright.....	20
Odessa.....	465	Bruce.....	17
Trooper.....	333	Total.....	2,322
Royal.....	119	INDIAN CORN.	
<i>Two-rowed.</i>		Selected Leaming.....	674
Sidney.....	339	Longfellow.....	345
Beaver.....	174	Early Butler.....	159
Invincible.....	107	Mitchell's Early.....	137
Total.....	2,726	Mammoth Cuban.....	133
WHEAT.		Angel of Midnight.....	132
Preston.....	1,172	Pearce's Prolific.....	50
Red Fife.....	515	White Cap Yellow Dent.....	47
Percy.....	469	Compton's Early.....	42
Stanley.....	459	King of the Earliest.....	31
Wellman's Fife.....	425	Champion White Pearl.....	21
White Fife.....	413	Canada White Flint.....	1
Huron.....	316	Total.....	1,772
Monarch.....	289	POTATOES.	
Red Fern.....	286	Wonder of the World.....	1,255
White Russian.....	277	Early Sunrise.....	1,205
White Connell.....	272	Early Harvest.....	725
Speltz.....	61	Carman No. 1.....	716
Goose.....	1	Early Andes.....	597
Total.....	4,955	Everett.....	541
PEASE.		Late Puritan.....	448
Large White Marrowfat.....	465	Bovee.....	399
Golden Vine.....	451	Rochester Rose.....	393
Arthur.....	294	Vigorosa.....	336
Prussian Blue.....	165	Prize Taker.....	253
Grass Pea.....	122	New Queen.....	215
Canadian Beauty.....	115	Early White Prize.....	203
		American Wonder.....	201
		Beauty of Hebron.....	191
		Canadian Beauty.....	190
		Sir Walter Raleigh.....	168
		Honeoye Rose.....	149
		Queen of the Valley.....	109

Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.	Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.
POTATOES— <i>Con.</i>			
Maggie Murphy.....	102	Total number of packages distributed—	
Uncle Sam.....	33	Wheat.....	4,955
Enormous.....	27	Oats.....	14,567
Seattle.....	21	Barley.....	2,726
With smaller quantities, sent in re-		Pease.....	2,322
sponse to special requests, of 24 other		Corn.....	1,772
varieties, in all.....	311	Potatoes.....	8,791
Total.....	8,791	Total.....	35,133

DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES OF GRAIN SUFFICIENT FOR ONE-TENTH OF AN ACRE.

The distribution of grain in larger samples sufficient in each case for a one-tenth acre plot which was begun in 1899 has been continued. These samples have been sent to a special list of farmers selected from among those who have shown a particular interest in this work, and the samples have been so distributed that no part of the Dominion has been overlooked.

DISTRIBUTION of samples sufficient for one-tenth of an acre.

Name of Grain.	P. E. I.	N. S.	N. B.	Quebec.	Ontario.	Man.	N.W.T.	B. C.
Oats.....	40	104	130	237	591	125	99	14
Spring Wheat.....	36	30	84	280	31	58	63	14
Barley.....	11	42	20	87	116	38	22	3
Total.....	87	176	234	604	738	221	184	31

The following list shows the number of these larger packages of the different varieties which have been sent out:—

Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.	Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.
OATS.		BARLEY.	
Banner.....	343	Mensury.....	162
Tartar King.....	232	Royal.....	44
Improved Ligowo.....	214	Beaver.....	44
Abundance.....	186	Odessa.....	41
Waverley.....	157	Sidney.....	17
Wide Awake.....	79	Standwell.....	14
American Beauty.....	77	Invincible.....	11
Goldfinder.....	52	Trooper.....	6
Total.....	1,340	Total.....	339
WHEAT.		SUMMARY.	
Red Fife.....	163	Oats.....	1,340
Preston.....	144	Wheat.....	596
White Fife.....	128	Barley.....	339
Wellman's Fife.....	104	Total.....	2,275
Stanley.....	36		
Percy.....	16		
Total.....	596		

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES FROM THE BRANCH EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

Samples of three pounds each were also distributed from the branch experimental farms as follows:—

Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.—		Experimental Farm, Brandon, Man.—	
Oats.....	208	Samples of grain of all sorts.....	401
Wheat.....	83	Potatoes.....	237
Barley.....	67		
Pease.....	40	Total.....	638
Buckwheat.....	16		
Winter Rye.....	6		
Potatoes.....	325		
Total.....	745		
Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.		Experimental Farm, Agassiz, B.C.—	
W.T.—		Oats.....	147
Oats.....	380	Barley.....	113
Barley.....	264	Wheat.....	209
Wheat.....	265	Pease.....	123
Pease.....	230	Potatoes.....	268
Flax, Rye, &c.....	111		
Potatoes.....	725	Total.....	860
Total.....	1,975		

These samples added to the number distributed by the Central Experimental Farm make a total of 41,626. It is gratifying to find so large an army of co-experimenters willing to engage in this good work.

TESTS OF THE VITALITY OF SEED GRAIN AND OTHER SEEDS FOR 1901-2

The number of samples of seed grain and other seeds tested during the season of 1901-2 to find out the proportion which would germinate was 1,830. These tests are continued from year to year so that farmers may have the opportunity of having any samples which may be of doubtful vitality, through injury in harvesting or storing, thoroughly tested so that their value for seed purposes may be known. Samples may be sent free through the mail, about one ounce is sufficient, and the work is done and reported on free of charge. The tests can usually be completed within a fortnight after the samples are received.

RESULTS OF TESTS OF SEEDS FOR VITALITY, 1901-2.

Kind of Seed.	Number of Tests.	Highest Per-centage.	Lowest Per-centage.	Per-centage of Strong Growth.	Per-centage of Weak Growth.	Average Vitality.
Wheat.....	584	100·0	3·0	83·9	4·1	88·0
Barley.....	395	100·0	0·0	82·3	7·4	89·7
Oats.....	620	100·0	6·0	83·6	5·7	89·4
Rye.....	2	76·0	56·0	64·5	1·5	66·0
Pease.....	176	100·0	40·0			89·3
Corn.....	8	100·0	2·0			66·2
Clover.....	10	92·0	12·0			73·3
Grass.....	7	97·0	7·0			74·4
Tares.....	2	78·0	3·0			40·5
Onion.....	2	52·0	52·0			52·0
Flax.....	8	61·0	26·0			52·3
Sunflower.....	3	88·0	72·0			78·6
Cucumber.....	4	16·0	2·0			9·0
Radish.....	3	76·0	15·0			40·3
Lettuce.....	1	18·0	18·0			18·0
Squash.....	1	6·0	6·0			6·0
Celery.....	1	78·0	78·0			78·0
Canary Seed.....	1	54·0	54·0			54·0
Amber Sugar Cane.....	1	4·0	4·0			4·0
Apple.....	1	0·0	0·0			0·0
Total number of samples tested, highest and lowest percentage.	1830	100·0	0·0			

(Signed)

WILLIAM T. ELLIS.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

TABLE showing the Results of Grain Tests for each Province.

ONTARIO.

Kind of Seed.	Number of Tests.	Highest Percentage.	Lowest Percentage.	Percentage of Strong Growth.	Percentage of Weak Growth.	Average Vitality.
Wheat.....	173	99·0	3·0	76·9	6·4	83·3
Barley.....	105	100·0	0·0	74·4	10·5	84·9
Oats.....	221	100·0	6·0	86·3	4·3	90·7

QUEBEC.

Wheat.....	49	100·0	63·0	86·4	4·8	91·3
Barley.....	63	100·0	83·0	92·4	4·1	96·5
Oats.....	55	100·0	33·0	84·5	3·6	88·2

MANITOBA.

Wheat.....	135	100·0	59·0	88·6	2·9	91·5
Barley.....	84	100·0	49·0	74·1	10·5	84·7
Oats.....	118	100·0	31·0	86·7	6·3	93·1

NORTH-WEST TERRITORIES.

Wheat.....	119	100·0	44·0	80·3	3·1	83·4
Barley.....	65	100·0	61·0	84·0	5·4	89·5
Oats.....	121	100·0	6·0	69·8	10·4	80·3

NOVA SCOTIA.

Wheat.....	24	99·0	84·0	91·8	2·7	94·6
Barley.....	38	100·0	74·0	89·8	5·2	95·0
Oats.....	32	100·0	50·0	90·2	3·4	93·6

NEW BRUNSWICK.

Wheat.....	55	100·0	74·0	91·2	2·5	93·8
Barley.....	22	100·0	89·0	93·8	3·4	97·2
Oats.....	32	100·0	77·0	90·1	3·5	93·6

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND.

Wheat.....	23	100·0	80·0	94·3	1·7	96·0
Barley.....	16	100·0	86·0	94·5	3·1	97·7
Oats.....	31	100·0	76·0	89·6	4·0	93·7

BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Wheat.....	1	84·0	84·0	81·0	3·0	84·0
Barley.....	2	97·0	92·0	91·0	3·5	94·5
Oats.....	10	100·0	62·0	87·4	5·0	92·4

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS.

Table of Meteorological Observations taken at the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, 1902; maximum and minimum temperatures, with date of occurrence, and mean temperature for each month, also rainfall and snowfall and total precipitation.

Month.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Range.	Mean.	Highest.	Date.	Lowest.	Date.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Total Pre- cipitation.	Number of days Pre- cipitation.	Heaviest in 24 hours.	Date.
	F°	F°	F°	F°	F°		F°		in.	in.	in.		in.	
January...	20·54	1·32	19·21	10·92	37·0	3rd, 27th	-19·0	17th	0·79	40·00	4·79	15	2·09	22nd
February..	24·20	6·10	18·09	15·14	42·4	27th	-13·0	4th	0·07	21·75	2·24	10	0·70	2nd
March.....	41·63	25·74	15·94	33·71	53·8	28th	6·0	19th	3·50	1·25	3·62	12	0·96	29th
April.....	56·24	34·66	21·58	45·45	78·0	29th	25·0	5th	2·93	2·93	13	1·09	30th
May.....	65·02	42·33	22·69	53·67	86·0	23rd	19·0	10th	1·62	1·62	13	0·42	24th
June.....	71·80	47·63	22·16	58·71	84·0	3rd	37·8	11th	4·19	4·19	18	0·71	26th
July.....	80·32	57·07	23·25	68·69	92·0	8th	49·0	16th	4·03	4·03	15	2·04	15th
August.....	76·46	53·31	23·15	64·88	87·5	31st	41·9	17th	1·82	1·82	12	1·08	21st
September..	70·90	50·05	20·85	60·47	81·3	21st	36·2	6th	1·79	1·79	11	0·73	23rd
October....	52·02	34·32	17·69	43·16	66·2	13th	19·0	30th	3·08	1·00	3·18	16	0·58	6th
November..	44·80	29·44	15·36	37·12	61·2	6th	6·0	29th	1·45	5·00	1·95	12	0·75	12th
December..	22·75	4·98	17·77	13·86	38·5	19th	-25·2	9th	0·67	32·75	3·94	15	1·05	10th
									25·94	101·75	36·10	162		

Rain or snow fell on 162 days during the 12 months.

Heaviest rainfall in 24 hours, 2·04 inches on July 15.

Heaviest snowfall in 24 hours, 14 inches on January 22.

The highest temperature during the 12 months was 92·0° on July 8.

The lowest temperature during the 12 months was -25·2° on December 9.

During the growing season rain fell on 13 days in April, 13 days in May, 18 days in June, 15 days in July, 12 days in August, and 11 days in September.

February shows the lowest number of days with precipitation, viz., 10.

Total precipitation during the 12 months 36·10 inches, as compared with 38·91 inches during 1901.

RAINFALL, Snowfall and total Precipitation from 1890 to 1902, also the average annual amount that has fallen.

Year.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Total Precipitation.
	In inches.	In inches.	In inches.
1890.....	24·73	64·85	31·22
1891.....	30·19	73·50	37·54
1892.....	23·78	105·00	34·28
1893.....	31·79	72·50	39·04
1894.....	23·05	71·50	30·20
1895.....	27·01	87·50	35·76
1896.....	21·53	99·75	31·50
1897.....	24·18	89·00	33·08
1898.....	24·75	112·25	36·02
1899.....	33·86	77·25	41·63
1900.....	29·48	108·00	40·27
1901.....	29·21	97·25	38·91
1902.....	25·94	101·75	36·10
Yearly average for 13 years.....	26·88	89·23	35·81

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

RECORD of Sunshine at the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, for the Years
1899 to 1902.

Months.	1899.				1900.				1901.				1902.			
	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine.	Total hours Sunshine	Average Sunshine per Day.	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine.	Total hours Sunshine	Average Sunshine per Day.	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine.	Total hours Sunshine	Average Sunshine per Day.	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine.	Total hours Sunshine	Average Sunshine per Day.
January	18	13	91.2	2.94	18	13	76.4	2.46	20	11	94.6	3.05	21	10	97.2	3.13
February ...	19	9	102.1	3.64	20	8	110.2	3.93	20	8	120.9	4.31	20	8	93.3	3.33
March	17	14	124.1	4.00	26	5	177.9	5.73	19	12	82.4	2.62	25	6	136.2	4.39
April	26	4	228.8	7.62	26	4	212.7	7.09	18	12	137.1	4.57	26	4	161.9	5.39
May	27	4	225.4	7.27	27	4	241.6	7.79	25	6	200.8	6.47	27	4	229.8	7.41
June	29	1	257.1	8.57	27	3	282.2	9.40	29	1	269.4	8.98	29	1	185.6	6.18
July	29	2	271.3	8.75	29	2	225.1	7.26	29	2	245.8	7.92	31	0	239.9	7.73
August	31	0	271.2	8.74	30	1	270.7	8.75	29	2	226.1	7.29	31	0	252.0	8.12
September ..	22	8	128.9	4.29	22	8	164.4	5.48	26	4	202.3	6.74	25	5	145.0	4.83
October	23	8	120.4	3.88	26	5	148.7	4.79	27	4	126.3	4.07	24	7	99.2	3.20
November ..	17	13	77.0	2.56	18	12	71.7	2.39	19	11	72.4	2.41	21	9	82.5	2.75
December ..	17	14	50.1	1.61	16	15	34.0	1.09	16	15	45.4	1.46	16	15	58.4	1.88

(Signed) WILLIAM T. ELLIS,
Observer.

CORRESPONDENCE.


The large correspondence between Canadian farmers and the officers of the Experimental Farms has been well maintained during 1902. A large proportion of the letters received are from correspondents who seek information on all sorts of subjects relating to farm-work, stock raising, dairying, fruit growing, poultry management &c.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

The following is a summary of the letters received and sent out at the Central Experimental Farm from November 30, 1901 to November 30, 1902, also the number of reports, bulletins and circulars forwarded by mail during the same period.

	Letters received.	Letters sent.
Director.....	47,998	19,534
Agriculturist.....	3,251	2,815
Horticulturist.....	1,199	1,233
Chemist.....	1,163	1,147
Entomologist and Botanist.....	3,215	2,845
Poultry Manager.....	1,515	1,093
Accountant.....	1,100	1,128
	59,441	29,795

A large number of the letters received by the Director are applications for samples of grain or for the publications of the farms, a considerable proportion of which are answered



SAMPLE HEDGES, CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM, OTTAWA.

—Photo. by C. E. Saunders.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

by sending the correspondents the material asked for accompanied by circular letters. This will explain why the number of letters received so much exceeds the number sent out.

Circular letters, including circulars sent with samples of seed grain.....	45,485
Reports and bulletins mailed.....	220,426

BRANCH EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

The correspondence with the Superintendents of the branch experimental farms is also large as is shown by the following figures :

	Letters received.	Letters sent.
Experimental Farm Nappan, N.S.....	1,616	1,336
Experimental Farm Brandon, Man.....	4,464	2,969
Experimental Farm Indian Head, N.W.T.....	5,210	5,357
Experimental Farm Agassiz, B.C.....	2,586	2,464

Much additional information has also been sent out from the branch farms in printed circulars.

By adding the correspondence at the branch farms to that of the central farm we find that 73,317 letters were received and 41,921 sent out during the year.

NOTES ON A JOURNEY WESTWARD.

On July 10, 1902, I left Ottawa for my annual tour of inspection of the western experimental farms and of agricultural operations in western Canada generally. From Ottawa to Pembroke many good farms were seen. The spring grain all looked well and the earlier sorts were heading. The hay harvest had begun and many of the fields gave promise of heavy returns. In the neighbourhood of Renfrew there were considerable quantities of pease all looking very well. Farming has improved much in most parts of this territory during the past few years. The advanced condition of the crops gave evidence that early sowing was more generally practised and their condition as a whole showed that better methods of cultivation and treatment were being followed. Cultivation, however, was not always sufficiently thorough to keep weeds in subjection, and fields were occasionally seen where daisies and wild mustard had made considerable headway, but these were exceptional. At several points along the route patches of the bright blue flowers of the common bugloss or blue weed, *Echium vulgare*, had shot up above the level of the grain, showing that this troublesome weed had become well established in some localities in that district. This is likely to spread unless efforts are made to check it.

In some parts of New Ontario many evidences were seen of increasing settlement, new homes were being established at different points and promising crops were seen here and there. A few years will no doubt effect great changes in that part of the country.

WINNIPEG.

Arriving in Winnipeg on the 12th a day was spent in inspecting the park system of that enterprising city, and considering the difficulties under which this work is conducted, the advancement which has been made is highly creditable. Eight parks in all have been established in different parts of the city, varying in size from three to ten acres each, including forty-three acres in all. These breathing spots for the people are greatly appreciated. They have been nicely laid out, and are being planted with trees

and shrubs and decorated with borders and beds of perennial and annual flowers, and thus made very attractive. Under the energetic management of the superintendent of parks, the planting of these parks as well as that of the boulevards along many of the city streets making rapid progress. The more general use of the American elm in the street planting at Winnipeg is to be highly commended. These trees are obtained by transplanting native specimens found growing along the banks of the Red and Assiniboine Rivers.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON.

The experimental farm at Brandon was reached on July 15. The farm had been much injured and the work disarranged by the flood which followed a remarkable 'cloud burst' on June 1, when over 4 inches of rain fell in forty minutes, and the rainfall of that day was $5\frac{1}{2}$ inches. This unprecedented rain storm had flooded about 300 acres of land, but over the larger part of this area the flooding was not long continued. There were, however, about 62 acres of crop destroyed, including 12 acres of rotation plots and most of the uniform trial plots of pease. The plots of barley were also so much injured that no satisfactory comparisons of the yield of varieties could be made this season. Individual plots in the series of oats and wheat were also injured, and the value of this useful work at Brandon for 1902 interfered with. The additional crops destroyed were chiefly oats which had been sown for feed purposes. The other experimental plots and fields had been but slightly injured and these crops were looking well. The plantations of ornamental trees were not much damaged, and the orchards of cross-bred and seedling crab-apples being mostly on higher land had suffered but little and many of the trees were well laden with fruit. Under the energetic direction of the Superintendent, the injury caused by the flood was rapidly repaired, and at the time of my return to Brandon from the Pacific coast on September 1 the farm had almost resumed its usual appearance and everything was again in excellent order. The crops of grain harvested were above the average and the yield of hay was good, ranging from 2 to $2\frac{1}{2}$ tons per acre.

VISIT TO SEWELL.

On July 16 a drive of 22 miles was taken from Brandon to Sewell to see the swamp where supplies of native white spruce and tamarac have been obtained for planting on the experimental farm. A large area of swampy land was found, much of it covered with good specimens of these trees of various sizes. White spruce and tamarac when carefully transplanted from this locality to Brandon have done remarkably well, and it was gratifying to find so large a number of young trees available there for future planting in different parts of Manitoba.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM AT INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.

The Indian Head experimental farm was visited on the way west on July 18-20 and again on the journey eastward, August 20-21 and 26-28. The crops were exceedingly good and the yield of grain of all sorts very heavy. Brome grass was cut and in stook and had given a very satisfactory yield. The wheat crop on the experimental farm, also that all through the Indian Head district on summer fallowed land was remarkably even and heavy, the heads being plump and well filled. A large part of the crop in the North-west Territories is on summer fallow and the proportion is increasing from year to year. The demonstrations which have been annually made on the western experimental farms during the past 15 years of the great advantage arising from the summer fallowing of land, has induced farmers generally to adopt this profitable method of treatment of the soil.

The condition of the cattle and other stock on the Indian Head farm was quite satisfactory.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

A new threshing outfit consisting of a gasoline engine and a thresher was purchased during the past season to serve the purposes of the branch experimental farms at Indian Head and Brandon. It is proposed each year to finish the threshing at one farm and then ship the engine and thresher by rail to the other. It will be a great convenience and save much loss of time to have a threshing outfit at command, as it has been very difficult for some years past to get this work done promptly. The experimental farm crops, consisting as they do of a number of varieties are more troublesome to thresh than those of the average farmer, hence it has been almost impossible to secure a thresher until towards the very end of the season.

While at Indian Head the editors of some of the leading newspapers of Great Britain, who were travelling in a party through Canada, were met, and a good opportunity given them of examining the several divisions of the work carried on at the Indian Head farm, and of travelling through portions of the Indian Head district where they were much impressed by the magnificent crops everywhere seen.

AMONG THE RANCHES.

Several days were spent among the ranches on the plains. The season has been a favourable one for stock. At Gull Lake, several large flocks of sheep were seen, at Crane Lake some large bands of pure bred Clydesdale horses and at Stair a herd of pure bred Galloway cattle numbering about 700. From Medicine Hat, Lethbridge and other points many train loads of fine beef cattle were being forwarded to Great Britain.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ.

Beginning with July 30 several days were spent at this farm. The crops were found to be generally good. Hay had yielded well and had been saved in good condition. Oats, wheat and barley were ripening fast and gave promise of satisfactory returns. Field roots and corn were making rapid growth.

STOCK.

The herd of cattle consisting of twelve animals, all Shorthorns are doing well. The pigs are of three breeds, Berkshires, Improved Large Yorkshires and Tamworths, all of which were thrifty and in good condition. The flock of Dorset Horned sheep consists of 13 females and several males, all healthy and vigorous. The fowl house is well filled with specimens of several breeds.

FRUIT.

The apple crop was scarcely an average one, but pears were yielding well and the crop of plums was good. Plum rot prevailed to a considerable extent which reduced the proportion of marketable fruit and interfered much with its keeping quality. The plums grown in the orchards on the sides of the mountain were almost or quite free from this disease.

The orchards are increasing in interest as the new varieties come into fruit. At the time of my arrival cherries were just about over, there were, however, several late sorts of which fair crops were still to be seen. The Planchoury is a fine late variety, large and of excellent quality, which was bearing abundantly and the fruit still in good condition. Plums were beginning to ripen. The Clayton was one of the earliest and was almost ripe, Peach plum and Saunders nearly ripe. These are all good early sorts. The plum and cherry trees in the valley orchards were looking well, but the apple trees in several parts of the orchard were suffering from "canker" and some trees had died from this disease. The trees on the mountain orchards are healthier than those in the valley, but even there the apple trees are not entirely free from canker.

GENERAL CROPS IN THE COAST CLIMATE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA.

The crops in the coast climate of British Columbia have been very satisfactory. The hay crop was particularly heavy. Oats and other grain also promised abundant returns, a promise which has since been fully realized.

KAMLOOPS TO VERNON.

On the return journey a two days' drive was taken across the country from Kamloops to Vernon. We proceeded first a few miles east towards Ducks, then south-west about 28 miles to Grand Prairie. All this part of the country was dry and no crops were grown without irrigation. Grand Prairie consists of about 8,000 to 10,000 acres of nearly level land, hemmed in by hills and mountain ridges with an abundant supply of water for irrigation. A large proportion of this land is under cultivation and the crops were very good. A large number of pigs are raised in this valley, which when sufficiently mature are driven to the nearest railway station and shipped to Vancouver.

Leaving this small but prosperous community the following morning, we reached Vernon in the evening. The road ran over many wooded hills and valleys where large herds of cattle found pasture. Approaching Vernon the grain crops were remarkably good. The scenery was very interesting and the drive one to be long remembered.

LORD ABERDEEN'S ORCHARD.

Five miles from Vernon we reach Coldstream, the estate of Lord Aberdeen, where there is one of the largest and best orchards in Canada. The trees number many thousand, are all well grown, and a large proportion of them were bearing fruit. The crop of apples this year was a very large one. At Coldstream there are also large plantations of plums, pears, cherries and small fruits and a very large field of hops.

CALGARY TO MACLEOD.

August 16.—Left Calgary at 7.45 a.m. for Macleod. From Calgary to Midnapore there were some crops and a few settlers, but most of the land is devoted to the grazing of horses. South of Midnapore the railway track had been submerged for some miles owing to the heavy rains. Some good fields of oats were seen near DeWinton, some of which were cut and in stook. Okotoks and High River are thriving towns on this line, many settlers have lately come into this district and a considerable area of land is under crop. South of this the country as far as Macleod is chiefly devoted to ranching.

From Macleod to Lethbridge the journey was made after dark. The district of which Lethbridge is the centre, is improving considerably, and since the new irrigation ditch has been constructed and put in operation, many new settlers have come in. The town also has improved much in appearance, the gardens are well supplied with water, and the large public square in the centre has been planted with rows of trees (Dakota cottonwood) which are growing well.

LETHBRIDGE TO MORMON SETTLEMENTS.

The Mormon settlements in this district are growing fast. A drive was taken to the town of Stirling also to Raymond. Stirling which is only three years old has now a population of 700 and the settlers have cropped 3,500 acres of land this year, about one-half of which was wheat. A considerable quantity of winter wheat is grown here. Raymond is about fifteen miles from Stirling. In my last annual report p. 84 I made some reference to this new town, which was then being founded. In September, 1902, when I first passed the site of this place, two or three tents were the only objects visible on the wide stretching prairie; but in August, 1903, after a lapse of eleven

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

months, a fine town had been built with a population of 600, and between 4,000 and 5,000 acres of land were under crop. The estimate for the wheat crop at that time in that district was from twenty-five to thirty bushels per acre.

REGINA TO SASKATOON.

On returning to Regina a trip was taken up the Prince Albert railway as far as Saskatoon. This section of country is being settled very rapidly within twenty-five miles on either side of the railway. Many visitors were met with going from point to point on the railway and in vehicles inspecting and purchasing lands. Some of these were from eastern Canada, but much the larger number were from the United States. These included farmers from Michigan, North and South Dakota, Iowa, Nebraska and Missouri. Among the visitors there were also the representatives of companies of capitalists who were investing largely in lands. One of these companies bought in April last, eleven hundred thousand acres and at the time of my visit six hundred thousand acres had been sold. Another company had bought two hundred thousand acres in that part of the country, and large purchases had been made in other localities.

The number of entries for homesteads in the Dominion Land offices throughout the Territories has been very much larger than in any previous year, and the number available for settlers within convenient reach of the railways has been greatly reduced. There has been a considerable advance in the price of land and with this the demand seems to have largely increased.

REGINA TO MILESTONE AND PENSE.

A journey was also made across the country from Regina south to Milestone and thence north-west through Yellow Grass to Pense. Settlement was proceeding about as rapidly throughout this district as on the line to Saskatoon.

THE WESTERN HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY.

The return to Winnipeg was made in time to attend the meetings of the Western Horticultural Society on August 29-31, where I had the opportunity of examining a large collection of flowers, vegetables and fruits. The collections of flowers and vegetables were large and comprehensive and of excellent quality. The specimens of fruit although limited in number and variety, were for the most part very good. The principal exhibitor was Mr. A. P. Stevenson of Nelson, Man., who showed a good number of varieties of apples chiefly of Russian origin. Nelson is about six miles from Morden, Man., and Mr. Stevenson has a plantation exceptionally well sheltered, both by natural woods and artificial planting. The altitude also is low, 980 feet. A large proportion of the apples exhibited were grown on trees of Russian sorts sent to Mr. Stevenson, in 1891, from the Central Experimental Farm. Mr. Stevenson's favourable conditions enabled him to save most of the trees sent him. Larger numbers of the same varieties were sent at the same time to the experimental farms at Brandon and Indian Head, where they were planted under conditions as favourable as could then be had, but none of these have survived. It is hoped that other fruit growers will be found in the more favoured districts, who may be equally successful with Mr. Stevenson, but for the average farmer under average conditions the chance of reaching similar results is small.

The apples shown by Mr. Stevenson at the exhibition of the Western Horticultural Society included the following:—Blushed Calville, Hibernial, Stone Antonovka, Little Hat, Ostrakoff Glass, Sugar Sweet, Saccharine, Anisim, White Rubets, Krinskoe, Hare Pipka, Peerless, Simbirsk No. 9, Arabka, Cross, Simbirsk, No. 1, Grandmother, Volga Anis, Wealthy, Red Cheeked Borovinka, Yellow Transparent, Duchess and White Transparent. Among the smaller exhibitors of fruit were Mr. Thos. Frankland of

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Stonewall, Man., and Miss E. Fowler of Headingly, Man. The whole exhibit was a credit to the society and to the province.

It has been my privilege, as official duties have permitted to attend other meetings of farmers and fruit growers during the year where I have had the pleasure of addressing the meetings, and taking part in the discussions and contributing, I trust some useful information.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.

A visit was paid to this farm in October, from the 8th to 11th. Every thing was found in good condition, although most of the crops had been harvested. This farm is improving much from year to year, the area of land under cultivation has been considerably enlarged and the quality of the soil improved by manuring, and the ploughing under of pease and clover. The field roots on the newer portions of the upland were an excellent crop.

Indian corn was being harvested at the time of my visit and was yielding a fair return notwithstanding the unfavourable season.

Oats had given an unusually heavy crop, so also had wheat and barley. The hay had been well saved, the uplands having given excellent returns while the marsh land had scarcely given an average crop. The yields on the experimental farm were said to be fair indications of the crops in general throughout Nova Scotia, New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island which have been highly satisfactory.

Good progress is being made with the different sorts of stock kept on the farm and a good dairy herd has been built up. In swine, sheep and poultry some progress has also been made.

In the horticultural division, considerable advancement is noticeable. The orchard located on the main road is improving fast and most of the trees are making good growth. Many of the apple trees are bearing well and there were good crops on some of the older specimens. The smaller trees are making satisfactory increase in size but many of them are not yet old enough to bear fruit.

The orchard in the wooded inclosure is growing finely, the trees are vigorous and are developing evenly and a few of the older specimens were heavily laden with fruit, and some of the smaller trees, only four years planted, were bearing more or less. The general fruit crop in Nova Scotia was much lighter than usual and was estimated at less than half an ordinary crop.

The hedges and ornamental trees and shrubs have done well, making the lawns and grounds about the buildings very attractive. The various sorts of perennial and annual flowers grown here have furnished a succession of bloom throughout the season.

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON PLANT BREEDING.

On September 30, and October 1-2. I attended under your instruction a very important meeting in New York, held under the auspices of the Horticultural Society of that city, namely an 'International Conference on Plant Breeding and Hybridization' in company with Mr. W. T. Macoun, horticulturist and Dr. C. E. Saunders, experimentalist of the experimental farm. At this gathering we had the opportunity of meeting many eminent men engaged in these useful lines of work from different parts of the world. The sessions were most enjoyable and instructive and much information was gathered from the papers read and the discussions which followed. A paper was read by me on the 'Results of Hybridization and Plant Breeding in Canada,' illustrated by specimens, in which the work accomplished by different experimenters in Canada in this direction for the past 40 years was brought under notice. Prominence was also given to the work in plant breeding and hybridizing which has been done with cereals, fruits, &c., during the past 15 years, at the experimental farms. A paper was also presented by Mr. W. T. Macoun entitled 'Notes on the Breeding of Beans and Pease' and by Dr. C. E. Saunders, on a 'Study of the Variations in the Second Generation of Berberis

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Hybrids'. These papers served to show that much useful and important work in plant breeding and hybridizing had been done in Canada.

This meeting created a widespread interest in the subject and much good will undoubtedly result therefrom. The papers presented are being published and will be widely circulated.

DISPLAY OF CANADIAN AGRICULTURAL AND HORTICULTURAL PRODUCTS AT THE EXHIBITIONS IN ENGLAND AND IRELAND.

A fine collection of Canadian cereals and fruits was prepared at the experimental farms and shown at the exhibitions held during 1902 at Wolverhampton and Cork. Assortments of the best of the cereals were shown in the straw put up in bunches of various sizes. Samples of some of the best of the grasses grown in this country for hay and pasture were also exhibited. These were largely used to decorate the Canadian courts. Many different varieties of agricultural products were also shown in glass jars of varying sizes, arranged on suitable stands. A good assortment of the more perishable summer fruits was prepared by the horticulturist at the central farm and preserved in suitable fluids. There was also a fine display of honey from the experimental farm apiary. These products were much admired for their beauty and high quality and were the subject of much favourable comment.

HEDGES.

On plate 2 in this report a very good representation is given of a portion of the sample hedges now growing at the Central Experimental Farm. Of these hedges there are now 103 varieties under trial, and most of the trees and shrubs tested have been found suitable for the purpose. These hedges are all fifty feet in length and ten feet apart and have been planted on a uniform method. Young trees or shrubs from one to two feet high have been selected, and these have been put in a single row fifteen inches apart, and after planting they have all been cut back to a uniform height of ten to twelve inches. When they are thus pruned when planted, they need no further clipping the first season, but after that, most deciduous trees and shrubs require clipping twice a year, the first time in the latter part of June, the second in August. These hedges are much admired. Among the deciduous trees and shrubs most satisfactory for hedge purposes the following deserve special mention: The Siberian Pea-tree (*Caragana arborescens*), Alder Buckthorn (*Rhamnus frangula*), Josika's Lilac (*Syringa Josikaea*), Guelder Rose (*Viburnum opulus*), Wayfaring Tree (*Viburnum Lantana*), Thunberg's Barberry (*Berberis Thunbergii*), Amur Privet (*Ligustrum amurense*), Sharp-leaved Cotoneaster (*Cotoneaster acutifolia*), and American Larch (*Larix pendula*). Among the evergreens the following are recommended: American Arbor-vitae (*Thuja occidentalis*), Douglas Golden Arbor-vitae (*Thuja occidentalis aurea Douglasii*), Rocky Mountain Blue Spruce (*Picea pungens glauca*), White Pine (*Pinus strobus*), Norway Spruce (*Picea excelsa*) and the White Spruce (*Picea alba*).

EXPERIMENTS IN TREE PLANTING ON SABLE ISLAND.

In the report of the director for 1901 an account was given of some experiments undertaken in May of that year in the planting of trees and shrubs on Sable Island, off the coast of Nova Scotia. There were included in this test 68,755 evergreens of 25 varieties and 12,590 deciduous sorts of 79 varieties, a total of 81,345. A list of these is given in the Annual Report of the Experimental Farms for 1901.

In that report some extracts were published from letters received from the superintendent of the island showing that the planting, which was begun on May 18, was finished on June 17. In subsequent letters received in July and November, he speaks of the difficulties the trees had to contend with owing to an unfavourable season, and of their condition at its close.

REPORTS FROM SABLE ISLAND IN 1902.

The first letter received in 1902 was written May 26. In this Mr. Boutellier says: 'I will give you the latest news of the trees. Our winter has been very mild; not much snow and not much frost. When a cold snap occurred it was followed by enough mild weather to take all the frost out of the ground. March was very mild; April was cold and windy, and that has continued up to a week ago. Many pines that seemed to stand the winter went red in March and April, and many that turned colour have recovered and are putting out new buds. Survivors of Austrian, Mountain and Maritime pines are the most promising, and those that are now doing well are the small specimens; nearly all the larger ones planted are killed. A few spruces of all kinds survive, but they are not promising. Of the arbor vitae only a few are living. Juniper of both kinds nearly all dead; perhaps four or five survivors.'

'Of the Maritime pines raised from the seed you brought, these were killed wherever they were scattered on the bare ground, but where they grew up among the grass they are growing finely in this shelter, and there are thousands now green and putting out new buds. When sowing these I put them in thick, and after they came up I thought that in spots they were too thick; but this was their salvation, as the winds subsequently killed those on the outside, while those in the middle of these bunches were protected and have remained green.'

'The deciduous trees were killed down from the top, some to the ground, others killed outright but there are no exceptions, all are killed at least half way down—Included in these are *Pyrus prunifolia*, *P. baccata*, *Caragana arborescens* and Silver Poplar. All these deciduous sorts put out leaves a month ago, but lately we have had very high winds and all the leaves are more or less blighted and some of the gooseberry and currant bushes are stripped. As I have mentioned before shelter is necessary here to success.'

'An exception I forgot. *Ampelopsis quinquefolia* which made vines three to six feet long, they laid on the ground and are alive to the tops. *Lycium Europeanum* did well but it grows more upright and was partly killed. The strawberries came through well and look fine, roses with a few exceptions are growing, raspberries and blackberries killed down but are starting vigorously from the roots. After everything grows that will grow I will send you a more complete list of the casualties.'

'I kept about 10 lbs of the seed of the Maritime Pine sent last year and this I have planted this spring along with the seeds of other shrubs and trees you have sent me since, in rows in different places more or less sheltered. I also gave small lots to the three station keepers in other parts of the island. From the experience gained last year I think I shall be able to protect these seedlings next winter as well as other specimens; shelter from the winds is the main point here. Many Manitoba maple seedlings are leaved out and although they are killed from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ down they are putting upⁿ a vigorous growth.'

'I have moved a few lilacs and Virginia-creeper to the edge of my platform at the house where I can train the over the rail this season. I have also given a few lilacs to the other stations, to whet their appetite for this kind of thing.'

'Speaking again of the need of shelter you will remember that there were three patches planted inside the home field in which the house stands. Two of these were long narrow strips which were ploughed before planting and subsequently cultivated. Of the trees planted on these plots there is not one survivor. In the front a plantation was made of about 1,000 trees in almost pure sand in which the sand binding grass was growing. When the grass grew up I had some of it cut out with a grass hook, and intended having it all cut, but more than half of it was left. Where the grass was left the trees are nearly all alive and thrifty, where the grass was cut 90 per cent are dead. In this grass are some nice specimens of *Pinus strobus*, White Pine, which did not stand exposure at all. About 300 trees are now growing in this plantation. Of the few sample bags of seed potatoes you brought me of 3 lbs. each I raised about five bushels nearly enough seed for my planting this spring.'

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The next letter is dated September 18 in which the superintendent says 'I wrote you in the spring about the trees and I think on the whole it was encouraging. I am afraid that the facts I am to give you now will be less so. I mentioned that nearly all the deciduous trees had come through the winter, and although killed down somewhat had leaved out again and were making a promising start. The winds in the latter part of May were cruel to the trees sometimes running up to 40 miles an hour. In June we had a succession of windy days. On the 6th and 7th of that month the wind averaged for the 48 hours over 35 miles an hour and the maximum velocity was 52 miles with the thermometer ranging from 35° to 38° F. This storm stripped all the leaves of the deciduous trees and killed a large proportion of them, the rest have been struggling along feebly but at this time very few look promising. Pines have held on pretty well.'

'Strawberries lost many of their blossoms in the storm, yet we got a nice quantity of fruit off them, Buster being by far the best fruiter, but this may have been due to the protection of a fence. A few shoots sprung up again from the blackberries and raspberries which I am now protecting.'

'The Virginia creeper, matrimony vine and lilacs which I moved to the front of my house have lived, but have not made much growth, for as soon as buds and leaves would start they would be withered by a wind storm.'

'During July about 1,200 of the seedlings of the Maritime pine were transplanted into one of the larger plantations. I dug small clumps with one or two pines growing in each, with a hoe, and planted these irregularly about a foot apart so that if they grow they will protect each other. This work was carefully done and about 75 per cent are living. The seedlings in the bed look well, as do the pines planted last year that survived the winter. These are all protected by grass and may have grown hardier by the time they get above it. The remaining pines in the plantation in front of my house held their own during the summer. I left the grass around these also.'

'With reference to the use of fertilizers on the young trees, no difference could be noticed in the ground treated and untreated; the difference where any existed was where there was some natural protection from the wind. I am continuing the experiments with the pines growing on the plantation in front of my house where I shall be able to observe it if there be any difference. No fertilizer has been applied to this lot, and although the soil is pure sand, or nearly so, the pines that survived there, were quite equal in growth to any of those treated with fertilizers last year.'

'In many cases where the tops of the seedlings of *Pinus Maritima* had turned red and were apparently dead, new shoots started just above the ground. It was a surprise to me to see conifers do this, and their roots are from 6 to 9 inches long, straight down.'

The latest communication received is under date of November 5, 1902, in which the superintendent says; 'Our autumn has been an improvement on the summer and last fall. Apple trees and shrubs protected with barrels are still growing as green as can be. The pines in Gourdeau park look fine and the fall rains have improved them very much. Our summer drouth affects the trees very seriously. Of the deciduous sorts planted in the park about fifty white birches have surprised me this fall, and they and the Scotch broom are about all that can be found there. These birches are still green and where the leaves did not get above the tall grass, are yet on the trees. We have had frost, but not enough to do injury in that direction.'

'Pinks, chrysanthemums, snap dragons, petunias, asters, gladioli and roses are still blooming in a partly protected garden; of course they look a little ragged from fall winds.'

'I took from the ground about fifty bushels of potatoes, the produce of the few sample bags you brought here in 1901. They all turned out well, but I think Carman No. 1 gave the largest yield, and all are of excellent quality. Preston wheat, Early Riga wheat, Mensury barley, Ligowo oats and Bokhara clover were all sown side by side in a patch where potatoes had been raised two years. Except the clover all grew well, heads all formed well but did not fill, and the crop was cut for straw about August 15.

A list was received of the results of the planting of the smaller lots of trees and shrubs in the nursery, where the whole of the smaller lots and ten or twelve specimens only from each of the larger lots were put out, but no details have yet come to hand as to the number of survivors among the pines, spruces and the larger lots of the deciduous sorts which were put out in the larger plantations.

List of varieties which have survived in the nursery, showing the number planted and the proportion living on July 21, 1902:—

	Planted, May, 1901.	Living, July 21, 1902.		Planted, May, 1901.	Living, July 21, 1902.
<i>Acer platanoides</i> Schwedleri—Schwed- ders Norway Maple.....	10	9	<i>Pyrus prunifolia</i>	48	40
<i>Acer tataricum</i> —Tartarian Maple...	10	3	" <i>baccata</i>	75	56
<i>Ampelopsis quinquefolia</i> —Virginia Creeper.....	25	25	<i>Prunus pumila</i> —Sand Cherry.....	19	1
<i>Aristolochia siphon</i> —Dutchman's Pipe.	5	1	<i>Prunus maritima</i> —Beach Plum.....	13	8
<i>Berberis vulgaris fol. purpurea</i> —Purple Barberry.....	24	8	<i>Rhamnus frangula</i> —Alder Buckthorn	10	6
<i>Bignonia grandiflora</i> —Trumpet Flower	10	1	<i>Rhus cotinus</i> —Smoke Tree.....	25	2
<i>Caragana arborescens</i> —Siberian Pea- tree.....	25	17	Roses—		
<i>Catalpa Kempferi</i> —Japanese Catalpa.	26	23	Etoile de Lyon.....	4	2
<i>Chionanthus virginica</i> —Fringe Tree..	7	2	Paul Nabonnand.....	6	1
<i>Cornus alba sibirica</i> —Siberian Dog- wood.....	27	4	Francis Bennett.....	3	2
<i>Crataegus oxyacantha</i> —English Haw- thorn.....	17	12	Josephine Morell.....	5	2
<i>Crataegus oxyacantha fl. rosea plena</i> —Double red-flowering English Hawthorn.....	10	2	Papa Gontier.....	4	2
<i>Deutzia crenata</i> —Crenate Deutzia...	19	8	Sunset.....	4	1
<i>Diervilla</i> (Weigelia) <i>rosea</i>	26	8	<i>Salix laurina</i> —Laurel leaved Willow.	10	1
<i>Elaeagnus argentea</i> —Wolf Willow....	6	4	<i>Spiraea Van Houttei</i>	26	8
" <i>multiflora</i>	12	6	<i>Syringa Josikaea</i> —Josika's Lilac.....	29	16
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> —European Ash....	11	1	" <i>vulgaris alba</i> —White Lilac..	27	5
<i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> —Honey Locust	10	1	" <i>v. Louis Chabot</i>	3	2
<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i> —Sea Buck- thorn.....	9	2	" <i>v. de Marly</i>	13	7
<i>Ligustrum amurense</i> —Amur Privet....	9	7	" <i>v. Mad. Lemoine</i>	3	1
<i>Lycium Europeum</i> —Matrimony Vine.	10	10	" <i>v. Leon Simon</i>	3	2
<i>Populus alba</i> —Silver Poplar.....	10	7	" <i>v. Enile Lemoine</i>	3	1
" <i>deltoides</i>	10	7	<i>Ulmus Americana</i> —American Elm..	10	10
" <i>n. pyramidalis</i> —Lombardy Poplar.....	10	5	<i>Viburnum Lantana</i> —Wayfaring Tree.	27	8
<i>Ptelea trifoliata</i> —Wafer Ash.....	10	3	<i>Wistaria magnifica</i>	9	3
<i>Pyrus aucuparia</i> —Mountain Ash.....	10	8	Dwarf Juneberry.....	23	2
			Currants, white.....	42	29
			" red, cherry.....	29	19
			" " Victoria.....	23	28
			" black, Lee's Prolific.....	36	6
			Blackberry Agawam.....	8	1
			" Snyder.....	7	1
			Raspberry Sarah.....	13	3
			Seedling Gooseberry 10-44.....	6	1
			Strawberries, 12 varieties.....	254	254

PUBLICATIONS ISSUED DURING THE YEAR.

During 1902, two bulletins have been published. The first, No. 39, dealt with the 'Results obtained in 1901 from Trial Plots of Grain, Fodder Corn, Field Roots and Potatoes.' This is the seventh Bulletin of a series dealing with that subject, prepared by the Director. While dealing primarily with the results of the crops of 1901, it contains also the average results which have been had from the growing of many different sorts of cereals and other important farm crops at all the experimental farms during the past seven years. The information thus given from year to year has been very useful to Canadian farmers, for it has shown what varieties have been most productive in the different climates found within the Dominion during this long period of trial.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The second Bulletin on 'Clover as a Fertilizer,' prepared jointly by the Director and Mr. F. T. Shutt, Chemist to the Experimental Farms, presents in a condensed and convenient form much information on the value of clover as a fertilizer when this crop is ploughed under. The enrichment of the soil by the addition of nitrogen obtained chiefly from the atmosphere, the increase in the store of available mineral plant food brought to the surface by the deep roots of the clover plant and the usefulness of the clover as a catch crop during the summer months, and in adding humus to the soil from the decay of the tops and roots, are all dwelt on. Particulars are also given of the results obtained in increased crops at the Central Experimental Farm from the ploughing under of clover during the past six years.

A very complete index has also been published of the 15 Annual Reports and 39 Bulletins which have appeared in connection with the experimental farm work during the past sixteen years. This has been compiled by the Rev. Dr. Bethune, of London, Ontario. It is a most useful and time saving document, and is so complete and well arranged that there is no difficulty in referring promptly to any subject treated of in the Reports or Bulletins and all the references are easily found. The officers of the farms who necessarily use these publications so much in connection with their work find this index invaluable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

I acknowledge gratefully my obligations to those who have rendered me special service during the past year. To the United States Department of Agriculture for much practical help, including samples of seed of cereals, fodder crops and vegetables for test from foreign countries. To the Director of the Royal Gardens, Kew, England, for seeds of many sorts of trees, shrubs and plants from different countries. To the Director of the Arnold Arboretum, Jamaica Plains, Mass., for seeds of many varieties of interesting and rare shrubs. I am also indebted to Prof. John Macoun, Naturalist of the Geological and Natural History Survey of Canada for samples of grain and seeds of trees and shrubs from the Yukon Territory, and to Mr. J. M. Macoun for seeds of rare Canadian plants.

I also tender my sincere thanks to the officers at the Central and Branch Experimental Farms for faithful services willingly rendered, and for their earnest co-operation in carrying out the work which has been planned.

Acknowledgments are also due to Dr. James Fletcher, who on several occasions during the year when official engagements necessitated my absence from home for considerable periods, has assumed my duties and faithfully directed the work here, also to those members of the staff who have rendered me help in those branches of work of which I have had personal charge; to Mr. W. T. Macoun, who has supervised the labour given to the trees, shrubs and lawns on the experimental grounds; to Mr. John Fixter, the farm foreman, who has carefully watched the different branches of experimental work, has taken special charge of the tests made with fertilizers and taken notes thereon, who has also helped me much by practical suggestions; to Mr. George Fixter, who has managed the work in connection with the experimental plots of cereals, fodder crops and field roots, has taken records of the growth and yield of these, thus furnishing me with many of the particulars used in the preparation of this report, to him I am also indebted for careful management of the work connected with the distribution of samples of seed grain, and to Mr. Wm. Ellis, who has done much careful work in testing the vitality of seeds, in the management of the plants in the greenhouse and in the propagation of many useful species for out-door decoration. Mr. Ellis has also rendered useful service in the taking of the meteorological records.

I have also pleasure in bearing testimony to the faithful services of my secretary, Mr. Malcolm O'Hanley. The employees also of all the farms have my thanks for the commendable care with which they have discharged their respective duties.

WM. SAUNDERS,

Director of Experimental Farms.

REPORT OF THE AGRICULTURIST.

(J. H. GRISDALE, B. AGR.)

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director, Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith reports on horse feeding, dairy herds, beef production, pork production, sheep, soil cultivation and farm crops.

Much of my time has been taken up in attending various agricultural and live stock meetings in different parts of Canada during the year.

I have to report a fairly successful year in the different branches of my division, and in this connection I wish to acknowledge my indebtedness for assistance and earnest co-operation in their various positions of the farm foreman, Mr. John Fixter, of Herdsman, Mr. C. T. Brettell, and of dairyman, Mr. J. Meilleur.

The clerical work in this division has been performed by Mr. J. F. Watson, to whom my hearty thanks are due for painstaking and interested co-operation in all correspondence and clerical work.

From December 1, 1901, to November 30, 1902, 3,251 letters were received by the agriculture division, and during the same period 2,815 letters were despatched.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

J. H. GRISDALE,
Agriculturist.

HORSES.

There are at present 17 horses in the main stables. These horses are expected to do the work in the various departments during the year. The work on the 200 acre farm is but a small part of their duties about 25 per cent. They are expected, in addition, to do each year all the work in connection with the orchards, lawns, arboretum, nurseries, forest belts and experimental plots, as well as more or less road work, grading, messenger service and hauling of mail matter.

There are maintained on the farm during the year 19 horses, which consume an average of 6,225 lbs. of meal or grain and 5,500 lbs. of hay, an aggregate of 118,275 lbs. of grain and 52½ tons of mixed hay. At current prices for feed during the past year this would make a net cost of \$1,896.44 for horse feed. The average cost to feed one horse for the year was \$99.80. The average cost to feed one horse one day was 27½ cents. The care of the horses cost in addition nearly 8c. per head each day, and the driver receives \$1.41½ per day.

From the above data it will be seen that 10 hours work of team and driver cost during the last year \$2.12. In estimating cost of horse labour further on in this report \$2.50 per day is allowed. This leaves a margin of 38 cents per day for wear and tear on harness and for replacing horses as they grow old. Since the daily allowance of 19c. per horse amounts to \$57 in the year of 300 working days, it is evident that all possible contingencies are amply provided for.

EXPERIMENTS WITH HORSES.

The horses are fed mixed hay cut into short lengths about half an inch. The hay is moistened slightly and the grain mixed with it. The grain is ground before being mixed with the hay.

To gain some information as to the best grain mixture to feed working horses it was decided to conduct a series of experiments with different ground grain mixtures.

During the months of April, May and June, 1902, the following experiments were conducted :—

Lot 1.—Horses fed on Ground Oats and Cut Hay.

Number of Horses in.	Number of Days Fed.	Average Daily grain Ration.	Average Weight to begin.	Average Weight to end.	Total grain fed one Horse during experiment.	Average Loss or Gain in Weight.
7	91	Lbs. 17½	Lbs. 1,415	Lbs. 1,498	Lbs. 1,572	Lbs. 83 gain.

Lot 2.—Horses fed on Oats and Barley, equal parts, ground and Cut Hay.

3	91	18	1,468	1,538	1,636	70 gain.
---	----	----	-------	-------	-------	----------

Lot 3.—Horses fed on Oats, 2 parts, Barley, 1 part, ground and Cut Hay.

3	91	17	1,389	1,441	1,548	52 gain.
---	----	----	-------	-------	-------	----------

All the horses continued in good health during the experiment, and so far as could be judged any one of the grain or meal rations was as good as another.

CATTLE.

There are on the farm at present representatives of four breeds of cattle, viz.: Shorthorn, Ayrshire, Guernsey and Canadian. There are, besides, several grade animals of each kind.

PURE-BRED BREEDING CATTLE.

The pure-bred cattle are as follows :—

Shorthorns.

- 1 bull, Lord Dinsdale (imp.), 18 months old.
- 3 cows (imp.), 4, 6 and 9 years old.
- 1 cow, 13 years old.
- 2 heifers (imp.), 2 years old.
- 1 heifer (imp.), 16 months old.
- 2 heifer calves under 1 year.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Ayrshires.

1 bull, Twin Beauty (imp.), 3½ years old.
 6 cows (imp.), 3 to 7 years old.
 1 cow, 2 years old.
 3 calves under 1 year.

Guernseys.

1 bull, Wedgewood, 8 years old.
 4 cows (imp.), 4 to 7 years old.
 1 cow, Canadian bred, 4 years old.
 5 calves under 1 year.

Canadians.

1 cow, Zamora.

DAIRY CATTLE.

The herd of dairy cattle during 1902 consisted of 26 females, all told. They were:—

MILKING STOCK.

Shorthorns	4
Ayrshires	6
Guernseys	5
Canadians	1
Shorthorn grades	4
Ayrshire grades	3
Guernsey grades	2
Canadian grades	1

FEEDING THE DAIRY CATTLE.

The roughage ration fed to the dairy cows consisted of ensilage, mangels, clover hay and some chaff. The amount of roughage fed varies considerably, since the milch cows vary in weight from 800 lbs. to 1,400 lbs. The approximate roughage ration fed per 1,000 lbs. weight is 35 lbs. corn ensilage, 20 lbs. mangels, 5 lbs. clover hay, and a little chaff.

The meal or grain ration fed consisted of different mixtures at different times and to different cows. The meals or grains used were oats, barley, bran, pease, gluten and oil meal. Gluten meal formed the basis of the ration during the winter, while oat chop took its place in summer.

No very heavy grain ration was fed to any cow. A careful study was made of each cow's requirements, and she was fed accordingly.

SUMMER FEEDING.

The cows were, as usual, pastured during the first summer months on part of the fifth year of the rotation; that is, on land from which one year's hay had been cut. In August and September they were allowed to have part of the clover meadow aftermath of the fourth year of the rotation. In addition, some corn ensilage was fed, and some green corn. The meal ration in the summer was a light one. It consisted of oats and barley ground and fed night and morning during the milking hour. The meal was fed in proportion to the yield of milk, save in the case of heifers with first calves, when a somewhat heavier ration proportionately was fed.

COST OF FEEDING.

In estimating the cost of feeding, the following prices were charged for feed stuffs, being the average local market rates for the same period during 1902, save in the case of ensilage and roots, which are charged at the usual values affixed in experimental work:—


Pasture	\$ 2 00 per cow per month.
Bran.....	16 00 per ton.
Oats, gluten meal and barley	25 00 per ton.
Clover hay.....	7 00 per ton.
Chaff.....	4 00 per ton.
Roots and ensilage.....	2 00 per ton.

In estimating the value of the product, 19 cents per pound is allowed for the butter, and 15 cents per hundred pounds for the skim milk and butter milk. The butter is manufactured in the farm dairy and sells on the market at from 22 cents to 30 cents per pound, an average of about 25 cents per pound during the year. This leaves about 6 cents per pound for cost of manufacture.

The following tables give in detail the particulars concerning each cow, herd statements for each of the pure-bred and grade herds, and monthly statements for all the herds combined.

The monthly statements for the whole milking herd show the total yield of milk for each month, its butter fat content, the amount of butter produced, the number of pounds of milk required for a pound of butter, and the average yield of milk per cow per diem. The highest per cent of fat was recorded in February, and the lowest in April. The average yield of milk for the herd during the year was 7,339 lbs., which produced an average of 368·41 lbs. butter for each cow during the year.

It will be noticed that at one time and another during the year 26 cows were in milk. In taking the average, two of these were neglected because their records extend over two months only or less. They were the Canadian cow Zamora purchased from the Ursuline Sisters, of Roberval, in September, and the grade Shorthorn heifer Sadie, that dropped her first calf in September, 1902.



SHORTHORN COW : Darlington Lass. SHORTHORN HEIFERS : Duchess and Janet.



GROUP OF SHORTHORN CATTLE AT CENTRAL EXP. FARM, OTTAWA.

—Photos. by C. E. Saunders.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

MONTHLY STATEMENTS FOR WHOLE DAIRY HERD.

	December.	January.	February.	March.	April.	May.	June.	July.	August.	September.	October.	November.	Totals.
No. of cows giving milk for month . . .	14	18	17	19	22	23	23	22	21	25	25	25	21
Lbs. of milk in month . . .	7,650	9,261	10,259	16,238	21,229	20,980	19,287	15,320	15,017	16,323	13,271	11,282	176,137
Average for 1 day	247	299	366	523	701	677	643	494	484	544	428	376	482.6
Daily average per cow	17.6	16.6	21.5	27.5	31.9	29.4	28.0	22.4	23.0	21.7	17.1	15.4	23
Per cent fat . . .	4.33	4.25	4.84	4.28	4.05	4.21	4.17	4.17	4.11	4.31	4.49	4.37	4.26
Lbs. butter fat.	331.27	395.21	496.97	695.00	860.26	882.31	804.33	639.23	617.50	703.65	597.05	492.76	7515.59
Lbs. butter . . .	389.72	464.95	584.67	817.64	1012.07	1038.01	946.27	752.09	726.47	827.82	702.41	579.71	8841.83
Lbs. milk for 1 lb. butter . . .	19.6	19.9	17.5	19.8	20.9	20.2	20.4	20.3	20.6	19.7	18.9	19.4	19.9

The following herd reports need but little comment. Since these are the first yearly reports issued and the herds are rather uneven as to age and numbers, it would evidently be unfair to draw any inferences as to the comparative merits or demerits of the different herds.

The Canadian herd is being increased. Three more cows have been secured and will be added to the herd in January. The Shorthorn herd contains several young animals which will soon be producing.

AYRSHIRES.

Names of Cows.	Age.	Date of dropping last calf.	Number of days in milk in 1902.	Daily average yield of milk.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds butter produced in year.	Value of butter at 19 cts. per lb.	Value of skim milk at 15 cts. per 100 lbs.	Total value of products.	Amount meal eaten valued at 1½ cts. per lb.	Amount of roots and ensilage eaten valued at \$2 per ton.	Amount hay valued at \$7 per ton.	Months on pasture at \$2 per month.	Total cost of feed for year.	Cost to produce 100 lbs. milk.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Profit on 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Cts.	\$ cts.	Profit on cow during year, labour neglected.
Jessie A.....	Yrs	1902.		Lbs.	Lbs.	p. c.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Mos.	\$ cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	\$ cts.	
	8	Feb. 12	326	34.4	11,008	3.54	458.88	87 19	15 82	103 01	1,786	13,335	626	4½	46 87	42 58	10 22	8 78	56 14		
Maggie	6	Mar. 25	300	29.4	8,837	3.67	393.27	74 72	12 66	87 38	1,457	10,485	626	4½	39 89	45 14	10 12	8 88	47 49		
Norah's Last	8	" 18	332	24.4	8,110	3.77	371.82	70 65	11 61	82 26	1,523	12,100	626	4½	42 33	52 18	11 39	7 61	39 93		
Denty	3	" 31	220	27.8	6,120	4.00	288.70	54 85	8 73	63 58	908	9,839	693	4½	33 05	54	11 45	7 55	30 53		
Flecky	3	April 4	230	23.7	5,460	3.93	251.82	47 85	7 81	55 66	1,000	9,834	612	4½	33 47	61 3	13 29	5 71	22 19		
Bloomer.....	3	" 27	210	25.0	5,250	3.92	241.22	45 83	7 36	53 19	866	9,974	603	4½	31 91	60 78	13 23	5 77	21 28		
			268	28.0	7,496	3.78	334.28	63 52	10 67	74 18	37 92	50 6	11 34	7 66	36 26		

REMARKS.—Denty, Flecky and Bloomer are heifers with their first calves.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SHORTHORNS.

Names of Cows.	Age.	Date of dropping last calf.	Number of days in milk in 1902.	Daily average yield of milk.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds butter produced in year.	Value of butter at 19 cts. per lb.	Value of skim milk at 13 cts. per 100 lbs.	Total value of products.	Amount meal eaten valued at 1½ cts. per lb.	Amount of roots and ensilage eaten valued at \$2 per ton.	Amount hay valued at \$7 per ton.	Months on pasture at \$2 per month.	Total cost of feed for year.	Cost to produce 100 lbs. milk.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Profit on 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Profit on cow during year, labour neglected.
Darlington Lass	Yrs 1902.	9 Jan. 16	290	33·3	9,657	3·84	435·56	82 76	13 83	96 59	1,959	13,735	618	4½	49 39	51·14	11·33	7·67	\$ 47 20
Miss Molly	12 Sept. 4		280	26·5	7,430	4·71	412·35	78 35	10 68	88 88	1,850	15,400	625	4½	49 72	67·05	12·05	6·95	39·16
Marchioness	8 Jan. 18		310	27·3	8,488	4·05	404·43	76 84	12 12	88 96	1,921	13,545	618	4½	48 72	57·40	12·04	6·96	40·24
Illuminata	3 Jan. 12		300	10·8	3,266	4·12	160·69	30 53	4 66	35 19	975	8,710	542	4½	31 80	97·36	19·79	0·79	\$ 3·39
			295	24·4	7,210	4·16	353·26	67 12	10 29	77 41	44 91	62·15	12·08	6·32	32·50

REMARKS.—Miss Molly dropped a roan heifer calf in September, 1902. She had not previous to that time had a calf since April, 1901. The long interval between calves was due to her having been one of the cows in the Shorthorn Dairy Herd at the Pan-American Exposition in 1901.

Illuminata dropped a red and white bull calf in January, 1902. She proved to have only two milking teats, although the udder bore four apparently perfect teats before she calved.

GUERNSEYS.

Names of cows.	Age.	Date of dropping last calf.	No. of days in milk in 1902.	Daily average yield of milk.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds butter produced in year.	Value of butter at 19 cents per lb.	Value of skim milk at 15cts. per 100lbs.	Total value of products.	Amount of meal eaten. Valued at 14 cents per lb.	Amount roots and ensilage. Valued at \$2 per ton.	Amount hay eaten. Valued at \$7 per ton.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Mos.	\$ cts.	Total cost feed for year.	Cts.	Cost to produce 100 pounds milk.	Cts.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter. Skim milk neglected.	Profit on 1 lb. butter. Skim milk neglected.	\$ cts.	Profit on cow during year. Labour neglected.
	Yrs	1902.		Lbs.	Lbs.	p. c.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.										
Lily of Alderney	5	April 6	280	26.5	7,429	4.77	418.95	79.60	10.52	90.12	1,320	9,530	610	41	37.17	50.03	8.88	10.12	52.95	50.03	8.88	10.12	52.95	50.03	8.88
* Clatford Spot.....	8	Jan. 12	310	21.1	6,529	5.30	407.06	77.34	9.19	86.53	2,110	13,940	627	1	44.40	68	10.9	8.1	42.13	68	10.9	8.1	42.13	68	10.9
Deanie	5	July 18	320	19.0	6,061	5.13	366.01	69.54	8.54	78.08	1,456	9,835	619	41	39.21	64.7	10.98	8.02	38.87	64.7	10.98	8.02	38.87	64.7	10.98
Ruby	5	Aug. 13	300	16.3	4,901	5.36	300.42	58.79	6.80	65.68	1,417	10,670	619	43	39.53	80.7	12.13	6.87	26.13	80.7	12.13	6.87	26.13	80.7	12.13
Honorina.....	6	Sept. 18	300	19.0	5,733	4.35	293.68	55.80	8.16	63.96	1,297	10,215	612	43	37.57	65.54	12.9	6.1	26.39	65.54	12.9	6.1	26.39	65.54	12.9
			303	20.2	6,130	4.97	359.02	68.21	8.66	76.87	39.58	64.57	11.02	7.98	37.29	64.57	11.02	7.98	37.29	64.57	11.02

CANADIANS PURE BRED AND GRADE.

Zanora	6	80	23.3	1,866	5.10	109.94	20.89	2.62	23.51	335	2,620	90	2	11.38	61.53	10.35	8.65	12.13
Polly	7	Dec. 7	330	30.1	9,332	4.33	506.49	36.23	14.33	110.56	1,924	13,381	619	43	46.33	46.64	9.15	9.85	64.23
			205	28.7	5,899	4.44	308.22	58.56	8.48	67.04	28.86	48.93	9.36	9.64	38.18

SHORTHORN GRADES.

Bloom ..	8	Feb. 26	320	28.2	9,029	3.88	413.75	78.42	12.92	91.34	1,669	11,325	626	41	43.38	48.04	10.51	8.49	47.96
Rosy	3	Sept. 27	300	25.1	6,532	3.71	285.49	54.24	10.87	65.11	1,496	10,435	625	43	40.33	61.74	16.5	2.5	24.78
Cherry	2	July 15	134	22.5	3,019	4.18	144.47	21.75	4.31	25.06	511	2,355	90	43	18.07	59.85	12.5	6.5	7.99
Sadie	2	Sept. 5	80	21.2	1,698	4.01	80.53	15.27	2.42	17.69	355	2,125	90	43	15.89	93.6	19.8	.8	1.80
			208	24.4	5,069	3.80	230.76	42.42	7.63	50.05	29.42	58.03	12.7	6.3	20.63

* Remarks :—Clatford Spot met with an accident in April which materially lessened her product.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

AYRSHIRE GRADES.

Names of Cows.	Age.	Date of dropping last calf.	No. of days in milk in 1902.	Daily average yield of milk.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds butter pro- duced in year.	Value of butter at 19 cents per lb.	Value of skim milk at 15 cts. per 100 lbs.	Total value of pro- ducts.	Amount of meal eaten. Valued at 1½ cents per lb.	Amount roots and ensilage. Valued at \$2 per ton.	Amount hay eaten. Valued at \$7 per ton.	Months on pasture. Valued at \$2 per Month.	Total cost feed for year.	Cost to produce 100 pounds milk.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter. Skim milk neglected.	Profit on 1 lb. butter. Skim milk neglec- ted.	Profit on cow during year. Labour neglected.
	Yrs	1902.																	
Laura.....	5	Nov. 10	300	32.4	9,736	3.75	430.23	81.74	13.96	95.70	2,087	14,435	619	4½	51.69	53.09	12.02	6.98	44.07
Countess.....	4	Feb. 16	285	30.1	8,586	4.21	425.17	80.78	12.24	93.02	1,750	13,820	626	4½	46.89	54.61	11.03	6.97	46.13
Dora.....	10	" 24	270	29.4	7,950	3.13	292.53	55.58	11.47	67.05	1,508	11,380	555	4½	41.17	51.8	14.08	4.92	25.88
			285	30.7	8,757	3.71	382.64	72.70	12.56	85.25	1,782	13,212	600	4½	46.58	53.19	12.19	6.81	38.67

GUERNSEY GRADES.

Queenie,	4 Feb. 9	290	23.3	6,764	7.46	504.89	95.93	9.37	105.30	1,547	9,340	609	43	39.81	58.85	7.88	11.12	65.49
Bellflower.	4 Jan. 23	300	21.6	6,496	5.43	352.65	52.90	9.21	62.11	1,889	13,003	613	41	47.76	72.3	13.55	5.45	14.35
		295	22.5	6,630	6.46	428.77	74.42	9.29	83.71	43.79	66.05	10.2	8.8	39.92

MILKING EXPERIMENTS.

A summary is here presented of four experiments recently conducted to gain some information as to the effect of milking cows at unequal intervals upon the quantity and quality of the milk produced.

In each case, each part of each experiment, characterized as 'Irregular' or 'Regular', lasted some 10 days or more longer than indicated in the tables. Any sudden change in the hours of milking appeared to excite some cows and affect temporarily the quantity and quality of milk yielded in the day. To avoid the possibility of such temporary variations being allowed to affect the results, about 10 days was allowed for the subsidence of any excitement due the suddenness of the change in hours of milking.

Experiment I, was conducted in the fall; Experiment II, in the spring and Experiments III, and IV, in the summer.

The experiments appear to indicate that:—

1. Slight inequalities in the intervals between milkings do not affect (a) the average per cent of fat in the daily yield of milk, nor, (b) the average daily yield of milk.

2. Very considerable inequalities in the intervals between milkings would appear to affect slightly both the quantity and quality of the milk produced; the quantity being reduced, and the quality somewhat inferior. The amount of butter-fat in 100 lbs. of milk seemed to be reduced by about $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

3. Very considerable inequalities in the intervals between milkings affected the amount of milk and the per cent of fat in the milk at the different milkings. The amount of milk after the long interval was much greater than that yielded after the short interval, but the percentage of butter-fat in the milk after the long period was much lower than the per cent of fat in the milk after the short interval.

4. On the whole, it would appear that inequalities in the intervals between milkings need arouse no anxiety as to their effect upon the quantity or quality of the product, provided no considerable sudden changes are made.

Period.	Hours of Milking.	Number of days.	Number of cows.	Average yield of milk per cow in morning.	Average yield of milk per cow in evening.	Average per cent fat in morning milk.	Average per cent fat in evening milk.	Average daily yield per cow.	Average per cent fat in milk per day per cow.	Average weight of fat per cow daily.
<i>Experiment No. 1—</i>										
Irregular.....	6 a.m. and 6 p.m.....	10	4	1 15	9 75	3 8	4 11	21 25	3 95	8394
Regular.....	6 a.m. and 4.30 p.m..	10	4	9 15	8 85	4 8	4 75	18	4 78	8604
<i>Experiment No. 2—</i>										
Irregular.....	6 a.m. and 4.30 p.m..	10	6	3 75	3 87	27 1	3 81	1 0005
Regular.....	6 a.m. and 6 p.m.....	14	6	3 8	3 8	24 96	3 81	923
<i>Experiment No. 3—</i>										
Irregular.....	6 a.m. and 4.30 p.m..	10	6	3 76	4 06	25 16	3 91	896
Regular.....	6 a.m. and 6 p.m. . .	14	6	4	3 98	25 15	3 99	9796
<i>Experiment No. 4—</i>										
Irregular.....	5.30 a.m. and 1.30 p.m.	25	7	20 4	11 15	3 3	5 7	31 54	4 19	1 309
Regular.....	6 a.m. and 4.30 p.m..	20	7	19 6	12 52	3 6	4 6	32 12	4 34	1 282

DAIRY RECORDS.

The effort to interest dairymen in the returns from their individual cows has been continued, and very many farmers seem to be awakening to a knowledge of the fact that

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

the improvement of the whole herd demands the study of the unit: that is, a close acquaintance with the expenditure upon the individual cow and the returns from the same.

This can be determined in no other way than by keeping an exact record of the daily milk yield and the daily food consumption.

Forms, similar to the following, for keeping a record of the milk yield are supplied free on application, as indicated on the form.

DAILY MILK RECORD.

Herd belonging to.....
Post Office.....
Record for week ending.....

(This form supplied free by Live Stock
Division, Central Experimental
Farm, Ottawa, Ont.

COWS.

Day.	Time.																	Total for day.
Sunday.....	Morning.....																	
	Evening.....																	
Monday.....	Morning.....																	
	Evening.....																	
Tuesday.....	Morning.....																	
	Evening.....																	
Wednesday.....	Morning.....																	
	Evening.....																	
Thursday.....	Morning.....																	
	Evening.....																	
Friday.....	Morning.....																	
	Evening.....																	
Saturday.....	Morning.....																	
	Evening.....																	
Total.....	Week.....																	

Remarks:

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

WM. SAUNDERS, *Director.*

J. H. GRISDALE, *Live Stock and Agriculture.*

MILK RECORDS.

1. The profitable dairy cow must give over 5,000 pounds of milk each year. To know the value of a cow her total annual yield of milk must be known. The only way to know this is to keep a record of her daily milk yield.

2. The form on the other side of this sheet is intended to help progressive dairy farmers by supplying them with simple and convenient sheet for the keeping of the milk records of their individual cows. A study of such records will soon indicate which cows should go to the butcher. We would be pleased to receive a summary of your record. If you have no summary forms write us.

3. Such records are being kept by hundreds of successful dairymen to-day. Many of these men attribute their success to the keeping of such records. Why not give the thing a trial if you are a dairyman? It will increase your milk product. It will lighten your labour, since your interest will be increased in you work and 'interest lightens labour.' It will show you the unprofitable cow the 'boarder.' You cannot get rid of her too quickly.

4. For weighing the milk a simple legal spring balance may be secured for from one to three dollars. If your local dealer cannot supply you, write the undersigned for part-

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

iculars. A small platform scale is fairly convenient, but we find the spring balance preferable.

5. Many farmers keep records of the amount of food fed to individual cows. If you would like to do so, sample forms would be sent free on writing J. H. Grisdale, Agriculturist, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, Ont.

BEEF PRODUCTION.



In the usual course of affairs in Canada, steers are bought in by the feeder a shorter or longer time before winter feeding begins, and fed through the season for the Easter or later spring market. It is evident, therefore, that the feeder to be successful from a financial standpoint, must also be a fairly good business man. A cent or fraction thereof per pound too low or too high may quite easily spoil all chances of a profitable operation. Supposing the autumn seller to know his business, the buyer may make a great mistake by investing in cheap feeders, much more easily than he may err in securing feeders too nearly finished, or of too high a quality for his prospective market. Examples of too good a class of cattle being fed are of, course, exceedingly rare, but such lots are met with once in a while. The great danger and the common mistake made is in securing too common a class at too high a price per pound, even though the price paid sounds ridiculously low when contrasted with quotation for good feeders or prime finished beefs. It is seldom indeed that the poor steer can be bought cheap enough to make the feeding profitable. The choice feeder, however, at a reasonable price frequently leaves a small balance as profit.

To select the good feeder requires much experience, a good eye and some courage. Experience is necessary that the buyer may know the general characteristics, the indescribable peculiarities which go to indicate the profitable feeder, which point out almost unmistakably the 'good doer', the steer with the hearty appetite, and the power to use his food profitably; that is, convert it into the right sort of meat in the right place.

A good eye is necessary that the buyer may select only such steers as have the right shape for the beef producing animal. It is easy for almost any one who knows anything about beef cattle to decide after a leisurely examination that a certain individual conforms fairly well to the requirements of the ideal steer. It is difficult for any but an expert or one with an eye well trained to see properly at a glance, (in spite of surroundings) to go into a 'bunch' of feeders and thence select such animals as are best suited for feeding purposes; because such selection must include only broad-backed, straight-topped, deep-bodied, long, deep and square quartered, wide-chested, short-necked, quiet and clear-eyed, broad and clean headed, short and rather fine limbed, soft, mossy-haired and mellow handling, medium thick skinned animals, with a fair paunch development, a good spring of rib, a rather straight underline, and a well filled twist. Such animals always feed well, and, what is equally important, always sell well.

But now comes the moment for a display of courage, in the eyes of many feeders such cattle are, if they carry any flesh at all, always fit for the butcher. Many feeders think they cannot put a sufficient weight on a steer in good condition, to pay for the feeding. In this judgment the most powerful argument is fear, fear that the steer is already fat, or fear that the choice steer next spring will not bring any more than the medium steer last spring (on which the profits were exceeding small if not minus) experience of the best feeders has shown over and over again that it is the good steer pays, and pays well. It is therefore always wise to select the good steer and feed him well. Little fear need be entertained for the outcome since for every one mistake or loss with good steers there are dozens with inferior steers.

The steer once selected and the stabling period on, the feeder must exercise great care in making all arrangements. Every comfort provided pays, and pays well; every precaution against loss on every individual steer is a guard against failure on the whole lot. If steers have been friendly during the pasture period, let them be together to feed. If feeding loose, putting one or two small or weak steers with a number of large, strong animals is a mistake. Draughts should be guarded against because they cost extra feed,



—Photos. by Frank T. Shutt.

1. SHORTHORN BULL : Lord Dinsdale.

2. SHORTHORN COW : Illuminata.

3. SHORTHORN HEIFER : Jessica Elmhurst.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

and often mean sick animals ; warm quarters should be secured ; they save much meal. Ventilation should be provided ; fresh air means health, and health means pounds of beef.

EARLY FALL FEEDING.

A period of change in feeding beef animals is a period of loss. The measure of loss in changing from pasture to stall feed is the amount of change in the quality of the ration. The more nearly the first stall ration resembles the last pasture ration, the less will be the loss. It is evident, therefore, that the first few weeks stall feeding rations should be as nearly like grass in succulence and composition as possible. Roots and clover hay come as near filling these requirements as it is possible to get. As much roots as the animal can safely eat and all the clover hay he wants will make a fairly well balanced and an entirely satisfactory ration. As the feeding period advances, straw, if so desired, may be gradually introduced into the ration and meal added. The amount of either should be small at first, and all increases or changes should be made slowly. If corn ensilage is to form the basis of the ration for feeding it should be given sparingly at first, and in full feed only when some meal is being fed.

As already stated, succulence is an imperative requirement of early winter feeding. Yet this is not all, for to ensure continued good gains and constant good health, succulence or juiciness should be the marked peculiarity of the whole season's feeding.

After 4 or 5 weeks feeding, meal may be profitably added to the roots, or ensilage and straw, or hay. The kind fed usually depends on market prices of meal and the feeder's convenience quite as much as upon the requirements of the animal. Much care should, however, be exercised in deciding what meal ration to feed. Some sorts of meal are much better suited for feeding with certain kinds of roughage than others. To illustrate, corn meal, ensilage and Timothy hay make a very bad combination which could be materially improved by the substitution of pea meal, gluten, bran, cotton seed meal, or even oat chop, for the corn meal. Of the above sorts of meal, any one of which is well suited for feeding with ensilage, a mixture of equal parts of gluten, bran and oat chop would be likely to prove the most economical meal ration. On the other hand, the addition of bran for instance to a roughage ration of roots would be a mistake, oat chop, however, or a mixture of oat, pea and barley meal is very well suited, and corn meal may be used profitably, for feeding along with turnips or mangels. The reasons for the above statements are obvious if the composition of the feed stuffs mentioned be considered. Experiments at different places and at different times, as well as the practice of many observant feeders also support the above remarks.

The amount of meal to feed each day depends upon the size of the steer, the kind of meal, the kind of roughage, and the more or less advanced stage of the fattening process. Enough meal should be fed in the early stages or in fact at any stage to keep the steer making good gains. The richer the meal in protein, the less is required at any given time, since one of the principal reasons for feeding meal is to balance the ration : that is, to make the proportion of protein to carbo-hydrates therein just right for the most rapid and most economical production of flesh. To give the best results, the grain and meal ration should be thoroughly mixed with the roots or ensilage. The hay, or at least some of it, should be fed long.

The feeding of steers loose or tied is largely a matter of convenience. The results of most careful experiments appear to be slightly in favour of feeding loose, so far as gains in weight are concerned. The care and work of feeding is, as a rule, less when steers are fed loose, but the amount of straw or other litter required is very much greater than when the steers are kept tied up. No small part of the success or failure of steer operations is due to the man who does the actual work. The skilful, careful, observant and kind feeder can bring steers out in the spring from twenty-five to seventy-five per cent better on the same amount of feed than will the careless, rough or ignorant man. It is probably wise to conclude by saying that except under exceptional circumstances no great profits over and above the cost of food, shelter and labour need be expected from steer feeding, but a home market for feed grown on the farm and all

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

the manure produced for use in enriching the soil are no small consideration to the progressive farmer.

EXPERIMENTS IN 1902.

The experiments in 1902 have been similar to those in 1901. The prices charged for feeds are the same as those mentioned in connection with feeding dairy cows.

It will be noticed that the profits on the different lots fed are quite high, seemingly controverting the last statement in the preceding paragraph, but the good profits made are in no small measure due to a happy combination, of circumstances viz., good feed, ensilage was exceptionally good in 1901-2, low cost of steers and very high selling price due to a scarcity of beef in the world. Such fortunate conditions seldom occur.

LOOSE *vs* TIED.

The feeding of steers loose as contrasted with similar steers fed tied has been continued during the past year, and the scope of the experiment slightly enlarged to include the comparison of steers fed loose, allowed a large area of floor space with similar steers fed loose, allowed a limited area of floor space.

The steers fed tied occupied 56 square feet of floor space each and another lot fed loose occupied 84 square feet floor space each.

Both lots fed loose made greater daily gains than did the lots fed tied, while the loose lot with the smaller floor area made a considerably greater daily gain than did either of the other lots.

To summarize:—

Lot 1. Tied, 56 sq. ft. per steer, gained in all 2,760 lbs. or 307 lbs. per steer, or 1.65 lbs. per steer per day.							
Lot 2. Loose, 84 sq. ft. " " " " " " "	2,961	"	329	"	1.77	"	"
Lot 3. Loose, 38 sq. ft. " " " " " " "	3,109	"	345	"	1.86	"	"

The very considerable difference of 38 lbs. per steer in favour of close quarters for feeding is rather noticeable and if subsequent experiments support this finding, will be worthy of attention in such a climate as ours, where shelter is rather costly and absolutely necessary.

NOT DEHORNEO, TIED (3 YEARS OLD).

Each steer occupied 56 sq. ft. floor space

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	11,610 lbs.
First weight average.....	1,290 "
Finished weight gross.....	14,370 "
Finished weight average.....	1,597 "
Total gain in 186 days.....	2,760 "
Average gain per steer.....	307 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	14.8
Daily gain per steer.....	1.65
	\$ cts.
Gross cost of feed.....	171 75
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	6 22
Cost of steers 11,610 lbs. at 450 per 100 lbs.....	522 45
Total cost to produce beef \$522.45 x 171.75.....	694 26
Sold 14,370 lbs. at 6.17½ per 100 lbs. less 5 per cent.....	842 98
Profit on lot.....	148 78
Net profit per steer.....	16 53
Average buying price per steer.....	58 05
Average selling price per steer.....	93 66
Average increase in value.....	35 61
Average cost of feed per steer.....	19 08

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Amount of meal eaten by 9 steers.....	4,783 lbs.
“ ensilage and roots eaten.....	84,960 “
“ hay.....	6,516 “

DEHORNED LOOSE (3 YEARS OLD).

Each steer allowed 84 sq. ft. floor space.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	11,844 lbs.
First weight average.....	1,316 “
Finished weight gross.....	14,805 “
Finished weight average.....	1,645 “
Total gain in 186 days.....	2,961 “
Average gain per steer.....	329 “
Daily gain for lot.....	15.92
Daily gain per steer.....	1.77
	\$ cts.
Gross cost of feed.....	178 34
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	6 02
Cost of steers, 11,844 lbs. at 4.50 per 100 lbs.....	532 98
Cost to produce beef 532.98 + 178.34.....	711 32
Sold 14,805 lbs. beef at 6.17½ per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent..	868 50
Profit on lot.....	157 18
Net profit per steer.....	17 46
Average buying price per steer.....	59 22
Average selling price per steer.....	96 50
Average increase in value.....	37 28
Average cost of feed per steer.....	19 82
Amount of meal eaten by 9 steers.....	5,062½ lbs.
“ ensilage and roots.....	88,065 “
“ hay.....	6,516 “

DEHORNED LOOSE (3 YEARS OLD).

Each steer allowed 38 sq. ft. floor space.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	10,611 lbs.
First weight average.....	1,179 “
Finished weight gross.....	13,720 “
Finished weight average.....	1,523 “
Total gain in 186 days.....	3,109 “
Average gain per steer.....	345 “
Daily gain for lot of 9 steers.....	16.72
Daily gain per steer.....	1.86
	\$ cts.
Gross cost of feed.....	168 34
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	5 41
Cost of steers, 10,611 lbs. at \$4.50 per 100 lbs.....	477 50
Total cost to produce beef, 477.50 + 168.34.....	645 84
Sold 13,720 at 6.17½ per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	804 85
Profit on lot.....	159 01
Net profit per steer.....	17 67
Average cost price per steer.....	53 05

	\$	cts.
Average selling price per steer	89	43
Average increase in value	36	38
Average cost of feed per steer	18	71
Amount of meal eaten by 9 steers	4,536	lbs.
“ ensilage and roots	84,645	“
“ hay	6,516	“

INFLUENCE OF AGE ON COST OF BEEF.

COST OF PRODUCING BEEF WITH

Three Year Olds, Two Year Olds, Yearlings, Calves.

The experiment in beef production to determine the comparative cost of beef produced by feeding steers of different ages has been continued.

Full statements of the particulars in connection with each lot will be found below. A few of the more important particulars are grouped for comparison as follows :—

Ages.	Daily gain.	Gain in 186 days.	Cost 100 lbs. gain.	Profit per steer.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$	\$
Three Year Olds.. .. .	1·65	307	6·22	16·53
Two Year Olds.....	1·67	311	5·70	20·50
Yearlings... .. .	1·85	345	4·65	26·07
Calves.....	2·14	398	3·60	14·11

In daily gain and cost of production there is a quite remarkable gradation in favour of the younger classes. The apparent change in ‘profits per steer’ column was due to the lower price available for the smaller animals when for sale.

THREE YEAR OLDS.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	11,610 lbs.
First weight average.....	1,290 “
Finished weight gross.....	14,370 “
Finished weight average.....	1,597 “
Total gain in 186 days.....	2,760 “
Average gain per steer.....	307 “
Daily gain for lot 9 steers.....	14·8
Daily gain per steer.....	1·65
Gross cost of feed.....	\$ 171 75
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	6 22
Cost of steers, 11,610 lbs. at \$4.50 per 100 lbs.....	522 45
Total cost to produce beef, \$522.45 + \$171.75.....	694 20
Sold 14,370 lbs. at 6·17½ per 100 lbs., less, 5 per cent.....	842 98
Profit on lot.....	148 78
Net profit per steer.....	16 53
Average buying price per steer.....	58 05
Average selling price per steer.....	93 66
Average increase in value.....	35 61
Average cost of feed per steer.....	19 08

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Amount of meal eaten by 9 steers.....	4,783 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	84,960 "
Amount of hay.....	6,516 "

TWO YEAR OLDS.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	9,648 lbs.
First weight average.....	1,072 "
Finished weight gross.....	12,445 "
Finished weight average.....	1,383 "
Total gain in 186 days.....	2,799 "
Average gain per steer.....	311 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	15 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1.67

\$ cts.

Gross cost of feed.....	159 66
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	5 70
Cost of steers, 9,648 at 4.00 per 100 lbs.....	385 92
Total cost to produce beef, 385.92 + 159.61.....	545 53
Sold, 12,445 lbs. at 6.17½ per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	730 06
Profit on lot.....	184 53
Net profit per steer.....	20 50
Average buying price per steer.....	42 88
Average selling price per steer.....	81 12
Average increase in value.....	38 24
Average cost of feed per steer.....	17 74
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 9 steers.....	4,221 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	79,898 "
Amount of hay.....	6,516 "

YEARLINGS.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	8,577 lbs.
First weight average.....	953 "
Finished weight gross.....	11,680 "
Finished weight average.....	1,298 "
Total gain in 186 days.....	3,103 "
Average gain per steer.....	345 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	16.68
Daily gain per steer.....	1.85

\$ cts.

Gross cost of feed.....	144 49
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	4 65
Cost of steers, 8,577 lbs. at \$4 per. 100 lbs.....	342 08
Total cost to produce beef, 342.08 + 144.49.....	486 57
Sold 11,680 lbs. at 6.17½ per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	721 24
Profit on lot.....	234 67
Net profit per steer.....	26 07
Average cost price per steer.....	38 01
Average selling price per steer.....	80 14
Average increase in value.....	42 13
Average cost of feed per steer.....	16 06
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 9 steers.....	3,537 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	73,297 "
Amount of hay.....	6,516 "

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

CALVES.

Number of steers in lot.....	5
First weight gross.....	2,275 lbs.
First weight average.....	455 "
Finished weight gross.....	4,200 "
Finished weight average.....	840 "
Total gain in 180 days.....	1,925 "
Average gain per steer.....	385 "
Daily gain for lot.....	10.70
Daily gain per steer.....	2.14
	\$ cts.
Gross cost of feed.....	69 26
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	3 60
Cost of steers, 2,275 lbs. at \$3.50 per 100 lbs.....	79 63
Cost to produce beef, \$69.26 + \$79.63.....	148 89
Sold 4,200 lbs., \$5.50 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	219 45
Profit on lot.....	70 56
Net profit per steer.....	14 11
Average cost price per steer.....	15 93
Average selling price per steer.....	43 89
Average increase in value.....	27 96
Average cost of feed per steer.....	13 85
Amount of meal eaten by 5 steers.....	3,620 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	20,060 "
Amount of hay.....	1,200 "

HEAVY *versus* LIGHT FEEDING.

The experiments in feeding lots of steers a heavy ration from birth to block in comparison with similar lots fed a light ration till a few months before it is desired to slaughter them are being continued.

The first lots were selected in the spring of 1900. The heavily fed lot of the first selection were ready for slaughter in March, 1902, at 22 months old. The lightly fed lot of the same selection are being fed off at present and it is expected that they will be ready for the block in March or April, 1903.

In 1901 another selection was made. The following statements give the particulars of these two lots for 1902:

YEARLINGS—HEAVY FEEDING.

Number of steers in lot.....	5
First weight gross, December 1, 1901.....	2,215 lbs.
First weight average, December 1, 1901.....	455 "
Final weight gross, December 1, 1902.....	5,420 "
Final weight, average, December 1, 1902.....	1,084 "
Total gain in 365 days.....	3,205 "
Average gain per steer.....	641 "
Daily gain for lot of 5 steers.....	8.80
Daily average gain per steer.....	1.76
	\$ cts.
Gross cost of feed.....	138 81
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	4 33
Cost to feed 1 steer 1 day.....	7.60
Cost to feed 1 steer 1 year.....	27 76

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Amount of meal eaten by lot.....	7,216 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots eaten by lot.....	35,525 "
Amount of hay eaten by lot.....	2,340 "
Number of months on pasture	1 mo.

YEARLINGS—LIGHT FEEDING.

Number of steers in lot.....	5
First weight gross, December 1, 1901.....	2,026 lbs.
First weight average.....	405 "
Final weight gross.....	4,126 "
Final weight average.....	825 "
Total gain in 365 days.....	2,100 "
Average gain per steer.....	420 "
Daily gain for lot of 5 steers.....	5.75
Daily average gain per steer.....	1.15
	\$ cts.
Gross cost of feed for year.....	70 81
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	3 37
Cost to feed 1 steer 1 day.....	3.89
Cost to feed 1 steer 1 year.....	14 16
Amount of meal eaten by lot.....	215 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots eaten by lot.....	31,600 "
Amount of hay eaten by lot.....	1,865 "
Number of months on pasture.....	6 mos.

In 1902, another selection was made. The following statements give the particulars of these two lots for 1902.

CALVES—HEAVY FEEDING.

Number of steers in lot.....	6
First weight gross.....	665 lbs.
First weight average.....	110 "
Final weight gross.....	2,400 "
Final weight average.....	400 "
Total gain in 200 days.....	1,735 "
Average gain per steer.....	290 "
Daily gain per lot of 6 steers.....	8.70
Daily average gain per steer.....	1.45
	\$ cts.
Gross cost of feed.....	53 37
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	3 07
Cost to feed 1 steer 1 day.....	4.44
Amount of meal eaten by lot.....	3,316 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots eaten by lot.....	2,832 "
Amount of hay eaten by lot.....	679 "
Amount of skim milk eaten by lot.....	4,490 "

CALVES—LIGHT FEEDING.

Number of steers in lot.....	6
First weight gross.....	675 lbs.
First weight average.....	112 "
Final weight gross.....	2,280 "
Final weight average.....	380 "
Total gain in 200 days.....	1,605 "
Average gain per steer.....	267 "
Daily gain per lot of 6 steers.....	8 "
Daily average gain per steer.....	1.33

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

	\$	cts.
Gross cost of feed for 200 days.....	47	55
Cost of 100 pounds of gain.....	2	96
Cost to feed 1 steer 1 day.....		3.96
Amount of meal eaten by lot.....	2,699	lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots eaten by lot.....	4,706	"
Amount of hay eaten by lot.....	675	"
Amount of skim milk eaten by lot.....	4,490	"

SHEEP.

There are at present two flocks of sheep on the Central Experimental Farm.

Shropshires :—

- 1 ram (imported).
- 14 ewes (9 imported).

Leicesters :—

- 1 ram.
- 8 ewes.

The past year has been most discouraging so far as sheep are concerned. The lamb crop was rather small to begin with, and their number was greatly reduced by goitre and worms.

In addition to the pure breeds, a few grade sheep are kept. These are bred to the Shropshire or Leicester ram and their young fed off as lambs.

SWINE.

There are at present four herds of swine in the piggery.

They are as follows :—

Yorkshires (Large Improved) :—

- 2 boars (stud).
- 8 sows.
- 50 young Yorkshires (from 2 to 4 months old).

Berkshires :—

- 2 boars (stud).
- 4 sows.
- 13 young Berkshires (3 months old).

Tamworths :—

- 1 boar (stud).
- 4 sows.
- 10 young Tamworths (2 months old).

Large Blacks :

- 2 boars (stud).
- 4 sows.
- 11 young Large Blacks (2 months old).

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS.

A number of feeding experiments have been conducted during the past year but they are as yet unfinished.

SHALLOW CULTIVATION AND ROTATIONS.

For many years farmers in Eastern Canada were grain growers merely. Necessity forced the inception of such a system of agriculture. Habit and ignorance prolonged the practice of such farming. The wonderful strength and seemingly inexhaustible fertility of the soil made its long continuance possible. The discovery of the possibilities of the north-west and the gradual exhaustion of our fields called a halt. Hence, for some years past change has been in the air.

Live stock farming, the system making the smallest demands on soil fertility, is rapidly supplementing grain growing. Parts of nearly every farm are now much better in condition than they were a few years ago; and, further, such is nature's wonderful recuperative power, since the partial cessation of the tremendous drain of grain exportation the average crop returns for Eastern Canada have gone up very considerably. But, as every farmer knows, even live stock farming long continued means a gradual loss of fertility, unless considerable food other than that produced on the farm is fed to stock and the manure properly cared for and utilized.

This fact has led to a study of the methods for cheaply restoring lost fertility and profitably cultivating soils so that 'improved,' rather than 'impoverished,' may be the annual verdict.

It is impossible to discuss the subject exhaustively in such an article as this, but one plan of cultivation found to give good results is where the meadow or pasture is ploughed in August, the sod being turned to a depth of $3\frac{1}{2}$ or 4 inches only. Immediately after ploughing, if in a dry time, the land is rolled, then harrowed with a light harrow. It is then left untouched until grass and weeds start to grow, when it is again harrowed, care being exercised to prevent the sod being disturbed. The harrowing or cultivating process is continued at intervals (as the weed seeds germinate) until October, when by means of a (3 plough gang) double mould-board plough the surface soil to a depth of about 4 inches is put into drills about 22 inches apart and 8 to 10 inches high. This is found to be a most satisfactory preparation of the soil for corn, roots or grain. Where grain is sown, the soil is ready for seeding at a considerably earlier date than where late fall ploughing is practised.

If, along with this system of shallow cultivation, a proper rotation is adopted, most excellent results are sure to follow. As clover is the only crop which while giving a profitable harvest still serves to enrich rather than to impoverish the soil, it is evident that clover should take a prominent place in any rotation in this country. With this fact in mind, a few rotations suitable for the improving of our lands may be offered, as follows:—

- (a.) 3 year rotation—1, grain; 2, clover hay; 3, pasture.
- (b.) 3 year rotation—1, corn and roots; 2, grain; 3, clover hay.
- (c.) 4 year rotation—1, corn, roots, potatoes or pease; 2, grain; 3, clover hay; 4, hay or pasture.
- (d.) 5 year rotation—1, grain, with 10 lbs. clover seed to plough down for fertilizers; 2, corn, roots, potatoes or pease; 3, grain; 4, clover hay; 5, hay or pasture.
- (e.) 5 year rotation—1, grain; 2, clover hay or pasture; 3, corn, potatoes, roots or pease; 4, grain; 5, clover hay or pasture.
- (f.) 6 year rotation—1, grain; 2, clover hay or pasture; 3, corn, roots, potatoes or pease; 4, grain; 5, clover hay; 6, pasture or timothy hay.

Rotation (a) is one well suited for some remote part of a farm where it is not convenient or practicable to apply manure regularly.

Rotation (*b*) is well suited for the farm where it is desired to keep a large number of cattle, and where there is more or less broken land to serve as pasture.

Rotation (*c*) is adapted to the requirements of the average farmer and is one well suited for general farming.

Rotation (*d*) may be followed with some advantage where there is a moist climate. The use of clover for a fertilizer merely is undoubtedly profitable where climatic conditions permit of a good growth after the cover crop has been removed.

Rotation (*e*) is probably even better suited than (*d*) for the average farmer anxious to quickly put his farm in good heart and keep it in that condition. This is more especially true in sub-humid regions.

Rotation (*f*) the longest, it is seldom or ever advisable to follow, includes one year of timothy hay, which may recommend it to many farmers. The four year rotation (*c*), however, has the same peculiarity, and is for various reasons to be preferred.

In all save (*a*) it is understood that barn-yard manure is to be applied when roots or corn or potatoes are grown. Experiment has shown over and over again that frequent light applications of barnyard manure give better returns than heavy applications at longer intervals. To illustrate, it has been proven that 10 tons per acre every third year will give much better results than 20 tons per acre every sixth year. This fact would seem to indicate very strongly the adoption of a short rather than a long rotation by all who are anxious to improve their farms and get the greatest returns from manure applied.

The chief reason for surface cultivation and the adoption of such short rotations as given above is to increase the quantity of, and place properly the chief factor making for soil fertility, humus.

Dead vegetable matter exposed to moisture and warmth soon breaks down to a form called humus or black earth, the factor just mentioned. Our prairie and newly cleared soils contain immense quantities of this material. Exposure to heat and the intermixture of earthy matter serve to waste the same. Thus, repeated grain cropping with deep ploughing provide the conditions best calculated to dissipate this matter most readily and most effectively.

The functions of this common, yet easily lost, substance are varied and important. Being, as every one can prove for himself, of the nature of a sponge, it retains the moisture in a dry time, but will allow all superfluous water to rapidly and harmlessly percolate to the lower soil layers in a wet season. It holds loose, porous soils together, and so otherwise loose sands become stable and provide a good root hold for plants. It renders dense, impermeable soils open and porous, permitting the free circulation of air and water and allowing the weak rootlets to penetrate the erstwhile impenetrable space in search of food. In brief, it is the chief factor making for good physical condition in our soils. It contains much plant food, since it is really vegetable matter, and a large percentage of this food is in available forms. It aids also in the conversion of the non-available forms of the elements of fertility into available forms. Further, it retains near the surface the dissolved plant food which must otherwise have sunk into the subsoil.

The most important sources of humus on the average farm are farm-yard manure and crop residues. Upon the proper application or use of these materials depends the future of Canadian agriculture.

Keep humus near the surface.—Where the supply of humus is limited its location becomes a very important consideration. Now, most of our crops draw the greatest part of their food from the surface soil, for, while some roots of most plants penetrate to a considerable depth, most roots of all plants are found near the surface. Plants of nearly all descriptions thrive best where the surface soil is mellow and rich in humus. The great crops produced by newly cleared fields and prairie lands exemplify this, as does also the rank growth of plants in our forests, where the subsoil is never stirred, and where the annuals and smaller perennials must depend for their nourishment upon the surface soil almost exclusively. It would, therefore, seem to be clear that available

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

plant food should be near the surface of our fields and that our surface soil should be in particularly good physical condition or tilth.

How to secure these two requirements of rapid, rank and desirable plant growth must, therefore, be the first consideration of every would-be successful farmer. Experiment and long practice seem to prove that shallow cultivation and some rotation, more especially the three year or the four year in dry districts, and the five year in rainy districts are most serviceable in increasing the humus in the surface soil, and so 'improving the physical condition' which means 'increasing the productivity' of our fields.

ESTIMATING COST OF PRODUCTION.

The importance of determining cost of production of our grain and forage crops is scarcely questionable. The climatic, and soil factors must, however, always be of primary importance, and on that account all estimates must be more or less particular in their bearing rather than general.

In the estimates which are included in the following reports on the different crops, rent, manure, labour, material (seed, twine, &c.), and wear and tear are considered. The item of supervision, of considerable moment on such farms as this, has been omitted, since most farmers in Canada do much of their own work, as well as direct the labour of such men as they employ.

The digestibility of a feed is another factor which must enter materially into any consideration of its economy of production, since, as is well known, the digestibility of our feeding stuffs ranges from about 25 per cent of the dry matter to practically 100 per cent of the whole thing.

In dividing the cost of production of a grain crop between the straw and grain, however, where the digestible dry matter of the one part is so different in composition and value from that of the other, some additional standard is necessary. Since protein is that part of any ration the most expensive to supply, it was decided to make the digestible protein the basis of value. It is, of course, well understood that protein is not the only important constituent of straw. Frequently it is of very minor consideration indeed, as when used for litter, since about 29-30 of the whole dry matter is of equal or even greater value as absorbent material.

CROP ON THE 200 ACRE FARM

OATS.

Five varieties of oats were grown. They were Banner, Improved Ligowo, Tartar King, Waverley and Goldfinder. They were sown on land that had been in roots or corn the preceding year. As the land was not of uniform character, the results will not indicate the productivity of the different varieties.

The particulars of the lots sown are as follows:—

Banner.—40 acres, sown April 16, 2 bushels per acre; matured in 119 days, August 13. Yielded 2,239 bushels, 55 bush. 33 lbs. per acre. Measured bushels weighed $41\frac{1}{4}$ pounds. A second field of Banner of $5\frac{1}{2}$ acres yielded at the rate of 47 bushels 24 lbs. per acre.

Improved Ligowo.—2 acres, sown April 24, $1\frac{3}{4}$ bushels per acre; matured in 110 days, August 12. Yielded 122 bushels 9 pounds 61 bush. 4 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel weighed $40\frac{1}{2}$ pounds.

Tartar King.— $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres, sown April 24, 2 bushels per acre; matured in 109 days, August 11. Yielded 140 bushels 4 pounds, 56 bush. 2 lb. per acre. Measured bushel weighed $39\frac{1}{2}$ pounds.

Waverley.— $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres, sown April 24, $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre; matured in 112 days, August 14. Yielded 145 bushels 30 pounds, 58 bush. 12 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel weighed $40\frac{1}{2}$ pounds.

Goldfinder.— $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres, sown April 24, 2 bushels per acre; matured in 124 days, August 16. Yielded 141 bushels 9 pounds, 56 bush. 17 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel weighed 40 pounds.

Cost of growing 55 acres of Oats—

Rent of land, 55 acres at \$3.....	\$165 00
Gang ploughing in autumn, 25 acres at \$1 per acre.....	25 00
Cultivating and ribbing, 4 days at \$2.50.....	10 00
Cultivating and harrowing in spring.....	45 00
$\frac{1}{2}$ manure, at the rate of 15 tons per acre, applied in root year, at \$1 per ton.....	165 00
Seed, 110 bushels at 50 cents per bushel.....	55 00
Sowing, $5\frac{1}{2}$ days at \$2.50 per day.....	13 75
Rolling, $2\frac{1}{2}$ days at \$2.50.....	6 25
Cutting with binder, $5\frac{1}{2}$ days at \$2.50.....	13 75
Use of machinery, 20 cents per acre.....	11 00
Twine, 130 pounds at 12 cents.....	15 60
Shocking, 15 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	19 90
Loading and unloading, 30 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$ per day.....	40 00
Teams drawing, 11 days at \$2.50.....	27 50
Threshing 3053 bushels at $2\frac{1}{2}$ cts. per bushel.	76 32
	<hr/>
	\$ 619 07

Total yield, 3,052 bushels 23 pounds.

Average yield per acre, 55 bushels 17 lbs.

Cost to produce 1 bushel of grain.....	00 22 $\frac{1}{3}$
Cost to produce 100 pounds digestible dry matter, grain..	0 98

MIXED CROP EXPERIMENT.

Side by side on the second year of the rotation field; that is, on what had been pasture the preceding year, were sown 9 plots of 2 acres each, the aim being to get some data as to the comparative yields of crops grown as mixtures and as pure grain. The mixtures and pure grains are as follows, with the yield of the respective crops per acre:—

	Lbs.
Plot 1, pure barley, Mensury, yielded.....	4,980
Plot 2, pure oats, Banner, yielded.....	4,990
Plot 3, pure pease, Prussian Blue, yielded.....	3,610
Plot 4, pease, 1 bushel, oats, 2 bushels.....	4,764
Plot 5, oats, $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels, barley, 1 bushel.....	4,720
Plot 6, wheat, $\frac{1}{2}$ bushel, oats, 1 bushel, pease, $\frac{3}{4}$ bushel, barley, $\frac{3}{4}$ bushel, yielded.....	4,450
Plot 7, oats, 1 bushel, pease, 1 bushel, barley, 1 bushel.....	4,365
Plot 8, wheat, $\frac{1}{2}$ bushel, barley, $\frac{3}{4}$ bushel, oats, $1\frac{1}{4}$ bushel....	4,975
Plot 9, oats, 2 bushels, pease, 1 bushel, yielded.....	4,320

HAY.

Cost of growing 63 acres of hay—

Rent of land at \$3 per acre.....	\$ 189 00
One-fifth manure at the rate of 15 tons per acre, \$1 per ton.	189 00
Half seeded at \$1.50 per acre, 10 lbs. clover, 12 lbs. timothy.....	94 50
8 days cutting with mower at \$2.50 per day.....	20 00
7 days raking at \$1.75 per day.....	12 25
6½ days tedding at \$1.75 per day.....	11 37½
Rent of farm machinery, oil, &c.....	12 60
Cocking, loading and unloading, 59 days at \$1.33½.....	78 66
12 days drawing to barn at \$2.50 per day.....	30 00
4 days team on horse fork at \$2.50 per day.....	10 00
Cost to produce 63 acres of hay.....	<u>\$ 647 30</u>

Yielded per acre, 2 tons, 1,347 lbs.

Total yield, 174 tons, 1,500 lbs.

Cost to produce 1 ton, \$3.72.

Average amount of digestible dry matter in 1 ton of hay, 1,100 lbs.

Cost to produce 100 lbs. digestible dry matter.....\$ 00 34

Cost to produce 1 acre of hay.....10 29

SECOND CROP HAY.

Cost to produce 24 acres—

Cutting with mower, 3½ days.....	\$ 8 75
Raking, 3½ days.....	5 25
Cocking, loading and unloading, 19½ days.....	24 33
Drawing, 4½ days.....	11 25
Use of machinery.....	4 80
Horse fork team, 1 day.....	2 50
	<u>\$ 56 88</u>

Total yield, 41 tons 1,220 lbs.

Cost to produce 1 ton, \$1.37.

TREATMENT OF NEWLY SEEDED LAND AFTER CROP HAS BEEN REMOVED.

The treatment of new meadows in the autumn after the cover crop has been harvested is a problem that has not attracted much attention because farmers generally, and wisely concede that it is necessary to leave unmolested any growth the young plants may make in the autumn. It is claimed that such treatment insures, or at least assists in insuring the safe passage of the young plants through the first winter.

It is not my aim to condemn as unnecessary this precaution, nor to detract in any way from the importance of giving the young plants every chance to winter successfully. It is interesting, however, to note that, judging by the experiment outlined below, it is frequently unwise to let a generally wise practice prevail under exceptional conditions.

During the summer of 1901, the growth of the young clover, while the cover crops still stood uncut was very strong, and at harvest time much green clover was cut and bound in with the grain. This rapid, rank growth continued after harvesting operations were completed. On a 6 acre field it was decided to try a small experiment.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

The field was divided into 3 equal parts (2 acres each).

Part 1.—This field of 2 acres was left untouched. The clover plants flowered and ripened. The crop died down and formed a thick protecting coat of dead vegetable matter.

Part 2.—This field of 2 acres was pastured by sheep. It was eaten down fairly well, but not so closely as to suggest any injury to roots.

Part 3.—This field of 2 acres was cut when the clover was in full bloom and the green clover, 8 tons, put into a silo. The plants made a few inches growth after the cutting, but did not look very vigorous when the snow came.

The fields were watched carefully in the spring of 1902 to ascertain the effects of the different autumn treatments.

Part 1.—This field started out strong, bright and promising. It made a good growth and was harvested in July. The yield was 6 tons 1,680 lbs.

Part 2.—This field offered quite as well as Part 1, and gave an almost equally good harvest. The yield was 6 tons 1,500 lbs.

Part 3.—This field did not offer as well as Parts 1 and 2 in the early spring, but began to improve towards the end of May, and when harvested in July was nearly equal to the other two fields. The yield was 6 tons 965 lbs.

CORN.

Three varieties of corn were sown in areas ranging from $4\frac{3}{4}$ to 20 acres, the aggregate being $29\frac{3}{4}$ acres.

Selected Leaming.—20 acres, sown May 28, cut for ensilage September 25. Yielded 14 tons 872 lbs. per acre. Growth strong and even, well cobbed, but very late owing to season. Cobs mostly in early milk. Part of this plot suffered from frost, lessening weight per acre.

Early Mastodon.—5 acres, sown May 29, cut for ensilage October 2. Yielded 17 tons 712 lbs. per acre. Growth very strong and even, good showing for cobs and mostly well formed in early milk.

Longfellow.— $4\frac{3}{4}$ acres, sown May 30, cut for ensilage September 22. Yielded 14 tons 1,684 lbs. per acre.

Cost of growing $29\frac{3}{4}$ acres of corn—

Rent of land, at \$3 per acre.....	\$ 89 25
Cultivating and ribbing, 5 acres, 3 days at \$2.50.....	7 50
$\frac{1}{2}$ manure, at 15 tons per acre, at \$1 per ton.....	89 25
Cultivating in spring, 2 days at \$2.50.....	5 00
Ploughing in spring, $24\frac{3}{4}$ acres at \$2.....	49 50
Harrowing in spring, 3 days at \$2.50.....	7 50
Seed, 25 lbs. per acre, 734 $\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. at \$1 per bushel.....	13 16
Sowing, team 3 days at \$2.50 per day.....	7 50
Harrowing twice, after sowing 3 $\frac{6}{10}$ days.....	9 00
Hoeing, 129 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$ per day.....	172 00
Cultivating, team, 22 days at \$2.50.....	55 00
Cultivating, single horse, 8 days at \$1.75.....	14 00
Cutting with corn harvester, 8 days.....	20 00
Loading and unloading, tramping and putting into silo, 109 $\frac{1}{2}$ days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	146 00
Drawing with team, 21 days at \$2.50.....	52 50
Use of machinery, 20 cents per acre.....	5 95
Use of engine, fuel, ensilage cutter and engineer, for 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ days.....	42 25

\$ 785 36

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 15

Yielded 446 tons of corn.

Cost of 1 ton in silo.....\$ 1 76

Average amount of digestible dry matter per ton (75 per cent digestible), 320 lbs.

Cost to produce 100 lbs. digestible dry matter.....\$ 0 55

Cost to produce 1 acre of corn ensilage..... 26 30

MANGELS.

Three varieties of mangels were grown on 6 acres of land. The seed was sown May 12, and harvesting operations began on October 21. The varieties were as follows:—

Mammoth Long Red.—2 acres. Yielded 23 tons 1,295 pounds per acre, or 47 tons 590 lbs., equal to 1,576½ bushels on the 2 acres.

Golden Tankard.—2 acres. Yielded 52 tons 980 lbs., equal to 1,749 bushels on the 2 acres.

Giant Yellow Globe.—2 acres. Yielded 56 tons 1,370 lbs., equal to 1,889½ bushels on the 2 acres.

Cost of growing 6 acres of mangels—

Rent of land, at \$3 per acre.....	\$ 18 00
Gang ploughing in autumn, 2 days 4 hours at \$2.50.....	6 00
One-fifth cost of manuring at 15 tons per acre.....	18 00
Ploughing in spring, at \$2 per acre.....	12 00
Harrowing, 4 hours at 25 cents per hour.....	1 00
Drilling, 2½ days at \$2.50 per day.....	6 25
Seed, 24 lbs. at 18 cents, \$4.32; sowing, 4½ days at \$1.33½, \$6.....	10 32
Thinning, 15 days at \$1.33½ per day.....	20 00
Hand wheel hoeing, 8 days at \$1.33½.....	10 67
Hoeing 12 days at 133½.....	16 00
Cultivating, single horse, 9 days at \$1.75.....	15 75
Pulling, topping, loading, unloading, 32 days at \$1.33½...	42 66
Drawing to roothouse, team, 6½ days at \$2.50.....	16 25

Cost to grow 6 acres\$ 192 90

Total yield, 156 tons 940 lbs., or 5,215⅔ bushels. Average, 26 tons 156 lbs., or 869 bushels per acre.

Cost to produce 1 ton of mangels housed.....	\$ 1 23¼
Cost to produce 1 bushel of mangels housed.....	0 03⅞
Average dry matter per ton 246 lbs.....	
Cost to produce 100 lbs. digestible dry matter*.....	0 50
Cost to produce 1 acre of mangels.....	32 15

TURNIPS.

Two varieties were grown, sown June 11, harvested October 27. Manure was applied during the winter and spring at the rate of about 15 tons per acre.

Champion Purple Top Swede.—1 acre yielded 17 tons 1,490 lbs., or 591½ bushels per acre.

Prize Purple Top Swede.—1 acre yielded 18 tons 1,190 lbs., or 619⅕ bushels per acre.

* Analyses made in Chem. Div. C. E. F., 1902 show larger percentages of dry matter than usual.

Cost of growing 2 acres of turnips—

Rent of land at \$3 per acre.....	\$ 6 00
$\frac{1}{2}$ manure, 15 tons per acre, at \$1 per ton.....	6 00
Ploughing in spring, at \$2 per acre.....	4 00
Harrowing, 2 hours at 25c.....	0 50
Drilling, 8 hours at 25c.....	2 00
Rolling, 1 hour at 25c.....	0 25
Seed, 6 lbs. at 20c.; sowing, day at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	2 53 $\frac{1}{2}$
Hand wheel hoeing, 1 $\frac{8}{10}$ days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	2 40
Thinning, 4 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	5 33
Hoeing, 3 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	4 00
Cultivating single horse, 2 days at \$1.75.....	3 50
Pulling, topping, loading and unloading, 10 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$..	13 33
Drawing, 2 days at \$2.50.....	5 00

Cost to produce 2 acres\$ 54 84

Yielded, 36 tons 680 lbs., or 1,211 $\frac{1}{3}$ bushels.

Cost to produce 1 ton of turnips, housed.....	\$ 1 51
Cost to produce 1 bushel turnips, housed.....	0 04 $\frac{1}{2}$
Average digestible dry matter in 1 ton 215 lbs.....	
Cost to produce 100 lbs. digestible dry matter.....	0 70
Cost to produce 1 acre of turnips.....	27 42

SUGAR BEETS.

Two varieties were grown on $\frac{1}{2}$ -acre plots, $\frac{1}{4}$ acre of each sort being in drills; $\frac{1}{4}$ acre of each sort being on the flat as for sugar. Sown, May 12; harvested, October 27. Manure was applied during the winter and spring at the rate of about 15 tons per acre.

Danish Improved.—Yield per acre was at the rate of 17 tons 720 lbs., from the forage, and 17 tons 248 lbs., from the sugar plot, or 562 bushels and 578 bushels, respectively.

Giant Sugar Feeding Mangel.—Yield per acre was at the rate of 20 tons 1,940 lbs., from the forage, and 21 tons 560 lbs. from the sugar plot, or 699 bushels and 639 $\frac{1}{2}$ bushels, respectively.

Cost of growing 1 acre of sugar beets—

Rent of land at \$3 per acre.....	\$ 3 00
Gang ploughing in autumn, 4 hours at 25c.....	1 00
$\frac{1}{2}$ manure at 15 tons per acre, \$1 per ton.....	3 00
Ploughing in spring at \$2 per acre.....	2 00
Harrowing in spring.....	0 40
Drilling in spring.....	0 75
Rolling in spring.....	0 16
Seed, 12 lbs. at 20c.....	2 40
Sowing, 5 hours at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	0 66
Hand wheel hoeing, 6 hours at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	0 80
Thinning, 5 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	6 67
Hoeing twice, 28 hours.....	3 73
Cultivating, single horse, $\frac{1}{4}$ times at \$1.75 per day, 4 hours each time, 16 hours... ..	2 80
Ploughing out roots, 4 hours at 25c.....	1 00
Pulling and topping, 4 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$ per day.....	5 33
Drawing in roots, 14 hours at \$2.50 per day.....	3 50
Loading and unloading, 4 days at \$1.33 $\frac{1}{3}$	5 33

Cost to grow 1 acre.....\$ 41 53

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Average yield per acre, 19 tons 367 lbs.

Cost to produce 1 ton.....	\$ 2 17
Cost to produce 1 bushel.....	0 06½
Digestible dry matter in 1 ton 353·4 lbs.....	
Cost of 100 lbs. digestible dry matter.....	0 62

PUMPKINS.

The soil was a sandy loam and well drained. Manure was first applied at the usual rate of 15 tons per acre and worked into the soil. The plot was then ploughed and harrowed. It was marked off into 8-foot squares, and a hole about 18 inches square and six inches deep excavated at each corner. These holes were half filled with manure, a layer of earth thrown on the top and seed planted. The plants grew well and in a short time covered the whole area. A large quantity of fruit developed and grew to a fair size.

Cost of production of pumpkins—

Rent, half an acre.....	\$ 1 50
Manure, $\frac{1}{5}$ applied at the rate of 15 tons per acre.....	1 50
Extra manure in hills, 6 tons used, half the value.....	3 00
Ploughing in spring.....	1 25
Harrowing twice.....	0 18
Marking, making hills and planting.....	2 00
Hoeing.....	1 33
Cultivating, single horse.....	0 52
Hauling.....	3 75
	<hr/>
	\$ 15 03

Weight produced, 14,550 lbs.

Cost to produce 1 ton.....	\$ 2 06
----------------------------	---------

One ton contains about 190 lbs. digestible dry matter.

Cost to produce 100 lbs. digestible dry matter.....	\$ 1 08
---	---------

REPORT OF THE HORTICULTURIST.

(W. T. MACOUN.)

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

December 1, 1902.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith the Sixteenth Annual Report of this Division. In the following pages will be found the results of some of the most important experiments conducted during the past year, and the conclusions reached regarding others which have been carried on for a number of years.

Notwithstanding the severe frost on May 9, the season, on the whole, was satisfactory and good progress was made in the work of this division.

Character of Season.—Although the temperature did not fall as low during the winter of 1901-2 as it usually does, it was moderately cold most of the time. The winter set in on November 14, 1901, with a fall of 4 inches of snow and the ground unfrozen. It was very cold during the third week of December, the temperature falling to 14·3° F. below zero on the 16th, but later it was mild. January was moderately cold, on the whole, but the lowest temperature of the winter was on the 17th, when it went to 19° F. below zero. There was a very heavy snowstorm from January 21, to January 23, when 19 inches of snow fell. Another heavy snowstorm from February 1 to 3, added 15 inches more snow, which made a fine protective covering for plants and trees. February was moderately cold. It did not thaw from January 22 to February 22, but on February 25 the snow began to go rapidly, and by March 8 the sleighing was practically gone. On March 24 the frost, which had not reached a great depth during the winter, was out of the ground in many places and the soil was drying fast. Work outside was begun on April 1. On March 31, ploughing was begun in the apple orchard, the soil being in good condition and frost in a few spots only. The early spring was very fine for work, the weather being comparatively cool with little rain. Trees did not leaf out rapidly and were not much in advance of other years. It was an exceptionally favourable spring for tree planting. The winter injury to trees and shrubs was less than the average.

The most serious spring frost which has been felt in this neighbourhood for years occurred on May 9, when there were 13 degrees. This caused great destruction to early planted vegetables and badly injured herbaceous perennials and the leaves of trees and shrubs. The flowers of native plums, which were in bloom, suffered badly and the crop was much lessened; the Americana plums did not suffer as much as most of the flowers were still in bud. Cherries suffered badly where the buds were enough expanded to show white. Little injury was caused to apple blossoms. Gooseberries and currants, though in full bloom, were practically uninjured. Where strawberries had been uncovered early or had not been covered at all the injury to the flowers was very great. The grape crop was practically a failure where the vines had been uncovered, the buds being destroyed. The leaves of raspberries were badly hurt, thus weakening the plants and lessening the crop. Many herbaceous plants were injured which might be expected to stand the frost, among these being a large number of native plants. Rhubarb and asparagus, which had made considerable growth, became quite soft and useless, but grew again. Tulips and narcissus, which were in full bloom, suffered considerably, but the flowers were not destroyed. Flowering shrubs were badly hurt, a large proportion of

the flower buds being killed. *Spiræa Van Houttei*, which usually blooms profusely, had practically all the flower buds destroyed. The May flowering shrubs which noticeably escaped injury were the Tartarian Honeysuckles, *Caraganas*, *Spiræa arguta*, and the double flowering almond. Trees such as birch and beech did not recover from the effects of the frost till July. The flowers of the American elm were destroyed and no fruit set. There were only two days in May when the temperature was above 80° F., the highest temperature being 86° F., on the 23rd. The rainfall in May was light. June was a very showery month and growth was much retarded by cool weather. The highest temperature in June was on the 3rd, when it was 84° F. July was the warmest month of summer, but there were few really hot days. The highest temperature for the summer was 92° F., on the 8th. On July 15 there was a terrific storm of wind, rain, and hail, which blew down and broke a number of the fruit and ornamental trees at the farm, and did much damage in the surrounding country. Nearly 1½ inches of rain fell in fifteen minutes. On the 17th there was another great storm with very heavy wind and rain, which blew down and broke more trees. August was only a moderately warm month, the highest temperature being 87·5° F., on the 21st, and comparatively little rain fell during that month, nor in September, which was very fine, but not very warm. The first frost which was a killing one occurred on October 9, when 8 degrees were recorded. Everything at all tender was killed. October was a cool and frosty month, but there were no heavy rains. November was a fine month for work, the weather being comparatively mild up to November 25, when winter set in with the ground frozen. On the 26th and 27th, five inches of snow fell, and this gradually increased.

Fruit and Vegetable Crops.—The fruit crop in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec was large this year, on the whole, though in some districts it was better than in others. The apple crop was very uncertain during the early part of the season, for although the bloom was abundant, the fruit dropped much more than usual during the latter part of June and early in July. As a result of this thinning, however, the fruit grew larger, and what looked like a light crop in the early part of the season developed into a very fair one. The black spot was bad in many places and lessened the value of the crop very much, making the percentage of number one apples small. Pears, peaches, plums and cherries were all good crops in most of the districts where they can be grown successfully. Grapes did not ripen as well as usual this year. Small fruits were good. At the Central Experimental Farm the apple crop was good and the fruit clean. The plum crop was fair but the fruit smaller than usual. European plums fruited much better than usual this year. The crop of cherries was light but better than it has been since 1898. Seven rows of grape vines were uncovered before the severe frost of May 9 and the crop on these was in most cases little or nothing. The vines in the greater part of the vineyard, however, which were not uncovered at that date, produced good crops, but the autumn being cool, comparatively few kinds ripened. The crop of gooseberries and currants was good, but the injury to the raspberries in spring lessened the yield of that fruit considerably. Strawberries did well at the farm, though in the neighbourhood the crop was much lighter than usual.

Although most vegetables in the vicinity of Ottawa were badly injured and in some cases destroyed by spring frosts, few kinds suffered at the Experimental Farm, as the tender things were not put out until after the severe frost of May 9. Melons, however, were a total failure. Tomatoes, although not ripening as early as usual, were a good crop, and potatoes, which were sprayed, gave the best crop in the history of the farm.

Meetings attended and places visited.—As in the past, a portion of my time during the year was devoted to attending meetings and visiting places where I could be of service to the fruit growers, and also acquire information which would be helpful in my work here. On December 18 and 19, 1901, I attended the meeting of the Quebec Pomological Society at Coaticook, Que., and gave an address on "The Work of the Horticultural Division at the Central Experimental Farm."

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

On February 21, 1902, I attended the annual meeting of the Western Horticultural Society at Winnipeg, Man., and gave a lecture on "Gardening for Profit, Including Fruit Growing," and a talk with illustrations on "What the Experimental Farms are doing for the Horticulturist." At this time I took the opportunity of visiting the Experimental Farm at Brandon, Man.

On December 13 I gave an address on 'Small Fruit and Potato Culture,' at Massey, Que., and on 'Fruit Culture,' at Casselman, Ont., later on.

At the request of Mr. G. C. Creelman, lectures were delivered before the Horticultural Societies at Cayuga, Niagara Falls South, St. Catharines, Grimsby and Hamilton, Ont., on March 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, the subjects discussed being 'The Best Hardy Annuals and Perennials,' 'The Lawn and Garden,' and 'Fruit Growing.'

The summer meeting of the Quebec Pomological Society was held at Aylmer, Que., on August 14. This was attended and a talk given on 'Fruits for the Home Market with notes on their Culture.' On the following day the members of the society visited the Experimental Farm.

On August 19 I addressed an audience at Norway Beach, Que., and gave a practical demonstration of tree planting. This was in connection with a summer school of science which the Rev. J. A. Macfarlane is establishing there.

Between September 8 and 13 I visited the Toronto Exhibition, the Grimsby District, the Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, the fruit farm of R. W. Shepherd, Como, Que., the Trappist Fathers, Oka, Que., and N. E. Jack, Chateauguay Basin, Que., gaining much information which will be useful to me in my work, and being of some assistance, I trust, to the fruit growers with whom I came in contact.

On September 30, October 1 and 2, I attended the Plant Breeding Conference at New York, and visited the New York Botanical Garden at Bronx Park, and during the following week visited the Arnold Arboretum, Boston, Mass., as a result of which a fine collection of trees and shrubs was kindly sent by Prof. Sargent.

Acknowledgments.—During the past year I have had much assistance from the fruit growers of Canada in many ways which has been greatly appreciated. It is a pleasure to me to know that they are in sympathy with my work. Fruit growers in the United States, especially the professors of horticulture at the agricultural experiment stations, have also shown much interest in our work and have given me great aid.

In order that the work of a department may be successfully carried on, one's associates and helpers must be men who both take an interest in the work and do it well. I am particularly fortunate in having in Mr. J. F. Watson, who attends to the correspondence and much of the other office work, and in Mr. H. Holz, foreman, men who both take an interest in their work and do it well.

Donations.—Every year, plants, scions, seeds, &c., are donated to the horticultural division. When the donor so desires, the plants sent are tested here, but not disseminated without his permission. We beg to gratefully acknowledge the receipt of the following donations during the year:—

DONATIONS.

Sender.	Donation.
Archambault, Jos. (son of Louis) St. Lin, Que	Scions, No. 2, Laurentian apple.
Arnold Arboretum, Jamaica Plain, Boston, Mass.,	
U. S. A.	Seeds, 70 species and varieties of trees and shrubs.
Bug Death Chemical Co., St. Stephen, N.B.	50 lbs. Bug Death.
Carter, H. C., Massawippi, Q	Scions, Shawassee, King and Nodhead apples.
Carstesen, H. C., Billings Bridge	Scions, early native plums.
Cass, C. A., L'Orignal, Ont.	Scions, seedling apple.
Cockburn, J. P., Gravenhurst, Ont.	Scions, Stormont plum.
Dempsey, W. H., Trenton, Ont.	Scions, apple.

DONATIONS—*Concluded.*

Sender.	Donation.
Déry, F. L., St. Hilaire, Que.....	Seions, St. Hilaire and Elzear apples.
d'Orsonnens, Count, Agnès, Que.....	Orchids.
Dupuis, Aug., Village des Aulnaies, Q.....	Plums, fine collection.
Erwin, A. T., Ames, Ia., U.S.....	Ampelopsis Engelmanni, plants, 2.
Fowler, Miss, Headingly, Man.....	Seions, apples.
Foyeston, F., Minesing, Ont.....	Seions, Willie's Favourite and unknown apple.
Jack, N. E., Chateauguay Basin.....	Seions, Norman, Cox's Orange Pippin apples.
Jones, Harold, Maitland, Ont.....	Seions, Fameuse apple.
Macaulay, T. B., Montreal, Q.....	Nuts, Juglans regia.
Macoun, Prof. John, Geological Survey, Ottawa, O.	Seeds, Yukon plants.
Macoun, J. M., Geological Survey, Ottawa, Ont...	Seeds, and bulbs of Pink Erythronium.
McLean, C. F., Burton, N.B.....	Seions, Johnston apple.
Matheson, Miss J., Perth, Ont.....	Seions, Rufus and Fameuse apples.
Newman, C. P., Lachine Locks, Que.....	Stones, peach, 82.
Pelletier, Jos., St. Roch des Aulnaies, Q.....	Seions, Germain, St. Pierre apples.
Reynaud, G., La Trappe, Q.....	Seions, Fenouilles Gris apple.
Royal Botanic Garden, Kew, England.....	Seeds, collection.
Saunders, W. E., London, Ont.....	Plants, <i>Menispermum canadense</i> .
Shepherd, R. W., Como, Que.....	Seions, Early Joe, Matthew's Winter, and Fameuse Sucré apples.
Snow, C. H., Cumming's Bridge, O.....	Strawberry plants.
Watrous, C. L., Des Moines, Ia.....	Tree, Terry plum.
Waugh, Prof. F. A., Agricultural College, Amherst, Mass.....	Apples, collection.
White, Lt.-Col. Wm., Ottawa, Ont.....	Plant, variety of, <i>Lilium candidum</i> .
White, R. B., Ottawa, Ont.....	Plants, Herbert raspberry, Plum trees and Perennials.

I have the honour to be, sir, your obedient servant,

W. T. MACOUN,

Horticulturist.

APPLES.

The apple orchards at the Central Experimental Farm are improving in appearance every season. Many of the trees have been planted from twelve to fourteen years and are now of good size and bearing well. Few trees died from winter injury, but during the year 75 were blown down by strong winds or were so badly broken that they had to be removed. In nearly every case the trunks of the trees were rotten almost through, although the trees looked quite healthy when growing.

There were 105 apple trees planted in the orchards last spring, many of which were varieties that had not been tested before.

The trees were thoroughly sprayed, as usual, during the growing season, the early varieties four times and the late kinds five times. The trees were also washed to prevent borers from attacking them.

MICE.

Mice were very numerous and destructive to fruit trees in Eastern Ontario and the province of Quebec last winter. Little injury was done at the Experimental Farm, as the smaller trees were protected by building paper or by wooden veneer protectors. A few of the larger trees were gnawed considerably, as they were unprotected. The wooden veneer has proven very satisfactory as a preventive against mice, and is also thought to prevent sunscald to a large extent. The size of these protectors is 15 by 24 inches. They are simply wrapped loosely around the trunks and tied with twine. Those used this year were bought at \$5 per thousand. For small trees they can be

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 13

split and two made out of one. Building paper is cheap and effective also for this purpose.

SEEDLING APPLE ORCHARDS.

Last year 494 seedlings of some of the best varieties of apples were planted, and this year 894 more were set out, making a total of 1,388 trees. Those planted this year were put in the standard orchard and are 10 by 15 feet apart. There were 54 varieties fruited in the Russian seedling orchard this season, 17 of which had not fruited before. The trees in this orchard were getting so thick that they were thinned out this season, and there are now 245 left. Few of these seedlings are of value and none of them have been found worthy of general introduction, though they may prove useful in Manitoba and the North-west. A few of the best of them have been propagated for test at the Experimental Farms at Brandon and Indian Head.

TOP GRAFTING.

The top grafting of the best winter apples on hardy stocks was continued this year, and the results will soon become interesting. Northern Spy does well here top grafted, and it is hoped that other kinds will be successfully grown also.

ORCHARD CULTURE.

The orchard culture adopted at the Experimental Farm has been described in previous reports. Briefly, the method adopted is to keep the orchard in clover for part of one year and the whole of the next, then plough and re-seed as soon as possible. The clover is cut several times during the season and left to rot on the ground. In 1898 it was found that about 25 tons of green clover were thus left to rot during the season. The clover was weighed again this year in the same orchard, and following are the results.

Two plots, each 4 by 4 feet, were taken at each cutting and the average of these is given :—

<i>Clover.</i>		Tons.	Lbs.
1st cutting, June 4, 1902, average height 16½ inches, yield per acre green clover.....	A very few flower buds beginning to show.	5	1,783
2nd cutting, June 27, average height 14 inches, yield per acre green clover.....	A moderate number of flowers in bloom.	3	721
3rd cutting, July 21, average height 13½ inches, yield per acre green clover.....		4	1,826
4th cutting, August 29, average height 13½ inches, yield per acre green clover.....		4	1,103
Total yield per acre, green clover.....		18	1,433

Although this is a less yield than that which was obtained in 1898, the clover is not noticeably less vigorous than at that time. Where the weights were taken the clover was not as good a stand as in the Russian orchard, where five cuttings were made, but the crop was not weighed there.

This system of culture is varied when thought necessary. This year, for instance, that part of the orchard planted with seedlings was kept cultivated in order to get the young trees well established.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A, 1903

APPLE CROP.

The crop of apples was good this year and the fruit free of scab and but slightly affected with Codling Moth. The greater part of the crop was disposed of on the Ottawa Fruit Exchange and fair prices were obtained. A small shipment was, however, made to Glasgow, Scotland, with gratifying results.

SHIPMENT OF APPLES TO GLASGOW.

On October 3, 1902, a shipment, mostly of autumn apples, was made to Glasgow in the steamer '*Kastalia*,' without cold storage. The apples were packed in boxes, the inside measurement of which was: depth, $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches; width, $11\frac{1}{2}$ inches; length, 22 inches. The sides and top and bottom were made of three-eighth inch boards, and the ends of $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch, dovetailed and glued.

Apples practically free from defects of any kind were selected and packed tightly in layers. A thin layer of excelsior was placed between each layer of apples and a sheet of strong white paper on both sides of each layer of apples, which kept them perfectly clean. Enough excelsior was packed in at the sides and also at the top to keep the apples tight. Most of the fruit was packed on September 30, and kept in the cellar until the afternoon of October 1, to cool. It was then taken to Ottawa and packed in a freight car, which left for Montreal that night, and reached there the next day and was loaded on the steamer, which sailed on the morning of October 3.

Following are the account sales:

GLASGOW, October 16, 1902.

Account of sales of 100 cases of apples ex '*Kastalia*.' Sold by Thomas Russell, by order and for account of W. T. Macoun, Horticulturist, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa.

W. T. Macoun.		£	s.	d.	£	s.	d.
128	XXX	59 cases	Wealthy, 6 9	19	18	3	
		1	" "				
		30	" "	7	2	6	
	XXX	5	" "	1	5	0	
	XXX	5	" "	1	10	0	
	XX	5	" "				
		100	" "	29	15	9	29 15 9
			<i>Charges.</i>				
			Freight on goods	3	8	11	
			Freight on empties, river and harbour duties, master				
			portage, landing, selecting, coopering, catalogues, ad-				
			vertising, &c., cartage to warehouse, houseing, delivering.	2	10	0	
			Commission and guarantee	1	9	9	7 8 8
			Net proceeds			22	7 1
			"			\$108 41	

The expenses of the shipment on this side of the Atlantic, exclusive of growing the fruit, picking, packing, and sending to the car at Ottawa, were:—

Cost of 100 boxes at Toronto	\$12 00
Freight on 100 boxes, Toronto to Ottawa	2 52
Freight on 100 boxes, Ottawa to Montreal	7 74
Cost of 200 lbs. Excelsior at 3c. lb.	6 00
	\$28 26

Leaving a net balance of..... \$80 15

or 80c. per box, or \$3.20 per barrel, taking four boxes packed as these were to a barrel. The average profit per barrel of fall apples sold in baskets on the Ottawa Fruit



KOSLOV MORELLO CHERRY.

—Photo. by Frank T. Shutt.



WEALTHY APPLE TREES PLANTED 10 X 10 FEET APART.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Exchange, after deducting price of baskets and commission, was about \$1.10, showing \$2.10 difference per barrel in favour of the shipment to Great Britain. Furthermore, it was found this season that as good prices could be obtained on the Exchange for good windfalls as for hand picked fruit. So that the difference in favour of the shipment to Great Britain is even greater than appears. Thos. Russell writes as follows regarding the fruit:—

‘I think these apples sold very well and I trust the result will be satisfactory to you and lead to further consignments of specially selected fruit.’

Mr. W. A. McKinnon, Chief of the Fruit Division, Commissioner's Branch, Department of Agriculture, Ottawa, who was in Glasgow at the time, inspected the fruit and reported the following:—

‘The Wealthy looked best and sold best (6/9 per case, as you probably know), and all I saw were in perfect condition. McMahan also arrived in good order, but appeared not to command the confidence of buyers, probably because new to them; the price was 4/9. Patten's Greening was in perfect condition, looked very attractive, and brought 5/ per case.

‘Viewed commercially, the shipment had only one fault, namely, that there were too few apples in the cases. The trade not only object to the Excelsior as making needless bulk, but as leaving an opening for fraud, and my observations go to confirm this opinion, that packing is quite unnecessary for nearly all varieties of apples, and that at the most a thin layer top and bottom would suffice. I liked your plan of having a sheet of paper placed between the Excelsior and the apples.

‘Viewed as exhibition stock, your shipment could not have been nearer perfection.’

It is not probable that as good results would always be obtained by shipping autumn apples in this way, but the returns show that if fruit is picked carefully and packed carefully and reaches the steamer in the proper condition, it may arrive at the other side of the Atlantic in good condition without cold storage.

A CLOSE PLANTED WEALTHY APPLE ORCHARD.

In the spring of 1896 there were in the farm nursery 144 five-year old Wealthy apple trees which had been used in an experiment. As there was a piece of land available that spring they were planted out 10 by 10 feet apart, the object being to carry on further experiments with them. Eight of these trees have died, but most of the rest are making thrifty growth, though some of the trees are affected with canker and sunscald. The soil has been kept thoroughly cultivated during the growing season every year since. During the past four years this little orchard has given very good returns, considering the size of the trees, and it promises to be still more profitable. It is doubtful if the trees will need much thinning, as a few of them die every year, letting the light and air into the rest. The soil is a cold, light, sandy loam and from 1896 up to the autumn of 1901 the only fertilizers applied were 284 lbs. of superphosphate, 54 lbs. of muriate of potash, and 132 lbs. of sulphate of ammonia, the estimated value of which was \$6.64.

In the following tables will be found the receipts and expenses for the past four years:—

		\$	cts.	\$	cts.
				Per acre.	
1899—Picked, 189 gallons, sold at 10c. a gall.....		18	90		59 15
1900— " 455 " 10c. "		45	50		142 39
1901— " 156 " 15c. "		23	40		73 23
1902— " 982 " 333 galls. at 25 $\frac{3}{4}$ (Glasgow).....		85	41	}	356 83
	530 " (second grade) sold at Ottawa,				
	6 $\frac{16}{108}$	32	55		
1899—Windfalls, 66 galls. sold at 5c. gall.....			3 30		10 33
1900— " 143 " 5c. "			7 15		22 38
1901— " 224 " 5c. "			11 20		35 05
1902— " 932 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 8 $\frac{3}{4}$ "			79 60		240 79
		307	01		940 15

EXPENSES.

1899-1901, estimated expenses per acre for three years, including rent of land, fertilizers, cultivating, spraying and marketing	\$148 80
1902, 45 tons per acre barnyard manure at 50c. per ton.....	22 50
Rent of land per acre.....	3 00
Cultivating and spraying per acre.....	14 43
Baskets and boxes.....	120 12
Picking, packing and marketing.....	145 77
Total expenses.....	454 62
Total receipts per acre for 4 years.....	\$ 940 15
Total expenses " "	454 62
Net receipts.....	485 53
Average profits per acre per year..	\$ 121 38

There were 512½ gallons of small apples which were not sold, of which 119 gallons were among the picked fruit and 393½ gallons among the windfalls.

The reason that there is such a large proportion of windfalls is that the Wealthy apple drops badly, and this was especially the case this year. The windfalls, however, which were sold brought a better price than the second grade picked apples, and as good prices as picked fruit from other Wealthy trees. There is a great advantage in having a good local market, as the windfalls can be disposed of before they decay. The expenses are all estimated on a very liberal basis. The greatest yield of picked fruit from one tree in 1902 was 16½ gallons, and the greatest yield of windfalls and picked fruit was 34 gallons from the same tree.

It has not been possible to obtain the exact cost of this orchard prior to 1899, but including rent of land, cost of trees, planting and cultivating, the expenses per acre would be about \$150.

When such good returns can be had in a short time from Wealthy apple trees planted 10 feet apart, it is worthy of consideration. Is it not possible that it would be a good practice to have blocks of such early bearing trees of different ages and keep rooting out the older ones when they begin to fail? The development of this little orchard of Wealthy apple trees will be watched with much interest.

SEEDLING APPLES.

A larger number of seedling apples than usual were received for examination this year, which was probably due to the fact that apple trees bore well. It is gratifying to know that the originators of these fruits are desirous of getting our opinion of them, and it is hoped that still more will send in specimens. The judgment passed upon the majority of seedlings is unfavourable to them, as it is very rarely that a variety is equal or better than the best named kinds.

Most of our best commercial apples, however, are chance seedlings and other good kinds will probably originate that way. The more seedlings that are raised, the greater chances there are of getting something of superior merit.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Full descriptions follow of the best of those received.

Record.	Province.	Address of Sender.	Description of Fruit.
219	Quebec...	C. P. Hudon, Mont St. Hilaire	Medium size, crimson; acid, medium quality; season, October.
220	Ontario...	J. I. Graham, Vandeleur.....	Below medium, greenish yellow with red blush, almost sweet.
221	" ..	" ..	See full description.
222	" ..	C. A. Cass, L'Orignal.....	"
223	" ..	" ..	Above medium size, deep red, sweet, medium quality; season, early winter.
224	" ..	J. P. Cockburn, Gravenhurst.....	See full description.
225	" ..	Thos. Beall, Lindsay.....	"
226	" ..	" ..	"
227	" ..	C. L. Stephens, Orillia.....	"
228	" ..	Mr. Marr, Simcoe.....	"
229	" ..	F. Birch, Wode House.....	"
230	" ..	F. G. Allerby, Galt.....	Medium, pale yellow, pink blush, mild subacid, good; season, October.
231	" ..	T. W. Gibbs, Bracebridge.....	Medium, pale green splashed and streaked with purplish red, subacid, quality above medium; season, early September.
232	" ..	J. T. Couch, Davisville.....	Medium, orange red, mild subacid, quality above medium; season, October.
233	" ..	W. F. Fairburn, Ottawa	Large, deep red, subacid, medium quality; season, late September.
234	" ..	C. Wallenshlager, New Edinburgh..	Medium, waxy yellow, subacid, quality above medium; season, early winter.
235	" ..	J. Ballantyne, Ottawa East	No. 1, see full description.
236	" ..	" ..	No. 4, medium, greenish yellow, pink blush, sweet, medium quality.
237	" ..	" ..	No. 5, small, pale green, subacid, quality almost good.
238	" ..	" ..	No. 6, small, yellow, acid, medium quality.
239	" ..	" ..	No. 7, below medium, yellowish green, acid, quality above medium.
240	" ..	" ..	No. 8, medium, mild subacid, quality above medium.
241	" ..	" ..	Small, splashed and washed with purplish red, subacid, medium quality.
242	Quebec...	R. Hamilton, Grenville	'Roses,' medium, splashed and streaked with orange, subacid, quality above medium.
243	" ..	" ..	'Like Talman,' medium, yellowish green, sweet, good.
244	" ..	" ..	'Gills Line,' above medium, splashed and streaked with red, sweet, medium quality.
245	" ..	" ..	'Flat Pea,' above medium, splashed with red, subacid, quality above medium.
246	" ..	" ..	'Aromatic,' medium to below, red, subacid, quality above medium.
247	" ..	" ..	'Like Spy,' see full description.
248	" ..	" ..	'Lane,' see full description.
249	" ..	" ..	'Calf Pasture,' see full description.

No. 221.—Seedling apple from J. I. Graham, Vandeleur, Ont.:—Fruit roundish conical; above medium size; cavity medium depth and width, russeted; stem broken off; basin medium depth and width, slightly wrinkled; calyx partly open; colour, greenish yellow well washed and splashed with deep red; dots moderately numerous, white, prominent; skin thick, tough; flesh yellow, moderately juicy; core large; subacid, pleasant flavour; quality good; season, probably early to mid-winter. Promising.

No. 222.—Apple from C. A. Cass, L'Orignal, Ont.:—Fruit oblate, conic, large; cavity medium depth and width; stem short, stout; basin narrow, medium depth, slightly wrinkled; calyx partly open; colour pale yellow, well splashed and washed with purplish red; dots fairly numerous, yellow, distinct; skin moderately thick, fairly tender; flesh white, slightly tinted with red, juicy, tender but a little coarse;

core medium size; subacid, pleasant flavour; quality good; season, September and perhaps later. Tree in a friend's garden. Seedling very thrifty, hardy, and a wonderful bearer, always loaded. Says they will keep nearly as long as Wealthy. A large handsome apple, which may prove an acquisition, coming between Duchess and Wealthy.

No. 224.—Seedling apple from J. P. Cockburn, Gravenhurst, Ont.:—Fruit roundish, regular; size medium to above; cavity deep, moderately open; stem short, stout; basin medium depth and width, smooth; calyx open; colour yellow, well splashed and streaked with bright purplish red; dots few, bluish, indistinct; skin moderately thick, tender; flesh yellowish, tinged with red; core rather large; briskly subacid; quality above medium; season just after Duchess. Very similar to Duchess in outward appearance, but flesh is firmer and not so tender. Core is also more open than Duchess. Said to have sprung up from root of Duchess tree.

No. 225.—Seedling apple from Thos. Beall, Lindsay, Ont.:—Fruit roundish, obtusely conical, angular, large; cavity deep, medium width; stem short, stout; basin, deep, open, smooth; calyx open; colour yellow, washed with orange and purplish red, mostly on sunny side; dots obscure; skin, moderately thick, tender; flesh yellow, tender, juicy; core medium size; subacid, rich, pleasant flavour; quality very good; season, evidently late September and early October. Tree said to be growing in Lindsay.

Bearing five or six years. This apple is quite promising and well worthy of being given a thorough test.

No. 226.—Seedling apple from Thos. Beall, Lindsay, Ont.:—Fruit oblate, somewhat angular, large; cavity deep, open; stem short or very short, moderately stout; basin medium depth and width, smooth; calyx closed; colour pale green, splashed and streaked with purplish red on sunny side; dots fairly numerous, pale, indistinct; skin thin, tender; flesh yellow, tender, melting, moderately juicy; core medium; subacid, not high flavoured; quality good; season evidently October. Tree grown fifty miles north of Lindsay. This should make an excellent cooking apple, but is not high enough in flavour to make a good dessert fruit.

No. 227.—No. 1 from C. L. Stephens, Orillia, Ont.:—Fruit roundish, conical, above medium size; cavity medium depth and width; stem medium length, stout; basin medium depth and width, almost smooth; calyx open; colour yellowish green, well splashed and washed with deep red; dots fairly numerous, pale, indistinct; skin moderately thick, moderately tough; flesh white, slightly tinged with red, tender, fairly juicy; core medium; subacid, pleasant flavour, Fameuse-like; quality good; season October probably. Evidently a seedling of Fameuse. Same season as Wealthy, no better in quality.

No. 228.—Seedling apple from Mr. Marr, Simcoe, Ont.:—Fruit roundish, very large; cavity deep, open; stem short, stout; basin deep, open, slightly wrinkled; calyx open; colour pale, greenish yellow with a bronzy pink blush; dots obscure; skin thick, moderately tender; flesh yellowish, juicy, rather coarse; core medium; subacid; quality above medium; season evidently early October. Tree said to be a seedling grown by Mr. Marr, Simcoe, Ont. A big apple, but too coarse for dessert and not a late enough keeper to be valuable.

No. 229.—Seedling apple from F. Birch, Wode House, Ont.:—Fruit roundish, medium size; cavity medium depth and width, russeted; stem short, moderately stout; basin medium depth and width, smooth; calyx open; pale green with a bronzy or dull red blush; dots fairly numerous, gray, distinct; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh white, fairly juicy; core medium size; mildly subacid, pleasant; quality good; season early winter. Tree said to be a seedling of Fameuse. Flesh is suggestive of Fameuse, but apple is not worth propagating as compared with the McIntosh Red or Fameuse.

No. 235.—No. 1, from J. Ballantyne, Ottawa East, Ont.:—Fruit conical, roundish, angular, very large; cavity deep, open, russeted; stem slender; basin deep, medium width, wrinkled; calyx open; colour, greenish yellow, well washed and splashed with dark red; dots obscure; skin moderately thick, rather tender; flesh white, ten-

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

der, fairly juicy; core small; briskly subacid; quality above medium; season late October, November. A very large apple, but not sufficiently promising to make it desirable.

No. 248.—'Like Spy':—Apple from R. Hamilton, Grenville, Que.:—Fruit oblate, conical, large; cavity deep, open; stem medium length, stout; basin deep, medium width, wrinkled; calyx open; colour pale yellowish green splashed and washed with purplish red; dots obscure; skin moderately thick, tender; flesh white, firm, moderately juicy; core small; subacid; quality above medium; season probably October to November; not specially promising.

No. 249.—'Lane' from R. Hamilton Grenville, Que.—Fruit roundish, angular; medium size; cavity narrow, deep; stem short, slender; basin narrow, medium depth, smooth; calyx open; colour pale yellow, well splashed and washed with red; dots few, yellow, distinct; skin thick, moderately tough; flesh white, slightly tinged with red, juicy; core small; subacid, pleasant flavour; quality good; season probably October to November. Tree growing in fence near lane. Not as good as Wealthy in quality.

No. 250.—'Calf Pasture' from R. Hamilton, Grenville.—Fruit oblate, angular, irregular; medium size; cavity medium depth and width; stem medium length, moderately stout; basin shallow, moderately open, wrinkled; calyx open; colour pale green, well washed on sunny side with deep crimson; dots small, yellow, fairly numerous, indistinct; skin moderately thick, tender; flesh white, fine grained, tender, juicy; core medium, subacid, peculiar aftertaste; quality good; season early October; probably seedling of Fameuse, as flesh is Fameuse-like.

PEARS.

Fourteen years' experiments in the growing of pears at the Central Experimental Farm have shown that this fruit is almost a total failure on sandy loam soil here. The chief cause of failure is blight. This disease has killed many trees outright in one season, while others have been so badly affected that they eventually die. The Longworth pear has been practically free of blight, but this variety is only of medium quality. Of the good varieties which have been tested, Flemish Beauty has proven the hardest. Even on clay loam soil the pear has not succeeded well in the vicinity of Ottawa.

GRAPES.

This season was one of the most unfavourable in many years for the ripening of grapes. The summer was a cool one and, although there was no autumn frost until October 9, the weather was not sufficiently warm to mature the fruit, and only ten varieties ripened thoroughly. Although most of the grape vines in the vicinity of Ottawa suffered very badly from the severe frost of May 9, fortunately only seven rows out of the twenty-two in the vineyard at the Experimental Farm were uncovered. The injury done to the vines in these seven rows was great, the buds in many cases being destroyed, and the vines thus very much weakened. The crop on the remaining rows was good. It is interesting to note that the following varieties among those in the seven rows uncovered were comparatively little injured by the frost, and produced good crops:—Barry, Delaware, Telegraph, and Essex.

The varieties which ripened this year are:—Champion, Campbell's Early, Moore's Early, Early Ohio, Jewel, Moyer, Peabody, Maxatawney, Creveling, and Potter. The Campbell's Early promises to a valuable variety.

It has been noticed this year and in past seasons, that some varieties which ripen comparatively early when the season is a warm one, do not come any nearer maturity than some of the later kinds when the season is cool but long, thus showing that some varieties require certain high maximum temperatures in order to mature while others only require a moderately high temperature and a longer season.

PLUMS.

The plum trees wintered well this year and made good growth during the summer. The trees were thoroughly sprayed with Bordeaux mixture and Paris green four times, and twice with tobacco water and whale-oil soap to kill aphids, which, however, were confined to only a few trees this year. The plum curculio was more prevalent than usual. On May 9, when the severe frost came, the native plums were in full bloom, the Americana plums nearly in bloom, and the European plums not quite so far advanced. The first were moderately injured; the next, slightly to moderately; and the last practically not injured at all. The crop of native plums was only light to medium; the Americanas, medium to good; and good crops of European plums were obtained from several varieties. The fruit of the native and Americana plums was smaller than usual this year. Last year, three seedling Americana plums which originated at Ottawa, were named and described. This year the following have been thought worthy of description:—

Consul, (Wolf Seedling).—Form roundish; large size; cavity narrow, medium depth, suture a distinct line; apex rounded; colour deep red; dots moderately numerous, yellow, distinct; bloom light; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh deep yellow, juicy; stone medium size, oval, considerably flattened; almost free; flavour sweet; quality good. Season, late September. Will probably prove a useful late plum.

Sunrise, (DeSoto Seedling).—Form oval; large size; cavity narrow, shallow, abrupt; suture a distinct line, not depressed; apex rounded; colour yellow, more or less covered with bright red; dots few, yellow, distinct; bloom moderate; skin thick, moderately tough; flesh deep yellow, juicy; stone large, flat, oval, practically free; flavour sweet; quality good. Season, late September; promising.

Among the European or Domestica plums tested the following three varieties which fruited well this year are the hardiest and bear the most regularly:—

Early Red.—Form oval; medium size; cavity narrow, shallow, abrupt; stem medium length, slender; suture an indistinct line, no depression; apex rounded; colour dull purplish red; dots moderately numerous, yellow, distinct; bloom thin, blue; skin fairly thick, moderately tender; flesh yellowish green, juicy; stone medium size, long, oval, cling; moderately sweet with an acid aftertaste; quality medium. Season, late September. Of the Lombard type. Imported from Russia by Prof. Budd from Dr. Regel, St. Petersburg, during the winter of 1881-2. Prof. Budd writing in 1890 said of this plum, 'This was sent out quite extensively eight years ago marked 'mixed Arab.' The sorts mixed were Early Red, White Nicholas and Black Arab,' most of the trees proved to be Early Red Russian No 3.

Richland.—Form oval; size medium to above; cavity narrow, medium depth, abrupt; stem medium length, $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch, slender; suture a distinct line, no depression; apex rounded; colour deep purplish red; dots fairly numerous, yellow, indistinct; bloom moderate, blue; skin thick, fairly tender; flesh greenish yellow, juicy, moderately firm; stone medium size, oval, flat, cling; sweet but not rich; quality above medium. Season, middle of September. Hardier than most European sorts. Originated on the farm of Randall Elden, Richland, Pennsylvania.

Ungarish.—Form long, oval; size above medium to large; cavity narrow, shallow, abrupt; suture distinct, very slightly if at all depressed; apex round; colour dark purple; dots moderately numerous, indistinct, brown; bloom moderate, blue; skin fairly thick, tender; flesh greenish yellow, firm, fairly juicy; stone large, long, oval, free; moderately sweet; quality above medium; season, middle of September. Introduced by Prof. Budd from C. H. Wagner, Riga Russia.

This plum is somewhat like the Raynes (Dunlops, 53). A prune plum. Promising on account of hardness.

While the results from experiments in plum culture made at the Central Experimental Farm should be somewhat similar to those obtained in other parts of the pro-

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

vinces of Ontario and Quebec, where the conditions are nearly the same as at Ottawa, there are many varieties which will not succeed here which will grow and fruit well in certain parts of the province of Quebec, where the temperature falls as low also, but where other climatic conditions are different. This is especially true of parts of the counties of Montmorency, Montmagny, L'Islet, and Kamouraska, where owing to the influence of the St. Lawrence river and the moist atmosphere the conditions are favourable for the successful culture of the best European plums. The successful culture of European plums in L'Islet county has been well demonstrated by Mr. Auguste Dupuis, Village des Aulnaies, Director of the Fruit Stations of the province of Quebec, who has done much to assist in the development of horticulture in the province. This year Mr. Dupuis, had a large number of varieties of plums fruiting, and at my request kindly sent me specimens of the fruit of 17 of them. These were very good indeed. The Washington, Bradshaw, Grand Duke and Pond's Seedling being particularly fine. A fruiting branch of Grand Duke heavily loaded which was sent showed how productive this was with Mr. Dupuis. Following is a description of the Amaryllis, a promising seedling originated by Mr. Dupuis:—

Amaryllis.—Seedling of Mirabelle: Fruit roundish to heart shaped, size above medium to large, cavity medium depth and width, abrupt, stem medium to long, moderately stout, suture distinct, slightly depressed, apex rounded, colour greenish yellow, dots moderately numerous, indistinct, skin moderately thick, moderately tender, flesh yellow, juicy, stone medium size, oval, cling, flavour sweet, rich, quality very good, grown from seed of Mirabelle in 1890. Began to bear in 1896. Tested September 30, 1902.

The climate of the Island of Montreal is a little more favourable for fruit growing than that of the Ottawa district, but the European plums will not succeed as well there as in L'Islet county, and few of the named varieties are satisfactory. For many years a number of European plum seedlings have been grown in the vicinity of Montreal, which have proven hardier than most of the named kinds, and some of these are very valuable. Mr. W. W. Dunlop, of Outremont, Que., has had most to do in bringing these plums into notice, as he has collected and thoroughly tested them at his place. At the summer meeting of the Quebec Pomological Society, held at Aylmer, Que., in August of this year, a committee was appointed to name these plums. As these varieties will probably prove very useful where the climate is even more severe than at Montreal, a copy of this report is herewith given:—

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE APPOINTED AT THE AYLMEER MEETING
TO NAME THE MONTREAL SEEDLING PLUMS, WHICH AT
PRESENT ARE ONLY LOCALLY KNOWN BY
NUMBERS.

September 11, 1902.

The following ten varieties were examined and named, viz:—

No. 54. Large round blue plum, covered with bloom, excellent quality. Size $1\frac{1}{4}$ in. dia. Yellow flesh, nearly free stone. Good market plum. Named, Mount Royal.

No. 53. Large bluish purple, prune shaped, of fair quality, free stone. Size $1\frac{1}{4}$ x $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. in dia. Flesh green, an abundant bearer, good market plum.

Resolved to name this plum 'Raynes' after the late Capt. Raynes of Westmount, who largely distributed the variety.

No. 60. Very large purplish blue plum, size $1\frac{1}{2}$ x 2 in. moderately heavy bearer. Clingstone; flesh green, melting, sweetish; quality fair. Named this plum the 'Lunn Plum'.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

No. 58. Purplish-red plum. Size $1 \times 1\frac{1}{2}$ in. ; good bearer. Quality good ; juicy and sharp ; flesh orange. This plum is a fine preserver and carrier. Named the 'Outremont'.

Seedling of 54. This plum grown by Mr. W. W. Dunlop. Large blue plum. Size $1\frac{1}{4} \times 1\frac{1}{2}$ in. ; quality medium. Clingstone. At request of Mr. Dunlop, name left in abeyance.

No. 91. Purplish-red plum. Size $1\frac{1}{4} \times 1\frac{1}{8}$ in. ; green flesh ; clingstone, juicy, of high quality and pleasant. Abundant bearer. Named 'Harrigan' (after Mr. Harrigan, who introduced it.)

No. 90. Large roundish, yellow plum. Size $1\frac{1}{2} \times 1\frac{3}{4}$ in. Very fine quality and recommended. Flesh light green, juicy and delicious. Clingstone. Named 'Mountain'.

No. 2. Greenish, yellow plum. Size $1\frac{1}{8}$ in. and round. Small, freestone, excellent quality. Known at Quebec as 'Reine Claude de Montmorency'. Heavy and early bearer. Excellent. Named 'Montmorency'.

No. 3. Blue plum about 1-in. dia., freestone of excellent quality. Flesh green and moderately juicy, firm and a splendid shipper. Named 'Brodie' after Mr. Robt. Brodie who introduced it.

No. 4. Yellow plum, size $1\frac{1}{4} \times 1\frac{1}{2}$ in. Flesh yellow and very juicy. Quality good ; an annual bearer ; clingstone. Named 'Lachine.'

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) W. W. DUNLOP,
ROBT. BRODIE.
R. W. SHEPHERD.

The following more detailed descriptions were made by the writer of the varieties thought to be of most commercial value.

Mount Royal (Dunlop 54).—Fruit received from W. W. Dunlop, Outremont, Que. Form roundish, flattened at stem end ; size medium ; cavity medium to open, medium depth, somewhat flaring ; stem short to medium, moderately stout ; suture distinct, very slightly depressed ; apex rounded, slightly flattened ; colour dark purple ; dots numerous, irregular, distinct ; bloom blue, moderate ; skin moderately thick, moderately tender ; flesh greenish yellow, juicy, firm ; stone below medium, roundish cling ; flavour sweet, moderately rich ; quality good ; season early to mid September. Should be a good shipping plum.

Raynes (Dunlop 53).—Fruit received from W. W. Dunlop, Outremont, Que. :—

Form oval, long, flattened on side of suture ; size above medium to large ; cavity medium depth and width, abrupt ; stem medium length, moderately stout ; suture distinct, slightly depressed ; apex rounded ; colour dark reddish purple ; dots small, numerous, indistinct ; bloom moderate, blue ; skin thin, tender ; flesh, yellowish green, firm, fairly juicy ; stone above medium to large, long, oval, free ; moderately sweet ; quality above medium ; season early to middle of September. A prolific bearer and should be a good shipper. A prune plum.

Lunn (Montreal No. 60).—Fruit received from W. W. Dunlop, Outremont, Que. :—

Form oval, broad (round oval) ; size large ; cavity shallow, medium width, slightly flaring ; stem medium length, $\frac{1}{2}$ inch stout ; suture a distinct line, very little if any depression ; apex rounded, very slightly flattened ; colour dark purple ; dots fairly numerous, irregular, indistinct, brownish ; bloom moderate ; blue ; skin, moderately thick, tough ; flesh yellowish green, very juicy, fairly firm ; stone large, oval, cling ; sweet, rich ; quality very good. Season early to middle of September. A fine dessert plum.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Mountain.—Fruit received from W. W. Dunlop, Outremont, Que. :—

Form roundish, flattened slightly at ends ; size medium to above ; cavity medium depth and width, slightly flaring ; stem medium to long, moderately stout, suture distinct, usually slightly depressed ; apex slightly flattened, colour, greenish yellow, more or less overspread with dull coppery red ; dots numerous, yellow, distinct ; bloom thin, bluish ; skin moderately thick, tough ; flesh yellowish green ; stone above medium, broad, roundish, cling ; sweet, rich : quality very good ; season early to middle September. An excellent dessert plum. Well worth propagation.

The variety known as Lachine is also a profitable kind to grow. It resembles the Yellow Egg somewhat. These varieties are not yet offered for sale by nurserymen, but they should be propagated as soon as possible, as they are valuable.

CHERRIES.

There has not been a good crop of cherries on the Central Experimental Farm since 1898. The best crop since that time was borne this year, but on most trees it was light. A few varieties, however, had a medium to good crop. Everything pointed to a fine crop this year up to May 9, at which time the flowers were almost ready to open. The severe frost of that date destroyed the pistils of a large number of flowers, the result being that the fruit did not set well. The varieties which escaped the frost best and had medium to good crops were :—Orel 25, medium to good crop ; Minnesota Ostheim, medium crop ; Vladimir, medium crop ; Orel 24, medium crop ; Cerise d'Ostheim, medium crop ; Montmorency Ordinaire, medium crop ; Koslov Morello, good crop. These varieties are probably the hardiest. They are all sour cherries and with the exception of Vladimir are of good size and quality. The Vladimir is rather small. These cherries, with the exception of Orel 24 and Koslov Morello, were described in bulletin No. 17, on cherries.

The Koslov Morello cherry is worthy of special mention. In the spring of 1890, Mr. L. Woolverton, Grimsby, Ont., received 50 yearling cherry trees from the late Mr. Jaroslov Niemetz, Winnitza, Podolie, Russia. These were called by Mr. Niemetz, seedlings of Koslov Morello. He recommended them very highly, saying that they bore early and were quite productive. Mr. Niemetz stated that at fifteen years of age they were only 3 feet high. Mr. Woolverton distributed these among the directors of the Ontario Fruit Growers' Association, and sent some to the Central Experimental Farm, and planted ten of them himself. Two of those received here were sent to the Experimental Farm at Agassiz, B.C., and twenty-three were planted. Of these 17 are now living. Mr. Woolverton reports that of the ten he planted 'all but two or three were uniformly valuable and fairly alike in fruit and in season, but two were a little superior to the others. All were very late in season—later than English Morello—and regular and abundant bearers. The trees, though twelve years planted, are still only bushes. The tallest not being over four or five feet high. I have thought they might be grown like berries in cultivated rows.'

Of the trees or bushes growing at the Central Experimental Farm, 15 have fruited, all of which have been different and all quite late. The fruit of some trees was quite bitter, and all are very acid. All of them, with the exception of two, have borne only light crops, the flower buds having been injured by frost like most of the other varieties, but two have proven quite promising, and one particularly so.

Koslov Morello (R. 6. T. 29).—Tree bush-like, planted in 1890. Height 5½ feet. Breadth 8½ feet. Fruit large, long, heart-shaped, slightly flattened, firm ; stem very long, slender ; suture rather indistinct. Skin deep red ; flesh deep red, juicy, very acid ; pit large, long. Season very late. Two pickings were made in 1902, one on August 2, and one on August 8. Total yield 26½ lbs. This variety had a good crop in 1898, and a medium crop in 1900. Although too acid for eating out of hand, it makes preserves of excellent flavour and fine colour.

Koslov Morello, (R. 6. T. 28).—Tree, bush-like, planted in 1890. Height $7\frac{1}{2}$ feet, breadth 9 feet. Fruit large, heart-shaped, rather deep red, firm; stem long, stout; suture distinct; flesh bright red, very acid; pit, large, oval, flat. A little later in ripening than the last and has not borne as well.

Dwarf cherries, such as the *Koslov Morello*, may yet prove very valuable, even in the best cherry districts. Birds have become so troublesome that it is difficult to save the fruit on large trees, while bushes can be easily covered. Bushes such as these could be planted 10 to 12 feet apart each way and leave ample room for cultivating.

At ten feet apart, 435 trees could be planted on an acre. If these trees all produced as much in one year as that at the Experimental Farm, the yield would be $435 \times 26\frac{1}{2}$ lbs., or 11,527 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of fruit per acre, a very profitable crop indeed. The pits of the best variety were saved this year and planted to get, if possible, still hardier kinds.

STRAWBERRIES.

The strawberries came through the winter in good condition this year and there was practically no winter killing. The plants which were covered in the autumn with a light mulch of oat straw, about four tons to the acre, were uncovered on April 28, and the straw placed between the rows. The practice here is to leave the plants covered in the spring until there is no danger of heating, in order to avoid spring frosts as much as possible. The wisdom of this course was amply shown this year. In most places in this vicinity, where plants were uncovered early or had not been covered at all, the crop was very much lessened by the frost of May 9, which destroyed a large number of blossoms. At the farm some flowers were injured and a few varieties badly injured, but the crop on the whole was good. If it is desired to get early fruit for market a part of the plantation could be uncovered early and the rest left protected. The varieties, the flowers of which were badly injured by frost were:—Marshall, Nick Ohmer, Hunn and Vories.

Most of the varieties which have averaged well for the past three years were described in the report for 1900. Following are descriptions of some that were not:—

Mele, P.—This is certainly the most productive variety in the plantation. It stood second in 1901, and first in 1902, and averages the highest for three years. Foliage, healthy; plant, vigorous. Fruit, above medium to large, roundish or pointed conical, rather pale but glossy red. Soft, acid, medium quality. For near market this might prove a profitable sort.

Bisel, P.—Foliage healthy, plant vigorous. Fruit large, roundish, bright red, handsome, moderately firm, briskly subacid, quality above medium. A good variety.

Enhance, B.—Foliage healthy, plant vigorous. Fruit above medium to large, roundish, dull deep red; flesh firm, bright rich red, meaty, subacid. Quality above medium.

Barton's, Eclipse P.—Foliage healthy, plant vigorous. Fruit large, bright red inclined to have a white tip, irregular, wedge conical; flesh moderately firm, bright red, subacid. Medium quality.

Daniel Boone, P.—This variety is similar to Warfield, but the plant is more vigorous,

Marie, P.—Foliage healthy, plant vigorous. Fruit, large, roundish, glossy, but rather pale red, attractive; flesh, pale red, briskly subacid. Medium quality. Keeps its size well to end of season. This is one of the most promising of the newer sorts.

Buster, P.—Although this variety was described in 1900, it is so little known that it will bear further remarks. This variety is not yet advertised by many nurserymen, although it is one of the best. A few plants were obtained from C. C. Stone, Moline,

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Ill., U.S., in 1895, and for the past five years it has proven one of the most productive sorts. It is said to be a cross between Bubach and Sharpless. It is a pistillate variety, medium to late in season, of large size: rather pale red, moderately firm, and of medium quality. The points which make it superior to many others are its long fruiting season, the fact that it holds its size well to the end of the season, its good foliage, and its great productiveness.

In the following table will be found the yields of 145 varieties for each of the past three years, and the average yield for the three years. The dates of blooming and the time of ripening are also given with other particulars. During the past season 72 varieties were discarded, and these are not included in the table:—

Name.	Average Rank, 1900-1902.	Date of full bloom, 1902.	Date of first ripe fruit, 1902.	Date of first picking, 1902.	Date of last picking, 1902.	Number of pickings, 1902.	Weight of 25 av- erage berries, 1902.	Total yield, 1901.		Total yield, 1902.		Average yield, 1900-1902.	
								Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.		
Mele, P.	1	June 6.	June 23	June 25	July 25	12	6	34	5	35	6	30	10 $\frac{1}{2}$
Steven's Early, P.	5	" 7.	" 20	" 21	" 18	11	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	10	12	31	8	23	8 $\frac{1}{2}$
Buster, P.	2	" 7.	" 29	" 30	" 22	9	7	30	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	"	27	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Daniel Boone, P.	13	" 5.	" 20	" 21	" 18	11	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	23	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	29	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	21	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Barton's Eclipse, P.	20	" 5.	" 27	" 28	" 22	9	7	23	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	27	2	19	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
Crescent, P.	39	" 5.	" 19	" 21	" 22	11	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	11	3	26	8	15	13 $\frac{1}{2}$
Bisel, P.	8	" 7.	July 1	July 2	" 28	10	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	33	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	26	7	22	12 $\frac{1}{2}$
Afton, P.	4	" 5.	June 24	June 25	" 14	7	6	15	4	26	3	24	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Stone's Early, P.	16	" 5.	" 19	" 21	" 25	11	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	17	6	25	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	10 $\frac{1}{2}$
Warfield, P.	11	" 5.	" 21	" 25	" 18	9	5	12	10	25	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	21	12 $\frac{1}{2}$
Hattie Warfield, P.	23	" 5.	" 23	" 25	" 22	10	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	16	1	24	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	18	13 $\frac{1}{2}$
Marie, P.	"	" 5.	" 27	" 28	" 25	10	6	"	"	24	13	"	"
Daisy, P.	3	" 7.	" 27	" 30	" 25	9	7	18	6	24	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	25	3 $\frac{1}{2}$
Greenville, P.	6	" 7.	" 26	" 30	" 18	8	7	35	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	23	7	23	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Beder Wood, B.	24	" 5.	" 19	" 21	" 22	11	6	25	5	22	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	18	11 $\frac{1}{2}$
Thompson's Late, P.	14	" 7.	" 27	" 30	" 25	9	6	22	2	22	2	21	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Clyde, B.	33	" 5.	" 27	" 28	" 25	10	7	17	3	22	"	16	9 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dora, P.	12	" 5.	" 25	" 25	" 22	11	6	26	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	21	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	21	3 $\frac{1}{2}$
John Little, P.	38	" 5.	" 20	" 21	" 25	10	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	10	11	21	12	16	6
Parker Earle, B.	29	" 5.	July 1	July 2	" 25	8	7	22	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	21	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	17	11 $\frac{1}{2}$
Swindle, P.	34	" 8.	" 30	" 2	" 23	10	7	10	12	21	4	16	9 $\frac{1}{2}$
No Name, B.	21	" 5.	" 24	June 25	" 22	10	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	21	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	21	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	19	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Porto Rico, P.	"	" 7.	" 30	July 2	" 25	9	7	"	"	20	11	"	"
Enhance, B.	10	" 5.	" 30	" 2	" 28	8	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	29	5	20	7	22	0
Lovett, B.	36	" 5.	" 24	June 25	" 25	12	6	21	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	16	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dr. Arp, P.	26	" 9.	" 29	" 30	" 28	11	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	23	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	5	17	12 $\frac{1}{2}$
Howard's 41, P.	7	" 7.	" 27	" 30	" 22	9	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	24	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	5	23	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Sample, P.	"	" 7.	July 1	July 2	" 25	9	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	15	20	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	"
Cyclone, P.	31	" 7.	June 29	June 30	" 25	11	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	7	20	4	16	10 $\frac{1}{2}$
Glen Mary, B.	9	" 5.	" 30	July 2	" 25	10	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	28	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	0 $\frac{1}{2}$	22	8 $\frac{1}{2}$
G. H. Caughell, B.	28	" 5.	July 2	" 4	" 25	9	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	23	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	19	13	17	12 $\frac{1}{2}$
Wm. Belt, B.	56	" 7.	" 2	" 4	" 25	7	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	17	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	19	7	12	15 $\frac{1}{2}$
Senator Dunlap, B.	"	" 5.	June 24	June 25	" 22	10	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	9	6	19	7	"	"
Bomba, P.	35	" 5.	" 19	" 21	" 18	10	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	9	0	19	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	16	7 $\frac{1}{2}$
X. 288, P.	50	" 5.	" 27	" 28	" 22	10	5	9	8	19	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	13	10 $\frac{1}{2}$
Carleton, P.	15	" 5.	" 27	" 28	" 18	8	6	16	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	19	4	20	10 $\frac{1}{2}$
Bubach, P.	30	" 7.	" 27	" 28	" 18	10	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	29	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	17	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	17	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Tennessee Prolific, B.	42	" 7.	" 27	" 30	" 22	9	7	23	2	17	14	15	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Carrie, P.	27	" 7.	" 27	" 30	" 28	10	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	29	15	17	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	17	12 $\frac{1}{2}$
Mattie Warfield, P.	17	" 5.	" 21	" 25	" 14	8	6	20	6	17	13	20	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Cole's Seedling, B.	"	" 9.	July 3	July 4	" 25	9	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	25	0	17	11	"	"
Gandy Belle, B.	64	" 5.	June 27	June 30	" 25	9	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	11	13	17	11	12	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Boynton, P.	69	" 5.	" 19	" 21	" 25	11	5	6	15	17	1	11	4
Maggie, P.	19	" 5.	" 20	" 21	" 18	10	5	22	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	16	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	19	8 $\frac{1}{2}$
Brandywine, B.	41	" 7.	July 2	July 4	" 25	6	8	20	1	16	8	15	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
Haverland, P.	58	" 5.	June 26	June 28	" 22	10	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	10	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	16	8	12	12 $\frac{1}{2}$
Satisfaction, B.	44	" 5.	" 29	" 30	" 25	9	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	11	13	16	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	14	7 $\frac{1}{2}$
Anna Forest, P.	53	" 5.	" 24	" 25	" 22	10	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	17	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	16	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	13	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Scarlet Ball, P.	65	" 7.	July 2	July 4	" 28	8	8	13	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	16	4	11	13
Enormous, P.	43	" 5.	June 25	June 28	" 25	10	9	22	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	16	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	14	15 $\frac{1}{2}$
Arkansas Traveller, B.	25	" 5.	" 27	" 28	" 25	10	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	29	10	15	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	18	6 $\frac{1}{2}$

Name.	Average Rank, 1900-1902.	Date of full bloom, 1902.	Date of first ripe fruit, 1902.	Date of first picking, 1902.	Date of last picking, 1902.	Number of pickings, 1902.	Weight of 25 av- erage berries, 1902.	Total yield, 1901.		Total yield, 1902.		Average yield, 1900-1902.
								Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	
Wonderful, P.....	18	June 7	June 27	June 28	June 25	10	61	21 13	15 14	19 14	14	14
Lady Rusk, P.....	52	" 5	" 27	" 28	" 18	9	64	13 10	15 14	13 8	13	13
Princess, P.....	48	" 7	" 27	" 28	" 25	10	62	22 11	15 11	13 15	13	15
Rough Rider, B.....	51	" 9	July 2	July 2	" 25	9	74	7 0	15 4
Morgan's Favorite, B.....	46	" 5	June 26	June 28	" 18	8	70	21 1	15 1	13 9	13	9
Hood River, P.....	22	" 7	" 27	" 30	" 18	7	8	23 9	14 15	14 5	14	5
Williams, B.....	22	" 7	" 25	" 28	" 22	8	7	26 4	14 15	18 14	18	14
Judsonia, B.....	37	" 7	" 26	" 26	" 18	8	6	14 9	14 7	16 11	16	11
Hatch Exp. Station, B.....	61	" 7	July 3	July 4	" 25	9	62	21 2	14 6	12 7	12	7
Sherman, B.....	45	" 5	June 27	June 30	" 18	8	6	22 7	13 15	14 6	14	6
Irene, P.....	57	" 5	" 27	" 28	" 18	7	5	14 0	13 10	12 13	12	13
Mrs. Cleveland, P.....	32	" 9	" 30	" 30	" 28	11	72	29 2	13 8	16 9	16	9
World's Champion, B...	59	" 7	" 21	" 25	" 22	10	42	19 15	13 7	12 9	12	9
Sadie, B.....	59	" 7	" 30	" 30	" 25	10	7	13 4
Snow's Perfection, B.....	54	" 7	July 1	July 2	" 22	8	7	9 12	13 4
Pennell, B.....	54	" 5	June 23	June 25	July 18	10	43	23 11	13 2	13 2	13	2
Kansas Prolific, B.....	40	" 7	" 28	" 28	" 18	8	64	13 2
Jucunda Imp., B.....	40	" 7	July 2	July 4	" 25	8	7	23 15	12 15	15 7	15	7
Kyle, B.....	40	" 5	June 25	June 28	" 22	11	64	12 14
Leroy, P.....	" 6	" 20	" 21	" 14	9	44	10 1	12 14
Excelsior B.....	" 7	July 2	July 2	" 25	8	9	15 11	12 10
Sharpless Seedling, B.....	" 5	June 23	June 25	" 18	9	62	2 15	12 9
Bismarck, B.....	77	" 7	" 27	" 30	" 25	8	62	13 10	12 8	9 5	9	5
Beverly, B.....	" 5	" 23	" 25	" 25	10	6	9 3	12 8
Twilight, B.....	63	" 5	" 27	" 28	" 22	9	6	16 14	12 7	12 2	12	2
189, B.....	68	" 5	" 20	" 21	" 18	10	54	13 9	12 0	11 6	11	6
Ona, P.....	" 7	" 29	" 30	" 25	9	64	11 9
Kansas, P.....	104	" 5	" 24	" 25	" 22	11	7	4 10	11 8	5 13	11	8
Avery Seedling, P.....	75	" 7	" 28	" 30	" 18	7	7	10 9	11 6	9 11	9	11
Saunders, B.....	" 5	" 27	" 30	" 25	9	6	11 13	11 6
X. 119, B.....	79	" 5	" 27	" 28	" 18	8	62	7 6	11 5	9 1	9	1
Greenville Seedling, B...	71	" 7	July 2	July 4	" 25	7	7	5 1	11 5	10 12	10	12
Sam Sperry, B.....	89	" 5	June 19	June 21	" 14	9	52	8 5	11 4	7 5	11	4
H. H., P.....	72	" 5	" 20	" 21	" 25	6	6	12 9	11 4	10 3	10	3
Van Deman, B.....	70	" 5	July 6	July 8	" 25	9	62	17 12	10 14	11 3	10	3
Ridgeway, B.....	47	" 5	" 3	" 4	" 25	9	62	17 9	10 11	12 2	10	2
New Dominion, B.....	62	" 7	June 23	June 25	" 18	9	5	18 4	10 11	12 3	10	3
Anna Kennedy, P.....	82	" 9	July 1	July 2	" 25	9	7	8 1	10 1	8 13	8	13
X 77, P.....	" 5	June 23	June 25	" 18	9	6	5 1	9 14
Bennett, P.....	" 7	July 1	July 2	" 22	7	62	6 8	9 12
Little No. 30, P.....	81	" 9	" 5	" 6	" 25	8	7	13 0	9 12	8 15	8	15
Gandy, B.....	96	" 7	June 30	June 30	" 22	9	82	7 13	9 11	6 7	9	7
Maximus, B.....	87	" 5	" 18	" 21	" 11	8	4	7 12	9 6	7 14	9	6
Osceola, B.....	101	" 5	" 30	July 4	" 25	8	6	8 4	9 4	6 1	9	4
Della, B.....	88	" 5	" 23	June 25	" 14	8	62	12 15	9 2	7 13	9	2
Budd's No. 7, B.....	100	" 7	July 2	July 4	" 25	7	7	3 4	9 0	6 3	9	0
Oberholtzer, No. 2, P...	" 7	June 30	" 2	" 25	8	7	7 10	8 15
W. J. Bryon, B.....	49	" 9	" 27	June 30	" 22	9	72	26 10	8 13	13 13	8	13
Lloyd's Favorite, P.....	98	" 5	" 29	" 30	" 25	10	62	8 9	8 10	6 6	8	6
Timbrell, B.....	" 5	" 20	" 21	" 22	11	54	8 9
Wilson, B.....	" 5	" 6	" 21	" 14	9	4	8 7
Hawaii.....	60	" 7	" 22	" 25	" 18	9	52	15 10	8 7	12 8	8	7
Young's Seedling, B.....	73	" 5	" 29	" 30	" 22	8	52	13 12	8 4	9 13	8	4
Winnie Warfield, P.....	" 5	" 28	" 30	" 18	8	61	7 15
New York, B.....	" 5	" 20	" 21	" 18	10	5	7 13	7 5	6 7	7	5
Eleanor, B.....	95	" 5	" 20	" 21	" 14	9	5	26 8	7 5	11 12	7	5
Vories, B.....	66	" 9	July 3	July 4	" 25	7	72	4 6	7 2
Emperor, B.....	74	" 5	June 27	June 30	" 18	7	62	17 10	7 0	9 11	7	0
Jucunda, B.....	87	" 9	" 27	" 30	" 18	8	62	21 9	6 14	11 10	6	14
Logan, B.....	85	" 5	" 27	" 30	" 25	8	62	14 14	6 9	8 5	6	9
Johnston's Early, B.....	91	" 7	" 30	July 2	" 18	6	82	11 5	6 6	7 6	6	6
McKinley, B.....	" 5	" 24	June 25	" 18	9	62	6 5
Parson's Beauty, B.....	78	" 5	" 19	" 21	" 14	8	4	14 15	5 14	9 4	5	14
X 341, B.....	55	" 5	" 19	" 21	" 14	8	4	23 12	5 11	12 15	5	11
Lincoln, P.....	" 5	" 19	" 21	" 14	8

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Name.	Average rank, 1900-1902.	Date of full bloom, 1902.	Date of first ripe fruit, 1902.	Date of first picking, 1902.	Date of last picking, 1902.	Number of pickings, 1902.	Weight of 25 av- erage berries, 1902.	Total yield, 1901.	Total yield, 1902.	Average yield, 1900-1902.
							Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.
Edgar, B.....	86	June 7	June 27	June 30	July 18	7	5½	8 6	5 10½	8 2½
Nettie, P.....	"	7	July 7	July 8	" 18	4	9	5 10
Joe, B.....	"	9	" 2	" 2	" 22	8	6½	5 10
Michel's Early, B.....	99	" 5	June 18	June 21	" 11	8	4½	9 7½	5 1½	6 5½
Sharpless, B.....	84	" 5	" 30	July 2	" 18	6	6½	15 2	5 0½	8 9½
Orange County, P.....	105	" 5	" 23	June 25	" 14	8	6	5 4	4 13½	5 8½
Gladstone, B.....	102	" 7	July 2	July 2	" 22	7	9	10 3	4 11½	5 14½
Louis Gauthier, B.....	80	" 9	" 7	" 8	" 23	7	8	17 15	4 8	9 0½
Mayflower, B.....	"	5	" 16	" 21	" 11	8	5	10 7½	4 2
Magoon, B.....	93	" 5	July 1	July 2	" 22	7	7½	10 4	4 1	6 8½
Snowball, B.....	90	" 7	June 29	June 30	" 25	10	6½	9 12½	3 9	6 15½
Nick Ohmer, B.....	76	" 7	" 30	" 30	" 22	8	6½	20 7	3 9	9 9½
Jessie, B.....	107	" 5	" 25	" 25	" 14	8	5½	6 11½	3 4½	4 0½
Cruses No. 9, P.....	92	" 5	" 27	" 30	" 18	7	6½	7 1	3 2	6 11½
Gibson, B.....	"	7	" 30	July 2	" 22	6	6	2 9
Klondike, B.....	94	" 7	July 10	" 11	" 25	5	6	13 1	2 8	6 8
Sampson, B.....	"	7	" 7	" 8	" 18	4	2 7
Bouncer, B.....	108	" 7	" 10	" 11	" 22	4	6½	8 15½	2 5	3 15½
Albert, B.....	"	8	" 6	" 8	" 25	6	7	6 7	2 2½
Noble, B.....	106	" 5	" 2	" 4	" 14	4	7	5 8	2 2	5 5½
Champion of England, B.....	83	" 5	" 3	" 4	" 14	5	6½	14 6½	2 1½	8 11½
Great American.....	"	9	" 7	" 9	" 22	6	7	2 15	2 0½
Iowa Beauty, B.....	97	" 5	June 25	June 28	" 28	6	4½	9 9	1 11	6 7
Hunn, P.....	110	" 9	July 12	July 14	" 28	4	6	7 2	1 9½	3 8
St. Joseph, B.....	109	" 5	June 27	June 30	" 14	7	3½	5 14	1 9½	3 9
Empress, B.....	"	7	" 27	" 28	" 8	5	5½	1 9½
Marshall, B.....	103	" 7	July 6	July 8	" 22	5	6½	11 9½	1 8½	5 13½
Leamington.....	"	5	" 1	" 2	" 11	4	7 15	0 12

RASPBERRIES AND BLACKBERRIES.

The raspberry crop was only medium this year owing to the severe frost of May 9, which injured the leaves and weakened the canes very much. A new plantation was made in the autumn of 1901, consisting of 63 varieties. These made good growth this summer and when vacancies occurred they were filled up. The Herbert raspberry, originated by Mr. R. B. Whyte, Ottawa, Ont., again did well. It and the Sarah, a seedling originated by Dr. Wm. Saunders, were among those least affected by the spring frost. These are two of the hardiest varieties which have been tested here. Marlboro and Cuthbert are two of the best older commercial varieties. The Clarke is also a good kind for home use. The crop of blackberries was light, though there was more fruit this year than usual.

CURRANTS.

There was a good crop of currants this year, notwithstanding the severe spring frosts which occurred when they were in full bloom. The varieties in the new plantation grew very well and many of the bushes fruited this year. Among red varieties, Wilder, Greenfield, Pomona and Fay's Prolific are four of the best for commercial purposes, while Defiance and Benwell are promising. The Moore's Ruby and Early Scarlet are two of the best for home use, being milder in flavour. In black varieties, the Standard, Success, Climax, and Victoria are four of the best. The last named is not a heavy cropper, but is very large. Topsy is very promising. Following is a description of it

Topsy.—Fruit large, black, very glossy, sweet, good flavour; quality very good; fruit clings exceptionally well, which makes it especially valuable. Bush productive. It originated with Dr. Wm. Saunders as follows:—Some seeds of a cross between Dempsey's black currant and a cross-bred gooseberry (Houghton X Broom Girl) were sown in a pot in the greenhouse in the autumn of 1890. Five plants grew. Of these, four had gooseberry foliage and one, black currant foliage. The latter was planted out in 1891 and has been called *Topsy*.

GOOSEBERRIES.

The European gooseberries did better this year than ever before, but the crop was light on most varieties. A determined effort was made to prevent the spread of mildew this season, but it was only partially successful. Beginning when the leaf buds were breaking, on April 18, the bushes were sprayed thoroughly every week with a solution in the proportion of 1 oz. potassium sulphide and 2 gallons of water. This was continued until June 5, when traces of mildew being noticed, the bushes were sprayed twice a week until July 19, making 20 sprayings in all. Notwithstanding this thorough and constant application of a fungicide, the leaves dropped badly from most European varieties, though what fruit remained on the bushes was not nearly as much affected as usual. In some gardens in the city of Ottawa very fine, clean European gooseberries can be grown without spraying. Whether this is due to the kind of soil or to the moister atmosphere in a closely planted garden has not yet been determined.

Among the American varieties, the Downing, Pearl, and Red Jacket (*Jocelyn*) are the most profitable, though some of Dr. Saunders' newer seedlings are very promising.

SPRAYING.

In no season, probably, since spraying has been recognized as necessary to successful fruit culture has the value of it been more apparent than this year. The crop of fruit was abundant, but conditions were very favourable for the development of fungous diseases. What promised to be a good apple crop in the early part of the season was very much lessened in value by the development of the black spot fungus and the percentage of No. 1 apples was small where trees were unsprayed. Thorough spraying, though difficult to manage owing to showery weather, prevented the spread of the disease, and there were many instances where men who sprayed well had a large percentage of clean fruit. Although it is recommended to spray winter apples five, or even six, times during the season, many persons do not spray more than three times. This is a great mistake, as was amply demonstrated this year, as the black spot developed late and where spraying had been discontinued the fruit was moderately to badly affected. The expense of spraying is considerable and it is money thrown away to not do the work thoroughly and frequently.

In Great Britain and Europe during the past three years a mixture has been made with washing soda to neutralize the sulphate of copper instead of lime. It is claimed that this mixture adheres better than the ordinary Bordeaux mixture. Tests were made at the Central Experimental Farm this year to learn how much soda was necessary to neutralize 4 lbs. of bluestone, and it was found that 5 lbs. were needed. The formula for the preparation of the soda mixture would thus be:—

4 lbs. copper sulphate.

5 lbs. washing soda.

40 gallons water.

An experiment was tried to determine the value of this mixture as compared with the ordinary Bordeaux, but as none of the fruit was spotted no conclusions could be drawn. The soda mixture is well worthy of a trial, for although a little more expensive, it is more easy of application than that made with lime, and often good lime is hard to get in the country.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FUNGUS DISEASES AND OTHER INJURIES.

The following fungous diseases and other injuries are thought worthy of special mention this year :—

Sooty Fungus or Fly Speck Fungus (*Leptothyrium pomi*). My attention was called to this disease by Mr. Alex. McNeill, Chief Fruit Inspector for the Dominion. It is not a common disease in Ontario, but was more prevalent than usual in the vicinity of St. Catharines this year. The following quotation from a letter received from Mr. Robert Thompson, St. Catharines, Ont., gives information regarding it. He writes : ' We have had it here in very low lying orchards, in flats or creeks or orchards in valleys in damp seasons, but never very much. This season it is more prevalent. It has always been called by the buyers in Montreal 'The Cloud' and the fruit is called 'clouded fruit'. This disease also occurred to a limited extent in the orchard of Mr. D. J. McKinnon, Grimsby, Ont., who submitted a specimen of affected fruit for examination. The Sooty Fungus is more prevalent in some of the Eastern States than it is in Canada, the variety of apple most affected being the Rhode Island Greening.

The disease appears on the surface of the skin in irregular, black, sooty-like patches, which look not unlike splashes of ink. Fortunately, it is easily controlled and one spraying with Bordeaux mixture when the apples are about the size of hickory nuts is said to prevent the spread of it.

Russetting of Apples.—The skin of apples russetted badly in 1902, from Prince Edward Island westward to the province of Ontario, and there has been much discussion as to its cause. At the Central Experimental Farm a few varieties are russetted every year, but this season more kinds were affected.

It is our opinion that the russetting was due to spraying and that some kinds are more subject than others. This year, by mistake, a very strong copper sulphate mixture was put on a few trees here. The fruit on these was much more russetted than on those which received the regular mixture, showing that the strong mixture had caused russetting. It is our belief that owing to the exceptionally cool season the skins of apples were not as tough as usual, and that the ordinary Bordeaux mixture caused the russetting which occurred in different parts of the country. Statements have been made that the fruit was russetted on both sprayed and unsprayed trees in orchards, and others have said that the russetting only occurred where the trees were sprayed. Closer observations will be made next year.

Dropping of Apple Leaves.—The leaves of apple trees dropped badly this year, especially during the month of July. This dropping occurred in the Maritime provinces, in the province of Quebec and also in Ontario. It was also common in the eastern states. Small brown patches first appeared on the leaves which gradually became yellow and dropped off. The brown patches looked as if they were caused by scald. They were put under a high power microscope at the Central Experimental Farm and no trace of disease could be found. In one orchard visited it was noticed that there was little or no injury where the leaves did not get the direct rays of the sun. This injury occurred in both sprayed and unsprayed orchards. There is a leaf disease in the United States which causes injury somewhat like this, but as no disease was to be found the only present explanation of the dropping is that it was caused by unusual climatic conditions.

Black Rot of the Cabbage.—Since 1899 the cabbages at the Experimental Farm have been affected with the disease known as black rot and this year they were badly injured by it. The mid season and late varieties have been most affected. This disease has only been recognized since 1889, when it was found in Kentucky, but it has become very troublesome within the past ten years, and now occurs in the United States in a great many states and does serious damage to this vegetable. It has not, however, been often reported in Canada. The only report this year was from A. Bangel, Nicolet, Que., who wrote that it was doing much damage to his cabbages. It attacks cauliflowers, Brussels sprouts and turnips and some other allied vegetables.

The first indication of the disease is a wilting and turning yellow of parts of the outer leaves and finally of whole leaves. The disease rapidly spreads to other parts until the whole head is affected and becomes an unsightly mass of rotting leaves. Sometimes the stem is so badly affected that the leaves wither, even though not all diseased. When the outside leaves are destroyed the head bursts and becomes useless. The germs of the disease usually enter from the margin of the leaves through the pores which exude the drops of water so familiar to the cabbage. The germs lodge in these drops and from them enter the leaf pores and gradually spread through the leaf. It is thought that insects also carry the disease. The germs remain over winter in the decaying vegetables and in the spring infect the new plants. The germs are also spread by manure from stock which have been fed with infected plants.

The only known remedy for the disease is prevention. Cabbages should not be planted on land where the disease has been the year before.

The diseased leaves and plants should be taken away and burned as soon as noticed and on no account should they be fed. All cruciferous weeds such as wild mustard should be destroyed. Sow seed in new soil every year.

Owing to the serious damage done to cabbage by this disease, its spread should be prevented if possible.

The late varieties which have been least affected during the past four years have been the Late Flat Dutch types, such as Premium Flat Dutch, Bloomsdale Large Late Flat Dutch, All Seasons, Henderson's Selected Late Flat Dutch and Large Late Flat Dutch.

More information regarding this disease can be obtained from Bulletin, No. 65, Agricultural Experiment Station, Wisconsin; Bulletin No. 66 Vermont; and Farmers' Bulletin No. 68, Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., U.S.

VEGETABLES.

Experiments in testing the different varieties of nearly all the kinds of vegetables and experiments in different methods of culture were continued this year. Owing to the cold spring and late frost, the melons failed and the cucumbers were poor but nearly everything else did well. For the past three years selections have been made of beans and peas with a view to originating earlier and more productive strains and in the case of beans a marked difference in time of being ready for use is already noticed. The experiments with potatoes have been the most varied, as the potato is such an important vegetable. For the past four years a 'List of Best Vegetables for Farmers' has been given, but this is omitted this year owing to the lack of space and also for the reason that no changes of any importance are necessary.

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

The yields of the varieties of potatoes in the uniform test plots were very good this year. The largest crop was from the Peachblow, an old variety, which yielded at the rate of 772 bushels 12 pounds per acre, being the highest yield of potatoes ever recorded at the Central Experimental Farm. The fine crop this year was due principally to good seed, thorough cultivation and thorough spraying with Bordeaux mixture to prevent blight and rot and Paris green to kill the potato beetle. A good growing season also favoured the development of the tubers. Early planted potatoes were injured by spring frosts which injured the vines and weakened the plants.

There were 131 varieties tested in uniform plots this year. The difference between the highest and lowest yields was 618 bushels 12 pounds per acre which shows the value of planting the most productive kinds. The loss from planting inferior varieties must be enormous every year. The average yield per acre from all the varieties tested was 429 bushels per acre.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The test was made on good sandy loam soil which was given a moderate dressing of well rotted manure on April 23. This was ploughed under on April 24. Shortly before planting, the soil was brought into good condition and the manure thoroughly mixed through it by harrowing twice with the disc harrow and once with the smoothing harrow. Drills $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart and 4 inches deep were opened with the double mold board plough and 66 sets of each variety were planted 1 foot apart in a single row. The sets were of good size, having at least three eyes and a liberal amount of flesh. The large yields which are obtained nearly every year are no doubt to a large extent due to the fact that a perfect stand is obtained by using good sets. The soil was harrowed once before the plants were above ground to destroy weeds and then kept loose with the cultivator until the vines were too large to get through without doing damage. The vines were sprayed with Paris green to destroy the potato beetle and three times with Bordeaux mixture to prevent rot and blight. The potatoes were planted on May 27 and dug on October 8, 9 and 10.

POTATOES—Test of Varieties.

No.	Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Un-marketable.		Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Peachblow	Medium....	772	12	690	48	81	24	White.
2	Flemish Beauty.....	Poor.....	697	24	624	48	72	36	Bright pink.
3	Dr. Maercher.....	Medium....	695	12	644	36	50	36	White.
4	Irish Cobbler.....	Good.....	646	48	563	12	83	36	"
5	Money Maker.....	"	622	36	583	0	39	36	"
6	Late Puritan.....	"	613	48	486	12	127	36	"
7	Troy Seedling.....	Medium....	609	24	532	24	77	0	"
8	Irish Daisy.....	Good.....	605	0	473	0	132	0	"
9	Dakota Red.....	Medium....	594	0	550	0	44	0	Red.
10	Pearce.....	Good.....	574	12	481	48	92	24	Pink and white.
11	Brown's Rot Proof.....	Medium....	565	24	501	36	63	48	Pink.
12	Carman No. 1.....	Good.....	552	12	503	48	48	24	White.
13	Mammoth Pearl.....	"	552	12	488	24	63	48	"
14	Early Elkinah.....	Good.....	545	36	440	0	105	36	Pink.
15	Swiss Snowflake.....	Good.....	532	24	497	12	35	12	White.
16	Livingston.....	"	530	12	446	36	83	36	White, pink eye.
17	Wonderful.....	"	519	12	413	36	105	36	"
18	Bergeron.....	Medium....	517	0	470	48	46	12	" "
19	Sabeau's Elephant.....	Good.....	517	0	435	36	81	24	White.
20	American Wonder.....	"	508	12	462	0	46	12	"
21	Hale's Champion.....	Poor.....	506	0	448	48	57	12	"
22	Napoleon.....	Good.....	506	0	462	0	44	0	Pink.
23	Enormous.....	"	501	36	457	36	44	0	White.
24	Sharpe's Seedling.....	"	499	24	453	12	46	12	Pink and white.
25	Reeves' Rose.....	"	497	12	426	48	70	24	Pink.
26	Rawdon Rose.....	Good.....	497	12	418	0	79	12	Pink and white.
27	I. X. L.....	"	492	48	453	12	39	36	"
28	Country Gentleman.....	"	488	24	420	12	68	12	"
29	Montana Bluff.....	"	488	24	413	36	74	48	White, bright pink eye.
30	Jubilee.....	Good.....	456	12	451	0	35	12	Pink and white.
31	Early St. George.....	"	481	48	400	24	81	24	"
32	Burnaby Mammoth.....	"	479	36	418	0	61	36	"
33	Quaker City.....	"	477	24	415	48	61	36	White.
34	Northern Spy.....	Poor.....	473	0	426	48	46	12	Bright pink.
35	Maule's Thoroughbred.....	"	473	0	409	12	63	48	Pink.
36	Rochester Rose.....	Good.....	473	0	402	36	70	24	"
37	Delaware.....	"	473	0	391	36	81	24	White.
38	Carman No. 3.....	"	470	48	435	36	35	12	"
39	Clay Rose.....	Medium....	470	48	424	36	46	12	Pink.
40	Dublin Prize.....	"	466	24	409	12	57	12	"
41	Brosseau.....	"	464	12	444	24	19	48	Red and white.
42	Early Northern.....	Good.....	464	12	420	12	41	0	Pink.
43	Daisy.....	"	464	12	380	36	83	36	Pink and white.

POTATOES—Test of Varieties—Continued.

No.	Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Un- marketable.		Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
44	Seattle.....	Medium...	464	12	356	24	107	48	White.
45	Burnaby Seedling.....	Good.....	462	0	418	0	44	0	Pink and white.
46	Early Harvest.....	".....	457	36	407	0	50	36	Pink.
47	Thorburn.....	".....	457	36	398	12	59	24	Pink and white.
48	Early Sunrise.....	".....	457	36	378	24	79	12	Pink.
49	Burpee's Extra Early.....	".....	453	12	376	12	77	0	Pink and white.
50	Rose of the North.....	".....	453	12	371	48	81	24	Pink.
51	Early Puritan.....	Good.....	448	48	391	36	57	12	White.
52	20th Century.....	".....	446	36	385	0	61	36	"
53	State of Maine.....	Good.....	442	12	396	0	46	12	"
54	Uncle Sam.....	".....	442	12	389	24	52	48	"
55	Empire State.....	".....	442	12	376	12	66	0	"
56	Dreer's Standard.....	".....	440	0	400	24	39	36	"
57	Penn. Manor.....	".....	440	0	393	48	46	12	Pink and white.
58	Vick's Extra Early.....	Good.....	440	0	385	0	55	0	"
59	Doherty's Seedling.....	".....	437	48	411	24	26	24	White.
60	Holborn Abundance.....	Medium.....	437	48	380	36	57	12	"
61	Champion from N.B.....	".....	437	48	367	24	70	24	"
62	Early Market.....	".....	435	36	404	48	30	48	Pink.
63	Columbus.....	".....	435	36	385	0	50	36	Pink and white.
64	Wonder of the World.....	Good.....	435	36	323	24	112	12	"
65	New Queen.....	".....	431	12	321	12	110	0	"
66	Early Summer.....	".....	429	0	323	24	105	36	"
67	Polaris.....	Good.....	426	48	398	12	28	36	White.
68	McIntyre.....	Medium.....	426	48	371	48	55	0	White and purple.
69	Gem of Aroostook.....	Good.....	426	48	368	36	57	12	Pink and white.
70	Prize Taker.....	".....	426	48	365	12	61	36	Pink.
71	Early Michigan.....	".....	426	48	316	48	110	0	White.
72	Early Rose.....	Good.....	422	24	396	0	26	24	Pink.
73	Dobson's Early.....	".....	420	12	385	0	35	12	White.
74	Canadian Beauty.....	Good.....	420	12	356	24	63	48	Pink and white.
75	Burbank's Seedling.....	".....	420	12	352	0	68	12	White.
76	American Giant.....	Medium.....	415	48	380	36	35	12	"
77	Seedling No. 7.....	".....	413	36	369	36	44	0	Bright pink.
78	White Beauty.....	Good.....	413	36	281	36	132	0	White.
79	Chicago Market.....	".....	407	0	387	12	19	48	Pink.
80	New Variety No. 1.....	Poor.....	404	48	369	36	35	12	White.
81	Seedling No. 230.....	Medium.....	404	48	345	24	59	24	White.
82	Rose No. 9.....	".....	402	36	363	0	39	36	Pink.
83	Lizzie's Pride.....	Good.....	400	24	325	36	74	48	Pink, red eye.
84	Bovee.....	".....	400	24	299	12	101	12	Pink and white.
85	Dooley.....	".....	398	12	382	48	15	24	"
86	Harvest King.....	".....	398	12	380	36	17	36	White.
87	Rural Blush No. 2.....	Good.....	396	0	380	36	15	24	"
88	Dutch Blue.....	".....	396	0	347	36	48	24	Dark purple.
89	White Elephant.....	".....	393	48	360	48	33	0	Pink and white.
90	Everett.....	Good.....	391	36	332	12	59	24	Pink.
91	General Gordon.....	".....	389	24	343	12	46	12	"
92	Reading Giant.....	Poor.....	389	24	323	24	66	0	"
93	Earliest of All.....	Good.....	385	0	231	0	154	0	Pink and white.
94	Early Pride.....	".....	378	24	341	0	37	24	Pink.
95	Green Mountain.....	".....	378	24	272	48	105	36	White.
96	Rural Blush.....	".....	376	12	281	36	94	36	Pink.
97	Sir Walter Raleigh.....	".....	374	0	343	12	30	48	White.
98	Bliss' Triumph.....	".....	374	0	286	0	88	0	Red.
99	White Giant.....	".....	367	24	334	24	33	0	White.
100	Great Divide.....	Good.....	367	24	334	24	33	0	"
101	Clarke's No. 1.....	".....	360	48	299	12	61	36	Pink.
102	Early Six Weeks.....	".....	358	36	292	36	66	0	"
103	Silver Dollar.....	".....	358	36	288	12	70	24	White.
104	Up-to-date.....	".....	354	12	261	48	92	24	"
105	Vanier.....	Poor to med.	354	12	242	0	112	12	Red.
106	Pink Eye.....	".....	352	0	275	0	77	0	White, bright pink eye.
107	Mills' Prize.....	Good.....	349	48	259	36	90	12	White.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

POTATOES—Test of Varieties—*Concluded.*

No.	Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Un-marketable.		Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
108	Beauty of Hebron	Medium....	341	0	272	48	68	12	Pink and white.
109	Pearce's Prize Winner	Good.....	334	24	281	36	52	48	Pink.
110	Early Ohio.....	"	332	12	266	12	66	0	"
111	Churchill Seedling.....	"	330	0	281	36	48	24	White.
112	Seedling No. 214.....	Good.....	323	24	255	12	68	12	"
113	Maggie Murphy.....	Medium....	321	12	303	36	17	36	Bright pink.
114	Cambridge Russet.....	Good.....	321	12	294	48	26	24	White.
115	Wall's Orange.....	"	321	12	281	36	39	36	Yellow, purple eye.
116	Rose of Erin.....	"	319	0	299	12	19	48	Pale pink, bright pink eye.
117	Early Andes	Good.....	316	48	264	0	52	48	Pink.
118	Early White Prize.....	"	314	36	248	36	66	0	White.
119	Red Rock	"	308	0	237	36	70	24	Red.
120	Pearce's Extra Early.....	Good.....	305	48	213	24	92	24	Pink.
121	Early Rose.....	"	283	48	213	24	70	24	"
122	Pride of the Market	"	277	12	178	12	99	0	White.
123	Bill Nye.....	"	275	0	195	48	79	12	"
124	Livingston's Banner	Good.....	274	48	202	24	70	24	"
125	Early Dawn.....	"	268	24	228	48	39	36	Pink, brighter at seed end.
126	Brownell's Winner.....	Good....	266	12	222	12	44	0	Red.
127	Ohio Junior	"	259	36	211	12	48	24	Pink.
128	Vigorsa.....	"	250	48	200	12	50	36	Pink and white.
129	Seneca Queen.....	Very good..	250	48	178	12	72	36	Pink and white, bright pink eye.
130	Blue Potato	"	237	36	171	36	66	0	Deep purple.
131	Houlton Rose.....	"	154	0	123	12	30	48	Pink.

An average yield per acre of 429 bushels.

ADDITIONAL VARIETIES OF POTATOES TESTED IN 1902.

In addition to the varieties of potatoes grown in the uniform test plots, smaller quantities of the following kinds were planted :—

Name of Variety.	Number of Sets Planted.	Total Yield Per Acre.		Yield Per Acre of Marketable.		Yield Per Acre of Unmarketable.	
		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Seedling No. 2, D. Murray, N.S.....	15	503	22	387	12	116	10
Kaiser	33	475	12	431	12	44	..
Snowball.....	33	470	48	404	48	66	..
Early Envoy.....	33	435	36	409	12	26	24
Eureka Extra Early.....	33	413	36	343	12	70	24
Northern Beauty.....	33	409	12	299	12	110	..
Seedling No. 1, D. Murray, N.S.....	15	396	53	329	7	67	46
Crimes Lightning.....	33	396	..	365	12	30	48
Pat's Choice.....	33	356	24	330	..	26	24
Pingree.....	33	352	..	312	24	39	36
Van Orman's Earliest.....	33	343	12	321	12	22	..
Cyclop	33	330	..	277	12	52	48
King Michigan.....	33	330	..	259	36	70	24
Woltman.....	33	316	48	202	24	114	24
Todd's Seedling, W. H. Todd, Ingersoll, Ont.....	33	154	..	123	12	30	48

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

TWELVE BEST YIELDING POTATOES—AVERAGE OF THREE TO EIGHT YEARS' TESTS.

Name of Variety.	Average Yield per Acre.		Name of Variety.	Average Yield per Acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.		Bush.	Lbs.
1. Swiss Snowflake, 3 yrs.....	452	28	7. McIntyre, 3 yrs.....	409	12
2. Late Puritan, 8 yrs.....	431	59	8. Country Gentleman, 4 yrs.	403	4
3. American Wonder, 8 yrs.....	430	29	9. Uncle Sam, 5 yrs.....	402	36
4. Seattle, 8 yrs.....	428	8	10. Flemish Beauty, 8 yrs	397	56
5. Holborn Abundance, 8 yrs.....	426	28	11. Burnaby Seedling, 8 yrs.....	391	49
6. Penn. Manor, 4 yrs.....	411	24	12. Reeves' Rose, 6 yrs.	391	5

An average crop of 414 bushels 43 lbs. per acre.

The above table was taken from Bulletin 41, prepared by Dr. Wm. Saunders.

POTATOES PLANTED AT DIFFERENT DATES.

In 1898, an experiment was begun in planting potatoes at different dates, beginning when the main crop was put in and continuing at intervals of two weeks until August 23, 1898, July 23, 1899; July 21, 1900; July 11, 1901, and July 24, 1902. An early and a late variety were used each year, the varieties being Early Norther and Irish Daisy, in 1898, Early Norther and Rural Blush, in 1899; Early Norther and Sir Walter Raleigh, in 1900; Early St. George and Rural No. 2, in 1901, and Everett and Carman No. 1, in 1902. In 1902, two plantings were made before the main crop was put in, the yields from the planting made on May 15, being the best of the series. This experiment proves that as far north as Ottawa a fairly good crop of marketable potatoes can be obtained by planting as late as July 10, when they might succeed an early crop, such as garden pease. The vines in this test were not sprayed with Bordeaux mixture this year.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Date of planting.	Total yield per acre 1898.		Total yield per acre, 1899.		Total yield per acre, 1900.		Total yield per acre, 1901.		Total yield per acre, 1902.		Total average yield per acre, 1898-2.		Average yield per acre mar- ketable, 1898-2		Average yield per acre Un- mar ketable 1898-1902.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
<i>Early variety.</i>																
1st early planting, May 1, 1902..									263	48						
2nd early planting, May 15, 1902									294	48						
1st planting, May 26, 1898; May 26, 1899; May 26, 1900; May 30, 1901; May 29, 1902.....	277	12	505	47	409	12	374		217	48	356	48	306	25	50	23
2nd planting, June 10, 1898; June 9, 1899; June 9, 1900; June 13, 1901 June 12, 1902.....	160	36	459	48	453	12	299	12	217	48	318	7	254	8	63	59
3rd planting, June 24, 1898; June 23, 1899; June 23, 1900; June 27, 1901; June 26, 1902.....	125	24	237	10	365	12	246	24	140	48	222	59	174	25	48	34
4th planting, July 8, 1898; July 7, 1899; July 7, 1900; July 11, 1901; July 10, 1902.....	30	48	9	41	268	24	74	48	136	24	104	1	72	10	31	51
5th planting, July 23, 1898; July 21, 1899; July 21, 1900; July 24, 1902.....	1	6			26	24			77							
6th planting, Aug. 9, 1898.....	No yield															
7th planting, Aug. 23, 1898.....																
<i>Late variety.</i>																
Planted on same dates as early variety—																
1st early planting.....									373	24						
2nd "									459	48						
1st planting.....	259	36	338	48	277	12	501	36	411	24	357	43	314	20	43	23
2nd "	173	48	164	34	338	48	404	48	281	36	272	42	220	37	52	5
3rd "	68	12	157	18	198		325	36	206	48	191	10	163	2	23	8
4th "	8	48	19	22	202	24	57	12	233	12	104	11	64	14	39	57
5th "	1	6			26	24			118	48						
6th "	No yield															
7th "																

AVERAGE RESULTS FROM OTHER EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

Planting at different distances apart:—A test of seven years has proven that the best results are obtained in sandy loam soil from planting in rows $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart with the sets 14 inches apart in the rows.

Planting at different depths:—For six consecutive years the highest yields of potatoes have been obtained in sandy loam soil from planting the sets only 1 inch deep. Planting from 4 to 5 inches deep is, however, recommended for field culture.

Different kinds of sets:—The highest yields have been obtained from large, whole potatoes, but the best and most economical method is to cut medium to large potatoes into sets having at least 3 eyes with a good amount of flesh. The results vary with different varieties.

SPRAYING POTATOES.

It is surprising that more farmers do not spray their potatoes with Bordeaux mixture to prevent blight and rot. For years the Central Experimental Farm has been recommending it, and it has been shown that the yields were more than one-third greater when the potatoes were thoroughly sprayed, and this year the yields in some cases were doubled.

A material known as Bug Death was compared this year with poisoned Bordeaux mixture in an experiment to prevent blight and rot, and to kill the Colorado potato beetle.

In the pamphlet on Bug Death, published by the Bug Death Chemical Company, it is claimed that this material, Bug Death, 'kills the bugs, feeds the plants, and increases the yield.' The object was to find out whether this statement was correct, and to learn if Bug Death could be applied economically in preference to Paris green and Bordeaux mixture.

On May 28 two rows each, of eleven varieties of potatoes, were planted in as uniform soil as possible. The rows were divided into three equal parts, making the three plots one-thirty-sixth of an acre each.

At the Experimental Farm it is not the custom to spray for the potato beetle until the young are hatched. The first spraying was not, therefore, made until July 10, at which time the larvæ were very numerous and the plants large and vigorous.

It is recommended by the Bug Death Company to apply Bug Death dry at the rate of 12½ pounds or more per acre from three to five times. In order to test its value as plant food it was applied the first time at the rate of 144 lbs. to the acre. The plants which were large were gone over twice, in order that the surface of the leaves should be entirely covered with the Bug Death. At the next three applications, namely, on July 22, July 30 and August 13, the Bug Death was sprayed on the vines; the formula used being 1 lb. to 3 gallons of water, as recommended by the company. It was found that 3 gallons of the mixture covered the vines nicely. This was at the rate of 108 gallons per acre, or 36 lbs. of Bug Death. The amount of liquid used was by no means excessive, as 190 gallons per acre of Bordeaux mixture were used at each spraying in the experiment, and in field work 120 to 150 gallons per acre has been applied. Where Paris green and water alone were used the mixture was sprayed on at the rate of 130 gallons per acre.

The following is a statement of the results, and also of the comparisons with other mixtures used:—

Formula 1.—Bug Death, applied dry, July 10, 1902. Applied at the rate of 144 lbs. per acre.

Result as an Insecticide.—Practically all beetles were killed.

Formula 1a.—Bug Death, mixed with water in the proportion of 1 lb. of Bug Death to 3 gallons water. Sprayed on vines July 22, July 30, August 13, each time at the rate of 36 lbs. per acre. Total, 108 lbs. per acre.

Result as an Insecticide.—Killed practically all the beetles.

Result as a Fungicide.—Plants remained green longer and yield was larger than where sprayed with Paris green alone, which is an insecticide only, but plants were not as long green, nor the yield as heavy, as where sprayed with Bordeaux mixture.

Formula 2.—Paris green, 8 ounces to 40 gallons of water. Sprayed on vines July 10, July 22 and July 30, each time at the rate of 2 lbs. 4 ounces per acre. Total, 6 lbs. 12 ounces per acre.

Result of spraying July 10.—Only a few bugs left, but more than where Bug Death was used at the rate of 144 lbs. per acre.

Formula 3.—6 lbs. bluestone, 4 lbs. lime, 8 oz. Paris green, 40 gallons water, the ordinary formula for potato blight. Sprayed on vines July 10, July 22, July 30, August 13, each time at the rate of 28½ lbs. bluestone and 2 lbs. 6 oz. Paris green per acre. Total, 114 lbs. bluestone and 9 lbs. 8 oz. Paris green per acre.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SPRAYING WITH BORDEAUX MIXTURE AND BUG DEATH TO PREVENT BLIGHT AND ROT.

Name of Variety.	Plants dead where sprayed with Bordeaux mix- ture.	Plants dead where sprayed with Bug Death.	Plants dead where unsprayed.	Yield per acre mar- ketable potatoes. — Bordeaux mixture.	Yield per acre mar- ketable potatoes. — Bug Death.	Yield per acre mar- ketable potatoes. — Unsprayed.	Yield per acre rot- ten potatoes. — Bordeaux mixture.	Yield per acre rot- ten potatoes. — Bug Death.	Yield per acre rot- ten potatoes. — Unsprayed.
	Sept. 30	Sept. 16	Sept. 10	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
Jubilee	Sept. 30	Sept. 16	Sept. 10	379 30	339 54	214 30	9 54	72 36	95 42
Carman No. 3.	" 22	" 13	" 4	376 12	247 30	224 24	13 12	23 6
Bliss Triumph.	" 13	" 7	" 4	359 42	316 48	300 18	3 18	19 48
Early Ohio.	" 22	" 13	" 4	349 48	174 54	143 30	29 42	52 48	56 6
Holborn Abundance.	Oct. 2	" 20	" 16	346 30	349 48	290 24	59 24	62 42	62 24
Great Divide.	Sept. 21	" 13	" 4	339 54	277 12	174 54	33 ..	23 6
Empire State.	" 29	" 15	" 10	297 ..	231 ..	132 ..	13 12	16 30	42 54
Livingston's Banner	Oct. 1	" 10	" 9	280 30	171 36	141 54	3 18	6 36	23 6
Polaris.	Sept. 19	" 10	" 4	264 ..	178 12	165 ..	19 48	42 54	16 30
Vigorousa.	" 20	" 13	" 5	217 48	201 18	151 48	33 ..	52 48	16 30
McIntyre.	Oct. 1	" 16	" 13	201 18	273 54	145 12
Average	Sept. 25	Sept. 13	Sept. 7	310 12	251 6	189 54	15 18	32 24	34 28

COST OF MATERIALS.

Formula 1: Bug Death, dry, at the rate of 144 lbs per acre.

144 lbs. at 8c. per lb. \$11 52

Formula 1a: Bug Death, 1 lb. to 3 gallons water.

108 lbs. (3 applications) at 8c. per lb. 8 64

Total cost \$20 16

Yield per acre: 251 bush. 6 lbs.

Formula 2: Paris green, 8 oz. to 40 gallons water.

6 lbs. 12 oz. (3 applications) at 20c. lb. \$ 1 35

Yield per acre: 189 bush. 54 lbs.

Formula 3: Bluestone, 6 lbs.; lime, 4 lbs.; Paris green, 8 oz.; water, 40 gallons.

114 lbs. bluestone at 7c. per lb. \$ 7 98

9 lbs. 8 oz. Paris green at 20c. lb. 1 90

Total cost \$ 9 88

Yield per acre: 310 bush. 12 lbs.

COMPARISON OF COST OF MATERIAL AND YIELDS PER ACRE.

Formula.	Cost of material. Per acre.	Yield per acre. Average of 11 Varieties.
Paris green.	\$ 1 35	189 bush. 54 lbs.
Bug Death.	20 16	251 " 6 "
Bordeaux mixture and Paris green.	9 88	310 " 12 "

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

It may be urged that the quantities of Bug Death used in the above test were altogether excessive. Let us then presume that the amount recommended by the company in its circular, namely, $12\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. per acre, applied from 3 to 5 times, is sufficient to destroy the bugs, and let us further grant that with this lesser quantity the yield would be equal to that obtained in our experiment, we have, taking 50 lbs. per acre as the amount applied, ($12\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. four times) the following comparative figures:—

Paris green.....	\$1 35 per acre.
Bug Death.....	4 00 "
Bordeaux mixture and Paris green.....	9 88 "

Difference in cost in favour of Bug Death: \$5.88. There was, however, a difference in yield per acre of 59 bush. 6 lbs. in favour of Bordeaux mixture and Paris green. At 40c. a bushel, a fair price at the present time, this difference in yield would mean \$23.64, or a net difference in favour of Bordeaux mixture and Paris green of \$17.76 per acre.

OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS.

As a fungicide, Bug Death is not as economical to use as Bordeaux mixture. As an insecticide and fungicide combined, it can probably be used more profitably than Paris green alone, which is an insecticide only, as there is a difference of 61 bush. 12 lbs. per acre in favour of Bug Death as compared with Paris green alone.

Bug Death cannot, however, be used as economically as Bordeaux mixture and Paris green combined.

Nine varieties out of the eleven in the test, yielded more per acre where Bordeaux mixture was used than where Bug Death was applied. In two varieties the yield from Bug Death was greater.

There was no evidence from this year's experiments to show that Bug Death is a plant food. The vines were no more vigorous than where Bordeaux mixture and Paris green were used together.

Bug Death adheres well to the foliage.

TOMATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

As the tomato is one of the most popular of vegetables, the different varieties which are offered for sale have been given a thorough trial. Many of the varieties have been tested for seven years and the average results, which each year become more valuable, are given in the following tables. The earliest varieties of tomatoes are the most profitable, and as many early kinds as possible have been obtained. For three years the Sparks Earliana has been tested and this is considered the best early tomato grown here, as it is very early, of good size, and quite smooth. The Early Richmond and Extra Early Jersey tomatoes have been discarded, although they were very productive sorts, but as they were wrinkled kinds and resembled the Early Bermuda very closely it was thought best to discontinue them. Other poorer sorts were also discarded this year.

The seed of the tomatoes grown this year was sown in hot beds on April 3; the young plants were pricked out into strawberry boxes on April 25, and planted in the open ground on June 2. They were placed 4 feet apart each way, and five plants of each variety were used. The soil was a light sandy loam where corn had been grown the previous year, the corn having been well manured. The soil was kept cultivated until the growth of the plants prevented it. The vines were spread out to admit sunshine, but not trained or pruned in any way. The early part of the season was not favourable to the ripening of the fruit, but by the end of the season a good crop of ripe fruit had been produced. Ninety three varieties were tested this year.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TOMATOES—TWELVE BEST YIELDING VARIETIES, 1902.

Name of Variety.	Date of first ripe fruit.	Yield of ripe fruit, first three pickings.	Yield of ripe fruit, balance pickings.	Total yield of ripe fruit, all pickings.	Total yield of ripe fruit, per plant.	Remarks.
1 Dominion Day.....	July 30.	Lbs. oz. 2 10	Lbs. oz. 137 6	Lbs. oz. 140	Lbs. oz. 28	Large, wrinkled, scarlet.
2 Early Leader.....	" 31.	8 12	129 12	138 8	27 11	Below medium, half wrinkled, scarlet.
3 Earliest of All.....	Aug. 6.	2 4	115 14	118 2	23 10	Medium, half wrinkled, scarlet.
4 Conqueror.....	July 31.	3 2	113	116 2	23 4	Medium, wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
5 Maule's Earliest..	Aug. 4.	2 12	109	109 12	21 15	Large, wrinkled, scarlet.
6 Thorburn's Earliest..	" 4.	2	106	108	21 10	Above medium, wrinkled, scarlet.
7 Canada Victor.....	" 12.	4	105 4	105 8	21 2	Medium, wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
8 Quicksure.....	" 7.	1 6	103 12	105 2	21	Medium, almost smooth, scarlet.
9 Bond's Early Minnesota	" 7.	8	104	104 8	20 14	Below medium to medium, smooth purplish pink.
10 Atlantic Prize.....	July 30.	2 8	101 15	104 7	20 14	Medium, almost smooth, scarlet.
11 Bright and Early	Aug. 7.	1	102 12	103 12	20 12	Below medium, smooth, scarlet.
12 Early Ruby.....	July 29.	2 12	95 10	98 6	19 11	Medium, half wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.

TOMATOES—SIX EARLIEST VARIETIES, 1902.

Early Leader.....	July 31.	8 12	129 12	138 8	27 11	Below medium, half wrinkled, scarlet.
Early Ruby.....	" 29.	2 12	95 10	98 6	19 11	Medium, half wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
Dominion Day.....	" 30.	2 10	137 6	140	28	Large, wrinkled, scarlet.
Atlantic Prize	" 30.	2 8	101 15	104 7	20 14	Medium, almost smooth, scarlet.
Extra Early Red.....	" 30.	1 6	93 12	95 2	19	Below medium, smooth, scarlet.
Spark's Earliana.....	" 29.	6	77	77 6	15 8	Medium, smooth, scarlet.

SIX BEST YIELDING WRINKLED VARIETIES—AVERAGE FOR FIVE YEARS OR MORE.

Name of Variety.	No. of Years.	Average date of first ripe fruit.	Average yield per plant.	Remarks.
1 Early Bermuda.....	7	Aug. 6..	Lbs. oz. 17	Medium, wrinkled, scarlet.
2 Canada Victor.....	7	" 6..	16	2 Medium, wrinkled to smooth scarlet.
3 Money Maker.....	7	" 4..	16	1 Medium to above medium, wrinkled, scarlet.
4 Conqueror.....	7	" 2..	15	5 Medium, wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
5 Democrat.....	7	" 9..	13	8 Medium, wrinkled, purplish pink.
6 Boston Market.....	5	" 7..	13	7 Large, wrinkled, scarlet.

TWELVE BEST YIELDING SMOOTH VARIETIES—AVERAGE FOR FIVE YEARS OR MORE.

1 Bright and Early	6	Aug. 9..	17	1	Below medium, smooth, scarlet.
2 Baltimore Prize Taker..	7	" 10..	16	4	Medium to above medium, smooth, purplish pink.
3 Bond's Early Minnesota	7	" 2..	15	14	Medium to below medium, smooth, purplish pink.
4 Early Ruby.....	7	July 31..	15	3	Medium, half wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
5 Extra Early Advance..	7	Aug. 6..	15	1	Below medium, smooth, scarlet.
6 Essex Hybrid.....	7	" 8..	14	12	Medium to above medium, smooth purplish pink.
7 Freedom.....	5	" 6..	14	11	Medium to below medium, smooth, scarlet.
8 Atlantic Prize.....	7	" 4..	14	1	Medium, almost smooth, scarlet.
9 Comrade.....	7	" 6..	13	15	Medium to below medium, smooth, scarlet.
10 Brinton's Best.....	7	" 15..	13	10	Above medium to large, smooth, scarlet.
11 Liberty Bell.....	6	" 8..	13	9	Large, smooth, scarlet.
12 Trophy.....	7	" 12..	13	6	Above medium to large, smooth, scarlet.

PEASE—EXPERIMENTS FOR COMPARISON OF YIELDS AND QUALITY.

For the past five years a large number of garden pease have been tested in the horticultural department and notes taken on their earliness, productiveness and quality, the length of vines being also ascertained. For the past three years a number of varieties which were considered the most promising from the standpoint of yield and quality have been grown on larger plots, in order to learn which were the best and most productive. Some of those tried in 1900 have been discarded, while other new ones are being tried. Twelve hundred selected pease of most of the varieties were sown in drills 100 feet long and 2½ feet apart on May 5 of this year. The pease germinated well and there was a good stand. As each variety became ready for use, the date was recorded and the yields of green pods from the several pickings entered.

In the following table the average results for the three years are given:—

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Ready for use, 1902.	Average Date ready for use, 1900-2.	Number of Pick- ings, 1902.	Yield of Green Pods, 100 feet, 1902.	Average Yield of Green Pods 100 ft., 1900-2.	Average length of Vine, 1902.	Quality.
				Quarts.	Quarts.	In.	
Early—							
Exonian.....	July 6..	July 6..	3	66	38½	37	Good.
Child's Morning Star.....	" 6..	" 4..	3	60	34½	28	Very good.
American Wonder.....	" 10..	" 7..	3	50	34½	26	"
Gregory's Surprise.....	" 6..	" 2..	3	44	31½	31	"
Nott's Excelsior.....	" 10..	" 6..	3	45	29½	18	"
Excelsior.....	" 9..		2	52		24	"
Second Early—							
Gradus.....	" 10..	July 8..	2	72	43½	31	"
Nott's New Perfection.....	" 14..	" 11..	3	64	43½		"
Chelsea.....	" 12..	" 8..	3	66	42½	26	"
English Wonder.....	" 14..	" 11..	2	68	41½	26	Good.
Premium Gem.....	" 14..	" 8..	3	40	36½	36	Very good.
Medium—							
Burpee's Quantity.....	" 17..	" 15..	3	70	51½	36	Good.
McLean's Little Gem.....	" 16..	" 14..	3	74	50½	37	Very good.
McLean's Advancer.....	" 16..	" 14..	4	72	48½	40	"
Heroine (2 years).....	" 24..	" 20..	2	68	45	30	"
Telephone.....	" 19..		3	86		54	"
Late—							
McLean's Prolific.....	" 24..	July 22..	3	116	67½	43	Good.
Champion of England.....	" 21..	" 21..	3	94	64½	85	Very good.
Boston Wrinkled.....	" 21..	" 20..	2	82	60½	49	Good.
Eugenie.....	" 22..	" 21..	2	81	53½	55	"
Yorkshire Hero.....	" 26..	" 21..	2	56	39½	46	Very good.
Juno.....	" 26..	" 23..	2	50	37½	30	Good.
Veitch's Perfection.....	" 28..	" 26..	2	48	35½	69	"
Stratagem Improved.....	" 26..	" 22..	2	58	36		Very good.

FOREST BELTS.

In the Annual Report for 1901, a description was given of the Forest Belts at the Central Experimental Farm, the objects for which they were planted, and also a table giving particulars regarding the time of planting, and present height and diameter of the more important timber trees. Space will not permit of republishing the table with this year's records.

Owing to the favourable season the trees grew well this year. As usual, measurements were taken of the height and diameter of average marked trees in the belts. No

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

planting was done this year. In the mixed belts it was necessary to go through with a hook and cut back the tops of the stronger growing trees of inferior value, in order that the leaders of the better kinds could predominate, as if left the valuable trees would have in many cases been crowded out. The evergreen plantation was also thinned out as much as the trees could stand without breaking the leafy canopy, and the dead wood removed. The pines in this plantation are doing very well and are tall and straight. The trees removed made very good poles.

ARBORETUM AND BOTANIC GARDEN.

The trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants made very satisfactory growth in the Arboretum and Botanic Garden this year, there being less injury from winter than usual and the growing season very favourable. The Arboretum is now all seeded down to lawn grass with the exception of about two acres occupied by the nursery of the Ottawa Improvement Commission, and the grass, which was kept regularly cut all summer, looked well. A large number of additions were made this year to the collection of both woody and herbaceous plants. The following figures show how rapidly the number of species and varieties under test have increased. In 1889, 200 species and varieties of trees and shrubs were set out, and by the autumn of 1894 about 600 were being tested. Up to the autumn of 1901, there had been 3,728 species and varieties of trees grown, of which 2,871 were living, comprised of 185 genera, represented by about 4,500 specimens.

Of herbaceous perennials there were 1,605 species and varieties living in the autumn of 1902.

Canadian trees and shrubs have been thoroughly tested, and are well represented. All of the trees mentioned in Prof. John Macoun's paper on the 'The Forests of Canada and their distribution' have been tried, with the exception of a few Western species which have not been given a thorough trial as yet. Among these are *Salix scouleriana*, Baratt; *Pinus flexilis*, James; *Pinus albicaulis*, Eng.; *Pinus monticola*, Dougl.; *Tsuga pattoniana*, Eng.; *Tsuga mertensiana*, Carr; *Abies grandis*, Lindl.; *Abies amabilis*, Forbes.

Of Canadian trees which have been thoroughly tested the following have not proven hardy:—

Asimina triloba, Duval (Papaw). This has killed out root and branch.

Liriodendron tulipifera, Linn. (Tulip-tree). The Tulip-tree killed to near the ground every winter until last winter when it was hardier. A variety of this species, however, *integrifolia*, imported from Berlin, Germany, in 1897, has proven hardy for the past four years.

Cercis canadensis, Linn. (Judas-tree or American Red-bud).—The tree now living in the Arboretum was planted in the autumn of 1896. That winter it killed to the ground and only made weak growth in 1897; the next winter it killed back two-thirds; the third, one-half; the fourth winter it was almost hardy to the tips, and it was also the same the last two winters. This is a good example of the acclimatization of trees.

Cornus florida, Linn. (Flowering Dogwood).—One specimen of this tree was practically hardy from 1897 until two years ago when it killed to near the ground. Other specimens were not as hardy.

Nyssa sylvatica, Marsh (Sour Gum).—The tree now living was planted in the spring of 1897; the first winter it killed back one-half; the next, one-half; the third, it was hardy nearly to the tips, and again the same the last two winters.

Sassafras officinale, Nees (Sassafras).—This has killed out root and branch thus far, though it has not been as thoroughly tested as some of the others.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

The following other trees peculiar to South-western Ontario, appear to be hardier than the above, and some individual trees are perfectly hardy :—

Platanus occidentalis, Linn. (Buttonwood).

Castanea sativa, Mill. var. *Americana* (Chestnut).

Fraxinus quadrangulata, Michx. (Blue Ash).

Gleditschia triacanthos, Linn. (Honey Locust).

Some of the rest, such as *Gymnocladus canadensis*, *Cratægus Crus-galli*, *Pyrus coronaria*, and *Juglans nigra*, are quite hardy.

A few of the coast trees of British Columbia kill out root and branch, among such being *Acer macrophyllum*, *Arbutus Menziesii*, *Cornus Nuttallii*, and *Quercus garrayana*.

It is interesting to note that out of the list of 121 species of native trees published by Prof. J. Macoun, about 100 have proven hardy or half hardy here, and the writer has no doubt that when all the species are tested there will not be more than 10 which cannot be grown at Ottawa.

The question of the acclimatization of trees, shrubs and plants is a very important one, and one in which there is a good field for work at the Central Experimental Farm. A few instances have been mentioned where native trees have gradually become hardier after being planted several years. It might have been further stated that other specimens of these had been killed out root and branch. These furnish excellent examples of the individuality of trees. We have noticed over and over again in nursery rows that some trees of the same species are hardier and more vigorous than others. It has also been noticed that a tree which has a wide range from north to south, will not be as hardy when imported from the south as from the north. An excellent example is the red maple, *Acer rubrum*. This tree imported from some parts of the United States has killed back and made scrubby trees, while from further north it has done well.

There is no doubt, in the writer's opinion, that many trees which we have great difficulty in getting to fruit here, will eventually be much hardier when raised from seed ripened at Ottawa.

Contributions of plants and seeds, especially of rare Canadian species, will be gratefully received, as the desire is to increase the collection as rapidly as possible and to have the native flora well represented.

In the report for 1897, a descriptive list of 100 of the best herbaceous perennials was published and since then additional short lists have been given in the annual reports.

During the past two years the writer has had the opportunity of visiting many gardens and the great dearth of herbaceous perennials has been very apparent. This was most noticeable in the early part of the season.

The following list of the best herbaceous perennials which bloom at Ottawa before May 31, has been prepared in the hope that it may prove of assistance to lovers of flowers in helping them to make a good selection of plants, some of which will begin to bloom almost as soon as the snow is off the ground. Spring flowering bulbs are very desirable, but they should be supplemented by other plants. As there are not many who would desire to get all the kinds described, the best twenty-five are marked with an asterisk. In the following list the species and varieties are given in order of blooming.

LIST OF BEST SPRING-FLOWERING PERENNIALS.

* *Anemone patens*. Spreading pasque flower, (North America).—Height 6 to 9 inches. In bloom fourth week of April. Flowers large and pale purple. Very early. A beautiful flower.

Arabis albidia. Mountain rock cress. (Caucasus).—Height 6 to 9 inches. In bloom first week of May. Flowers small but pure white and borne profusely in racemes or clusters.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16.

Arabis alpina. White Alyssum. (Europe, North America).—Height 6 inches. Somewhat like the last, but with smaller flowers. This is very subject to attacks from the flea beetle, which destroys the leaves and buds.

* *Adonis vernalis*, Ox-eye. (Europe).—Height 6 to 9 inches. In bloom first week of May. Flowers large, lemon-yellow, borne singly on the ends of the stems. A very beautiful early flowering perennial.

Vinca minor. Periwinkle. (Europe).—Height 6 to 9 inches. Begins to bloom first week of May. Flowers a charming shade of bright blue. This pretty evergreen perennial succeeds well in shady places. The prostrate stems take root and it spreads rapidly.

Saxifraga (Megasea) cordifolia. (Siberia).—Height 1 foot. Blooms in first week of May. Flowers bright pink in a close panicle and on a heavy stem. This is an attractive plant with large shiny, evergreen foliage. Looks better in a clump than grown as a single plant. *S. Schmidtii* is also good.

Viola odorata. English Violet (Europe, Great Britain).—Although not perfectly hardy, the single sweet scented or English violet can be grown successfully with a little care. It should be planted in a partially shady place, preferably with a Northern exposure and protected in winter with evergreen boughs, which should be gradually removed in the spring in order to give the plants a chance to harden off. The double varieties are tenderer, but one known as Hardy Russian appears to be hardier than other double kinds.

* *Mertensia virginica*. Virginian Cowslip. (Western Ontario, United States).—Height 12 to 18 inches. Blooms early in May. Flowers delicate gentian blue, changing to pink, and borne in long pendulous cymes. Leaves of an attractive shade of green. A very attractive plant. Not thoroughly tested at Ottawa yet but hardy as far north as Wisconsin.

Corydalis nobilis. (Siberia).—Height 9 inches. In bloom first week of May. Flowers yellow tipped with green, and finely cut, fern-like foliage. A pretty and striking species in early spring.

Pulmonaria mollis (maculata). Lungwort. (Siberia).—Height 1 foot. In bloom first week of May. This is closely related to *Mertensia virginica*. Flowers blue and borne in graceful racemes. The leaves are mottled, which give this plant a very striking appearance.

* *Phlox subulata* Moss Pink. (Western Ontario, Eastern States).—Begins to bloom in second week of May. There are many varieties of this charming little plant, the flowers of which vary in colour from white to deep pink and are produced in great profusion. The variety *atropurpurea* has proven one of the hardiest and best.

Polemonium humile pulchellum. Dwarf Jacob's Ladder. (Rocky Mountains).—Height 6 to 9 inches. In bloom second week of May. Flowers small, blue, in drooping panicles. This plant has finely cut foliage which helps to make it attractive.

Doronicum caucasicum. Caucasian leopard's-bane. (Europe).—Height 1 foot. In bloom second week of May. Flowers large, yellow and borne singly. A good early perennial.

* *Epimedium rubrum*. Red-flowered barrenwort. (Japan).—Height 1 foot. Blooms in second week of May. Flowers small, bright crimson and white, borne in a loose panicle. A very dainty and beautiful little flower. This and the next two should be in every collection. Both flowers and leaves are ornamental.

* *Epimedium pinnatum (sulfureum)*. Yellow flowered barrenwort. (Persia).—Height 8 to 12 inches. In bloom second week of May. Flowers bright yellow, borne

in a loose panicle. This species and *E. rubrum* make a charming contrast when planted together. One of the best early flowering perennials. *E. niveum* is a white-flowered species, which is not a very robust grower.

* *Epimedium macranthum*. Large flowered barrenwort. (Japan).—Height 12 to 15 inches. Blooms during second week of May. Flowers bright red, violet and white with conspicuous spurs, making a very pleasing combination of colour. There are several good varieties of this species.

* *Papaver nudicaule*. Iceland Poppy. (Mountains and Arctic regions of Northern Hemisphere).—Height 1 foot. Begins to bloom second week of May. Flowers medium size, yellow, white, or orange. This is a very useful and pretty poppy, blooming freely until July and again in the autumn. Grows rapidly from seed.

Primula officinalis (veris). Polyanthus Primrose. (Europe, Great Britain).—Height 6 to 9 inches. In bloom second week in May. Flowers bright yellow. There is a strain of this old favourite known in the trade as the 'Hardy Primrose' or 'Harry Mitchell' which has proven perfectly hardy at Ottawa. Originated by H. Mitchell, Port Hope, Ont.

Orobis vernus. Spring-flowering bitter vetch. (Europe). Height 1 foot. In bloom second week of May. Flowers reddish-purple, pea-shaped, attractive. A good early flowering perennial.

* *Aquilegia oxysepala*. Russian Columbine. (Northern Asia).—Height 1 foot. In bloom second and third week of May. Flowers large, deep purplish-blue with blue and yellow centres. A very desirable early species and one of the best spring perennials. Being earlier than most other species, it does not hybridize, and thus remains pure.

* *Polemonium Richardsoni*. Richardson's Jacob's Ladder. (Rocky Mountains).—Height 6 to 9 inches. In bloom second and third week of May. Flowers of a fine shade of blue with yellow centres and larger than other species. Very desirable.

* *Phlox amoena*. Lovely Phlox. (Virginia).—Height 6 inches. In bloom second week in May. Flowers medium size, bright pink, in compact clusters. A fine early species.

Aquilegia glandulosa.—Altaian columbine. (Siberia).—Height 1 foot. In bloom third week of May. Flowers large, azure blue, with white centres and short spurs. A fine species. Should be treated as a biennial, as it is likely to kill out after the second season.

Aquilegia Stuarti. Stuart's Columbine.—Height 9 to 12 inches. A hybrid species. Flowers large, rich, deep blue with white centres. Finer than *A. glandulosa*. This also should be treated as a biennial, as it is not to be relied on after the second season.

* *Macrotomia (Arnebia) echinoides*. Prophet Flower. (Armenia).—Height 9 inches. In bloom third week of May. Flowers borne in clusters, rich yellow with five black spots on the petals which gradually fade away leaving them all yellow. A very pretty plant.

* *Dicentra spectabilis*. Bleeding Heart. (Siberia and Japan).—Height 3 feet. Blooms during latter half of May. Flowers heart-shaped, red and white, borne in pendulous racemes. An old favourite.

* *Doronicum plantagineum excelsum*. Tall plantain-like leopard's bane. (Great Britain).—Height 2 feet. In bloom third week of May. Flowers large and deep yellow. Good for cutting. A fine plant and very desirable.

Iris pumila. Crimean Iris. (Europe, Asia Minor).—Height 4 to 5 inches. This little iris, with its purple flowers, is well known, being found in many old gardens. It is very hardy and blooms during the second and third weeks of May. There are several

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

varieties, among the best of which is *coerulea*. There are now some good hybrids between this and other species which bloom early, have a wider range of colour, and should prove very desirable.

* *Trollius*, Orange Globe. Globe Flower.—Height $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 feet. In bloom third and fourth weeks of May. Flowers large, double rich golden yellow. A very desirable plant. Other Globe flowers which are very good are *Trollius asiaticus*, with large orange flowers, and *T. europaeus* and *T. europaeus giganteus* with paler yellow blossoms.

* *Iberis sempervirens*. Evergreen Candytuft. (South Europe).—Height 9 to 12 inches. Begins to bloom in third week of May. Flowers pure white; foliage evergreen. This is really an evergreen shrub, but can be treated as a herbaceous perennial. It is quite hardy and desirable. The variety *garreuxiana* is also good and blooms about the same time.

* *Myosotis alpestris*. Alpine Forget-me-not. (Mountains of Europe, Great Britain).—Height 4 to 6 inches. Begins to bloom in third week of May. This popular flower needs no description. It should be in every garden.

Phlox divaricata. Blue Phlox. (Ontario, United States).—Height 9 to 14 inches. Begins to bloom in third week of May. Flowers pale bluish lilac. A free bloomer, continuing to flower for a considerable time.

Iris cristata. Crested Iris. (North Carolina).—Quite dwarf, 3 to 6 inches in height. It blooms the third and fourth weeks of May. A very dainty little species with light blue and yellow flowers. Fine in masses.

Primula Sieboldi. Japanese Primrose. (Japan).—Height 9 inches. Begins to bloom third week of May. This is a very pretty primrose and one not generally known. The flowers are of good size with fringed petals and range in colour from pure white to crimson, according to variety. This primula should not be planted in exposed places, as it is liable under such conditions to kill out.

* *Aquilegia canadensis*. Wild Columbine. (Eastern Canada, United States).—Height 1 to 2 feet. Begins to bloom in third week of May and continues for some time. This beautiful and graceful wild plant, which succeeds well under cultivation, is not planted as extensively as it deserves. The flowers are red with yellow centres and are quite attractive and freely produced. The foliage, also, is attractive.

Polemonium reptans. Greek Valerian. (United States).—Height 6 to 10 inches. Begins to bloom during third week of May and continues for some time. Flowers numerous, blue, and borne profusely in loose clusters.

* *Anemone sylvestris*. Snowdrop windflower. (Europe).—Height 12 to 18 inches. Begins to bloom third week in May and continues for some time. A beautiful large, pure white-flowered species with long stems. Succeeds best where there is plenty of moisture.

* *Phlox reptans*. Creeping Phlox. (United States).—Height 4 to 6 inches. In bloom fourth week of May and later. Flowers medium size, rosy pink with a shade of lilac. A very pretty species.

* *Convallaria majalis*. Lily of the Valley. (Europe, North Asia).—Height 6 inches. Blooms during the fourth week of May. This beautiful flower should be in every garden, but should be planted by itself in a partially shaded place.

* *Aquilegia coerulea*. Rocky Mountain Columbine. (Rocky Mountains).—Height 12 to 18 inches. In bloom fourth week of May. Flowers large, deep blue with white centre and long spurs. A very beautiful species of which there are some charming varieties in cultivation.

Aquilegia flabellata nana alba. Dwarf White-flowered Columbine. In bloom fourth week of May. The species of which this is a variety comes from Japan. This is a white-flowered perennial with attractive foliage which has a bluish tinge.

Paeonia tenuifolia. Fennel-leaved Paeony. (South-western Europe).—Height 14 to 18 inches. In bloom fourth week of May. Flowers medium size, deep crimson, contrasting well with the finely cut fern-like foliage.

* *Paeonia tenuifolia flore pleno*. Double-flowered Fennel-leaved Paeony. This variety is even better than the species. The flowers are deep crimson and double.

* *Iberis corifolia*. Correa-leaved Candytuft. (Eastern Europe).—Height 1 foot. Begins to bloom during last week of May. Flowers pure white, in compact heads which elongate as later buds open. This is the best of the hardy evergreen candytufts and blooms later than *I. sempervirens*.

Ajuga genevensis. Geneva Bugle. (Europe).—Height 4 to 6 inches. Begins to bloom in last week of May. Flowers bright blue, in compact spikes. This plant blooms so profusely that the foliage, which is also attractive, is almost hidden. Of no value for cutting, but useful for covering the ground. It spreads rapidly.

Iris sibirica. Siberian Iris. (Central Europe to Siberia).—Height 2 to 4 feet. In bloom last week of May. So many fine kinds of better iris follow this species in bloom that in an ordinary border it may be omitted, but where there is a bog this should not be left out as it is quite striking when treated as a wild plant. There are several varieties, ranging in colour from white to deep blue. The native species, *I. versicolor* is almost, if not quite, as good, but does not grow as tall.

Iris Chamæiris. (South Europe). Height 6 inches. In bloom fourth week of May. Flowers bright yellow with brown markings. A pretty species.

* *Iris florentina*. Oris root. (Central and Southern Europe).—Height 2 to 3 feet. Begins to bloom in last week of May. Flowers very large, pale blue or lavender, almost white; sweet scented. A splendid iris.

* *Iris germanica*. German Iris. (Central and Southern Europe).—Height 2 to 3 feet. Begins to bloom in last week of May. Flowers very large, bright bluish purple. Very handsome. This is the old-fashioned species. The varieties, which have been grouped under the name 'German Iris,' bloom early in June. Two fine varieties of the May flowering species are Kharput and Purple King.


In addition to the above species and varieties, there are some fine native spring flowering perennials which will succeed under cultivation, especially if given partial shade and a liberal supply of leaf mould, among these being:—

Sanguinaria canadensis, Blood Root; *Dicentra cucullaria*, Fly Flower; *Tiarella cordifolia*, False Mitrewort; *Trillium grandiflorum*, White Trillium; *Trillium erectum*, Purple Trillium; *Actaea spicata* var. *rubra*, Red Cohosh; *Anemone Hepatica*, Windflower; *Viola canadensis*, Branching White Wood Violet; *Viola Dicksoni*, Large blue Violet; *Thalictrum dioicum*, Early Meadow Rue; *Uvularia grandiflora*, Bellwort.

The herbaceous perennials described should be well supplemented in gardens with spring flowering bulbs, which are especially valuable for massing in beds.



SOJA BEANS, COLLECTED SEPT. 15TH.
Height of Plants 3 ft., 3 in. Yield 9 tons, 1,700 lbs. per acre.



SOJA BEANS. Roots (1 ft., 3 in.) showing nodules.

—Photos. by Frank T. Shutt.

REPORT OF THE CHEMIST.

(FRANK T. SHUTT, M.A., F.I.C., F.C.S., F.R.S.C.)

OTTAWA, December 1, 1902.

Dr. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director, Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith the sixteenth annual report of the Chemical Division of the Experimental Farms.

There has been no effort to incorporate all the results obtained in the laboratories during the past year, many of the investigations being still in progress and others having already received publicity in bulletin form. Further, the desirability of reducing the size of the complete report has made it necessary to omit certain details which, though interesting, are not perhaps essential to the elucidation of the results now presented. I trust, however, in the attempt to be concise there has been no sacrifice of clearness and that the explanations and deductions given will be found sufficiently explicit for the purposes of our readers. As in past years, there has been a great deal of work, also, which does not find a place in the annual report from the fact that the results are considered of value only to the individual for whom it was made. Of such work, we may instance the examination of a number of soils and other samples received from farmers. It must not be thought, however, that this has not proved useful and valuable, for the Experimental Farms system seeks to educate the farmer as an individual as well as to benefit the agricultural community as a whole.

Certain investigations that have involved considerable labour, are reported upon elsewhere. Of these we may refer to articles on the fattening of chickens, and on the preservation of eggs, in the present report of the poultry manager; the examination of Canadian honey, in the transactions of the Ontario Bee-keepers' Association; and the analysis of Canadian Creamery Butter, as published by the Dairy Division, Department of Agriculture, Bulletin No. 4, New Series. Mention may also be made of the bulletin on Clover as a Fertilizer, (No. 40, July, 1902, Experimental Farm Series), the joint work of Dr. Saunders and the writer.

No attempt will be made in this letter to summarize the work in this report, but attention may be briefly directed to those investigations which appear to the writer as being of greater interest or importance and which afforded results of immediate and practical value to Canadian agriculture.

The Relation of Cover Crops, Sod and Surface Tillage, to the Moisture content of Soils—This research, begun in 1901, has been continued during the past season, the experiments being carried out on soils of the Central Farm orchards. Further and valuable information has been gained on this important subject, especially instructive being the data obtained from the plot under a two year old sod. According to this year's results, the latter makes a very much heavier draught upon soil moisture than a system which calls for cover crops (e.g., clover) and surface tillage.

Fodders and Feeding Stuffs.—Under this caption we include, first, a report on certain mixed ensilages, (clover and corn) produced on the Central Farm, and show that from such a combination it is possible to obtain a succulent, palatable food considerably richer in the flesh-forming constituents than corn ensilage.

An examination of the ordinary farm roots (mangels, turnips, &c.), has again been made, determining their dry matter and sugar contents. The feeding value of many of them is far in advance of that obtained last year, largely due, we presume, to the favourable conditions of weather prevailing during September. Reference must also be made to the so-called sugar mangels and their general superiority from the standpoint of composition for feeding purposes.

Among fodders, an account of *Bromus arvensis*, as grown in Manitoba, is furnished and its feeding value contrasted with its near relation—the justly celebrated Awnless Brome Grass. Upland and lowland hays from Assiniboia, sedge hay from the salt marshes of New Brunswick have also been analysed. The principal feeding stuffs examined comprise gluten meals and other corn by-products, oil cake, cocoanut cake, cotton seed meal, bran, Blatchford's calf meal, and certain mixed feeds used on board ship for cattle en route to England.

Insecticides and Fungicides.—Analyses have been made of several brands of 'cyanide' upon the Canadian market. This, as is well known, is used largely in fumigation for the destruction of the San José scale on nursery stock. The value of any particular sample of potassium cyanide is, of course, dependent upon its gas (prussic acid gas) producing power, and our results show how far dependence can be placed on the ordinary guarantee under which it may be sold and the causes for deterioration.

The 'Lime, Sulphur and Salt Wash,' or so-called California Spray, is another remedy used in the control and destruction of the San José scale, and very much in favour at the present time for orchard treatment. Certain information, the result of experimental work, is given with regard to the correct proportions of the constituents to be used.

The new insecticide, Bug Death, for which so much has been claimed, has been analysed and the results inserted in this report.

Sugar Beets.—Though we have since the establishment of the Experimental Farms studied the sugar beet as grown in various parts of the Dominion with a view of determining the suitability of our soils and climate for sugar production, there has been an increase this season in the number of samples usually examined. The following provinces are represented:—Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Manitoba, and the North-west Territories. The data thus supplied will no doubt prove especially valuable this year, when there is a more than usual interest being taken in the development of the beet sugar industry in Canada. Speaking generally, we may say that our results this year, as in the past, have shown that beets of an excellent quality and purity—and quite suitable for factory purposes—can be raised over large areas in the Dominion. In these favourable areas existing in a large number of our provinces, the beets, if from good seed and properly cultivated, are quite equal to those grown in the United States and European countries for sugar production.

Flour.—The high standing of Canadian Baker's Strong Flour, as manufactured from No. 1, Hard Red Fife wheat, has been brought out by a series of comparative analyses. The data, it is expected, will prove valuable in developing a Canadian export flour trade to the Orient, now largely served by flour from Oregon and Washington.

Tuberculin.—In July of the current year the preparation and distribution of tuberculin was handed over to Dr. Higgins, Pathologist of the Veterinary Branch of the Department of Agriculture. From November 1, 1901, to July 12, 1902, 3,025 doses of tuberculin had been forwarded from the farm laboratory to Dominion Veterinary Inspectors.

Toxicological Work.—At the instance of the Chief Veterinary Inspector, we have during the past year examined several cases of alleged poisoning, reports of the analyses being made to that officer.

Correspondence.—The letters received by this division from November 30, 1901, to December 1, 1902, in addition to those referred to us by the other departments of the farm, numbered 1,163; those sent out during that period, 1,233.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Samples Received for Analysis.—In the following tabular statement the samples received from farmers during the past year are enumerated and their nature indicated. Every year sees an increase in this branch of our work, which is sufficient evidence of its usefulness and popularity:—

SAMPLES Received for Examination and Report,
November 30, 1901, to December 1, 1902.

Samples.	British Columbia	North-west Territories.	Manitoba.	Ontario.	Quebec.	New Brunswick.	Nova Scotia.	Prince Edward Island.	Total.	Number awaiting examination.
Soils	12	3	5	100	6	3	25	4	158	21
Mucks, muds and marls	2	1	0	4	4	6	9	10	36	8
Manures and fertilizers	0	0	0	4	0	1	7	4	16	6
Forage plants and fodders	2	15	13	74	5	12	2	9	139	19
Well waters	1	12	10	53	19	3	3	1	102	0
Miscellaneous, including dairy products, fungicides and insecticides	3	4	4	115	4	7	8	2	147	4
Total	20	35	32	350	38	32	61	30	598	58

Acknowledgments.—The very large amount of analytical work accomplished in the Farm laboratories during the past year has only been made possible by the hearty co-operation of the Assistant Chemists, Mr. A. T. Charron, M.A., and Mr. H. W. Charlton, B.A.Sc. My thanks are due to these gentlemen for their prompt and skilful assistance on all occasions, as well as for their warm interest in the various investigations undertaken by this division.

To Mr. J. F. Watson I am also much indebted for valuable help in connection with the clerical work of the division. His duties have always been performed with assiduity and care, and I am pleased to bear testimony to the excellence of his work.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

FRANK T. SHUTT,

Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.

SOIL INVESTIGATIONS.

BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Peachland, Okanagan Valley.—Much of the soil of this district appears to be of an extremely light and sandy nature, though under irrigation yielding fair crops. A correspondent, in sending samples of new (surface) soils from that district, states that clover usually grows well and furnishes two or three cuttings in a season, but that garden crops (vegetables) and fruit trees have not been very successfully grown, save with the aid of manure. The soils were of a grayish-yellow colour and would be termed sandy loams of poor quality. The quantity sent did not allow us to make a complete analysis, but certain important data were obtained.

Organic Matter and Nitrogen in (water-free) Soil.

	Organic Matter.	Nitrogen.
No. 1.....	3·66	·048
2.	4·02	·068
3.....	3·30	·064

Qualitative analysis showed that all the soils possessed a fair amount of lime.

For arable lands these soils are exceedingly poor in nitrogen. It is evident also that they stand in need of humus or semi-decomposed vegetable matter. For these important constituents organic manures must be supplied and the stock of stable manure supplemented from time to time by clover turned under.

A very important matter for such soils as these is that there should be a sufficiency of water, for, poor as they may be in plant food, their crops frequently suffer more from drought than from lack of nourishment. Increasing the soil's store of organic matter not only enriches it in the elements of fertility, but vastly improves its moisture-holding capacity.

As a fertilizer for garden stuff, the following formula may be suggested, the quantity being for one acre :—

	Lbs.
Superphosphate.....	150
Bone meal.....	150
Muriate of potash.....	100-150
Nitrate of soda*.....	100-200

*Applied in two or more applications as a top dressing.

Enderby.—A dark-gray, heavy clay soil, which when received at the laboratory had dried into a hard, refractory mass, indicating a poor or unfavourable condition.

A partial analysis afforded the following data :—

Moisture.....	7·18
Organic and volatile matter.....	10·59
Oxide of iron and alumina.....	24·68
Lime.....	1·21
Nitrogen, in organic matter.....	·301
Lime, soluble in 1 per cent solution of citric acid.....	·075

This soil, as regards nitrogen, must be considered above the average, and this fact no doubt accounts in a large measure for the high productiveness of this land and its suitability for wheat growing. It is also well supplied with organic matter.

The percentage of lime obtained by using hot, strong hydrochloric acid as a solvent is by no means insignificant, but that a very small proportion exists in an available condition is evident from the amount soluble in dilute citric acid, viz., ·075 per cent.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

It seems therefore, from our examination that this might be considered a rich soil, but one that might be improved by thorough drainage, careful working and the judicious use of lime. An application of this 'amendment,' say at the rate of 40 bushels per acre, harrowed under would, we believe, increase the soil's productiveness, and in conjunction with drainage, weathering and 'dry' working of the land, materially ameliorate its physical condition.

The continued use of lime makes it desirable that organic manures should also be employed from time to time, and to this end, if there be not a sufficiency of stable manure, it is advisable to occasionally turn under a green crop, such as clover.

NORTH-WEST TERRITORIES.

Alberta.—At our request, two samples of soil, representative of the first and second foot, respectively, of the land about Lethbridge, Alta., were kindly collected by Mr. W. H. Fairfield, of the Canadian North-west Irrigation Co., Lethbridge, and forwarded to the farm laboratories. In this district the soil is extremely uniform in character, being of the nature of a true prairie and very fertile, provided there is a sufficiency of moisture. There are no woods save in the river bottoms.

In a letter accompanying the samples, Mr. Fairfield writes: 'The soil samples were taken October 20, 1901, from the north-western portion of the Canadian North-west Irrigation Experiment Farm. The spot from which they were taken was typical of the farm, and for that matter of the surrounding country, as far as surface indications (e.g. grass, &c.) are concerned. The land at this point has never been irrigated.' After describing the manner in which the samples were taken, he says: 'No. 1 is therefore, representative of the first foot, and No. 2 of the second foot of soil. The soil changes to a lighter colour at a depth varying from twenty inches to two feet and over.'

The surface soil as received was a dark gray inclining to black, loam, light and friable, free from stones and containing an abundance of root fibres. From appearance, one would judge it of more than average fertility. The soil from the second foot only differs from the surface sample in being slightly lighter in colour and containing less fibre. The soils freed from all fibre were submitted to analysis, with the following results:—

Analysis of (air-dried) Soils.

	No 1. 1st Foot.	No. 2. 2nd Foot.
Moisture	2.53	2.78
Organic and volatile matter	5.74	5.55
Clay and sand (insoluble in acid).....	80.74	80.00
Oxide of iron and alumina.....	8.00	8.01
Lime	1.01	2.07
Magnesia	0.39	0.82
Potash.....	0.45	0.50
Phosphoric acid	0.12	0.11
Carbonic acid, &c. (undetermined).....	1.02	0.16
	<hr/> 100.00	<hr/> 100.00
Nitrogen, in organic matter.....	0.210	0.145

Available constituents in Surface Soil.

Potash	0.028
Phosphoric acid	0.008

No. 1. In organic matter (humus) and nitrogen, the results are exceedingly satisfactory, indicating a high degree of fertility.

The percentage of potash is somewhat above the average, in lime also this soil is well supplied. The amount of phosphoric acid is not equal to that present in our better virgin soils.

The estimation of the more readily available potash and phosphoric acid furnishes data of a highly gratifying nature as regards potash, but shows that in phosphoric acid the store is of a limited character.

It is not always the case that the amounts of 'total' and 'available' mineral elements correspond, that is, are relative, but in this soil we have an instance which well illustrates an apparent rule as regards virgin soils, that the larger the amount of 'total' the larger the amount of 'available' potash and phosphoric acid.

Our results indicate that cropping will first lead to a deficiency of phosphoric acid, and consequently emphasize the value of a phosphatic manure.

No. 2. Considering the depth from which this soil was taken, the results are remarkable. In all essential particulars they prove the great fertility possessed by this sub-soil, though by reason of its richness in plant food, appearance, texture, &c., we should be inclined rather to consider it as part of the surface soil.

ONTARIO.

Abitibi Region, Nipissing District.—At the request of Dr. Robert Bell, Acting Director of the Geological Survey of Canada, a careful analysis has been made of a sample of soil from this newly explored area of North-western Ontario. The sample was collected by W. J. Wilson of the Geological Survey staff during his explorations of 1901, in the vicinity of Lake Abitibi, at a point on the Black River near its first fall, 17 miles from its mouth. Latitude about 48° 38', and longitude about 80° 27'. Our report on this soil is as follows:—

General appearance and texture.—This is a sandy loam of a dark-gray colour and showing a considerable amount of vegetable fibre, derived chiefly from fragments of roots and bark.

There are no pebbles and the soil appears to be in an excellent physical condition, due no doubt chiefly to its comparatively speaking large proportion of organic matter. Judging from its general appearance and texture, it should prove a fertile soil, though more suited for potatoes and root crops than for the growth of the cereals.

Analysis of (air-dried) Soil.

Moisture.....	1·86
Organic and volatile matter.....	9·73
Insoluble matter (clay and sand).....	79·96
Oxide of iron and alumina.....	7·67
Lime.....	·45
Magnesia.....	·44
Potash.....	·36
Phosphoric acid.....	·11
Carbonic acid, &c. (undetermined).....	—
	<hr/>
	100·58
Nitrogen, in organic matter	·227

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Available Constituents.

A determination of the amounts of phosphoric acid, potash and lime soluble in a 1 per cent solution of citric acid, and consequently to be considered as more or less immediately available for plant use, yielded the following data:—

Phosphoric acid.....	·0192
Potash.....	·0142
Lime.....	·376

This soil appears to be very fairly well supplied with all the essential elements of fertility, save phosphoric acid, which latter is somewhat below the average found in our better and more fertile soils. The proportions of these constituents present in an assimilable condition indicate that it would prove productive.

In humus and nitrogen this soil may be said to be particularly rich, though no doubt much of the latter exists in a condition not immediately available to crops.

Considered from the chemical, as well as the physical, standpoint, this soil might be expected to be one that would yield remunerative crops, provided climatic conditions were favourable.

Mr. Wilson, in his 'Summary Report' for 1901, speaking of the locality from which the soil was taken, says: 'A half-breed family named McDougall have a neat house and small clearing at this point, where they have planted a patch of potatoes which promised an abundant crop. An average stalk measured 42 inches in length and some of the potatoes were quite large.'

In another place in the same report Mr. Wilson makes the following observations regarding the quality of the land and the climate: 'I am convinced that there are large areas of agricultural land of excellent quality, especially in the river valleys, the soil being in most cases a clay loam, free from stones and easily cleared. The climatic conditions also seem favourable for farming operations, and these would improve with the clearing and drainage of the land. When it is remembered that Lake Abitibi is further south than the southern boundary of Manitoba, it will be seen that there is nothing in the latitude to prevent the successful cultivation of the soil, and further, it has been practically proved for many years that vegetables of all kinds can be successfully grown at Abitibi.'

NEWFOUNDLAND.

This soil was forwarded by T. A. Maher, Esq., St. John's, who furnished the following particulars:—

'Soil from farm at Nagle's Hill, 2 miles from St. John's, under cultivation for 20 years; was seeded down to clover and timothy seven years ago and remained undisturbed since. During this seven years it has been continually cropped, but has not received any manure. It was originally a marsh. Surface soil about 8 inches deep; subsoil of brown clay with white and blue stones. The sample indicates the nature of the soil in and about the suburbs of St. John's, which consist of small farms. Surrounding locality wooded with fir and spruce.'

This soil is essentially a gravelly loam, of a dark yellowish gray colour. When air-dried and sifted (using a mesh of .5 mm) the soil was found to consist of:—

	Per cent.
Gravel, pebbles, small rock fragments.....	42·65
Fine soil.....	57·35

The rock fragments appeared to be weathered and partly disintegrated feldspar; the fine soil was chiefly sand, the amount of clay and silt present being small. It is consequently to be regarded as a 'light' soil, with a very loose, open texture and consequently not well adapted to all classes of crops.

On submitting the fine soil to analysis we obtained the following data :

Analysis of (air-dried) fine Soil.

Moisture.....	3·02
Organic and volatile matter.....	20·22
Insoluble residue (chiefly sand).....	63·05
Oxide of iron and alumina.....	11·95
Lime.....	·43
Magnesia.....	·10
Potash.....	·22
Phosphoric acid.....	·25
Carbonic acid, &c. (undetermined).....	·76
	<hr/>
	100·00
	<hr/>
Nitrogen, in organic matter.....	·536

Available Constituents.

Phosphoric acid.....	·041
Potash.....	·0096
Lime.....	·308

Conclusions and Suggestions.

The most noticeable features in the above data are the comparatively speaking large percentages of organic (vegetable) matter and nitrogen. Though no doubt much of this latter element is in a 'locked-up' form, we should not expect that the soil would be greatly benefited by the application of nitrogenous fertilizers, provided climatic influences were favourable for nitrification. Further, although from appearance one might judge the soil as somewhat poor from the physical standpoint and apt to dry out, analysis does not indicate the immediate need of an organic manure. It must be remembered, however, that this soil for the past seven years has been continually in sod, which has had the effect of increasing the humus and nitrogen content, and that if the soil is now put under active cultivation the tendency will be towards the dissipation of the humus. Consequently, the desirability (under the latter circumstances) of applying farm-yard manure from time to time will be obvious, as well as adopting a rotation which provides for the growth of clover, say every third or fourth year.

The amount of phosphoric acid is somewhat similar to that found in soil of average fertility; the potash falls slightly below the limit for the best returns. While not rich in lime, it is by no means deficient in this important element.

The percentages of the mineral elements of fertility that may be regarded as more or less immediately available for plant nutrition have been determined. They indicate (1) an apparent sufficiency of phosphoric acid for the present as regards the cereals, though for root crops the amount might be increased to advantage. (2.) That an application of potash would, in all probability, tend to increase the productiveness of the soil. (3.) That the necessity of any special lime fertilizer is not apparent, though, if potash salts are used, it will no doubt be found of benefit to also furnish a small amount of lime. These conclusions and suggestions are based on the analysis of the 'fine' soil which, it must be remembered is that part furnishing the immediate sustenance to crops. More than 40 per cent of the soil consists of pebbles, gravel, &c., which though materially affecting the physical character of the soil can scarcely be taken into account when considering the possible stores of plant food.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

THE CONSERVATION OF SOIL MOISTURE IN ORCHARDS.

The subject of the relation of 'cover' crops and cultivation to soil moisture was discussed in our report for 1901. Results were also given of a series of experiments carried out on the Central Farm during that season that demonstrated the intimate connection between the condition of a soil (i.e., whether in crop or cultivated) and its moisture-content. These experiments with certain modifications have been continued during the past summer with the view of still further extending our knowledge on this important matter. The investigation of 1902, comprised two series of experiments. The first was to learn the effect upon soil moisture-content (*a*) by cultivation throughout the entire season, (*b*) by cropping with clover till the end of May or beginning of June, followed by ploughing and cultivation until the latter part of July and then resown with clover, and (*c*) by the growing of clover throughout the season. The second series was planned to ascertain the difference in moisture-content between soil cultivated throughout the season and that kept in (grass) sod.

The moisture determinations were made fortnightly from the beginning of April to the middle of November, on samples taken to a depth of fourteen inches.

First Series.—Three adjoining plots, each 40 by 120 feet, in the apple orchard.

Plot 1.—Disc-harrowed in spring, and cultivated throughout the season at intervals of a few days to a fortnight, as occasion required.

Plot 2.—The clover from the previous year's sowing was cut June 4, but allowed to grow until June 9, when it was ploughed under. The land was then disc-harrowed and kept fallow by constant harrowings and cultivations (June 12, 16, 25 and July 8). It was resown to clover on July 21, but the growth was exceedingly sparse, and the ground became, before the close of the season, virtually covered with purslane, with very little clover showing.

Plot 3.—The plot was allowed to remain in clover (sown in 1901) throughout the season, the crop being cut from time to time, but not taken away. The dates of cutting were as follows: June 4, 26, July 22, August 27.

TABLE I.—First Series—Percentages of Water in Soils, (*a*) cultivated throughout the season, (*b*) under cover crop and cultivated, and (*c*) in clover throughout the season.

Dates of Collection.		Rainfall in Inches.	Plot 1.	Plot 2.	Plot 3.
			Cultivated throughout Season.	Clover ploughed under June 9, cultivated to July 21, then resown with Clover.	In Clover throughout Season.
1902.					
April	5	1.11	14.77	15.55	15.96
"	19	.71	10.09	12.96	12.93
May	3	2.13	13.36	16.03	14.60
"	17	.52	12.79	10.02	11.89
"	31	1.10	11.46	10.80	12.00
June	14	2.14	12.98	12.36	13.16
"	28	2.01	9.86	13.13	11.79
July	12	.41	11.30	11.07	9.07
"	26	3.55	15.44	13.46	13.56
August	8	.24	11.66	12.91	9.23
"	23	1.53	13.76	13.72	10.91
September	6	.49	11.83	7.14	6.99
"	20	.37	7.85	7.98	5.43
October	4	1.31	13.33	13.69	10.66
"	18	1.51	14.45	13.56	14.68
"	31	1.45	14.57	14.44	14.30
November	15	1.06	14.63	15.48	15.53

In considering the data presented in Table I. it must be borne in mind that the past season has been a very poor one for clover. The growth on plots 2 and 3 was very sparse and in no way comparable to that of 1901. There, consequently, was not the same draught upon the soil moisture due to the growth of 'cover' crop this season as there was last year. Usually, there is a very fair mat of clover by the middle of May. This season, on June 4, when it was cut, the crop would be considered a very light one. This fact, in addition to an ample and equable rainfall, will, we believe, account in a very large measure for the differences between the moisture contents of the soils of the three plots not being so marked as last year. In other words, the soil conditions on the plots more or less approximated in certain essential particulars. Nevertheless, the results on the whole point in the same general direction as in the previous work, namely, that cultivation conserves soil moisture, and that the growth of a cover crop or sod dissipates it. Hence the wisdom, in districts where there is likely to be a scarcity of rain, of clean cultivation during the period when the trees are most in need of moisture, followed by a cover crop to furnish protection through the winter and enrich the soil. The probabilities are that there is very little necessity, ordinarily, for cultivation in the orchards of the Experimental Farm at Ottawa to conserve moisture, for the district enjoys usually an ample precipitation, fairly well distributed throughout the growing season, and the practice of the Horticulturist in at once seeding down after the ploughing under of the cover crop, receives much support from this year's results.

In reviewing the data presented by the second series of experiments, and comparing them with the foregoing, it will be readily observed that the effect of a permanent sod upon the soil's moisture is very much more marked than that of a cover crop, such as clover.

Second Series.—Two adjoining plots in the plum orchard.

Plot 1.—Cultivated throughout the season of 1902. The dates of cultivation are as follows:—May 8, June 11, July 9, July 29 and August 4. The plot had been ploughed in the spring of 1901 and kept cultivated during the season.

Plot 2.—In permanent (2-year old) sod throughout the season. The grass was cut and allowed to remain that it might act as a mulch. The mowings were on June 2, June 30 and August 11.

TABLE II.—Second Series—Percentages of Water in Soils, (a) cultivated, and (b) in sod.

Dates of Collection.		Rainfall in Inches.	Plot 1.	Plot 2.	Excess of Moisture in the Cultivated Plot.	
			Cultivated throughout Season.	In Sod (2nd Year.)		
1902.						Tons. Lbs. per acre.
April	5	1.11				
"	19	.71	15.31	15.88	16	29
May	3	2.13	18.37	16.26	58	1,332
"	17	.52	15.37	10.75	117	25
"	31	1.10	17.30	9.81	192	211
June	14	2.14	16.62	10.49	157	253
"	28	2.01	18.19	13.69	121	1,836
July	12	.41	16.07	7.24	217	1,136
"	26	3.55	14.32	11.80	64	235
August	8	.24	14.65	6.47	196	58
"	23	1.53	15.83	8.96	171	1,020
September	6	.49	13.61	8.33	126	1,818
"	20	.37	9.24	4.77	98	1,875
October	4	1.31	12.29	9.17	75	980
"	18	1.51	14.77	15.12		
"	31	1.45	15.94	15.57	9	1,946
November	15	1.06	16.52	17.29		

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

This is a most instructive series of results. The data are well worthy the careful perusal of every orchardist.

These two soils started out with practically the same moisture content (see April 19), but as the season advanced and the grass grew, the demand on the soil moisture of plot 2 became greater and greater. This began to be evident soon after May 1. By May 15 there was 50 per cent more moisture in the soil (to a depth of 14 inches) of the cultivated plot than in the soil covered with sod. At the end of May this difference had increased to almost 100 per cent; in other words, there was nearly twice as much moisture in the cultivated soil as in that under sod, due partly to the conserving action of cultivation on the one plot (No. 1), and partly to loss of moisture from transpiration of the foliage and greater loss due to capillary action in the soil on the other (No. 2) plot.

Throughout the whole growing season most marked differences in the moisture-content of the soils of these two plots are to be observed—and always in the same direction. If during the two weeks previous to the collection of the samples there had been an ample rainfall—as, for instance, for the periods ending June 14 and 28, and July 26—the moisture-content of the plots did not differ to the same extent that they did after periods of comparative drought. The last column of Table II furnishes data in this connection of a most decisive character, pointing especially to the heavy call on the moisture of the orchard soil by sod at a time when the trees are most in need of it. Towards the close of the season, when vegetative growth has ceased, and there is a liberal rainfall, the soils approximated more and more in their moisture content, and the experiment closed as it had begun, with soils equally moist or practically so.

In concluding this brief discussion, we may say that although the past season's work did not yield results as regards the effect of cover crops (clover) on soil moisture, of such an emphatic character as those of the previous season (the chief reason for which undoubtedly was the poor growth of clover on the plots this year), the data for the most part corroborate our conclusions given in the report for 1901 on this subject.

The plan or system of orchard management that includes cover cropping and cultivation will vary somewhat according to the district (see pages 149, 150, 151, Report for 1901), but its effectiveness generally in regulating the soil's moisture, in enriching the soil with humus and nitrogen, in arresting the loss of nitrates in the autumn and in furnishing protection during the winter to the trees' roots cannot be doubted.

Perhaps the most valuable lessons from this year's investigation are to be drawn from the experiments of the second series. We learn, in the first place, that a very great distinction must be drawn between sod and cover crops as regards effect upon soil moisture. The former dries out the soil to a much greater degree and consequently cannot be advised, save in exceptionally well watered districts or where the water level is high. As already stated, the system of orchard soil management must be worked out after a careful consideration of the soil and climatic conditions, but it does seem to the writer that the instances in which it would be advantageous to keep the orchard in permanent sod must be exceptional, and especially so when the trees are young.

FODDERS AND FEEDING STUFFS.

CORN AND CLOVER ENSILAGE.

Though corn is, and probably will ever remain, in Canada the most important ensilage crop, the desirability of a succulent roughage richer in protein is often felt and expressed. Naturally, clover, or some other of the legumes, such as horsebeans, occurs to the mind as probably suitable for making such an ensilage, and many experiments have been made to learn with what degree of certainty good ensilage from such crops can be made. As pointed out in our report for 1901 (p. 177-8), certain difficulties are met with in ensiling succulent crops rich in nitrogen, but that with careful attention to one or two details these difficulties may be in a very large measure overcome. Thus, in

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

the report of the farms just referred to (p. 303) the Agriculturist furnishes particulars of an excellent ensilage made at the Central Farm entirely from clover, palatable and eaten with eagerness by dairy cattle. This ensilage, among others, was submitted to analysis and its superiority to corn ensilage, in point of protein-content, demonstrated, as the following averages make evident :

	Dry Matter.	Nitrogen Compounds. (Crude Protein).	
		Albuminoids.	Non-Albuminoids.
Corn ensilage.....	22.94	.85	1.05
Clover ensilage.....	19.76	1.85	1.14

The figures calculated on the water-free basis make the richer character of the dry matter of the clover ensilage still more apparent.

	Nitrogenous Compounds. (Crude Protein).	
	Albuminoids.	Non-Albuminoids.
Corn ensilage	3.69	4.56
Clover ensilage.....	9.34	5.84

In spite of these very satisfactory results, however, we must recognize that the ensiling of clover by itself is fraught with more or less uncertainty, for efforts made in silos on the experimental farm have at times resulted in a loss or waste equal to 10 per cent of the total feeding value, due to coarseness of material, lack of closeness in packing, or other causes. The plan of ensiling corn with the clover in varying proportions was consequently thought worthy of trial by the Agriculturist of the Central Farm, who carried out the idea in 1901-2, by putting in the experimental silo (made of staves, diameter 9 feet, height 22 ft.), certain mixtures, as follows :—

A.—Corn, 4 tons ; clover, 2 tons ; sunflowers, $\frac{1}{4}$ ton.

B.—Corn, 2 tons ; clover, 4 tons.

C.—Corn, 4 tons ; clover, 2 tons.

D.—Corn, 2 tons ; clover, 2 tons.

'D' was placed in the silo first, then 'C', 'B', 'A', in the order named.

The corn and clover were run through the cutting machine together, so that they were considered as fairly well mixed.

These ensilages kept very well, with little loss, and are reported by the Agriculturist as of excellent quality and relished by the cattle.

They were analysed, samples for this purpose being taken (at the dates mentioned in the subjoined table) during the period in which they were fed ; February, March and April, 1902.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CORN AND CLOVER ENSILAGES, 1901-2.

Number.	Date of Collection.	Composition.	ON FRESH MATERIAL.								ON WATER-FREE SUBSTANCE.							
			Moisture.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbo-hydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.	Crude Protein.		Protein.	Fat.	Carbo-hydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.	Crude Protein.		
									Albuminoids.	Non-Albuminoids.						Albuminoids.	Non-Albuminoids.	
	1902.		p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	
A	Feb. 1	Corn 4 tons, clover 2 tons, sun-flowers $\frac{1}{4}$ ton...	75.37	2.68	.35	12.68	6.56	2.36	1.82	.86	10.88	1.41	51.50	26.63	9.58	7.38	3.50	
B	Mar. 14	Corn 2 tons, clover 4 tons	75.20	3.61	.44	10.74	6.66	3.35	2.86	.75	14.56	1.76	43.35	26.84	13.49	11.56	3.00	
C	Apr. 10	Corn 4 tons, clover 2 tons	77.09	3.18	.44	10.30	5.87	3.12	2.18	1.00	13.88	1.92	44.97	25.62	13.61	9.50	4.38	
D	" 23	Corn 2 tons, clover 2 tons	75.62	2.60	.36	11.06	7.14	3.22	1.63	.97	10.69	1.47	45.35	29.28	13.21	6.69	4.00	

Comparing these ensilages, we notice first that in percentage of dry matter three of them, 'A', 'B', and 'D', are almost identical. Ensilage 'C' contains about 1.5 per cent more moisture than the others.

In crude protein, as well as albuminoids, ensilage 'B', consisting of two-thirds clover, is the richest, as might be expected.*

The relation of protein to proportion of clover does not, however, hold good in ensilage 'C'; consisting of two-thirds corn, probably due to imperfect mixing of the material when being put into the silo, which would naturally result in the sample for analysis not being strictly representative of such a mixture. The general effect of the clover in increasing the protein-content is, however, well illustrated, especially on comparing these results with those for corn ensilage, as already given in the series. It seems quite possible by this means to obtain an ensilage containing from one-half to three-fourths more of the flesh-forming constituents than is obtainable from corn only. This is, of course, a very important matter, for it points to the fact that the use of such ensilages would allow of a reduction in the grain part of the ration.

The addition of the small proportion of sunflower heads, in ensilage 'A', does not appear to have affected in any marked degree the composition of the ensilage. They were expected to increase the percentage of fat.

The table of data gives the composition of the fresh material and of the dry matter, the latter results allowing a closer comparison to be made as to the changes brought about by varying the proportions of corn and clover.**

BROME GRASS.

The excellent feeding qualities of the Awnless Brome Grass (*Bromus inermis*) have been set forth in previous reports of this division (see reports, Experimental Farms 1897, p. 146; 1898, p. 146), the data obtained in the Farm laboratories having shown

* The 'crude protein' includes the albuminoids or true flesh-formers and the non-albuminoids, the latter consisting of amides and other compounds of much less feeding value than the albuminoids.

** The various constituents of fodders have been discussed and their functions in the animal economy explained in several of the past reports of the chemical division (see, for instance, report for 1900, p. 166-7).

it to be a grass rich in protein (flesh-forming substances), and low in fibre—the least valuable of a fodder's constituents.

Both for hay and pasture this hardy grass has been extensively introduced into Manitoba and the North-west Territories. As a hay grass it has proved a heavy cropper—the hay being palatable and highly nutritious. As a pasture grass it is particularly valuable by reason of its earliness, large growth, and succulent aftermath—features of considerable importance to the farmer, dairyman, and stock raiser.

This year we have made a comparison between the hays of *Bromus inermis* and *Bromus arvensis*, the latter, a grass that has recently received some attention in the North-west, and concerning which Dr. Fletcher, Botanist of the Experimental Farms, furnishes the following information: 'This European grass has been grown to some extent in Manitoba, where some seed was sold as that of *Bromus inermis*. The plants live for two years only, and in most places it is a smaller cropper than *Bromus inermis*. I have cultivated Field Brome since 1892. If cut early it will give a second crop.'

The samples analysed were forwarded by Mr. Herbert W. Husband, St. François Xavier, Man., who writes as follows:—'We have had 3 years experience with *Bromus arvensis* and find it a much heavier yielder than *Bromus inermis*. There is no actual knowledge of its relative feeding qualities compared with *inermis*, and we should, therefore, be glad to have an analysis made.'

An examination of the samples by Dr. Fletcher showed that the *Bromus inermis* was relatively somewhat younger than the *Bromus arvensis*—a large portion of the seed of the former being in the dough condition, while that of the latter was ripe.

ANALYSIS of hays of Awnless Brome (*Bromus inermis*) and Field Brome (*Bromus arvensis*).

Name of Grass.	Hay.						Calculated to water-free substance.				
	Moisture.	Ash.	Fat.	Crude Protein.	Carbohydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.	Fat.	Crude Protein.	Carbohydrates.	Fibre.
<i>Bromus inermis</i> .	7.51	8.25	.43	6.56	50.81	26.44	8.92	.46	7.09	54.95	28.58
" <i>arvensis</i> .	7.73	7.63	.38	4.23	46.55	33.48	8.26	.41	4.58	50.41	36.26

In the subjoined data the proportion of the true albuminoids contained in the crude protein is shown. The non-albuminoid nitrogenous substances, consisting of amides principally, are of much less feeding value than the albuminoids.

Name of Grass.	Crude Protein in Hay.		Crude Protein Calculated to water-free substance.	
	Albuminoids.	Non-albuminoids.	Albuminoids.	Non-albuminoids.
<i>Bromus inermis</i>	5.85	.71	6.32	.77
" <i>arvensis</i>	3.88	.35	4.20	.38

Of the two samples, *Bromus inermis* is evidently the more valuable. This is shown by its larger percentage of protein, as well as by its lower fibre content. Though we have no data as to the relative digestibilities of these hays, it seems at least fair to assume

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

that *Bromus inermis*, owing to the smaller percentage of fibre, will not be less digestible than *Bromus arvensis*. If this be granted, the superiority of the former will be obvious. The examination of the crude protein furnishes further results of a confirmatory character and justifies the conclusion that *Bromus inermis* is the more nutritious.

In Bulletin No. 17 of the Experimental Farm Series the writer pointed out that grasses lose somewhat in nutritive value as they approach maturity and the seed ripens. It is probable, therefore, that the analysis of *Bromus arvensis* would have been more favourable to that hay if it had been cut earlier. A similar comparative study will be made next season with the grasses taken at the same stage of growth, in order to obtain further data as to the relative values of these important grasses.

UPLAND AND LOWLAND HAY.

The question has frequently been asked by farmers in Manitoba and the North-west Territories: 'which is the more nutritive, hay cut from the uplands or that from the sloughs?' To obtain data on this important matter we have submitted to analysis two samples collected and forwarded through the kindness of L. G. Bell, Esq., Qu'Appelle Station, Assa. One taken from the 'upland' or prairie, the other from the 'lowland' or swamp or slough.

On arrival the samples were submitted to Dr. Fletcher, botanist of the Experimental Farms, who has furnished the following note regarding their botanical composition:—

Upland hay.—The sample of upland hay consisted chiefly of barren stems of grasses. There were also a few seed-bearing stems of the Rough Fescue (*Festuca scabrella*) and several of the ripe seeds of the Porcupine grass (*Stipa spartea*). The barren stems were apparently *Agropyrum tenerum*, the Western Rye Grass, *Stipa spartea* and *Festuca scabrella*, together with the leaves of one of the small prairie sedges.

Lowland hay.—The sample of lowland hay consisted chiefly of *Poa serotina* and *Deyeuxia neglecta* (grasses) and *Carex aristata* (a sedge) with a few stems with seed on them. All common plants in prairie sloughs.

The analysis of the hays afforded the following data:—

<i>Analysis of Hays.</i>		
	Upland.	Lowland.
Moisture	4.91	4.95
Crude protein*	7.63	5.46
Ether extract, (fat.)	0.96	0.57
Carbo-hydrates (starch, gum, &c.)	40.30	48.95
Fibre	38.46	35.19
Ash	7.74	4.88
	<hr/> 100.00 <hr/>	<hr/> 100.00 <hr/>
*Nitrogenous compounds—		
Albuminoids	6.56	5.02
Non-albuminoids	1.07	0.44

There are certain somewhat remarkable differences to be noted. The most important of these, from the feeding standpoint, is the much larger percentage of crude protein in the upland hay, making it naturally the more nutritious of the two. The crude protein includes the albuminoids or so-called flesh formers, and the amides, &c., of much less feeding value. The percentage of albuminoids is approximately one-fifth higher in the upland than in the lowland hay. The larger percentage of ether extract (crude fat) in the upland hay would also tend to increase its feeding properties, though its somewhat larger fibre-content is against it. Other matters, such as the noticeable increase of ash in the upland over that in the lowland hay, are of no particular interest from the feeding standpoint and, therefore, need not be discussed here.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Of the relative digestibility of these hays, we have no data, but we may fairly conclude, I think, from the results of this examination that there is a fair margin in favour of the upland hay,

SEdge HAY.

This hay, although known in the maritime provinces as 'sedge' hay, contains, as a rule, very little of the true sedges (which may be very easily distinguished from the grasses in possessing triangular, solid stems), but is made up principally of species of *spartina* which grow in salt marshes and along sea beaches.

The sample examined, forwarded by Dr. W. W. Andrews, Sackville, N.B., consisted entirely of *Spartina juncea*.

ANALYSIS OF SEDGE HAY.

Constituents.	Hay, as received.	Calculated on water-free substance.
Moisture.....	8.75	—
Crude protein*.....	5.40	5.90
Fat.....	0.54	0.59
Carbo-hydrates.....	43.99	48.27
Fibre.....	27.16	29.74
Ash.....	14.16	15.50
	100.00	100.00
*Non-albuminoids.....	1.02	1.10
Albuminoids.....	4.38	4.80

These results indicate for the sedge hay a certain feeding value, though it is not equal to the larger number of cultivated grasses. It compares very favourably with *Spartina cynosuroides* (fresh water cord grass), much esteemed in many parts of the maritime provinces, and known as 'Broad Leaf.'

In writing of this hay (*S. juncea*) Dr. Andrews, who has given much careful attention to the matter, says: 'All the facts that I can gather as to the sedge hay are favourable to its use. It can be used to the extent of one-third to one-half of the coarse ration, and many farmers report excellent results from a mixture of half and half with other hays. Horses turned out on 'sedge' areas are said to do remarkably well.' Further, he states: 'That it has proved valuable for mulching, and will decay in a season when so used or in compost.' Probably its large percentage as ash, consisting chiefly of common salt, may enhance its mulching qualities as well as add somewhat to its fertilizing value.

ROOTS.

Continuing the determination of dry matter and sugar in field roots in order to ascertain the extent to which these constituents may vary from season to season, we have this year again examined the chief varieties of mangels and Swedes, in addition to certain sugar beets and new varieties in mangels rich in sugar.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ANALYSIS of Roots, C.E.F., 1902.

	Sowing.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Average Weight of one Root.	
		Per cent.	Per cent.	Lbs.	Oz.
Mangels, Half-sugar Rosy.....	First	14.21	8.79	2	5
" "	Second	15.06	9.95	1	9
" Half-sugar White.....	First	11.85	7.89	1	3
" "	Second	12.36	8.78	2	8
" Giant Sugar feeding.....	First	14.19	9.49	2	0
" "	Second	14.74	9.29	2	1
" Giant Yellow Globe.....	10.24	5.24	3	9
" Giant Sugar Feeding.....	Flat culture.....	16.61	9.69	2	0
" "	Drill "	13.11	7.34	4	7
" Golden Tankard.....	12.77	8.42	3	2
" Gate Post Red.....	13.90	9.39	3	2
Swede, Prize Purple Top.....	10.37	2.59	2	8
" Champion Purple Top.....	11.15	1.78	2	10
Sugar Beet, Danish Improved.....	Ordinary culture.....	19.56	13.33	2	8
" "	Special culture..	21.41	13.96	2	2

Comparing the results with those obtained in previous years, a decided improvement as to the dry matter and sugar content is noticeable. Evidently the season has been one favourable to sugar production. The feeding value of many of the roots of this season is fifty per cent higher than that of the roots of 1901.

Of the mangels tested from two sowings, those of the second sowing show a slightly higher value. This may be accidental, and therefore needs corroboration before any definite conclusions can be drawn.

Attention may again be directed to the so-called sugar mangels, Half-sugar Rosy, Half-sugar White, &c., which are evidently roots of a high order as far as composition is concerned.

BARLEY.

The use of this grain for feeding is, we imagine, becoming more common in Canada and, in a large measure, in many parts of the Dominion may now be found replacing oats in the ration, chiefly due no doubt to the high price of the latter grain. Barley, like other cereals, is subject to variation in composition, the climatic conditions under which it is grown undoubtedly being the principal factor in its modification. The probabilities are, for instance, that barley grown in Manitoba and the North-west Territories will be richer in protein than that raised in the irrigated districts of British Columbia, which would make the former better for feeding purposes, while the latter would be more valuable for brewing.

Compared with oats, barley, speaking generally, contains less protein but more starch. In oil or fat, oats are considerably richer. As part of the grain ration it has given excellent results with all classes of farm stock, but especially is it valuable for pork production and poultry fattening.

At the request of the Agriculturist of the Central Experimental Farm, we have analysed a sample of Hulless White barley, grown by P. E. Woods, Grand Prairie, B.C. For the purpose of comparison we add the average composition of Ontario barley, as ascertained by the examination of 20 samples in 1895.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

	Hulless White Barley, B.C.	Average of 20 Ontario samples.
Moisture	9.26	11.96
Protein	8.81	10.57
Fat	1.22	2.06
Carbo-hydrates	77.76	68.90
Fibre	1.09	4.10
Ash	1.86	2.41
	100.00	100.00

Though containing somewhat less fibre, the Hulless White barley is not equal in feeding value to the Ontario grown hulled barleys, since it possesses less protein and fat.

OIL CAKE.

Inquiries having reached us from several farmers in Manitoba as to the feeding value of the locally manufactured oil cake meal compared with that of flax seed, we submitted to analysis a sample of the former, forwarded by Mr. K. McIver, of Virden, Man., and stated to be manufactured by Body & Noakes, Winnipeg:—

Analysis of Oil Cake Meal.

Water	7.71
Protein.....	33.31
Fat.....	6.26
Carbo-hydrates.....	36.02
Fibre	10.84
Ash	5.86
	100.00

These data indicate a meal of excellent quality.

The composition of oil cake will vary somewhat according to the process used in extracting the oil, but in that obtained by the new process, the average percentage of protein is 33.2 and of oil or fat 3.0. Such cake differs from that of the old process in being somewhat richer in protein and poorer in oil.

The relative feeding values of flax seed and oil cake meal (old and new process) may be deduced from the data in the following table:—

	Dry Matter in 100 lbs.	DIGESTIBLE NUTRIENTS IN 100 LBS.		
		Protein.	Carbo- hydrates.	Fat or Oil.
	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
Flax seed	90.8	20.6	17.1	29.0
Oil cake (old process) ..	90.8	29.3	32.7	7.0
Oil cake (new process) ..	89.9	28.2	40.1	2.8

In the total amount of 'dry matter,' flax seed and oil cake meal are seen to be practically identical. There are, however, certain marked differences in the composition of their dry matter. The flax seed contains from 22 to 25 per cent more oil than the cake, while the latter is 8 or 9 per cent richer in protein.

Assuming for the purposes of comparison that the oil and protein are of equal value (*) and worth two and a half times the value of carbo-hydrates (starch, gum, &c.), we

* It should be stated that for special purposes, as for fattening sheep in winter, for calves, &c., the oil is worth somewhat more than protein.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

find by calculation that 100 pounds of flax seed has a feeding value equal to that of 120 pounds of oil cake. In other words, if the flax seed, for feeding purposes, is worth \$3 per 100 pounds, the value of 100 pounds of oil cake would be approximately \$2.50.

COCOA-NUT CAKE.

This feeding stuff, the residue left from the expression of the cocoa-nut oil, has found much favour among the dairymen of British Columbia. Messrs. Lindsay & Fletcher, Abottsford, B.C., in forwarding a sample furnish the following information:—‘This feed is procured by a wholesale dealer in Vancouver from a San Francisco house, and presume that it originally comes from the Hawaiian Islands and islands further south. It is sold F.O.B. at Vancouver for \$25 per ton.’ They further say:—‘It is now extensively used by dairymen along the Fraser, who value it highly, thinking it imparts a peculiar nutty flavour to the milk and cream. It would be of great service to us here to know how it compares in feeding value with oil cake selling at Vancouver for \$30 per ton.’

The cake as received was of a light red colour, possessing in a certain degree the pleasant odour and sweet taste of cocoa-nut. I should judge it to be a very palatable food.

Analysis.

Moisture	5.57
Protein.....	22.37
Fat.....	9.10
Carbo-hydrates (starch, sugar, &c.).....	29.18
Fibre.....	29.07
Ash.....	5.71
	<hr/>
	100.00

Its high protein content and richness in fat make it a feeding stuff of considerable value.

To obtain the *approximate* feeding values of cocoa-nut cake and oil cake, we may compare their ‘food units, calculated by multiplying the sum of the protein and fat by $2\frac{1}{2}$ and adding the total to the amount of carbo-hydrates. Thus:—

	Cocoa-nut cake.	Oil cake.
Protein.....	22.37	33.31
Fat.....	9.10	6.26
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	31.47	39.57
	2.5	2.5
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	15735	19735
	6294	9914
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	78.675	98.925
Carbo-hydrates.....	29.18	36.02
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Food units.....	107.85	134.94
	<hr/>	<hr/>

According to these figures, the oil cake has a feeding value about 25 per cent higher than the cocoa-nut cake. The larger percentage of fat in the cocoa-nut cake, however, would make it specially valuable for furnishing this important element of the ration, and in some measure reduce this difference.

COTTON SEED MEAL.

Early in the present year our attention was directed to a brand of cotton seed meal for sale in the Maritime provinces which differed in appearance from that usually sold and which was therefore suspected of being of inferior quality. In forwarding a sample for analysis and report as to quality, the Sussex Mercantile Co., Limited, Sussex, N. B., wrote : 'This meal is of a darker colour than that usually handled here. The farmers in this vicinity have been making complaints, claiming it is not as good an article as the ordinary cotton seed meal of a brighter colour. It is purchased from the Florida Cotton Oil Co., Jacksonville, Florida, through their St. John, N. B., agent and is quoted at \$3 to \$5 per ton less than the ordinary bright meal.'

Analysis.

Moisture.....	9.48
Protein.....	25.25
Fat.....	5.43
Carbo-hydrates.....	36.05
Fibre.....	18.65
Ash.....	5.14
	<hr/>
	100.00
	<hr/>

The average composition of cotton seed meal as ascertained from the analyses of 35 samples, is stated by the Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., to be as follows :—

Moisture.....	8.2
Protein.....	42.3
Fat.....	13.1
Carbo-hydrates.....	23.6
Fibre.....	5.6
Ash.....	7.2
	<hr/>
	100.00
	<hr/>

In 1900, we analysed two samples of cotton seed meal sold in Canada, and obtained the following results :—

	No. 1.	No. 2.
Protein.....	43.87	43.37
Fat.....	11.63	13.11

It is very evident from the foregoing data, that in the two most important constituents—protein and fat—the sample under consideration is very much inferior to that ordinarily on the market. Thus, calculating on the basis of equal values of the food units in the two kinds, we find that one ton of the ordinary cotton seed meal has a feeding value equivalent to 1 ton 876 lbs. of the Florida Cotton Oil Co.'s meal. We have never before examined a sample with so low a percentage of protein, nor is there any account of such a meal in the standard works on cattle foods.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CORN BY-PRODUCTS—GLUTEN MEAL, ETC.

In the subjoined table are given the analytical data obtained on certain samples of gluten meal, corn oil cake,* &c., examined in the farm laboratories during the past year :—

—	Moisture.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbo-hydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.
Gluten meal	10·83	33·12	6·83	47·26	1·00	·96
Gluten meal	10·50	34·75	5·58	46·92	1·77	·48
Corn oil cake	6·95	26·56	14·40	40·00	10·46	1·63
Corn bran	4·54	11·47	4·53	59·49	17·90	1·02

The whole question of these corn by-products was discussed in our report last year and their relative feeding values explained. It will therefore be unnecessary at the present time to do more than again emphasize the desirability of manufacturers adopting a uniform nomenclature for these corn feeds and to express the hope that ere long all high priced concentrated feeds will be purchased according to protein and fat content.

The above data may be considered as eminently satisfactory. It is doubtful, however, if the general run of corn oil cake would contain as much oil as that shown by this sample.

BRAN.

There is a difference of opinion among dairymen regarding the nutritive value of bran from Manitoba and the North-west Territories as compared with that from mills in Ontario. The former is from Red Fyfe; the latter, is variable as to its origin, but frequently we may presume from a mixture of Red Fyfe and some winter wheat which is much softer, such as Clawson.

Two samples, representative of such brans, were submitted to us recently by a local dairyman with a view of obtaining some information on this point. As regards appearance, the local bran was brighter in colour and more mealy. Both were apparently of excellent quality. On analysis, they furnished the following data :—

Analysis of Brans.

	No. 1, Keewatin Bran.	No. 2, Dowds (local) Bran.
Moisture	11·43	11·24
Protein	14·50	15·63
Fat	5·76	5·56
Carbo-hydrates	49·64	49·48
Fibre	12·39	11·46
Ash	6·28	6·63
	<u>100·00</u>	<u>100·00</u>

* All these samples are the product of The Edwardsburg Starch Co., Cardinal, Ont.

The slightly higher percentage of protein in No. 2, makes this bran somewhat the more nutritious of the two.

We shall endeavour to make a further study of this matter, obtaining for this purpose samples of bran from known varieties and mixtures of wheat, and particulars respecting the milling. The present results are to be regarded as tentative only.

BLATCHFORD'S CALF MEAL.

This preparation, like several others of a more or less similar character analysed by us in past years, is sold as a substitute for new milk in feeding calves. Being employed in a test this year by the Agricultural Division of the Central Farm, it was thought desirable to submit it to analysis. The following data were obtained :—

Analysis.

Water.....	9.17
Protein.....	28.44
Fat.....	10.13
Carbo-hydrates.....	38.86
Fibre.....	8.47
Ash.....	4.93
	<hr/>
	100.00
	<hr/>
Water-soluble extract.....	25.90
Saccharine matter, in extract.....	17.07

This feed compares, from the standpoint of composition, most favourably with the calf meals previously examined in our laboratories. Its large percentages of protein, fat, and sugar place it in the category of concentrated feeding stuffs of high value.

MIXED CATTLE FEEDS.

We have again, at the request of the Department of Marine and Fisheries, made an examination of certain feeds with the view of ascertaining their relative nutritive values. The analyses were used in judging as to the best for feeding cattle en route to England. The samples were forwarded by Messrs. Pope & Morgan, Inspectors, Montreal. Our report was as follows :—

Analysis.

	No. 1.	No. 2.	No. 3.
Moisture.....	10.40	10.86	10.12
Protein.....	11.87	9.69	12.87
Fat.....	7.13	4.71	5.91
Carbo-hydrates.....	61.39	64.90	59.71
Fibre.....	6.17	7.55	8.35
Ash.....	3.04	2.29	3.04
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	100.00	100.00	100.00
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

For the purpose of comparison, we must assume the feeds to be equally digestible and that the albuminoids (protein) and fat are worth, weight for weight, two and a half times the carbo-hydrates (starch, sugar, &c.) On this basis, we find by calculation that if No. 1 be valued at \$20 per ton, then No. 2 would be worth \$18.53, and No. 3 \$19.63 per ton.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

An examination of the three 'feeds' bears out the deduction from the chemical data with regard to their order of merit. Nos. 1 and 3 contain, in addition to crushed oats and Indian corn, a considerable amount of bran—a by-product rich in protein. The proportion of hulls is decidedly larger in No. 3 than in No. 1, hence the larger percentage of fibre in the former. In view of these facts, I am of the opinion that the nutritive or feeding value of feed No. 1, compared with that of Nos. 2 and 3, is, in all probability, greater than shown by the foregoing computation.

THE SOJA BEAN.

Experiments with Soja beans have been carried on for some years past at the Central Farm, with a view of determining the value of this legume as a fodder plant. As a field crop it was first tried in 1897, and analyses were made in 1898 by us to determine the relative nutritive properties of the plant when grown in various ways. (Report of Experimental Farms, 1898, p. 147). In common with other legumes, the soja bean plant was shown to be rich in albuminoids, and being able fairly well to withstand drought, it was considered a promising crop for use with corn in the silo.

The Soja bean is now under trial as a 'cover crop' for orchards, being sown early in the season in drills. This method appears to have several advantageous features, for it allows surface tillage (between the rows) throughout the summer to conserve soil moisture and at the same time provides for an excellent growth (8 to 14 tons) towards autumn to hold the snow and protect the roots of the trees. Its large percentage of nitrogen gives it an especial value as a fertilizer.

The following data give weights of foliage and roots, per acre, taken this season on the Central Farm:—

	Tons.	Lbs.
Stems and leaves.....	9	1,700
Roots.....		1,382

Average height of plant, 3 feet 3 inches; roots taken to a depth of 15 inches.

The illustration (see frontispiece) shows very well the general form and habit of growth and also the numerous and large nodules with which the roots are supplied, and by means of which the plant can obtain free nitrogen from the atmosphere.

CHEMISTRY OF INSECTICIDES AND FUNGICIDES.

POTASSIUM CYANIDE.

This chemical is now used in large amounts in the fumigation of plants and shrubs for the destruction of the San José scale. All nursery stock imported into Canada from scale-infested countries must be fumigated at the port of entry and before distribution. By the action of sulphuric acid upon the cyanide, hydrocyanic (prussic) acid gas is evolved, the quantity being proportionate to the purity of the cyanide, providing there is sufficient acid present. It is this poisonous gas which destroys the scale.

From these statements it will be evident that the quality of the cyanide in the Canadian market is a matter of some moment. We have accordingly, at the instance of the Entomological Division, examined several samples of cyanide submitted by certain wholesale druggists, and obtained the following results:—

No. 1.—Bottle labelled 'Potassii Cyanidum, C. P.' The Elliott Company, Ltd., Toronto. The bottle contained 4 oz.; the cork was covered with a paper seal but not waxed.

Examination showed that it was sodium cyanide, potash being entirely absent. (a) Sample from top of bottle. This, on analysis, proved to contain 60.26 p. c. of sodium cyanide, equivalent to 33.26 p. c. of hydrocyanic acid.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ing methods of preparation have appeared. This has given rise to inquiries as to the best mode to adopt in making the spray. To answer these the more satisfactorily, we have made a series of experiments, using the quantities and methods of procedure advocated by the more important authorities, and as a result have obtained information on one or two points that may be of interest to orchardists.

1. Since the insecticidal and fungicidal properties of the spray appear to be due to sulphide of lime and not to free (uncombined) sulphur or lime, it is desirable on the grounds of economy and efficiency that the proportion of sulphur to lime should be such that after boiling there may be little or no free sulphur in the mixture. We find to ensure this that the quantity of lime should at least equal that of the sulphur. A slight excess of lime apparently does no harm, indeed, according to some authorities, it is necessary in order to give the spray the correct consistency, but too large an excess is certainly to be avoided, as it will be apt to cause clogging of the nozzle and possibly reduce the insecticidal efficiency of the wash. We have found the following proportions satisfactory :—

Lime.....	25 lbs.
Sulphur.....	20 “
Water.....	50 gallons.

We also tried a formula with a larger excess of lime and obtained a good result, though possibly not quite so strong in insecticidal properties :—

Lime.....	35 lbs.
Sulphur.....	15 “
Water.....	50 gallons.

2. The lime should be thoroughly slaked to avoid subsequent clogging of the nozzle. If part of the lime is added after the spraying mixture is made, as directed in some recipes, *the proportion of lime to sulphur in the mixture as boiled should not be less than that indicated in the first formula given above.*

3. It is essential that the boiling should be continued a sufficient length of time to allow all the sulphur to enter into combination. This, if accompanied by constant stirring, will be usually between 2 and 3 hours.

4. The addition of salt (usually at the rate of 15 lbs. to each of the foregoing formulæ) is recommended by all writers. This may be due to its alleged action in increasing the adhesive qualities of the spray. It does not seem to affect its properties otherwise.

5. On cooling certain of the lime sulphides formed crystallize out. It is, therefore, important, we consider, to make the application while the mixture is still hot.

The addition of Potash to the California Spray.—It was suggested that potash might be a valuable addition to this spray, enhancing its value probably both as an insecticide and fungicide. We accordingly made some laboratory experiments and found that by the addition of potash to the spray, the fluid which is essentially sulphide of lime is in part decomposed thereby, lime separating and sulphide of potash, soluble in water, taking its place. It is quite possible that the latter compound is as effective as sulphide of lime, but we have no data on that point. For effectiveness and ease of application it is apparently essential that the spray should be used while still hot and, under such circumstances, the addition of the potash would not in all probability, materially affect the application. But if the spray became cold the separation of the lime by the addition of the potash would undoubtedly increase the tendency to clog in the nozzle.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

BUG DEATH.

In response to numerous requests from farmers and horticulturists for information regarding 'Bug Death', we submitted to analysis, in January, 1902, a sample of this material, obtaining the following data:—

Analysis.

Moisture.....	0.40	per cent.
Insoluble matter, sand, &c.....	11.21	"
Oxide of iron and alumina.....	5.60	"
Lime.....	.51	"
Potash.....	None.	
Zinc oxide.....	82.10	"
Lead and copper.....	Faint traces.	
Phosphoric acid.....	Traces.	
Chlorine.....	.47	per cent.
Nitrogen.....	.107	"

These results show that it is practically an impure or commercial zinc oxide. As regards the essential elements of plant food, it is strikingly deficient, the only constituent present of any fertilizing value being nitrogen, of which there is only one-tenth of one per cent. It is, therefore, obvious that any claims made for it as supplying nourishment for crops are without foundation.

If, as stated in the advertisements of Bug Death, a larger yield is obtained from its use, the explanation may probably be that it acts as a fungicide, preventing blight and keeping the foliage healthy and green. This would mean a longer growing period and, naturally, tend to an increase of crop. Certainly, this material cannot act either directly or indirectly as a fertilizer.

FERTILIZERS.

SQUID AND CAPLIN.

In forwarding these samples for analysis, Mr. J. T. Lawton, of Harbour Grace, Newfoundland, states that caplin and squid, are largely used in Newfoundland as fertilizers, and that some farmers say they have an 'exhaustive' effect, while others esteem them of great value.

Squid.—This is the popular name for a small cuttle-fish found in abundance in North American waters and very largely used as bait for cod. The squid sent to the laboratories had been 'canned', i. e., the fresh squid put into the tin, soldered up hermetically, boiled 1 hour, opened to allow the escape of air, and resealed. No water or salt had been added.

Analysis of Squid.

Water.....	82.74	
Organic matter *.....	16.17	
Ash.....	1.09	
	<u>100.00</u>	
	Per cent.	Pounds per ton.
Nitrogen.....	1.91	38.2
Phosphoric acid.....	.45	9.0

* Containing 3.16 per cent fat.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Valuing the nitrogen at 10c. per lb. and the phosphoric acid at 5c. per lb., one ton of fresh squid would be worth \$4.25 as a fertilizer.

Caplin.—A small fish, often occurring in immense shoals in the Gulf of the St. Lawrence and on the coasts of Newfoundland and Labrador. 'It is much used by the poorer classes', writes a correspondent in Newfoundland, 'as an article of food and also as a food for pigs and dogs—about 1,500,000 barrels being salted and dried for the latter purposes.'

Analysis of (salted and dried) Caplin.

Water.	19.13	
Organic matter*.....	68.38	
Ash (including salt).....	12.49	
	<u>100.00</u>	
	Per cent.	Pounds per ton.
Nitrogen	8.09	161.8
Phosphoric acid.....	2.91	59.2

The fertilizing value of the salted and dried fish per ton is \$19.14. Assuming the caplin as caught and used as a fertilizer to contain 80 per cent water, then the manurial value of such fish would be \$4.77 per ton.

Mr. Lawton further writes: 'The majority of farmers make composts of caplin and squid with clay; but those who have not facilities for getting clay lay the caplin between the potato stalks and 'earth up'. Turf is used with caplin in making compost; but not with squid, as it will not decay rapidly in turf.'

The foregoing analyses show that both caplin and squid are agriculturally valuable as sources of nitrogen and phosphoric acid. They, however, require the addition of some form of potash to make them a complete fertilizer. Wood ashes, muriate of potash, sulphate of potash, and kainite are all potash fertilizers and their application to the soil in conjunction with caplin or squid would no doubt enhance the effect of these 'fish' manures.

PEATS.

Nova Scotia, Brookfield, Queen's County. Forwarded by Mr. Franklyn McLeod:

No. 1.—Surface, moss (*Sphagnum*). A clean bright sample.

No. 2.—From a depth of 3 feet. Peat. Apparently of excellent quality.

No. 3.—From a depth of 6 feet. Peat. Somewhat darker and more compact than No. 2.

Analysis of air-dried Samples.

	No. 1.	No. 2.	No. 3.
Moisture	7.62	8.03	7.99
Organic matter.....	90.89	90.97	91.02
Ash	1.49	1.00	0.99
	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>
Nitrogen	1.510	0.834	1.052

*Containing 13.71 per cent fat.

Though all the samples are excellent and could be used as absorbents in the stable or as composting materials, No. 1 is the most valuable, by reason of its better mechanical condition and larger percentage of nitrogen.

LIMESTONE.

The value of an occasional dressing of lime for soils deficient in this element is fairly well known, but unfortunately owing to the high price or scarcity of this element in many districts the practice of liming is practically impossible. It is from such districts that we frequently receive samples of limestone or of rocks supposed to be such, with a request for information as to their value for lime manufacture. Thus, in our report for 1901 will be found data obtained on specimens sent from certain localities in Quebec and Ontario. This year we present a report on samples forwarded from Heatherton, Nova Scotia, as follows:—

Constituent.	No. 1.	No. 2.	No. 4.	No. 5.	No. 6.
Insoluble rock matter	3.22	5.12	81.35	3.62	3.25
Oxide of iron and alumina, &c.	2.60	6.40	5.00	2.55	2.40
Carbonate of lime	87.70	57.95	8.70	91.80	73.55
Undetermined	6.48	30.53	4.95	2.05	20.80
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

No. 3.—Omitted from the above table is gypsum or sulphate of lime, a very pure sample. It does not, of course, form lime on burning, but has a value as a fertilizer for certain crops.

The best sample of lime is, naturally, that with the largest percentage of carbonate of lime. This is No. 5. We then have Nos. 1, 6 and 2 in order named. It is doubtful if it would pay to burn this latter, as the burnt rock would only contain 25 per cent lime.

No. 4 cannot be regarded as a limestone, and certainly would be valueless for the production of lime.

MISCELLANEOUS INVESTIGATIONS.

SUGAR BEETS.

The establishment during the past year of no less than four beet sugar factories in Western and North-western Ontario has been instrumental in again awakening a keen interest in sugar beet culture in Canada. Inquiries have been received from farmers in every province in the Dominion with regard to the suitability of the climate and soil of the districts written from, varieties of beets to be sown, culture, &c., and frequently these questions have been accompanied by beets for analysis. These samples, in most instances could scarcely be considered as representative, the results of their examination (though forwarded to the senders) therefore, will not be included here. The analyses of sugar beets grown on the Experimental Farms at Nappan, N.S., Ottawa, Ont. and Indian Head, N.W.T., will, however, furnish useful information and are, therefore, recorded in this report. In addition, we have tabulated the data from samples forwarded from Prince Edward Island; from the Department of Agriculture of Manitoba, and from Strathcona, N.W.T., collected by Mr. N. D. Mills, of that town.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Prince Edward Island—Six samples forwarded by Mr. Callaghan, of Charlottetown, have been examined. The results are much more favourable than those of 1901, indicating in the majority of instances beets with a very satisfactory sugar content and quite suitable for factory purposes.

SUGAR BEETS, Prince Edward Island, 1902.

Variety.	Locality.	Percentage of Sugar in Juice.	Percentage of Solids in Juice.	Co-efficient of Purity.	Average Weight of one Root.	
					Lbs.	Oz.
1. Vilmorin	St. Dunstan College, Charlottetown	20.49	24.25	84.49	1	15
2. " Imp'd	Royalty.					
2. " ..	Campbellton, Prince Co.....	17.91	21.86	81.93	2	0
3. " ..	West River, Queen's Co.....	15.65	18.94	82.63	1	9
4. " ..	Freeland, Lot 11, Prince Co....	16.85	20.00	84.25	1	1
5. " ..	Foxly River, Lot 11, Prince Co....	15.80	19.43	81.31	1	2
6. " ..	Port Hill, Prince Co.....	14.89	18.77	79.38	1	12

The beets were well grown, free from forkiness and not too large.

Nova Scotia, Nappan.—A summary of the particulars of growth, as furnished by Mr. R. Robertson, superintendent, is as follows: 'Sown, May 20; pulled, Oct. 28; drills, 2 feet apart, plants thinned to 1 foot; clay loam manured at the rate of 20 tons per acre with farm-yard manure; previous crop, clover and aftermath ploughed in.' All the roots were well grown, free from forkiness and not too large.'

ANALYSIS OF Sugar Beets, Nappan, N.S., 1902.

Variety.	Percentage of Sugar in Juice.	Percentage of Solids in Juice.	Co-efficient of Purity.	Average Weight of one Root.	
				Lbs.	Oz.
Vilmorin's Improved.	14.57	18.06	80.67		14
Danish Improved.....	10.18	14.16	71.89	1	9
Red Top Sugar.....	12.31	15.39	79.98		11
Red Top White.....	13.20	16.11	81.93		13
Trés Riche (French very rich).....	16.95	20.77	81.12	1	0
Royal Giant.....	8.75	10.84	80.72	1	8
Lane's Improved	11.62	15.86	73.26		15
Klein Wanzleben.. ..	16.08	18.68	86.08	1	0
Danish Red Top.....	10.76	13.87	77.57	1	5

The varieties *Très Riche* (French very rich), *Klein Wanzleben*, and *Vilmorin's Improved* give most satisfactory results, both as regards percentage of sugar and co-efficient of purity.

The other varieties, of which we give results, with perhaps the exception of *Red Top White*, are too low in sugar content for factory purposes. This does not necessarily mean that the soil or weather conditions were unfavourable, for we understand from excellent authority that the majority of these beets are not grown for sugar.

Ontario, Ottawa.—Eight varieties of sugar beets were grown on the Experimental Farm: First sowing, May 12th; second sowing, May 26th; pulled, October 28th; Soil, sandy loam of good quality.

Drills two feet apart: plants thinned, six to eight inches.

SUGAR BEETS, OTTAWA, C.E.F., 1902.

VARIETY.	Percentage of Sugar in juice.	Percentage of Solids in juice.	Co-efficient of Purity.	Average weight of one root.
				Lbs. Oz.
<i>Vilmorin's Improved</i> , 1st sowing.....	17·74	20·36	87·1	1 3
2nd "	16·78	19·29	86·9	15
<i>Danish</i> " 1st "	13·28	15·83	83·9	1 10
2nd "	12·86	15·63	82·3	1 1
<i>Red Top</i> 1st "	13·59	15·2	89·4	1 1
2nd "	13·31	15·27	87·1	1 0
<i>Très Riche</i> (French very rich) 1st sowing....	15·58	17·57	88·6	1 2
2nd "	16·04	17·74	90·4	15
<i>Royal Giant</i> 1st sowing.....	11·95	14·73	81·1	1 5
2nd "	11·06	13·60	81·3	1 4
<i>Lane's Improved</i> 1st "	14·67	16·70	87·9	1 3
2nd "	14·18	15·79	89·2	14
<i>Klein Wanzleben</i> 1st "	17·48	19·00	92·0	1 8
2nd "	18·21	19·98	91·1	15
<i>Danish Red Top</i> 1st "	11·98	13·97	85·7	1 13
2nd "	12·06	14·74	81·8	1 1

With two exceptions, the percentages of sugar and purity co-efficients indicate a profitable beet for factory use, the varieties *Klein Wanzleben*, *Très Riche*, and *Vilmorin's Improved* again standing at the head of the list. These latter have shown sugar in juice ranging from 15·58 per cent to 18·21 per cent, and purity co-efficients from 86·9 per cent to 92·0 per cent.

Manitoba.—Fourteen samples, forwarded by Mr. Hugh McKellar, Chief Clerk, Department of Agriculture, Winnipeg, have been examined. Samples 1 to 9 were received on November 10, and unfortunately through insufficient care in packing, the several varieties in each parcel could not be distinguished. These, with the exception of Nos. 2 and 9 fall below the average for profitable sugar manufacture. Samples Nos. 10 to 14 were received on December 5, and were found to be shrivelled, evidently due to drying. This would make the percentage of sugar as obtained somewhat higher than that originally present. The results, however, from the varieties *Jaensch Victrix*, *Très Riche* (French very rich), and *Klein Wanzleben* are such as to indicate rich beets.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SUGAR BEETS, MANITOBA, 1902.

No.	Variety.	Grower.	Locality.	Percent- age of Sugar in juice.	Percent- age of Solids in juice.	Co-effi- cient of Purity.	Average Weight of one Root.
							Lbs. ozs.
1	Danish Red Top (?).....	P. R. Friesen.....	Gretna.....	9.46	14.31	66.10	3 6
2	Hanna 2677.....	S. J. Thompson.....	St. James.....	13.88	20.73	66.95	1 12
3	Vilmorin's Improved.....						
4	Klein Wanzleben.....	T. Outhwaite.....	Headingly.....	9.51	15.47	61.47	1 8
5	New Danish Improved.....	R. de Vries.....	Louise Bridge.....	13.07	18.05	72.41	1 5
6	Hanna 2677.....	M. McKellar.....	Pilot Mound.....	10.62	15.86	66.96	1 3
7	Danish Red Top.....						
8	?	W. Morden.....	Morden.....	8.39	12.87	65.19	3 15
9	?	R. Cook.....	Boissevain.....	6.91	11.90	53.07	3 2
10	Carter's Sugar Cane.....	J. Kircaldy.....	Brandon.....	10.88	16.26	66.91	1 10
11	Klein Wanzleben.....	R. de Vries.....	Louise Bridge.....	13.24	18.66	70.95	1 8
12	New Imperial.....	— Seafield.....	Ninga.....	18.71	22.73	82.31	1 2
13	Jaensch Victorix.....	"	"	20.17	23.05	87.50	15
14	Très Riche (French, very rich)	"	"	16.63	20.42	81.44	1 3
15	Klein Wanzleben.....	D. H. Scott.....	Brandon.....	17.19	21.82	78.77	1 1
16	Klein Wanzleben.....	"	"	13.58	18.60	73.01	1 8
17	Carter's Sugar Cane.....						

North-west Territories, Indian Head, Assa.—Nine varieties examined. Vilmorin's Improved, Très Riche (French very rich) and Klein Wanzleben show good percentages of sugar, but the others are decidedly below the average.

SUGAR BEETS, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T., 1902.

Variety.	Percentage of Sugar in juice.	Percentage of Solids in juice.	Co-efficient of Purity.	Average Weight of one Root.
				Lbs. ozs.
Vilmorin's Improved.....	14.12	17.8	79.32	15
Danish Improved.....	10.44	14.4	72.50	1 8
Red Top.....	11.56	15.2	76.05	1 11
Très Riche (French very rich).....	16.52	19.8	83.43	1 0
Royal Giant.....	9.16	12.7	71.89	1 8
Lane's Improved.....	11.64	15.8	73.39	1 4
Klein Wanzleben.....	14.80	18.6	79.69	15
Danish Red Top.....	11.65	15.4	75.65	1 2
Imperial Improved.....	11.42	14.9	76.28	1 4

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Strathcona, Alta.—Four samples of Klein Wanzleben were examined, of which the particulars are as follows:—

SUGAR BEETS, STRATHCONA, ALTA., 1902.

Number.	Name.	Variety.	Dates.		Distance between		Remarks.
			Sowing.	Pulling.	Rows.	Plants.	
					In.	In.	
1	R. Sheppard.....	Klein Wanzleben	May 24	Oct. 10	15	9	Black loam, previous crop for 2 years, potatoes.
2	J. W. Suddaby...	" ..	" 20	" 14	18	8	Prairie loam, no manure; 1899, turnips; 1900, potatoes; 1901, mangels.
3	Wm. Place.....	" ..	June 1	" 14	14	8	Deep prairie loam.
4	John J. Scribner..	" ..	May 29	" 17	24	8-10	Black prairie loam, previous crop on new land, potatoes.

The laboratory data are presented in the following tabular form:—

ANALYSIS OF SUGAR BEETS, STRATHCONA, N.W.T., 1902.

Number.	Variety.	Percentage of Sugar in Juice.	Percentage of Solids in Juice.	Co-efficient of Purity.	Average Weight of one Root.	
					Lbs.	Ozs.
1	Klein Wanzleben.....	13.66	18.63	73.3	2	1
2	"	16.04	20.56	78.0	1	12
3	"	13.77	16.97	81.2	1	5
4	"	17.41	20.70	84.1	1	8

It was stated that these beets merely received ordinary field culture.

CANADIAN 'BAKERS' STRONG' FLOUR.

The high standing of Red Fife wheat as grown in the Canadian North-west for the production of a superior bread-making flour has been well established, both by chemical analysis and practical baking tests. Indeed, to the 'Bakers' Strong' as manufactured from No. 1 Hard, is now generally accorded the very highest place as a bread-making flour.

In 1888, in a series of 26 samples of domestic and foreign wheats submitted to analysis in the laboratories of the Experimental Farms, the Red Fife of Manitoba and the North-west Territories was shown to have a very high gluten content and quite equal to that of the very best Russian varieties. (Bulletin No. 4, Experimental Farm Series).

At the World's Columbia Exposition held at Chicago in 1893, the writer as a professional juror was engaged in the analysis of the cereals entered for award. A summary of the results obtained will be found in the Report of the Experimental Farms for 1895, and the data in full in Bulletin No. 45 of the United States Department of Agriculture, Division of Chemistry. It was gratifying on that occasion to find that the Red Fife

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

samples from Manitoba and the North-west Territories were among the very best examined, as the following averages will demonstrate:—

MEANS of World's Fair Wheat Samples.

—	Weight of 100 Kernels.	Moist- ure.	Albumi- noids.	Fat.	Fibre.	Ash.	Carbo- hydrates	Wet Gluten.	Dry Gluten.
Domestic (United States) (165).	3·866	10·62	12·23	1·77	2·36	1·82	71·24	26·46	10·31
*Canadian (62).....	4·054	11·69	12·25	1·80	2·26	1·69	70·31	25·13	9·76
All foreign wheat (62).....	4·076	11·47	12·08	1·78	2·28	1·73	70·66	25·36	9·82
All samples (227).....	3·940	10·85	12·20	1·74	2·35	1·81	71·09	26·28	10·22
Manitoba (9).....	3·341	11·98	14·62	1·84	2·32	1·47	67·77	28·52	11·62
North-west Territories (9).....	3·841	11·55	14·53	1·80	2·14	1·63	68·35	31·27	12·44

*This series included wheats, both spring and winter, from Ontario and British Columbia, which materially reduced the average in albuminoids, and in wet and dry gluten.

In 1898 we were enabled to show from analyses made in the farm laboratories that Canadian Bakers' Strong flour, both in amount and quality of gluten was superior for bread-making to the best Hungarian flour. (Report Experimental Farms, 1898, pp. 153-4).

The following are the analytical data then obtained:—

ANALYSIS OF FLOURS.

Constituents.	Best Patents. Lake of the Woods Milling Co.	5-Star Best grade. E. O. P. O. Hungarian.
Moisture.....	11·47	11·51
Albuminoids.. ..	12·59	11·27
Fat or oil.....	1·82	1·87
Ash or mineral matter	·37	·34
Wet gluten.....	34·22	26·17
Dry gluten.....	12·33	9·79
Ratio of 'dry' to 'wet' gluten.. ..	2·77	2·67

As an effort is about to be made to introduce Canadian flour into Japan, it was thought desirable to make a series of analyses, comparing the flours now being used in that country—chiefly manufactured from wheats (Little Club and Blue Stem) grown in the Walla Walla valley, Oregon, and Washington Territory, with Canadian 'Bakers' Strong.' We should then be in a position to demonstrate their relative merits. We have accordingly this year submitted to analysis the following brands, the samples being furnished through the kindness of Mr. Wm. Hutchison, Commissioner of Exhibitions, Department of Agriculture, Ottawa.

No. 1.—'Bakers' Strong,' milled from Canadian No. 1 Hard.

No. 2.—'Centennial's Best,' milled from Little Club and Blue Stem.

No. 3.—'Legal Tender,' milled from Little Club and Blue Stem.

No. 4.—'Gold and Silver,' milled from Little Club and Blue Stem.

Nos. 2, 3 and 4 are flours from wheats grown in Oregon and Washington, U.S.A., the two latter brands, especially, being those at present exported to Japan.

ANALYSIS OF FLOURS.

Brand.	Moisture.	Albuminoids.	Fat or Oil.	Carbohydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.	Gluten.		
							Wet.	Dry.	Ratio Dry to Wet.
Manitoba 'Bakers' Strong'	13.35	12.13	1.30	72.79	0.60	0.43	33.18	15.95	2.38
Centennial's Best.....	11.23	10.50	0.88	77.03	0.00	0.36	32.95	13.05	2.52
Legal Tender.....	12.57	10.94	0.79	75.12	0.06	0.52	30.74	14.29	2.15
Gold and Silver.....	11.95	8.88	1.25	77.32	0.12	0.48	27.63	11.63	2.37

The most important constituent of flour, from the nutritive standpoint, is the protein or albuminoids, the special function of which is the formation and repair of the principal tissues of the body. The above data show that the Canadian 'Bakers' Strong' is much richer in this respect than the American flours examined. Calculated from the albuminoid-content, we find the following relative values :—

Manitoba 'Bakers' Strong'.....	100.0
Centennial's Best.....	86.5
Legal Tender.....	90.2
Gold and Silver.....	73.2

The gluten determinations indicate, approximately, the relative values of the flours for bread-making purposes, though the character as well as the amount of the gluten is a most important factor in such a consideration. The bread yield is dependent largely upon the so-called 'strength' of the flour—that is, the power to absorb and retain water—a quality which is directly relative to the gluten-content. The 'capacity for producing a well risen loaf,' which will retain its moisture and elasticity under a crisp crust, is rather due to the nature or physical character of the gluten. Recent research (Osborne and Voorhees) has shown that from gluten two proteid substances may be separated, to which they have given the names glutenin and gliadin, and which exist in varying proportions in different flours. These chemists further demonstrated that strong-flour glutes contain a larger proportion of glutenin than weak-flour glutes, and that it is this constituent which affects beneficially not only the moisture-holding capacity, but also the elasticity of the gluten, and hence the bread-making qualities of the flour.

Our gluten estimations (both wet and dry) give first place to the Canadian flour. Allowing the amounts of wet and dry gluten in it to be represented by 100, we have the following order of merit :—

	Wet Gluten.	Dry Gluten.
Manitoba 'Bakers' Strong'.....	100.00	100.00
Centennial's Best.....	86.3	81.8
Legal Tender.....	80.5	89.9
Gold and Silver.....	72.3	72.9

The following notes were made with regard to the quality of the glutes :—

Manitoba 'Bakers' Strong' :—Firm, tough, not sticky, elastic.

Centennial's Best :—Very similar to preceding, but slightly sticky.

Legal Tender and Gold and Silver :—Inferior to foregoing flours as regards elasticity, slightly sticky.

The facts presented by this investigation allow us to conclude that the Canadian flour is markedly superior to the other brands examined for bread-making purposes.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RIPE AND UNRIPE HONEY.

At the request of the Bee-keepers' Association of Ontario we undertook in 1901 to ascertain what differences in composition might exist between honey taken from uncapped and capped comb, respectively. Honey from the former is known to bee-keepers as immature or unripe, and is generally held to have poor keeping qualities, and therefore its sale either by itself or mixed with ripe honey is a detriment to the honey trade.

In the endeavour to determine the percentage of moisture in the honeys we encountered at the outset certain difficulties, and quickly reached the conclusion that the method employed in obtaining the results on Canadian honeys already on record (Bulletin No. 47, Inland Revenue Department) was unreliable. This method involved the drying of the honey solution on asbestos in a steam oven at 96° C. to 98° C. Under these conditions there is a continuous decomposition of the levulose, resulting in an apparent loss of moisture far in excess of the real percentage present. Further experiments were then made, employing lower temperatures, drying in a partial vacuum, &c., and an account of the results obtained presented to the Bee-keepers' Association at their Convention in Woodstock, Ont., in December, 1901, and have since been published in the proceedings of that association. Our conclusions then were of a tentative character, but the data certainly indicated that the uncapped or immature honey contained more water—probably between three and five per cent—than the fully capped or ripe honey, and, further, that the immature honey has a tendency to ferment and spoil.

In the early months of the present year the analytical methods were more critically examined by Mr. A. T. Charron and the writer and a large amount of work done on various honeys and mixtures of dextrose and levulose in order to learn the most reliable way to estimate the water-content of such substances. This investigation was successful, but as the results are of a purely chemical nature and have appeared in the transactions of the Royal Society (1902), it will not be necessary to here reproduce them.

Our revised data on the 1901 samples are given briefly in the following table, which will scarcely require any words of explanation:—

TABLE I.—Water in Honey, 1901.

Comb.	Where kept.	Bottle closed with	Date of Extraction.	Date of Analysis.	Water, Per Cent.
Fully capped.	Honey room.	Glass stopper.	Aug. 6.	Oct. 1.	15.46
"	Cellar.	"	" 6.	" 1.	15.89
"	Honey room.	Cheese cloth.	" 6.	" 1.	16.95
"	Cellar.	"	" 6.	" 1.	15.84
Partially capped.	Honey room.	Glass stopper.	July 1.	" 1.	19.12
"	Cellar.	"	" 1.	" 1.	20.68
"	Honey room.	Cheese cloth.	" 1.	" 1.	20.63
"	Cellar.	"	" 1.	" 1.	21.03
Uncapped.	Honey room.	Glass stopper.	" 1.	" 1.	19.57
"	Cellar.	"	" 1.	" 1.	19.24
"	Honey room.	Cheese cloth.	" 1.	" 1.	18.25
"	Cellar.	"	" 1.	" 1.	22.09

It will be seen that in addition to the main object of the inquiry, we endeavoured to ascertain what effect upon extracted honey might result (*a*) from keeping it in a closed vessel (as in glass stoppered bottles), and (*b*) open to the air (as in a vessel covered with cheese cloth).

Further, half of the samples were stored in the honey-room in a small outbuilding, and half in a cellar, which was, however, dry and well ventilated.

The honey from the fully capped comb contained from four per cent to five per cent less water than that from the partially or entirely uncapped comb.

The differences in moisture-content between the honeys kept in glass stoppered bottles and cheese cloth covered bottles are so small that we hesitate to draw any comparisons as to the respective merits of these methods of preservation.

The honey from uncapped and partially capped comb was found to have decidedly poor keeping qualities compared with the fully capped comb. Several of the jars of immature honey had fermented when examined in October.

This work was recently repeated on honey of the 1902 crop, with the following results:—

TABLE II.—Water in Honey, 1902.

Comb.	Where kept.	Bottle closed with	Date of Extraction.	Date of Analysis.	Water, Per Cent.
All capped.....	Laboratory.....	Glass stopper.....	Aug. 7....	Nov. 6....	15.78
"	Apiary.....	"	" 7....	" 11....	15.88
"	Laboratory.....	Cheese cloth.....	" 7....	" 6....	17.35
"	Apiary.....	"	" 7....	" 11....	16.25
Partially capped	Laboratory.....	Glass stopper.....	July 7....	" 6....	16.58
"	Apiary.....	"	" 7....	" 11....	15.33
"	Laboratory.....	Cheese cloth.....	" 7....	" 6....	15.31
"	Apiary.....	"	" 7....	" 11....	15.90
Uncapped	Laboratory.....	Glass stopper.....	" 7....	" 6....	17.13
"	Apiary.....	"	" 7....	" 11....	16.33
"	Laboratory.....	Cheese cloth.....	" 7....	" 6....	17.56
"	Apiary.....	"	" 7....	" 11....	16.18

We notice in the first place that compared with last year's results the same differences in water-content between the ripe and unripe honeys are not observable, though, as in 1901, the latter contain somewhat the higher percentages. Evidently, the character of the season has an influence in this matter and it is quite possible that some seasons the honey from uncapped comb may be practically of equal quality to that from capped comb.

In the case of honey extracted from fully capped comb, it would appear that it absorbed moisture from the air to a slight extent when kept in cheese cloth covered vessels. Experiments are now in progress to ascertain the effect of dry and moist air, respectively, on extracted honey.

The investigation with ripe and unripe honey will be further proceeded with, and as results of interest are obtained a report will be issued.

THE PERCENTAGE OF WATER IN CANADIAN CREAMERY BUTTER.

The recent enactment in England that butter to be accounted legally genuine should not contain more than 16 per cent of water, made it desirable that we should obtain, for our own information as well as that of the English public, data regarding the moisture-content of Canadian creamery butter. We have accordingly, at the instance of the Dairy Division of the Department of Agriculture, submitted to analysis since June last 105 samples of such butter, 75 being collected at the creameries from the butter as ready for the final export package, and 30 from warehouses at Montreal from packages already on their way to the English market.

Of the 75 samples sent direct from creameries, 6 were from Prince Edward Island, 2 from New Brunswick, 15 from Quebec, 26 from Ontario, and 26 from the North-west Territories. With one or two exceptions, they were all manufactured in July or August.

The results of this investigation, together with an account of the method of sampling and analysis employed, have been published as Bulletin No. 4, New Series, Dairy Division, Department of Agriculture, Ottawa. It will, therefore, only be necessary in

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

this place to summarize the data and point out the position of Canadian creamery butter in respect to moisture-content as compared with other butters entering the English market.

Range of Water-content in Canadian Creamery Butter.

Percentages of Water.		Number of Samples.
Between 7 and 8..		1
8	9	1
9	10	4
10	11	15
11	12	24
12	13	23
13	14	24
14	15	10
15	16	2
16	17	1
		<hr/> 105 <hr/>

Average per cent of Water.

In samples from creameries (75)	12·16
In samples taken at warehouse (30)	12·69
In 105 samples	12·31

For the purpose of comparison of Canadian creamery butter with that of certain European countries also exporting butter to England, we give the following averages, taken from the recent report of the Departmental Committee on Butter Regulations (England, 1902):—

Percentage of Water in Foreign Butters.

Danish—average of 2,001 samples, summer	14·03
“ 1,930 “ winter	14·41
“ in 1889–92, 1,288 samples	14·58
“ 1887–1900, 8,384 samples	13·97
Swedish—average in 1894–1900, 8,384 samples	13·57
Irish, yearly average 1896, 131 samples	13·93
“ 1897, 329 “	14·31
“ 1898, 298 “	14·42
“ 1899, 552 “	14·24
“ 1900, 615 “	14·11

This investigation has not only furnished proof of a most satisfactory character that Canadian Creamery Butter falls well within the limits set by the English law but also that it is much ‘drier’ than much of the butter made in Europe and which is met with as a competitor in the English markets.

WELL WATERS FROM FARM HOMESTEADS.

Of the 102 samples of water received, 74 have been submitted to analysis, the remainder, owing to insufficiency in the quantity sent or for other valid reasons, were not examined chemically. Nineteen are reported as pure and wholesome, seventeen as suspicious and probably dangerous, twenty-six as seriously polluted, and twelve as saline waters.

ANALYSIS OF WELL WATERS, 1902.

RESULTS STATED IN PARTS PER MILLION.

Number.	Locality.	Marks.	Date.	Free Ammonia.	Albuminoid Ammonia.	Nitrogen in Nitrates and Nitrites.	Chlorine.	Total Solids at 105° C.	Solids after Ignition.	Loss on Ignition.	Phosphates.	Report.
1	Newburg, Ont.	H. W.	1901.	30.19	.076	None.	47150.0	78855.2	Saline water.
2	Hanover, Ont.	J. J. W.	Dec. 4.	.06	.112	3.516	10.0	368.8	254.4	114.4	None.	Suspicious.
3	Shawville, Que.	R. D. F.	" 18.	.012	.101	7.975	29.0	348.0	195.6	152.4	"	Seriously contaminated.
4	South End P. O., Ont.	C. F. M.	" 24.	None.	.15	14.58	94.0	701.6	422.8	278.8	Trace.	Very seriously polluted.
5	Routhwaite, Brandon, M.	G. J. G.	" 26.	1.318	.141	2.836	180.0	5140.0	4328.8	811.2	V. H. trace.	Saline water.
6	Ottawa, Ont.	Mrs. R.	Jan. 8.	1.005	.251	7.536	60.8	520.0	357.6	162.4	Trace.	Polluted and dangerous to health.
7	Snow Flake, Man.	J. S.	" 24.	.054	.588	.021	None.	323.0	232.0	91.0	H. trace.	Free from pollution.
8	Carleton Place, Ont.	J. D. E. No. 1.	Feb. 1.	.056	.165	18.862	66.75	691.6	544.4	147.8	None.	Most seriously polluted.
9	" " No. 2.	L. O. P.	" 1.	.05	.14	17.048	73.0	700.0	544.0	156.0	V. S. trace.	"
10	St. François Xavier, Man.	L. O. P.	" 24.	.08	.097	1.136	3.8	9708.8	8870.4	838.4	"	Saline water.
11	East Templeton, Que.	F. C.	" 24.	.08	.125	.716	225.0	240.0	168.8	71.2	Trace.	Probably free from contamination.
12	Brandon, Man.	J. M. A.	Mar. 1.	1.99	.135	1.264	1.5	6064.0	5420.0	644.0	H. trace.	Saline water.
13	Cornwall, Ont.	G. H. P.	" 22.	.055	.138	.038	80.0	692.4	580.4	35.6	None.	Pure and wholesome.
14	Gatineau Point, Que.	H. K.	" 25.	.638	.188	.072	77.0	2700.0	2116.4	112.0	V. H. trace.	Contaminated with drainage.
15	Moosomin, Assa.	J. B. T. No. 1.	" 29.	1.585	.119	.924	39.0	1675.2	1336.0	339.2	None.	Saline water.
16	" " No. 2.	J. G. C.	" 29.	.072	.066	1.854	84.0	691.2	536.0	155.2	Trace.	"
17	Westboro', Ont.	" " "	April 3.	.222	.28	13.454	99.5	752.0	555.2	196.2	S. trace.	Probably unsafe for household use.
18	" " "	J. R. S.	" 17.	.443	.143	.093	31.5	3544.8	2916.0	628.8	Trace.	Undoubtedly polluted.
19	Eden, Man.	" " "	" 30.									Saline water, seriously contaminated.
20	Rideau River, Ont., opp. Con. K.	J. B. No. 1.	May 9.	.038	.382	.081	2.1	168.8	85.6	83.2	S. trace.	Unpolluted.
21	Ottawa East, Ont.	" " No. 2.	" 9.	.030	.070	.0348	7.4	265.6	169.6	96.0	V. S. trace.	Suspicious.
22	Rideau Canal, Ottawa, O.	" " No. 3.	" 9.	.032	.420	.0263	3.8	160.0	78.8	81.2	"	Not a first class water.
23	Ottawa East, Ont.	" " No. 4.	" 9.	.024	.134	.088	8.0	244.8	137.6	107.2	"	Shows signs of contamination.
24	" " No. 5.	" " No. 5.	" 9.	.024	.083	.0082	12.8	264.0	173.6	90.4	S. trace.	"
25	Lake Deschêne	A. D. C.	" 12.	.012	.227	.1137	1.6	56.0	24.8	21.2	None.	Excellent, pure.
26	Avonmore, Ont.	H. A. McM.	" 15.	.039	.562	.1396	80.0	732.0	422.0	310.0	Trace.	Seriously polluted.
27	Shalburne, Ont.	D. H.	" 28.	.17	.038	.039	4.0	229.2	175.2	54.0	V. S. trace.	Probably good and wholesome.
28	Clarkburg, Ont.	W. II.	" 30.	.021	.082	.0038	72.5	2616.0	2240.0	376.0	None.	Very suspicious.
29	Keopek, P. E. I.	P. C.	June 3.	.012	.051	.1507	87.0	348.4	286.0	126.4	Trace.	Seriously contaminated.
30	Elm Creek, Man.	P. D. A.	" 16.	.20	.31	.0312	7.5	3692.0	2158.0	1534.0	"	Saline water.
31	Navy Bank, Man.	W. C.	July 3.	.283	.191	.0725	71.0	628.0	388.4	239.6	V. H. trace.	Suspicious.
32	Nicolet, Que.	J. B.	" 7.	.44	.183	.005	81.0	374.0	266.8	107.2	"	Very suspicious.
33	Rideau River, Ont.	J. B. No. A.	" 8.	.025	.385	.031	2.5	166.0	74.0	92.0	V. S. trace.	Pure, wholesome.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

54	Wright, Que.	"	S.	.39	.029	2.41	177.0	80.0	97.0	S. trace.	"
55	"	"	17.	.101	4.041	3.5	189.6	100.8	88.8	V. H. trace.	Polluted.
56	"	"	24.	.06	5.332	5.5	198.0	100.0	98.0	S. trace.	"
57	"	"	24.	.136	None...	1.3	39.2	26.8	41.5	None.	Excellent, pure.
58	"	"	24.	.062	11.089	142.5	852.4	436.8	445.6	V. H. trace.	Polluted.
59	Bay View, N.S.	"	30.	.253	27.48	390.0	1160.0	831.0	326.0	Trace.	Very seriously polluted.
60	Oshawa, Ont.	"	31.	.205	15.851	42.5	786.0	472.0	314.0	V. S. trace.	Dangerously contaminated.
61	"	"	31.	.276	12.29	42.0	631.0	397.0	284.0	Trace.	"
62	Hazeldean, Ont.	"	Aug. 1.	.138	4.382	15.5	245.2	175.6	60.6	"	"
63	"	"	1.	.124	.886	21.5	268.3	164.8	104.0	"	"
64	"	"	1.	.05	4.198	16.0	214.4	123.2	91.2	H. trace.	"
65	St. Eugene, Que	"	12.	.212	1.22	220.0	408.0	447.5	215.3	Trace.	Saline water.
66	Lavant Station, Ont.	"	14.	.111	.085	3.5	257.5	155.2	102.3	"	Not polluted.
67	Wolseley Assa.	"	18.	.115	.034	9.0	484.4	368.4	116.0	"	Not polluted.
68	Indian Head, N.W.T.	"	18.	.137	.946	53.7	1522.4	1122.4	400.0	V. S. trace.	Saline water.
69	"	"	No. 2	.143	2.433	10.3	522.4	378.4	144.0	Trace.	Very suspicious.
70	"	"	No. 3	.355	29.313	100.0	4273.0	3216.8	1055.2	H. trace.	Saline water.
71	"	"	No. 4	.385	1.178	1.0	273.6	168.8	104.8	"	Unpolluted, wholesome.
72	Almonte, Ont.	"	25.	.067	1.031	10.0	368.8	237.2	131.6	Trace.	Suspicious.
73	St. John, N.B.	"	Sept. 2.	.093	.045	5.0	68.8	42.4	18.4	S. trace.	Good and wholesome water.
74	"	"	2.	.103	None...	7400.0	11411.2	3968.0	8443.2	None.	Saline water.
75	"	"	2.	.132	.220	4.0	32.0	21.6	10.4	S. trace.	Suspicious.
76	Ottawa, Ont.	"	5.	.240	None...	253.3	732.0	607.2	124.8	Trace.	Unpolluted.
77	Fairmeade, N.W.T.	"	5.	.722	.270	15.9	1128.8	862.4	266.4	S. trace.	Contaminated and dangerous to health.
78	Appleton, Ont.	"	6.	.097	.224	2.0	318.0	Suspicious.	"
79	Ottawa East, Ont.	"	10.	.320	.077	2.2	126.4	60.4	65.0	V. S. trace.	Pure, wholesome.
80	"	"	10.	.014	.320	.092	127.6	61.6	66.0	"	"
81	Grenfell, Assa.	"	16.	.013	26.27	82.5	2306.0	1248.0	1058.0	"	Dangerously contaminated.
82	Billings Bridge, Ont	"	16.	.085	.019	3.0	276.0	174.4	101.6	"	Free from pollution.
83	A. H. C. London, Ont.	"	30.	.156	7.657	34.0	599.6	361.6	238.0	Trace.	Seriously polluted.
84	Georgetown, Que.	"	Oct. 3.	.153	.217	2.5	240.4	140.4	109.0	None.	Suspicious.
85	Waskada, Man.	"	18	2.54	.041	505.0	2447.2	2271.2	176.0	H. trace.	Seriously polluted.
86	Wright, Que.	"	20	.275	.889	None...	212.0	124.0	88.0	"	Suspicious.
87	Annapolis N.S.	"	Nov. 3.	.145	.173	4.0	32.8	10.4	22.4	H. trace.	"
88	Vankleek Hill, Ont.	"	3.	.103	5.825	6.0	308.0	189.2	118.8	Trace.	Saline water.
89	Fredericton, Man.	"	7.	.282	1.04	35.0	4783.6	3739.2	1044.4	H. trace.	Pure, wholesome.
90	Rideau River, Ont	"	11.	.371	.036	2.9	145.6	80.0	65.6	Trace.	"
91	"	"	11.	.390	.346	2.5	145.2	80.8	64.4	"	"
92	Highgate, Ont.	"	20	.057	.003	87.5	401.6	360.4	41.2	"	Seriously polluted.
93	Ottawa East, Ont.	"	25.	.455	6.185	105.0	653.0	522.0	130.2	"	Polluted.
94	"	"	25	.248	7.84	165.0	923.2	741.2	182.0	"	"

The results of this examination have again emphasized the folly of locating the well in the farmyards and under or near the farm buildings, as is so often practised, for by far the larger number of bad waters are from such wells. From every standpoint—the health of the family, thrift of stock, and quality and wholesomeness of dairy products—there can be no doubt as to the desirability of a water supply absolutely free from pollution. The danger from using a water which has even remotely received excrementitious matter is a serious one, though it may be insidious in its character and difficult at times to recognize. This we have repeatedly in the past pointed out and explained and, therefore, refrain now from speaking further on the subject.

We are extremely glad, however, to note that throughout the Dominion, farmers are paying more and more attention to the quality of the water supply for their household and stock, and are exercising greater care in protecting it from pollution. We feel sure that this movement will result in much good directly and indirectly to the agricultural community.



SIMPSON'S TRUE-PERENNIAL RED CLOVER,

1. Showing stoloniferous habit of growth.
2. Plot, 33 ft. x 8 ft. 3 in., in flower. Height, 6-12 in.

REPORT

OF THE

ENTOMOLOGIST AND BOTANIST

(JAMES FLETCHER, LL.D., F.L.S., F.R.S.C.)

1902.

OTTAWA, December 1, 1902.

Dr. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director of Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to hand you herewith a report on some of the more important subjects which have received attention in the Division of Entomology and Botany during the year 1902. Many other subjects have taken up some of the time of the staff, but do not require special treatment in this report. The ever increasing number of applications for information continue to give encouraging evidence as to the utility of the investigations carried on year by year in the Division. The gradual but sure adoption by farmers of such scientific methods of protecting their crops from the attacks of injurious insects and destructive fungi, as spraying, &c., must to a large measure be traced directly to instructions given by officers of the Experimental Farms.

Correspondence.—The large correspondence of the Division has been of the usual varied character, and as in the past shows a considerable increase in the numbers of letters received. From November 30, 1901, to November 30, 1902, the number of letters exclusive of circulars, registered as received, is 3,215, and the number despatched 2,845.

Meetings attended.—Meetings of farmers' institutes, and agricultural associations of various kinds, have been attended whenever other official duties would allow of my absence from Ottawa.

January 8 to 10, Whitby, Ont.—The Eastern Ontario Dairymen's Association, where addresses were delivered: (1) 'Hay and Pasture Grasses,' 'The Cultivation of Flowers.' The Ontario Ladies College:—'The Value of Nature Study in Education.'

January 20 to 24, Wolfville, N.S.—Nova Scotia Fruit Growers' Association: (1) 'Insects Injurious to Fruits,' (2) 'The San José Scale in Canada.'

January 23, Amherst, Nova Scotia Farmers' Association: 'Farmers' Friends and Foes,' 'Pasture Grasses, Potato Rot, and Injurious Insects.'

February 5, Howick, Que.—Huntingdon Dairymen's Association: (2) 'Potato Rot,' (2) 'Quack Grass, Perennial Sow Thistle and other Weeds,' (3) 'The Care of House Plants.'

February 7, Cowansville, Que.—District of Bedford Dairymen's Association: (1) 'Insects Injurious to Farm Crops,' (2) 'The best Pasture Grasses for Quebec Province,' (3) 'Potato Rot,' (4) 'Perennial Sow Thistle and Quack Grass.'

February 17, Hamilton, Ont.—Hamilton Horticultural Society: The Flora of the Rocky Mountains.'

February 18, Toronto.—Toronto Normal School: 'Nature Study.'

March 6, Ottawa.—Canadian Forestry Association: Discussion of Forest Insects.

March 21, Ottawa.—Ottawa Normal School: 'Our Common Birds and What they do.'

May 20, Niagara Falls and St. Catharines.—Examining the experiments by Mr. Geo. E. Fisher, the Provincial Inspector for controlling the San José Scale.

June 4, Orillia, Ont.—Orillia Horticultural Society: 'Remedies for Orchard Insects and how to apply them'. The East Simcoe Farmers Institute: 'Insects injurious to farm crops.'

June 12-30.—Holding meetings in south-eastern Alberta for the North-west Government.

July 28-31.—Attending the Summer School of Science at St. Stephen, N.B., where an address was delivered on July 30 upon 'Nature Study in Education', and some other addresses before the botanical class of the Summer School.

August 5-11.—Holding farmers' meetings in Prince Edward Island in company with Prof. Robertson, at Summerside on 5th, Kensington on 6th, New Perth on 7th, Charlottetown on 8th, Crapaud on 9th, and Tignish on 11th.

August 14, Aylmer, Que.—Fruit Growers' Association of Quebec: 'Fruit Insects of the year'.

September 9-10, Brome, Que.—Attending the Brome County Exhibition, where an exhibit was arranged showing growing fodder grasses, as well as bundles of the dry hay and all the weeds of the district in a fresh and preserved condition.

September 12.—Visited Oka, Que., and examined the experiments which had been made some years ago in planting pine groves to prevent sand from blowing, and also the gardens of the Trappists' Monastery, and the extensive orchards of Mr. R. W. Shepperd.

September 23, Richmond, Ont.—Carleton Model Fair: Judged the collections of natural history objects made by the teachers and school children of the district, and gave an address on the value of these to the farmers assembled.

September 24, Whitby, Ont.—Model Fair. Judged the collections made by the teachers and school children of the district in the afternoon, and in the evening gave an address on the value of this work to farmers and particularly to the parents of the children engaged in the work.

September 25.—Visited Niagara Falls, investigating the progress of the San José Scale experiments, and inspected the Fumigation Station.

October 28, St. Catharines and Niagara-on-the-Lake.—Accompanied the Ontario San José Scale Commission, examining the experiments in treating trees for the San José Scale by the Provincial Inspector.

October 29, London, Ont.—Entomological Society of Ontario, annual meeting. Addressed Pea Weevil Conference in the afternoon 30th. 'Injurious Insects of the year' and 'Entomological Record for 1902'. Three papers were also read at this meeting by my assistant, Mr. Gibson.

Fodder Plants.—The copious rains of the past season gave the experimental grass plots, a chance to recover from the effects of injury from drought last year and severe frost early in the winter of 1901-02. The growth of all varieties was very luxuriant, and the large collection of grasses and clovers attracted the attention of all visitors. Among experiments of special interest were rows of peas grown to illustrate the injury done by the Pea Weevil and the Pea Moth; also beds of fall wheat sown at different dates last autumn, and plots of Chess and fall wheat which are planted every year to show farmers that these two plants have no relationship to each other. During the summer we have been able to convince many, who thought otherwise, that this is the case, by digging up plants of chess after the heads have appeared, and showing that the chess seed was still attached to the roots. This seed is entirely different from that of fall wheat, having a husk upon it which bears a fringe of bristles along each side of the conspicuous groove. I would suggest to some of those who still believe that chess is 'degenerated

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

fall wheat' that they try this experiment for themselves. They will at any rate convince themselves that chess plants will grow from chess seeds, which is frequently stated not to be the case. Several new varieties of grasses and clovers have been added to the collection during the past year.

Insects of the year.—A satisfactory feature of the year 1902 has been a marked decrease in the injuries by some of our well known pests, such as the Codling Moth, the Cankerworms and the Tent Caterpillars, through most of our fruit-growing districts. Insect enemies which require at the present time more attention than they are receiving from the people most concerned, are the following. The Mediterranean Flour Moth, although seldom mentioned, is becoming abundant in mills in various parts of Canada. Where thorough fumigation with sulphur has been tried and frequently repeated, the best results have been obtained. This, of course, must be accompanied with scrupulous cleanliness, the mills being frequently swept down, and as small a stock as possible of ground grain or cereal products, kept on hand. Opening the mills frequently to the full intensity of the winter cold, has also been useful in checking this insect. The Buffalo Carpet Beetle is extending the range over which it is a troublesome household pest. The Eye-spotted Bud-moth did considerable harm in the orchards of the Maritime Provinces last spring. In Manitoba, particularly about Sewell Station, much loss resulted from neglecting to fight Locusts. The wheat crop of Manitoba was in some places rather seriously injured by the Hessian Fly, but the Provincial Department of Agriculture has made widely known the best way to cope with this pest. The San José Scale work in south-western Ontario is now beginning to bear excellent fruit, in the discovery of what may be called a practical remedy for this terrible pest. It has been shown during the past summer that trees which have been thoroughly sprayed early in the spring with the California lime-sulphur-and-salt wash, as well as with a modification of this, with the salt omitted, and subsequently with the ordinary kerosene emulsion, may be kept free from injury by the San José Scale. There is every reason to hope that, as this remedy becomes more generally applied, the San José Scale may be brought down to the status of an ordinary fruit pest. It will, however, require constant and extreme care, or the state of the orchards will soon revert to what it was a year ago. The San José Scale is still the very worst insect we have ever had to fight, and there must as yet be no relaxation whatever in the campaign against it. The insect requiring perhaps more attention than any other at the present time, is the Pea Weevil, which annually destroys upwards of one million dollars worth of the field peas of Ontario. I have endeavoured to draw particular attention to this insect with the object of inducing all pea growers, whether seed merchants, farmers or private individuals, to adopt the well tried and simple remedies by which this insect can be much reduced in numbers. There are perhaps more reasons to hope that total extinction of this serious enemy might be attained than is the case with many others we have to deal with. The Cattle Horn-fly, possibly from the nature of the season, increased noticeably in many parts of Canada, particularly in the maritime provinces, whence frequent demands for advice were received. Another result of the wet weather which prevailed in most parts of Canada, was the somewhat unusual amount of injury from slugs. These molluscs are not insects, but it is to the student of insects that most inquiries regarding them are directed.

There were no important additions to our list of injurious insects during the past year. The incident of greatest interest was the occurrence of a single specimen of the Brown-tailed Moth at St. John, N. B., where it was captured by Mr. Wm. McIntosh of that city. This is a European pest which, after the notorious Gypsy Moth, has caused more anxiety than any other insect in the New England States, excepting perhaps the San José Scale. Two fruit pests, enemies of the strawberry, the caterpillars of a geometer moth, *Petrophora truncata*, and of a noctuid, *Scopelosoma trisignata*, probably only of minor importance, have been received from British Columbia. These are widely distributed insects but have never previously been complained of as enemies of the fruit grower.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Collections.—The work of arranging the collections in the Division of Entomology and Botany and putting them in such condition that they can be easily consulted by visitors, has progressed satisfactorily. Large numbers of specimens of both insects and plants have been received, and the collections are becoming a valuable source of reference. Mr. J. A. Guignard, who has charge of the herbarium, has added many mounted plants from all parts of the Dominion, which have been received from correspondents, sent in for identification, or collected by the various officers of the Division. Mr. Arthur Gibson has continued the arrangement of the cabinets of insects, and has added many interesting specimens illustrative of the life histories and habits of crop pests and other insects.

During the season, as heretofore, many students in all parts of the Dominion have sent in specimens of insects and plants for identification. Many large collections have been received for this purpose, from which much valuable knowledge, as to the distribution of our native insects and plants, and as to the occurrence of the weeds of cultivated lands, has been gathered. Records have been taken of these, and in addition many desirable specimens have been acquired for the museum. So many collectors have generously presented specimens for our cabinets that it would be impossible here on account of limited space to give a list of these, but mention must be made of the following:

A representative collection of British Columbian Geometridæ from the Rev. G. W. Taylor, of Wellington, B. C.

Collections in all orders of insects, and many consignments of the eggs of rare mountain species, from Mr. J. W. Cockle, of Kaslo, B. C.

Rare British Columbian plants, from Mr. J. R. Anderson, Victoria, B. C.

Acknowledgments.—As in the past I have been during the season of 1902 under great obligations to my many correspondents, including several members of the Select Standing Committee of the House of Commons on Agriculture, who have notified me of outbreaks of injurious insects and have assisted in carrying out experiments for controlling the same. It is not possible to report upon a great deal of this work at the end of each year, but careful records are always preserved, and, when occasion demands for the treatment of any subject in the annual report, great care is taken to give proper credit for such assistance where it is due. The value of exact observations is constantly being illustrated in the scientific study of insects, and this even many years after the observations were made. In devising remedies for injurious insects, the success or failure of these will in all cases depend on the accuracy of our knowledge concerning the habits of the insects to be fought against.

In conclusion, I take pleasure in again testifying to the assiduity and excellent work constantly done by my assistants, Mr. J. A. Guignard, B. A., and Mr. Arthur Gibson, to which such success as has attended the development of the Division is largely due.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

JAMES FLETCHER,

Entomologist and Botanist.

DIVISION OF ENTOMOLOGY.

CEREALS.

Reports from all parts of the Dominion announce that the grain crop of 1902 has been abundant and of good quality with little injury by the ordinary insect pests. Any injury mentioned is from weather. In British Columbia Mr. J. R. Anderson says: 'Weather conditions were good and the crops correspondingly so. The yield of wheat in the Okanagan was very large and the quality extra good.' In the North-west Territories Mr. A. W. Peterson described the crop of all kinds of grain as 'enormous beyond precedent and of the finest quality.' Crops of fall wheat seen by me at Pincher Creek and among the Mormon settlements of south-western Alberta can only be described as magnificent, notwithstanding the excessive rains of June and July. In Manitoba Mr. McKellar sums up the reports from his correspondents as follows: 'The best crop ever raised in Manitoba; wheat No. 1 hard or No. 1 Northern. It is hardly possible to describe the perfect weather with which this province was blessed during harvest and threshing. Never in the history of the province was so much work done in the short period of ten weeks, and the garnering of the greatest crop ever grown in the province was done almost without interruption. Of our crop of over 50 millions of bushels of wheat, half was already marketed by the end of November. Threshing was practically finished and more fall ploughing done by the middle of November than was done altogether last fall. All grain crops are equally large; we have upwards of 35 million bushels of plump heavy oats and nearly 12 millions of barley.' Prof. James reports the yield of fall wheat in Ontario as 'above the average for 20 years, and spring wheat, good both in yield and quality.' 'The chief damage to crops everywhere was from rain; comparatively little injury was done by insect pests, despite the fears entertained of the Hessian Fly.' The same satisfactory reports come from Quebec and the maritime provinces. Father Burke, of Prince Edward Island, writes in November last: 'The harvest is abundant, and, as the loss from insects has been almost nil, the farmer wears his sunniest smile in the presence of bursting barns and well filled cellars.'

The only insect enemies of cereal crops requiring mention this year, are the Hessian Fly and locusts, in Manitoba.

THE HESSIAN FLY
(*Cecidomyia destructor*, Say).

The remarkable and almost entire disappearance of the Hessian Fly from the wheat fields of Ontario in 1902 after the excessive injury in 1901, is a subject of constant and grateful comment by correspondents. There has been, however, slight injury in Prince Edward Island. A few straws containing puparia were sent in by Mr. E. Wyatt, of Pleasant Grove, P.E.I., but the loss in the field from which they were taken was hardly perceptible, and no other correspondents make mention of it. In travelling through Prince Edward Island in August last, I could neither hear of nor see any trace of this pest. The most notable attack by the Hessian Fly in 1902 has been in the wheat crop of Manitoba, and several specimens of injured straws were received in September and October. Reports were also received in June of injury to the root shoots of growing wheat at Treesbank, Man. This attack at the root is very seldom noticed by farmers,

and other causes are suggested to account for the destruction of the plants, which is frequently considerable. Mr. Criddle, of Aweme, is of the opinion that many of the




Fig. 1.—The Hessian Fly: attacked barley stems; 1, elbowed down; 2, showing 'flax seeds.'

Mr. Cooper, of Treesbank, sent me specimens of wheat, saying under date of June 3; 'I have noticed a great many dead and dying wheat plants in this locality this spring, and have examined my own fields to try and learn the cause. When the injury was first noticed, the wheat was three or four inches high. To-day I find a small white maggot imbedded in that part of the stem below the ground between the surface and the seed, and, after examining your report on Hessian Fly for 1899, page 167, I came to the conclusion that this fly was the cause of the damage. The place where the damage is worst on my land, is on a piece of spring ploughed stubble land which bore a heavy crop last year and was ploughed five or six inches deep this spring. The injury seems to be worst wherever the land is loosest. One place where my cattle had tramped the ground hard there is no injury. A neighbour's summer-fallowed field is far worse than mine. I am afraid of further injury later in the summer from these pests, which I suppose is bound to happen, should my diagnosis be correct.'—A. COOPER.

This is the only district in which the attack on the root shoots was noticed, but later in the year several reports were received of injuries at Stockton, Wawanesa, Rounthwaite, Blythe and Aweme. When the wheat was cut, it was found that in certain places in western Manitoba many of the straws were broken down from having been injured by the Hessian Fly. Articles were published in the press by the Deputy Minister of Agriculture for Manitoba, and by Mr. W. H. Coard, of the Commissioner of Agriculture's Branch at Ottawa, in which the life history of the Hessian Fly was given and the best means of dealing with it. There is only one annual brood of the Hessian Fly in Manitoba, the eggs being laid upon the leaves of the young plants, and, according to the development of the plant at the time the maggots attack it, the larvæ are found either in the axils of the leaves below the surface of the ground, or, if the stem has begun to shoot, in the axils of those leaves on the stem nearest to the ground. The maggots assume the flax seed or pupa condition about mid-summer; but the flies in the hot dry autumns which prevail in Manitoba, probably in most cases and certainly in many, as I have seen by actual observation, do not emerge until the following spring. Therefore, the problem of controlling the Hessian Fly in Manitoba is far simpler than in the East, where the greatest damage is done to fall wheat in the autumn. In Manitoba no fall wheat is sown; so, if any flies emerge in the autumn, they die without doing any harm, because no winter grain is sown in Manitoba, and the Hessian Fly does not subsist on any wild grasses. The remedy, therefore, is comparatively simple. When Hessian Fly is known to be present, grain should be cut high and the stubble burned over or ploughed down in autumn. For fear that any of the flax seeds might be carried in the straw, this should be fed to stock or burned before the time that the flies emerge the following spring. Many of the flax seeds may be seen beneath threshing machines when straw has been badly infested. Therefore, all screenings or rubbish from machines should be put where poultry can get at it, or where it will be trampled into the ground during the winter by stock.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

THE PEA WEEVIL OR 'PEA BUG'

(Bruchus pisorum, Linn.).

Attack.—A small, brownish-gray, very active beetle, one-fifth of an inch long, with two conspicuous black spots on the end of the body, which emerges from seed peas in autumn or in spring, leaving a small round hole. The insect is generally spoken of under the incorrect name of 'Pea Bug,' and infested peas, as 'buggy' peas. The egg is laid on the outside of the young pod, and the grub on hatching eats its way in and penetrates the nearest pea. Here it remains until full grown, consuming the interior of the pea and passing through all its stages, from a white fleshy grub to the pupa, and then to the perfect beetle. Some of the beetles, the percentage varying with the season, escape from the peas, occasionally as early as harvest



Fig. 2.—The Pea Weevil: all stages—shown of the natural size and enlarged.

time, or during the autumn, and pass the winter hidden away under rubbish or about barns and other buildings. As a rule, however, the larger proportion do not under ordinary circumstances leave the peas until the time when peas are sown the following spring, and consequently may be carried into new districts previously uninfested. It may be added to this that the perfect insects fly easily and for long distances, and that they are attracted by instinct to growing fields of peas, where they feed upon the foliage and flowers of the plants until the young pods are formed. The beetles which leave the peas in autumn and those which remain in the seeds till the following spring, all become fully developed at the same time, which is about the middle of August, and all, whether they winter outside the peas or inside the grain, die about the same time the following season, viz., during the month of June.

The life history and habits of the Pea Weevil are so well known, and have been so frequently explained to farmers and other pea growers that it may seem superfluous to some for me again to draw attention to this matter. However, the loss at the present time is so great and is increasing so rapidly year by year that it is, I believe, the most important subject in connection with my official work, which I have to-day to bring before Canadian farmers; and, as I fully believe that an enormous improvement can be made without difficulty in the existing deplorable condition of affairs, simply by practising more universally methods which are well known to be effective and which are to some extent used, the Hon. Minister of Agriculture has instructed me to do everything in my power to urge everyone connected with the growing, handling and marketing of peas, to unite in one great effort to reduce the serious loss which is taking place every year. If this can be done, I see no reason to doubt that even total extermination of this serious pest might be arrived at in a comparatively short time. There is nothing new in the way of remedies, nor, indeed, are any better remedies than have been known for many years, necessary. Since 1888 attention has been constantly drawn in my reports to the remedies which have been found effective, but apparently little has been done, and the insect has now increased so much in all the counties of the province of Ontario, where formerly peas of the very finest quality were produced, and which lie to the south of a line drawn from Kincaidine on Lake Huron, through Lake Simcoe and Peterborough county about Fencelon Falls to Brockville, that pea growing is no longer a paying industry. Moreover, from the efforts made by seedsmen to obtain peas uninjured by the weevil, by having them grown in uninfested districts, the range of infestation has been widely spread in counties lying to the north of this line, because seed peas have been sent out for propagation for this purpose which had not been properly treated before sowing so as to destroy the contained weevils.

The importance of the Pea Crop both to the farmer for feeding, and for the excellent condition the land is afterwards left in for the cultivation of other crops, as well as to the merchant for export, makes it most advisable that everything possible should be done to preserve the trade in this important cereal. At the present time there is a most decided inclination on the part of farmers to give up growing peas on account of the difficulty of securing a crop free of the weevil. In many districts where formerly large and very remunerative crops were grown, hardly any are now sown, and the decrease in the acreage sown to peas in Ontario in 1902, as given in the Crop Bulletin for August last, of the Ontario Bureau of Industries, is 70,000 acres less than in 1901. It is possible that this diminution in the number of peas sown may to a certain degree affect beneficially the amount of occurrence of the Weevil next year, but this alone cannot possibly solve the question at issue, i.e., such a wholesale destruction of the Pea Weevil, that the whole of the province of Ontario may again become what it certainly was in the past, the best country in the world for the production of peas of the highest quality on the market. This end, I am confident, is well within the bounds of reasonable possibility, but will depend upon a much wider application than has ever been practised in the past, of concerted measures, which must be adopted simultaneously wherever peas are grown, both in Canada and the United States.

I have carried on during the past season a very extensive correspondence with the leading grain merchants, seedsmen, farmers, and other pea growers in the province of Ontario as well as in Montreal, from which port the greater part of the crop for export is shipped. From this correspondence I have been able to learn, I believe, pretty thoroughly what the condition of affairs, with regard to the destruction of the pea crop by the Pea Weevil, is at the present time. This article is written as a special effort to induce everybody concerned, to do something *now*, more definitely and in a more concerted manner than has ever been done before. An agitation has already been created through the efforts of this Division working conjointly with the Provincial Department of Agriculture through Prof. Lochhead, of the Ontario Agricultural College at Guelph. An important conference was held at the annual meeting of the Entomological Society of Ontario, when a resolution was passed requesting the Superintendent of Farmers' Institutes for Ontario to allow this matter to be brought prominently before every farmers' institute meeting in the province during the coming winter. Mr. G. C. Creelman, the energetic Superintendent of Farmers' Institutes for Ontario, very soon afterwards sent out to all institute workers a circular letter in which is plainly shown the great interest taken in this matter by the Provincial Minister of Agriculture. In this circular, all who are going to address institute meetings this winter were instructed to attend the annual meeting of the Experimental Union and Provincial Winter Fair at Guelph, and it is stated to be the wish of the Minister that, 'all institute workers should this year be prepared to discuss the Pea Weevil. To this end arrangements have been made, whereby special instruction will be given on this subject at the Experimental Union and Winter Fair. Prof. Lochhead, of Guelph, and Dr. Fletcher, of Ottawa, will discuss the matter. I would be pleased therefore, if you would keep yourself informed as much as possible along this line.' The matter came up for consideration at both of these important meetings and was discussed with earnestness, not only by the institute workers, most of whom were in attendance, but by several other farmers who were present. Full accounts of both the London and Guelph conferences appeared in the *Toronto Weekly Sun*, the *Montreal Weekly Star* and the *Farmer's Advocate*. These articles were widely copied in the public press, and a special bulletin has been prepared by Profs. Lochhead and Zavitz, which will appear before seeding time next spring. Timely articles will be issued next season telling pea-growers what to do, and advising them as to all details of the proposed campaign against this enemy.

Among those who have taken an active part in the discussion of the best means of remedying the existing injury to the pea crop by the weevil, the following have assisted by giving valuable suggestions and information as to the range and extent of the depredations, and by sending samples of peas, which have been fumigated at various dates to destroy the weevils:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Adamson, E., grain inspector, Toronto.	Martin Bros., Mount Forest, Ont.
Allan, The J. H., Seed Co., Picton, Ont.	Matthews, W. D., & Co., Toronto.
Balkwill, J. A., London, Ont.	McFee, Alex. & Co., Montreal.
Bruce, J. A., & Co., Hamilton, Ont.	Montreal Board of Trade.
Carruthers, Jas., & Co., Toronto.	Niles, W. P., Wellington, Ont.
Coryell, The J. L., Seed Co., Oshawa, Ont.	Pearce, Wm., London.
Crain & Baird, Toronto, Ont.	Rennie, Wm., Toronto.
DeLaporte, A. V. & Co., Toronto.	Ross, W. (M.P.), Port Perry, Ont.
Ewing, W., & Co., Montreal, Q.	Simmers, J. A., Toronto.
Hamilton, A. M., & Co., London, Ont.	Smith, W. L., Toronto.
Hay Bros., Listowel, Ont.	Steele, Briggs Co., Toronto.
Hodson, F. W., Ottawa, Ont.	Thomson, Wm., Mitchell, Ont.
Hopkins, H. T., Picton.	Wilcox, H. H., Oshawa.
Lick, Elmer, Oshawa.	Wright, A. A., (M.P.), Renfrew, Ont.

My thanks are particularly due to Mr. R. F. Stupart of Toronto, for a liberal supply of skeleton maps of the province of Ontario, which were of great use in collecting data as to the injurious presence of the Pea Weevil in the province; also to Prof. C. C. James, Deputy Minister of Agriculture for Ontario, for much valuable advice and assistance.

The extent of the injury.—Judging from the loss during the past ten years, and the present state of affairs, the loss attributable to the work of the Pea Weevil cannot fall far short of \$1,000,000 a year.

The necessity of immediate action.—Every correspondent speaks emphatically of the necessity of some steps being taken at once to preserve this remunerative industry. All agree that the injury is very great and that fresh districts are becoming infested every year. Messrs. Jas. Carruthers & Co., extensive operators of Toronto, Montreal and Winnipeg, write: 'We estimate the injury to the crop of 1902, as compared with the previous years, is 50 per cent more, both as to the quantity raised and the value. Districts that the bug did not appear in three years ago, are gradually getting bad, and, if it continues, we don't think there will be a county in the province that will not be affected. We are very much pleased to learn that steps are being taken to try and eradicate this pest.'

Nearly every one heard from writes in the same strain. 'The value of Canadian peas and the danger of losing the trade are indicated by the following:

'Toronto, Oct. 25.—Canada formerly had the reputation in European markets of producing the best peas in the world, but we find now, since the crop has become so badly affected by the weevil, that they will only buy the very choicest samples we can ship. One of our largest buyers writes us that they are getting large shipments from Calcutta, and the quality is very fine, being free from bugs, and better than any we are shipping from Canada. They also say they are buying them at a price equal to three cents per bushel less than we are asking for our No. 2 grade on the present crop'.—JAS. CARRUTHERS & Co.

'Toronto, October 25.—The difficulties arising from handling weevil-eaten peas have become so great, that this season we are having some peas grown in Germany, a sample of which has just come to hand; and, while they have had a wet season, which has more or less injured the colour, yet they are entirely free from the weevil. It is more expensive to obtain peas from such sources than when grown at home; nevertheless, we must have the best samples obtainable, even if at a higher cost. Our experience in this matter will tend to encourage larger orders with our foreign growers, although we would prefer growing them at home if we could be satisfied that the existing trouble would not continue.'—THE STEELE BRIGGS SEED CO.

'Picton, October 25.—The question of controlling the Pea Weevil is of the greatest importance to farmers throughout Ontario, and deserves careful thought and united

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

intelligent action. Aside from the importance of the pea crop as a commercial commodity for export, both as fancy or garden varieties, and for food purposes, it is one of the most valuable crops the farmer can raise for feeding on the farm, particularly in finishing and fattening his pigs, as no other grain will equally accomplish this purpose, and pork and bacon are among the most valuable products of the farm.'—J. H. ALLAN & Co.

'Toronto, October 25.—The trade in peas has been most profitable to farmers, and before this pest became serious, Canada enjoyed the reputation of growing the best peas available for the European demand, largely for human food. It is, therefore, highly important that some concerted action should be taken at once and information given through your department, looking to the interests of the trade by stamping it out effectually, if possible. The bug appears to have spread insidiously year by year and now extends into almost every district in the province.'—W. D. MATTHEWS & Co.

'Toronto, October 27.—Our reports show that the Pea Weevil is gradually extending northward. A few years ago none were to be found in the neighborhood of Stayner, but last year a few were discovered, and this year they have increased. The writer this year found them in peas around Thornbury, and everything points to the fact that they are spreading over the province. Unless something is done, our whole pea crop will be destroyed. This has been one of the most profitable crops to the farmers in the past when our peas were sound; but heavy losses have been made by exporters on peas which looked comparatively free from bugs when shipped, but on their arrival at the other side of the ocean the bugs had emerged and almost swarmed in the bags when these were opened.'—A. V. DELAPORTE & Co.

'Picton, October 21.—As far as we know, the seedsmen in the United States bug their peas, but the farmers do not. I do not think there are enough peas imported from the States to make much difference in this question. As to districts free from weevil, we grew peas in Simcoe county last year, and there were no bugs, and there are very few from Peterborough north.'—CLEVELAND SEED Co.

That some districts are, so far, free of the weevil, the following evidence shows:—

'Renfrew, October 22.—Our soil and climate are peculiarly well adapted to growing the ordinary white field peas, and our farmers are still growing them in large quantities. They could and formerly did grow the large garden peas but gave them up as they were too troublesome to harvest. This year we had magnificent crops of white field peas, and, as prices are high, it was undoubtedly the best paying crop farmers grew. It certainly appears that from some cause, whether it be from our very severe weather, or from some other cause, the Pea Weevil cannot live in our section. Our farmers have always made the small white pea a staple crop, and it is now growing largely in favour.'—A. A. WRIGHT.

'Toronto, October 25.—We find that our Montreal office has this season bought 40,000 bushels of peas in the counties of Renfrew and Lanark, which they worked for export via Montreal, and they advise us that the quality is very good, being practically free from bugs.'—JAMES CARRUTHERS & Co.

'Wellington, October 20.—Parts of the counties of Peterborough and Simcoe are free of the weevil. In Hastings county it does not extend north of Madoc.'—W. P. NILES.

Prof. Lochhead tells me that there is no sign of the Pea Weevil in the Manitoulin Islands, and the Algoma District. The same is almost certainly true of Nipissing, Parry Sound and Muskoka districts. The soil and conditions on Manitoulin Island are well suited for the cultivation of peas, and doubtless large areas will be found equally so in the other districts mentioned, as the country becomes settled up more thoroughly.

The three chief Enemies of the Pea.—There are three distinct insects which have caused excessive losses in the pea crop of recent years and there is still some misunderstanding among farmers and seedsmen as to what exact insect is intended by the name 'Pea Weevil,' and some again have protested that the name 'Pea Bug'

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

is preferable because better known in the trade for what students of insects call the Pea Weevil; a few have even insisted that the Pea Weevil is what is really the Pea Moth. The name Pea Weevil, as applied by entomologists, is undoubtedly the correct name for the short roundish hard beetle which is found among seed peas from which it has emerged, leaving a perfectly round hole in the hollowed out pea where it passed its preparatory stages. This insect is shown enlarged and of the natural size at figure 2. The name Pea Weevil is claimed by entomologists to be correct for this insect, because it belongs to a family of beetles the technical name of which is weevils, and, moreover, it has always been known for nearly a hundred years by this name. There is, however, no particular objection to the use of the trade name Pea Bug, notwithstanding its inaccuracy (the insect not being a bug, nor in any way resembling one), because there is no true bug which is a serious enemy of the pea, and therefore no confusion arises from speaking of the Pea Weevil as the 'Pea Bug.' The Pea Moth, shown at figure 3 in the perfect form, which, however, is



Fig. 3.—The Pea Moth: caterpillar and moth—2 and 4 enlarged.

very seldom seen, is a small slaty-gray moth, three-eighths of an inch in length, resembling somewhat in markings but not in colour the Codling Moth. This insect is generally seen by pea growers when in the caterpillar state (figure 3: 1 and 2), when it is usually called 'the worm,' and frequently does a large amount of injury to the pea crop of Canada, chiefly, however, in districts lying east of the area infested by the Pea Weevil and increasing in severity as the Atlantic sea-board is reached. The small white caterpillars live inside the green pods, attacking the peas by gnawing ragged-edged cavities into them and filling up the pod around their cavities with a mass of excrement. As this insect is less known to pea growers and seed merchants than the Pea Weevil, and as the name Pea Weevil is also somewhat comparatively new to them, it having only been brought prominently forward during the last twenty years, during which efforts have been made to counteract insect attacks, I think it probable that the confusion which has arisen in the minds of some who have not studied insects, and who have applied the name Pea Weevil to the Pea Moth, has been due to their having applied the unfamiliar name Pea Weevil to the unfamiliar insect which they knew was not their 'Pea Bug,' with which they were well acquainted.

The third insect which has drawn attention by the extent of its injuries and which like both of the above is frequently spoken of as 'the bug,' is the Destructive Pea Aphis, which is a soft-bodied plant-louse about $\frac{1}{4}$ of an inch in length and expanding about $\frac{1}{2}$



Fig. 4.—The Destructive Pea Aphis: winged viviparous female—enlarged 6 times.

of an inch when the wings are opened. This is pale bluish green in colour with the legs darkened at the joints and with very long honey tubes at the end of the abdomen. The Destructive Pea Aphis appeared suddenly for the first time in the summer of 1899, and practically ruined the pea crop over large areas in the United States and Canada. Since that time it has become less in numbers and during the past season was only reported in a few places upon late peas and upon sweet peas in gardens. Perhaps the worst attack was upon Grass Peas which were much belated this season and upon Hairy Vetch and field peas which had been sown for ploughing down as green manure.

To recapitulate, the Pea Weevil or 'Pea Bug' (Fig. 2) is a small beetle, the grub of which lives inside the pea until fully developed, and the beetle emerges in autumn or the following spring through a perfectly round hole.

The Pea Moth (Fig. 3) is a small gray moth, the caterpillar of which lives until full grown inside the pod, gnawing into the green peas. It then eats its way out of the pod and passes the winter in the ground inside a silken cocoon from which the moth appears the following summer. For this insect, treating the seed is useless.

The Destructive Pea Aphis (Fig. 4) is a soft bodied plant-louse or 'green fly,' which clusters in large numbers on the young shoots and stems, sucking the sap and enfeebling the vines so that they die before maturity.

Difficulties.—It must be acknowledged that, almost without a dissentient voice, my correspondents claim that the only way to bring about the extermination of the Pea Weevil, is to give up the cultivation of peas in Ontario for two or three years, and that this action should be enforced by legislation. Notwithstanding this, after considering the question in all its bearings, I cannot agree that this course would be calculated at this stage of the campaign to produce the surest results. The cessation of pea growing, to be effective, would have to be absolute, not only in every part of Ontario but also in all the United States where peas are grown for seed; and this, not merely by large pea-growers and farmers, but by every private individual who wished to grow peas for his table or for the market. At the present time, while peas are an unremunerative crop in the worst affected districts, this is not the case over large areas in northern Ontario, and, while it might be possible to induce large growers in the south-western counties of the province where pea-growing is now unremunerative, not to sow peas, it would be very difficult to persuade growers to do so north of the line mentioned above as running from Kincardine through the province to Brockville; and the difficulties in the way of persuading private gardeners from doing so seem insuperable; yet, for this measure to be of use so as to starve out the Pea Weevil, not a single patch of peas should be sown, in which the insect could propagate. Theoretically, this, at first sight, seems to be a perfect remedy, and I doubt not would prove to be so, were it in any way possible to enforce it. The chief difficulties are that every one would have to give up growing peas absolutely, not only in Canada but in the adjoining States. There is no set line which could be accurately defined as the limit of the area where the insect would breed, even if partial legislation were contemplated. Private gardeners are not yet well enough informed nor sufficiently interested for all to make the necessary sacrifice of giving up such a favourite vegetable as green peas, nor are all the gardeners in any district energetic enough or provident enough to pull up and destroy all their pea vines as soon as the crop fit for the table is gathered. That this is the proper course, is undoubted, and the land, where peas have been grown, may in most parts of Ontario be cleared of pea vines by the end of July and planted to late carrots, beets or cabbage. From special observation for several years, I have seen that a far more usual practice in gardens, is to leave the pea vines standing long after the crop is gathered and until many of the pods are perfectly ripe, which, in the Pea Weevil districts, means until the weevils are fully developed.

Legislation upon all matters affecting a large proportion of the community is only a last resort, and as a remedial measure. Legislation on agricultural matters, moreover, has always been unpopular, and, unless it can be enforced, is worse than useless. The legislation affecting noxious weeds can hardly be considered successful even in many parts of law-abiding Canada.

That a vigorous campaign against the Pea Weevil is now necessary, is abundantly evident to all who know the value of the pea crop, and wish to preserve the reputation of Canadian peas and the export trade of this valuable commodity; but it seems to me that what is now most necessary and fitting, as looking to ultimate victory against this foe, is a campaign of education. The ordinary pea-growers, or even the large grain merchants, do not know sufficiently the nature of their enemy, its natural history and distribution. There is even confusion as to which of the several insects which attack the pea, actually is the Pea Weevil. All this uncertainty should first of all be done away with through the ready means at our disposal. The federal and provincial governments have published reports and bulletins, and intend to publish more: the agricultural and public press of the country are always willing and eager to publish

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

articles or answer inquiries concerning matters of public interest. There are effective, sure remedies for the destruction of the Pea Weevil, and if growers can be made to understand this and see that by adopting them, even at some small trouble, they will greatly benefit themselves, while by neglecting them they will injure themselves and their neighbours, I have confidence enough in the common sense of Canadian and American farmers, to believe that they will adopt them.

These remedies will be explained and brought prominently before all who attend Farmers' Institute meetings in Ontario during the coming winter, and object lessons will be given during next summer in the pea-growing districts, but even these efforts will only reach a comparatively small number of those who are concerned, and every effort must be made to bring the importance of the matter before the country. The Boards of Trade in Toronto and Montreal have had it under serious discussion. The press of the country have done much and can and will do much more. In my official capacity I shall do my utmost towards what I believe is possible, the extermination of the Pea Weevil in Canada, and, if United States workers will co-operate with Canadians, success is assured.

Under the head of remedies I shall mention what have proved to be the best means of destroying the Pea Weevil, and at the same time shall endeavour to anticipate the difficulties which may be expected to arise in the adoption of each.

REMEDIES.

Cessation of growing.—The Pea Weevil has no other known food plant than the cultivated pea; therefore, as this plant will not stand our winters, there is never a volunteer crop a second year, so, if no peas are sown, the pest must die out. The difficulty in this case is the impossibility of getting everyone where seed peas are grown liable to infestation, to give up their cultivation. In the present season seed merchants have already laid in their supplies of seed peas for next year's sowing, and there would be great loss to them, were these high-priced peas ground for feed.

Fumigation.—Fumigation with bi-sulphide of carbon is a sure remedy. When properly done, either in specially constructed buildings known as 'bug-houses,' or in any tight bin, every Weevil is surely killed if the seed containing them is subjected for 48 hours to the vapour of bi-sulphide of carbon used in the proportion of 1 pound by weight of the chemical to every 100 bushels of seed, or in smaller quantities, 1 ounce of bi-sulphide to every 100 pounds of seed. The discrepancy in the quantities given above is due to the fact, that where large quantities are treated at once in specially prepared houses there is less waste of the vapour during the necessary exposure of 48 hours. The quantity given above of 1 pound to every 100 bushels is that which is regularly used by the large seed houses, some of which fumigate from 1,000 to 3,000 bushels at a time. The bi-sulphide of carbon should be of the best quality which will vaporize without any residue, and the exposure should be for the full 48 hours advised. This treatment should be done as soon as possible after harvesting, but may be done at any time when the temperature is above freezing. It is important that the bi-sulphide, which vaporizes readily at the temperature mentioned, but more quickly the warmer it is, should do so as soon as possible, so that the heavy and deadly vapour, which is much heavier than air, should sink down among the peas, where it will in 48 hours kill every weevil in the grain. To facilitate the evaporation it is usual to place the bi-sulphide in large shallow dishes at the top of the building or bin. As the vapour is very inflammable, this work must be done at a distance from other buildings, so that there may be neither accidents nor trouble with insurance companies. No lights of any kind, and no smoking must be allowed near the buildings where bi-sulphide of carbon is being used. This liquid is not more dangerous than naphtha or benzine, but the danger of these is better known.

For the treatment of small quantities of seed, particularly by farmers, I have found that an ordinary coal oil barrel is very convenient. This will hold about 5 bushels or 300 pounds of seed, which may be treated with 3 ounces of bi-sulphide of carbon. Care

must be taken to close up the top tightly. This is best done with a cap made specially for the purpose, but may also be done with fine sacks laid smoothly on the top, over which boards are laid, with a considerable weight on them to hold the covering down closely.

Fumigation with bi-sulphide of carbon, I believe, is the remedy most to be relied on in this campaign. It is perfectly effective, is now regularly used by the large seed merchants, and in future will be much more regularly used. The liquid is cheap, in large quantities costing about 15 cents a pound, although small quantities are charged for at a higher rate, owing to its inflammable nature and nauseous odour, which make it an undesirable stock for druggists to keep on hand. The treatment is easy so that no mistakes need be made, and, with ordinary care, accidents are not likely to occur. As a matter of fact, I have never heard of an accident from the use of this chemical.

Bins for fumigating with bi-sulphide of carbon should be rendered as nearly air tight as possible. This may be done in the case of an ordinary bin by pasting sheets of paper over the inside, and, in case these should be liable to be torn, over the outside as well. Where the lid fits down it should be padded with felt.

Holding over Seed.—Where only a few seed peas are used, a most reliable remedy is the holding over of seed until the second year. Peas should always be bagged up and the sacks tied at once after threshing. The weevils are not able to eat their way through the bags, even when these are made of paper. All the weevils which emerge either in autumn or the following summer will perish inside the bags, and the seed can be sown the following year without danger. The very rare instances which I have heard of, but which I have never seen, where weevils remain alive in the peas and emerge during the second summer after the peas ripened, must be of such rare and exceptional occurrence that they need not be considered. However, to make assurance doubly sure, the seed grain may be kept in a warm room or house during the first winter when there is hardly a possibility of the beetles not emerging.

Treating with Coal Oil.—A remedy which has been used by many farmers with satisfaction, is to drench the seed with coal oil using about half a gallon to a barrel or five bushels of peas. Half of this quantity however can be used successfully as I have been informed by Mr. W. M. Real of Greenbank, Ont., who writes as follows: For several years I used coal oil on part of my seed with good results. An ordinary bin or a large box will do for, say 50 bushels or less; for every 20 bushels pour over them one gallon of coal oil, spreading it so that it does not run to waste. This should be done about two weeks before sowing. Immediately after putting on the oil, the peas should be shovelled over and over so that all will be oiled, and the shovelling must be repeated every day for four or five days. This, if properly done, will kill all the bugs in the peas without injuring the seed. This plan, however, is no good when you compare it with the fumigation mentioned on p. 210 in the report you sent me which is so much easier done. The remaining part of my seed has been fumigated, only I do not use barrels because we have two bug-houses near here, and we can kill all the bugs in 400 bushels at once without emptying them out of the bags. This too is far safer than using bi-sulphide around farm buildings.

Mr. Wm. Ross, M.P., of Port Perry, who has taken much interest in this matter of controlling the Pea Weevil tells me that he knows of many in his district who use the above coal oil method with great success.

In my own experiments I found that peas treated with coal oil, if not planted soon afterwards, were slow in germinating. This, however, only points out the advantage of oiling peas just before sowing, and this method has a special use as an emergency remedy when, as is frequently the case seed peas are found after purchase to contain living weevils.

Scalding Seed.—Of the same nature, when peas are found at the time of sowing to contain weevils, is scalding the seed. This may be done by pouring them into scalding water and then either pouring the water straight off them again or cooling off immediately with cold water.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RECOMMENDATIONS.

Loss by sowing Weevilled Peas.—That seed peas which have been bored by weevils are very seriously injured, I have proved by actual experiments during the past season and previously. Weevilled small peas in the past season, which was very advantageous for growth, gave only from 13 to 20 per cent of plants, which bore pods, and these were all weaker than plants from perfect seed. Large peas gave a better percentage of from 16 to 28 per cent. Therefore, weevilled peas should not be used for seed if any other stock is obtainable. If, however, this is impossible, much more seed should be sown to the acre.

Suggestions.—The present time must be considered as a crisis in the Canadian pea market, but I feel sure that much may be done to relieve the situation. This must be done, I think, not by legislation or by giving up the cultivation of such an important crop as peas, which we cannot well do without, but by persuading everyone who sows peas to abstain from sowing any peas which contain living weevils; when purchasing seed, to refuse determinedly to buy any without an assurance that they have been treated, and further, even with this, to examine for themselves to see that any contained weevils are really dead. I would also point out that, from the experiment already cited of growing peas from weevilled seed, such seed is only worth about one quarter as much as sound seed. To secure a supply of seed peas free from weevil injury, it will be necessary for growers and farmers to handle their crop a little differently than has been the usual practice. The injury is of an exceptional nature, and exceptional measures must be taken to avoid loss.

There are, however, special features about this attack which render its control a simpler matter than is usually the case with injuries of an equal magnitude. The Pea Weevil is not a native insect and has no native food plant, in which it could propagate, were there no cultivated peas. Indeed, it is so restricted in its food habits that no other food plant is known than the different cultivated varieties of true peas, belonging to the botanical genus *Pisum*. These peas will not live over the winter in our climate if left in the open field, at any rate, in any part of the country where the Pea Weevil is known to breed, consequently, every seed pea sown for crop must, at some time before it was sown, have been under the control of some one by whom it could have been treated before sowing, to destroy the contained weevil, if it had one. The remedy is effective, easy and cheap, is well known and can be applied by anyone. If all growers would combine and do this, the larger number of the weevils would be destroyed in a single year. This, however, would not be sufficient, because a certain number of the insects sometimes leave the peas during the autumn when the seed ripens, and this sometimes before the peas are carried from the fields. This fact is the one great difficulty in arriving at a perfect remedy, but I do not believe that it is insurmountable. There is every indication that a much smaller percentage of weevils left the seed in the autumn of 1902 than is frequently the case. The suggestions I have to offer are briefly as follows :

(1.)—That all peas for seed should be treated before they are sown to kill the weevil and that seeding should be done as early as possible, so as to get them ripe enough to harvest earlier than is the usual custom.

(2.)—That pea growers should harvest their peas as much on the green side as is safe, rather than as is usually done now, when they are dead ripe, and thresh and treat them themselves or sell at once to grain buyers. This has many advantages. Not only is the straw of very much higher quality for feed, but the seed is heavier and better for every purpose, for export, for feed and also for seed, because it is of higher germinating power, and further, because the weevil at that time is much less advanced in growth and consequently has destroyed a much smaller proportion of the bulk of the seed. The average dates for pea harvesting are from July 20 to August 20. I have no record of the Pea Weevil becoming mature and leaving the seed before August 15, and it is usually

much later than that date. Experiment has shown that the weevil at all stages may be killed inside the peas by fumigating the seed with bisulphide of carbon, consequently, if growers will harvest and thresh earlier than usual for a few years and either themselves treat their seed immediately or sell to the grain buyers, who for their own sakes will do so, much good must surely result. When for any reason peas cannot be treated at once or disposed of, they should be bagged up and the sacks tied immediately so as to prevent the escape of any weevils which might emerge in the autumn. When the grain is required for feeding the peas should be ground as soon as they are dry enough, and to prevent the meal from becoming musty the new peas should be mixed with some old peas when grinding.

Difficulties to be met.—Sometimes peas ripen so unevenly that by reaping early it is feared that the sample will be very uneven when threshed; but, should this be the case, it simply means that these small and shrivelled peas are blown out of the seed peas when they are cleaned and are not lost but can be used for feed. The greatest difficulty of all is with regard to the peas which are shelled out in the field at the time of harvesting. This however, will be to a large measure obviated by reaping early, as the seed will not shell out nearly so much as when left till the regular time. The cleaning up of pea fields moreover by turning in hogs is a generally recognized practice, and the work is done very thoroughly. Where hogs are not available, poultry will do the same work, and, where neither of these can be used, the land should be ploughed so deeply that the weevils cannot work their way out when they leave the peas. I am aware that it is not the custom to plough up pea fields for fall wheat, but simply to cultivate or disc them, because the land is left in such excellent condition; but it must be remembered that the loss from the Pea Weevil is now excessive, and, if this small change in method can be shown to be of great advantage, it surely is worth a trial.

Another difficulty suggested is that it would be hard to get all peas threshed before the autumn emerging weevils escaped, on account of the small number of threshing machines which would be available. In reply to this, experience has shown that demand will always produce supply; and I feel sure that the implement makers will not lose such an opportunity of pushing their business. The much higher price obtainable for the early threshed peas, to say nothing of the enormous value of future crops due to controlling the weevil, will very soon repay to the farmer the initial expense. Where, however, there is no possibility of getting a threshing machine, I would draw the attention of growers to the old-fashioned method of treading out the peas with horses. That this is advantageous is indicated by the fact that some of the seed merchants pay a higher price for peas threshed with horses.

Mr. W. P. Niles, of Wellington, to whom I am particularly indebted for much useful information connected with this matter, writes me particularly with regard to one subject which is much discussed by the Pea Trade, viz., 'oily peas.' Mr. Niles writes: 'My sample No. 4 contains what we designate as "oily" or "glassy peas." Every one, you will notice, has had a weevil in it, and not one of these peas will germinate. Some seedsmen say this is caused by the heat of the sun in dry weather killing the weevil while in the embryo state, thereby making the pea oily. I contend that this is not the case, being perfectly satisfied that it is done by threshing with an ordinary threshing machine. At that time the weevils are not fully developed and are simply a small ball of fatty matter. The sudden shock in going through the cylinder of the machine kills or bursts this embryo weevil and the fat or oil is absorbed by the pea at once. In order to fully satisfy myself on this point, I have frequently had farmers thresh a part of their peas, the same variety on the same day, with a threshing machine, and a part with horses on the barn floor, and I have invariably found that the machine threshed peas would have a large percentage of oily peas, while the horse threshed ones would not have any. I have tried this repeatedly for the past three years, and have always got the same results.'

With regard to the above, I may say that some seed merchants do not agree with this theory; but I received from Mr. Niles a large number of oily peas. These I soaked for two or three days and opened them carefully, when I found that the weevils were

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

nearly all in the pupal condition, and that in every case these had been broken in some way; and the oiliness was apparently due to the fat of the body running between the two halves of the pea and saturating the skin. If it be found by others that peas can be threshed with horses conveniently and that they get peas which are worth five cents a bushel more, which is the price offered by Mr. Niles in his last circular to growers, this may be an inducement to thresh at once and not wait for the threshing machine.

Substitute Crops.—In those localities where the cultivation of peas has been abandoned, there is a constant demand for advice as to the best similar crop to grow as a substitute. Of the leguminous or nitrogen-collecting plants, perhaps the most popular is the pea-like plant known by the name of the Grass Pea, or Chickling Vetch (*Lathyrus sativus*, L.). This has been largely grown and has given considerable satisfaction in certain sections. It is not favoured by the grain merchants, because there is no demand for it for export; but, when grown for feed, it has given such satisfaction that in some counties of western Ontario it is used for all purposes for which field peas were formerly grown. It is also claimed to give a crop of excellent seed entirely free from the Pea Weevil, of from 10 to 30 bushels to the acre. The season of 1902 was not at all suited to the best development of this plant, but it should not for this reason be condemned. Messrs. John A. Bruce & Co., of Hamilton, Ont., who have handled much of this grain, inform me that 'the Grass Pea has been grown to a limited extent in Wentworth, Lincoln and Haldimand for half a century or more, and the acreage has been largely increased the past three seasons, from its having been grown as a substitute for the field pea. This increased interest is due to the fact of its being bug-proof. The crop in this vicinity is a comparative failure this season. There was an abundance of vine but few peas.' This was a pretty general report from all sections, and, as stated above, the field crops in some places were attacked late in the season by the Destructive Pea Aphis. Other leguminous crops which may be grown instead of peas are tares or vetches, and various kinds of clover. Early varieties of Soja Bean have also given good results, and Mr. Zavitz, of the Ontario Agricultural College, strongly recommends farmers to grow the grain which formerly was grown in Canada under the name of Speltz, but which is now designated by its proper name of Emmer.

LOCUSTS.

Locusts or grasshoppers appeared again in Manitoba in the same districts as during the past two years, but, owing to the season, except in certain districts as around Sewell, the injury was not excessive.



Fig. 5.—The Common or Red-legged Locust.

They appeared early in May, and Mr. H. McKellar, who is well informed on the subject, at once published articles in all the Winnipeg newspapers, advising farmers in infested localities what to do to counteract their attacks. Later in the year the crop of the province was so enormous that little was heard of their depredations, at the same time, where careful observations were made, it was abundantly evident that Manitoban farmers will require to be on the alert and be prepared in ordinary years to follow the example of those farmers who have saved their crops in the worst districts by practising the methods which have been advised. Mr. Norman Criddle, of Aweme, has continued his experiments in the use of poisoned mixtures. His experience during the past season in improving these is of so much importance to farmers who may have their crops attacked by locusts, that I reproduce in full a valuable report which he has sent me. This is equally applicable in Manitoba and in the other provinces. Considerable injury was done by grasshoppers in some sections of Ontario in 1902, and I would earnestly urge Ontario farmers to try the Criddle mixture described below, should these insects again appear next year. I have seen on several occasions the beneficial effects of this treatment and commend it most heartily. It should be applied promptly on the first appearance of grasshoppers in undue numbers.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

The injury to clover crops in autumn by these voracious insects is often far more serious than is generally appreciated. Grasshoppers are susceptible of being driven in large numbers to the edge of a crop by drawing a rope across the top of it, the two ends being held by two persons walking across the field. The poisoned mixture can then be scattered on the bare ground at the edge of the crop to which they are driven. They will eat this ravenously and vast numbers will be killed.

MR. CRIDDLE'S REPORT.

AWEME, MAN., November 9, 1902.

According to your request, I have prepared the following notes on the locust pest for this year, at Aweme and the surrounding places.

Locusts, on the whole, were decidedly more numerous than last year, especially in places where they had not been poisoned the previous season; but, owing to cold wet weather in the latter part of April and the beginning of May, the first did not make their appearance until May 7, and the bulk until the 26th, nor did they begin to do damage until the end of the month. A succession of rains during June greatly retarded their growth and saved a considerable amount of work in fighting them, as they do very little eating during wet weather.

On June 20, the first were noted with wings. By July 7, half could fly; by the 11th most of them had wings, and they began migrating. The migratory season continued until the 30th, at which date the first eggs were being deposited. On August 12, eggs were deposited for the second time. After this date eggs were being continually laid until all the locusts died. They began to die off the latter part of August and had practically all disappeared by September 20, a few remaining until the middle of October.

In this season, as in 1900, locusts hatched out on the prairie in considerable numbers wherever the ground was at all light or sandy. In fact, along the tract of country lying between this place, Sewell, Carberry, and almost to Stockton, they were nearly as plentiful as on the stubble fields; but all those said to have hatched on the prairie of the so-called heavy land, had in reality come from old gopher hills and other bare spots. Unlike other years a large number hatched on heavy clay land, even after they had been under water for some days.

The chief damage done, was during the migratory period, by the locusts eating the heads of grain, principally, however, owing to an erroneous notion among certain farmers that it is useless to fight them after they can fly, and also because of a shortage of Paris green at that time. With us very little damage was done (possibly a few bushels lost during July).

The locusts responsible for damage at Chater, Douglas, Blyth, Aweme, Treesbank, Stockton, and almost to Wawanesa, consisted mostly of the Lesser Migratory Locust (*Melanoplus atlantis*) a fairly large proportion of Packard's Locust (*M. Packardii*), a few of the Two-lined Locust (*M. bivittatus*) and the Rocky Mountain Locust (*M. spretus*). The latter were noted principally west of Treesbank.

The only noticeable parasites or insects preying upon locusts that increased during the summer, were several species of ground beetles (*Amara*) and blister beetles. These latter were noticeable in fairly large numbers throughout most of the districts mentioned above; and here they have almost entirely exterminated the eggs in patches. The only species seen in large numbers were a small black species, *Epicauta pennsylvanica*, and a slightly grayer sort *Epicauta sericans*. A small amount of damage was done by these blister beetles to potatoes and beans; but, as a rule, they prefer the wild peas or vetches to any thing else. Tachina flies affecting locusts seem to have almost entirely disappeared during this season; but the Locust Mites were about as numerous as usual.

With regard to the strength of Paris green mixture, I had not much time to experiment personally at home; but experiments conducted by my brother, Evelyn Criddle, show that undoubtedly 60 parts horse droppings can be used to one of Paris green (by measure), and probably 75 parts. Whether it can be made yet weaker, as you surmise,

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

is yet to be found out. Several people who have used it as above, report it is not strong enough. The great difficulty is to thoroughly mix the stuff; this is best accomplished by mixing the Paris green in water first. Though I still recommend salt, yet I believe with fresh droppings it may be unnecessary. The poison mixture has been found much more effective when spread during hot sunny weather.

In conclusion, it is perhaps hardly necessary to add that the Paris green mixture has proved an unqualified success wherever persisted in and used according to directions, and that it is far ahead of any other remedy which has been tried here after the locusts have once hatched. My statement in your 1900 Report that locusts eat the poisoned mixture more eagerly when they are old than when young, has not been borne out by recent observations: in fact, I now see that it is somewhat the other way.

NORMAN CRIDDLE.

As to the remark made by Mr. Criddle that some reported the mixture of 1 part of Paris green in 60 of horse droppings as not being strong enough, I believe that these observers were mistaken, and that the misapprehension arose from the fact that Paris green is a slow acting, although a very fatal poison. I have found dead locusts which had plainly been killed by this mixture, fully 100 yards from where the poison had been distributed around the edge of a crop. Mr. Criddle found that a simple way to keep locusts on the edge of a field of wheat is to sow a strip of rye around it. This grain grows much more rapidly than wheat, and takes a lot of eating down to kill it. By this means the insects are held where they are easily poisoned.

The plan which has been found most convenient for distributing this poisoned bait is described in my 1901 report. The Criddle mixture, as recently modified, consists of 1 part of Paris green, mixed thoroughly in 60 of fresh horse droppings to which 2 lbs. of salt per half barrel of mixture have been added after being dissolved in water. This is placed in a half barrel and drawn on a cart to the edge of an infested field or one likely to be infested. The mixture is then scattered broadcast along the edge of the crop by means of a trowel or wooden paddle. The locusts are attracted to it from long distances and are killed in large numbers by eating the poison.

FRUIT

THE SAN JOSE SCALE

(*Aspidiotus perniciosus*, Comst.).

During the summer of 1902 a great many experiments have been tried looking to the discovery of a practical remedy for this most pernicious insect. The results obtained by Mr. Geo. E. Fisher, the Provincial Government Inspector, have been most gratifying. Mr. Fisher has supplied me with the following report:—

‘Freeman, Ont., Nov. 29.—I have much pleasure in sending you as requested a report of what was done this year in working out remedies for the San José Scale, but have little to add to what you have seen yourself in the orchards where these experiments were carried out. This has been altogether the most satisfactory year I have had in scale work, and I feel very much encouraged, not only by the results obtained, which indicate that the scale may be perfectly controlled regardless of conditions in surrounding orchards, but also by the many letters I have received from friends who have seen the results.

‘In the experiments I used whale-oil soap in various forms, crude petroleum in a variety of ways, and lime and sulphur with and without salt, and in different proportions in winter. Fumigation and crude oil emulsion in winter and summer, and kerosene emulsion in summer. Other remedies were tried but with less satisfaction.

'The whale-oil soap distributed this year was a very superior article and contained about forty-five per cent of oil (said to be pure cod) and twelve and a-half per cent first sorts caustic potash. The cost, delivered, was four cents per pound, and from my experience in buying soap I conclude the manufacturers will not supply a really good soap for less money. This makes a mixture suitable for treating scale, cost 10c. per pound. The effect of this soap in reducing scale was very good for soap; but I have not found soap so fatal to the scale as some of the other remedies. There is a serious objection to soap on account of its killing the fruit buds if used early and before the frosts are over. The Catawba Island people say that a cold east wind coming up off the lake on trees treated with soap will kill most of the fruit buds. This quality, together with its excessive cost, stands in the way of soap being extensively used. Speaking in a general way, there is no certainty of what soap contains. A manufacturer may turn out an inferior article, and the farmer will know nothing of its defects till the breeding season of the scale, when swarms of larvæ will tell the tale. To meet this difficulty I prepared an emulsion of fish oil and potash, using 5 quarts of fish oil and $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 lbs. of potash in 10 galls. of emulsion, which is easily made and will hold, with the same quantity of soap as is used for kerosene. As a remedy for scale, this is fully equal to soap and costs $\frac{2}{3}$ the price.

'The question is frequently asked "Is it the potash or the oil in soap that kills the scale?" and to settle this I made an emulsion of 5 qts. of fish oil in 10 galls. of emulsion which worked very well. When used at three fourths strength and even at half strength, plentifully applied, the result was really good. A solution of 3 lbs. of potash in 10 galls. had no apparent effect in reducing the scale. Others report better results from potash, and I will try it again next spring, but the results obtained last spring were very poor indeed.

'Crude petroleum was used diluted and undiluted, with and without soap, in the form of a mechanical mixture applied with a combination pump, and also as an emulsion prepared with soap and applied with an ordinary pump. Undiluted crude oil may be applied to peach if a very fine nozzle be used with an orifice of, say $\frac{1}{16}$ to $\frac{1}{32}$ of an inch in diameter and the least possible quantity put on a tree to cover it; but the risk is too great for it to be recommended generally. A nozzle having an opening larger than $\frac{1}{32}$ of an inch in diameter, is too coarse for applying undiluted crude oil; and, even if it be diluted, a fine nozzle gives the operator more time to look over his work and be sure of what he is doing. With a coarse nozzle a heavy treatment is given before one knows it, and sometimes part of a tree is heavily treated and other parts insufficiently. Most people do not discriminate between a large and a small quantity nor between weak and healthy trees, and very little crude oil will kill weak peach trees. The effect of soap combined with crude oil, is to lessen its injurious effects on vegetation, and when used of the strength of $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. of soap to the gallon of water with 20 per cent of oil, it reduced the scale well without seriously injuring peach trees. Crude oil in any of the above mentioned forms will prevent re-attack, and I think there is a strong tendency on the part of the scale, when oil is used, to go out on the young growth and the fruit. Mr. James Samson, of Niagara, used crude oil last spring undiluted, with one of my fine nozzles so successfully that he now declares in favour of undiluted crude oil for everything. He sprayed some peach but did most of his spraying in his apple orchard.

'The lime and sulphur wash was used in a variety of ways, with and without salt, and in proportions varying from $\frac{1}{2}$ pound of lime to 2 pounds to the gallon of wash. We generally used half as much sulphur as lime by weight, and found about 1 pound of lime and $\frac{1}{2}$ pound of sulphur to the gallon of wash to work out best and have the best results where no salt was used. Of course my limited experience with this does not justify my speaking with confidence as to details, but I think thorough cooking is imperative—two, three hours, or more—and besides the trees should be sprayed until the bark is entirely covered. A tree should first be sprayed with reasonable care; after it is dry, it should be gone over a second time, and any missed parts covered. In this way a very perfect treatment is given, which is so important in the case of this scale. Lime and sulphur is safe to use on dormant trees, but, if applied very strong and very late when the buds are opening, it may injure the soft young growth in the heart of the tree, which, however, is really no injury. I have never noticed any bad effect elsewhere.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Trees treated with lime and sulphur bore very heavily, much more so than trees treated with any other remedy. It is the most effective spray and the cheapest, and with a steam boiling plant, the most easily procured. From the best information I can get, long boiling is important, and this enhances the value of the steam process. Where this wash was tried by the farmers, it is highly spoken of, and will be used much more extensively next spring. The effect on the trees is fine, and the crop of fruit has been good in all cases where it was used. To be able to treat badly affected trees whenever they are found in summer is greatly appreciated by those who are making an honest effort to control the scale.

'Kerosene emulsion used in the proportion of 1 gallon of kerosene in 6 of emulsion for apple, pear and plum, and 1 in 7 for peach, applied in dry hot weather, has just about cleaned trees that were very badly affected. This really looks as though kerosene emulsion were all that is required to control the scale. I much prefer to use kerosene emulsion when it is perfectly fresh, that is, newly made, and to have the soap and water actually boiling when it is thrown in on the oil to emulsify it. The lower grade of Canadian kerosene has served the purpose best. Use kerosene emulsion always in dry hot weather and not at all in winter.

'I like fumigation for trees of moderate size. The effect is complete if the work is done in moderate weather with gas of normal strength, that is $\frac{1}{2}$ gramme of cyanide to the cubic foot inclosed—exposure 45 minutes; but this strength does not appear to be sufficient during low temperatures. One-tenth of a gramme with an exposure of 30 minutes, and one-seventh of a gramme with an exposure of 20 minutes apparently did perfect work in July in the day time without injuring peach foliage. The experiments in fumigation were not carried to any great length, and, although they were carefully watched duplicates might show differently. They are very satisfactory, however, to me.'

—GEORGE E. FISHER.

I have given the above report in full because I know of no one in America who has done more experimenting with the San José Scale than Mr. Fisher. I have had the privilege of inspecting his work frequently during the last three years, and have perfect confidence in his extreme care and great perseverance in solving any difficulties which may arise, either in his own active mind, or in the minds of any of the fruit growers in whose orchards he has worked. Mr. Fisher is a practical and successful fruit grower, a good mechanic and altogether one well suited to carry out the complicated and very varied experiments which he has done for the Ontario Government since his appointment. The results obtained are very satisfactory and may be summarized as follows:—

The San José Scale is an insect capable of more injury to orchards than any other we know of. It is extremely difficult to control with the greatest care, but with the necessary care trees may be kept in a thrifty and bearing condition without undue expense. This may be done with the following remedies:—

1. The ordinary kerosene emulsion, two treatments during the summer—an extra one may advantageously be applied in May just before the foliage is so thick that it is difficult to reach all parts of the tree—the first summer spraying in the middle of June, and the second one after the fruit is picked. Mr. Fisher says: 'Emulsions should always be used in clear weather, particularly kerosene emulsion, which gives much the best results when applied on warm, bright, airy days. A rather coarse nozzle is best for spraying trees in leaf, for the heavy spray from it splashes off the foliage and penetrates to the wood. The emulsions will probably not do more than afford temporary relief, but they will reduce the infestation well below the danger point and carry the trees safely into winter. This must be followed in the winter or spring by a thorough general spraying with lime and sulphur, which may be expected to work an almost perfect cure. In our experiments the results from this latter mixture after standing all the summer, are almost complete. Some trees on which it is difficult to find living scales, were before treatment heavily infested.'

2. Whale-oil Soap.—The potash fish oil soaps sold under the name of Whale-oil Soap are excellent insecticides and, when used of the strength of $2\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of soap to the Imperial gallon, have done very efficient work in clearing trees of the San

José Scale, without the slightest injury to the trees. These soaps are much more expensive than the kerosene emulsion, and very much less troublesome to dissolve and apply than the lime and sulphur washes. For this reason they may be preferable for those fruit-growers who have a small number of fruit trees. They are useful against many other insects than the San José Scale, particularly the various kinds of other scale insects, the Pear Psylla, and some other insects which pass the winter beneath the flakes of the bark of fruit trees. The best time to spray trees is just before the buds burst in spring. The soap should be dissolved in hot water and applied as hot as is conveniently possible.

3. Fumigation.—A very effective remedy for small trees, but one requiring the use of very poisonous chemicals and somewhat expensive apparatus, is fumigation with hydrocyanic acid gas; hence, in view of the success which has been secured by the careful use of kerosene emulsion, I do not consider this a practical remedy for orchard use.

In addition to the above described work which has been done by the Provincial Government of Ontario towards finding a perfect remedy for the San José Scale, the greatest care has been taken by the Provincial Department of Agriculture that no nursery stock of any kind should be sent out by nurserymen which had not been thoroughly fumigated under government inspection. The Federal fumigation stations located at St. John, N.B., St. John's, Que., Niagara Falls and Windsor, Ont., Winnipeg, Man., and Vancouver, B.C., through which ports, only, nursery stock is allowed to be imported into Canada, have been in active service, and a great deal of nursery stock has been brought into the country. I have again this year the greatest satisfaction in reporting that there has been no complaint from importers as to the slight delay which must occur, nor as to any injury to trees during the necessary unpacking and handling for treatment. The superintendents at all the stations have done their work carefully and intelligently, and no single instance has been brought to my notice of living scales being detected on trees after passing through the fumigating houses, or of injury to them by the gas.

TWO NEW STRAWBERRY PESTS.

During the past summer complaints were received from British Columbia of the presence in injurious numbers of two different kinds of caterpillars, which have not, I believe, been previously reported as doing harm to cultivated strawberries in Canada. Specimens of the larvæ of both species were received from Mrs. C. E. Hickey, of French Creek, B.C. Writing under date of May 3, Mrs. Hickey, says: 'I send you separately some caterpillars. They have been doing considerable damage to our strawberry plants. Will there be another generation of them, and, if so, what should the plants be sprayed with?' The specimens mentioned arrived in Ottawa on May 12; seven of them had changed to the chrysalis state during the journey, but the others were still in the larval condition. These also soon changed to chrysalis, and the moths emerged in due course, and proved to be *Mesoleuca truncata*, Hufn.,* a species not at all uncommon in British Columbia, and almost all other parts of northern Canada. The caterpillar of this geometer is a looper and when full grown measures about an inch in length. It is slender, cylindrical, in colour yellowish-green slightly glaucous, and has pale indistinct longitudinal stripes along the body, viz., a double dorsal band of more intense yellow than the body, a subdorsal band of the same colour, but clear white on the anterior segments, and a distinct yellowish ventral stripe. The tubercles on the body are white, and each bears a single short slender bristle. The head and feet are concolorous with the body. Beneath the anal flap on segment 13 is a pair of prominent slender tails, tinged with pink, each bearing a slender bristle at the tip. When mature the caterpillar changes to a chrysalis within the folds of a leaf or between two leaves, which have been drawn together by threads of silk. The larvæ which reached Ottawa alive, were put in a jar containing earth and some dried strawberry leaves. They did not enter the earth for pupation but changed to the chrysalis state as above. If these caterpillars should again prove troublesome in spring, the plants may be sprayed with Paris green or some other

*=*Petrophora truncata*, Hbn.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

strong poison before the flowers open, and again in September, as the eggs of the second brood are laid in August and the caterpillars feed through the autumn months, giving moths the following spring, if our form behaves in the same way as the species is said to do in England.

The other caterpillars received were cutworms, the species being *Scopelosoma tristigmata*, Grt. These caterpillars appeared in the strawberry beds nearly a month later than the above; though they were not nearly so abundant, they did some injury, and the occurrence is worthy of record. When mature, this caterpillar is nearly an inch and a half in length, and in general appearance is a smooth, cylindrical noctuid larva, in colour a velvety seal brown, shading to a crimson brown beneath, the centre of the venter being greenish. The head is dark reddish brown, with the exception of a broad upper margin of pale brown across the top, and reaching down the sides of the face to the ocelli. There are inconspicuous dorsal and lateral stripes, paler in colour than the dorsum, also a pale substigmatal band. Under the lens the whole skin above this band is seen to be covered with streaks and blotches of a darker brown than the skin. The thoracic shield is darker than the body and rather conspicuous. The anal shield is yellowish brown. The thoracic feet are shiny dark brown, and the prolegs are concolorous with the venter. The caterpillars entered the earth for pupation on June 23, and the moths appeared on September 17. In British Columbia this caterpillar has been found at Kaslo, by Mr. J. W. Cockle, feeding on wild raspberry. The usual remedies for cutworms may be applied if this species should again prove troublesome. Probably the most convenient in strawberry beds would be the poisoned bran mash.

THE APIARY.

The apiary, as in the past, has been under the sole management of Mr. John Fixter, whose report I append herewith. The season, on the whole, has not been a remunerative one for bee-keepers in most parts of Canada, although good yields are reported in some sections. In the Experimental Farm apiary the honey crop was fairly good and of excellent quality. The same experiments which have been carried on in the past, have most of them been repeated again during 1902, owing to the extreme interest which was evinced in them by visitors to the Central Experimental Farm. Experiments to prove that bees do not injure unbroken fruit were again carried out and with like results to those obtained last year, viz., that no injury is done by these useful insects.

During the past four years attractive exhibits of honey, mostly extracted and put up in neat glass jars, have been sent to various exhibitions. These have all been prepared by Mr. Fixter, and I learn from the commissioners of the different exhibitions that these exhibits drew much attention. The following exhibits were prepared: For Omaha, Nebraska, in 1898; for Paris, France, in 1900; for Glasgow, Scotland, in 1901; for Buffalo, United States, in 1901; for Wolverhampton, England, in 1902; for Cork, Ireland, in 1902; for Osaka, Japan, in 1903. Exhibits have also been prepared for the Ottawa annual exhibitions for some years past.

Mr. Fixter attended the annual meeting of the Ontario Bee-keepers' Association at Woodstock, Ont., and took an active part in the proceedings. He has also done good educational work in explaining the habits of bees and the way to care for them to large numbers of visitors who have come to the Experimental Farm during the past summer. He has, besides, delivered addresses upon bee-keeping to farmers and public school teachers and scholars when excursions have been held to the Experimental Farm.

REPORT OF MR. JOHN FIXTER.

SEASON OF 1902.

The honey flow of 1902 has been below the average in many parts of the Dominion, but in some localities in Ontario and Quebec fair crops have been secured. Stocks have been strong and active; swarming has been good, in some cases excessive. The cool damp weather of the past season has been unfavourable, though some apiarists had a fairly good honey crop. The yield, however, will not average over 40 pounds per colony.

The season opened very early; the colonies were set out on their summer stands on March 22, the temperature on that date being 55° and the day clear, bright and mild. There followed about ten days of very fine weather for the bees to fly and cleanse themselves and their hives. Pollen was gathered about April 1, and all colonies built up rapidly and were in excellent condition when clover bloomed. May 25, many colonies were showing signs of clustering; so, supers were put on to keep them working and keep down swarming. June 18, considerable honey was stored in supers and brood chambers. On June 18 the first swarm of the season issued. During the swarming season many swarms came off at the same time and were hived two or three together, so as to keep down the number of swarms. There being very little buckwheat grown in this district and no fall flow from any other source, all supers were removed on August 15. September 1 all colonies were weighed; any that did not weigh 50 pounds and over were given sugar syrup made with granulated sugar fed in a Miller feeder. On November 18 all colonies were weighed and found to be in good condition. They were then put into their winter quarters.

Returns from the Central Experimental Farm apiary averaged 40 pounds per colony.

EXPERIMENTS WITH DIFFERENT KINDS OF HIVES.

The experiments commenced last year with different kinds of hives for comb and extracted honey, have been continued. Two hives of each of the following sorts were used, one being arranged for section honey the other for extracted honey, the Langstroth, the Hedden and two other kinds more or less used in Canada, one measuring 15 x 15 x 12 inches, the other 15 x 20 x 15 inches. Eight colonies of bees were selected all of about the same strength and having good laying queens. The results from the four kinds of hives are shown in the following table. The hives are tabulated in the order of the returns they gave.

Hive.	Season.	Swarms.	Section Honey.	Extracted Honey.
			Sections.	Lbs.
Langstroth.....	1901.....	1	67	0
"	1902.....	1	0	79
"	1901.....	1	42	0
"	1902.....	1	0	48
15 x 15 x 12 inches.....	1901.....	1	56	0
"	1902.....	1	0	63
"	1901.....	1	36	0
"	1902.....	1	0	42
Hedden.....	1901.....	0	54	0
"	1902.....	0	0	62
"	1901.....	1	38	0
"	1902.....	1	0	43½
15 x 20 x 15 inches.....	1901.....	0	0	0
"	1902.....	0	0	23
"	1901.....	1	*16	..
"	1902.....	1	46½

* The 16 sections were only partly filled.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS IN FEEDING SUGAR SYRUP FOR WINTER STORES.

These experiments begun during the autumn of 1900, with four colonies of bees, were continued in the autumn of 1901, with eight colonies, the extra four being the progeny of the first four. All the natural stores having been removed in September, a Miller feeder was placed in an empty section super, close to the top of the brood frames, any part of the brood frames not covered by the feeder being covered with a propolis quilt cut so as to allow the bees a passage through it. By keeping the feeder well packed around, except where the bees entered, the heat is kept in and at the same time the bees cannot daub themselves with the liquid. In these experiments the bees had a constant supply of syrup. The syrup was made of the best granulated sugar, two parts to one of water by weight. The water was first brought to a boil; then the boiler was set back on the stove, and the sugar having been poured in, the mixture was stirred until all was dissolved. The syrup was supplied to the bees at about blood heat. When the hives were put into winter quarters, the wooden covers were removed and replaced with a chaff cushion; the hives were also given extra ventilation at the bottom by placing at the entrance a wooden block between the brood chamber and the bottom board, raising the front of the brood chamber about 2 inches extra. In 1901, the eight colonies were put into winter quarter on November 9, their average weight being $57\frac{3}{4}$ pounds per colony; when taken out in the spring 1902 they averaged $46\frac{1}{4}$ pounds. All came out in excellent condition: there were very few dead bees about the entrance, and the bottom board was quite clean, there were no signs of dysentery.

The hives were set out on their summer stands March 22, the temperature at this date being 55° and the day, clear, bright and mild.

For the following ten days the weather was very fine and warm; the bees were flying well and built up rapidly; they were in excellent condition when the honey flow came on. The first pollen gathered was noticed on April 1; many bees were seen before this date gathering sap from maple tree stumps that had been freshly cut, also wherever a maple had been injured. During the summer each colony gave one swarm and made on an average $41\frac{1}{2}$ pounds of honey, this being considerably below the yield in 1901; but, considering the damp cool season, the results are quite satisfactory.

EXPERIMENTS WITH BROOD FOUNDATION OF DIFFERENT SIZES.

These experiments have been continued with the addition of full drawn combs.

- (1.) Full drawn combs;
- (2.) Full sheets of foundation;
- (3.) Half sheets of foundation;
- (4.) Starters or strips of about one inch.

For this experiment four swarms caught on July 2 were used, weighing $5\frac{3}{4}$ pounds each. Each hive contained only one of the above size of foundation in the brood chamber, but full sheets of foundation in the super. Each hive was weighed daily during the season to ascertain the gain or loss; notes were also taken on the way the bees built up in the brood chamber.

The results are very similar to those of 1901. The hive with strips of foundation (4) gave the largest return. In this instance the bees started to work not in the frames but in the sections in the super, which had full sheets of foundation, sooner than the bees in the hives Nos. 1, 2, and 3. Queen excluders were put on to prevent the queen going up into the supers. In the hive that had half sheets (3) and in the one with full sheets (2) the bees appeared to work about evenly in the brood chamber and in the super. In the hive that had full drawn combs (1) the queen began to lay eggs at once and the bees filled up the brood chamber first, a notable fact was that in the hives that had half sheets (3), as well as in those that had starters in the brood chamber (4), the bees built worker comb as far down as the foundation went, and below that they built very unevenly; in many instances the frames could not be lifted out without the combs breaking down and some of these combs were more than half drone cells. Not being wired

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

they were too weak to stand the process of extracting, and they would be too weak to support heavy swarms or stand shipping. The results of these experiments show that it is better in all cases to use full sheets of foundation, both in the sections of the supers and in the frames of the brood chamber.

EXPERIMENTS TO TEST WHETHER BEES INJURE SOUND FRUIT.

During the summer of 1901 when there was no surplus honey to be gathered from plants outside, experiments were made with ripe fruit of four different kinds, peaches, pears, plums, and grapes, exposed in different places in or near the Experimental Farm apiary, where it was easily accessible to the bees.

This experiment has been repeated during the season of 1902, with the addition of strawberries and raspberries. All the fruit was placed in the same position as in 1901, viz: (a) in the hives, (b) on trees and (c) in a work shop adjoining the house apiary.

Peaches, pears, plums and grapes.—The fruit was exposed in three different conditions: (1.) Whole, without any treatment; (2.) Whole, after having been dipped in honey; (3.) Punctured in several places with the blade of a penknife.

Four colonies were selected for this experiment, all of about equal strength. Each of these colonies was in a hive upon which was placed a super divided in the middle by a partition. From two of the hives the honey had all been removed, in the two remaining hives five frames were left, each having considerable brood, with honey around it. In each one of the four hives, the whole specimens of fruit not dipped in honey were hung within three empty frames tied together as a rack; the whole specimens of fruit dipped in honey were placed in one compartment of the super and the punctured specimens were placed in the other.

A. The bees began to work at once both upon the dipped and the punctured fruit; the former was cleaned thoroughly of honey during the first night; upon the punctured fruit the bees clustered thickly, sucking the juice through the punctures as long as they could obtain any liquid.

At the end of six days all the fruit was carefully examined. The sound fruit was still uninjured in any way; the dipped fruit was in a like condition, quite sound; but every vestige of the honey had disappeared; the punctured fruit was badly mutilated and worthless; beneath each puncture was a cavity, and in many instances decay had set in.

The experiment was continued the following week; the undipped sound fruit was left in the brood chamber, the dipped fruit was given a new coating of honey and replaced in the super, and a fresh supply of punctured fruit was substituted for that which had been destroyed.

At the end of the second week both the undipped and the dipped specimens of fruit that were sound at the end of the first week, as well as the punctured specimens, were considerably decayed and, where there were any openings in the skin, showed signs of having been worked on, though to no very great extent.

For the third week fresh samples of fruit of all the above kinds were used; the result was very similar to that of the first week and, as it was later in the season, some of the fruit that had been put in sound had begun to decay.

After the third week the bees in the two hives which had been deprived of all their honey, appeared to be very sluggish, and there were many dead bees about the hives, the weather being cool and damp was very much against these colonies. They had lived for the first three weeks on the punctured fruit and on the honey of the fruit which had been dipped, as there were at that season few plants in flower from which they could gather nectar; these bees had therefore died of starvation, notwithstanding the proximity of the ripe juicy fruit. This supply of food which they were urgently in need of, was only separated from them by the thin skin of the fruit, which, however, this evidence seems to prove they could not puncture, as they did not do so.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The mean weight of each of these two hives on September 5, when the experiment began, was $24\frac{1}{4}$ pounds; at the end of the experiment four weeks later, each had lost $3\frac{1}{4}$ pounds. The mean weight of the two hives in each of which five frames with brood and honey had been left, was at the beginning of the experiment $36\frac{3}{4}$ pounds; the mean loss for each of these hives was at the end $1\frac{1}{4}$ pounds.

B.—Fruit exposed in the open air, hung from the branches of a tree in the apiary inclosure. In this experiment three sets of whole fruit were used, one being dipped in honey, one left undipped and whole, and the third punctured as before. The bees worked on the dipped and the punctured fruit, but were not seen to work on the undipped fruit, which remained perfectly whole.

C.—Fruit exposed on shelves in a work shop adjoining the honey house. This fruit as in the preceding experiments, consisted of whole undipped fruit, of dipped fruit, and of punctured fruit. The bees worked both on the dipped and the punctured fruit; only an occasional bee was noticed vainly looking for an opening on the whole undipped fruit.

Strawberries.—On July 2, 1902, ripe fruit of four sorts of strawberries, the Williams, Clyde, Bubach and Warfield, was exposed in the same positions as the other fruit, where it was easily accessible to the bees:—

(a.) Inside the bee hive;

(b.) On branches of trees in the apiary inclosure.

(c.) On shelves in a workshop to which bees had access through an open window. Every care was taken that all the fruit used in this experiment should be perfectly sound.

(A.) Fruit exposed inside bee hives.

The fruit was exposed in three different conditions (1) whole fruit without any treatment, (2) whole fruit that had been dipped in honey, (3) fruit of which each berry was cut in two.

Four colonies were selected for this experiment, all of about equal strength.

Each of these colonies was in a hive upon which was placed a super divided in the middle by a partition. In each one of the four hives, the whole specimens of fruit not dipped in honey were placed within three empty frames tied together as a rack in the brood chamber; the whole specimens of fruit dipped in honey were placed in one compartment of the super, and the berries cut in two were placed in the other.

The bees began to work at once upon the dipped fruit in the hive and kept continually on it as long as any honey could be obtained; they also clustered thickly on the whole berries and those cut in two, but did not appear to be getting or even trying to secure any substance from them.

(B, C.) The fruit exposed on the branches of trees and on the shelves in a workshop was not visited at all by the bees but decayed and dried up. In the hives all fruit decayed more quickly from the extra heat from the bees. This experiment lasted one week.

Raspberries.—Four varieties were used, the Red, Purple, very light coloured and Black Cap. On July 29, some berries of each sort were placed in the hives in exactly the same positions as the strawberries. At this date there was considerable honey coming in, and the bees did not touch any of the raspberries.

DIVISION OF BOTANY

FODDER CROPS.

Fodder crops of all kinds, with the exception of corn, produced heavily during the summer of 1902. The excellent condition of summer pastures and the general freedom throughout Ontario from insect pests, allowed stock to keep in the best condition without trouble. Aftermaths were heavy, and there was some difficulty in saving them. A subject which is always of extreme interest to farmers, particularly in those districts where there are apt to be summer droughts, is the best mixture of grasses and clovers to sow for permanent pastures. A great many experiments have been tried during the last fifteen years with all the different kinds of well known grasses in the market. As a result of all this work one special mixture has been found which, upon a medium soil both as to fertility and moisture, has produced regularly year after year heavy crops of the very first quality of hay or feed. The grasses and clovers which have been included in this mixture will succeed well in all parts of the Dominion, and those farmers and dairymen who have tried this mixture are so well pleased with the results they have obtained, that I again bring it to the notice of stockmen believing that they will find it a very satisfactory mixture to grow for two years' cutting and for two or three years' pasture. This mixture has been known as the Central Experimental Farm Mixture and consists of

Timothy	6 lbs.
Meadow Fescue	4 "
Orchard Grass	2 "
Kentucky Blue Grass	1 "
Red Top	1 "

CLOVERS.

Alsike	2 lbs.
Alfalfa	2 "
Mammoth Red	1 "
Common Red	1 "
White Dutch	2 "

22 lbs. of seed.

Average cost of seed per acre, \$2.50.

This mixture was sown at the same time as several others mentioned below in the spring of 1901 and was mowed once during that summer to destroy the weeds. The soil for all of these mixtures, which were sown upon plots of $\frac{1}{10}$ of an acre each, was tolerably even both as to fertility and moisture. Manure had not been applied for three years. The soil may be described as a rich sandy loam, but would be improved by under draining. The plots were visited by a large number of farmers during the summer, and it is gratifying to know that many of the large dairymen in the Ottawa district have sown fields with some of the mixtures and expressed themselves as extremely well satisfied with the results they have obtained. The following table gives the crops of the different mixtures for 1901 and 1902. These are not exactly in accordance with the records

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

of former years as to the amount of crop produced, some being heavier this year for some reason, while others have dropped behind their average. This is particularly the case with the C. E. F. mixture (1), which this year was considerably lower than crops which have been reaped in previous years. This shortage was in the second crop. In three records of previous trials the second crop of the second year has almost equalled the July cut, while in 1902 it was over a ton less in amount. It must be borne in mind that, in compounding these mixtures, the chief object considered was their value for pastures for two (or three) years after being cut for hay for one year (or two).

Number.	Mixtures sown May 4, 1901.				Thoroughly cured Hay per acre.					
					1901.		1902.			
	Grasses.	Lbs.	Clovers.	Lbs.	September 24.		July 10.		August 30.	
					Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Timothy.....	6	Alfalfa.....	2						
	Meadow Fescue....	4	Alsike.....	2						
	Orchard Grass....	2	Mammoth Red....	1						
	Kentucky Blue....	1	Common Red....	1						
	Red Top.....	1	White Dutch....	2	1	280	2	1,080	1	960
2	Meadow Fescue....	6	Alfalfa.....	4						
	Timothy.....	3	Alsike.....	1						
	Canadian Blue....	2	White Dutch....	1						
	Orchard Grass....	3			1	200	2	900	1	1,760
	Red Top.....	3								
3	Timothy.....	5	Alfalfa.....	6						
	Awnless Brome....	4	Alsike.....	3						
	Orchard Grass....	2			1	900	2	1,560	2	600
4	Meadow Fescue....	6	Common Red....	4						
	Orchard Grass....	2	Alfalfa.....	3						
	Kentucky Blue....	1	White Dutch....	1	1	1,080	2	1,200	3	320
5	Timothy.....	6	Alfalfa.....	6						
	Upright Brome....	4	Mammoth Red....	4	1	920	2	1,120	1	1,840
6	Timothy.....	10	Common Red....	6		1,560	2	1,040	1	1,720
7	Timothy.....	10	Mammoth Red....	6		1,200	2	440	1	760
8	Orchard Grass....	18	Alsike.....	5	1	120	1	1,320		1,680
9	Orchard Grass....	18	Common Red....	8	1	400	2	80	1	1,200
10	Meadow Fescue....	20	Common Red....	8	1	40	2	400		1,640
11	Timothy.....	12	Mammoth Red....	8		1,920	2	880	1	880

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Number.	Mixtures sown May 4, 1901.				Thoroughly cured Hay per acre.					
	1901.		1902.		1901.		1902.		1902.	
	Grasses.	Lbs.	Clovers.	Lbs.	September 21.		July 10.		August 30.	
					Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
12	Timothy.....	12	Common Red.....	8	1	280	2	1,360	1	760
13	Timothy.....	5	Common Red.....	5						
	Awnless Brome.....	10	Mammoth Red.....	5	1	680	2	1,120	1	1,280
14	Awnless Brome....	25		1	400	2	1,220		1,800
15	Awnless Brome....	15	Common Red.	8	1	720	2	1,280	1	1,480
16	Timothy.....	8	Mammoth Red.....	8	1	680	2	1,220	1	1,120
17	Alfalfa.....	15	(weight green, 8 tons 720 lbs)		1	1,680	2	80	1	1,080
18	Bokhara Clover....	15	(weight grn., 12 tons 400 lbs)		2	600	2	1,560	No cut; a biennial.	

Of the different grasses used, the Awnless Brome Grass has been frequently treated of in also for its adaptability to almost all kinds of soil, whether they be moist as in the these reports and is a grass of extreme value, as a producer of both hay and pasture, and intervale lands of New Brunswick and the low meadows of New Ontario, or lacking in moisture as on the dry plains of the North-west, or the semi-arid hills of British Columbia.

The Meadow Fescue (*Festuca pratensis*, L.), is a rich succulent hardy grass which roots deeply and produces two heavy crops of excellent hay. The abundant growth of young foliage, which is very tender, makes this a valuable addition to pasture mixtures.

Orchard Grass (*Dactylis glomerata*, L.).—This grass is perhaps the quickest grower after cutting, of any grass we have tried at Ottawa. The foliage when young is particularly tender and palatable to stock, but when it is allowed to get old, it becomes tough and dry. It is a heavy producer, but the hay is rather light. It requires a deep soil and heavy feeding. When cut for hay, it must be cut early. At Ottawa it is generally ready for mowing by June 20, the same time as the Meadow Fescue. For this reason, these two grasses are well suited for mixing with the Common Red or June Clover, because they mature at the same season.

Kentucky Blue Grass (*Poa pratensis*, L.).—This is the Smooth Meadow Grass of England, the June Grass of the greater part of Canada and is one of the grasses which is frequently spoken of as 'spear grass' in some parts of the Dominion. It is a grass of extreme value, succeeding best in cool damp districts, but thriving well and increasing rapidly in all temperate climates of the world. It is by far the best lawn grass known, wherever there is sufficient summer rain to allow growth to continue, being of an intense green colour at all seasons of the year and quickly forming a thick sod. As a factor in

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

permanent pasture mixtures, it has no superior and never should be omitted. It produces, when closely fed, probably more actual food for stock than any other grass, and its season lasts except in very dry localities from early in the spring until hard frost. It is essentially a pasture grass, and produces but very little hay.

Canada Blue Grass (*Poa compressa*, L.).—This grass is also known as 'Wire Grass' and 'Flat-stemmed Meadow Grass.' It produces a rather small crop of exceedingly heavy rich hay. When fed down, it reproduces itself rapidly and is almost as valuable as Kentucky Blue Grass. The seed of this grass is largely sold as lawn grass, but it is not nearly so well suited for this purpose as Kentucky Blue Grass, on account of a reddish tinge which it takes on when touched with frost or when affected by drought. It has not the same habit as Kentucky Blue Grass of spreading extensively by underground root shoots or stolons, and therefore does not form so rapidly a thick sod.

Red Top (*Agrostis vulgaris*, Withg.).—This grass produces in damp soil a very large quantity of fine but not very rich hay. It is of special value in wet land, where it will stand more water than any other of the cultivated grasses. It is palatable to stock and should always be used in grass mixtures for low lands. It seeds freely and spreads rapidly.

Timothy (*Phleum pratense*, L.).—This grass is too well known by Canadian farmers to require any special mention. When mixed with clover for hay, the Mammoth Red or late clover should be used, as these two plants come to maturity at the same time, while the Common Red Clover is about a week earlier than Timothy.

SIMPSON'S TRUE-PERENNIAL RED CLOVER.

(See Plate.)

In the spring of 1897, I received from Mr. Walter Simpson, of Bay View, Prince Edward Island, some roots of a very interesting clover which he had found growing spontaneously on his farm. This clover has now been cultivated here in the experimental grass plots for six years, and has shown that it possesses many valuable agricultural characteristics. It is a long-lived perennial which spreads by copious underground stolons. Although not producing so much fodder as the Common and Mammoth Red Clovers—it has given as much as one and a-half tons of hay to the acre—it is much more persistent. Owing to its stoloniferous root system, it does not suffer, as those well known varieties do, from heaving and winter-killing. A plot of this clover one square rod in extent, was planted on April 23, 1901, by setting out root shoots in rows one foot apart, with the plants six inches apart in the rows. By June 7, there was a growth of three inches, and by July 26 the bed had an average height of four inches, many of the plants being in flower. This plot was not cut at mid-summer, and the seed was ripe by September 21. On July 3, 1902, the bed was a heavy mat of thick clover twelve inches high, with fine leaves and many large purple flowers, as shown in the plate herewith. The whole plot was saved for seed, which was ripe by the first week in October. Unfortunately, this clover has shown under cultivation the serious defect of maturing very little seed. It has, however, never been treated as the ordinary Red or Mammoth Clovers are when grown for seed, by being cut for hay in midsummer and the seed collected from the second crop. Under similar circumstances, the varieties above named also show this defect to some extent, as is mentioned by Professor W. J. Beal, in his 'Grasses of North America.' Next year the first crop will be cut as soon as the plants are well in flower, and the seed will be saved from the second crop. If it still shows the same partial sterility, an effort will be made to produce an improved form by hybridizing it with Common Red, Mammoth and other clovers.

I am unable to come to a decision upon the exact botanical status of this clover. It does not answer in all respects with any known and described species of clover, but

2-3 EDWARD VII, A. 1903

may probably be an aberrant form of *Trifolium medium*, L., or a hybrid of that species with some other clover. *Trifolium medium*, as described in European works, does not correspond with any clover known to such botanists as I have been able to consult, or that I myself have ever seen growing in Canada.

Mammoth Red Clover, which is the same as Cow Grass of English seedmen, is stated in most American works to be *T. medium*, L., but it lacks entirely the stoloniferous or true-perennial habit of Simpson's perennial clover. In Sutton's 'Farmers' Year Book,' Cow Grass is stated to be a hybrid between *T. medium*, L., and the Common Red Clover (*T. pratense*, L.), but Simpson's True-perennial Clover does not agree either with the description of Cow Grass, nor do plants grown from seeds received from Sutton & Sons under that name, in any way resemble the Prince Edward Island plant. *T. medium* is called Zigzag Clover, from the angulated growth of the stems, but I find no approach to this in our Canadian plant. Simpson's True-perennial Clover is a free-growing, frequently branching, narrow-leaved, rather smooth perennial clover, much resembling the figure of *T. medium*, given in Sowerby's 'English Botany,' but with, as a rule, two large cylindrical-ovate heads of flowers, on pedicels from one to two inches in length, terminating each branch of the stem. The plants have no true caudex but throw out freely in all directions through the soil vigorous stolons, by which the plants spread rapidly. The seeds are heart-shaped, pale yellow in colour, smaller than those of both Common Red and Mammoth Clovers. From the fact that so little seed is produced, the hybrid nature of this clover is suggested, and it is probable that *Trifolium medium* may have been a parent. If Mammoth Red really be a hybrid, it is possible that this form may have originated from seed sown as that variety, and, as all hybrids are for a time unstable and subject to variation in different directions, the plant under discussion may be a hybrid which has run back towards *T. medium*, much more than is usually the case.

Mammoth Red Clover is now extensively grown and is fairly constant in its characters. It may be described as merely a large free-growing variety of the Common Red Clover with larger and handsomer seeds, maturing about a week later in summer, but with exactly the same kind of rootstocks; in fact, it bears about the same relation to Common Red Clover, that Tall Fescue among the true grasses does to the slightly smaller Meadow Fescue. Common Red Clover is normally a biennial, with a tap-shaped rootstock. The plants, as a rule, die after ripening seeds the second year, although, if cut twice so as to prevent seed ripening, some plants will grow the third year. Mammoth Red Clover is slightly more persistent, but with a rootstock of the same nature; and I have never been able to find a plant which produced stolons or running rootstocks.

THE ORIGIN.

All that is known of the origin of this clover is given in the following extract from a letter of Mr. Walter Simpson, the discoverer:—

Bay View, P.E.I., Nov. 20, 1902.—'It was about ten years ago that I found this clover growing along the edge of a spruce bush on my farm here in Bay View. It was just outside the cultivated fields and under the boughs of the spruce at the south side of the bush, about four chains from my buildings. My attention was attracted to it in passing, by the peculiar shape of the leaves. The clover when first found was in a thick mat extending about two rods in length and a yard in width. It looked very pretty growing, on account of its pointed leaves and rich dark green colour. I thought at first sight that it was something new and showed it to several neighbours, but they failed to see its difference from other clovers. It was first pronounced to be *Trifolium medium*, by the expert botanists of the Prince Edward Island Natural History Society, and was catalogued as such in their lists of new plants found on the island.

'The original patch still exists and has spread considerably from where first found and it has crept out into the cultivated field. I had none of it ripen this year, as the sheep had access to it all summer and cropped it close. In years that it did ripen, I could not find a single seed in the heads, though, of course, I did not examine it very closely. I have never given it any cultivation.'—WALTER SIMPSON.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

No descriptions of *T. medium*, L., which I have been able to find in European and American botanical works, answer to the Prince Edward Island plant; but, should the latter prove to be a form of that species, it indicates that *T. medium* is a valuable clover which merits far more general recognition and trial by American agriculturists than it has so far received.

Simpson's True-perennial Red Clover is particularly well suited for including in permanent pasture mixtures, both from its low stocky growth and for its truly perennial habit, which gives it a great advantage over either Common Red, Mammoth or Alsike clovers. As compared with White Dutch Clover, it is equally hardy and is a much heavier cropper.

REPORT OF THE POULTRY MANAGER.

(A. G. GILBERT.)

TO DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

OTTAWA, December 1, 1902.

SIR,—I have the pleasure of herewith submitting to you the fifteenth annual report of the Poultry Department.

Perhaps in no previous year has more extended inquiry been made by farmers and others, more or less interested, into the best methods of profitable poultry keeping with the object of producing eggs in winter and early chickens for export or home market, than in the past one. With the hope of aiding, more particularly on this occasion, in the production of the early chickens which bring the highest price, the subject is discussed in all its various phases. Such information is given and deductions made as experience has shown are likely to be conducive to the best and quickest results.

The best types of early chickens and how they may generally be produced are discussed from various standpoints. The objectionable features in many early birds are pointed out and a remedy suggested for the same.

Experimental fattening of chickens of different breeds in crates and with limited run and on various rations, furnishes important and interesting data. Some results showing the length of time in which the best gains are made and the time at which progress ceased cannot fail to be of value to those interested.

Details of the work of the year are given in such shape as calculated to be most useful.

During the year a number of addresses were delivered in many parts of the Dominion on subjects akin to my department.

The annual Christmas Poultry Fair at Renfrew, Ont., on December 2, was remarkable for the great improvement in the quality of and the manner in which the birds were dressed. The same may be said of the dressed poultry display at the Fat Stock Show held in Guelph, Ont., from December 8 to 12. It is evident, from the improved appearance of the dressed poultry exhibited on both occasions, that farmers are fast realizing the importance of having their exhibits present an inviting appearance and the enhanced value accruing thereby.

I have pleasure in mentioning the faithful services of Mr. George Deavey, who assists in the care and management of the poultry under my charge.

The demand for information on all lines of poultry keeping continues with unabated interest from increasing and varied sources, and which may fairly be taken as an instance of the rapid development of the poultry branch of farm work.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

A. G. GILBERT.

THE WORK OF THE PAST YEAR, 1902.

The increasing demand for the early chicken, which is desirable, because it brings the highest price, has directed attention and inquiry as to how it can best be produced.

In this connection the experimental work of the past and two preceding years has been productive of results, which it is hoped will prove useful to the farmers of our country from whom inevitably must come the greater quantity of poultry and eggs, so much in demand.

Experience, so far gained, has shown with no uncertain sound that in order to have the healthy and quick growing early chickens, in paying quantity, it is imperative to have:—

1. Parent stock in robust condition.
2. Strong germs usually the result of No. 1.

How can these conditions so essential to success be generally secured?

Close and careful observation has led to the conclusion, that the conditions named can best be attained when the winter house is so constructed, as to permit of the laying stock having greater access to, and opportunity for exercise in fresh air than the majority of winter houses at present permit.

It is particularly desirable then that the hens which are layers during winter, and which are likely to be the breeding stock of spring should be allowed run during winter to barn or shed, and so obtain the desired change of air. Happily, the majority of farmers are so situated as to make this a matter of little difficulty. It is, however, still held by certain parties that no matter how favourable the conditions may be, that eggs laid in spring by hens which have been prolific winter layers are not likely to contain strong germs. Such contention has not been borne out by the observation made and results noted during the recent winter, and which are given in a following page. On the contrary these results show that where the fowls have had fresh air and exercise, although winter layers above the ordinary, the germs of their spring eggs were much stronger than those of hens which had been confined, in a comparatively warm atmosphere, from beginning to end of winter. The importance of using every effort to secure strong germs in early spring eggs and so preventing the number of 'dead chicks' in the shell near maturity cannot be too strongly emphasized.


RECURRENCE TO THE SUBJECT.

It may be said that recurrence to weak germs and 'chicks dead in the shell' is to repeat an old story. But it is one nevertheless of the greatest moment, as the numerous letters received on the subject prove. Its importance demands careful and patient investigation, for it is palpable that unless a paying percentage of chickens are hatched and reared there will be all work and no profit. Without a margin of profit, early chicken raising would surely be abandoned. It is of vital consequence to the success of this enterprise, which offers such a wide field of emolument, that its prosecution should be made as easy and certain as possible. It is, therefore, desirable to consider the best means to this end.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

THE OPEN SHED ATTACHMENT TO POULTRY HOUSE.

One way of securing fresh air and exercise during winter is the open shed attachment to the poultry house, a plan of which, is shown below. This arrangement has been adopted and successfully operated by numerous farmers. The following shows a single house and shed owned by Mr. J. S. Jeffreys of St. Catharines, who writes a description of the premises as follows :—



A cheap and convenient Poultry House with Scratching Shed. Property J. S. Jeffreys, St. Catharines.

ST. CATHARINES, November 10, 1902.

'The house referred to is 12 x 60 divided into four pens, each having a roosting and laying house 7 x 12 and scratching shed 8 x 12. The roosting room is built of double boards and battens outside, then paper and matched sheeting on the inside. The sheeting and all studs are of hemlock, the outsides boards pine and sills of cedar set on cedar posts, 6 feet apart.

'The hemlock sheeting was used for two reasons. First, because it was cheaper than pine and second rats do not go through it as much as they do pine.

'There are no floors, but the earth on the bottom of the pens is raised three feet higher than the ground outside.'

THE BEST TIME FOR AND MEANS OF HATCHING THE CHICKENS.

The strong germs being secured in the manner as outlined, it is now in order to consider the best time and means of hatching and rearing the chickens so as to have the most satisfactory results. These will to a great extent be governed by the exigencies of locality and facility. In some cases it may be quite possible to begin operations earlier than in others, and such effort will doubtless be rewarded with a higher price, for the earlier the chicken the better the price. To such persons the open scratching shed poultry house will be invaluable. But it was pointed out in report of this department for 1900 that the most suitable time for the great majority of farmers to hatch out their chickens is in April, or, early May, for the reason that unless provided with incubator room and brooding house, so as to be independent of outside temperatures, it would be inconvenient, if not impossible to raise chickens in paying numbers at an earlier season. Further experience and expressions of opinions from farmers strengthen that statement. Experience has also shown that pullets hatched prior to late April, or, May, although they may begin to lay in late summer or early fall, are apt to moult and

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

remain non-productive when eggs are at their highest value. On the other hand the May pullet, which probably begins to lay in November and continues to do so without ceasing during the season of high prices is obviously the most suitable bird for the farmer.

As to the best means of hatching and rearing the chickens, farmers and poultry breeders are fast realising that in order to have the early chickens in requisite number and uniform age artificial means are necessary. There is no intent to belittle the hen as a hatching medium. Doubtless she will be the favourite with those who desire only a limited number of chickens and are not particular as to whether early or late hatched. But where over one hundred chickens are desired early and at the same time, many more hens than are usually obtainable at that season would be required to give desired results.

HOW MANY CHICKS SHOULD A FARMER REAR?

In connection with the early hatching and rearing of chicks the question is frequently asked as to the number of hens a farmer should keep and the number of chickens he should hatch. This question has been answered in a previous report, but it is asked so frequently that it may be admissible to reply to it again. Under ordinary conditions a farmer should be able to keep from 50 to 100 hens and to raise with success 150 chickens. If there is help to be had from wife, sons or daughters a greater number may be successfully handled. But it is fair to presume that the greater number of chickens throughout the country will be produced by the farmers with a few hens rather than by few farmers with a great number. As in other lines of business it is not wise to aim at large results in poultry keeping without the assistance necessary to ensure success.

A SENTIMENTAL VIEW OF HELP FROM THE FARM HOME.

Poultry keeping admittedly affords congenial and healthful employment for women. Many poultry plants, of less or more magnitude, are successfully conducted in this and the neighbouring country by wives or daughters of farmers and business men. In England several extensive poultry establishments are successfully managed by ladies of title and wealth. A phase of the subject which, perhaps, appeals to the farmer from a sentimental as well as practical standpoint is that in creating a taste for poultry culture in his boys or girls he may weave a tie that will permanently bind the young people to the farm. The caring for and properly feeding of 150 or 200 chickens certainly afford ample opportunity to the young or older people, for in no time of the chicken's life is proper care and feeding more required than during the first six weeks of its existence. And in too many instances that, unfortunately, is the period during which chicks are allowed to 'pick up their own living.' It is hardly necessary to say when chickens so cared for arrive at the market they receive the lowest value. It is well to bear in mind that any extra care or attention given to the chick during the period mentioned will be amply repaid by quick development. On the other hand neglect can hardly ever be repaired.

CLOSE CONFINEMENT *vs* FRESH AIR.

In order to ascertain results in strength of germs, and number and vitality of chicks from hens which were closely confined, in a warm house during winter as compared with those which had run in cold but fresh air, the following tests were made. On March 11 two broody hens were given 13 Barred Plymouth Rock eggs each. These eggs were from hens which had laid fairly well during the winter, were mated with a vigorous


SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

young bird, but had been confined to pens from beginning of season, without any opportunity for outside run. The eggs were as nearly new laid, as possible. Results from the 26 eggs were 9 chickens which were placed in an outside coop and made satisfactory progress for a few days, but despite attention and careful feeding dropped off, one at a time, until only two were left, and they made most unsatisfactory progress, and never attained full size. They were all evidently weaklings, the offspring of weak germs.

A week later 13 eggs were obtained from Barred Plymouth Rock hens which had laid well during the winter, but had a run out to a small shed which they were often into, even in very cold weather. The hens were mated to a vigorous young male, which also had the benefit of the run. The result was 7 chickens, which with the mother hen as with the first lot were placed outside in a slatted coop. The chicks were hardy from the first. With the same food and treatment as given to the others, they made rapid growth, without any loss in their number. They gave every evidence of constitutional vitality. Further details as to the condition of the unhatched eggs, in both cases, will be found in the following table of eggs set and chickens hatched (by hens) during the season. This table also furnishes further instances of the effect of close confinement on hatching results, as compared with those after the fowls had opportunity to run outside. It will be seen that in all cases the eggs were placed under hens. In the case of the early eggs this is worthy of notice, for failure cannot possibly be attributed to the hatching medium, as might be done had artificial means in the shape of incubator and brooder been used. Poor results then point clearly to the eggs as the cause.

EGGS SET AND CHICKENS HATCHED. REMARKS ON RESULTS.

When Eggs were Set.	Description of Eggs.	Hens or Pullets Eggs.	When Hatched.	No. of Chickens.	Results of Examination of Eggs during and after Hatching.
1902.					
Mar. 11....	13 Barred Plymouth Rock eggs	Hens.	April 1.....	6	Hens closely confined during winter up to date of setting. 3 chicks nearly full size dead in shell. 4 eggs with germs in all stages of progress.
" 11....	13 "	"	" 1.....	3	Hens closely confined during winter up to date of setting. 10 eggs with germs more or less advanced.
" 18....	13 "	Hens and pullets.	" 8.....	7	Hens had run out to a shed during winter. Tested out 5 added eggs. 1 chick full grown, dead in shell.
" 26....	13 White Indian Game eggs	Hens.....	" 16. .	6	Hens closely penned during winter. Tested out 4 clear eggs. 2 chicks killed in nest. 1 unaccounted for.
" 27....	7 Orpington—6 Rhode Island Red eggs.	Pullets.....	" 17.....	1	Hens closely penned during winter. Bad sifter which broke 3 eggs. 9 added eggs, germs just started.
April 2 . .	13 Faverolle eggs.....	"	" 23.....	3	Hens closely penned during winter. On testing, all eggs seemed fertile. Examination after hatch showed embryos dead in various stages of progress.
" 3....	13 Barred Plymouth Rock eggs (1).....	"	" 24....	5	Hens closely penned during winter. Tested out 6 clear eggs. 1 chick dead in nest. 1 chick dead in shell.
" 3....	13 " " (2).....	"	" 24.....	6	These pullets had 2 pens during winter. Tested out 2 clear eggs. 1 egg rotten. 1 egg missing. 3 chicks dead at piping stages.
" 3....	13 Buff Plymouth Rock eggs.....	"	" 24....	1	Pullets apparently immature and out of condition. Remaining eggs all added. Germs had just started and ceased progress.
" 3....	13 Rhode Island Red eggs.....	"	" 24.....	5	Birds out of condition. Remaining 8 eggs contained germs in different stages of development.
" 12....	15 Silver Gray Dorking eggs.....	Hens.....	May 3.	9	These eggs from a farm at Myrtle, Ont., near Toronto.
" 12....	14 " ".....	"	" 3.....	7	Notwithstanding bad packing and rough trip, eggs did well
" 12....	15 " ".....	"	" 3.....	8	showing strong germs and parent stock in robust condition.
" 12....	13 Faverolle eggs.....	Pullets.....	" 3.....	9	With exception of 6 clear eggs, all unhatched eggs contained fully developed chickens dead at or about 20 days.
" 16....	12 Buff Orpington eggs.....	"	" 7.....	11	Good effect of run outside begins to show. Examination showed strong germs. 1 chick crushed in nest. Dead chicks in remaining 3 eggs.
" 19....	13 Buff Plymouth Rock eggs.....	"	" 10.....	7	1 egg added. Chicks strong.
" 19....	13 Brown Leghorn eggs.....	Hens.....	" 10.....	12	Towns much improved in condition by outside run. 3 full grown chicks crushed in shell. Remaining 3 eggs added.
" 19....	13 Barred Plymouth Rock eggs (1).....	Pullets.....	" 10.	9	1 egg added. Remaining 4 eggs contained fully developed dead chicks.



TYPICAL BUFF ORPINGTON PULLET.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

"	19....	13	"	"	(2).	"	"	10....	2	This pen simply went to pieces. Remaining 11 eggs contained germs which had just started. 1 egg with dead chick in it. 1 egg added. 3 clear eggs. 3 eggs containing chicks crushed in nest. 5 eggs with germs which had apparently just made a start. 1 egg containing chick crushed in nest. Male bird had evidently been ill for sometime previous to setting the eggs. 1 chick dead in shell. 1 egg added. Eggs came by Express from Quebec. Evidently injured in transit as 8 eggs were added. 3 chicks dead in shell. 1 clear egg. 1 chicken crushed in nest. 3 chicks dead in shell fully developed. 1 clear egg. Remaining eggs added. " contained germs more or less developed. " " " " " " Two eggs did not hatch. 1 chick crushed in nest. Remaining eggs did not hatch. 5 clear eggs. 3 unhatched eggs contained partially developed germs. 2 eggs gave no results. 1 " Chicks hatched too late. Did not do well. " " "
"	26....	13	Black Minorca eggs.....	Hens.....	"	17....	11			
"	28....	11	Buff Orpington—3 Favorolle eggs.....	Pullets.....	"	19....	11			
"	28....	13	White Leghorn eggs.....	"	"	19....	5			
"	28....	15	Favorolle eggs.....	"	"	19....	4			
"	28....	13	Rhode Island Red eggs.....	"	"	19....	11			
"	28....	13	Barred Plymouth Rock eggs.....	Hens.....	"	19....	5			
May	3....	13	"	"	"	24....	8			
"	3....	8	White Plymouth Rock—4 White Leghorn eggs.....	"	"	24....	9			
"	10....	8	Buff Orpington—4 White Leghorn eggs.....	Pullets.....	"	30....	7			
"	10....	13	White Wyandotte eggs.....	Hens.....	"	30....	6			
"	10....	13	Buff Rocks eggs.....	Pullets.....	"	30....	7			
"	10....	13	Light Brahma—Buff Plymouth Rock cross eggs.....	"	"	30....	7			
"	22....	11	White Leghorn—2 White Plymouth Rock eggs.....	"	June 12.	12....	7			
"	22....	12	Buff Orpington—1 Barred Plymouth Rock eggs.....	"	"	12....	11			
"	23....	13	Barred Plymouth Rock eggs (1).....	"	"	13....	10			
"	23....	13	" (2).....	"	"	13....	5			
June	7....	12	White Leghorn—1 Buff Orpington eggs.....	"	"	28....	11			
"	22....	13	Black Minorca eggs.....	Hens.....	July 13.	18....	12			
"	28....	13	Buff Orpington eggs.....	Pullets.....	"	19....	8			
"	28....	13	White Leghorn eggs.....	"	"	19....	9			
									281	

518

From the hatching results shown in above table some interesting deductions may be made, viz.:—

1. The chicks from the eggs of hens which had voluntarily run during winter, proved strong and lived, while the chicks from the closely confined died, with the exception of two, which did not make satisfactory growth.

2. That germs evidently became strong at twelve or fifteen days after the hens had a run outside. This will answer a question often asked 'as to when the eggs of hens closely confined become strong?'

3. Proof of the statement made in a previous page that 'farmers have exceptional opportunities to allow their fowls a run in barn or shed during winter and so secure the strong germ,' is found in the results from the eggs obtained from a farmer at Myrtle, Ont. The eggs, 44 in number, were likely laid in late March or early April. They had come by express and over a rough road to the farm, and on reception showed every evidence of being knocked about in transit. Such was their apparent condition that few or no results were anticipated, but 24 strong chickens were hatched and made rapid growth, sure indications of robust parent stock.

4. The 15 Barred Plymouth Rock pullets in No. 2 group had two pens thrown into one, or double the space that No. 1 group of the same sort and number had. They were for the most part later hatched than those of No. 1, but all other conditions as to temperature and treatment were the same. They did not, however, lay as many eggs, nor did their eggs produce as many chickens as did those of No. 1 group. This shows that neither warmth nor rations compensated for comparatively immature development.

5. That the chickens hatched in July did not thrive well. This emphasizes the advice given in previous reports not to have chickens so late in the season if at all avoidable.

Results from eggs put into an incubator during early spring time were very similar to those obtained from eggs under hens at the same period. There was a large and discouraging number of chickens dead in the shell, at or about the pipping stage. This great mortality in fully developed chickens almost ready to leave the shell has been, and is the subject of much discussion in the poultry press of Great Britain, United States and Canada. While it is admitted that the healthy condition of the breeding stock in the spring is of paramount importance, the question is asked 'Has the incubator no responsibility in the matter?' One of the best articles, among the many written on the subject, is by a correspondent who writes under the *nom de plume* of 'Medicus,' to an English scientific paper. His contention, strengthened by results of experiments conducted by himself, is that at all times during the period of incubation there was in the incubators tried by him a lack of oxygen, but particularly so at the critical hatching period. Doubtless the thorough ventilation of the subject will result in improvement. Already the incubators made by leading manufacturers are arranged to admit a greater amount of fresh air. This better ventilation of incubators in combination with improved methods of winter house accommodation and management of the laying stock, will no doubt, bring a more satisfactory percentage of strong early chicks. It is but fair to state that incubators are sometimes operated under the most unfavourable conditions, and satisfactory results can hardly be expected. On the other hand, where conditions are favourable, Mr. L. H. Baldwin, of Deer Park, near Toronto, by his skilful manipulation of breeding stock and machines, seldom fails to secure 80 per cent and frequently 100 per cent of returns. Investigation into and discussion of the subject, up to the present time, seem to warrant the call for 'fresh air and more of it for breeding stock and incubators.'

PROGRESS OF THE CHICKENS.

The treatment of the chickens after hatching by hens or incubators was much the same as described, at length, in reports of previous years. There was a difference, however, in the weather conditions of spring and early summer of the past year. The long continued wet and cold weather resulted in unusual mortality among the chickens of

one, two and three weeks of age. The high death rate was not confined to this locality, as was shown by the number of letters received on the subject from many parts of the country, asking as to cause and a remedy. Beyond the unfavourable weather it was impossible to assign a reason for such general loss.

Barred P. Rock Cockerel at 3 mos.	3 lbs.	10 ozs.
" " "	4 "	2 "
White Wyandotte " "	3 "	11 "
" " "	3 "	2 "
Faverolle " "	3 "	7 "
" " "	3 "	2 "
Silver Gray Dorking " "	3 "	15 "
" " "	3 "	3 "
Buff Orpington " "	3 "	12½ "
" " "	3 "	5 "
Rhode Island Red " "	3 "	4 "
" " "	2 "	14 "
Light Bra.-B.P.R. (2nd cross) " "	4 "	6 "
" " "	4 "	3 "
" " "	5 "	1 "

Barred Plymouth Rock Cockerels at 2 mos. and 6 days :—2 lbs. 5 ozs : 2, 4 : 2, 5 : 2, 2. These chickens were also taken from a field, but had been regularly fed and well cared for.

With the view of ascertaining which breed furnished the earliest and best market type careful attention was given to the chickens of the varieties and ages named above. Among them will be noticed two well known English and French table fowl producing breeds viz : Dorking and Faverolle. The ideal chicken borne in mind was one of rather blocky frame, showing a rounded breast with fairly long, low and straight breast bone well covered with flesh and thighs carrying a generous proportion of meat, with white flesh and legs of light colour. Such a chicken should present a plump and inviting appearance and make a model three months old bird for early home market, or export. Experience so far gained goes to show that none of the utility breeds of to-day furnishes three months old chickens of acceptable type, in greater number, on an average, than another. All varieties tried have produced early chickens of desirable shape and size, but in limited

number. It is a matter of congratulation that we have the desirable models furnished by several rather than by one variety, for it renders their production so much easier. How then may the desirable types be produced?

HOW THE BEST TYPES MAY BE PRODUCED.

This should not be a matter of difficulty. Selection of the best types of the different varieties and breeding from them only, will eventually bring the early chickens of shape, size, quality and in numbers wanted. Skilful and careful crossing of breeds, with the aim of producing layers and flesh formers combined, resulted in Plymouth Rocks, Wyandottes and Orpingtons, with their many subsequent varieties, which as utility fowls are hard to better. And what has been already so successfully achieved can surely be repeated in the production of the early chickens of acceptable type.

WHAT A PURCHASER FOR EXPORT SAYS.

It may possibly be remarked that much is being said about the three months old chicken for export, while our home market also calls for the early and superior quality which cannot be had in quantity nearly sufficient to fill the demand. Some differences in the two markets are noted in a subsequent page. The following letter from Dr. Boulton, manager of the Canadian Produce Company of Toronto, purchasers for export, on the most acceptable chicken for the English market will be read with interest. This gentleman who has spent some time in London, Eng., studying the different phases of that market, is well qualified to express an opinion. He writes as follows:—

CANADIAN PRODUCE CO.,
TORONTO, December 3, 1902.

DEAR SIR. . . . I am afraid that I have said all I can, and all I know about export chickens many times, but I might say again that the three-pound bird, which means the same thing as the three months old bird, is what is wanted. In fact we can sell a dozen chickens weighing from 30 to 40 lbs. per dozen, to one of all the other sizes, and the preference is given to small and young birds of large breeds, rather than to the same weight but mature birds of smaller breeds. The call is, more than ever however, for quality. Everything else may go and is really of very small importance in comparison with this point. However, I am glad to say that the improvement in the quality is marvellous. As regards methods of fattening special attention should be given to processes which improve the colour, and put on a fair amount of fat without robbing the breast of its lean meat. We receive many birds with every evidence of earnest endeavour to fatten, and every evidence of same as regards quantity of fat, but the birds are actually thinner than normal. I attribute this to carrying on the process too long. Careful experiments should be made to find the exact point from which the birds go back, and I think for the average farmer partial confinement, not in coops, and good feeding, the most practical method.—ALFRED BOULTON.

As to the time required to fatten, by crating the birds, frequent experiments have shown that three weeks should suffice to finish the bird, in the most acceptable form. In some cases it is quite possible to shorten the time by a few days. Much depends upon the breed of chickens, their age, and the condition they are in, when received from the farmer, or dealer. Experience has also shown that a crated chicken if not a hearty eater, will not likely be a rapid flesh maker. If the special feeding is carried on longer than three weeks, the bird is likely to remain stationary and if continued over a month is likely to lose weight, or, go back. This is shown in a marked manner in the results, given in following pages from the experimental fattening of chickens.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO MARKETS.

One of the differences between the British and home market is that our consumers do not object to a large bird, which usually means a later one. Nor do our consumers have such pronounced objection to yellow tinge of flesh, or, leg. The later bird is somewhat an easier one to produce, certainly, but it comes when the market is well stocked with similar birds and prices are generally lower. It is obviously better to produce the earlier chickens. As a result of this difference in the phases of the two markets there is a possibility of a twofold opportunity for our farmers to make money by taking advantage of the early demand for export chickens and the later birds for home use. An objection to certain strains of 3 and 4 months old cockerels of standard breeds, is that of sharp and prominent breast bone with absence of flesh. In numerous cases noticed this has not been such a cause of complaint at 5, or 6 months of age. But we are warned, as already noted, that our birds of either age named and which would probably be of 7 or 8 pounds weight each, are too large for the English consumer. We then fall back on our later home market which (as already remarked), offers no such objection, provided the birds have been well fed and cared for and show flesh of good colour and fine grain, as a rule sure indications of tenderness. In no case should quality be of secondary consideration. It is gratifying to note from Dr. Boulton's letter that the quality of our birds is rapidly improving. Objection to sharp breast bone and yellow tinge of leg and flesh, the latter more particularly from the English consumer, experience has shown, can be overcome by breeding from selected birds.

TYPE SUITABLE FOR BOTH MARKETS.

The following is a type of an English market fowl. In this case it is represented by one of the Dorking varieties.



SUITABLE TYPE OF MARKET FOWL.

EARLY PENNING UP OF FOWLS.

On October 22 the following fowls were selected and put into pens in No. 1 house, of 8 x 14 feet with outside runs of 8 x 48 feet. They were fed the same rations as given to the other birds running at large in a field. This was done before winter laying had commenced and after the hens had moulted, and in order to ascertain if so penning and feeding the birds would cause them to lay earlier than those enjoying the greater range :—

12 Barred P. Rock hens, eggs laid in November.....	62
12 Light Bra. P. Rock hens (crossed) eggs laid in November..	35
12 Buff Leghorn hens, eggs laid in November.....	20
15 Barred P. Rock pullets, eggs laid in November.....	49
13 White " Pullets, eggs laid in November.....	11
6 Faverolle " " "	15
<hr/> 70	<hr/> 192

The remaining 147 hens and pullets laid eggs, during the same time, to the number of 132. The advantage is apparently with the penned fowls.

COMMENCEMENT OF GENERAL WINTER LAYING.

The fowls of all breeds moulted well and were in good feather by middle of October. The same treatment and food were adopted, in order to secure an early moult, as described at length in report of last year. Winter laying began by the hens enumerated above and was fairly general by the beginning of December. The first pullets to lay were Barred Plymouth Rock, Light Brahma—P. Rock cross, Faverolle and Buff Leghorn. The average age at which laying began was five months.

RATIONS USED AND THEIR VALUE.

The following amounts are fed to 247 fowls at present :—

	Cts.
20 lbs. wheat.....	28
16 lbs. cut bone at 1c. per lb.....	16
16 lbs. ground grains for mash.....	22
Lime, grit and roots (mangels).....	3
	<hr/> 69
The mash is composed of 7 lbs. shorts.....	7c.
4½ lbs. ground oats.....	8c.
4½ lbs. gluten meal.....	7c.
	<hr/> 22c.

As in previous years the mash was fed 3 times per week and in the afternoon during winter. In feeding at this time it was considered less liable to retard the hens from exercise, which was occasionally the effect when fed in the morning. Much depends upon the conditions under which the hens live. If in an unheated house, a little hot mash for first ration, would likely be warming and stimulating, and attended with beneficial results. But where the house is warmed it is likely to be of more benefit when fed for last ration. As to quantity, as nearly as possible one pound, measured dry, to every 15 hens. Again this depends very much upon what close observation of the effect would dictate. Pullets might require a greater quantity. Members of the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Spanish, or Mediterranean family would perhaps do better on a larger allowance, than that given to pullets of the Asiatic or American classes. And a hen, or pullet which is laying well is likely to eat more than a non-productive one.

CUT BONE,—was generally given in proportion of one pound to 15 or 20 hens.

Wheat, about 8 or 10 lbs to 100 fowls, according to their age and condition and nature of other rations. This grain was not all fed at one time, but from time to time so as to keep the fowls busy searching for it when thrown into the litter on the floor. And it was not fed when mash, or, cut bone was given. Oats were sometimes used to scatter in the litter. Occasionally buckwheat took the place of wheat, but the latter was the principal grain fed and is certainly the best, where there is no choice of variety.

Roots, lime and grit were always in supply, and water to drink was in abundance. Experience has shown that variety in food and times of feeding is desirable.

A correspondent recently wrote that treatment, according to the best advice he could receive, had failed to make his comfortably housed pullets, of early hatch, to lay so far. Another correspondent says the same of his hens and then describes the rations and quantities fed, which were very much more than should have been given to hens of a heavy breed. In the first instance it is quite possible that the pullets were from a strain of poor layers, particularly so in winter. To have early and satisfactory winter layers, they should come from parent hens well known as both. There are strains of poor laying fowls as there are strains of poor milch cows. The remedy is to make a change as soon as circumstances will permit. In the second case the hens were undoubtedly in an overfat condition, the result of mistaken generosity in the quantity of food given. The remedy is to lessen the amount fed, incite the fowls to as much exercise as possible, in searching for their food, and allow a liberal supply of roots. Lean meat, vegetables or roots and active exercise are factors in regaining the normal condition. Information in regard to the last mentioned condition of many flocks, of prospective winter layers, is so frequently asked that the information given, as to remedial action will likely be of interest to many.

EGGS LAID DURING THE YEAR.

The following are the number of eggs laid in the different months during the year.

1901.

December.....	1,270
---------------	-------

1902.

January.....	1,982
February.....	1,937
March.....	2,392
April.....	2,584
May.....	1,814
June.....	1,015
July.....	367
August.....	288
September.....	132
October.....	20
November.....	324

14,125

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1933

EGGS LAID IN THE MONTHS OF HIGHEST PRICES.

The following table will show the number of eggs laid by different breeds during six months of highest values. In making comparison, or comment it is well to remember that the experience of many years leads to the conclusion that the fowls which, as pullets lay well one winter may not do so the next as hens. It has also been found that the indifferent pullet layers of one season may be exceedingly good the year after, when hens. And so an average percentage is kept, which can only be correctly ascertained by comparing one season's results with another, for some years past.

Eggs laid by different breeds from December 1, 1901, to June 30, 1902.

Breeds.	1901.	1902.						Totals.	Remarks.
	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	April.	May.	June.		
10 W. Leghorn pullets...	82	141	103	133	90	89	52	690	
8 B. Minorca hens.....	41	48	44	100	147	115	53	548	
8 Andalusian hens.....	41	61	49	64	102	63	38	418	
8 Brown Leghorn hens..	52	102	98	143	178	134	50	757	
9 Langshan hens.....	79	108	116	101	90	66	44	604	
5 Langshan pullets.....	41	49	39	38	76	28	30	301	
10 B. P. Rock hens.....	81	78	81	113	123	48	62	581	
28 B. P. Rock pullets....	92	267	260	324	348	211	100	1,602	As the season advanced the hens of sitting varieties became broody and eggs were given to them.
8 White P. Rock hens..	58	61	44	84	97	62	43	449	
14 White P. Rock pullets	67	108	132	167	166	101	73	814	
10 W. Wyandotte hens..	78	95	70	84	120	77	57	581	
15 W. Wyandotte pullets	74	132	150	166	142	66	73	893	
7 W. Ind. Game hens...	50	62	37	51	36	62	14	312	
4 Buff Orpington pullets	67	69	39	29	25	23	19	271	One of these pullets died in January.
4 Faverolle pullets.....	22	35	26	44	17	Brdy.	144	
9 R. I. Red pullets.....	74	133	83	108	86	77	25	586	
7 Buff P. Rock pullets..	15	57	48	38	43	51	48	300	
14 Buff Leghorn hens....	81	45	68	148	141	111	41	635	
12 Buff Leghorn pullets..	60	88	74	72	84	86	24	488	
18 Mixed hens.....	89	156	164	230	202	143	65	1,049	
15 B. P. Rock pullets...	48	98	199	157	217	157	86	962	
	1,270	1,975	1,933	2,376	2,557	1,787	997	12,895	

OTTAWA, December 2, 1902.

THE PRESERVATION OF EGGS.

BY FRANK T. SHUTT, M. A.,

Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.

Continuing this investigation, begun in 1898, we have during the past season repeated the trials with several of the preservative solutions previously reported upon, such as lime-water and sodium silicate (water glass), and also added to the list one or two, which appeared to be worthy of trial.

The solutions used were as follows: (1) saturated lime-water, (2) saturated lime-water containing 1 per cent common salt, (3) saturated lime-water containing 2 per cent common salt, (4) sodium silicate (water glass), 5 per cent (5) common salt, 1 per cent, (6) common salt, 2 per cent, (7) permanganate of potash, 0.25 per cent, (8) calcium chloride, 1 per cent, (9) calcium chloride, 2 per cent, (10) magnesium chloride, 2 per cent.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

In the case of the more important solutions, viz., lime-water, lime-water and common salt, and sodium silicate, the eggs were immersed February 7, 1902, and examined December 1, 1902. They were consequently kept a period of practically 10 months, during a large part of which time they would be subject to summer temperature. As in former years, the bottles containing the eggs were kept in the laboratory.

Saturated Lime-water.—The results with this solution were practically identical with those obtained in former seasons. Appearance, external and internal, good; 'white', tinged faintly yellow and somewhat more limpid than in fresh egg; yolk, globular and apparently normal. On poaching, several of the eggs developed a slightly 'stale' odour. Though not equal to fresh eggs in flavour, they were all quite sound and usable.

Saturated Lime-water and 1 per cent Salt.—These eggs, on the whole, were very similar to the preceding, though a careful rating showed those preserved simply in lime-water to be somewhat the better.

Saturated Lime-water and 2 per cent Salt.—'White', slightly limpid and more deeply tinged than eggs from foregoing tests. On poaching, very little difference, however, was to be observed between them.

Sodium silicate (water glass) 5 per cent Solution.—These eggs presented much the same appearance, externally and internally, as those preserved in lime-water.

Common Salt 1 per cent Solution.—The unsuitability of this solution was again demonstrated, the eggs possessing a most marked and disagreeable odour.

Common Salt 2 per cent Solution.—As in the trial of 1901, the eggs in this solution were quite spoilt and unusable.

Potassium Permanganate 0.25 per cent.—This solution is frequently mentioned in the press as a good egg preservative, but in our experiments it has proved totally unsuccessful. All the eggs were bad.

Calcium Chloride 1 per cent.—A large proportion of the eggs were unusable. The 'white' very limpid and highly discoloured; odour, disagreeable.

Calcium Chloride 2 per cent.—Very similar results to those of preceding test. Evidently neither solution is to be regarded as a satisfactory preservative.

Magnesium Chloride 2 per cent.—All the eggs spoilt and very bad. 'White', very limpid and highly discoloured. Contents of eggs, of unpleasant appearance generally and possessing very bad smell.

For further details respecting the condition of eggs kept in lime-water, and some of the more important solutions experimented with, the reader is referred to page 332-334 of the Annual Report of the Experimental Farms for 1901. The general results were so similar this year that it has been thought unnecessary to repeat the particulars.

CONCLUSIONS.

This fifth season's work with egg preservatives furnishes further corroboratory evidence of the value of lime-water. Of all the solutions experimented with, it has proved the most satisfactory. It is certainly equal to water-glass in effectiveness and is to be preferred to this much advertised preservative on the grounds of economy and ease of preparation.

The following note regarding the preparation of the lime-water may be found useful:—

The solubility of lime at ordinary temperatures is 1 part in 700 parts of water. Such a solution would be termed saturated lime-water. Translated into pounds and gallons, this means 1 lb. of lime is sufficient to saturate 70 gallons of water. However owing to impurities in commercial lime, it is well to use more than is called for in this statement. It may not, however, be necessary, if good, freshly burnt quicklime can be obtained, to employ as much as was at first recommended, namely, 2 to 3 lbs. to 5 gallons of water. With such lime as is here referred to one could rest assured that 1 lb. to 5 galls. (50 lbs.) would be ample, and that the resulting lime-water would be thoroughly saturated. The method of preparation is simply to slack the lime with a small quantity of water and then stir the milk of lime so formed into 5 gallons of water. After the mixture has been kept well stirred for a few hours it is allowed to settle. The superna-

tant liquid, which is now 'saturated' lime-water is drawn off and poured over the eggs, previously placed in a crock or water-tight barrel.

As exposure to the air tends to precipitate the lime (as carbonate), and thus to weaken the solution, the vessel containing the eggs should be kept covered. The air may be excluded by a covering of sweet oil, or with sacking upon which a paste of lime is spread. If after a time there is any noticeable precipitation of the lime, the lime-water should be drawn or siphoned off and replaced with a further quantity newly prepared.

It is essential that attention be paid to the following points :—

1. That perfectly fresh eggs only be used.
2. That the eggs should throughout the whole period of preservation be completely immersed.

Although not necessary to the preservation of the eggs in a sound condition, a temperature of 40° F. to 45° F. no doubt materially assists towards retaining a good flavour, or rather in arresting that 'stale' flavour so characteristic of packed eggs.

EXPERIMENTS IN CHICKEN FATTENING.

BY FRANK T. SHUTT, M.A., F.I.C.

Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.

There is probably no branch of agriculture in Canada regarding which, to-day, there is a greater desire for information than that of chicken fattening. The high prices paid for properly fattened, or perhaps more correctly speaking, fleshed young poultry, both in the home and English markets have already had the effect of inducing many to enter upon this lucrative employment, and many more will engage in it as the requisite knowledge becomes disseminated, for we are assured on good authority there is relatively as much room for the development of this industry as there was years ago in Canada for the expansion of that of butter and cheese.

Recognizing this, the Chemical Division in conjunction with the Poultry Department of the Central Farm, instituted and carried on during the past season several series of feeding experiments, which, though of a preliminary character, would it was hoped furnish information of an accurate and reliable character on this important work. This investigation naturally had for its chief object the study of fattening rations, but certain other factors closely related to profitable fattening, such as breed, age, exercise, relative fineness of food, &c., also received attention.

FOODS AND RATIONS.

Fowls may be said to be naturally omnivorous; they feed not only on vegetable matter (grains, grass, &c.), but also largely on insect life. This fact points to a ration for poultry richer in albuminoids than is usually found economical for other classes of farm stock. Practical experience with laying hens has shown this to be correct—which is not at all remarkable when we remember that eggs are very largely albumen. Further, there are on record the data of carefully conducted experiments which also indicate such a ration to be best suited for fattening chickens. Foods rich in carbo-hydrates (starch) and fat and low in albuminoids tend to excessive deposition of fat, an undesirable feature in both laying stock and table fowl.

Rations of the first order, rich in protein or albuminoids, are said to have a narrow nutritive ratio and are sometimes termed nitrogenous. They would, for poultry, contain in addition to the grain or meal, crushed green bone, meat meal or skim-milk, all of which have a high protein content.

Rations of the second class have a wide nutritive ratio, and are commonly known as carbonaceous, since starch and fat (rich in carbon) predominate. Such a diet, for instance, would be one consisting largely or entirely of Indian corn—a popular and favourite grain among many poultry keepers—but one that must be used sparingly if satisfactory results are to be expected.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

It is not our purpose at the present time to discuss more fully the question of foods and their functions in the animal economy, for that has already been done in the report of the Chemical Division of the Expt. Farms for 1900, p. 166-7, to which the reader may be referred. There are probably one or two points, however, in connection with poultry feeding that might be emphasized here. They are, first, the desirability of variety in foods, and, secondly, the advisability of a certain amount of exercise. As to the first of these, variety of food (apart from the question of quality) is essential towards keeping the appetite keen, promoting digestion and maintaining health. This has been demonstrated by the supplementary use of green food as furnished by the sodded run in summer and beets, mangels, or cabbage in winter. Respecting the second, the value of exercise, it may be stated that assimilation must be preceded by digestion and that for vigorous digestion in the fowl there must not only be grit supplied, but a strongly muscular gizzard to do the grinding, which can only be developed by exercise. Further, though the function of the gizzard proper is to grind the food, its first division, or rather the part of the digestive track between the crop and the gizzard secretes a fluid of a digestive value and is the true digestive stomach, and we may well suppose that this important function can only normally proceed under normal conditions, which for poultry certainly include exercise. The present investigation has, we are of opinion, furnished data substantiating this contention, for as will be seen further in this article, chickens with a limited run made better use of their food towards flesh production than those confined in coops which allowed no exercise.

BREED TEST.

To ascertain the relative merits of certain breeds for fattening.

This comprised eight well known breeds and a pen of crosses, as follows: Barred Plymouth Rock, White Plymouth Rock, Faverolle, Silver-gray Dorking, Orpington, Rhode Island Red, White Indian Game, White Wyandotte, cross of Barred Plymouth Rock and Light Brahma. The experiment was begun in June and continued for six weeks.

It was found impossible to obtain chickens for the whole series of exactly the same age, but the majority—as will be seen from the table—were two months old when placed in the feeding pens.

The chickens were fed in pens 8 by 14 feet (divisions of the Farm Poultry House) connected with outside runs 8 by 48 feet, partly sodded, partly gravelled, to which the fowls had access throughout the day. The food, served twice a day, was placed in small, water-tight, V-shaped troughs and only given in such quantity that it would be immediately consumed.

In this series whole grain (wheat) was fed as part (from $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{1}{4}$, as a rule) of the ration; in subsequent experiments all the food was in the condition of meal, it being found, as will be shown by one of our experiments, that ground grain gives more profitable returns for fattening birds.

<i>Ration</i> —Ground oats.....	4 parts.	} Protein ratio 1:3-94.
Ground barley.....	3 "	
Meat meal.....	1 "	
Skim-milk.....	Sufficient to make the whole into a mash.	

The mixture of oats, barley, and meat meal was valued at $1\frac{1}{3}$ cents per pound. To the skim-milk the value of 15c. per 100 lbs. was assigned.

As stated, the above was supplemented by an evening feed of whole wheat, valued at $1\frac{1}{16}$ c. per lb.

A strict account of all food consumed was kept and the chickens were weighed at the close of each week of the experiment.

In table I. We present data respecting (1) breed, (2) age, (3) sex, (4) weight at beginning of test and at end of each following week, (5) gain in live weight during the six weeks, and (6) average gain per chicken per week.

TABLE I.—BREED TEST.

Breed and Age.	Pullet or Cockerel.	WEIGHT.												Gain in six weeks.	Average gain per Chicken per week.		
		Beginning of experi- ment.		1st. week.		2nd. week.		3rd. week.		4th. week.		5th. week.					6th. week.
		Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.			Oz.
Barred Plymouth Rock, 2 months...	C	2 8	2 13	3 2	3 9	4 1	4 8	4 12	2 4	0 6							
" " " "	C	2 2	2 6	2 10	3 2	3 14	4 4	4 5	2 5	0 6							
" " " "	C	1 15	2 3	2 8	3 1	3 8	3 11	4 2	2 3	0 7							
" " " "	C	2 0	2 5	2 14	3 6	3 8	4 4	4 9	2 9	0 7							
" " " "	C	1 9	1 15	2 4	2 11	2 14	3 2	3 8	1 15	0 5							
White Plymouth Rock, 2 months...	P	1 3	1 6	1 11	2 0	2 6	2 9	2 14	1 14	0 5							
" " " "	P	0 15	1 3	1 8	1 13	2 2	2 3	2 6	1 7	0 4							
" " " "	P	1 1	1 8	1 15	2 6	2 10	2 15	3 3	2 2	0 5							
" " " "	P	0 14	1 4	1 10	2 1	2 7	2 8	2 14	1 15	0 5							
" " " "	P	0 15	1 4	1 10	2 1	2 8	2 11	3 0	2 1	0 5							
" " " "	P	0 15	1 4	1 9	1 15	2 4	2 7	2 14	1 15	0 5							
Faverolle, 2 months.....	C	1 14	2 8	2 14	3 3	3 11	4 1	4 6	2 8	0 6							
" " " "	C	1 12	2 8	2 13	3 2	3 11	4 3	4 7	2 11	0 7							
" " " "	C	1 7	2 0	2 5	2 10	3 2	3 6	4 0	2 9	0 6							
" " " "	P	1 13	2 5	2 9	2 13	3 1	3 7	3 12	1 15	0 5							
" " " "	P	1 11	2 5	2 10	2 14	3 4	3 5	3 13	2 1	0 5							
" " " "	P	1 3	1 11	2 0	2 4	2 10	2 15	3 4	2 1	0 5							
Silver Gray Dorking, 2 months.....	C	1 5	1 13	2 2	2 5	2 11	2 15	3 5	2 0	0 5							
" " " "	C	2 2	2 14	3 4	3 10	4 2	4 6	4 12	2 9	0 7							
" " " "	C	1 10	2 0	2 4	2 12	3 0	3 6	3 14	2 4	0 6							
" " " "	C	1 5	1 15	2 4	2 7	2 10	2 15	3 5	2 0	0 5							
" " " "	C	1 11	2 6	2 15	3 5	3 14	4 4	5 1	3 6	0 9							
" " " "	C	1 5	1 14	2 5	2 13	3 4	3 8	3 12	2 7	0 6							
Buff Orpington, 2 months.....	P	1 6	1 14	2 4	2 9	2 13	2 15	3 5	1 15	0 5							
" " " "	C	2 0	2 10	3 1	3 8	4 0	4 6	4 14	2 14	0 7							
" " " "	C	1 12	2 6	2 15	3 5	3 13	4 4	4 14	3 2	0 8							
" " " "	P	1 8	1 14	2 4	2 9	2 14	3 2	3 9	2 1	0 5							
" " " "	P	1 8	1 15	2 3	2 8	2 13	3 2	3 6	1 14	0 5							
" " " "	P	1 5	1 13	2 2	2 7	2 10	2 15	3 5	2 2	0 5							
Rhode I-land Red, 2 months.....	C	1 8	1 15	2 4	2 8	2 13	3 1	3 5	1 13	0 5							
" " " "	C	1 10	2 1	2 6	2 10	2 14	3 4	3 7	1 13	0 5							
" " " "	C	1 9	2 1	2 7	2 12	3 0	3 4	3 10	2 4	0 5							
" " " "	C	1 2	1 6	1 12	2 3	2 7	2 14	3 2	2 3	0 5							
" " " "	P	1 4	1 10	1 15	2 2	2 5	2 6	2 9	1 5	0 3							
White Indian Game, 2 months.....	P	0 12	1 0	1 5	1 9	1 13	2 0	2 7	1 10	0 4							
" " " "	P	1 2	1 4	1 8	1 12	1 15	2 2	2 9	1 8	0 4							
" " " "	P	0 15	1 2	1 6	1 12	1 14	2 0	2 3	1 4	0 3							
" " " "	P	0 15	1 3	1 8	1 12	1 15	2 2	2 7	1 8	0 4							
White Wyandotte, 11 weeks.	C	2 14	3 12	4 6	4 5	4 11	5 3	5 10	2 12	0 7							
" " " "	C	2 6	3 2	3 11	3 14	4 1	4 6	4 13	2 7	0 6							
" " " "	C	2 7	3 5	3 13	4 4	4 4	4 5	4 13	2 6	0 6							
" " " "	C	2 6	3 1	3 9	4 1	4 1	4 5	4 12	2 5	0 6							
" " " "	C	2 4	2 14	3 6	3 11	3 13	4 3	4 7	2 3	0 6							
" " " "	C	2 3	3 1	3 11	4 2	4 1	4 7	4 14	2 11	0 7							
Plymouth Rock and Light Brahma Cross, 9 weeks.....	C	2 6	3 5	3 11	4 6	5 0	5 1	5 12	3 5	0 9							
" " " "	C	3 3	3 15	4 7	4 15	5 3	5 4	5 7	2 4	0 6							
" " " "	C	2 7	3 4	3 14	4 5	4 11	5 0	5 5	2 14	0 7							
" " " "	C	2 10	3 7	4 0	4 9	4 14	5 1	5 12	3 2	0 8							
" " " "	C	2 7	3 4	3 10	4 3	4 13	5 2	5 9	3 1	0 8							
" " " "	C	2 11	3 12	4 4	5 1	5 7	5 13	6 5	3 10	0 9							

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

We have evidence in these foregoing data, (a) of the variation that may occur in growth in the same chicken from week to week during the feeding period, (b) of the differences in increase in weight that may result among birds of the same breed and sex, (c) of the difference between pullets and cockerels as regards gains in weight, and (d) of the relative merits of the breeds experimented with, in flesh production.

(a.) Regarding the first mentioned feature, it is only possible at the present time to say that we found the chief cause to be the weather, or rather the temperature, though undoubtedly age and health were frequently factors. During weeks of excessive heat the usual gains were always reduced.

(b.) What we may term individualism is as strong among fowls as in other classes of live stock. Vitality, constitutional vigour and ability to digest and assimilate food are not meted out alike to all, and though there is no apparent cause, lack of thrift is not uncommonly to be observed in some members of a hatch.

(c.) In every pen made up of the two sexes it will be invariably found that the cockerels made the larger gains. This is an important fact, though not perhaps a new one to most poultrymen, confirming the wisdom of fattening the cockerels and keeping the pullets for eggs.

(d.) The evidence as to the relative merits of the breeds as fatteners will perhaps be clearer from a perusal of Table II., presenting data as to gains in weight, of food consumed and of its cost, and of cost of food per pound of increase in live weight, of the various pens under test in this experiment.

TABLE II.—BREED TEST.

BREED.	No. OF CHICK-ENS.		Weight at beginning of experiment.		Weight at close of experiment.		Total increase in weight.		Average increase in weight per chicken.		FOOD CONSUMED.			Total cost of food.	Cost of food per lb. increase in live weight.			
	Pullets.	Cockerels.									Wheat.	Mixed meal.	Skin-milk.					
			Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.				Lbs.	Oz.	Cts.	Cts.	
Barred Plymouth Rock...	5		10	0	21	6	11	6	2	5	13	5	21	4	32	0	46	4.0
White Plymouth Rock...	6		5	13	17	4	11	7	1	15	4	13	25	3	38	0	44	3.8
Faverolle.....	3	3	9	13	23	12	13	15	2	5	8	11	28	11	55	0	53	3.8
S. G. Dorking.....		6	9	8	24	3	14	11	2	7	8	6	29	11	56	0	57	3.8
Orpington.....	4	2	9	8	23	7	13	15	2	5	6	10	29	0	55	0	53	3.8
Rhode Island Red.....	1	4	7	1	16	3	9	2	1	13	5	7	25	0	35	0	43	4.7
White Indian Game.....	4		3	11	9	10	5	15	1	8	3	14	15	7	17	0	27	4.5
White Wyandotte.....		6	14	8	29	7	14	15	2	8	10	14	32	4	65	0	63	4.2
Crosses (P. R. x L. B.).....		6	15	13	34	13	19	0	3	2	29	14	27	3	40	0	71	3.7

The facts in Table II. furnish a basis for discussion as to the relative economy with which the different breeds were fed.

In the first place it is to be noted that the cost of production (food only), did not exceed in any case 4·7 cents per pound of live weight, and that this figure was only reached in one pen, which for some unknown reason lacked the thrift noticeable in all the others.

The cheapest flesh production was obtained with the Light Brahma-Plymouth Rock cross at 3·7 cents per pound, but this was very closely followed by White Plymouth Rock, Faverolle, Silver-Gray Dorking and Buff Orpington, at 3·8 cents per pound, of increase. Barred Plymouth Rock at 4 cents, White Wyandotte at 4·2 cents, White Indian Game at 4·5 cents, and Rhode Island Red at 4·7 cents per pound complete the list of those under trial.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

It is quite possible that the cost per pound of increase would have been somewhat lower in the case of the White Wyandottes if the test could have been made with two-months old birds, as in the other pens.

The White Indian Game, generally speaking, is small and can scarcely be considered a marketable table bird, though they have the good quality of putting flesh on the breast, and further, the meat is much esteemed for its flavour by some. It was for these reasons that they found a place in this experiment.

WHOLE *versus* FINELY GROUND GRAIN.

To ascertain the comparative values of whole grain and meal—the composition of the ration being the same in both cases.

This experiment was conducted with two sets (6 in each) of Barred Plymouth Rock cockerels all of which were of the same age, about twelve weeks old at the commencement of the test. The special feeding trial lasted six weeks. Pens with runs as already described were used. The ration for both lots of chickens consisted of:—

Oats.....	4 parts	} Protein ratio 1 : 3·94
Barley.....	3 “	
Meat meal.....	1 “	
Skim-milk.....		

For one set of chickens the oats and barley were fed whole; for the other set these grains were first finely ground. The same amount of skim-milk was fed to each pen, being used in making the mash in the case of the birds fed on ground meal, and given as a drink to the chickens getting the whole grain ration.

TABLE III.—WHOLE *vs.* FINELY GROUND GRAIN, WITH BARRED PLYMOUTH ROCKS.

Lot and Ration.	Pullet or Cockerel.	WEIGHT.												Gain in six weeks.	Average gain per chicken per week.	
		Beginning of experiment.		1st week.	2nd week.	3rd week.	4th week.	5th week.	6th week.							
		Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.			
Lot A—Whole grain.	C	3 2	3 8	3 10 ¹ ₂	3 12 ¹ ₂	4 0	4 3	4 9 ¹ ₂	4 12 ¹ ₂	5 5	5 7	5 13 ¹ ₂	6 0	6 4	1 10 ¹ ₂	0 4 ¹ ₂
	C	3 5	3 14	4 4	4 5	4 3	4 12	5 5	5 7	5 13 ¹ ₂	6 0	6 4	1 13 ¹ ₂	0 5 ¹ ₂	0 4 ¹ ₂	
	C	2 13 ¹ ₂	3 9	3 15	4 8	4 13	5 3	5 7	5 13 ¹ ₂	6 0	6 4	1 13 ¹ ₂	0 5 ¹ ₂	0 7	0 2	
	C	3 3	3 10 ¹ ₂	3 10	3 13 ¹ ₂	3 6	3 12 ¹ ₂	4 2 ¹ ₂	4 7	4 12	5 5	5 13 ¹ ₂	6 0	6 4	0 12	0 2
	C	3 8	4 0	4 0	4 9 ¹ ₂	4 12	4 3	4 7	4 12	5 5	5 13 ¹ ₂	6 0	6 4	0 15	0 2 ¹ ₂	
Lot B—Finely ground grain	C	3 8	4 2 ¹ ₂	4 11 ¹ ₂	5 2	4 15	5 8 ¹ ₂	5 11 ¹ ₂	6 0	6 4	1 13 ¹ ₂	0 5 ¹ ₂	0 7	0 12	0 2	
	C	2 12 ¹ ₂	3 9	4 0	4 4	4 12	5 3	5 7	5 13 ¹ ₂	6 0	6 4	1 13 ¹ ₂	0 5 ¹ ₂	0 7	0 12	0 2
	C	3 8	4 6 ¹ ₂	4 9	5 7	5 12	6 0	6 4	1 13 ¹ ₂	0 5 ¹ ₂	0 7	0 12	0 15	0 2 ¹ ₂	0 2	
	C	3 11 ¹ ₂	4 6	4 14	5 8	5 12	5 15	6 5	6 7	6 7	6 7	6 7	6 7	0 15	0 2 ¹ ₂	
	C	3 0	4 0	4 11 ¹ ₂	5 7 ¹ ₂	5 15	6 7	6 7	6 7	6 7	6 7	6 7	6 7	0 15	0 2 ¹ ₂	
	C	2 11 ¹ ₂	3 15	4 5	5 1	5 8	5 12	6 6	6 7	6 7	6 7	6 7	6 7	0 15	0 2 ¹ ₂	
	C	2 8	3 3 ¹ ₂	3 11	4 3	4 5	4 12	4 14	5 3	5 7	5 13 ¹ ₂	6 0	6 4	1 13 ¹ ₂	0 5 ¹ ₂	

Though individualism or variation among the members of a pen in thrift is to be observed here as in all other experiments, the difference in favour of the ground feed is well marked. The two last columns of Table III. (gains in six weeks and average gain per chicken per week) furnish emphatic and readily understood data on this point.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Since the conditions of this experiment were identical in all respects save that of the relative coarseness of the food, we must conclude that the larger gains made by Lot B. were the result simply of feeding the ration in a finely ground state. The six birds of Lot A. (whole grain) showed an increase in weight of 10 lbs., while those of Lot B. (finely ground meal) gained 16 lbs. 8 oz.

TABLE IV.—WHOLE *vs.* FINELY GROUND GRAIN, WITH BARRED PLYMOUTH ROCKS.

Lot and Ration.	No. OF CHICKENS.		Weight at beginning of experiment.	Weight at close of experiment.	Total increase in weight.	Average increase in weight per chicken.	FOOD CONSUMED.				Total cost of food.	Cost of food per lb. increase in live weight.
	Pullets.	Cockerels.					Grain, Whole or Finely ground.		Skim-milk			
			Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Cts.	Cts.	
Lot A—Whole grain		6	19 9	29 9	10 0	1 10½	59 1	42 0		71	7·1	
Lot B—Finely ground grain		6	18 10	35 2	16 8	2 12	66 8	42 0		93	5·6	

In Table IV. particulars of the food consumed, its cost and cost of food per pound of increase in live weight are summarized. The deductions therefrom are easily made. The six birds on finely ground food ate more than those on whole grain, but their increase in weight cost less per pound. Thus we find that although Lot B. (finely ground food) consumed food to the value of 22c. more than that of lot A., the former chickens by reason of the larger increase in weight put on flesh at a cost of 1½ cents per lb. less than the birds on the whole grain ration.

At the expiration of the feeding term the 12 birds were killed and dressed, and the following summary has been drawn up from the data obtained :—

PROPORTION of Edible and Non-edible parts, calculated on weight of chicken as killed.

Lot and Ration.	Edible.		Non-edible.		
	Dressed Carcase.	Giblets.	Head and feet.	Feathers.	Entrails, contents of gizzard, &c.
	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.
Lot A—Whole grain	62·2	6·8	11·5	7·6	11·9
Lot B—Finely ground grain	67·2	5·4	11·2	8·0	8·2

This shows a difference of 5 per cent in dressed carcase in favour of the finely ground food chickens. These birds further, it may be remarked, were plumper, slightly yellower, and of better appearance than the birds fed on the whole grain ration, and were also considered to furnish on cooking the juicier or richer meat, due evidently to a marked (though not excessive) deposition of fat in the tissues.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

SKIM-MILK *versus* WATER.*To ascertain the value of skim-milk in fattening poultry.*

This experiment was conducted in duplicate, in the one case employing an equal number of Rhode Island Red and Orpington in each pen, and in the other, made considerably later in the season, Barred Plymouth Rocks.

The ration consisted of :—

Ground oats.....	4 parts.	} Protein ratio 1 : 3·94.
Ground barley.....	3 "	
Meat meal.....	1 "	

For six birds in each experiment this was mixed with *skim-milk* ; for the remaining six, *water* was used in making the mash.

Both feeding trials were carried on in the pens with runs attached, and were of six weeks duration.

TABLE V.—SKIM-MILK *versus* WATER

No. 5.—Rhode Island Red and Orpington.

No. 6.—Barred Plymouth Rock.

Ration.		Number of chicken.	Pullet or Cockerel.	WEIGHT.												Gain in six weeks.		Average gain per chicken per week.	
				Beginning of experiment.		1st week.		2nd week.		3rd week.		4th week.		5th week.					
No. 5.				Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.		
Milk..	{	R. I. R.....	10	9	2	6	3	2	3	10 ¹	4	0	4	4	4	11	5	0	
		P.....	83	2	1	15 ¹	2	6 ¹	2	8	3	0	3	1	3	4	3	8	
		P.....	20	0	2	0	2	12	3	1 ¹	3	9	3	6 ¹	3	11	1	9	
		Orpington.....	27	1	2	1	2	9	2	14 ¹	3	0	3	2	3	6 ¹	3	11 ¹	
		C.....	26	1	11	2	4	2	12 ¹	3	3	3	8	4	1	2	4	4	
Water	{	R. I. R.....	12	18	1	15	2	5	2	11 ¹	3	0	3	1	3	8 ¹	4	0	
		P.....	94	1	14 ¹	2	6 ¹	2	12	2	13 ¹	2	13 ¹	2	15	2	10		
		P.....	82	1	5 ¹	1	13	2	3 ¹	2	8	2	8	2	15	3	4		
		Orpington.....	88	1	11	2	3 ¹	2	10 ¹	3	1	3	3	3	7 ¹	3	12 ¹		
		C.....	21	2	5	2	14 ¹	3	6	3	11 ¹	3	14	4	3	4	8 ¹		
No. 6.																			
Milk, Barred Plymouth Rocks.	{	C.....	71	3	7	4	0	4	2 ¹	4	11 ¹	5	4	5	8	5	13 ¹		
		C.....	73	3	4 ¹	3	14	4	4	4	13	5	4	5	10 ¹	5	15		
		C.....	74	3	4 ¹	3	15	4	4	4	15	5	6	5	13	6	2		
		C.....	76	4	0	4	6	4	13 ¹	5	6	5	10	6	1	6	7		
		P.....	80	4	4 ¹	5	3 ¹	5	5	6	2	6	10	6	15 ¹	7	9		
Water, Barred Plymouth Rock	{	C.....	81	2	15	3	5 ¹	3	8 ¹	4	1	4	6 ¹	4	11	5	0		
		C.....	70	3	9 ¹	3	12	3	12	4	4 ¹	4	11 ¹	5	2 ¹	5	9 ¹		
		C.....	72	3	4 ¹	3	10 ¹	3	11	4	4 ¹	4	12	5	2 ¹	5	10		
		C.....	78	3	14	4	5	4	8	5	5	9 ¹	6	0	6	0			
		C.....	79	4	4 ¹	4	6	4	5	4	8 ¹	4	8 ¹	4	12	4	15		
	{	C.....	81	3	4 ¹	3	11	3	11	4	4	4	8 ¹	4	15 ¹	5	8		
		P.....	84	2	14 ¹	3	5 ¹	3	8 ¹	3	13 ¹	4	4 ¹	4	7	4	14		



WHITE WYANDOTTE CHICKENS, 15 WEEKS OLD. WEIGHTS, 5 LBS. 3 OZS.; 4 LBS. 7 OZS.

—Photo. by Frank T. Shutt.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TABLE VI.—SKIM-MILK *versus* WATER.

No. 5.—Rhode Island Red and Orpington.

No. 6.—Barred Plymouth Rock.

Ration.	Number.	Cockerel or Pullet.	Weight at beginning of experiment.		Weight at close of experiment.		Total increase in weight.		Average increase in weight per chicken.		Weight of mixed meal.		Weight of skim-milk.		Total cost of food.	Cost of food per lb. of increase in live weight.
No. 5.			Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Cts.	Cts.
A.—Milk	6	{ P 3 C 3	12	3	24	13	12	10	2	2	40	13	46	0	60	4 7
B.—Water.....	6	{ P 2 C 4	11	$\frac{1}{2}$	21	11	10	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	13	41	3		53.	5 0
No. 6.			Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Cts.	Cts.
A.—Milk.....	6	{ P 1 C 5	21	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	36	14	15	11	2	10	61	10	58	0	89	5 7
B.—Water.....	6	{ P 1 C 5	20	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	32	8	11	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	15	60	7		78	6 7

Rhode Island Red and Orpington.—Commenced August 1. These chickens were about 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ months old when the experiment began. They made a fair but somewhat uneven growth. The pen receiving skim-milk made the larger increase in weight, and produced that increase at a less cost per pound. The amount of meal eaten was almost the same in both pens, but the additional skim-milk made the total cost of food in ration A somewhat greater (see Table VI). Nevertheless, as already stated, the skim-milk ration proved the more profitable.

Barred Plymouth Rock.—Commenced September 8. Age of chickens, between 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ and 3 months. The fowls exhibited more general thrift than those of the previous experiment, the gains being larger and more regular. The results again furnish evidence as regards the superiority of the skim-milk ration; indeed, the testimony on this point is more emphatic, for the cost of production was 1 cent per pound of increase less than with the water-mash pen, while in the former test it was only $\frac{1}{3}$ cent.

These fowls were fasted, killed and dressed, and weights taken of their various parts.

PROPORTION of Edible and Non-edible parts, calculated on weight of chicken as killed.

Ration.	Edible.		Non-edible.		
	Dressed carcass.	Giblets.	Head and feet.	Feathers.	Entrails, contents of gizzard, &c.
	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.
Milk.....	68.9	5.1	11.0	8.6	6.4
Water.....	66.8	5.5	11.4	8.1	8.2

The milk ration chickens were decidedly better in appearance, being plumper and slightly yellower and were considered on cooking to furnish a juicier, richer flavoured meat.

PEN *versus* CRATE.

To ascertain the Relative Merits of Pen and Crate in Fattening Poultry.

An important question in poultry fattening—especially for the farmer—is, must the chickens be fed in coops or crates in order to fatten properly and give profitable returns?

To obtain some information on this point, two experiments have been made, the first with Silver-gray Dorking pullets, the second with Barred Plymouth Rock cockerels. In each, six birds were fed in the pens with runs attached, as already described, and six in ordinary fattening coops with slat bottoms. The coops were continuous, but separated by board partitions, the dimensions of each compartment being 17 inches deep, 11 inches wide and 19 inches high. The birds were fed singly. The V-shaped feeding trough was suspended outside the range or row of coops, provision being made for grit in front of each coop by means of partitions.

The ration was alike for birds in pens and coops, as follows:—

Ground oats.....	4 parts.	} Protein ratio 1 : 3·94
Ground barley.....	3 “	
Meat meal.....	1 “	

made into a mash with skim-milk.

Silver-gray Dorking.—Commenced July 22, age of chickens (all pullets) between 10 and 11 weeks. Experiment continued for four weeks.

The birds in the pen made larger increases on less food than those in the crates, and consequently the cost of production per pound of increase with the former is less than with the latter—the difference in favour of the pen being no less than 1·2 cents per pound of live weight.

TABLE VII.—PEN *versus* CRATE.

No. 7.—Silver-Gray Dorking. No. 8.—Barred Plymouth Rock.

	No. 7.	Number of chicken.	Pullet or Cockerel.	WEIGHT.												Gain during experiment.	Average gain per chicken per week.		
				Beginning of Experiment.		1st week.	2nd week.	3rd week.	4th week.	5th week.	6th week.								
				Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.		Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.
Pen.....	71	P.	1	11 $\frac{3}{4}$	2	3	2	7	2	15 $\frac{3}{4}$	3	4	1	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	73	P.	1	8 $\frac{3}{4}$	0	2	2	4	2	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	14	1	6	0	5 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	74	P.	1	14	2	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	10	3	1	3	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	77	P.	1	12	2	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	2	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	13	3	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	5 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	78	P.	2	10 $\frac{3}{4}$	2	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	11	3	1	3	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	6	0	5 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	81	P.	1	14 $\frac{3}{4}$	8	2	2	12	3	4	3	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	10	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
Crate.....	70	P.	1	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	15	2	4	2	11	2	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	72	P.	1	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	2	2	8	2	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	5	0	5 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	75	P.	1	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	15	2	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	10	1	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	5 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	76	P.	1	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	15	2	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	13	1	6	0	5 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	79	P.	1	9	2	3	2	6	2	12	3	1	1	8	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	80	P.	1	13	2	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	8	2	12	2	15	1	2	0	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
No. 8.																			
Pen.....	89	C.	3	11 $\frac{1}{4}$	4	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	6	5	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	8	6	13	7	3	5	0	8 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	96	C.	3	5 $\frac{1}{4}$	4	6 $\frac{1}{4}$	4	15	5	4	5	10	5	11	6	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	13	0	7 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	27	C.	3	2	3	14	4	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	0	5	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	14	2	12	0
".....	81	C.	3	12	4	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	9	6	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	12	6	9	2	13	0
".....	25	C.	3	3	4	3	5	0	5	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	15	5	15	6	8	3	5	0
".....	82	C.	2	15	3	12	4	8	4	14	5	6	5	13	6	6	3	7	0
Crate.....	23	C.	3	7	4	3	4	3	4	8	4	15	4	8	5	2	1	11	0
".....	45	C.	3	9 $\frac{1}{4}$	4	8	4	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	2	5	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	10	2	1	0
".....	42	C.	3	15 $\frac{1}{4}$	4	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	10	5	15	6	9	7	2	7	8	3	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	0
".....	92	C.	3	15 $\frac{1}{4}$	4	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	0	5	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	2	6	4	2	4	0
".....	84	C.	3	6	4	4	4	6 $\frac{1}{4}$	4	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	9	6	0	6	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	0
".....	52	C.	3	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	8	4	3	4	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	9	4	15	5	0	1	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	0

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TABLE VIII.—PEN *versus* CRATE.

No. 7—Silver-Gray Dorking.

No. 8—Barred Plymouth Rock,

	NUMBER OF CHICKENS.		Weight at beginning of experiment.		Weight at close of experiment.		Total increase in weight.		Average increase in weight per chicken.		FOOD CONSUMED.				Total cost of food.		Cost of food per lb. in- crease in live weight.
	Pullets.	Cockerels.									Meal.		Skim-milk.				
No. 7.			Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	¢	cts.	Cts.
Pen.....	6	10	24	19	8	9	5½	1	9	19	13½	34	..	0	31	3·3
Crate.....	6	9	2	17	4	8	2½	1	6	24	4	36	..	0	37	4·5
No. 8.																	
Pen.....		6	20	1	33	8	18	7	3	1½	74	8	61	..	1	06	5·7
Crate.....		6	21	6	35	15½	14	9½	2	7	69	6	61	..	1	00	6·3

Barred Plymouth Rock.—Commenced October 19, age of chickens (all cockerels) three months. Experiment continued for six weeks.

The six chickens in the crates, though weighing 1 lb. 5 oz. more at the beginning of the experiment than the six fed in the pen, weighed 2 lb. 8½ oz. less than the latter birds at the end of the feeding period, six weeks. In other words, the birds in the pen made an aggregate gain of 3 lbs. 13½ oz. more than the crate birds.

Dividing the total increase in weight into the cost of the food consumed, we find in the case of the pen-fed birds 5·7 cents as the cost per pound of increase, while for the crate-fed birds this cost was 6·8 cents.

Both tests, it will be observed, have from the standpoint of economical feeding, given marked results in favour of pen fattening.

PROPORTION of Edible and Non-edible parts, calculated on weight of chickens as killed.

	Edible.		Non-edible.		
	Dressed carcase.	Giblets.	Head and feet.	Feathers.	Entrails, contents of gizzard, &c.
	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.
Pen	68·0	4·5	10·8	9·0	7·7
Crate.....	68·4	4·5	11·0	8·9	7·2

All the birds were excellent table fowl, but the pen-fed presented the finer appearance as to colour and size. Though it was difficult for some of those who tested the dressed meat to note any difference as regards juiciness, the majority considered the pen-fed fowl as the better in this respect.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

RATIONS WITH GLUTEN MEAL AND CLOVER MEAL.

To obtain some information as to the suitability of gluten meal and clover meal as part of the ration, for fattening poultry, we compounded two rations: No. 1 containing the former, and No. 2 the latter, maintaining the same protein ratio as in the ration used in the tests 'skim-milk *versus* water', 'whole *versus* finely ground grain', and 'pen *versus* crate'.

They were as follows:—

No. 1.

Ground oats.....3 parts.
Gluten meal.....1 part.

No. 2.

Ground oats.....5 parts.
Ground clover.....5 "
Meat meal..... $\frac{3}{4}$ part.

Both were fed mixed with skim-milk.

The experiments were made at the same time with Barred Plymouth Rock cockerels of the same age (about 12 weeks), six being put on each ration. The pens with yards attached were used and the feeding continued for six weeks.

TABLE IX.—GLUTEN MEAL AND CLOVER MEAL WITH BARRED PLYMOUTH ROCK.

Ration.	Pullet or Cockerel.	WEIGHT.												Gain in six weeks.	Average gain per chicken per week.				
		Beginning of experi- ment.		1st week.		2nd week.		3rd week.		4th week.		5th week.					6th week.		
		Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.				Lbs.	Oz.	
No. 1.—Gluten Meal Ration.....	O	2	8	2	14	2	15	3	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	10	5	6	5	9	3	1	0	8 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	3	6	4	5	4	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	2	5	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	13	3	7	0	9 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	2	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	5	3	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	7	3	10	3	15	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	11	0	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	2	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	5	3	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	1	2	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	5 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	3	11	4	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	14	5	3	5	3	5	12	6	1	2	6	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	2	2	2	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	6	3	11	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	0	2	14	0	7 $\frac{1}{2}$
No. 2.—Clover Meal Ration.....	O	3	10	4	5	4	10	5	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	14	5	15	2	5	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	4	0	5	2	5	10	5	15	6	12	6	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	7	2	3	2	0	8 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	2	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	6	3	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	9	4	13	2	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	14	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	6	4	13	4	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	14	1	11	0	9 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	2	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	6	3	13	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	5	4	10	5	2	2	9	0	7 $\frac{1}{2}$
" " ".....	O	2	14	3	2	3	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	8	3	10	3	12	0	14	0	2 $\frac{1}{2}$

Ration No. 1.—(with gluten meal), valued at 1·3 cents per pound. All the chickens on this feed did remarkably well, eating heartily but not abnormally. They were healthy and lively throughout the feeding period.

Ration No. 2.—(with clover meal), valued at 1·1 cents per pound. These birds ate nearly 19 lbs more meal and 30 lbs. more skim-milk than those on ration No. 1, their appetite apparently being abnormal. They did not exhibit the same healthy appearance during the test as those on the gluten meal ration. From the large amount of excrement produced it seemed evident that a considerable portion of the food was not digested.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TABLE X.—GLUTEN MEAL AND CLOVER MEAL.

	No. OF CHICKENS.		Weight at beginning of experiment.		Weight at close of experiment.		Total increase in weight.		Average increase in weight per chicken.		FOOD CON-SUMED.		Total cost of food.	Cost of food per lb. of increase in live weight.	
	Pullets.	Cockerels.									Meal.	Skim-milk.			
			Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.			Lbs.	Cts.	Cts.
Ration 1— (Gluten).....		6	17	3	32	12	15	9	2	9	51	0	40	72	4·6
Ration 2— (Clover).....		6	18	7½	31	10	13	2½	2	3	69	10	70	87	6·6

Though ration No. 2 (clover) cost considerably less than ration No. 1 (gluten), the increase in live weight by its use cost 2 cents per pound more than that by No. 1. All the results tend to show that clover meal, at all events in the proportion here used, cannot be economically employed in the fattening ration.

Our work has shown that the age at which the chicken is fattened essentially affects the price per lb. of increase. After a certain age and size have been reached, probably varying somewhat with the breed, this cost increases. To make a strict comparison between rations, therefore, chickens of a like age must be used. We cannot consequently strictly compare the results of this experiment with those of the breed test as to economy in fattening, since the birds in that series (in which Plymouth Rocks made an increase at 3·8 cents and 4 cents per lb.) were one month younger. But the chickens of the 'Whole *versus* Finely ground Grain' were of the same age and breed, and the test simultaneously made with the one under discussion and therefore we can fairly compare them. We find in the 'Finely ground Grain' lot the increase cost 5·6 cents per lb. 1 cent per lb. more than with the ration containing gluten meal.

The birds from both tests were killed and dressed, giving data as follows:—

PROPORTION of Edible and Non-edible parts, calculated on weight of chickens as killed.

RATION.	EDIBLE.		NON-EDIBLE.		
	Dressed carcass.	Giblets.	Head and feet.	Feathers.	Entrails, contents of gizzard, &c.
	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.
No. 1 (Gluten).....	66·4	5·4	11·6	8·1	8·5
No. 2 (Clover).....	63·5	6·0	11·4	8·3	10·8

These results are distinctly in favour of Ration No. 1. (gluten) there being almost 3 per cent more dressed carcass than with Ration No. 2. (clover). Further, the gluten meal birds were better filled out, of better colour, and altogether of finer appearance than those on the ration containing clover meal, their meat was considered juicier and more delicate eating.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

In conclusion we may say that this ration No. 1 has given such satisfactory results from every standpoint that it merits further investigation. Gluten meal has shown itself with other classes of farm stock an easily digested, concentrated food of great value, and we are encouraged in further work with poultry to experiment with it in varying proportions with other meals. The indications are that it will prove a profitable flesh producing food in chicken fattening.

My thanks are due to Mr. H. W. Charlton second assistant chemist for his painstaking work in carrying out the details of this investigation.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR THE MARITIME PROVINCES.

REPORT OF R. ROBERTSON, SUPERINTENDENT.

NAPPAN, N.S., November 30, 1902.

TO DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith my annual report of operations on the Experimental Farm for the maritime provinces at Nappan N.S.

The season has been backward and cool generally. The Indian corn crop was much below the average and did not mature well. The hay crop on the marsh was light, while the upland gave a fair yield. The grain crop was exceptionally good, and the root crop was also larger than usual. The catch of clover was exceptionally fine, and the after grass good.

More than the usual number of people visited the farm this season. These were mostly parties and excursions from surrounding sections and adjoining counties. The number would have been greatly increased, if more satisfactory railway arrangements could have been made by those living at a distance.

I again wish to acknowledge the valuable services of Mr. Thomas Coates, farm foreman, who has kept the records of all the grain experiments, and has taken charge of general farm work, and of Mr. Robert Donaldson, herdsman, under whose care all the experiments with stock have been carried on.

WEATHER.

December opened cold, with some snow, but not enough fell for sleighing until the 4th. The thermometer went down to 5° above zero on the 6th. Moderate weather for a few days was followed by cold. On the 17th and 21st there were further snow-falls. On the 23rd the thermometer registered 7° below zero, when the weather quickly moderated and all the snow disappeared. Unsteady weather, without snow, continued to the end of the month.

January commenced very cold, and continued more or less so until the 9th and 10th, when a snow storm with high winds blocked the roads in many places. Good sleighing continued until the 22nd, when mild weather, with rain, put an end to it. Sleighs did not run again until February 5. The thermometer was down to zero on January 15 and 18 and 6° below zero on January 21. February commenced cold, the temperature falling to 3° below zero. The weather kept fairly cold with small snow storms making good sleighing by the 8th, which continued to March 1. The thermometer registered 10° below zero on the 12th, 4° below zero on the 16th and zero on the 17th.

The thermometer only registered below zero once in March and that was on the 9th when 2° below zero was reached. The month generally, was moderate. Sleighing kept good until the 12th. The remainder of the month was quite open.

April was a fine open month, with no very cold weather. The thermometer fell below freezing only from the 5th to the 8th, 17th to 20th and the 26th. The month generally was quite dry but gloomy. The first seeding was done April 24th.

May opened cloudy and cool with rain on the 8th, 9th and 10th. The 12th registered 4°; 14th, 3°; 15th, 4°; 16th, 2°; 19th, 8° and 21st 6° of frost. Up to this time the weather was fine and dry. The remainder of May was showery but much warmer.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

The thermometer fell to freezing on June 7, and we had some very wet, cool weather on the 3rd and 4th. After this June was showery with no very heavy rains until the 23rd and 27th. Generally the month was much cooler and more cloudy than usual. The thermometer only passed above 70° on the 10th, 14th, 15th and 17th.

July opened fine but cool, with heavy rains on the 4th and 9th. After the middle of the month it was warmer but showery to the end. On the whole this month was much cooler than usual. The thermometer registered 80° on the 15th; 81° on the 29th and 83° on the 31st and at no other time in the month was the 80° mark reached.

Heavy rains occurred on August 5, 7, 14 and 18. The weather was warmer, but not up to the average and no extremes of heat were reached. The thermometer registered as the highest 80° on the 1st; 80° on the 30th and 81° on the 31st.

September was a fine month throughout and gave a good opportunity for harvesting. The first and only frost in this month (three degrees) was on the 26th.

October was also fine to the middle of the month, after which it was more or less broken with quite heavy frosts.

November was exceptionally fine and warm, giving a good chance to complete the fall work. There were no heavy frosts this month.

METEOROLOGICAL RECORD.

Maximum and minimum thermometrical observations for the year beginning December 1, 1901, and ending November 30, 1902.

Month.	Maximum.	Minimum.
1901.		
December	14th 52° above zero.....	23rd 7° below zero.
1902.		
January.....	23rd 52° above zero.....	21st 6° below zero.
February.....	26th 45° "	12th 10° "
March.....	17th 55° "	9th 2° "
April.....	30th 73° "	7th 22° above zero.
May.....	26th 72° "	19th 23° "
June.....	2nd 77° "	7th 31° "
July.....	31st 83° "	11th 43° "
August.....	31st 81° "	29th 43° "
September.....	1st 77° "	26th 28° "
October.....	28th 66° "	18th 20° "
November.....	5th 58° "	9th 19° "

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

The soil selected for the oat plots was a clay loam, in a good state of cultivation. The previous crop was mangels for which crop 20 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre were used. The land was ploughed in the fall after the root crop was removed. In the spring the ground was harrowed twice with the spring tooth and once with the smoothing harrow. No fertilizer was used.

Sixty-five varieties were included in the test. These were sown in one-fortieth-acre plots on April 30 at the rate of 2½ bus. per acre with the seed drill. The ground was seeded down with timothy and clover, 3 lbs. of alsike, 7 lbs. of Mammoth Red, and 12 lbs. of timothy seed per acre, being sown with an attachment to the seeder at the same time as the grain was sown. The crop of straw was very heavy and in some places lodged. The grain filled out well. Smut was occasionally noticed in a great number of the plots. The straw was quite free from rust. The results given in the test were as follows:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Golden Tartarian	Sept. 8.	131	54	Stiff	7 to 9	Sided	6,000	111	26
Golden Giant	" 5.	128	54	"	8 " 10	"	7,600	108	8
Salines	Aug. 26.	118	54	"	6 " 9	Branching	7,490	107	2
Cromwell	Sept. 1.	124	54	"	7 " 10	Half Sided	6,920	105	30
White Schonen	Aug. 26.	118	50	"	7 " 8	Branching	5,800	105	30
White Giant	" 30.	123	53	"	6 " 8	"	5,800	104	24
New Zealand	Sept. 8.	131	54	"	7 " 10	Sided	7,200	103	18
American Beauty	" 2.	125	52	"	6 " 8	Branching	6,800	102	12
Siberian	" 1.	124	52	"	6 " 8	"	7,600	102	12
Lincoln	" 2.	125	54	"	6 " 8	"	7,000	102	12
Early Golden Prolific	" 2.	125	52	"	6 " 8	"	6,920	102	12
Mennonite	Aug. 31.	123	50	Medium	6 " 8	"	6,920	102	12
Early Maine	" 31.	123	50	"	6 " 8	"	7,400	101	6
Abyssinia	" 29.	121	52	Stiff	7 " 9	Half Sided	7,800	101	6
Bavarian	" 28.	120	47	"	6 " 8	Branching	6,800	100	..
Goldfinder	Sept. 1.	124	52	"	6 " 8	"	7,000	100	..
Newmarket	Aug. 26.	118	54	"	8 " 10	"	6,200	100	..
Sensation	" 23.	120	48	Medium	7 " 8	"	6,200	100	..
Improved Ligowo	" 28.	120	49	"	6 " 8	"	6,400	97	22
Columbus	Sept. 3.	126	50	"	6 " 8	"	6,400	97	22
Holstein Prolific	Aug. 31.	123	50	"	6 " 8	"	7,400	97	22
Tartar King	" 27.	119	48	Stiff	6 " 8	Sided	7,000	97	22
Danish Island	Sept. 1.	124	48	"	6 " 8	Branching	6,200	97	22
Salzer's Big 4	Aug. 28.	120	48	Medium	6 " 8	"	7,200	97	22
Early Blossom	" 23.	120	48	Stiff	7 " 8	Half Sided	7,200	96	16
Pioneer	" 26.	118	46	"	6 " 8	Branching	5,880	95	10
Thousand Dollar	" 23.	120	48	Medium	6 " 8	"	6,400	95	10
Black Tartarian	Aug. 31.	123	54	Stiff	7 " 9	Sided	6,600	95	10
American Triumph	Sept. 5.	128	56	"	7 " 10	Branching	6,600	93	28
Wallis	Aug. 30.	122	50	Medium	6 " 8	"	6,600	93	28
Buckbee's Illinois	" 23.	120	50	Stiff	6 " 8	"	6,600	92	32
Golden Beauty	Sept. 1.	124	52	Medium	6 " 9	"	6,400	91	26
Oderbruch	Aug. 28.	120	48	Stiff	6 " 8	Half Sided	7,080	91	26
20th Century	" 26.	118	48	"	8 " 9	Branching	6,520	91	26
Banner	" 24.	116	47	"	8 " 9	"	6,400	90	20
Miller	Sept. 3.	126	56	"	7 " 9	"	7,200	90	20
Abundance	Aug. 25.	117	46	"	8 " 9	"	7,200	89	14
White Russian	" 26.	118	52	Medium	6 " 8	"	6,320	89	14
Russell	" 30.	122	52	"	7 " 9	"	6,800	88	8
Flying Scotchman	Aug. 24.	116	44	Weak	6 " 8	"	7,000	88	8
Rosedale	" 26.	118	50	Stiff	7 " 9	Sided	6,280	88	8
Oxford	" 30.	122	52	Medium	6 " 8	Branching	6,000	87	2
Bonanza	" 31.	123	54	Stiff	6 " 9	"	8,000	87	2
Black Beauty	" 21.	113	48	Medium	6 " 8	"	6,800	87	2
Joanette	" 28.	120	46	Stiff	5 " 8	"	6,000	87	2
Olive	" 28.	120	52	"	7 " 9	Sided	6,200	85	30
Pense	" 28.	120	52	"	7 " 9	"	6,600	85	30
Wide Awake	" 30.	122	50	"	6 " 8	Branching	7,200	85	30
California Prolific Black	" 30.	122	54	"	7 " 9	Sided	6,800	85	30
Scotch Potato	" 30.	122	48	"	5 " 7	Branching	6,400	85	30
Cream Egyptian	" 26.	118	50	"	6 " 8	Sided	6,600	84	24
Hazlett's Seizure	" 26.	118	48	Medium	7 " 8	Branching	6,000	83	18
Milford	" 23.	120	50	Stiff	8 " 10	Sided	6,280	83	18
Irish Victor	" 23.	120	52	"	7 " 9	Branching	5,400	83	18
Improved American	" 30.	122	54	"	7 " 8	"	5,800	82	12
King	Sept. 2.	125	56	"	7 " 9	"	6,680	82	12
Holland	" 1.	124	56	"	6 " 9	"	6,000	82	12
Black Mesdag	Aug. 16.	108	50	Medium	7 " 9	"	6,920	82	12
Early Gothland	" 26.	118	48	Stiff	7 " 8	Sided	6,000	82	12
Kendal	" 30.	122	50	"	7 " 9	"	6,200	80	..
Master	" 30.	122	50	"	7 " 9	Branching	7,000	75	10
Brandon	" 31.	122	56	Medium	6 " 8	"	7,200	74	4
Longhoughton	" 31.	122	48	"	5 " 7	"	7,200	72	32
Early Archangel	" 26.	118	5	"	7 " 8	"	6,000	71	26
Waverley	" 28.	122	5	"	7 " 9	"	6,280	71	20

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

Twenty-one varieties of two-rowed and thirty of six-rowed barley were sown May 16 in plots of one-fortieth acre each. The seed was sown with the seed-drill, at the rate of 2 bushels per acre. Timothy and clover seed was sown at the same time at the rate of 3 lbs. Alsike, 7 lbs. Mammoth Red, and 12 lbs. of Timothy per acre. No fertilizer was used with these plots.

BARLEY, TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
			Inches.		Inches.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Danish Chevalier.....	Aug. 29..	105	42	Stiff.....	3 to 4	6,000	60	40	50
Canadian Thorpe.....	" 26..	102	46	"	2 " 3	4,800	50	40	52
Newton.....	" 27..	103	42	"	2 " 3	4,400	50	40	50
French Chevalier.....	" 29..	105	42	Medium.....	2½ " 3½	4,200	49	8	51
Standwell.....	" 30..	106	42	"	2 " 3	4,680	47	24	50
Victor.....	" 27..	103	43	"	2 " 3	5,400	47	24	49½
Sidney.....	" 26..	102	45	"	2 " 3½	5,000	45	40	50½
Hervey.....	" 26..	102	46	Stiff.....	2 " 3	4,800	45	40	49½
Clifford.....	" 27..	103	46	"	3 " 3½	4,680	44	8	50
Kinver Chevalier.....	" 29..	105	42	Weak.....	3 " 4	4,600	44	8	48½
Beaver.....	" 27..	103	42	Stiff.....	3 " 4	3,800	43	16	50
Nepean.....	" 26..	102	43	"	3 " 4	4,400	43	16	50
Logan.....	" 27..	103	45	"	2 " 3	4,800	42	24	48
Gordon.....	" 26..	102	45	"	2 " 3	4,480	40	40	49
Jarvis.....	" 27..	103	44	"	3 " 4	4,800	40	40	48
Dunham.....	" 26..	102	44	"	2½ " 3	4,400	40	40	50
Invincible.....	" 27..	103	42	"	2 " 3	3,600	39	8	49
Prize Prolific.....	" 27..	103	42	Medium.....	2½ " 3	3,800	38	16	49
Bolton.....	" 27..	103	41	Stiff.....	3 " 4	3,600	37	24	50
Fulton.....	" 27..	103	43	"	2 " 3	3,600	37	24	49
Leslie.....	" 26..	102	42	"	2 " 3	3,400	33	16	49

BARLEY, SIX-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Common.....	Aug. 22..	98	44	Medium....	2 to 3	5,200	63	16	48
Royal.....	" 23..	99	45	"	2 " 3	5,800	59	8	46
Garfield.....	" 22..	98	45	"	2½ " 3	5,000	58	16	48
Stella.....	" 28..	104	43	Stiff.....	2 " 2½	5,120	58	16	48
Mansfield.....	" 25..	101	45	"	2 " 3	4,600	56	32	48
Empire.....	" 27..	103	45	Medium.....	2 " 2½	5,600	55	48	46
Trooper.....	" 23..	99	46	Stiff.....	2 " 3	5,600	54	8	47
Surprise.....	" 28..	104	45	"	2 " 2½	5,400	53	16	49
Baxter.....	" 22..	98	43	"	2 " 3	5,600	53	16	48
Nugent.....	" 28..	104	43	"	2 " 2½	4,600	52	24	47
Oderbruch.....	" 22..	98	43	Medium.....	2 " 3	4,400	52	24	48½
Argyle.....	" 22..	98	42	Stiff.....	2 " 3	3,800	52	24	48
Yale.....	" 25..	101	43	"	2 " 3	3,880	52	24	47
Odessa.....	" 22..	98	42	Medium.....	2 " 3	4,200	51	32	48
Excelsior.....	" 20..	96	46	"	3 " 4	5,000	51	32	40
Rennie's Improved.....	" 23..	99	44	Stiff.....	2 " 3	3,800	51	32	49
Mensury.....	" 27..	103	45	"	2 " 3	4,400	50	48	48
Vanguard.....	" 23..	99	42	Stiff.....	2 " 3	3,800	50	47½	47
Brome.....	" 27..	103	42	Medium.....	2 " 3	5,400	49	8	47
Claude.....	" 27..	103	45	"	2 " 3	5,400	49	8	47
Success.....	" 20..	96	44	"	2½ " 3	4,400	49	8	49
Hulless Black.....	" 20..	96	34	"	2	3,600	48	16	61
Albert.....	" 22..	98	44	Stiff.....	2 " 3	3,600	47	24	49
Champion.....	" 20..	96	44	Medium.....	2 " 3½	4,600	45	40	39
Pioneer.....	" 25..	101	42	"	2 " 3	4,800	44	8	49
Hulless White.....	" 20..	96	35	"	2	3,600	44	8	60
Summit.....	" 28..	104	43	Stiff.....	2 " 2½	4,800	43	16	48
Petschora.....	" 23..	99	42	Medium.....	2 " 3	4,600	42	24	48
Blue Long Head.....	" 27..	103	42	"	2 " 2½	4,600	40	40	45
Phoenix.....	" 25..	101	40	"	2 " 3	4,680	39	8	48

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The land was a clay loam, and was previously in corn, for which crop 20 one-horse cart loads of manure were used per acre. The land was ploughed in the fall, and in the spring was gone over twice with the spring-tooth, and once with the smoothing harrow, before seeding. The straw was not much lodged and the grain filled well. Very little smut was noticed, and the straw was quite free from rust.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

The wheat plots each of one-fortieth acre were sown April 26. The land was previously in mangels and received for that crop 20 one-horse cart loads of manure per acre. The soil was a clay loam ploughed in the fall and was in a good state of fertility. Seventy-two varieties were included in the test. The ground was cultivated twice with the spring-tooth and once with the smoothing harrow, and the seed sown at the rate of $1\frac{3}{4}$ bushels per acre. At the same time 3 lbs. of Alsike, 7 lbs of Mammoth Red Clover and 12 lbs. Timothy seed were sown per acre. The grain made splendid growth and filled out well. The straw was stiff, but a heavy wind and rain storm lodged small portions of it. The straw was quite free from rust and no smut was noticed.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
Japanese.....	Sept. 1..	123	46	Medium..	2 to 3	Bearded....	6,680	55 20	60
Norval.....	" 1..	123	47	" ..	" 3	" ..	6,720	55 20	61
Vernon.....	" 1..	123	46	" ..	" 3	" ..	6,400	54 40	60
Plumper.....	" 1..	123	46	" ..	" 3	" ..	6,400	54 ..	61
Rio Grande.....	" 1..	123	54	Stiff.....	3 " 4	" ..	7,000	52 20	62
Hungarian.....	" 1..	125	48	Medium..	2 " 3	" ..	6,800	52 ..	60
Crown.....	" 1..	123	53	Stiff.....	2 " 3	" ..	6,780	51 20	60
Huron.....	Aug. 31..	127	50	Medium..	3 " 4	" ..	7,200	50 40	60
Beaudry.....	Sept. 1..	123	48	" ..	" 3	" ..	7,000	50 ..	60½
Benton.....	Aug. 31..	127	46	Stiff.....	2 " 3½	Beardless ..	5,800	50 ..	60
Advance.....	Sept. 1..	123	48	" ..	" 3	Bearded ..	6,800	49 20	60
Dufferin.....	" 1..	123	52	" ..	" 3½	" ..	6,520	49 20	59
Colorado.....	Aug. 31..	127	47	Medium..	2 " 3	" ..	6,400	49 20	60½
Roumanian.....	Sept. 1..	128	52	" ..	" 3	" ..	7,000	48 40	60
Red Fife.....	" 1..	123	43	Stiff.....	2 " 4	Beardless ..	7,200	48 40	60
Red Fern.....	" 1..	123	52	" ..	" 4	Bearded ..	7,200	48 40	61
Alpha.....	" 1..	128	52	" ..	" 3	" ..	6,600	48 40	60
Early Riga.....	Aug. 28..	124	48	" ..	" 3	Beardless ..	7,000	48 ..	60½
Cartier.....	Sept. 1..	123	48	" ..	" 2½	Bearded ..	5,400	48 ..	61
Preston.....	Aug. 31..	127	48	" ..	3 " 4	" ..	6,600	47 20	61
Clyde.....	Sept. 1..	128	50	" ..	3 " 4	Beardless ..	6,200	47 20	60
Captor.....	" 1..	123	48	" ..	" 3	" ..	6,280	47 20	60
Wellman's Fife.....	" 4..	131	48	" ..	3 " 4	" ..	6,400	46 40	60
Byron.....	" 1..	128	46	Weak ..	2 " 3	" ..	5,800	46 40	60
Australian, No. 13.....	" 4..	131	48	Stiff.....	2 " 3	" ..	7,200	46 40	60
Australian, No. 27.....	" 4..	131	48	" ..	3 " 4	" ..	7,400	46 40	60
Crawford.....	" 1..	128	52	" ..	2 " 3	" ..	6,400	46 40	60
White Fife.....	" 4..	131	48	" ..	2½ " 4	" ..	6,400	46 ..	60½
Countess.....	" 1..	123	52	" ..	2 " 3	" ..	6,000	45 20	59
Goore.....	Aug. 31..	127	46	Medium..	2 " 3	Bearded ..	6,000	45 20	62
White Russian.....	Sept. 4..	131	48	Stiff.....	3 " 4	Beardless ..	5,520	44 ..	60
Angus.....	Aug. 31..	127	47	" ..	2 " 3	" ..	5,000	44 ..	60
Laurel.....	Sept. 4..	131	54	" ..	3 " 4	" ..	5,120	43 20	59½
Speltz.....	Aug. 31..	127	44	Weak ..	2 " 2½	Bearded ..	6,000	43 20	40

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
Campbell's White Chaff..	Sept. 4..	131	46	Stiff.....	3 3	Beardless...	6,000	43 20	61
Percy.....	Aug. 31..	127	48	".....	3 4	".....	6,000	43 20	60½
Mason.....	Sept. 1..	128	46	".....	3 3	".....	6,400	42 40	59½
Elenheim.....	" 1..	128	48	".....	3 3½	Bearded....	6,200	42 40	60
Monarch.....	" 4..	131	46	".....	3 3	Beardless....	5,600	42 ..	60
Australian, No. 10.....	" 4..	131	45	".....	3 3	".....	6,920	42 ..	60
Beauty.....	" 1..	128	50	".....	2 4	".....	5,800	42 ..	60
Ladoga.....	Aug. 23..	124	46	".....	2 3	Bearded....	6,600	42 ..	59
Robin's Rust Proof..	Sept. 4..	131	46	Medium....	2 3	Beardless....	7,200	42 ..	61
Hastings.....	" 1..	128	48	".....	2 3	".....	6,600	41 20	60
Australian, No. 25.....	" 4..	131	48	Stiff.....	3 4	".....	7,400	41 20	60
Progress.....	" 4..	131	52	".....	2 3	".....	5,800	41 20	59
Ebert.....	" 1..	128	46	".....	2 3	".....	5,360	40 40	60
Stanley.....	" 3..	130	50	".....	2 3	".....	6,000	40 40	60
Bishop.....	Aug. 31..	127	47	".....	2 3	".....	5,400	40 40	60
White Connell.....	Sept. 4..	131	48	".....	2 3	".....	4,800	40 40	60
Weldon.....	" 4..	131	51	".....	2 3	".....	5,800	40 ..	60½
Dion's.....	" 1..	128	50	Medium....	2 3	Bearded....	6,400	40 ..	59
Red Swedish.....	" 4..	131	50	".....	3 4	".....	5,600	40 ..	60
Australian, No. 23.....	" 4..	131	48	Stiff.....	3 4	Beardless....	7,200	40 ..	60
Green Mountain.....	" 4..	131	48	".....	2 3	Bearded....	5,200	40 ..	60
Pringle's Champlain.....	Aug. 31..	127	46	".....	2 3½	".....	5,480	40 ..	60
Herrison Bearded.....	Sept. 4..	131	48	Weak.....	2 3½	".....	5,200	39 20	60
Minnesota, No. 149.....	" 4..	131	48	Stiff.....	2 3	Beardless....	5,600	38 40	60
Minnesota, No. 163.....	" 4..	131	48	".....	2 3	".....	5,400	38 ..	60
Essex.....	" 1..	128	54	".....	3 4	".....	7,080	38 ..	60
Harold.....	Aug. 31..	127	46	Medium....	2 3	Bearded....	5,400	38 ..	59
Australian, No. 9.....	Sept. 4..	131	48	Stiff.....	2 3	Beardless....	6,440	38 ..	60
Australian, No. 19.....	" 4..	131	47	".....	2 3	".....	6,000	38 ..	60
Rideau.....	" 1..	128	44	".....	2 3	".....	5,800	37 20	59
Dawn.....	" 1..	128	52	".....	2 3	".....	5,800	37 20	59
Blair.....	" 1..	128	48	".....	3 4	".....	5,800	37 20	60
Admiral.....	" 4..	131	50	".....	2 3	".....	4,800	36 40	60
Minnesota, No. 181.....	" 4..	131	48	".....	2 3	".....	4,800	36 40	60
Chester.....	Aug. 31..	127	48	".....	2 3	".....	6,000	35 20	59
Fraser.....	Sept. 4..	131	46	".....	2 3	Bearded....	6,400	35 20	60
Blair.....	" 2..	129	46	".....	2 3	".....	5,200	35 20	60
Minnesota, No. 169.....	" 4..	131	50	".....	2 3	Beardless....	5,200	35 20	60
Cassel.....	Aug. 31..	127	46	".....	2 3	".....	5,600	35 20	59

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

The pease were grown on a land of a light clay loam character. The previous crop was mixed grain. This land has never had any barn-yard manure, but marsh mud at the rate of 60 two-horse loads per acre was used previous to the sowing of the mixed grain crop in 1901. The ground was ploughed in the autumn and in the spring was worked up with the disc, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows. Complete Fertilizer at the rate of 200 lbs. per acre was drilled in with the grain by means of a fertilizer sowing attachment to the seeder. The plots were one-fortieth of an acre each, and fifty-seven varieties were tested. The plots were sown May 7, with results as follows:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
					Inches.	Inches.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Agnes.....	Sept. 4.	120	Medium....	36	2	Large.....	40	62½
2	Archer.....	" 5.	121	"	36	2	Medium....	36	62
3	Bedford.....	" 4.	120	"	36	2	"	34	40
4	Multiplier.....	" 4.	120	"	38	2½	"	33	20
5	Victoria.....	" 7.	123	"	40	2½	"	33	20
6	Macoun.....	" 5.	121	"	40	2½	Large.....	30	40
7	Bright.....	" 5.	121	"	40	2½	"	30	40
8	Pictou.....	" 4.	120	"	35	2	Medium....	30	40
9	Creepcr.....	" 4.	120	"	34	2	Small.....	30	60
10	New Potter.....	" 4.	120	"	38	2½	Medium....	30	61
11	Dover.....	" 5.	121	"	40	2½	Large.....	30	60
12	Gregory.....	" 5.	121	"	36	2	Medium....	30	62
13	Herald.....	" 7.	123	"	36	2	"	30	61
14	Early Britain.....	Aug. 31.	116	"	38	2½	"	29	20
15	Grass Pea.....	Sept. 11.	127	"	40	1½	Small.....	29	20
16	Bruce.....	" 5.	121	"	38	2½	Medium....	23	40
17	Mackay.....	" 5.	121	"	40	2½	Large.....	28	40
18	Prince Albert.....	" 4.	120	"	36	2	Small.....	28	40
19	Prince.....	" 4.	120	"	36	2	Medium....	28	61
20	Large White Marrowfat.....	" 4.	120	"	40	3	Large.....	28	62
21	Fergus.....	" 5.	121	"	36	2	Medium....	28	60
22	Trilby.....	" 4.	120	"	38	2½	"	27	20
23	Duke.....	" 4.	120	"	36	2½	Large.....	27	20
24	Canadian Beauty.....	" 4.	120	"	36	2½	Medium....	27	20
25	Golden Vine.....	Aug. 31.	116	"	34	2	Small.....	27	20
26	Crown.....	Sept. 3.	119	"	34	2	"	26	40
27	English Gray.....	" 2.	118	"	36	2	Medium....	26	40
28	Prussian Blue.....	" 1.	117	"	34	2	Small.....	26	40
29	Arthur.....	" 3.	119	"	40	2	Medium....	26	40
30	Wisconsin Blue.....	" 4.	120	"	36	2	"	26	62
31	Kent.....	" 5.	121	"	40	2½	Large.....	26	60
32	Black-eyed Marrowfat.....	" 4.	120	"	37	2½	"	26	61
33	Carleton.....	" 5.	121	"	36	2½	Medium....	26	60
34	Cooper.....	" 4.	120	"	34	2	Small.....	25	20
35	German White.....	Aug. 31.	116	"	36	2	Medium....	25	20
36	Lanark.....	Sept. 5.	121	"	38	2½	Large.....	25	20
37	Mummy.....	" 4.	120	"	38	2½	Medium....	25	20
38	Nelson.....	" 5.	121	"	40	2½	"	25	20
39	French Canner.....	" 5.	121	"	36	2	"	25	20
40	Chelsea.....	" 5.	121	"	38	2½	"	25	20
41	Chancellor.....	" 3.	119	"	34	2	Small.....	25	20
42	White Wonder.....	" 4.	120	"	32	2	Medium....	24	40
43	Centennial.....	" 5.	121	"	36	2	Small.....	24	40
44	Oddfellow.....	" 4.	120	"	36	2½	Medium....	24	40
45	Pearl.....	" 5.	121	"	36	2½	"	24	40
46	Elephant Blue.....	" 4.	120	"	34	2	Small.....	23	20
47	Alma.....	Aug. 31.	116	"	35	2½	Medium....	23	20
48	Pride.....	Sept. 1.	117	"	34	2	"	23	20
49	Daniel O'Rourke.....	" 4.	120	"	35	2	Small.....	23	20
50	Elliot.....	" 4.	120	"	36	2½	Medium....	23	20
51	King.....	" 3.	119	"	40	2½	Large.....	20	40
52	Elder.....	" 5.	121	"	37	2½	Medium....	20	60
53	Vincent.....	" 4.	120	"	35	2	"	20	61
54	Harrison's Glory.....	" 4.	120	"	34	2	"	20	61
55	Paragon.....	" 4.	120	"	34	2	"	19	20
56	Fenton.....	" 4.	120	"	40	2½	Large.....	18	60
57	Perth.....	" 2.	118	"	35	2	Medium....	18	60

EXPERIMENTS WITH BUCKWHEAT.

Five varieties of buckwheat were grown in one fortieth acre plots, on a sandy-loam soil. This land was previously in grain. It was manured in the fall with 25 one-horse cart loads of stable manure, per acre. This was then ploughed under. The ground was worked up in the spring with the spring-tooth and smoothing harrows, and the seed sown June 14. The crops obtained were as follows:—

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				Inches.	Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Silver Hull.....	June 14..	Sept. 9..	88	44	46	32	48
Tartarian or Siberian	" " ..	" 10..	89	44	41	32	48
Gray.....	" " ..	" 9..	88	42	25	—	48
Rye.....	" " ..	" 10..	89	46	45	40	50
Japanese.....	" " ..	" 9..	88	46	24	28	43

EXPERIMENTS WITH FIELD GRAIN.

The land on which this grain was grown was previously in turnips, having received for this crop 30 one-horse cart loads of manure per acre; it being the first manure and only fertilizer this land had ever received. After the turnip crop was removed the land was ploughed. In the spring this was worked up with the disc, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows, and the seed sown May 15. The crop was harvested August 27. Each plot was one acre, and produced the following yields:

Name of Variety.	Yield per acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.
Rosedale oats.....	55	17
Sensation oats.....	53	—
Mixed Grain (Barley, oats and pease).....	49	16
Cream Egyptian oats.....	47	—
Prolific Black Tartarian oats.....	46	—
Canadian Thorpe (Barley).....	41	27

FIELD CROP OF BUCKWHEAT.

Half of the field on which the buckwheat was grown was previously in buckwheat; the other half was new land which had just been brought in and had never before had a crop on it. This land is of a clay loam character, and was ploughed in the fall of 1901. This spring it was worked up with the disc, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows, and the grain was sown June 17. The land that had previously been in buckwheat was fertilized with complete fertilizer at the rate of 250 lbs. per acre. The new land did not get any fertilizer. The yields from these fields are as follows:—

	Yield per Acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.
5 acres previously in buckwheat and fertilized.....	31	14
4½ acres new land and no fertilizer.....	14	16

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

The corn was planted on a sandy loam. The land was previously in clover, and was manured on top of the second growth in the fall, with 25 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. This was ploughed under in the spring after a good growth was made. The soil was worked up by going over it once each with the spade, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows. No fertilizer was used.

The corn was planted May 30 in rows and hills. Marks were made 3 ft. apart and the seed dropped, and covered with a hoe, and duplicate plots of each variety were planted in hills 3 ft. apart each way. The plants in the rows were thinned from 4 to 6 inches apart and 3 to 5 stalks were left to a hill. Thirty-seven varieties were planted. The variety named Early August came up, made weak growth of 3 or 4 inches, and died. The crop was harvested October 3. The yield per acre is estimated from that obtained from 2 rows each 66 feet long.

CORN—TESTS OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Height.	When Tasseled.		In Silk.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre grown in Rows.		Weight per Acre grown in Hills.	
		Inches.					Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	96	Sept.	5.	Watery.....	25	600	25	50
2	Eureka.....	100	"	4.	Tasseled....	25	600	22	1,100
3	Thoroughbred White Flint.....	94	"	6.	Watery.....	24	1,500	25	1,150
4	Salzer's All Gold.....	96	Aug.	21.	Sept. 8.	"	23	1,300	23	750
5	Mammoth Eight-rowed Flint...	84	"	25.	" 6.	"	23	750	22	1,100
6	Salzer's Superior Fodder.....	100	"	27.	" 9.	"	22	1,100	21	900
7	Canada White Flint.....	96	"	22.	" 1.	"	22	1,100	20	1,820
8	Compton's Early.....	90	"	20.	" 1.	Soft Glazed.	22	1,100	20	1,520
9	Early Butler.....	96	"	27.	" 5.	Watery.....	22	1,100	20	150
10	White Cap Yellow Dent.....	100	"	27.	" 8.	Milk.....	22	550	21	900
11	Red Cob Ensilage.....	96	Sept.	6.	Tasseled....	22	..	22	1,650
12	Longfellow.....	90	Aug.	20.	Aug. 27.	Soft glazed..	22	..	23	200
13	Evergreen Sugar.....	92	Sept.	1.	Early milk..	22	..	20	1,800
14	Pride of the North.....	100	"	6.	Sept. 5.	Watery.....	21	1,450	21	570
15	Mammoth Cuban.....	100	"	1.	" 5.	"	21	900	20	1,800
16	North Dakota Yellow.....	84	Aug.	20.	" 1.	Late milk..	20	1,250	20	1,800
17	Black Mexican.....	80	"	27.	" 9.	Watery.....	20	1,250	18	300
18	Sanford.....	84	"	25.	Sept. 6.	"	20	700	19	550
19	Pearce's Prolific.....	90	"	18.	Aug. 25.	Soft glazed..	20	150	19	1,050
20	Early Yellow Long Eared.....	90	"	18.	" 25.	"	19	1,600	19	1,600
21	King Philip.....	84	"	25.	Sept. 5.	Watery.....	19	1,270	21	1,450
22	North Dakota White.....	84	"	20.	" 1.	Late milk..	19	1,050	20	150
23	Angel of Midnight.....	90	"	25.	Sept. 1.	Soft glazed..	19	550	16	1,000
24	King of the Earliest.....	90	Sept.	5.	Tasseled....	19	550	20	700
25	Selected Leaming.....	100	"	1.	Sept. 10.	Early milk..	18	1,400	20	1,250
26	Early Mastodon.....	96	"	1.	" 15.	Watery.....	18	1,400	17	650
27	Champion White Pearl.....	96	Aug.	25.	" 4.	Milk.....	18	850	18	1,400
28	Wisconsin Earliest Dent.....	98	"	27.	" 5.	Watery.....	18	300	17	1,750
29	Cloud's Early Yellow.....	100	Sept.	1.	" 7.	"	17	1,750	18	850
30	Kendall's Early Giant.....	68	Aug.	20.	Aug. 27.	Soft glazed..	17	1,750	16	1,550
31	Early Golden Surprise.....	96	"	27.	Sept. 6.	Watery.....	17	650	17	1,200
32	Country Gentleman.....	84	Sept.	8.	Tasseled....	17	650	17	1,750
33	Extra Early Huron Dent.....	90	"	18.	Sept. 25.	Watery.....	16	1,000	12	1,300
34	Yellow Six-weeks.....	66	Aug.	10.	Aug. 20.	Glazed.....	12	1,850	12	750
35	Earliest Ripe.....	64	"	10.	" 18.	"	12	750	15	250
36	Mitchell's Extra Early.....	60	"	18.	" 8.	Hard glazed.	12	200	11	..

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

CORN SOWN IN ROWS AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

Experiments were again conducted, with Indian Corn sown in rows at different distances apart, Champion White Pearl, Selected Leaming and Longfellow were the varieties sown.

The land on which these were sown was similar and received the same treatment in every respect as the corn plots. The seed was sown May 31 in rows 21, 28, 35 and 42 inches apart. The crop was harvested October 3. The plots were one-fortieth acre each,

CORN PLANTED AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

Sown May 31.

Name of Variety.	Distance Apart.	Yield per Acre.	
	Inches.	Tons.	Lbs.
Selected Leaming.....	21	15	1,000
".....	28	17	80
".....	35	16	520
".....	42	14	1,160
Champion White Pearl.....	21	15	1,320
".....	28	16	460
".....	35	15	1,880
".....	42	14	440
Longfellow.....	21	15	200
".....	28	15	1,480
".....	35	15	1,200
".....	42	13	1,720

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

The land on which the turnips were grown was clay loam and the previous crop was clover. The ground was manured in the fall with 15 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre and ploughed. In the spring it was harrowed with the disc and spring-tooth harrows, and 15 one-horse cart loads of stable manure again spread broadcast and ploughed in. The land was then gone over with the disc, spring tooth and smoothing harrow, and 200 pounds of complete fertilizer and 200 lbs. bone meal per acre sown broadcast and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow.

The ground was then run into rows 24 inches apart, the rows were raked off by hand and marks were made along the top, where the seed was dropped and lightly covered.

The plots were sown May 20, and duplicate ones two weeks later, June 3. The roots were pulled October 30, and the quantity per acre calculated from the weight of the crop obtained from two rows each 66 feet long.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

No.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Sutton's Champion.....	50	155	1,669	15	42	975	1,416	15
2	Elephant's Master.....	49	1,000	1,650		38	1,550	1,292	30
3	Magnum Bonum.....	47	380	1,573		37	250	1,237	30
4	Giant King.....	47	50	1,567	30	34	1,795	1,163	15
5	Perfection Swede.....	46	1,555	1,559	15	38	395	1,273	15
6	Prize Purple Top.....	46	400	1,540		38	1,055	1,284	15
7	Carter's Elephant.....	45	1,905	1,531	45	36	1,525	1,058	45
8	Hall's Westbury.....	45	750	1,512	30	37	1,075	1,251	15
9	Good Luck.....	45	750	1,512	30	34	475	1,141	15
10	Kangaroo.....	45	255	1,504	15	34	475	1,141	15
11	Drummond's Purple Top.....	44	1,430	1,490	30	35	125	1,168	45
12	West Norfolk Red Top.....	44	605	1,476	45	35	950	1,182	30
13	Hartley's Bronze.....	43	625	1,443	45	35	455	1,174	15
14	Bangholm Selected.....	42	1,800	1,430		36	1,755	1,229	15
15	Shamrock Purple Top.....	42	1,305	1,421	45	36	600	1,210	
16	New Arctic.....	42	1,140	1,419		31	700	1,045	
17	Halewood's Bronze Top.....	42	975	1,416	15	36	1,425	1,223	45
18	Champion Purple Top.....	41	1,655	1,394	15	37	1,405	1,256	45
19	Imperial Swede.....	41	830	1,380	30	31	1,855	1,064	15
20	Selected Purple Top.....	41	500	1,375		31	205	1,036	45
21	Selected Champion.....	41	5	1,366	45	34	1,300	1,155	
22	Marquis of Lorne.....	40	1,675	1,351	15	32	350	1,072	30
23	Mammoth Clyde.....	40	25	1,333	45	28	1,750	962	30
24	Prize Winner.....	38	1,055	1,284	15	29	905	981	45
25	Emperor Swede.....	38	725	1,278	45	28	1,750	962	30
26	East Lothian.....	38	230	1,270	30	28	100	935	
27	Skirving's.....	37	1,900	1,265		28	1,750	962	30
28	Monarch.....	36	1,425	1,223	45	27	1,275	921	15
29	New Century.....	36	600	1,210		29	475	974	35
30	Webb's New Renown.....	35	125	1,168	45	27	450	907	30
31	Jumbo.....	34	805	1,146	45	27	1,275	921	15

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

The land on which the mangels were grown was adjoining the turnip plots and received the same treatment in every respect.

The ground was run into rows 24 inches apart. The rows were raked off and the seed sown in holes one foot apart, made with a marker, and from four to eight seeds were dropped in each place. These were covered with a garden rake. The plants came up well and made splendid growth.

The seed was sown May 20, and duplicate plots were sown two weeks later, June 3. The crop was pulled October 28, and the yield calculated from 2 rows each 66 feet long.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

No.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.	Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.
		Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
1	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	50 1,145	1,685 45	40 1,840	1,364
2	Selected Yellow Globe.....	47 50	1,567 30	37 745	1,245 45
3	Champion Yellow Globe.....	46 235	1,537 15	37 1,570	1,259 30
4	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate	45 915	1,515 15	35 1,445	1,190 45
5	Giant Yellow Half-long.....	44 1,760	1,496 35	35 1,445	1,190 45
6	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	44 1,595	1,493 15	36 1,425	1,223 45
7	Gate Post.....	44 935	1,482 15	36 105	1,201 45
8	Mammoth Long Red.....	41 1,490	1,391 30	34 475	1,141 15
9	Golden Fleshed Tankard	41 1,490	1,391 30	33 1,485	1,124 45
10	Yellow Fleshed Tankard	41 500	1,375 34	34 970	1,149 30
11	Half-long Sugar White.....	40 335	1,339 15	32 1,505	1,091 45
12	Yellow Intermediate	40 190	1,336 30	35 1,775	1,196 15
13	Prize-winner Yellow Globe.....	40 25	1,333 45	36 270	1,204 30
14	Gate Post Yellow.....	39 210	1,303 30	34 1,960	1,166
15	Giant Sugar	38 1,715	1,295 15	33 33	1,100
16	Canadian Giant.....	38 1,220	1,287 32	32 1,175	1,086 15
17	Mammoth Oval-shaped.....	38 725	1,278 45	28 1,255	954 15
18	Warden Orange Globe	38 230	1,270 30	28 1,420	957
19	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	38 65	1,267 45	36 1,590	1,226 30
20	Norbiton Giant	37 1,900	1,265 24	24 1,170	819 30
21	Prize Mammoth Long Red	37 1,075	1,251 15	31 1,525	1,058 45
22	Giant Yellow Globe.....	37 580	1,243 29	29 245	970 45
23	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	36 1,755	1,229 15	35 125	1,168 45
24	Red Fleshed Tankard.....	36 1,425	1,223 45	28 1,255	954 15
25	Half-long Sugar Rosy	35 1,280	1,188 33	33 1,320	1,122
26	Ward's Large Oval-shaped	33 1,650	1,127 30	26 1,625	893 45
27	Leviathan Long Red	31 865	1,047 45	30 225	1,003 45

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

The plots of carrots were sown May 20 and duplicate ones two weeks later, June 3. Each plot consisted of 2 rows 66 feet long. The roots were gathered October 24.

The land was adjoining the turnip and mangel plots and received the same preparation and treatment. The rows were run 24 inches apart, raked off by hand and a mark made along the top of the row, into which the seed was dropped, and covered, with a garden rake.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CARROTS.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Half Long White.....	22	550	742	30	20	1,745	695	45
Yellow Intermediate.....	22	220	737		18	1,455	624	15
Improved Short White.....	21	900	715		16	340	539	
Green Top White Orthe.....	21	900	715		20	1,085	648	45
Iverson's Champion.....	21	405	706	45	19	1,435	657	15
Mammoth White Intermediate.....	21	240	704		18	300	605	
New White Intermediate.....	21	75	701	15	17	1,310	588	30
Giant White Vosges.....	20	1,250	687	30	17	650	577	30
Ontario Champion.....	20	755	679	15	17	815	580	15
White Belgian.....	19	1,930	665	30	15	360	506	
Long Yellow Stump Rooted.....	19	610	643	30	15	360	506	
Long Scarlet Altringham.....	17	650	577	30	14	1,865	497	45
White Vosges, Large Short.....	16	1,660	561		13	1,555	459	15
Scarlet Intermediate.....	16	1,000	559		14	1,700	459	
Half Long Chantenay.....	16	505	541	45	17	815	580	15
Guerande or Oxheart.....	16	1,350	522	30	13	1,885	464	45
Carter's Orange Giant.....	15	855	514	15	17	815	580	15
Scarlet Nantes.....	15	525	508	45	13	400	440	
Long Orange or Surrey.....	14	1,700	495		13	1,720	462	
Early Gem.....	14	875	481	15	12	585	409	45

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

The land on which these were grown was adjoining the carrot, turnip and mangel plots, and received the same treatment. The rows were made 24 inches apart, raked off and the seed sown in holes made with a marker, one foot apart, and from 3 to 6 seeds dropped in a hole. These were covered with a garden rake.

The seed was sown May 20 and duplicate plots June 3. The crop was harvested October 28. The yield per acre is calculated from 2 rows, each 66 feet long.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre 2nd Plot.	
	Tons	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Royal Giant.....	37	1,240	1,254	0	34	1,300	1,155	0
Danish Improved.....	32	1,020	1,067	0	24	1,830	830	35
Danish Red Top.....	31	1,525	1,058	45	25	325	838	45
Red Top Sugar.....	30	1,380	1,023	0	25	820	847	0
Improved Imperial.....	28	1,255	954	15	24	1,500	825	0
Wanzleben.....	28	925	948	45	20	1,250	687	30
French "Very Rich".....	23	200	770	0	18	300	605	0
Vilmorin's Improved.....	20	1,250	687	30	17	1,475	591	15

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

Ninety varieties of potatoes were planted May 29 and were dug September 24, 25. The yield per acre is calculated from 2 rows each, 66 feet long. The soil was a sandy loam and the previous crop was mixed grain. This land was manured in the fall of 1901 with 25 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre, this being the only fertilizer of any kind that had been applied for many years. In the spring the land was worked up once each with spring-tooth and disc harrows and then ploughed. The disc, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows were then each put over the ground, which was run into drills 30 inches apart. Commercial Fertilizer at the rate of 400 pounds per acre was scattered in these drills, and the potato sets were planted one foot apart, and covered with the plough.

The seed was cut leaving from 2 to 3 eyes to a piece. The plots were sprayed with Paris green and water July 12, and with Bordeaux mixture and Paris green July 24 and August 14, there was no blight. The crop of potatoes was exceptionally good. There were no rotten ones. The following table gives the yield per acre.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Un-marketable.		Form and Colour.
		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Dakota Red	Fair	517	..	440	..	77	..	Round, red.
Canadian Beauty	Good	499	24	433	24	66	..	Oblong, pink and white.
Enormous	Fair	495	..	451	..	44	..	Oblong, white.
Great Divide	Good	495	..	440	..	55	..	Long, white.
Rose No. 9	"	495	..	440	..	55	..	Oblong, pink.
Troy Seedling	Fair	484	..	413	36	70	24	Round, white.
Seattle	"	479	36	413	36	66	..	Long, white.
Early Nother	Good	473	..	418	..	55	..	Long, pink and white.
Irish Daisy	"	473	..	345	24	127	36	Round, white.
Pearce's Prize Winner	"	463	36	409	12	59	24	Long, white.
Clay Rose	Fair ..	462	..	385	..	77	..	Round, pink.
Hale's Champion	"	462	..	380	36	81	24	Long, white.
Quaker City	Good	453	12	415	48	37	24	Round, white.
Everett	"	451	..	341	..	110	..	Flattish, pink.
Rural No. 2	"	451	..	396	..	55	..	Round, white.
Northern Spy	"	451	..	396	..	55	..	Round, red.
Carnan No. 3	"	451	..	407	..	44	..	Round, white.
Bill Nye	"	451	..	363	..	88	..	"
McIntyre	Fair	446	36	385	..	61	36	Round, white and blue.
Rochester Rose	Good	444	24	391	36	52	48	Oblong, pink.
Seedling No. 7	Fair	440	..	396	..	44	..	Oval, pink.
Vick's Extra Early	Good	440	..	385	..	55	..	"
Rural Blush	"	437	48	389	24	48	24	Round, pink.
Seedling No. 230	Fair	426	48	321	12	105	36	Round, white.
Money Maker	Good	424	36	358	36	66	..	Long, pink and white.
Sir Walter Raleigh	"	422	24	367	24	55	..	Round, pink and white.
Sharpe's Seedling	"	422	24	347	..	48	24	"
Holborn Abundance	Fair	418	..	341	..	77	..	Round, white.
Early White Prize	Good	418	..	367	24	50	36	Round, pink.
Pride of the Market	"	415	48	353	36	57	12	Long, pink and white.
Penn. Manor	"	407	..	352	..	55	..	Long, pink.
Vanier	Fair	404	48	358	36	46	12	"
Pearce's Extra Early	Good	404	48	347	36	57	12	"
Burnaby Seedling	Fair	400	24	356	24	44	..	Round, pink.
Flemish Beauty	Good	400	24	341	..	59	24	Long, flat and pink.
White Beauty	"	393	48	352	..	41	48	Long, round and white.
Early Market	"	391	36	316	48	74	48	Round, pink.
Lizzie's Pride	Fair	391	36	259	36	132	..	Long, pink.
Houlton Rose	Good	389	24	345	24	44	..	"
Cambridge Russet	"	389	24	314	36	74	48	Round, white.
Carman No. 1	Very good ..	387	12	338	48	48	24	Flat, round and white.
Irish Cobbler	Good	385	..	286	..	99	..	Round, white.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Un- marketable.		Form and Colour.
		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
American Giant.....	Fair.....	385	..	319	..	66	..	Long, white.
Burpee's Extra Early.....	Good.....	382	48	308	..	74	48	Long, pink and white.
Dreer's Standard.....	".....	380	36	314	36	66	..	Round, white.
General Gordon.....	".....	378	24	316	48	61	36	Oblong, pink.
Early St. George.....	".....	376	12	305	48	70	24	Oblong, pink and white.
Late Puritan.....	".....	376	12	343	12	33	..	Long, white.
Early Puritan.....	".....	374	..	330	..	44	..	"
Early Sunrise.....	".....	374	..	312	24	61	36	Long, pink.
Brown's Rot Proof.....	Fair.....	367	24	264	..	103	24	Oval, pink.
Uncle Sam.....	Good.....	365	12	312	24	52	48	Oblong, white.
New Variety, No 1.....	Fair.....	363	..	308	..	55	..	Round, white.
American Wonder.....	".....	363	..	319	..	44	..	"
Bovee.....	Good.....	360	48	294	48	66	..	Long, pink.
State of Maine.....	".....	360	48	308	..	52	48	Round, white.
Green Mountain.....	".....	360	48	305	48	55	..	Oval, white.
Early Ohio.....	".....	358	36	303	36	55	..	Long, pink.
I. X. L.....	".....	356	24	297	..	59	24	Long, pink and white.
Reeve's Rose.....	".....	356	24	303	36	52	48	Long, pink.
Maggie Murphy.....	Fair.....	352	..	308	..	44	..	"
Empire State.....	Good.....	347	36	299	12	48	24	Oval, white.
Columbus.....	".....	343	12	303	36	39	36	Long, white.
New Queen.....	".....	343	12	255	12	88	..	Oblong, pink.
Beauty of Hebron.....	".....	338	48	266	12	72	36	Round, pink and white.
Prize Taker.....	".....	338	48	286	..	52	48	Round, white.
Thorburn.....	".....	336	36	279	24	57	12	Oblong, pink and white.
Ohio Junior.....	".....	336	36	270	36	66	..	Round, pink.
Delaware.....	".....	334	24	286	..	48	24	Round, white.
Clarke's No. 1.....	Fair.....	323	24	294	48	28	36	Long, pink.
Lee's Favourite.....	Good.....	319	..	264	..	55	..	Round, white.
Chicago Market.....	".....	319	..	268	24	50	36	Long, red.
Early Six Weeks.....	".....	312	24	253	..	59	24	Oblong, pink.
Sabean's Elephant.....	".....	308	..	264	..	44	..	Oblong, white.
Maule's Thoroughbred.....	Fair.....	303	36	257	24	46	12	"
Early Michigan.....	Good.....	297	..	253	..	44	..	Long, white.
Early Andes.....	".....	297	..	246	24	50	36	Round, pink.
Polaris.....	".....	297	..	250	48	46	12	Long, white.
Daisy.....	".....	294	48	246	24	48	24	Long, pink and white.
Country Gentleman.....	".....	286	..	244	12	41	48	"
Early Rose.....	".....	279	24	220	..	59	24	Long, pink.
Reading Giant.....	Fair.....	275	..	209	..	66	..	Oval, pink.
Rawdon Rose.....	".....	275	..	220	..	55	..	Oblong, pink and white.
Prolific Rose.....	Good.....	270	36	226	36	44	..	"
Earliest of All.....	".....	261	48	220	..	41	48	Long, pink.
Early Harvest.....	".....	259	36	209	..	50	36	Oval, pink and white.
Brownell's Winner.....	Fair.....	253	..	220	..	33	..	Long, pink.
Swiss Snowflake.....	".....	244	12	209	..	35	12	Round, white.
Up to Date.....	".....	213	24	176	..	37	24	"

EXPERIMENTS WITH MILLETS.

Five varieties of millet were sown in one-fortieth acre plots, with the Planet, Jr., seed drill June 14. The soil was clay loam and the previous crop was potatoes. The land was ploughed in the fall and in the spring was worked up with the disc, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows. No fertilizer was used. The crop was harvested green Sept. 15, and the yield per acre obtained was as follows:—

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.	
	Tons.	Lbs.
Italian or Indian	13	
Moha Hungarian	8	1,080
White Round Extra French	7	120
Algerian or Early Pearl	4	1,120
Cat Tail did not germinate		

EXPERIMENTS WITH SOJA BEANS.

The soil was clay loam. The land was previously planted with potatoes, and was manured for that crop at the rate of 30 one-horse cart loads per acre in the fall of 1900. After the potato crop was removed the land was ploughed. In the spring it was worked up with the disc, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows. The beans were sown with the Wisner seed drill, June 2, in rows 21, 28 and 35 inches apart, and the crop cut and weighed Oct. 3.

The object of this experiment was to obtain information as to the value of this plant as a forage crop, and to obtain the yields per acre from seed sown at different distances apart. The plots were one-fortieth acre each. The crop made fair growth only and did not mature as well as usual.

Distances Apart.	Yield per Acre.	
	Tons.	Lbs.
Soja Beans, 21 inches.	9	
" 28 "	8	1,800
" 35 "	8	1,080

EXPERIMENTS WITH HORSE BEANS.

The horse beans were sown June 2nd in plots of one-fortieth acre each. The English variety "Tick" was used. The seed was sown in rows 21, 28 and 35 inches apart. The soil was similar to that on which the soja beans were grown, and received the same treatment.

The beans did not make their usual growth. The horse bean aphid was not as bad as last season, but did considerable damage. The following yield was obtained, from plots cut October 3 :—

Distances apart.	Yield per acre.	
	Tons.	Lbs.
Horse beans, 21 inches.	10	1,200
" 28 "	10	1,800
" 35 "	10	1,080

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON WHEAT.

These experiments which were also conducted last year are for the purpose of learning the value of different fertilizers for economic grain production. The variety 'Preston' was used and the size of each plot was one-fortieth acre. Six plots were included in the test.

One half of the nitrate of soda on plots 1 and 2 was sprinkled finely over the ground, when the grain was 2 inches high, and the other half when it was 6 inches high. The fertilizers on plots 4 and 5 were scattered on the ground just before sowing and lightly covered with the harrow. On plot 6 one-half of the fertilizer was scattered on the ground just before sowing and lightly covered with the harrow, and the other half was scattered over the ground when the grain was 2 or 3 inches high. Plot 3 was not fertilized, being left for a check. The land on which these plots were located was previously in grain. The results obtained are given in the following table :—

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON WHEAT.

(Sown May 22. Cut Sept. 13).

Plot.	Variety of Wheat Sown.	Fertilizers used per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
			Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Preston.....	Nitrate of Soda.....	100	38	
2	".....	".....	200	40	
3	".....	Check.....		34	40
4	".....	Superphosphate.....	400	41	20
5	".....	Muriate of Potash.....	400	44	
6	".....	(Superphosphate.....	200	39	20
		Mixture of Muriate of Potash.....	100		
		(Nitrate of Soda.....	100		

SPECIAL EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS.

These experiments were repeated again this season, the object being to ascertain the relative value of fertilizers commonly used for field crops of various kinds. The plots were one-eighth acre each $38 \times 143\frac{1}{2}$ ft. for each kind of fertilizer used. These were subdivided into ten strips 14 ft. wide, each running lengthwise across all the differently fertilized plots. These strips were sown with ten different kinds of crops, namely: potatoes, turnips, carrots, mangels, oats, wheat, barley, pease, corn, and mixed grain making in all 140 plots. A margin of 2 feet was left between each plot, and one foot between each crop plot. Two plots were left without any fertilizer to serve as check plots. The strips that are in grain one year are planted to roots, potatoes and corn the following year. The quantity and kind of fertilizer used is applied each year. Each of the crops is sown at about the same time as the uniform trial plots with the same amount of seed per acre, and is cultivated in the same manner. This is the fourth year of the test. The following table gives the yield per acre of the various crops.

SPECIAL EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS.

Fertilizer Used.	Barley, Canadian Thorpe.		Oats, Banner.		Wheat, Colorado.		Barley, oats and pease.		Pease, Golden Vine.		Corn, Longfellow.		Turnips, Prize Purple Top.		Mangels, Giant Yellow Intermediate.		Carrots, Half Long White.		Potatoes, Delaware.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Manure, 30 tons	62	24	100		41	40	85		36	40	20		44	1,000	40	1,500	24	1,700	550	
Manure, 15 tons, fertilizer, 250 lbs	64	28	105	30	43	20	90		35		17	1,000	47	1,000	42		31	600	530	
Complete fertilizer, 1,000 lbs	52	4	82	12	28	20	62	20	33	20	13	1,500	40	50	25	1,000	18	800	416	40
Complete fertilizer, 500 lbs	43	36	76	16	25	50	60	29	10	13	500	33	500	26	1,700	14	1,000	441	40	
Check	41	32	67	22	25		50	26	40	10		25	1,500	6	500	9		366	40	
Bone meal, 1,000 lbs.	47	44	79	14	26	40	55	30		13		36		16	1,700	15	700	383	20	
" 500 "	45	40	76	16	30		67	20	28	20	12	1,000	33	1,000	11	1,000	15	290		
Ashes 2,500 "	52	4	70	20	28	20	60	34	10	13	1,500	40	1,500	29	1,200	18	700	383	20	
Manure, rotted, 20 tons ..	63	26	114	24	41	40	90	41	40	17	500	45	1,500	40	500	25	1,200	510		
Check	25		61	26	20		35	25		6	1,500	16	300		4		1,500	240		
Land plaster, 500 lbs.	29	8	64	24	23	20	40	26	40	6	1,500	20	8	1,000	8	1,000	243	20		
Salt, 500 "	41	32	73	18	25		45	27	30	7	1,500	25	1,700	27	300	10	700	216	40	
Marsh mud, 100 tons	48	46	82	12	30		70	31	40	11	1,000	31	1,200	31	1,200	18	1,100	271	40	
Manure, green, 20 "	70	40	111	26	45		92	20	40		16	500	44	1,500	44	1,500	23	400	571	40

EXPERIMENTS WITH FIELD CORN.

The land on which this corn was grown was previously in clover. It was manured in the fall with 20 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. This was ploughed under in the spring just before planting after a good growth had been made. The land was worked up by going over it once, each with spade, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows. The seed was sown with the seeder in rows 3 feet apart on May 31. June and July were cold and backward and this crop made very poor growth in these months, but in August and September it made a surprisingly rapid growth. One-half acre of each of the following varieties was planted, and the crop was harvested October 4. The following yields per acre were obtained:—

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.	
	Tons.	Lbs.
½ acre plots—		
Selected Leaming	14	900
Manmoth Cuban	13	1,720
Longfellow	13	1,060
Early Butler	13	900
Cloud's Early Yellow	12	1,550
Compton's Early	12	1,140
Angel of Midnight	12	500

EXPERIMENTS WITH FIELD TURNIPS.

One acre plots each of five varieties were sown. The soil was a clay loam in a poor state of fertility, no manure having been previously applied and the previous crops being grain. Manure at the rate of 35 one-horse cart loads per acre was spread broad cast in the spring and ploughed under. This was worked up with the disc, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows, and rows run 24 inches apart.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Before the rows were run one-half of each acre plot received complete fertilizer at the rate of 200 lbs. per acre. This was sown broadcast. The yield per acre was calculated from the weight obtained from each plot of one-half acre. The seed was sown June 12, and the crop pulled from November 3 to 8. The yields obtained were as follows:—

FIELD CROPS OF TURNIPS.

(Sown June 12, Pulled November 3 to 8.)

Name of Variety and Size of Plot.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
$\frac{1}{2}$ acre plots—				
Hartley's Bronze, manure with fertilizer.....	31	1,071	1,051	11
" " " only.....	30	690	1,010	
Giant King, manure with fertilizer.....	31	810	1,038	30
" " " only.....	28	340	939	
Prize Purple Top, manure with fertilizer.....	30	1,244	1,020	44
" " " only.....	30	744	1,012	24
Drummond Purple Top, manure with fertilizer.....	30	390	1,006	30
" " " only.....	28	790	946	30
Kangaroo, manure with fertilizer.....	29	650	977	30
" " " only.....	28	16	933	36

EXPERIMENTS WITH FIELD MANGELS.

The land on which these mangels were grown was clay loam, and was previously in clover. The second growth was ploughed under in the fall, and in the spring 20 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre was spread broadcast and ploughed in. The land was gone over twice with the spade harrow before the manure was applied, and after the ploughing the spade, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows were used. The land was then run into rows, each 24 inches apart, and the seed sown with a seeder in a continuous row, which came up very irregularly, and although there were not many blanks, yet the slow starting plants, of which there were many, made very slow growth. This was apparently due to the poor germinating power of a large portion of the seed.

Three varieties were grown of one acre each. One-half acre of each variety was fertilized before the rows were run up by sowing broadcast complete fertilizer at the rate of 200 lbs. per acre. The seed was sown May 29 and the crop harvested from October 17 to 23, giving the following yields:—

FIELD CROP OF MANGELS.

(Sown May 29, Pulled Oct. 17 to 23.)

Name of Variety and Size of plot.	Yield per acre.		Yield per acre.	
	Tons	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
$\frac{1}{2}$ acre plots—				
Yellow Intermediate, manure with fertilizer.....	22	1,790	763	30
" " " only.....	19	230	637	10
Mammoth " Long Red, manure with fertilizer.....	20	550	675	50
" " " only.....	18	1,040	617	20
Yellow Globe, manure with fertilizer.....	18	580	609	40
" " " only.....	17	590	576	30

EXPERIMENTS TO TEST THE VALUE OF BUG DEATH AS COMPARED WITH PARIS GREEN AND BORDEEAUX AND PARIS GREEN ON POTATOES.

The object of this experiment was to test the value of Bug Death as a useful material to kill the potato bug, as compared with Paris green and to test its fungicidal value as compared with Bordeaux mixture.

Three plots one-eighth of an acre each were laid out, one was sprayed with Paris green and water at the rate of $\frac{1}{2}$ pound of Paris green to 40 gallons of water, to which was added one gallon lime water; one with Bordeaux mixture and Paris green, made by using 4 pounds of blue stone, 4 lbs. of unslacked lime, $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. of Paris green and 40 gallons of water, and one with Bug Death, 5 lbs. being dusted on at each application, three applications were made, one on July 12, one July 24 and one Aug. 14. There was no blight this season consequently its effect as a fungicide could not be ascertained.

The ground was similar to that on which the potato plots were situated, and received the same treatment. The Delaware potato was the variety used in this test. The following yields per acre were obtained.

	Marketable.		Unmarketable.		Total.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Bordeaux mixture and Paris green.....	363	25	43	45	407	10
Bug Death.....	370	25	46	40	417	5
Paris green.....	367	30	36	10	403	40

The Paris green was put on in the form of a spray and at the rate of two forty-gallon casks per acre at each application. Three-quarters of a pound of Paris green was used to each cask of water. This would make $1\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of Paris green per acre at each application and for the three applications $4\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. at 25 cts. per pound would total \$1.13 per acre as the cost of material on the Paris green plots.

The Bordeaux mixture was used also at the rate of two forty-gallon casks per acre at each application. The cost of material for each application would be:—8 lbs. Blue stone at 7c. per lb., 56c.; 8 lbs. Rock lime at 1c. per lb., 8c. and $1\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of Paris green at 25 cts. per lb., 38 cts. making a total cost of \$1.02 per acre for each application. Three applications at \$1.02 would make a total cost of \$3.06 per acre for Bordeaux used. This material was sprayed on the plants.

The application of Bug Death made, was as effective in killing the bugs as Paris green. For killing bugs alone two applications of Bug Death proved sufficient. The third application was made to determine its efficiency as a fungicide. There was no blight, consequently its value in this respect could not be determined.

Three applications of Bug Death at the rate of 40 lbs. per acre at each time made a total of 120 lbs. per acre. This material is sold at the rate of \$7 per 100 lb. keg. This would make a cost of \$8.40 per acre for the Bug Death used in this experiment. The Bug-Death was put on dry with a duster and our experience would indicate that it is difficult to dust the plants thoroughly with a less amount than 40 pounds per acre at one time.

Two applications of Paris green proved sufficient to kill the bugs this season and the third application was given in order to make the number of applications for each plot uniform. The cost of putting on these materials was practically the same in each case.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The following summary gives the total yield per acre and the cost of materials used per acre.

	Total yield per acre.		Cost of material used.
	Bush.	lbs.	Per acre.
Bug Death.....	417	5	\$8 40
Bordeaux mixture.....	407	10	3 06
Paris green.....	403	40	1 13

RUSSIAN SUNFLOWERS.

The sunflower seed was sown in rows 3 feet apart with the Wisner seed drill. The land was previously in grain, and was manured in the fall of 1901, with 25 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre, which was ploughed under. In the spring this was worked up with the spring-tooth, disc, and smoothing harrows. The seed was sown May 17 in one-fortieth acre plots. The crop of heads was cut Oct. 4 and the yield per acre was 5 tons 700 lbs.

HAY.

The upland which was seeded down to clover and timothy, yielded 57 tons 470 lbs. from 18 acres.

The underdrained marsh of 9 acres yielded 16 tons 1,500 lbs. The remainder of marsh of 41 acres yielded 64 tons 475 lbs. This made a total of 138 tons 445 lbs. of hay harvested, which was secured in good condition.

CORRESPONDENCE.

There were 1,616 letters received and 1,336 sent out during the year, apart from the receipt and dispatch of circulars and reports.

GRAIN AND POTATO DISTRIBUTION.

Some of the most promising varieties of grain and potatoes were again distributed this year to farmers who made application. The following number of three pound packages were sent to various places.

Potatoes.....	325
Oats.....	208
Wheat.....	83
Barley.....	67
Pease.....	40
Buckwheat.....	16
Winter Rye.....	6
Total.....	745

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

EXHIBITIONS AND AGRICULTURAL MEETINGS.

An exhibit of farm produce was made at the International Exhibition, St. John, N.B., Aug. 30 to Sept. 6, at the Nova Scotia Provincial Exhibition, Halifax, N.S., Sept. 10 to 18, and at the Prince Edward Island Exhibition, Charlottetown, P.E.I., Sept. 23 to 26. This exhibit was made up of grains, fruits, vegetables and roots grown on the farm during the past season.

Besides occasional agricultural addresses at various places, I delivered a series of lectures at Sussex, to the students taking the dairy course at the Sussex Dairy School March 14 to 26.

HORSES.

During this year one draught horse was bought. There are now on the farm seven horses, five of which are used exclusively for draught purposes, one for express work, and one for driving.

DAIRY CATTLE.

The herd on the farm at present consists of:—

1 Guernsey bull, 4 years old.	1 Holstein heifer, 2 years old.
1 Ayrshire bull, 1½ years old.	2 Jersey cows.
2 Guernsey cows.	19 Grade Milch cows.
1 Guernsey heifer, 1½ years old.	5 Grade Ay. heifers, 1½ years old.
5 Ayrshire cows.	2 Ayrshire heifers, 10 months old.
1 Ayrshire heifer, 1½ years old.	1 Grade Ay. heifer, 10 months old.
2 Holstein cows.	

We have also at present on hand 20 grade Shorthorn steers, 3 years old on experiment, 10 grade steers 1 year old, and 10 steer calves, also on experiment. Total, 83.

EXPERIMENTS WITH COWS.

The experiment with a view to determine, whether a fairly good dairy herd, well fed and cared for, would leave a credit balance after paying for feed consumed, and receiving credit for product at current prices, was again continued.

The different feeds were charged at the following prices:—Wheat bran, \$20 per ton; pea meal, \$30 per ton; oats, \$28 per ton; oil cake, \$35 per ton, making an average price of mixed meal ration, as per proportion fed to cows, of 1¼ cents per pound. Roots at \$2 per ton, ensilage at \$2 per ton and hay at \$7 per ton.

The ration fed to cows in full milk in winter was, ensilage or roots, 50 lbs; meal, 10 lbs.; and hay, 10 lbs., making a cost of 21 cents per cow per day.

In summer months while milking they were charged \$2.50 per month.

When dry in winter they were charged \$3 per month, and when dry in summer \$1 per month.

Different quantities were fed to different cows according to their capacity to consume and produce.

They were kept in the stable from November 1, 1901, to June 1, 1902, except on occasional fine days, when they were allowed out in the yard.

They were fed, watered and milked twice each day, at as nearly regular intervals as possible and by the same persons.

The summer feed was practically all summer soiling crop, rye, clover, oats, pease and vetches grown together and sown at different times.

The milk of each cow was weighed at milking twice each day, and a careful record kept of the number of pounds given.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The percentage of fat in the milk of each cow was determined by the Babcock milk tester, and the fat credited to the cows, on the basis that 85 pounds fat produces 100 pounds marketable butter.

The milk was sent to the Nappan dairy station, and the cows were credited with the butter produced at the prices paid to all patrons of that station, which averaged for the year $22\frac{3}{4}$ cents per pound, which after deducting 4 cents per pound for manufacturing butter and hauling milk leaves $18\frac{3}{4}$ cents per pound.

The skim-milk was fed to calves and pigs, and credited to the cows at the rate of 15 cents per 100 pounds.

The following table will show the results obtained during the year:—

Name.	Breed.	Days Milk-ing.	Milk.	Fat.	Butter.	Total Credit.	Total Cost.	Profit.
			Lbs.	p. c.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Eva Rooker.....	Holstein.....	290	10880	3.4	435.20	109 52	65 25	44 27
Molly.....	Ayrshire Grade.....	287	8782	3.9	402.93	100 10	65 37	34 73
Ilda Rooker.....	Holstein.....	285	9430	3.4	377.20	94 92	62 38	32 54
Corie.....	Ayrshire Grade.....	272	8430	3.9	386.70	96 08	66 96	29 12
Carrie.....	Ayrshire Grade.....	275	6975	3.7	303.61	75 78	53 24	22 54
Lucy.....	".....	325	8010	3.6	339.17	84 88	64 06	20 82
Jessie P.....	".....	221	6540	3.8	292.37	72 81	52 31	20 50
Aiton.....	".....	300	7745	3.8	301.54	76 08	57 88	18 20
Rex's Maud.....	Guernsey.....	210	4960	4.9	285.92	69 76	53 18	16 58
Beatrice.....	Ayrshire.....	240	6560	3.6	277.83	69 53	53 31	16 22
Daisy.....	Ayrshire Grade.....	310	6450	3.8	288.35	71 80	56 03	15 77
Bell.....	".....	240	5740	4.2	283.62	70 02	54 99	15 03
Annie.....	".....	240	5675	4.2	280.41	69 22	54 46	14 76
Nellie.....	Guernsey.....	190	4840	4.7	267.62	65 50	50 75	14 75
Lady Lock.....	Ayrshire.....	225	5965	3.8	266.58	66 38	51 81	14 57
Louise.....	".....	240	6200	3.6	262.84	65 69	52 69	13 00
Curly.....	Ay. Gn. Grade.....	210	5240	4.1	252.75	62 53	50 81	11 72
Sansy.....	Ayrshire.....	280	5610	3.9	257.40	63 95	52 29	11 66
Mary.....	Jersey Grade.....	210	5110	4.1	246.48	60 99	49 50	11 47
Ida B.....	Ayrshire Grade.....	270	6010	3.7	261.61	65 30	54 18	11 12
Rose.....	Holstein Grade.....	210	5340	3.7	232.46	58 02	48 94	9 08
Yellow Kate.....	Ayrshire.....	240	5280	3.6	223.62	49 66	49 66	6 40

EXPERIMENTS WITH STEERS.

This experiment was again carried on with a view to testing the advisability of dehorning full grown steers at the commencement of their feeding period, whether fed in loose boxes or tied in stalls.

Twelve 3-year old steers were used for this test, in 3 lots of 4 each, of as nearly as possible, equal form, fatness and weight (shorthorn grades).

All weights were taken after a fast of 14 hours, i.e., at 9 a. m. without feed.

The horns were taken off lots 1 and 2 and left on lot 3.

By careful weighing both before and after dehorning, it was found that about two weeks were required to regain the loss in weight from dehorning. The average loss was about 25 lbs. per steer.

All lots were fed alike as nearly as possible from start to finish of test, and kept in the stable all the time, except on occasional fine days, when they were let out for a time, averaging not more than once a week.

The feeds were charged at the following prices: Hay, \$7 per ton; roots, \$2 per ton; ensilage, \$2 per ton; mixed meals averaged \$25 per ton as per proportion fed.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

RECORD of steers, fed from December 1, 1901, to April 30, 1902.

LOT I.—DEHORND, FED IN LOOSE BOX.

Numbers.	Dec. 1.	Dec. 31.	Gain.	Jan. 30.	Gain.	Mar. 1.	Gain.	Mar. 31.	Gain.	Apr. 30.	Gain.	Total Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
9.....	1,250	1,340	90	1,400	60	1,480	80	1,555	75	1,600	45	350
10.....	1,195	1,290	95	1,380	90	1,445	65	1,535	90	1,575	40	380
11.....	1,170	1,245	75	1,315	70	1,390	75	1,455	65	1,490	35	320
12.....	1,185	1,270	85	1,360	90	1,440	80	1,515	75	1,560	45	375
	4,800	5,145	345	5,455	310	5,755	300	6,060	305	6,225	165	1,425

LOT II.—DEHORND, TIED IN STALLS.

	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
5.....	1,120	1,200	80	1,270	70	1,320	50	1,390	70	1,430	40	310
6.....	1,145	1,235	90	1,305	70	1,365	60	1,445	80	1,485	40	340
7.....	1,130	1,200	70	1,260	60	1,310	50	1,355	45	1,395	40	266
8.....	1,095	1,200	105	1,280	80	1,335	55	1,395	60	1,430	35	335
	4,490	4,835	345	5,115	280	5,330	215	5,585	255	5,740	155	1,250

LOT III.—NOT DEHORND, TIED IN STALLS.

	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
1.....	1,240	1,330	90	1,400	70	1,460	60	1,525	65	1,570	45	330
2.....	1,205	1,260	55	1,320	60	1,390	70	1,465	75	1,510	45	305
3.....	1,105	1,190	85	1,255	65	1,320	65	1,400	80	1,440	40	335
4.....	1,160	1,220	60	1,280	60	1,330	50	1,405	75	1,450	45	290
	4,710	5,000	290	5,255	255	5,500	245	5,795	295	5,970	175	1,260

STEERS—EXPERIMENT II.

With a view to determine the advisability of putting in heavy or light steers to feed, eight steers were put in box-stalls in 2 lots of 4 each, of about equal form and fatness, weighing respectively 4,800 and 4,000 pounds. The results are as follows, after feeding the animals alike:—

LOT I.—HEAVY STEERS.

Numbers.	Dec. 1.	Dec. 31.	Gain.	Jan. 30.	Gain.	Mar. 1.	Gain.	Mar. 31.	Gain.	Apr. 30.	Gain.	Total Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
17.....	1,250	1,340	90	1,400	60	1,480	80	1,555	75	1,600	45	350
18.....	1,195	1,290	95	1,380	90	1,445	65	1,535	90	1,575	40	380
19.....	1,170	1,245	75	1,315	70	1,390	75	1,455	65	1,490	35	320
20.....	1,185	1,270	85	1,360	90	1,440	80	1,515	75	1,560	45	375
	4,800	5,145	345	5,455	310	5,755	300	6,060	305	6,225	165	1,425

LOT II.—LIGHT STEERS.

	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
13.....	1,020	1,100	80	1,170	70	1,250	80	1,315	65	1,355	40	335
14.....	960	1,045	85	1,100	65	1,200	90	1,265	65	1,315	50	355
15.....	1,010	1,095	85	1,160	65	1,245	85	1,315	70	1,360	45	350
16.....	1,010	1,096	85	1,165	70	1,235	70	1,300	65	1,345	45	335
	4,000	4,335	335	4,605	270	4,930	325	5,195	265	5,375	180	1,375

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

• COMMENTS ON EXPERIMENT II.

Original weight of 4 heavy steers 4,800 at 4c. per lb.....	\$192 00
Weight at finish of 4 heavy steers, 6,225 lbs. at 6½c per lb.....	381 28
Balance.....	189 28
Cost of feed for lot, 150 days.....	105 84
Profit on lot.....	83 44
Original weight of 4 light steers, 4,000 lbs. at 4c. per lb.....	\$ 160 00
Weight at finish of 4 light steers, 5,375 lbs. at 6½c. per lb.....	329 22
Balance..	169 22
Cost of feed for lot, 150 days.....	105 84
Profit on lot.....	63 38

Making a difference in favour of heavy steers of \$5.01 per steer.

Provided gains of each lot had been equal, then balance would still be \$4.25, which would seem to justify paying a higher price per pound for the heavier steers when putting in to feed.

COST OF 1 STEER PER DAY FOR ENTIRE PERIOD.

Period.	Daily Ration.	Daily cost.	Cost for period.	—
1901.		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Dec. to Dec. 31.....	Roots, 90 lbs.	0 09	2 70	
	Meal, 3 "	0 03½	1 12½	
	Hay, 10 "	0 03½	1 05	
1902.				4 87½
Dec. 31 to Jan. 30.....	Roots, 60 lbs.	0 06	1 80	
	Meal, 5 "	0 06½	1 87½	
	Hay, 10 "	0 03½	1 05	
				4 72½
Jan. 30 to Mar. 1.....	Roots, 40 lbs.	0 04	1 20	
	Meal, 7 "	0 08½	2 62½	
	Hay, 12 "	0 04½	1 26	
				5 08½
Mar. 1 to Mar. 31.....	Roots, 30 lbs.	0 03	0 90	
	Meal, 9 "	0 11½	3 37½	
	Hay, 15 "	0 05½	1 57½	
				5 85
Mar. 31 to April 30.....	Ensilage, 20 lbs.	0 02	0 60	
	Meal, 10 "	0 12½	3 75	
	Hay, 15 "	0 05½	1 57½	
				5 92½
Cost of feed of 1 steer.....				26 46
" 16 steers.....				423 36

Original weight of 16 steers, 18,000 lbs. at 4c. per lb.....	\$ 720 00
Weight at finish of 16 steers, 23,310 lbs. at 6½c. per lb.....	1,427 72
Balance.....	707 73
Cost of feed for lot, 150 days.....	423 36
Net profit.....	284 37

Daily rate of grain per steer.....	Lbs.	2 21
Cost of 1 lb. gain.....	Cts.	7 97
" feed per day per steer.....	"	17 64
Profit per steer.....	\$	17 77

CONTINUATION OF STEER CALF EXPERIMENT.

This experiment, with a view to determine the comparative economy of feeding calves a full fattening ration from the start, as contrasted with a limited growing ration, begun last year with 12 steer-calves, in two lots of six each, was continued, but owing to the death of one calf in the full-fattening lot, early in the year, it was thought best to reduce both lots and continue the experiment with 10 calves. Last year's experiment is being repeated with 10 steer calves termed 'calves of May 1902, Exp. II.'

In estimating the cost of feeding calves the following values were placed on the different feeds:—

New milk, \$1.00 per 100 lbs.

Skim-milk, 15 cts. per 100 lbs.

Wheat bran, \$1.00 per 100 "

Crushed oats, \$1.40 per 100 lbs.

Oil-cake, \$1.75 per 100 lbs.

Roots or Ensilage; 10 cts. per 100 lbs.

Hay, \$7.00 per ton.


Straw, \$3.00 per ton.

FULL FATTENING RATIONS—EXPERIMENT I.—CALVES OF MAY, 1901.

Period.	Daily Rations per Steer.	Amount Fed during Period.	Cost.	—
		Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Dec. 1, 1901 to Jan. 1, 1902.	Roots, 15 lbs.....	2,325	2 32½	7 55½
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	310	3 87½	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	387½	1 35½	
Jan. 1 to Feb. 1.....	Roots, 20 lbs.....	3,100	3 10	8 33½
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	310	3 87½	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	387½	1 35½	
Feb. 1 to Mar. 1.....	Roots, 25 lbs.....	3,500	3 50	9 97½
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	420	5 25	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	350	1 22½	
Mar. 1 to April 1.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	4,550	4 65	11 82
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	465	5 81½	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	387½	1 35½	
April 1 to May 1....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	4,500	4 50	12 22½
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	450	5 62½	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	600	2 10	
May 1 to June 1.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	4,650	4 65	12 63½
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	465	5 81½	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	620	2 17	
June 1 to July 1.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	4,500	4 50	12 75
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	450	5 62½	
	Hay, 5 lbs.....	750	2 62½	
July 1 to Aug. 1.....	Green feed, 40 lbs.....	6,200	6 20	10 07½
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	310	3 87½	
	Pastured on rape at \$1 per month.....		5 00	
Aug. 1 to Sept. 1.....	Meal, 2 lbs.....	310	3 87½	8 87½
	Pastured on rape at \$1 per month.....		5 00	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	300	3 75	
Sept. 1 to Oct. 1.....	Pasture at \$1 per month.....		5 00	5 00
	Meal, 2 lbs.....			
	Pasture at \$1 per month.....			
Oct. 1 to Nov. 1....	Roots, 40 lbs.....	6,000	6 00	14 25
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	450	5 62½	
	Hay, 5 lbs.....	750	2 62½	
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	Cost of feed for 5 steers, 365 days....			122 24½



CATTLE AT PASTURE, EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.



FIELD OF UPLAND HAY, EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S. YIELD, 3 TONS, 360 LBS. PER ACRE.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FULL FATTENING RATION.

Period.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Dec. 1, 1901 to Jan. 1, 1902.....	2,450	2,660	210
Jan. 1 to Feb. 1.....	2,660	2,825	165
Feb. 1 to Mar. 1.....	2,825	3,000	175
Mar. 1 to April 1.....	3,000	3,190	190
April 1 to May 1.....	3,190	3,360	170
May 1 to June 1.....	3,360	3,580	220
June 1 to July 1.....	3,580	3,815	235
July 1 to Aug. 1.....	3,815	4,000	195
Aug. 1 to Sept. 1.....	4,000	Pasture.	
Sept. 1 to Oct. 1.....	Pasture.	"	
Oct. 1 to Nov. 1.....	"	4,400	400
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	4,400	4,620	220

Total gain Dec. 1, 1901 to Dec. 1, 1902 Lbs. 2,170
 Weight at start..... " 2,450
 Weight at finish..... " 4,620

Daily rate of gain per steer..... " 1.13
 Cost of 1 lb. gain..... cts. 5.63
 Cost of feed per day per steer..... 6.46
 Cost of lot 1 year..... \$122 24

LIMITED GROWING RATION.—EXPERIMENT I.—CALVES OF MAY, 1901.

Period.	Daily Ration.	Amount Fed during Period.	Cost.	—
		Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Dec. 1, 1901, to Jan. 1, 1902.	Roots, 15 lbs.....	2,325	2 32½	
	Meal, 1 lb.....	155	1 55	
	Straw, 2½ lbs.....	387½	0 58½	
				4 46
Jan. 1 to Feb. 1.....	Roots, 20 lbs.....	3,100	3 10	
	Meal, ½ lb.....	77½	0 77½	
	Straw, 5 lbs.....	775	1 16¼	
				5 03½
Feb. 1 to Mar. 1.....	Roots, 25 lbs.....	3,500	3 50	
	Meal, ½ lb.....	70	0 70	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	350	1 22½	
				5 42½
Mar. 1 to April 1.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	4,650	4 65	
	Meal, ½ lb.....	77½	0 77½	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	387½	1 35½	
				6 78½
April 1 to May 1.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	4,500	4 50	
	Meal, ½ lb.....	75	0 75	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	375	1 31½	
				6 56½
May 1 to June 1.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	4,650	4 65	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	620	2 17	
				6 82
June 1 to Nov. 1.....	At pasture, at 75c. p. m. p. steer.....		18 75	
				18 75
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	Roots, 40 lbs.....	6,000	6 00	
	Straw, 5 lbs.....	750	1 12½	
				7 12½
	Cost of feed for 5 steers for 365 days.....			60 96

LIMITED GROWING RATION.

Period.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Dec. 1, 1901, to Jan 1, 1902.....	1,960	2,100	140
Jan. 1 to Feb. 1.....	2,100	2,210	110
Feb. 1 to March 1.....	2,210	2,330	120
March 1 to April 1.....	2,330	2,500	170
April 1 to May 1.....	2,500	2,630	130
May 1 to June 1.....	2,630	2,800	170
June 1 to Nov. 1.....	2,800	3,315	515
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	3,315	3,485	170

Total gain Dec. 1, 1901, to Dec. 1, 1902	Lbs.	1,525
Weight at start.....	"	1,960
Weight at finish.....	"	3,485
Daily rate of gain per steer.....	Lbs.	.83
Cost of 1 lb. gain.....	cts.	3.99
" feed per day per steer.....	"	3.25
" lot for year.....	\$60 96	

FULL FATTENING RATION—EXPERIMENT II—CALVES OF MAY, 1902.

Period 1902.	Daily Ration per Calf.	Amount Fed during Period.	Cost.	Total Cost.
		Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
May 16 to June 1.....	10 lbs. whole milk..... 10 lbs. skim-milk.....	750 750	7 50 1 12½	8 62½
June 1 to July 1.....	10 lbs. whole milk..... 10 lbs. skim-milk..... ¼ lb. bran and oil cake.....	1,500 1,500 37½	15 00 2 25 0 37½	17 62½
July 1 to Aug. 1.....	8 lbs. whole milk..... 12 lbs. skim-milk..... ½ lb. bran and oil cake.....	1,240 1,860 77½	12 40 2 79 0 77½	15 96½
Aug. 1 to Sept. 1.....	20 lbs. skim-milk..... 1 lb. crushed oats..... ½ lb. bran and oil cake..... 2 lbs. hay.....	3,100 155 77½ 310	4 65 2 17 0 77½ 1 34	8 93½
Sept. 1 to Oct. 1.....	10 lbs. skim-milk..... 1 lb. crushed oats..... ½ lb. bran and oil cake..... 2 lbs. hay.....	1,500 150 75 300	2 25 2 08 0 75 1 20	6 28
Oct. 1 to Nov. 1.....	10 lbs. roots..... 1 lb. crushed oats..... 1 lb. bran and oil cake..... 2 lbs. hay.....	1,550 155 155 310	1 55 2 17 1 55 1 34	6 61
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	10 lbs. roots..... 1½ lbs. crushed oats..... 1 lb. bran and oil cake..... 2 lbs. hay.....	1,500 225 150 300	1 50 3 12 1 50 1 20	7 32
	Cost of feed for 5 calves 198 days.....			71 36

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FULL FATTENING RATION—CALVES, 1902.

Period 1902.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
May 16 to June 1.....	955	1,075	120
June 1 to July 1.....	1,075	1,360	285
July 1 to Aug. 1.....	1,360	1,600	240
Aug. 1 to Sept. 1.....	1,600	1,830	230
Sept. 1 to Oct. 1.....	1,830	2,100	270
Oct. 1 to Nov. 1.....	2,100	2,305	205
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	2,305	2,580	275

Total gain May 16 to Dec. 1..... Lbs. 1,625
 Weight at start..... " 955
 Weight at finish..... " 2,580

Daily rate of gain per steer..... " 1 64
 Cost of 1 lb. gain..... cts. 4 38
 Cost of feed per day per steer..... " 7 20
 Cost of feed for lot for 198 days..... \$ 71 36

LIMITED GROWING RATION—EXPERIMENT II—CALVES OF MAY 1902.

Period 1902.	Daily Ration per Calf.	Amount Fed during Period.	Cost.	Total Cost.
		Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
May 16 to June 1.....	8 lbs. whole milk..... 12 lbs. skim-milk.....	600 900	6 00 1 35	7 35
June 1 to July 1.....	20 lbs. skim-milk..... $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. bran and oil cake.....	3,000 37 $\frac{1}{2}$	4 50 0 37 $\frac{1}{2}$	4 87 $\frac{1}{2}$
July 1 to Aug. 1.....	20 lbs. skim-milk..... $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. bran and oil cake.....	3,100 38 $\frac{3}{4}$	4 65 0 38 $\frac{3}{4}$	5 03 $\frac{3}{4}$
Aug. 1 to Sept. 1.....	20 lbs. skim-milk..... $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. bran and oil cake..... 2 lbs. hay.....	3,100 38 $\frac{1}{2}$ 310	4 65 0 38 $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 34	6 37 $\frac{3}{4}$
Sept. 1 to Oct. 1.....	10 lbs. skim milk..... $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. bran and oil cake..... 2 lbs. hay.....	1,500 75 300	2 25 0 75 1 20	4 20
Oct. 1 to Nov. 1.....	2 lbs. roots..... $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. bran and oil cake..... 2 lbs. hay.....	775 77 $\frac{1}{2}$ 310	0 77 $\frac{1}{2}$ 0 77 $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 34	2 89
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	10 lbs. roots..... $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. bran and oil cake..... 2 lbs. hay.....	1,500 75 300	1 50 0 75 1 20	3 45
	Cost of feed of 5 steers for 198 days.....			34 18

LIMITED GROWING RATION—CALVES 1902.

Period 1902.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
May 16 to June 1.....	605	725	120
June 1 to July 1.....	725	925	200
July 1 to Aug. 1.....	925	1,135	210
Aug. 1 to Sept. 1.....	1,135	1,330	195
Sept. 1 to Oct. 1.....	1,330	1,525	195
Oct. 1 to Nov. 1.....	1,525	1,710	185
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	1,710	1,945	235

Total gain May 16 to Dec. 1.....	Lbs.	1,340
Weight at start.....	"	605
Weight at finish.....	"	1,945
Daily rate of gain per steer.....	"	1.35
Cost of 1 lb. gain.....	cts.	2.55
Cost of feed per day per steer.....	"	3.45
Cost of feed for lot, 198 days.....	\$	34 18

PIGS.

The herd at present consists of Yorkshires, Berkshires, Tamworths and their crosses, in all 52 head, as follows:—

- 1 Yorkshire boar, registered.
- 2 Yorkshire sows, registered.
- 1 Berkshire boar, registered.
- 2 Berkshire sows, registered.
- 1 Tamworth sow, registered.
- 3 grade brood sows.
- 40 grade pigs from one to four months old.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SWINE.

FEEDING IN PASTURE *versus* FEEDING IN PENS.

Unfortunately the pasture available for this test was quite poor, and until some further experiments are made no conclusion can be drawn.

The pigs were put into the test at from 2 to 4 months old, in 2 lots of 10 each, on July 1. They were fed on a ration of 3 lbs. buckwheat, shorts and wheat bran and 5 lbs. skim-milk. The results are as follows:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FEEDING IN PENS.

No.	Breed.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Net gain.	Number of Days fed.	Daily gain
		Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.		Lbs.
1	Yorkshire (D) Berkshire (S).....	58	161	103	85	1.21
2	" ".....	53	160	107	85	1.25
3	" ".....	49	163	114	85	1.34
4	Yorkshire-Tamworth (D) Berkshire (S).....	49	171	122	102	1.01
5	" ".....	48	181	133	102	1.10
6	" ".....	44	172	128	102	1.06
7	Chester (D) Yorkshire (S).....	38	168	130	120	1.09
8	" ".....	35	177	142	120	1.19
9	" ".....	34	158	124	120	1.04
10	Berkshire.....	40	191	151	120	1.26

FEEDING IN PASTURE.

1	Yorkshire (D) Berkshire (S).....	70	172	102	85	1.20
2	" ".....	68	168	100	85	1.18
3	" ".....	65	161	96	85	1.13
4	Yorkshire-Tamworth (D) Berkshire (S).....	45	177	132	102	1.29
5	" ".....	40	169	129	102	1.26
6	" ".....	44	177	132	102	1.30
7	Chester (D) Berkshire (S).....	29	179	150	120	1.25
8	" ".....	31	180	149	120	1.24
9	" ".....	37	185	148	120	1.23
10	Berkshire.....	35	180	145	120	1.30

SHEEP.

The flock on the farm at present consists of :—

- 1 Pure bred Leicester ram.
- 5 Pure bred Leicester ewes.
- 5 Pure bred Shropshire ewes.
- 2 Pure bred Shropshire ewe lambs.
- 4 Grade Shropshire ewes.

POULTRY.

Four varieties were kept this year. Barred Plymouth Rocks, Black Minorcas, White Leghorns and White Wyandottes. The pens were made up as follows :—

Barred Plymouth Rocks, 7 hens and 1 cock.

Black Minorcas, 4 hens and 1 cock.

White Leghorns, 6 hens and 1 cock.

White Wyandottes, 2 hens and 1 cock.

During the winter season the hens were fed a corn-meal mash in the morning and whole grain in the afternoon. Green bones and crushed oyster shells were occasionally given and free access to water and dust bath.

The eggs laid during the year by the different breeds were :—

Barred Plymouth Rocks.....	277
White Leghorns.....	401
Black Minorcas.....	151
White Wyandottes.....	120

The incubator (Willetts) was used again this year but with very poor results.

The number of eggs in each hatch and percentage of chickens hatched was as follows :

1st hatch, March 4, 100 eggs. Not fertile, 47. Died in shell, 26. Chickens hatched, 27.

2nd hatch, March 26, 120 eggs. Not fertile, 45. Died in shell, 43. Chickens hatched, 32.

3rd hatch, April 21, 120 eggs. Not fertile, 32. Died in shell, 33. Chickens hatched, 55.

April 21, set Barred Plymouth Rock hen on 13 eggs from Montreal. Not fertile, 2. Died in shell, 6. Hatched, 5.

May 3, set White Leghorn hen on 13 eggs from Montreal. Not fertile, 5. Died in shell, 1. Hatched, 7.

Whether hatching with the incubator or the hen the same results were obtained, i.e., a large percentage of the chicks died at the pipping stage, especially was this the case in the earlier hatches.

BEES.

I have to report poor success with bees this year. Four colonies were put on their summer stands on April 15, 1902. Two swarms were captured during July and two were lost.

No honey was extracted during the summer and the colonies were put in the cellar, their winter quarters, weighing respectively 40, 33, 28, 31, 35 and 37 pounds.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

R. ROBERTSON,

Superintendent.

REPORT OF THE HORTICULTURIST.

(W. S. BLAIR.)

NAPPAN, N.S., December 1, 1902.

To DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith a report of some of the work done in the horticultural department of the Experimental Farm for the maritime provinces for the year 1902.

April was a fine open month giving indications of an early spring. This, however, was offset by a cool May with several heavy frosts. The last, and most severe, was on the 19th of 8°, and 21st of 6°. Generally speaking May was cool and dry, and June cool and wet. The spring being backward made early growth with both fruits and vegetables slow, and the continued cool weather made the season unfavourable for those vegetables that require a good amount of heat. The daily average highest and lowest temperatures for the months of May, June, July, August and September, 1902, as compared with those of 1901 and 1900, were as follows :—

	Maximum.			Minimum.		
	1902.	1901.	1900.	1902.	1901.	1900.
May.....	57·7°	55·3°	55·9°	37·6°	40·9°	36·3°
June.....	64·3°	69·8°	68·°	44·7°	48·9°	46·1°
July.....	72·6°	76·4°	75·°	50·9°	54·1°	54·°
August.....	73·°	75·7°	71·8°	53·9°	54·9°	52·4°
September.....	68·4°	68·2°	65·4°	46·6°	48·7°	41·4°

It will be seen from the foregoing that particularly the months of July, August and June were much cooler than usual. The average daily lowest temperature for May was 40·9° in 1901 and 37·6° in 1902, with a daily highest temperature of 57·7° in 1902 and 55·3° in 1901. Therefore, it will be seen that on the average May was about equal with other years in respect to temperature. The average highest daily temperature, for the months of June, July and August were 69·9° in 1902; 73·9° in 1901, and 71·6 in 1900. The average lowest daily temperatures for these three months were 52·6° in 1902; 49·8° in 1901 and 50·8° in 1900. It will, therefore be seen that the past season had for these three months a daily highest average of 4° less heat than 1901, and a daily average lowest temperature of 3° less than 1901. This indicates a continuance of cooler weather than usual, which was particularly noticeable in its effect on certain crops. The first frost was on Sept. 26, when 29° F. was registered. The next was on Oct. 5 of 29°.

The apple crop in Nova Scotia is this season much below the average, both as to yield and quality. Taking the whole province the yield is estimated at less than one-half of an average crop. The weather being cool and damp at the time of blossoming no doubt largely accounts for this light crop. The influence of such conditions has been much more marked in some sections than in others, and consequently the distribution of fruit is irregular. The almost continuous wet cool weather during spraying time is accountable for more apple scab fungus than usual, and the light crop of fruit instead of being of a higher grade, as one would naturally expect, is much below the average.

Fruit trees generally have made good growth this year. The apple crop at Nappan was exceptionally good, especially did the fall varieties do well. The plum crop was only fair. The cherry crop was a complete failure here. The frost of the 19th and 21st of May killed the blossoms which were about out. In neighbouring districts where this frost did not strike so heavily, and where trees came into bloom later a fair crop of cherries was obtained.

The strawberry crop was good, due very largely to seasonable showers at the time of ripening. The raspberry, and gooseberry crops were only fair. Black currants were a poor crop, and red and white currants a good crop.

The shrubs which are year by year becoming more interesting and attractive made splendid advancement this season.

The collection of annual flowering plants, and perennials, made the best show this year that we have ever had. The show of sweet peas and dahlias was especially good.

Experiments were again carried on with vegetables of various kinds, a summary of some of these experiments is given in this report.

Information is also submitted on the work done in testing different varieties of cherries, and a descriptive list is given of those which have so far done the best.

Space has also been given to some of those varieties of apples, and plums that promise to be useful for more general culture.

I beg to acknowledge the following donations:—N. E. Jack, Esq., Chateauguay Basin, P.Q. Fameuse, and McIntosh Red, scions; A. H. Johnson, Esq., Wolfville, N.S. Pryor's Red apple, and 'October' plum scions.

APPLE ORCHARD.

The apple orchard has made splendid growth this season, and a fair amount of good fruit was obtained. The many Russian sorts which are proving of little value in orchard No. 1. are being top grafted with new varieties. Orchard No. 2. which has the advantage of protection from heavy winds by a belt of spruce trees, and has also a lighter soil, is making splendid progress, and gave some good fruit this year. The following descriptive list embraces those apples which up to the present time are the most vigorous and productive and which are likely to be of commercial value for many sections of the maritime provinces.

McINTOSH RED.

Three trees of this variety were planted in the spring of 1890. They have made only fair growth. The soil in which they are growing is a heavy clay loam, with a very heavy clay subsoil running to within eight or ten inches of the surface. This soil does not seem to be adapted to apples of the Fameuse group, and as this apple is one of that class the results obtained here do not give a fair idea of its general value in the maritime provinces. Excellent specimens of McIntosh Red have been grown in the St. John river valley, and in other parts of this province on naturally drained sandy soils. This fruit may probably succeed well on the lighter soils on farms in central New Brunswick.

The fruit of this variety grown here has not matured perfectly, due, no doubt, to the heavy cold soil. The fruit is liable to scab if not sprayed, but it is not so bad in

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

this respect as the Fameuse. It has not been so heavy or so early a bearer as the Fameuse, but the fruit is much larger. The tree has a spreading open growth. This is an excellent apple for either home use or local markets. It is shipped from Montreal to the English market, as a high grade dessert apple, packed in bushel boxes.

The following is a description of McIntosh Red as given by Waugh: 'Fruit round, oblate, slightly irregular; size medium large; cavity variable, sloping, nearly regular; stem usually short; basin medium deep, rather abrupt; calyx small, tightly closed; colour nearly even dark rich wine red, shading to light pinkish crimson in the shade; dots many; bloom heavy and conspicuous; skin tough; flesh snow white with crimson shadings; core medium; flavour subacid; aromatic; quality, good about like Fameuse; season December and January.' It originated on the McIntosh homestead in Matilda township, Ontario, and was first distributed about thirty years ago. It is now grown in many states of the Union, being specially adapted to the requirements of the fancy fruit trade in the larger cities.

CANADA BALDWIN.

Three trees of this variety were planted in 1890. They fruited in 1894 and have borne heavy and light crops on alternate years ever since. This fruit is said to belong to the Fameuse group. It is not liable to scab, and is an abundant bearer. The fruit is not large, and on this account is not likely to take a prominent place commercially. It is, however, of special value for central New Brunswick, where excellent specimens have been grown. This fruit does not develop properly here, due to the extremely heavy soil. The following is a description of this fruit by Waugh: 'Fruit oblate; size medium; cavity deep, flaring; stem long, slender; basin medium deep, smooth; calyx medium, closed; colour dull, dark red, striped and washed over a light greenish yellow ground; dots several white, bloom moderately heavy; skin tough; flesh white with much red, tender; core medium; flavour subacid, with Fameuse aroma, juicy; quality good; season December and January; tree thrifty and hardy.'

ONTARIO.

Two trees of this variety were planted in the spring of 1890 in orchard No. 1. In the No. 2 orchard, which is protected with a shelter belt of spruce trees, one tree was planted in the spring of 1897. The two trees in orchard No. 1, which is a much heavier clay loam than orchard No. 2, and not at all protected, have made from poor to fair growth. The tree in orchard No. 2 has made good growth. This variety fruits early. The two trees planted in 1890 fruited lightly in 1894, and the one planted in 1897 fruited this season. It gives a good crop of handsome, well formed, even sized fruit of good quality for the table, and excellent for cooking. The tree has a rather spreading head, is vigorous and hardy. This is a desirable winter sort for either home use or market. The fruit ships well and has been sent from Ontario to the British market and realized as good prices as the Spy. This apple is medium to large, oblate, frequently angular, and slightly conical; cavity broad, deep, irregular; basin deep, slightly corrugated; calyx small; skin firm and close in texture; colour whitish yellow with a red cheek and covered by bright bloom, with a few dots. The flesh is whitish yellow, juicy, tender, a brisk, sub-acid, fine grained, slightly aromatic, quality good. Season January to April. This fruit should be handled very carefully as it shows bruises readily. It was originated by the late Charles Arnold, of Paris, Ont., by crossing Wagener with Spy.

CANADA RED.

Trees of this variety were planted in 1890. The tree has made fairly strong growth. Specimens that I have seen growing on a lighter soil than that at Nappan were more healthy and vigorous. The fruit is medium in size, but it is an abundant bearer, and comes into fruiting early. It is a good dessert or cooking apple, and ships well to foreign

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

markets. This fruit is well adapted to localities where the Baldwin does not succeed. It should prove of value, both in Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick. As far as it has been tested it has given good results in these provinces. The fruit is medium, roundish, slightly conical, regular; colour greenish yellow, almost covered with red, sometimes splashed or slightly striped with darker red, having numerous large prominent greenish dots; stem medium in a small deep and often russeted cavity; calyx closed, small, in a slightly corrugated basin. The flesh is greenish white, fine grained, firm, moderately juicy; mild, sub-acid, flavour fair, Season December to April. Its origin is unknown.

YORK IMPERIAL.

One tree of this variety was planted in the protected orchard in 1897. It has made good growth, and fruited this year for the first time. It had a peck of excellent fruit which coloured up well. This is a good variety and should be more largely grown. The fruit always brings a top price on the market, and it is an excellent shipper. The apple is medium to large, oblong, angular, oblique, smooth; colour striped somewhat and splashed with red over nearly the whole surface with a yellowish ground, thinly sprinkled with light and gray dots; basin deep, wide; calyx closed or partially opened; cavity not deep, narrow, russeted slightly; stem short. Season January to April.

JONATHAN.

Two trees were planted in the orchard here in 1890. They are fairly vigorous growers, and have fruited heavily for the past three years. The fruit is not large, but matures well. The tree is a great fruiter, and if not thinned the branches are liable to break with the abundant crop. If allowed to bear heavily the fruit is small; judicious thinning is necessary for best results. The fruit is of excellent quality, and makes a good dessert apple. It stands shipment well. This apple is worthy of more attention by orchardists in the maritime provinces and should be of special value for central New Brunswick as it is very hardy. The fruit is of medium size, roundish, conical; skin smooth, clear light yellow, nearly covered with bright red, shaded into rich dark red in the sun, some light yellow dots. The flesh is white, rarely a little stained with red near the surface, very tender, juicy, of a mild sprightly, subacid character with a vinous flavour; quality good, season December to March. It originated at Kingston, N.Y.

NORTH-WESTERN GREENING.

Two trees of this variety were planted in the protected orchard in 1897. These have made exceptionally thrifty growth. The trees have a well formed upright open head. They fruited this season for the first time, and over a bushel of fine extra large even sized fruit was obtained. The wood of the tree seems to be rather weak, and many of the branches were broken from the weight of fruit which should have been easily carried by trees of their size. The tree is an early bearer, and is reported as a continuous fruiter. The fruit is large to very large, round, smooth, yellowish green, very attractive. The flesh is greenish white, fine grained, inclined to be dry, mild, pleasant sub-acid and aromatic. Season December to April. This apple is a good shipper, and is grown largely in some parts of the United States as a late winter apple. It is very hardy and vigorous, and should prove of value in many parts of the eastern provinces.

GRIMES' GOLDEN.

In the spring of 1890 three trees were planted in orchard No. 1, and in 1892 one tree was planted in orchard No. 2. The tree in the protected orchard has far outstripped those in the heavier soiled unprotected orchard. They have, however, all made good growth. They have fruited heavily the past four years. This tree can carry an

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

abundant crop of fruit, which is distributed evenly over the whole tree. The head has rather a spreading but compact habit. The wood is exceedingly tough, and will stand a crop that many others would break under. The fruit is of exceptionally good quality. It is not large, and on account of its colour and size is not likely to be valuable for foreign markets. It has a place for local consumption, and should be grown by every farmer for his own use. Excellent specimens of this fruit have been grown in central New Brunswick. The fruit is roundish oblate, slightly conical, medium; colour rich golden yellow, sprinkled moderately with small gray and light dots; cavity rather deep and sometimes slightly russeted; calyx partially open, or closed; basin abrupt, uneven; flesh yellowish, crisp, tender, juicy, rich, fine grained, spicy sub-acid, with a peculiar aroma. Season December to February.

HURLBUT.

Two trees of this variety were planted in the spring of 1897. One of these has made very strong growth the other only fair growth. They fruited this season for the first time, one tree produced a bushel and the other one-half bushel of excellent fruit. The tree is said to be an early and abundant bearer on alternate years. It has a spreading open growth, and the wood is exceedingly tough, and will bear up well under a large crop of fruit. This fruit promises to become a leading early winter sort for market. It is medium to large, oblate, slightly conic, angular; skin yellow, shaded with red striped and splashed with darker red and thinly sprinkled with light dots. Stalk short, inserted in a broad rather shallow cavity, slightly russeted; calyx, closed; basin, shallow. Flesh white, crisp, tender, juicy, mild, sprightly sub-acid. Good to very good. Season December to February.

GANO.

Two trees of this variety were planted in 1897. They have made very strong growth. The tree is a much more upright and regular grower with us than the Ben Davis, which variety the Gano resembles except that the fruit is much darker in colour. The fruit ripens much better here than the Ben Davis does. Generally speaking, it far surpasses the Ben Davis grown in the orchard here. The trees do better and produce a better quality of fruit which would seem to indicate that the Gano is better adapted to a heavy soil than Ben Davis. These trees fruited this season for the first time, and produced three pecks of splendid looking fruit from each tree. It has qualities equal to the Ben Davis for shipment, and in general appearance and quality outside of its colour resembles the Ben Davis from which it is said to be a sport. It is probable that in the future it may largely take the place of Ben Davis. The fruit is round, conical; skin, green at first but entirely covered with dark red when matured. Flesh greenish white, quite juicy, firm, quality fair. Season February to May.

CHERRY ORCHARD.

Forty varieties of cherries are now growing in the experimental orchard. These are, with few exceptions, all making good growth, but unfortunately every year some trees die. This loss is confined largely to the sweet varieties, which, after coming into bearing will die one branch after another until the tree has to be removed. Only from one to three trees of a variety are under test, and the fondness of birds for sweet cherries makes it difficult to get enough ripened fruit for comparative test. Sour varieties of cherries will succeed on a heavier soil than the sweet ones, which like a naturally dry, light, loamy soil. The soil of the cherry orchard which is underdrained, is a clay loam with a very heavy clay subsoil, and probably better success would have been obtained had it been possible to have a lighter soil for this fruit.

The heavy frost of May 19, which caught the sweet cherries just coming into bloom killed the blossoms completely and no fruit was obtained. The sour cherry blossoms

were also largely killed. This frost was sectional and many sour cherry trees in surrounding districts gave a good crop.

The following list will we trust serve a useful purpose in pointing out those varieties best adapted to the maritime provinces. From our experience and observation sweet cherries should be left off the list as profitable sorts for New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island and many sections of Nova Scotia.

Prunus avium and *Prunus cerasus* are the two European species of cherries from which probably all cultivated cherries have originated. Those which have sprung from *Prunus avium* are known by their tall erect growth. The bark is glossy and of a reddish brown colour. The flowers which are borne on lateral spurs generally in clusters appear with the limp, gradually taper pointed leaves. The flesh of the fruit is soft or firm according to the variety. The fruit is yellow, black or red; spherical, heart shaped or pointed and generally sweet. Those from *Prunus cerasus* generally termed the sour cherries are low headed with spreading branches. The flowers, which appear before the stiff, rather abruptly pointed light or grayish green leaves are borne in clusters from lateral buds.

The following classification by Bailey will be found useful to fix different types of this fruit in the mind. Owing to the number of new varieties being constantly introduced, the distinctive lines dividing some of these groups are becoming less marked and intermediate forms between the upright and spreading sorts are numerous.

Prunus avium has four representatives in America:—

I.—The Mazzards or inferior seedlings; fruit of various shapes and colours; common along roadsides. In the middle Atlantic States, the Mazzard trees often attain great age and size.

II.—The Hearts or heart-shaped, soft, sweet cherries, light or dark, represented by Governor Wood and Black Tartarian.

III.—The bigarreaus or heart-shaped, firm-fleshed, sweet cherries like the varieties Napoleon and Windsor.

IV.—The Dukes; light-coloured, somewhat acid flesh, such as May Duke and Reine Hortense.

From *Prunus cerasus* two classes have sprung:—

I.—The Amarells or light-coloured, sour cherries with colourless juice, represented by Montmorency and Early Richmond.

II.—The Morellos or dark-coloured, sour cherries with dark coloured juice like the English Morello and Louis Philippe.

The Mazzard and Mahaleb cherries are used almost entirely as stock for root grafting. The Mahaleb also of European origin is thought to be hardier, but is smaller and has a dwarfing tendency. It is better adapted to clay soils.

The American wild Red or Bird cherry *Prunus Pennsylvanicum* is also used for root grafting. It is exceptionally hardy and is valuable for this purpose.

Of the Heart cherries the Governor Wood and Black Tartarian are the most successful of those tested here. The former is light yellow shaded and marbled with red. The fruit is nearly sweet, rather tender and of excellent quality. The fruit is ripe soon after the first of July. The tree has fruited well here but after a few years fruiting has died out. The Black Tartarian has very dark red or black fruit, with dark purplish flesh, sweet and very juicy. The fruit is ripe early in July. This is certainly one of the finest cherries which has fruited here, but it is not a heavy bearer, and has seldom lived here more than five or six years.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Of the Bigarreau's the variety Windsor has done the best. It has proven hardier than any of the other sweet cherries. The fruit is mottled dark red, firm, juicy, with pinkish white flesh. It has not borne heavily here, although it is said to be an abundant bearer. It is ripe after the first week in July. This cherry will probably give the best satisfaction of any of the sweet varieties. Napoleon, also of this group, ripens its fruit earlier. It has a light lemon yellow colour with a reddish cheek. The flesh is colourless and very firm. It has not been so vigorous and hardy here as Governor Wood.

From trees of the Duke class we have so far not had any fruit. The trees have not done as well as those of the Bigarreau type.

The Montmorency, Early Richmond and some of the Russian sorts are the best of the Amarelle class.

The Montmorency is probably one of the best of this group. The fruit is bright red, with nearly colourless flesh, moderately sour. The tree is vigorous and productive, ripening its fruit about the last of July.

The Early Richmond is more vigorous than Montmorency but has not proven fruitful here. Trees of this variety are found in almost every part of the provinces and prove in the most of cases to be good bearers. The fruit is bright red, somewhat smaller than Montmorency. The flesh is soft, juicy, and of a rather pleasant flavour when fully ripe. The fruit ripens before Montmorency. The pit adheres to the stalk more firmly than in any other variety.

Of the Russian sorts Spate Amarelle, or Early Amarelle, and Vladimir are the best of those grown here. These are very hardy and will succeed where the above varieties will not.

Spate Amarelle is dark red, with flesh somewhat reddened, juicy and fairly good in quality. It is a strong grower and productive. Vladimir is a strong grower, but has not, so far, been productive here. It is said not to bear well on a clay soil, and probably a lighter soil would remedy this trouble. The fruit is very dark red, quite firm and somewhat acid.

The English Morello and Ostheim are two varieties of the Morello group that have given good satisfaction. The English Morello is not a large growing tree, but is very productive. It does especially well in Prince Edward Island. The fruit is about two weeks later in ripening than Montmorency. When fully ripe the fruit is very dark red, with dark purplish crimson flesh and of a rich flavour, with a slight astringency. The tree has not such an upright head as Montmorency and is more drooping and open.

Ostheim has dark red fruit, dark flesh and juice, with a mild acid character, nearly sweet when fully ripe. The tree is not a large grower, is productive, and considerably like the English Morello, but is more upright. The fruit ripens with the Montmorency.

The Montmorency, English Morello, Ostheim and Early Richmond will probably suit a larger area, and give more satisfaction than any of the other varieties that have so far fruited here.

PLUM ORCHARD.

Seventy varieties of plums are now growing here. Many of these are making only fair growth. One row of plums has been set in orchard No. 2 and these seem to do much better, having protection which plum trees need. The following varieties are those which have fruited and are doing the best here so far. These have all sprung from the European plum *Prunus domestica*. They are grouped according to the classification given by Waugh.

The Diamond Type.—These varieties are characterized by having fruit mostly large, oval, very slightly compressed sideways, dark blue, with heavy blue bloom, flesh generally yellow, very firm and usually clinging to the stone. Such sorts as Moore's Arctic, St. Lawrence, Shipper's Pride and Quackenboss come into this group. They are mostly of inferior quality, but productive, firm fleshed and good shippers.

MOORE'S ARCTIC.

Two trees of this variety were planted in 1892. They have made good growth and have fruited heavily since 1896. This is one of the hardiest of the domestica plums, and is one of the most productive of all the plums fruited here. The fruit is rather below medium, roundish, oval; cavity slight; stem medium, rather slender; suture, indistinct; colour purplish black, with a thin blue bloom; flesh yellowish, juicy, tender, very firm, pleasant flavour but not rich. Fit for market the middle of September.

The Reine Claude or Green Gage group.—This group has the following characteristics:—Foliage usually large, leaves broad and rather flat, with very coarse serrations; fruit nearly spherical, in a few varieties slightly elongated, green or tardily turning to a dull, creamy yellow, occasionally with a faint pink blush; flesh rather firm, green, clinging to the stone, or partially free in a few varieties. Such varieties as Imperial Gage, Prince's Yellow Gage, Bryanston's Gage, Washington, Green Gage and Reine Claude de Bavay, come under this group.

REINE CLAUDE DE BAVAY.

Two trees were planted in 1891. One has made very strong growth and one only fair. This is one of the finest of the Gage plums. It is much later than Imperial Gage or Prince's Yellow Gage, and on this account is much grown, as it can be placed upon the market after many of the other plums are gone. These trees have fruited well, giving the first crop in 1898. The fruit is round, oval; colour greenish yellow, thin bloom, with small violet coloured longitudinal veins; stalk short and stout set in small cavity; suture medium; flesh quite firm, yellow, juicy, with a sugary, rich, excellent flavour, adhering slightly to stone, quality good. Ripens here after October 1. The tree is upright, with a somewhat spreading habit. The fruit is medium sized. This variety is recommended for more general culture.

WASHINGTON.

Two trees of this variety were planted in 1891. They are strong, upright growers, very vigorous and fairly productive. They have borne regular crops of fruit since 1898. This is an excellent, early, large, plum, ripening here early in September. It is grown quite extensively for commercial purposes, but with some is said not to be very productive. The fruit is large, nearly round; cavity quite wide, shallow; stem short; suture shallow; colour greenish yellow, often with a pale red blush; dots several, greenish; bloom white; flesh yellow, free from stone, rather firm, sweet, mild, moderately rich; quality good.

IMPERIAL GAGE.

Two trees of this variety were planted in 1891. These have made strong growth and fruited abundantly since 1899. The fruit is medium sized, round-oval; cavity shallow, broad, flaring; stem an inch long; suture shallow; colour yellowish green; dots green; bloom whitish; skin tough; flesh greenish yellow, quite free from stone; quality good to best. Ripens here about the middle of September.

PRINCE'S YELLOW GAGE.

Three trees of this variety were planted in 1891. These have made exceptionally strong and vigorous growth and have fruited abundantly. This seems to have more vigour than the Imperial Gage, and, has fruited more abundantly. The fruit is medium

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

sized round-oval ; cavity broad shallow ; stem stout ; suture a line ; colour golden yellow, a little clouded, bloom white, heavy ; flesh deep yellow, stone free ; flavour rich, sugary, quality very good. Ripens here soon after the first of September.

BRYANSTON'S GAGE.

Two trees of this variety were planted in 1897, have made strong growth and have fruited this season. The fruit is of medium size, roundish ; colour dull greenish yellow, with a darker shading in the sun ; flesh yellow clinging to stone. The quality is good. It is a late plum about the season of Reine Claude de Bavay, and does not appear superior in any way.

The Dame Aubert group.—The characters of this group are summarized as follows :—‘Large growing trees, with large foliage ; fruit very large oval, with more or less of a neck ; yellow greenish yellow, or purplish ; flesh yellow. This includes our largest plums, perhaps excepting one or two hybrids.’ In this group are Coe’s Golden Drop, Grand Duke and Yellow Egg or Magnum Bonum.

COE’S GOLDEN DROP.

Two trees of this variety were planted in 1891. These have not made very good growth, but have fruited well since 1898. The fruit is very large, oval, with a short neck, the two halves unequal ; suture deep ; cavity very shallow and abrupt ; stem stout, medium in length ; apex somewhat depressed ; colour golden yellow, dots very many, yellow ; bloom yellow ; flesh firm adhering partly to stone ; quality good. Ripens here towards the last of September. This is a good commercial variety and should prove valuable in this province. It is sometimes called the Silver Prune.

The Prune group.—The group characters which may be assigned to the prunes are as follows :—‘Trees and foliage, various ; fruit mostly medium to large, always oval or ellipsoid, usually with one side of the oval straighter than the other ; compressed ; colour, blue or purple ; flesh mostly greenish yellow, rather firm ; stone usually free in a large cavity.’ The varieties belonging to this group and generally known in this province are Fellenburg or Italian Prune, German Prune and Czar.

FELLENBERG.

Two trees of this variety were planted in 1893. They have made the most vigorous growth of any plum trees in the orchard, and have borne a large crop of fruit the past two seasons. The tree has a spreading but compact habit. The fruit is borne evenly over the whole tree, which can carry a large crop. To look at the tree, only a small crop would be expected, but its even distribution of large specimens makes this one of the best yielding plums we have. This plum never sets so much fruit as to make thinning necessary. It is a variety well known throughout America and 80 per cent of the prunes grown on the Pacific coast are said to be of this sort. The fruit is large, elliptical, straighter on one side and longer on the other, cavity very shallow ; stem nearly as long as the fruit ; suture shallow ; colour dark blue ; dots not many, dull yellow ; bloom blue ; skin thin ; flesh greenish yellow ; stone medium sized, and free from the flesh ; quality good to extra. Ripens here about the first of October. This is considered an exceptionally good market plum on account of its lateness and good shipping qualities.

GERMAN PRUNE.

Three trees of this variety were planted in 1892. They have made good growth, and are quite productive. They have fruited since 1899. The crop has not been as large as the Italian Prune, nor is the tree so vigorous. The quality of the fruit is not equal to the Italian Prune, nor is it as large. The fruit is above medium, long, oval, cavity very shallow, stem rather slender, medium in length; suture hardly more than a line, apex somewhat pointed; colour blue; with a few scattered dots; bloom blue; flesh greenish yellow, free from stone; stone small, quality fair. Ripens the last of September. This plum is largely grown in Germany, and also extensively planted in America.

The Bradshaw type.—‘Plums of this type are characterized by having large, slightly obovate fruit which is purplish, and has distinct pinkish dots. They also have a thin skin, and a comparatively soft, juicy flesh.’ In this class are Victoria, Pond’s Seedling, Field and Bradshaw. We have not fruited Victoria and Bradshaw, but the trees are making splendid growth. These two varieties do well in Prince Edward Island.

POND’S SEEDLING.

Two trees of this variety were planted in 1892. They have not made strong growth and have not fruited much. The fruit is very large; obovate, with a short neck; cavity narrow and shallow; colour violet or purple; dots numerous, brownish; flesh yellow, juicy; skin thick; quality good.

The Lombard group.—‘Probably the thinnest distinction of all is to be made betwixt the Bradshaw and the Lombard type. They differ, however, from having fruit generally smaller, more regularly oval, very slightly compressed sideways, pinkish-purple or purplish.’ Varieties common to us are Prince of Wales and Lombard. The Prince of Wales is making good growth here but has so far not fruited. It does not appear to have as much vigour as the Lombard.

LOMBARD.

Three trees of this variety were planted in 1891. They have made fairly good growth, and have fruited well since 1897. The tree is an upright grower, but seems more susceptible to black knot here than any other variety, and is not being so largely planted now as formerly. The fruit is of medium size; oval, slightly flattened at the end; stem short; suture shallow; cavity medium deep; colour delicate purplish, or reddish violet; dots several, whitish; bloom blue; flesh yellow, firm, clinging to stone; skin thin, quality only fair. Ripens here the middle of September.

The following varieties were named by two prominent plum growers of this Province as the most profitable to plant for the present market, one suggested Burbank, Grand Duke, Magnum Bonum or Yellow Egg and German Prune, and the other, Bradshaw, Washington, Prince of Wales and Burbank.

STRAWBERRIES.

Forty-eight varieties were under test this season. The crop was a good one, due to the favourable weather at time of ripening. The following table gives the quantity obtained from each plot, and the time of picking. The yield of the same varieties for the years 1901, 1900 and 1899, is also given. The soil on which these were grown is a clay loam, which becomes very hard and compact after the first year. We follow the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

practice of taking but one crop off, and then plough up the plants. Generally speaking this will be found the most profitable plan to follow. The varieties found best here are Warfield, Beder Wood, Greenville, Bubach, Saunders, Parker Earle and Haverland. Several new varieties fruited this year for the first time.

STRAWBERRIES.

Name.	Sex.	Date of first picking.	Date of last picking.	Date of largest picking.	Fruit picked to July 22, 1902.	Fruit picked from July 22 to end of season.	Total fruit picked from plot of 99 sq. ft. in 1902.	Total fruit picked from plot of 99 sq. ft. in 1901.	Total fruit picked from plot of 99 sq. ft. in 1900.	Total fruit picked from plot of 99 sq. ft. in 1899.
					Lbs. oz.	Lbs. oz.	Lbs. oz.	Lbs. oz.	Lbs. oz.	Lbs. oz.
Afton.....	P	July 17.	July 28.	July 21.	12 15	5 1	18
Beder Wood.....	B	" 14.	" 28.	" 17.	23 ..	7 1	30 1	34 3	28 12	31 8 $\frac{1}{2}$
Brandywine.....	B	" 14.	" 28.	" 24.	11 2	11 7	22 9	16 2	20 ..	11 9 $\frac{1}{2}$
Buster.....	P	" 17.	" 31.	" 22.	12 10	8 14	21 8
Bisel.....	P	" 14.	" 29.	" 21.	18 13	6 9	25 7	40
Beverly.....	B	" 21.	" 31.	" 26.	6 2	11 8	17 10	33 4	28 13	32 7 $\frac{1}{2}$
Barton's.....	P	" 14.	" 28.	" 21.	20 8	9 8	30 ..	32 2	24 12	19 5 $\frac{1}{2}$
Bubach.....	P	" 14.	" 31.	" 24.	9 ..	13 8	22 8	39 8	21 13	24 2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Chairs.....	B	" 17.	" 31.	" 21.	8 2	7 6	15 8	17 1	20 2	15 12
Cosette.....	P	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	10 9	3 2	13 11	17 8
Clyde.....	B	" 14.	" 29.	" 21.	9 7	10 1	19 8
Capt. Jack.....	P	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	27 ..	5 8	32 8	32 4	31 8	17 5
Crescent.....	P	" 14.	" 28.	" 22.	29 12	9 8	39 4	32 ..	39 12	39 9
Daisy.....	P	" 14.	" 28.	" 21.	12 14	6 13	19 11
Enhance.....	B	" 15.	" 31.	" 21.	10 6	16 14	27 4	23 13	22 6	13 9 $\frac{1}{2}$
Equinox.....	B	" 14.	" 31.	" 26.	5 9	6 10	12 3	12 8	12 ..	17 4
Eureka.....	P	" 19.	" 31.	" 25.	3 9	11 2	14 11	25 8	19 5	21 13
Glen Mary.....	B	" 15.	" 31.	" 22.	11 3	10 15	22 2
Greenville.....	P	" 15.	" 29.	" 21.	18 ..	6 8	24 8	42 ..	21 14	17 4
Gandy.....	B	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	21 14	7 13	29 11	27 12	18 4	19 6
Haverland.....	P	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	11 ..	17 1	28 1	34 10	22 9	22 8 $\frac{1}{2}$
H. W. Beecher.....	B	" 14.	" 28.	" 21.	23 14	6 ..	29 14	31 8	29 3	37 3
Howard's No. 41.....	P	" 17.	" 31.	" 22.	12 13	8 15	21 12
Ida.....	P	" 14.	" 28.	" 21.	14 10	5 5	19 15	20
Jessie.....	B	" 15.	" 28.	" 21.	13 4	6 12	20 ..	18 1
John Little.....	P	" 14.	" 28.	" 21.	32 7	7 3	39 10	28 2	24 14	22 3
Jas. Vick.....	B	" 15.	" 31.	" 24.	12 13	20 4	33 1	34 8	20 11	21 9
Lovett.....	B	" 14.	" 28.	" 21.	27 15	6 2	34 1	36 ..	28 ..	35 13
Mary.....	B	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	12 1	8 3	20 4	17 8	17 4	22 4
Otsego.....	P	" 21.	" 31.	" 26.	6 9	8 13	15 6	28 1	18 5	14 6 $\frac{1}{2}$
Pearl.....	P	" 14.	" 28.	" 17.	22 6	3 14	26 4	24 12	21 6	19 6
Paris King.....	B	" 14.	" 28.	" 17.	8 3	2 9	10 12	17 12	23 8	4 7 $\frac{1}{2}$
Parker Earle.....	B	" 14.	" 28.	" 22.	12 14	4 1	16 15	31 1	37 15	21 5
Princess.....	P	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	24 13	7 9	32 5	30
Swindle.....	P	" 17.	" 31.	" 26.	10 9	19 3	29 12	28 12	19 4	28 8
Seneca Queen.....	B	" 17.	" 31.	" 21.	15 15	9 9	25 8	27 ..	24 10	17 14
Sharpless.....	B	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	17 1	12 1	29 2	18 4	20 7	17 7
Shirts.....	P	" 17.	" 31.	" 26.	10 ..	14 14	24 14	16 14	14 1	15 2
Saunders.....	B	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	17 8	14 13	32 5	35 8
Senator Dunlop.....	B	" 17.	" 28.	" 21.	11 1	6 2	17 3
Thompson's Late.....	P	" 14.	" 24.	" 14.	9 ..	2 1	11 1	14 14	14 1	9 15
Tennessee Prolific.....	B	" 15.	" 31.	" 21.	14 14	13 2	28 ..	31 10
Wm. Belt.....	B	" 15.	" 31.	" 26.	13 8	10 11	24 3	25 12	8 10	14 4
Woolverton.....	B	" 14.	" 28.	" 21.	14 8	4 11	19 3	37 3	21 14 $\frac{1}{2}$
Wilson.....	B	" 14.	" 31.	" 21.	19 1	9 15	29 ..	31 4	20 10	21 4
Warfield.....	P	" 14.	" 28.	" 19.	22 ..	6 10	28 10	47 8	41 7	40 ..
Williams.....	B	" 16.	" 28.	" 21.	16 8	5 10	22 2	20 8	28 11	19 15 $\frac{1}{2}$
1011.....	P	" 14.	" 31.	" 22.	12 9	8 2	20 11	22 4

GARDEN PEASE.

Eighty-five varieties of garden pease were grown this year. The soil was a clay loam, and the previous crop was strawberries. No manure was given. The pease were sown in rows 3 feet apart, and the seed dropped $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches apart in the row. Each plot was one row 66 feet long. One-half of each plot was pulled when fit to use green, and the quantity of green pease with pods from that half plot noted. The other half was allowed to ripen seed. The following table gives ten of those varieties which we consider the best. The variety Alaska is one of the earliest green pease grown, and is perhaps the best early one. The three large peas King Edward VII, Prosperity and Gradus, are all good, coming in about the same time. King Edward VII a new English pea sent out by Haszard & Moore, Charlottetown, P.E.I., is in our opinion superior to either Prosperity or Gradus. The varieties Gradus and Prosperity are catalogued as one by many seedsmen, we have found Prosperity more productive than Gradus.

GARDEN PEASE—TEN OF THE BEST VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	When planted.	First green pease picked.	Last green pease picked.	Total quantity of green pease from plot, 1902.	Total quantity of green pease from plot, 1901.	Total quantity of green pease from plot, 1900.	Length of vine.	Size of pod.	Character of pease.
				Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	In.	Inches.	
Alaska	Apl. 25.	July 16.	July 26.	26 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	13 $\frac{1}{4}$	28	2 $\frac{1}{4}$ to 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium, smooth, dark green.
Nott's Excelsior...	" 25.	" 22.	" 28.	23 $\frac{1}{4}$	26	29 $\frac{1}{4}$	18	2 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Medium, wrinkled, green.
American Wonder.	" 25.	" 22.	" 29.	23 $\frac{1}{2}$	42	20	16	2 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" " "
King Edward VII.	" 25.	" 22.	" 30.	24 $\frac{1}{2}$	22 $\frac{1}{2}$	32	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large, wrinkled, light green.
Prosperity	" 25.	" 23.	" 31.	20 $\frac{3}{4}$	22	30	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "
Gradus	" 25.	" 23.	" 31.	19 $\frac{1}{4}$	16 $\frac{3}{4}$	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "
Dwarf Telephone	" 25.	" 31.	Aug. 9.	32	44	32 $\frac{3}{4}$	20	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "
Duke of Albany or American Champion	" 25.	Aug. 1.	" 9.	37 $\frac{1}{2}$	53	36	36	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large, wrinkled, green.
Heroine	" 25.	" 4.	" 12.	34	36	38 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	" " "
Stratagem	" 25.	" 4.	" 12.	36 $\frac{1}{2}$	32	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large, wrinkled, light green.

GARDEN CORN.

Forty-eight varieties of garden corn were planted May 31 in hills 3 feet apart each way. The soil on which they were grown is a clay loam, and was previously in strawberries. Manure at the rate of 20 one-horse cart loads per acre was scattered broadcast over this in the spring and the ground ploughed and worked up. The corn was thinned to 4 stalks to a hill. The season was not favourable for corn, and many of the varieties did not mature before killed by frost.

The Golden Bantam is a variety of yellow corn, good for home use or special market. It is too small for the general market. It is certainly the most delicious of any corn tested. Fuller's Early Yellow is the earliest and best market variety of the other yellow sorts grown.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The following varieties are probably the best for general market. They matured in the following order: Peep O'Day, and Beverly, are a few days earlier than Early Cory, but the ears are not large, and the plants are not large croppers. Extra Early Cory and Early Marblehead, Ford's Early Sugar, Early Fordhook, Crosby's Early Sugar, Fuller's Early Yellow, Canada Yellow, New Champion, and Metropolitan. A good selection for general planting is Beverly, Extra Early Cory, Crosby's Extra Early, Golden Bantam and New Champion.

EARLY POTATOES.

To test the relative value of some of the best early varieties of potatoes for early market purposes 18 different sorts were planted, in rows 24 inches apart, and one foot apart in the rows, on May 21, and dug August 12. The plots dug consisted each of 1 row 33 feet long. Eight of these varieties have been tested in this way for the past three years. The ground was fertilized with complete fertilizer at the rate of 400 lbs. per acre sown in the drills. The following results were obtained:—

EARLY POTATOES.

Name.	Dug Aug. 12, 1902.		Dug Aug. 19, 1901.		Dug Aug. 10, 1900.		Remarks.
	Marketable.	Unmarketable.	Marketable.	Unmarketable.	Marketable.	Unmarketable.	
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
Early Sunrise.....	20 $\frac{1}{4}$	2	20 $\frac{3}{4}$	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	33	9	Good, pink.
Early Gem.....	26	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	17 $\frac{1}{2}$	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	Pink, good.
Burpee's Extra Early.....	17 $\frac{1}{2}$	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	18 $\frac{1}{2}$	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	28 $\frac{1}{2}$	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Good, pink and white.
Pearce's Extra Early.....	32 $\frac{1}{2}$	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	21	4	27	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Good, pink and white.
Early Ohio.....	23	4	16 $\frac{1}{2}$	7	30	9	Good, pink.
Crown Jewel.....	34	5	24 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	42	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	Good, pink and white.
Bovee.....	29 $\frac{3}{4}$	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	19	6	36	12	Good, pink and white.
Irish Cobbler.....	28	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	24	6	40 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	White, good.
Canadian Beauty.....	18	3	Pink and white, good.
Early Harvest.....	23 $\frac{1}{4}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Good, white.
Early Andes.....	26	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Pink, good.
Earliest of all.....	30	6	Pink and white, good.
Reeve's Rose.....	28	4	Good, pink.
Early Michigan.....	36	2	Good, white.
Beauty of Hebron.....	22	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	Pink and white, good.
Rawdon Rose.....	21 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	Good, pink and white.
Early Rose.....	30 $\frac{1}{4}$	3	Good, pink.
Early Norther.....	38	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Good, pink.

ONIONS.

Twenty varieties of onions were tested under similar conditions. The seed of these was sown in the hot-bed April 3, and the plants were planted in the open ground on May 6. The ground into which they were transplanted was a rich loam, the same as that on which the onions were grown the previous season. It was ploughed in the spring, disc and smooth harrowed, after which 200 lbs. of complete fertilizer per acre was sown broadcast and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow. The plants were set

on the level ground in rows one foot apart and 3 inches apart in the rows. They were put in $\frac{3}{4}$ of an inch deep. The yield in the following table is from one row 66 feet long. They matured in the order given :—

ONIONS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name.	Pounds matured from plot.	Remarks.
Barletta.....	47	White, small, round, matured well.
Paris Silverskin.....	49	White, small, flat, matured well.
White Dutch.....	37	White, small, flat, matured well.
Paris Silver King.....	93	White, large, flat, matured well.
Extra Early Pearl.....	64	White, medium, flat, matured well.
Express.....	61	Yellow, medium, round, matured well.
Extra Early Red Seal.....	48	Medium, did not come true.
Extra Early Gold Seal.....	44	Medium, did not come true.
Australian Brown.....	53	Brownish, medium to large, round, matured well.
Southport Yellow Globe.....	34	Yellow, large, globe, matured well.
Wethersfield Large Red.....	59	Red, large, flat, matured fairly well.
Southport White Globe.....	75	White, large, globe, matured fairly well.
Pink Prizetaker.....	59	Not all true pink, large, globe, matured fairly well.
Prizetaker.....	62	Yellow, large, globe, matured fairly well.
Market Favourite Keeping.....	52	Large, globe, matured fairly well.
Gigantic Gibraltar.....	96	Yellowish, large, globe, matured fairly well.
Danver's Yellow Globe.....	56	Yellow, large, globe, matured fairly well.
Straw-colored White Spanish.....	52	Yellow, large, matured fairly well.
Spanish King.....	22	Yellow, large, did not mature well.
James' Keeping.....	26	Large, did not mature well.

TOMATOES.

Eighty varieties of tomatoes were planted this season. They were started in the hot-bed on March 25, and transplanted one plant to a strawberry box of earth, April 15. These were allowed to grow in these boxes until put out in the open ground June 9. The plants were removed from the boxes by cutting them, and set with the earth attached 4 feet apart each way. The ground was in a fair state of cultivation and no manure or fertilizer was used. On June 28 a tablespoonfull of nitrate of soda was scattered around each plant.

These plots made fair growth, but owing to the cool weather only in a few cases did fruit ripen. The following sorts ripened some fruit and matured in the order named,—Atlantic Prize, South Jersey Quick-sure, Spark's Earliana, Earliest, Earliest of All, Early Leader, Extra Early, Advance, Early Ruby, Brinton's Best, Early Minnesota and Fordhook First.

VEGETABLES FOR THE GARDEN.

The following list has been found the most desirable varieties for general use :—

Pease.—Early, Alaska, Medium, Prosperity, Late, Heroine.

Beans.—Early, Golden Wax, Medium, Extra Early Valentine, Late, Keeney's Rustless Wax.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

- Corn*.—Extra Early, Beverly, Early Cory, Early Marblehead.
Cabbage.—Jersey Wakefield, Early Spring, Large Late Drumhead.
Cauliflower.—Early Dwarf Erfurt.
Onions.—Barletta, Australian Brown.
Carrots.—Chantenay.
Cucumbers.—White Spine, Boston Pickling.
Beets.—Eclipse.
Celery.—White Plume.
Tomatoes.—Atlantic Prize, Earliest of All.
Parsnips.—Hollow Crown.
Squash.—Bay State, Hubbard.

I have the honour, to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

W. S. BLAIR,

Horticulturist.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR MANITOBA.

REPORT OF S. A. BEDFORD, SUPERINTENDENT.

BRANDON, MAN., November 30, 1902.

To DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith my fifteenth annual report, with details of experiments undertaken and work accomplished on the Brandon Experimental Farm during the past year.

The past winter was milder than usual and practically free of storms. From March 14 to 17, however, we experienced one of the worst blizzards ever known here, the wind blowing for many hours at the rate of sixty miles per hour. This was accompanied by a very heavy fall of wet snow which piled up into unusually high banks, and the thawing of this quantity of water-saturated snow, later in the season, did much towards retarding spring seeding.

April was a very backward month, the mean temperature being much below the average.

The weather during May was cloudy and cool and the rainfall excessive, but there was a total absence of injurious frosts. June set in with a deluge of rain on the first of the month, four inches falling on the Experimental Farm, in the space of forty minutes. The effect of such a deluge was to sweep away all loose soil from hilly fields into the hollows. Roads were almost destroyed, and deep water furrows cut on all sloping land. The balance of June was cloudy and the rainfall excessive.

These two months of exceptional rainfall raised the Assiniboine much above the usual level, flooding the valley and destroying the crop in its course. Fortunately the larger portion of the uniform test plots were sown on the higher portions of the farm and escaped injury. The rotation plots and quite a number of other interesting experiments were, however, destroyed, and will have to be taken up again in future years.

July was warm, the early rains had filled the soil with moisture, and growth was unusually rapid, so much so that weeds were crowded out and the heads of grain filled better than usual. Summer fallows on strong and moist land lodged badly, but the grain filled better than was expected, and in spite of the late spring, harvest was only a few days later than usual. Hired help was very difficult to procure throughout the summer and thousands of acres of wheat in this province lay unstooked for weeks for want of harvest hands. Fortunately the weather during harvest and threshing was nearly perfect, otherwise the loss would have been great.

Without doubt the past season has been the most satisfactory one, from an agricultural standpoint, ever experienced in the province, although few districts report abnormal returns. In nearly all portions of the province the yield of all kinds of grain was above the average, and the sample is generally an excellent one.

All the uniform test plots of wheat on the Experimental Farm, with one exception, escaped injury and the returns both in quantity and quality were all that could be desired. The different experiments with Speltz wheat were examined with interest during the summer, and numerous letters are being received from farmers seeking information regarding this newly introduced grain. Flax culture is also receiving increased attention throughout the province and in view of this, experiments with this crop have been extended. Several new varieties have been tried and a test of the suitability of a flax crop on new breaking has also been made.

Farmers supplied, in former years, with pure bred swine from this farm have been requested to express an opinion on them, and, in this year's report will be found extracts from their letters.

The fine crop of crab apples (*Pyrus baccata*) grown on the farm this year was very gratifying, and encourages us to hope that in the near future crab apples may be grown extensively in all parts of the province.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

On the Experimental Farm the yield of wheat on the uniform test plots was generally above the average, but some of the larger fields were injured by the flood. As usual the varieties least subject to rust were the most productive. It is evident that this disease is one of the chief factors in reducing the yield of wheat, especially during seasons of abundant rainfall, such as we have had the last two years.

The noticeable productiveness of Goose and Roumanian varieties of wheat can no doubt be largely attributed to their freedom from rust. Speltz wheat is also very free from this disease, which fact no doubt accounts for the palatableness of its straw. Apparently the injury from wheat smut is largely decreasing. There was very little last year, and this year not a trace could be found on the farm, either among the treated or untreated grain.

Owing to the very favourable harvest weather, and absence of frost, the wheat on this farm was unusually bright and plump.

Seventy-one varieties of spring wheat were tested this year. These were sown from the first to the sixth of May on black loam in plots of one-twentieth of an acre each.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Rusted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Lbs.	
Roumanian	Aug. 31	117	48	Weak	2½	Bearded ..	6,075	46 20	65 None,
Goose	" 31	118	53	"	2½	" ..	6,665	44 40	64 "
Campbell's White Chaff ..	" 29	121	53	Stiff	3½	Beardless ..	7,800	37 40	61 Slightly.
Countess	" 30	121	48	"	3	" ..	7,600	37 20	60 Badly.
Australian No. 10.	" 30	121	53	"	3	" ..	7,200	36 40	60 "
White Fife	" 30	121	52	"	3½	" ..	7,600	35 20	60 Slightly.
Clyde	" 29	121	55	"	4	" ..	6,660	35 10	60 "
Speltz	" 27	113	41	"	2	Bearded ..	3,990	34 40	42 None.
Monarch	" 27	119	55	"	4	Beardless ..	6,660	34 40	60 Slightly.
Dawn	" 25	117	45	Fair	3½	" ..	7,310	34 20	60 "
Benton	" 27	114	45	Stiff	3	" ..	6,125	34 ..	61 "
Herrisson Bearded	" 27	113	47	Weak	2½	Bearded ..	5,400	34 ..	61 "
Chester	" 26	113	48	Stiff	3	Beardless ..	5,250	33 50	60 "
Admiral	" 27	119	50	"	4	" ..	6,660	33 50	60 "
Crown	" 26	113	47	"	3	Bearded ..	5,920	33 40	60 "
White Russian	" 30	122	50	"	4	Beardless ..	7,000	33 20	59 "
Laurel	" 30	122	50	"	4	" ..	6,670	32 40	59 "
Captor	" 27	119	40	"	3½	" ..	7,905	32 30	60 "
Mason	" 25	117	48	"	3½	" ..	5,600	32 30	61 "
Robin's Rust Proof	" 28	119	53	"	3	" ..	7,200	32 20	60 "
Minnesota 149	" 28	119	53	"	4	" ..	6,800	32 ..	60 "
White Connell	" 27	119	50	"	4	" ..	5,950	32 ..	60 "
Red Fern	" 26	112	45	"	3	Bearded ..	4,760	32 ..	61 "
Angus	" 27	114	50	"	3½	Beardless ..	5,280	31 40	60 None.
Stanley	" 27	119	47	"	2½	" ..	6,355	31 20	60 Considerably
Rideau	" 28	120	44	"	3	" ..	6,460	31 10	60 Slightly.
Cartier	" 24	111	41	Fair	3	Bearded ..	4,495	31 ..	60 "
Dion's	" 28	115	55	Weak	4	" ..	4,840	31 ..	62 "
Dufferin	" 26	113	51	Fair	3½	" ..	6,400	31 ..	60 "
Red Fife	" 28	120	49	Stiff	3½	Beardless ..	6,300	31 ..	60 "
Minnesota 169	" 28	119	53	"	4	" ..	5,920	30 50	59 "
Australian No. 13	" 27	114	49	"	4	" ..	5,950	30 40	58 "
Colorado	" 26	113	44	"	3	Bearded ..	5,700	30 20	60 "
Hastings	" 26	113	47	Fair	3	Beardless ..	5,610	30 20	61 "
Australian No. 9	" 27	114	50	Stiff	3½	" ..	5,280	30 20	60 "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs	
Elenheim.....	Aug. 27	114	51	Stiff.....	4	Bearded..	5,775	30 20	58	Slightly.
Blair.....	" 26	113	42	Weak.....	3	Beardless..	4,290	30 ..	61	"
Wellman's Fife.....	" 28	115	53	Stiff.....	3½	" ..	5,280	30 ..	60	"
Rio Grande.....	" 27	114	59	" ..	3½	Bearded..	5,075	29 50	61	"
Alpha.....	" 28	120	48	" ..	3½	Beardless..	5,330	29 40	60	"
Minnesota 163.....	" 30	117	49	" ..	4	" ..	6,970	29 40	59	Badly.
Percy.....	" 20	112	50	" ..	3½	" ..	6,435	29 20	59	Slightly.
Progress.....	" 27	119	40	" ..	3½	" ..	6,270	29 10	60	"
Red Swedish.....	" 30	117	51	Fair.....	3½	Bearded..	5,850	28 40	60	Badly.
Early Riga.....	" 18	110	45	Weak.....	3½	Beardless..	5,120	28 20	59	Slightly.
Norval.....	" 25	111	43	" ..	3	Bearded..	4,510	28 20	61	"
Advance.....	" 27	113	42	Fair.....	3	" ..	4,440	28 20	61	"
Cassell.....	" 28	115	52	Stiff..	4	Beardless..	5,950	28 20	60	"
Essex.....	" 28	115	50	" ..	3½	" ..	5,125	27 50	60	"
Australian No. 19.....	" 29	120	48	" ..	3	" ..	6,045	27 40	60	Badly.
Blue Stem.....	" 30	122	53	" ..	3½	" ..	6,600	27 40	58	"
Beaudry.....	" 26	113	47	Weak.....	3	Bearded..	6,045	27 20	62	Slightly.
Crawford.....	" 25	116	54	" ..	3½	Beardless..	4,960	27 ..	60	"
Ladoga.....	" 22	109	48	Fair.....	3½	Bearded..	4,945	26 40	60	"
Australian No. 27.....	" 26	116	51	Stiff..	4	Beardless..	5,760	26 20	60	Badly.
Bishop.....	" 25	112	47	Weak.....	3	" ..	4,060	25 40	60	Slightly.
Huron.....	" 28	114	42	Stiff..	4	Bearded..	4,070	25 40	60	Badly.
Weldon.....	" 29	121	55	" ..	3½	Beardless..	5,940	25 ..	60	Slightly.
Preston.....	" 27	113	43	" ..	3½	Bearded..	4,510	25 ..	60	"
Byron.....	" 27	113	41	Fair.....	3	" ..	4,140	24 40	62	Badly.
Ebert.....	" 20	106	38	Weak.....	3	Beardless..	4,200	24 40	60	Slightly.
Australian No. 23.....	" 28	115	53	Stiff..	4	" ..	6,120	24 30	58	"
Pringle's Champlain.....	" 27	113	39	Weak.....	2½	Bearded..	4,920	24 20	61	Badly.
Beauty.....	" 26	118	52	Stiff..	4	Beardless..	5,735	24 20	59	"
Plumper.....	" 26	112	37	Weak.....	3	Bearded..	4,550	24 20	60	Slightly.
Australian No. 25.....	" 28	115	52	Stiff..	4	Beardless..	5,320	24 ..	57	Badly.
Hungarian.....	" 28	115	52	Weak.....	4	Bearded..	4,620	23 50	63	Slightly.
Harold.....	" 20	106	37	" ..	2½	" ..	4,050	23 40	58	"
Fraser.....	" 25	111	41	Fair.....	4	" ..	4,255	23 20	60	Badly.
Japanese.....	" 20	106	49	Weak.....	2½	" ..	3,520	22 ..	58	"
Minnesota 181.....	" 31	117	48	Stiff..	4	Beardless..	4,625	18 20	59	"
Vernon.....	" 27	113	41	" ..	3½	Bearded..	2,775	14 50	59	Slightly.

NOTE.—Vernon was injured by flood.

AVERAGE Results of a Test of Twelve Varieties of Wheat for the past Five Years.

Variety.	Years Included.	Yield per Acre.
		Bush. Lbs.
Goose.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	42 46
Monarch.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	35 24
Crown.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	34 30
White Fife.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	34 14
Wellman's Fife.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	33 14
White Connell.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	33 8
White Russian.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	33 7
Red Fife.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	32 46
Stanley.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	31 30
Dufferin.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	30 48
Percy.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	29 32
Hungarian.....	1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902...	28 38

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT GROWN FROM SELECTED AND UNSELECTED HEADS.

As in former years the largest heads were selected from the standing grain of last year, and the seed was sown this year for a comparison with unselected seed, from the same plots.

The plots were all one-twentieth acre, and each pair was sown in close proximity, the soil was a sandy loam. The accompanying table gives the result of each individual variety. A summary is also given which shows the average yield from the selected wheat fifteen pounds per acre greater than the unselected. Last year the unselected gave slightly the largest return.

WHEAT.

Variety.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Variety.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.		
	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.		
Goose—Selected.....	7,350	46	40	64	Progress—Selected.....	7,175	31	60	
" Unselected.....	6,665	44	40	64	" Unselected.....	6,270	29	10	60
Rideau—Selected.....	7,020	36	..	60	Blenheim—Selected.....	6,240	30	50	59
" Unselected.....	6,460	31	10	60	" Unselected.....	5,775	30	20	58
Stanley—Selected.....	6,475	34	20	60	Alpha—Selected.....	6,475	30	40	59
" Unselected.....	6,355	31	20	60	" Unselected.....	5,330	29	40	60
Monarch—Selected.....	7,200	33	40	60	Crown—Selected.....	5,760	30	20	60
" Unselected.....	7,200	33	40	60	" Unselected.....	5,920	33	40	60
Campbell's White Chaff—Selected.....	5,760	33	40	61	Dawn—Selected.....	6,120	30	10	59
" Unselected.....	5,760	33	40	61	" Unselected.....	7,310	34	20	60
White Russian—Selected.....	7,995	32	50	59	Beaudry—Selected.....	6,105	30	..	62
" Unselected.....	7,000	33	20	59	" Unselected.....	6,045	27	20	62
Blue Stem—Selected.....	7,020	32	40	60	White Connell—Selected.....	7,020	29	50	60
" Unselected.....	6,600	27	40	58	" Unselected.....	5,950	32	..	60
Captor—Selected.....	7,020	32	30	60	Admiral—Selected.....	6,840	29	50	59
" Unselected.....	7,900	32	30	60	" Unselected.....	6,660	33	50	60
Colorado—Selected.....	6,150	32	20	60	Ladoga—Selected.....	4,715	28	20	60
" Unselected.....	5,700	30	20	60	" Unselected.....	4,945	26	40	60
Red Fife—Selected.....	4,680	32	10	61	Beauty—Selected.....	5,655	27	50	59
" Unselected.....	6,300	31	..	60	" Unselected.....	5,735	24	20	59
Mason—Selected.....	5,950	32	..	61	Early Riga—Selected.....	5,440	26	40	59
" Unselected.....	5,600	32	30	61	" Unselected.....	5,120	28	20	59
Clyde—Selected.....	6,090	32	..	60	Dufferin—Selected.....	6,125	26	10	60
" Unselected.....	6,660	35	10	60	" Unselected.....	6,400	31	..	60
Dion's—Selected.....	6,630	31	40	61	Advance—Selected.....	3,840	26	..	60
" Unselected.....	4,840	31	..	62	" Unselected.....	4,440	28	20	61
Red Swedish—Selected.....	7,200	31	20	60	Hungarian—Selected.....	4,945	24	40	61
" Unselected.....	5,850	28	40	60	" Unselected.....	4,620	23	50	63

SUMMARY.

	Average yield of 28 varieties, selected.....	Bush.	Lbs.
" 28	unselected.....	31	18
		31	3

A TEST OF FERTILIZERS ON A CROP OF SPRING WHEAT.

With one exception the fertilized plots have this year given the largest returns.

The size of the plots was one-fortieth acre. The soil was a sandy loam, summer-fallowed. All were sown on May 6, and all harvested on Aug. 30. There was no smut and very little rust. The straw on all the plots was quite stiff.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The variety of wheat sown on all the plots was Red Fife. One and one-half bushels of seed per acre.

Red Fife Wheat, Fertilizers Applied.	Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
	in.	in.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs	Lbs.
100 lbs. per acre of nitrate of soda, $\frac{1}{2}$ sprinkled when the grain was 2 in. high, balance when 6 in. high.....	45	3	3,150	33 20	60
200 lbs per acre of nitrate of soda, $\frac{1}{2}$ sprinkled when the grain was 2 in. high, balance when 6 in. high	45	3	3,780	23 ..	60
No fertilizer used.....	45	3	3,360	28 ..	60
Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre, spread just before sowing	45	3	3,780	35 20	60
Muriate of potash, 200 lbs per acre, spread just before sowing	45	3	3,600	37 20	60
A mixture, 200 lbs. superphosphate, 100 lbs. nitrate of soda, 100 lbs. muriate potash per acre, $\frac{1}{2}$ spread before sowing, $\frac{1}{2}$ when grain was 2 or 3 in. high .	45	3	3,840	38 ..	60

FALL WHEAT.

One of the 1-10th acre plots, surrounded by maple hedges, was sown on August 24, 1901, with fall wheat. The variety was New Ontario, grown in the East. The plants grew rapidly and were quite large by winter, but the plot was badly flooded in the spring, and nearly all the wheat killed. Four sheaves only were saved. The seed from these will be sown and tested again.

FALL RYE.

Two of the hedge plots were also sown on August 24, 1901, with fall rye. In one the seed sown was procured from Ontario, and the other was sown with Manitoba grown seed. Both lots wintered successfully. The plot sown with Manitoba seed yielded 62 bushels and 18 pounds per acre, weighing 56 pounds per bushel, and the plot sown with Ontario seed yielded 48 bushels and 16 pounds per acre, weighing 58 pounds per bushel. This last plot was somewhat injured by spring freshets, which, no doubt, largely accounts for the difference in yield.

FIELD PLOTS OF SPRING WHEAT.

Variety.	Character of Soil.	How Land was Prepared.	Size of Plots.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Yield per Acre.
			Acres.				Bush. Lbs.
Preston	Light sandy loam	Spring ploughed.	5	April 16..	Aug. 19..	125	10 24
Stanley	" "	" ..	3	" 19..	" 20..	123	11 43
White Fife.....	" "	" ..	5	" 23..	" 26..	125	10 24
Red Fife.....	" "	" ..	3	" 18..	" 26..	130	10 50
White Connell.....	" "	" ..	2	" 17..	" 25..	130	14 55
Wellman's Fife.....	" "	Corn land.....	3	" 29..	" 29..	122	22 20
Dawn	Clay loam.....	Summer fallow..	2	May 1..	" 25..	116	27 10
Crown.....	"	" ..	2	" 6..	" 30..	116	18 20
Monarch	"	" ..	1	" 7..	" 30..	115	26 15
Huron.....	"	" ..	2	" 7..	" 26..	111	30 20
Laurel	"	" ..	1	" 7..	Sept. 3..	119	36 5
White Russian	"	" ..	1	" 13..	Aug. 29..	108	38 30
Speltz	"	" ..	2	" 13..	" 29..	108	39 6

These results show the great advantage of summer fallow over spring ploughing.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPELTZ.

THICK AND THIN SOWING.

In last year's test the amount of seed was regulated by the drill. This year the seed was weighed, but the result is the same. One and three-quarter bushels giving the largest yield.

SPELTZ WHEAT—THICK AND THIN SOWING.

Name of Variety.	Amount of Seed Sown Per Acre.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			Ins.		Ins.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Speltz.....	50 pounds....	116	32	Stiff.....	2	Bearded...	42 20	44
".....	62½ ".....	116	32	".....	2	".....	46 40	45
".....	75 ".....	116	32	".....	2	".....	52 20	45
".....	87½ ".....	116	32	".....	2	".....	54 40	45
".....	100 ".....	116	32	".....	2	".....	50 20	44

SPELTZ, EARLY AND LATE SOWING.

These experiments were undertaken with the object of gaining some information as to the best time for sowing this grain. This will probably need repeating for several years before any satisfactory conclusion can be reached.

SPELTZ WHEAT—EARLY AND LATE SOWING.

Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
						In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Speltz.....	Sand loam	1 acre	May 6	Aug. 27	113	47	Stiff	2½	Bearded..	4,275	59 ..	46
".....	"	½ "	" 13	" 30	109	42	"	2½	" ..	3,630	52 ..	45
".....	"	¼ "	" 20	Sept. 3	106	39	"	"	" ..	5,060	56 20	44
".....	"	⅓ "	" 27	" 6	102	41	"	2	" ..	3,400	61 ..	43

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

The yield of oats throughout the province has been much above the average. On the Experimental Farm, a few of the varieties were sown at the usual date early in May but owing to the excessive rainfall they were destroyed. A fresh location was selected and the second lot was sown on May 28, much later than is desirable. This late sowing no doubt lessened the yield and reduced the weight per bushel.

The tests were made with sixty-four varieties, on plots of one-twentieth acre each. The soil was a clay loam, summer fallowed, and two bushels of seed were used per acre sown with a drill. All were sown on May 27 and 28.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	
Early Golden Prolific	Sept. 6	101	40	Stiff	10	Branching	3,562	88 28	34	Slightly.
Buckbee's Illinois	" 8	103	49	"	8	"	7,200	82 12	36	"
Siberian	" 8	103	53	"	11	"	6,475	82 2	35	Badly.
Holstein Prolific	" 6	102	41	"	8½	Sided	5,700	81 6	35	"
Mennonite	" 4	100	41	"	9½	"	4,980	77 2	36	"
Joanette	" 10	105	48	Weak	6	Branching	7,200	74 24	34	"
Wallis	" 6	101	42	Stiff	9	"	6,837	74 24	35	"
Early Maine	" 6	101	54	"	9	"	7,030	74 24	35	Slightly.
Hazlett's Seizure	" 4	99	47	Fair	10	"	5,610	73 28	36	Badly.
Abundance	" 5	101	45	Stiff	7	"	5,320	72 2	37	"
American Triumph	" 7	103	38	"	7	"	4,937	70 30	37	"
Banner	" 6	102	42	"	10	"	5,395	70 20	35	"
Sensation	" 4	99	49	Fair	11	"	5,692	70 ..	36	"
Golden Giant	" 11	106	54	"	12	Sided	3,560	70 ..	32	"
Danish Island	" 7	103	39	Stiff	9	Branching	5,250	67 2	36	"
Bonanza	" 1	97	44	"	9	"	5,655	67 32	39	"
Columbus	" 7	103	44	"	8	"	4,437	66 6	36	"
Lincoln	" 6	102	43	Stiff	10	"	4,255	65 10	38	"
Wide Awake	" 6	102	49	"	9	"	6,300	64 24	37	"
Early Gothland	" 7	102	55	Fair	11½	Sided	6,600	64 24	35	"
Improved American	" 5	100	53	Stiff	12	Branching	4,927	64 4	36	"
Tartar King	" 7	102	50	Fair	11	Sided	6,045	63 8	33	"
Thousand Dollar	" 7	103	49	Stiff	8	Branching	4,680	63 8	36	"
Early Archangel	" 6	102	47	"	7	"	5,735	62 32	37	"
New Zealand	" 8	103	55	Fair	11	Sided	6,570	62 2	35	Slightly.
Cream Egyptian	" 4	99	47	"	10½	"	5,850	61 26	36	Badly.
Newmarket	" 4	99	47	Stiff	8	Branching	5,125	61 6	36	"
American Beauty	" 5	100	43	"	9	"	4,781	61 6	36	"
Bavarian	" 6	102	42	"	10	"	4,937	61 6	34	"
Scotch Potato	" 6	102	41	"	9	"	6,845	61 6	35	"
Irish Victor	" 7	103	42	"	8	"	4,937	60 30	36	"
Brandon	" 7	103	51	"	12	"	5,200	60 20	36	"
Waverley	" 6	101	40	"	12	"	3,690	60 13	35	"
Black Beauty	" 10	105	51	Weak	11	"	6,290	60 ..	33	"
Golden Tartarian	" 14	110	51	Stiff	10	Sided	6,600	59 24	32	"
Oxford	" 7	103	46	"	11½	"	5,040	59 14	35	"
Holland	" 12	108	35	"	9	"	4,305	57 32	32	"
Golden Beauty	" 6	101	45	"	7	Branching	4,440	56 16	35	"
California Prolific Black	" 10	105	58	Fair	11	Sided	6,600	56 16	32	"
Kendal	" 6	102	46	Stiff	7	"	5,610	56 8	36	"
Oderbruch	" 6	101	48	"	10½	"	4,025	55 23	35	"
Pense	" 11	106	58	Weak	10	"	7,095	55 10	34	"
Russell	" 8	104	41	Stiff	11	Branching	7,695	54 24	39	"
King	" 6	102	50	"	10	"	5,400	54 14	37	"
Goldfinder	" 7	102	47	"	10½	Sided	5,220	53 28	33	"
Early Blossom	" 8	103	47	"	10	"	6,300	53 18	32	"
Black Mesdag	" 6	101	40	Weak	13	Branching	3,720	53 18	35	"
Master	" 7	102	48	Stiff	12	"	5,460	53 8	34	"
White Schonen	" 6	102	43	"	8	"	4,995	53 8	35	"
Prolific Black Tartarian	" 13	108	56	Fair	10	Sided	6,555	51 6	33	"
Improved Ligowo	" 6	101	41	Stiff	7	Branching	4,400	51 6	37	"
Milford	" 14	110	48	"	9	Sided	5,330	50 20	30	"
White Giant	" 6	101	43	"	9	Branching	3,832	50 ..	34	"
Miller	" 5	100	44	Fair	9	"	5,510	47 32	33	"
Abyssinia	" 5	100	42	"	9	"	6,360	47 22	33	"
Salines	" 7	103	47	Stiff	10	"	5,100	47 12	34	"
Flying Scotchman	" 4	100	47	"	11	"	4,387	47 2	38	"
Olive	" 12	107	57	"	10	Sided	6,300	45 20	34	"
White Russian	" 5	100	43	"	10½	"	6,935	45 ..	34	"
Salzer's Big 4	" 6	102	41	"	10	Branching	2,633	43 28	36	"
Pioneer	" 10	105	48	"	8	"	4,785	43 8	32	"
Rosedale	" 7	102	31	Fair	8	Sided	4,230	42 22	30	"
Longhoughton	" 7	102	52	Stiff	9	Branching	6,000	36 16	30	"
Cromwell	" 6	102	43	"	11	"	1,360	18 28	34	"

NOTE.—Cromwell was injured by the flood.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

AVERAGE results of a test of Twelve Varieties of Oats for the past five years.

Variety.	Years included.	Average yield per Acre.	
		Bush.	Lbs.
Banner.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	80	2
American Triumph.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	76	16
Bavarian.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	75	32
American Beauty.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	75	27
Mennonite.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	74	12
Danish Island.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	73	33
Oxford.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	73	12
White Giant.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	72	21
White Schonen.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	70	41
Thousand Dollar.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	67	45
California Prolific Black.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	67	23
Newmarket.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	66	36

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

The selection of the field for this year's uniform test plots of barley was an unfortunate one. A municipal ditch running near the field overflowed its banks during the cloud-burst on June 1, and the flood of water swept the field from end to end, removing the soil in some places down to the roots of the plant. The grain never fully recovered, the yield from most of the plots is unusually small, and so uneven that the returns are not given as a correct comparison of varieties, but simply as a matter of information.

Fifty-one varieties of barley were tested. Thirty of the six-rowed sorts and twenty-one of the two-rowed. The size of the plots used for this test was one-twentieth acre. The soil was a light sandy loam which had been summer fallowed. All were sown on May 26 and 27 in the proportion of two bushels of seed per acre.

BARLEY—SIX-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name o. Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
			In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
Petschora.....	Sept. 1	98	42	Stiff.....	4	4,185	43 36	46	Slightly.
Mensury.....	Aug. 22	88	40	".....	4	5,945	42 4	47	"
Rennie's Imp'v'd.....	" 22	87	40	".....	4	3,250	36 12	48	"
White Hulless.....	Sept. 3	99	35	Weak.....	2½	4,200	35 30	55	"
Black Hulless.....	Aug. 27	92	30	Fair.....	3	3,770	32 34	58	"
Common.....	" 25	90	31	Stiff.....	3	1,360	31 2	49	"
Garfield.....	" 26	91				1,350	30 20	48	"
Odessa.....	Sept. 2	98	33	Fair.....	3½	2,422	29 8	45	Badly.
Stella.....	Aug. 26	91				1,275	26 12	48	Slightly.
Empire.....	Sept. 4	101	33	Stiff.....	3½	2,295	25 40	45	Badly.
Phoenix.....	Aug. 20	86	23	".....	2½	1,125	24 28	49	None.
Argyle.....	" 22	87	36	".....	2	1,275	24 8	48	Slightly.
Pioneer.....	" 20	85	29	Fair.....	3	840	23 6	50	"
Summit.....	Sept. 4	101	29	Stiff.....	3	2,422	22 4	44	Badly.
Nugent.....	Aug. 24	90	22	".....	2	780	20 40	48	None.
Albert.....	" 19	84	22	".....	2½	750	20 20	51	"
Brome.....	" 20	85	27	".....	2½	825	20 20	49	"
Claude.....	" 25	90	24	".....	2½	845	19 18	45	Slightly.
Success.....	" 20	85	31	".....	2	1,050	18 16	47	Badly.
Oderbruch.....	" 20	85	29	".....	2½	600	17 44	49	None.
Mansfield.....	" 20	85	22	".....	3	585	17 4	48	Slightly.
Trooper.....	" 25	90	24	".....	3	700	17 4	48	None.
Excelsior.....	" 20	85	28	".....	3	730	15 40	44	Slightly.
Vanguard.....	" 20	85	30	Fair.....	4	630	15 30	47	"
Surprise.....	Sept. 4	100	32	Stiff.....	3	1,620	15	43	Badly.
Baxter.....	Aug. 20	85	23	Fair.....	2½	525	14 28	49	Slightly.
Yale.....	" 20	85	27	".....	3	420	13 16	49	"
Blue Long Head.....	" 20	85	25	".....	3½	560	13 6	43	"
Champion.....	Sept. 4	100	32	Stiff.....	3	1,200	12 24	42	Badly.
Royal.....	Aug. 20	85	22	Fair.....	3	325	9 28	49	Slightly.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BARLEY—TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
			In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
Danish Chevalier	Sept. 7	103	23	Fair.....	4	3,500	43 46	47	Slightly.
Harvey.....	" 3	99	30	Stiff.....	3	5,265	37 44	50	"
Standwell	Aug. 31	97	32	"	3½	1,920	36 22	49	None.
Nepean.....	Sept. 3	99	28	"	3½	2,160	34 28	48	Slightly.
Victor.....	" 2	99	24	"	3	1,875	32 4	50	"
Kinver Chevalier	" 7	103	28	Fair.....	4	3,240	29 28	45	"
Bolton.....	" 2	99	26	Stiff.....	2½	1,725	29 8	50	None.
Leslie.....	Aug. 26	92	27	"	3	2,090	28 36	49	Slightly.
Dunham.....	" 30	96	28	"	4	1,350	27 44	48	None.
Gordon.....	" 30	95	25	"	3	1,800	27 24	48	"
Invincible.....	Sept. 3	99	20	"	3½	1,875	27 14	49	"
Logan.....	Aug. 26	92	29	"	4	1,500	26 12	49	Slightly.
French Chevalier	" 31	97	30	"	4	1,040	26 12	48	None.
Clifford.....	" 26	91	33	"	4	1,920	23 36	49	Slightly.
Fulton.....	" 30	96	23	"	3	1,680	21 12	48	None.
Beaver.....	Sept. 3	99	29	"	3½	1,300	21 12	50	Slightly.
Prize Prolific....	" 2	98	23	"	4	1,200	20 20	46	None.
Jarvis.....	Aug. 23	89	34	"	4	1,120	19 8	48	"
Newton.....	Sept. 2	98	23	"	3	975	14 8	47	"
Sidney.....	Aug. 21	87	21	"	2½	640	14 8	51	Slightly.
Canadian Thorpe	Sept. 1	98	20	"	3	680	7 24	48	None.

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

The field used for the test of varieties of pease suffered severely from the flooding of the Assiniboine River, and only ten varieties out of the fifty-seven were harvested.

The size of the plots for this test was one-twentieth acre and the soil a rich clay loam, summer-fallowed.

All were sown on May 13 and 14.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of days maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				Inches.	Inches.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Crown.....	Sept. 14..	124	Weak....	50	2	Small....	61 ..	65½
French Canner.....	Aug. 25..	104	Fair.....	60	2½	"	56 ..	65
Golden Vine.....	Sept. 7..	117	Weak....	66	2	"	54 40	64½
Mummy.....	" 10..	120	Fair.....	48	2	"	52 20	62½
Canadian Beauty.....	" 13..	123	Rank....	48	2½	Large....	44 ..	64
Daniel O'Rourke.....	" 7..	116	Fair.....	58	1½	Small....	42 40	65
Creeper.....	Aug. 25..	104	"	69	2½	"	41 40	65
Carleton.....	Sept. 15..	124	"	52	2½	"	41 20	64
Archer.....	" 12..	121	"	66	2½	"	40 20	65
Pearl.....	" 14..	124	Rank....	64	2½	"	40 20	64
Prince Albert.....	" 16..	126	"	64	2½	"	38 20	63
Herald.....	" 13..	122	Fair.....	56	2½	"	37 40	64
Bedford.....	" 7..	117	Rank....	68	2½	"	36 20	65
Elder.....	" 16..	125	"	54	2½	"	33 40	64½
King.....	" 5..	114	"	54	2½	Medium..	31 20	65
Multiplier.....	" 16..	125	Fair.....	62	2	Small....	30 40	62½
Fergus.....	" 27..	137	Rank....	61	2½	"	26 40	65

NOTE.—Grass Pea did not mature.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FLAX.

Since the increased immigration from the United States more attention has been given to the cultivation of flax, and this year a considerable area of new breaking was sown with this crop.

One of the objections to the sowing of flax is the great difficulty of procuring pure seed. This year several new varieties were tested on the Experimental Farm and in nearly every instance the sample was badly infested with noxious weed seeds. One sample contained no less than six different varieties of mustard, all of which were pulled by hand as they blossomed.

Appended will be found tables giving the result of experiments with flax.

FLAX—THICK AND THIN SOWING.

The size of plots for this test was one-twentieth acre, and the soil was a clay loam which had been summer-fallowed. The sowing was done with a Massey Harris grain drill. It is, however, difficult to sow evenly with this machine if less than twenty pounds of seed per acre are used.

The result of this year's test would indicate that a liberal amount of seed gives the best results. This agrees with the results obtained last year.

Variety.	Amount of Seed sown per Acre.	Date of Sowing.	Length of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
	Lbs.		In.	Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Flax.....	15	June 5....	32	12	8	56
".....	20	" 5....	32	16	44	56
".....	30	" 5....	32	18	32	56
".....	40	" 5....	32	19	16	56
".....	50	" 5....	32	21	4	56

FLAX—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Several varieties of flax have been tested during the year. The amount of seed available of each kind was small, and the sowing was too thin for the best results, but the product of this year's crop has been saved and larger plots can be sown next year.

In addition to the seven varieties given in the following table one was obtained from Calcutta. This proved so short that it was impossible to cut it with a binder. The size of the plots was $\frac{1}{10}$ acre. The soil was a rich clay loam and the previous crop fodder corn.

Variety.	Date Sown.	Date Ripe.	Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	
			In.	In.	Bush.	Lbs.
Novarossick.....	June 5....	Sept. 10..	29	8	22	28
Russian.....	" 5....	" 6....	27	7	11	44
Riga.....	" 5....	" 5....	31	13	9	36
Common.....	" 5....	" 5....	32	6	9	36
La Plata.....	" 5....	" 10....	26	10	9	36
St. Petersburg.....	" 5....	" 4....	37	8	6	24
Bombay.....	" 5....	" 5....	19	10	5	20



FODDER CORN.



—Photos by C. E. Saunders.

ROAD PLANTING NEAR RESIDENCE OF SUPERINTENDENT.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FLAX ON NEW BREAKING.

As much the largest proportion of flax produced in this country is grown on new breaking, it was thought advisable to give this plan a trial on the Experimental Farm. Owing to the field being flooded for some days the yield is small, but the result agrees with a similar test on cultivated land, viz., that a liberal amount of seed gives the best crop.

The land was broken on May 17 but was not dry enough to sow until June 11. The size of the plots was one-fortieth acre, and the soil was a stiff clay loam.

Variety.	Amount of Seed sown per Acre.	Date Sown.	Date Cut.	Length of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	
	Lbs.			In.	Bush.	Lbs.
Flax	15	June 11...	Sept. 16..	29	5	40
"	20	" 11...	" 16..	29	6	24
"	30	" 11...	" 16..	29	6	24
"	40	" 11...	" 16..	29	7	48
"	50	" 11...	" 16..	29	7	8

THE GERMINATING POWER OF GRAIN.

As usual samples of all the plots of grain on the farm were tested at the Central Experimental Farm, for germination, altogether 274 samples were tested with the following result:—

	No. of samples tested.	Average germinating power.
		Per cent.
Wheat.....	99	91
Oats.....	63	98
Barley.....	56	82
Pease.....	56	96

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

Owing to the almost continuous rain during the latter part of May, corn was not sown until May 31, about two weeks late. This late sowing followed by cool cloudy weather greatly retarded growth, lessened the yield, and prevented the plant reaching its usual stage of maturity.

The corn binder was again used with very satisfactory results.

Thirty-eight varieties were used in this test, and the seed was planted on May 31, in rows thirty inches apart, using about half a bushel of seed per acre. The crop was cut on Sept. 5. The soil was a sandy loam sloping to the south. The previous crop was wheat. The yield in each case was calculated from the weight of two rows each sixty-six feet long.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Height.	When Tasselled.	In Silk.	Early Milk.	Condition when Cnt.	Weight per acre grown in rows.	Weight per acre grown in hills.
		In.					Tons. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.
Salzer's All Gold.....	Fair....	87	Aug. 28	Sept. 4	In silk....	16 1,000	19 16
Champion White Pearl.....	"	86	" 28	" 4	"	18 696	13 665
Mammoth Eight-rowed Flint.....	Rank....	75	" 10	Aug. 30	Sept. 4	E. milk....	18 432	11 1,760
North Dakota Yellow.....	Fair....	65	" 25	Sept. 3	In silk....	17 1,904	15 360
Pearce's Prolific.....	Rank....	79	" 16	" 27	Sept. 3	E. milk....	16 1,528	12 816
North Dakota White.....	Fair....	80	" 19	Aug. 28	Aug. 30	L. milk....	16 1,264	10 1,120
King of the Earliest.....	"	84	" 18	" 27	Sept. 3	E. milk....	16 1,000	12 816
Eureka.....	"	84	" 29	"	In tassell.	16 736	13 1,720
Early Butler.....	"	74	" 23	Aug. 30	Sept. 3	E. milk....	16 472	12 816
King Philip.....	"	84	" 22	" 30	" 4	"	15 1,680	11 704
Superior Fodder.....	"	79	" 30	Sept. 4	In silk....	15 888	8 1,160
Compton's Early.....	Rank....	94	" 20	Aug. 31	Sept. 4	E. milk....	15 624	15 1,680
Angel of Midnight.....	Fair....	81	" 20	" 29	" 4	"	15 624	11 440
Mammoth Cuban.....	Rank....	75	" 25	" 28	"	In silk....	14 1,832	7 1,840
Early Mastodon.....	Fair....	84	" 29	Sept. 4	"	14 1,568	11 1,760
Longfellow.....	Rank....	84	" 20	Aug. 29	Sept. 4	E. milk....	14 1,040	9 1,800
Pride of the North.....	Fair....	93	" 28	Sept. 3	In silk....	14 1,040	13 400
Evergreen Sugar.....	Rank....	69	" 27	" 4	"	13 1,720	10 1,120
Early Golden Surprise.....	Fair....	83	" 26	" 4	"	13 1,192	8 1,424
Rural Thorobred White Flint.....	Rank....	77	" 28	" 5	"	13 928	11 1,232
Kendal's Early Giant.....	Fair....	65	" 14	Aug. 20	Aug. 30	L. milk....	13 400	7 520
Country Gentleman.....	"	61	" 26	Sept. 4	In silk....	13 400	6 1,200
Mitchell's Extra Early.....	Weak....	65	" 12	Aug. 20	Aug. 27	L. milk....	12 1,080	8 1,160
Salzer's Earliest Ripe.....	"	70	" 14	" 20	" 29	"	11 1,760	8 896
Canada White Flint.....	Fair....	80	" 20	" 29	Sept. 3	E. milk....	11 1,760	9 480
Early Yellow Long-eared.....	"	76	" 25	Sept. 3	In silk....	11 1,760	13 400
Selected Leaming.....	"	78	Sept. 3	"	In tassell.	11 1,760	11 1,496
Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	Rank....	70	" 4	"	"	11 1,760	13 400
Yellow Six Weeks.....	Fair....	65	Aug. 20	Aug. 27	Sept. 5	E. milk....	11 968	10 328
Extra Early Huron.....	"	81	" 14	" 20	Aug. 30	L. milk....	11 704	5 1,088
Red Cob Ensilage.....	Rank....	86	" 3	Sept. 5	In silk....	11 440	11 1,760
Cloud's Early Yellow.....	Fair....	86	Sept. 1	"	In tassell.	11 1,760	13 400
Black Mexican.....	Weak....	68	Aug. 10	Aug. 26	Sept. 4	E. milk....	10 1,648	10 1,120
Wisconsin Earliest White Dent.....	"	80	" 28	Sept. 3	In silk....	10 1,384	10 328
Early August.....	"	45	" 12	Aug. 21	Aug. 27	L. milk....	8 1,120	9 160
White Cap Yellow Dent.....	Fair....	83	Sept. 1	"	In tassell.	8 896	8 1,424
Sanford.....	"	76	Aug. 26	Sept. 1	In silk....	8 104	8 368

INDIAN Corn at Different Distances Apart.

Name of Variety.	Distance between rows.	Height.	Condition when cut.	Weight per acre, green, in rows.
	Inches.	Inches.		Tons. Lbs.
Longfellow.....	24	76	In silk.....	8 1,820
"	30	76	"	8 1,160
"	36	76	"	14 1,436
"	42	76	"	17 584
Selected Leaming.....	24	83	In tassell.....	11 1,760
"	30	83	"	13 400
"	36	83	"	17 320
"	42	82	"	16 1,000
Champion White Pearl.....	24	84	In silk.....	13 796
"	30	84	"	15 1,020
"	36	84	"	13 1,852
"	42	84	"	13 400

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Average Yield at Different Distances Apart.				In Rows.	
				Tons.	Lbs.
Average yield of green corn, 24 inches apart.....				11	792
"	"	30	"	12	860
"	"	36	"	15	536
"	"	42	"	15	1,328

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

Thirty varieties of turnips were tested this year. As usual two sowings were made of each variety. The first on May 25 and the second on June 9. The first sowing was covered by water for some days, which so packed the soil that the yield was materially lessened. The second sowing escaped the flood, and for the first time in the history of the farm nearly all varieties gave a larger yield than those of the early sowing. The roots from both sowings were pulled October 2.

The soil on which the turnips were sown was a clay loam. The previous crop was potatoes. The seed was sown in drills thirty inches apart, and the yield has been calculated from the weight of roots gathered from two rows each sixty-six feet long. All were pulled on October 2.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Varieties.	Character of Growth.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Skirving's.....	Strong.....	25	424	840	24	18	696	611	36
Champion Purple Top.....	".....	21	1,824	730	24	26	536	875	36
Webb's New Renown.....	".....	21	504	708	24	23	464	774	24
New Arctic.....	Fair.....	20	1,976	699	36	21	768	712	48
Bangholm Selected.....	".....	19	1,800	660	..	20	1,184	686	24
Magnum Bonum.....	Strong.....	19	544	642	24	20	392	673	12
Good Luck.....	Fair.....	19	16	633	36	22	616	743	36
Shamrock Purple Top.....	".....	18	168	602	48	20	920	682	..
Kangaroo.....	Strong.....	18	1,224	620	24	21	504	708	24
Perfection Swede.....	Weak.....	18	432	607	12	23	1,784	796	24
Sutton's Champion.....	Fair.....	17	1,112	585	12	19	280	638	..
Jumbo.....	Strong.....	17	56	567	36	15	1,680	528	..
Selected Champion.....	Fair.....	16	472	541	12	13	400	440	..
Halewood's Bronze Top.....	".....	15	1,152	519	12	18	1,488	624	48
Marquis of Lorne.....	".....	15	888	514	48	17	1,904	598	24
Prize Purple Top.....	".....	15	888	514	48	20	920	682	..
West Norfolk Red Top.....	".....	15	888	514	48	16	208	536	48
Hall's Westbury.....	Strong.....	15	624	510	24	15	1,416	523	36
Giant King.....	".....	15	360	506	..	16	1,264	554	24
Prize Winner.....	".....	15	96	501	36	21	768	712	48
East Lothian.....	".....	14	1,568	492	48	16	1,264	554	24
New Century.....	".....	13	1,984	466	24	17	1,640	594	..
Elephant's Master.....	Fair.....	13	1,984	466	24	16	208	536	48
Drummond Purple Top.....	Strong.....	13	1,720	462	..	21	504	708	24
Carter's Elephant.....	".....	13	1,456	457	36	15	360	506	..
Selected Purple Top.....	".....	13	400	440	..	19	544	642	24
Monarch.....	Fair.....	12	1,344	422	24	16	1,000	550	..
Emperor.....	".....	11	1,760	396	..	17	56	567	36
Mammoth Clyde.....	Weak.....	10	1,384	356	24	21	1,560	726	..
Imperial Swede.....	Fair.....	9	1,272	321	12	20	1,976	699	36

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Twenty-seven varieties of mangels were tested during the year. Two sowings were made of each variety. The first on May 27 and the second on June 10, and both lots were pulled September 20. The first sown plots of mangels were also injured by water, resulting in a small yield.

The seed was sown in drills 30 inches apart on sandy loam, and the yield has been calculated from the weight of roots gathered from two rows each 66 feet long. All were pulled on Sept. 20.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
	1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	16	1,132	552	12	11	704	378	24
Selected Yellow Globe.....	15	1,944	532	24	17	1,376	589	36
Yellow Intermediate.....	14	248	470	48	15	96	501	36
Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	13	1,984	466	24	16	1,792	563	12
Champion Yellow Globe.....	13	1,456	457	36	14	1,304	488	24
Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	13	669	444	29	14	1,840	497	29
Sutton's Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....	13	664	444	24	15	1,152	519	12
Mammoth Long Red.....	12	1,344	422	24	17	584	576	24
Half Long Sugar White.....	12	552	409	12	15	96	501	36
Norbiton Giant.....	12	288	404	48	17	320	572	..
Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	11	1,892	395	12	15	1,152	519	12
Canadian Giant.....	11	1,496	391	36	15	360	506	..
Triumph Yellow Globe.....	11	1,232	387	12	14	1,304	488	24
Gate Post.....	11	440	374	..	15	888	514	48
Yellow Ffeshed Tankard.....	11	176	369	36	10	1,912	365	12
Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	11	176	369	36	15	1,152	519	12
Red Ffeshed Tankard.....	11	176	369	36	15	1,152	519	12
Mammoth Oval Shaped.....	10	1,912	365	12	14	1,832	497	42
Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	10	1,912	365	12	13	1,192	453	12
Leviathan Long Red.....	10	1,232	354	12	15	96	501	36
Gate Post Yellow.....	10	1,120	352	..	14	1,040	484	..
Ward's Large Oval Shaped.....	10	988	349	48	15	1,152	519	12
Giant Sugar.....	9	1,800	330	..	14	776	470	36
Golden Ffeshed Tankard.....	8	1,952	299	12	13	1,720	462	..
Giant Yellow Globe.....	7	1,576	259	36	14	1,040	484	..
Giant Yellow Half Long.....	7	1,048	250	48	17	584	576	24
Warden Orange Globe.....	5	32	167	12	14	1,304	488	24

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

The yield of carrots was again a very irregular one. A few varieties gave good returns while others gave a very small yield.

The soil was a clay loam, in potatoes the previous year. The estimate of yield has been made from the roots produced on two rows each 66 feet long.

Twenty-one varieties were tested. The first sowing was made on May 27. and the second on June 10. The seed was sown in drills 16 inches apart, and the roots were pulled on October 2.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of variety.	Charac- ter of growth.	Yield per acre. 1st plot.		Yield per acre. 1st plot.		Yield per acre. 2nd plot.		Yield per acre. 2nd plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
New White Intermediate.....	Strong.....	16	120	535	20	9	1,800	330	..
Ontario Champion	"	14	160	469	20	11	366	40
Carter's Orange Giant.....	Fair.....	12	200	403	20	9	1,800	330	..
Green Top White Orthe.....	Strong.....	11	1,320	388	40	13	1,280	454	40
White Vosges Large Short.....	"	11	1,320	388	40	10	680	344	40
Yellow Intermediate.....	"	11	366	40	11	1,320	388	40
White Belgian.....	"	9	1,800	330	..	9	40	300	40
Half Long Chantenay.....	Fair.....	9	1,360	322	40	12	640	410	40
Long Yellow Stump Rooted.....	"	9	480	308	..	12	640	410	40
Guerande or Ox-heart.....	"	9	40	300	40	13	840	414	..
Giant White Vosges.....	"	9	40	300	40	9	1,800	330	..
Iverson's Champion.....	Strong.....	8	720	278	40	11	880	381	20
Early Gem.....	Weak.....	7	1,840	264	..	9	480	308	..
Half Long White.....	Strong.....	7	960	249	20	9	1,800	330	..
Improved Short White.....	Weak.....	7	80	234	40	5	1,880	198	..
Scarlet Intermediate.....	"	6	1,640	227	20	10	240	337	20
Yellow Intermediate.....	"	6	760	212	40	8	280	271	20
Long Orange or Surrey.....	"	6	320	205	20	5	1,880	198	..
Mammoth White Intermediate.....	"	5	120	168	40	14	1,480	491	20
Long Scarlet Altringham.....	"	3	1,480	124	40	4	800	146	40
Scarlet Nantes.....	"	3	160	102	40	4	360	139	20

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of sugar beets were sown. The yield was below the average from the same cause that injured the other field roots. The quality of the roots was excellent.

The soil was a clay loam, and the previous crop was potatoes. The seed was sown on the flat, in drills two feet apart.

The first plots were sown on May 27, and the second on June 10. All were pulled on September 20. The yield has been calculated from the weight obtained from two rows each 66 feet long.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Yield per acre. 1st plot.		Yield per acre. 1st plot.		Yield per acre. 2nd plot		Yield per acre. 2nd plot	
		Tons.	lbs.	Bush.	lbs.	Tons.	lbs.	Bush.	lbs.
Red Top Sugar.....	fair.	13	928	448	48	12	24	400	24
Danish Improved.....		12	24	400	24	14	512	475	12
Royal Giant.....	"	8	1,424	290	24	14	1,040	484	..
French 'Very Rich'.....	"	8	1,160	286	..	9	1,800	330	..
Danish Red Top.....	"	8	500	275	..	13	1,192	453	12
Vanzleben.....	"	7	1,048	250	48	12	552	409	12
Improved Imperial.....	"	6	672	211	12	12	1,344	422	24
Milnorin's Improved.....	"	5	296	171	36	12	288	404	48

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

The very heavy rain of June 1 cut deep gulleys through the potato field and in other parts the soil was packed so hard that it appeared impossible for the potatoes to grow, but the soil was deeply stirred as soon as dry with a horse cultivator. The growth was then rapid and much to our surprise the yield of most of the varieties was above the average.

The average yield of twelve of the most productive varieties covering a period of five years also is given. Of these we would recommend the following as being both prolific and of good quality: I X L, Dreer's Standard, Clay Rose, Green Mountain, and Rural No. 2.

The previous crop was turnips. There was no injury from rot. The yield has been estimated in each case from the product of one row 66 feet long.

All varieties were planted on rich black loam on May 20 and dug September 30.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Average Size.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.		Form and Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Enormous.....	Strong..	Medium.....	557	20	447	20	110	..	Roundish white.
Houlton Rose.....	"	"	487	40	436	20	51	20	Long, round, white.
Burnaby Seedling.....	"	" to large.	476	40	421	40	55	..	Flat, oval, pink.
White Beauty.....	Fair..	"	473	..	377	40	95	20	" white.
Cambridge Russet.....	Strong..	"	473	..	403	20	69	40	Long, round, deep russet.
Empire State.....	"	" to large.	454	40	399	40	55	..	" white.
Early White Prize.....	Fair..	"	447	20	377	40	69	40	Round, oval, light pink.
Prolific Rose.....	"	" to large.	436	20	315	20	121	..	" deep pink.
Delaware.....	Strong..	"	429	..	396	..	33	..	Long, oval, white.
Hale's Champion.....	Fair..	"	432	40	326	20	106	20	Roundish, oval, white.
Seedling, No. 230.....	"	" to large.	432	40	315	20	117	20	"
Pearce's Extra Early.....	"	"	429	..	341	..	88	..	Long, flat, deep pink.
Sharpe's Seedling.....	"	"	425	20	352	..	73	20	Long, oval, light pink.
Maule's Thoroughbred.....	"	"	421	40	352	..	69	40	" deep pink.
Seedling, No. 7.....	Strong..	" to large.	421	40	330	..	91	40	" deep red.
Great Divide.....	"	Small to medium	418	..	326	20	91	40	Irregular, white.
American Wonder.....	"	Large	403	20	366	40	36	40	Long, round, white.
Pride of the Market.....	"	Medium to large.	392	20	348	20	44	..	Roundish, oval, white.
Early St. George.....	Fair..	"	381	20	319	..	62	20	Long, oval, deep pink.
State of Maine.....	"	Small to medium	381	20	330	..	51	20	Flattish, oval, white.
New Queen.....	"	Medium	381	20	311	40	69	40	Long, round, light pink.
Carman, No. 3.....	"	" to large.	374	..	315	20	58	40	" white.
New Variety, No. 1.....	Weak	"	370	20	315	20	55	..	Irregular, white.
American Giant.....	Fair..	"	363	..	311	40	51	20	Round, oval, white.
Early Harvest.....	Strong..	" to large.	363	..	311	40	51	20	Roundish, white.
Irish Daisy.....	"	"	355	40	297	..	58	40	Long, oval, white.
Rose, No. 9.....	"	"	355	40	326	20	29	20	Long flat, deep pink.
Country Gentleman.....	Fair..	" to large.	352	..	322	40	29	20	Long, deep pink.
Irish Cobbler.....	"	"	352	..	232	20	69	40	Flattish, white.
I. X. L.....	Strong..	"	352	..	304	20	47	40	Long, round, light pink.
Lizzie's Pride.....	"	"	348	20	275	..	73	20	Irregular, white.
Vanier.....	Fair..	Small to medium	348	20	238	40	110	..	Round, oval, deep pink.
Rural, No. 2.....	Strong..	Medium to large.	341	..	308	..	33	..	Flattish, oval, white.
Bill Nye.....	Weak	Small to medium	337	20	278	40	58	40	Round, oval, white.
Lee's Favorite.....	Fair..	"	337	20	249	20	88	..	Long, oval, light pink.
Chicago Market.....	"	"	333	40	198	..	135	40	Long, round, white.
Early Rose.....	"	"	333	40	271	20	62	20	Round, pink.
Early Sunrise.....	Weak	Large	330	..	282	20	47	40	Long, oval, light pink.
Uncle Sam.....	Fair..	Medium to large.	330	..	300	40	29	20	Flattish, oval, white.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Average Size.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.		Form and Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Money Maker	Strong..	Small to medium	330	..	234	40	95	20	Round, oval, white.
Quaker City	" ..	Medium to large.	326	20	267	40	58	40	Long, flat, white.
Canadian Beauty	" ..	" ..	326	20	264	..	62	20	Long, round, light pink.
Polaris.....	Fair..	Small to medium	322	40	242	..	80	40	Long, oval, deep pink.
Holborn Abundance.....	Strong..	Medium to large.	319	..	282	20	36	40	Round, white.
Daisy	Fair..	Small to medium	319	..	238	20	80	40	Long, oval, light pink.
Rawdon Rose.....	" ..	Medium	315	20	238	20	77	..	Round, oval, light pink.
Seattle.....	" ..	" to large.	315	20	223	40	91	40	Long, flat, light pink.
Early Michigan.....	Weak ..	" ..	315	20	245	40	69	40	" white.
Late Puritan.....	Fair..	" to large.	315	20	275	..	40	20	Long, round, white.
Clay Rose.....	Strong..	" ..	315	20	260	20	55	..	Flat, oval, deep pink.
Sabeau's Elephant	" ..	" to large.	311	40	249	20	62	20	Long, round, white.
Sir Walter Raleigh	Fair..	Small to medium	311	40	273	40	33	..	Flat, oval, white.
Brownell's Winner	" ..	Large	308	..	249	20	58	40	Irregular, deep pink.
Earliest of All	Weak ..	Small to medium	304	20	249	20	55	..	Long, oval, light pink.
Clarke's No. 1	Fair..	Medium to large.	297	..	227	20	60	40	Long, flat ..
Vick's Extra Early.....	" ..	Small to medium	297	..	256	40	40	20	Flat, pink.
Thorburn	" ..	Medium to large.	293	20	256	40	36	40	Roundish, light pink.
Early Six Weeks.....	Weak ..	" ..	293	20	242	..	51	20	" ..
Early Puritan.....	Fair..	Medium	293	20	238	20	55	..	Long, round, white.
General Gordon.....	" ..	" to large.	289	40	201	40	88	..	" deep pink.
Early Andes.....	Weak ..	Small to medium	289	40	242	..	47	40	Round, oval, white.
Penn Manor.....	Fair..	Medium to large.	289	40	234	40	55	..	Long, oval, deep pink.
Maggie Murphy.....	" ..	" ..	286	..	234	40	51	20	Flat, oval, light pink.
Northern Spy.....	Strong ..	Medium	282	20	238	20	44	..	Long, flat, deep pink.
Everett.....	" ..	" to large.	282	20	234	40	47	40	Long, oval ..
Dreer's Standard	Fair..	" ..	282	20	238	20	44	..	Flattish, oval, white.
Pearce's Prize Winner.....	" ..	Small to medium	278	40	216	20	62	20	" ..
McIntyre	Strong ..	" ..	278	40	245	40	33	..	Long, round, white.
Reeve's Rose.....	Fair..	Medium to large.	278	40	220	..	58	40	Flat, oval, light pink.
Early Northern	" ..	" ..	275	..	212	40	62	20	Long, flat, pink.
Ohio Junior.....	Weak ..	Medium	271	20	227	20	44	..	Round, oval, light pink.
Beauty of Hebron.....	" ..	" ..	271	20	212	40	58	40	Long, round ..
Green Mountain.....	Fair..	Small to medium	271	20	227	20	44	..	Flattish, oval, white.
Early Market.....	Weak ..	Medium to large.	271	20	227	20	44	..	Round, oval ..
Rochester Rose.....	Fair..	Small to medium	271	20	198	..	73	20	Long, round, light pink.
Flemish Beauty.....	" ..	Medium to large.	267	40	201	40	66	..	Oval, deep pink.
Swiss Snowflake.....	" ..	Small to medium	260	20	198	..	62	20	Irregular, white.
Bovee	Weak ..	" ..	249	20	176	..	73	20	Long, oval, light pink.
Brown's Rot Proof.....	Fair..	Medium to large.	245	40	183	20	62	20	Round, oval, deep pink.
Rural Blush	Strong ..	Small to medium	238	20	198	..	40	20	" ..
Troy Seedling	" ..	" ..	238	20	150	20	88	..	Irregular, white.
Up to Date.....	" ..	Small	234	40	194	20	40	20	Flat, round, white.
Carman No. 1.....	" ..	Medium to large.	231	..	183	20	47	40	Flat, white.
Early Ohio.....	Weak ..	" ..	231	..	168	40	62	20	Round, oval, light pink.
Dakota Red.....	Fair..	Small to medium	223	40	190	40	33	..	Long, flat, deep pink.
Reading Grant.....	Weak ..	" ..	220	..	161	20	58	40	Round, oval, deep pink.
Prize Taker.....	Fair....	" ..	205	20	146	40	58	40	" ..

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

AVERAGE results of a Five Years' test of twelve varieties of potatoes.

Variety.	Years included.	Average yield per acre.	
		Bush.	Lbs.
Seedling No. 7.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	442	56
State of Maine.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	438	54
Delaware.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	438	20
I. X. L.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	423	52
Dreer's Standard.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	406	16
Quaker City.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	399	56
Brown's Rot Proof.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	395	16
Late Puritan.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	387	56
Clay Rose.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	387	56
Green Mountain.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	379	8
Chicago Market.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	368	52
Rural No. 2.....	1898-99, 1900-01-02	351	16

COLORADO POTATO BEETLE.

On August 6, numerous potato bugs appeared on about a dozen vines. They were at once sprayed with a liquid composed of a teaspoonful of Paris green mixed with one pail of water. The mixture was kept well agitated while being applied. The treatment was effectual and no further trouble was experienced. This is the third time these beetles have appeared on the Experimental Farm, but in no instance has the injury been serious.

GRASSES AND CLOVERS.

The past season has been a favourable one for all cultivated grasses. The shallower marshes also produced abundant crops of wild hay, but the deeper marshes were too wet for the best results. On the Experimental Farm the more recent sown test plots of grasses and clovers, were destroyed, but the older plots gave a very fair return; considering the length of time they have been sown.

The crop of Austrian Brome Grass is usually a heavy one. It lies very compact and during unsettled weather is difficult to cure properly. A trial has been made of mixing it with western rye grass, with promising results, by mixing the seed in equal proportions and cutting the crop as soon as the rye grass heads out, the mixture lies open in the swarth and cures quickly. It is found however that most horses prefer the brome, and if fed a large quantity of the mixture at a time they will pick out the brome and leave the rye grass.

One plot of alfalfa and brome mixed, was grown. Originally it was one-quarter brome and three-quarters alfalfa, but the brome has gradually crowded out the alfalfa and the plot is now more than one-half brome. Alfalfa has usually proven hardy here, when sown alone. It then forms a strong plant before winter, but when sown with a nurse crop of grain, the plants are stunted and always winter killed. The same rule applies to red clover, alsike and white Dutch clovers.

A large number of fresh test plots of clovers and grasses have been sown and when winter set in nearly all of them had made a good stand. The clovers covered the ground thickly and the larger varieties could have been cut for hay, but it was thought advisable to leave the first years crop to retain the snow. For the same reason it is not a good plan to pasture clover late in the fall.

Between forty and fifty tons of hay were grown on larger fields.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Grasses sown on spring-ploughed stubble, without a nurse crop, size of plots one-tenth acre. Soil a sandy loam.

Variety.	When sown.	Seed per acre.	Yield of cured hay per acre.	
		Lbs.	Tons.	lbs.
Austrian Brome.....	1898	12	1	100
" ".....	1899	12	2	500
" ".....	1900	12	2	500
Timothy.....	1900	7	1	50
Alfalfa and Brome.....	1900	15 & 7	2	400

MILLETS.

These useful annual fodder plants have given a very fair return this year. The abundant rainfall and open autumn has been favourable to them.

All the varieties were sown in drills seven inches apart. Most of the millets are quite easy to cure and stack.

The size of the plots was one-twentieth acre and the soil was a rich clay loam and the previous crop was fodder corn. All were sown on June 6 and cut on September 4.

Variety.	Height.	Length of Head.	Stage when Cut.	Yield per Acre, Green.	
				Tons.	Lbs.
Moha Hungarian.....	43	5 inches...	Fully headed..	7	800
Pearl or Cat Tail.....	42	None.....	Not headed...	5	800
White Round Extra French.....	55	".....	Not headed...	8	800
Algerian or Early Pearl.....	69	4½ inches.	½ headed.....	12	1,600
Italian or Indian.....	44	12 ".....	Few heads.....	12	800
Common.....	36	4 ".....	Fully headed..	4	1,800

BROOM CORN.

This was sown in rows three feet apart on June 6 on a rich clay loam. The space occupied was one-twentieth of an acre. The crop grew to a height of 56 inches before cutting on September 4. This was partly headed, to the extent of about five inches and produced at the rate of 14 tons of green fodder per acre.

AMBER SUGAR CANE.

The amber sugar cane was also grown in rows three feet apart on rich clay loam. It was sown June 6 on a one-twentieth acre plot and cut September 4. The plants grew to a height of over five feet but were not headed at time of cutting. This produced at the rate of 10 tons of green fodder per acre.

HORSE BEANS.

The returns from horse beans were above the average, and the plants were well podded.

Two plots were sown of one-fortieth of an acre each. The seed was put in with a garden drill and kept clean by the occasional use of a cultivator. The soil was a clay loam, summer-fallowed.

Both were sown on June 5, and cut on September 6.

	Height.	Length of Pod.	Condition when Cut.	Yield per Acre.	
				Tons.	Lbs.
Horse Beans.....	36	4	Green.....	10	1,200
" "	36	4	"	9	1,900

EXPERIMENTS IN FEEDING STEERS.

SPELTZ STRAW COMPARED WITH BROME GRASS (*BROMUS INERMIS*) AND WESTERN RYE GRASS (*A. TENERUM*).

Twelve steers were purchased for this experiment but one of them becoming sick before the test started, only three were used in one of the groups. All were two-year old grades, Shorthorn blood apparently predominating.

When purchased in December, 1901, the steers cost \$3.25 per hundred, and they sold in May, 1902, for \$5.12½ per hundred pounds. At that time the two lots fed with hay were choice export cattle, but the animals fed with Speltz were not fully finished, and in a discriminating market would not have brought within a cent of the top price.

The result of the experiment would lead us to the following conclusions:—

1st. That Western Rye Grass hay and Brome Grass hay are about equal in feeding value for beef.

2nd. That Speltz straw makes very fair coarse fodder but is only worth one-half as much as well cured hay.

RATION FED.

During the first four weeks, Dec. 30, 1901, to Jan. 27, 1902, each steer received per day:

Either Brome hay, Western Rye hay or Speltz straw....	20 pounds
Swede turnips.....	20 "
Chop.....	6 "

During second four weeks, Jan. 27 to Feb. 24, 1902, each steer received per day:

Either Brome hay, Western Rye hay or Speltz straw....	20 pounds
Swede turnips.....	20 "
Chop.....	8 "

During third four weeks, Feb. 24 to March 24, each steer received per day:

Either Brome hay, Western Rye hay or Speltz straw....	20 pounds
Swede turnips.....	20 "
Chop.....	9 "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

During the fourth four weeks, March 24 to April 21, each steer received per day:

Either Brome hay, Western Rye hay or Speltz straw....	20 pounds
Swede turnips.....	20 "
Chop.....	11 "

DESCRIPTION OF FODDER.

The Brome and Western Rye hay were cut early and well cured. The Speltz straw was cut as soon as the grain was ripe and had a clean and bright appearance. The chop consisted of one-half oats, one-quarter barley and one-quarter wheat screenings.

COMPARATIVE GAINS.

Brome Grass Hay.	Date.	Weight.	Gain.	Total Gain.
Original weight of four Steers.....	Dec. 30....	5,325 lbs....		
Weight end of 1st period.....	Jan. 27....	5,500 "....	175 lbs.....	
" 2nd ".....	Feb. 24....	5,555 "....	55 ".....	
" 3rd ".....	Mar. 24....	5,770 "....	215 ".....	
" 4th ".....	Apr. 21....	6,000 "....	230 ".....	675 lbs.
Western Rye Grass Hay.	Date.	Weight.	Gain.	Total Gain.
Original weight of four Steers.....	Dec. 30....	5,320 lbs....		
Weight end of 1st period.....	Jan. 27....	5,424 "....	104 lbs.....	
" 2nd ".....	Feb. 24....	5,530 "....	106 ".....	
" 3rd ".....	Mar. 24....	5,720 "....	190 ".....	
" 4th ".....	April 21....	5,980 "....	260 ".....	660 lbs.
Speltz Straw.	Date.	Weight.	Gain.	Total Gain.
Original weight of three Steers.....	Dec. 30....	3,960 lbs....		
Weight end of 1st period.....	Jan. 27....	4,062 "....	102 lbs.....	
" 2nd ".....	Feb. 24....	4,072 "....	10 ".....	
" 3rd ".....	Mar. 24....	4,183 "....	111 ".....	
" 4th ".....	April 21....	4,315 "....	132 ".....	355 lbs.

COST OF FEEDING EACH LOT OF STEERS.

Lot 1.—Brome grass hay.

8,480 lbs. at \$5 per ton.....	\$21 20
142 $\frac{2}{3}$ bushels turnips at 5 cents per bushel.....	7 14
3,568 lbs. chop at 75 cents per hundred lbs.....	26 76
Total cost for four steers.....	\$55 10
Cost per steer.....	\$13 77

Lot 2.—Western rye grass hay.

8,400 lbs. rye grass hay at \$5 per ton	\$21 00
141½ bushels turnips at 5 cents per bushel.....	7 07
3,500 lbs. chop at 75 cents per hundred	26 25

Total cost for four steers..... \$54 32

Cost per steer..... \$13 58

Lot 3.—Speltz straw.

4,995 lbs. speltz straw at \$2.50 per ton.....	\$ 6 25
111 bushels turnips at 5 cents per bushel.....	5 55
2,832 pounds chop at 75 cents per hundred.....	21 24

Total cost for three steers..... \$33 04

Cost per steer..... \$11 01

SUMMARY OF RESULTS.

	First Cost per Steer.	Value of Feed Consumed.	Price per Steer Sold for.	Profit per Steer.
	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Fed Brome Grass Hay.....	43 26	13 77	76 87	19 84
Fed Rye Grass Hay.....	43 22	13 58	76 61	19 81
Fed Speltz Straw.....	42 90	11 01	73 69	19 78

EXPERIMENTS WITH SWINE—LAMB'S QUARTER SEED AS PIG FEED.

This test was made to ascertain whether a ration composed partly of Lamb's Quarter Seed *Chenopodium album* had any advantage over a pure grain ration.

The Lamb's Quarter seed was boiled and then after being well mixed with the chopped grain was fed wet. The grain was a mixture composed of one-half oats, and one-quarter each of barley and wheat screenings.

The four pigs used for this test were Tamworth crosses. They were fed 76 days and sold at \$5.50 per hundred pounds, live weight.

From the result of this experiment it would appear that Lamb's Quarter seed has a limited value as food for pigs. The annual report of the Experimental Farms for 1899, page 147, contains an analysis of this seed.

RATION FED.

Amount and value of food consumed by each pen during the 76 days of the test, the grain is valued at 75 cents per hundred pounds.

	Lamb's Quarter Seed.	Gain.	Value of feed.
	Lbs.		\$ cts.
Pen 1, fed Lamb's Quarter Seed.....	216	950	7 12
Pen 2, without " ".....		1,125	8 43

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SUMMARY.

—	Weight when bought.	Value when bought.	Weight when sold.	Value when sold.	Value of food.	Profit per pair.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Pen 1, fed Lamb's Quarter Seed.....	337	18 53	558	30 69	7 12	5 04
Pen 2, without " "	337	18 53	567	31 18	8 43	4 22

POTATOES AND TURNIPS AS PIG FEED.

Potatoes and turnips give large returns in this country and if found profitable for pig feed, the quantity grown could be greatly increased.

Four pigs were used for this test. Two pure bred Yorkshire and two Yorkshire Tamworth crosses, in the test each pair consisted of one pure bred and one cross bred animal.

The grain used was composed of one-half barley, and one-quarter each of oats and wheat screenings, valued at 75 cents per hundred pounds. With this was fed a mixture of two-thirds small potatoes and one-third turnips which are valued at 20 cents per bushel. These were boiled, mashed, and mixed with the ground grain.

It would appear from this test that potatoes and turnips can be used to replace a portion of the grain ration but they are worth less than twenty cents per bushel for that purpose.

RATION fed during the fattening term of 82 days, from August 28 to Nov. 18.

—	Grain fed.	Value.	Roots fed.	Value.	Total value of food.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Pen 1, fed roots.....	728	5 46	846	2 88	8 28
Pen 2, without roots.....	978	7 33	7 33

SUMMARY.

—	Weight when bought.	Value when bought.	Weight when killed.	Value when killed.	Value of food.	Profit on each pair.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Pen 1, fed roots.....	171	10 26	372	22 32	8 28	3 78
Pen 2, without roots.....	177	10 62	372	22 32	7 33	4 37

REPORTS FROM PARTIES SUPPLIED WITH SWINE.

This fall circular letters were sent out to parties supplied in former years with young pure bred pigs. Eleven replies have been received to date. The following extracts will show that the animals have given good satisfaction.

Name of Purchaser.	Address.	Extract from Reports.
J. W. Dimmick.....	Kerfoot.....	Boar has given me great satisfaction.
S. T. Smith.....	Hamiota.....	I think the sow has no equal.
R. G. Penson.....	Melita.....	Sows are doing first rate.
I. Cookman.....	Basswood.....	Will make a very fine hog.
G. W. Marsden.....	Brandon.....	Has done very well and will make a fine hog.
L. W. Speers.....	Westwood.....	She is a fine animal.
G. A. Edwards.....	Kerfoot.....	I am well pleased with him.
A. E. Brown.....	Hamiota.....	She made a good growth, has eleven pigs in one litter.
H. W. Phillips.....	Pipestone.....	The hog has done finely and we are well satisfied with it.
S. A. Coxe, V.S.....	Brandon.....	A very fine animal, I am well pleased with it.
J. Kirkcaldy.....	do.....	A fine pig and doing well.

BROOD SOWS.

In the early history of this farm the brood sows were kept housed during the winter months in well bedded pens 9 x 9 feet, and only allowed the use of runways during fine weather, with the result that the litters of young pigs were generally small and weak. Of late years all the brood sows are allowed to run at will in a large yard, provided with a stack of straw for their bed; and they are only brought into the barn a week or two before the litters are due. Since this plan has been adopted the sows have averaged ten pigs per litter and nearly every one of them has been strong and vigorous from the start.

POULTRY.

The flock of fowls on this farm have kept in good health during the year. Seventy-three chickens were hatched by hens in the spring, of these only three died during the summer. The flock now consists of 54 Light Brahmas, 24 Barred Plymouth Rocks and 14 White Wyandottes.

Some feeding experiments were commenced this fall but were not completed in time to be included in this report.

BEES.

Of the ten hives placed in the cellar last fall, two died, one strong colony from inadequate stores, the other from some unexplained cause.

They were placed on the stands on April 15 and commenced at once to gather pollen from the willow and hazel. The colonies were weighed in the fall and again in the spring and it was found that they had consumed on an average 14½ pounds of honey per colony.

The spring was too wet and cool for much nectar gathering. It was found that very few of the bees left the hive when the temperature was below 50 degrees Fah. in the shade. We notice that the bee's preference for a particular blossom is not at all constant; one year they work freely on a certain plant and the next year neglect it. This year they worked freely on wild plums and on small fruits, the former being fairly alive with them at times.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The demand for colonies being large the apiary was run for swarms more than for honey and only about 25 pounds of honey per colony were taken. Sixteen swarms were obtained during the season. These found ready sale at five dollars per colony. Twelve hives were placed in the cellar on November 15.

HORTICULTURE.

APPLES.

Orchard of Siberian Crab (Pyrus baccata).—It is with pleasure that we record one of the best crops of this fruit yet harvested on the Experimental Farm. The trees were covered with bloom in the spring and the total absence of spring frosts, resulted in a splendid set of fruit in many instances so heavy was the crop that the branches of the trees were bent down under the weight. The most notable feature of this fruit is its extreme variability, specimens of the same variety ranging in size from that of a fair sized pea to a size nearly as large as the Transcendent Crab. While it is proposed to continue the growing of the larger kinds—the small fruited trees have been reserved for top grafting with improved varieties. The varieties of *Pyrus* grown in this orchard consist of the following:—

Pyrus baccata edulis, *P. b. macrocarpa*, *P. b. microcarpa*, *P. b. lutea*, *P. b. sanguinea*, *P. b. aurantiaca*, *P. b. genuina*, *P. b. cerasiformis* and *P. b. yellow*. *Pyrus prunifolia*, *P. p. xanthocarpa*, *P. p. intermedia* and *Pyrus malus* No. 529.

The most promising of these which have yet fruited are among *P. b. sanguinea*, *Pyrus prunifolia* and *Pyrus prunifolia xanthocarpa* and even these show very great variation in individual trees—Following will be found a list of the most promising trees.

Pyrus baccata sanguinea No. 15.—Fruit, rosy red when ripe, and produced in great profusion, depth 1 inch, ripe August 15. Of a mealy consistency though pleasant flavour, sweet, calyx in about 75 per cent of the fruit persistent, makes a first class jelly.

Pyrus baccata sanguinea No. 16.—Colour light red on sunny side light green on opposite. Ripe August 25, flavour pleasantly acid and juicy. Calyx entirely persistent a very good variety.

Pyrus prunifolia xanthocarpa No. 17.—This was the largest of the *pyrus* yet fruited having a diameter of $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches. The colour of the fruit is a deep green and was not fully ripe before frost.

Pyrus baccata yellow No. 18.—The fruit of this variety is of a deep yellow colour all over, and is about the same size as *Pyrus baccata sanguinea* No. 15. The flesh is pleasantly sub-acid and very juicy.

CRAB APPLE SEEDLINGS.

In the *Pyrus* orchard there has been grown a number of seedlings from the following Crabs—Martha, Transcendent, Rose of Stanstead, Snyder, Gideon, and Jumbo. The four last mentioned having proven too tender for us here, have all been destroyed except one or two of the most promising trees of each variety, left for further test. The Transcendents have shown themselves somewhat hardier, although many of these have repeatedly been killed back. The seedlings of Martha are however most promising. Most of them have been quite hardy since planting, make very shapely trees, and this year three of them fruited—following is a brief description of each of these three sorts.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Martha Seedling No. 1.—Tree a vigorous grower very shapely and hardy. Fruit light yellow in colour when ripe, with the faintest suggestion of red on the sunny side. Shape flattish, calyx persistent, flavour very sweet and juicy, almost comparable to Transcendent; an abundant bearer, about two-thirds the size of the Transcendent crab—altogether a most satisfactory variety. Ripe about the middle of August.

Martha Seedling No. 2.—Tree fairly vigorous, though somewhat more spreading than the former and hardy. Fruit deep yellow in colour with bright red streaks on sunny side. Shape conical or elongated, and slightly ribbed. Calyx persistent. Flavour slightly astringent and drier than the former, rather large seed cavity, fairly productive and of about an equal size with the former. Ripe early in September.

Martha Seedling No. 3.—Tree fairly vigorous and shapely, quite hardy, fruit deep yellow throughout when ripe. Shape quite flat, calyx persistent. Flavour sweet but somewhat dry, small seed cavity (only a few fruits were produced this season) slightly smaller than the preceding varieties. Ripe middle of August.

TONKA APPLE.

One tree of this variety mentioned in last year's report set a few specimens of fruit, which were stolen long before they reached maturity. These incidents are extremely regrettable and make our work in these lines very difficult.

TOP GRAFTING.

A considerable amount of grafting was accomplished during the past spring—and results were very satisfactory. Scions were received from Mr. H. L. Patmore, nurseryman of this city consisting of Duchess, Wealthy, Pride and Transcendent. These were top grafted on the stocks of *Pyrus baccata*, and nearly all made a good union. The seasons growth averaging two to two and one-half feet. The scions were taken from trees which have successfully withstood the test of several winters—and it is hoped they will prove hardy with us. The operation commenced as soon as the wax could be worked in the open, and continued at intervals until the buds expanded. It would appear from the results that early grafting is desirable. Considerable root grafting was also done with Tonka and Wealthy apples on *Pyrus baccata*.

TRANSCENDENT CRAB.

The tree of Transcendent crab growing on Hillside Plot mentioned in previous reports came through the winter of 1901–2 unscathed and a fine crop of fruit was set. The product was an unusually fine sample of this crab, rather above the average Manitoba grown Transcendent in size, and entirely free from any disease. This specimen has now proven hardy for several years, but this is the first time that any fruit has matured. Ripe about August 25.

PLUMS.

Although the plum trees, set exceptionally well the past spring (owing to the absence of spring frosts) a large proportion of the crop was destroyed by the fungus disease (plum pocket). The coolness of the season greatly retarded the ripening, and although a fair crop of the native plum (*Prunus nigra*) was harvested, the imported varieties (*Prunus americana*) failed to produce ripe fruit. A careful examination of

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

all the bearing trees was made, and undesirable ones were marked for grafting with the more promising varieties.

A particularly fine native plum seedling fruited this year. The fruit is small, light red in colour, flavour good, skin thin, and it ripens earlier than any other variety grown here. It has been named 'Brandon Ruby.'

RASPBERRIES.

Raspberries were again a very poor crop, so much so as to prevent any comparison of yield. The fact has now been clearly demonstrated that their present position (on the hill-side) is entirely unsuited to them, and arrangements have been made to commence a new plantation in a different location. Following will be found a list of varieties under trial, together with notes as to the manner in which they came through the winter of 1901-2. All made good growth this season and on the approach of winter one-half of each variety was laid down and covered, the balance being left standing in order to make comparisons on the two methods of wintering.

Biggar's Seedling, wintered fairly well. Muskingum and H. R. Antwerp, killed to ground. Royal and Clark, wintered well. Sir John, Hansel and Palmer, killed to ground. R. B. Whyte, wintered fairly well. Yellow Antwerp, killed to ground. Lutea, wintered well. Sharpe, wintered fairly well. London, wintered well. Louisa Bonn, wintered fairly well. Large Red, wintered well. Kenyon's Seedling, wintered fairly well. Phoenix, Parnell, Niagara, Thompson's Early and Trusty, wintered well. Hebner, killed back one-half. Schaffer's Colossal, killed to ground. Champion, wintered well. Fontenay, wintered fairly well. Garfield, Carleton, Empire, Cuthbert and Sarah, killed to ground. Turner, killed back one-half. Hilborn and Philadelphia, wintered well. Caroline, killed back one-half. Marlboro, Golden Queen and Dr. Reider, wintered well. Mary, killed back three-quarters.

CURRANTS.

The currant crop was again a small one. The present position of the plantation seems unsuitable and a new plot will be commenced next spring. While some of the red and white varieties gave a fair yield, the black currants produced hardly any fruit. Following will be found a list of varieties on trial, together with notes on their condition in the spring of 1902:

BLACK CURRANTS.

Climax, wintered well. Standard, killed to ground. Stirling, killed back one-half. Black Champion, Lee's Prolific and Prince Albert, wintered well. Madoc wintered fairly well. Monarch, killed to ground. Eagle and Ontario, wintered well. Eclipse, killed back one-half. Orton killed to ground. Perth wintered fairly well. Beauty, wintered well. Oxford, killed back one-half. Victoria, wintered well. Charmer, killed to ground. Clipper, wintered well. Winona, killed back one-fourth. Ethel, killed back three-fourths. Lewis, killed to ground. Stewart and Crandall's Black, wintered well. Black Naples, killed back one-half. Perry, wintered fairly well. Black Champion, killed back three-fourths. Lee's Prolific, wintered fairly well. Of the red and white varieties North Star, Fay's Prolific, Cherry, Raby Castle and Red Dutch, wintered well. Fertile D'Angers and La Versailles, wintered fairly well. Victoria, killed to ground. Charter, Pomona, Red Grape, Mammoth Red, White Imperial, White Dutch and White Grape, wintered well.

CURRANTS.

The following table shows the comparative yields of the varieties which fruited :—

Variety.	Colour.	Yield per Bush.
		Lbs.
Victoria.....	Red.....	5
Raby Castle.....	".....	3½
Red Cherry.....	".....	14
White Dutch.....	White.....	9½
Charter.....	Red.....	3
North Star.....	".....	7
La Versailles.....	".....	2½
Fertile D'Angers.....	".....	11
Pomona.....	".....	5
Victoria.....	".....	5½
White Grape.....	White.....	5½
Fay's Prolific.....	Red.....	1½

Currant.—Crandall or Missouri Tree Currant. This variety is a strong growing and large fruited sort, which has proven very desirable for Manitoba. The bush is thoroughly hardy producing in profusion large black berries (about twice the size of the ordinary black currant) of good flavour, which make an excellent preserve. Owing to the shyness in fruiting of some of the black varieties here, and to the fact that they are somewhat tender, we would recommend this variety for more general trial in Manitoba. It has the disadvantage of ripening unevenly.

GOOSEBERRIES.

The Gooseberry crop was not a large one the past season. The Native Sand Hill Gooseberry gave a fair crop of small berries, and the following varieties produced a small quantity of fruit, viz :—Houghton, Columbus and Red Jacket.

SAND CHERRIES (*Prunus pumila*).

This crop was a total failure the past season. All the fruit being destroyed by the fungus known as 'pocket.'

TREES AND SHRUBS IN ARBORETUM.

Very few additions were made to the Arboretum during the past season on account of the pressure of other work at planting time. During the past few years the growth of trees and shrubs has been so luxuriant that it was again found necessary to remove some hundreds of the trees to prevent overcrowding. In doing this work care was taken to leave the most valuable species. We regret that the Russian Poplar hitherto one of our fastest growing, and most satisfactory trees is now showing signs of degeneration. The limbs are affected with canker, which, first appearing as an excrescence, gradually rots through the limb, which then breaks off with the first heavy wind storm. Nearly all the specimens in the Arboretum are more or less affected.

Owing to the absence of spring frosts, the flowering shrubs made a magnificent display, the Lilacs especially calling forth the admiration of visitors.

Following will be found some brief notes on a few of the best varieties of flowering shrubs not already noticed in former reports.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Berleris purpurea.—Though not perfectly hardy this shrub does fairly well when partially protected, and is well worth a trial, its purple foliage and orange red flowers, making a beautiful effect when interposed with other shrubs. Four or five feet high.

Spiraea ulmifolia.—This spirea made a beautiful show during the past season, the shrubs being literally covered with racemes of beautiful white flowers. Three to four feet high, quite hardy.

Spiraea sorbifolia.—A very fine late blooming spirea. The foliage is very ornamental, this coupled with the symmetry of the plant and the large spikes of pinkish white flowers, make it one of the best low growing flowering shrubs. Three to four feet high. Hardy.

Lonicera sempervirens.—A spreading variety of the honeysuckle of the trumpet type. The flowers of a brilliant scarlet are very striking and are produced for a considerable length of time, hardy.

Diervilla lutea.—Though this is not thoroughly hardy, partially killing back each season yet as it invariably flowers it is worthy of cultivation. The yellow trumpet flowers, together with its handsome foliage make it very attractive. One to two feet in height.

Rosa villosa pomifera.—A large flowering single rose of a deep red colour, the flowers being succeeded by large and handsome fruit. Height 3 to 4 feet. Hardy.

Philadelphus.—For the first time in the history of the farm two varieties of this beautiful shrub produced a few flowers—viz., *P. deutziflorus* and *P. grandiflorus*. The flowers of these two varieties are almost identical except in size *P. grandiflorus* being about twice the size of the former, and possesses a most delicate perfume. These shrubs always kill back to near ground, and an effort has been made this autumn to bring them through the winter by protection.

AVENUES.

The avenue trees made a fair growth during the season of 1902. The maples however were badly infested with a variety of *Aphis* during the latter part of the season, which somewhat checked growth—and caused an unusually early ripening of the wood. The trees were heavily laden with seed, a small percentage of which was damaged by the fungus disease first noticed last year, the bulk of the seed however is of good quality. The spruce trees on the east avenue made an exceptionally luxuriant growth.

PLANTING OF SPRUCE.

The bluff immediately south of the Barn which was cleared of undergrowth two years ago, and which consists principally of Native Oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*) was improved this season by the planting of a number of Spruce (*Picea alba*) which were brought from the Carberry swamp in 1900. The young trees appear to be well established and if they succeed well in a few years will add to the beauty of this plantation.

PROPAGATION.

Cuttings of the following which were made in the fall of 1901, were planted the last spring.

16—20½

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

	Percentage struck.
<i>Salix laurifolia</i>	90 per cent.
“ <i>Nicholsonii purpurascens</i>	25 “
“ <i>pentandra</i>	20 “
<i>Populus bereolinensis</i>	55 “
“ <i>argentea</i>	5 “
<i>Vitis quinquefolia</i>	85 “

PROPAGATION OF TREES AND SHRUBS FOR DISTRIBUTION.

An increased number of trees and shrubs have been grown for free distribution. In most cases the seed or cuttings have been grown on the Experimental Farm. The seed of the larger trees and shrubs was sown in the open field, in drills three feet apart. The smaller shrubs were grown in seed beds and the drills made one foot apart. The following list includes most of the varieties grown: Native Ash-Leaf Maple, Caragana, Bush Honeysuckle, Sand Cherries, Lilacs.

PROPAGATION OF TREES FOR THE FORESTRY BRANCH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.

The 110,000 trees grown here for the above department were distributed last spring, and I understand have given very general satisfaction. This year a much larger area was sown with various tree seeds, and the following list gives the number of trees raised from seed. These are nearly all large enough for distribution next spring.

Ash Leaf Maple (native).....	512,000
Green Ash.....	310,000
White Elm.....	50,000
	<hr/>
	876,000
	<hr/>

HEDGES.

The large maple hedges surrounding the shelter blocks, made good growth during the past season and show no signs of deterioration. This also applies to the large hedges of Native Spruce (*Picea alba*) and *Caragana arborecens* (Siberian Pea Tree). The Lilac hedge surrounding the Pyrus orchard south of the barn flowered heavily last spring, and was a source of admiration to all passers by. The following varieties have proven unsuitable for hedge purposes and they have been destroyed:—

Rosa Rugosa, *Ligustrum amurense* (Amur Privet), *Spiraea Douglasii* (Douglas' Spirea), *Lonicera Albertii* (Albert's Honeysuckle), *Populus deltoida* (Cottonwood), *Salix laurifolia* (French Laurel-leaved Willow), *Rosa rubrifolia* (Red Leaved Rose).

From the results obtained since planting the sample hedges in 1898, the following appear to be the most satisfactory as ornamental hedges:—

Pyrus baccata aurantiaca (Siberian Crab), *Shepherdia argentea* (Buffalo Berry), *Syringa Josikea* (Josike's Lilac), *Crataegus coccinea* var. *Sullicantii* (Native Thorn), *Acer Ginnala* (Asiatic Maple), *Rhamnus frangula* (Breaking Buckthorn), *Salix Brit-*

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

zensis (Willow), *Larix americana pendula* (Tamarack), *Caragana arborescens* (Siberian Pea Tree), *Picea alba* (Native White Spruce), *Salix laurifolia* (true) (Laurel-leaved Willow). *Prunus pennsylvanica* (Pin Cherry), *Cornus sto'oniifera* (Dogwood), *Syringa vulgaris* (Common Lilac).

CATERPILLAR ON WILLOWS.

Early in August a number of willows in the propagating beds were attacked by a dark caterpillar, with bright spots along its body, probably the larva of a saw fly. Some of the branches were completely stripped of leaves before the insects were noticed. The plants were sprayed with a mixture of one teaspoonful of paris green to one pail of water. This destroyed the insects at once and the plants soon recovered.

THE VEGETABLE GARDEN.

Despite the drawbacks resulting from the flood experienced on the farm this season, together with a disastrous cloudburst which occurred on June 1, the vegetable garden suffered comparatively little and the crops were quite up to the average. The month of April being very cool and dull with several snowfalls, outside sowing did not commence until May 25, when onions were sown, closing on May 28 with cucumbers and corn. The soil being very moist, the germination was exceptionally good, the rows showing a continuity (with the exception of some of the pease of weak vitality) not always experienced here. Following the custom adopted some years ago, three kinds of vegetables were tested in large variety, viz.:—Pease, Tomatoes and Cucumbers. The coolness of the season prevented the tomatoes from ripening, but the others named did exceptionally well, Onions, Beets, Cabbage, Cauliflower, Turnips, Lettuce, Carrots, Squash, Pumpkins and Corn, all gave good returns, and altogether the season was a favourable one for the vegetable garden.

Following will be found a record of portions of the work undertaken in this department:—

PEASE.

Forty-seven varieties of Garden Pease were sown on May 12 and 13, with hoe, in rows four feet apart, and the crop as a whole, was very satisfactory. The worst feature of the test was the lack of germinating power in many of the varieties due to Pea Weevil, especially those not grown extensively. This has been a source of annoyance, for some years past, with imported seed and emphasizes the desirability of growing our own seed, which is always free from this trouble. All varieties ripened, and the product has been harvested for use next season, the sample being a fine one. The earliest variety proved to be Extra Early Exonian. Champion of England being the last ready for table.

Appended is the result of the test arranged in order of earliness.

All were sown on May 12 and 13.

The germination of the following varieties was poor:—Thos. Laxton, Admiral Dewey, C.P.R., Yorkshire Hero, Telephone, Shropshire Hero, Champion of England, Laxton's Prolific, Long Pod, Rennie's Perfection and Duke of York.

Variety.	Length of Pod.	Length of Vine.	No. of Peas in Pod.	Productiveness.	Flavour.
	In.	In.			
Extra Early Exonian.....	2	18	4 to 5	Very productive..	Sweet.
S. B. Co.'s Extra Early	2	14	4 " 5	Fairly " ..	Fairly sweet.
Alaska.....	2½	30	6 " 7	" " ..	"
McLean's Little Gem.....	2	18	5 " 6	" " ..	"
Bruce's Early Conqueror	2	26	4 " 5	Poor	Poor.
Extra Early Daniel O'Rourke.....	2	32	4 " 6	Very productive..	"
Philadelphia Extra Early.....	2½	36	6 " 7	Fairly " ..	"
Carter's First Crop	2½	24	5 " 6	" " ..	Fairly sweet.
Tom Thumb.....	2½	26	6 " 7	" " ..	"
Wm. Hurst.....	3½	16	7 " 8	Very " ..	Very sweet.
American Wonder	2½	15	6 " 7	Fairly " ..	Sweet.
Blue Beauty.....	2½	18	5 " 6	" " ..	Fairly sweet.
Laxton's Alpha.....	2½	28	6 " 7	" " ..	Sweet.
Prosperity or Gradus.....	3	36	4 " 5	Poor	Very sweet.
Gregory's Surprise.....	2½	34	6 " 7	Fairly productive.	Fair.
Blue Imperial.....	2½	24	5 " 6	" " ..	Poor.
Burpee's Profusion.....	2½	36	7 " 8	Very " ..	Very good.
Horsford's Market Garden	2½	34	6 " 7	" " ..	Good.
Large Crooked or Scimitar	6	60	Edible podded variety.		
Early Dwarf Brittany.....	5½	30	" " ..	"	"
Allen's Dwarf Telephone	3½	20	8 to 9	"	"
C. P. R.....	3	18	5 " 6	Fairly productive.	Very good.
Surprise.....	2½	30	4 " 5	Very productive..	"
Admiral.....	2½	36	6 " 7	Poor	Sweet.
Rennie's Queen.....	3½	30	8 " 9	Very productive..	Fairly sweet.
Nott's Excelsior.....	2½	12	7 " 8	Fairly " ..	Good.
McLean's Advancer.....	2½	30	5 " 6	Very " ..	Sweet.
Premium Gem.....	2½	12	5 " 6	Fairly " ..	Fairly sweet.
Admiral Dewey.....	3½	37	8 " 9	" " ..	Good.
Bliss' Everbearing.....	3½	24	7 " 8	" " ..	Very fine.
McLean's Blue Peter.....	2½	12	5 " 6	Very " ..	Fairly sweet.
Laxton's Supreme.....	3½	40	8 " 9	Fairly " ..	Sweet.
Thomas Laxton.....	3½	32	6 " 7	" " ..	Good.
Fillbasket.....	2½	37	6 " 7	" " ..	Very sweet.
Rural New Yorker.....	2½	30	5 " 6	Very " ..	Good.
Pride of the Market.....	2½	30	6 " 8	Fairly " ..	Fair.
Laxton's Prolific Long Pod.....	3½	24	7 " 9	" " ..	Poor.
Rennie's Perfection.....	3	30	6 " 7	Very " ..	Good.
Telephone.....	3½	36	7 " 8	Fairly " ..	Very good.
Stratagem.....	3½	24	7 " 9	" " ..	"
Juno.....	3	28	8 " 9	Very " ..	"
Prince of Wales.....	3½	36	8 " 9	" " ..	"
Duke of Albany.....	3½	40	7 " 8	" " ..	Excellent.
Yorkshire Hero.....	3½	30	8 " 9	Fairly " ..	Very good.
Duke of York.....	3½	36	8 " 9	" " ..	Good.
Shropshire Hero.....	3½	32	8 " 9	Very " ..	Very good.
Champion of England.....	3½	40	8 " 9	Fairly " ..	"
			"	"	"

During the summer representatives of two extensive seed firms visited the farm for the express purpose of inquiring into the capabilities of the country for supplying seed peas on a large scale. After examining the large collection of varieties growing on the farm, and noticing the absence of pea weevil in the mature samples, they appeared favourably impressed with the prospects of the industry here.

CUCUMBERS.

Thirty-six varieties of this vegetable were sown in open ground on May 23, in hills three by five feet apart. With one exception the germination was good and a large crop of fruit was harvested. It is pleasing to note that on the Experimental Farm this crop is invariably a good one although the seed is sown directly in the open (the plan of starting the plants in the hotbed and transplanting not being resorted to). Following will be found a result of this test arranged in order of earliness, together with some notes, on those varieties that proved specially suitable.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Variety.	Flavour and Texture.	Length.	Diameter.	Colour.	Smoothness.	Productiveness.
		In.	In.			
Siberian	Fair.	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	Light green.	Spined	Very productive.
Early Frame	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	Sparsely spined ...	"
Paris Prolific	"	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	Dark green.	Densely spined ...	"
Early Green Cluster	"	6	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Light green.	Moderately spined.	"
Boston Pickling	"	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	Dark green.	Densely spined ...	Fairly productive.
Moronian or Russian	Poor.	4	2	Light green.	"	"
Short Green Gherkin	Fair.	4 $\frac{3}{4}$	2	"	"	Very productive.
Extra Early Long Green	Very good	8	2	Dark green.	Sparsely spined ...	Moderately productive.
English Gherkin	Fair.	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Light green.	"	Very productive.
Westerfield's Chicago Pick- ling	"	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	Dark green.	Densely spined ...	"
White Wonder	Very good	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	White.	Sparsely spined ...	"
Cool and Crisp	"	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Dark green.	Heavily spined ...	Fairly productive.
Cumberland	"	8	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Light green.	Densely spined ...	Very productive.
B's. Evergreen	"	7 $\frac{3}{4}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	Sparsely spined ...	"
Short Green	Fair.	4 $\frac{3}{4}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	Densely spined ...	"
Boston Market	Good.	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Dark green.	Sparsely spined ...	"
Green Prolific	Very good	3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	Densely spined ...	"
Prize Prolific	"	9	2	"	"	"
Pride of Canada	"	9	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	White.	Moderately spined.	"
Improved Long Green	"	7 $\frac{3}{4}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Dark green.	Sparsely spined ...	Moderately productive.
Commercial Pickle	Good.	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	Light green.	Moderately spined.	Very productive.
Early Arlington White Spine	"	6	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	Sparsely spined ...	Moderately productive.
Early White Spine	Very good	7 $\frac{3}{4}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	"	"
New Orleans Market	"	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	Densely spined ...	Very productive.
Emerald	"	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Dark green.	Spineless.	"
Hill's Forcing White Spine.	"	7 $\frac{3}{4}$	3	Light green.	Sparsely spined ...	"
Thorburn 1896 Pickler	Good.	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Dark green.	Moderately spined.	Fairly productive.
Ypsilon Pickling	Fair.	5	1 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	Densely spined ...	Very productive.
New Toronto Pickling	Very good	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	Heavily spined ...	Fairly productive.
Giant Pera	"	13	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Light green.	Smooth.	Not productive.
Japanese Climbing	Poor.	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Deep green.	Sparsely spined ...	Moderately productive.
Stockwood Ridge	Good.	9	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	White.	Moderately spined.	Very productive.
Long Green Turkey	Very good	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Dark green.	Sparsely spined ...	Moderately productive.
White Pearl	"	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	White.	Heavily spined ...	Fairly productive.
Extra Early Long Green	"	"	"	"	"	"
*Tailby Hybrid	"	"	"	"	"	"

* Did not germinate.

Siberian.—This is one of the earliest sorts in cultivation. The fruit is not large (averaging only about five inches) but is invariably produced several days ahead of any other variety yet tested and is withal very productive.

Early Frame.—Another extremely early variety, the fruit being slightly larger than the preceding one.

Cumberland.—This is a variety of comparatively recent introduction, of the white spine type, but is much more densely spined than that variety. A vigorous grower, very productive and combines the qualities essential both for pickling and slicing in a degree not attained by any other sort.

Pride of Canada.—Is a new white variety, larger than the White Wonder and more attractive in appearance.

Paris Prolific.—Continues to merit its reputation as one of the best pickling varieties tested here.

TOMATOES.

Sixty-one varieties of tomatoes were tested during 1902. Of these four failed to germinate, the germination of many of the others also showing poor vitality. It is to be regretted that with such a comprehensive list of varieties the season was not more favourable for this vegetable, as only one variety produced ripe fruit, viz., Red Currant, and the yield of green fruit was unusually below the average, three varieties not producing any fruit whatever. Representatives of all the varieties were grown both in rows three feet apart and also on a wire trellis, but there was practically no difference in the results by either method.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Earliest of All as in former years heads the list, giving the largest amount of green fruit.

Dominion Day, a comparatively new variety, coming next. It would appear from our tests with this vegetable that in order to produce ripe fruit every season, it would be necessary to start the plants very early (say middle of March) in hotbed, and carry them along in pots until quite large plants, well hardened off are obtained, giving plenty of water when planted outside, so that no check results.

Following will be found result of the test arranged in the order of their yield.

All were sown in boxes in hotbed on April 1, transplanted on April 15 and planted out on June 11.

Variety.	Amount of Fruit from average Plant.	Appearance.	Germination.
	Lbs.		
Earliest of All	7 ¹ / ₁₆	Very wrinkled	Very good.
Dominion Day	5 ¹ / ₁₆	Slightly " "	"
Early Conqueror	5 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	"
Democrat	4 ¹ / ₁₆	Smooth	Fair.
Livingston's Favorite	3 ¹ / ₁₆	Slightly wrinkled	Poor.
Thorburn's Earliest	3 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Fair.
Ponderosa	3 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Good.
Thorburn's Long Keeper	3	Smooth	Fair.
Honor Bright	2 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Poor.
Earliana	2 ¹ / ₁₆	Wrinkled	Good.
Dwarf Scarlet Champion	2 ¹ / ₁₆	Smooth	Very good.
New Enormous	2 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	"
Optimus	2 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Very poor.
Success	2 ¹ / ₁₆	Slightly wrinkled	Good.
Thorburn's Lemon Bush	2 ¹ / ₁₆	Smooth	Fair.
Imperial	2 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Good.
Aristocrat	2	" " "	Fair.
Freedom	2	" " "	Good
Lorillard	2	" " "	"
Creekside Glory	1 ³ / ₁₆	Slightly wrinkled	Very poor.
Crimson Cushion	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	"
Early Ruby	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	"
Fordhook Fancy	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Slightly wrinkled	Very poor.
Mikado	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Smooth	"
Waldorf	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Fair.
Acme	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Good.
Combination	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Fair.
Livingston's Beauty	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Poor.
Pear Shaped	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Fair.
Red Cherry	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Pear shaped	Good.
Atlantic Prize	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Smooth	Poor.
Dwarf Golden Champion	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Wrinkled	"
Ignotum	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Smooth	Good.
Matchless	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Very good.
New Stone	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Poor.
Niagara	1 ¹ / ₁₆	" " "	Very good.
Pear Shaped Yellow	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Wrinkled	"
Plentiful	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Pear shaped	Poor.
Red Currant	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Smooth	Good.
Thorburn's Terra Cotta	1 ¹ / ₁₆	Small, round	Very good.
Century	1	Smooth	Fair.
Golden Queen	1	" " "	Poor.
Mayflower	1	" " "	Fair.
Trophy	1	Wrinkled	Very poor.
Livingston's Magnus	1	Smooth	"
New Yellow Peach	1	Wrinkled	Good.
Royal Red	1	Pear shaped	Fair.
Thorburn's Rosalind	1	Smooth	Poor.
Yellow Cherry	1	" " "	Good.
Yellow Plum	1	" " "	Very good.
Buckeye State	1	" " "	"
Perfection	1	" " "	Good.
Upright Station Tree	1	" " "	Poor.
Thorburn's Novelty	Did not	produce fruit.	
Thorburn's 1902	"	germinate	
Table Queen	"	produce fruit.	
Sutton's Best of All	"	germinate	
Strawberry	"	" " "	
New Dwarf Champion	"	" " "	

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RHUBARB.

On page 437 of last year's report, a descriptive list was given of the nineteen varieties of rhubarb now growing on this farm, together with the weight of a single plant of each variety, pulled on a certain date. This was repeated during the past season, and the following list gives the weight from the plants taken on June 10, 1902:—

	Lbs.		Lbs.
Early Scarlet.....	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	Scarlet Nonpareil.....	16
Early Prince.....	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	General Taylor.....	4 $\frac{3}{4}$
Sangster's Prince of Wales.....	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	Royal Linnaeus.....	12 $\frac{1}{4}$
Tobolsk.....	16 $\frac{1}{2}$	Giant.....	12 $\frac{1}{4}$
Paragon.....	11 $\frac{3}{4}$	X. L. C. R. (new).....	2
Prince Albert.....	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	Royal Albert.....	9 $\frac{3}{4}$
Magnum Bonum.....	16 $\frac{1}{4}$	Strawberry.....	10 $\frac{1}{4}$
Brabant's Colossal.....	12 $\frac{1}{4}$	Tottle's Improved.....	14
Early Crimson.....	16	Victoria.....	10 $\frac{1}{2}$

It will be seen by comparing this list with last year's report, that the weight is considerably less this season. This was no doubt occasioned by excess of water. A test is in progress to determine the amount of rhubarb that can profitably be pulled during the season without injuring the vigour of the plant. This will be reported on later.

POTATOES—TEST OF SETS.

This test was continued during 1902, in order to obtain information regarding the most suitable size of set, with the following results:—

Size of Set.	Weight of large.	Weight of small.	Total weight.	Productiveness.
	Lbs.	Ozs.	Lbs.	
Seed ends.....	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	Moderately regular.
One eye.....	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	7	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Two eyes.....	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	12	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Very regular.
Three eyes.....	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	11	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Moderately regular.
Four eyes.....	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	10	13 $\frac{3}{4}$	Regular.
Whole.....	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	18	Very regular.

THE FLOWER GARDEN.

The flower garden this season unfortunately suffered severely from the excessive floods prevalent in this locality. A considerable portion of the lower lands was under water until well into the middle of July which occasioned very late planting, and the sour condition of the soil after the water had receded, rendered the successful growing of bedding plants impossible. A large number of perennials which were planted in this location were completely destroyed, which greatly spoiled the effect and altogether the garden was much below the average. Forty varieties of annuals were sown in the hotbed between April 1 and 14, and although the weather during April was very unpropitious for hotbed work, on account of coolness and lack of sunshine, the plants turned out well and were in good shape by planting out time.

For the reasons given it was impossible to sow those annuals which are sown in the open, in the flower garden, hence one of the hillside plots was devoted to this purpose and forty-nine varieties were sown in this location on May 14, together with thirty varieties of named sweet pease. All these did exceptionally well and proved a source of interest to visitors in addition to providing plenty of cut flowers which would otherwise have been very scarce.

Following will be found short notes on some of the most noticeable of the annuals:

Lupins.—Six varieties sown outside on May 14. These flowers were exceptionally free in blooming, and of most delicate colours, besides having the merit of flowering for a long period.

Godetia.—Four varieties sown outside on May 14. This is one of the most beautiful of our annuals, their large flowers, of diversified colouring, showing to splendid advantage when massed. Should always be sown outside as they do not transplant readily.

Abronia Umbellata.—Sown outside on May 14; is a very showy annual trailer. Though not grown extensively it deserves more general recognition.

Annual Larkspur.—This well known annual was specially noticeable on account of its long period of blooming, continuing in flower until quite late in the season.

Asters, *Salpiglossis*, Stocks, *Pecunias*, *Scabiosa*, &c., were all very fine and made an excellent display throughout the season on those portions of the garden that had not been inundated.

PERENNIALS (HERBACEOUS).

The perennials which were moved to location on hillside in 1900 (see page 451 of last year's report) have become well established, and made a fine and continuous display of bloom throughout the past season. The following are worthy of special mention:

Spiraea filipendula.—The Herbaceous spireas are among the most beautiful of hardy perennials. This variety has very fern-like serrated leaves which lie close to the ground from the centre of which large flattened panicles of pure white flowers arise making a fine effect; 18 inches high.

Spiraea filipendula fl. pl.—This is a double form of the above and is much superior, the flower spikes being much denser and of a purer white colour; 18 inches high.

Pyrethrum uliginosum.—Produces large white daisy like flowers in profusion late in the autumn; height 2 feet.

Geranium platypetalum.—A charming plant about 10 inches high which produces large flowers of a purplish red, a very desirable acquisition.

Hemerocallis variegata.—Similar in every respect to the Common Day Lily, but having beautifully variegated green and white leaves making it very striking. Height 3½ feet.

Thermopsis Caroliniana.—A showy perennial producing long dense spikes of pea shaped yellow flowers very striking; height 2½ feet.

Coreopsis delphinifolia.—A pretty variety of coreopsis with foliage resembling the Delphinium and producing in abundance its yellow rayed flowers with dark centre; height 1½ feet.

There are many other eminently satisfactory varieties included in this collection, which have not yet been touched upon in these reports, particulars of which will be given from year to year.

BULBOUS PERENNIALS.

This class of flowers was very satisfactory during the past season, many varieties, which have hitherto succumbed to the winter, coming through in good condition and flowering well. The following brief notes will give an idea of the number of varieties tested together with special mention of some of the more meritorious ones.

Tulips.—In addition to the number of these bulbs which are planted for decorative purposes around the Superintendent's house and other portions of the farm, forty-seven named varieties were received from the Central Experimental Farm and planted on the perennial plot on hillside in the fall of 1901. All came through in fine condition, there being scarcely a break in any of the rows. They consisted chiefly of the following classes, viz.:—

Single Early.
Parrot (mixed).
Byblømen.

Double Early.
Bizarre.
Late Double (mixed).

Of the Single Early.—Those specially worthy of notice were Rose Grisdelin, Couleur de Cardinal, Albion and Gold Finch.

Of the Double Early.—Couronne d'Or, Rose Aimable, Tournesol and Salvator Rosa.

Of Bizarre Varieties.—Trafalgar, Negress, Sword of Holland and Sultan Osman.

Of the Bybloemens—*Henry IV*.—Quadricolour, Grande Monarque and Bella Donna.

The Parrot varieties with their peculiarly twisted petals and variable colouring were much admired. The *Late Double* mixture also contained many fine varieties. Special mention should also be made of the following:—*Tulipa gesneriana*, *T. gesneriana spathulata*, *Bouton d'Or*, *Picotee*, *Golden Crown*, *T. viridiflora*, *T. Greigi*.

The latter are not included under any of the first mentioned classes, but are well worthy of cultivation.

Crocus.—Six varieties of *Crocus* were planted in the fall of 1901, and were given a slight winter covering of manure and for the first time since they have been tried here came through in the spring and flowered freely. The following varieties were represented:—Queen Victoria, Baron Brunos, Madame Mina, Sir Walter Scott, Prince Albert.

It is to be hoped that this hardiness will prove permanent as the fact of their flowering so early in the spring renders them specially valuable for Manitoba.

Snowdrops (Galanthus Elwesii).—A number of these bulbs were planted in the fall of 1901, and though not given any special covering, came through the winter in good condition and flowered well, the first occasion since testing here.

Scillas (Squills).—Three varieties of *Squills* were planted in the fall of 1901, and came through the winter and flowered well. The varieties were as follows:—*S. sibirica*, *S. sibirica alba*, *S. bifolia*.

Ornithogalum.—Two varieties of this bulb were planted in the fall of 1901, viz., *O. arabicum* and *O. umbellatum*, and both came through the winter well and flowered. This was the first test of this bulb at the Experimental Farm, and we consider it a valuable acquisition to our list of spring flowering bulbs.

Puschkinia.—Two varieties of this bulb were planted in the fall of 1901, for the first time on this farm, viz., *P. libanotica* and *P. scilloides*. The first mentioned did not survive the winter, but *P. scilloides* flowered freely, its pretty scilla-like flowers being much admired.

Chionodoxa.—Two varieties of this bulb, viz., *C. lucilise* and *C. gigantea*, were planted in the fall of 1901, and survived the winter, both flowering freely. As a spring flowering bulb it proved very acceptable.

Leucojum.—Five varieties of this bulb were planted in the fall of 1901, viz., *L. vernum*, *L. vernum carpathicum*, *L. autumnale*, *L. pulchellum* and *L. aestivum*. None of these survived the winter, and on examination all the bulbs were found to be decayed.

Fritillaria.—Eleven varieties of *Fritillaria* representing the following varieties were planted in the fall of 1901, viz., *F. Orange Brilliant*, *F. lutea*, *F. Persica*, *F. lutea maxima*, *F. Crown upon Crown*, *F. aurora*, *F. recurva*, *F. biflora*, *F. aurea*, *F. pluriflora* and *F. lanceolata*. Two bulbs survived the winter, one each of the following varieties *F. Orange Brilliant* and *F. Crown upon Crown*, but did not produce flowers. On examination the remainder of the bulbs were found in a decayed condition.

Colchicum autumnale.—Several bulbs of this pretty autumn flower were planted in the fall of 1901. They gave no indication of life until we had been visited with several severe frosts and a light fall of snow when they appeared in full flower above the snow. In general appearance they resemble the *Crocus* and are to be desired on account of their very late period of blooming.

Iris.—Ten varieties of *Iris Hispanica* were received from the Central Experimental Farm and planted in the fall of 1901, together with a few bulbs of each of the following: *Iris pannonia*, *Iris persica*, and *Iris alata*. None of these survived the winter, and on examination were found to be in a decayed condition.

The following varieties of Lilies were received from the Central Experimental Farm in the fall of 1901, arriving here too late for planting as the soil was solidly frozen:—*Lilium davuricum Gretchen*, *L. Hansonii*, *L. davuricum atrosanguineum*, *L. Tottenhamii*, *L. davuricum incomparabile*, *L. Sensation*, *L. davuricum Britannicum*, *L. elegans aureum*, *L. elegans Van Houttei*, *L. davuricum grandiflorum* *L. incomparabilis*.

To bring them through the winter the following plan was adopted. The bulbs were placed in small cotton bags, with a liberal mixture of sand and tied securely. A small trench was made into which the bags were placed, and given a light covering of sand, the whole being covered with three feet of fresh manure. On opening them up the following spring all were found to be in splendid condition and were planted in permanent location on April 10, 1902. All flowered exceptionally well and maintained a succession of bloom for two months. This is the first time they have been tested here and they will form a valuable addition to our list of hardy lilies.

Hyacinthus candicans.—Six bulbs of this beautiful flower were received from the Central Experimental Farm and planted on May 5, in perennial plot on hillside. They made vigorous growth, and their long spikes of white flowers were much admired at our annual exhibition here, numerous inquiries being made regarding them.

DAHLIAS.

The following varieties of Dahlias were received from the Central Experimental Farm in April, 1902, and planted outside on May 3, three feet apart. These are all new varieties for this farm, and consist chiefly of the Cactus and Pompon classes:—

Kingfisher	Prince of Orange
Prince Imperial	Matchless
Ernest Glasse	Blanche Keith*
Austin Cannell	Stella
Mrs. Leopold Seymour	Miss Finch
Mrs. H. Turner	Starfish*
Grand Duke Alexis	Harry Stredwick*
American Flag	Prof. Baldwin*
Gilt Edge	Queen of Primroses
Lady H. Grosvenor	Standard Bearer
Louis Hariot*	Kynerith*
Capstan*	

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Those marked * failed to start, but the balance made strong plants and flowered well, and for an unusual length of time. The varieties specially noted were:—

Grand Duke Alexis	Stella
Gilt Edge	Matchless
American Flag	Prince of Orange
Queen of Primroses	

All were lifted before frost and stored in root cellar in boxes of sand.

DISTRIBUTION OF GRAIN, POTATOES, ETC.

The usual distribution was made of potatoes, maple seed, rhubarb seed, flower seeds and grain. The following quantities were sent out to applicants:—

Grain of all kinds in 3-pound bags.....	401
Seedling trees and shrubs, packages.....	485
Potatoes in 3-pound bags.....	237
Maple seed in $\frac{1}{2}$ -pound bags.....	216
Rhubarb seed, packages.....	107
Flower seed, packages.....	206
Brome grass seed, 1-pound packages.....	74

Box Elder or Manitoba Maple Seeds.

The following reports have been received from parties to whom Manitoba Maple Seeds were sent in 1-pound packages during the spring of 1901:—

No. of applicants supplied.....	471	
No. of reports received.....	91	
	Successes.	Failures.
Seeds sown on summer fallow.....	50	7
" spring ploughing.....	11	1
" fall ploughing.....	6	1
" breaking.....	12	1
" garden (dug with spade).....	2	0

Maximum number of trees grown from one packet, 2,500.

Reports of Distributions of Collections of Trees, Spring 1901.

Only thirteen per cent of parties supplied with trees reported on them. Eighty-eight per cent of these reported having received the packages in good condition.

Number of applicants supplied.....	423
" reports received.....	32
" report success.....	27
" " partial success.....	3
" " failure.....	2

SAMPLES FOR EXHIBITION PURPOSES.

Several cases of samples were sent to the exhibitions at Cork, Ireland, and Wolverhampton, England, where they were exhibited along with samples from the other experimental farms.

A small exhibit was also sent with the North-west Press Association car, which travelled through the Western States.

Fourteen cases of samples have been prepared for the Japanese Exhibition to be held in Osaka next year.

The usual exhibits were made at the Brandon Agricultural and Horticultural Shows, and a small display was made at the Western Horticultural Exhibition at Winnipeg.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

VISITORS.

Although the conditions were not as favourable as usual the number of visitors to the experimental farm during the year approximated six thousand. Amongst these were the Methodist Board of Missions, the Boer delegates sent to Canada by the Imperial Government, and a very large number of delegates from the United States.

There were two excursions to the farm during the summer, one from the C. P. R. Glenboro branch and one from the C. P. R. Pembina branch. Three other excursions were arranged for, but had to be abandoned owing to the bridges over the Assiniboine river being impassable.

FARMERS' MEETINGS.

During the year farmers' meetings were attended and addresses given at the following places:—

	1902.		1902.
Rapid City.....	Dec. 21	Glenboro.....	Mar. 11
Brandon.....	Feb. 1	Cypress River.....	Mar. 11
Bradwardine.....	Feb. 12	Holland.....	Mar. 12
Winnipeg.....	Feb. 20, 21	Treherne.....	Mar. 13
Wawanessa.....	Mar. 10	Carman.....	Mar. 14

METEOROLOGICAL TABLES.

	Highest tempera- ture.	Lowest tempera- ture.	Total rainfall.	Total snowfall.	Total amount of sunshine.
1901.	Day. Deg.	Day. Deg.	In.	In.	Hr.
December.....	28 39	13 —33	7	68·6
1902.					
January.....	6 40	27 —37·3	1	134·1
February.....	21 41·9	4 —28	8	97·2
March.....	9 42	17 —18	·65	21	106
April.....	9 58	1 —15	·43	2	189·3
May.....	30 85	9 28	3·37	198·1
June.....	8 77	21 35·5	9·97	207·2
July.....	23 87	27 42	1·84	316·6
August.....	27 89	30 35	·67	278·1
September.....	7 82	17 20	·61	184·6
October.....	5 74	9 15	·38	132·2
November.....	3 56	30 —15	12	76·1
			17·92	51	1988·1

CORRESPONDENCE.

This year 4,464 letters were received and 2,969 despatched, irrespective of 2,755 circulars sent out.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

S. A. BEDFORD,
Superintendent.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR THE NORTH-WEST TERRITORIES.

REPORT OF ANGUS MACKAY, SUPERINTENDENT.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.,

November 30, 1902.

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa, Ont.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith the fifteenth annual report of the operations on the Experimental Farm for the North-west Territories, at Indian Head, Assiniboia, during the year 1902.

The past season, like 1901, has been a most successful one throughout the Territories, and although in some districts the yield of the present year was surpassed by that of 1901, the ease and dispatch, rendered possible by the magnificent weather, with which the crop was handled, has compensated in a large degree for any decrease that may have occurred in the yield. The weather for harvesting, threshing, teaming and all out-door work could not have been excelled.

The winter of 1901-2 was unusually mild and fine, and the snow-fall was below the average. Several cold dips occurred but they were of short duration, and no bad storms were experienced during the winter. Spring however was backward, and although in some districts grain was sown about the middle of April, on account of snow and rain, and the consequent wet condition of the soil, very little was done until about May 15. Heavy rains fell during May and with the already soaked condition of the land, seeding was a difficult matter and all growth was extremely backward. June was wet and the temperature was below the average; but the crops made rapid progress. Rains ceased early in July and from that time to the present no rain of any consequence has fallen.

From the time harvest commenced, about August 20, practically no time was lost through rain until all grain was cut, stacked and threshed. Frost overtook some of the late sown grain before it came to maturity, but in proportion to the bountiful crop of good wheat the loss from this cause is very small. The cold, backward spring caused late seeding and consequent late ripening.

Rust, which has hitherto been almost unheard of in the territories, did a small amount of damage this year.

The year throughout has been most favourable for stock and excellent reports are being received from the ranching districts.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM CROPS.

The crops on the Experimental Farm, while satisfactory in the majority of cases, averaged much below those of 1901. A large decrease will be noticed in the yields of potatoes and field-roots, with the exception of carrots. The long drouth following a wet spring caused the ground to bake, and small roots were the result.

The seed on a number of plots of oats and barley rotted and the plots had to be resown, resulting in somewhat later and smaller crops than would otherwise have been the case.

Hay gave good returns and was well secured. The fruit crop was abundant; currants and raspberries being particularly good. Plums, although a heavy crop, were

caught by frost before they came to maturity, and were destroyed. The crop of crab-apples, (Pyrus), was very satisfactory. Native fruit was a complete failure.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

Seventy-one varieties were tested on 1-20 or 1-40 acre plots; eight of the same varieties on plots ranging from 1 acre to $9\frac{1}{2}$ acres. Red Fife was used in the test of fertilizers; rotation test; test of selected, well cleaned and small seed, and in the test of blue-stone as a preventive of smut.

TEST OF VARIETIES IN UNIFORM PLOTS.

Seventy-one varieties were sown on April 19, on 1-20 or 1-40 acre plots of well prepared fallow. The soil was clay loam. The seed was sown by hoe-drill, at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Goose.....	Aug. 26..	129	52	Strong..	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded..	4,900	51 40	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
Rio Grande.....	" 29..	132	52	" "	4	" "	4,400	49 ..	63
Preston.....	" 25..	128	50	" "	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" "	4,860	48 40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
Red Fern.....	" 29..	132	51	" "	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" "	5,280	48 ..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
Roumanian.....	" 28..	131	51	" "	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" "	4,440	47 ..	66
Colorado.....	" 25..	132	42	" "	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" "	4,400	45 40	65
Plumper.....	" 25..	128	39	Weak ..	3	" "	4,520	44 40	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
Percy.....	" 26..	129	53	Strong..	3	Bald ..	3,800	44 ..	62
Dion's.....	" 28..	131	48	" "	4	Bearded ..	2,760	44 ..	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
Herison Bearded.....	" 29..	132	42	Weak ..	2	" "	3,800	44 ..	66
Stanley.....	" 26..	129	49	Strong..	3	Bald ..	3,320	42 40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
Pringle's Champlain.....	" 28..	131	49	" "	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	2,900	42 20	64
Weldon.....	" 26..	129	50	" "	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald ..	3,240	42 ..	63
Admiral.....	" 25..	128	46	" "	3	Bearded ..	4,100	41 20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
Vernon.....	" 28..	131	51	" "	3	" "	3,140	41 ..	63
Huron.....	" 22..	125	44	" "	3	Bald ..	2,780	40 ..	63
Hungarian.....	" 28..	131	52	Weak ..	3	Bearded ..	2,120	40 ..	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dufferin.....	" 28..	131	48	Strong..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" "	2,100	39 20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
Wellman's Fife.....	" 27..	130	46	" "	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald ..	3,760	39 20	62
Red Swedish.....	" 28..	131	52	Weak ..	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded ..	4,380	39 ..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
Ladoga.....	" 25..	128	48	Strong..	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" "	4,160	38 20	62
Progress.....	" 25..	128	44	" "	3	Bald ..	2,880	38 20	63
Byron.....	" 26..	129	45	Weak ..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded ..	3,140	37 40	65
Minnesota No. 149.....	" 30..	133	39	Strong..	3	Bald ..	3,560	37 20	63
Mason.....	" 26..	129	42	Weak ..	2	" "	5,160	37 ..	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
Speltz.....	" 28..	131	42	" "	2	" "	3,180	36 20	..
Alpha.....	" 26..	129	47	Strong..	3	Bearded ..	3,860	36 ..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
Minnesota No. 163.....	" 30..	133	40	" "	3	Bald ..	3,260	35 40	64
Monarch.....	" 28..	131	40	" "	3	" "	2,580	35 40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
Australian No. 27.....	" 27..	130	45	" "	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" "	4,250	35 ..	61
Baudry.....	" 28..	131	39	" "	3	Bearded ..	2,520	35 ..	65
Dawn.....	" 24..	127	42	Weak ..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald ..	3,660	35 ..	64
Fraser.....	" 25..	128	37	Strong..	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded ..	2,680	35 ..	64
Crown.....	" 27..	130	40	" "	3	Bald ..	2,300	34 40	63
White Fife.....	" 27..	130	44	" "	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" "	5,120	34 40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
Cartier.....	" 26..	129	45	Weak ..	3	Bearded ..	3,440	34 20	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
Early Riga.....	" 20..	123	37	" "	2	Bald ..	2,080	34 20	64
Norval.....	" 26..	129	44	" "	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded ..	3,160	34 ..	64
White Connell.....	" 28..	131	46	Strong..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald ..	2,840	34 ..	63
Blair.....	" 26..	129	36	Weak ..	2	" "	4,100	33 40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
Minnesota No. 169.....	" 30..	133	46	Strong..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" "	3,060	33 ..	59 $\frac{1}{2}$

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
								Lbs.	Bush.	
			In.		In.		Lbs.		Lbs.	Lbs.
Rideau	Aug. 27..	130	35	Weak ..	2½	Bald	3,620	33	..	63
White Russian	" 27..	139	44	Strong..	3½	"	3,280	32	40	59
Minnesota No. 181	" 30..	130	44	"	3	"	3,080	32	40	61½
Australian No. 19	" 27..	130	38	Weak ..	3	"	2,540	32	20	63½
" No. 25	" 27..	130	42	Strong..	3	"	3,340	32	20	62
" No. 13	" 27..	130	44	Weak ..	2½	"	4,140	31	20	62
" No. 10	" 27..	130	39	"	3	"	3,360	31	20	61½
Blenheim	" 27..	130	40	Strong..	3½	Bearded..	2,460	31	..	63
Bishop	" 26..	129	41	Weak ..	2½	Bald	2,960	30	40	64
Cassel	" 27..	130	43	Strong..	3	"	3,200	30	40	62½
Ebert	" 25..	128	40	"	2½	"	2,160	30	40	64
Advance	" 28..	131	48	"	3	Bearded..	3,560	30	40	63
Beauty	" 27..	130	41	"	3	Bald	3,220	30	40	60
Glyde	" 26..	129	48	"	5½	"	3,020	29	40	63½
Red Fife	" 27..	130	45	"	3	"	3,200	29	20	62½
Australian No. 23	" 27..	130	38	Weak ..	3½	"	2,260	29	..	62½
Maple	" 27..	130	39	"	2½	"	3,720	29	..	63
Countess	" 27..	130	48	Strong..	2½	"	2,360	29	..	63
Desex	" 29..	132	41	"	2½	"	3,320	29	..	63
Chester	" 27..	130	36	Weak ..	3	"	2,650	28	40	65
White Chaff, Campbell's	" 27..	130	44	Strong..	3	"	3,520	28	20	64
Robin's Rust-proof	" 28..	131	42	Weak ..	3	"	3,960	28	20	62
Australian No. 9	" 27..	130	44	Strong..	3	"	3,500	28	..	62½
Laurel	" 29..	132	41	Weak ..	3½	"	3,160	27	40	58
Fastings	" 26..	129	36	"	2½	"	2,860	27	20	65
Harold	" 25..	128	40	Strong..	2½	Bearded..	2,440	27	20	62
Angus	" 27..	130	48	"	3	Bald	2,540	27	20	63½
Japanese	" 25..	128	39	Weak ..	2½	Bearded..	3,180	26	20	62½
Lawford	" 25..	128	40	Strong..	3	Bald	2,640	26	..	63½
Monten	" 27..	130	36	Weak ..	3	"	2,460	25	40	65

WHEAT.

TEST OF VARIETIES IN FIELD LOTS.

Four varieties of wheat were sown on new land broken and backset in 1901; five varieties on fallow and three varieties on root land of 1901.

The new land was broken two inches deep, back-set, two inches deeper and well up by disc-harrow.

The fallow was ploughed seven inches deep during the latter part of May and cultivated during the summer as often as the weeds required attention.

The root land, which had produced a crop of potatoes, mangels, turnips, carrots, sugar beets and corn in 1901 was well ploughed and harrowed after the root crop had been taken up, and in the fall of 1900 a heavy coating of manure had been applied to the field.

Fair crops were secured from the fallow and back setting, but on the root land the crop of straw was abnormal and rust striking the field early in the season, made a serious reduction in the yield and quality.

SPRING WHEAT—FIELD LOTS.

Variety.	Cultivation.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.
		Acres.				In.		In.		Bu. lb.
Huron.....	Fallow.....	7 ³ / ₄	May 6	Aug. 26	112	50	Strong..	3	Bald...	39 2
Red Fife.....	Back-setting, native sod	9 ¹ / ₂	April 14	" 25	133	48	" ..	3 ¹ / ₄	" ..	38 3
Red Fern.....	Fallow.....	3	" 27	" 29	124	52	" ..	3 ³ / ₈	Bearded	36
Wellman's Fife.	" ..	4	" 30	" 30	122	48	" ..	3 ³ / ₈	Bald...	36
Stanley.....	" ..	1	" 30	" 26	118	50	" ..	3	" ..	34
Stanley.....	Back-setting, native sod	4	" 17	" 20	125	45	" ..	3 ¹ / ₄	" ..	34
Red Fife.....	Fallow.....	4 ¹ / ₂	" 27	" 30	125	53	" ..	3	" ..	32 4
Percy.....	Back-setting, native sod	4	" 16	" 22	128	48	" ..	3 ¹ / ₂	" ..	32 3
*Red Fife.....	Root land, 1901.....	1 ³ / ₄	" 30	" 29	121	42	" ..	3	" ..	29
Preston.....	Back-setting, native sod	2 ¹ / ₂	" 17	" 22	127	44	" ..	3 ¹ / ₄	Bearded	29
*Preston.....	Root land, 1901.....	3 ¹ / ₄	" 30	" 22	114	54	" ..	3 ¹ / ₄	" ..	25 3
*White Russian.	" ..	2	" 30	" 29	121	48	" ..	3 ¹ / ₂	Bald...	23 4

* Rusted badly.

Total area occupied, 40¹/₄ acres.

Total yield of grain, 1,346 bushels, an average of 33 bush. 25 lbs. per acre.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF BLUE STONE AS A PREVENTIVE OF SMUT.

Sown on May 12, on 1-40th acre plots of fallow, by hoe-drill, at the rate of 1 bushels per acre.

Seed.	Condition.	Treatment.	On 25 square feet.	
			Good heads.	Smutty heads.
Red Fife...	Good seed..	Treated 1 lb. of blue stone to 10 bush. seed. Dipped 5 minutes	Bush. 900	None.
" ..	" ..	Untreated.....	863	12

SPRING WHEAT—COMPARATIVE TEST OF SOWING SELECTED, WELL-CLEANED AND SMALL SEED

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Red Fife, selected seed.....	Aug. 27 ..	130	44	Strong....	3	3,440	37 20	63
" well-cleaned seed.....	" 27 ..	130	45	" ..	3	3,360	32 20	63
" small seed	" 27 ..	130	45	" ..	3	3,120	29 20	63

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

In the above test the selected seed used was hand picked when ripe and before being cut in 1901, and thoroughly cleaned by mill; the well-cleaned seed was our best Red Fife, run twice through the fanning mill and was a large, plump sample. The small seed was what was taken out of the well-cleaned seed.

The seed was sown on 1-20th acre plots of fallowed land, by hoe-drill, at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre on April 19.

SPRING WHEAT.

TEST OF FERTILIZERS.

Six plots of 1-40th acre each were sown May 12. Five of these were treated with artificial manures and the sixth used as a check-plot. They were sown with Red Fife Wheat, by hoe-drill, at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
lot No. 1— Nitrate of soda, 100 lbs. per acre (half sown when grain was 2 inches high, balance when 6 inches high).....	Aug. 28	108	45	Strong..	3	3,160	28 ..	61
lot No. 2— Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre (half sown when grain was 2 inches high, balance when 6 inches high).....	" 28	108	47	" ..	3	3,880	30 40	62
lot No. 3— Superphosphate, No. 1, 400 lbs. per acre (sown before grain and harrowed).....	" 27	107	44	" ..	3	3,200	26 40	61½
lot No. 4— Check-plot. Unfertilized.....	" 28	108	44	" ..	3	3,760	29 20	61½
lot No. 5— Muriate of potash, 200 lbs. per acre (sown before grain and harrowed).....	" 27	107	44	" ..	3	3,200	30 40	63
lot No. 6— Superphosphate No. 1, 200 lbs. per acre; muriate of potash, 100 lbs. per acre; nitrate of soda, 100 lbs. per acre (half sown before grain and harrowed, and the balance when the grain was 2 inches high).....	" 28	108	44	" ..	3	3,160	32 ..	62½

SPELTZ.

Sown on fallow by hoe-drill, at the rate of 2 bushels per acre.

One-fortieth acre.—Sown April 19. Ripe August 28. Days to mature, 131. Length of straw, 42 inches. Straw, weak. Length of head, 2 inches. Bearded. Weight of straw, 3,180 lbs. per acre. Yield, 36·20 bushels per acre.

One acre.—Sown May 12. Ripe August 28. Days to mature, 108. Weight of straw, 3,000 lbs. per acre. Yield, 34 bushels 10 lbs. per acre.

FALL WHEAT.

The seed sown on August 7 by hoe-drill as deep as the drill would work, was obtained in the Pincher Creek and Mountain View districts of Alberta, and was no doubt as hardy as any that could be had.

When the first killing frost came in the fall, the wheat had attained a height of 8 inches, and formed a thick mat entirely covering the ground.

The crop, however, was a complete failure, not a single plant being alive in May.

In the Pincher Creek district and the Mormon settlements of Southern Alberta, fall wheat proved very successful and some large yields were secured; while from the more northerly portions of that territory, reports of about an equal number of successes and failures have been received.

This fall the test is being continued by the seeding of Choice Club and Blue-stem on fallow on October 7.

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

Sixty-five varieties of oats were tested on plots of 1-20th acre each; twelve of the same varieties on plots of $\frac{3}{4}$ to $9\frac{1}{4}$ acres. Banner oats was used in the rotation test. With the exception of Banner sown on stubble, all oats were sown on fallowed land.

The fallow was ploughed early in June and cultivated or harrowed, 2 to 3 inches deep, several times during the season. The stubble land was ploughed 4 inches deep immediately before seeding.

On account of the cold, wet spring, the seed where sown too deep, failed to germinate. In low places a great deal of the seed rotted and in a few cases the land had to be resown. The uniform trial plots of 1-20th acre each were sown May 12 on clay loam summer-fallowed. Sown by hoe-drill at the rate of 2 bushels per acre.

OATS—FIELD LOTS.

Name of Variety.	Cultivation of Soil.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
		Acres.				In.	In.		Bush. Lbs.	
Goldfinder.....	Fallow.....	4	May 13	Sept. 2	112	46	10	Sided.....	89	17 37
Banner.....	".....	9 $\frac{1}{4}$	" 6	Aug. 21	107	56	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	Branching	87	.. 40 $\frac{1}{2}$
Wide-awake.....	".....	5	" 7	" 23	108	49	9	" ..	87	.. 44
Tartar King.....	".....	6	" 7	" 20	105	56	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	Hlf. brchg.	85	.. 43 $\frac{1}{2}$
Waverley.....	".....	6	" 8	" 25	109	51	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	Branching	82	.. 39 $\frac{1}{2}$
Black Beauty.....	".....	4 $\frac{3}{4}$	" 12	Sept. 5	116	50	9	" ..	81	12 37 $\frac{1}{2}$
Abundance.....	".....	5	" 6	Aug. 23	109	49	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	80	.. 42
Improved Ligowo.....	".....	5	" 7	" 25	110	49	10	" ..	77	20 44 $\frac{1}{2}$
Early Archangel.....	".....	1	" 12	Sept. 2	113	53	11	" ..	74	4 41
Lincoln.....	".....	1	" 12	" 2	113	53	9	" ..	65	30 29
Thousand dollar.....	".....	1	" 12	" 2	113	52	10	" ..	64	8 41 $\frac{1}{2}$
Bavarian.....	".....	1	" 12	" 2	113	51	12	" ..	52	32 39
Banner.....	Stubble-land	8	" 14	Aug. 26	104	35	9	" ..	46	17 37

Total area occupied, 53 acres. Total yield of grain, 4,077 bushels 28 lbs., an average of 76 bushels 32 lbs. per acre.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Danish Island.....	Aug. 25	105	44	Strong....	13	Sided.....	3,060	89	14 42½
Banner.....	" 27	107	46	"	11	Branching..	3,660	88	8 41½
Tartar King.....	" 26	106	49	"	11	Sided.....	3,320	86	16 42½
Golden Tartarian.....	Sept. 1	112	48	"	13	"	3,560	83	18 38
Golden Giant.....	" 1	112	48	"	12	"	3,580	82	32 38
Improved American.....	Aug. 26	106	50	"	11	Branching..	3,180	82	20 41
Holstein Prolific.....	" 26	106	50	"	12	"	3,800	82	2 38½
Wide Awake.....	" 25	105	50	"	12	"	3,460	80	20 44
Abundance.....	" 25	105	44	"	10	"	3,020	80	20 37
Columbus.....	" 28	108	48	"	10	"	3,640	80	20 39
Thousand Dollar.....	" 26	106	50	"	11	"	3,480	78	24 43½
Oxford.....	" 26	106	52	"	12	"	3,100	78	8 38
Siberian.....	Sept. 1	112	50	"	13	Sided.....	3,720	77	2 35
New Zealand.....	" 1	112	45	"	13	"	3,060	75	10 40
Oderbruch.....	Aug. 26	106	50	"	9	"	3,380	75	10 43½
Golden Beauty.....	" 25	105	44	"	11	Branching..	3,600	75	10 41
Waverley.....	" 30	110	50	"	10	"	3,840	75	10 41
Irish Victor.....	Sept. 1	112	48	"	11	"	3,300	74	24 35
King.....	Aug. 28	108	49	"	12	"	3,780	74	24 41½
Salines.....	Sept. 1	112	48	"	13	"	3,580	74	24 36
Bavarian.....	Aug. 25	105	41	"	10	"	2,900	74	24 41
Goldfinder.....	" 30	110	45	"	10	Sided.....	3,020	74	4 40½
Improved Ligowo.....	" 26	106	49	"	12	Branching..	3,500	72	24 40
Salzer's Big Four.....	" 30	110	42	"	11	"	3,660	72	2 38
Miller.....	" 28	108	42	"	12	"	3,560	71	26 38
Wallis.....	" 30	110	46	"	12	"	3,320	71	26 39
Early Archangel.....	" 24	104	40	"	12	"	3,200	71	26 40½
Buckbee's Illinois.....	" 24	104	50	"	10	Sided.....	3,340	68	28 42
White Russian.....	" 25	105	51	"	12	Branching..	3,900	68	28 44½
Twentieth Century.....	Sept. 1	112	44	"	11	"	3,780	68	14 40
American Beauty.....	Aug. 26	106	48	"	12	"	3,200	68	8 39
Milford.....	" 30	110	46	"	12	Sided.....	3,540	67	22 40½
Joanette.....	Sept. 1	112	38	"	10	Branching..	3,980	66	16 38½
Lincoln.....	Aug. 25	105	50	"	11	"	3,760	66	16 41½
Rosedale.....	Sept. 1	112	47	"	12	Sided.....	3,740	66	16 39
Abyssinia.....	Aug. 26	106	48	"	12	Branching..	3,500	66	16 41
Black Beauty.....	" 28	108	45	"	11	"	3,100	66	16 37½
Newmarket.....	" 25	105	44	"	12	"	3,960	65	30 44½
Mennonite.....	" 26	106	48	"	10	"	3,860	65	10 39
Early Golden Prolific.....	" 26	106	50	"	9	"	3,220	65	10 38½
Kendal.....	Sept. 1	112	44	"	12	"	3,900	64	24 39
Hazlett's Seizure.....	Aug. 24	104	40	"	12	"	3,210	64	4 46
Prolific Black Tartarian.....	Sept. 3	114	47	"	11	Sided.....	3,820	63	18 36½
California Prolific Black.....	" 5	116	47	"	13	"	3,620	63	18 36½
Sensation.....	Aug. 29	109	50	"	11	Branching..	3,060	63	18 39
Olive.....	Sept. 2	113	48	"	11	Sided.....	3,840	62	32 39
Pense.....	" 6	117	44	"	10	"	3,100	62	32 34½
White Schonen.....	Aug. 24	104	42	"	12	Branching..	3,060	62	12 42
Master.....	" 30	110	48	"	13	Sided.....	3,340	61	26 37½
Brandon.....	" 28	108	50	"	11	Branching..	4,200	60	37½
Early Blossom.....	Sept. 1	112	48	"	11	Sided.....	3,760	59	18 35
American Triumph.....	Aug. 30	110	48	"	10	Branching..	3,730	57	22 37½
Black Mesdag.....	Sept. 1	112	38	"	10	"	3,840	57	22 39½
Flying Scotchman.....	Aug. 24	104	38	"	12	"	3,760	57	2 41½
Scotch Potato.....	" 30	110	45	"	12	"	3,520	56	16 38
Cromwell.....	Sept. 1	112	44	"	13	"	4,340	56	16 40½
Early Gothland.....	" 1	112	48	"	11	Sided.....	3,680	56	16 38
White Giant.....	Aug. 24	104	42	"	12	Branching..	4,680	55	10 34½
Russell.....	" 26	106	51	"	13	"	3,240	54	24 40½
Early Maine.....	" 26	106	48	"	9	"	4,100	54	4 34
Loughoughton.....	" 30	110	48	"	12	"	3,400	54	4 40
Bonsanza.....	" 24	104	38	"	11	"	3,800	49	14 46½
Holland.....	" 28	108	50	"	12	Sided.....	3,560	49	14 36½
Pioneer.....	Sept. 2	113	45	"	10	Branching..	3,280	46	20 40½
Cream Egyptian.....	Aug. 25	105	39	"	11	"	3,360	42	12 44½

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

OATS.—COMPARATIVE TEST OF SELECTED, WELL CLEANED AND SMALL OATS FOR SEED.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.
			Inches.	Inches.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
Banner, selected seed.....	Aug. 26	106	50	11	3,720	86 16
" well cleaned.....	" 26	106	50	11	3,800	80 20
" small seed.....	" 26	106	46	11	3,840	72 2

The seed sown in the above test was procured in the same manner as that used in a similar test with wheat.

Sown May 12 on 1-20th acre plots of fallow, by hoe-drill, at the rate of 2 bushels per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

Thirty varieties of six-rowed, and twenty-two varieties of two-rowed barley were sown on plots of 1-20th acre each, and six of the same varieties on plots of $\frac{1}{3}$ to 5 acres each. The land was fallowed and was prepared in the same manner as that for wheat and oats.

As with the oats, the barley seed wherever sown deep, rotted in low places, and a number of the plots had to be resown. The yields, however, were very satisfactory. The uniform trial plots were all sown May 17 on clay loam by hoe-drill, at the rate of 2 bushels per acre.

BARLEY—SIX-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening	Number of days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Claude.....	Aug. 26.....	101	38	Strong..	3	2,920	66 32	52
Odessa.....	" 24.....	99	40	" "	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	3,180	65 ..	53 $\frac{1}{2}$
Empire.....	" 26.....	101	39	" "	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	3,440	62 44	52 $\frac{1}{2}$
Blue Long Head.....	" 26.....	101	38	" "	3	3,020	62 24	53
Summit.....	" 28.....	103	39	" "	3	3,400	60 40	50
Royal.....	" 24.....	99	38	" "	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,040	58 36	53
Mansfield.....	" 24.....	99	40	" "	3	3,260	57 44	50
Nugent.....	Sept. 4.....	110	40	Weak..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,500	53 36	52 $\frac{1}{2}$
Surprise.....	Aug. 26.....	101	38	Strong..	3	2,340	53 36	52
Garfield.....	" 24.....	99	40	" "	3	3,160	52 4	52 $\frac{1}{2}$
Mensury.....	" 24.....	99	45	" "	3	3,020	51 12	52
Common.....	" 26.....	101	37	" "	3	2,480	50 ..	53
Brome.....	" 26.....	101	38	" "	3	2,100	49 28	50
Oderbruch.....	" 24.....	99	37	Weak..	3	2,460	49 8	51
Stella.....	" 26.....	101	36	Strong..	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,280	48 36	54
Trooper.....	" 24.....	99	38	" "	3	2,120	48 16	53
Yale.....	" 24.....	99	40	" "	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	3,600	48 16	52
Hulless, Black.....	Sept. 1.....	107	28	Weak..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,320	47 24	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
Rennie's Improved.....	Aug. 24.....	99	37	Strong..	3	2,400	46 32	53
Pioneer.....	" 24.....	99	37	" "	3	3,020	45 20	53
Petschora.....	" 27.....	102	39	" "	4	2,580	44 8	53
Albert.....	" 24.....	99	37	" "	3	2,120	42 4	50 $\frac{1}{2}$
Baxter.....	" 24.....	99	37	" "	3	2,700	42 4	52 $\frac{1}{2}$
Vanguard.....	" 24.....	99	38	" "	3	2,700	40 20	55
*Phoenix.....	" 24.....	99	39	" "	3	2,700	40 20	51
Excelsior.....	" 24.....	99	36	" "	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,120	40 ..	52 $\frac{1}{2}$
Success.....	" 24.....	99	33	" "	3	2,790	38 16	50 $\frac{1}{2}$
Champion.....	" 24.....	99	36	" "	3	2,690	37 24	45 $\frac{1}{2}$
Hulless, White.....	Sept. 1.....	107	28	Weak..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,720	36 32	49
						2,380	35 ..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BARLEY, TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			Inches.		Inches.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Sidney.....	Aug. 24..	99	42	Strong.....	3½	2,760	59 4	54½
Invincible.....	Sept. 1..	107	37	".....	3½	3,520	57 44	53½
Standwell.....	" 4	110	37	Weak.....	4	2,580	55 24	53
Clifford.....	Aug. 27..	102	50	Strong.....	4	3,500	55 ..	52½
Danish Chevalier.....	Sept. 4..	110	36	Weak.....	5	3,980	53 36	52
Jarvis.....	Aug. 26..	101	48	Strong.....	4	3,020	52 24	52½
Kinver Chevalier.....	Sept. 4..	110	36	Weak.....	5	2,560	51 32	51
Beaver.....	" 4..	110	35	".....	4	3,200	51 32	51½
Canadian Thorpe.....	Aug. 28..	103	38	Strong.....	3½	2,760	49 8	51½
Harvey.....	" 27..	102	50	".....	4	3,800	48 36	54
Leslie.....	" 23..	98	42	".....	4	3,000	48 36	54
Newton.....	Sept. 4..	110	35	Weak.....	4	3,480	47 24	52½
Prize Prolific.....	" 4..	110	36	".....	4½	2,480	47 24	51
Bolton.....	Aug. 26..	101	38	Strong.....	4	3,000	45 40	53½
Gordon ..	" 23..	98	43	".....	3½	3,080	45 40	53½
Victor.....	Aug. 26..	101	36	Strong.....	3½	2,520	43 36	51
French Chevalier.....	Sept. 4..	110	34	Weak.....	5	2,940	43 16	51½
Fulton.....	Aug. 27..	102	40	Strong.....	3	3,840	42 44	54
Logan.....	" 26..	101	40	".....	3½	3,720	42 24	53
Dunham.....	" 24..	99	40	".....	4	2,880	42 4	52
Nepean.....	" 28..	103	38	".....	4	2,240	37 44	52½

BARLEY—FIELD LOTS.

Name of Variety.	Culti- vation.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Char- acter of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
		Acres.				Ins.		Inches.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Canadian Thorpe	Fallow.	2	May 17	Sept. 1	107	40	Strong..	3½	2-rowed.	68 36	52½
Sidney.....	" ..	5	" 17	" 1	107	41	" ..	3½	" ..	66 ..	53½
Invincible.....	" ..	3	" 26	" 6	103	42	" ..	3½	" ..	63 16	53½
Royal.....	" ..	1	" 26	" 4	101	38	" ..	3½	6-rowed.	56 ..	52½
Rennie's Imprv'd	" ..	4½	" 16	Aug. 20	96	42	" ..	3½	" ..	51 ..	53
Standwell.....	" ..	1 to 3	" 26	Sept. 6	103	36	Weak..	3½	2-rowed.	49 24	52½

The Canadian Thorpe was badly rusted. Total area occupied 13½ acres. Total yield of grain 829 bushels, 36 pounds, an average of 59 bushels 47 pounds per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

Fifty-seven varieties of pease were under trial in 1902. They were sown in plots of one-twentieth acre each, soil clay loam summer fallowed. Sown by hoe-drill at the rate 2 bushels of small pease, 2½ bushels medium and 3½ bushels of large pease per acre.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				In.	In.		Bush.	Lbs.	
Alma.....	Sept. 8....	104	Strong....	52	3	Medium..	57	20	63
Daniel O'Rourke....	" 1.....	97	"	59	2½	Small	50	..	65
Prince.....	" 8.....	104	"	53	3	Large.....	48	40	63
Centennial.....	" 9.....	105	"	46	3	Small	46	..	63
Crown.....	" 12....	108	"	42	2½	"	45	20	65
Chancellor.....	" 12....	108	"	48	2	"	45	20	64½
White Wonder.....	" 12....	108	"	36	2¾	Medium..	45	..	64
Harrison's Glory.....	" 8.....	104	Medium..	44	2½	Large.....	42	40	65
Macoun.....	" 10....	106	Strong....	38	3	"	40	40	63
Paragon.....	" 12....	108	"	39	3	Medium..	40	20	63
Lanark.....	" 9.....	105	"	44	3	Large.....	40	..	63
Arthur.....	" 4.....	100	"	40	2½	"	39	..	63
Early Britain.....	" 7.....	103	"	39	2½	"	38	20	63½
English Grey.....	" 6.....	102	"	41	2½	"	37	40	64½
Carleton.....	" 5.....	101	"	53	2½	Medium..	37	..	64
New Potter.....	" 9.....	105	"	40	3	Large.....	37	..	63½
Gregory.....	" 12....	108	"	43	3	Medium..	36	40	64
French Canner.....	" 12....	108	"	45	3	Small	36	40	65
Mummy.....	" 8.....	104	"	54	2¾	Medium..	35	40	65
Perth.....	" 13....	109	"	46	3	Large.....	35	20	62½
Cooper.....	" 8.....	104	"	42	2½	Medium..	35	20	64
Prussian Blue.....	" 8.....	104	"	46	2½	"	35	..	64
Pride.....	" 7.....	103	"	42	2½	Large.....	34	40	64
Mackay.....	" 10....	106	"	43	3	"	34	20	63½
Pictou.....	" 9.....	105	"	41	2¾	"	33	40	63½
Canadian Beauty.....	" 12....	108	"	50	3	"	33	40	63
Oddfellow.....	" 12....	108	"	46	3	"	33	20	64
Bruce.....	" 12....	108	"	50	2½	"	33	..	63½
Prince Albert.....	" 10....	106	"	45	2	"	33	..	64½
Fenton.....	" 10....	106	"	46	3	"	32	40	64½
Black Eyed Marrowfat.....	" 9.....	105	"	39	3	"	32	20	63½
Creeper.....	" 6.....	102	Medium..	42	2	Small	32	..	65½
Pearl.....	" 9.....	105	Strong....	33	3	Large.....	30	20	62½
King.....	" 12....	108	"	50	3	"	30	..	64
Nelson.....	" 12....	108	"	44	2¾	Medium..	30	..	63½
Victoria.....	" 10....	106	"	40	3	Large.....	30	..	65
Bright.....	" 8.....	104	"	44	2½	"	30	..	62
Herald.....	" 12....	108	"	40	3	Medium..	28	40	63½
Fergus.....	" 12....	108	"	36	3	Large.....	28	20	64
Multiplier.....	" 12....	108	"	44	3	Small	28	20	61½
Elliot.....	" 10....	106	"	48	2½	Large.....	28	..	65
Kent.....	" 12....	108	"	49	2¾	"	27	40	63½
Duke.....	" 10....	106	"	42	3	"	27	40	63½
Agnes.....	" 12....	108	"	50	3	"	26	40	65
Wisconsin Blue.....	" 12....	108	"	36	2½	Small	26	20	64½
Elder.....	" 13....	109	"	41	2½	Medium..	25	40	63½
Golden Vine.....	" 12....	108	"	43	2½	Small	25	20	64
Vincent.....	" 10....	106	"	37	2	Large.....	25	..	63
Bedford.....	" 12....	108	Small	43	3	Medium..	24	20	61½
Trilby.....	" 12....	108	"	45	3	Large.....	22	20	61
German White.....	" 12....	108	"	50	3	"	21	..	64½
Chelsea.....	" 13....	109	"	46	3	Small	19	20	64
Elephant Blue.....	" 7.....	103	"	44	2	Medium..	18	40	64
Dover.....	" 12....	108	"	44	3	Large.....	18	20	62½
Large White Marrowfat.....	" 10....	106	"	41	3	"	17	40	66
Archer.....	" 13....	109	"	40	3	Medium..	17	..	63½
*Grass Pea.....									

*Destroyed by frost.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

Thirty-seven varieties of Indian corn were sown on May 29, in rows thirty-six inches apart, by grain drill; and for comparison planted by hand in hills three feet apart on the same date.

Both plots were cut for ensilage on September 8. The yield was computed from the weight of corn on two rows, each sixty-six feet long.

The land used was a well prepared summer-fallow a clay loam.

On account of the cold, wet spring, the growth was very backward, and the crop was one of the lightest ever grown on the farm.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Height.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre grown in Rows.	Weight per Acre grown in Hills.
				Tons. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.
Salzer's All Gold.....	Medium...	50	Not in tassel..	9 1,800	5 32
Selected Learning.....	Strong.....	47	Tassel.....	9 348	4 1,900
King Philip.....	".....	55	".....	8 1,820	7 1,700
Pearce's Prolific.....	".....	45	".....	8 1,688	3 732
Early Butler.....	Medium.....	46	Not in tassel..	8 1,028	5 1,748
Rural Thoroughbred White Flint.....	Strong.....	44	".....	8 368	6 936
Compton's Early.....	".....	43	Tassel.....	8 368	6 1,200
Champion White Pearl.....	".....	50	Not in tassel..	8 206	4 1,900
King of the Earliest.....	Medium.....	40	".....	7 1,972	5 824
Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	Strong.....	47	".....	7 1,840	4 580
Ride of the North.....	Medium.....	50	".....	7 1,708	3 1,128
Mitchell's Extra Early.....	Strong.....	46	Early milk....	7 124	2 1,412
Eureka.....	".....	56	Not in tassel..	7 124	4 1,108
Early Yellow Long Eared.....	".....	50	Tassel.....	6 1,860	5 956
Black Mexican.....	Medium.....	39	Early milk....	6 1,596	3 336
Mammoth Cuban.....	".....	38	Not in tassel..	6 1,464	4 448
Red Cob Ensilage.....	Strong.....	54	Tassel.....	6 1,332	5 692
Yellow Six Weeks.....	Medium.....	36	Early milk....	6 1,200	3 1,920
Sanford.....	Strong.....	45	Tassel.....	6 1,068	5 1,352
White Cap Yellow Dent.....	Medium.....	47	Not in tassel..	6 804	5 296
Wisconsin Earliest White Dent.....	".....	51	Tassel.....	6 672	5 1,880
North Dakota Yellow.....	".....	47	".....	6 408	3 1,128
Evergreen Sugar.....	Weak.....	41	Not in tassel..	6 12	4 448
Cloud's Early Yellow.....	Strong.....	50	".....	5 1,088	5 1,220
Longfellow.....	".....	47	Tassel.....	5 296	4 580
Angel of Midnight.....	Medium.....	49	".....	4 1,900	3 336
Superior Fodder.....	".....	48	Not in tassel..	4 1,768	4 712
Extra Early Huron.....	".....	43	Tassel.....	4 1,636	3 1,656
North Dakota White.....	".....	39	".....	4 184	3 204
Salzer's Earliest Ripe.....	Weak.....	33	Not in tassel..	3 1,920	3 204
Early Golden Surprise.....	Medium.....	48	".....	3 1,656	6 936
Kendall's Early Giant.....	Weak.....	46	Tassel.....	3 468	2 1,148
Canada White Flint.....	Medium.....	43	".....	3 72	3 996
Mammoth Eight-rowed Flint.....	Weak.....	36	".....	3 72	4 844
Early Mastodon.....	Medium.....	44	Not in tassel..	2 356	1 640
Early August.....	Weak.....	23	Early milk....	1 1,696	1 244
Country Gentleman.....	".....	33	Not in tassel..	1 1,300	1 1,564

INDIAN CORN.—TEST OF SEEDING AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES.

Sown in rows by grain seeder on May 29. Cut September 8. Cultivation of the land the same as for the preceding test.

Name of Variety.	Distance between rows.	Character of Growth.	Height.	Weight per Acre grown in rows.	
	Inches.		Inches.	Tons	Lbs.
Selected Leaming	21	Strong	47	4	712
"	28	"	49	2	1,412
"	35	"	53	5	692
"	42	"	57	5	428
Longfellow	21	Medium	45	4	52
"	28	"	46	5	32
"	35	"	46	5	560
"	42	"	49	5	428
Champion White Pearl	21	"	41	3	1,656
"	28	"	48	3	1,656
"	35	"	49	7	1,576
"	42	"	49	7	1,920

ROTATION OF CROPS.

The plan inaugurated in 1899 for a rotation of crops was again carried out as follows:—

No.	1899.	1900.	1901.	1902.
1	Wheat	Oats	Soja Beans	Wheat.
2	Wheat	Wheat	Pease	Wheat.
3	Wheat	Oats	Tares	Wheat.
4	Wheat	Wheat	Red Clover	Wheat.
5	Wheat	Barley	Alsike and Lucerne ..	Wheat.
6	Pease	Wheat	Wheat	Pease.
7	Tares	Wheat	Oats	Tares.
8	Soja Beans	Wheat	Oats	Soja Beans.
9	Red Clover	Wheat	Wheat	Red Clover.
10	Alsike and Lucerne ..	Wheat	Barley	Alsike and Lucerne.
11	Rape	Wheat	Summer-fallow	Rape.
12	Wheat	Wheat	Summer-fallow	Wheat.
13	Wheat	Oats	Summer-fallow	Wheat.
14	Wheat	Barley	Summer-fallow	Wheat.
15	Wheat	Wheat	Oats	Wheat.
16	Wheat	Barley	Oats	Wheat.
17	Oats	Soja Beans	Wheat	Oats.
18	Wheat	Pease	Wheat	Wheat.
19	Oats	Tares	Wheat	Oats.
20	Wheat	Red Clover	Wheat	Wheat.
21	Barley	Alsike and Lucerne ..	Wheat	Barley.
22	Rye	Summer-fallow	Wheat	Rye.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ROTATION TEST—Results obtained in 1902. Plots $\frac{1}{2}$ -acre each. Soil, clay loam.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
					In.	In.	In.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Wheat, Red Fife....	April 28..	Aug. 27..	121	43	Strong....	3	Bald ...	25 ..	60
2	"	" 28..	" 27..	121	43	"	3	"	24 30	60
3	"	" 28..	" 27..	121	45	"	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	"	27 ..	59
4	"	" 28..	" 27..	121	45	"	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	"	27 15	58 $\frac{1}{2}$
5	"	" 28..	" 27..	121	42	"	3	"	20 45	58
6	Pease.....	May 30..	Ploughed under, 7 inches deep, on August 13.							
7	Tares.....	" 30..	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	Soja Beans	" 30..	"	"	"	"	Sept. 5.	"	"	"
9	Red Clover	" 30..	"	"	"	"	" 6.	"	"	"
10	Alsike and Lucerne..	" 30..	"	"	"	"	" 8.	"	"	"
11	Rape.....	" 30..	"	"	"	"	" 8.	"	"	"
12	Wheat, Red Fife....	April 28..	Aug. 28..	122	36	Strong....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bald ...	18 45	53 $\frac{1}{2}$
13	"	" 28..	" 28..	122	38	"	3	"	22 30	54
14	"	" 28..	" 28..	122	38	"	3	"	20 50	54 $\frac{1}{2}$
15	"	" 28..	" 28..	122	44	"	3	"	27 15	58
16	"	" 28..	" 28..	122	40	"	3	"	22 45	57
17	Oats, Banner	May 7..	" 24..	109	47	"	9	Branc'g.	31 16	36
18	Wheat, Red Fife....	April 28..	Sept. 1..	126	43	"	3	Bald ...	25 ..	53
19	Oats, Banner	May 7..	Aug. 29..	114	50	"	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	Branc'g.	32 26	38 $\frac{1}{2}$
20	Wheat, Red Fife....	April 28..	Sept. 1..	126	44	"	3	Bald ...	27 ..	50 $\frac{1}{2}$
21	Barley, Rennie's Improved	May 17..	Aug. 21..	96	36	"	2	6 rowed.	26 12	53
22	Rye, Spring	April 28..	Sept. 1..	126	42	"	3	"	25 ..	61

ROTATION OF CROPS.—Summary of results for three years.

Number.	Variety.	Yield.		Variety.	Yield.		Variety.	Yield.	
		Bush.	Lbs.		Bush.	Lbs.		Bush.	Lbs.
	1900.			1901.			1902.		
1	Oats, Banner.....	11	2	Soja Beans, ploughed under Aug. 21.....			Wheat, Red Fife.....	25	..
2	Wheat, Red Fife....	4	20	Pease, ploughed under July 26.....			"	24	30
3	Oats, Banner.....	11	..	Tares, ploughed under July 10.....			"	27	..
4	Wheat, Red Fife....	5	..	Red Clover, ploughed under Sept. 3.....			"	27	15
5	Barley, Canadian Thorpe	9	44	Clover, Alsike and Lucerne, ploughed under Sept. 5.....			"	20	45
6	Wheat, Red Fife....	16	50	Wheat, Red Fife.....	38	52	Pease, " ploughed under Aug. 13.....		
7	"	19	30	Oats, Banner	97	32	Tares, ploughed under Aug. 13.....		
8	"	18	20	"	91	8	Soja Beans, ploughed under Sept. 5.....		
9	"	11	20	Wheat, Red Fife.....	38	..	Red Clover, ploughed under Sept. 6.....		
10	"	8	20	Barley, Sidney.....	50	36	Alsike and Lucerne, ploughed under Sept. 8.....		
11	"	10	40	Summer-fallow.....			Rape, ploughed under Sept. 8.....		
12	"	7	40	"			Wheat, Red Fife.....	18	45
13	Oats, Banner.....	9	14	Summer-fallow, ploughed June 5.....			"	22	40

ROTATION OF CROPS—Summary of results for three years—*Concluded.*

Number.	Variety.	Yield.		Variety.	Yield.		Variety.	Yield.	
	1900.	Bush.	Lbs.	1901.	Bush.	Lbs.	1902.	Bush.	Lbs.
14	Barley, Canadian Thorpe	4	32	Summer-fallow.....			Wheat, Red Fife..	20	50
15	Wheat, Red Fife.....	4	30	Oats, Banner.....	80	26	"	27	15
16	Barley, Canadian Thorpe	9	4	"	98	8	"	22	45
17	Soja Beans, ploughed under Aug. 8.....			Wheat, Red Fife.....	43	44	Oats, Banner.....	31	16
18	Pease, ploughed under July 28.....			"	43	18	Wheat, Red Fife.....	25	..
19	Tares, ploughed under July 28.....			"	43	2	Oats, Banner.....	32	26
20	Clover, Common Red, ploughed under Sept. 10.....			"	42	16	Wheat, Red Fife.....	27	..
21	Alsike and Lucerne, ploughed under Sept. 10.....			"	43	12	Barley, Rennie's Impr'vd	26	12
22	Summer-fallow.....			"	44	40	Rye.....	25	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH FLAX.

Sowing different quantities of seed per acre and at different dates. Soil, clay loam, summer-fallowed. Sown by hoe-drill in plots of 1-20th acre each.

Seed per acre.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre
				Inches.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
25 pounds.....	May 17..	Aug. 21..	96	27½	1,900	14 8
60 ".....	" 17..	" 19..	94	28½	2,500	16 16
40 ".....	" 17..	" 20..	95	30	2,000	13 8
40 ".....	" 26..	" 28..	94	28	1,980	14
80 ".....	" 26..	" 30..	96	26	1,620	12 28
80 ".....	" 17..	" 21..	96	27	2,440	15

EXPERIMENTS WITH MILLETS.

Five varieties were sown on May 30, on 1-20th acre plots of fallow. When cut green for ensilage on September 9, no seed had formed.

Variety.	Height.	Green Fodder per Acre.	
		T	ons. Lbs.
Italian.....	38	15	1,356
Moha Hungarian.....	40	11	646
Algerian.....	36	8	1,420
White Round French.....	42	8	1,420
Cat-tail.....	36	4	710

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH SOJA BEANS.

Sown on May 30, on 1-20th acre plots of fallowed land, clay loam. Cut for ensilage on September 9. Did not form seed.

Variety.	Rows Distance apart.	Height.	Yield per Acre. (Green)
	Inches.		Tons. Lbs.
Soja Beans.....	21	33	3 336
"	28	35	3 1,656
"	35	36	4 184

EXPERIMENTS WITH HORSE BEANS.

Sown May 20 on 1-20th acre plots of fallowed land, clay loam. Cut for ensilage September 9, About half of beans ripe when cut.

Variety.	Rows Distance Apart.	Height.	Yield per Acre, Green.
	Inches.	Inches.	Tons. Lbs.
Horse beans.....	21	43	10 592
"	28	52	11 176
"	35	52	11 176

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING RYE.

Sown April 19, on 1-20th acre plot of fallow.

Cut August 20. Days to mature, 123. Straw, strong, 43 inches long. Heads 3 inches. Yield, 23 bushels 40 lbs. per acre.

EXPERIMENT WITH CANARY GRASS.

(*Phalaris canariensis*.)

Sown May 17 on 1-20th acre plot of fallow. Cut September 3. Days to mature, 109. Yield, 21 bushels 30 lbs. per acre.

EXPERIMENT WITH SUNFLOWERS.

Variety, Russian. Sown May 19, on 1-20th acre plot of fallow. No seed had formed when frost came, and the crop was a total failure.

HAY CROP.

A good average crop was secured in excellent condition from the various seedings of Brome and Western Rye Grass.

YIELDS.

BROME GRASS. (*Bromus inermis*.)

1st crop.—Left for seed.

2nd crop.—25 acres, 1 ton 1,950 lbs. per acre.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

2nd crop.— 4 acres, 2 tons 805 lbs. per acre.

3rd crop.— 3 acres, 3 tons 15 lbs. per acre. (Manured.)

WESTERN RYE GRASS. (*Agropyrum tenerum*).

1st crop.— $12\frac{3}{4}$ acres, 2 tons 297 lbs. per acre.

1st crop.— $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres. 4 tons 235 lbs. per acre.

2nd crop.— $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres, 3 tons 153 lbs. per acre.

MIXED BROME AND WESTERN RYE GRASS.

1st crop.—5 acres, 2 tons 66 lbs. per acre.

2nd crop.— $\frac{1}{2}$ acre, 2 tons 1,580 lbs. per acre.

1902 SEEDING.

Brome grass.—23 acres of stubble land was ploughed 4 inches deep on June 1, and sown with Brome grass. Abundant rainfall at the time of seeding and afterwards caused one of the best catches ever secured on the farm.

Western Rye Grass.—4 acres of land was prepared in the same manner as mentioned above, and sown with Western Rye grass. An exceptionally good catch was secured.

SEEDING AND CULTIVATION OF BROME GRASS.

For information regarding the seeding and cultivation of Brome grass the following is quoted from the report of 1896 :—

‘This grass is better sown alone; at least it should not be sown with a grain crop. The grain takes too much moisture from the young grass-plants, only the most vigorous of which will survive the dry weather in September; whereas, if sown alone all the plants have an equal chance.

‘It is advisable to sow the seed on land that does not blow. Summer-fallow would be the best preparation, but on account of its liability to drift it is not safe in many parts of the Territories to use this kind of land. Stubble-land ploughed three or four inches deep in April or May, and well harrowed after the seed is sown is found to be quite safe from winds, as the stubble harrowed to the top prevents all drifting.

‘Ten or twelve pounds of seed is required per acre. More seed will give a better crop the first year, but less afterwards, as the roots thicken up each year, and in three or four years this grass makes better pasture than hay.

‘The seed being light, long and thin, seeding by hand is the only practicable method unless seeders constructed for the purpose are available. To seed properly a calm day should be chosen, so that all parts of the land may be evenly sown.

‘While the plants are young, weeds are sure to make great headway, and it is necessary to keep them at least from going to seed. The quickest way to accomplish this is to go over the field with a mower, cutting just above the grass plants. If this operation has to be repeated it will be necessary to cut the tops of the grass, but this will not injure the plants, in fact it is an advantage in the way of giving the roots a firmer hold.

‘The first crop of hay can be cut the next year after seeding, and will, in ordinary years, be ready early in July. Twenty days after being ready to cut for hay it will be fit to cut for seed if so desired.

‘On this farm it has always been cut in first bloom for hay, and twenty days from this time it is considered in proper condition to cut for seed.

‘In cutting for seed, a binder is used and the grass is cut, tied and stooked the same as wheat or other grain. In a week or ten days after cutting it is ready to thresh or store away.

‘For threshing small quantities, the old-fashioned flail is suitable, but for large lots a threshing machine should be used on which the wind has been shut off as much as practicable. From three to six hundred pounds of seed may be expected from an acre.’

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CLOVERS AND GRASSES.

LUCERNE AND RED CLOVER.

One-half acre was sown with lucerne and the same area with red clover on June 7. Good catches were secured and the growth made was quite satisfactory.

TIMOTHY.

One-half acre was sown with timothy on June 7. A splendid catch was secured. When frost came the plants were 12 inches high and nearly all in head.

ORCHARD GRASS.

One-half acre was sown with orchard grass on June 7. About 75 per cent of the seed failed to germinate. Growth, weak.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FIELD ROOTS.

Fallowed land, clay loam, was used for all tests with field roots. All varieties came up evenly and made a good showing in the early part of the season, but the crop, with the exception of the first seeding of carrots, was seriously affected by the dry weather of July, August and September.

The roots, though small, were sound and of good quality. The yield was computed from two rows each 66 feet long and 33 inches apart.

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

Twenty-nine varieties were under trial in 1902. The first sowing was on May 28, the second on June 7, and the roots were all pulled October 5.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Good Luck.....	17	680	578	..	13	880	448	..
Webb's New Renown.....	17	440	574	..	18	1,680	628	..
Halewood's Bronze Top.....	17	320	572	..	12	1,440	424	..
Imperial Swede.....	16	1,960	566	..	12	1,920	432	..
Bangholm Selected.....	16	880	548	..	10	1,600	360	..
Giant King.....	16	160	536	..	11	800	380	..
Perfection Swede.....	16	160	536	..	14	800	480	..
New Arctic.....	16	160	536	..	12	720	412	..
Prize Winner.....	15	1,920	532	..	11	1,040	384	..
Mammoth Clyde.....	15	1,800	530	..	12	960	416	..
East Lothian.....	15	1,680	528	..	13	640	444	..
Sutton's Champion.....	15	1,320	522	..	10	1,600	360	..
Shamrock Purple Top.....	15	480	508	..	11	1,520	392	..
Hall's Westbury.....	15	480	508	..	11	80	368	..
Magnum Bonum.....	15	120	502	..	10	640	344	..
Drummond Purple Top.....	14	1,640	494	..	12	1,200	420	..
Monarch.....	14	1,640	494	..	11	80	368	..
Emperor Swede.....	14	1,520	492	..	12	1,680	428	..
Champion Purple Top.....	14	1,400	490	..	12	960	416	..
Jumbo.....	14	1,400	490	..	13	400	440	..
New Century.....	14	1,280	488	..	13	1,600	460	..
Kangaroo.....	14	1,160	486	..	12	..	400	..
Carter's Elephant.....	14	1,040	484	..	14	320	472	..
Elephant's Master.....	14	920	482	..	6	480	208	..
Prize Purple Top.....	14	920	482	..	12	920	432	..
Marquis of Lorne.....	14	560	476	..	12	240	404	..
Selected Purple Top.....	13	1,960	466	..	11	80	368	..
Skirving.....	13	280	438	..	9	720	312	..
West Norfolk Red Top.....	12	1,560	426	..	9	960	316	..

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Twenty-seven varieties of mangels were under trial. Two sowings were made in each case, the first on May 28 the second on June 2 and the roots were pulled from both on October 3.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Giant Yellow Intermediate	15	600	510	..	8	1,040	284	..
Ward's Long Oval-shaped	15	240	504	..	6	240	204	..
Giant Yellow Half-long	14	1,640	494	..	8	1,520	292	..
Yellow Intermediate	14	320	472	..	10	400	340	..
Selected Yellow Globe	14	200	470	..	10	1,600	360	..
Triumph Yellow Globe	13	1,600	460	..	11	80	363	..
Mammoth Long Red	13	1,480	458	..	9	480	308	..
Prize Mammoth Long Red	13	1,240	454	..	6	1,680	228	..
Leviathan Long Red	13	1,000	450	..	10	160	336	..
Half-long Sugar White	13	880	448	..	9	1,200	320	..
Golden Fleshed Tankard	13	880	448	..	7	880	248	..
Mammoth Yellow Intermediate	13	760	446	..	7	1,840	264	..
Half-long Sugar Rosy	13	520	442	..	6	1,440	224	..
Lion Yellow Intermediate	13	280	438	..	9	..	300	..
Yellow Fleshed Tankard	13	280	438	..	7	400	240	..
Prize Winner Yellow Globe	13	40	434	..	8	1,760	296	..
Gate-post	12	1,440	424	..	8	1,280	288	..
Gate-post Yellow	12	1,320	422	..	9	1,440	324	..
Red Fleshed Tankard	12	1,200	420	..	9	960	316	..
Selected Mammoth Long Red	12	480	408	..	9	960	316	..
Giant Yellow Globe	12	240	404	..	8	1,640	284	..
Warden Orange Globe	11	1,040	384	..	5	1,280	188	..
Mammoth Oval-shaped	11	1,040	384	..	7	1,600	260	..
Canadian Giant	11	1,040	384	..	9	..	300	..
Norbiton Giant	11	920	382	..	8	560	276	..
Champion Yellow Globe	11	320	372	..	9	..	300	..
Giant Sugar	10	1,840	364	..	8	800	280	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

Twenty varieties of carrots were under trial during 1902. The first sowings were made on April 30, the second on May 28, and the roots from both were pulled on October 5.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Iverson's Champion.....	17	1,280	588	..	10	160	336	..
Giant White Vosges.....	16	1,120	552	..	8	1,040	284	..
New White Intermediate.....	15	960	516	..	7	880	248	..
Green-top White Orthe.....	14	1,760	496	..	8	1,760	296	..
Ontario Champion.....	14	1,520	492	..	8	560	276	..
Half-long White.....	14	800	480	..	10	1,360	356	..
Half-long Chantenay.....	14	560	476	..	9	..	300	..
Long Yellow Stump-rooted.....	14	80	468	..	8	560	276	..
Improved Short White.....	13	1,840	464	..	10	400	340	..
Early Gem.....	12	600	410	..	7	400	240	..
Mammoth White Intermediate.....	11	1,760	396	..	7	1,600	260	..
White Belgian.....	11	1,760	396	..	6	960	216	..
Guerande or Ox-heart.....	11	1,280	388	..	5	1,520	192	..
Long Orange or Surrey.....	11	200	370	..	6	480	208	..
Carter's Orange Giant.....	10	1,360	356	..	7	640	244	..
Yellow Intermediate.....	10	1,000	350	..	5	1,760	196	..
White Vosges Large Short.....	9	1,680	328	..	5	1,760	196	..
Scarlet Intermediate.....	8	680	278	..	7	400	240	..
Long Scarlet Altringham.....	7	640	244	..	5	1,040	184	..
Scarlet Nantes.....	6	120	202	..	4	640	144	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Nine varieties of sugar beets were tested in 1902. The first sowing was made on May 28, the second on June 7, and the roots from both were pulled on October 3.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Imperial.....	11	1,400	390	..	8	1,040	284	..
Danish Improved.....	10	1,720	362	..	8	1,040	284	..
Royal Giant.....	10	400	340	..	9	480	308	..
Danish Red Top.....	9	1,200	320	..	5	1,520	292	..
Vilmorin's Improved.....	8	680	278	..	5	320	172	..
Red Top Sugar.....	8	560	276	..	9	..	300	..
Wanzleben.....	7	1,480	258	..	6	1,920	232	..
French Very Rich.....	5	1,850	198	..	6	960	216	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

Eighty-nine varieties of potatoes were under trial during 1902. These were planted on May 7 in drills $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart with the sets about 14 inches apart. The soil was clay loam, summer-fallowed. The potatoes were dug October 2, and the yield per acre has been estimated from the weight of tubers in one row 132 feet long. There was no rot observed in any of the varieties.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Average Size.	Total Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre of Marketable.	Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.	Form and Colour.
			Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	
Country Gentleman	Strong	Medium	301 24	272 48	28 36	Long, pink.
New Queen	"	"	288 12	268 24	19 48	Oval "
Beauty of Hebron	"	Large	286 ..	277 12	8 48	Long "
Brownell's Winner	"	Medium	281 36	250 48	30 48	" red.
New Variety No. 1.	"	Large	275 ..	259 36	15 24	Round, white.
Carman No. 1.	"	"	274 24	253 ..	21 24	Oval "
Seedling No. 7	"	"	272 48	255 12	17 36	" red.
Lee's Favourite	Medium	Medium	270 36	242 ..	28 36	" "
Earliest of All	"	"	268 24	253 ..	15 24	Long, pink.
American Giant	Strong.	"	266 12	250 48	15 24	" white.
Early Harvest	"	"	266 12	250 48	15 24	Oval "
Moneymaker	Medium	"	266 12	250 48	15 24	Long "
Pride of the Market	Strong.	Large	266 12	261 48	4 24	Oval "
Seattle	"	Medium	266 ..	246 12	19 48	Long "
Reeve's Rose	Medium	"	261 48	250 48	11 ..	" red.
Carman No. 3	Strong.	Large	259 36	250 48	8 48	Oval, white.
Rose No. 9	"	"	257 24	244 12	13 12	Long, red.
Vick's Extra Early	Medium	Medium	255 12	242 ..	13 12	Oval, pink.
Maule's Thoroughbred	Strong.	Large	255 12	248 48	26 24	Long, red.
Columbus	"	"	253 ..	222 12	30 48	" "
Great Divide	"	Medium	253 ..	239 48	13 12	" white.
Northern Spy	"	Large	250 36	237 24	15 24	Oval, red.
Lizzie's Pride	Medium	"	248 36	239 48	8 48	Long, white.
Burnaby Seedling	Strong.	Medium	248 36	228 48	19 48	" pink.
Green Mountain	"	"	246 24	231 ..	15 24	" white.
Hale's Champion	"	Small	246 24	217 48	28 36	Round "
Dreer's Standard	"	Medium	242 ..	228 48	13 12	Oval "
Early Michigan	"	"	242 ..	231 ..	11 ..	" "
General Gordon	"	Large	242 ..	226 36	15 24	Long, red.
State of Maine	"	Medium	239 48	217 48	22 ..	Oval, white.
Troy Seedling	"	Small	237 36	213 24	24 12	" "
Bovee	"	Medium	235 24	224 24	11 ..	" pink.
Sharpe's Seedling	Strong.	Large	235 24	206 48	28 36	Long, red.
Uncle Sam	"	Medium	235 24	215 36	19 48	Oval, white.
Halborn Abundance	"	"	233 12	217 48	15 24	" "
Sabean's Elephant	"	"	233 12	217 48	15 24	Long, white.
Flemish Beauty	Medium	Large	231 ..	215 36	15 24	Long, red.
Swiss Snowflake	Strong.	Small	231 ..	224 24	6 36	Round, white.
Prolific Rose	"	Medium	231 ..	213 24	17 36	Long, pink.
Clay Rose	"	Small	231 ..	217 48	13 12	Round, red.
Rochester Rose	"	Medium	228 48	213 24	15 24	Long, red.
Seedling No. 230	Medium	Small	228 48	215 36	13 12	Oval, white.
Chicago Market	"	Medium	228 48	211 12	17 36	" "
I. X. L.	Strong.	"	228 48	215 36	13 12	Long, pink.
Maggie Murphy	"	"	228 48	222 12	6 36	" "
Wonder of the World	Medium	"	226 36	202 24	24 12	Oval, pink.
Quaker City	Strong.	Small	226 36	217 48	8 48	" white.
American Wonder	"	Large	226 36	213 24	13 12	" "
Dakota Red	"	"	226 36	217 48	8 48	" red.
Prize Taker	"	Medium	224 12	213 24	11 ..	Long, red.
Penn Manor	"	"	222 12	206 48	15 24	Oval, pink.
Early Rose	"	Small	217 48	193 36	24 12	" "
Pearce's Extra Early	"	Medium	216 12	192 ..	24 12	Long, pink.
Pearce's Prize Winner	"	"	215 36	202 24	13 12	" white.
Late Puritan	"	"	213 24	200 12	13 12	" "
Early St. George	"	"	211 12	200 12	11 ..	" pink.
Burpee's Extra Early	"	Small	211 12	195 48	15 24	Oval, pink.
Canadian Beauty	Medium	Medium	209 ..	191 24	17 36	Long, pink.
Early Northern	"	Small	209 ..	191 24	17 36	" red.
Up-to-Date	Strong.	"	206 48	189 12	17 36	Oval, white.
Daisy	"	Medium	206 48	171 36	35 12	Round, pink.
Rawdon Rose	"	"	206 48	195 48	11 ..	Oval, pink.
Empire State	"	"	204 36	184 48	19 48	" white.
McIntyre	"	Small	204 36	184 48	19 48	" pink.
Early Sunrise	"	"	202 24	187 ..	15 24	" "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Average Size.	Total Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre of Marketable.	Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.	Form and Colour.
			Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	
Cambridge Russet.....	Medium	Medium	202 24	189 12	13 12	Long, russet.
Delaware.....	Strong..	"	202 24	195 48	6 36	Oval, white.
Early Daisy.....	" ..	Small ..	195 48	176 ..	19 48	Round, white.
Early White Prize.....	" ..	Large ..	193 36	176 ..	17 36	Oval, white.
Forburn.....	" ..	Medium	191 24	180 24	11 ..	" pink.
High Cobbler.....	" ..	Small ..	189 12	176 ..	13 12	" white.
Mill Nye.....	" ..	"	187 ..	169 24	17 36	Long, white.
Colaris.....	Medium	Medium	187 ..	171 36	15 24	Oval, white.
White Beauty.....	" ..	"	184 48	167 12	17 36	" " "
Early Market.....	Strong..	Small ..	182 36	165 ..	17 36	" pink.
Early Puritan.....	" ..	"	182 36	165 ..	17 36	" white.
Verrett.....	Medium	Medium	182 36	171 36	11 ..	Long, red.
Early Blush.....	Strong..	"	182 36	176 ..	6 36	Oval, red.
Early Ohio.....	" ..	"	180 24	162 48	17 36	" pink.
Early No. 2.....	" ..	"	180 24	162 48	17 36	" white.
Normous.....	" ..	"	169 24	156 12	13 12	" " "
Manier.....	" ..	"	169 24	154 ..	15 24	Long, red.
Walter Raleigh.....	" ..	"	154 ..	133 36	15 24	Oval, white.
Leading Giant.....	" ..	"	149 36	121 ..	28 36	" red.
Early Six Weeks.....	" ..	Small ..	149 36	140 48	8 48	" pink.
Ohio Junior.....	" ..	"	145 12	132 ..	13 12	" " "
Colton Rose.....	Medium	"	145 12	134 12	11 ..	Long, pink.
Early Andes.....	Strong..	Medium	140 48	129 48	11 ..	" " "
Row's Rot-proof.....	" ..	"	112 12	105 36	6 36	" red.

VEGETABLE GARDEN.

ASPARAGUS.

Old beds of Barr's Mammoth, Barr's Elmira and Conover's Colossal produced an excellent crop during the season. In use from May 23 to July 22.

BEANS.—Sown May 14.

Variety.	In use, Green.	Ripe.	Remarks.
Aricot Inexhaustible.....	Aug. 5....	Sept. 16....	Green; good cropper.
Matchless.....	" 5....	" 16....	" fair cropper.
Black Speckled.....	July 30....	" 16....	" good cropper.
Golden Skinless.....	" 30....	" 3....	Wax or butter; good cropper.
Emperor of Russia.....	Aug. 2....	" 16....	Green; good cropper; late.
Early Six-weeks.....	July 30....	" 3....	" " early.
Valentine Wax.....	" 5....	" 16....	" fair cropper.
Challenge Black Wax.....	Aug. 2....	" 3....	Wax; good cropper.
Springless Wax.....	" 5....	" 16....	" " late.
Game of Vitry.....	July 30....	" 16....	Green; good cropper.
Warf Kidney.....	Aug. 2....	" 16....	Wax; good cropper.
Golden Wax.....	" 2....	" 3....	" fair cropper.
St. Louis Wax.....	July 30....	" 3....	" good cropper.
Extra Early.....	" 30....	" 3....	Green; good cropper; early.
Early Mohawk.....	" 30....	" 16....	" early.
Barrie's Rust-proof.....	" 30....	" 3....	Wax; good cropper.

BEETS.

Sown May 16; in use July 25; pulled October 3.
 Nutting's Dwarf Improved, 422 bushels per acre.
 Flat Egyptian, 365 bushels per acre.
 Long Smooth Blood, 309 bushels per acre.
 Early Black Red Turnip, 293 bushels per acre.

BROCOLI.

Sown April 7; transplanted April 22; set out May 23.
 Extra Early White, did not mature.
 Dwarf Improved, did not mature.

CELERY.

Giant Pascal, Large Red Ribbed, Rose Ribbed Paris, Paris Golden Yellow, White Plume and White Walnut were sown in hot-house March 31; transplanted April 30; set out in trenches June 20; in use September 27; taken up October 8.

Giant Pascal and Large Red Ribbed did not do well; but the other varieties produced an excellent crop of very fine, crisp celery.

CAULIFLOWER.

Sown in hot-house April 2; transplanted April 22; set out May 22.
 Earliest Dwarf, in use July 17; average weight, 4 lbs.
 Half Early Paris, in use July 17; average weight, 4 lbs.
 Early Snowball, in use July 28; average weight, 4½ lbs.
 Large Algiers, in use August 5; average weight, 6 lbs.

CARROTS.—Sown, May 8; Lifted, October 3.

Variety.	In use.	Bushels per Acre.	Remarks.
Early Gem.....	Aug. 30....	405	Large; Good shape.
Long Blood.....	" 30....	394	Large; rough.
Half Long Luc.....	July 30....	363	Good variety.
Parisian Forcing.....	" 30....	363	"
French Horn.....	" 30....	343	"
Scarlet Nantes.....	Aug. 30....	202	Small; smooth.

CABBAGE.—Sown in Hot-house, April 2; Transplanted to frame, April 22; Set out, May 23; Taken up, October 8.

Variety.	In use.	Average Weight.	Remarks.
		Lbs.	
Winningstadt Early.....	Aug. 5....	7	Good; early.
Extra Early Express.....	" 5....	7	"
Extra Early Etampes.....	July 20....	5	"
St. Denis.....	Aug. 5....	10	
Paris Market.....	" 5....	5	
Early Jersey Wakefield.....	" 5....	6	
Fottler's Drumhead.....	" 5....	13	
Large Red Drumhead.....	July 15....	9	Good.
Green Globe Savoy.....	Aug. 5....	6	

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CORN.—Planted, May 27.

Ring-leader, in use Sept. 6 ; did not ripen.
 First of All, in use Sept 1 ; did not ripen.
 Extra Early White Cory, in use Sept. 6 ; did not ripen.
 Adam's Extra Early, in use Sept. 1 ; did not ripen.
 Mitchell's Extra Early, in use Sept. 6 ; did not ripen.
 White Cory, in use Sept. 1 ; did not ripen.
 Squaw, in use Aug. 20 ; ripe, Sept. 6.

Pop-corn.

White Rice ; did not ripen.
 White Pearl ; did not ripen.

CUCUMBERS.—Planted in hot-house, March 10 ; set out, May 28.

London Long Green, ripe, Sept. 5 ; very poor crop.
 Prize Pickling ; no fruit set.
 White Wonder ; no fruit set.
 New Giant Pera, ripe, Sept. 5 ; very poor crop.

CITRONS.—Sown in cold-frames in garden, May 28.

Preserving ; fruit small.
 Colorado ; fruit small.

LETTUCE.—Sown, May 28.

Cabbage Neapolitan, in use June 6 ; very fine.
 Early Ohio, in use June 6 ; good.
 Blonde Stone-head, in use June 6 ; good.
 All the Year Round, in use June 9 ; good.
 Trocadero Red Edged, in use June 9 ; good.
 Cos, Green Paris, in use July 6 ; good heads.
 Cos, Trianon, in use July 6 ; good heads.

ONIONS.—Sown in Hot-house, April 7 ; set out, May 28 ; lifted, Sept. 18 ; also sown in open, May 8.

	Bush. per acre, transplanted.	Bush. per acre, sown in open.
Large Red Wethersfield	221	120
Yellow Globe Danvers	201	180
Market Favourite	170	180
Paris Silver-skin	170

All varieties were smaller than usual but the bulbs were very fine and solid.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

MELONS.

Musk.—Sown in hot-house, May 10 ; set out, May 28.

Earliest of All, no fruit matured.

Hackensack, no fruit matured.

Extra Early Netted Gem, no fruit matured.

Water.—Sown in hot-house May 10 ; set out May 28 ; Fordhook, no fruit matured ; Early Canada, no fruit matured.

PUMPKINS.

Sown in hot-house May 10 ; set out May 28.

Sweet or Sugar, ripe, Aug. 30 ; weight, 16 lbs. ; light crop.

Large Yellow or Field, ripe, Aug. 30 ; weight, 28 lbs. ; light crop.

SQUASH.

Sown in hot-house May 10 ; set out May 28.

Early Vegetable Marrow, light crop set and did not mature.

TURNIPS.

Sown May 27 ; in use July 30 ; pulled October 17.

Early Stone, bushels per acre, 523 ; good.

Extra Early White Milan, bushels per acre, 490 ; coarse.

Early White Strapleaf, bushels per acre, 483 ; bad shape.

Robertson's Golden Ball, bushels per acre, 400 ; very good.

PEASE.—Sown May 14.

Variety.	In use.		Ripe.		Size.	Remarks.
Surprise.....	July	19	Aug.	9	Small.....	Fair cropper.
Stratagem.....	"	30	Sept.	12	Large.....	Good cropper ; late.
Shropshire Hero.....	"	31	Aug.	22	".....	"
Alaska.....	"	25	"	22	Small.....	Good ; early.
American Wonder.....	"	19	Sept.	14	".....	"
Anticipation.....	"	20	"	14	Large.....	Fair cropper ; late.
Admiral.....	Aug.	5	"	14	Small.....	"
Burpee's Profusion.....	July	30	Aug.	9	Large.....	Good cropper.
Extra Early.....	"	19	"	9	Small.....	"
Ever-bearing.....	"	28	"	9	Medium.....	"
First of All.....	"	19	"	9	Small.....	"
First and Best.....	"	21	"	9	".....	"
Laxton's Charmer.....	Aug.	5	"	9	Large.....	" late.
Gradus.....	July	25	"	22	".....	"
Champion of England.....	"	30	"	22	Medium.....	"
Horsford's Market Garden.....	Aug.	7	"	9	".....	"
William Hurst.....	July	26	"	9	Small.....	"
Rural New Yorker.....	"	10	Sept.	14	".....	Fair cropper ; early.
Prince of Wales.....	Aug.	5	"	14	Medium.....	Good cropper.
Premium Gem.....	July	20	Aug.	14	".....	"
Yorkshire Hero.....	"	31	"	9	".....	"
Nott's Excelsior.....	"	19	"	9	".....	"
Harrison's Glory.....	Aug.	10	Sept.	14	Small.....	" late.
C. P. R.....	"	5	"	14	Large.....	"
Queen.....	"	30	Medium.....	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RADISH.

First seeding, May 8; in use June 7. Second seeding, June 1; in use June 24.
 Ne Plus Ultra; Early Scarlet White Tipped; French Breakfast; Olive-shaped
 Deep Scarlet; Early Scarlet Turnip.
 Both seedings did well. All good varieties.

PARSNIPS.

Sown May 8; lifted October 4.
 New Intermediate, bushels per acre, 180. Medium size.
 Elcomb's Giant, bushels per acre, 160. Small; medium quality.
 Hollow Crown, bushels per acre, 120. Small; medium quality.

TOMATOES.

Sown in Hot house, April 3; Transplanted to Cold-frame, April 30; Set out May 28.

	Green. — In use.	First ripe.	Remarks.
Atlantic Prize.....	July 18..	Aug. 30..	Small; smooth.
Early Ruby.....	" 18..	" 30..	Small; rough.
Earliest of All.....	" 21..	" 30..	Medium; smooth.
Earliana.....	" 18..	Sept. 5..	Large "
Success.....	" 24..	" 5..	Medium "
Canada.....	" 20..	" 5..	" "
Peach.....	" 30..	" 5..	Small; rough.

PEPPERS.

Sown in hot-house, April 3; transplanted, April 30; set out May 28.
 Ruby King, did not ripen.

PARSLEY.

Sown May 28. Champion Moss-curved—Did well late in the season.
 Triple curved—Did well late in the season.

RHUBARB—OLD BEDS.

Victoria, in use May 23; good crop; fine stalks.
 Linnaeus, in use May 23; good crop; fine stalks.

SAGE—WINTER SAVORY.

Sown May 28; did fairly well.

SPINACH.

Sown May 28; good crop.

FLOWER GARDEN.

ANNUALS—Propagated in Hot-house. Sown March 31.

Variety.	Set out.	IN BLOOM.		Remarks.
		From	To	
Aster, 12 varieties.....	June 4..	July 27..	Sept. 22..	Very fine show.
Amarantus, 2 varieties.....	" 6..			Did not bloom.
Arabis Alpina Compacta.....	" 6..			Fine plants.
Ageratum.....	" 4..	July 11..	Sept. 10..	Good border.
Enothera Drummondii.....	" 4..	" 26..	" 10..	Good show.
Aquilegia Chrysantha Nana.....	" 6..	" 26..	" 10..	Did well.
Antirrhinum, 3 varieties.....	" 4..	" 11..	" 10..	Some fine flowers.
Clarkia, 2 varieties.....	" 6..	" 16..	" 10..	Bloomed freely.
Chrysanthemum, 2 varieties.....	" 6..	" 15..	" 17..	Flowers small.
Calendula.....	" 6..	" 7..	" 17..	Very fine.
Centaurea.....	" 6..	" 16..	" 10..	Large and fine.
Celosia, 2 varieties.....	" 6..			Did not bloom.
Coreopsis, 3 varieties.....	" 6..	July 5..	Sept. 10..	Good show of bloom.
Dianthus, 7 varieties.....	" 4..	" 10..	" 17..	Very fine.
Dahlia, Single.....	" 4..	" 20..	" 10..	Did well.
Eschscholtzia.....	" 4..	" 20..	" 17..	Very fine.
Godetia, 3 varieties.....	" 4..	" 24..	" 10..	Good show of bloom.
Gaillardia, 2 varieties.....	" 4..	" 8..	" 17..	Did well.
Gypsophila Elegans.....	" 4..	Aug. 4..	" 10..	"
Helianthus.....	" 4..	" 4..	" 10..	"
Helichrysum, 2 varieties.....	" 4..	July 2..	" 10..	"
Hollyhook.....	" 4..	" 2..	" 10..	"
Iberis Gibraltica.....	" 4..			Did not bloom.
Lychnis Haageana.....	" 8..	July 8..	Sept. 10..	Did fairly well.
Lobelia.....	" 8..	" 8..	" 10..	Did well.
Larkspur.....	" 8..	" 10..	" 10..	"
Linum.....	" 8..	" 10..	" 10..	"
Lupinus.....	" 8..	Aug. 8..	" 17..	"
Mignonette.....	" 4..	July 12..	" 10..	"
Marigold.....	" 4..	" 16..	" 10..	Made fine show.
Nasturtium, 2 varieties.....	" 4..	" 20..	" 10..	Very fine.
Nigella.....	" 4..	" 24..	" 10..	Very pretty.
Nicotina.....	" 4..	" 26..	" 10..	Large and fine.
Sweet Alyssum.....	" 6..			Did not bloom.
Stocks, 2 varieties.....	" 6..	July 15..	Sept. 17..	Very fine show.
Salpiglossis, 2 varieties.....	" 6..	Aug. 5..	" 10..	Did well.
Verbena.....	" 4..	July 26..	" 10..	Very fine.
Scabiosa, 2 varieties.....	" 6..	" 28..	" 10..	Good show of bloom.
Petunia.....	" 30..	" 8..	" 17..	Large fine bloom.
Phlox, 5 varieties.....	" 4..	" 4..	" 17..	Very fine show.
Portulaca.....	" 4..	" 4..	" 17..	Did well.
Poppies, 3 varieties.....	" 4..	" 22..	" 10..	Very fine.
Zinnia, 3 varieties.....	" 4..	" 23..	" 10..	Good.

ANNUALS—SOWN IN THE OPEN.

The following Annuals were sown in the open from May 17 to May 27. All did well and bloomed freely, but were about two weeks later than the same varieties sown in the hot-house and transplanted.

Amarantus.
Ageratum.
Antirrhinum.
Aster.
Agrostemma.
Candytuft.
Centaurea.
Chrysanthemum.

Godetia.
Helichrysum.
Iberis Gibraltica.
Mignonette.
Marigold.
Nigella.
Phlox.
Poppies.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16.

Calendula.
Coreopsis.
Dianthus.
Celosia.
Eschscholtzia.
Gaillardia.

Petunias.
Scabiosa.
Salpiglossis.
Sweet Alyssum.
Sweet Peas, 25 varieties.
Zinnia.

PERENNIALS.

The old beds of Perennials, including Pansies, Larkspur, Sweet William, Columbine, Lychnis and Everlasting Pea, came through the winter in good condition and flowered well during the season.

BULBS.

Gladioli—3 varieties. Set out June 4. In flower August 1 to Sept. 10. Very fine.

Dahlia.—Set out June 4. In flower July 16. Flowers large and fine but about two weeks later than usual.

Tulips.—In bloom May 15. Very fine showing. Flowers large and regular.

Cannas.—In bloom July 20. Later than usual, but the flowers were very fine.

Iris.—Planted in 1900. Maintained a good succession of bloom from June 5 to July 25.

PÆONIES.

Planted in 1900. Magnificent flowers.

OTHER PERENNIALS.

Planted 1900. The majority of a large list, including *Achillea*, *Aster*, *Clematis*, *Centaurea*, *Funkia*, *Geranium*, *Hemerocallis*, *Helianthus*, *Lysimachia*, *Rudbeckia*, *Thermopsis* and *Veronica*, came through the winter in good condition and flowered freely. The plot was an attractive one during the whole season.

TREES AND SHRUBS.

The trees and shrubs on the farm made satisfactory progress during the season. The winter of 1901-2 was very favourable, and nearly all the specimens living in the fall of 1901 were found to be in good condition this spring.

Growth started somewhat later than usual, but the large amount of moisture in the early part of the season more than made up for the loss of time.

A large number of the shrubs fruited this season, and as the seed was carefully collected, it is hoped that a good variety of home-grown seedlings will soon be available for distribution. The crop of maple seed on the farm was again practically destroyed by the fungus which ruined the crop last year, but the trees in the Qu'Appelle valley, north of Indian Head, were free from disease, and a quantity of seed sufficient for the distribution of 1903 has been secured from there.

The Evergreen trees made good progress during the season, the growth of White Spruce, Rocky Mountain Spruce, Scotch Pine and Mountain Pine being particularly noticeable.

ARBORETUM.

Forty-five species and varieties of trees and shrubs were added to the Arboretum last spring. The specimens had been grown one year in nursery rows in a sheltered position on the farm, and the weather being favourable at the time of transplanting, the moving caused very little set-back.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

The clump of *Syringa Vulgaris*, numbering 23 varieties, is growing well, and in another year or two will, no doubt, be very attractive.

Appended is a short list of the varieties of trees and shrubs that have proved conspicuously successful in the past few years :

Botanical name—	Common name—
<i>Acer Negundo</i> .	Box Elder.
<i>Acer Tataricum Ginnala</i> .	Ginnalian Maple.
<i>Alnus Glutinosa</i> .	Common Alder.
<i>Betula Populifolia</i> .	White Birch.
<i>Caragana Arborescens</i> .	Siberian Pea Tree.
<i>Cornus Stolonifera</i> .	Red Osier Dogwood.
<i>Cotoneaster Integerrima</i> .	Common Cotoneaster.
<i>Crataegus Chlorosarca</i> .	
" <i>Coccinea</i> .	Scarlet Haw.
" <i>Crus Galli</i> .	Cockspur Thorn.
<i>Fraxinus Americana</i> .	White Ash.
" <i>Pennsylvanica Lanceolata</i> .	Green Ash.
<i>Lonicera Alberti</i> .	Albert Regel's Honeysuckle.
" <i>Tatarica</i> .	Tartarian Honeysuckle.
<i>Populus Balsamifera</i> .	Balsam Poplar.
" <i>Deltoidea</i> .	Cottonwood.
<i>Rhamnus Cathartica</i> .	Common Buckthorn.
" <i>Frangula</i> .	Breaking Buckthorn.
<i>Ribes Aureum</i> .	Missouri Currant.
" <i>Sibirica</i> .	Siberian Currant.
<i>Salix Pentrandia</i> .	Laurel Leaved Willow.
" <i>Purpurea Pendula</i> .	Pendulous Purple Willow.
" <i>Voronesh</i> .	Voronesh Willow.
<i>Syringa Chinense</i> .	Rouen Lilac.
" <i>Josikea</i> .	Josika's Lilac.
" <i>Vulgaris</i> .	Common Lilac.
<i>Ulmus Americana</i> .	American Elm.
<i>Viburnum Opulus</i> .	Highbush Cranberry.

FRUIT TREES AND BUSHES.

The crop of fruits, with the exception of crab apples, currants and raspberries, was most disappointing. The native and American plums set a heavy crop of fruit, which was immediately affected with plum-pocket, and any plums that escaped injury from this cause were frozen before they came to maturity. The trees blossomed somewhat later than last year, and the growth of fruit was retarded by the cool wet weather in the early part of the season. The crab apples (*Pyrus Baccata* and *Pyrus Prunifolia*) fruited freely and ripened before the frost on September 12. Currants were a fair crop of excellent quality. Raspberries were above the average, and the fruit of nearly all the varieties was of exceptional quality. Very little fruit set on the gooseberries. Strawberries were, as usual, almost a total failure.

SEEDLING APPLES.

The two seedlings each of Tonka and Arctic, planted in 1899, came through the winter in good condition, but did not blossom. The Wealthy, Blushed Calville and Hiberna, planted in 1900, were unfortunately nearly all destroyed by rabbits during the winter. Any trees that were not barked made strong growth during the season.

GRAFTING.

The grafting of scions of hardy apples and crab apples grown in Manitoba, commenced in 1901, was continued this spring, and scions of the more promising varieties of American seedling plums were grafted on some of the trees of the Manitoba native plums which have proved inferior in size and quality.

Seventy-five per cent of the grafts on crab apples which struck in 1901 came through the winter in good condition and grew well during the season.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

PLANTING.

In the orchard laid out in 1901 the following seedlings of hybrid crab apples were planted this year :—

36 seedlings of Novelty,	14 seedlings of Charles,
18 " Progress,	8 " Belmont,
18 " Prairie Gem,	9 " Eastman,
36 " Aurora,	11 " Eaton.
4 " Belmont,	

FRUIT CROP.

Pyrus.

INDIAN HEAD SEEDLINGS.

Pyrus Baccata Genuina, *Pyrus Baccata Cerasiformus*, *Pyrus Baccata Macrocarpa*, *Pyrus Baccata Sanguinea* and *Pyrus Prunifolia*, bore heavy crops of fruit, nearly all of which ripened before the frost on September 12. The fruit generally was small, but one of the trees of *Pyrus Baccata Macrocarpa* produced the largest crabs that have, so far, been grown on the farm.

SEEDLINGS RECEIVED FROM THE CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

Pyrus Baccata Sanguinea, *Pyrus Baccata Aurantiaca*, *Pyrus Baccata Macrocarpa*, *Pyrus Baccata Cerasiformus*, *Pyrus Baccata Genuina* and *Pyrus Prunifolia intermedia* fruited, and the average size, though small, was considerably larger than last year's crop.

PLUMS.

The trees were badly injured by a heavy snow storm on September 23, 1901, some of the best trees being entirely ruined.

All varieties came safely through the winter and made strong growth during the season.

Seedlings of Hungarian Plum—Planted 1894.—Heavy crop of fruit set, but was frozen long before it came to maturity.

Seedlings of Speer—Planted 1895.—Fruited lightly, but were caught by frost.

Seedlings of Weaver—Planted 1894.—Wintered well and set a heavy crop of fruit. Fruit destroyed by frost.

Seedlings of De Soto—Planted 1895.

Seedlings of Rollington—Planted 1897.—Medium crop of fruit set, but did not ripen in time to escape frost.

Aikin Plum—Planted 1897.—Wintered well, but set a very light crop. The fruit was further advanced when frost came than any of the native or seedling varieties, but was not fit for use.

MANITOBA NATIVE PLUMS.

The trees generally wintered in good condition, and set a heavy crop of fruit. Struck by plum-pocket, which destroyed 50 per cent of the fruit and the balance was frozen.

American Seedling Plum trees, received from Chas. Luedloff, Cologne, Minnesota. Wintered well and fruited heavily. Crop destroyed by plum-pocket and frost.

CHERRIES.

Seedling of *Carnation*—Planted 1896.—Wintered well, but did not fruit.

Seedling of *Lithaur Weichsel*—Planted 1894.—Wintered in good condition, but no fruit set.

Seedling of *Olivet*—Planted 1895.—The only surviving tree wintered well and made a strong healthy growth, but did not fruit.

" *Mahaleb*—Planted 1895.—Wintered well. Made strong growth. Blossomed, but no fruit set.

Seedling of *Wild Cherry* from *Nebraska*.—Fruited lightly. Fruit small and of poor quality.

Rocky Mountain Cherry—Planted 1895.—Fruited heavily. Fruit small and much too late.

PRUNUS PUMILA,

Hardy. Very light crop. Small. Poor quality.

SMALL FRUITS.

WHITE CURRANTS.

White Grape, *White Dutch*, *White Imperial* and *White Transparent* were under test. All were hardy, made strong growth and produced excellent crops of very fine fruit.

RED CURRANTS.

Fay's Prolific, *Raby Castle*, *Red Dutch*, *La Conde*, *Knight's Early Red*, *New Red Dutch*, *Native Red*, *London Red*, *Victoria*, *Fertile d'Angers*, *Cherry*, *Prince Albert*, *La Fertile*, *Versailles*, *North Star*, *Pomona*, and *Wilder* under test. Came through the winter in good condition. A large crop of fruit set and ripened evenly. Quality, exceptionally good.

BLACK CURRANTS.

Lee's Prolific, *Black Naples*, *Prince of Wales*, *Crandall*, and the following of *Saunders' Seedlings*, *Stewart*, *Orton*, *Clipper*, *Kerry*, *Eagle*, *Monarch*, *Charmer*, *Beauty*, *Winona*, *Ontario*, *Standard*, *Lewis*, *Ethel*, *Stirling*, *Star*, *Madoc*, *Perry*, *Eclipse*, *Oxford*, *Climax*, all wintered in good condition and made strong growth. A very light crop of fruit set.

RASPBERRIES.

Dr. Reider, *Philadelphia*, *Turner*, *Caroline*, *Lady Anne*, *Garfield*, *Miller's Red* and *Kenyon* came out of winter covering in good condition and blossomed very freely. The crop was much above the average in quantity and quality.

GOOSEBERRIES.

Smith's Improved, *Lancashire Lad*, *Governess*, *Columbus*, *Houghton*, *Native*, *Pearl*, and *Keepsake* under test. All hardy. Fruited very lightly. Made strong growth.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

STRAWBERRIES.

Captain Jack, New Dominion, Windsor Chief and Pineapple under test. Crop a failure.

SUMMER-FALLOWS.

It is very gratifying to know that throughout the Territories, summer-fallowing is rapidly becoming general. No matter where farming is carried on, the farmers realize that to be sure of a crop they must prepare a portion of their land the year before the crop is grown, and apart from the value of the stored moisture, there is the inestimable advantage of keeping weeds from over-running the farm.

The true worth of properly prepared fallows has been clearly demonstrated in past years in every grain-growing district of Assiniboia.

The work of preparing land for crop by fallowing is carried on in so many ways in different parts of the Territories, that perhaps a few words on some of the methods employed may be of help to at least some of the new settlers.

It has been observed in Alberta and Saskatchewan that the land to be fallowed is not, as a rule, touched until the weeds are full-grown and in many cases, bearing fully matured seed. It is then ploughed.

By this method, which, no doubt, saves work at the time, the very object of a summer-fallow is defeated. In the first place, moisture is not conserved because the land has been pumped dry by the heavy growth of weeds; and, secondly, instead of using the summer-fallow as a means of eradicating weeds, a foundation is laid for years of labour and expense by the myriads of foul seeds turned under.

The endless fields of yellow-flowered weeds, generally Ball Mustard (*Neslia paniculata*), testify to the indifferent work done in many districts, and while no weed is more easily eradicated by a good system of fallows, there is no weed that is more easily propagated or takes greater advantage of poor work on fallows or of fall or spring cultivation.

As has been pointed out in my previous reports, early and thorough work on fallows is absolutely necessary to success, and I here repeat the methods and results of tests carried on for some years past.

First Method.—Ploughed deep (6 to 8 inches) before last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and just before or immediately after harvest ploughed 5 or 6 inches deep.

Result.—Too much late growth if season was at all wet; grain late in ripening, and a large crop of weeds if the grain was in any way injured by winds.

Second Method.—Ploughed shallow (3 inches deep) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and ploughed shallow (3 to 4 inches deep) in the autumn.

Result.—Poor crop in a dry year; medium crop in a wet year. Not sufficiently stirred to enable soil to retain the moisture.

Third Method.—Ploughed shallow (3 inches) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and ploughed deep (7 to 8 inches) in the autumn.

Result.—Soil too loose and does not retain moisture. Crop light and weedy in a dry year.

Fourth Method.—Ploughed deep (7 to 8 inches) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season.

Result.—Sufficient moisture conserved for a dry year, and not too much for a wet one. Few or no weeds, as all the seeds near the surface have germinated and been killed. Surface soil apt to blow more readily than when either of the other methods is followed. For the past fourteen years, the best, safest and cleanest grain has been grown on fallow worked in this way, and the method is therefore recommended.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

Fallows that have been ploughed for the first time after the first of July, and especially after July 15, have never given good results; and the plan too frequently followed of waiting till weeds are full grown, and often ripe, and ploughing under with the idea of enriching the soil, is a method that cannot be too earnestly advised against.

In the first place, after the rains are over in June or early in July, as they usually are, no amount of work, whether deep or shallow ploughing, or surface cultivation, can put moisture in the soil. The rain must fall on the first ploughing and be conserved by surface cultivation.

Weeds, when allowed to attain their full growth, take from the soil all the moisture put there by the June rains, and ploughing under weeds with their seeds ripe or nearly so, is adding a thousand-fold to the myriads already in the soil, and does not materially enrich the land.

BREAKING AND BACK-SETTING.

In view of the fact that every year brings to the Territories, many new settlers, who are unacquainted with the methods of breaking up and preparing new land for crop, a few suggestions with regard to this very important work may not be amiss.

In all sections where the sod is thick and tough, breaking and back-setting should be done; while in districts where scrub abounds and the sod is thin, deep breaking is all that is necessary.

The former is generally applicable to Assiniboia, and the latter to Alberta and Saskatchewan, especially to the northern parts of these Territories where the land is more or less scrubby.

SHALLOW BREAKING.

(To be back-set).

The sod should be turned over as thin as possible, and for this purpose a walking plough with a 12 or 14 inch share, is the best. When the breaking is completed (which should not be latter than the second week in July), rolling will hasten the rotting process and permit back-setting to commence early in August.

BACK-SETTING.

Back-setting is merely turning the sod back to its original place, and at the same time bringing up two or three inches of fresh soil to cover it. The ploughing should be done in the same direction as the breaking and the same width of furrow turned. Two inches below the breaking is considered deep enough but three or four inches will give better results.

After back-setting, the soil cannot be made too fine and the use of disc or Randall harrow to cut up every piece of unrotted sod, will complete the work.

DEEP BREAKING.

Deep breaking, which in many sections of the country is the only practicable way of preparing new land, and which is, unfortunately, done in some instances where breaking and back-setting would give more satisfactory results, consists in the turning over of the sod as deep as possible; usually from 4 to 5 inches.

When the sod has rotted, the top-soil should be worked and made as fine as possible. The use of harrow or disc will fill up all irregularities on the surface, and make a fine, even seed bed.

Whether the land is broken shallow or deep, it is necessary to have the work completed early, so as to take advantage of the rains which usually come during June or

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

early in July. These rains cause the sod to rot, and without them or if the ploughing is done after they are over, the sod remains in the same condition as when turned, and no amount of work will make up for the loss.

To some districts near the foot-hills of the mountains and in districts where scrub abounds, and the sod is thin, these remarks may not apply, but, as a rule, throughout the Territories, early breaking, whether deep or shallow is advisable.

WORKING LAND AFTER FIRST CROP.

Inquiries are often made as to what should be done after taking off the first crop on new land, the question being as to whether the land should be ploughed, or cultivated, or sown without any cultivation whatever.

This, however, can only be determined by circumstances. In districts with heavy clay soil, a satisfactory crop may be expected from burning the stubble of the former crop and sowing with or without cultivation; although a shallow cultivation after the stubble is burnt usually gives the best results.

In districts with light soils and especially with gravelly subsoil, cultivation before seeding is necessary.

After taking the second crop from breaking or back-setting, there can be no doubt that the land should be well fallowed to put it in proper condition for succeeding crops. If the fallow is well made and the process is repeated every third year, the settler will have started on the right road to future success.

CATTLE.

The herd on the farm at present consists of 15 short-horn females and 2 males, and 1 male each of the Guernsey and Ayrshire breeds. There are also 17 grades.

During the past year 4 short-horn males have been sold to farmers or ranchers in the Territories for breeding purposes.

FEEDING TEST.

Fifteen 3-year old steers were purchased from ranchers on November 20, 1901, and fed for 48 days on a uniform ration preparatory to a 16 weeks' comparative test of Brome hay, Western rye grass and cut straw as fodders.

From November 20 to December 10, each animal received per day—18 lbs. cut straw (wheat), 16 lbs. ensilage (corn), 4 lbs. meal (1 part wheat to 3 parts barley).

On December 10, the 15 head were divided into three lots of approximately equal weight and fed for 28 days on a uniform ration consisting of 14 lbs. cut straw, 16 lbs. ensilage, 5 lbs. meal, 12 lbs. mangels.

The test commenced on January 9, 1902, and the three lots were fed as follows:—4 weeks, January 9 to February 6. Each animal per day.

Lot No. 1.—Fourteen lbs. Western rye grass hay, 16 lbs. ensilage, 6 lbs. meal, $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. ground linseed.

Lot No. 2.—Fourteen lbs. cut straw, 16 lbs. ensilage, 6 lbs. meal, $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. ground linseed.

Lot No. 3.—Fourteen lbs. Brome-grass hay, 16 lbs. ensilage, 6 lbs. meal, $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. ground linseed.

Four weeks, February 7 to March 5, same ration, with the exception of meal, which was increased to 8 lbs. daily.

Four weeks, March 6 to April 2, same ration, with the exception of meal, which was increased to 10 lbs. daily.

Four weeks, April 3 to April 30, same ration, with the exception of meal, which was increased to 12 lbs. daily, and linseed increased to $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. daily.

From the completion of the test until the steers were sold on May 9 (nine days), the same ration was fed as during the last four weeks of the test.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

The steers were fed three times daily and watered twice, and were sold for export on May 9.

Following will be found a statement of the monthly and total weights and gains of each lot of steers during the period of test; weights and gains made by the total number during the whole period (November 20 to May 9); the total amount and estimated value of the feed consumed during the same time; and a summary of the financial results of the transaction.

MONTHLY and total weights and gains of each lot of steers during the period of test.

Lot.	Weight at start of test.	1st 4 weeks.		2nd 4 weeks.		3rd 4 weeks.		4th 4 weeks.		Total Gain.
		Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.	
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Lot No. 1.....	5,630	5,810	180	6,050	240	6,180	130	6,460	280	830
Lot No. 2.....	5,690	6,000	310	6,190	190	6,570	380	6,730	160	1,040
Lot No. 3.....	5,660	5,860	200	6,070	210	6,370	300	6,570	200	910

TOTAL weight and gain made during the whole period, November 20 to May 9.

Lot.	Weight when Bought, November 20.	Weight when Sold, May 9.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Lot No. 1	5,463	6,610	1,147
Lot No. 2	5,473	6,890	1,417
Lot No. 3	5,454	6,660	1,206
	16,390	*20,160	3,770

*Sold less 5 per cent shrinkage, leaving net weight 19,152 lbs.

TOTAL weight and estimated value of the feed consumed during the whole period, Nov. 20 to May 9. Preparatory feeding, each lot (5 steers), 48 days.

Cut Straw—

18 lbs. per day for 20 days = 1,800 lbs. }
14 " " 28 " = 1,960 " } = 3,760 lbs. at \$1 per ton \$ 1 88

Ensilage—

16 lbs. per day = 3,840 lbs. at \$2 per ton 3 84

Meal—

4 lbs. per day for 20 days = 400 lbs. }
5 " " 28 " = 700 " } = 1,100 lbs. at 3c..... 7 33

Mangels—

12 lbs. per day for 28 days = 1,480 lbs. at 10c. per bushel..... 2 46

Or for the three lots, \$46.53.

\$ 15 51



HARVESTING A FIELD OF BROME GRASS. CATTLE AT PASTURE.



DURING TEST (112 days).

Lot No. 1.

Western Rye Grass, 7,840 lbs. at \$5 per ton	\$	19 60
Ensilage, 8,960 lbs. at \$2 per ton		8 96
Meal, 5,040 lbs. at $\frac{2}{3}$ c. per lb.		33 60
Ground Linseed, 175 lbs. at 2c. per lb.		3 50
		<hr/>
		\$65 66

Lot No. 2.

Cut Straw, 7,840 lbs. at \$1 per ton	\$	3 92
Ensilage, 8,960 lbs. at \$2 per ton		8 96
Meal, 5,040 lbs. at $\frac{2}{3}$ c. per lb.		33 60
Ground Linseed, 175 lbs. at 2c. per lb.		3 50
		<hr/>
		\$49 98

Lot No. 3.

Brome Hay, 7,840 lbs. at \$5.00 per ton	\$	19 60
Ensilage, 8,960 lbs. at \$2.00 per ton		8 96
Meal, 5,040 lbs. at $\frac{2}{3}$ c. per lb.		33 60
Ground Linseed, 175 lbs. at 2c. per lb.		3 50
		<hr/>
		\$65 66

FROM END OF TEST TO DATE OF SALE (9 days).

Lot No. 1.

Western Rye Grass, 630 lbs. at \$5.00 per ton	\$	1 57
Ensilage, 720 lbs. at \$2.00 per ton		72
Meal, 540 lbs. at $\frac{2}{3}$ c. per lb.		3 60
Ground Linseed, 22 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. at 2c. per lb.		45
		<hr/>
		\$6 34

Lot No. 2.

Cut-straw, 630 lbs. at \$1.00 per ton	\$	32
Ensilage, 720 lbs. at \$2.00 per ton		72
Meal, 540 lbs. at $\frac{2}{3}$ c. per lb.		3 60
Ground Linseed, 22 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. at 2c. per lb.		45
		<hr/>
		\$5 09

Lot No. 3.

Brome Hay, 630 lbs. at \$5.00 per ton	\$	1 57
Ensilage, 720 lbs. at \$2.00 per ton		72
Meal, 540 lbs. at $\frac{2}{3}$ c. per lb.		3 60
Ground Linseed, 22 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. at 2c. per lb.		45
		<hr/>
		\$6 34

SUMMARY OF COST OF FEEDING.

Lot No. 1.

Preparatory.....	\$15 51
During test.....	65 66
From end of test till sold.....	6 34
	<hr/>
	\$87 51

Lot No. 2.

Preparatory.....	\$15 51
During test.....	49 98
From end of test till sold.....	5 09
	<hr/>
	\$70 58

Lot No. 3.

Preparatory.....	\$15 51
During test.....	65 66
From end of test till sold.....	6 34
	<hr/>
	\$87 51

SUMMARY of the Financial results of the Transaction.

Lot No.	Weight bought.	At	Amount paid.	Add Cost of Feed.	Total Cost.	Weight sold.	At	Amount received.	Gain on each Lot	Gain per Head.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
No. 1.....	5,463	3 11 ³ / ₄	170 35	87 51	257 86	6,280	5	314 00	56 14	11 23
No. 2.....	5,473	3 11 ³ / ₄	170 66	70 58	241 24	6,546	5	327 30	86 06	17 21
No. 3.....	5,454	3 11 ³ / ₄	170 06	87 51	257 57	6,326	5	316 30	58 73	11 74
	16,390	511 07	245 60	756 57	19,152	957 60	200 93	*

* Or an average net gain of \$13.39 per head.

SWINE.

Three breeds, Tamworth, Berkshire and Yorkshire White are kept on the farm. Since last report, 8 Berkshire boars and 6 sows; 3 Tamworth boars and 9 sows, and 1 Yorkshire White boar, have been sold to farmers for breeding purposes.

POULTRY.

There are at present, three breeds kept, viz., Light Brahmas, White Wyandottes and Black Minorcas. The Light Brahmas were received last spring from the Experimental Farm at Brandon, Man., and have done well.

HORSES.

No change has taken place in the working force since my last report, and the health of the horses has been uniformly good throughout the year.

EXHIBITIONS.

An exhibit of the products of the farm was made at the Central Assiniboia Agricultural Society's Exhibition at Indian Head; and samples of fruits and vegetables were taken to the Western Horticultural Society's Exhibition in Winnipeg.

A large collection of grain in straw and threshed grain was shipped to Japan for the use of the Exhibition Department of Canada, at the exhibition to be held at Osaka, Japan, in 1903. An exhibit for the St. Louis, Mo., World's Fair, in 1904, is now in preparation.

DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES.

During the months of March April and May, the following distribution of samples of the products of the farm was made to applicants throughout the territories of Alberta, Assiniboia and Saskatchewan.

GRAIN.

Wheat.....	265	bags, 3 lbs. each.
Oats.....	380	"
Barley.....	264	"
Peas.....	230	"
Sundries.....	111	"
Potatoes.....	725	"
Tree seeds. Maple..	756	" 1 lb. each.
Ash.....	520	"
Grass seed. Brome.	659	"
Western Rye.....	400	"
Small seeds.....	464	packages, containing 5,568 pkts. shrub-seed, flower-seed, root-seeds, garden-seeds and corn.
Fruit bushes.	163	packages.
Tree and shrub seedlings.....	452	"
Rhubarb.....	51	"

CORRESPONDENCE.

During the 12 months ending October 31, 1902, 5,210 letters were received, and 357 mailed from this office. In letters received, circular reports on grain and other samples, are not counted; and in letters mailed, circulars of instruction sent with grain and other samples are not included.

METEOROLOGICAL.

Month.	Temperature. Maximum.		Temperature. Minimum.		Snow- fall.	Rain-fall.		Hours of Sunshine.
	Date.	Degrees	Date.	Degrees	Inches.	No. of days.	Inches.	
1901.								
November.....	28	52	4	-4	81.2
December.....	29	43	14	-34	5	56—
1902.								
January.....	6	40	26	-35	106.8
February.....	20	39	4	-30	14	74.2
March.....	23	40	17	-24	9	2	.45	77.2
April.....	30	67	1	5	8	1	.07	174.9
May.....	28	83	9	27	3	7	3.57	191.
June.....	8	79	4	34	8	4.96	167.6
July.....	22	87	7	36	1	.67	248.
August.....	15	90	30	35	2	.59	258.
September.....	23	77	30	21	2	.42	143.3
October.....	4	76	20	10	138.4
					39	23	10.73	1,716.6

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

ANGUS MACKAY,

Superintendent.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA.

REPORT OF THOMAS A. SHARPE, SUPERINTENDENT.

AGASSIZ, B.C., November 30, 1902.

To Dr. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to present my report of the work done and progress made on the Experimental Farm at Agassiz, B.C., for the year 1902. The season has been a favourable one and crops of all sorts have been good and the weather suitable for securing them in good condition. The winter was mild, the lowest temperature registered being five above zero, and that only for one day. February and March were very mild and the snowfall for the whole winter very light. The spring was not so favourable, being cold and wet up to the last of May when it became warmer, when growth was more rapid and the haying season was favourable. Fine bright weather with occasional showers continued through August and September which was excellent for harvest as well as favourable to a rapid growth in corn and root crops. October was mild with an average rainfall and November, in addition to a heavy rainfall, gave us early in the month the heaviest snowfall at any one time for several years, which however soon melted away.

FRUIT CROP.

The fruit crop especially that of the larger fruits has been fairly good and the bright autumn ripened the fruit well.

HEDGÉS.

The sample hedges continue to make a fine growth and are one of the most attractive features on the farm.

FOREST AND TIMBER PLANTATIONS.

The forest trees planted in the shelter belt continue to make a vigorous growth. The photograph shown in this report shows a portion of the forest belt. The land having been seeded to clover some years since costs nothing to keep it clean, the trees being able to take care of themselves.

ORNAMENTAL TREES AND SHRUBS.

These continue to thrive vigorously and bloom profusely and are very much admired. Their vigorous growth and handsome appearance has induced many people to plant such shrubs and trees in many places.

NUT TREES.

The heart shaped walnut and Spanish and Japanese nut trees gave a full crop this year, and the English and American walnuts gave a few nuts each. The butternut, hardshell hickory and pecan trees have grown vigorously but have not yet fruited. The accompanying photograph shows some of the Japan walnut and hickory trees in this plantation.

DITCHING.

The deep cuts spoken of in my last report as having to be boxed are in some places completed and the land levelled up and ploughed. More of this work will be done as opportunity offers and the ditches extended.

CLEARING.

About 8 acres of the land cleared last year have been ploughed and if the winter is favourable about 15 acres more will be broken and got in order for a crop before next spring. An additional 10 acres have been cleared of brush and timber.

LIVE STOCK.

Since my last report, 4 shorthorn cows, a grade cow and a young bull have been sold, also 1 grade steer sold for beef. The stock now on hand are all pure bred except one grade steer. Seven pure bred cows, 1 bull, 3 heifer calves and 4 bull calves constitute the herd at present.

SHEEP.

Since my last report several young rams have been sold as breeders and a fine ram has been added to our flock to succeed the one imported last year. Eleven ewes, 2 stud rams and 2 ram lambs constitute the stock of Dorset horned sheep at present (see photograph).

PIGS.

The stock consists of 1 Berkshire boar, 1 sow and 5 small pigs, also one Tamworth sow and a large Yorkshire boar recently received from the Central Experimental Farm at Ottawa.

HORSES.

The horses having been in service since 1889, are now getting old, and as the area of land under cultivation has become rather large, much of it being in orchard and on that account requiring cultivation, it was thought necessary to increase the number somewhat, especially as one of the heaviest horses had become so helpless in his legs that he was unable to work and had to be destroyed. A very good team of young horses has been secured which promise to be very useful.

BEES.

The 4 swarms carried into winter last season have increased to 7 strong swarms this year. These are well supplied with honey to carry them through the winter.

FOWLS.

There are at present 5 breeds of poultry here. Light Brahmas, White Wyandottes, Silver-laced Wyandottes, Black Minorcas and Barred Plymouth Rocks. The Rocks are perhaps the most generally useful of those, which have been tested. The incubator was only run twice last season and out of 182 fertile eggs, 120 strong healthy chicks hatched. The Plymouth rocks are the hardiest and healthiest chicks and grow rapidly. The Minorcas are healthy, but as they feather very quickly they are a little tender until they are about half grown. The Rocks and Minorcas are very satisfactory layers.

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

Sixty-four varieties of oats were under trial in 1902. They were all sown on April 21, on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre. The soil was a sandy loam which has not yet been entirely cleared of the roots of the bracken or fern which is so troublesome as a weed in this country. The fern stalks were heavy and juicy and as they could not be separated from the straw in harvesting the weight of the straw which was thus very much increased, is not given, as it would be misleading. The yield of grain has been very satisfactory and there was no rust or smut on any of the plots.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Straw.	Length of Straw.		Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				In.	In.		Bush.	Lbs.	
Golden Giant	Aug. 13.	114	Stiff	44	8	Sided	77	22	35½
Waverley	" 14.	115	"	46	10	Branching	75	30	36
Hazlett's Seizure	" 13.	114	Medium	40	8	"	74	4	36½
Golden Tartarian	" 15.	116	Stiff	42	12	Sided	73	18	36
Tartar King	" 9.	110	"	46	10	Branching	72	32	35
Columbus	" 12.	113	"	43	9	"	72	32	35½
Black Beauty	" 8.	109	"	48	11	"	72	22	36½
Master	" 13.	114	"	40	10	"	72	12	35½
Holland	" 12.	113	"	50	10	"	72	2	34½
Danish Island	" 12.	113	"	46	10	"	71	16	34
Early Gothland	" 14.	115	"	38	10	"	71	6	35
Banner	" 13.	114	"	44	11	"	70	30	35½
Pioneer	" 9.	110	Medium	40	10	"	70	20	34
Early Maine	" 11.	112	Stiff	42	10	"	70		35
Lincoln	" 11.	112	"	50	10	"	69	14	35
Oxford	" 9.	110	Medium	40	10	"	69	14	36
Cream Egyptian	" 14.	115	Stiff	44	10	"	68	28	34
Golden Beauty	" 14.	115	Medium	50	10	"	67	6	34
White Schonen	" 11.	112	Stiff	42	9	"	66	20	34½
Kendal	" 11.	112	Medium	40	9	Sided	66	10	34
California Prolific Black	" 12.	113	"	48	9	"	66	10	35
Improved Ligowo	" 12.	113	Stiff	48	10	Branching	65	30	35
Goldfinder	" 14.	115	"	42	10	Sided	65	10	34½
Bonanza	" 12.	113	"	44	10	Branching	65		34
Rosedale	" 13.	114	Medium	42	8	Sided	65		34½
New Zealand	" 22.	123	"	42	9	"	64	24	34
Joanette	" 19.	120	"	40	10	Branching	64	24	34½
Siberian	" 15.	116	"	42	10	"	64	4	35
Black Tartarian	" 11.	112	"	48	10	Sided	63	28	34
Buckbee's Illinois	" 13.	114	Stiff	42	10	Branching	63	18	34½
Brandon	" 12.	113	"	38	9	Sided	62	32	34
American Beauty	" 15.	116	"	44	11	Branching	62	22	34
Mennonite	" 9.	110	Medium	42	10	"	62	12	34
Early Archangel	" 12.	113	"	42	10	"	62	12	34
Early Blossom	" 12.	113	Stiff	42	10	Sided	62	12	35
Twentieth Century	" 11.	112	Medium	44	11	Branching	61	26	34½
Abyssinia	" 12.	113	Stiff	43	10	"	61	26	35
White Giant	" 12.	113	"	44	8	Sided	61	16	34
Holstein Prolific	" 11.	112	"	40	9	Branching	61	16	35
Milford	" 12.	113	Medium	34	8	Sided	61	6	34
Newmarket	" 15.	116	"	38	8	Branching	60	30	34
Scotch Potato	" 14.	115	Stiff	40	10	"	60	30	35
Abundance	" 14.	115	Medium	42	9	"	60	10	34½
Early Golden Prolific	" 14.	115	"	38	9	"	60	10	33
Flying Scotchman	" 9.	110	Stiff	42	10	"	59	14	34½
Thousand Dollar	" 12.	113	"	44	10	"	59	14	34
Tromwell	" 14.	115	"	42	9	"	59	4	34
Miller	" 11.	112	Weak	38	8	"	59	4	33
Black Mesdag	" 8.	109	Medium	48	10	"	58	28	34
Alerbruck	" 14.	115	Stiff	42	11	Sided	58	18	34
White Russian	" 12.	113	"	43	10	Branching	58	18	34½
King	" 12.	113	Medium	40	10	"	57	32	34½
Olive	" 12.	113	Stiff	42	8	Sided	57	22	34
Bavarian	" 14.	115	"	42	10	Branching	56	16	34
Longhoughton	" 14.	115	"	40	10	"	56	16	34
Sensation	" 11.	112	"	42	9	"	55	10	34
Salines	" 9.	110	Medium	44	8	"	55	10	34
American Triumph	" 14.	115	Stiff	48	9	"	55		34½
Wallis	" 13.	114	Medium	46	8	"	54	14	34
Improved American	" 12.	113	Stiff	44	9	"	54	14	34
Russell	" 11.	112	"	42	10	"	52	2	34
Pense	" 11.	112	"	48	8	Sided	50	6	34½
Wide Awake	" 12.	113	Weak	40	9	Branching	48	8	33
Salzer's Big Four	" 13.	114	Medium	43	9	"	47	12	32

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

Fifty-one varieties of barley were under trial in 1902. Twenty-one of these were two-rowed sorts and thirty six-rowed. They were all sown at the rate of two bushels per acre on April 19 on plots of one-fortieth acre each. The land was adjoining that on which the oats were sown and was of similar character. This crop was also troubled with a considerable quantity of fern growth, hence the yield of straw is not given. The Barleys were all free from rust and smut.

BARLEY, TWO-ROWED.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
						In.	Bush. Lbs.	
Dunham.....	Aug. 13..	116	42	Stiff & bright.	3	52	44	49
Invincible.....	" 8..	111	40	"	2½	48	26	49½
Kinver Chevalier.....	" 14..	117	42	Weak.....	3½	48	16	48½
Canadian Thorpe.....	" 9..	112	46	Stiff & bright.	2½	46	32	49
Prize Prolific.....	" 9..	112	38	Medium.....	3½	43	16	48
Harvey.....	" 8..	111	42	Stiff & bright.	4	40	30	48½
Newton.....	" 9..	112	40	"	3	40	20	48½
Sidney.....	" 13..	116	41	Medium.....	3½	39	8	49
Gordon.....	" 8..	111	42	Stiff & bright.	2½	38	6	48½
Standwell.....	" 12..	115	40	Medium.....	3	37	44	49½
Danish Chevalier.....	" 13..	116	38	Weak.....	3	37	24	48
Nepean.....	" 12..	115	42	Stiff & bright.	3½	36	32	48½
Clifford.....	" 8..	111	44	"	3½	36	2	48½
Leslie.....	" 13..	116	40	"	2½	35	20	48
Jarvis.....	" 8..	111	44	"	3½	33	36	48½
French Chevalier.....	" 15..	117	28	Weak.....	3½	33	16	48
Beaver.....	" 11..	114	36	"	3½	33	6	49
Victor.....	" 9..	112	41	Medium.....	3	31	4	48
Fulton.....	" 9..	112	40	"	2½	30	..	48
Bolton.....	" 6..	109	41	"	2½	29	28	48
Logan.....	" 9..	112	40	Weak.....	3½	25	10	47½

BARLEY, SIX-ROWED.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Summit.....	Aug. 6..	109	42	Medium.....	3	55	..	49½
Rennie's Improved.....	" 4..	107	48	Stiff & bright.	3	52	24	49½
Oderbruch.....	" 4..	107	44	"	2½	50	40	49
Surprise.....	" 6..	109	44	Medium.....	3	50	40	49
Yale.....	" 5..	108	36	Stiff & bright.	2	40	10	49
Nugent.....	" 8..	111	44	"	3	40	..	48½
Pioneer.....	" 4..	107	33	Weak.....	2	39	38	48
Royal.....	" 4..	107	42	Stiff & bright.	2½	39	28	49
Vanguard.....	" 6..	109	38	"	2	39	18	48
Claude.....	" 6..	109	36	Medium.....	2	39	8	48½
Hulless White.....	" 9..	112	36	Weak.....	2	39	8	60
Mansfield.....	" 6..	109	40	Stiff & bright.	2	38	46	50
Mensury.....	" 6..	109	42	"	2½	38	36	49½
Odessa.....	" 4..	107	40	"	2½	38	16	49
Champion.....	" 2..	105	48	Medium.....	4	38	6	49½
Garfield.....	" 6..	109	40	"	3	37	44	48
Brome.....	" 8..	111	42	Stiff & bright.	3½	37	34	48½
Albert.....	" 4..	107	40	Medium.....	2	37	24	48
Stella.....	" 9..	112	36	Weak.....	2	37	4	48
Hulless Black.....	" 6..	109	34	Medium.....	1½	36	32	58
Trooper.....	" 9..	112	34	Weak.....	2½	36	22	48½
Phoenix.....	" 4..	107	42	Medium.....	2½	35	40	49½
Baxter.....	" 4..	107	40	"	2	35	30	49
Petschora.....	" 4..	107	38	Stiff & bright.	3	35	10	48½
Excelsior.....	" 2..	105	46	Medium.....	3½	35	..	49
Success.....	" 2..	105	42	"	3½	34	18	48
Argyle.....	" 6..	109	40	Stiff & bright.	3	34	8	48
Blue Longhead.....	" 8..	111	30	Weak.....	2½	32	44	47½
Empire.....	" 6..	109	38	Stiff & bright.	3	32	34	48½
Common.....	" 4..	107	33	Medium.....	2	30	20	48

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

Seventy-one varieties of spring wheat were under trial all sown on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The land devoted to these plots was adjoining that on which the oats and barley were grown and was of similar character. The straw at harvest time was mixed in this case also with a considerable quantity of fern and on this account the weight of straw has been omitted. These plots were all sown on April 18, using grain in the proportion of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre. There was no rust or smut on any of the varieties.

SPRING WHEAT.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Character of Straw.	Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				Inches.	Inches.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Rio Grande.....	Aug. 19	123	Stiff & bright	46	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	59 15	60
Colorado.....	" 15	119	" " "	42	4	" " "	57 30	60
Ladoga.....	" 11	115	" " "	44	4	" " "	57	60
Minnesota, No. 181.....	" 19	123	" " "	46	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	56 30	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Progress.....	" 15	119	Medium....	44	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	52	61
Campbell's White Chaff.....	" 16	120	Stiff & bright	40	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	51	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Minnesota, No. 149.....	" 20	124	" " "	40	3	" " "	50 30	61
Dawn.....	" 11	115	" " "	46	3	" " "	50	61
Captor.....	" 11	115	" " "	44	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	49 30	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Early Riga.....	" 9	113	Weak.....	40	3	" " "	49 15	60
Clyde.....	" 18	122	Medium....	40	3	" " "	49	60
Red Swedish.....	" 20	124	Stiff & bright	46	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	48 45	61
Australian, No. 27.....	" 14	118	Medium....	44	3	" " "	48 30	61
Red Fife.....	" 19	123	Stiff & bright	40	3	Beardless..	48 15	61
Australian, No. 10.....	" 15	119	" " "	42	3	" " "	48	60
Admiral.....	" 19	123	" " "	48	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	47 30	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Plumper.....	" 19	123	Medium....	42	3	" " "	47 15	60
Goose.....	" 16	120	Stiff & bright	42	3	Bearded..	47	60
Preston.....	" 11	115	" " "	44	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	46 45	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Beaudry.....	" 16	120	" " "	42	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	46 15	61
Blenheim.....	" 16	120	" " "	46	3	" " "	46	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Essex.....	" 20	124	Medium....	46	4	Beardless..	45 45	60
Monarch.....	" 15	119	Stiff & bright	42	3	" " "	45 30	60
Laurel.....	" 16	120	Medium....	46	3	" " "	45 30	61
White Fife.....	" 18	122	Weak.....	42	3	" " "	45 15	60
Stanley.....	" 12	116	Stiff & bright	46	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	45	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Advance.....	" 11	115	" " "	46	3	Bearded..	44 45	60
Benton.....	" 20	124	Weak.....	44	4	Beardless..	44 30	60
Crown.....	" 12	116	Stiff & bright	46	3	Bearded..	44 15	60
White Russian.....	" 19	123	Medium....	42	3	Beardless..	44	60
Harrison Bearded.....	" 16	120	Stiff & bright	42	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	43 45	60
Chester.....	" 18	122	Medium....	42	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	43 30	60
Blair.....	" 16	120	" " "	38	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	43	50
Minnesota, No. 163.....	" 18	122	Weak.....	36	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	42 30	60
Rideau.....	" 18	122	" " "	42	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	41 45	60
Roumanian.....	" 20	124	Stiff & bright	40	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	41 30	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Australian, No. 19.....	" 18	122	" " "	48	3	Beardless..	41 15	61
Fraser.....	" 11	115	Medium....	40	3	Bearded..	41 15	60
Dufferin.....	" 11	115	Stiff & bright	40	3	" " "	41	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Angus.....	" 19	123	Weak.....	44	4	Beardless..	40 45	60
Australian, No. 23.....	" 18	122	Stiff & bright	50	5	" " "	40 30	60
Hastings.....	" 11	115	Weak.....	42	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	40 15	60
Australian, No. 25.....	" 18	122	" " "	44	4	Bearded..	40	60
Hungarian.....	" 18	122	Stiff & bright	46	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	40	61
Percy.....	" 16	120	" " "	44	3	Beardless..	39 45	60
White Connell.....	" 15	119	Weak.....	38	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" " "	39 30	60
Bishop.....	" 11	115	" " "	40	3	" " "	39 15	60

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Straw.	Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				In.	In.		Bush.	Lbs.	
Vernon.....	Aug. 12	116	Medium....	42	3½	Bearded..	39		60
Byron.....	" 12	116	" ..	36	3	" ..	38	45	60
Minnesota, No. 169.....	" 18	122	Stiff & bright	48	3½	Beardless..	38	30	60½
Mason.....	" 20	124	Weak ..	40	2½	" ..	38	15	60
Pringle's Champlain.....	" 20	124	Stiff & bright	40	3	Bearded..	38		60
Beauty.....	" 19	123	" ..	44	3½	Beardless..	37	45	60
Red Fern.....	" 18	122	" ..	40	3½	Bearded..	37	45	60
Crawford.....	" 11	115	Weak ..	40	2½	" ..	37	30	60
Robin's Rust Proof.....	" 20	124	Medium....	40	3½	Beardless..	37	15	60
Speltz.....	" 20	124	Weak ..	40	2	Bearded..	37		59
Australian, No. 9.....	" 19	123	Stiff & bright	41	3	Beardless..	37		60
Harold.....	" 9	113	Medium....	40	3½	Bearded..	36	45	60
Dion's.....	" 16	120	Stiff & bright	42	3½	" ..	36	30	60
Countess.....	" 18	122	Weak ..	42	2½	Beardless..	36	15	60½
Cartier.....	" 15	119	Medium....	40	3	Bearded..	36		61
Cassel.....	" 14	118	" ..	48	3½	Beardless..	35	45	60
Huron.....	" 12	116	Stiff & bright	40	3	Bearded..	35	30	60
Alpha.....	" 15	119	Medium....	44	3½	Beardless..	35	15	60½
Wellman's Wife.....	" 15	119	Stiff & bright	44	3	" ..	35		61
Ebert.....	" 11	115	Weak ..	38	3	" ..	34	30	60
Australian, No. 13.....	" 18	122	Medium....	43	2½	" ..	33		60
Weldon.....	" 15	119	" ..	42	3	" ..	32		60
Norval.....	" 11	115	Stiff & bright	42	3	Bearded..	31		60
Japanese.....	" 11	115	Medium....	36	2½	" ..	30		60

PEASE.

Fifty-seven varieties of field pease were sown April 22 in plots of one-fortieth of an acre. They were sown in one of the apple orchards and a strip of six feet on each side of the rows of trees was left, yet the shade cast by the trees retarded ripening and made curing the crop very difficult. The vines made a vigorous growth and blossomed profusely, but the late bloom did not fill well. The soil was a light loam and had a crop of clover turned under in spring and was thoroughly disked and harrowed before the seed was sown. There was no mildew on the vines, and as we had no insect pests, the sample of grain is a good one.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.	Weight of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				Inches.	Lbs.	Inches.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Prince.....	Aug. 15	115	Strong.....	40	6,680	2½	Large....	45 20	62½
Large White Mar-row fat.....	" 15	115	"	48	6,480	2½	"	43 ..	62
White Wonder.....	" 15	115	"	60	6,400	2½	"	41 40	62½
Agnes.....	" 11	111	Medium.....	60	5,280	3	"	42 30	61
Golden Vine.....	" 15	115	Strong.....	60	6,400	2½	Small....	41 20	62
Mummy.....	" 20	120	"	45	5,320	2½	Medium....	40 30	61½
Mackay.....	" 19	119	"	56	5,600	2½	"	40 10	61
Trilby.....	" 14	114	"	60	5,200	2½	"	39 30	62
Nelson.....	" 11	111	Medium.....	60	5,280	3	"	39 10	61
Centennial.....	" 18	118	Strong.....	50	5,000	2	"	38 50	60½
Prince Albert.....	" 16	116	Medium.....	50	5,600	2½	Small....	38 40	62½
Harrison's Glory.....	" 13	113	Strong.....	70	4,800	3½	Large....	38 30	61½
Pearl.....	" 18	118	"	78	4,640	2½	"	38 20	60½
Pride.....	" 14	114	"	56	6,200	2	"	38 10	61½
King.....	" 18	118	"	54	5,600	2	"	38 ..	61
Arthur.....	" 13	113	"	48	4,720	2	"	37 50	61
Fenton.....	" 14	114	Medium.....	46	5,200	2½	"	37 40	60
Bedford.....	" 26	126	"	58	5,440	2	Medium....	37 30	61
Pictou.....	" 16	116	Strong.....	52	6,080	2	"	37 20	61½
Cooper.....	" 14	114	Medium.....	52	5,000	3	Large....	37 10	62
Prussian Blue.....	" 15	115	"	50	4,800	2½	Medium....	36 30	62½
Paragon.....	" 19	119	Strong.....	60	4,080	2½	"	36 ..	61
Bruce.....	" 20	120	"	50	4,640	2½	Large....	35 40	60
Kent.....	" 18	118	"	52	4,480	2½	"	35 30	60
Alma.....	" 14	114	"	56	5,740	3	"	35 20	60½
Multiplier.....	" 15	115	"	54	5,680	2	Small....	35 20	61½
Canadian Beauty.....	" 19	119	"	56	5,250	2½	Large....	35 10	62
Bright.....	" 14	114	"	48	5,120	2½	"	35 ..	61
Black-eyed Marrow-fat.....	" 14	114	"	54	5,200	3	"	34 40	60
Creper.....	" 12	112	"	63	4,960	3	Small....	34 30	61
Vincent.....	" 16	116	"	60	4,320	2½	Large....	33 40	60
Victoria.....	" 21	121	Medium.....	52	5,040	3	Medium....	33 20	60½
Early Britain.....	" 13	113	Strong.....	53	4,400	3	Large....	33 10	61
Lanark.....	" 14	114	"	44	5,520	2½	"	33 ..	60
Macoun.....	" 21	121	Medium.....	52	5,520	2	"	32 50	60½
Gregory.....	" 14	114	Strong.....	54	4,800	2½	Medium....	32 40	61
Fergus.....	" 18	118	"	48	4,560	2½	"	32 30	60
New Potter.....	" 14	114	"	72	4,800	3	Large....	32 ..	60
Crown.....	" 19	119	"	52	4,480	2½	Small....	31 40	60½
Elephant Blue.....	" 15	115	"	54	5,120	3	Large....	31 ..	61
Oldfellow.....	" 18	118	Medium.....	44	4,600	2½	Medium....	30 50	61½
Perth.....	" 14	114	Strong.....	48	4,640	2½	"	30 40	60
Archer.....	" 21	121	"	50	4,200	2½	Medium....	30 ..	61
Duke.....	" 25	125	"	60	4,720	2½	Large....	29 40	60
English Gray.....	" 14	114	"	56	5,740	3	"	29 30	60
Herald.....	" 25	125	"	52	5,400	2½	"	29 20	60
Chancellor.....	" 18	118	"	54	5,080	3	Medium....	29 10	61
Elliot.....	" 18	118	"	62	4,000	2½	"	29 ..	60
Chelsea.....	" 26	126	"	46	4,800	3	"	28 40	60½
Daniel O'Rourke.....	" 11	111	"	56	4,080	2	Small....	28 ..	62
Dover.....	" 14	114	"	72	5,200	3	Large....	27 40	60
German White.....	" 11	111	"	50	4,080	2½	Medium....	27 20	60
Wisconsin Blue.....	" 21	121	"	61	4,320	2½	Small....	27 ..	62½
Elder.....	" 19	119	Medium.....	52	4,240	2½	Medium....	24 40	60
French Canner.....	" 16	116	Strong.....	60	4,120	2½	"	24 20	60
Carleton.....	" 22	122	"	72	4,240	2½	"	22 10	60
Grass Pea.....	Sept. 5	136	Poor.....	30	4,000	1½	Small....	19 30	60

TEST OF FERTILIZERS ON OATS.

Six plots of one-fortieth of an acre each, were included in this trial. The soil was the same as for the other oat plots.

Plot 1.—Received 100 lbs. nitrate of soda, 50 lbs. per acre, sown broadcast when the plants were well above ground, and the other 50 lbs. when the plants were about 6 inches high.

Plot 2.—Two hundred lbs. nitrate of soda, one-half sown as soon as the plants were well up, and the other half when they were about 6 inches high.

Plot 3.—Check plot to which no fertilizers were applied.

Plot 4.—Four hundred lbs. superphosphate of lime sown broadcast and lightly harrowed just before the grain was sown.

Plot 5.—Four hundred lbs. muriate of potash sown broadcast and harrowed before the seed was sown.

Plot 6.—Two hundred lbs. superphosphate of lime, 100 lbs. muriate of potash, and 100 lbs. of nitrate of soda. One-half of this mixture was sown before the seed was put in, and the other half when the plants were about 2 inches high.

The straw on the check plot was weak and soft, also that where nitrate of soda alone was used, and both were badly lodged. There was no rust or smut on any of these plots.

OATS—FERTILIZER TEST.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
Banner, Plot 1, 100 lbs. nitrate of soda	May 1..	Aug. 18	109	5,120	74	4	35
" " 2 200 lbs. "	1..	18	109	5,560	79	14	35
" " 3, check plot, no fertilizer.	1..	18	109	4,160	71	16	35
" " 4, 400 lbs. superphosphate.	1..	18	109	5,680	90	..	35
" " 5, 400 lbs. muriate potash.	1..	18	109	5,600	86	1	35½
" " 6, 100 lbs. muriate potash							
200 lbs. superphosphate, 100 lbs. nitrate soda.	May 1..	Aug. 18	109	5,920	96	16	35½

CORN.

Thirty-six varieties of corn were tested this year. All the test plots were planted May 23, and cut for ensilage on October 10. Most of the land was comparatively new, having only produced two crops since the timber was taken off, and the subsoil being very gravelly, it was very uneven in character on account of the excavations where large fir stumps had been taken out. This land was sown with clover in 1900, and with pease in 1901, May, June and the early part of July was very wet and cold, and the corn was very late, having made but little growth up to July, but when bright, warm weather set in, the growth was rapid, but it was too late for any but the earliest varieties to produce well grown ears. All varieties were tested both in hills and drills. The drills were 36 inches apart and thinned to average six inches apart in the drill. The hills were 36 inches apart each way and thinned to three strong plants in each hill. The yield was calculated in each case from the weight of two rows each 66 feet long.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CORN.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	When Tasselled.	In Silk.	Early Milk.	Late Milk.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per acre grown in rows.		Weight per acre grown in hills.	
						Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
Thoroughbred White Flint.	Sept. 6..	Oct. 4	In silk.....	30	1,600	25	1,040
Pride of the North.....	" 1..	Sept. 10	Oct. 6	Early milk..	25	1,480	22	440
Extra Early Huron.....	Aug. 12..	Aug. 28	Sept. 20	Roasting ..	24	1,500	21	1,780
Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	Sept. 1..	Sept. 20	Ears forming	22	1,760	25	600
Salzer's All Gold.....	" 6..	Oct. 6	In silk.....	22	1,320	26	360
Champion White Pearl.....	" 3..	Sept. 20	Oct. 10	Early milk..	22	880	22	220
Superior Fodder.....	" 1..	" 20	Ears formed.	22	660	22	...
Red Cob Ensilage.....	Aug. 20..	" 4	Sept. 20	Oct. 10	Late milk..	22	440	21	240
Early Mastodon.....	Sept. 6..	Oct. 1	In silk.....	22	220	25	1,920
Early Yellow Long Eared..	Aug. 8..	Aug. 20	Sept. 25	Oct. 2	Glazed	22	...	16	1,520
Early Butler.....	" 24..	Sept. 10	Oct. 4	Early milk..	21	680	17	980
King of the Earliest.....	Sept. 1..	" 28	Ears formed.	21	460	20	700
Mammoth Cuban.....	Aug. 26..	" 10	Oct. 3	Early milk..	20	1,690	19	1,820
Wisconsin Earliest Ripe....	" 19..	Aug. 28	Sept. 20	Late milk..	19	1,160	21	1,560
Mammoth 8-rowed Flint...	Sept. 1..	Sept. 20	Early milk..	19	830	19	60
Country Gentleman.....	" 1..	" 28	Ears formed.	18	1,950	16	120
King Philip.....	Aug. 14..	Aug. 28	Sept. 20	Oct. 4	Late milk..	18	1,840	17	1,640
Cloud's Early Yellow.....	" 26..	Sept. 12	Oct. 10	Early milk..	18	1,400	17	1,200
Pearce's Prolific.....	" 14..	Aug. 24	Sept. 20	Oct. 10	Late milk..	18	1,180	16	1,880
Evergreen Sugar.....	Sept. 1..	Sept. 20	Ears formed.	18	960	16	1,990
Compton's Early.....	Aug. 18..	Aug. 23	Sept. 18	Roasting ear	18	520	16	1,220
Kendall's Early Giant.....	" 18..	" 20	" 6	Oct. 10	Late milk..	17	760	18	960
Early Golden Surprise.....	Sept. 1..	Sept. 20	Ears formed.	17	650	20	1,860
North Dakota White.....	Aug. 24..	" 8	Sept. 30	Early milk..	17	320	17	1,200
Selected Learning.....	" 28	" 8	" 28	Oct. 10	Late milk..	17	100	16	1,880
Angel of Midnight.....	" 28..	" 12	Oct. 4	Early milk..	16	1,660	17	760
Sanford.....	" 20..	Aug. 28	Sept. 15	Oct. 4	Dough.....	16	780	14	1,700
Canada White Flint.....	" 12..	" 23	" 10	" 1	Nearly glzd.	16	450	18	300
White Cap Yellow Dent....	" 22..	" 30	" 15	Sept. 30	Late milk..	16	230	16	560
Black Mexican.....	" 20..	Sept. 8	" 26	Roasting ..	16	120	15	1,460
Salzer's Earliest Ripe.....	" 7..	Aug. 18	Aug. 26	Sept. 20	Glazed.....	15	1,900	15	1,240
North Dakota Yellow.....	" 24..	Sept. 10	Oct. 6	Early milk..	15	140	17	100
Longfellow.....	" 28	" 13	Sept. 20	Late milk..	14	1,040	14	1,480
Eureka.....	" 24..	" 18	" 23	Early milk..	13	1,500	15	800
Yellow Six Weeks.....	" 8..	Aug. 18	" 21	Sept. 24	Glazed.....	11	1,760	13	1,280
Mitchell's Extra Early.....	" 11..	" 20	" 4	" 20	"	11	1,650	11	110
Very Early August.....	Only a few	plants	grew from	10-12	in. high.				

CORN—AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

Three varieties were used in this test again this year.

The plants in the rows were thinned to six inches apart and to three strong plants in the hills. The conditions of soil and the treatment were the same in every case. The corn in the wide rows was in each instance more matured, the ears larger and better filled and in every way better for ensilage. In each test four rows were planted and the two inside rows were weighed to obtain the yield. The corn was planted May 23 and cut October 10.

2-3 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

CORN.—AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

Name of Variety.	Distance in Rows.	In Hills.	Condition when cut.	Weight per Acre grown in rows.		Weight per Acre grown in hills.	
	Inches.	Inches.		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
Champion White Pearl.....	21	21	Early milk..	23	571	25	537
" "	28	28	" " ..	23	356	19	520
" "	35	35	" " ..	22	1,257	17	1,456
" "	42	42	Late milk..	19	1,600	17	1,074
Selected Leaming	21	21	Early milk..	23	41	22	1,120
" "	28	28	" " ..	25	1,627	25	165
" "	35	35	" " ..	18	1,111	18	432
" "	42	42	Late milk..	18	1,800	15	1,374
Longfellow.....	21	21	Early milk..	19	469	18	205
" "	28	28	" " ..	18	139	18	1,429
" "	35	35	" " ..	14	965	15	1,163
" "	42	42	Late milk..	11	73	12	948

TEST OF SUPERPHOSPHATE OF LIME ON INDIAN CORN.

These test plots were on land that had been in clover in 1900 and in pease in 1901, and the superphosphate was applied broadcast alongside of the hills when the corn was three or four inches high.

CORN.—WITH FERTILIZER.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Cut.	Weight per Acre grown in rows.	
			Tons.	Lbs.
Longfellow—100 lbs. superphosphate per acre.....	May 24.....	Oct. 10.....	15	1,460
" 150 " "	May 24.....	Oct. 10.....	16	450
" 2 0 " "	May 24.....	Oct. 10.....	17	210
" No fertilizer	May 24.....	Oct. 10.....	14	920

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

Twenty-nine varieties of turnips have been under trial during the past season. Two sowings of each sort were made; the first on May 22, the second on June 5, in rows two feet apart, and all were pulled on October 24.

The soil was sandy loam on which grain had been grown the previous year. After the grain was harvested the land was disc-harrowed, and later a dressing of barn-yard manure was applied and thoroughly worked into the soil. In the spring it was ploughed and harrowed and brought into a good condition of tilth before sowing.

The yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 66 feet long.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 15

TURNIPS.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
	1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Carter's Elephant.....	31	40	1,034	..	32	1,670	1,094	30
Jumbo.....	28	265	937	45	28	1,420	957	..
Hall's Westbury.....	28	100	935	..	30	720	1,012	..
Skirvings.....	27	440	924	..	26	140	869	..
Prize Winner.....	27	120	902	..	28	430	940	30
Marquis of Lorne.....	26	1,955	899	15	26	470	874	30
Drummond Purple Top.....	26	1,130	885	30	28	1,090	951	30
Kangaroo.....	26	800	880	..	25	160	836	..
Champion Purple Top.....	26	470	874	30	30	70	1,001	10
Emperor Swede.....	26	140	869	..	28	1,420	957	..
Perfection Swede.....	25	1,448	858	..	24	840	814	..
Prize Purple Top.....	25	820	847	..	26	140	869	..
Good Luck.....	25	160	836	..	28	1,420	957	..
Selected Purple Top.....	25	160	836	..	27	1,440	924	..
New Century.....	24	1,500	825	..	30	70	1,001	10
New Arctic.....	24	840	814	..	26	140	809	..
Monarch.....	24	675	811	15	20	920	882	..
Magnum Bonum.....	24	675	811	15	27	120	302	..
Giant King.....	23	1,850	797	30	23	1,530	792	10
Imperial Swede.....	23	1,520	792	..	29	740	979	..
Halewood's Bronze Top.....	23	1,355	789	15	27	1,440	924	..
Elephant's Master.....	23	860	781	..	26	1,460	891	..
Shamrock Purple Top.....	22	880	748	..	30	60	1,001	..
Sutton's Champion.....	21	240	704	..	28	1,090	951	30
East Lothian.....	20	920	682	..	27	780	913	..
Bangholm Selected.....	20	590	676	30	26	1,865	897	45
West Norfolk Red Top.....	20	425	673	45	21	240	704	..
Webb's New Renown.....	19	160	660	..	16	1,330	555	30
Selected Champion.....	16	1,660	561	..	19	1,600	660	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Twenty-seven varieties of mangels were tested alongside, sown in drills thirty inches apart. The soil was a clay loam, a heavy clover sod had been ploughed under in the spring of 1901 and a crop of mixed grain for feed grown. In the winter of 1901-1902 it was dressed with farm-yard manure, which was well mixed with the soil and turned under in April and thoroughly harrowed every few days until May 6, when the first sowing was made. Four rows of each variety were sown and on May 20 a similar plot alongside was sown with the same variety in each case. All these test plots were pulled October 22, and the yield per acre computed from the produce of 66 feet of the two centre rows in each plot.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Mammoth Long Red.....	51	1,620	1,727	..	37	580	1,243	..
Warden Orange Globe.....	48	360	1,606	..	34	1,630	1,160	30
Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	47	1,700	1,595	..	38	890	1,231	30
Half Long Sugar White.....	46	400	1,510	..	36	1,920	1,232	..
Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	45	1,080	1,518	..	36	1,590	1,226	30
Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	45	750	1,512	30	37	1,900	1,265	..
Ward's Large Oval Shaped.....	43	1,285	1,454	45	38	230	1,270	30
Champion Yellow Globe.....	42	1,800	1,430	..	32	1,505	1,091	45
Yellow Intermediate.....	42	1,140	1,419	..	38	1,220	1,287	..
Canadian Giant.....	42	975	1,416	15	34	970	1,149	30
Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	41	1,820	1,397	..	36	270	1,204	30
Mammoth Oval Shaped.....	41	830	1,380	30	27	285	904	85
Giant Yellow Globe.....	41	500	1,375	..	32	680	1,078	..
Giant Sugar Mangel.....	40	685	1,344	45	28	100	935	..
Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	40	25	1,333	45	42	1,800	1,430	..
Norbiton Giant.....	38	1,220	1,288	..	31	40	1,034	..
Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	38	1,055	1,284	15	33	330	1,105	30
Selected Yellow Globe.....	38	890	1,281	30	28	430	940	30
Gate Post.....	37	1,570	1,259	30	36	1,920	1,232	..
Yellow Fleshed Tankard.....	36	1,920	1,232	..	26	800	880	..
Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....	36	1,590	1,226	30	26	800	880	..
Golden Fleshed Tankard.....	36	1,260	1,221	..	32	1,670	1,094	30
Giant Yellow Half Long.....	36	600	1,210	..	26	1,460	891	..
Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	32	1,670	1,094	30	33	330	1,155	..
Gate Post Yellow.....	32	1,340	1,089	..	31	1,690	1,061	30
Triumph Yellow Globe.....	31	700	1,045	..	26	865	881	5
Leviathan Long Red.....	31	40	1,034	..	30	1,050	1,017	30

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

Twenty varieties of carrots were tested alongside of the turnips and mangels. The land was similar and its preparation and treatment were the same. Two sowings of each sort were made, four rows each, the first on May 6, the second on May 20, and both were pulled October 28. The yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of roots produced from two centre rows each 66 feet long. All were pulled October 22.





PLANTATION OF NUT TREES. DORSET HORNED SHEEP, EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Giant White Vosges.	46	400	1,540	..	38	1,640	1,294	..
White Belgian.	42	1,800	1,430	..	39	1,200	1,320	..
Mammoth White Intermediate.	40	520	1,342	..	31	370	1,039	30
White Vosges.	40	400	1,340	..	31	310	1,038	30
Improved Short White.	40	190	1,336	30	39	540	1,309	..
Green Top White Orthe.	39	540	1,309	..	34	1,795	1,163	15
Green Top White Orthe.	38	1,220	1,287	..	32	680	1,078	..
Green Top White Orthe.	38	1,055	1,284	15	34	970	1,149	30
Green Top White Orthe.	35	660	1,111	..	25	820	847	..
Green Top White Orthe.	31	700	1,045	..	30	1,710	1,028	30
Green Top White Orthe.	29	1,520	992	..	29	1,730	995	30
Green Top White Orthe.	27	285	904	45	22	395	739	45
Green Top White Orthe.	25	160	831	20	21	1,890	731	30
Green Top White Orthe.	23	1,190	786	30	21	1,890	731	30
Green Top White Orthe.	22	1,870	764	30	21	1,560	726	..
Green Top White Orthe.	20	920	682	..	17	1,970	599	30
Green Top White Orthe.	20	590	676	30	18	1,620	627	..
Green Top White Orthe.	18	960	616	..	16	1,660	561	..
Green Top White Orthe.	17	320	572	..	16	505	641	45
Green Top White Orthe.	16	1,990	566	30	16	670	544	30

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of sugar beets were tested on plots adjoining those of the turnips and mangels. The soil was similar and its treatment and preparation were the same. Two sowings of each sort were made, the first on May 7, the second on May 21, and both were pulled on October 22. Four rows of each sort were sown and the yield has been calculated from the weight of roots gathered from the two centre rows, each 66 feet long.

SUGAR BEETS.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Danish Improved.	38	230	1,270	30	26	1,460	891	..
Loyal Giant.	37	580	1,243	..	26	140	869	..
Danish Red Top.	29	1,730	995	30	25	1,580	859	40
Red Top Sugar.	27	1,935	932	15	26	305	871	45
Vanzleben.	26	1,460	891	..	27	780	913	..
French 'Very Rich'.	26	635	877	15	26	800	880	..
Improved Imperial.	26	470	874	30	19	1,600	670	..
Wilmar's Improved.	23	200	770	..	24	840	814	..

POTATOES.

Ninety varieties were included in this test. The soil was a sandy loam, part of which had been in rape and part sunflowers in 1901. It was fairly even in character, and the crop looked very vigorous in June and July, but later on suffered somewhat from the dry hot weather. The vines made a medium growth.

Four rows of 100 feet in length were planted, the rows being $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart and the sets 1 foot apart in the row, and the yield per acre computed from the yield of 66 feet of the two centre rows.

POTATOES.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Planted.	Dug.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Sound.		Yield per Acre of Rotten.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.		Form and Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Maule's Thoroughbred.	May 14	Sept. 24	386	36371	36	15	320	51	36	Long rose.			
Irish Daisy	" 14	" 25	385	369	30	17	30256	113	30	Round white.			
Early Northern	" 14	" 22	383	18366	30	16	48292	30	74	Long pink.			
American Giant.	" 14	" 24	381	40364	10	17	30323	41	30	" white.			
Prolific Rose	" 14	" 29	374	30374	30	None	336	30	38	" rose.			
Carman No. 1.	" 14	" 23	372	54372	54	"	298	24	74	Round white.			
Early Market	" 14	" 22	369	36369	36	"	277	6	92	Oval rose.			
Columbus.	" 14	" 23	369	352	30	17	30262	30	91	Long flat rose.			
Money Maker	" 14	" 30	369	369	30	None	271	98	"	" white.			
General Gordon	" 14	" 23	367	37367	37	"	313	54	37	Oval pink.			
Sharpe's Seedling	" 14	" 24	367	4367	4	"	294	4	73	Long round rose.			
Rose No. 9.	" 14	" 25	366	51366	51	"	313	23	53	" rose.			
Everett	" 14	" 25	363	363	"	"	326	30	36	" round red.			
White Beauty	" 14	" 24	363	363	"	"	291	72	"	Flat long white.			
Irish Cobbler	" 14	" 24	361	54361	54	"	306	54	55	Round white.			
Early Puritan.	" 14	" 30	361	54361	54	"	310	54	61	Long white.			
Dakota Red	" 14	" 29	360	48360	48	"	324	48	36	" red.			
Bill Nye.	" 14	" 27	358	36358	36	"	305	48	42	Oblong white.			
Brownell's Winner	" 14	" 25	357	30357	30	"	300	18	57	Long red.			
Seedling No. 230	" 14	" 30	356	24356	24	"	299	36	56	Round red.			
Troy Seedling.	" 14	" 30	355	51355	51	"	274	88	51	Long red.			
McIntyre	" 14	" 24	354	12354	12	"	248	12	106	" pink.			
Northern Spy	" 14	" 25	353	6353	6	"	282	6	71	" "			
Country Gentleman	" 14	" 27	352	352	"	"	295	30	56	" " and white.			
Canadian Beauty	" 14	" 22	351	27351	27	"	263	37	87	" flat.			
Sabean's Elephant	" 14	" 22	350	54350	54	"	280	54	70	" white.			
Houlton Rose	" 14	" 23	350	21350	21	"	298	52	21	" rose.			
Vanier	" 14	" 23	349	58349	58	"	175	58	174	" red.			
Early Michigan	" 14	" 29	348	42348	42	"	295	12	53	" white.			
Early St. George	" 14	" 30	348	9348	9	"	293	17	54	" "			
Burnaby Seedling	" 14	" 25	347	50347	50	"	296	20	51	" rose.			
Chicago Market	" 14	" 23	347	36347	36	"	343	20	104	" dark red.			
Swiss Snowflake	" 14	" 22	347	347	"	"	234	113	"	" white.			
Pearce's Extra Early	" 14	" 25	347	331	30	15	30282	15	49	Oblong rose.			
State of Maine.	" 14	" 25	346	30346	30	None	276	30	70	Long pink.			
Clay Rose	" 14	" 27	345	57345	57	"	259	7	86	" rose.			
Earliest of All.	" 14	" 25	345	24345	24	"	276	24	69	Round white.			
Cambridge Russet	" 14	" 25	345	24345	24	"	234	24	111	" russet.			
Polaris	" 14	" 25	344	18344	18	"	282	28	61	Long pink.			
Early Harvest	" 14	" 25	342	12342	12	"	282	12	60	" white.			
Early Six Weeks	" 14	" 22	342	12342	12	"	256	42	85	Oblong rose.			
Great Divide	" 14	" 23	341	341	"	"	238	30	102	Round white.			
Uncle Sam	" 14	" 23	339	54339	54	"	238	54	101	" "			
Prize Taker	" 14	" 25	334	22334	22	"	269	22	65	" red.			
Wonder of the World.	" 14	" 25	333	16333	16	"	283	30	49	Long rose.			
Penn. Manor	" 14	" 27	332	12332	12	"	259	12	73	" red.			
Early White Prize	" 14	" 22	331	6331	6	"	239	6	92	Oblong white.			
Early Rose	" 14	" 22	330	330	"	"	280	10	49	" rose.			

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

POTATOES.—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Planted.	Dug.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Sound.		Yield per Acre of Rotten.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.		Form and Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
American Wonder	May 14	Sept. 22	328	54	328	54	None	..	263	..	65	54	Long flat white.
Early Ohio	" 14	" 25	328	51	318	21	10	30	212	51	106	..	Oblong rose.
Enormous	" 14	" 23	327	48	327	48	None	..	245	48	82	..	Long white.
Polborn Abundance ..	" 14	" 24	326	42	326	42	"	..	278	..	48	42	Round white.
Oral Blush	" 14	" 27	325	36	325	36	"	..	209	36	116	..	" rose.
Side of the Market...	" 14	" 30	324	30	311	52	12	48	246	48	78	..	Long flat white.
Beauty of Hebron	" 14	" 30	323	24	323	24	None	..	258	54	64	30	" white.
Early Andes	" 14	" 24	322	51	322	51	"	..	290	..	32	51	Round rose.
Seedling No. 7	" 14	" 29	322	18	322	18	"	..	276	18	46	..	Long red.
Macree's Prize Winner.	" 14	" 22	321	45	321	45	"	..	257	75	64	30	" pink and white.
Macree's Extra Early ..	" 14	" 23	321	12	321	12	"	..	192	32	123	40	" rose.
Watson's Invincible ..	" 14	" 24	319	..	319	..	"	..	254	..	65	..	" white.
Dr. Walter Raleigh ...	" 14	" 29	317	54	317	54	"	..	279	54	38	..	Flat "
Maker City	" 14	" 29	316	48	316	48	"	..	177	48	139	..	" "
Love	" 14	" 30	313	20	313	20	"	..	266	32	46	48	Long rose.
Memish Beauty	" 14	" 30	311	18	311	18	"	..	218	..	93	18	" flat rose.
Macree's Rose	" 14	" 29	310	12	310	12	"	..	247	12	63	..	" rose.
New Queen	" 14	" 30	309	39	309	39	"	..	247	39	62	..	" round red.
Empire State	" 14	" 25	309	6	309	6	"	..	247	18	61	48	" pink and white.
Lawdon Rose	" 14	" 25	308	..	308	..	"	..	255	24	52	36	" rose.
Little	" 14	" 29	308	..	308	..	"	..	210	..	98	..	" round white.
Delaware	" 14	" 29	306	54	306	54	"	..	213	54	93	..	Round white.
Early Sunrise	" 14	" 24	302	30	302	30	"	..	224	30	78	..	Long rose.
Macree's Extra Early ...	" 14	" 25	302	30	302	30	"	..	197	..	105	30	Round pale rose.
Ohio Junior	" 14	" 23	299	12	299	12	"	..	239	24	59	48	Long pink.
Macree's Favourite	" 14	" 24	298	6	298	6	"	..	232	10	65	56	" rose.
State Puritan	" 14	" 29	297	..	297	..	"	..	237	36	59	24	" white.
Macree's Pride	" 14	" 27	293	22	293	22	"	..	234	46	58	36	" red.
Hochester Rose	" 14	" 25	289	18	289	18	"	..	203	..	86	18	" rose.
Macree's No. 1	" 14	" 29	286	..	286	..	"	..	243	30	42	30	" pink.
Macree's No. 2	" 14	" 29	284	54	284	54	"	..	194	6	90	48	" pink and white.
Macree's No. 3	" 14	" 30	281	36	281	36	"	..	225	..	56	36	" red.
Macree's Champion	" 14	" 24	275	..	261	15	13	45	196	35	64	40	Round white.
Macree's Murphy	" 14	" 23	243	6	243	6	None	..	170	24	72	42	Long rose.
New Variety No. 1	" 14	" 29	240	54	240	54	"	..	169	24	71	30	Round pale rose.
Oral No. 2	" 14	" 25	238	42	238	42	"	..	180	42	58	..	Oblong white.
X L	" 14	" 25	235	24	235	24	"	..	164	14	71	10	Long flat pink and white.
Green Mountain	" 14	" 30	232	6	232	6	"	..	181	6	51	..	" white.
Polborn	" 14	" 24	228	48	228	48	"	..	114	48	114	..	Oblong pink.
Up to Date	" 14	" 24	225	10	225	10	"	..	191	25	33	45	Oval white.
Macree's No. 3	" 14	" 25	216	42	216	42	"	..	171	..	45	42	Oblong white.

POTATOES—TEST OF FERTILIZERS.

A test was made of superphosphate of lime on three plots, and a check plot of same size was planted at the same time alongside.

The rows were two and a half feet apart and the sets one foot apart in the row. Our rows of 100 feet each were planted for each test plot and for the check plot, and the yield computed from the crop of 66 feet of the two centre rows in each case.

TEST OF SUPERPHOSPHATE OF LIME.

Name of Variety.	Planted.	Dug.	Total Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre of Sound.	Yield per Acre of Rotten.	Yield per Acre of Market- able.	Yield per Acre of Unmar- ketable.
			Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
Dakota Red, untreated.....	May 15..	Oct. 1..	355 18	355 18	None.....	320 18	35 ..
" 100 lbs. per acre.	" 15..	" 1..	371 48	371 48	"	335 18	36 30
" 150 " "	" 15..	" 1..	391 36	391 36	"	350 36	41 ..
" 200 " "	" 15..	" 1..	409 12	409 12	"	365 42	43 30

FODDER PLANTS.

The following fodder plants were tested again this year. The conditions here do not appear to be suitable for a heavy production of any of the millets, perhaps the cool wet weather in the early period of growth is unfavourable. All the millets were sown on May 25, on a warm loam that was in a good state of fertility and well prepared.

Plot 1.—Cat-Tail Millet :—

A poor uneven stand, stalks 24 to 28 in. long ; length of head 3 to 4 inches.
Yield per acre when cut, 2 tons 1,440 lbs.

Plot 2.—Algerian Millet :—

An uneven stand ; stalk 36 to 38 inches long and moderately leafy, heads 3½ to 4½ inches long ; yield per acre, 3 tons 640 lbs.

Plot 3.—Italian or Indian Millet :—

Stalks 28 to 34 inches long ; heads 4 to 4½ inches ; yield per acre 3 tons 40 lbs.

Plot 4.—Moha Hungarian Millet :—

Stalks 30 to 36 inches long ; heads 3 to 4 inches ; yield per acre 2 tons 1,920 lbs.

Plot 5.—Round White Extra French Millet :—

Stalks 26 to 30 inches long ; heads 2 to 2½ inches ; yield per acre 4 tons 160 lbs.

Plot 6.—Soja Beans sown May 1 :—

Drills 21 inches apart ; length of stalk 24 to 30 inches ; very leafy and well podded, pods 1¼ in. long ; yield per acre 4 tons 40 lbs.

Plot 7.—Soja Beans sown May 1 :—

Drills 28 inches apart ; length of stalk 30 inches, very leafy and well furnished with pods ; weight per acre when cut 4 tons 760 lbs.

Plot 8.—Soja Beans sown May 1 :—

Drills 35 inches apart ; length of stalk 30 inches, very leafy and well podded, pods 1¼ in. long, and containing 2 to 3 seeds in the late dough stage when cut Oct. 4 ; yield per acre 4 tons 480 lbs.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Plot 9.—Horse Beans planted May 1 :—

Drills 21 inches apart ; length of stalk 28 to 30 inches, not well podded and many blank pods ; length of pod 1 to $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches ; yield per acre 2 tons 1,440 lbs.

Plot 10.—Horse Beans planted May 1 :—

Drills 28 inches apart ; length of stalk 30 inches, not well podded nor were the pods plump or well filled ; yield per acre 2 tons 1,920 lbs.

Plot 11.—Horse Beans planted May 1 :—

Drills 35 inches apart ; stalks 32 inches long but very few pods ; yield per acre 2 tons 640 lbs.

DWARF ESSEX RAPE.

The plots mentioned in my report for 1901 commenced to grow vigorously in November, and made strong growth with very little interruption between the short spells of frost during the winter and furnished green feed for the sheep, which were allowed to feed off the plots alternately. The land where these plots were, gave a very much better crop this year than land alongside which was under other crops in 1901, but which was under same kind of crop this year. In one case the crop was potatoes. In 1901 the crop was rape and alongside, sunflowers, both hoed crops. In the other rape with mangels alongside. This year the potatoes were a better colour, more vigorous, and the yield considerably heavier where the sheep had pastured on the rape. In the other plots mixed grains for feed were sown over all the plots, and that on the rape plot averaged nearly one-third heavier yield than where roots had been grown. This season rape was drilled in between the rows of corn on a few plots, sown early in August, and at this date promises to give a considerable quantity of green feed for the sheep, on land that otherwise would be unproductive all winter, and judging from experience of one year it will be a decided benefit to the next crop.

SUNFLOWERS.

A plot of the Mammoth Russian sunflower was sown May 16. They grew well and made fine heads, some of which measured 15 inches across. The seed is very good feed for hens, especially in the autumn when they are moulting.

AMBER SUGAR CANE AND BROOM CORN.

A plot each of the seed of these products was sown in drills 3 feet apart and the plants thinned to about 5 inches apart in the drill. The cold wet spring delayed growth so that neither tasseled out and very few stalks grew more than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet. These crops do not appear to be adapted to the mild moist summers of this coast.

VEGETABLES.

The cold rains in spring kept the soil cold and retarded the germination and growth of small seeds. Radishes and lettuce were not so crisp and juicy on this account.

RADISHES.—Sown May 7.

Variety.	Fit for use.	Remarks.
Early Scarlet Turnip.....	June 5.....	Crisp and good.
Olive Shaped Scarlet.....	" 7.....	Medium crisp.
White Tipped Early Scarlet Turnip.....	" 7.....	Crisp and juicy.
French Breakfast.....	" 10.....	Crisp, juicy and sweet.
Forcing Olive Shaped Short Leaf.....	" 10.....	Crisp and sweet.
Ne Plus Ultra.....	" 10.....	Crisp; slightly bitter.

LETTUCE.—Sown May 7.

Trocadero Red Edged.....	June 20.....	Tough and bitter.
Trianon Early White.....	" 24.....	Medium crisp.
Cabbage, All the Year Round.....	" 20.....	Tender, crisp and good.
Cabbage, Early Ohio.....	" 10.....	Crisp, tender, very good.
Blond Stone Head Cabbage.....	" 10.....	Crisp and good.
Neapolitan Cabbage.....	" 7.....	Tender, sweet, very good.
Paris Green Cos.....	" 29.....	Tough and bitter.

CARROTS—Sown April 26.

Parisian Forcing.....	July 8.....	Crisp, sweet, very good.
French Horn.....	" 16.....	Sweet; fine flavoured.
Luc Half Long.....	" 23.....	Crisp and good.
Long Blood Red.....	" 30.....	Fine quality; good.

TURNIPS—Sown April 29.

Extra Early White Milan.....	June 16.....	Crisp, juicy, sweet, good.
Early White Strap Leaved.....	" 20.....	Crisp, juicy, sweet, fine flavour.
Early Stone.....	" 23.....	Solid, crisp, sweet, good.
Robertson's Golden Ball.....	" 30.....	Very good.

CABBAGE.—Seed sown in garden April 14; transplanted May 27.

Paris Market.....	Aug. 8.....	Heads small but firm, white and of very good quality.
Early Jersey Wakefield.....	" 14.....	Heads medium size, firm, good.
Savoy Green Globe.....	" 28.....	Heads medium large, firm, solid, crisp; of very fine quality.
Early Winningstadt.....	Sept. 3.....	Heads large, solid; of very fine quality.
Large Red Drumhead.....	" 15.....	Heads medium large, very solid, crisp; good quality.
Fottler's Drumhead.....	" 20.....	Heads large, solid, crisp; of fine flavour.

BROCCOLI.—Seed sown in garden April 10; transplanted May 27.

Extra Early White.....	Sept. 28.....	Heads of medium size and good flavour, fit for use.
------------------------	---------------	---

BRUSSELS SPROUTS—Sown April 10; transplanted May 27.

Dwarf Improved.....	Vigorous growth, infested with aphid and unfit for use.
---------------------	-------	---

CAULIFLOWER.—Sown April 14; transplanted May 27.

Selected Earliest Dwarf Erfurt.....	July 28.....	Very white, solid, crisp heads.
Snowball.....	Aug. 8.....	Very firm, solid, crisp, good.
Half Early Paris.....	" 16.....	Heads medium size, soft, open; of good flavour.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

WINTER RADISH.—Sown May 7.

Variety.	Remarks.
Winter Black Long Spanish.....	Long, crisp, good quality.
Winter Scarlet China.....	Medium size, crisp, pleasant, tender.

ONIONS.—Sown April 15.

Danvers Yellow Globe.....	Medium size, uniform growth, firm and solid.
Market Favourite Keeping.....	Seed did not germinate.
Large Red Wethersfield.....	Medium large, solid, mild flavour.
Paris Silverskin.....	Seed germinated poorly but those that grew were of uniform size and solid in bulb.

BEETS.—Sown April 15.

Variety.	Fit for use.	Remarks.
Egyptian.....	July 2.....	Firm, dark red, sweet, good.
Nutting's Dwarf Improved.....	" 4.....	Crisp, good colour, sweet, pleasant.
Early Blood Red Turnip.....	" 10.....	Quick grower, crisp and sweet.
Long Smooth Blood Red.....	" 29.....	A smooth, clean root; a good keeper and of good quality.

CELERY.—Sown in hotbed April 14; transplanted to garden June 10.

Rose Ribbed Paris.....	Sept. 8.....	Crisp but not good flavour.
Paris Golden Yellow.....	" 10.....	Crisp, sweet, good flavour.
Giant Pascal.....	" 26.....	Crisp, nutty, good.
Red Large Ribbed.....	" 26.....	Coarse, stringy, poor.
Dwarf White Solid.....	" 26.....	Large, firm, crisp, nutty, good.

BEANS.—Planted April 29.

Name.	Fit for Use.	Remarks.
Dwarf, Golden Skinless.....	July 14..	Stalks short, moderately productive; pods, 2 to 3½ in. long; crisp, pleasant flavour; ripe, Sept. 12.
Dwarf, Matchless.....	" 14..	Dwarf and very productive; pods, 4 to 6 in. long; crisp, sweet, pleasant flavour; ripe, Sept. 8.
Extra Early Edible Podded.....	" 16..	Vigorous, bushy and productive; pods, 3 to 4 in. long, crisp, good and of pleasant flavour; ripe, Sept. 4.
Dwarf, Emperor of Russia.....	" 18..	Dwarf, bushy grower, productive; pods, 3 to 4 in. long, excellent flavour and quality; ripe Sept. 14.
Inexhaustable.....	" 18..	Strong, vigorous grower, productive; pods, 3 to 4½ in. long; very crisp, sweet, pleasant, good; ripe, Sept. 20.
Fame of Vitry.....	" 20..	Growth strong and plant productive; pods, 4 to 6 in. long; crisp, pleasant flavour, good; ripe, Sept. 10.
Dwarf, Black Speckled.....	" 26..	Strong grower, productive; pods, 3 to 5 in. long; plump, fleshy, crisp, pleasant flavour, good; ripe, Sept. 14.

GARDEN PEASE.—Sown April 21.

Name of Variety.	Fit for Use.	Size of Pea.	Length of Pod.	Remarks.
American Wonder.....	June 28	Medium	Ins. 2	Vines well loaded, pease very good quality, pods well filled.
Alaska.....	" 28	Small ..	3	" " "
Notts' Excelsior.....	July 2	" ..	2½	" " "
McLean's Advance.....	" 4	Medium	2½	Vines fairly well loaded, " pods not well filled.
Telephone.....	" 8	Large ..	2½	" " pods well filled.
Duke of Albany.....	" 12	" ..	3	" " "
Admiral.....	" 12	Small ..	2½	Vines well loaded, medium quality, " "
Pride of the Market.....	" 17	Large ..	3	" " very fine quality, " "
Shropshire Hero.....	" 17	" ..	3	" " "
Stratagem.....	" 18	" ..	3	" " "
Heroine.....	" 16	" ..	3½	" " "
New Dwarf, Telephone..	" 10	" ..	3	" " "
Gradus.....	" 16	" ..	4	" " "
Champion of England....	" 26	" ..	3	" " "

DISTRIBUTION OF SEEDS AND SCIONS.

There is an increasing interest in this department of the work as shown by the larger demand and also by the increasing percentage of those who report the results and ask for further samples for next spring. A very widespread interest is being taken in planting nut and shade trees as evidenced by the amount of call for seeds of these useful things. The following were distributed during the past season :—

Packages of cuttings and scions.....	374
Nuts and bulbs.....	144
3 lb. samples of potatoes.....	268
3 " pease.....	123
3 " oats.....	147
3 " barley.....	113
3 " wheat.....	209
Total	1,378

CORRESPONDENCE.

Letters received, 2,586 ; letters dispatched, 2,464.

APPLES.

The crop of apples has been a fairly good one this year, and owing to favourable weather in autumn the quality has been very good. Twenty acres of land were planted during 1902 with varieties not before tested, and not more than two trees of a sort were planted in this orchard. The following fruited for the first time this year.

Beauty of Bath.—Tree a vigorous grower and a free producer. Fruit of medium size, flat, skin greenish yellow with a clear red cheek. Flesh white, firm, crisp, pleasant, mildly acid. Of good flavour ; season last of July and early in August.

Vargulek.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, conical, skin greenish white with a red cheek and numerous streaks and splashes of red. Flesh white, tender juicy ; a mild acid with a pleasant flavour. Season August.



FOREST PLANTATION, SHOWING GROWTH OF WHITE PINE, EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.

—Photo. by C. E. Saunders.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Early May.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit small oblate, skin yellowish green with a slight blush. Flesh, white, crisp, mildly sub-acid, not juicy. Flavour poor. Season August.

Family.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, conical, skin greenish yellow, striped with red and sprinkled with yellowish dots. Flesh white, tender, moderately juicy, of a pleasant acid character and good flavour. Season August.

Jefferis.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, flattish, conical, Skin yellow, splashed with bright red and many whitish dots. Flesh white, tender, juicy, a mild pleasant acid, with a good flavour. Season September.

Druken.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit above medium size, oblate. Skin yellow with a dull red cheek. Flesh white, crisp, juicy, of a mild pleasant acid character with a good flavour. Season September.

Dove.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Skin yellowish white with a few stripes of bright red. Flesh white, firm, juicy, sprightly, with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

Caroline Augusta.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large in size, oblong, conical, ribbed and irregularly shaped. Skin greenish with splashes of dull red and many gray dots. Flesh white, firm, crisp, juicy, mildly acid, flavour pleasant. Season September.

Beauty of Kent.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit above medium size, roundish, tapering to calyx. Skin greenish yellow with stripes of dull red. Flesh yellowish, crisp, juicy, mildly acid and of good flavour. Season October.

Delaware Red.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit below medium size, flattish. Skin yellow with a red cheek. Flesh white, firm, not juicy, of poor quality, liable to scab. Season October.

Brabant Bellflower.—Tree a strong and spreading grower. Fruit above medium in size oblong conical. Skin yellowish white with many gray dots and striped with bright red. Flesh yellowish, firm, juicy, pleasant, mildly acid and of good flavour. Season November.

Loy.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, round flattish, skin green with a dull red cheek. Flesh whitish, not juicy, mildly acid. Quality poor and liable to scab. Season December.

Winter Sweet Paradise.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish. Skin greenish yellow with a dull red cheek. Flesh white, juicy, sweet, fine grained with a pleasant flavour. Season November and December.

Whitman.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, conical, skin greenish yellow with russet about the stem. Flesh white, firm, not very juicy, sweet; not of high quality. Season November and December.

Reinette Plate de Champagne.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish oblate. Skin greenish yellow with a few gray dots. Flesh white, juicy, firm, pleasantly acid with a rich flavour. Season December.

Reinette Thouin.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small conical. Skin greenish white with many gray dots. Flesh white, moderately juicy, firm, mildly acid with a pleasant flavour. Season December.

Hawthornden d'Hiver.—Tree a vigorous grower and an early bearer. Fruit of medium size, roundish, a little flattened. Skin greenish yellow with a light blush in the sun. Flesh white crisp, juicy, mildly acid with a pleasant flavour. Season November and December.

Api Noir. Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small round, flat. Skin very dark purple or nearly black. Flesh greenish white, crisp, moderately juicy with a pleasant flavour. Season December.

Golden Reinette.—Tree a feeble grower. Fruit small, roundish. Skin smooth dull yellow with a little pale red on the cheek and patches of russet. Flesh yellow crisp, mildly acid and of fine flavour. Season December.

Reine des Reinettes.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Skin green with a dull red cheek and many gray dots. Flesh yellowish firm crisp, moderately juicy, mildly acid with a rich pleasant flavour. Season winter.

Fenouillet Gris Anis.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small roundish conical. Skin greenish yellow with a little red and a few small gray dots. Flesh yellowish, firm crisp, juicy, nearly sweet of fine flavour. Season winter.

Archduke Louis.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small oblong conical. Skin green with a dull bronze cheek. Flesh white crisp not very juicy, mildly acid with a pleasant flavour. Season winter.

Azeroly Anise.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small round. Skin green with a red blush in the sun. Flesh crisp, juicy and sprightly with a pleasant flavour. Season winter.

Creme de Samogitie.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Skin greenish white with a few white dots. Flesh white, firm, not juicy, a mild sub acid with a pleasant aromatic flavour. Season winter.

Duke of Devonshire.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit small to medium, in size, round. Skin yellow with a dull red cheek. Flesh yellow crisp, juicy and sweet with a fine rich flavour. Season winter.

D'Ile.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish flat. Skin greenish yellow with patches of russet about the stem and a few brown dots, a bronze cheek. Flesh white crisp, not juicy, mildly acid with a pleasant flavour. Season Winter.

Calville Boisbunel.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit small conical. Skin greenish yellow with a red cheek. Flesh white, moderately juicy of a pleasant sub-acid character and good flavour. Season winter.

Reinette Grise Royale.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit below medium size, conical. Skin russet bronze. Flesh white, juicy, firm, sub-acid with a good rich flavour. Season winter.

Ridge Pippin.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit above medium size, roundish conical, ribbed. Skin yellow with a little russet about the stem and a few reddish dots. Flesh yellow, juicy, crisp, nearly sweet, slightly aromatic. Season winter.

Golden Queen.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit below medium size, conical. Skin greenish yellow, with a clear red cheek and sprinkled with white dots. Flesh white, crisp, juicy, mildly acid and of high quality. Season winter.

Reinette de Gomont.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish conical. Skin greenish yellow, with a brownish red cheek and patches of russet. Flesh white, firm and juicy and liable to scab. Season winter.

Madame Galopin.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit above medium size, oblong, conical. Skin yellowish with a faint blush. Flesh white, crisp, juicy, nearly sweet, with a fine flavour. Season winter.

Francater.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit small, roundish, flat. Skin greenish yellow with a red cheek and a few whitish dots. Flesh whitish, crisp, moderately juicy, a pleasant sub-acid of good quality. Season winter.

The report on a variety of fruit is made the first season that it produces, and is only a description of the fruit for that season and should not be taken as a report on its value for this country and a guide to intending planters. I append a list of apples that are desirable in this climate, having been fruited for a number of years and found to be

SESSIONAL PAPER No.-16

valuable in their season, and the trees vigorous and productive:—Beauty of Bath, Yellow Transparent, Duchess of Oldenburg, William's Favourite, St. Lawrence, Maiden's Blush, Hawley, Ruby Gem, Blenheim Orange, Wealthy, Mother, Ribston Pippin, Grimes Golden, Belle de Boskoop, Sutton Beauty, Jonathan, Canada Red, Cooper's Market, Salome, Stuart's Golden.

PEARS.

The pears blossomed freely, but most of the bloom fell off and the crop was light. A few varieties gave full crops. Bartlett, Dr Jules Guyot, Bose, Boussock, Fertility and La France gave full crops, but most of the other trees gave but a few specimens, and in many cases none.

The following varieties fruited for the first time:—

Manning's Elizabeth.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, obtuse, pyriform. Skin a clear yellow with a bright blush. Flesh white, juicy, sweet and tender, flavour very pleasant. Season late in August.

Nina.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit small, obtuse pyriform. Skin yellow with a bronze russet cheek. Flesh yellowish, sweet, juicy, with a rich, pleasant flavour. Season August.

Beacon.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit below medium size, obtuse, pyriform. Skin clear orange yellow sprinkled with gray dots. Flesh yellowish, juicy, nearly sweet, gritty at the core, not of high quality. Season August.

Ansault.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish, oblate. Skin pale greenish yellow with patches of russet. Flesh white, fine grained, juicy, melting, sweet and of fine flavour. Season early in September.

Beurre Beucke.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, obtuse pyriform. Skin russet green splashed with yellow and sprinkled with gray dots. Flesh white, juicy, melting, nearly sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season last of August.

Edmunds.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, obtuse pyriform. Skin yellow, with a dull red cheek and patches of russet and a few gray dots. Flesh white, fine grained, juicy, sweet, with a very fine flavour. Season September.

Duchesse Precoce.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong pyriform. Skin a greenish yellow, with a little red on sunny side, and many gray dots. Flesh slightly coarse, juicy, slightly astringent, sweet with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

Leipsic Radish.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, obtuse pyriform. Skin greenish yellow, with a few russet patches and many russet dots. Flesh white, juicy, sweet, slightly granular, of medium quality. Season September.

Delices de Jodoigne.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit small, acute pyriform. Skin russet yellow with bronze reddish cheek. Flesh white, juicy, fine grained, sweet, of good flavour, but liable to crack. Season September.

Napoleon.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, obtuse pyriform. Skin russet green with a bronze russet cheek. Flesh white, juicy, sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

Thirriot.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, oblong, obtuse pyriform. Skin pale greenish yellow with a few brown dots. Flesh whitish, fine grained, melting, juicy, nearly sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season early October.

Beurre Brown.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong pear shaped, tapering to stalk. Skin bronze russet with a reddish cheek. Flesh white, juicy, buttery, mildly acid with a rich pleasant flavour. Season October.

Dr. Lucien.—Tree a strong and vigorous grower. Fruit large, obtuse pyriform. Skin greenish yellow with many russet dots. Flesh white, juicy, fine grained, melting, with a pleasant aromatic flavour. Season October.

Madame Favre.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to large, obovate obtuse. Skin dull yellow with patches of russet and many gray dots. Flesh white, juicy, not fine grained, not of fine quality. Season October.

Tougard's Flask.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit below medium size, oblong pyriform. Skin russet with a reddish cheek and a few brown dots. Flesh white, a little coarse, moderately juicy, slightly astringent, not high flavoured. Season October and November.

Zepherin Gregoire.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish pyriform. Skin greenish yellow with many russet dots. Flesh whitish, juicy, melting, with a pleasant flavour. Season November.

Katzinkop.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, turbinate. Skin greenish yellow with a brownish red cheek. Flesh hard, not juicy nor pleasant; only fit for cooking. Season November.

Pastor.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size. Oblong pyriform. Skin yellowish with many brown dots. Flesh juicy, melting, nearly sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season November and December.

Orpha.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, obtuse pyriform. Skin a smooth russet yellow with a few gray dots. Flesh whitish, juicy, buttery, sweet, perfumed, with a pleasant flavour. Season November and December.

Admiral Cecile.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish obtuse. Skin greenish yellow with a bronze russet cheek and many gray dots. Flesh white, sweet, juicy, melting, very often a little gritty at core, of pleasant flavour. Season November and December.

Col. Wilder.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, oblong pyriform. Skin yellow with large patches and dots of russet. Flesh whitish, juicy, sweet and good. Season November and December.

Williams' Winter.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, obtuse pyriform. Skin greenish yellow freely splashed and dotted with russet. Flesh white, moderately juicy, not melting. Season December and January.

PLUMS.

The plum crop, with some varieties, was an average one this season and in many other instances very light. The plum rot was very prevalent and many sorts could not be shipped on account of it. A few varieties, such as Mallard, Sultan, Lincoln, Blue Apricot of Berlin, Monarch, Anna Spath, are nearly free from the disease and others would be if not planted alongside of sorts that are very subject to it.

Berckman's.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, heart shaped. Skin deep red with a little whitish bloom. Flesh yellow, juicy, sweet and of good flavour. Season early August.

Tragedy Prune.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish oval. Skin dark purple with a light bluish bloom. Flesh yellowish, juicy, sweet and good. Season August.

Monsieur jaune.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, round with a wide shallow suture. Skin pale yellow. Flesh yellowish, juicy, sweet, tender and very pleasant. Season, August.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Lyons Apricot.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oval with a shallow suture. Skin purple red with a thin whitish bloom. Flesh firm, moderately juicy, sweet and pleasant. Season August.

Prune de Delices.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, oval, with a shallow suture. Skin, purple with a thin bluish bloom. Flesh greenish, firm moderately juicy, sweet and good. Season August.

Large Reine Claude.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large, roundish. Skin greenish white. Flesh firm, juicy, sweet and pleasant. Season September.

Hungarian Damson.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, oval, tapering to stem. Skin deep purple with a bluish bloom. Flesh, greenish, juicy, sweet and pleasant. Season September.

Sugar Damson.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit, small, roundish somewhat pointed. Skin deep blue with a white bloom. Flesh greenish, not juicy but sweet. Season September.

CHERRIES.

The cherry crop like that of the plums suffered from the cold wet spring and from the brown rot, as well as from wet weather when the earlier sorts were maturing, which caused them to crack. A number of the young trees blossomed but in most cases the bloom fell off. There is only one new sort to report on this year, Montmorency de Sauvigny. Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, round, compressed, stem medium and sunk in a small basin. Skin clear, glossy, red. Flesh tender, juicy, sprightly with a very pleasant flavour. Season early July.

PEACHES, APRICOTS AND NECTARINES.

As usual all these fruits bloomed freely but the trees on the mountain were the only peach trees that produced fruit. The Amsden, Foster, Early Crawford and Hilborn trees on the mountain had light crops which ripened and were very fine in quality.

ALMONDS.

These nut trees having been cared for until they were large trees and although they bloomed every year, yet failed to fruit, have been removed as useless and the land devoted to other crops.

QUINCES.

Portuguese. Tree a bushy vigorous grower; fruit large globular with a neck; skin pale golden yellow. Flesh mild flavour, good and cooks nearly crimson.

MEDLARS.

All the medlars produced a crop this year. The variety known as the large fruited is the best, the fruit being as good in quality as any and much larger and smoother.

GRAPES.

The spring was wet and cold and in consequence the grapes were so late in blooming that only a very few ripened before frost; Moore's Early and Worden (Black), Brighton, Delaware and Wyoming (Red), Diamond, Martha and Saunders Seedling No. 1 white were the only ones which ripened fruit.

MULBERRIES.

All the mulberry trees fruited this year. New American and Downing are the largest and best sorts.

MOUNTAIN ORCHARDS.

The fruit trees on the mountain have grown well and many of the trees produced a little fruit this season. Owing perhaps to the dry August and September, the fruit was not quite so large as the same sorts grown on the level but was cleaner skinned and brighter coloured.

SMALL FRUITS.

The crop of small fruits was uniformly fairly good. The cold rains in June injured the strawberry crop somewhat, but raspberries, blackberries and currants were a good crop. The gooseberries suffered from mildew so much that the fruit was worthless except a few bushes at about 600 ft. elevation on the mountain. These, although not sprayed, have not suffered from mildew and the fruit although not so large as the same sorts grown down on the level is clean and well flavoured.

RED AND YELLOW RASPBERRIES.

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Hansel.....	June 24	Vigorous....	Small.....	Crumbly, light red, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
Phoenix.....	" 26	" ...	Large	Firm, bright red, good flavour	Productive.
White Antwerp..	" 26	Feeble.....	Small	Soft, poor quality.	Not productive.
Carter's Prolific..	" 28	Moderately vigorous.	"	Firm, sweet, not of much value.	Moderately productive.
Crimson Beauty.	" 28	" ...	Medium.....	Firm, dark red, good flavour.	"
Yellow Antwerp.	" 28	Feeble.....	Small	Crumbly, sweet, not of much value.	Not productive.
Ballard's Perpetual.	" 30	Vigorous..	Large medium	Crumbly, sweet, good flavour.	Productive.
New Fastolf	July 3	" ...	Large	Firm, red, sweet, good quality	"
Yellow Spineless	" 3	Feeble.....	Small medium	Soft, sweet, not good... ..	Moderately productive.
Malta.....	" 3	Moderately vigorous.	"	Soft, yellow, good flavour....	"
Pauline.....	" 3	Vigorous....	Large medium	Crumbly, dark red, sweet, good flavour.	Productive.
Herrenhauser	" 3	" ...	Medium.....	Firm, dark red, sweet, fair flavour.	Moderately productive.
Red Perpetual.	" 3	" ...	Large	Firm, bright red, sweet, good flavour.	Productive.
Duke of Brabant	" 3	" ...	"	Not good quality.....	Not productive.
Nonpareil.....	" 3	" ...	Small	Crumbly, sweet, not much good.	Moderately productive.
Turner.....	" 3	" ...	"	Soft, red, sweet.....	"
Hudson River Antwerp.	" 3	Feeble.....	Very small....	"	Not productive.
Thompson.....	" 4	" ...	Small	Of no value.....	"
Franconia.....	" 4	Vigorous....	"	Firm, dark red, good quality.	Productive.
Northumberland	" 4	" ...	Very large....	"	"
Fill Basket.	" 4	" ...	Large medium	"	"
BelledeFontenay	" 4	Moderately vigorous.	Small	Yellow, poor quality.....	Not productive.
Champlain	" 4	Vigorous....	Medium.....	Crumbly, dark red, fair flavour.	Productive.
Battler's Giant..	" 4	"	"	"	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RED AND YELLOW RASPBERRIES—*Continued.*

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Arnold's Hybrid.	July	4 Moderately vigorous.	Small	Crumbly, light red, not much good.	Not productive.
Red Herrenhauser.	"	4 Vigorous....	Medium.....	Firm, dark red, sweet.....	Moderately productive.
Sugar of Metz...	"	4 Moderately vigorous.	Large medium	Soft, yellow, sweet, not of much value.	Productive.
Baumforth's Seedling.	"	" ..	Small medium	Moderately firm, dark red, sweet, but rather flat.	Moderately productive.
Sarah.....	"	5 Vigorous....	Large medium	Firm, red, sweet, very good quality.	Very productive.
Carleton.....	"	" ..	Medium.....	Firm, red, sweet, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
Empire.....	"	5 Feeble.....	Small	Firm, acid, not very good....	Not productive.
Lord Beaconsfield	"	5 Vigorous....	Large	Firm, bright red, good quality.	Productive.
Golden Queen...	"	5 " ..	"	Firm, sweet, good; one of the best yellow raspberries.	"
Sharpe.....	"	5 Feeble.....	Small	Crumbly, red, sweet.....	Moderately productive.
Muriel.....	"	5 Vigorous....	Large medium	Firm, dark red, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
Craig.....	"	5 " ..	Small	Rather soft, red, sweet, good flavour.	" "
Autumn Surprise	"	5 " ..	Medium.....	Soft, yellow, not very good..	" "
Knevit's Giant..	"	6 " ..	Large	Crumbly, bright red, sweet, good flavour.	Productive.
La Mercier.....	"	6 Moderately vigorous.	"	Crumbly, red, sweet, good flavour.	"
Guinea.....	"	6 Feeble.....	Small	Poor quality.....	Not productive.
Large Yellow...	"	7 Vigorous....	Large	Firm, sweet, good flavour....	Productive.
Cuthbert.....	"	7 " ..	"	Firm, dark red, sweet, good quality.	"
Garnet.....	"	7 " ..	Small	Purplish-red, poor quality....	"
Mary.....	"	7 Moderately vigorous.	"	Poor quality.....	Not productive.
Queen of the Market.	"	7 Vigorous....	Large	Firm, sweet, good quality....	Productive.
Lady Anne.....	"	7 " ..	"	Soft, yellow, not good.	"
Percy.....	"	7 Moderately vigorous.	Medium.....	Firm, purplish red, sweet....	Not productive.
Hornet.....	"	7 Feeble.....	"	Moderately firm, a little acid but fair flavour.	Moderately productive.
All Summer....	"	7 Vigorous....	Large medium	Firm, red, sweet, continues in bearing a long time.	Productive.
Muskingum.....	"	7 " ..	Small	Crumbly, sweet, not of any merit.	Moderately productive.
Fastolf.....	"	8 Moderately vigorous.	Medium.....	Firm, red, sweet.....	" "
Marlboro'.....	"	8 Vigorous....	Very small...	"	Productive.
R. B. Whyte..	"	8 " ..	Large	Firm, dark red, good quality.	"
Clarke.....	"	8 " ..	Medium.....	Moderately firm, sweet, fair flavour.	Moderately productive.
Hebner.....	"	8 " ..	"	Soft, red, sweet, not of much value.	Not productive.
Norwich Wonder	"	8 Feeble.....	Very small...	Crumbly, purplish red, poor..	"
King.....	"	8 Moderately vigorous.	Medium.....	Firm, crimson, pretty good..	Moderately productive.

RED AND YELLOW RASPBERRIES—*Concluded.*

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Chili.....	" 8	Vigorous...	Large medium	Moderately firm, sweet, good flavour.	" "
French Vice-President.	" 8	" ..	Large	Firm, dark red, sweet, good quality, but adheres tightly to the core.	Productive.
Garfield.....	" 8	" ..	Small	Crumbly, red, good flavour ..	Moderately productive.
Shaffer's Colossal.	" 8	" ..	Large	Firm, purplish red, acid.....	Productive.
Barnet.....	" 8	Moderately vigorous.	Small	Soft, red, sweet, not very good.	Not productive.
Queen Victoria..	" 8	Vigorous...	Large medium	Crumbly, red, fair flavour. ..	Moderately productive.
Sir John.....	" 8	" ..	Small	Crumbly, red, acid, not of much value.	" "
Semper Fidelis..	" 8	" ..	Medium.....	Dark red, sweet, fine flavour.	Productive.
Cariboo Wild...	" 8	" ..	Small	Soft, acid, good flavour.....	Not productive.
Wilder.....	" 9	Moderately vigorous.	"	Not good quality.....	"
Brinkle's Orange	" 10	Vigorous...	Large medium	Soft, sweet.	Productive.
Goliath.	" 10	" ..	" ..	Moderately firm, dark red, sweet, good flavour.	"
Prince of Wales.	" 10	" ..	Medium.....	Firm, dark red, sweet.	Moderately productive.
Lizzie.	" 10	Feeble.....	"	Firm, red, sweet	" "
Millar	" 12	Vigorous...	"	Firm, red, sweet	" "
Bee Hive.	" 13	" ..	Large medium	Crumbly, sweet, good flavour	" "
Oregon Late	" 13	Moderately vigorous.	Medium.....	Firm, sweet fair flavour.....	" "
Minnie.	" 14	Feeble.....	Very small...	Crumbly, purplish red, poor..	Not productive.

RED AND WHITE CURRANTS.

Knight's Early (red.)	June 28	Moderately vigorous.	Small.....	Cluster short, fairly well filled, sweet, good quality.	Moderately productive.
La Fertile ...	July 4	Vigorous...	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, well filled, sweet, good flavour.	"
London Red	" 4	" ..	Large medium	Cluster long, a pleasant acid, good quality.	Productive.
Raby Castle.....	" 4	" ..	" ..	Cluster long, well filled, mildly acid, good quality.	"
White Transparent.	" 4	Moderately vigorous.	Small medium	Cluster short, fairly well filled, sweet, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
La Hative.....	" 4	" ..	Small... ..	Cluster short, not very well filled, a pleasant acid.	"
White Gondoin..	" 4	Feeble.....	"	Cluster medium in length, sweet, good flavour.	"
White Dutch....	" 4	Moderately vigorous.	"	Cluster short, fairly well filled, acid, good flavour.	Not productive.
Pomona.....	" 4	Vigorous...	Large medium	Cluster long, fairly well filled, sweet, good flavour.	Productive.
White Grape....	" 4	Feeble.....	Medium.....	Cluster short, not very well filled, sweet, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
Red Cherry.....	" 4	Moderately vigorous.	"	Cluster medium in length, fairly well filled, quality good.	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RED AND WHITE CURRANTS—*Continued.*

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Moore's Ruby...	July	5 Moderately vigorous.	Small	Cluster short, not well filled, quality poor.	Not Productive.
Large White....	"	"	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, well filled, sweet, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
La Conde.....	"	5 Vigorous....	"	Cluster long, fairly well filled, quality good.	Productive.
Red Dutch.	"	5 Moderately vigorous.	"	Cluster short, well filled, acid but good quality.	Moderately productive.
North Star.....	"	6	"	Cluster medium long, acid, good flavour.	"
New Red Dutch.	"	6	"	Cluster medium in length, fairly well filled, rather acid	Productive.
Prince Albert...	"	6 Vigorous....	"	Cluster long, moderately well filled, quality good.	"
Fay's Prolific...	"	6 Moderately vigorous.	"	Cluster medium in length, fairly well filled, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
No. 51 L.S.....	"	6	Small.....	Cluster short, fairly well filled, sweet, pleasant flavour.	"
Rankin's Red...	"	7	"	Cluster short, not well filled, acid, pleasant flavour.	Not productive.
Eyatt's New White.	"	7	Medium	Cluster medium in length, fairly well filled, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
Versailles.....	"	8	Small medium	Cluster medium in length, well filled, good quality.	"
White Esperens.	"	8	Small.....	Cluster short, fairly well filled, mild acid.	Not productive.
Frauentorfer...	"	8	Medium.....	Cluster medium, not well filled, quality fair.	"
Verrier's White..	"	8 Feeble.....	Small	Cluster short, not well filled, quality poor.	"
Beauty of St. Giles.	"	8	"	Cluster short, not well filled, quality poor.	"
White Cherry...	"	8 Vigorous....	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, well filled, sweet, good quality.	Productive.
English Red....	"	8 Moderately vigorous.	"	Cluster medium in length, well filled, good quality.	Moderately productive.
Rouge Admirable	"	9	"	Cluster short, fairly well filled, mild acid, poor flavour.	"
De La Rochepoze	"	9 Feeble	Small	Cluster short, not well filled, acid, fair flavour.	Not productive.
La Turinaise....	"	10 Moderately vigorous.	Medium	Cluster medium in length, well filled, good quality.	Productive.
Red Gondoin....	"	10 Vigorous....	"	Cluster medium in length, fairly well filled, rather acid.	Moderately productive.
Champagner.....	"	10 Moderately vigorous.	"	Cluster medium in length, fairly well filled, good flavour.	"
Large Red.....	"	10	"	Cluster medium in length, well filled, acid.	"
Kaiser.....	"	10	Small	Cluster short, moderately well filled, sweet, good flavour.	"
Large White Dessert.	"	10	Medium	Cluster medium in length, well filled, good quality.	"
Large White Brandenburger	"	10 Vigorous....	"	Cluster medium long, well filled, sweet, good flavour.	"
Victoria.....	"	10	"	Cluster medium in length, fairly well filled, mild, sweet.	Productive.
White Pearl.....	"	10	"	Cluster medium in length, not very well filled, sweet, good flavour.	"
White Imperial.	"	10 Moderately vigorous.	"	Cluster medium in length, not well filled, sweet, good quality.	Not productive.
Ringen's.....	"	12	"	Cluster medium in length, fairly well filled, good flavour.	Moderately productive.

BLACK CURRANTS.

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Dominion.....	July 10	Vigorous...	Medium.....	Cluster short, mild, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
Lennox	" 10	Moderately vigorous.	Small	Cluster short, not very good quality.	Not productive.
Merveille de la Gironde.	" 10	Vigorous...	Medium.....	Cluster medium, slightly acid, but good flavour.	Moderately productive.
Bang Up..	" 10	Moderately vigorous.	"	Cluster long, mild, pleasant flavour.	" "
Gewohnliche....	" 10	" ..	" ..	Cluster short, mild, fair flavour.	" "
Eclipse	" 10	" ..	Small medium	Cluster medium in length, pleasant flavour.	Productive.
Middlesex	" 10	" ..	Medium.....	" "	Moderately productive.
Stirling.....	" 10	" ..	" ..	Cluster medium in length, rather rank in flavour.	" "
Kerry	" 10	" ..	" ..	Cluster long, sweet, fine flavour.	Productive.
Boskoop Giant..	" 10	Vigorous...	Large	Cluster long, sweet, mild flavour.	"
Perry	" 12	Moderately vigorous.	Small	Cluster short, flavour rank, acid.	Not productive.
Ruler.....	" 12	" ..	Medium.	Cluster medium in length, good flavour.	Moderately productive.
Madoc.....	" 12	" ..	Small	Cluster short, quality poor.	Not productive.
Kentish Hero. ..	" 12	" ..	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, acid, fair flavour.	Moderately productive.
Ambrafarbiges...	" 12	" ..	" ..	Cluster medium in length, pleasant acid, good flavour.	" "
Charmer.....	" 12	Moderately vigorous.	Small	Cluster short, quality fair.	Not productive.
Beaudry	" 12	" ..	" ..	Cluster short, pleasant flavour.	"
Ontario.....	" 12	Vigorous...	Large medium	Cluster long, acid, quality fairly good.	Moderately productive.
Eagle	" 12	" ..	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, flavour rank.	" "
Lanark.....	" 12	Moderately vigorous.	Small	Cluster short, fairly good quality.	" "
Baldwin	" 12	Feeble grower.	Medium.....	Cluster short, pleasant flavour.	Productive.
Wood.....	" 12	Vigorous...	" ..	Cluster medium in length, flavour a little rank.	Moderately productive.
Louise.....	" 12	" ..	Small	Cluster medium in length, quality fairly good.	"
Prince of Wales.	" 12	" ..	Large	Cluster long, very good flavour.	Productive.
Stewart	" 12	Moderately vigorous.	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, pleasant flavour.	Moderately productive.
Kentville.	" 12	" ..	" ..	Cluster short, quality fairly good.	" "
Success.....	" 12	Feeble grower.	Small	Cluster short, sweet, mild flavour.	Not productive.
London	" 12	Vigorous...	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, mild, sweet.	Moderately productive.
Star.....	" 12	Moderately vigorous.	" ..	Cluster medium in length, sweet, pleasant flavour.	" "
Victoria	" 12	Vigorous ..	Large medium	Cluster medium in length, sweet, mild, pleasant flavour	Productive.
Champion....	" 12	Moderately vigorous.	" ..	Cluster medium in length, acid, but pleasant flavour.	"
Black Naples....	" 12	Vigorous...	" ..	Cluster long, sweet, pleasant flavour.	Moderately productive.
Lee's Prolific...	" 12	" ..	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, sweet, pleasant flavour.	" "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BLACK CURRANTS—*Continued.*

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Ethel	July 12	Moderately vigorous.	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, pleasant, mild, acid.	Moderately productive.
Parker	" 12	" ..	Small medium.	Cluster short, acid.	Not productive.
Monarch.....	" 14	Vigorous...	" ..	Cluster short, acid, a little rank.	Productive.
Pearce.....	" 14	" ..	Medium.....	Cluster medium in length, mild, pleasant flavour.	"
Bella.....	" 14	" ..	Small	Cluster short, fair flavour.	Not productive.
Norton	" 14	" ..	" ..	Cluster medium in length, acid, but good flavour.	Productive.
Oxford.....	" 14	Moderately vigorous.	Small medium	Cluster medium in length, sweet, pleasant flavour.	Not productive.
Climax	" 14	Vigorous...	Medium.....	Cluster long, medium, fairly good quality.	Productive.
Orton	" 14	Moderately vigorous.	Small	Cluster short, sweet, fair flavour.	Not productive.
Pomona.....	" 14	Vigorous...	Large	Cluster long, sweet, good flavour.	Productive.
Henry	" 15	Moderately vigorous.	Medium.....	Cluster long, medium, sweet, pleasant flavour.	Moderately productive.
Hansel	" 18	" ..	" ..	Cluster long, medium, sweet, firm, good flavour.	Productive.

BLACK CAP RASPBERRIES.

Carman	July 6	Moderately vigorous.	Small	Quality poor.....	Moderately productive.
Smith's Prolific..	" 6	Vigorous...	Medium	" ..	"
Early Ohio.....	" 6	" ..	Small medium	Quality fair	Productive. "
Tromwell.....	" 6	" ..	Medium	Fairly good quality..	"
Semaha	" 8	" ..	Large	Good quality	"
Donath.....	" 8	" ..	Large medium	Good quality, fine flavour ..	"
Older.....	" 8	" ..	" ..	Good quality.....	"
Lovett.....	" 8	Moderately vigorous.	Small " medium	Fair quality	"
American Yellow Cap.	" 8	Vigorous...	Small.....	Sweet, good flavour.....	"
Kansas	" 8	" ..	Large medium	" ..	"
Palmer	" 9	" ..	Medium	" ..	"
Flegg	" 9	" ..	Large	Sweet, good quality	"
Progress	" 9	" ..	Medium	Good quality	"
Jackson's May King.	" 9	" ..	Small.....	Poor quality	Moderately productive.
Hopkins.....	" 9	Moderately vigorous.	" ..	" ..	" "
Lam. Cluster	" 12	Vigorous...	Large	Sweet, fair quality.....	"
Diamond.....	" 15	" ..	Small	Poor quality.....	Not productive.

BLACKBERRIES.

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Lovett's Best...	Aug. 10	Vigorous...	Large with many small.	Glossy black, sweet, good flavour, no core.	Not productive.
Oregon Ever-bearing.	Aug. 6 to Oct. 10	" ...	Large medium	Brownish black, drupes medium and compact. Fairly good quality when fully ripe.	Very productive.
Early King	July 15	Vigorous...	"	Glossy black, firm, sweet, good quality.	Productive.
Snyder.....	" 22	" ...	"	Glossy black, drupes medium large, sweet, without a core when ripe.	"
Eldorado.....	" 22	" ...	Large	Glossy black, firm, sweet, without a core when ripe, one of the best.	"
Dallas	" 23	Moderately vigorous.	Large medium	Glossy black, with a distinct, pleasant flavour, has no core, very good.	"
Erie... ..	" 24	Vigorous...	"	Glossy black, drupes large, sweet, fine flavour, without a core.	"
Agawam.....	" 26	" ...	Medium.....	Drupe small and compact, firm, sweet and pleasant, without a core when fully ripe.	Very productive.
Stone's Hardy ..	" 26	" ...	Large	Drupe large, fruit of a very high quality.	Productive.
Maxwell.....	" 28	" ...	"	Glossy black, thimble shaped firm, sweet, juicy, pleasant.	"
Wilson's Early..	" 28	" ...	Large medium	Berry long, drupes medium in size, sweet, pleasant flavour.	"
Ohmer	" 30	" ...	Large	Glossy black, oblong, drupes large, good flavour, slightly acid.	Moderately productive.
Brunton.....	" 30	Feeble growth.	Small	Not good quality...	Not productive.
Tecumseh	" 30	Vigorous...	Large medium	Glossy black, conical, drupes medium and compact, juicy sweet and pleasant, soft core.	Productive.
Kittatinny. . .	" 31	" ...	Small and large	Glossy black, drupes large, sweet, good, core small.	Moderately productive.
Crystal White...	Aug. 6	" ...	Small	Glossy black, sweet and pleasant to taste, but too small and imperfect.	" "
Lawton.....	" 6	" ...	Large	Glossy black, sweet	" "
Minnewaska	" 6	" ...	Medium	Glossy black, drupes large, sweet.	" "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

METEOROLOGICAL RECORD.

Date of Highest Temperature.	Degrees.	Date of Lowest Temperature.	Degrees.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Sunshine.	
				Inches.	Inches.	Hours.	Minutes.
1901.		1901.					
December 24.....	48	December 12.....	25	4.76	49	54
1902.		1902.					
January 14.....	49	January 25.....	1	2.88	8	72	54
February 15.....	53	February 1 and 2.	22	6.46	2	45	24
March 31.....	60	March 27.....	26	5.55	68	06
April 11.....	70	April 4.....	30	3.05	104	36
May 26.....	87	May 4-12-23-30...	40	4.17	93	36
June 21.....	89	June 30.....	45	2.43	141	24
July 19.....	95	July 12.....	46	2.58	170	54
August 6.....	86	August 27.....	38	3.30	239	00
September 13.....	84	September 26.....	37	2.79	141	42
October 2 and 7.....	67	October 17.....	36	3.55	118	
November 3 and 4...	58	November 8 and 20	26	8.62	12	27	
		Totals.....		53.54	22	1,272	30

This record, as compared with preceding years, shows that the season has been about an average one in rainfall, temperature and sunshine.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

THOS. A. SHARPE.

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURE ON THE DOMINION EXPERIMENTAL FARMS FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1902.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM—EXPENDITURE, 1901-1902.

Live stock, including special importation of dairy animals.....	\$ 7,900 26
Feed for stock, including supplies from experimental plots, \$530.69; also veterinary services.....	3,759 85
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.....	1,235 33
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....	1,110 58
Drainage and drain tiles.....	1,288 67
Manure and fertilizers for experimental plots and horticultural department.....	180 04
Travelling expenses.....	1,776 49
Exhibition expenses, including value of grain held over for ex- hibitions.....	520 80
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....	1,124 64
Bee department.....	189 73
Salaries of officers engaged in the general work of the farms, propor- tion chargeable to the Central Farm.....	1,935 48
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with grain and other farm crops; also salaries of officers in charge.....	6,058 73
Wages, care of stock.....	2,612 27
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to the Central Farm.....	1,247 60
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to the Central Farm.....	1,312 60
Horticultural division, including salary of officer in charge.....	5,094 13
Poultry division, including all supplies; also salary of officer in charge.....	2,111 29
Forestry division and care of grounds.....	1,407 11
Arboretum, including drawing and spreading of 520 loads of gravel on roads.....	1,973 99
Distribution of trees and tree seeds, including \$20.40 value of tree seeds supplied by Brandon and Indian Head Farms.....	107 70
Office help, correspondence branch and messenger service.....	3,905 41
Printing of office supplies and stationery.....	1,012 39
Seed testing and care of greenhouses.....	1,126 39
Dairy branch, including wages of dairyman.....	800 79
Contingencies, including \$725.11 for 590 loads gravel and work on roads.....	1,184 01
Books and newspapers.....	86 82
Telegrams and telephones.....	238 69
Steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	3,366 89
	<hr/>
LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments..	54,668 68
	6,060 81
	<hr/>
	\$ 48,607 87
	<hr/>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S., EXPENDITURE. 1901-1902.

Live stock, including special importation of dairy animals.....	1,429 39
Feed for stock, including veterinary services.....	2,685 47
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.....	371 09
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....	311 97
Manure and fertilizers.....	191 67
Travelling expenses.....	127 41
Exhibition expenses.....	151 29
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....	124 26
Salary of Superintendent, including proportion of salaries for general work, Ottawa.....	2,579 02
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops....	2,554 20
Wages, care of stock.....	1,410 00
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	727 75
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	539 59
Poultry branch.....	104 09
Horticultural division, including experimental work with vegetables, fruits, forest and ornamental trees and flowers; also care of grounds and salary of officer in charge.....	1,356 80
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.....	203 29
Contingencies, including postage, \$42; mail delivery, \$97.50.....	182 37
Printing and stationery.....	30 42
Books and newspapers.....	21 50
Telegrams and telephones.....	53 85
Steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	720 00
Drainage and drain tiles.....	102 00
	<hr/>
	15,977 43
LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	1,441 00
	<hr/>
	14,536 43

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MANITOBA—EXPENDITURE, 1901-2.

Live stock.....	43 60
Feed for stock, including veterinary services.....	125 25
Seed grain, trees, seeds, &c.....	138 67
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....	607 51
Travelling expenses.....	72 95
Exhibition expenses.....	246 70
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....	425 02
Bee department.....	20 82
Salary of Superintendent, including proportion of salaries for general work, Ottawa.....	2,629 02
Wages, farm work, including experimental work, with farm corps, &c.....	3,267 96
Wages, care of stock.....	953 75
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	727 75
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	539 59
Horticultural branch, including experiments with vegetables, fruits and flowers, also care of Arboretum and grounds.....	381 11
Forestry branch, including care of hedges.....	596 50
Poultry branch.....	63 50
Office help, including delivery of mail, \$143.....	766 50
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.....	746 91
Distribution of trees and tree seeds.....	441 70
Contingencies, including postage, \$80.....	147 58
Printing and stationery.....	25 54
Books and newspapers.....	28 50
Telegrams and telephones.....	80 86
Steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	385 93
Drainage and drain tiles.....	24 00
Manure and fertilizers.....	15 00
	<hr/>
	\$ 13,502 22
LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	\$ 858 64
Value of grain supplied for seed distribution at Ottawa.....	319 09
	<hr/>
	1,177 73
	<hr/>
	\$ 12,324 49

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.—EXPENDITURE, 1901-2.

Live stock.....	41 40
Feed for stock, including veterinary services.....	114 10
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.....	143 73
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....	507 07
Travelling expenses.....	70 25
Exhibition expenses.....	76 90
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....	194 65
Salary of Superintendent, including proportion of salaries for general work, Ottawa.....	2,629 02
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops.....	4,034 75
Wages, care of stock.....	871 50
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	727 75
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	539 58
Horticultural branch.....	441 21
Poultry branch.....	62 95
Forestry branch, including hedges.....	233 50
Office help, including delivery of mail.....	600 00
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.....	550 44
Distribution of trees and tree seeds.....	258 15
Contingencies, including postage, \$119.44.....	199 81
Printing and stationery.....	147 50
Telegrams and telephones.....	42 72
Books and newspapers.....	12 75
Steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	511 07

LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	\$ 13,010 80
Value of grain supplied for grain distribution at Ottawa.....	\$ 1,185 15
	1,316 89
	<u>2,502 04</u>
	<u>\$ 10,508 76</u>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.—EXPENDITURE, 1901-1902.

Live stock, including special purchase of short horn cattle in Ontario.....	1,238 84
Feed for stock, including veterinary services.....	50 98
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.....	206 68
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....	319 90
Manure and fertilizers.....	102 70
Travelling expenses.....	179 20
Exhibition expenses.....	141 61
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....	122 02
Salary of Superintendent, including proportion of salaries for general work, Ottawa.....	2,579 02
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops, vegetables, fruit trees, vines, &c.....	3,122 37
Wages, care of stock.....	504 40
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	727 75
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	539 58
Poultry branch.....	99 48
Forestry branch, including care of hedges.....	215 40
Office help.....	120 00
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.....	135 87
Distribution of trees and tree seeds.....	17 89
Clearing land.....	514 65
Contingencies, including postage, \$78.81.....	134 13
Printing and stationery.....	36 41
Books and newspapers.....	23 00
Telegrams.....	0 50
Drainage and drain tiles.....	60 00

LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased in 1900 for feeding experiments.....	11,192 38
	<u>393 35</u>
	<u>\$ 10,799 03</u>

SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE, 1901-1902.

Central Experimental Farm.....	\$ 48,607 87
Nappan	14,536 43
Brandon	12,324 49
Indian Head	16,508 76
Agassiz	10,799 03
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c., from Central Experimental Farm, including value of grain supplied from Brandon and Indian Head Experimental Farms.....	5,223 42
Printing bulletins and distribution of bulletins and reports \$4,000 00	
Less special sum in estimates for this item.....	4,000 00
	<u>\$ 102,000 00</u>

SUMMARY OF STOCK, MACHINERY, IMPLEMENTS, &c., ON HAND
DECEMBER 31, 1902.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM, OTTAWA, ONT.

18 Horses.....	\$ 2,500 00
11 Ayrshire cattle.....	1,900 00
12 Guernsey cattle.....	1,655 00
11 Durham cattle (Shorthorns)	2,450 00
5 Canadian cattle.....	650 00
21 Grade cattle.....	875 00
43 Yorkshire swine.....	731 00
21 Berkshire swine.....	485 00
12 Tamworth swine.....	200 00
15 Grade swine.....	120 00
16 Large black swine.....	167 50
16 Shropshire sheep.....	770 00
10 Leicester sheep.....	255 00
3 Grade sheep.....	15 00
Farm machinery and implements.....	2,811 25
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	1,228 70
Hand tools, hardware and sundries	1,216 00
Harness.....	556 95
Dairy department, machinery, &c.....	524 50
Horticultural and forestry departments, implements, tools, &c.....	582 35
Botanical department, implements, tools, &c.....	7 65
Poultry department, 282 fowls.....	196 00
Poultry department, implements, furnishings, &c.....	93 52
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	454 10
Chemical department, apparatus and chemicals	1,670 00
Books in several departments	398 30
Greenhouse plants, supplies, &c.....	1,911 00
Furniture at Director's house.....	1,100 00
Office furniture and stationery.....	1,170 95
	<u>26,497 77</u>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, NOVA SCOTIA.

7 Horses.....	\$ 895 00
4 Guernsey cattle.....	760 00
4 Holstein cattle.....	275 00
11 Ayrshire cattle.....	785 00
2 Jersey cattle.....	150 00
47 Grade cattle.....	1,390 00
3 Yorkshire swine.....	65 00
3 Berkshire swine.....	70 00
1 Tamworth pig.....	25 00
53 Grade swine.....	380 00
17 Sheep.....	264 00
30 Fowls.....	27 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	27 40
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs	310 00
Farm machinery.....	526 00
Farm implements.....	178 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	333 20
Harness.....	171 50
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	155 00
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	90 00
	<u>6,877 10</u>

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MANITOBA.

12 Horses.....	\$ 1,100 00
3 Ayrshire cattle.....	110 00
4 Durham cattle.....	425 00
2 Guernsey cattle.....	50 00
5 Grade cattle.....	277 00
1 Tamworth pig.....	15 00
10 Berkshire swine.....	75 00
12 Yorkshire swine.....	67 00
11 Grade swine.....	36 00
85 Fowls.....	85 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	123 95
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	430 00
Farm machinery.....	1,212 00
Farm implements.....	701 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	666 50
Harness.....	218 50
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	161 55
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	286 30

6,039 80

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.

13 Horses.....	\$ 1,280 00
1 Ayrshire bull.....	75 00
17 Durham cattle.....	1,520 00
1 Guernsey bull.....	75 00
17 Grade cattle.....	545 00
10 Berkshire swine.....	105 00
11 Tamworth swine.....	128 00
2 Yorkshire White swine.....	45 00
73 Fowls.....	60 50
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	33 75
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	576 00
Farm machinery.....	1,094 15
Farm implements.....	735 50
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	590 60
Harness.....	144 00
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	217 50
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	370 00

7,595 00

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.

6 Horses.....	\$ 725 00
15 Durham cattle.....	1,020 00
2 Grade cattle.....	50 00
16 Dorset horned sheep.....	192 50
7 Berkshire swine.....	80 00
1 Tamworth sow.....	25 00
1 Yorkshire White boar.....	35 00
63 Fowls.....	48 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	115 75
Vehicles, including farm wagons.....	220 00
Farm machinery.....	540 50
Farm implements.....	112 50
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	168 45
Harness.....	95 75
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	173 90
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	135 00

3,737 35

THOS. M. CRAMP, *Accountant.*

INDEX

	PAGE		PAGE
AGRICULTURIST—Report of.....	61	CHEMIST, Report of.....	129
Beef production.....	72	Acknowledgments.....	131
" influence of, age on cost of..	76	Assistant chemists.....	131
Cattle.....	62	Awnless Brome grass.....	141
Ayrshire.....	63	Barley.....	145
Dairy.....	63	Hulless White.....	145
Grade.....	63	Blatchford's calf meal, analysis of.....	150
Guernsey.....	63	Brans, analysis of.....	149
Shorthorn.....	62	Brome grass.....	141
Canadian.....	63	<i>Bromus inermis</i> , analysis of.....	142
Corn—Field crops of ensilage.....	86	<i>arvensis</i> , analysis of.....	142
Leaming.....	86	Bug death, analysis of.....	154
Longfellow.....	86	Butter, Canadian creamery, water in.....	164
Early Mastodon.....	86	California spray (lime, sulphur and salt).....	152
cost of growing.....	86	addition of potash to.....	153
Crop rotations.....	81	Canadian 'Bakers' Strong' flour.....	160
Dairy herds, the.....	66-69	Caplin, analysis of.....	154
monthly statements.....	65	Cattle feeds, mixed, analysis of.....	150
financial and general statements.....	66-69	Clover ensilage.....	139
Ayrshires.....	66	Cocoanut cake, analysis of.....	147
Canadians.....	68	Corn ensilage.....	139
Guernseys.....	68	Conservation of soil moisture in orchards.....	137
Shorthorns.....	67	Corn oil cake, analysis of.....	149
Ayrshire grades.....	69	bran, analysis of.....	149
Guernsey ".....	69	Correspondence.....	130
Shorthorn ".....	68	Cotton seed meal, analysis of.....	148
cost of feeding dairy cattle.....	64	Cover crops, sod and surface tillage, relation	
summer feeding.....	64	of, to the moisture content of soil.....	129
milking experiments.....	70	Ensilage, corn.....	139
Dairy records.....	70	clover.....	139
forms for keeping daily.....	71	Fertilizers.....	154
Early fall feeding for beef.....	73	Peats.....	155
Estimating cost of production of crops.....	83	Limestone.....	156
Farm crops.....	83	Squid and caplin.....	154
Corn.....	86	Fodders and feeding stuffs.....	129, 139
Mangels.....	87	Barley.....	145
Mixed crops.....	84	Hulless White barley.....	145
Oats.....	83-84	Blatchford's calf meal.....	150
Hay.....	85	Bran.....	149
Pumpkins.....	89	Brome grass.....	141
Sugar beets.....	88	Cocoanut cake.....	147
Turnips.....	87	Corn and clover ensilage.....	139
Horses.....	61	Corn by-products, gluten meal, &c.....	149
Cost of feeding.....	61	Cotton seed meal.....	148
Experiments with.....	62	Mangels.....	145
Humus, conservation.....	82	Mixed cattle feeds.....	150
Steers, experiments with.....	74-80	Oil cake.....	146
loose vs. tied.....	74	Roots, analysis of.....	144
influence of age on cost of producing beef.....	76	Sedge hay.....	144
feeding calves.....	78	Soja beans.....	151
" yearlings.....	77	Sugar beets.....	145
" two-year-olds.....	77	Swedes.....	145
" three ".....	76	Upland and lowland hay.....	143
baby beef.....	78	Flour.....	130
Sheep.....	80	Canadian 'Bakers' Strong'.....	160
Soil cultivation.....	81	analysis of.....	161
Swine.....	80	Gluten meal, analysis of.....	149
Bedford, S. A., Superintendent, Experimental		Hay, upland and lowland, analysis of.....	143
Farm, Brandon, Manitoba—Re-		sedge, analysis of.....	144
port of.....	279	Honey, ripe and unripe.....	163
Blair, W. S., Horticulturist, Experimental		water in.....	163
Farm, Nappan, N.S.—Report of.....	263	Hulless White barley, analysis of.....	145

	PAGE		PAGE
CHEMIST, Report of— <i>Con.</i>		DIRECTOR, Report of the— <i>Con.</i>	
Hydrocyanic acid.....	152	Barley—six-rowed, test of varieties— <i>Con.</i>	
Insecticides and fungicides.....	130	Odessa.....	10
chemistry of.....	151	Parkin.....	11
California spray (lime, sulphur and salt).....	152	Petschora.....	10
Bug death.....	154	Pioneer.....	10
Hydrocyanic acid.....	152	Rennie's Improved.....	10
Potassium cyanide.....	151	Royal.....	10
Letter of transmittal.....	129	Salzer's Silver King.....	10
Limestone.....	156	Sisolsk Spring.....	10
Mangels, analysis of.....	145	Stella.....	10
Mixed cattle feeds, analysis of.....	150	Success.....	10
Moisture content of soils, relation of cover		Summit.....	10
crops, sod and surface tillage to the.....	129	Surprise.....	10
Oil cake, analysis of.....	146	Trooper.....	10
Orchards, conservation of moisture in.....	137	Turkestan.....	11
Peats.....	155	Vanguard.....	10
Relation of cover crops, sod and surface		Yale.....	10
tillage to the moisture content of soils.....	129	Two-rowed, test of varieties of.....	9
Ripe and unripe honey.....	163	Beaver.....	10
Roots, analysis of.....	145	Bestehorn's Kaiser.....	10
Samples received for analysis.....	131	Bolton.....	10
Sedge hay, analysis of.....	144	Canadian Thorpe.....	10
Soils from British Columbia.....	132	Clifford.....	10
North-west Territories.....	133	Danish Chevalier.....	10
Newfoundland.....	135	Duckbill.....	10
Ontario.....	134	Dunham.....	10
conservation of moisture of.....	137	Fitchel Mountain.....	10
Soja bean.....	151	French Chevalier.....	10
Squid, analysis of.....	154	Fulton.....	10
Sugar beets.....	130, 156	Gordon.....	10
from Manitoba.....	158	Harvey.....	10
Nova Scotia.....	157	Improved Thanet.....	10
North-west Territories.....	159	Invincible.....	10
Ontario.....	158	Jarvis.....	10
Prince Edward Island.....	157	Kinver Chevalier.....	10
analysis of.....	145	Leslie.....	10
Swede, analysis of.....	145	Logan.....	10
Toxicological work.....	130	Monck.....	10
Tuberculin.....	130	Nepean.....	10
Upland and lowland hay.....	143	Newton.....	10
Waters from farm homesteads.....	165	Oregon.....	10
Water in Canadian creamery butter.....	164	Pacer.....	10
Wheat, World's Fair samples.....	161	Pelham.....	10
DIRECTOR—Report of the.....	5	Plumage.....	10
Acknowledgments.....	59	Prize Prolific.....	10
Agricultural products, display of, at exhibi-		Rigid.....	10
tions in England and Ireland.....	55	Sidney.....	10
Barley, experiments with.....	9	Standwell.....	10
Six-rowed, test of varieties of.....	9	Victor.....	10
Albert.....	10	Carrots, experiments with.....	20
Argyle.....	10	test of varieties of.....	21
Baxter.....	11	yield of, from late pulling.....	21
Beardless Salzer's.....	11	Clovers, experiments with.....	39
Blue Short Head.....	10	Clover, results of sowings of oats after.....	39, 40
Blue Long Head.....	10	Clover, results of ploughing under, on potato	
Brome.....	10	crop.....	39, 40
Champion.....	11	Clover, results of ploughing under, on corn	
Chinese Hulless.....	11	crop.....	39, 40
Claude.....	11	Corn, Indian, experiments with.....	17
Common.....	10	Amber Rice.....	17
Empire.....	11	Angel of Midnight.....	17
Excelsior.....	10	Black Mexican.....	17
Garfield.....	10	Canada White Flint.....	17
Hordeum Chousk.....	11	Champion White Pearl.....	17, 18
Hulless Black.....	10	Cloud's Early Yellow.....	17
Hulless White.....	10	Compton's Early.....	17
Lytton.....	10	Country Gentleman.....	17
Mansfield.....	11	Early August.....	17
Mensury.....	10	Early Butler.....	17
Munro.....	10	Early Golden Surprise.....	17
No. 8, from Norway.....	11	Early Mastodon.....	17
Nugent.....	10	Early Yellow Long-eared.....	17
Oderbruch.....	10	Eureka.....	17
Princess Sialof.....	10	Evergreen Sugar.....	17

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE		PAGE
DIRECTOR, Report of the— <i>Con.</i>		DIRECTOR, Report of the— <i>Con.</i>	
Corn, Indian, experiments with— <i>Con.</i>		Oats, experiments with— <i>Con.</i>	
Extra Early Huron	17	Buckbee's Illinois	8
Giant Prolific Ensilage	17	California Prolific Black	9
Kendall's Early Giant	17	Columbus	8
King of the Earliest	17	Cream Egyptian	8
King Philip	17	Cromwell	9
Longiclow	17, 18	Danish Island	8
Mammoth Cuban	17	Dixon	9
Mammoth Eight-rowed Flint	17	Duppaner Summer	8
Mitchell's Extra Early	17	Early Archangel	9
North Dakota White	17	Early Golden Prolific	8
North Dakota Yellow	17	Early Gothland	8
Pearce's Prolific	17	Early Maine	8
Pride of the North	17	Eureka	9
Red Cob Ensilage	17	Flying Scotchman	8
Sanford	17	Forbes	8
Selected Leaming	17, 18	Golden Beauty	8
Salzer's All Gold	17	Goldfinder	8
Salzer's Earliest Ripe	17	Golden Giant	9
Superior Fodder	17	Golden Tartarian	8
Thoroughbred White Flint	17	Great Northern	8
White Cap Yellow Dent	17	Hazlett's Seizure	8
White Pearl Pop	17	Holland	8
Yellow Six Weeks	17	Holstein Prolific	8
Corn, Indian, sown in rows at different dis-		Improved American	8
tances	18	Improved Ligowo	8
Correspondence	48, 49	Irish Victor	8
Crops, action of fertilizers on	26	Joanette	8
Crops in several provinces	5	Kendal Black	8
Ellis, Wm., reports of	45, 48	Kendal White	9
Experiments with fertilizers on barley	29-31	King	9
on Indian corn	33-35	Leutewitzer	9
on mangels and turnips	36-38	Liberty	9
on oats	31-33	Lincoln	8
on wheat	27-29	Longhoughton	9
Fertilizers, action of, on wheat, oats, clover		Master	8
and Brome grass	40-42	Mennonite	8
Fertilizers, special experiments with	25	Milford Black	9
Financial statement	391	Milford White	9
Flax, experiments with	23	Miller	9
Grain tests, table of, for each province	46	Newmarket	8
Green clover as a fertilizer	39, 40	New Zealand	8
Grain sown in different quantities	14, 15	Oderbruch	9
Hedges, report on	55	Olive Black	8
Horse beans, experiments with	24	Olive White	9
Letter of transmittal	3	Oxford	9
Mangels, experiments with	19	Pense Black	8
test of varieties of	20	Pense White	8
yield of from late pulling	20	Pioneer	9
Meteorological observations	47-48	Probstei	8
Millets, experiments with	24, 25	Prolific Black Tartarian	8
Mixed roots and vegetables, experiments		Rennie's Prize	9
with	25	Rosedale	9
Notes on a journey westward	49	Russell Branching	9
Oats, experiments with	7	Russell Half-sided	8
tests of varieties of	8	Salines	8
Abundance	8	Salzer's Big Four	8
Abyssinia	9	Scotch Potato	9
Aiken Black	9	Scottish Chief	8
American Beauty	8	Selchowor	8
American Triumph	8	Sensation	8
Anderbecker	8	Siberian	8
Atlantic	8	Sorgenfrei	9
Australian	8	Swedish Select	9
Banner	8	Tartar King	9
Bavarian	8	Thousand Dollar	8
Bayonet	8	Tobolsk 2800	9
Bestehorn's Abundance	8	Twentieth Century	8
Beseler	9	Victoria Prize	9
Black Beauty	8	Virginia White Abundance	8
Black Meesdag	9	Wallis	8
Black No. 6 Summer	9	Waverley	8
Bonanza	9	White Giant	8
Brandon	8	White Russian	8

	PAGE		PAGE
DIRECTOR, Report of the— <i>Con.</i>		DIRECTOR, Report of the— <i>Con.</i>	
Oats, experiments with— <i>Con.</i>		Wheat, spring, experiments with— <i>Con.</i>	11
White Schonen.....	8	Crawford.....	11
Wide-Awake.....	8	Crown.....	11
Zhelanni.....	9	Dawn.....	11
Pease, experiments with.....	15-16	Dawson.....	12
Plant Breeding, International Conference on.....	54	Dayton.....	12
Potatoes, field plots of.....	22	Dior's.....	12
Publications issued during the year.....	58-59	Dufferin.....	13
Reports on journeys made.....	49	Early Riga.....	12
Sable Island, experiments in tree planting		Ebert.....	12
on.....	55-58	Emporium.....	12
Seed grain, distribution of.....	42	Essex.....	12
" " benefits of.....	6, 42	Felbrig, No. 7.....	12
Seeds, tests of vitality of.....	45	Fife, Red.....	12
Soja beans, experiments with.....	24	Fife, White.....	12
Summary of stock, &c., on each Experimen-		Fife, Wellman's.....	12
tal Farm.....	394	Florence.....	12
Sugar beets, experiments with.....	21	Fraser.....	12
test of varieties of.....	21	Gehun.....	12
yield of, from late pulling.....	22	Goose.....	13
Turnips, experiments with.....	18, 19	Grant.....	13
test of varieties of.....	19	Harold.....	12
yield of, from late pulling.....	19	Harper.....	12
Visit to Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.	54	Hastings.....	12
Visit to Experimental Farm, Brandon, M.	50	Herisson Bearded.....	11
Visit to Experimental Farm, Indian Head,		Hungarian.....	11
N.W.T.....	50, 51	Huron.....	11
Visit to Experimental Farm, Agassiz, B.C.	51	Japanese.....	12
Visit to the Ranches.....	51	Kingsford.....	13
Visit, Calgary to Macleod.....	52	Ladoga.....	12
Visit, Kamloops to Vernon.....	52	Lakefield.....	12
Visit, Lethbridge to Mormon Settlements.....	52, 53	Laurel.....	11
Visit, Regina to Saskatoon.....	53	Leutewitzer.....	13
Visit, Regina to Milestone and Pense.....	53	Markham.....	12
Visit to Sewell.....	50	Mason.....	12
Visit to Winnipeg.....	49	Minnesota, No. 149.....	12
Visit to Western Horticultural Society.....	53	Minnesota, No. 163.....	12
Wheat, spring, experiments with.....	11	Minnesota, No. 169.....	12
Admiral.....	11	Minnesota, No. 181.....	12
Advance.....	12	Morley.....	12
Alpha.....	12	Monarch.....	11
Angus.....	13	Newdale.....	12
Australian, No. 1.....	12	Nixon.....	12
Australian, No. 2.....	13	Norval.....	12
Australian, No. 9.....	13	Orleans.....	12
Australian, No. 10.....	13	Oxbow.....	12
Australian, No. 11.....	13	Percy.....	11
Australian, No. 12.....	12	Perron.....	13
Australian, Duff, No. 13.....	12	Plumper.....	12
Australian, No. 14.....	13	Polonian.....	13
Australian, No. 15.....	13	Powell.....	13
Australian, No. 18.....	13	Preston.....	11
Australian, No. 19.....	12	Pringle's Champlain.....	12
Australian, No. 21.....	13	Progress.....	12
Australian, No. 25.....	13	Prospect.....	12
Australian, No. 27.....	13	Red Fern.....	11
Australian, No. 28.....	12	Red Swedish.....	12
Australian, No. 33.....	12	Redpath.....	13
Beaudry.....	12	Rideau.....	12
Beauty.....	12	Rio Grande.....	11
Benton.....	12	Robin's Rust Proof.....	12
Bishop.....	11	Robson.....	12
Blair.....	12	Roumanian.....	11
Blenheim.....	11	Speltz.....	13
Boyle.....	12	Spence.....	13
Byron.....	12	Stanley.....	12
Captor.....	12	Steinmedal.....	13
Cartier.....	13	Strubas.....	13
Cassel.....	13	Tracey.....	12
Chester.....	12	Vernon.....	12
Clyde.....	12	Washington, No. 2959.....	13
Colorado.....	12	Washington, No. 5639.....	13
Connell White.....	12	Washington, No. 5642.....	13
Countess.....	11	Washington, No. 5643.....	13

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

DIRECTOR, Report of the— <i>Con.</i>	PAGE	ENTOMOLOGIST & BOTANIST, Report of— <i>Con.</i>	PAGE
Wheat, spring, experiments with— <i>Con.</i>		DeLaporte, A. V., on Pea Weevil.....	178
Washington, No. 5644.....	13	<i>Epicauta pennsylvanica</i>	186
Washington, No. 5645.....	13	<i>sericans</i>	186
Washington, No. 5646.....	13	Fescue, Meadow.....	198
Washington, No. 5799.....	13	<i>Festuca pratensis</i>	198
Washington, No. 5800.....	13	Fisher, Geo. E., on remedies for San José	
Weldon.....	12	Scale.....	187
White Chaff, Campbell's.....	12	on application of emulsions.....	189
White Russian.....	11	Fixter, John, report by.....	192
Wheat, winter experiments with.....	13	Fodder crops.....	196
American Bronze.....	13	Fodder plants.....	170
Bonnell.....	13	Fruit crops, insect enemies of.....	187
Buda Pesth.....	13	Fumigation stations.....	190
Dawson's Golden Chaff.....	13	Grass experiments.....	170, 196
Early Red Clawson.....	13	Grass mixture, Central Experimental Farm.....	196
Egyptian Amber.....	13	Grass mixtures, experiments with.....	197
Gold Coin.....	13	Grass Pea.....	185
Golden Cross.....	13	Grasshoppers.....	187
Imperial Amber.....	13	Hay from grass mixtures.....	197
Jones' Winter Fife.....	13	Hessian Fly.....	173
Long Berry Red.....	13	Hickey, Mrs. C. E., on strawberry pests.....	190
Poole.....	13	Horn-fly, Cattle.....	171
Pride of Illinois.....	13	Hydrocyanic acid fumigation.....	189, 190
Red Velvet Chaff.....	13	Insects of the year.....	171
Reliable.....	13	James, Prof. C. C., on crops.....	173
Surprise.....	13	acknowledgment to.....	177
Tasmania Red.....	13	Kerosene emulsion, for San José Scale.....	189
Treadwell.....	13	<i>Lathyrus sativus</i>	185
Turkey Red.....	13	Lime and sulphur wash, for San José Scale.....	188
Velvet Chaff.....	13	Locusts.....	185
ENTOMOLOGIST & BOTANIST—Report of. 169-201		Lochhead, Prof. W., work on Pea Weevil.....	176
Acknowledgments.....	172	Matthews, W. D., & Co., on Pea Weevil.....	178
<i>Agrostis vulgaris</i>	199	McKellar, H., on crops.....	173
Allan, J. H., & Co., on Pea Weevil.....	178	Mediterranean Flour Moth.....	171
<i>Amaro</i> , sp.....	186	Meetings attended.....	169
Anderson, J. R., acknowledgment to.....	172	<i>McInophus atlantis</i>	186
note on crops.....	173	<i>bivittatus</i>	186
Apiary.....	191	<i>Packardii</i>	186
season of 1902.....	192	<i>spretus</i>	186
experiments, different kinds of hives.....	192	<i>Mesoleuca truncata</i>	190
feeding syrup for winter stores.....	193	Niles, W. P., on Pea Weevil.....	178
brood foundations of different sizes.....	193	on "oily peas".....	184
do bees injure fruit?.....	194	Orchard Grass.....	198
<i>Aspidiotus perniciosus</i>	187	Paris green mixture for Locusts.....	186, 187
Bisulphide of carbon for Pea Weevil.....	181	Pea Aphis, Destructive.....	179
Blue Grass, Canada.....	199	"Pea Bug".....	175
Kentucky.....	198	Pea Moth.....	159
Brome Grass, Awnless.....	198	Pea Weevil.....	175
Brown-tailed Moth.....	171	extent of the injury.....	177
Bruce, John A., & Co., on Pea Weevil.....	185	necessity of immediate action.....	177
<i>Bruchus pisorum</i>	175	difficulties.....	180, 184
Bud-moth, Eye-spotted.....	171	remedies.....	181
Buffalo Carpet Beetle.....	171	recommendations.....	183
Burke, Rev. A. E., on crops.....	173	substitute crops.....	185
California lime and sulphur wash.....	170	Peterson, C. W., on crops.....	173
Carruthers, Jas., & Co., on Pea Weevil.....	177, 178	Petroleum, crude, for San José Scale.....	188
<i>Cecidomyia destructor</i>	173	<i>Petrophora truncata</i>	190
Cereals, insect enemies of.....	173	<i>Phleum pratense</i>	199
Chess and fall wheat plots.....	170	<i>Pisum</i>	183
Cleveland Seed Co., on Pea Weevil.....	178	<i>Poa compressa</i>	199
Clover, Common Red.....	200	<i>pratensis</i>	198
Mammoth Red.....	200	Real, W. N., on coal oil for Pea Weevil.....	182
Simpson's True-perennial Red.....	199	Red Top.....	199
Zigzag.....	200	Ross, W., M.P., on coal oil for Pea Weevil.....	182
Clovers in grass mixtures.....	196, 197	San José Scale.....	171, 187
Coal oil treatment for Pea Weevil.....	182	<i>Scopelosoma tristigmata</i>	191
Cockle, J. W., acknowledgment to.....	172	Red Clover.....	200
Collections, entomological and botanical.....	172	Slugs.....	171
Correspondence.....	169	Soap, whale-oil, for San José Scale.....	188, 189
Cow Grass.....	200	Steele Briggs Seed Co., on Pea Weevil.....	177
Cridde, N., on Locusts.....	186	Strawberry pests, two new.....	190
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	169	Stupart, R. F., acknowledgment to.....	177

	PAGE
ENTOMOLOGIST & BOTANIST, Report of— <i>Con.</i>	
Sulphur fumigation for Mediterranean Flour	
Moth	171
Taylor, Rev. G. W., acknowledgment to ..	172
Timothy	199
<i>Trifolium medium</i>	200
<i>pratense</i>	200
Wright, A. A., on Pea Weevil	178

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ—Report of	
Superintendent	357
Almonds	381
Amber sugar cane	373
Apples, report on, with descriptions of	
new varieties fruiting	376, 378
Apples, desirable sorts for planting in	
B. C.	379
Apricots, report on	381
Barley, experiments with	360
test of varieties of	360
Beans, experiments with	375
Bees, report on	358
Beets, experiments with	375
Blackberries, report on	388
Agawam	388
Brunton	388
Crystal White	388
Dallas	388
Early King	388
Eldorado	388
Erie	388
Kittatinny	388
Lawton	388
Lovett's Best	388
Maxwell	388
Minnewaska	388
Ohmer	388
Oregon Everbearing	388
Stone's Hardy	388
Snyder	388
Tecumseh	388
Wilson's Early	388
Brocoli, experiments with	374
Broom corn	373
Brussels Sprouts, experiments with	374
Cabbage, experiments with	374
Carrots, experiments with	368, 374
test of varieties of	369
Cattle	358
Cauliflowers, experiments with	374
Celery, experiments with	375
Cherries, report on, with descriptions of	
new varieties, fruiting	381
Clearing of land	358
Corn, experiments with	364, 365
planted at different distances	365, 366
treated with fertilizers	366
Correspondence	376
Currants, black, report on	386
Ambrafarbige	386
Baldwin	386
Bang Up	386
Beauty	386
Bella	387
Black Naples	386
Boskoop Giant	386
Charmer	386
Champion	386
Climax	387
Dominion	386
Eagle	386
Eclipse	386
Ethel	387
Gewöhnliche	386
Henry	387

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ— <i>Con.</i>	PAGE
Currants—black— <i>Con.</i>	
Kentish Hero	386
Kentville	386
Kerry	386
Lanark	386
Lee's Prolific	386
Lennox	386
London	386
Louise	386
Madoc	386
Marveille de la Gironde	386
Middlesex	386
Monarch	387
Norton	387
Ontario	386
Orton	387
Oxford	387
Parker	387
Pearce	387
Perry	386
Pomona	387
Prince of Wales	386
Ruler	386
Star	386
Stirling	386
Stewart	386
Success	386
Victoria	386
Wood	386
Currants, red and white, report on	384, 385
Beauty of St. Giles	385
Champagner, white	385
De la Rochepoze	385
English Red	385
Eyatt's new	385
Fay's Prolific	385
Frauendorfer	385
Gondoin, red	385
white	384
Kaiser	385
Knight's Early Red	384
La Cnde	385
La Fertile	384
La Hative	384
La Turinaise	385
Large Red	385
Large White Brandenburg	385
Large White Dessert	385
Moore's Ruby	385
New Red Dutch	385
North Star	385
Pomona	384
Prince Albert	385
Raby Castle	384
Red Cherry	385
Red Dutch	385
Ringen's Red	385
Verrier's White	385
Versailles	385
Victoria	385
White Cherry	385
" Dutch	384
" Esperen	385
" Grape	384
" Imperial	385
" Pearl	385
" Transparent	384
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c. ..	376
Ditching	373
Fodder crops, experiments with	372
Forest trees, plantations of	357
Fowls	358
Fruit crops	357
Grapes, report on	381

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ— <i>Con.</i>	PAGE
Hedges.....	357
Horses.....	358
Horse beans, experiments with.....	373
Lettuce, experiments with.....	374
Live stock.....	358
Mangels, experiments with.....	367
test of varieties.....	368
Medlars, report on.....	381
Meteorological report.....	389
Millet, experiments with.....	372
Mountain orchards.....	382
Mulberries, report on.....	382
Nectarines, report on.....	381
Nut-bearing trees, report on.....	357
Oats, experiments with.....	358
test of varieties of.....	359
treated with fertilizers.....	364
Onions, experiments with.....	375
Ornamental trees and shrubs.....	357
Peaches, report on.....	381
Pears, report on, with descriptions of new varieties fruiting.....	379
Pease, garden, experiments with.....	376
field, experiments with.....	362
test of varieties.....	363
Plums, report on, with descriptions of new varieties fruiting.....	380
Potatoes, experiments with.....	370
test of varieties of.....	370, 371
tests with fertilizers.....	371
Poultry.....	358
Quinces, report on.....	381
Radishes, experiments with.....	374, 375
Rape, Dwarf Essex.....	373
Raspberries, black cap, report on.....	387
American Yellow Cap.....	387
Carman.....	387
Conrath.....	387
Cromwell.....	387
Diamond.....	387
Early Ohio.....	387
Gregg.....	387
Hopkins.....	387
Jackson's May King.....	387
Kansas.....	387
Lovett.....	387
Mammoth Cluster.....	387
Nemaha.....	387
Older.....	387
Palmer.....	387
Progress.....	387
Smith's Prolific.....	387
Raspberries, red and yellow, report on.....	382
All Summer.....	383
Arnold's Hybrid.....	383
Autumn Surprise.....	383
Barnett.....	384
Ballard's Perpetual.....	382
Battler's Giant.....	382
Baumforth's Seedling.....	383
Beehive.....	384
Belle de Fontenay.....	382
Brinckle's Orange.....	384
Cariboo Wild.....	384
Carleton.....	383
Carter's Prolific.....	382
Champion.....	382
Chili.....	384
Clarke.....	383
Craig.....	383
Crimson Beauty.....	382
Cuthbert.....	383
Duke of Brabant.....	382
Empire.....	383

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ— <i>Con.</i>	PAGE
Raspberries, red and yellow— <i>Con.</i>	
Fastolf.....	383
Franconia.....	382
French Vice-President.....	384
Garfield.....	381
Garnet.....	383
Golden Queen.....	383
Goliath.....	384
Guinea.....	383
Hansel.....	382
Heebner.....	383
Hornet.....	383
Hudson River Antwerp.....	382
King.....	383
Knevitt's Giant.....	383
Lady Anne.....	383
Large Yellow.....	383
La Mercier.....	383
Lizzie.....	384
Lord Beaconsfield.....	383
Malta.....	382
Marlboro.....	383
Mary.....	383
Miller.....	384
Minnie.....	384
Muriel.....	383
Muskingum.....	383
New Fastolf.....	382
Nonpareil.....	382
Northumberland Fillbasket.....	382
Norwich Wonder.....	383
Oregon Late.....	384
Pauline.....	382
Percy.....	383
Phoenix.....	382
Prince of Wales.....	384
Queen of the Market.....	383
Queen Victoria.....	384
R. B. Whyte.....	383
Red Herrenhauser.....	382, 383
Sarah.....	383
Semper Fidelis.....	384
Shaffer's Colossal.....	384
Sharpe.....	383
Sir John.....	384
Sugar of Metz.....	383
Thompson.....	382
Turner.....	382
White Antwerp.....	382
Wildor.....	384
Yellow Antwerp.....	382
Yellow Spineless.....	382
Sheep.....	358
Small fruits.....	382
Soja beans, experiments with.....	372
Sugar beets, experiments with.....	369
test of varieties of.....	369
Sunflowers, experiments with.....	373
Turnips, experiments with.....	366
test of varieties of.....	37
Turnips, table, experiments with.....	374
Vegetables.....	373
Weather.....	357
Wheat, spring, spring, experiments with.....	361
test of varieties of.....	361, 362
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON—Report of the Superintendent.....	279
Amber sugar cane.....	297
Apples, grafted.....	304
report on.....	303
Apple Tonka.....	304
Arboretum.....	306
Avenues.....	307

	PAGE
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON— <i>Con.</i>	
Awnless Brome grass	297
Barley, experiments with	286
test of varieties of	286, 287
Bees, experiments with	302
<i>Bromus inermis</i>	297
Broom corn	297
Carrots, experiments with	202
test of varieties of	293
Caterpillars on willows	309
Clovers	296
Colorado potato beetle	296
Corn, Indian, experiments with	289
test of varieties of	290
sown at different distances apart	290
Correspondence	318
Crab apple seedlings report on	303
Crab, transcendant	304
Cucumbers, experiments with	310
Currants, report on	305, 306
Dahlias, report on	316
Distribution of seed grain and potatoes	317
of forest tree seeds	317
Exhibition samples	317
Farmers' meetings attended	318
Flax, experiments with	288, 289
Flowers, experiments with	313
Flowering shrubs, report on	307
Fruit trees, experiments with	303, 304
Gooseberries, report on	306
Grain, germinating power of	289
Grasses and clovers	296
Hedges, report on	308
Horse beans, experiments with	298
Mangels, experiments with	292
test of varieties of	292
Meetings attended	318
Meteorological report	318
Millet, experiments with	297
Oats, experiments with	284, 285
average results of a five years' test	286
test of varieties of	285
Pease, field, experiments with	287
test of varieties of	287
garden	300, 310
Perennial flowers	314
Plum trees, report on	304
Potatoes, experiments with	294
test of varieties of	295
average yield during five years	296
test of sets	313
Poultry, report on	302
Propagation from cuttings	307
Propagation of trees from seed	307
<i>Pyrus baccata</i>	280
Raspberries, report on	305
Rhubarb, experiments with	313
Rye, fall, experiments with	283
Samples for exhibition purposes	317
Sand cherries, report on	306
Shrubs and trees	306, 307
Spruce, planting of	307
Steers, experiments with	298
Sugar beets, experiments with	293
Swine, experiments with	300
reports from parties supplied with	302
brood sows	302
Tomatoes, experiments with	311, 312
Top grafting	304
Tree distribution	317
reports on	317
Trees and shrubs, report on	306, 307
Tulips	315
Turnips, experiments with	291
test of varieties of	291

	PAGE
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON— <i>Con.</i>	
Vegetable garden	309
Visitors to the Experimental Farm	279, 318
Weather	279
Wheat, Speltz, experiments with	284
thick and thin sowing	284
Wheat, spring, experiments with	280
field plots of	283
test of fertilizers on	282, 283
selected and unselected seed	282
test of varieties of	280, 281
results of five years' test of	281
fall, experiments with	283
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.—	
Report of the Superintendent	319
<i>Agropyrum tenerum</i>	334
Apples, report on	346
Arboretum	345
Asparagus, experiments with	339
Awnless Brome grass	333, 334
Barley, test of varieties of	326, 327
experiments with	326
field lots of	327
Beans, garden, experiments with	339
Beets, experiments with	340
Breaking and backsetting	350
Brocoli	340
<i>Bromus inermis</i>	333, 334
Cabbage, experiments with	340
Canary seed, grass	333
Carrots, experiments with	336
test of varieties	337-340
Cattle	351
Cauliflower, experiments with	340
Celery, experiments with	340
Cherries, report on	348
Citrons, experiments with	341
Corn, Indian, experiments with	329
sown at different distances	330
test of varieties of	329
Corn, garden	341
Correspondence	355
Crab apples, Siberian	320
Crops on Experimental Farm	319
Cross-bred apples	347
Cucumbers, experiments with	341
Currants, report on	348
Distribution of grain, potatoes, forest trees, &c.	355
Experimental Farm crops	319
Exhibitions, preparations for	355
Flax, experiments with	332
Flowers, report on	344
Forest trees and shrubs, report on distribu- tion of	355
Fruit trees and bushes, report on	347
Grain, distribution of samples of	355
Gooseberries, report on	348
Grasses, experiments with	333, 334, 335
Hay crop	319, 333
Horse beans	333
Horses	355
Lettuce, experiments with	341
Mangels, experiments with	336
Melons, experiments with	342
Meteorological report	356
Millet, experiments with	332
Oats, experiments with	324
field lots of	324
test of varieties of	325
comparative test of selected, well cleaned and small oats for seed	326
Onions, experiments with	341
Parsley	343

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD— <i>Con.</i>	PAGE
Parsnips, experiments with.....	343
Pease, experiments with.....	327
garden.....	342
test of varieties of.....	328
Peppers, experiment with.....	343
Perennial flowers, report on.....	345
Plum trees, report on.....	347
Potatoes, experiments with.....	337
distribution of.....	355
test of varieties of.....	338, 339
Poultry, report on.....	355
Pumpkins, experiments with.....	342
Radish, experiments with.....	343
Rainfall.....	356
Raspberries, report on.....	348
Rhubarb, experiments with.....	343
Roots, field, experiments with.....	335
Rotation of crops, experiments in.....	330, 331, 332
Rye, spring.....	333
Rye grass, western.....	334
Sage.....	343
Seed grain, distribution of.....	355
Small fruits.....	348
Soja beans.....	333
Spinach, experiments with.....	343
Squash, experiments with.....	342
Steers, experiments with.....	351-354
Strawberries, report on.....	349
Sunflowers, experiments with.....	333
Sugar beets, experiments with.....	337
Summer fallows.....	349
Swine, report on.....	354
Tomatoes, experiments with.....	343
Trees and shrubs.....	345, 346
Turnips, experiments with.....	335, 342
test of varieties.....	335
Vegetable garden.....	339
Weather.....	319
Wheat, fall.....	324
Wheat, Speltz, experiment with.....	323
Wheat, spring, experiments with.....	320, 321
field lots of.....	321, 322
test of varieties of.....	320
test of bluestone as a smut preventive for.....	322
test of fertilizers for.....	323
test of sowing selected and well cleaned seed.....	322
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N. S. :—	
Report of the Superintendent.....	231
Acknowledgments.....	231
Barley, experiments with.....	234
test of varieties of.....	234
Bees, experiments with.....	262
Buckwheat, field crop of.....	238
experiments with.....	233
Bug Death, experiments with.....	250
Carrots, experiments with.....	242
test of varieties.....	243
Cattle.....	252
Corn, Indian, experiments with.....	239
field crops of.....	248
planted at different distances.....	240
test of varieties.....	239
Correspondence.....	251
Cows, experiments with.....	252
Dairy cattle.....	252
Distribution of seed grain and potatoes.....	251
Exhibitions attended.....	252
Experimental Farm crops.....	231
Experiments with field grain.....	238
Fertilizers, special experiments with.....	247, 248
Hay.....	251
Horse beans, experiments with.....	246

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN— <i>Con.</i>	PAGE
Horses.....	252
Live stock.....	252
Mangels, experiments with.....	241
field crops of.....	249
test of varieties.....	242
Meetings attended.....	252
Meteorological record.....	232
Milch cows, experiments with.....	252
Millets, experiments with.....	245
Mixed grain field crops.....	238
Oats, experiments with.....	232
test of varieties of.....	233
Pease, experiments with.....	236
test of varieties.....	237
Pigs.....	260
Potatoes, experiments with.....	244
test of varieties of.....	244, 245
Poultry.....	261
Seed grain and potatoes distributed.....	251
Sheep.....	261
Soja beans, early, experiments with.....	246
Steers, experiments with.....	253, 255
on limited and full fattening ration.....	256, 260
Sugar beets, experiments with.....	243
test of varieties.....	243
Sunflowers, Russian.....	251
Swine, experiments with.....	240
Turnips, experiments with.....	260
field crops of.....	248, 249
test of varieties.....	241
Visitors.....	231
Weather.....	231
Wheat, spring, experiments with.....	235
test of varieties.....	235, 236
experiments with fertilizers.....	247
Report of the Horticulturist.....	263
Apple crop.....	264
Apple orchard.....	264
Apples—	
Canada Baldwin.....	265
Canada Red.....	265
Gano.....	267
Grimes' Golden.....	265
Hurlbut.....	267
Jonathan.....	266
McIntosh Red.....	264
North Western Greening.....	266
Ontario.....	265
York Imperial.....	265
Beans, garden, list of.....	276
Beets.....	277
Cabbage.....	277
Carrots.....	277
Cauliflowers.....	277
Celery.....	277
Cherry orchard.....	267
Corn, test of varieties.....	274
Cherries, varieties of.....	268, 269
Currants, red and white.....	264
Cucumbers.....	277
Flower garden.....	264
Fruit crops.....	264
Garden pease.....	274
test of varieties.....	274
Gooseberries.....	264
Onions, test of.....	275, 276, 277
Ornamental trees and shrubs.....	264
Parsnips.....	277
Pease, garden, list of best varieties.....	276
Plum orchard.....	269
Plums—	
Bryanston's Gage.....	271
Cor's Golden Drop.....	271
Fellenburg.....	271

	PAGE		PAGE
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN— <i>Con.</i>		HORTICULTURIST— <i>Con.</i>	
Plums— <i>Con.</i>		Plums.....	102
German Prune.....	272	descriptions of varieties of.....	102
Imperial Gage.....	270	Amaryllis.....	103
Lombard.....	272	Consul.....	102
Moore's Arctic.....	270	Early Red.....	102
Pond's Seedling.....	272	Richland.....	102
Prince's Yellow Gage.....	270	Sunrise.....	102
Reine Claude de Bavay.....	270	Ungarish.....	102
Washington.....	270	Montreal seedling, descriptions of.....	103
Potatoes, early, experiments with.....	275	Brodie.....	104
Shrubs and trees.....	264	Harrigan.....	104
Squash.....	277	Lachine.....	104, 105
Strawberries, experiments with.....	272	Lunn.....	103, 104
test of varieties.....	273	Montmorency.....	104, 105
Tomatoes, test of varieties of.....	276, 277	Mountain.....	104
Weather.....	263	Mount Royal.....	103, 104
Fletcher, Dr. J., Entomologist and Botanist		Mount Royal, seedling of.....	104
—Report of.....	169	Outremont.....	104
Gilbert, A. G., Poultry Manager—Report of.	203	Raynes.....	103, 104
Grisdale, J. H., Agriculturist—Report of....	61	Potatoes.....	112
Horticulturist of the Central Experimental		planted at different dates.....	116
Farm—Report of the.....	91	planted at different distances apart.....	117
Acknowledgments.....	93	planted at different depths.....	117
Apples, russetting of.....	111	results of planting different kinds of sets	
seedling.....	98	of.....	117
shipment of, to Glasgow.....	96	spraying.....	117
top grafted.....	95	test of varieties of.....	112
Apple leaves, dropping of.....	111	Raspberries.....	109
Apple orchard, a close planted Wealthy.....	97	Russetting of apples.....	111
Apple orchards, seedling.....	95	Seedling apples.....	98
Arboretum.....	123	Seedling apple orchards.....	95
Blackberries.....	109	Seedling plums, Montreal.....	103
Black rot of cabbage.....	111	Shipment of apples to Glasgow.....	95
Blight and rot of potatoes, results of spray-		Spraying.....	110
ing to prevent.....	117	Strawberries.....	106
Botanic garden.....	123	Descriptions of varieties of.....	106
Bug Death.....	118	Barton's Eclipse.....	106
Cabbage, black rot of.....	111	Bisel.....	106
Canadian trees, hardiness of.....	123	Buster.....	106
Character of season.....	91	Daniel Boone.....	106
Cherries.....	105	Enhance.....	106
Cerise d'Ostheim.....	105	Marie.....	106
Koslov Morello.....	105, 106	Mele.....	106
Minnesota Ostheim.....	105	Yields of varieties of.....	107
Montmorency Ordinaire.....	105	Tomatoes.....	120
Orel 24.....	105	six best yielding wrinkled varieties of.....	121
Orel 25.....	105	six earliest varieties of, 1902.....	121
Vladimir.....	105	twelve best yielding smooth varieties of.....	121
Currants.....	109	twelve best yielding varieties of, 1902.....	121
Donations.....	93	Top grafted apples trees.....	95
Dropping of apple leaves.....	111	Vegetables.....	112
Forest belts.....	122	Vegetable crops.....	92
Fruit crops.....	92	Wealthy apple orchard, a close planted.....	97
Fungous diseases.....	111		
Gooseberries.....	110	Mackay, A., Superintendent Experimental	
Grapes.....	101	Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.—Report of..	319
Meetings attended and places visited.....	92		
Montreal seedling plums, report of Com-		POULTRY MANAGER—Report of.....	203
mittee on.....	103	Artificial incubation necessary.....	205
Montreal seedling plums, description of		A sentimental view of farm help.....	206
varieties of.....	103	Breed's, flesh development of certain.....	219
Orchard culture.....	95	Breeds, trial of.....	208
Pears.....	101	Best time to hatch chickens.....	205
Pease.....	122	Chickens wanted by purchasing companies..	212
experiments for comparison of yields		Close confinement vs. fresh air.....	206
and quality of.....	122	Commencement of winter laying.....	214
Perennials herbaceous, list of best spring		Chicken fattening experiments, by F. T.	
flowering.....	124	Shutt.....	218
		Conclusions re egg-preserving substances.....	217
		Cost of rations.....	214
		Composition of rations.....	214
		Difference between markets.....	215

	PAGE		PAGE
POULTRY MANAGER, Report of— <i>Con.</i>		POULTRY MANAGER, Report of— <i>Con.</i>	
Different methods of preserving eggs	217	Some observations confirmed	204
Deductions from hatching results	210	Skim-milk <i>vs.</i> water	224
Eggs laid during year	215	Shutt, F. T. preservation of eggs by	214
Eggs, preservation of	216	Work of last year, and results	204
Eggs laid from Dec., 1901, to June 30, 1902	215	What past experience has taught	204
Eggs set and chickens hatched	208	Wanted, three months' old chickens	212
Experiments in different ways of fattening chickens	208	Wyandottes and Orpingtons, flesh development of	221
Early market types	211	Weight development of the chickens	211
Early penning up of fowls	214	Winter eggs and how to get them	214
Fattening in crates, limited and unlimited run	226	When pullets began to lay	214
Gluten meal and clover meal	229	Winter laying, commencement of	214
How the best types may be produced	212	What a purchaser for export says	212
How many chickens should a farmer rear?	206	Whole <i>vs.</i> finely ground grains	222
Hens—rations for 247	214		
Incubator-hatched chicks, progress of	211	Robertson, R., Superintendent, Experimental Farm, Nappau, N.S.—Report of	231
Manner of feeding farm rations	214		
Meetings attended	203	Saunders, Wm., Director—Report of	5
Open shed attachment to poultry house	205		
Pen <i>vs.</i> crate	226	Sharpe, Thos. A., Superintendent, Experimental Farm, Agassiz—Report of	357
Progress made by chickens	210		
Renfrew Christmas Poultry Fair	203	Shutt, F. T., Chemist—Report of	129
Rations used and their value	214		
Suitable type for two markets	213		

APPENDIX TO THE REPORT OF THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS

REPORTS

OF THE

DIRECTOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	WM. SAUNDERS, LL.D.
AGRICULTURIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	J. H. GRIDDALE, B. Agr.
HORTICULTURIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	W. T. MACCOUN
CHEMIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	F. T. SHUTT, M.A.
ENTOMOLOGIST AND BOTANIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	JAS. FLETCHER, LL.D.
EXPERIMENTALIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	C. E. SAUNDERS, B.A., Ph. D.
POULTRY MANAGER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A. G. GILBERT
SUPT. EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	R. ROBERTSON
HORTICULTURIST	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	W. S. BLAIR
SUPT. EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MAN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	S. A. BEDFORD
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	ANGUS MACKAY
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	THOS. A. SHARPE

FOR

1903

PRINTED BY ORDER OF PARLIAMENT



OTTAWA

PRINTED BY S. E. DAWSON, PRINTER TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY

1904

APPENDIX

TO THE

REPORT OF THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE

ON

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS

OTTAWA, December 1, 1903.

SIR,—I beg to submit for your approval the seventeenth annual report of the work done, and in progress, at the several experimental farms.

In addition to my report, you will find appended, reports from the following officers of the Central Experimental Farm: From the Agriculturist, Mr. J. H. Grisdale; from the Horticulturist, Mr. W. T. Macoun; from the Chemist, Mr. Frank T. Shutt; from the Entomologist and Botanist, Dr. James Fletcher, and from the Experimentalist, Dr. J. E. Saunders. A report is also submitted from the Poultry Manager, Mr. A. G. Hilbert.

From the Branch Experimental Farms there are reports from Mr. R. Robertson, Superintendent, and from Mr. W. S. Blair, Horticulturist of the Experimental Farm for the Maritime Provinces, at Nappan, Nova Scotia; from Mr. S. A. Bedford, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for Manitoba, at Brandon; from Mr. Angus Mackay, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for the North-west Territories, at Indian Head, and from Mr. Thos. A. Sharpe, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for British Columbia, at Agassiz.

In these reports there will be found the results of many important and carefully conducted experiments in agriculture, horticulture and arboriculture, the outcome of practical and scientific work in the fields, barns, dairy and poultry buildings, orchards and plantations at the several experimental farms; also of scientific research in the chemical laboratory bearing on many branches of agricultural and horticultural work, and of information gained from the careful study of the life histories and habits of various insects and the methods by which noxious weeds are propagated and spread, together with the most practical and economical measures for their destruction. In the

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

report of the Entomologist and Botanist will also be found particulars of the experiments and observations which have been made during the past year in connection with the Apiary.

The large and constantly increasing demand by the farmers of the Dominion for the publications issued from the experimental farms, the rapidly extending correspondence and readiness shown by farmers everywhere to co-operate with the work of the farms in the testing of new and promising varieties of cereals furnish gratifying evidence of the desire for information and improvement among this class of the community, also of the high esteem in which the work of the farms is held. It is hoped that the facts brought together in the present issue will be found of much practical value to the Canadian farmer and fruit-grower and that they may assist in advancing agriculture and horticulture in this country.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

WM. SAUNDERS,
Director of Experimental Farms.

To the Honourable
The Minister of Agriculture,
Ottawa.

ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR, WM. SAUNDERS, LL.D., F.R.S.C., F.L.S.

The results of farm operations in Canada in 1903 have, on the whole, been encouraging. While the wheat crop in the Canadian North-west has been reduced in volume, and the grade somewhat lowered by unfavourable weather, the higher prices which have prevailed have done much to make up both for the shortage and the injury. In the eastern provinces the returns have been larger, and most of the more important crops have given more than an average, and in the output of live stock and dairy products the increase has been general. The area of land under crop is increasing rapidly and the volume of agricultural exports becoming larger from year to year.

During the past seven years the exports of farm products have more than doubled. The articles in which the larger part of this increase has occurred are wheat, flour, pease, cattle, pork, poultry, cheese, butter and fruits. Along these lines the resources of Canada for the extension of trade are practically unlimited. With suitable climates, an enormous area of fertile land and a body of intelligent farmers earnest in their desire to improve their condition, and with an aptitude for acquiring practical information in all lines of farm work we may safely look for continued advancement.

It should, however, never be forgotten that we shall always have much to learn; and a striving for improvement in quality of product, in methods to economize the cost of production and to increase the output should never cease. There are competitors on every hand, and the search for new outlets for Canadian products should ever continue and we should always be ready to do our best to meet the wants and wishes of those who are willing to trade with us.

Canada has for many years been making steady progress, but in no branch of work has this been so evident as in that great national industry, agriculture. The governments of this country have been liberal in their efforts to assist the farmers to a better knowledge of their business, and to-day, as a whole, no farmers are better informed than those in Canada, and the results of the efforts which have been made for the farmers' advancement have laid the foundations for a prosperous condition of agriculture of which as yet we see only the beginning.

The efforts which have been made in connection with the experimental farms in the past, to help farmers to solve some of the problems and to successfully meet the difficulties common to farming, have been much appreciated and the work of the past year, as recorded in the pages of this seventeenth annual report, will, it is believed, furnish additional facts of great value. New matter is presented from every department, and continued efforts have been made to give to all the information gained, an application, as practical as possible, looking always to the improvement of agriculture and the making of the noble work of the farm more attractive and profitable.

THE LEADING CEREAL CROPS IN CANADA.

OATS.

The oat crop is the most important of all cereal crops in the eastern provinces. In Ontario it occupies a larger area than all other cereals combined. While the area devoted to fall and spring wheats in this province is gradually lessening, the oat acreage is constantly going up. In Quebec, next to hay it is much the most important of all crops. The area in barley also in Ontario is steadily increasing. The explanation of this probably lies in the fact that these two cereals which were at one time largely exported but are now almost entirely consumed on the farm have been found most economical and suitable for the feeding of dairy cows and swine, and for the fattening of steers. In Manitoba also the acreage in oats is increasing. In 1903 it amounted to 855,431 acres, with a total yield of 33,035,474 bushels, an average of 38'62 bushels per acre. In all the other provinces and territories it is also an important crop.

The increase in the acreage of oats has been associated with a considerable increase in the average crop. For the 19 years from 1882 to 1901 the average crop of oats in Ontario was 34 bushels 27 pounds per acre, while the crop for the past two years has averaged 42 bushels 5 pounds per acre. This is an average increase of 7 bushels 12 pounds per acre, which estimated at the value of 1 cent per pound, has added nearly two million dollars a year to the profits of oat growing in this province. This is a very good showing. Comparing Ontario with the States which border on either side, we find that in the state of New York the average for the ten years ending in 1900 was 28 bushels 27 pounds per acre, and for 1902 and 1903 an average of 37 bushels. In Michigan the average for the ten years ending with 1900 was 29'7, and for 1902 and 1903 an average of 35'2. In Wisconsin the average for the ten years ending with 1900 was 32'9 and for 1902 and 1903, an average of 36'4. From these figures it will be seen that Ontario is well above its neighbours in the yield obtained from the land devoted to oats.

To gain information as to the most productive and profitable oats to grow, promising sorts have been brought together for test from all countries. About 60 varieties have been under trial during the past eight or nine years at each of the experimental farms, where they have been grown side by side under practically uniform conditions, and their relative earliness, productiveness and quality ascertained. From year to year the records of the results of this work are carefully gone over and any varieties which may have fallen for some years below a certain high standard of average productiveness are dropped from the list, thus bringing more prominently before the farmers of Canada those sorts which have been found to be most productive. The best of those on the list are grown in considerable quantities every year to supply the samples which are sent free to every farmer who applies.

At the experimental farms larger crops have been grown than the average reached by the several provinces.

At the Central Farm, at Ottawa, the average yield of all the varieties tested in 1903 on the experimental plots was 62 bushels 9 pounds, and the best twelve sorts gave an average of 73 bushels 6 pounds per acre; on a field of 39 acres of Banner oats 57 bushels 9 pounds per acre were obtained.

At the Nappan Experimental Farm, in Nova Scotia, the average yield of all the varieties tested on experimental plots was 81 bushels 18 pounds per acre, and that of the best twelve varieties 94 bushels 27 pounds. The field crops on that farm have run from 65 to 70 bushels per acre.

At the experimental farm at Brandon, Manitoba, the average yield on experimental plots of all the varieties tried was 97 bushels 4 pounds per acre, and that of the best twelve varieties 110 bushels 28 pounds. In field crops the yields have varied from 73 bushels 18 pounds to 86 bushels 18 pounds per acre.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

At the experimental farm at Indian Head, North-west Territories, the average of all the varieties on experimental plots was 117 bushel 23 pounds and that of the best twelve sorts 128 bushels 26 pounds per acre.

In field crops, 5 acres of Banner gave an average of 119 bushels 2 pounds per acre, and 3 acres of Abundance, an average of 106 bushels. The other varieties under field culture varied from 98 bushels 14 pounds to 82 bushels 3 pounds per acre. Taking into account the whole of the field crops (36 acres) the average yield has been 95 bushels 8 pounds per acre.

At the experimental farm at Agassiz, British Columbia, the average crop of all the varieties tested in experimental plots was 66 bushels 4 pounds per acre, and that of the best twelve sorts 77 bushels 12 pounds per acre.

Among the varieties which have given the heaviest crops are the Banner, Wide Awake, Improved Ligowo, Abundance, Tartar King, Waverley and Thousand Dollar and provision has been made to give these varieties a wide distribution during the coming season.

The Banner oat is a variety which has done remarkably well. During the past nine years it has given an average on the experimental plots on all the farms of 73 bushels 25 pounds per acre and in all the field crops at all the farms during the same period an average of 71 bushels 10 pounds per acre.

The Banner oat is also attracting attention in Great Britain. In 1899, in response to a request from Prof. Patrick Wright, Principal of the Agricultural College of Glasgow, samples of some of the best sorts of oats cultivated in Canada were sent to him from the experimental farm to be grown for comparison with the best sorts cultivated in Scotland. Prof. Wright's reports show that from the outset the Banner oat took a leading position among the many varieties he was growing, and the next year a request came from him for twelve bushels for further trial, and in the year following for fifty bushels more. These were distributed among a number of leading farmers in different parts of Scotland, and the reports published were so favourable that a great demand was created for the seed and several large orders were received by seed firms in Canada last year for these oats for use in Great Britain. In a recent letter from Prof. Wright, he says: 'It may interest you to know that the Banner oat has now taken an assured position among the oats cultivated in Britain, and has proved itself to be equal to, if not better, than any other oat we have.'

Another of the varieties sent to Scotland from here is also attracting notice. This is the 'Wide-awake.' Of this variety in a recent letter Prof. Wright speaks as follows: 'In our last season's trials a remarkably good result is shown in our tables by the 'Wide-awake' oat of which we also got the original seed from you. It has done so well that I am writing you now to ask if you would be good enough to get sent to me without delay twenty quarters (160 bushels) to be used as seed this season.' I succeeded in getting fifty bushels, which were sent in good time for sowing. In a letter of March 17, he says: 'If this oat does as well with us next year as last, it is also likely with the Banner, to pass into general cultivation here.' It is gratifying to know that we are thus helping farmers in the mother country with Canadian varieties of a very productive and valuable character.

In estimating the value of an oat the relative weight of kernel and hull must be considered. This will vary with the variety and with the weight per bushel of the sample. The lighter the weight per bushel the larger is the proportion of hull. In a very light sample, weighing about 20 pounds to the bushel, the proportion of hull has been found to be over 50 per cent, whereas the same variety of the standard weight (34 pounds per bushel) would only have about 30 per cent of hull.

The Banner is generally regarded as a thick-hulled oat, but in our experience it is only medium in this respect. In the following table the varieties which were most largely distributed from the Central Experimental Farm in 1903, are referred to, and their place of growth, weight per bushel and proportion of hull given. The Tartar

King, Waverley and Goldfinder are varieties recently introduced by Garton Bros., England:—

Name of Variety.	Where grown.	Weight per Bushel.	Proportion of Hull.
		Lbs.	Per cent.
Banner	Ottawa	42½	28·6
"	Indian Head	44½	29·7
Improved Ligowo	Ottawa	41½	26·6
"	Indian Head	46½	26
Wide Awake'	"	46½	28
Tartar King*	Ottawa	37½	34·3
"	Indian Head	46½	28
"	As imported from England.	39½	30·9
Waverley	Ottawa	41	26·3
"	Indian Head	46½	26·7
Goldfinder	Ottawa	39	28·6
"	Indian Head	42	28·1
"	As imported	35	24·9

In some instances there seems a tendency to produce a somewhat thicker hull in this country; in others a thinner one. Investigations have not yet gone far enough along this line to permit of any decided opinion on this subject. One point which our examinations seem to prove is this: that as a rule the actual weight of hull in a given number of kernels of any one variety of oats is practically the same, whether the oat weigh 30 or 40 pounds per bushel, and the difference in weight is made up in the size of the kernel. This, after all, is not a matter of much surprise, when we look carefully into the subject. When an oat during its growth heads out, the husk is of full size, and the framework for holding the kernel is all there. The covering for the future oat is fully developed, the flower is produced in the cavity prepared for it, fertilization takes place, followed by the growth to maturity of the kernel. The plumper the kernel, the heavier is the oat.

ANALYSIS OF HULLS AND KERNELS.

What gives to this subject the greatest importance is the fact that the hull contains a very small proportion of nutritive matter. The quantity of albuminoids or flesh-forming constituents and of fat in oat hulls is not much more than half of what is found in oat straw. Oat hulls, according to Henry, contain 3·3 per cent of total albuminoids. Mr. Shutt, the Chemist of the experimental farms, finds this to be only 2·6 per cent in Canadian oats, while in oat straw the average of six analyses gives 4·1, and for the kernel of the oat, 14·51, showing the immense difference in feeding value between the husk or hull and the kernel, and pointing to the importance of growing the plumpest and most productive sorts. The proportion of fat in the hull is relatively less. While the kernels contain 6·24 per cent of fat and the oat straw 2·1 per cent, the proportion of fat, as given by Henry, is 1 per cent in the hull, and by Shutt, 78 per cent (a trifle over $\frac{3}{4}$ of 1 per cent). I append the results of Mr. Shutt's analysis, which is of the Banner oat grown in Ottawa in 1902.

* The Tartar King has a stiff straw and evidently has a larger proportion of hull than most other oats which we have tested.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CROP OF 1902, C.E.F.

Proportion of kernels to hulls:

Kernels.....	71'92
Hulls.....	28'08
	100'00

	Moisture.	Albumi- noids.	Fat.	Carbo- hydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.
Oats (whole grain).....	12'74	11'22	4'82	58'84	9'47	2'91
Kernels.....	12'03	14'51	6'24	63'15	1'93	2'14
Hulls.....	10'19	2'60	0'78	49'63	31'63	5'17

From the facts submitted it will be seen that heavy oats are worth a higher price than light oats, as in buying them the purchaser gets a larger proportion of the highly nutritious kernels. The kernel contains nearly six times as much albuminoids as the hulls and eight times as much fat. It will also be noted that judging from the crops produced at the experimental farms a further increase in the average yield of oats per acre in the provinces and territories may be looked for when the conditions involved in the production of good crops are more carefully and fully complied with.

WHEAT.

While the oat is so highly important among the crops in the east, wheat holds a corresponding position of importance in the west, where much the larger area is occupied by this crop.

The wheats grown throughout the world consist mainly of five different species and their varieties. *Triticum vulgare*, in which are included most of the spring and winter wheats cultivated in America, Great Britain, in many of the European countries, and in Australia, for the making of bread. *Triticum durum*, a class of wheats which are hard and rice-like, represented in this country by such varieties as Goose wheat, Kubanka, Gharnovka, Velvet Don and others. These are valuable wheats for macaroni and pastry, and are used in some countries for bread. Large quantities of these wheats are grown in Southern Europe, and recently they have been introduced into some of the western United States, where they have been grown with some success. They have also been tested in Canada. They are less liable to rust than other wheats, but their cultivation has been discouraged by millers, on the ground that they are of inferior quality and unsuitable for bread-making.

A third species is known as *Triticum polonicum* or Polish wheat, which produces large kernels and large loose heads. The grain is hard and flinty, resembling in this respect the macaroni wheats.

The fourth group of wheats are known as Emmers *Triticum dicoccum* and the fifth as Spelts *Triticum spelta*. These five groups include all the varieties grown.

The origin of the wheat plant is unknown. There does not appear to be any reliable records of any of the varieties having been found growing in a wild state, but some of them have been in cultivation since very early times. The earliest mention of wheat in the Bible is in Genesis, chap. 30, v. 14. The Spelt wheats were grown by the ancient Egyptians and are still much cultivated in some of the mountain districts in Europe. The importance of the wheat crop may be gathered from the quantity produced and consumed in the world. It is certainly the most important of all the world's crops and the most valuable to mankind of all cereals. The total crop for the entire world in 1903 is given as 3,258,688,000 bushels.

The season of 1903 has not been quite so favourable to the farmers of Manitoba and the North-west Territories as those of the past two years. In 1903 the total area of wheat sown in Manitoba was 2,442,873 acres, which returned a crop of 40,116,878 bushels, the average yield being 16'42 bushels per acre. This is 12,960,389 bushels less than was produced in 1902. In the North-west Territories the acreage under wheat was 837,234, and the average crop, 19 bushels, representing a total output of 16,029,149 bushels. This added to the crop of Manitoba, makes a total wheat crop for 1903 of 56,146,027 bushels, a decrease in wheat yield, when compared with the crop of 1902, of 10,888,090.

In Ontario the land devoted to winter wheat in 1903 was 665,028 acres, which produced a total crop of 17,787,169 bushels, being an average of 26'7 bushels per acre. Spring wheat occupied 248,518 acres which produced 4,797,274 bushels, an average of 19'3 bushels per acre. Total area in wheat in Ontario, 913,576 acres, total crop, 22,584,443 bushels. The wheat crop in Quebec, the Maritime provinces and British Columbia, although growing in volume, occupies as yet only a small proportion of the acreage under cultivation.

The higher prices realized this season for wheat have done much to make up for a shortage in yield, and a larger area of land than ever before has been prepared for the crop of the coming year.

While the eastern provinces will probably always have surplus wheat to export, it is to the north-west country we must look for the greater volume of exports of this valued cereal, since the area suitable for wheat culture there is enormous, and owing to advantages in soil and climate the wheat grown there is of higher quality and commands a higher price than that grown in the east.

SOME OF CANADA'S VAST AREAS OF FARM LANDS.

The area of land suitable for the growing of agricultural crops in Canada is so vast that when presented in figures the mind needs much training before their full significance can be grasped. The civilized world is gradually awakening to a somewhat hazy perception of the immense wealth laid up in the many millions of acres of fertile lands unoccupied here and large numbers of immigrants are flocking to our shores. The great North-west country is a huge field for future enterprise, as yet very imperfectly understood even among our own people.

The following figures as to the quantity of land fit for settlement in the province of Manitoba and the three provisional territories, Assiniboia, Saskatchewan and Alberta, have been obtained from official sources and may be accepted as approximately correct for the areas in question:—

	Total Area exclusive of Water.	Estimated Proportion suitable for Cultivation.
	Acres.	Acres.
Manitoba.....	41,000,000	Two-third equal to 27,000,000
Assiniboia.....	57,000,000	Seven-eighths " 50,000,000
Saskatchewan.....	70,000,000	Three-fourths " 52,000,000
Alberta.....	64,000,000	Two-thirds " 42,000,000
		Total171,000,000

It is thus estimated that there are within the limits referred to, after making allowance for lands unfit for agriculture, about 171 million acres suitable for cultivation, by which is meant land of such a degree of fertility as to admit of profitable farming. While referring here only to the possibilities of agricultural progress within

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

this area, where the quality of the soil and the conditions of climate are fairly well known, we should not deal justly were we to pass over the great north country lying beyond the boundaries of Saskatchewan and Alberta without a few words of explanation.

The 155 million acres of land in Athabaska, and the 340 million acres in Mackenzie, will no doubt prove important factors in the future development of Canada; but what proportion of these vast districts will be capable of the profitable growing of crops is as yet a matter of conjecture. There are, however, some proofs available showing that it is possible to grow cereals to some extent in portions of these remote districts of which our knowledge is so fragmentary.

The writer has received samples grown at Dunvegan, on the Peace river, in Athabaska, 414 miles by latitude north of Winnipeg, of Ladoga wheat plump and well matured, weighing 64 pounds per bushel. From Fort Vermillion, further down the Peace river, also in Athabaska, 591 miles north of Winnipeg, Ladoga wheat has been raised weighing 60 pounds per bushel.

Considerable quantities of wheat have of late been grown by settlers in the Peace river valley, especially near Vermillion, where there is said to be a considerable area of land suitable for wheat growing. The Hudson's Bay Company have built a good roller mill at Vermillion, with a capacity of twenty barrels of flour per day, and have paid \$1.50 per bushel for all the wheat grown in that vicinity this year. This has been done with the hope of being able to supply their northern posts with flour from this district. The quantity of wheat grown there this year is estimated at 7,500 bushels. One of the settlers, Mr. F. S. Lawrence, of Vermillion, claims to have had this season about 40 bushels per acre from 50 acres of his wheat land.*

From Fort Simpson, in Mackenzie, 818 miles north of Winnipeg, by latitude, Ladoga wheat has been obtained which weighed 62½ pounds per bushel. In this instance a small percentage of the grain was injured by frost. This is the furthest point north from which samples of wheat have been received. The time between sowing and harvesting in these far northern districts is in some instances less than it is at the experimental farm at Ottawa. At Dunvegan the wheat was sown May 7, and harvested August 21, giving a growing period of 101 days. The same sort of wheat grown at Ottawa, taking the average of three years, requires 106 days. At Fort Vermillion the time between sowing and harvesting was also 101 days, and at Fort Simpson the wheat was sown June 7, and harvested September 22, giving a growing period of 107 days.

The long days are an important factor in bringing about this result, the influence of increased periods of light hastens the ripening of cereals very much. This view is supported by facts brought together during a careful series of observations made some years ago by a distinguished Russian investigator, Kowalewski. He experimented with spring wheat and oats, growing them in different parts of Russia, from the far north, at Arkangelsk to the southern province of Kherson. He found that in the higher latitudes the grain ripens in a shorter period than in the more southern districts, the difference varying at different points from 12 to 35 days. This author attributes the earlier ripening in the north largely to the influence of light during the long summer days. He also believes that the short seasons of quick growth have gradually brought about in these cereals an early ripening habit. In our experience with early ripening cereals, this habit is a permanent characteristic which they continue to manifest when grown in localities where the summer season is longer.

Returning again to the smaller and better known districts, Manitoba and the three provisional territories in which are included the 171 million acres which are said to be suitable for cultivation, we find that a very small proportion of this land less than four per cent, has yet been brought under crop. It does not follow that all the land fit for settlement within the area referred to is suitable for wheat growing. There are some

* I am indebted to Mr. J. M. Macoun, of the Geological and Natural History Survey, who has recently returned from exploring parts of the Peace river valley, for these items of information.

localities where the season is too short to make wheat a sure crop and farmers in such districts will find it more profitable to carry on mixed farming; but from the good crops which have been harvested during some years past in most of the settled or partly settled regions, within this area, it is evident that the greater part of the country is well suited for the growing of wheat of high quality.

Another consideration which would reduce the area annually available for wheat is that the land, to get the best results, should be summer-fallowed every third season. Further, while many excellent farmers advocate the growing of two crops of wheat in succession, one on fallowed land, the second on stubble, to be followed by fallow, it may be found more profitable in some localities to grow wheat in rotation with other crops.

Making allowances for all these requirements, the fact still remains, that the resources of Canada in wheat lands are enormous.

The total wheat crop of the United States for 1903 was 637,821,835 bushels, sufficient to feed a population of about 80 millions and leave a margin of about 235 million bushels for export. This wheat was all grown on less than 50 million acres of land. Furthermore the yield per acre of wheat in Canada is larger than it is in the United States. In 1902 and 1903 the average crop given for the whole of the United States, including winter and spring wheat, is about 14 bushels per acre. That this yield for the past two years is not abnormal is shown by the fact that the average for the past ten years has been 13'53 bushels per acre.

Ontario and Manitoba are the only two provinces for which statistics are available for these periods. In 1902 and 1903 the average crop of winter wheat in Ontario was 26'4 bushels, and of spring wheat 19'3 bushels per acre, and for the same years in Manitoba where only spring wheat is grown an average of 21'21 bushels.

The average of a ten years' record tells much the same story. The average yield of winter wheat in Ontario for the past ten years was 21'52 bushels per acre, and of spring wheat 16'64 bushels. In Manitoba the average for the past ten years has been a little over 20 bushels per acre. Comparing this with the states bordering on Manitoba we find that the average yield per acre of wheat in Minnesota for the past ten years has been 14'33 bushels, in North Dakota 12'87 bushels and in South Dakota 10'67 bushels per acre. This larger yield in Canada is no doubt partly due to the land being more productive and partly to a more favourable climate, and in some measure to better farming. Were one-fourth of the 171 million acres said to be suitable for cultivation in Manitoba and the three provisional territories under crop with wheat annually, and the average production equal to that of Manitoba for the past ten years, the total crop would be 855 million bushels annually, which would place Canada in the position of being much the largest wheat producing country in the world. These figures deal only with a portion of the west, and do not take into account the wheat-growing areas in the large eastern provinces.

Under the climatic conditions which prevail in the Canadian North-west, wheat of excellent quality is grown, which is much sought after by millers to mix with the flour of wheat of lower grades, so that a desirable and uniform strength may be maintained in the flour they produce. This strength in flour, which is so highly developed in that made from No. 1 Hard wheat grown in the North-west, is due to the presence of a large proportion of gluten of high quality. The relative proportions of the more important constituents in wheat will depend on the character and tendencies of the individual variety, the climatic conditions under which it is grown, and the fertility of the soil. The chief constituents of wheat are gluten, starch and fat, all highly nutritious in their character. Starch forms the larger portion of the substance of the grain, ranging in spring wheat from 65 to 68 per cent; gluten from 11 to about 15; and fat from about 1½ to 2½ per cent. Winter wheat contains a larger proportion of starch, from 70 to 74 per cent, and a smaller proportion of gluten, from 6 to 9 per cent. The proportion of fat is much the same in both classes of wheat. When a number of different sorts of wheat are grown side by side and under the same conditions, some will be found to contain a larger proportion of gluten, others a more abundant deposit of starch. In the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

better sorts of spring wheat, when grown in northern latitudes, where the summer season is short and the growth rapid, the proportion of gluten is usually increased and under such conditions the grain improves in quality. The gluten exists in the kernel in the form of an irregular frame-work, which extends throughout the substance of the grain, firmly packed with clusters of starch granules. The frame-work of glutinous matter is formed in the early stages of the growth of the berry, and the starch granules are subsequently deposited in the interspaces. In the preparation of flour the berry is crushed, the exterior is separated as bran or shorts, while the interior contents form the fine flour for bread-making. The starch in flour may be separated from the gluten by the simple process of washing with water, whereby the starch granules are removed and the gluten remains as a sticky mass. By working this with the fingers under a gentle stream of water, the starch may be entirely removed and the proportion of moist gluten determined. The starch contains no nitrogen, but the gluten is highly nitrogenous and a most excellent nutrient and flesh-former.

Chemical analyses of gluten have shown that it consists of two different principles, known as gliadin and glutenin, and it is from the combination of these in the best proportion that the highest quality of gluten results. Hence, while the percentage of gluten may be regarded in a general way as indicating the quality of a wheat, a high percentage of this substance is not always a sure indication of the milling value of the sample. Both the percentage and quality must be had to produce a flour which will give to bread made from it that tenacity which results in a light, porous white loaf of the most highly esteemed character. The best spring wheats grown in the Canadian North-west are noted for the high quality of gluten they contain and hence are in great demand.

REVIEW OF THE WORK WITH WHEAT AT THE EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

At the experimental farms persistent efforts have been made from the outset to bring together from different countries the best and most promising sorts of wheat for trial, the qualities particularly sought being productiveness, earliness, and strength of flour. These varieties have been grown side by side, under similar conditions, so that their relative value might be determined.

Among the spring wheats commonly grown at the time the farms were established none was so highly or justly esteemed as the Red Fife, and the position it still holds is a pre-eminent one. It is remarkable for its productiveness, for its high quality, and for its power of adapting itself to varying conditions of soil and climate. This wheat originated about sixty years ago, as a chance discovery with Mr. David Fife, of Otonabee, Ontario, and hence has been in cultivation for more than half a century, and it does not show any tendency to deterioration. It gives as large a crop and is as high in quality as it ever was. It was taken from Ontario to Manitoba and the North-west Territories, where it is believed to have improved in quality, and as grown there, stands probably higher in the estimation of millers for the making of flour than any other known variety.

To preserve Red Fife in a state of purity by hand-picking in the field, has been one of the lines of work carried on persistently at the experimental farms.

While the Red Fife has so many points of excellence, it is open to one objection, which sometimes proves a very serious drawback to its cultivation. It is rather late in ripening and during the past fifteen or twenty years there have been several seasons when early frosts in the North-west have injured the grain so as to reduce its value very materially. Whenever this has occurred an outcry has been made by the farmers who have suffered, for an earlier ripening wheat.

In the endeavour to meet this demand varieties of wheat have been brought to Canada from many different countries, and grown for many years at all the experimental farms, alongside of the Red Fife and other well known sorts and their periods of ripening and weight of crop carefully recorded. Some wheats have been brought from the

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

colder districts in Northern Russia, verging on the Arctic circle, some from other countries in the northern parts of Europe, others from different altitudes in the Himalaya mountains, in India, from 500 to as high as 11,000 feet, which is about the limit for wheat-growing in that range. Other wheats have been obtained in the northern United States, from Australia, Japan and elsewhere.

Both the Russian and Indian wheats have usually ripened earlier than the Red Fife, but some have been inferior in quality, and others have given such small crops that the growing of most of them has been abandoned. Those we have had from Australia, also those from the North-western States, have been as late as, and many of them later than the Red Fife, and show no advantages over that variety. Every promising sort obtainable has been tested under the different climatic conditions existing in Canada, without finding a single earlier-ripening sort in cultivation elsewhere having the high quality and productiveness of the Red Fife.

THE BREEDING OF NEW WHEATS.

Another method by which we have sought to obtain the desired end has been by the cross-breeding of wheats, with the object of combining the good qualities of two or more varieties. It was on July 19, 1888, when the first experiments were begun in the cross-breeding of wheat on the experimental farm and since that time several hundred new sorts have been produced and tested. In originating many of these new productions the Red Fife has been chosen as one of the parents. One of the earlier importations from Northern Russia was the Ladoga, a wheat which after a thorough test proved on an average to be about a week earlier in ripening than the Red Fife; it was also fairly productive, but the colour of the flour made from it was not so white as that made from the Red Fife. It has, however, served a good purpose in the far northern districts, where its earliness of ripening has commended it to the settlers. The slightly yellow colour of the flour, which was the chief objection to its use here, was no drawback to it there, since it makes excellent bread. Samples of this Russian importation were early sent from the experimental farm to settlers in the Peace river district, and the Ladoga is said to be the only variety of wheat now grown in all that country. A considerable number of crosses were also produced between Ladoga and Red Fife, the most promising of which were multiplied until plots of considerable size could be grown. These were subject to rigid inspection from year to year, the less desirable sorts being promptly discarded, so as to keep the number of varieties under trial within reasonable bounds.

Among the most promising of the numerous progeny from this parentage are the varieties known as Preston and Stanley. The Preston is a bearded sort. The Stanley is beardless. Taking the average yield obtained on the experimental plots on all the experimental farms for a period of nine years, the Preston has given a crop of 34 bushels 41 pounds per acre, while the Red Fife has given 33 bushels 7 pounds per acre, a difference of 1 bushel 34 pounds in favour of the Preston. The Preston has also ripened uniformly earlier, the gain in time of ripening averaging from four to six days.

The Stanley is a twin wheat with the Preston, both having had origin in the one kernel. The plant grown from the cross-bred kernel the first season produced heads which were uniformly bearded; but when the seed from this was sown the year following, some plants produced bearded heads and others beardless. Subsequently these two varieties were bred to type by discarding all the variations produced until the types became fixed. Stanley, during a nine years' test, has given an average crop of 32 bushels 2 pounds per acre, which is 1 bushel 5 pounds less than Red Fife for the same period. In earliness of ripening this variety is about the same as the Preston.

The White Fife, which has averaged 8 pounds per acre more than Red Fife, during a nine years' trial, is grown to a considerable extent in some parts of Manitoba and the North-west Territories; but, although highly esteemed by some, it is not held to be equal in quality to the Red Fife. This variety was also crossed with the Ladoga and the best results obtained were Huron and Percy. Huron is a bearded variety which has also

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

proven productive and early. During a nine years' test it has given a slightly larger crop than Red Fife, exceeding that variety by 4 pounds per acre. It has also matured from four to five days earlier. Percy has given an average crop during the nine years' trial of 31 bushels 30 pounds per acre, which is 1 bushel 37 pounds per acre less than Red Fife for the same time. This also ripens earlier than Red Fife by from four to five days.

Another variety, known as Early Riga, was obtained by crossing one of the East Indian wheats, named Gehun, brought from a high elevation in the Himalayas, 11,000 feet, with a Russian wheat known as Omega. The Omega was brought from near Archangel, one of the most northerly wheat growing districts in Russia. These were both early varieties, but were not very productive. The Early Riga was the best sort produced from this cross and has proved to be one of the earliest ripening wheats known. During the five years it has been under trial it has ripened on an average from eight to nine days earlier than Red Fife. It is also fairly productive, having given an average crop for five years at all the experimental farms of 31 bushels 2 pounds per acre, being 2 bushels 5 pounds less than Red Fife for the same.

MILLING TESTS OF WHEAT.

The next point to consider is the quality of these cross-bred wheats and how they compare with Red Fife. To gain information on this point, three lots of samples were put up, consisting of two of Red Fife carefully cleaned and of the very best quality, with two each of Preston, Stanley and Percy. One of these was grown at Ottawa, Ont.; the other at Indian Head, N.W.T. One lot of samples was submitted to Mr. Julicher, the well known wheat expert of the Pillsbury-Washburn Flour Mills Co., of Minneapolis, Minn. A second lot was sent to Lord Strathcona, High Commissioner for Canada, London, England, with a request that they be submitted to one of the best English wheat experts. The third lot was handed to the Chemist of the experimental farms, Mr. F. T. Shutt, for analysis.

I am much indebted to Mr. L. P. Hubbard, of the Pillsbury-Washburn Flour Mills Company, Limited, for the privilege of sending samples of Canadian wheats to be tested by their expert, Mr. J. H. Julicher. The samples sent were all forwarded under numbers, and no information was given as to the varieties submitted. In presenting Mr. Julicher's report, I have placed the names of the wheats after the numbers under which the samples were forwarded, so that the readers of the report may know to which they refer.

	DOUGH.		GLUTEN.		Quantity.	Quality.
	Quality.	Action in Washing.	Density.	Colour.		
No. 7 (Red Fife, Ottawa).....	White....	Excellent.	Excellent.	White.....	p.c. 11.8	101
" 3 (Red Fife, Indian Head)....	White....	Excellent.	Excellent.	White.....	11.9	101
" 6 (Preston, Ottawa).....	Creamy...	Good.....	Good.....	Creamy white.	11.9	100
" 2 (Preston, Indian Head)....	Yellow....	Good.....	Good.....	Creamy.....	11.9	100
" 8 (Stanley, Ottawa).....	Creamy...	Good.....	Good.....	Creamy white.	12.9	100
" 4 (Stanley, Indian Head)....	Yellow....	Good.....	Good.....	Creamy.....	12.4	100
" 5 (Percy, Ottawa).....	Yellow....	Good.....	Fair.....	Creamy.....	13.3	100
" 1 (Percy, Indian Head).....	Yellow....	Good.....	Good.....	Creamy.....	12.4	100

The samples marked 1 (Percy), 2 (Preston, I.H.) and 4 (Stanley, I.H.) are good wheats, but the others are better. I would favour 3 (Red Fife, I.H.) and 7 (Red Fife, Ottawa). In my opinion 3, 7 and 8 (the two Red Fifes and Stanley, Ottawa) would be excellent for milling, and bread made from flour of these would be very hard to match for quality, colour and strength.

March 24, 1903.

J. H. JULICHER.

These were all classed, as to condition, as very dry.

By reference to the table, it will be seen that the Red Fife from Indian Head and the Red Fife grown at Ottawa are graded exactly in the same terms, which was a matter of surprise to me as I had understood that the Red Fife grown in the east was not equal in quality to that grown in the north-west. I am told, however, that the season of 1902 was somewhat exceptional in that respect, and that the difference in quality between Red Fife grown in the west and that grown in the east was less that year than usual, the conditions having been such as to give to eastern samples a relatively higher quality.

While the dough of the flour of the Red Fife was pronounced white, and the gluten white and excellent, that from the Preston from Ottawa was rated as creamy and good, with good creamy white gluten. The dough from the Preston from Indian Head is said to be yellow and good, and the gluten as good and creamy, indicating a slightly better quality in the Ottawa-grown sample.

Mr. Julicher says that the samples marked '1,' Percy, and '2,' Preston, Indian Head, and '4,' Stanley, are good wheats, but others are better. He states that he would favour '3,' that is Red Fife, Indian Head, and '7,' Red Fife, Ottawa, and he says, 'In my opinion "3," "7" and "8"—which are the two Fifes and the Stanley at Ottawa—would be excellent for milling and bread made from the flour of these would be very hard to match for colour, quality and strength.' The Stanley, which he puts with the Red Fifes, is a twin wheat with the Preston. It is graded by Mr. Julicher as a trifle better than Preston, although he pronounces them all to be good wheats.

REPORT OF AN ENGLISH EXPERT.

The samples sent to Lord Strathcona were submitted by him to Mr. William Halliwell. In a letter received from his Lordship he says: 'I now forward you the report of Mr. William Halliwell on the eight samples of wheat which you sent me. Mr. Halliwell is the technical editor of *The Miller*. He is lecturer on flour milling to the London County Council, registered teacher of milling technology at the city and Guilds Institute, and may therefore, I think, be regarded as a competent authority. He has, moreover, had twenty-five years' experience of practical flour milling and wheat buying.

'I also inclose for your information a copy of the letter Mr. Halliwell wrote when sending me his report.'

Mr. Halliwell writes as follows:—

'ROOKWOOD, ROMFORD, May 22, 1903.

'W. L. GRIFFITH, ESQ.,

'DEAR SIR,—I beg to forward you the result of my examination of the eight samples of Canadian wheat you were good enough to send me some days ago.

'I have given them special attention from a practical miller's point of view, and I hope you will find the results to be of benefit to Canadian wheat-growers generally. There is an unlimited market for the best sorts of wheat in this country and when my report is published I hope proper emphasis will be laid upon this point. Pure high-class samples will be preferred to those from any other source, as these wheats from the Canadian North-west are constantly growing in favour with the millers of this country.

'Yours faithfully,

(Signed) WILLIAM HALLIWELL,

In the letter to Lord Strathcona which accompanied the samples an item of information was given as to where these samples had been grown. I told him that samples one to four were from the North-west Territories and that samples five to eight were the same wheats grown in eastern Canada.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Mr. Halliwell's report is as follows:—

'Critical examination of eight samples of Canadian wheat:

'For strength, as viewed from the outside, from cutting the grains, and from reducing them to powder, I find they come out as follows: The samples are numbered 1 to 8. Four of them (1 to 4) are from Indian Head Farm and are called regular samples of No. 1 wheat. The other four (5 to 8) are from the Government Experimental farm at Ottawa. One to four are almost equal and may be classed as their numbers indicate, there being a just perceptible difference—but not enough I should say, to make a difference in the general selling price on our English markets. Following these I put the experimental samples (from Ottawa) in the following order, namely: 6, 5, 8, 7, and I might add that their general excellence is much better than one would expect to find from their outside appearance alone. In no case, however, would the latter numbers be sold for the price of those numbered 1 to 4. In making this statement, I am bearing in mind that the chief ingredient required in Canadian wheat is gluten or strength, given that the nature of the wheat also guarantees a maximum of the other attributes which millers expect to find and do find in well developed Canadian grown grain. Speaking as a miller, I also am of opinion that the Indian Head samples (1 to 4) will yield more middlings, of larger and more even size, and of better shape and all round quality than those grown on the experimental farm at Ottawa. There would also be less break flour—a thing all millers try to avoid making, seeing that this quality of breaking flour is only akin to the lowest grade. I may explain this more clearly by saying that the object of all millers is to make middlings first and flour afterwards. Middlings can be purified and so prepared for conversion into the highest grades of patent flour, whereas if the structure of the wheat does not lend itself quite so readily to this performance, but is apt to be too easily disintegrated on the break rolls, the result means flour, and that of a much lower quality, seeing that it cannot be sent to the purifiers at all, therefore I say that according to my judgment, the break flour would be less in the first four samples. Going a step farther, I am of the opinion that the middlings made from the Indian Head samples would grade better—would be more even in size, in texture and in gravity. These are the three primary considerations which govern the successful milling operations, and they are ever present when buying high class wheat for milling purposes. Wheat particles—middlings—which grade well, are always found in the largest quantity at the head of the mill, where the highest priced patent flour is made. The wheats from the experimental farm at Ottawa do not, in my opinion, possess all these qualifications in the highest degree. They are not quite so compact in their structure, or in other words, they are of a slightly more mellow nature and are rather more inclined to break up more quickly, and also into more sizes, smaller sizes in fact, and thus there would be a tendency towards them being conveyed lower down the milling system before being converted into flour. This, of course, means that the larger percentage would be graded as second patents. To my mind, it appears as if the Indian Head wheats were grown under the better natural conditions and in quite different soil.'

'In the simple matter of flour yield, however, the Ottawa wheats are undoubtedly first, but, as I may be permitted to remark, mere flour yield is not the sole consideration regarding the buying of Canadian wheats. What we require first of all is strength, and given this, yield and colour follow as a natural consequence. When examining the various samples as intended for the purifiers, I still pin my faith to the Indian Head samples. They—as broken up by the millers break rolls—are more free from bran snips, more free from adhering bits of the branny coating, and are thus more easily operated upon, giving to the purifiers a slightly larger constant capacity, and, as I have already pointed out, this capacity is needed on account of the larger quantity of middlings made, yet at the same time, it is the highest recommendation because this larger quantity is to be made into patent or high class flour. Having been through the purifiers, the more compact middlings (Indian Head samples again)

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

go straight to the reduction rolls, and are immediately reduced to flour, whereas whenever there is the slightest mellowness—or weakness I may call it—the flour does not get to the sack quite so quickly. Strictly, however, it is a question of strength, pure and simple, and I have endeavoured to point out my conclusions on that head particularly. Whichever wheat is strongest will get to the flour sack quickest. Patent flour is made where the strength is supposed to be, and when buying strong wheat, millers look to the points I have enumerated.

I have also compared the eight samples with others on the London Corn Exchange at the present time (May 21). I have been at the trouble to work them side by side in the examination just given, and I find that for strength (the ruling characteristic) Nos. 1, 3 and 4 would sell off Mark Lane stands at 34s. 3d. per 496 pounds; No. 2, 34s.; Nos. 5 and 7 at 33s. 9d., and Nos. 6 and 8 at 33s. 6d. A comparison with Canadian shippers' figures may be interesting. This will be best made by those more intimately interested.

In order to put my meaning in concise form I append a small table of the various constituents compared with what I find already on the English Exchange.

COLOUR MARKS.

NUMBERS:								English Sample.	Maximum Price.		Maximum Marks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.		s.	d.	
10	9	10	10	9	10	9	10	9	34	3	10

STRENGTH.

10	9	10	10	9	8	8	8	9	10
----	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	-------	----

APPEARANCE.

10	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	10
----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	-------	----

MILLING STRUCTURE.

10	10	10	10	9	9	8	9	9	10
----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	-------	----

In conclusion, I should just like to add that not nearly enough of the first quality reaches our principal markets. This may of course arise from the fact that most of it is milled in Canada. Our regular samples do not on the whole reach up to the maximum, but may be said to be a shade better than what I found when I mixed several together. It would also be to the general advantage if the grades were kept more distinct and a stricter line drawn between the best No. 1 sorts and No. 1 ordinary. The best is always welcome, will always fetch the highest price, while mixing of any kind whatsoever spoils them for one or other of the points I have just enumerated.

(Signed 'WILLIAM HALLIWELL')

Mr. Halliwell says that samples Nos. 1 to 4, inclusive, that is Red Fife, Preston, Stanley and Percy, grown at Indian Head, are almost equal, 'There being a just perceptible difference, but not enough, I should say, to make a difference in the general selling price on our English markets.' The four samples of the same wheats grown at Ottawa he ranks somewhat lower in value, but says that their general excellence is much better than one would expect from their outside appearance alone. In no case, however, would the latter numbers be sold at the price of those numbered 1 to 4. He puts

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

these Ottawa grown samples in the following order of merit:—'6' Preston, '5' Percy, '8' Stanley, '7' Red Fife.

Further on in his report he seems to reach a slightly different conclusion and alters the relative position of these numbers, when he comes to speak of the price they would bring that day on the London market. He says: 'I have also compared the eight samples with others on the London Corn Exchange, May 21. I have been at the trouble to work them side by side in the examination, and I find that for strength (the ruling characteristic) Nos. "1," Percy, "3," Red Fife, and "4," Stanley, would sell at Mark Lane at 34s. 3d. per 496 pounds; No. "2," at 34s.; Nos. "5," Percy, and "7," Red Fife, at 33s. 9d., and Nos. "6," Preston, and "8," Stanley, at 33s. 6d.

The results of these tests and criticisms show that the two cross-bred wheats, Percy and Stanley from Indian Head are, in the opinion of Mr. Halliwell, in every respect equal to Red Fife, taking into account colour, strength, appearance and milling structure. The Preston stands equal to Red Fife in appearance and milling structure, but falls slightly below in point of strength. In the first part of his report Mr. Halliwell speaks of this as a 'just perceptible difference, not enough, I should say, to make a difference in the general selling price on our English markets.' But when dealing with the actual values of the samples on the London Corn Exchange, Percy, Stanley and Red Fife are given as being worth 34s. 3d. for 496 pounds, and Preston as worth 34s., which is equivalent to a difference in value of $\frac{1}{4}$ of one cent per bushel.

Again, in his valuation of the samples grown at Ottawa, he puts the Percy and Red Fife first, instead of putting the Preston first, as in the early part of his report, placing these at $1\frac{1}{2}$ cents a bushel less in value, and Preston and Stanley at $2\frac{1}{4}$ cents less per bushel in value than the same wheats grown in the North-west. These estimates of the relative value of these wheats in the London market, coming from so high an authority and a man of so much experience, are, no doubt in every way worthy of confidence. The differences, however, in actual value are less than one would suppose, judging from the relative prices of eastern and western wheats in this country.

ANALYSES OF WHEATS BY THE CHEMIST OF THE EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

The analyses made of the eight wheats referred to, by Mr. F. T. Shutt, Chemist of the Dominion Experimental Farms, were reported on as follows:—

'CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM,
OTTAWA, May 2, 1903.

'Report on Wheats—Percy, Preston, Red Fife, and Stanley—Grown on the Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T., and the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, 1902.

Variety.	Locality Grown.	Weight per bushel.	Weight of 100 kernels.	Moisture.	Albuminoids.	Fat.	Crude Fibre.	Ash.	Carbo-hydrates.	GLUTEN.	
										Wet.	Dry.
		Lbs.	Grams.								
Percy	Indian Head.....	62	2·828	11·50	12·50	2·26	1·79	1·47	70·48	33·10	14·78
Preston.....	"	63 $\frac{1}{2}$	3·022	11·48	11·63	2·25	1·85	1·68	71·11	31·68	12·34
Red Fife.....	"	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	3·164	11·44	12·44	2·48	1·86	1·36	70·42	34·68	13·43
Stanley.....	"	62 $\frac{3}{4}$	3·019	11·08	12·41	2·42	1·88	1·44	70·77	37·48	14·18
Percy.....	Ottawa.....	62	3·551	12·05	13·56	2·14	2·09	1·91	68·25	41·59	16·64
Preston.....	"	63	3·680	12·22	12·22	2·46	1·83	1·88	69·39	35·93	14·26
Red Fife.....	"	61	3·302	12·79	12·41	2·43	2·02	1·84	68·51	34·35	13·55
Stanley.....	"	62	3·551	12·23	12·34	2·44	2·08	1·71	69·20	33·95	14·22

'These wheats have been submitted to a careful chemical analysis, which included a determination of all the important constituents. The results are given in the accompanying table, which also presents certain data of a physical character, usually taken into consideration in determining the relative values of wheats.

'In certain important features, well marked differences are to be observed between the wheats grown at Indian Head and Ottawa. These may be briefly alluded to as follows:—

'Moisture: Invariably, the Indian Head wheats have the smaller water-content. Their average is 11'37 per cent, while that of the Ottawa grown samples is 12'40 per cent.

'Albuminoids: As the analyses stand, two varieties—Percy and Preston—as grown at Ottawa, show a somewhat higher proportion of albuminoids than the same wheats grown at Indian Head; in the case of the other two, Red Fife and Stanley—the percentages of this constituent, as obtained from the Ottawa grown samples, do not materially differ from those of Indian Head. The average obtained from the four varieties at Indian Head is 12'24 per cent, and of the same wheats, grown at Ottawa, is 12'64 per cent.

'It has already been remarked that the Ottawa grown wheats contain the larger percentage of moisture; it is, therefore, evident that calculated to a water-free basis, they would all show a higher percentage of albuminoids than those from Indian Head.

'Gluten—Wet and Dry: Though intimately allied to the albuminoids present these results being obtained by mechanical means, do not furnish as accurate a guide to the nutritive values of the wheats as those obtained by chemical analysis. It is of interest and importance, however, to note that they follow closely the albuminoid content, and thus furnish corroborative data as to the greater value, both from the milling and nutritive standpoint, of the Ottawa grown wheats. The analyses are as follows:—

'Ottawa Samples: Wet gluten, 36'45 per cent; dry gluten, 14'67 per cent.

'Indian Head Samples: Wet gluten, 35'48 per cent; dry gluten, 13'68 per cent.*

'The foregoing results as to albuminoids and gluten are not such as we should have predicted. Our own investigations in the past have almost invariably indicated that wheats grown in the North-west are richer in this respect than the same varieties grown in Ontario or the eastern provinces, and our results in this matter have received corroboration from those of Professor Richardson, late of the Division of Chemistry, Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., U.S., who some years ago made a very thorough investigation into the character of wheats as grown in the several States of the Union, and who was successful in showing that environment—soil, climate, and cultivation—had a great effect upon the composition of wheats. Wheat, of all the cereals, is the most susceptible to the influences of environment, and consequently we may well suppose as a result of an unfavourable season a wheat decidedly inferior to that usually obtained in the locality. These considerations lead the writer to conclude that the present data are somewhat abnormal, and are not to be interpreted as indicating that the environment as at Ottawa is invariably more favourable to a high protein-content than that of the North-west. The probability is that the seasonal or climatic influences last autumn at Indian Head, and probably other parts of the North-west, were not so favourable to the maturation of the grain as usual.†

'Oil or Fat: The data showing the percentage of this constituent do not call for any special or detailed comment. The average for the Indian Head samples is 2'35 per cent; that for the Ottawa samples, 2'37 per cent.

*In comparing these gluten data with those obtained by the miller, the former will invariably be found higher, since they have been obtained upon the whole wheat meal, and consequently contain the elements of the bran and shorts absent in the flour.

†In discussing these conclusions with an experienced grain buyer and miller, I am informed that the wheat of last year's crop from certain districts of the North-west is somewhat inferior in quality to that usually produced, and that this may be attributed to a check in the ripening of the wheat, which occurred a few weeks before harvesting, due to low temperatures; in some parts the freezing point was almost reached.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

'Crude Fibre: This constituent practically represents the bran elements. The Ottawa grown wheats show a somewhat higher proportion, but the difference is slight. The averages are: Indian Head, 1'84 per cent; Ottawa, 2'01 per cent.

'Ash: As regards mineral matter, the Ottawa grown wheats show slightly higher percentages than those from Indian Head. The average for the former is 1'83 per cent; for the latter, 1'49 per cent. This may be an additional indication of the more complete ripeness of the Ottawa grown samples.

'In making a comparison between the varieties, judging of excellence chiefly from the albuminoids and gluten content, it is first to be noted that all these wheats are of the same general character, in many particulars almost identical, and would be designated as of first class quality. The amount and character of the gluten indicate clearly their high value for bread making purposes. There are, however, certain differences, and if placed in order of merit, Wheat No. 5, Percy, Ottawa, would stand first, with the same wheat grown at Indian Head (No. 1) a close second. Of the other three wheats, those grown at Indian Head, the order would probably be Red Fife and Stanley, equal, followed closely by Preston. In the Ottawa grown samples these three wheats show extremely small differences—the albuminoid data slightly favouring the Red Fife, while the dry gluten content similarly favour the Preston and Stanley.

(Sgd.) 'FRANK T. SHUTT,
'Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.'

FURTHER MILLING TESTS AND ANALYSES.

A second lot of samples was sent to Mr. Julicher, of Minneapolis, numbering six in all, two of White Fife, one of which was from Ottawa and one from Indian Head; one of Early Riga grown at Indian Head, this being the very early ripening wheat to which I have already referred, a cross of Omega with Gehun, another was a sample of Laurel from Ottawa, a cross between Red Fife and Gehun, and two samples of Goose wheat, one from Ottawa and one from Indian Head. The Laurel was sent because it had given an average yield of 33 pounds per acre in excess of Preston on a four years' test, and 2 bushels 16 pounds per acre more than Red Fife.

Mr. Julicher's report on this second lot of samples is as follows:—

MINNEAPOLIS, Minn., April 6, 1903.

	DOUGH.		GLUTEN.			
	Quality.	Action in Washing.	Density.	Colour.	Quantity.	Quality.
			p.c.			
No. 9 (White Fife, Ottawa)	Creamy white.	Excellent.	Excellent.	White....	11'8	101
" 12 (White Fife, Indian Head) ..	Creamy	Good....	Good....	Creamy ..	11'1	100
" 14 (Early Riga, Ottawa)	Creamy white.	Excellent.	Excellent.	White....	14'2	101
" 11 (Laurel, Ottawa).....	Creamy white.	Good....	Good....	White....	11'1	100
" 10 (Goose, Ottawa)	Dark.....	Poor.....	Ductile...	Dark.....	11'4	90
" 13 (Goose, Indian Head).....	Dark yellow..	Poor.....	Ductile...	Yellow...	12'8	95

The samples marked 9 (White Fife, Ottawa) and 14, (Early Riga) are of excellent quality; Nos. 11 (Laurel, Ottawa) and 12 (White Fife, Indian Head) are of good quality; but Nos. 10 (Goose, from Ottawa) and 13 (Goose from Indian Head) are of very poor quality for milling and bread making; of these two I would favour Nos. 13 (the Indian Head sample).

In this examination, Mr. Julicher puts the Early Riga in point of quality, higher than either of the samples of Red Fife, except that he makes the dough creamy white

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

instead of white. He says it is excellent in the dough, excellent in the density of the gluten, white in colour of gluten, 101 in quality of gluten, and 14·2 per cent in quantity. This gives it about 20 per cent more gluten than the sample of Red Fife from Indian Head. Here then we have a wheat which is eight and a half days earlier and higher in quality than Red Fife. It is possible that the season of 1902 may have been specially favourable to the Early Riga, but it is scarcely possible that any difference in season favourable to the production of a high proportion of gluten in the Early Riga would at the same time be unfavourable to the gluten content of Red Fife. This result as to the quality in Early Riga is most encouraging, and a gain of eight and a half days in ripening is of the greatest importance, as it may permit of the extension of the area for successful wheat growing a considerable distance northward.

A sample of the Early Riga wheat was also sent to Mr. F. T. Shutt, Chemist Dominion Experimental Farms, for analysis, on which he reports as follows:

‘CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM,
‘OTTAWA, May 14, 1903.

‘Report on Early Riga wheat, grown at Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T., 1902.

‘*Analysis.*

Moisture.. . . .	11·09
Albuminoids.. . . .	13·72
Fat.. . . .	2·13
Crude fibre.. . . .	1·90
Ash.. . . .	1·40
Carbo-hydrates.. . . .	69·76
	<hr/> 100·00

‘*Physical Data.*

Weight per bushel.. . . .	64 lbs.
Weight of 100 kernels.. . . .	2·438 grams.
Wet gluten.. . . .	44·07
Dry gluten.. . . .	16·70

‘Comparing these results with those of the eight samples reported on May 2, 1903, it will be noted:

‘1. That as regards moisture-content this wheat is very similar to those from Indian Head already examined. Their average was 11·37 per cent as against 11·09 per cent in the present instance.

‘2. That in albuminoids this wheat is slightly superior to the best of the series previously reported on, viz., the Percy. The figures are as follows:—

Early Riga (N.W.T.).. . . .	13·72
Percy (Ottawa).. . . .	13·56
Percy (N.W.T.).. . . .	12·50

‘As might be expected, the data for the wet and dry gluten are similarly higher than those of the Percy.

	Wet Gluten.	Dry Gluten.
Early Riga (N.W.T.).. . . .	44·07	16·70
Percy (Ottawa).. . . .	41·59	16·64
Percy (N.W.T.).. . . .	38·10	14·78

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

'Not only is the gluten satisfactory as to quantity, but also as to quality. In noting the character of the wet gluten, it was found to be slightly creamy in colour, firm, elastic, and of uniform texture—denoting a 'strong' flour and one eminently suitable for bread making purposes.

'FRANK T. SHUTT,
'Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.'

Mr. Shutt does not find in the chemical analysis quite as large a difference in the proportion of gluten in the Early Riga, when compared with the Percy, as Mr. Julicher gives, but the difference is only a fraction of one per cent. It should be noticed here that Mr. Shutt in each instance has analysed the whole wheat finely ground whereas Mr. Julicher's examinations were of the flour only.

DEDUCTIONS FROM ANALYSES OF WHEATS.

From the facts submitted, it seems clear that the eight samples first sent to these experts, of Red Fife, Preston, Percy and Stanley, whether grown at Indian Head or Ottawa, are all good wheats for milling and for bread. Mr. Julicher puts the two Red Fife samples first, very closely followed by Stanley, which is a twin wheat with Preston, and contains a higher percentage of gluten than either of the Red Fife samples. Preston stands equal to Red Fife in proportion of gluten, but drops below it a little in point of colour of the dough, the Ottawa sample of Preston standing a little higher in that respect than that from Indian Head in Mr. Julicher's report.

From the chemical analyses of these samples, Mr. Shutt puts Percy first in point of merit. It is shown to be richest in gluten, which accords also with Mr. Julicher's statement, whilst Mr. Halliwell puts it as just equal with Red Fife. Between Preston and Red Fife, while the Red Fife is graded as higher in quality, the difference is small and the advantage the Preston has of ripening on an average fully four days earlier may possibly make up for any slight difference in the grade. Its earlier ripening habit is a great inducement to the farmer to put this variety in as part of his crop, provided he can get about the same price for it. A difference of two-thirds of a cent per bushel, the actual difference in value on the English market, according to Mr. Halliwell, would not weigh with the farmer to any appreciable extent.

If a settler has a large acreage of wheat and has only limited help he must begin cutting part of the crop before it is quite ready or his wheat will shell badly before he reaches the end of his harvesting. The part of the crop which is cut first will shrivel more or less, which involves a loss in weight and sometimes in grade, to which must be added such loss as may arise from shelling. If by having a portion of the crop of an earlier sort, these difficulties can be overcome and there will be a large gain in the quality and character of the wheat grown.

With reference to the high quality and early maturing habit of the Early Riga wheat, the information presented is most encouraging. If this wheat on further trial maintains its earliness, quality and productiveness, its general introduction may largely influence the future of wheat-growing in Canada. The outlook is most encouraging, and the result a triumph of the skill of the hybridizer.

The few varieties here referred to constitute only a small proportion of the new sorts which have been produced. There are on hand many others of more or less promise which have been several years under trial. These with a considerable number of varieties of more recent production demand more care and attention than it has been possible for the Director to give them.

In view of the great importance of this branch of the work at the experimental farms, and to provide for its continuance in a larger way, the Minister of Agriculture

has authorized the formation of a special division of cereal breeding and experimentation, in charge of an officer known as the experimentalist, who will devote his whole time to it. The first report of the experimentalist will be found in this Annual Report of the Experimental Farms.

SPECIAL EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS.

In the annual report of the experimental farms for 1893, details were given on pages 8 to 24 of the results of a series of tests which were carried on during the previous five or six years with the object of gaining information regarding the effects which follow the application of certain fertilizers and combinations of fertilizers on the more important farm crops. The particulars there given covered the results of six years' experience with crops of wheat and Indian corn, and five years' experience with crops of oats, barley, turnips and mangels. The results of similar tests conducted for three years with carrots and one year with sugar beets were also given.

These experiments have been continued, and a summary of the results obtained has been given each year, taking the average yield of crops from the beginning, adding the results for the current year, and then giving the average yield for the full time. These tests were undertaken on virgin soil, on a piece of land which was cleared for the purpose. For particulars regarding the clearing and preparing of the land for crop in 1887-88 and its subsequent treatment the reader is referred to the earlier issues of this report.

OBJECT IN VIEW IN CONDUCTING THESE EXPERIMENTS.

In establishing and conducting this series of experiments, the object in view has been to gain information as to the effects produced by certain fertilizers and combinations of fertilizers on particular crops. They were never intended to serve as model test plots such as farmers could copy with advantage in their general practice. On the contrary, to gain the information desired, it has been found necessary to use some fertilizers in unusual quantities, and in other instances to more or less exhaust the soil by a succession of crops of the same sort, practices which in ordinary farming would be extravagant or detrimental. From this long conducted series of tests much useful information has been gained, which appeals to the mind with greater force as experience accumulates from year to year.

VALUABLE INFORMATION GAINED.

These trials have shown that barn-yard manure can be most economically used in the fresh or unrotted condition; that fresh manure is equal, ton for ton, in crop-producing power to rotted manure, which, other experiments have shown, loses during the process of rotting about 60 per cent of its weight. In view of the vast importance of making the best possible use of barn-yard manure, it is difficult to estimate the value of this one item of information.

When these experiments were planned, the opinion was very generally held that untreated mineral phosphate, if very finely ground, was a valuable fertilizer, which gradually gave up its phosphoric acid for the promotion of plant growth. Ten years' experience has shown that mineral phosphate, untreated, is of no value as a fertilizer.

The use of sulphate of iron, which at the time these tests were begun, was highly recommended, as a means of producing increased crops, has also been proven to be almost useless for this purpose.

Common salt, which has long had a reputation with many farmers for its value as a fertilizer for barley, while others disbelieved in its efficacy, has been shown to be a most valuable agent for producing an increased crop of that grain, while it is of much less use when applied to crops of spring wheat or oats. Land plaster or gypsum has also proven to be of some value as a fertilizer for barley, while of very little service for

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

wheat or oats. Some light has also been thrown on the relative usefulness of single and combined fertilizers.

CHANGES MADE IN THE EXPERIMENTS.

After ten years' experience had demonstrated that finely-ground, untreated mineral phosphate was of no value as a fertilizer, its use was discontinued in 1898. Prior to this it had been used in each set of plots in Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, in all the different series of plots, excepting roots. In 1898 and 1899, similar weights of the Thomas' phosphate were used in place of the mineral phosphate, excepting in plot 6 in each series. In this plot the Thomas' phosphate was used in 1898 only.

After constant cropping for ten or eleven years, it was found that the soil on those plots to which no barn-yard manure had been applied was much depleted of humus, and hence its power of holding moisture had been lessened, and the conditions for plant growth, apart from the question of plant food, had on this account become less favourable. In 1899 the experiments were modified and an effort made to restore some proportion of the humus and at the same time gain further information as to the value of clover as a collector of plant food. In the spring of that year ten pounds of red clover seed per acre was sown with the grain on all the plots of wheat, barley and oats. The clover seed germinated well, and after the grain was cut the young clover plants made rapid growth, and by the middle of October there was a thick mat of foliage varying in height and density on the different plots, which was ploughed under. The growing of carrots and potatoes on one-half of the cereal plots has been discontinued since 1898, and each plot of the wheat, barley and oats has occupied the full tenth of an acre.

In 1900, 1901, 1902 and 1903 clover was again sown on all the grain plots, and was ploughed under in October. In 1900 and 1901 a good growth of clover was obtained, but in 1902 a severe frost in the spring destroyed a large proportion of the young plants so that the crop available for ploughing under in the autumn was very light. In 1903 the crop of clover ploughed under in the autumn was fairly good.

APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS DISCONTINUED.

Another direction in which information was sought was in reference to the length of time which a liberal application of barn-yard manure would continue to affect subsequent crops, and in 1899 on plots 1, 2 and 6 the barn-yard manure, which had been used for ten or eleven years in succession, was discontinued. The phosphate fertilizer was also omitted on plot 6 in each series.

In 1900 all the fertilizers on all the plots were discontinued, and since then the same crops have been grown on all these plots from year to year without fertilizers, sowing clover with the grain each season. In this way some information has been gained as to the value of clover as a collector of plant food, and also as to the unexhausted values of the different fertilizers which have been used on these plots since the experiments were begun.

SPECIAL TREATMENT OF PLOTS OF INDIAN CORN AND ROOTS.

As it was not practicable to sow clover with the Indian corn and root crops, the sowing of these latter crops was discontinued in the spring of 1900 and clover sown in their place in the proportion of 12 pounds per acre. The clover on these plots made strong growth, so strong as to necessitate twice cutting during the season, the cut clover being left on the ground in each case to decay and add to the fertility of the soil. The clover was left over for further growth in the spring of 1901, and ploughed under for the roots about May 10, and for corn about the middle of that month. Then roots and Indian corn were again sown. In 1902 crops of Indian corn and roots were grown on these plots, but in 1903 the land was again devoted to clover.

WHEAT PLOTS.

The seed sown on each of these plots from the beginning has been in the proportion of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre, excepting in 1894; and the varieties used were as follows:— In 1888-89-90 and 1891, White Russian, and in 1892-3, Campbell's White Chaff. In 1894, the Rio Grande wheat was used, when, owing to lack of germinating power in the seed, a larger quantity was required. From 1895 to 1903 inclusive Red Fife wheat was used in the usual quantity of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre. In 1903, the Red Fife was sown April 22, and was ripe August 20.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF WHEAT $\frac{1}{16}$ TH ACRE EACH.

No. of Plot.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.		16TH SEASON, 1903, VARIETY RED FIFE.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SIXTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
	Fertilizers applied each year from 1888 to 1893 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
1	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) well rotted, 12 tons per acre in 1888; 15 tons per acre each year after to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	22 22 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,053	22 40	3,550	22 23 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,022
2	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) fresh, 12 tons per acre in 1888; 15 tons per acre each year after to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	22 44 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,083	21 10	3,600	22 38 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,053
3	Unmanured from the beginning.	11 26	1,957	14 30	2,300	11 37 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,978
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' Phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11 51	2,094	15 20	2,300	12 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,107
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 43	2,824	16 50	2,000	12 58 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,773
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, six tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898, 500 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	19 28 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,354	17 50	2,755	19 22 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,317
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 20 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,336	18 10	2,170	13 38 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,607

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF WHEAT $\frac{1}{10}$ TH ACRE EACH—Concluded.

No. of Plot.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.		16TH SEASON, 1903. VARIETY, RED FIFE.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SIXTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
8	Fertilizers applied each year from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.						
	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11 23 $\frac{3}{16}$	2,195	14 25	2,560	11 34 $\frac{9}{16}$	2,218
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 13 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,965	14 35	2,305	12 22 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,986
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 8 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,951	15 15	2,985	13 27 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,953
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	14 25	2,909	14 20	2,765	14 24 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,900
12	Unmanured from the beginning.	10 25 $\frac{5}{16}$	1,940	12 10	1,985	10 31 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,943
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 33 $\frac{3}{16}$	2,056	14 55	2,805	12 42 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,103
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre; used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	15 20	2,648	17 10	3,180	15 26 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,681
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	14 1	2,462	17 45	3,010	14 15	2,496
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	15 44 $\frac{3}{16}$	2,240	15 35	2,925	15 43 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,282
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 57 $\frac{7}{16}$	2,403	14 10	2,870	13 1 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,432
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 51 $\frac{5}{16}$	2,007	12 45	2,207	12 50 $\frac{1}{16}$	2,019
19	Common salt (Sodium chloride), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 51 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,640	12 50	2,069	13 47 $\frac{7}{16}$	1,667
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 50 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,977	13 45	2,173	12 53 $\frac{1}{16}$	1,989
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been used since then.	13 10 $\frac{9}{16}$	1,969	14 45	2,208	13 16 $\frac{3}{16}$	1,934

BARLEY PLOTS.

The quantity of seed sown per acre on the barley plots was 2 bushels in 1889, 1890 and 1891, $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels in 1892 and 1893, and 2 bushels from 1894 to 1903, inclusive. Two-rowed barley has been used for seed throughout until 1902, when Mensury, a six-rowed sort was tried. The varieties used were as follows: 1889, 1890 and 1891, Saale; 1892, Goldthorpe; 1893, Duck-bill; and in 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900 and 1901, Canadian Thorpe, a selected form of the Duck-bill. In 1902 and 1903 Mensury was sown. In 1903 it was sown April 22, and was harvested on July 28.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF BARLEY, $\frac{1}{10}$ TH ACRE EACH.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.		15TH SEASON, 1903. VARIETY, MENSURY.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.				
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.			
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre			
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.			
1	Barn-yard manure, well rotted, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	35	5 $\frac{3}{4}$	3,086	41	22	2,695	35	25 $\frac{7}{8}$	3,060
2	Barn-yard manure, fresh, 15 tons per acre, each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	35	8 $\frac{7}{8}$	3,253	37	9	2,975	35	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,234
3	Unmanured from the beginning.....	13	43 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,543	23	36	1,454	14	28 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,537
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used, no fertilizers have been applied since then.....	15	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,505	25	10	1,579	15	44 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,510
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	20	47 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,220	24	18	2,214	21	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,219
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	28	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,403	31	37	2,293	28	26 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,396
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	25	46 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,380	30	25	2,335	26	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,377

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF BARLEY $\frac{1}{4}$ ACRE EACH—*Concluded.*

No. of plot.	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.		15TH SEASON, 1903, VARIETY, MENSURY.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	21 $3\frac{1}{4}$	1,821	31 32	2,032	21 37	1,835
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	21 $8\frac{1}{4}$	1,757	26 32	1,333	21 $26\frac{1}{5}$	1,729
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	28 $3\frac{1}{4}$	2,369	28 16	2,219	28 4	2,359
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	26 $39\frac{1}{4}$ 13 $32\frac{1}{4}$	2,488 1,224	29 38 22 24	2,377 1,290	27 $1\frac{1}{5}$ 14 $12\frac{1}{5}$	2,481 1,228
12	Unmanured from the beginning.....	14 $34\frac{1}{4}$	1,415	23 26	1,505	15 15	1,421
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	23 $41\frac{1}{4}$	2,074	26 12	2,202	24 $1\frac{1}{5}$	2,089
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	22 $10\frac{1}{4}$	2,284	21 17	2,084	22 $7\frac{1}{5}$	2,270
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	22 $41\frac{1}{4}$	1,861	22 34	1,825	22 $40\frac{1}{5}$	1,859
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	19 $15\frac{1}{4}$	1,943	20 25	1,792	19 $16\frac{1}{5}$	1,933
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	18 36	1,673	21 22	1,419	18 $44\frac{1}{5}$	1,656
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	27 $36\frac{1}{4}$	1,895	22 14	1,849	27 19	1,892
19	Common salt (sodium chloride), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	20 $14\frac{1}{4}$	1,605	23 11	1,391	20 24	1,591
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	20 $46\frac{1}{4}$	1,783	24 23	1,592	21 $9\frac{1}{5}$	1,770
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....						

OAT PLOTS.

The quantity of seed sown per acre on the oat plots, was 2 bushels in 1889 and 1890; $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels in 1891, 1892 and 1893, and 2 bushels from 1894 to 1903, inclusive. The varieties used were as follows: In 1889, Early English; in 1890, 1891, 1892, 1893, Prize Cluster; and from 1894 to 1903, inclusive, the Banner. In 1903 the Banner was sown April 22 and the plots were harvested August 17.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF OATS, $\frac{1}{4}$ ACRE EACH.

Number of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.		15TH SEASON, 1903. VARIETY, BANNER.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.		
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Barn-yard manure, well rotted, 15 tons per acre each year, to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	51	13 ² / ₁₄	50	25	51	11 ¹ / ₈	3,226
2	Barn-yard manure, fresh, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	55	22 ⁷ / ₁₄	53	13	55	17 ⁵ / ₈	3,368
3	Unmanured from the beginning.....	34	5 ¹ / ₁₄	37	2	34	11 ¹ / ₈	1,715
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	34	7 ² / ₁₄	42	32	34	26 ¹ / ₈	1,844
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	49	13 ¹ / ₁₄	39	14	48	25 ¹ / ₈	2,661
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898, 500 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	48	15 ⁷ / ₁₄	43	33	48	5 ¹ / ₈	2,738
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	49	7 ¹ / ₁₄	47	27	49	4 ¹ / ₈	3,143
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	43	4 ¹ / ₁₄	50	30	43	22 ¹ / ₈	2,498
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been used since then.....	37	16 ¹ / ₁₄	47	22	38	5 ¹ / ₈	1,976

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF OATS $\frac{1}{10}$ ACRE EACH—*Continued.*

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.*		15TH SEASON, 1903. VARIETY BANNER.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	47 17 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,693	38 28	2,505	46 32	2,680
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	38 29 $\frac{3}{4}$	2,416	40 30	2,581	39 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,427
12	Umanured from the beginning.....	23 4 $\frac{1}{4}$	1,398	33 23	1,820	23 28 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,426
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	34 26 $\frac{7}{8}$	2,035	35 20	1,850	34 28 $\frac{5}{8}$	2,023
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	41 10 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,273	45 —	2,630	41 18 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,297
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	47 22 $\frac{3}{4}$	2,759	40 15	2,560	47 6	2,746
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1898 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	38 26 $\frac{3}{4}$	2,207	44 24	2,375	39 5 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,218
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	45 11 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,820	46 1	2,425	45 13 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,794
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	38 13 $\frac{1}{4}$	2,018	47 32	1,525	39 — $\frac{1}{2}$	1,935
19	Common salt (Sodium chloride) 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	37 25 $\frac{3}{4}$	1,956	49 4	1,545	38 15	1,929
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	34 25 $\frac{3}{4}$	1,959	37 22	2,070	34 32	1,966
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	35 19	1,860	34 24	1,854	35 17	1,859

INFLUENCE OF CLOVER, PLOUGHED UNDER, ON FARM CROPS.

The ploughing under of clover has been found most effective as an additional source of fertility. It increases the store of available plant food by the addition of nitrogen obtained directly from the atmosphere. It adds also to the mineral plant food available, potash and phosphoric acid by gathering these from depths not reached by the shallower root systems of other farm crops. It also serves as a catch crop during the autumn months, retaining fertilizing material brought down by the rain, much of which would otherwise be lost. Further it supplies the soil with a large addition of humus whereby it is made more retentive of moisture, and results in a deepening and mellowing of the soil. Humus also furnishes material in which those minute forms of germ life which act so beneficially on the soil can thrive and propagate freely.

Marked benefits have been observed from the use of clover on all the plots referred to. A few examples may be cited, taken from all the series.

On plot 7, of the oat series, 500 pounds of fine ground mineral phosphate untreated was used per acre for nine years, and during the two following years 500 pounds of the Thomas phosphate, in place of the untreated mineral phosphate. There was also used on these plots yearly for 11 years, 200 pounds of nitrate of soda and 1,000 pounds of unleached wood ashes per acre. With this large annual application of artificial fertilizers the crop of oats had averaged for ten years 44 bushels 30 pounds per acre. With the discontinuance of the fertilizers and the use of clover the crop in bushels and pounds per acre for the five succeeding years was 58'18; 65'15; 56'31; 57'27, and 47'27. These figures show an average increase in the crop of oats for the five years of 12 bushels 14 pounds per acre, or more than 25 per cent.

On plot 11 in the oat series there were used annually for ten years 350 pounds of mineral superphosphate, 200 pounds of nitrate of soda and 1,500 pounds of unleached wood ashes. The crop during this period gave an average of 36 bushels 5 pounds per acre. With the discontinuance of the fertilizers and the use of clover, the crops for the past five years in bushels and pounds per acre were 37'2; 45'20; 49'29; 51'6, and 40'30, an average increase in crop of 8 bushels 26 pounds, or more than 22 per cent.

On plot 14 in this series fine ground bone was used annually in the proportion of 500 pounds per acre, with 1,500 pounds of unleached wood ashes. At the end of ten years the crop of oats had averaged 37 bushels 6 pounds per acre. With the discontinuance of the bone and ashes and the use of clover the crops for the five succeeding years in bushels and pounds per acre have been as follows: 42'27; 62'2; 49'14; 50'25, and 45, an average increase in crop for the five years of 12 bushels 28 pounds per acre, or more than 30 per cent.

On plot 3 in this series, oats had been grown for ten years in succession without the application of any fertilizer whatever. The crops for the ten years had averaged 30 bushels 23 pounds per acre. With the subsequent use of clover they have stood for the past five years as follows: 29 bushels 2 pounds; 47'2; 48'3; 46'11, and 37'2, an average increase for the five years, of 10 bushels 28 pounds, more than 31 per cent. This is an astonishing increase in view of the fact that oats had been grown every year on the same land for the whole period, and that during the five years when this increase occurred clover was the only fertilizing agent used.

Taking the same series of plots in wheat, which have received the same fertilizers in the same quantities, but for eleven years instead of ten, we find:

On plot 7 of the wheat series the crop for eleven years under the annual fertilizing mentioned under oats averaged 12 bushels 43 pounds per acre. With the discontinuance of the fertilizers and the annual use of clover the crops for the five succeeding years were 12 bushels 50 pounds; 13'20; 16'50; 17'5, and 18'10, an average increase for the five years, of 2 bushels 56 pounds per acre, more than 23 per cent.

In plot 11 in the wheat series the average crop for the eleven years during which the fertilizers were applied was 13 bushels 31 pounds. With the discontinuance of the fertilizers and the annual use of clover the crops for the five succeeding years were 18'30; 18'20; 16'5; 14'40, and 14 bushels 20 pounds per acre, an average increase for the five years of 2 bushels 52 pounds per acre equal to 22 per cent.

On plot 14 the influence of the clover is not so marked, the increase being a little over 10 per cent.

On plot 3, on which wheat was grown for 11 years without the use of any fertilizer the crops during this period averaged 10 bushels, 16 pounds per acre. With the subsequent use of clover they have stood for the past five years as follows: 10'35; 13'45 17'20; 16'50, and 14 bushels 30 pounds, an average increase for the five years of 4 bushels 20 pounds per acre, more than 40 per cent.

On plot 7 of the barley series the crop for ten years averaged 22 bushels 26 pounds per acre, with the discontinuance of the fertilizers and the annual use of clover the



(Photo. by Frank T. Shutt.)

COVER CROP. HAIRY VETCH, CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM, SEPTEMBER 21, 1903. SOWN IN DRILLS,
JUNE 18, 1903.



(Photo. by Frank T. Shutt.)

COVER CROP. HORSE BEANS, CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM, SEPTEMBER 13, 1903. SOWN IN DRILLS
JUNE 18, 1903.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

crops for the five succeeding years were 35'15; 32'2; 27'24; 42'34, and 30 bushels 25 pounds, an average increase for the five years of 11 bushels 3 pounds per acre, equal to more than 48 per cent.

On plot 11 of the barley series the increase in crop from clover has been less. During the ten years when the fertilizers were used the crop averaged 25 bushels 33 pounds. With the discontinuance of the fertilizers and the annual use of clover the crops for the five years following were 30'45; 26'32; 19'8; 41'42, and 29 bushels 38 pounds per acre, an average increase for the five years of 4 bushels per acre, somewhat over 15 per cent.

On plot 14 during the ten years when the fertilizers were used the crop of barley averaged 22 bush. 1 lb. per acre. With the discontinuance of the fertilizers and the annual use of clover the crops for the five years following were 26'2; 25'35; 21'2; 41'2, and 26 bush. 12 lbs. per acre, an average increase for the five years of 6 bushels per acre, more than 25 per cent.

On plot 3 on which barley was grown for 10 years without use of any fertilizer, the crop during this period averaged 13 bush. 32 lbs., but the crop on the tenth year was reduced to 8 bush. 6 lbs. per acre. With the subsequent use of clover the crops have stood for the past five years as follows: 10'40; 9'33; 10'15; 27'4; and 23 bush. 26 lbs. per acre, an average increase for the five years of 2 bush. 32 lbs. per acre, nearly 20 per cent.

The results were still more marked with Indian corn. This crop on plot 3, after 10 years' test, was reduced to about 2 tons per acre. With one crop of clover, turned under, the yield of Indian corn was increased to over 8 tons per acre. On plot 11 the average of 10 years was 13 tons 1,090 pounds per acre. The ploughing under of a single crop of clover raised this the following season to 26 tons 505 pounds per acre.

On field-roots, the beneficial action of clover ploughed under was also very striking. The turnips grown on plot 3 with no fertilizer for the 10 years ending with 1899, averaged 6 tons 1,863 pounds per acre, with one crop of clover ploughed under the average for the two years following was 10 tons 1,560 pounds, an average increase of 3 tons 1,697 pounds per acre; more than 50 per cent.

The mangels on plot 3 had given an average to 1899, of 8 tons 1,587 pounds. The two years following the turning under of clover the crop averaged 10 tons 1,560 pounds, an increase of 2 tons per acre, or nearly 25 per cent.

Many similar instances could be given, but enough has perhaps been presented to establish the fact that the ploughing under of clover gives a large increase to the crop which follows, and in addition to the fertilizing material contributed by the clover the humus thus added to the soil conserves moisture and enables the rootlets of the growing plants to utilize a larger proportion of the plant food which the soil contains.

INCREASED CROPS FROM THE PLOUGHING UNDER OF CLOVER.

The following tests were planned in 1900 when sufficient plots were sown with grain, on one-half of which clover was sown at the same time, in the proportion of 12 pounds of seed per acre, leaving alternate plots on which no clover was sown.

GROUP NO. 1, DIVISION 1.

On this series of six plots, side by side Banner oats were sown in 1901, Everett potatoes in 1902 and Selected Leaming corn in 1903. The following table shows the

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

increased crops, resulting from one crop of clover, the first, second and third years after ploughing under.

Results obtained from alternate plots with and without clover.	1901.			1902.		1903.	
	BANNER OATS.			EVERETT POTATOES.		SELECTED LEAMING CORN.	
	Yield of Grain per acre.		Weight of Straw per acre.	Yield per acre.		Yield per acre.	
	Bush.	lbs.	Lbs.	Bush.	lbs.	Tons.	lbs.
1 Crops in 1901-2-3 after clover in 1900.....	49	14	3,440	293	20	13	1,760
2 " 1901-2-3 on plot where no clover was grown...	47	2	2,480	274	40	12	800
Gain from use of clover.....	2	12	960	18	40	1	960
3 Crops in 1901-2-3 after clover in 1900.....	42	12	2,610	272	..	10	960
4 " 1901-2-3 on plot where no clover was grown...	37	22	1,920	270	49	9	1,010
Gain from use of clover.....	4	24	720	1	20	..	1,920
5 Crops in 1901-2-3 after clover in 1900.....	40	..	3,040	333	20	12	1,440
6 " 1901-2-3 on plot where no clover was grown...	35	10	2,240	333	20	10	800
Gain from use of clover.....	4	24	800	20	..	2	640

In Division 1, the three plots of Banner oats after clover, show for the first year an average gain per acre from the use of clover of 3 bush. 31 lbs. of grain and 827 lbs. of straw. The same plots in potatoes the second year show an average gain of 13 bush. 20 lbs., and the same plots planted with Indian corn the third year an average gain from the use of clover of 1 ton 1,173 lbs. per acre.

DIVISION NO. 2.

In this series of six plots, side by side, Everett potatoes were sown in 1901, Selected Leaming corn in 1902, and Banner oats in 1903, and the following results obtained:—

Results obtained from alternate plots with and without clover.	1901.		1902.		1903.		
	EVERETT POTATOES.		SELECTED LEAMING CORN.		BANNER OATS.		
	Yield per acre.		Yield per acre.		Yield of Grain per acre.		Weight of Straw per acre.
	Bush.	lbs.	Tons.	lbs.	Bush.	lbs.	Lbs.
7 Crops in 1901-2-3 after clover in 1900	440	..	19	62	62	12	3,200
8 " 1901-2-3 on plot where no clover was grown...	396	40	16	1,600	50	20	3,030
Gain from use of clover.....	3	20	2	400	11	26	120
9 Crops in 1901-2-3 after clover in 1900....	420	..	16	640	60	..	4,200
10 " 1901-2-3 on plot where no clover was grown...	396	..	15	880	54	4	2,160
Gain from use of clover.....	24	1,760	5	30	2,040
11 Crops in 1901-2-3 after clover in 1900.....	411	20	20	200	65	30	4,200
12 " 1901-2-3 on plot where no clover was grown...	381	20	16	1,600	44	24	2,800
Gain from use of clover.....	30	..	3	600	21	6	1,400

In Division No. 2 the three plots of Everett potatoes after clover show, for the first year, an average gain per acre from the use of clover of 19 bushels 7 lbs. The same plots in Indian corn the second year show an average gain of 2 tons 253 lbs and the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

same plots sown the third year with Banner oats show an average gain from the use of clover of 12 bush. 32 lbs. of grain and 1,187 lbs. of straw.

GROUP NO. 2.

In each of the three divisions in this group there were three plots. In the upper one in each table the crops were sown after clover ploughed under in the autumn of 1900, and in the lower one clover was also sown in the spring of 1900 and allowed to grow for two seasons and was ploughed under in the autumn of 1901. On the middle plot no clover was grown. It will be seen that considerable gains were made by the use of clover in both cases.

Division No. 1.	1901. Corn, Selected Leaming.	1902. BANNER OATS.		1903. Potatoes, Everett.
	Yield per Acre.	Yield of Oats per Acre.	Weight of Straw per Acre	Yield per Acre.
	Tons. Lbs.	Bus. Lbs.	Lbs.	Bus. Lbs.
1 Crops in 1901-2-3, after clover in 1900.....	25 1,600	70 20	3,840	195 20
2 Crops in 1901-2-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1900.	20 160	58 28	3,120	175 20
Gain from use of clover.....	5 1,440	11 26	720	20 00
3 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where clover was allowed to grow two seasons.....		65 30	4,400	221 20
Gain from use of clover.....		7 2	1,280	45 40
Division No 2.	1901. Corn, Selected Leaming.	1902. BANNER OATS.		1902. Carrots.
	Yield per Acre.	Yield of Oats Per Acre.	Weight of Straw per Acre	Yield per Acre.
	Tons. Lbs.	Bus. Lbs.	Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.
4 Crops in 1901-2-3, after clover in 1900.....	27 880	70 20	3,920	31 960
5 Crops in 1901-2-3, on plots where no clover was grown in 1900	15 1,600	47 2	2,000	20 640
Gain from use of clover.....	11 1,280	23 18	1,920	11 320
6 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where clover was allowed to grow two seasons.....		72 32	3,760	21 600
Gain from use of clover.....		25 30	1,760	1,960
Division No. 3.	1901. Corn, Selected Leaming.	1902. BANNER OATS.		1903. Sugar Beets.
	Yield per Acre.	Yield of Oats per Acre.	Weight of Straw per Acre	Yield per Acre.
	Tons. Lbs.	Bus. Lbs.	Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.
7 Crops in 1901-2-3, after clover in 1900.....	27 1,760	75 10	4,160	22 600
8 Crops in 1901-2-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1900	19 1,280	51 26	2,320	8 1,200
Gain from use of clover.....	8 480	23 18	1,840	13 1,400
9 Crops in 1902-3, on plot, where clover was allowed to grow two seasons.....		68 8	4,080	*
Gain from use of clover.....		16 16	1,760	

* Did not germinate.

GROUP No. 3.

Division 1.			1902. BANNER OATS.		1903. TURNIPS.						
			Yield of Oats Per Acre..	Weight of Straw Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.						
1 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901.....			Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Tons.					
2 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901....			70	20	4,720	25					
			58	28	3,120	20.					
Gain from use of clover.....			11	26	1,600	4					
Division 2.			1902. POTATOES EVERETT.		1903. CARROTS.		1902. CORN, SELECTED LEAMING.		1903. POTATOES EVERETT.		
			Yield Per Acre.		Yield Per Acre.		Yield Per Acre.		Yield Per Acre.		
			Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.					
3 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901.....			392	40	20	1,400					
4 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901.....			358		18	280					
Gain from use of clover			34	40	2	1,120					
							Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
5 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901.....							20	800	202		
6 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901.....							15		154	40	
Gain from use of clover.....							5	800	47	20	
7 Crops in 1903, on plot where clover was allowed to grow two seasons.									200	40	
8 Crops in 1903, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901.....									134	40	
Gain from use of clover.....									66	00	
Division 3.			1902 BANNER OATS.		1903 MANGELS.		1902 POTATOES EVERETT.		1903 SUGAR BEETS.		
			Yield of Oats Per Acre.		Weight of Straw Per Acre.		Yield Per Acre.		Yield Per Acre.		
			Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
9 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901.			70	20	4,960	30	1,000		
10 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901			61	6	2,720	27	320		
Gain from use of clover.....			9	14	2,240	3	680		
11 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901.			386	20	20	680
12 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	346	40	16	1,040
Gain from use of clover....			39	40	3	1,640

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

GROUP No. 3—Concluded.

Division 4.	1902 CORN, SELECTED LEAMING.		1903 CORN, SELECTED LEAMING.		1902 BANNER OATS.		1903 PRESTON WHEAT.	
	Yield Per Acre.		Yield Per Acre.		Yield of Oats Per Acre.	Weight of Straw Per Acre.	Yield of Wheat Per Acre.	Weight of Straw Per Acre.
	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush Lbs.	Lbs.	Bus. Lbs.	Lbs.
13 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901.....	23	1,200	18	1,440
14 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901....	17	720	14	1,200
Gain from use of clover....	6	480	4	240
15 Crops in 1903, on plot where clover was allowed to grow two seasons.....	15	1,600
16 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901....	7
Gain from use of clover	8	1,600
17 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901.....	72 32	5,280	16 ..	1,760
18 Crops in 1902-3, on plots where no clover was grown in 1901....	63 18	3,280	14 40	1,400
Gain from use of clover....	9 14	2,000	1 20	360

Division 5.	1902 POTATOES Everett.		1903 MENSURY BARLEY.		1902 CORN Selected Leaming.	1903 BANNER OATS.	
	Yield Per Acre.		Yield of Barley Per Acre.	Weight of Straw Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield of Oats Per Acre.	Weight of Straw Per Acre.
	Bus.	Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Bus. Lbs.	Lbs.
19 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901..	396	..	51 32	2,640
20 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	353	20	50 ..	2,520
Gain from use of clover	42	40	1 32	120
21 Crops in 1902-3, after clover in 1901.	22 1,600	82 12	3,920
22 Crops in 1902-3, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	16 800	76 16	3,240
Gain from use of clover.....	6 800	5 30	680
23 Crops in 1903, on plot where clover was allowed to grow two seasons...	87 2	4,880
24 Crops in 1903, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901.....	74 4	4,080
Gain from use of clover.....	12 32	800

In all these examples also there are gains from the use of clover and on some of the plots they are so large as to be quite remarkable.

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

EFFECTS OF FERTILIZERS ON SPRING WHEAT, OATS, CLOVER AND BROME GRASS.

During the season of 1900, two series consisting in each case of sixteen one-eighth acre plots were laid out, twelve of which in each set were treated with different fertilizers, and the remaining four left as check plots which received no fertilizers.

One set of these plots was sown with spring wheat of the variety known as Preston, another with a variety of oats known as Improved Ligowo. Two other series each consisting of nine plots were planned, one to be used for experiments with common red clover, and the other for the Awnless Brome grass (*Bromus inermis*).

The object in view in these tests is to gain information as to the effects on crops sown on land in a fair average condition of fertility, of superphosphate of lime and Thomas' phosphate both used singly, also of superphosphate of lime with kainit and with kainit and nitrate of soda, and of Thomas' phosphate with kainit, and with kainit and nitrate of soda. In the several series of plots planned provision was also made for testing the relative value of barn-yard manure fresh and rotted, fresh slaked lime and of nitrate of soda alone in the proportions of 100 and 200 pounds per acre with a check plot between them.

The land chosen for this test was in a fairly good condition of tilth. The soil was a sandy loam which has been under cultivation since 1887, and has been cropped each year since with a suitable rotation of crops, and has received a dressing of barn-yard manure about once in four years. The last application of manure was in 1897, when it received about 12 tons per acre. The land was cropped in 1899 with experimental plots of grain, mostly barley.

It is proposed to grow the same crops on this land for some years, using the same fertilizers in the same quantities every second year. In this way it is hoped that some further information may be gained as to the effect of these different fertilizers when used singly and in combination on the important crops named. As this land was at the start in a fair average condition as to fertility, it may be regarded as representing in a general way average sandy loams on farms properly worked. The fertilizers were first applied in the spring of 1900, and a second time in the spring of 1902.

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO SPRING WHEAT.

Sown April 27, Ripe August 15, 1903.

No. of plot.	Name of Variety, Preston.	Yield of Grain per acre.		Yield of Straw per acre.
		Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	18	—	2,840
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	16	40	2,400
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre.....	20	—	3,040
4	Check.....	16	—	1,700
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	20	—	3,280
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., per acre.....	16	40	3,400
7	Check.....	14	40	1,400
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit 200 lbs., nitrate soda 100 lbs. per acre ..	20	—	3,600
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre ..	16	—	3,600
10	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, fresh, 12 tons per acre.....	11	40	1,720
11	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, well rotted, 12 tons per acre.....	13	40	1,980
12	Check ..	9	20	1,140
13	Fresh slaked lime, 1,000 lbs. per acre.....	11	40	1,460
14	Nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	6	40	840
15	Check.....	12	—	1,480
16	Nitrate soda, 200 lbs. per acre.....	10	—	1,560

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO OATS.

Sown April 27, Ripe August 17, 1903.

No. of plot.	Name of Variety, Improved Ligowo.	Yield of Grain per acre.		Yield of Straw per acre.
		Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	43	18	2,280
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	52	32	2,480
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre.....	47	2	2,720
4	Check.....	42	12	1,960
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	44	24	2,080
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs, kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	48	8	2,600
7	Check.....	43	18	2,280
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	44	24	3,160
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	54	4	3,080
10	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, fresh, 12 tons per acre.....	57	22	3,760
11	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, well rotted, 12 tons per acre.....	64	24	3,560
12	Check.....	63	18	3,840
13	Fresh slacked lime, 1,000 lbs. per acre.....	77	22	3,960
14	Nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	69	14	3,560
15	Check.....	62	12	3,280
16	Nitrate soda, 200 lbs. per acre.....	68	8	4,280

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO AWNLESS BROME GRASS (*Bromus inermis*).

Crop cut July 9, 1903.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers used.	Height of Brome Grass	YIELD PER ACRE.			
			Green.		Cured.	
		Inches.	Tons.	lbs.	Tons.	lbs.
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	38—42	8	1,360	3	1,600
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	36—40	6	1,360	2	1,600
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre.....	34—38	4	240	1	1,440
4	Check.....	28—32	2	1,600	1	160
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs.; kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	31—35	3	400	1	400
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs.; kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	31—35	3	400	1	480
7	Check.....	31—38	4	—	1	1,200
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs.; kainit, 200 lbs.; nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	34—38	3	520	1	680
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs.; kainit, 200 lbs.; nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	36—40	4	720	1	1,600
10	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, fresh, 12 tons per acre.....	36—40	4	1,760	2	80
11	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, well rotted, 12 tons p. acre.....	36—40	3	800	1	800
12	Check.....	30—34	2	800	—	1,340
13	Fresh slacked lime, 1000 lbs. per acre.....	25—29	1	1,760	—	1,440
14	Nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	25—29	5	1,200	1	800
15	Check.....	30—35	2	1,920	1	640
16	Nitrate soda, 200 lbs. per acre.....	33—38	4	640	1	1,680

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO CLOVER.

First cutting July 9, second Sept. 3, 1903.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers used.	HEIGHT OF CLOVER.		YIELD PER ACRE.					
		1st Cutting.	2nd Cutting.	1st Cutting.		2nd Cutting.		Green.	Cured.
				Green.	Cured.	Green.	Cured.		
		Inches.	Inches.	Tons.	lbs.	Tons.	lbs.	Tons.	lbs.
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre	18-23	19-24	8	1,100	2	400	8	1,920
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre	18-23	19-24	9	1,120	2	1,200	10	80
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre	20-25	20-25	9	1,240	2	1,120	9	440
4	Check	18-23	18-23	8	1,880	2	760	9	1,240
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. ; kainit, 200 lbs. per acre	20-25	18-23	8	960	2	960	9	80
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. ; kainit, 200 lbs. per acre	18-23	17-22	7	1,040	2	240	9	640
7	Check	16-21	18-23	7	640	2	80	9	1,520
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. ; kainit, 200 lbs. ; nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre	18-23	20-25	6	1,600	2	—	9	560
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. ; kainit, 200 lbs. ; nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre	18-23	20-25	6	1,200	1	1,760	10	—
10	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, fresh, 12 tons per acre	18-23	20-25	6	480	1	1,200	11	1,360
11	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, well rotted, 12 tons per acre	18-23	20-25	7	80	2	1,200	10	160
12	Check	16-21	18-23	4	1,440	1	1,840	9	80
13	Fresh slacked lime, 1,000 lbs. per acre	18-23	20-25	7	1,040	2	560	11	1,280
14	Nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre	18-23	20-25	6	1,600	1	1,600	8	1,600
15	Check	18-23	18-23	7	1,600	2	—	8	1,920
16	Nitrate soda, 200 lbs. per acre	20-25	20-25	8	1,920	2	880	11	320

CORRESPONDENCE.

A large correspondence has been maintained during 1903 between the farmers of Canada and the officers of the experimental farms.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

The following is a summary of the letters received and sent out at the Central Experimental Farm from December 1, 1902, to November 30, 1903; also the number of reports, bulletins and circulars forwarded by mail during the same period.

	Letters received.	Letters sent.
Director	40,490	17,081
Agriculturist	3,251	2,815
Horticulturist	1,237	1,266
Chemist	1,234	1,163
Entomologist and Botanist	3,059	2,664
Experimentalist (part of year)	386	372
Poultry manager	1,587	1,145
Accountant	824	799
	52,063	27,305

A large number of the letters received by the Director are applications for samples of grain or for the publications of the farms a considerable proportion of which are

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

answered by sending the correspondents the material asked for, accompanied by circular letters. This explains why the number of letters received so much exceeds the number sent out..

Circular letters including circulars sent with samples of	
seed grain....	32,074
Reports and bulletins mailed.....	248,673

BRANCH EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

The correspondence with the Superintendents of the branch experimental farms is also large, as is shown by the following figures:—

	Letters received.	Letters sent.
Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.....	1,840	1,685
Experimental Farm, Brandon, Man.	3,767	2,848
Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.....	4,926	4,980
Experimental Farm, Agassiz, B.C.....	2,767	2,570
	<hr/> 13,300	<hr/> 12,083

Much additional information has also been sent out from the branch farms in printed circulars.

By adding the correspondence conducted at the branch farms to that of the central farm it will be seen that 65,363 letters were received and 39,358 sent out during the year.

A large proportion of these letters are from correspondents who seek information on all sorts of subjects relating to farm work, stock raising, dairying, fruit growing, poultry raising, &c. For the first two years after the experimental farms were established the letters received averaged 9,300 each year, whereas during the past six years the annual average has amounted to 64,411, showing the great growth of this branch of the service.

During the same period the number of reports and bulletins sent out each year has averaged 214,691. Thus a constant stream of information is going out from the experimental farms, helpful to farmers in their endeavours to make their calling more profitable.

CO-OPERATIVE EXPERIMENTS BY CANADIAN FARMERS.

The Dominion experimental farms were established in 1887 and in the spring of 1888 the useful work of assisting farmers with samples of high class seed grain for test was begun; hence they have co-operated with the experimental farms from the start in the endeavour to find out which varieties of the several cereals were the earliest to ripen and the most generally productive under the many different climatic conditions found in this country. In 1888 the number of samples distributed was 2,760. Every year since then this useful branch of the work has been continued, it rapidly assumed large proportions, and is much appreciated by farmers everywhere. The greatest pains are taken to send the grain out perfectly clean. Sometimes with the most approved cleaning apparatus this cannot be thoroughly done and in all such cases the grain is hand-picked. Many thousands of pounds are thus treated every year. Every effort is also made to have the samples true to name and of the most productive strains.

During the past ten years the number of samples distributed annually has averaged 35,030 and the total number sent out from 1888 to the end of 1903 is 421,312, which has involved the use of over 638 tons of first class material. Of these samples 368,245 have been sent out from the Central Farm at Ottawa and 53,067 from the branch farms. Hundreds of letters are received every year from farmers expressing their

gratitude for the samples sent, as in this way they obtain at no cost beyond their own labour, pure seed of the choicest quality. There is no doubt that the quality, character and productiveness of the grain raised throughout the entire Dominion has been influenced very largely by the placing of these samples in the hands of so many good farmers.

During the season of 1903 the distribution was somewhat modified. While in the past the greater part of the samples distributed have weighed three pounds each, for the last three years there have been sent to a limited number of farmers who have taken a special interest in this work enough of the leading cereals to sow one-tenth of an acre. To these parties 8 pounds of oats or 10 pounds of wheat or barley have been sent. These larger samples have been very much appreciated, but since in some instances it produced dissatisfaction on the part of those who received the smaller samples, it has been thought best to put all the applicants on the same footing, and send to all who apply for samples of these cereals 4 pounds of oats and 5 pounds of wheat or barley, which would be enough in each case for a twentieth acre plot. The samples of pease, Indian corn and potatoes weigh 3 pounds each, as heretofore.

The samples sent from the Central Experimental Farm during the early months of 1903 have been distributed as follows:—

Name of Grain.	Prince Edward Island.	Nova Scotia.	New Brunswick.	Quebec.	Ontario.	Manitoba.	Northwest Territories.	British Columbia.
Oats.....	508	1,083	990	3,228	2,079	519	539	84
Barley.....	127	336	177	1,362	676	185	227	33
Wheat.....	245	496	571	1,859	558	304	380	54
Pease.....	24	165	179	740	163	100	93	22
Indian Corn.....	30	178	145	512	794	104	81	25
Potatoes.....	138	757	579	3,687	2,648	715	959	173
Total.....	1,072	3,915	2,641	11,388	6,918	1,927	2,279	396

Total number of samples distributed, 29,636.

Number of applicants supplied, 29,592.

Total number of packages of each sort distributed:—

Oats.....	9,030
Barley.....	3,123
Wheat.....	4,467
Pease.....	1,436
Indian corn.....	1,869
Potatoes.....	9,656

Total..... 29,636

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The following list shows the number of packages which have been sent out of the different varieties:—

Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.	Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.
OATS.		INDIAN CORN.	
Tartar King.....	1,667	Selected Leaming.....	512
Waverley.....	1,597	Longfellow.....	325
Banner.....	1,263	Early Mastodon.....	321
Improved Ligowo.....	1,256	King of the Earliest.....	273
Goldfinder.....	920	Eureka.....	161
Wide Awake.....	902	North Dakota White.....	102
Abundance.....	893	White Cap Yellow Dent.....	62
Black Beauty.....	532	Angel of Midnight.....	54
Total.....	9,030	Cloud's Early Yellow.....	30
		Early Butler.....	29
BARLEY.		Total.....	1,869
Mensury.....	1,008	POTATOES.	
Odessa.....	752	Early Sunrise.....	1,303
Rennie's Improved.....	673	Early Harvest.....	1,117
Sidney.....	302	Carman No. 1.....	994
Canadian Thorpe.....	210	Everett.....	861
Standwell.....	183	Early Andes.....	652
Total.....	3,128	Rochester Rose.....	593
WHEAT.		Maggie Murphy.....	582
Preston.....	967	Surprise.....	548
Percy.....	912	Honeye Rose.....	403
Stanley.....	874	Vigorosa.....	379
Red Fife.....	840	American Wonder.....	319
Wellman's Fife.....	750	Early White Prize.....	298
Emmer (Spelt).....	124	Bovee.....	275
Total.....	4,467	New Queen.....	247
PEASE.		Sir Walter Raleigh.....	203
Canadian Beauty.....	463	Uncle Sam.....	196
Black Eyed Marrowfat.....	360	Prize Taker.....	181
Prussian Blue.....	381	Canadian Beauty.....	178
Wisconsin Blue.....	282	Wonder of the World.....	165
Total.....	1,486	Early Rose.....	162
		Total.....	9,656

DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES FROM THE BRANCH EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

Samples of three pounds each were also distributed from the branch experimental farms as follows:—

Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.—		Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.—	
Oats.....	212	Oats.....	411
Wheat.....	68	Barley.....	196
Barley.....	62	Wheat.....	278
Pease.....	42	Pease.....	232
Buckwheat.....	16	Flax, Rye, &c.....	41
Winter Rye.....	1	Potatoes.....	497
Potatoes.....	354		
Total.....	755	Total.....	1,655

Experimental Farm, Brandon, Man.—		Experimental Farm, Agassiz, B.C.—	
Samples of grain of all sorts.....	161	Oats	163
Potatoes.....	241	Barley.....	128
		Wheat.....	217
		Pease.....	148
Total.....	402	Potatoes.....	310
		Total.....	966

These samples added to the number distributed by the Central Experimental Farm make a total of 33,413. It is gratifying to find so large an army of co-experimenters willing to engage in this good work.

TESTS OF THE VITALITY OF SEED GRAIN AND OTHER SEEDS.

The number of samples of seeds tested during the season of 1902-3 to find out the proportion which would germinate was 2,091.

This useful work has been carried on every year since the experimental farms were established. For the first four years the average number of samples tested was 790 per annum, but for the past twelve years the average number has been 2,015 each season. They have consisted largely of samples of cereals, the vitality of which was doubtful owing to bad harvest weather or to some other unfavourable condition. Many samples of timothy, clover and other seeds which farmers buy and want to know whether they were good, have also been sent for test. The total number of samples which have been tested and reported on since this work was begun is 29,451. Farmers are invited to send in every year any samples which may be of doubtful vitality through injury in harvesting or storing or from any other cause, so that their germinating power may be determined and their usefulness for seed purposes ascertained.

Closely associated with this branch of work is the study of the length of time during which grain and seeds of different sorts will hold their vitality. In many instances the decrease in vitality with age is much more rapid than is generally supposed. In 1898, some experiments were begun in this direction by the selection of twelve samples, all vigorous growing sorts and all from the crop of 1897. Each of these samples was placed in a cotton bag and stored on an open shelf, on the shady side of the room in an ordinary office building, midway between the floor and ceiling, where they would get as equal conditions of temperature as could be had. They were kept in this way and tested every year. The samples consisted of three different sorts of wheat, four of oats, two of barley, two of pease, and one of flax seed. The wheats were samples of Red Fife grown at Indian Head, and Preston and Red Fern, both grown at Ottawa. The oats were Banner, grown at Ottawa and Indian Head, one sample of Prize Cluster, grown at Ottawa, and one sample of Scottish Chief. This was grown at Indian Head.

In wheat the average percentages of vitality for the three varieties taken from the crop of 1897, during the six years' test stand as follows: in 1898, the samples averaged 80 per cent of vitality; in 1899, they averaged 82.3 per cent, a slight increase; in 1900, they dropped to 77.3 per cent; in 1901, to 37 per cent; in 1902, to 15 per cent, and in 1903, to 6 per cent. The average of 6 per cent in 1903 is entirely due to a remnant of vitality of 17 per cent in the Red Fern, the Red Fife and Preston having lost their germinating power entirely. It is evident then that the growing of wheat which has been taken from mummies cannot be true.

In oats the average percentage of vitality for the four samples during the six years' test stood as follows: in 1898, it was 90.2 per cent; in 1899, 93 per cent; in 1900, 78.2 per cent; in 1901, 67 per cent; in 1902, 54 per cent, and in 1903, 29.5 per cent. In no instance have oats entirely lost their vitality during this period. Of barley, two

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

varieties were chosen, one a two-rowed sort known as Canadian Thorpe, grown at Indian Head, and the other, a six-rowed variety, Mensury, grown at Ottawa. The average percentage of vitality of these two barleys during the time they have been under trial has been as follows: 1898, 97 per cent; 1899, 91 per cent; 1900, 78.5 per cent; 1901, 36 per cent; 1902, 19.5 per cent, and in 1903, 7.5 per cent. The two-rowed variety entirely lost its vitality by 1902, while the six-rowed has retained 15 per cent of vitality to the end of the six years' test.

Two varieties of pease were tested, Daniel O'Rourke and Large White Marrowfat. The average percentage of vitality shown by these two varieties was as follows: In 1898, 94 per cent; 1899, 95 per cent; 1900, 88 per cent; 1901, 64 per cent; 1902, 64 per cent, and in 1903, 6 per cent. A sample of flax was also tested, a single example. This gave, in 1898, 81 per cent; 1899, 82 per cent; in 1900, 75 per cent; in 1901, 49 per cent; in 1902, 26 per cent, and in 1903, 24 per cent.

From these tests we gather, that when any of the varieties of grain or seed referred to are kept over for sowing, they may be expected to be about as high in germinating power and in vigour of growth the second year as they were the first. In the third year there is a slight falling off, and in the fourth, fifth and sixth years, a rapid decline in proportion of vitality.

RESULTS OF TESTS OF SEEDS FOR VITALITY, 1902-03.

Kind of Seed.	Number of Tests.	Highest Per-centage.	Lowest Per-centage.	Per-centage of Strong Growth.	Per-centage of Weak Growth.	Average Vitality.
Wheat	677	100.0	26.0	83.9	3.8	87.7
Barley	359	100.0	28.0	87.6	5.4	93.0
Oats	516	100.0	5.0	85.2	4.8	90.1
Rye	2	82.0	81.0	77.0	4.5	81.5
Pease	126	100.0	14.0			79.6
Grass	106	98.0	5.0			78.7
Clover	207	97.0	1.0			70.3
Corn	4	80.0	14.0			43.0
Vetches	2	53.0	24.0			38.5
Beans	2	92.0	90.0			91.0
Onions	19	93.0	0.0			56.4
Celery	9	83.0	6.0			49.5
Carrots	2	27.0	4.0			15.5
Radish	8	80.0	39.0			46.2
Lettuce	16	93.0	1.0			53.5
Cabbage	7	75.0	8.0			44.8
Parsley	3	34.0	1.0			12.3
Tobacco	2	45.0	21.0			33.0
Cauliflower	3	59.0	53.0			56.3
Squash	2	72.0	12.0			42.0
Turnip	1	56.0	56.0			56.0
Spinach	1	9.0	9.0			9.0
Cress	1	38.0	38.0			38.0
Kohl Rabi	1	23.0	23.0			23.0
Leeks	1	64.0	64.0			64.0
Brussels Sprouts	1	20.0	20.0			20.0
Flax	1	60.0	60.0			60.0
Endive	1	29.0	29.0			29.0
Water Cress	1	1.0	1.0			1.0
Parsnips	1	76.0	76.0			76.0
Salsify	1	85.0	85.0			85.0
Beets	1	50.0	50.0			50.0
Rhubarb	1	64.0	64.0			64.0
Mustard	1	59.0	59.0			59.0
Ash Seed	1	16.0	16.0			16.0
Maple Seed	1	4.0	4.0			4.0
Total number of samples tested, highest and lowest percentage.	2,088	100.0	0.0			

TABLE showing Results of Grain Tests for each Province :—

ONTARIO.

Kind of Seed.	Number of Tests.	Highest Per-centage.	Lowest Per-centage.	Per-centage of Strong Growth.	Per-centage of Weak Growth.	Average Vitality.
Wheat.....	233	100·0	26·0	77·7	4·8	82·6
Barley.....	125	100·0	52·0	85·7	6·5	92·2
Oats.....	142	100·0	52·0	92·8	3·9	96·8

QUEBEC.

Wheat.....	79	100·0	63·0	87·1	2·6	89·7
Barley.....	72	100·0	28·0	86·5	5·4	91·9
Oats.....	64	100·0	60·0	91·8	2·8	94·6

MANITOBA.

Wheat.....	62	100·0	58·0	85·0	3·7	88·7
Barley.....	15	98·0	63·0	88·0	3·2	91·2
Oats.....	54	100·0	5·0	76·9	5·7	82·7

NORTH-WEST TERRITORIES.

Wheat.....	141	100·0	39·0	84·2	4·0	88·2
Barley.....	65	100·0	83·0	91·6	3·1	94·8
Oats.....	126	100·0	12·0	63·6	8·6	77·3

NOVA SCOTIA.

Wheat.....	51	100·0	60·0	88·2	3·0	91·2
Barley.....	52	100·0	52·0	85·0	7·8	92·5
Oats.....	33	100·0	78·0	91·0	3·6	94·6

NEW BRUNSWICK.

Wheat.....	61	100·0	61·0	89·9	2·7	92·7
Barley.....	12	100·0	84·0	91·5	3·5	95·0
Oats.....	35	100·0	89·0	93·2	2·6	95·9

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND.

Wheat.....	40	100·0	82·0	93·1	2·3	95·5
Barley.....	18	100·0	85·0	94·9	1·6	96·5
Oats.....	50	100·0	92·0	95·3	2·3	97·6

BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Wheat.....	10	100·0	86·0	94·0	1·4	95·4
Oats.....	12	100·0	79·0	88·4	4·2	92·6

(Signed)

WILLIAM T. ELLIS.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS.

TABLE of Meteorological Observations taken at the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, 1903; maximum and minimum temperatures, with date of occurrence, and mean temperature for each month, also rainfall and snowfall and total precipitation.

Month.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Range.	Mean.	Highest.	Date.	Lowest.	Date.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Total Pre- cipitation.	Number of days Pre- cipitation.	Heaviest in 24 hours.	Date.
	F°	F°	F°	F°	F°		F°		in.	in.	in.		in.	
January...	20·29	2·29	17·99	11·28	38·0	30th	-29·8	19th	0·36	22·50	2·61	18	0·40	11th 21st
February...	24·62	7·95	16·66	16·28	41·8	28th	-22·2	18th	1·29	27·00	3·99	16	0·60	8th
March....	41·68	25·66	16·02	33·67	62·3	19th	0·5	3rd	1·69	0·50	1·73	13	0·60	23rd
April.....	55·55	32·03	23·51	43·78	82·2	30th	14·0	5th	0·85	3·00	1·15	8	0·50	7th
May	74·03	43·90	30·12	58·96	90·8	19th	22·5	2nd	0·24	0·24	8	0·09	4th
June	73·95	51·09	22·85	62·51	88·0	6th	41·8	1st	7·30	7·30	15	2·03	12th
July.....	77·19	58·29	18·90	67·74	89·8	8th	44·5	27th	4·02	4·02	17	1·40	2nd
August ...	71·99	51·29	20·70	61·64	81·8	22d	43·6	8th	4·31	4·31	18	1·39	20th
September.	70·78	47·52	23·26	59·15	86·8	13th	33·5	30th	2·25	2·25	7	1·40	17th
October ...	57·53	38·68	18·84	48·10	70·5	1st	20·5	28th	3·50	3·50	15	1·05	10th
November.	37·63	22·35	15·27	29·98	61·0	4th	-0·1	26th	0·62	4·50	1·07	11	0·33	5th
December.	20·40	0·84	19·55	10·61	37·0	13th	-23·6	27th	27·50	2·75	18	0·40	20th
									26·43	85·00	34·92	164		

Rain or snow fell on 164 days during the 12 months.

Heaviest rainfall in 24 hours, 2·03 inches on June 12.

Heaviest snowfall in 24 hours, 6 inches, on February 8.

The highest temperature during the 12 months was 90·8°, on May 19.

The lowest temperature during the 12 months was -29·8°, on January 19.

During the growing season rain fell on 8 days in April, 8 days in May, 15 days in June, 17 days in July, 18 days in August, and 7 days in September.

September shows the lowest number of days with precipitation, viz., 7.

Total precipitation during the 12 months, 34·92 inches, as compared with 36·10 inches during 1902.

Rainfall, Snowfall and Total Precipitation from 1890 to 1903, also the average annual amount that has fallen.

Year.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Total Precipitation.
	In inches.	In inches.	In inches.
1890.....	24·73	64·85	31·22
1891.....	30·19	73·50	37·54
1892.....	23·78	105·00	34·28
1893.....	31·79	72·50	30·04
1894.....	23·05	71·50	30·20
1895.....	27·01	87·50	35·76
1896.....	21·53	99·75	31·50
1897.....	24·18	89·00	33·08
1898.....	24·75	112·25	35·97
1899.....	33·86	77·25	41·63
1900.....	29·48	108·00	40·27
1901.....	29·21	97·25	38·91
1902.....	25·94	101·75	36·10
1903.....	26·43	85·00	34·92
Totals for 14 years.....	375·93	1,245·10	500·42
Yearly average for 14 years.....	26·85	88·92	35·74

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

RECORD of Sunshine at the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, for the Years 1898 to 1903.

MONTHS.	1898.				1899.				1900.			
	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine	Total hours Sunshine.	Average Sunshine per Day.	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine	Total hours Sunshine.	Average Sunshine per Day.	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine	Total hours Sunshine.	Average Sunshine per Day.
January.....	21	10	97.4	3.14	18	13	91.2	2.94	18	13	76.4	2.46
February.....	15	13	67.5	2.41	19	9	102.1	3.64	20	8	110.2	3.93
March.....	26	6	171.5	5.53	17	14	124.1	4.00	26	5	177.9	5.73
April.....	29	1	233.8	7.79	26	4	228.8	7.62	26	4	212.7	7.09
May.....	30	1	186.3	6.01	27	4	225.4	7.27	27	4	241.6	7.79
June.....	29	1	184.9	6.16	29	1	257.1	8.57	27	3	282.2	9.40
July.....	30	1	272.8	8.80	29	2	271.3	8.75	29	2	225.1	7.26
*August.....					31	0	271.2	8.74	30	1	270.7	8.73
September.....	27	3	166.9	5.23	22	8	128.9	4.29	22	8	164.4	5.48
October.....	21	10	106.0	3.41	23	8	120.4	3.88	26	5	148.7	4.79
November.....	21	9	91.3	3.04	17	13	77.0	2.56	18	12	71.7	2.39
December.....	15	16	54.3	1.75	17	14	50.1	1.61	16	15	34.0	1.09

MONTHS.	1901.				1902.				1903.			
	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine	Total hours Sunshine.	Average Sunshine per Day.	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine	Total hours Sunshine.	Average Sunshine per Day.	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine	Total hours Sunshine.	Average Sunshine per Day.
January.....	20	11	94.6	3.05	21	10	97.2	3.13	18	13	57.5	1.85
February.....	20	8	120.9	4.31	20	8	93.3	3.33	19	9	94.0	3.35
March.....	10	12	82.4	2.62	25	6	136.2	4.39	24	7	121.4	3.91
April.....	18	12	137.1	4.57	26	4	161.9	5.39	25	5	181.7	6.05
May.....	25	6	200.8	6.47	27	4	229.8	7.41	31	0	278.3	8.97
June.....	29	1	269.4	8.98	29	1	185.6	6.18	24	6	157.7	5.25
July.....	29	2	245.8	7.92	31	0	239.9	7.73	30	1	230.1	7.42
August.....	29	2	226.1	7.29	31	0	252.0	8.12	25	6	206.4	6.65
September.....	26	4	202.3	6.74	25	5	145.0	4.83	28	2	174.4	5.81
October.....	27	4	126.3	4.07	24	7	99.2	3.20	26	5	125.9	4.06
November.....	19	11	72.4	2.41	21	9	82.5	2.75	23	7	96.4	3.21
December.....	16	15	45.4	1.46	16	15	58.4	1.88	20	11	53.2	1.71

* Instruments out of order.

(Sgd.) WILLIAM T. ELLIS,
Observer.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

VISIT TO THE EASTERN EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

A visit was paid to the experimental farm at Nappan, N.S., August 3 to 6. The weather was very fine; the hay harvest was then being rapidly pushed and the crop was saved in excellent condition. The severe drought which had prevailed during May and up to June 23 had resulted in a stunted growth in the crops, but after the drought was broken by copious rains they all improved rapidly; the growth of hay thickened considerably at the base and the crop which earlier promised to be almost a failure resulted in about two-thirds of an average yield. The hay produced on the upland was better than that on the marsh.

At the date of my visit the crops in general looked well. In the uniform trial plots of grain the wheat promised about an average yield, the oats and barley above an average. Indian corn was growing well, but was not so far advanced as usual owing to the cold backward season. Turnips were looking remarkably well. A considerable area of additional land had been brought under crop. The dairy cattle were looking well and milking fairly well. The horses, swine, sheep and poultry were all in good condition. Inspection was made of every branch of the work, and the general condition of the farm was very satisfactory and showed evidence of careful supervision. The buildings also and implements were found in good order.

The orchards had made good progress and many of the apple trees were well laden with fruit; the vegetable garden was in a thriving condition, and the flower beds full of bloom. The trees and shrubs planted about the grounds, notwithstanding the drought, had made a satisfactory growth.

A JOURNEY TO THE WEST.

THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM AT BRANDON, MAN.

Leaving Ottawa August 14, Brandon was reached on the 16th, where several days were spent in inspecting the buildings and crops and everything was found in excellent order. The field crops promised a good harvest, some of them were already cut and the weather was fine for harvest purposes. Wheat cutting began here on August 17, and subsequently made rapid progress. The different varieties of wheat, oats and barley were carefully examined and notes taken on their growth, condition and character. The oat crop was very heavy. The many varieties of Indian corn, field roots and potatoes under trial here were found to have made strong and healthy growth. When cut green for ensilage, Indian corn subsequently gave, in experimental plots, as high as 28 tons per acre. The heaviest yielding sort of mangel gave over 40 tons per acre. The plots of different varieties of flax were also interesting and promising.

The orchards of cross-bred and seedling crab and apple trees are being rapidly extended and many of the seedlings earlier planted were bearing heavy crops, and some of the cross-bred apples were bearing their first fruits. Many of these are attracting much attention and some progress has been made in propagating and distributing them. Many of the native seedling plums were in fruit and several of the earlier sorts ripened before frost occurred. Some of the earlier ripening sorts of good quality will be propagated. The trees and shrubs forming the Arboretum are doing well, and each season adds to their growth and their number. The Arboretum is now one of the most attractive spots on the farm.

The horses, cattle, swine and poultry were all in good condition and showed evidence of constant and intelligent care.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.

This farm was next visited in time to see most of the more important crops before they were harvested. The yields of grain were heavy, especially those of oats. The experimental plots were most remarkable for weight of crop; the heaviest yielder was the Banner, which gave at the rate of 136 bushels 26 pounds per acre. The best twelve varieties in these plots gave the unprecedented average yield of 128 bushels 26 pounds per acre. Barley also gave excellent crops of very plump grain. On the plots one of the two-rowed sorts gave 80 bushels, 40 pounds, and one of the six-rowed varieties at the rate of 71 bushels 12 pounds per acre. Among the highest yielding wheats was the Preston, which gave a crop of 43 bushels 10 pounds per acre. The best field crop was from Huron, one of the cross-bred wheats produced at the experimental farms; this gave 40 bushels 24 pounds per acre.

The cold and backward weather retarded the ripening of the grain and it became necessary to cut some of it before it was fully matured, and most of the grain so treated was more or less shrunk. Several of the late ripening sorts of wheat which were not cut when the frost came on September 5, were touched with frost. Pease were not fully ripened at that time, and consequently most of the varieties were more or less injured. Flax was a fair crop.

Good returns were had from Indian corn cut green for ensilage, field roots also gave very good crops. The yield of potatoes was larger than ever known before, the heaviest crop, that of Carman No. 1, in the experimental plots being at the rate of 711 bushels 28 pounds per acre. The cool season seems to have been favourable to the growth of the potato.

The early ripening varieties of wheat under trial have this year shown themselves relatively earlier than usual. The Preston, which has averaged during the past nine years from four to six days earlier than the Red Fife, was this year from ten to twelve days earlier, and in some instances the advantage in earliness was fully two weeks in favour of Preston. The same may be said of other early ripening sorts. This was no doubt due to the cool and backward weather; the earlier sorts probably having the power of maturing more rapidly under lower temperatures.

In the orchards of Siberian crabs and cross-bred apples, the crab trees were well laden. The fruit makes excellent jelly although it is too small for most other purposes. The cross-bred apple trees, the fruit of which is large enough to be serviceable for domestic use, are young and only beginning to fruit. The trees seem to be equally hardy with those of the crabs. Trees and shrubs for shelter and ornamental purposes have been largely propagated and distributed among settlers all over the Territories, who are using them to advantage and thus making their home surroundings more attractive.

The horses, cattle, swine and poultry were all in good condition and everything in connection with the buildings, implements, &c., was in good order, indicating careful management.

REGINA AND PRINCE ALBERT DISTRICTS.

After leaving Indian Head, the Regina district was visited, also the country from Regina to Prince Albert. This was during the last week in August and the first in September, at which time the crops were very promising. By September 1 a considerable part of the wheat had been cut and harvesting was progressing rapidly. In Prince Albert several farms were visited, but the grain was not fully matured. At Rosthern the season appeared to be further advanced and a drive of over fifty miles was taken over that district and a number of farmers seen, some of whom had from 100 to 200 acres of wheat. Three years ago very little wheat was brought in at this point and the town had no elevators. Now there are six elevators built and it is said that in 1902 500,000 bushels of wheat were marketed at this point, and it was expected that 600,000 bushels would be brought to Rosthern during the season of 1903.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXTENSIVE SETTLEMENT.

Settlement has progressed very rapidly along this line of railway and the homesteads for many miles back have nearly all been taken up. About 60 miles south-east of Rosthern, on the Hoodoo plains towards the Quill lakes a very large tract is being taken up by a body of German Catholics from the United States. In conversation with one of their priests, met at Rosthern, it was learned that about 2,000 of these people had gone into that district this spring, that many more were expected during the autumn, and a still larger number next season. It is expected that this settlement will occupy the greater part of forty to fifty townships. The line of the Canadian Northern Railway now being built will run through this part of the country.

Many of the towns from Regina to Prince Albert have doubled and some of them trebled their population within the past three years, and many new towns have sprung up and are growing rapidly, which at that time had no existence. Twenty-five elevators were counted at different points along this line of railway.

JOURNEY TO BATTLEFORD.

On returning to Saskatoon, a drive of 200 miles was taken in looking over the country between this point and Battleford. A very large proportion of the land seen was of excellent quality, especially much of that along the proposed line of the Canadian Northern Railway on the north side of the Saskatchewan river.

Arriving at Battleford on the day fixed for the holding of the Annual Agricultural Fair, an opportunity was afforded of seeing a good collection of the agricultural products of that district. The grain shown at that time was not fully ripe, but was fairly well advanced.

COLONY OF NESTORIANS.

Among other nationalities exhibiting on this occasion were the Nestorians, from Persia, who have taken land within a few miles of the town. They made a very creditable display of vegetables. In an interview with one of their chief men I was told that these people were very well satisfied with this part of Canada and expected a larger influx of settlers from their country next year.

BARR COLONISTS.

About Saskatoon and along the road to Battleford, also in Battleford itself, many of the Barr colonists were met with. The land chosen for this colony begins about forty miles north-west of Battleford and extends in the same direction to a distance of ninety miles from Battleford, and near that point the town of Lloydminster has been founded. Of the 1,200 people who came out who were entitled to homesteads, about 400 have taken up land in the British settlement. The others have distributed themselves among the settlers all over the country and have taken up homesteads in proximity to places where they could obtain employment. They are engaged in many different lines of work, in the towns, among the farmers, and on the railways. All those we had the opportunity of talking to seemed satisfied with the country, and most of them expected to go on their land to begin their settlement duties next spring.

There is much difficulty in obtaining lumber in many parts of the North-west this year for the many new buildings required. A part of what is used in the Battleford district has been brought in from British Columbia and hauled up from Saskatoon, while a part has been floated down the north Saskatchewan river, in barges, from Edmonton.

DOUKHOBOR VILLAGES.

During these journeys opportunity was afforded for visiting several villages of the Doukhobors. Each village consists of a number of houses, one for each family, neatly

built with logs and plaster, with, in some instances, an attempt at ornamentation on the plaster work. Their houses are very clean and neat inside, but they appear to have little idea of ventilation, as no provision seems to be made in any of the houses visited for opening the windows. They all have gardens about their houses, in which the leading vegetables are grown. Sunflowers and poppies are also always abundant. Young and old eat quantities of the seeds of both these plants. In the neighbourhood of the villages a large quantity of land was broken and under crop. Belonging to one village was 400 acres of flax, which promised a very good yield. The crop of wheat belonging to the Doukhobors were the poorest seen anywhere and it was evident that their preparation of the land was very crude, although their oat crops were better. They will doubtless soon improve in this particular. The villages visited are now well supplied with cattle and horses. These people are evidently making progress.

VISIT TO THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM AT AGASSIZ, B.C.

Returning to Regina, the train was taken for Agassiz, B.C., where a week was spent in looking into the many details connected with the experiments in progress there with fruits, cereals and fodder plants. The yields of hay and grain had been heavy, but, owing to wet weather, not much threshing had been done up to that time. The yields of the barley plots, however, had been determined and the best of them ranged from 70 to 80 bushels per acre. The fodder corn was very heavy and almost fit to cut. The root crop, also, was very promising.

The fruit crop at the Agassiz Farm was rather below the average, although some varieties were bearing well. This is the general condition throughout the coast climate and has probably been due to very wet weather in the spring, which prevented the fruit from setting. On Vancouver island, where there was less rain, the crop is much better. Plums have yielded well, but the 'plum rot' has destroyed a considerable proportion of the fruit in the orchards on the mainland. This troublesome pest has proved a discouragement to plum growers in the coast climate. In the drier interior country, fruit trees have yielded abundant crops and there the 'plum rot' causes very little loss.

Among the large number of different sorts of fruits under trial at the experimental farm at Agassiz, while some are of excellent quality, others have proved inferior. These latter are being discarded and a list of them will be published for the information of fruit growers. Selections have been made of those of the highest quality and productivity—and, in plums, of those most free from rot—for planting in commercial orchards, where instead of having one or two trees, from five to ten trees of each sort are being planted.

A general inspection was made of the field crops, the stock, buildings, &c., and all were found in good condition.

New Westminster and Victoria were also visited and arrangements made for a fine display of the products of the Agassiz Farm at each of the large exhibitions to be held at these points.

CALGARY AND EDMONTON DISTRICTS.

On the return journey, a few days were spent in looking over the country from Calgary to Edmonton. The progress in settlement all along this line during the past three or four years has been marvellous. Many flourishing towns were seen along the line, some of them only two or three years old. Nearly all the older towns have increased in size and population very much of late. The homesteads are nearly all taken up for from twenty to thirty miles on both sides of the railway and for nearly the whole distance. At Edmonton they are all disposed of for nearly seventy miles east and from thirty-five to forty miles west. Fully 14,000 settlers have gone into the Edmonton district within the past three or four years, a large proportion of whom are

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Americans and Galicians. The people who have come in from the United States make excellent settlers and are well trained to the work devolving on new settlers in this western country. The Galicians are making good progress, are fast adapting themselves to the conditions in which they are placed, and are learning English. A number of schools have been established among them.

The town of Edmonton has made phenomenal growth and the prices asked for property there are in some instances more than could be realized in cities in the east with five times the population which Edmonton now has.

Returning, a day was spent at the thriving town of Innisfail, where a drive was taken through a part of that district and some fine farms seen. An opportunity was also afforded of meeting some of the leading farmers of that locality at the prosperous and well equipped creamery which has been established there.

VISIT TO SOUTHERN ALBERTA.

A trip was made to Macleod, Pincher and Cowley, where some fine fields of fall wheat were seen; also to Lethbridge, and thence to the Mormon settlements south-east of that place, the towns visited being Stirling, Raymond, and Magrath. Each of these places has increased considerably in population during the past year, but the most remarkable growth has been at Raymond. Two years ago I visited the spot on which this town now stands, when a surveyor's tent was the only thing to be seen on the wide expanse of prairie. Now there is a town of about 1,500 inhabitants, possessing a very large brick school, a meeting house, hotel, stores, bank and numerous dwellings.

BEET SUGAR FACTORY.

There is also an extensive beet sugar factory nearly completed at a cost of about \$400,000, which will have a capacity for working up 350 tons of beets a day. This factory is very complete and modern in all its appliances. Some good fields of beets were seen in the neighbourhood, but in many instances the land on which they had been grown had not been sufficiently worked to give the best results. Some of the better fields were expected to give from 10 to 12 tons per acre. The beets grown there are said to be very rich in sugar. The total crop is estimated at from 10,000 to 12,000 tons, which will be sufficient to keep the factory running from thirty to forty days. Another year, under improved conditions, it is expected that a better and larger crop will be produced.

QUALITY OF WHEAT SEEN AT ELEVATORS.

Returning eastward, some time was spent at Regina, Indian Head, Virden and Brandon. Threshing was being pushed rapidly along and large quantities of wheat were being delivered at the elevators. Most of that being received was grading No. 1 and No. 2 Northern, with an occasional lot of No. 2 Hard. This wheat was coming mainly from the crops grown on stubble land, since they were the earliest to ripen. At all the localities named, excepting Indian Head, the wheat crop was averaging about 20 bushels per acre, and at Indian Head from 20 to 25 bushels. The crops on summer-fallow land are expected to be heavier; but, since they were later in ripening, they are likely to grade somewhat lower.

The prices being paid this year for wheat grading No. 1 and No. 2 Northern are higher than were paid last season for No. 1 Hard. Hence, the farmers in the wheat growing districts of the North-west country are well satisfied with the results of the season.

Although a few days of wet weather have delayed threshing in some quarters, the quantity of wheat handled by the Canadian Pacific Railway and Canadian Northern Railway from September 14 to October 7, and inspected at Winnipeg, was 4,939 cars, aggregating nearly 5,000,000 bushels, and the fine weather which prevailed at the time of leaving Winnipeg would soon greatly accelerate the moving of the crop.

ADDITIONS TO THE STAFF.

During the past year a new division of the work has been established known as the 'Division of Cereal Breeding and Experimentation.' In this are included two important branches of work which hitherto have been under the personal charge of the Director. These are the production of new varieties of cereals by cross-breeding and selection and the comparative tests of new and established sorts. The work of general supervision of all the farms now claims so much of the Director's attention that it was not possible for him to give the time necessary to do justice to these special branches. The great grain growing interests of Canada are so important that every effort should be made to improve existing varieties and to produce such new ones as are needed, by judicious crossing, so that varieties may be had suited to the various climatic conditions found in this country. Much good work has already been done which is creditable to the Department and to the Dominion, but the field is a boundless one and the possibilities of improvement are great. The Experimentalist, who has been appointed to take charge of this division is Dr. C. E. Saunders, who has had special training in this direction and has done considerable work in cross-breeding at the Central Farm during the past seven years.

An assistant has also been appointed to the poultry manager, Mr. Victor Fortier, of St. Jérôme, Quebec, having been chosen for this position. Mr. Fortier is a man of much experience in poultry matters, and is specially acquainted with the needs of the province of Quebec in connection with her poultry interests. Through Mr. Fortier's energy and his intimate knowledge of poultry breeding and management it is hoped to extend the usefulness of the poultry division.

PUBLICATIONS ISSUED DURING THE YEAR.

During 1903 three bulletins have been published, No. 41 gave the 'Results obtained in 1902 from trial plots of Grain, Fodder Corn, Field Roots and Potatoes.' This is the eighth bulletin dealing with this subject, prepared by the Director. While dealing primarily with the crops on the experimental plots on all the experimental farms in 1902, it contains also the average results had from the growing of these important farm crops for a series of years. The information thus given has been very useful to the farmers of Canada, showing what varieties have been most productive in different parts of the Dominion.

The second bulletin, No. 42, on 'The Rape Plant, its Culture, use and Value,' was prepared by Mr. J. H. Grisdale, Agriculturist. In this bulletin the usefulness of rape for forage purposes for most classes of stock is demonstrated. The most approved methods of cultivation are given and the cost of growing this crop. Some particulars are also submitted of the results obtained at the Central Experimental Farm in the feeding of this plant to swine and steers.

The third bulletin, No. 43, was on 'Plum Culture, with Descriptions of Varieties,' in which are submitted district lists of plums suitable for Ontario and Quebec. This has been prepared by Mr. W. T. Macoun, Horticulturist, and includes an account of the different classes of plums grown, with some particulars of the experiments which have been carried on with plums at the Central Experimental Farm for many years past. Methods of preparing land for orchard are given, with particulars as to their subsequent planting and care. The methods of propagation of the plum by budding and grafting are referred to and explanations given as to the subsequent pruning and care of the trees; also the spraying of them to control insect enemies and to prevent injuries to which they are liable from various diseases which affect the trees and fruit. Reference is also made to the manner of picking and marketing of the fruit.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

PREPARATIONS FOR THE LOUISIANA PURCHASE EXPOSITION AT ST. LOUIS.

From each of the experimental farms contributions of material have been made for the Louisiana Purchase Exposition at St. Louis. These consist of large quantities of grain in the straw, as well as of cleaned grain; also collections of grasses, millets and other fodder plants. Large quantities of fruit and vegetables have been put up in bottles in preserving fluids and forwarded to the exhibition branch of the Department of Agriculture. While all have assisted in every department, the largest contributions to the cereal display have come from the experimental farms at Indian Head, N.W.T., Brandon, Manitoba, and from the Central Experimental Farm at Ottawa. The larger portion of the fruit display has been sent from the experimental farms at Agassiz, B.C., Nappan, N.S., and Ottawa, Ont.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

I desire to tender grateful acknowledgments to those who have rendered me special service during the past year. To the United States Department of Agriculture for samples of seeds of cereals, fodder crops and vegetables for test from foreign countries. To the Director of the Royal Gardens, Kew, England, for many sorts of seeds of trees, shrubs and plants from Great Britain and abroad. To the Director of the Arnold Arboretum, Jamaica Plains, Mass., for seeds of many different sorts of trees and shrubs of much interest, from foreign countries. To Prof. John Macoun and Mr. J. M. Macoun, both of the Geological and Natural History Survey of Canada, for much practical information and for seeds of rare Canadian plants.

I also tender my best thanks to the officers of the Central and Branch Experimental Farms, for their faithful services and for their earnest co-operation in carrying out the different branches of the work. My sincere thanks are also due to those members of the staff who have rendered me help in those branches of the work of which I have had personal charge; to Mr. John Fixter, the farm foreman, who has taken special charge of the tests made with fertilizers and taken notes thereon, who has also helped me with practical suggestions; to Mr. George Fixter, to whom I am indebted for careful management of the work connected with the distribution of samples of seed grain to the farmers of Canada; and to Mr. Wm. T. Ellis, who has done much careful work in testing the vitality of seeds, the management of the plants in the greenhouse and in the propagation of many useful species for outside decoration. Mr. Ellis has also rendered useful service in the taking of the Meteorological Records.

I am also pleased to bear testimony to the faithful services of my secretary, Mr. Malcolm C. O'Hanly. The employees also of all the farms have my thanks for the interest they have taken in their work, and the care with which they have discharged their respective duties.

WM. SAUNDERS,
Director of Experimental Farms.

REPORT OF THE AGRICULTURIST.

(J. H. GRISDALE, B. Agr.)

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director, Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith reports upon the horses, cattle, sheep, wine and farming operations under my supervision during the past year.

As in previous years much of my time has been taken up in attending agricultural and live stock meetings in various parts of Canada.

I have to report a fairly successful year in the different branches of my division and in this connection I wish to acknowledge my indebtedness for assistance and earnest co-operation in their various positions of the farm foreman, Mr. John Fixter, the herdsman, Mr. Chas. Brettell, and of the dairyman, Mr. J. Meilleur.

From December 1, 1902, to November 30, 1903, 3,003 letters were received and 3,339 despatched by the agricultural division.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

J. H. GRISDALE,
Agriculturist.

LIVE STOCK.

The live stock now (Dec. 1, 1903) occupying the different stables and pens under my charge includes horses, cattle, sheep and swine.

HORSES.

The horses are used for labour exclusively. They number 19, made up of:—

- 13 heavy draught horses of Clydesdale and Percheron blood.
- 5 heavy driving horses.
- 1 light driver.

CATTLE.

There are representatives of four breeds of cattle, viz.: Shorthorn, Ayrshire, Jersey and Canadian. There are besides a number of grade cattle and steers.

Pure Bred Breeding Cattle.

The pure bred cattle are as follows:—

- 11 Shorthorns, including 2 bulls and 9 females.
- 13 Ayrshires, including 2 bulls and 11 females.
- 12 Guernseys, including 5 bulls and 7 females.
- 7 Canadians, including 2 bulls and 5 females.

GRADE CATTLE.

There are 17 grades, including 5 Shorthorn grades, 5 Ayrshire grades, 6 Guernsey grades and 1 Canadian grade.

Steers.

Sixty-seven steers are now being fed in the barns; this includes:—

- 15 three-year-olds.
- 21 two-year-olds.
- 17 yearlings.
- 14 calves.

SHEEP.

Thirty-four head are in the pens, including 20 Shropshires and 14 Leicesters. The Shropshires are:—

- 4 rams; 1 old and 3 lambs.
- 16 ewes; 12 old and 4 lambs.

The Leicesters are:—

- 3 rams; 1 old and 2 lambs.
- 11 ewes; 8 old and 3 lambs.

SWINE.

One hundred and eighty-eight pigs of all classes are being fed. This number is made up as follows:—

31 Yorkshire, including

- 12 breeding sows.
- 2 stock boars.
- 3 young sows.
- 8 young boars.
- 6 sucklings.

5 Berkshires, including

- 4 breeding sows.
- 1 young sow.

6 Tamworths—

- 3 breeding sows.
- 3 young sows.

4 Large Blacks.

- 3 breeding sows.
- 1 boar.

142 feeding pigs of various ages and breeds.

HORSES.

There are 19 horses in the stables. These horses are expected to do the work in the various departments during the year. The work on 'The 200 Acre Farm' is but a part of their duties, about 33½ per cent of all the work they perform. They work in addition for the horticultural and experimental departments, as well as upon the lawns and in the Arboretum. In addition a large amount of hauling in connection with the different departments as well as roadmaking and messenger service takes up much of their time.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

During the 12 months, December 1, 1902, to November 30, 1903, the 19 horses consumed 145,900 lbs. hay (almost 73 tons), 105,432 lbs. oats, bran and oil meal, and 5,000 lbs. roots.

This food was valued at \$1,552.10. To care for them cost \$560.00, making a total cost of \$2,112.10 for 19 head, or \$111.16 to feed and care for one horse for the year, or 37 cents per day, counting 300 working days in the year.

The driver received \$1.41½ per day, hence 10 hours (day's work) work with a team costs \$2.16.

In estimating the cost of horse labour further on in this report \$2.50 per day is allowed. This leaves a margin of 32 cents per day for wear and tear on harness and for replacing horses as they grow old. Since the daily allowance of 16 cents per horse amounts to \$48 in the year of 300 working days, it is evident that all possible contingencies are amply provided for.

Since the stock of horses is 19 head, and the average working life has been about 10 years, there is allowance made for a sinking fund of \$9,120 in the ten years, or sufficient to replace the horses and harness twice over.

DAIRY CATTLE.

The herd of dairy cattle during 1903 consisted of 38 females, all told. They were:—

Canadian grades.	1
Ayrshires.	8
Guernseys.	6
Canadians.	4
Shorthorn grades.	4
Ayrshires grades.	6
Guernsey grades.	5
Canadian grade.	1

FEEDING THE DAIRY CATTLE.

The roughage ration fed to the dairy cows consisted of ensilage, mangels, clover hay and some chaff. The amount of roughage fed varies considerably, since the milch cows vary in weight from 800 lbs. to 1,600 lbs. The approximate roughage ration fed per 1,000 lbs. weight is 35 lbs. corn ensilage, 20 lbs. mangels, 5 lbs. clover hay and a little chaff.

The meal or grain ration fed consisted of different mixtures at different times and to different cows. The meals or grains used were oats, barley, bran, pease, gluten and oil meal. Gluten meal formed the basis of the ration during the winter, while oat chop took its place in summer.

No very heavy grain ration was fed to any cow. A careful study was made of each cow's requirements, and she was fed accordingly.

SUMMER FEEDING.

The cows were, as usual, pastured during the first summer months on part of the fifth year of the rotation; that is, on land from which one year's hay had been cut. In August and September they were allowed to have the clover meadow aftermath of the fourth year of the rotation. In addition, some soiling crops were fed, and some green corn. The meal ration in the summer was a light one. It consisted of oats and barley ground and fed in proportion to the yield of milk, save in the case of heifers with first calves, when a somewhat heavier ration proportionately was fed.

COST OF FEEDING.

In estimating the cost of feeding, the following prices were charged for feed stuffs, being the average local market rates for the same during 1902, save in the case of ensilage and roots, which are charged at the usual values affixed in experimental work:—

	Per month.
Pasture.	\$ 2 per cow
Bran.	16 per ton
Gluten meal and oil meal.	25 “
Oats and barley.	21 “
Clover hay.	7 “
Chaff.	4 “
Roots and ensilage	2 “

In estimating the value of the product, 20 cents per pound is allowed for the butter, and 15 cents per hundred pounds for the skim milk and butter milk. The butter is manufactured in the farm dairy and sells on the market at from 22 cents to 30 cents per pound, an average of about 25 cents per pound during the year. This leaves about 5 cents per pound for cost of manufacture.

The following tables give in detail the particulars concerning each cow, herd statements for each of the pure-bred and grade herds, and monthly statements for all the herds combined.

The monthly statements for the whole milking herd show the total yield of milk for each month, its butter fat content, the amount of butter produced, the number of pounds of milk required for a pound of butter, and the average yield of milk per cow per diem. The highest average per cent of fat was recorded in October, and the lowest in February.

In presenting the following ‘Herd Reports’ some few words of explanation are necessary.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

DAIRY CATTLE REPORTS.

During the year 38 different cows were milked for shorter or longer periods, as indicated on the first page of my report on dairy cattle, whereas in the subjoined 'Herd Reports' only 22 animals are reported upon.

In almost any dairy herd of any size some cows will be found that for some reason have given milk during only a very small part of any given year. Where a large number of cows are being considered one or two such cases introduced in estimating the average does not materially affect the same, but where the herds to be compared are small the consideration of one or two such cases in one herd and no such cases in another makes an unjust difference in favour of the latter herd. To overcome this difficulty as far as possible, the records of three of the best cows in each herd, and of cows that had been in milk for the greater part of the year, have been taken, and the averages estimated from these records, rather than from the records of all cows of that particular breed that happened to calve during the year.

REPORT 1 is a summary of the more important points in connection with the year's work with the dairy herd.

REPORT 2 contains the individual records of all cows that gave any milk during the year.

REPORTS 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 give the herd records of the several pure bred and grade herds under test.

REPORT I.

GENERAL SUMMARY.

	November.	December.	January.	February.	March.	April.	May.	June.	July.	August.	September.	October.	Totals.
No. of cows giving milk for month.	23	23	22	20	24	23	32	33	30	28	29	29	
Lbs. of milk in month.	11,898	11,402	9,838	10,571	15,503	21,263	26,938	25,631	21,932	17,474	18,747	19,282	269,482
Average for 1 day.	396·6	367·7	317·3	377·5	500·1	708·8	869	854·4	707·5	563·7	624·9	622	573·9
Daily average per cow.	17·25	16·54	15·13	21·14	23·85	26·58	26·94	25·63	23·08	19·41	20·83	20·30	21·63
Per cent fat.	4·32	4·36	4·35	4·08	4·29	4·29	4·29	4·26	4·24	4·22	4·31	4·40	4·30
Lbs. butter fat.	513·48	497·01	428·02	431·78	665·06	908·04	1154·24	1092·31	929·35	736·63	808·92	849·10	9013·94
Lbs. butter.	604·09	584·73	503·55	507·88	782·42	1068·23	1357·71	1255·07	1093·33	866·59	951·67	998·94	10604·21
Lbs. milk for 1 lb. butter.	19·70	19·49	19·54	20·81	19·82	19·91	19·84	19·95	20·07	20·15	19·69	19·29	19·75

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

REPORT 2.

INDIVIDUAL COW RECORDS.

Name of Cows.		Age.	Date of dropping last calf.	Number of days in milk.	Daily average yield of milk.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds butter produced in year.	Value of butter at 20 cts. per lb.	Value of skim milk at 15 cts. per 100 lbs.	Total value of product.	Amount meal eaten, valued at c. per lb.	Amount of roots and ensilage eaten, valued at \$2 per ton.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Amount hay, valued at \$7 per ton.	Months on pasture at \$2 per month.	Total cost of feed for year.	Cost to produce 100 lbs. milk.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Cts.	Profit on 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Cts.	Profit on cow during year, labour neglected.
Queenie.....	(G. G.)	5	Mar. 10, '03	290	22.8	6,629	5.93	466.57	93.31	9.24	102.55	1,468	8,430	1,815	5	39	45	59.52	8.4	11.6	63	09		
Polly.....	(G. C.)	8	Jan. 30, '03	325	26.13	8,493	4.55	443.24	90.84	12.04	102.88	1,712	8,290	1,815	5	41	76	49.17	9.4	10.6	61	12		
Zanora.....	(C.)	7	Apr. 18, '03	320	24.04	7,694	4.96	448.80	89.76	10.80	100.56	1,565	9,455	1,707	5	40	21	43.40	9.1	10.9	59	48		
Jessie A.....	(A.)	9	Feb. 16, '03	265	35.2	9,330	3.78	415.16	83.03	13.36	96.33	1,720	8,021	1,400	5	40	21	43.40	9.00	10.4	56	18		
Laura.....	(G. A.)	6	Dec. 12, '02	324	32.3	10,490	3.25	412.80	82.56	15.10	97.06	2,038	6,780	1,630	5	43	77	41.72	10.36	9.64	53	89		
Irechen Lady.....	(C.)	6	May 7, '03	290	23.76	6,892	4.85	394.05	78.81	9.73	88.54	1,368	7,700	1,837	5	37	81	51.86	9.6	10.4	50	73		
Countess.....	(G. A.)	5	Feb. 12, '03	275	31.5	8,674	3.97	405.15	81.03	12.39	93.42	1,739	9,658	1,815	5	43	69	50.36	10.70	9.30	49	73		
Bellflower.....	(G. G.)	7	Nov. 30, '02	309	21.45	6,436	4.48	387.03	77.40	10.08	80.28	1,512	9,910	1,815	5	41	10	56.00	10.6	9.4	46	72		
Fortune.....	(C.)	7	Apr. 18, '03	275	27.5	7,562	3.87	344.75	68.95	10.81	79.76	1,293	8,830	1,815	5	34	69	53.37	11.04	8.96	41	67		
+Miss Molly.....	(S.)	13	Sep. 4, '02	250	25.7	6,429	4.53	330.67	66.01	9.13	86.99	1,625	9,646	1,815	5	41	56	57.72	11.9	8.1	38	72		
Marchioness.....	(S.)	9	Mar. 6, '03	320	23.9	7,182	4.14	350.14	70.03	10.25	80.28	1,641	8,800	1,815	5	42	24	59.60	12.20	7.80	36	62		
Bloom.....	(A.)	4	Mar. 31, '03	325	21.8	7,087	4.12	343.78	68.75	10.11	73.86	1,625	9,646	1,815	5	41	64	66.41	10.9	9.1	31	51		
Flossy Lyons.....	(G.)	3	Feb. 4, '03	320	20.36	6,515	4.27	327.05	65.41	9.07	67.99	1,103	6,270	1,767	5	41	91	64.3	12.8	7.2	32	70		
Denny.....	(A.)	4	Mar. 29, '03	300	18.70	5,291	3.78	296.52	47.30	7.50	54.80	885	9,590	1,726	5	41	91	64.3	12.8	7.2	32	70		
Exile.....	(C.)	8	May 10, '03	170	31.12	5,291	3.78	296.52	47.30	7.50	54.80	885	9,590	1,726	5	41	91	64.3	12.8	7.2	32	70		
Deanne.....	(G.)	6	Apr. 21, '03	300	18.70	5,291	3.78	296.52	47.30	7.50	54.80	885	9,590	1,726	5	41	91	64.3	12.8	7.2	32	70		
Bloom.....	(G. G.)	9	May 3, '03	320	23.05	6,682	3.96	311.55	62.33	8.26	70.77	1,518	11,310	1,922	5	39	29	67.73	12.92	7.08	31	67		
Sadie.....	(G. S.)	3	Sep. 11, '03	322	18.00	5,790	4.58	312.58	62.51	6.60	52.55	886	9,120	1,777	5	39	29	67.73	12.50	7.50	31	48		
Annie.....	(G. A.)	3	Apr. 1, '03	214	21.63	4,620	4.22	299.73	45.93	6.60	52.55	886	9,120	1,777	5	39	29	67.73	12.50	7.50	31	48		
Flecky.....	(A.)	3	Mar. 28, '03	291	21.64	6,299	3.99	294.24	58.84	8.61	69.93	1,494	9,320	1,863	5	39	29	67.73	12.50	7.50	31	48		
Cherry.....	(G. S.)	3	July 18, '03	326	18.55	6,047	4.31	306.00	61.32	8.61	69.93	1,494	9,320	1,863	5	39	29	67.73	12.50	7.50	31	48		
Alma.....	(G. G.)	2	Jan. 19, '03	284	16.33	4,632	4.73	258.78	51.75	6.28	52.32	1,252	5,169	1,583	5	39	29	67.73	12.50	7.50	31	48		
+Honoria.....	(G.)	2	Oct. 1, '02	208	21.17	4,425	4.19	229.89	45.97	6.28	52.32	1,252	5,169	1,583	5	39	29	67.73	12.50	7.50	31	48		
Aggie.....	(G. G.)	7	Oct. 1, '02	208	21.17	4,425	4.19	229.89	45.97	6.28	52.32	1,252	5,169	1,583	5	39	29	67.73	12.50	7.50	31	48		
+Darlington Lass.....	(S.)	10	Apr. 10, '03	215	25.2	3,747	4.74	223.12	41.62	6.48	51.10	886	11,500	1,815	5	23	15	61.90	12.6	7.4	24	33		
Alice.....	(G. A.)	3	Mar. 19, '03	220	20.2	4,443	3.76	197.16	39.43	6.37	49.80	1,242	9,170	1,726	5	24	80	55.74	12.60	7.40	21	00		
Gurta.....	(A.)	2	May 17, '03	167	24.55	3,416	3.46	164	32.80	4.87	37.67	1,672	1,200	93	5	37	94	63.24	16	9.3	20	02		
Alvina.....	(G. A.)	3	May 17, '03	167	24.55	3,416	3.46	164	32.80	4.87	37.67	1,672	1,200	93	5	37	94	63.24	16	9.3	20	02		
Dora.....	(G. A.)	11	Mar. 8, '03	295	22.55	6,645	3.21	251.01	50.32	9.58	58.78	1,448	9,590	1,767	5	40	50	55.74	16.6	3.4	18	03		
Rosy.....	(G. S.)	4	Oct. 5, '03	304	18.47	5,615	3.8	251.01	50.32	9.58	58.78	1,448	9,590	1,767	5	40	50	55.74	16.6	3.4	18	03		
Amy.....	(G. A.)	2	May 18, '03	165	18.54	2,960	4.28	148.53	29.71	4.21	33.92	650	120	93	5	17	63	59.33	11.8	8.2	15	89		

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Ruby (G.)	6 Oct. 5, '03	283	14-93	4,225	5-08	271-11	54-22	5-92	60-14	1,310	9,685	735	5	49-24	\$1-16	18-1	1-9	10-93	
Denise Reine . . . (C.)	3	239	10-09	2,615	4-83	148-7	29-71	3-68	33-42	831	5,390	531	5	28-35	\$1-07	19	1	5-07	
Clairton Spot . . . (G.)	9 Jan. 11, '03	304	12-12	3,687	4-5	199-56	39-91	5-22	42-32	1,617	9,723	735	5	42-32	\$1-14	21-2		2-81	
Illuminata (S.)	4 Mar. 21, '03	286	9-6	2,752	4-26	138-03	27-61	3-91	31-52	926	8,645	726	5	34-25	\$1-21	24-03		*2-73	
†Norah's Last . . . (A.)	9 Mar. 20, '03	92	6-65	612	4-13	29-91	5-98	0-87	6-85	289	6,820	360	12-86	\$2-10	43		*6-01	
SHORTHORNS.																			
AYRSHIRES.																			
Jessie A.	9 Feb. 16, '03	265	35-2	9,330	3-78	415-16	33-03	13-36	96-39	1,729	8,021	1,400	5	40-21	43-09	9-60	10-40	56-18	
Maggie	7 Apr. 18, '03	275	27-5	7,562	3-87	344-75	68-95	10-81	79-76	1,293	8,830	1,810	5	38-09	50-37	11-04	8-96	41-67	
Bloomer	4 Mar. 31, '03	325	21-8	7,087	4-12	343-78	68-75	10-11	78-86	1,625	9,640	1,815	5	42-24	59-60	12-20	7-80	36-62	
Average	288	27-7	7,993	3-91	367-89	73-57	11-42	85-00	1,649	8,830	1,675	5	40-18	50-26	10-92	9-08	44-82	
GUERNSEYS.																			
Itchen Lady	6 May 2, '03	290	23-76	6,892	4-35	394-08	73-81	9-73	88-54	1,368	7,700	1,837	5	37-81	54-86	9-6	10-4	50-73	
Deanie	6 Aug. 21, '03	300	18-70	5,631	4-94	327-32	65-46	7-95	73-41	1,574	9,140	1,837	5	41-31	73-18	12-6	7-4	32-10	
*Flossy Lyons	3 Feb. 4, '03	260	19-39	5,041	5-13	304-54	60-60	7-09	67-39	1,103	6,270	1,767	5	33-48	66-41	10-9	9-1	34-51	
Average	283	20-7	5,855	4-96	311-98	63-39	8-25	76-64	1,348	7,763	1,813	37-53	64-09	10-9	9-1	42-45	

* Flossy Lyons calved for first time in February, 1903.

* Flossy Lyons calved for first time in February, 1903.

CANADIANS.

Names of Cows.	Age.	Date of Dropping last calf.	Number of days in milk in 1903.	Daily average yield of milk.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds of butter produced in year.	Value of butter at 20c. per lb.	Value of skim milk at 15c. per 100 lbs.	Total value of products.	Amount meal eaten.	Amount of roots and ensilage eaten valued at \$2 per ton.	Amount hay valued at \$7 per ton.	Months on pasture.	Total cost of feed for year.	Cost to produce 100 lbs. milk.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Profit on 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Profit on cow during year, cost of labour not included.
Zamora.....	7	Apr. 18, '03	320	24.04	7,694	4.96	448.80	89.76	10.80	100.56	1,506	9,455	1,707	5	41.08	53.40	9.1	10.9	59.48
Fortune.....	7	May 10, '03	300	21.45	6,436	4.70	356.00	71.20	9.08	80.28	1,542	6,510	1,425	5	36.91	57.34	10.3	9.7	43.37
* Exilee.....	8	Nov. 30, '02	170	31.12	5,291	3.78	236.52	47.30	7.50	54.80	885	1,070	682	5	22.30	42.14	9.4	10.6	32.50
Average.....			263	24.48	6,440	4.58	347.10	69.42	9.12	78.54	1,331	5,678	1,271	5	33.43	51.90	9.6	10.4	45.12

* Exilee was purchased in March and gave no milk till May 10, 1903, when she calved.

SHORTHORN GRADES.

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

AYRSHIRE GRADES.

Laura.....	6	Dec. 12, '02	324	32.3	10,490	3.35	412.80	82.56	15.10	97.65	2,058	6,790	1,630	5	43.77	41.72	10.36	9.64	53.89
Countess.....	5	Feb. 12, '03	275	31.5	8,674	3.97	405.15	81.03	12.39	93.42	1,769	9,658	1,815	5	43.69	50.36	10.70	9.30	49.73
Alice.....	2	Mar. 19, '03	220	20.2	4,449	3.76	197.16	39.43	6.37	45.80	886	2,570	965	5	24.80	55.74	12.60	7.40	21.00
Average.....	273	28.8	7,871	3.65	338.37	67.67	11.28	78.96	1,571	6,339	1,470	5	37.43	47.54	11.05	8.95	41.54



IMPORTED AYRESHIRE HERD AT CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

GUERNSEY GRADES.

Names of Cows.	Age.	Date of dropping last calf.	Number of days in milk in 1903.	Daily average yield of milk.	Lbs.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds of butter produced in year.	Value of butter at 20c. per lb.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Value of skim milk at 15c. per 100 lbs.	Total value of pro- ducts.	Lbs.	Amount meal eaten.	Lbs.	Amount of roots and ensilage eaten, val- ued at \$2 per ton.	Lbs.	Amount hay, valued at \$7 per ton.	Mos	\$ cts.	Total cost of feed for year.	Cost to produce 100 lbs. of milk.	Cts.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter, skim milk neglected.	Cts.	Profit on 1 lb. but- ter, skim milk neglected.	Profit on cow during year, labour ne- glected.
Queenie.....	5	Mar. 10, 03	290	22.8	6,629	466.57	93.31	5.93	466.57	93.31	9 24	102 55	1,468	1,468	8,430	1,815	5	39 46	59 52	8.4	11.6	63.09						
Bellflower	5	Mar. 10, 03	285	25.7	7,389	387.03	77.40	4.48	387.03	77.40	10 42	87 82	1,484	1,484	9,910	1,815	5	41 10	56 00	10.6	9.4	46.72						
* Annie	3	Apr. 1, 03	214	21.63	4,629	229.72	45.95	4.22	229.72	45.95	6 60	62 55	886	1,120	777	1,369	5	22 71	49.06	9.8	10.2	29.84						
Average.....			263	23.2	6,199	361.11	72.22	4.95	361.11	72.22	8 75	80 97	1,279	6,153	1,369	5	34 42	55.51	9.5	10.5	46.55							

* Annie calved for first time April 1, 1903.

CANADIAN GRADE.

Polly.....	8	Jan. 30, 05	325	26.13	8,493	454.24	90.84	4.55	454.24	90.84	12 04	102 88	1,712	1,712	8,290	1,815	5	41.76	49 17	9.4	10.6	61.12
------------	---	-------------	-----	-------	-------	--------	-------	------	--------	-------	-------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	---	-------	-------	-----	------	-------

DAILY RECORDS.

The effort to interest dairymen in the returns from their individual cows has been continued, and many farmers seem to be awakening to a knowledge of the fact that the improvement of the whole herd demands the study of the unit; that is, a close acquaintance with the expenditure upon the individual cow and the returns from the same.

This can be determined in no other way than by keeping an exact record of the daily milk yield and the daily food consumption.*

Forms, similar to the following, for keeping a record of the milk yield are still supplied free on application.

DAILY MILK RECORD.

Herd belonging to.....

Post Office

Record for week ending.....

(This form supplied free by Live Stock
Division, Central Experimental
Farm, Ottawa, Ont.)

COWS.

Day.	Time.																Total for Day.
Sunday.....	Morning.....																
	Evening.....																
Monday.....	Morning.....																
	Evening.....																
Tuesday.....	Morning.....																
	Evening.....																
Wednesday..	Morning.....																
	Evening.....																
Thursday.....	Morning.....																
	Evening.....																
Friday.....	Morning.....																
	Evening.....																
Saturday..	Morning.....																
	Evening.....																
Total.....	Week.....																

(Reverse.)

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

WM. SAUNDERS, *Director.*

J. H. GRISDALE, *Live Stock and Agriculture.*

MILK RECORDS.

1. The profitable dairy cow must give over 5,000 pounds of milk each year. To know the value of a cow her total annual yield of milk must be known. The only way to know this is to keep a record of her daily milk yield.

2. The form on the other side of this sheet is intended to help progressive dairy farmers by supplying them with a simple and convenient sheet for the keeping of the milk records of their individual cows. A study of such records will soon indicate which cows should go to the butcher. We would be pleased to receive a summary of your record. If you have no summary forms write us.

3. Such records are being kept by hundreds of successful dairymen to-day. Many of these men attribute their success to the keeping of such records. Why not give the thing a trial if you are a dairyman ? It will increase your milk product. It will lighten your labour, since your interest will be increased in your work, and 'interest lightens

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

labour.' It will show you the unprofitable cow the 'boarder.' You cannot get rid of her too quickly.

4. For weighing the milk a simple spring balance may be secured for from one to three dollars. If your local dealer cannot supply you, write the undersigned for particulars. A small platform scale is fairly convenient, but we find the spring balance preferable.

5. Many farmers keep records of the amount of food fed to individual cows. If you would like to do so, sample forms would be sent free on writing J. H. Grisdale, Agriculturist, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, Ont.

BEEF PRODUCTION.

EXPERIMENTS IN 1902-3.

The experiments in the winter of 1902-3 have been similar to those in 1901-2. The prices charged for feeds are the same as those mentioned in connection with feeding dairy cows.

It will be observed that in every case where steers were bought in for feeding purposes in 1902-3 there was a loss. In 1901-2 the difference between the cost price when steers were bought in for feeding in the fall and the selling price when they were sold out fat the next spring was nearly double the cost of the feed required to fatten them. In 1902-3 the difference between the buying price and the selling price falls short of the cost of feed at the prices charged.

As stated in my report for 1902, such favourable conditions as maintained in 1901-2 for the beef producer seldom occur. I think I may just as safely say now that such disastrous years as 1902-3 for the feeder are seldom seen. Throughout Canada and the United States cattle bought in the fall of 1902 for feeding left a very small margin to pay for feed and care. Judging by the prices paid for feeding cattle and the market prices for prime beef in the spring, I am certain that an average of \$15 per head increase in value is the outside. Such a sum is considerably short of sufficient to pay all expenses let alone leave any profit.

Of course, it must not be forgotten that a large part of the food consumed would be roughage of such a character that it could not be sold off the farm, and, in addition, the manure obtained from cattle fed would be a most valuable and really indispensable by-product of all such feeding operations.

LOOSE *vs.* TIED.

The feeding of steers loose as contrasted with similar steers fed tied has been continued during the past year, and, as was the case last year, the scope of the experiment lightly enlarged to include the comparison of steers fed loose allowed a large area of floor space with similar steers fed loose allowed a more limited area of floor space.

The steers fed tied occupied 56 square feet of floor space each; one lot fed loose occupied 84 square feet of floor space for each steer, while another lot fed loose occupied only 38 square feet of floor space for each steer.

In 1901-2 both lots fed loose made greater and more economical gains than did the lot fed tied, the lot having the smaller floor space making the greatest gains of the three.

To compare :—

LOTS FED IN 1902-3.

Lot 1.—Tied, 9 steers, 56 sq. ft. per steer, average gain, 284 pounds in 180 days, or 58 pounds per steer per day.

16—5½

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

Lot 2.—Loose, 9 steers, 84 sq. ft. per steer, average gain, 337 pounds in 180 days, or 1'87 pounds per steer per day.

Lot 3.—Loose, 9 steers, 38 sq. ft. per steer, average gain 274 pounds in 180 days, or 1'52 pounds per steer per day.

A combining of the results of 1902 with those of 1903 shows a somewhat different standing, as follows:—

Lot 1.—Tied, 9 steers, 56 sq. ft. per steer, average gain 591 pounds in 366 days, or 1'62 pounds per steer per day.

Lot 2.—Loose, 9 steers, 84 sq. ft. per steer, average gain, 666 pounds in 366 days, or 1'82 pounds per steer per day.

Lot 3.—Loose, 9 steers, 38 sq. ft. per steer, average gain, 619 pounds in 366 days, or 1'69 pounds per steer per day.

Below are detailed statements of the different lots discussed, which were fed in the winter of 1902-3.

LOT 'A.'

TIED (3 YEARS OLD, NOT DEHORNEO).

Each steer occupied 56 square feet floor space.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	11,420 lbs.
First weight average.....	1,269 "
Finished weight gross.....	13,980 "
Finished weight average.....	1,553 "
Total gain in 180 days.....	2,560 "
Average gain per steer.....	284 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	14'22 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1'58 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$180 69
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	7 05
Cost of steers, 11,420 lbs. at \$4.90 per 100 lbs.....	559 58
Total cost to produce beef, \$559.58+\$180.69.....	740 27
Sold, 13,980 lbs. at \$5.25 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	697 25
*Loss on lot.....	43 02
Net loss per steer.....	4 78
Average buying price per steer.....	62 17
Average selling price per steer.....	77 47
Average increase in value.....	15 30
Average cost of feed per steer.....	20 08
Amount of meal (Gluten meal) eaten by lot of 9 steers.....	4,815 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	90,719 "
Amount of hay.....	8,514 "

LOT 'B.'

LOOSE (3 YEARS OLD, DEHORNEO.)

Each steer allowed 84 feet floor space.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	8,950 lbs.
First weight average.....	994 "
Finished weight gross.....	11,985 "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Finished weight average.....	1,331	"
Total gain in 180 days.....	3,035	"
Average gain per steer.....	337	"
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	16'86	"
Daily gain per steer.....	1'87	"
Gross cost of feed.....	\$161	62
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	5	32
Cost of steers, 8,950 lbs. at \$4.90 per 100 lbs.....	438	55
Total cost to produce beef, \$438.55+\$161.52.....	600	17
Sold, 11,985 lbs. at \$5.25 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	577	77
*Loss on lot.....	22	40
Net loss per steer.....	2	49
Average buying price per steer.....	48	73
Average selling price per steer.....	64	19
Average increase in value.....	15	46
Average cost of feed per steer.....	17	95
Amount of meal (Gluten meal) eaten by lot of 9 steers....	4,086	lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	81,537	"
Amount of hay.....	8,239	"

LOT 'C'

LOOSE (3 YEARS OLD, DEHORNED).

Each steer allowed 33 square feet floor space.

Number of steers in lot.....	9	
First weight gross.....	8,955	lbs.
First weight average.....	995	"
Finished weight average.....	1,269	"
Finished weight gross.....	11,425	"
Total gain in 180 days.....	2,471	"
Average gain per steer.....	274	"
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	13'73	"
Daily gain per steer.....	1'52	"
Gross cost of feed.....	\$161	62
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	6	58
Cost of steers, 8,955 lbs. at \$4.90 per 100 lbs.....	438	79
Total cost to produce beef, \$438.79+\$161.62.....	600	41
Sold, 11,425 lbs. at \$5.25 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	569	34
*Loss on lot.....	31	07
Net loss per steer.....	3	45
Average buying price per steer.....	48	75
Average selling price per steer.....	63	26
Average increase in value.....	14	51
Average cost of feed per steer.....	17	95
Amount of meal (Gluten meal) eaten by lot of 9 steers....	4,086	lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	81,537	"
Amount of hay.....	8,289	"

*In each case where a loss is apparent, it is understood that had all foods been bought at prices indicated then there would have been an actual loss. In the case of lot 'A' for instance, where a loss of \$43.02 on 9 steers, or \$4.78 on each steer of the lot is indicated, the actual money outlay was \$60.19, the balance of the estimated cost of feeding the 9 steers being the value placed upon the ensilage or roots and the hay.

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

INFLUENCE OF AGE ON COST OF BEEF.

COST OF PRODUCING BEEF WITH

Three-year-olds, Two-year-olds, Yearlings, Six Months' Calves and New-born Calves.

The experiments to gain some data as to the influence of age upon the cost of producing a pound of beef have been continued.

Lots of animals of as nearly uniform type and breeding as possible were selected and fed such rations as were found to suit them best. The roughage ration in each case consisted of roots, ensilage and hay, the concentrates fed to 3-year-olds, 2-year-olds, and yearlings was gluten meal. The calves were fed a meal ration made up of oats, pease, barley, oil meal and gluten mixed in different proportions at different periods.

Full statements of the particulars in connection with each lot will be found below. A few of the more important particulars are grouped for comparison as follows:—

Ages.	Daily Gain.	Gain in 180 days.	Cost 100 lbs. Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$
Three Year Olds.....	1.58	284	7.05
Two Year Olds.....	1.65	298	6.03
Yearlings.....	1.65	298	5.54
Six Month Calves.....	1.46	263	5.33
Skim Milk Calves, New Born.....	1.48	273	2.16

In cost of production there is a quite remarkable gradation in favour of the younger classes.

LOT 'D'—THREE-YEAR-OLDS.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight, gross.....	11,420 lbs.
First weight, average.....	1,269 "
Finished weight, gross.....	13,980 "
Finished weight, average.....	1,553 "
Total gain in 180 days.....	2,560 "
Average gain per steer.....	284 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	14'22 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1'58 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$ 180 69
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	7 05
Cost of steers, 11,420 lbs. at \$4.90 per 100 lbs.....	559 58
Total cost to produce beef, \$559.58 + \$180.69.....	740 27
Sold, 13,980 lbs. at \$5.25 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	697 25
Loss on lot.....	43 02
Net loss per steer.....	4 78
Average buying price per steer.....	62 17
Average selling price per steer.....	77 47
Average increase in value.....	15 30
Average cost of feed per steer.....	20 08

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Amount of meal (gluten meal) eaten by lot of 9 steers....	4,815 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	90,719 "
Amount of hay.....	8,514 "

LOT 'E'—TWO-YEAR-OLDS.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	9,775 lbs.
First weight average.....	1,079 "
Finished weight gross.....	12,395 "
Finished weight average.....	1,377 "
Total gain in 180 days.....	2,680 "
Average gain per steer.....	298 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	14.89 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1.65 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$161 62
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	6 03
Cost of steers, 9,775 pounds at \$4.90 per 100 pounds.....	479 97
Total cost to produce beef, \$447.49 + \$161.59.....	651 59
Sold, 12,395 pounds at \$5.25 per 100 pounds, less 5 per cent..	618 24
Loss on lot.....	33 35
Net loss per steer.....	3 70
Average buying price per steer.....	53 33
Average selling price per steer.....	68 69
Average increase in value.....	15 36
Average cost of feed per steer.....	17 95
Amount of meal (gluten meal) eaten by lot of 9 steers....	4,086 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	81,537 "
Amount of hay.....	8,289 "

LOT 'F'—YEARLINGS.

Number of steers in lot.....	9
First weight gross.....	8,685 lbs.
First weight average.....	965 "
Finished weight gross.....	11,370 "
Finished weight average.....	1,263 "
Total gain in 180 days.....	2,685 "
Average gain per steer.....	298 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.....	14.90 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1.65 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$148 97
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	5 54
Cost of steers, 9,685 pounds at \$4.90 per 100 pounds.....	474 56
Total cost to produce beef, \$474.56 + \$148.97.....	623 53
Sold, 11,370 pounds at \$5.25 per 100 pounds, less 5 per cent..	596 92
Loss on lot.....	26 61
Net loss per steer.....	2 95
Average buying price per steer.....	52 73
Average selling price per steer.....	66 10
Average increase in value.....	13 37
Average cost of feed per steer.....	16 55
Amount of meal (gluten meal) eaten by lot of 9 steers..	3,649 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	74,349 "
Amount of hay.....	8,289 "

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

LOT 'G.'—CALVES (6 MONTHS' OLD).

Number of steers in lot.....	6
First weight gross.....	2,290 lbs.
First weight average.....	382 "
Finished weight gross.....	3,870 "
Finished weight average.....	645 "
Total gain in 186 days.....	1,580 "
Average gain per steer.....	263 "
Daily gain for lot, 6 steers.....	8'77 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1'46 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$ 84 17
Average cost of feed per steer.....	14 03
Amount of meal (oats, pease, barley and oil meal) eaten by lot of 6 steers.....	4,070 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	32,316 "
Amount of hay.....	2,016 "

'LOT 'H.'—SKIM MILK CALVES (NEW BORN.).

Number of steers in lot.....	6
First weight gross.....	791 lbs.
First weight average.....	113 "
Last weight gross.....	2,702 "
Last weight average.....	386 "
Total gain in 184 days.....	1,911 "
Average gain per steer.....	273 "
Daily gain for lot, 7 steer.....	10'36 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1'48 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$ 41 34
Amount of meal (oats, pease, barley and oil meal) eaten by lot of 7 steers.....	2,020 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	5,558 "
Amount of hay.....	420 "
Amount of skim milk.....	9,485 "

BABY BEEF *vs.* LONG FEED BEEF

Since May, 1900, an experiment has been carried on having for aim the securing of information as to comparative costs and profits of producing beef, (1) by feeding a heavy ration from birth to block, and (2) by feeding in the usual way, that is, giving only a limited growing ration from birth till five or six months before it is desired to slaughter.

The two lots started in 1901 as well as the two started in 1900 have been sold, and therefore, the whole four lots are reported upon below. The important findings are arranged to facilitate comparison below. Since averages of work with a number of steers is always more interesting and more valuable as a guide than findings from single steers, each column means the average of 5 steers, save in the columns headed 'Average,' one under 'Baby Beef' and one under 'Long Feed Beef,' each of which

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

so named columns is the average of ten steers fed as indicated by the heading 'Baby Beef' or 'Long Feed Beef.'

Particulars for comparison (1 steer considered always).	BABY BEEF.			LONG FEED BEEF.		
	1900. Lot of 5 steers.	1901. Lot of 5 steers.	Average of 10 steers.	Average of 10 steers.	1901. Lot of 5 steers.	1900. Lot of 5 steers.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Number of days on feed.....	670	730	700	913	730	1,095
Weight when put on experiment.....	150	95	122½	107	95	119
" " slaughtered.....	1,300	1,295	1,297½	1,235	1,100	1,370
Gain during feeding period.....	1,150	1,200	1,175	1,128	1,005	1,251
Daily rate of gain.....	1.72	1.64	1.68	1.23	1.37	1.14
Amount meal eaten.....	3,018½	4,600	3,809	1,405	1,057	1,752
" roots and ensilage eaten.....	15,852	15,755	15,793	19,529	14,212	24,846
" hay eaten.....	1,096	1,213½	1,150	1,315	786	1,843
" straw.....						112
" skim milk.....	1,505	1,755	1,645	1,592	1,679	1,505
" pasture.....				9 mos.	6 mos.	12 mos.
" rape.....		740	70			
Cost of feed from birth to block.....	\$54 23	\$71 85	\$63 06	\$59 66	\$43 53	\$75 80
" 100 lbs. increase live weight....	4 72	5 98	5 35	5 29	4 33	6 06½
Sold for per 100 lbs. live weight.....	5 75	5 50	5 62½	4 78	4 50	5 25

The following table shows the amount of each kind of meal or other food consumed by the average steer in each lot from birth to block and the valuation put upon the different kinds of food in estimating the cost of production.

Lot.	Skim Milk.	Gluten.	Oil Meal.	Calf Meal	Oats.	Barley.	Pease.	Bran.	Shorts.	Corn.	Roots.	Ensilage.	Hay.	Pasture.	Rape.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.		Lbs.
1900.															
Fattening.....	1505	905	392	620	610	491	4775	11077	1096		
1901.															
Fattening.....	1784	1102	315½	18	2427½	14	518	10½	194	4970	10785	1213½	140
1900.															
Limited.....	1505	752½	171½	281½	17½	299½	117½	9009	15837	1843	12 mos.	
1901.															
Limited.....	1679	405½	131½	178½	252	89½	4893	9319	786	6 mos.	
Price charged per															
100 lbs.....	\$0 15	1 25	1 33½	2 20	1 00	1 00	1 25	0 75	0 98	1 25	0 10	0 10	0 35	\$2 per m.	0 10

This line of work is being continued and below are reports up to date upon the steers now under experiment.

YEARLINGS.

The lots started out in May, 1902, are as follows:—

FULL FATTENING RATION.

Calves Dropped in 1902.

Number of steers in lot.	6
First weight, gross, November 1, 1902.	2,290 lbs.
First weight average, November 1, 1902.	381 "
Last weight, gross, November 1, 1903.	4,875 "
Last weight, average, November 1, 1903.	812½ "
Total gain in 165 days.	2,585 "
Average gain per steer.	431 "
Daily gain per steer.	1'18 "
Gross cost of feed.	\$ 157 54
Cost of 100 pounds gain.	6 13
Average cost of feed per steer.	26 26
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 6 steers.	5,382 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.	33,526 "
Amount of hay.	7,098 "
Each steer was on pasture.	3 mos.

One steer consumed in 365 days:—

Gluten meal, 274½ lbs.; calf meal, 66½ lbs.; oil meal, 62 lbs.; oats, 462½ lbs.; bran, 31½ lbs.; roots, 2,659 lbs.; ensilage, 2,929 lbs.; hay, 1,183 lbs.; pasture, 3 months.

LIMITED GROWING RATION LOT.

Calves Dropped 1902.

Number of steers in lot.	6
First weight gross, November 1, 1902.	2,065 lbs.
First weight average, November 1, 1902.	344 "
Last weight gross, November 1, 1903.	4,165 "
Last weight average.	694 "
Total gain in 365 days.	2,100 "
Average gain per steer.	350 "
Daily gain per steer.	0'96 "
Gross cost of feed.	\$ 130 67
Cost of 100 pounds gain.	6 22
Average cost of feed per steer.	21 78
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 6 steers.	525 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.	43,470 "
Amount of hay.	2,880 "
Each steer was on pasture.	6 mos.

One steer consumed in 365 days :—

Gluten meal, 24½ lbs.; oats, 63 lbs.; roots, 3,470 lbs.; ensilage, 3,775 lbs.; hay, 480 lbs.; pasture, 6 months.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CALVES.

The calves from birth till about six months old are fed quite similar rations and make similar gains; therefore only one lot is reported upon

FULL FATTENING RATION LOT.

Calves Dropped April, 1903.

Number of steers in lot.	5
First weight gross.	565 lbs.
First weight average.	113 "
Last weight gross.	1,930 "
Last weight average.	386 "
Total gain in 184 days.	1,365 "
Average gain per steer.	273 "
Daily gain per steer.	1'48 "
Gross cost of feed.	\$ 29 53
Cost of 100 pounds gain.	2 16
Average cost of feed per steer.	5 90½
Average gain per steer.	273 "
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 5 steers.	1,442½ lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.	3,970 "
Amount of hay.	300 "
Amount of skim milk.	6,775 "
On pasture.	1 mo.

One calf consumed in 184 days :—

Shorts, 22½ lbs.; oats, 134 lbs.; bran, 74 lbs.; oil meal, 58 lbs.; ensilage or green feed, 794 lbs.; skim milk, 1,355 lbs.; hay, 64 lbs.; pasture, 1 month in day time.

CROP ON 200-ACRE FARM.

Up to the present no concise summary of the crops upon the 200-acre farm each year has been published. Such a summary of the crop each year for the last five years, 1899 to 1903 inclusive, would no doubt be interesting to many, and is accordingly submitted herewith.

COMPARATIVE Statement of Crops on '200 Acre Farm' from 1899 to 1903, inclusive—(200 Acre Farm includes 7 Acres of Roads).

Year.	GRAIN.		HAY.		ROOTS AND CORN.		PASTURE.		SOILING CROP.		PIG PASTURE.		Remarks.
	Area in Acres.	Yield in Pounds.	Area in Acres.	Yield in Tons.	Area in Acres.	Yield in Tons.	Area in Acres.	Number of Cattle.	Area in Acres.	Disposition of Crops.	Area in Acres.	Crops Grown for Pasture.	
1899.....	73	118,466	39	93	40	326½	40	36	1	Fed to dairy cows	Generally considered a good year for all crops. Season very favourable for most crops. Season very favourable for most crops. Season favourable for hay, bad for corn. Season very unfavourable for most crops, particularly adverse to corn and roots. No second crop hay.
1900.....	80	126,621	53	138	40	743	20 and aftermath	49	
1901.....	79	114,472	58	210	40	702	16 and aftermath	52	
1902.....	74	144,914	60	216	39	605	20 and aftermath	62	5	Clover, rape and aftermath.	
1903.....	69	126,619	62	154	34	473	16 and aftermath	96	5	Dairy cows, bulls and calves.	6	Clover and rape.	

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The variety of crops grown and the varying areas under each crop each year make it quite difficult to make a comparison of the returns of the different years, so to simplify matters I would suggest that a fixed valuation be put upon the products and the returns of each year valued accordingly.

Fixing prices as follows: Grain, \$1 per 100 lbs.; roots and ensilage, \$2 per ton; hay, \$7 per ton; pasturing cattle, \$8 per season; and area under pigs, \$15 per acre; the returns from the '200 Acre Farm' for the years mentioned may be said to have been worth \$2,776.66 in 1899; \$4,110.21 in 1900; \$4,434.72 in 1901; \$4,787.14 in 1902; \$4,148.19 in 1903.—

UTILIZATION OF FEED.

An examination into the supply of feed produced on the 200-acre farm, the experimental plots of roots and corn, and the meal or grain purchased for use in the barns, together with a detailed statement of the disposal thereof and a statement of the kinds of grain and meal consumed from July 1, 1902, to June 30, 1903, follows:—

SUMMARY of Feed of all kinds used in connection with Stock on 200 Acre Farm from July 1, 1902, to June 30, 1903.

	Grain or Meal.	Roots and Ensilage.	Hay
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Grown on 200 Acre Farm (Crop of 1902).....	144,914	1,330,000	432,000
Received from Experimental Dep't.....	209,730	294,000	
Purchased.....			
Total.....	354,644	1,624,000	432,000
Value.....	\$3,546.44	\$1,624.00	\$1,512.00

DISPOSITION of Feed harvested on, and bought for use of Live Stock on 200 Acre Farm.

Class Fed.	Hay.	Grain and Meal.	Corn and Roots.	
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
19 horses.....	145,900	105,432	5,000	Hay weighed at intervals and amount calculated. All grain and meal weighed. Roots estimated.
94 steers.....	69,429	45,909	661,085	All feeds weighed.
37 milch cows, all breeds...	65,585	47,837	322,696	All feeds weighed.
47 young stock and bulls, all breeds.....	65,999	21,646	240,252	Partly weighed and estimated from said weighings.
64 sheep.....	19,500	3,590	4,000	Meal weighed. Hay and roots estimated.
425 swine.....		97,904	46,500	Meal weighed. Roots partly weighed, balance estimated from weighings.
Loss by experimental curing	10,000			
On hand, July 1, 1903.....	20,000	9,500		
Total accounted for.....	396,413	331,818	1,279,533	
Am't harvested and received	432,000	354,644	1,624,000	
Shrinkage.....	35,587	22,826	344,467	
Percentage shrinkage....	8.24%	6.43%	21.21%	

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

The meal consumed consisted of oats, 148,782 lbs.; barley, 10,919 lbs.; bran, 45,281 lbs.; oil meal 13,879 lbs.; gluten meal, 43,755 lbs.; pease, 3,110 lbs.; shorts, 50,779 lbs.; mixed crop (oats, pease, barley), 14,073 lbs.; feed flour, 700 lbs. Total, 331,818 lbs.

BALANCE SHEET OR FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF LIVE STOCK FEEDING OPERATIONS ON 200 ACRE FARM, JULY 1, 1902, TO JUNE 30, 1903.

In compiling the following table, the figures in the columns headed 'Value' in both 1902 and 1903 represent either the cost price of the animals included, where recently bought or the fair merchantable price of the same at the date of valuation.

Under the heading 'Returns' are included values of products and services during the year.

In the case of horses the services of the 19 head are valued at \$3,061.80, but since the labour of six horses is required to do the work on the 200 acre farm, only \$2,041.20 or two-thirds of the value of their labour is credited to them.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS.

	JULY 1, 1902.		JULY 30, 1903.			Gross returns made up of increase in value, value of products and animals sold.
	Number on hand.	Value.	Number on hand.	Value.	Returns.	
		\$ cts.		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Horses.....	19	19	2,041 20	2,041 20
Shorthorns— Pure bred and grade.....	16	2,155 00	20	3,410 00	627 77	1,882 77
Ayrshires— Pure bred and grade....	21	1,650 00	30	2,410 00	911 80	1,671 80
Guernseys— Pure bred and grade.....	17	1,516 00	23	1,956 00	804 40	1,244 40
Canadians— Pure bred and grade.....	6	725 00	9	895 00	394 62	564 62
Steers.....	94	3,351 65	94	4,951 06	1,599 41
Sheep.....	33	790 00	64	935 00	38 00	183 00
Swine.....	185	1,480 00	255	2,040 00	1,987 00	2,547 00
Total.....	391	11,667 65	514	16,597 06	6,804 79	11,734 20

SUMMARY.

RETURNS.

Gross returns from animals of all classes, including value of products, value of services and increase in value of young stock.....	\$11,734 20
Manure, 950 tons at \$1.00 per ton.....	950 00
	<hr/> \$12,684 20

EXPENDITURE.

Value of Food Consumed.

Meal, 354,644 lbs.	\$3,546 44
Hay, 432,000 lbs.	1,512 00
Roots and ensilage, 1,624,000 lbs.	1,624 00
Whole milk, 26,550 lbs.	265 50
Skim milk, 170,000 lbs.	255 00

Total. \$7,202 94

Value of straw for litter—95 tons at \$4.00.... 380 00

Cost of labour in connection with care of horses,
cattle, sheep and swine:—

Herdsmen.	600 00
Two men at \$480 each.	960 00
Three men at \$432 each.	1,296 00

Total expenditure. \$10,438 94

\$10,438 94

Balance of returns over expenditure. \$2,245 26

STAVE SILO.

In August, 1903, a round silo was erected 20 feet in diameter and 35½ feet high, capacity about 250 tons.

The soil was excavated to a depth of 3 feet 8 inches, and the silo was built of cement to a height even with the surface of the surrounding earth. On top of this a stave silo 32 feet high was erected. The cost was as follows:—

COST OF SILO.

Labour—Woodwork.	\$ 55 70
“ Foundation.	47 31
Lumber.	126 00
Hardware.	6 87
Tarring.	14 65
Painting.	20 00
Iron bands.	86 00
Cement.	11 72

Total cost. \$368 25

No roof was built in order to gain some information as the inconvenience or loss that would arise from snow and rain falling at will upon the surface during the winter.

The cement section was, of course, a matter of local convenience, and the cost of that part may be estimated as raising the cost of the silo about \$75 above the cost of a stave silo of similar capacity with a common ring foundation.

ESTIMATING COST OF PRODUCTION.

The importance of determining cost of production of our grain and forage crops is scarcely questionable. The climatic and soil factors must, however, always be of primary importance, and on that account all estimates must be more or less particular in their bearing rather than general.

In the estimates which are included in the following reports on the different crops, rent, manure, labour, material (seed, twine, &c.) and wear and tear are considered. The item of supervision, of considerable moment on such farms as this, has been omitted, since most farmers in Canada do much of their own work, as well as direct the labour of such men as they employ.

The digestibility of a feed is another factor which must enter materially into any consideration of its economy of production, since, as is well known, the digestibility of our feeding stuffs ranges from about 25 per cent of the dry matter to practically 100 per cent. of the whole thing.

In dividing the cost of production of a grain crop between the straw and grain, however, where the digestible dry matter of the one part is so different in composition and value from that of the other, some additional standard is necessary. Since protein is that part of any ration the most expensive to supply, it was decided to make the digestible protein the basis of value. It is, of course, well understood that protein is not the only important constituent of straw, frequently it is of very minor consideration, indeed, as when used for litter.

CROP ON 200 ACRE FARM, 1903.

OATS

Five varieties of oats were grown. They were Banner, Improved Ligowo, Tartar King, Waverley and Goldfinder. They were sown on land that had been in roots or corn or meadow the preceding year. As the land was not of uniform character, the results will not indicate the comparative productivity of the different varieties.

The particulars of the plots sown are as follows:—

1. *Banner*.—39 acres, sown April 15, 2 bushels per acre; matured in 124 days, August 17. Yielded 2,233 bushels, or 57 bushels 9 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel weighed 41½ pounds.

	Lbs.
Total weight of straw and grain.... .	168,205
Weight of grain.... .	75,922

Grain constituted 45·1 per cent of the whole crop.

2. *Improved Ligowo*.—3 acres, sown April 21, 1½ bushels per acre; matured in 116 days, August 15. Yielded 126 bushels 13 pounds or 42 bushels 4 pounds per acre. Measured bushel weighed 38 pounds.

	Lbs.
Total weight, straw and grain.... .	11,860
Weight of grain.... .	4,297

Grain constituted 36·2 per cent of the whole crop.

3. *Tartar King*.—3 acres, sown April 21, 2 bushels per acre; matured in 118 days, August 17. Yielded 104 bushels, or 33 bushels 23 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel weighed 37½ pounds.

	Lbs.
Total weight, straw and grain.... .	14,935
Weight of grain.... .	3,570

Grain constituted 24 per cent of the whole crop.

4. *Waverley*.—2 acres, sown April 23, 1½ bushels per acre; matured in 122 days, August 23. Yielded 84 bushels 12 lbs., or 42 bushels 6 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel weighed 38½ pounds.

	Lbs.
Total weight, straw and grain.... .	10,095
Grain weighed.... .	2,868

Grain constituted 28·4 per cent of the whole crop.



STEERS FATTENED AT CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM AND READY FOR BRITISH MARKET.

(Photo. by C. E. Saunders.)

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

5. *Goldfinder*.—3 acres, sown April 23, 2 bushels per acre; matured in 125 days, August 25. Yielded 126 bushels 11 pounds, or 42 bushels 4 pounds per acre. Measured bushel weighed 36 pounds.

	Lbs.
Total weight straw and grain.	13,980
Weight of grain.	4,295
Grain constituted 30·8 per cent of the whole crop.	
6. <i>Banner</i> .—2 acres. See mixed crop experiment.	

COST OF GROWING 52 ACRES OF OATS.

Rent of land, 52 acres at \$3 per acre.	\$156 00
Gang ploughing in autumn, 29 acres at \$1 per acre.	29 00
Cultivating and ribbing in autumn, 11 days at \$2.50 per day.	27 50
Cultivating and harrowing in spring, 9½ days at \$2.50.	23 75
One-fifth manure at the rate of 15 tons per acre, applied in root and corn year at \$1 per ton.	156 00
Seed, 104 bushels at 50 cents per bushel.	52 00
Sowing five days at \$2.50 per day.	12 50
Use of machinery, 20 cents per acre.	10 40
Shocking, 11 days at \$1.33½.	14 67
Loading and unloading, 24 days, \$1.33½.	32 00
Teams drawing, 8½ days at \$2.50.	21 25
Threshing, 2,782 bushels at 2½ cents per bushel.	69 55
Total cost.	\$604 62
Cost to produce one bushel oats, value of straw neglected.	21·7 cts.
Cost to produce one bushel oats, value of straw neglected and no allowance made for rent or manure.	10·6 “

ANALYSIS OF COST.

Fifty-two acres produced 228,765 lbs. crop. The grain was weighed as it was threshed, but not so the straw. There was threshed 94,928 lbs. of grain, leaving 133,837 lbs. to be made up in chaff or straw. If 10 per cent be allowed for loss by drying out, there would still remain about 120,000 lbs., or 60 tons of straw.

One ton oats contains about 184 lbs. digestible protein.

One ton oat straw contains about 24 lbs. digestible protein.

Hence we may arrive at the relative values of the two parts of the crop as follows :

94,828 lbs. oats contains 8,724 lbs. digestible protein.

60 tons straw contains 1,440 lbs. digestible protein.

The cost of production, \$604.62, divided in this proportion, allows \$518.96 for the grain and \$85.66 for the straw. We might say, therefore, that the cost of production is 18·7 cents per bushel for the oats, and \$1.43 per ton for the straw.

MIXED CROP EXPERIMENT.

Side by side on the second year of the rotation field, that is, on what had been sown the preceding year, were sown seven plots of two acres each, the aim being to get some data as to the comparative yields of crops grown as mixtures and as pure

grain. The mixtures and pure grains are as follows, with the yield of the respective crops of both grain and straw in column 1 and the yield of grain in column 2 :—

	1. Grain and Straw. Lbs.	2. Grain. Lbs.
Plot 1.—Pure barley, Mensury, yielded.. . . .	9,230	3,686
Plot 3.—Pure oats, Banner, yielded.. . . .	9,690	4,320
Plot 3.—Pure pease, Prussian blue, yielded.. . . .	*	3,010
Plot 4.—Pease, 1 bushel; oats, 2 bushels.. . . .	7,930	2,867
Plot 5.—Oats, 1½ bushels; barley, 1 bushel.. . . .	8,670	3,578
Plot 6.—Wheat, ¾ bushel; oats, 1 bushel; pease, ¾ bushel; barley, ¾ bushel.. . . .	9,800	3,140
Plot 7.—Oats, 1 bushel; pease, 1 bushel; barley, 1 bushel.. . . .	8,380	2,090

*Not weighed.

INFLUENCE OF AMOUNT OF SEED AND SPACES BETWEEN ROWS OF GRAIN UPON QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF GRAIN HARVESTED.

A four acre field of land of as nearly uniform soil character as possible was divided into four 1 acre plots and sown as follows:—

Plot 1.—Waverley oats, in drills 7 inches apart.

Plot 2.—Waverley oats, in drills 14 inches apart.

Plot 3. Canadian Thorpe barley, in drills 14 inches apart.

Plot 4.—Canadian Thorpe barley, in drills 7 inches apart.

In quality no difference was perceptible in the case of the Waverley oats, and the measured bushel for each plot weighed 38½ lbs.

In the case of the Canadian Thorpe barley, however, the grain from the 7-inch apart drill plots was noticeably superior to that from the 14-inch drills plot.

Plot 1.—Waverley oats, sown April 23, drill set at 1½ bushels per acre; matured 122 days. Yielded 45 bushels 15 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel, 38½ lbs.

This plot was sown the ordinary way with seed drill, drills 7 inches apart and sowing 14 gallons seed per acre.

	Lbs.
Total weight straw and grain.. . . .	5,073
Weight of grain.. . . .	1,545

Grain constituted 30·4 per cent of the whole crop.

Plot 2.—Waverley oats, sown April 23, drill set at 1½ bushels per acre; matured in 122 days, August 23. Yielded 45 bushels 5 pounds per acre. Measured bushel weighed 38½ lbs.

This plot was sown with the same drill as Plot 1, but had every alternate space blocked, making the drills 14 inches apart and sowing 7 gallons per acre.

	Lbs.
Total weight straw and grain.. . . .	5,300
Weight of grain.. . . .	1,535

Grain constituted 28·9 per cent of the whole crop.

Plot 4.—Canadian Thorpe Barley (two-rowed), 1 acre sown April 22, 2 bushels per acre; matured in 110 days, yielded 32 bushels 9 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel weighed 52½ lbs.

This plot was sown in the usual way with a force feed seed drill, rows 7 inches apart, sowing 2 bushels per acre.

	Lbs.
Total weight of grain and straw.. . . .	4,190
Weight of grain.. . . .	1,545

Grain constituted 36·8 per cent of the whole crop.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Plot 3.—Canadian Thorpe Barley, sown April 22, seeder set to sow 2 bushels per acre; matured in 110 days. Yielded 28 bushels 31 lbs. per acre. Measured bushel weighed 51½ lbs.

This plot was sown with same drill as above, but every alternate spout blocked, making drills 14 inches apart, sowing 1 bushel per acre.

Total weight of grain and straw.....	Lbs. 4,530
Weight of grain.....	1,375
Grain constituted 30.5 per cent of the whole crop.	

SOILING CROPS.

Mixed crop, 11 acres oats, pease, barley, equal parts by weight, 2½ bushels per acre, and clover 10 lbs. per acre.

This mixture was sown at intervals from April 14 to June 7, cut for green feed for cattle and hogs, in some parts two crops were cut and an excellent growth of clover was afterwards pastured.

HAY.

Hay was harvested off 66 acres. Owing to the long spring drought the yield was only small. There was no second crop off the first year meadows for the same reason.

The total crop off 66 acres was 154 tons 1,480 lbs., making an average yield of 2 tons 689 lbs. per acre.

COST OF GROWING 66 ACRES OF HAY.

Rent of land at \$3 per acre.....	\$198 00
One-fifth manure at the rate of 15 tons per acre, \$1 per ton.....	198 00
Half cost of seed.....	50 16
Seven days' cutting with mower at \$2.50 per day.....	17 50
Seven and one-half days' raking at \$1.75 per day.....	13 12½
Six days' teddering at \$1.75 per day.....	10 50
Rent of farm machinery, oil, &c., at 20 cents per acre.....	13 20
Cocking, loading and unloading, 48½ days at \$1.33½ per day.....	64 75
Thirteen days' drawing to barn at \$2.50 per day.....	32 50
Four days' team on horse fork at \$2.50 per day.....	10 00
	<hr/>
	\$607 93½

Total hay, 154 tons 1,480 lbs.

Cost to produce 1 ton in barn, \$3.93.

EXPERIMENTS WITH GRASSES AND CLOVERS.

To gain some information as to the value of the more common grasses and clovers, as hay and pasture crops when sown together in different proportions, the following experiment was conducted:—

In 1902 that 40 acre field of the 200 acre farm which had been under corn in 1901, was sown to Banner oats. Beginning at one side of the field, it was laid off in 5 acre plots, each plot extending clear across the field, and including in its area sandy, loamy and peaty soils. The plots were similar in the variety of the soils they included, and under the usual hay and pasture mixture of 10 lbs. timothy and 8 lbs. clover would have been expected to give similar returns, with possibly a slight advantage in favour of plots 1 and 2.

Particulars of seeding and returns in hay are as follows:—

—	Grasses.	Lbs.	Clovers.	Lbs.	Yield per acre.		Total Yield.	
					Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
Plot 1, 5 acres.....	Timothy.....	10	Common Red.....	8	1	1,502	8	1,510
Plot 2, 5 acres.....	Timothy.....	4	Alfalfa.....	8	1	1,184	7	1,920
	Bromus Inermis...	8	Common Red.....	6				
	Orchard Grass....	8						
Plot 3, 5 acres.....	Timothy.....	4	Alsike.....	2	1	836	7	180
	Bromus Inermis...	8	Common Red.....	6				
	Orchard.....	8						
Plot 4, 5 acres....	Timothy..	5	Alsike.....	2	0	1,504	3	1,520
	Orchard.....	16	Common Red.....	6				
Plot 5, 5 acres.....	Timothy.....	5	Alsike.....	2	1	934	7	670
	Bromus Inermis...	15	Common Red.....	6				

The early part of the growing season was particularly unsuitable for grasses and clovers on account of the dry weather. The following notes are submitted, however, and may serve to modify to some extent the teachings of the above report.

Plot 1.—Both Clover and Timothy made a strong rapid growth on each of the various kinds of soil.

Plot 2.—Timothy and Brome grass made good growth on all soils. Alfalfa did exceedingly well on sand and loam, but was utterly lacking on the peat. Red clover grew all over. Orchard grass very weak growth.

Plot 3.—Timothy, Brome and Orchard, as in plot 2. Alsike lacking and Red clover a fair growth all over.

Plot 4.—Timothy good growth for seed sown. Orchard a poor tufty growth due no doubt in large measure to adverse weather conditions. Alsike clover lacking. Red clover fair growth all over.

Plot 5.—Timothy and Brome good crop all over. Alsike lacking. Red clover fair growth all over.

VALUE AS PASTURE MIXTURES.

It was of course quite impossible to estimate the exact amount of pasturage available from the aftermath of each plot, but the following notes may be of some value.

Plot 1.—Fairly thick growth, apparently palatable to cattle.

Plot 2.—Excellent growth, not favoured by cattle at first, but when taste for alfalfa was once acquired, this plot became the favourite grazing plot, and appeared to furnish much more food than any one other of the plots.

Plot 3.—Poor aftermath. Cattle not very fond of same, and grazed thereon only after plots 2, 1 and 5 were eaten close.

Plot 4.—Poor aftermath. Not liked by cattle.

Plot 5.—Fair aftermath. Cattle seemed to like it best next after plots 2 and 1.

LOSS OF WEIGHT.

IN HAY.

To gain some information as to the amount of loss of weight in hay in mows, the following experiment has been conducted:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

On August 15, 1903, two small mows were filled with well cured hay from the same field from 1 till 5 o'clock in the afternoon.

Mow No. 1—Held 4 tons 800 lbs. new hay. This hay when weighed December 7, 1903, was found to contain 4 tons 800 lbs., a loss of 375 lbs. or 4.3 per cent in 113 days.

Mow No. 2—Held 4 tons 80 lbs. new hay. This hay when weighed January 7, 1904, was found to contain 3 tons 1,665 lbs., a loss of 415 lbs., or 5.1 per cent in 144 days.

CORN.

Five varieties of corn were sown:—

Early Mastodon.—Planted in hills, 5 acres, sown May 16, cut for ensilage September 23. Yielded 13 tons 265 lbs. per acre. Growth strong; rather uneven, on account of the very weather just after sowing. Very well cobbled, cut in dough stage. Promising sort.

Selected Leaming.—Planted in hills, 35 inches apart, 7 acres. Sown May 23, cut for ensilage September 26 to 28; yielded 15 tons 1,735 lbs. per acre. Growth strong and even, well cobbled, but very late owing to bad season. Cobs mostly in early milk. Part of this plot suffered from drought in spring, lessening weight per acre.

Longfellow.—Sown in drills, 35 inches apart, 4½ acres. Sown May 23, cut for ensilage September 26; yielded 13 tons 52 pounds per acre. Growth strong and even, well cobbled, mostly in milk, some in dough stage.

Selected Leaming.—Sown in drills, 35 inches apart, 7 acres. Sown May 23, cut for ensilage September 30; yielded 13 tons 1,947 lbs. per acre. This plot also suffered from drought, lessening weight per acre.

Selected Leaming.—Planted in hills, 35 inches apart, 7 acres. Sown May 23, cut for ensilage September 25; yielded 8 tons 879 lbs. per acre. This plot suffered very heavily from drought, so the yield per acre was lessened.

Thoroughbred White Flint.—3 acres. Sown June 3, cut for ensilage September 28; yielded 16 tons 156 lbs. per acre. Growth very strong and even; good showing for cobs mostly in early stage sown too late for making best ensilage. This variety and the next 3 acre plot of Mammoth Cuban were sown to replace root crop ruined by drought.

Mammoth Cuban.—3 acres sown June 3, cut for ensilage September 29; yielded 16 tons 1,830 lbs. per acre. Growth very strong, even, good showing for cobs, mostly in very early stage.

Cost of Growing 34 Acres of Corn—

Rent of land at \$3 per acre....	\$102 00
Cultivating, ribbing and shallow ploughing, 6 days at \$2.50 per day....	15 00
One-fifth manure, at 15 tons per acre, \$1 per ton....	102 00
Ploughing in autumn, 8 acres at \$2 per acre....	16 00
Cultivating in spring, 3 days at \$2.50....	7 50
Ploughing 14 acres at \$2, gang ploughing 8 acres at \$1 in spring....	36 00
Harrowing in spring, 2 days, \$2.50....	5 00
Seed, 25 lbs. per acre, 850 pounds at \$1 per bushel....	15 19
Sowing, team, 3 days at \$2.50 per day....	7 50
Marking, 2 days, 1 horse at \$1.75 per day....	3 50
Planting 7 acres, 2 days at \$1.33½ per day....	2 67
Harrowing after sowing, 4 days at \$2.50....	10 00
Hoeing, 55 days, \$133½....	73 33
Cultivating team, 33 days at \$2.50....	82 50
Cultivating single horse, 14 days, \$1.75....	24 50

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

Cutting with corn harvester, 7 days at \$2.50.....	\$17 50
Loading, unloading, tramping and putting into silo, 69 days, \$1.33½ per day.....	92 00
Drawing with team, 24 days at \$2.50.....	60 00
Use of machinery, 20 cents per acre.....	7 05
Twine 5 lbs. per acre, 170 lbs. at 12 cents.....	20 40
Use of engine, fuel, ensilage cutter, and engineering, 6 days at \$6.50 per day.....	39 00
Total cost.....	\$738 64

Average yield per acre, 13½ tons.

Thirty-four acres yielded 450 tons 1,107 lbs.

To produce 1 ton ensilage in silo cost \$1.64.

Cost to produce 1 acre corn in silo, \$21.73.

ROOTS.

Owing to adverse weather conditions in May and June, it was found necessary to break up on June 2 all the land that had been sown to roots about the middle of May.

It was decided to reseed one acre to sugar beets, mangels and turnips. Below are reports upon the different small plots. All were sown on June 15 and harvested October 30.

SUGAR BEETS.

Wanzleben—½ acre. Yielded 2,870 lbs. or 47 bushels 50 lbs.; yield at the rate of 11 tons 960 lbs. per acre.

Giant Sugar Feeding Mangel—½ acre. Yielded 2,910 lbs. or 48 bushels 30 lbs.; yield at the rate of 11 tons 1,280 lbs. per acre.

MANGELS.

Gate Post Red—¼ acre. Yielded 8,220 lbs. or 133 bushels 40 lbs.; yield at the rate of 16 tons 80 lbs. per acre.

TURNIPS.

Prize Purple Top—¼ acre. Yielded 10,280 lbs. or 171 bushels 20 lbs.; yield at the rate of 10 tons 560 lbs. per acre.

EXPERIMENTAL SILO.

Three years ago a silo was constructed to be used for experimental purposes.

Different green crops have been tested as to their fitness for ensilage production, and reported upon in former reports.

This silo was again filled during September, 1903, but as the contents have not been fed out yet, it is impossible for me to report upon the same.

The contents at present are as follows, beginning at the bottom:—

	Lbs.
1. Pure corn late milk stage	9,370
{ Corn late milk stage.....	5,280
2. { Rape cut when about 15 inches high, mixed while going through blower or cut box.....	5,280
3. Pure corn, late milk stage.....	960
4. Pure rape, cut when about 15 inches high.....	5,620
5. { Corn, late milk stage.....	12,370
{ Sunflower heads mixed going through machine.....	2,120
6. Horse beans.....	1,002

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

LITTER OR BEDDING FOR CATTLE.

An experiment to gain some information as to the influences affecting the consumption of straw for litter was conducted during the month of March.

Experiment lasted 23 days.

Lot 1.—9 three year old steers in box stall required during 23 days 2,375 lbs. long wheat straw.

Lot 2.—9 three year old steers tied required during 23 days 1,150 lbs. long wheat straw.

Lot 3.—9 three year old steers tied required during 23 days 2,300 lbs. cut wheat straw.

EFFECTS OF ROTTING OR HEATING OF MANURE UPON VITALITY OF WEED SEEDS.

In March some straw containing a considerable amount of scutch, twitch or quack grass (*Agropyrum repens*) was used for bedding the steers in the box stalls, and it was decided to heat or rot half the manure to note the effect upon the vitality of the objectionable seeds likely to be found among the straw.

The manure produced weighed 42,876 lbs. Half of this was hauled out upon the field and put in small piles and the other half was piled in a low flat topped pile to induce rotting or heating.

The manure weighed when piled 21,438 lbs., and when drawn to the field weighed 18,650 lbs.

The rotted manure was put on a plot of land adjoining the plot upon which the green manure had been placed.

A careful watch was kept to note the comparative weediness of the two plots.

Both plots showed a considerable growth of scutch grass, but the rotted manure plot seemed quite as badly infested as the green manure plot.

REPORT OF THE HORTICULTURIST

(W. T. MACOUN.)

December 1, 1903.

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director, Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith the seventeenth annual report of this division. In the following pages will be found the results of some of the most important experiments conducted during the past year.

Character of season.—Winter set in at Ottawa on November 25, 1902, with the ground frozen, and on the 26th and 27th, five inches of snow fell, which gradually increased during the month of December, the result being that practically all the frost came out of the ground and, as in the winter of 1901-02, the soil remained unfrozen all winter. December was an unusually cold month, the temperature falling to 25° F. below zero on the 9th. January was also cold, the temperature going down to 29·8° F. below zero on the 19th, this being the coldest day of the winter and the lowest temperature since 1896, when the lowest was 30·7° F. below zero. There were a few very cold days in February, the coldest being 22° F. below zero on the 18th, but the month on the whole, was only moderately cold. There was an abundant snowfall in January and February, with few days above freezing, so that although the weather was cold there was good protection for the roots of trees and for herbaceous plants. The weather became mild during the first week of March and continued so all month with very little snow or rain. Sleighing was gone before the middle of the month. The first ploughing was done in the plum orchard on March 23, the earliest date in the history of the farm. The ground was in excellent condition with no frost in it. April was mild to cool, except during the last three days, when it was warmer, the temperature rising on the 30th to 82° F. On May 1 and 2, 1903, there were seven and nine degrees of frost respectively, which did much damage. Market gardeners who had set out early vegetables, lost heavily. Asparagus, wherever it showed, was frozen back to the ground, and rhubarb was considerably injured also. Apples were unaffected. The flowers of the native plums were injured by this frost, and the crop much lessened. Nearly all the flowers of the cherries were destroyed, although a large part had probably been already killed by winter. Strawberries were badly affected by frosts on May 24 and 29, and also by the drought, as a result of which the crop of many varieties was practically a failure. Grapes, raspberries, currants and gooseberries were little affected.

The severest drought since the Central Experimental Farm was established, seven years ago, and one of the severest in the history of this country, was experienced this year. There was little precipitation of any kind during the months of March, April and May, and it was not until June 11, that the drought was broken. Notwithstanding the moisture from the snow which fell in the winter, the ground appeared drier during the month of May than it had ever been in midsummer before. Vegetable seeds, which had been sown on May 8, did not germinate until June 22. Potato sets, where they were near the surface, in some cases dried up in the ground. Apple trees did not suffer, as the soil was kept cultivated. Trees in the plum orchard, however, were affected, as the soil is naturally drier there, and it was necessary to water and mulch the young trees to keep them from dying. The dry weather was very hard on

herbaceous plants and trees, which had been set out in the spring, and although the perennials in the botanic garden were watered three times, a considerable number died from the drought. By June the grass was dried up as in the driest time in midsummer. There was abundant rain after June 11, and it was not long before there was little indication left of the drought.

June, July and August were cool for summer months. The warmest day was on July 8, when the temperature rose to 90° F. In August the highest temperature was only 80° F., which occurred on the 6th. There were a great many rainy days in these months. September and October were fine and warm, and fruits and vegetables matured well. Although there was a little white frost locally during the last week of September, there was no frost recorded by the thermometer until October 19, when it was 30° F., and until that date even tomatoes and melon vines were uninjured. On October 26, the temperature dropped to 27° F., when most foliage was destroyed.

November was mild until the 5th, when it became cooler. Winter set in on November 16, and there was sufficient snow for sleighing by November 24. The last week of November was cold, the temperature falling to zero on the 26th.

Fruit and vegetable crops.—The apple crop in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec was good this year, and the fruit was of much better quality than last year, the dry weather in the early part of the season being unfavourable to the development of the apple spot fungus, as a result of which the fruit was much freer from spot than usual, this being especially true of the fruit in eastern Ontario and Quebec. There was a heavy crop of peaches, which made the fruit very cheap this year. There was also a good crop of pears. The plum crop was unusually heavy, and on the whole did not prove profitable. Thousands of baskets were left to rot in the orchards, as the market were glutted with this fruit. There was an average crop of grapes in the Niagara district, but in the Lake Erie district the crop was nearly ruined by black rot. The crop of small fruits was an average one, except in northern and eastern Ontario and in some parts of the province of Quebec, where spring frosts and drought reduced the crop. Strawberries suffered most.

At the Central Experimental Farm the apple crop, though considerably less than last year, was fine in quality. There were few worms of the codling moth and no spots, and the fruit matured well. The plum crop, though better than last year, was not an average one. Cherries were a failure again this year, owing to winter killing of the flower buds. The fine weather of September and October was very favourable to grapes, and 101 varieties ripened. The crop of raspberries and currants was about an average one, but the dry weather reduced the gooseberry crop somewhat. The blackberry crop was better than usual this year. The yield of strawberries was light, as frosts when the plants were in bloom and the drought were very hard on this fruit. Owing to the dry weather in spring which delayed the germination of the seeds, to the spring frosts, and to the cool summer, it was a poor year for vegetables in eastern Ontario and part of the province of Quebec. The potato crop was much reduced by the dry weather, and by blight and rot in the autumn where the vines were unsprayed. Tomatoes did not ripen well and the crop was not nearly as large as usual. The melon crop was a failure. Celery was good, owing to the cool moist weather of late summer.

MEETINGS ATTENDED, ADDRESSES GIVEN AND PLACES VISITED.

A part of the work of the Horticulturist is to attend meetings of farmers, fruit growers and horticultural societies throughout the country, and to give addresses on horticultural topics. During the past year quite a number of such meetings were attended.

Following were the meetings attended with subjects of addresses:—

Annual meeting, Ontario Fruit Growers' Association, Walkerton, Ont., December 1, 2 and 3.—'Special Methods of Fruit Culture for Special Conditions.'

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Annual meeting, Quebec Pomological Society, Waterloo, Que., December.—'Strawberries.'

Annual meeting, New Brunswick Farmers' Association, Sussex, N.B., January 26-28.—'Preparation of Soil, Cultivation and Fertilizing of Orchards and Potato Culture.'

Woodstock, N.B., January 29-30.—'Strawberries.'

Annual meeting, Nova Scotia Farmers' Association, Windsor, N.S., February 4.—'Potato Culture.'

Annual meeting, Prince-Edward Island Fruit Growers' Association, Charlotte—'The Individuality of Fruits.'

Annual meeting, Prince Edward Island Fruit Growers' Association, Charlottetown, P.E.I., February 10.—'Site and Protection of an Orchard.'

Meeting at Miscouche, P.E.I., February 12.—'Fruit Growing.'

Meeting at Hazelbrook, February 11.—'Fruit Growing.'

Meeting at Smith's Falls, Horticultural Society, Smith's Falls, Ont., March 31.—'The Improvement of the Home Grounds.'

Meeting, Belleville, Fruit Growers' Association, Belleville, Ont., April 8.—'Recent Changes in Orchard Methods.'

Orchard meeting, at Vernon, Fallowfield and Metcalfe, Ont., July 7, 8 and 9.—'Demonstrations in Orchard Work.'

Summer meeting, Quebec Pomological Society, Abbotsford, Que., August 26, 27.—'Individuality of Fruits,' 'Hardy Climbers.'

Biennial meeting American Pomological Society, Boston, Mass., September 10-12.—'The Best Amateur Red Raspberry,' 'Progress in Horticulture in Ontario during the past Twenty-five years.'

Annual meeting, Ontario Fruit Growers' Association, Leamington, Ont., November 24-26.—'Hardy Fruits for Northern Districts.'

In addition to attending the above meetings, I visited the Toronto exhibition on September 7, and the Arnold Arboretum, and the Massachusetts Agricultural Experimental Station while at Boston, obtaining much information which will prove valuable to me in my work. I also visited the orchard of the Trappist fathers, La Trappe, Que., those of R. W. Shepherd, Como, Que., R. Brodie, Westmount, Que., and also Mr. W. W. Dunlop, Outremont, Que., and also drove sixty-five miles along the south shore of the St. Lawrence between St. Denis and Montmagny, having the opportunity at that time of visiting the orchards of J. C. Chapais, St. Denis, and Auguste Dupuis, Village des Aulnaies. At all these places there were new and interesting things to be seen and I got many suggestions for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

As in past years, I have been greatly aided in my work by the fruit growers of Canada, who have been always ready to assist me. During the past year, when preparing a bulletin on plum culture, it was necessary to write to a large number of persons for information regarding varieties and methods of culture, and I always received courteous assistance. I take this opportunity of thanking those fellow workers for their ready and willing aid.

At the experimental farm, Mr. J. F. Watson and Mr. H. Holz have again proved themselves able assistants in the work, the former by the manner in which he has handled the correspondence and much of the office work, and the latter in his capacity as foreman, by his untiring and faithful supervision of the work outside.

Donations.—The horticultural division is favoured every year with donations of plants, scions, seeds, &c., from institutions, and persons who either desire to have them tested at the experimental farm or who send them merely as gifts to the institution. The horticulturist is always pleased to receive such donations and to give them a fair

trial. In the case of seedling fruits, however, it is desirable to see the fruit and pass judgment upon it before accepting trees or scions, as by adopting this plan only the really promising kinds are tested.

The following donations were received during the year, and we beg to gratefully acknowledge the same:—

DONATIONS.

Sender.	Donation.
Arnold Arboretum, Jamaica Plain, Mass.	Seeds, collection of.
Bug Death Chemical Co., St. Stephen, N.B.	Bug Death, 1 case of.
Baker, E. P., Massawippi, Que.	Scions, Beauty of Horton apple.
Brodie, R., Montreal, Que.	" Grand Duke Contantine apple. Burbank, Lachine and Brodie plums.
Ballantyne, James, Ottawa East, Ont.	Scions, No. 2 seedling apple.
Beall, Thomas, Lindsay, Ont.	" seedling apple.
Carter, J. H., Massawippi, Que.	" Shiawassee Beauty apple.
Cass, C. A., L'Orignal, Ont.	" of seedling apples.
Carstesen, Hans Peter, Billings Bridge, Ont.	" Carstesen plum.
Cockburn, J. P., Gravenhurst, Ont.	" Algonquin apple.
Dunlop, W. W., Outremont, Que.	" and trees of Montreal seedling plums.
Dempsey, W. H., Trenton, Ont.	" Hubbardston Nonsuch apple.
Fisk, J. M., Abbotsford, Que.	" apple, Canada Baldwin, Stettin Red.
Greenfield, Samuel, Ottawa East, Ont.	" seedling, apple and plums.
Gardener, James, Cornwall, Ont.	" of unknown apple.
Graham, J. I., Vandeleur, Ont.	" hardy peach.
Hamilton, Robert, Grenville, Que.	Seeds of Japanese trees and vegetables.
Harkness, A. D., Irena, Ont.	Scions, Red Fameuse apple.
Iowa Experimental Station, Ames, Ia.	" Tatge and Ames plums, and Brilliant and Avista apples.
James, George, Lochlin, Ont.	Tubers, James' Nugget potato.
Johnston, Asa., East Farnham, Que.	Scions, apple.
Jack, N. E., Chateauguay Basin, Que.	" May Queen plum.
Livingston, L. L., Frankville, Ont.	Buds, seedling apple.
Little, E. E., Ames, Ia., U.S.	Scions, apple and plums.
Lizotte, Rev. J., St. Jean des Chailions, Que.	" seedling apple.
Lagace, Jules, Fraserville, Que.	" "
Messenger, R. J., Bridgetown, N.S.	" "
Macoun, J. M., Ottawa, Ont.	Seeds of Western plants.
Morgan, H. H., Manchester, N.H.	Tubers, Morgan White and Morgan Seedling potatoes
Morrow, J. F., Calumet, Que.	Scions, Seedling apple and Knudson cherry.
Newman, C. P., Lachine Locks, Que.	" peach.
Reynaud, G., La Trappe, Que.	" Perdrigon plum, Flemish Beauty pear.
Rowley, Joseph, Cummings Bridge, Ont.	Trees, Rowley and No. 2 seedling plums.
Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, England.	Seeds, collection.
Shaw, R. M., Waterville, N.S.	Plants, Big Bobs strawberry.
Scott, W. A., Montreal, Que.	Buds, Blue Pearmain apple.
Saunders, W. E., London, Ont.	3 trees Betula lenta
Shepherd, R. W., Como, Que.	Scions, Windsor Chief apple.
Stephens, C. L., Orillia, Ont.	Seedling gooseberry; Scions, hardy peach.
Tuttle, A., Clark, Baraboo, Wis., U.S.	Scions, apple.
Whyte, R. B., Ottawa, Ont.	Walnuts, 1 bushel.
Waugh, Prof. F. A., Amherst, Mass., U.S.	Scions, Palmer Greening and Scarlet Cranberry apples.

APPLES.

The apple trees wintered well this year and there were fewer deaths than usual in the orchard. Vacancies were filled by new varieties and by additional trees of some kinds found desirable to grow in this district. The crop was below an average one, but the fruit was of good quality, there being no scab and little codling moth. There were 199 named varieties fruited this year, and of these there was a much larger proportion of winter apples than in previous years.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SEEDLING AND CROSS-BRED APPLES.

This year 208 trees were added to the seedlings already planted, making a total of 1,596 now in the orchards. The first fruit among the seedlings planted in 1890 was borne this year when one Wealthy seedling bore three apples. In the Russian seedling orchard 31 trees bore which had never fruited before, making a total of 225 which have fruited altogether. Of these, twenty-seven have been thought worthy of propagation for trial in northern Ontario, and Manitoba and the North-west Territories, but practically none of them are sufficiently promising for districts where varieties already recommended succeed.

Some further work was done in cross-breeding apples, the varieties used for this purpose being McIntosh Red, Lawyer, Northern Spy, North-western Greening, and Milwaukee.

TOP GRAFTING.

The work of top grafting the tenderer varieties on hardy stocks is continued and extended each year, as it is believed that this is a valuable line of work. Already 90 varieties have been top grafted. A tree of Northern Spy top grafted in 1893 bore over one barrel of apples this year. This variety has not proven satisfactory when grown as a standard tree.

SHIPMENT OF APPLES TO GLASGOW IN COLD STORAGE.

As the trees in the apple orchard at the Central Experimental Farm get larger the crop naturally increases, and as there are in some cases a number of trees of each kind, a fair quantity of some varieties can now be obtained. Although most of the apples are sold on the Ottawa Fruit Exchange, it was thought that it might be profitable, and at the same time of interest to fruit growers, to send some to Great Britain. A small shipment of 100 bushel boxes of autumn apples, therefore, was made to Glasgow last year, with good profit. The results of this shipment, which were published in the Annual Report of 1902, interested a great many, and various letters of inquiry were received. These came especially from small growers, who were pleased to get in the report all the details regarding the shipping of the fruit, cost of boxes and other material, and the details regarding the rates charged on the steamer and on the other side of the Atlantic, as fruit growers who have but a small quantity to sell are reluctant to adopt a new plan without knowing all the particulars.

This year another small shipment, mostly of Duchess of Oldenburg, was made in cold storage, and although the profits were not quite as large as last year they were still above what could have been obtained here.

The fruit was sent by the steamer *Kastalia*, which sailed from Montreal on August 20, and arrived at Glasgow on August 31.

The apples were picked on August 13, 14, and 15, and brought under cover and packed in boxes, the inside measurement of which was: depth, 10½ inches, width, 11½ inches, length, 22 inches. The sides and top and bottom were made of three-eighths inch boards, and the ends of half-inch, dovetailed and glued. Only apples free from defects were selected. These were wrapped in tissue paper, and packed tightly in layers, a sheet of cardboard being put between each layer and a thin layer of Excelsior between the apples and the boards at top and bottom. There were four layers of fruit to a box. No Excelsior was used as packing among the apples, as different sized apples were used for this purpose. The apples when picked were practically full grown, well coloured, but still quite hard. The fruit was kept in a cool place until August 18, when it was taken to the station at Ottawa, and put on a freight car, which left for Montreal that night. The fruit arrived in Montreal early on the morning of August 19, but just reached the steamer before the cold storage compartments were closed in the evening. More time will be allowed another year, as the fruit might not have got

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

into cold storage. The rate for cold storage and freight on the steamer was 30 shillings for 40 cubic feet.

Following is the account sales:—

43 and 44 BAZAAR AND COVENT GARDEN MARKET, 25 STIRLING ST., CITY.

GLASGOW, Septemebr 4, 1903.

Account sales of 90 boxes apples ex. *Kastalia*. Sold by Thomas Russell, by order and for account of Mr. W. T. Macoun, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa:—

W. T. Macoun.

	£	s.	d.	£	s.	d.
XXX.....10 boxes North Star, 7 —.....	3	10	0			
80 " Duchess, 5 6.....	22	0	0			
				25	10	0

Charges.

Freight on goods.....	7	5	2			
Freight on empties, river and harbour dues, master porterage, landing, selecting, coopering, catalogues, advertising, &c., cartage to warehouse, housing and delivery.....	2	5	0			
Commissioner and guarantee	1	5	6			
				10	15	8

Net proceeds.... 14 14 4=\$71.29

The expenses of the shipment on this side of the Atlantic, exclusive of growing the fruit, picking, packing and sending to the car at Ottawa, which would be necessary in any shipment, were:—

Cost of 90 boxes at Toronto, 14 cents.....	\$12 60
Freight on 90 boxes, Toronto to Ottawa....	2 05
Cost of 63 lbs. Excelsior at 3 cents.....	1 89
Cost of 450 strips of cardboard.....	2 70
Cost of 4 reams of tissue paper at \$1.25.....	5 00
Wrapping, 66 hours at 7½ cents an hour.....	4 95

\$29 19

Leaving a net balance of \$42.10, or approximately, 46.77 cents per box. There were about 180 apples in each box of Duchess, or about one-third of a barrel, thus making a net balance of, approximately, \$1.40 per barrel. This is not a large profit, but it is a fair one, and better than would have been obtained at Ottawa by selling the fruit in baskets, barrels or boxes. In shipping large quantities of fruit the cost of material would be much less and the profits greater.

Following is the report of the government agent who saw this fruit sold at Glasgow:—

8 GLENBANK TERRACE,

LENZIE, N.B., September 7, 1903.

‘These arrived at Glasgow on August 31 in very good condition, and were kept at a temperature of 35 to 40 degrees in refrigerator chamber during the voyage over. The 80 cases Duchess made 5s. 6d. a case. These showed up well for the variety, but several buyers complained to me about the lightness of the cases, which only weighed 36 pounds gross. This meant about 30 pounds of fruit in each case. The 10 cases North Star realized 7s. They were in excellent condition and looked well. I like the way you had these 10 cases packed, and think the sheet of cardboard between each layer with a little Excelsior top and bottom could not be improved upon.’

(Signed) JOHN BROWN,

Inspector at Glasgow.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Both this year and last, the complaint was made that the weight of fruit per box was too small. The Duchess is, however, a light apple and very little additional weight of fruit could have been obtained by another method of packing this variety.

NEW OR LITTLE KNOWN VARIETIES OF APPLES.

A large number of varieties of apples have been already described in the reports of the 'Horticulturist.' The following five kinds have not been described in the reports before. All of these descriptions are original, having been made from specimens in the writer's possession, and all from fruit grown on the Central Experimental Farm:—

Dempsey No. 80.—Originated at Trenton, Ont., by the late P. C. Dempsey. A cross between Northern Spy and Golden Russet. Fruit roundish, regular; size above medium; cavity deep, narrow, slightly russeted; stem short, slender to moderately stout; basin medium depth and width, smooth; calyx partly open; colour pale yellowish green splashed and washed with deep reddish pink; dots few, large, indistinct; skin, thick, tough; flesh yellow, firm, juicy; core small; brisly subacid, not highly flavoured; quality above medium; season late winter; tree vigorous and bears young. A promising winter apple at Ottawa.

Dudley (North Star).—Originated in Maine. Fruit roundish; size large; cavity open, deep, slightly russeted; stem medium length, slender; basin deep, medium width, slightly wrinkled; calyx partly open; colour pale yellow; streaked and splashed with deep lively red; dots few, small, pale yellow, indistinct; skin moderately thick, tender; flesh yellow, rather coarse, tender, moderately juicy; core small; subacid, pleasant flavour, quality above medium, almost good; season late September to early winter. Tree vigorous and productive. This is about the same season as Wealthy, but does not keep as long. A handsome apple.

North-western Greening.—Originated in Wisconsin. Fruit large, roundish to roundish oblong, slightly conical, regular; cavity deep, medium width, sometimes more or less russeted; stem short, stout; basin medium depth and width; almost smooth; calyx open; colour green at first then greenish yellow when fully mature; dots indistinct; skin thick, tough; flesh yellowish, firm, moderately juicy; core medium, closed; mildly sub-acid, pleasant flavour; quality good. Season mid-winter to late winter. Tree hardy at Ottawa and a vigorous grower, but inclined to be top heavy, causing splitting of the trunk. Not an early bearer, but is eventually quite productive. The fruit is very symmetrical and has an attractive smooth skin. One of the most promising winter apples for the north.

Rideau (Wealthy female X Duchess male).—A cross-bred apple, originated at the Central Experimental Farm, by Dr. C. E. Saunders in 1894, and fruiting this year for the first time. Fruit roundish, angular; size medium to large; cavity deep, open; stem short, stout; basin deep, open; calyx open or partly open; colour pale yellow, well washed and splashed with bright crimson, especially on sunny side; dots numerous, small, indistinct; bloom none; skin moderately thick, tender; flesh yellowish, remarkably firm, coarse, juicy; core rather small; subacid, sprightly; aromatic, though not high flavoured; quality good; season late September. Resembles Duchess somewhat in outward appearance, but is longer. There is a suggestion of Wealthy in flavour and sprightliness. Shows indications of water-core. A handsome apple and may prove useful, as its season is between Duchess and Wealthy.

Windsor Chief.—Originated in Wisconsin. Fruit oblate to roundish, slightly angular; size medium to large; cavity shallow, open, more or less russeted; stem medium length, stout; basin medium depth and width, almost smooth; calyx open; colour yellow, well washed with dark red; dots few to medium, yellow, prominent; skin thick, tough; flesh yellowish, firm juicy; core small; mildly subacid, pleasant flavour; quality good, season late winter. Tree hardy, vigorous, productive. Fruit hangs well. A promising apple. A little too dark in colour.


SEEDLING FRUITS.

Quite a number of seedling fruits were again sent in for examination this year, most of which were apples, although pears, plums and peaches were also represented. In most cases full descriptions were made of the fruit, which will be useful for future reference. If the variety was considered promising, scions were asked for and those received will be grafted. As a result of this grafting of seedling varieties, every year there is now a large number of these growing at the experimental farm. As these fruit they are recommended for general planting or otherwise as their merits deserve.

We trust that fruit growers will continue to send in specimens of promising seedling fruits for examination.

Full descriptions follow of the best of those received.

Record.	Province.	Address of Sender.	Description of Fruit.
APPLES.			
250	N. B.	Morley Small, Lawson	See full description.
251	Que.	A. C. Kennesen, Dixville	Medium size, pale yellow, quality above medium, season autumn, not specially promising.
252	"	Theodore Hanon, Mt. St. Hilaire	See full description.
253	"	"	Medium size, splashed with purplish red, fall, not promising.
254	"	"	Medium size, deep purplish red, early fall, not promising.
255	"	R. Hamilton, Grenville	No. 1, above medium size, dark purplish red, medium quality, season October.
256	"	"	No. 2, above medium to large, yellow with purplish red on sunny side, quality above medium, season late September.
257	"	"	No. 3, medium size, yellow and reddish pink, good quality, season October, not attractive enough.
258	"	"	Medium size, bright purplish red, medium quality, season late fall.
259	"	"	Medium size, pale yellowish green with deep red on sunny side, quality good, season late autumn. Evidently Fameuse seedling. Not as good as Fameuse.
260	"	"	See full description.
261	"	"	Large, orange red, quality almost good, season October, not nearly as good as Wealthy.
262	"	"	Large, deep purplish red, quality above medium to good, season autumn, not of much promise.
263	"	Rev. J. Lizotte, St. Jean des Chaillons	Medium size, deep crimson, quality above medium, season winter.
264	"	Trappist Fathers, La Trappe	See full description.
265	Ont.	Russell Hale, Orillia	Above medium size, yellow, splashed and washed with purplish red, quality good, season late winter, not of special merit.
266	"	John Bertram, Dundas	Above medium size, pale yellow, splashed with bright purplish red, quality good, season early autumn, not sufficiently promising.
267	"	M. G. Bruner, Olinda	Medium size, pale yellow, well washed and splashed with bright red, quality medium, season October, handsome but not promising.
268	"	T. A. Harsant, Glen Orchard	Very large, washed and splashed with purplish red, quality below medium, season late autumn to early winter.
269	"	W. J. Kerr, Renfrew	Large, green with splashes of purplish red, medium quality, season late autumn.
270	"	"	Medium size, sweet, medium quality, not promising.
271	"	"	Medium size, yellow with traces of purplish red, quality good but fruit not attractive, season early winter.
272	"	F. Ballantyne, Smiths Falls	Medium size, pale yellow, quality medium, season probably mid winter.
273	"	"	Medium to below in size, bright red, quality good, season early winter, not large enough.



ORCHARD PROTECTED BY NATURAL SHELTER BELT OF SPRUCE TREES. THE APPLE TREES WERE PLANTED IN 1897.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Record.	Province.	Address of Sender.	Description of Fruit.
274	"	C. A. Cass, L'Original.....	See full description.
275	"	Thos. Connolly, Lindsay.....	"
276	"	C. H. Snow, Cummings Bridge.....	'Sport' "
277	"	Daniel Lack, Lindsay.....	"
278	"	L. L. Livingston, Frankville.....	"
279	"	M. G. Bruner, Olinda.....	"
280	"	J. Ballantyne, Ottawa East.....	"
281	"	David Francis, Perth.....	"
282	"	C. Wallenshlager, New Edinburgh.....	Large, greenish yellow with a dull red blush, quality medium, season late winter.
283	"	"	Medium size, yellow with a pink blush, quality medium, season early winter, not desirable.
			Above medium size, pale green with pinkish blush, quality good, season mid to late winter, may be promising.
PEARS, PLUMS AND PEACHES.			
284	"	R. B. Martin, Elmira.....	Seedling pear, see full description.
285	"	W. J. Kerr, Renfrew.....	"
286	P. E. I.	H. E. Wright, Summerside.....	Seedling plum "
287	Ont.	Samuel Greenfield, Ottawa East.....	No. 1, seedling plum "
288	"	"	No. 2 " large, dark purplish red, medium quality, season early September.
289	"	W. J. Diamond, Belleville.....	Seedling plum, medium size, dark, purplish red, quality good, season early September.
290	"	W. K. Ireland, Owen Sound.....	Seedling peach, see full description.

No. 250—Seedling apple from Morley Small, Lawson, N.B.:—Size above medium to large; form roundish, conical, slightly angular; cavity shallow, medium width; stem short, stout; basin narrow, shallow, wrinkled; calyx partly open; colour greenish yellow well washed and splashed with red; dots fairly numerous, small, yellow, distinct; skin thick, tough; flesh yellowish, moderately juicy, mildly sub-acid; core medium; quality above medium; season mid to late winter.

Said to have originated from seed brought from England by Mr. Small's grandfather about eighty years ago. May be a promising late winter variety. Scarcely in condition for test yet, November 30, 1903.

No. 252—Apple: seedling, from Theodore Hanon, Mount St. Hilaire, Que.:—Size medium; form roundish conical; cavity medium depth and width, russeted, stem short, moderately stout; basin medium depth and width, slightly wrinkled; calyx partly open; colour pale yellow well washed with bright crimson; dots obscure; skin moderately thick, tender; flesh white tinged with red, juicy, tender, melting; core medium; mildly sub-acid, good flavour; quality very good; season evidently mid September.

A handsome apple and may be very useful as coming just before Wealthy.

No. 260—Apple seedling from R. Hamilton, Grenville, Que.:—Size above medium; form roundish; cavity medium depth, open, russeted; stem short to medium, stout; basin rather deep, medium depth and width, almost smooth; calyx open; colour pale greenish yellow well splashed and washed with rich purplish red; dots few, pale, indistinct; skin rather thick, tender; flesh yellowish, moderately juicy; core medium; sweet, sugary, pleasant flavour; quality good for a sweet apple; season evidently late September and October.

A handsome apple resembling Wealthy very much in outward appearance. October 1, still in good condition.

No. 264—Marlboro, seedling apple, from G. Reynaud, La Trappe, Que.:—Size large; form oblate; cavity deep, open, russeted at base; stem short, stout; basin, medium depth and width; calyx closed or open; colour pale yellow well washed with deep

crimson and with purplish red splashes; dots fairly numerous, pale yellow, distinct; skin moderately thick, rather tough; flesh white, tinged with red, tender, juicy; core medium; sub-acid, pleasant flavour, but slightly astringent; quality good. Season early to mid-winter.

Tree is quite hardy and is bearing well. A very handsome apple of about the same season as Fameuse and McIntosh Red. It is somewhat like Canada Baldwin in flavour and may be a seedling of that variety, as it resembles it somewhat in other respects.

No. 274.—Apple from C. A. Cass, L'Orignal, Ont.:—Size, above medium; form roundish, conical, angular; cavity narrow, medium depth; stem short, moderately stout; basin narrow, shallow to medium; calyx open; colour pale yellow, well washed and splashed with crimson; dots obscure; skin moderately thick, rather tough; flesh white, tender melting, juicy; core medium size, open; mildly subacid, good flavour; quality good to very good; season probably January and February.

Tree bore in 1902 for the first time. Nearly a barrel taken off.

Probably a seedling of Fameuse. Lacks sprightliness. Same season as McIntosh Red and Fameuse.

No. 275.—Seedling apple from Thos. Connolly, Lindsay, Ont.:—Size large; form oblate; cavity medium depth and width; stem short, stout; basin medium depth and width, smooth; calyx open; colour pale greenish yellow, with traces of pink on sunny side; dots moderately numerous, indistinct, grey and green; skin thick, tough; flesh yellow, crisp, juicy; core medium; subacid, sprightly, pleasant flavour; quality good to season probably early to mid-winter. A promising seedling.

No. 276.—Apple 'Sport,' from C. H. Snow, Cummings Bridge, Ont.:—Size above medium to large; form oblate; conic; cavity deep, open; stem short, stout; basin medium depth and width, wrinkled; calyx closed; colour greenish yellow, almost covered with dark red; dots moderately numerous, yellow, distinct; skin thick, rather tough; flesh white tinged with red, crisp, juicy, tender; core small; flavour subacid, pleasant; quality good to very good. Season early to mid-September.

Thought to be a sport of St. Lawrence, which it resembles in shape, flesh, and somewhat in flavour. The flavour, however, does not seem to be as high as St. Lawrence. Promising. Tree fruiting among a number of St. Lawrence trees procured from same nursery.

No. 277.—Apple seedling from Daniel Lack, Lindsay, Ont.:—Size large; form roundish; cavity shallow, open; stem short, stout; basin medium depth and width, most smooth; calyx closed; colour pale greenish yellow, almost greenish white, with a bright pink blush on sunny side; dots fairly numerous; flesh white, crisp, tender, juicy; core small; mildly subacid, pleasant flavour; quality good. Season evidently mid to late September. November 4, 1903, still in condition. A promising variety resembling Princess Louise in appearance and quality, but earlier. Evidently a seedling of Fameuse.

No. 278.—Apple from L. L. Livingston, Frankville, Ont.:—Size medium; form oblate; cavity open, russeted; stem short, stout; basin deep, open, slightly wrinkled; calyx open; colour greenish yellow, splashed and washed with dull purplish red; dots few, grey, distinct; skin thick, rather tough; flesh yellow, crisp, moderately juicy; core small; subacid, pleasant flavour; quality good. Season late winter. Would be most promising if a little larger.

No. 279.—Apple from M. G. Bruner, Olinda, Ont.:—Size medium; form oblate; roundish, slightly angular; cavity deep, narrow, heavily russeted; stem medium length, slender; basin medium depth and width, smooth; calyx open; colour yellow, well splashed, washed, and streaked with purple red; dots obscure; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh white, tender, fairly juicy; core small; subacid, good flavour; quality good; season early to mid-winter. Scarcely large enough or juicy enough to be very promising, although it has considerable merit.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

No. 280.—Apple from Jas. Ballantyne, Ottawa East, Ont.:—Size medium; form oblate, conic; cavity deep, medium width; stem short, fairly stout; basin narrow, very shallow; calyx partly open; colour pale yellow, splashed and streaked with purplish red; dots obscure; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh white, firm, crisp, moderately juicy, subacid; core medium; quality above medium. Season, late winter.

No. 284.—Seedling pear from R. B. Martin, Elmira, Ont.:—Fruit large, obovate, truncate, obtuse pyriform; colour yellow, with an orange blush; skin thin, tender; flesh yellowish, tender, melting, buttery; moderately sweet, not high flavoured; core small; quality good. Season, late September. Not high enough flavoured to be among the best varieties.

No. 285.—Seedling pear from W. J. Kerr, Renfrew, Ont.:—Fruit medium size, obovate, obtuse; colour yellow with a faint pink blush; stem medium length, stout; flesh yellowish, juicy, buttery, sweet but, not high flavoured; quality good; season evidently early September. Promising if hardier than Flemish Beauty. Seedling of Bartlett. Originated in the county of Leeds. Tree, 20 feet high.

No. 286.—Abegweit. Plum seedling from Henry E. Wright, Summerside, P.E.I.:—Form round oval; size large; cavity medium depth and width; suture distinct, slightly depressed; apex slightly depressed; colour yellow, well covered with deep red dots obscure; bloom none on specimens received; skin moderately thin, rather tough; flesh yellow, juicy; stone medium to below medium, oval, flattened, cling; sweet, rich flavour; quality very good. A handsome plum and one worth propagating. Raised from stone of a plum from California. Bore first time this year. Tree a fast grower, very healthy and hardy so far. Ripens a few days later than Moore's Arctic and earlier than Lombard. Tree 6 or 7 years old from seed. Domestica group.

No. 287.—Plum seedling No. 1, from Samuel Greenfield, Ottawa East, Ont.:—Form roundish oval (broad); size large; cavity shallow; suture indistinct, no depression; apex rounded; colour dark purplish red; dots numerous, small, yellow; skin thin, tough; flesh greenish yellow, juicy, sweet; stone large, oval, cling; sweet, good flavour; quality good to very good. A plum of the Bradshaw type. Tree fruiting well this year. Promising. Domestica group.

No. 290.—Seedling peach from W. K. Ireland, Owen Sound, Ont.—Fruit large, roundish, colour yellow, well washed with deep red; suture distinct, depressed, deepest towards the apex; skin moderately thick; flesh yellow, juicy, sweet, rich, good flavour. Quality very good. Season, mid September.

PEARS.

Although a few trees of named varieties of pears are still growing in the orchard, they are not at all satisfactory. Seedlings of Flemish Beauty and others are being grown, and it is hoped that some more blight resistant varieties may be obtained.

PLUMS.

As usual, nearly all the flower buds of European plums were destroyed by winter. The spring frosts did some injury to the flowers of native plums, but the Americanas were not affected, and the crop of the latter was an average one as regards quantity, but the quality was not as good as usual, owing to the drought which weakened the trees and caused some of the foliage to fall; to the aphids which were very difficult to control, and the brown rot which caused much injury, notwithstanding frequent spraying which was offset by the wet weather during the latter part of the summer, making the conditions very favourable for the development of the disease. A bulletin on plum culture was published this year, giving the results of experiments with plums up to date.

One new experimental farm seedling was named this year, of which the following is a description:—

Welcome (seedling of DeSoto).—Fruit above medium size, oval, flattened considerably; cavity narrow, shallow; colour rich yellow more or less washed with red; dots very small, yellow, indistinct; bloom thin; skin moderately thick, fairly tough; flesh yellow, juicy, sweet, a pleasant but not rich flavour; quality good; season mid September. A very handsome plum. Tree vigorous and productive.

GRAPES.

Although the summer was cool and wet, the autumn was very favourable for the ripening of grapes, and 101 varieties matured this year. Among the newer varieties the Campbell's Early, which matures about the same time as Moore's Early, and is better in quality, is the best. For districts where the climate is like that at Ottawa the following varieties are those which will give greatest satisfaction:—

Campbell's Early, Moore's Early, Moyer, Peabody, Wilder, Roger's 17, Delaware Brighton, and Lindley. It is necessary to plant the last two among others, as they are not self fertile.

Several of Munson's hybrid grapes fruited this year. Of these the most promising is Manito, which is as early as Champion. The following description was made of it:—

Manito:—Vine medium growth, productive; fruit clusters below medium size cylindrical, sometimes slightly shouldered and moderately loose; fruit below medium size, globular, black with a blue bloom; skin thin, fairly tender, somewhat acid; pulp very tender, melting, sweet, good flavour; quality good. As early as Champion. Promising for the north.

CHERRIES.

The cherry crop was a failure this year owing to the winter killing of the flower buds and to spring frost. There were only a few scattered cherries on a few trees. The Orel 25, is the hardiest in flower bud of all the varieties yet tested, as this has given fair crops when others have had little or none. Cherries, like European plums, will succeed well when grown near large bodies of water, when in the interior where the temperature does not fall any lower the flower buds are destroyed by winter.

STRAWBERRIES.

The strawberries wintered well and would probably have produced a fine crop but for the drought and spring frosts. As it was, the dry weather in April and May and until near the middle of June was very hard on the plants and they made little growth. The frosts of May 1 and 2, and particularly May 24 and 29, destroyed a large proportion of the flowers, the pistil being the part most injured. Many kinds set little or no fruit. The following table, in which are given the yields of the twenty-five most productive varieties, is instructive in that it shows which kinds were most resistant to the frost but, as showing how much less was the yield of the most productive variety this year than last, the Melc, which was first, yielded 35 lbs. 6 ozs. in 1902, and the Lovett, which was 25th on the list, 20 lbs. 5½ ozs., while in 1903 the most productive variety, Jucund Improved, yielded only 11 lbs. 15 oz., and the Young's seedling, which was 25th on the list, only 3 lbs. 11¼ oz. Of the 25 varieties which yielded best in 1903, 9 averaged less previous to 1903, and 10 were among the most productive 25 varieties in 1903.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

For general market, the following are among the best:—Buster, P., Warfield, P., Beder Wood, B., Lovett, B., Sample, P., and for shipping long distances, the Williams, B. Other productive varieties for near market are: Bubach, P., Glen Mary, B., Greenville, P., and Haverland, P. The Clyde, B., is also a very productive berry, but as it has not very much foliage, is liable to scald, unless given high cultivation.

Name.	Bisexual Pistillate.	Date of full bloom.	Date of first ripe fruit.	Date of first picking.	Date of last picking.	Number of pickings.	Total Yield 1903. — Length of Rows, 30 ft.
							Lbs. oz.
Jucunda Improved.....	B	May 29..	June 22..	June 24..	July 13..	8	11 15
Frene.....	P	" 27..	" 25..	" 27..	" 13..	7	10 4 ³ / ₄
Swindle.....	P	" 26..	" 27..	" 29..	" 13..	6	9 11 ¹ / ₂
Buster.....	P	" 26..	" 22..	" 24..	" 13..	7	9 8
Thompson's Late.....	P	" 29..	" 27..	" 29..	" 13..	6	9 5
Splendid.....	B	" 29..	" 22..	" 24..	" 10..	6	9 0
Daniel Boone.....	P	" 26..	" 22..	" 24..	" 13..	8	7 1
Randy.....	P	" 29..	" 27..	" 29..	" 13..	6	6 12 ¹ / ₂
John Little.....	P	" 26..	" 22..	" 24..	" 13..	8	6 10
Dora.....	P	" 29..	" 22..	" 24..	" 13..	8	6 5 ³ / ₄
Lovett.....	B	" 26..	" 15..	" 21..	" 10..	9	6 4 ³ / ₄
World's Champion.....	B	" 29..	" 22..	" 27..	" 13..	7	6 1 ¹ / ₂
Gories.....	B	" 26..	" 15..	" 21..	" 10..	8	6 1
Vonderful.....	P	" 26..	" 22..	" 24..	" 13..	8	5 12
Brandywine.....	B	" 29..	" 27..	" 29..	" 13..	6	5 10 ¹ / ₂
Fescent.....	P	" 26..	" 19..	" 21..	" 13..	9	5 10
Williams.....	B	" 28..	" 15..	" 21..	" 13..	9	4 11 ¹ / ₂
Daisy.....	P	" 29..	" 24..	" 27..	" 10..	6	4 7 ³ / ₄
Farrie.....	P	" 26..	" 15..	" 21..	" 13..	9	4 7 ¹ / ₂
Lover.....	P	" 28..	" 26..	" 27..	" 13..	5	4 5
Wynnton.....	P	" 26..	" 22..	" 24..	" 13..	8	4 4 ³ / ₄
Howard's 41.....	P	" 26..	" 15..	" 21..	" 13..	8	4 4 ³ / ₄
Scarlet Ball.....	P	" 29..	" 27..	" 29..	" 13..	6	3 12 ³ / ₄
Beder Wood.....	P	" 26..	" 13..	" 21..	" 13..	9	3 11 ¹ / ₂
Young's Seedling.....	B	" 29..	" 15..	" 24..	" 13..	8	3 11 ¹ / ₄

RASPBERRIES.

Raspberries have never been very productive in the horticultural department at the experimental farm, as the soil is a little too light for that fruit and the canes are not as strong as they would be if grown in heavier soil. The lightness of the soil, however, is perhaps an advantage in testing varieties, as one is better able to learn which kinds are best than if the soil were very rich and heavy, when the variations would not be so great.

The canes came through last winter in very good condition, but the drought and spring frosts lessened the crop somewhat.

In the following table will be found the average yields of the twelve most productive red varieties under test for the past four years. The Brighton, which heads the list, is one of Dr. Saunders' seedlings, and is a very hardy variety. The Cuthbert only averaged 4 lbs. ¹/₂ oz. This variety does not succeed as well as many others at the Experimental Farm.

Name of Variety. — Red Varieties.	Date of first ripe fruit, 1903.	Average date of first ripe fruit, 1900-03	Date of first picking, 1903.	Average date of first picking, 1900-03.	Date of last picking, 1903.	Average date of last picking, 1900-03.	Number of pickings, 1903.	Average number of pickings, 1900-03.	Total yield, 1903.	Average total yield, 1900-03.	Length of row, feet.
									Lbs. oz.	Lbs. oz.	
Brighton.....	July 1.	July 6.	July 2.	July 9.	July 27.	Aug. 4.	12	11	28 6 $\frac{1}{2}$	19 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	30
Kenyon.....	" 1.	" 10.	" 2.	" 12.	Aug. 10.	" 10.	16	12	16 14 $\frac{1}{2}$	16 7	30
Count.....	" 1.	" 6.	" 2.	" 9.	July 27.	" 3.	12	11	16 8 $\frac{1}{2}$	16 6 $\frac{1}{2}$	30
Henry.....	" 1.	" 5.	" 2.	" 9.	" 29.	" 2.	13	10	20 8 $\frac{1}{2}$	16 0	30
Clarke.....	" 5.	" 9.	" 7.	" 12.	Aug. 10.	" 12.	13	13	12 12 $\frac{1}{2}$	15 14 $\frac{1}{2}$	30
Marlboro.....	" 5.	" 8.	" 7.	" 11.	July 31.	" 3.	11	11	9 9 $\frac{1}{2}$	14 10	30
Phoenix.....	" 7.	" 11.	" 9.	" 15.	Aug. 20.	" 16.	15	13	11 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	14 6 $\frac{1}{2}$	30
Herbert.....	" 7.	" 11.	" 9.	" 14.	" 13.	" 11.	14	11	14 12 $\frac{1}{2}$	12 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	30
Muriel.....	" 1.	" 7 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 2.	" 9.	July 27.	" 4.	12	11	12 15 $\frac{1}{2}$	12 1	30
Reliance.....	" 1.	" 6.	" 2.	" 9.	Aug. 10.	" 9.	16	13	14 8 $\frac{1}{2}$	11 14	30
Dora.....	" 9.	" 10.	" 11.	" 13.	" 10.	" 13.	12	12	9 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	10 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	30
Brandywine.....	" 7.	" 13.	" 9.	" 15.	" 13.	" 19.	14	14	12 5 $\frac{1}{2}$	9 15 $\frac{1}{2}$	30

INDIVIDUALITY OF FRUITS.

The stock breeder has for a great many years paid especial attention to the individual animal in breeding for size, shape and markings, and for flesh and milk. In the writer's judgment, just as satisfactory results should be obtained in improving the strain of a variety of fruit, and although comparatively little has yet been done by horticulturists in this respect with fruits, much has been accomplished with flowers and vegetables. It is now recognized by the best authorities that each bud of a tree has individual characteristics which separate it from all other buds, and although the differences in buds are in most cases so slight that it is impossible to detect them, yet in some instances they may be quite marked.

Fruit growers have often noticed that one tree or bush is more productive than another, or bears larger, more highly coloured or better flavoured fruit. Take as an example the Fameuse apple. When this excellent old variety first bore fruit several hundred years ago one tree produced all the Fameuse apples that there were at the time. Some apples on that original tree were probably not as highly coloured as others although exposed to the same amount of light. Some branches, probably, were more heavily laden than others, although there was no apparent reason why they should be. On some branches the fruit was larger though as well loaded as others. In time, scions were cut from that tree and grafted, and a new generation of Fameuse trees was the result. Were the trees thus produced identical in vigour and productiveness, and was the fruit borne on each of them exactly similar in every respect? We believe that they were not. Every bud on every tree of every generation of Fameuse apple trees had individual characteristics, and although the differences were rarely enough marked to see, there were doubtless always fine shades of variation. It does not need a great stretch of imagination to see that if such changes can be made, as have been made in live stock, flowers, vegetables, and other economic plants, by careful selection, that in when that first generation of Fameuse apple trees began to bear, scions had been taken from the most productive tree bearing the finest coloured apples of the best size, that in the next generation of trees there would be at least a slight improvement, and in this selection had been carried on down to the present time we should have a better Fameuse than we have to-day. This selection, however, has not been carried out, and about all that has been done, in a few cases, is to graft from trees bearing highly coloured fruit, but as yet we have practically no reliable information in Canada as to

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

whether the results have been satisfactory. In small orchards, where the fruit is intended for home consumption, the individuality of different trees is more noticed than in large orchards, where the record of each tree is not brought so prominently before the grower. The effect of the stock on the productiveness of the tree and characteristics of the fruit is not yet well understood. Whatever may be the influence of the stock there is no doubt that each variety maintains most of its individual qualities.

At the Central Experimental Farm the yields are kept from each individual tree in the orchard, making it possible to tell at the end of a certain period just what each tree has borne. It has been found that trees planted at the same time, and growing under practically the same conditions as other trees of the same variety, vary widely in productiveness. Some trees also bear a medium crop every year, while others bear a heavy crop every other year.

In the following table will be found the yields of trees of four varieties of apples for the past six years, with the total yield per tree for that time. It will be seen that some trees have yielded two to four times as much as others. The yield is given in gallons rather than in barrels, to avoid large fractions.

It is worth mentioning that of the 17 Wealthy trees in the table only 7 bore fruit this year, and of those that fruited, the tree which had borne regularly during the past four years, again bore a good crop in 1903.

APPLES—WEALTHY.

(Planted 1896.)

Yield in Gallons.

Trees.	1899.	1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.	Total.
1.	1.0	2.25	2.75	15.0		21.00
2.	2.0	.5	2.5	12.0		17.0
3.	1.75	12.0	2.25	8.0		24.0
4.	9.0	2.25	15.5	20.5	27.0	74.25
5.	7.5	6.5	7.75	23.0	7.5	52.25
6.	3.25	6.5	3.5	24.0		37.25
7.	7.5	1.0	10.0	19.0	16.0	53.5
8.		8.5	.5	21.5		30.5
9.		11.25	.25	27.5		39.0
10.	1.0	12.25		30.0		43.25
11.	1.25	11.25		21.5		34.0
12.		7.5		18.5	2.0	28.0
13.	4.25	6.25	4.5	20.0	.5	35.5
14.	2.5	5.5	.5	34.0		42.5
15.		2.25	3.5	21.5	8.5	35.75
16.	3.0	2.25	4.0	22.5	4.5	36.25
17.		2.0	1.0	22.5		25.5

APPLES—McMAHON WHITE.

(Planted 1888.)

Yield in Gallons.

Trees.	1898.	1899.	1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.	Total.
1.	62.0		83.0	2.0	147.0	1.5	295.5
2.	42.0	1.0	6.0	12.5	98.0	23.0	182.5
3.	32.0	29.0	49.0	18.0	55.0	63.5	246.5
4.	35.0		34.5	4.0	63.0	34.0	170.5
5.		37.5	55.0	49.0		61.0	210.5
6.	29.0	4.5	46.0	.5	69.5	43.0	192.5
7.	.5	9.5	19.5	4.0	19.0	39.5	92.0
8.	7.0	9.0	27.0	9.0	53.0	15.5	120.5

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

APPLES—MCINTOSH RED.

(Planted 1890.)

Yield in Gallons.

Tree.	1898.	1899.	1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.	Total.
1.....	17.5	26.0	37.0	6.5	71.5	94.0	252.5
2.....	1.0	9.5	10.5	1.0	37.5	31.0	90.5

APPLES—PATTEN'S GREENING.

(Planted 1892.)

Yield in Gallons.

Tree.	1898.	1899.	1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.	Total.
1.....	27.0	2.0	35.0	1.5	71.0	15.0	151.5
2.....	2.0	6.0	14.0	19.0	24.0	55.5	120.5
3.....	2.0	31.0	1.5	40.5	22.0	67.0	164.0
4.....	13.0	.0	6.5	.0	12.0	15.0	46.5
5.....	1.0	.0	19.0	.5	17.5	21.0	59.0

Experiments are now being conducted at the Experimental Farm by top grafting with scions from productive and unproductive trees, to determine how far the productiveness and unproductiveness of the trees is constant. Root grafted trees are also being grown for this purpose.

In order that fruit growers might learn, by personal experience, of the great variation in individual trees of the same variety, a co-operative experiment was begun this year. On application to the horticulturist, six pieces of zinc, bearing six consecutive numbers, were sent to each person. These pieces of zinc when received were to be attached to six bearing trees of a single variety of apple, pear, plum, or peach, the trees to be the same age, and growing under the same conditions of soil and culture. A record of the yield of each tree was to be kept for at least five years. A number of fruit growers in different parts of Canada have already joined this co-operative test, and it is hoped that more persons will desire to take part in this experiment.

If scions from productive trees will produce productive trees when grafted, and if scions from unproductive trees will produce trees which are poor croppers, it is very important that scions should be taken from the best yielding trees. As grafting will, in all probability, become much more general among fruit growers in the near future, the importance of knowing that trees vary widely in productiveness is easily seen.

SPRAYING.

The spraying of fruit trees is not becoming as general as its importance deserves. The good results and profits from spraying have been proven over and over again, and yet only a small percentage of farmers with orchards spray their trees. The following is a statement made by Mr. Jos. Tweddle, of Fruitland, Ont., this year:—

‘I have some 25 or 30 acres of apple orchard in bearing, mostly Greening, Spy and Baldwin. I figure on spraying three times a year, and estimate each spraying as adding a thousand dollars to the value of my crop. This is no mere guess work either.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The accuracy of the figures has been demonstrated, when owing to unfavourable weather conditions I have been unable to complete the work at the proper time. By spraying three times, I have got from 80 to 90 per cent of No. 1 apples from my total crop. I have sold 15 cars of apples of my own production in Germany, which have netted me \$3 for No. 1, and \$1.25 to \$2 for No. 2.

Spraying is now such an essential factor in successful orcharding, that the most economical means of applying the mixtures and solutions are being sought for. While the ordinary barrel pump is sufficient for smaller orchards, the power sprayer is evidently going to take its place in large orchards. Up to the present time compressed air sprayers appear to have given the best satisfaction, although gasoline engines have given very satisfactory results. In a demonstration of power spraying given by the Fruit Division of the Commissioner's branch with a gasoline engine, it was shown that it could do good work in spraying orchards. Mr. Jos. Tweddle, of Fruitland, Ont., used compressed air, which he said was also very satisfactory.

As a rule, the greater the number of sprayings, up to five or six, the better the results will be, but if a farmer or fruit grower finds it impossible to spray more than three times, the early sprayings are decidedly the most important. Although this is especially true in spraying to prevent the apple spot fungus, it is also true with other diseases.

The following formula is that recommended at the Central Experimental Farm for fungi on fruit trees:—

Poisoned Bordeaux Mixture for Fungi and Leaf-eating Insects on Fruit Trees.

Copper sulphate (bluestone).....	4 lbs.
Unslaked lime.....	4 lbs.
Paris green (for leaf-eating insects).....	4 oz.
Water (1 barrel).....	40 gals.

Dissolve the copper sulphate in hot water, or by suspending it in a coarse bag in a wooden or earthen vessel containing 4 or 5 or more gallons of water. Slake the lime in another vessel. If the lime, when slaked, is lumpy or granular, it should be strained through coarse sacking or a fine sieve. Pour the copper sulphate solution into a barrel, and it may be dissolved in this in the first place; half fill the barrel with water; dilute the slaked lime with 8 or 10 gallons of water, and pour it into the copper sulphate solution, then fill the barrel with water and stir thoroughly. It is then ready for use. Do not pour the undiluted slaked lime into the undiluted copper sulphate solution, or vice versa, as when mixed in this way a poor, flakey Bordeaux mixture which settles rapidly is the result. A stock solution of copper sulphate and lime wash may be prepared and kept in separate covered barrels throughout the spraying season. The quantities of copper sulphate, lime and water should be carefully noted. Further particulars regarding other spraying mixtures and solutions may be found on the spraying calendar, which will be sent on application.

DUST SPRAYING.

In the western states, particularly in the state of Missouri, where orchards are often on steep hillsides, and where water is sometimes scarce, fruit growers have been looking about for some easier way of applying fungicides and insecticides than by means of water, which is difficult to get, and more difficult to draw over the rough ground. Trees have been dusted with sulphur and other materials in the past, but copper sulphate had not been generally used in this way until tried in the west. Machines for spraying dust mixtures have been invented or old ones improved upon, and during the past few years dust spraying has been carried on in a number of commercial orchards in the western states, and quite satisfactory results have been obtained. Air slaked lime has been used in the place of water for carrying the fungicides and insecticides, although it, in itself, to a certain extent is both.

The formulæ recommended up to the present year were not entirely satisfactory, as they did not contain the copper in the same chemical condition as in Bordeaux mixture. Experiments were conducted by the chemist of the Missouri Experiment Station, and a dust is now recommended which is said to have the copper in the right chemical condition. The formula, with methods of preparation, is given in Bulletin No. 60, Missouri Experiment Station, Columbia, Mo., U.S.A.

A dust machine was obtained from the Ozark Sprayer Company, Springfield, Mo. and tested at the Experimental Farm this year. It was found to distribute the dust satisfactorily, but in order to get the dust to adhere to the leaves it must be applied when the dew is on the foliage. This is a serious drawback to dust spraying in this time of scarcity of labour. Moreover, the liquid spray gives such satisfactory results when properly made and applied, that the dust spray is not likely to take its place, except, perhaps, where the ground is rough, or where the orchards are on steep hillsides.

It would appear at first that there was great danger from the use of arsenical poisons when applied in a dust spray, but while there is undoubtedly danger if the dust is inhaled, the nozzle is so far away from the operator that there is really little or no danger if the work is carefully done.

DISEASES OF FRUITS.

There are a few diseases of fruits which cause much more loss than others, and although these have already been discussed and remedies recommended many times, one cannot too often refer to them, as the endeavour to prevent and control them is by no means general yet.

Apple spot fungus.—The apple spot fungus, or apple scab, is still one of the commonest diseases in Canadian orchards, but it is one of the easiest to control, as the Bordeaux mixture, if thoroughly applied at the proper times, is very effectual. The most important sprayings are: 1st, just before or as buds start to develop; 2nd, just before blossoms open; 3rd, as soon as possible after blossoms fall. Also 4th, 5th, and even 6th, sprayings at intervals of ten days to two weeks after the 3rd spraying, if the first sprayings are not sufficiently effective.

In 1903 the spot was not as bad as usual, probably owing to the dry weather in spring and early summer, which was unfavourable to the development of spores. In eastern Ontario and most of the province of Quebec there was practically no spot, and the fruit was cleaner than it has been for years. Spraying should be thoroughly done in 1904, so as to endeavour to keep this fungus under better control, now that it has received a check. The experience of this year shows the importance of early spraying. Although the summer was a very wet one after the middle of June, no spot developed in the east.

Ripe rot, brown rot.—This disease does great injury every year to the peach and plum crop. It is not as easily controlled as the apple spot, but thorough spraying has been found very effectual. The ripe rot spreads by means of spores, which germinate early in the spring and penetrate the twigs from the leaves and flower buds on which they alight. In order to destroy as many of the spores as possible, all diseased fruit should be gathered and burned, whether it is on the ground or on the tree. This fruit harbours myriads of spores, which endure the winter, and are capable of infecting the trees the following spring. The trees should be thoroughly sprayed in time to destroy the spores before the disease penetrates the wood in the spring. The first spraying should be made with poisoned Bordeaux mixture, or a sulphate of copper solution, 1 pound sulphate of copper to 25 gallons of water, shortly before the buds start to develop, and with poisoned Bordeaux mixture just before the blossoms open. These sprayings are very important, and should never be neglected. After the trees have bloomed they should be thoroughly sprayed again with ordinary poisoned Bordeaux

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

mixture, and also ten days to two weeks before the fruit begins to colour. The trees should also be sprayed with ammoniacal copper carbonate solution when the fruit is beginning to ripen. This will destroy the spores which appear in great numbers on the mature plums, and will not discolour the fruit. Plums and peaches which touch one another on the tree give very favourable conditions for the spread of the disease from one fruit to another. Being close together, moisture is retained on the skin, and the spores which may be on one fruit germinate readily and soon infect the next, and thus the disease spreads rapidly. Thinning the fruit makes the conditions much less favourable for the development of the disease. Also discoloured and dead wood should be cut out and burned in the meantime. If spraying is thoroughly done the injury from this disease will be much lessened.

Peach-leaf curl.—The leaf curl has been very troublesome in peach orchards during recent years, but it has been so well proven that it can be kept under control by spraying that peach growers need not now suffer much from this disease. The presence of the leaf curl is known early in the spring by the abnormal curling and swelling of the peach leaves. There is also frequently a whitish bloom accompanying these symptoms. Two early applications of Bordeaux mixture, if thoroughly applied, are all that are necessary; the first after the flower buds begin to swell and before they open, and the second, just after the blossoms fall.

Black rot of the grape.—Fruit growers in the south-western part of Ontario along Lake Erie are becoming discouraged in their efforts to grow profitable crops of grapes, owing to the prevalence of black rot fungus, which has done great damage there in recent years, and was again very bad in 1903, causing almost or quite a total loss of crop in some vineyards. This disease is very difficult to control, especially when it has gained such a foothold as it has in the south-western peninsula, but it can be controlled by spraying regularly year after year, as has been proven by experiments which have been made and by the results obtained by some commercial growers. The price obtained for grapes in Ontario is now so low that Canadian growers hesitate to spray as frequently as is recommended, and hence the disease is not checked. It has been found necessary to spray six or seven times in order to check the rot immediately. The first spraying should be made with a sulphate of copper solution (1 lb. of sulphate of copper to 25 gallons of water) before the bursting of the buds. The second spraying should be with poisoned Bordeaux mixture before the flowers open. This is a very important spraying, and if neglected may mean great loss from the rot. The third spraying should be made with poisoned Bordeaux mixture just after the blossoms fall, and the fourth spraying with the same mixture about two weeks later. There should then be from two to three sprayings with the ammoniacal copper carbonate solution at intervals of about two weeks.

COVER CROPS.

Cover crops are now recognized to be so essential to the most successful culture of large fruits that it might seem like repetition to deal with them again, were it not for the fact that new information is being constantly obtained at the Central Experimental Farm as to the methods of growing these crops, to the kind of plants used for this purpose, to their relative value as plant food, and to their effect on the moisture content of the soil. Information regarding plant food and moisture-content will be found in the report of the Chemist, who has taken many samples for analysis from the orchard.

The main uses of the cover crop in the orchard are: to hold the snow in winter and to protect the roots of the trees; to furnish vegetable matter to plough under in the spring for the purpose of obtaining humus and nitrogen, and to act as a catch crop in autumn to prevent leaching of plant food made available during the summer. Much has been written in former reports regarding the value of clover as a cover crop. The experiments this year were made to test other plants grown in a different way.

It is sometimes difficult to get a good stand of clover in the autumn, owing to dry weather after seeding time, and as in the north especially it is very desirable to have the cover crop as tall as possible so that it will hold the snow, some methods of ensuring a good growth were thought of, and it was decided to try growing a cover crop in drills. By adopting a plan of this kind it was thought that the seed could be sown comparatively early, and when it germinated the soil between the rows could be cultivated until the usual time, and thus conserve almost as much moisture as if the ground were bare and yet a good cover crop would be sure to be established.

The kinds of plants used were horse beans, soja beans and hairy vetch, the two former being planted with the object of having something that would grow tall and hold the snow well. It was also observed in former years that the horse bean stood several degrees of frost, which is an advantage.

The seed was sown at two different dates, the object being to learn when was the best time for the purpose intended. All received two cultivations.

Horse beans: 1st sowing June 18. Sown at the rate of one bushel per acre, in rows 28 inches apart. These germinated well and grew rapidly, the cool weather of the past summer appearing to suit them well. By July 28, the plants were from 15 to 18 inches high, and were beginning to bloom. On September 21, a plot four feet square was cut, and the yield when still green was found to be at the rate of 7 tons 733 lbs. per acre. At this time the plants were 3 feet 6 inches to 4 feet in height, and in some places 4 feet 6 inches high, and although the ground between the rows was not covered with foliage, it was nearly so. The plants at this time were still growing and blooming profusely, and pods were well formed to a height of 2 feet 6 inches from the ground. By October 8 some of the plants were 5 feet in height. It was not until October 26 that the plants were much injured by frost, but they remained alive near the ground until the winter set in, November 16. At this time, November 30, the plants are standing up well, and it is expected they will hold the snow admirably. In the spring they will be harrowed or ploughed in, when, being leguminous plants, they will add much nitrogen to the soil.

Horse beans: 2nd sowing.—Sown June 26, at the rate of one bushel per acre in rows 28 inches apart. Up July 5. On September 21, the plants were 3 feet 6 inches in height. They were not so well podded as the first sown, but were healthy, in full bloom, and podded to a height of 2 feet 2 inches, and growing vigorously. Although not as tall as the first sown plants, they were tall enough to hold the snow well.

Horse beans: 3rd sowing. Sown July 7 at the rate of one bushel per acre in rows 28 inches apart. The plants reached a height of 3 feet and more, and should hold the snow well. They bloomed freely and pods were well formed before winter.

Soja beans: 1st sowing.—Sown June 18, at the rate of 37½ lbs. per acre in rows 23 inches apart. Owing to the cool summer, the soja beans did not make as rapid growth as they would otherwise have done, as they require plenty of heat, but the fall being warm they had good time to develop. On September 21, a plot four feet square was cut and the green crop found to weigh at the rate of 7 tons 350 lbs. per acre. At this time the plants were 2 feet to 2 feet 3 inches in height, and meeting between the rows in most places. The plants were well podded and still growing thriftily. At the first light frost, however, they were killed, as the Soja bean is very tender. The Soja bean should hold the snow well this winter, and will be valuable for turning under in spring.

Soja beans: 2nd sowing. Sown June 26 at the rate of 37½ lbs. per acre in rows 28 inches apart. Up July 2. On September 21, the plants were from 2 feet to 2 feet 3 inches in height and meeting between the rows in most places. The pods were not so well matured as the first sown, but otherwise there was very little difference between them.

Soja beans: 3rd sowing.—Sown July 7 in same manner as the others. By September 21, the plants were 2 feet to 2 feet 3 inches in height, having grown rapidly.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Vines were about as large as those of the first and second sowings, but the pods were not as well developed. This sowing was on warmer soil, which accounts for the rapid growth.

Hairy vetch: 1st sowing. Sown June 18 at the rate of 20 lbs. per acre and in rows 28 inches apart. The seed germinated well, and by the end of the first week of August the plants were meeting between the rows. On September 21, the length of the vines was 3 feet to 3 feet 6 inches in length. The vines formed a perfect carpet, and it was impossible to distinguish the rows. At this date a plot four feet square was cut, and the green crop was found to weigh at the rate of 11 tons 1,895 lbs. per acre. The Hairy Vetch continued to grow up to the time winter set in on November 16, only a few leaves here and there being injured by the earlier frosts. It had not begun to bloom when the growth was checked by winter. The Hairy Vetch will not hold the snow as well as the horse beans, but as it forms such a thick mat on the ground, the frost will probably not be so deep as where horse beans and Soja beans were grown; it makes a perfect mulch and will prevent thawing and freezing to a large extent. Furthermore, it is rich in plant food and is very valuable for turning under. The Hairy Vetch as a cover crop is a keen rival of red clover in this district, and under some conditions, such as where there is rough ground, will give better satisfaction.

Hairy Vetch: 2nd sowing.—Sown June 26, at the rate of 20 lbs. per acre, in rows 28 inches apart. Up July 2. By September 21 this had formed a thick mat, and the rows could not be distinguished, although the mat was not as thick as where the vetch was sown earlier. The vines at this date were 2 feet 6 inches to 3 feet in length. The cover was very satisfactory at this date, and by winter it was much better.

Hairy Vetch: 3rd sowing.—Sown August 7 in the same manner as at previous times. By winter the vines had formed a good mat, though this was not thick enough to be perfectly satisfactory, and as the autumn was favourable for growth this is a little too late to plant the hairy vetch as a cover crop here.

Cost per acre of seed of cover crops, sown in drills, 1903.

Horse beans: 60 lbs. at 3½ cents per lb.	\$2 00
Soja beans: 37½ lbs. at 9 cents per lb.	3 37½
Hairy vetch: 20 lbs. at 9½ cents per lb.	1 90

Common red clover sown broadcast, 12 lbs. per acre at 14 cents per lb. costs \$1.68.

LIST OF BEST VEGETABLES FOR FARMERS.

The list of best vegetables for farmers was omitted last year, as there were few changes to make. There are some changes to make this year, and as such lists are liable to get lost it is thought best to publish it again. Furthermore, owing to the limited number of pages available for reporting on the tests made, it is not possible to go into details with many kinds of vegetables. The following list gives in a concise form the names of the varieties considered best after many years' tests:—

Asparagus.—Conover's Colossal is the best all-round variety, but this variety is more subject to rust than Palmetto or Argenteuil.

Beans.—Keeney's Rustless Golden Wax, or Wardwell's Kidney Wax, for early crop; Early Refugee, for medium; and Refugee or 1,000 to 1, for late crop, are the most satisfactory dwarf varieties. Asparagus, Lazy Wife and Old Homestead are three of the best pole varieties.

Beets.—Egyptian Turnip, Eclipse and Bastian's Blood Turnip are three of the best varieties.

Borecole or Kale.—Dwarf Green Curled Scotch is the best.

Broccoli.—White Cape.

Brussels Sprouts.—Improved Dwarf is the most satisfactory.

Cabbage.—Early Jersey Wakefield (early), Succession (medium); Late Flat Dutch, Drumhead Savoy (late), Red Dutch (red), is a select list of the best varieties of cabbage. For extra early use Paris Market is desirable, being a week earlier than Early Jersey Wakefield.

Cauliflowers.—Extra Early Dwarf Erfurt and Early Snowball.

Carrots.—Chantenay is one of the best, but if a good extra early sort is required, the Early Scarlet Horn can be planted with advantage. It is a small variety.

Celery.—Golden Self-Blanching (Paris Golden Yellow), Improved White Plume, White Walnut (early); Perfection Heartwell, White Triumph, London Red (late), are among the best.

Corn.—Early Fordhook, Early Cory (early); Crosby's Early, Henderson's Metropolitan (second early); Perry's Hybrid, Stabler's Early, Early Evergreen, and Black Mexican (medium); Stowell's Evergreen, Country Gentleman (late). In planting, the Country Gentleman should not be omitted, as it lengthens the season very considerably, and is of fine quality.

Cucumbers.—Peerless White Spine or White Spine, Cool and Crisp, and Giant Pera are three of the most satisfactory slicing varieties. Boston Pickling is a good pickling sort.

Egg Plants.—New York Improved and Long Purple succeed best.

Lettuce.—Black Seeded Simpson, The Morse, and New York (curled), Improved Salamander, Unrivalled, Tennis Ball and Golden Queen (cabbage); Trianon and Paris Cos lettuce.

Melons, Musk.—Long Island Beauty, Hackensack and Montreal Market, of the Nutmeg type, and Surprise, Christiana and Emerald Gem, of the yellow fleshed types, are all good.

Melons, Water.—Cole's Early, Imperial, Ice Cream, and Phinney's Early are early water melons of excellent quality.

Onions.—Yellow Globe Danvers and Large Red Wethersfield are two of the best onions in cultivation.

Parsnips.—Hollow Crown and Dobbie's Selected are both good sorts.

Parsley.—Double Curled is as good as any.

Peppers.—Cayenne, Cardinal, Chili and Golden Dawn are four of the best.

Pease.—Gregory's Surprise, Gradus, American Wonder and Premium Gem (early); McLean's Advancer, Nott's New Perfection, and Heroine (medium). None of these are tall growing varieties. Stratagem, Juno (dwarf), Telephone (late). Excelsior is a promising second early sort.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Potatoes.—Extra Early: Early Ohio and Early Andes (pink), Bovee and Burpee's Extra Early (pink and white). Early: Everett and Rochester Rose (pink), Early Puritan (white). Main crop: Carman No. 1 (white), Empire State (white), Late Puritan (white), American Wonder (white), Dreer's Standard (white), Rural Blush (pink).

Radishes.—Early: Scarlet White-tipped Turnip, Rosy Gem, French Breakfast, Red Rocket (red) and Icicle (white). Late: White Strasburg, Long White Vienna. Winter: Long Black Spanish, Chinese Rose-coloured.

Rhubarb.—Linnæus and Victoria are the most satisfactory.

Salsify.—Long White and Sandwich Island.

Spinach.—Victoria and Thick-leaved are the best.

Squash.—Early: White Bush Scalloped and Summer Crook Neck. Late: Hubbard.

Tomatoes.—Early: Sparks' Earliana. Main crop: Brinton's Best, Trophy, Matchless (scarlet) and Burpee's Climax and Autocrat (purplish pink).

There are many varieties of tomatoes which are almost equal in excellence and productiveness.

Turnips.—Early: Extra Early Milan and Red Top Strap Leaf. Swedes: Champion Purple Top, Skirving's Improved.

POTATOES.

Although the crop of potatoes was not as good as last year owing to the extremely dry weather in the early part of the summer, the largest yield, which was given by the Dreer's Standard, was at the rate of 534 bushels 36 lbs. per acre, and the lowest yield, that of the Red Rock, was only 19 bushels 48 lbs. per acre, a difference between highest and lowest in the 97 varieties under test of 514 bushels 48 lbs. per acre, which shows the great importance of planting only the most productive kinds.

The potatoes were planted in good sandy loam soil, which had been well manured for tobacco the previous year. The soil was ploughed in the fall and again in the spring and thoroughly harrowed with disc and smoothing harrow shortly before planting. Drills $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart and about 4 inches deep were opened with the double mould board plough, and 66 sets of each variety were planted 1 foot apart in a single row. The sets were of good size, having at least three eyes and a liberal amount of flesh. The sets were injured somewhat by the dry weather and did not grow as evenly as usual. In some of the experiments, particularly in a spraying experiment, the sets came up too unevenly to get accurate results, hence these are omitted this year. The soil was harrowed once before the potatoes were above ground, to kill weeds, and then kept loose with the cultivator until the vines met. The potatoes were kept thoroughly sprayed to prevent injury from potato beetles and blight. The potatoes were planted on May 22, and dug on October 5 and 6.

POTATOES—Test of Varieties.

No.	Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre of Marketable.	Yield per Acre of Un- marketable.	Colour.
1	Dreer's Standard.....	Good.....	534 36	508 12	26 24	White.
2	Carman No. 1.....	".....	514 48	490 36	24 12	"
3	Late Puritan.....	".....	473 0	433 24	39 36	"
4	Bergeron.....	Medium.....	464 12	440 0	24 12	White, pink eye
5	Canadian Beauty.....	Good.....	451 0	402 36	48 24	Pink and white.
6	Dakota Red.....	Medium.....	442 12	398 12	44 0	Red.
7	Rural Blush.....	Good.....	440 0	411 24	28 36	Pink.
8	Dr. Maercher.....	Medium.....	429 0	391 36	37 24	White.
9	Clay Rose.....	".....	418 0	387 12	30 48	Pink.
10	Burnaby Seedling.....	Good.....	418 0	376 12	41 48	Pink and white.
11	Burnaby Mammoth.....	".....	415 48	385 0	30 48	" "
12	American Giant.....	Medium.....	411 24	341 0	70 24	White.
13	Flemish Beauty.....	Good.....	402 36	360 48	41 48	Bright pink.
14	Rose No. 9.....	Medium.....	398 12	385 0	13 12	Pink.
15	Money Maker.....	Good.....	396 0	367 24	28 36	White.
16	Uncle Sam.....	".....	393 48	367 24	26 24	"
17	Everett.....	".....	393 48	356 24	37 24	Pink.
18	State of Maine.....	".....	387 12	363 0	24 12	White.
19	Peachblow.....	Medium.....	385 0	341 0	44 0	"
20	Troy Seedling.....	".....	374 0	330 0	44 0	"
21	Seattle.....	".....	371 48	334 24	37 24	"
22	Cambridge Russet.....	Good.....	369 36	336 36	33 0	"
23	I. X. L.....	".....	367 24	334 24	33 0	Pink and white.
24	Enormous.....	".....	363 0	330 0	33 0	White.
25	Vanier.....	Poor to med.	358 36	323 24	35 12	Red.
26	Seedling No. 7.....	Medium.....	356 24	332 12	24 12	Bright pink.
27	Rural No. 2.....	Good.....	352 0	321 12	30 48	White.
28	Penn. Manor.....	".....	347 36	323 24	24 12	Pink and white.
29	Country Gentleman.....	".....	347 36	303 36	44 0	White.
30	Dooley.....	".....	341 0	330 0	11 0	"
31	Irish Cobbler.....	Good.....	338 48	299 12	39 36	White.
32	Pearce.....	".....	334 24	301 24	33 0	Pink and white.
33	Sabean's Elephant.....	".....	330 0	299 12	30 48	White.
34	Mammoth Pearl.....	".....	319 0	310 12	8 48	"
35	Burpee's Extra Early.....	Good.....	319 0	283 48	35 12	Pink and white.
36	Doherty's Seedling.....	".....	316 48	297 0	19 48	White.
37	Lee's Favorite.....	".....	312 24	297 0	15 24	Pink.
38	Early Norther.....	".....	310 12	264 0	46 12	"
39	Brown's Rot Proof.....	Medium.....	305 48	272 48	33 0	"
40	Swiss Snowflake.....	Good.....	305 48	268 24	37 24	White.
41	Rochester Rose.....	".....	305 48	246 24	59 24	Pink.
42	Delaware.....	".....	301 24	233 12	68 12	White.
43	Vick's Extra Early.....	".....	299 12	270 36	28 36	Pink and white.
44	New Queen.....	".....	292 36	264 0	28 36	" " "
45	Early Elkinah.....	".....	292 36	259 36	33 0	Pink.
46	Northern Beauty.....	".....	292 36	257 24	35 12	"
47	Crimes Lightning.....	".....	290 24	279 24	11 0	"
48	Irish Daisy.....	Good.....	288 12	270 36	17 36	White.
49	Jubilee.....	".....	286 0	257 24	28 36	Pink and white.
50	Early Envoy.....	".....	283 48	257 24	26 24	"
51	White Elephant.....	".....	281 36	266 12	15 24	Pink and white.
52	Montana Bluff.....	".....	279 24	255 12	24 12	White, bright pink eye.
53	Quaker City.....	".....	272 48	242 0	30 48	White.
54	Reeve's Rose.....	Good.....	272 48	233 12	39 36	Pink.
55	Early Ohio.....	".....	268 24	233 12	35 12	"
56	Sir Walter Raleigh.....	".....	268 24	233 12	35 12	White.
57	Early Michigan.....	".....	268 24	204 36	63 48	"
58	Maule's Thoroughbred.....	".....	266 12	222 12	44 0	Pink.
59	Holborn Abundance.....	Medium.....	261 48	253 0	8 48	White.
60	Green Mountain.....	Good.....	259 36	222 12	37 24	"
61	Carman No. 3.....	".....	253 0	228 48	24 12	"
62	McIntyre.....	Medium.....	253 0	228 48	24 12	White and purple.
63	Napoleon.....	Good.....	246 24	222 12	24 12	Pink.
64	Maggie Murphy.....	Medium.....	242 0	209 0	33 0	Bright pink.
65	Snowball.....	".....	242 0	195 48	46 12	White.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

POTATOES—Test of Varieties—*Continued.*

No.	Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Un- marketable.		Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
36	Livingston.....		242	0	200	12	41	48	White, pink eye.
37	Burbank's Seedling.....	Good.....	239	48	189	12	50	36	White.
38	Wonderful.....		231	0	204	36	26	24	
39	Brosseau.....		224	24	198	0	26	24	Red and white.
40	Polaris.....	Good.....	215	36	187	0	23	36	White.
41	Dublin Prize.....		213	24	178	12	35	12	
42	Early Rose.....	Good.....	209	0	169	24	39	36	Pink.
43	Rawdon Rose.....		202	24	184	48	17	36	Pink and white.
44	Wall's Orange.....		200	12	178	12	22	0	Yellow, purple eye
45	Sharpe's Seedling.....	Good.....	193	36	162	48	30	48	Pink and white.
46	Juana.....		191	24	149	36	41	48	
47	Early Puritan.....	Good.....	189	12	149	36	39	36	White.
48	Van Orman's Earliest.....		184	48	173	48	11	0	
49	Empire State.....	Good.....	180	24	165	0	15	24	White.
50	General Gordon.....	".....	180	24	154	0	26	24	Pink.
51	Up-to-Date.....	".....	180	24	154	0	26	24	White.
52	Pink Eye.....		180	24	136	24	44	0	White, bright pink eye.
53	American Wonder.....	Good.....	169	24	143	0	26	24	White.
54	Early Sunrise.....	".....	169	24	129	48	39	36	Pink.
55	Prolific Rose.....		162	48	134	12	28	36	Pink.
56	Eureka Extra Early.....		162	48	129	48	33	0	
57	Seedling No. 2. (D. Murray). ..		162	48	101	12	61	36	
58	Bliss Triumph.....		140	48	103	24	37	24	Red.
59	Early St. George.....	Good.....	121	0	107	48	13	12	Pink and white.
60	Silver Dollar.....		114	24	83	36	30	48	White.
61	Bovee.....	Good.....	103	24	88	0	15	24	Pink and white.
62	Early Summer.....		85	48	77	0	8	48	
63	Early White Prize.....	Good.....	57	12	57	12			White. "
64	Pingree.....		37	24	28	36	8	48	
65	Early Andes.....	Good.....	33	0	19	48	13	12	Pink.
66	Pat's Choice.....		30	48	26	24	4	24	
67	Red Rock.....		19	48	19	48			Red.

ADDITIONAL VARIETIES OF POTATOES TESTED IN 1903.

The following varieties, some of which were sent for test, were grown in small plots:—

Name of Variety.	Number of Sets Planted.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield Per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.	
		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Morgan seedling.....	20	522	43	450	7	72	30
Vermont Gold Coin.....	21	477	6	456	21	20	4
Morgan White.....	20	392	2	363	..	29	1
John Bull.....	6	387	22	33	48	48	24
Quick Crop.....	22	369	12	515	48	59	21
Hammond's Wonderful.....	10	333	57	319	26	14	31
Clark's Pride.....	9	322	40	274	16	48	21
Nott's Peachblow.....	22	303	36	264	..	39	3
Peck's Early.....	22	303	36	264	..	39	3
Rough Coat Cup.....	60	229	54	159	43	70	11
Early Carter.....	60	227	29	196	1	31	2
Vick's No. 9.....	8	217	48	181	30	36	1
Daybreak.....	4	217	48	181	30	36	1
James' Nugget.....	42	186	41	79	31	107	1
Todd's Seedling.....	20	65	21	43	34	21	4

TWELVE BEST YIELDING VARIETIES OF POTATOES—AVERAGE OF FOUR TO NINE YEARS.

Name of Variety.	Average Yield per Acre.		Name of Variety.	Average Yield per Acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.		Bush.	Lbs.
1. Late Puritan, 9 yrs.....	436	32	7. Carman No. 1, 9 yrs.....	398	
2. Holborn Abundance, 9 yrs.....	408	10	8. Burnaby Seedling, 8 yrs.....	394	
3. American Wonder, 9 yrs.....	401	28	9. Country Gentleman, 5 years	392	
4. Uncle Sam, 4 yrs.....	401	8	10. Rose No. 9, 7 years.....	390	
5. Drier's Standard, 9 yrs.....	398	50	11. Money Maker, 9 yrs.....	386	
6. Penn Manor, 5 yrs.....	398	38	12. State of Maine, 9 yrs.....	379	

An average crop for the twelve varieties of 399 bush. 13 lbs. per acre.

The above table was taken from bulletin No. 44, prepared by Dr. Wm. Saunders and Dr. C. E. Saunders.

EXPERIMENTS WITH CORN.

In the following table will be found the average results from testing varieties of sweet corn for the past five years. Although many varieties have been tested, the in the table have proven the most productive. The soil in which the corn was planted this year was a light sandy loam on which vegetables were grown in 1902. The s

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

received a good dressing of rotted barnyard manure in the spring of 1903, and was then ploughed and thoroughly harrowed. The corn was planted on May 23 in hills three feet apart each way. About six kernels were planted in a hill. After germination had taken place and danger from cut-worms was over, the number of plants in a hill was reduced to four, twenty-four hills of each variety were planted, but twelve average hills of each were used for comparison. The corn was kept thoroughly cultivated during the summer.

Name of Variety	Fit for use, 1903.	Average date fit for use, 1899-1903.	Height, 1903.	Length of ears, 1903.	Average length of ears, 1899-1903.	Marketable ears from 12 hills, 1903.	Average number of marketable ears from 12 hills, 1899-03.
<i>Early.</i>			feet. in.	Inches.	Inches.		
Early Fordhook.....	Aug. 19	Aug. 17	5	5	6½	57	60
Extra Early Cory.....	" 22	" 18	5 7	6	6½	48	57
Burbank's Early Maine.....	" 22	" 19	5 4	7	7	41	57
Jackey's Early Sweet.....	" 27	" 19	5 5	6	6½	48	56
Ford's Early.....	" 23	" 19	5 10	6½	7	62	56
Early Marblehead.....	" 19	" 17	5 6	6½	6½	34	47
<i>Second Early.</i>							
Trosby's Extra Early.....	Sept. 5	Aug. 29	7 2	7	6½	38	58
Metropolitan.....	" 8	" 18	6 8	7	7½	56	51
Early Giant Sweet.....	" 5	Aug. 30	6 7	7	7½	47	50
Sendall's Early Giant.....	Aug. 31	" 23	5 2	6	6½	37	49
Child's Honey Dew.....	Sept. 5	" 31	7 1	7	7½	45	48
Baker's Early.....	" 10	Sept. 2	7 4	7	7½	35	45
<i>Medium.</i>							
Black Mexican.....	Sept. 15	Sept. 6	6 10	7	7½	75	68
Labler's Early.....	" 12	" 6	7	7	7½	53	52
Berry's Hybrid.....	" 10	" 10	7 2	7½	7½	44	47
Moore's Early Concord.....	" 12	Sept. 5	6 7	7½	7½	44	47
Early Evergreen.....	" 12	" 12	7 2	7½	7½	52	43
<i>Late.</i>							
Big-Zag Evergreen (1899-1902).....	Sept. 20	Sept. 10			7½		49
Country Gentleman.....	Sept. 20	" 14	7	6	6½	36	47
Glumbus Market.....	" 20	" 13	8 6	8	9	46	42
Joe Peg (Ne Plus Ultra).....	" 20	" 14	7 3	6	6½	39	40
Ammoth Sweet.....	" 17	" 14	7 6	7½	8	52	40
Howell's Evergreen.....	" 20	" 14	7 5	7½	7½	25	34

TOMATOES.

This was an unfavourable season for tomatoes, and the yields in consequence were not large. The spring frosts destroyed many plants in this neighbourhood, and the wet, cool weather of most of the summer prevented much fruit from ripening on those plants which did escape the frost. At the Experimental Farm the plants were not set out until after the frosts were over. If it had not been for the warm weather in September and October the yields would have been very much less than they were. A season like the past one brings out the value of the varieties of tomatoes which ripen their fruit early. The reader's attention is directed to the table in which is given the six varieties which ripened the most fruit previous to August 15. These are taken from a collection of 90 varieties tested this year. In this table it will be found that the Sparks and Diana yielded at the rate of 1,701 lbs. 9 oz. per acre before August 15. Between the

Early Ruby and the Sparks Earliana there is a difference in favour of the latter variety of 510 lbs. 8 oz. per acre. Tomatoes were selling in Ottawa on August 15, 1903, at 80 to 90 cents a pail, and before this date at higher prices. Taking the price at 80 cents a pail, and 20 lbs. of tomatoes to the pail, we have a difference in favour of Sparks Earliana of \$20.42 per acre, and this in comparison with Early Ruby, which is also a very early variety, but not as smooth as Sparks Earliana. The Comrade did even better than the Sparks Earliana, but this is unusual, while the Sparks Earliana has always been very early and is recommended as the best early variety yet tested.

The seed of the tomatoes grown this year was sown in hot beds on March 24; the young plants were pricked out into strawberry boxes on April 17, and planted in the open ground on June 3, four by four feet apart each way, five plants of each variety being set. The soil was a light sandy loam which had been well manured for corn the previous year. The soil was kept cultivated until the growth of the vines prevented it.

TOMATOES—TWELVE BEST YIELDING VARIETIES, 1903.

Name of Variety.	Date of First Ripe Fruit, 1903.	Yield of Ripe Fruit to Aug. 15, 1903—five plants.		Total Yield of Ripe Fruit per acre to Aug. 15, 1903.		Total Yield of Ripe Fruit, five plants, all pickings 1903.		Total Yield of Ripe Fruit per plant, 1903.		Remarks.
		Lbs.	oz.	Lbs.	oz.	Lbs.	oz.	Lbs.	oz.	
Atlantic Prize.....	Aug. 1.	1	4	680	10	114	4	22	14	Medium size, wrinkled to almost smooth, scarlet.
Canada Victor.....	July 21.	1	8	816	12	91	..	18	3	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.
Dominion Day.....	Aug. 29.	90	8	18	2	" " "
Early Bermuda.....	" 29.	81	4	16	4	" " "
Extra Early Advance...	July 16.	1	7	782	11	78	3	15	10	Below medium size, smooth scarlet.
Nolt's Earliest.....	" 16.	1	7	782	11	74	6	14	14	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.
Early Bird.....	" 17.	2	3	1,191	1	73	11	14	12	Below medium size, smooth, purplish pink.
Thorburn's Earliest...	" 21.	1	8	816	12	71	..	14	3	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.
Bright and Early.....	Sep. 1.	68	..	13	10	Below medium size, smooth scarlet.
Maule's Earliest.....	Aug. 1.	1	..	544	8	67	12	13	9	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.
Quicksure.....	July 20.	2	6	1,293	3	66	14	13	6	Medium size, wrinkled to almost smooth, scarlet.
Extra Early Red.....	" 16.	2	3	1,191	1	64	11	12	15	Below medium size, smooth scarlet.

TOMATOES—SIX EARLIEST VARIETIES, 1903.

Comrade.....	July 16.	3 12	2,041 14	32 8	6 8	Medium to below medium size smooth, scarlet.
Sparks' Earliana (C.E.F.)	" 16.	3 2	1,701 9	52 3	10 7	Medium size, half wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
Burpee's Climax.....	" 18.	3 ..	1,633 8	46 4	9 4	Medium size, smooth, purplish pink.
Sparks' Earliana.....	" 20.	2 12	1,497 6	49 12	9 15	Medium size, half wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
Frogmore Selected ...	" 16.	2 6	1,293 3	38 2	7 10	Below medium size, almost smooth, scarlet.
Quicksure.....	" 20.	2 6	1,293 3	66 14	13 6	Medium size, wrinkled to almost smooth, scarlet.
Early Ruby.	" 17.	2 3	1,191 1	46 4	9 4	Medium size, half wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SIX BEST YIELDING WRINKLED VARIETIES—AVERAGE FOR FIVE YEARS OR MORE.

Variety.	Number of Years.	Average Date of First Ripe Fruit.	Average Yield per plant.	Remarks.
			Lbs. oz.	
Dominion Day.....	5	Aug. 7.	17 12	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.
Early Bermuda.....	8	" 9.	16 14	" " "
Canada Victor.....	8	" 4.	16 6	" " "
Maule's Earliest.....	5	" 4.	16 1	" " "
Money Maker.....	8	" 3.	14 14	" " "
Conqueror.....	8	" 1.	14 3	" " to smooth, scarlet.

TWELVE BEST YIELDING SMOOTH VARIETIES—AVERAGE FOR FIVE YEARS OR MORE.

Bright and Early.....	7	Aug. 12.	16 9	Below medium size, smooth, scarlet.
Bond's Early Minnesota.	8	July 31.	15 6	" " purplish pink.
Early Bird.....	5	Aug. 2.	15 5	" " "
Atlantic Prize.....	8	" 4.	15 3	Medium size, smooth to almost smooth, scarlet.
Extra Early Advance...	8	" 3.	15 2	Below medium size, smooth, scarlet.
Early Ruby.....	8	July 29.	14 7	Medium size, half wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
Freedom.....	6	Aug. 3.	14 1	Medium to below medium size, smooth, scarlet.
Extra Early Red.....	5	" 1.	13 12	Below medium size, smooth, scarlet.
Burpee's Climax.....	5	" 8.	13 1	Medium size, smooth, purplish pink.
Comrade.....	8	" 4.	13 ..	Medium to below medium size, smooth, scarlet.
Brinton's Best.....	8	" 12.	12 14	Medium to large, smooth, scarlet.
Autocrat.....	8	" 16.	12 14	Medium to above medium size, smooth, purplish pink.

PEASE—EXPERIMENTS FOR COMPARISON OF YIELDS AND QUALITY.

During the past six years more than 160 so-called varieties of peas have been tested in the horticultural division. Some of these have been found to be synonyms, and a large number of them have proven inferior to a few of the best varieties. Notes are taken on the time of maturing, productiveness, quality, and length of vine of the different varieties, and four years ago some of the best kinds were selected for test in large plots. This test was continued this year. Twelve hundred selected peas of 23 varieties were sown in drills 100 feet long and 2½ feet apart on May 6. Notwithstanding the extreme drought, the peas germinated well and there was a good stand. As each variety became ready for use, the date was recorded and the yields of green pods from the several pickings entered. Owing to the wet weather during July, the length of vines this year is greater than usual. In the following table the average results for the four years 1900-1903 are given.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Ready for use, 1903.		Average Date, ready for use, 1900-1903.		Number of pick- ings, 1903.	Green Pods, 100 feet, 1903.	Average Yield of Green Pods, 100 feet, 1900- 1903.	Average length of vine, 1903.	Quality.
						Quarts.	Quarts.	in.	
Early—									
Exonian.....	July	3..	July	5..	3	24	34½	24	Good.
American Wonder.....	"	5..	"	6..	3	23	32½	31	Very good.
Child's Morning Star.....	"	2..	"	3..	3	24	32	41	"
Gregory's Surprise.....	"	2..	"	2..	4	30	31½	40	"
Nott's Excelsior.....	"	5..	"	6..	3	26	28½	24	"
Thos. Laxton.....	"	5..			3	32		40	Good
Second Early—									
Excelsior (2 years).....	"	8..	July	8..	3	44	48	23	Very good.
Nott's New Perfection.....	"	13..	"	11..	3	52	45½	54	"
Chelsea.....	"	6..	"	7..	2	40	41½	30	"
English Wonder.....	"	8..	"	10..	4	36	40½	30	Good.
Gradus.....	"	5..	"	7..	3	28	39½	39	Very good.
Premium Gem.....	"	8..	"	8..	3	40	37½	41	"
Medium—									
Burpee's Quantity.....	"	13..	"	14..	2	40	48½	43	Good.
McLean's Little Gem.....	"	13..	"	14..	3	40	48	24	Very good.
McLean's Advancer.....	"	13..	"	14..	3	40	46½	46	"
Medium Late—									
Boston Wrinkled.....	"	18..	"	19..	3	66	61½	66	Good.
Telephone (2 years).....	"	18..	"	18..	2	32	59	81	Very good.
Heroine (3 years).....	"	20..	"	20..	2	44	43½	48	"
Market Master.....	"	18..			3	43		40	Good.
Late—									
McLean's Prolific.....	"	18..	July	21..	2	42½	61	42	Good.
Champion of England.....	"	20..	"	21..	3	46	59½	74	Very good.
Juno.....	"	20..	"	22..	3	48	40	40	Good.
Stratagem.....	"	20..	"	21..	2	45½	38½	40	Very good.

EXPERIMENTS IN GROWING VEGETABLES IN A CHEESECLOTH INCLOSURE.

During the last three or four years a number of experiments have been tried in the United States in shading different kinds of crops. One of the most practical experiments, and one which gave the most satisfactory results from a monetary standpoint for a time was that conducted at the Connecticut Experiment Station, in shading Sumatra tobacco with cheesecloth, the extra cost in growing being much more than offset by the improved quality of the tobacco and the prices obtained for it. So much was this experiment appreciated that in 1902 there were a large number of acres of tobacco grown under shade in Connecticut.

No experiments had been conducted in Canada as far as the writer is aware in shading crops with cheesecloth until 1902, when an interesting test was made with vegetables by Dr. Graham Bell, at his Canadian home at Baddeck, Cape Breton, N.S. In these experiments it was found that the temperature was higher inside the inclosure, that lettuce and beans were tenderer, and that tomatoes ripened earlier, although the crop was not as large as outside.

At the Central Experimental Farm two small inclosures were made this year. In one which was 24 x 17 feet in area, different kinds of vegetables were grown, and in the other, which was 62 x 14 feet, the Sumatra, Pennsylvania Seed Leaf, and Connecticut Seed Leaf varieties of tobacco were tested. These inclosures were completely covered on top and sides, and ends, with cheesecloth. Owing to the very cool, wet summer, which was unfavourable to a test of this kind, especially with tobacco, the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

results in most respects were by no means conclusive. The experiment with tobacco may be dismissed with the mere statement that the plants grew better inside the inclosure and the leaves were nearly all perfect, while outside they were broken by the wind and injured by the soil. The texture of the leaf was lighter inside than outside.

In the other inclosure a number of kinds of vegetables were tested, the same varieties being grown just outside for comparison. As was already stated, the season was too wet and cool to get conclusive results, but the following notes are interesting and may be suggestive:—

All the vegetables inside grew better at first than those outside, and some continued to grow better until the end of the season.

Beets, sown June 10:—The tops were about as good inside as outside, but when they were pulled it was found that the crops of roots outside weighed $22\frac{1}{2}$ lbs., while that inside was only 9 lbs.

Lettuce, sown June 10:—The plants grew almost equally as well inside as outside the inclosure. Outside they were from two to four days earlier than inside.

Radish, sown June 10:—Radish was ready for use inside fully three days before those outside. The radishes inside were perfectly free from maggots, while those outside were practically worthless. Those inside grew to be a large size before losing their crispness.

Beans, sown June 10:—The beans were ready for use three days earlier inside than outside, and the plants were about as vigorous. There were 11 quarts of green beans inside, as against 14 quarts outside.

Egg Plants, Water Melons, and Musk Melons, planted June 10:—These were all failures as regards crop, both inside and outside, owing to the wet and cool summer, but all plants grew well in both places. Hand pollination would be necessary to insure a crop even in a favourable season, as few or no insects could get into the inclosure.

Cauliflower, planted June 10:—The root maggot attacked those outside badly, while those inside, though injured some in the cold frame before transplanting, were not affected inside the inclosure.

Cucumbers, planted June 10:—Although the plants grew well, no cucumbers set inside until autumn, at which time a few rents in the cloth permitted insects to enter. There was only a small crop outside owing to the unfavourable season.

Tomatoes, planted June 10:—The plants grew well inside, but were never as robust as those outside. The first tomatoes ripened inside on July 15, and outside on July 21, six days later. The crop of ripe fruit was 55 lbs. 2 ounces outside, and only 15 lbs. 8 ounces inside, but there was twice as much ripe fruit before the middle of August inside as out.

Corn, planted June 10:—This grew more rapidly inside than out at first, but later on was not as robust.

The rain came through the inclosure as a mist, and hence the soil was not compacted the way it was outside. Light frosts which injured vegetables outside did not injure those inside.

While the vegetables were growing, daily records, with the exception of Sundays, were kept of the temperature inside and outside the inclosure. From June 12 until July 1, the readings were made at 7 a.m. and 1 p.m., and after that date until October 26, the temperature was taken at 4 p.m. as well. The temperatures taken at 7 a.m. in June and July are not considered in the average, as the position of the thermometer in the inclosure was found afterwards to favour it somewhat at that reading. The thermometer was changed on August 1. The average temperatures during the summer months up to September 1 were:—

		Number of Readings.
Outside, 7 a.m.	58'4	26
Inside, 7 a.m.	58'3	26
Outside, 1 p.m.	72'8	63

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

		Number of Readings.
Inside, 1 p.m.	76°23	68
Outside, 4 p.m.	74°7	52
Inside, 4 p.m.	76°9	52

The average temperature for September and October was:—

Outside, 7 a.m.	47°85	45
Inside, 7 a.m.	47°3	45
Outside, 1 p.m.	64°25	45
Inside, 1 p.m.	66°65	45
Outside, 4 p.m.	63°	44
Inside, 4 p.m.	64°7	44

As will be seen from the above the temperatures averaged a little higher inside than out. The greatest difference was 9 degrees.

Following is the description and cost of the inclosure for tobacco. The inclosure for vegetables was partly made of rough material, trees grown on the farm being used for posts, hence a fair estimate cannot be given of the cost, but the tobacco inclosure was all made of bought material.

The inclosure was 62 feet long by 16 feet wide, by 6 feet 6 inches high. These measurements being used to suit the width of the cheesecloth, the strips of which were 40 inches wide. Scantlings 2 by 4 inches were sunk 18 inches in the ground and about 8 feet apart, and when set were 6 feet 6 inches above the ground. Scantlings 2 by 4 inches were then nailed along the tops of these and across at every upright scantling for an upper framework, while along the base 6-inch boards were nailed for the same purpose. Braces of 2 by 4-inch scantling were used at the corner posts inside to strengthen the framework. A doorway was left in one corner. The cheesecloth was fastened to the frame by laths through which nails were driven. A wire was stretched across the top of the inclosure to prevent the cheesecloth from flapping and tearing.

Although there were several very severe wind storms and heavy rain storms during the summer, during which many trees were blown down, this inclosure stood well.

Cost of making cheesecloth inclosure 62 feet long by 16 feet wide, by 6 feet 6 inches high.

To 333½ feet 2 by 4 scantling at \$15 thousand	\$5 00
75 feet lumber at \$20 thousand	1 50
150 laths at \$2.50 thousand	37½
169 yards cheesecloth at 5 cents per yard	8 45
Stitching 169 yards cheesecloth at 1½ cents	2 53½
5 lbs. nails at 3 cents	15
10 lbs. wire at 5 cents	50
Labour in making inclosure, 41 hours at 13½ cents	5 47
Total	\$23 98
Estimated value of materials on hand	15 99
Total expenses for 1903	7 99

It will be seen that the inclosure was quite expensive, but as the framework will probably last for five years or more, the yearly expense is lessened considerably. The cheesecloth used in the vegetable inclosure cost 4½ cents a yard, but was somewhat torn by the end of the season, and it is doubtful if it will be of much value next year. The other was stronger and was in good condition in the autumn, and will probably last through another season.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The cheesecloth inclosure may be of value in cities and towns where it is difficult to have a garden owing to the injury done by cats, dogs, and even by young children. Vegetables will probably be tenderer as a rule grown inside an inclosure, though this is not the case this season owing to the wet weather. It may be useful to market gardeners for growing vegetables which are affected by root maggots.

FOREST BELTS

The trees in the forest belts are doing well on the whole and are becoming a prominent feature here. Annual measurements are taken of the season's growth, in height and diameter, of average trees of the most important species, and useful information thus being obtained. Tables showing the growth have been published in the report from time to time, the last one being published in 1901. The trees in the plantation of European White Birch nearly all died this year and were removed. This birch evidently is not going to be long lived here, especially in the forest belts, where it is crowded. Some additional close planting was done in the belts this autumn where older trees had died, and vacancies were filled in the younger plantations of close planted trees and shrubs. These close planted trees and shrubs, which are set $2\frac{1}{2}$ by $2\frac{1}{2}$ ft apart, are not cultivated after the second year, as the trees and shrubs which are allowed for undergrowth shade the ground and prevent the growth of weeds to a large extent. One of the best shrubs tested for undergrowth is the Nine-bark (*Neillia opulifolia*) which grows well even in sod, and as it has heavy foliage, it shades the ground well.

ARBORETUM AND BOTANIC GARDEN.

The fine collection of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous perennials which has been brought together in the Arboretum and Botanic garden is increasing in attractiveness every year and is being more used for reference by teachers and students in their work. Practically all the specimens are now neatly labelled with zinc labels and the trees and shrubs are labelled in duplicate, in order that they may be readily identified and no label should be destroyed. This year 654 trees and shrubs comprising 534 species and varieties were added to the collection, making the total number of specimens alive 12 up to the autumn of 1903, comprising about 3,000 species and varieties. There were also added 155 species and varieties to the Herbaceous Perennials, making the total number of species and varieties 1,700 living in the autumn of 1903.

Notes were taken during the year on the hardiness, growth and time of blooming of the trees and shrubs, and the time of blooming, length of blooming season, descriptions of the flowers, growth and height of plants of the herbaceous perennials.

During the past six years useful lists of plants have been published in the annual reports. In 1897 descriptive lists were published of *One Hundred of the Most Ornamental Hardy Trees and Shrubs*, and also of *One Hundred of the Best Herbaceous Perennials*. In 1898 an *Additional List of Good Perennials* was given. In 1899 a list was published of *Some Good Low Growing Flowering Shrubs*, and also an *Additional List of Good Perennials*. In 1900 there was given a *List of the Best Hardy Woody and Vines and Climbers*. In 1901, *A List of the Best Lilacs*, and in 1902, *A List of the Best Flowering Perennials*. In 1899 there was also published a *Catalogue of the Trees and Shrubs* which had been tested up to that date, with notes regarding their fitness.

These lists have been of great service to Canadians, helping them to choose the best plants.

No list has yet been published of hardy trees and shrubs which have especially attractive foliage, bark and fruit, and as it is important to know which are best in this respect a list is herewith given of some of the most attractive of those tested in the Arboretum.

DECIDUOUS TREES, SHRUBS AND CLIMBERS WITH ATTRACTIVE FOLIAGE, BARK OR FRUIT.

Acer (Maple).—It is scarcely necessary to tell Canadians of the beauty of the autumn colouring of our maples, but although we see this beauty as we wander through the woods or along the streets one often fails to plant these fine trees near the home. During the latter part of summer odd trees of the Red Maple (*Acer rubrum*) stand out among their duller surroundings clothed in scarlet and crimson, and a little later this tree fairly makes the woods on fire with its bright coloured foliage. Still a little later, the leaves of the Hard Maple (*Acer saccharinum*) take on their varied and attractive shades of red, green and yellow, and although the colours are not as bright as on the Red Maple they are often richer. The Silver Maple (*Acer dasycarpum*) also very attractive, the green, yellow and bronze shades predominating. The most attractive maple not native to Canada is the Ginnalian Maple (*Acer tataricum Ginnalia*). This little tree is ablaze with colour every year, no matter what the season like, and rivals the Red Maple for brightness; scarlet, yellow, and crimson, being the predominating colours. In the spring the Schwedler's Maple (*Acer platanoides Schwedleri*) is a very attractive tree, the young leaves being of a dark purplish crimson and contrasting well with the surrounding foliage of other trees. This tree soon loses its spring colouring, however, and the leaves become dull green. Reitenbach's Maple (*Acer platanoides, Reitenbachii*) another purple-leaved variety, while not as attractive in spring as Schwedler's Maple, retains its colour better throughout the summer.

Berberis (Barberry).—The barberries are very useful for autumn effect, as the foliage and fruit are both attractive. Among the best of these are: Thunberg's Barberry (*Berberis, Thunbergii*), which grows about four feet high. It is a compact shrub with bright green foliage in summer which changes to deep red in autumn. The scarlet fruit is very abundant and makes this barberry quite ornamental throughout the winter. Another species (*Berberis sinensis*) is also very attractive both in foliage and fruit, and the Common Barberry (*Berberis vulgaris*) is also good. The purple-leaved variety of the latter species is one of the best purple-leaved shrubs and is very attractive. The Oregon Grape or Holly-leaved Barberry is a very desirable low-growing shrub with thick glossy, holly-like foliage, which becomes bronzy purple in the autumn.

Betula (Birch).—The yellow foliage of most of the Birches contrasts strongly with other trees in autumn, but the most attractive of all is the Cut-leaved Birch (*Betula alba laciniata pendula*), the finely cut leaves and graceful form of which make it one of the most attractive trees. There is a purple-leaved variety of the White Birch, the purple is rather dull and the tree not especially desirable.

Caragana arborescens (Siberian Pea Tree). This shrub has many points of merit and its bright, green compound leaves and fruiting pods give it a place in such a list as this.

Catalpa.—The Catalpas have such large foliage, suggestive of a sub-tropical climate, that it renders them especially attractive in the colder parts of the country where they give a warmer tone to the landscape. The Japanese Catalpa (*Catalpa Kaempferi*) is the hardiest species, but the Hardy Catalpa (*Catalpa cordifolia*, Japan) though not as hardy, is more attractive and more desirable for the warmer parts of Ontario.

Celastrus (Shrubby Bitter-sweet).—There are two species of Shrubby Bitter-sweet, which are especially desirable for their attractive fruit. The first is the native Climbing Bitter-sweet (*Celastrus scandens*). This is a very satisfactory hardy climber. The leaves are bright green and free from insects, and in the autumn and through the winter the scarlet and orange berries, which are produced in great abundance, make the vine very attractive. The outside of the berries is orange, but when they are cracked open by frost the scarlet inside is shown. The Japanese species (*Celastrus tictulatus*) is just as attractive as the native one, and perhaps more so. The berries are smaller, but more abundant, and there is a greater contrast between the outside and inside, the outside being yellow and the inside orange. These vines may be kept quite shrub-like by cutting them back.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Cornus (Dogwood).—The hardy Dogwoods are most attractive in winter when the colour of the bark is much intensified. The best variety is *Cornus alba sibirica*, the bark of which is bright red in winter and in striking contrast with the snow, and other surrounding objects. There is a yellow barked variety of *Cornus stolonifera*, which could be used with good effect in contrast with the red-barked varieties. In foliage the most attractive hardy kind is *Cornus alba sibirica elegantissima* of the nursery catalogues, the leaves of which are delicately variegated with white, silver and green making this one of the best of variegated shrubs.

Cotoneaster.—There are several hardy ornamental species of Cotoneasters. The species with the most attractive foliage is *C. acutifolia*, the dark, glossy green leaves of which make this shrub quite attractive. Among red fruited species, *C. nummularia*, *C. tomentosa*, and *C. integerrima (vulgaris)* are the most desirable.

Crataegus (Hawthorn).—Some of the Hawthorns are attractive in flower, leaf and fruit; among these, two of the best are: *Crataegus coccinea* and *C. Crusgalli*. Both of these species have glossy foliage and bright red fruit, but the latter is, perhaps, preferable, as it does not sucker like the former, which may become troublesome.

Elæagnus (Olive).—The Russian Olive (*Elæagnus angustifolia*) is one of the best trees with silvery foliage, and is a very handsome species. The Wolf Willow (*E. argentea*) has a finer silvery foliage than the last, but as this species suckers badly it should be planted with caution.

Euonymus (Spindle Tree).—The different species of Euonymus do not, as a rule, make graceful or attractive shrubs at any time except autumn. At that season of the year, however, they are quite noticeable on account of their scarlet and red fruit, which is in some species very bright. The most attractive in fruit are *Euonymus europæus*, and *E. americanus*, but for brilliant coloured foliage *E. atropurpureus* and *E. alatus* are excellent, and the fruit of these is also attractive.

Fagus (Beech).—The purple-leaved Beech (*Fagus sylvatica purpurea*) is one of the handsomest of trees where it is hardy, but unfortunately it kills back to the snow line at Ottawa. The foliage is rich, bronzy purple and very attractive.

Hippophae rhamnoides (Sea Buckthorn).—This is a hardy shrub with fairly attractive narrow leaves, which bears an abundant crop of small bright orange fruit. It suckers badly and should be planted with discretion.

Ilex (Holly).—None of the hollies are satisfactory at Ottawa, with the exception of the Black Alder (*Ilex verticillata*). This shrub is not attractive during the summer, but in the autumn the scarlet holly-like fruit is very showy. There is a yellow fruited variety of this which is also good.

Lonicera (Honeysuckle).—Many of the Honeysuckles are attractive, both in flower and fruit, but the best showy species when in fruit is *Lonicera tatarica*, and the many varieties of it. The fruit of this species varies in colour from yellow to bright red and shows up well in contrast with the foliage. Of the hardy climbing species the most attractive in foliage and fruit are those with glaucous foliage, such as *L. glauca*, *L. sullivanii*, and *L. flava*.

Lycium (Matrimony Vine).—The Matrimony Vine is very useful for training over rocks, stumps and other places. The foliage is not especially attractive, but the numerous bright scarlet berries in autumn are very showy.

Neillia (Ninebark).—The ordinary Ninebark (*Neillia opulifolia*) is not a very attractive shrub, although the seed pods are rather showy, but the golden leaved variety, *Neillia opulifolia aurea*, is a very striking object, and where a strong-growing, golden-leaved shrub is desired it is one of the best.

Pachysandra terminalis.—This is a dwarf, hardy shrub with attractive evergreen foliage, and succeeds well in shady places.

Populus (Poplar).—The poplars have nearly all more or less attractive foliage, but probably the most attractive is the silver or white poplar, *Populus alba*, the leaves of which are silvery above and white below. The poplar multiplies rapidly by means of suckers, and as the falling seeds are disagreeable only trees with male flowers should

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

be planted. A pyramidal variety, *Populus alba pyramidalis*, is a striking tree. The golden-leaved poplar, *Populus deltoidea aurea* (Van Geerti), is a good golden-leaved variety, but is much subject to galls. A weeping variety, *Populus grandidentata pendula*, is a very graceful tree with good foliage, and is especially handsome in flower.

Prunus (Plum).—The native plums of Canada and the United States are very attractive in flower, and fruit and if varieties are chosen which have particularly attractive fruit, they may be used very advantageously.

Petelea trifoliata aurea (Golden Leafed Wafer Ash).—This is one of the finest hardy golden-leaved shrubs. There is a richness of colour in this variety which is not excelled.

Pyrus (Mountain Ash).—The mountain ashes are attractive both in flower and fruit, but are especially noticeable in autumn and winter when the bright coloured fruit hangs thickly on the trees. The European Mountain Ash or Rowan Tree, *Pyrus Aucuparia*, is perhaps the best, although the American Mountain Ash, *Pyrus americana* is good.

Pyrus (Crab Apple, Apple).—The crab apples and apples make very showy trees both in flower and fruit. One of the most useful crab apples is the wild Siberian crab *Pyrus baccata*. As this does not grow large it can be planted where other trees would be too large.

Quercus (Oak).—The oaks keep up the show of colour in the autumn after most of the other trees have lost their leaves. The two most desirable hardy species are the Red Oak, *Quercus rubra*, and the black oak, *Quercus velutina*, both of these species colour up well in autumn and the leaves remain bright until severe frosts. The Scarlet Oak *Quercus coccinea*, though not quite as hardy, colours well also.

Rhus (Sumach).—Sumachs are among the most showy autumn tinted shrubs and trees, and are very effective when grown wild in large masses. The common native species, the Staghorn Sumach, *Rhus typhina*, is one of the best and its compound leaves tinted with red are very striking. The Smooth Sumach, *Rhus glabra*, and the graceful cut-leaved variety of it are also fine. The foliage of the low growing fragrant sumach *Rhus aromatica*, always colours well and there is a richness of tints in it not found in the others. The Smoke Tree, *Rhus Cotinus*, is a very striking shrub. The pedicels in the loose flowering panicles become covered with soft hairs which give a smoke-like effect to the whole plant. The leaves also colour well and are attractive both in summer and autumn.

Ribes alpinum (Mountain Currant).—This is quite a showy species with bright red fruit and deep green glossy leaves.

Rosa (rose).—The wild roses are nearly all effective when in bloom, and some have attractive foliage and fruit. One of the best wild roses in foliage and fruit is *Rosa lucida*. This species has glossy leaves which contrast well with the red fruit. The Japanese Rose, *Rosa rugosa*, has very ornamental foliage and fruit, the leaves being rich green and glossy and the fruit of large size and very striking. Another good species is *Rosa pomifera*, which has exceptionally large fruit and silvery or glaucous leaves. The Purple-leaved Rose, *Rosa ferruginea (rubrifolia)*, is one of the best purple-leaved shrubs. The leaves are deep reddish purple, and when the shrub is in bloom the contrast between the leaves and delicate pink flowers is very good.

Salix (Willow).—There are quite a number of willows which have ornamental leaves and bark and in the winter those with attractive bark are especially noticeable and brighten up the landscape very much. The Laurel-leaved Willow, *Salix pentandra (laurifolia)*, is a very ornamental tree, the leaves being deep green and very glossy. The rosemary-leaved willow, *Salix rosmarinifolia*, has narrow, rosemary-like leaves, and where a shrubby willow is desired it is one of the best. The best willows with attractive bark are: *Salix alba britzensis*, with red bark, and *S. alba vitellina flava* or *S. Vorones* with yellow bark. These varieties are in striking contrast and stand out well in winter landscape.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Sambucus (Elder).—Some of the elders are quite desirable. One of the best golden-leaved shrubs is *Sambucus nigra foliis aureis*, and although this kills back at Ottawa, it makes such rapid growth that it soon makes a show again. The Scarlet-berried Elder, *Sambucus racemosa*, and its variety *pubescens* may be used with good effect.

Spiræa.—There are a few hardy spiræas with good foliage, among these being *Spiræa Van Houttei*, also one of the best when in bloom; *Spiræa arguta*, also one of the best when in bloom; *Spiræa Thunbergii*, not quite hardy at Ottawa, and *Spiræa sorbifolia*.

Symphoricarpus (Snowberry).—The Snowberry, *Symphoricarpus racemosus*, is well known, the pure white berries in autumn being a familiar sight in most parts of Canada. The Coral Berry, *Symphoricarpus orbiculatus* (vulgaris), which has red fruit, is also effective, especially when in contrast with the other.

Tamarix amurensis.—This tamarisk is the hardiest of all those tested in the arbor-etum, and although it kills back some it makes strong growth during the summer. It is an elegant shrub with small foliage and tender branchlets.

Viburnum (Arrow-wood).—The viburnums are nearly all attractive shrubs in flower and foliage, and some species have very ornamental fruit. The Guelder Rose or High-bush Cranberry, *Viburnum Opulus*, is probably the most satisfactory. It is beautiful when in bloom, the foliage is effective, and the scarlet fruit which hangs on nearly or quite all winter makes it very desirable. Next will come the Wayfaring Tree, *Viburnum Lantana*. This also has ornamental flowers, foliage and fruit. Unlike the Guelder Rose, however, the fruit does not hang long. When ripening, the fruit is at first scarlet and becomes black when fully ripe. Two other species with very attractive foliage are: *Viburnum prunifolium* and *Viburnum dentatum*, both native species.

Vitis (Virginia Creeper).—The Virginia Creeper, *Vitis quinquefolia* (*Ampelopsis quinquefolia*), is well known, but must appear in a list of this kind. The leaves, while quite attractive in summer, become highly coloured at the first approach of autumn and for some time this vine is very effective. The self-fastening variety colours as well and has the advantage of clinging unaided to the wall on which it is trained.

REPORT OF THE CHEMIST

(FRANK T. SHUTT, M.A., F.I.C., F.S.C., F.R.S.C.)

OTTAWA, December 1, 1903.

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,

Director, Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith the seventeenth annual report of the Chemical Division of the Experimental Farms.

It will be found to include, I believe, much of interest and value to Canadian farmers—to those engaged in general or mixed farming, as well as to those devoting their attention to some special branch of agriculture, such as fruit growing, dairying, &c. The work of this Division is, necessarily, of a varied character and covers a wide field, but we may safely say that all investigations undertaken are directly prosecuted with a view of obtaining information that may be of immediate and practical benefit to one or other of the numerous divisions or departments of Canadian agriculture.

Before the brief enumeration which it is customary to make of the matter treated of in the report, it may be well to state that very much of the work that has engaged the attention of the staff of this Division during the past year will not here find a place. Thus, during three months of the past season, an investigation was carried on to ascertain the effect of certain factors or conditions of manufacture upon the composition of butter, and more especially upon its water content. In all, some 150 butters, made by an expert under known conditions of temperature, &c., have been carefully analysed. The results of this investigation are now tabulated and we trust they will furnish information of a useful character regarding the extent to which the composition of butter may be effected by the churning temperature, size of granules, temperature of wash water, &c. It is proposed to publish this work very shortly in bulletin form.

Further inquiry has been made in the matter of the effect of food on the quality of pork. In this, the fat of about 50 pigs on various rations has been analysed, but as the investigation is as yet unfinished, the results are, for the present, held over.

The following summary gives in outline the nature of the various investigations presented in this report:—

Chemistry of Horticulture.—The problem of *soil moisture conservation in orchards*, first investigated by this Division in 1901, has again received attention, and data have been obtained that emphasize the practical value of cultivation and the preservation of an earth mulch to retard surface evaporation. The great draft upon the soil's moisture by sod is also very clearly brought out by this season's experiments.

Continuing the inquiry as to the value of certain legumes as orchard 'cover' crops, the relative merits of the *Hairy Vetch*, the *Soja Bean* and the *Horse Bean* have been determined. Our data denote the extent to which the soil may be enriched and improved by these crops, and, further, afford evidence of a most satisfactory character regarding the *Hairy Vetch*—the latest among the legumes to be introduced for this purpose.

The composition of certain insecticides recently put upon the market has been ascertained. These include *Kno-bug*, *Bug Finish*, and 'Owens' Compound for the Protection of Trees.'

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

Fodders and Feeding Stuffs.—A considerable number of feeding stuffs, including various milling and other by-products, has been analysed and their relative nutritive value determined.

Interesting data as to the nutritive properties of the hull, kernel, and whole grain, respectively, of the oat are presented.

Molassine Meal and Improved Molasses Cattle Food are two feeding stuffs upon the Canadian market now reported upon. The latter is a product of the Dresden Sugar Co., Limited, Dresden, Ont., and is prepared from the exhausted pulp and molasses.

The principal field roots have again been examined and a table prepared showing the results that have been obtained in this research since 1900. The high feeding value of the 'Sugar' mangels has again been demonstrated.

Sugar Beets.—The sugar content of several of the principal varieties of factory beets, as determined from roots grown on the experimental farms at Ottawa, Nappan, Brandon, Indian Head, and Agassiz, has been ascertained. Results are also given of beets from the Knight Sugar Company at Raymond, South Alberta; of beets from the vicinity of Strathcona, Northern Alberta, and also of beets grown on the Provincial Farm, near Charlottetown, P.E.I.

Wheats.—A careful and thorough enquiry from the chemical standpoint has been made respecting the relative merits of the following wheats: Red Fife, Percy, Preston, Stanley, and Early Riga. The information obtained will prove especially interesting to those engaged in wheat growing in Manitoba and the North-west Territories. The results of this investigation will be found as a special report in the article on Wheat, in the current report of the Director of the Experimental Farms.

Swamp Muck.—A short article has been written on swamp muck, its nature and treatment. The use of muck as an absorbent in and about the farm buildings and the preparation of muck composts of various kinds have been concisely described, in order to furnish in printed form the information on these subjects so constantly requested.

Chemistry of Bee-keeping.—The experiments towards ascertaining the best conditions under which honey should be stored have been continued. The desirability of a warm, dry atmosphere for the storage of both comb and extracted honey is clearly brought out by this research.

We have, after a lapse of 13 years, again found adulterated beeswax. The presence of 25 per cent to 35 per cent of paraffin of a high melting point was noted in certain samples submitted to analysis this past summer. This adulterated wax had been purchased in the United States by a large 'bee supply' firm in Canada, and was immediately returned on the receipt of our report to the effect that the wax was not genuine.

Well waters.—The analyses of 55 samples of well waters from farm homesteads in various parts of the Dominion are given, and a brief report added as to the quality and wholesomeness of the waters.

Experiments in Chicken fattening.—Further results in the fattening of chickens have been obtained and are presented in the report of the poultry manager. The experiments included a further trial, in duplicate, of feeding in pens with yards attached as against feeding in crates, and also a trial in duplicate of feeding an 'all grain' ration as against a 'grain and meat' ration.

Correspondence.—The letters received by this division from November 30, 1902, to December 1, 1903, in addition to those referred to us by the other departments of the farm, numbered 1,234; those sent out, 1,163.

Samples received for analysis.—In the appended tabular scheme the samples received for examination during the past year have been enumerated and classified. The number exceeds that of the year previous by 101. Reference to the report of this Division will show the yearly increase in the number of samples forwarded to farmers, which may be taken as an excellent sign of the growing appreciation of the information to be obtained through chemistry on matters relating to practical farming. In order, however, to cope with these requests and at the same time carry on the special



CHEMICAL LABORATORY, CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM, OTTAWA.

(Photo. by F. T. Shutt.)

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

investigations which constitute the chief work of the Division, it is evident that very shortly further laboratory assistance will be necessary.

SAMPLES Received for Examination and Report, November 30, 1902, to December 1, 1903.

Samples.	British Columbia.	Northwest Territories.	Manitoba.	Ontario.	Quebec.	New Brunswick.	Nova Scotia.	Prince Edward Island.	Total.	Number still awaiting ex- amination.
Soils.....	3	3	2	10	7	2	9	...	36	13
Mucks, muds and marls.....		3	...	4	4	3	8	8	30	7
Manures and fertilizers.....				4	1	3	10	5	23	1
Forage plants and fodders.....	2	...	5	13	9	4	3	...	36	16
Well waters.....	1	16	11	43	3	6	3	2	85	
Sugar beets.....	8	13	6	13	8	7	55	
Miscellaneous, including dairy products, fungicides and insecticides.....	4	9	4	365	37	6	6	3	434	15
Total.....	18	44	28	452	61	24	47	25	699	52

Acknowledgments.—Once again I would with much pleasure express my thanks to Mr. A. T. Charron, M.A., assistant chemist, and Mr. H. W. Charlton, B.A.Sc., second assistant chemist, for their valuable help and co-operation. The larger part of the analytical work of the various researches has necessarily been performed by them, and this work has, as I can bear testimony, been done skilfully and carefully.

I would gratefully acknowledge my indebtedness to Mr. J. F. Watson, who has continued to discharge faithfully and well his duties in connection with the clerical work of the division.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

FRANK T. SHUTT,

Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.

THE CONSERVATION OF MOISTURE IN ORCHARD SOILS.*

In further investigating the factors that affect the soil's moisture-content, we have this year obtained comparative data during the early months of the season, from plots in the Central Experimental Farm orchard (1) under cultivation during past and present season, (2) in sod during past and present season, and (3) in sod 1902, but ploughed early in the present season, according to the following plan:—

Plot A.—This plot was cultivated during the summer of 1902, as well as throughout the present season. The cultivations during the period of examination this year were made on May 12 and June 1.

(N.B.—This is Plot I of the second series, 1902, the moisture-content of which is recorded in the report of the Chemical Division for that year, page 138-9.)

* The results of our previous work upon this question, with reference particularly to the relation of tillage, sod and cover crops to soil moisture-content, are to be found in the Report of the Chemical Division, Experimental Farms, 1901 and 1902.

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

Plot B.—This plot adjoins A and was under sod, 3 years old, throughout the season.

(N.B.—This is Plot 2 of the second series, 1902.)

Plot C adjoins B. In sod 1902. Sod ploughed under April 13, 1903; disc harrowed May 29, and cultivated June 3.

The very severe and exceptional drought that prevailed in the Ottawa district during the spring and early summer months of this year, afforded an excellent opportunity for prosecuting our research in this matter of the conservation of soil moisture. That the rainfall for the spring months of 1903 was very much below the average will be seen from the following summary :

TOTAL PRECIPITATION IN INCHES.

	1898.	1899.	1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.
March.....	3.20	4.96	6.15	4.04	3.62	1.96
April.....	4.90	1.65	5.55	2.36	2.92	1.15
May.....	2.90	2.62	3.04	4.97	1.62	0.24
June 1st to 5th.....		0.24	1.81	0.96	0.99	none.
Total	11.00	9.47	16.55	12.33	9.15	3.35

The soil samples upon which the moisture determinations were made were taken, as in previous years, to a depth of 14 inches and consequently the percentages and amounts of water given in the following table are those present in the soils to that depth.

CONSERVATION OF SOIL MOISTURE.

Date of Collection.	PLOT A. CULTIVATED 1902 AND 1903.		PLOT B. IN SOD 1902 AND 1903.		PLOT C. IN SOD 1902; CULTI- VATED 1903.	
	Per cent.	Pounds per acre.	Per cent.	Pounds per acre.	Per cent.	Pounds per acre.
1903.		Tons. Lbs.		Tons. Lbs.		Tons. Lbs.
May 14th.....	12.03	261 1,218	5.32	107 982	11.85	257 337
" 23rd.....	12.65	277 89	4.78	96 66	6.51	133 431
June 5th.....	7.76	160 1,880	3.03	59 1,552	8.91	187 247

Discussion of Results.—We cannot fail at first sight to be struck with the marked character of these data, which, as might have been expected from the abnormal dryness of the season, emphasize the value of cultivation and the earth mulch for the retention of the soil's moisture. They certainly present a lesson of the greatest significance and importance to orchardists.

It should be borne in mind that the plots adjoin one another; that the soil throughout the series is of a uniform character (a light sandy loam); and that the moisture-content after the autumn rain of the previous season, as determined in November, 1902, when the winter set in, was practically identical for them all.

May 14.—Analysis shows that at this date the amount of moisture in the soil of Plot A (12.03 per cent) was less than it was in the previous November by about 3 per cent. Much of this loss undoubtedly might have been prevented by earlier cultivation, the first harrowing and formation of the earth mulch being only two days before the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

collection of the sample for analysis, viz., May 12. Nevertheless, the soil was quite damp, both to the touch and in appearance. So far as one could judge it appeared to be amply supplied with moisture for the requirements of the orchard trees.

Plot B, which by that date was covered with a heavy growth of grass, green and luxuriant, contained less than one-half of the moisture in A, viz., 5.32 per cent. This means that somewhat more than 150 tons per acre, to a depth of 14 inches, had been lost from B by remaining in sod, lost by the growth of the grass and the capillary action that had been set up by allowing the soil to remain unstirred. The earth of this plot was already assuming a powdery condition.

Plot C.—The sod had been turned under one month previous to the date of collection, viz., April 13. Its moisture content was somewhat less than that of Plot A, but the difference is comparatively insignificant. The results of this plot give satisfactory evidence of the importance of turning under the previous cover crop at an early date in districts likely to be visited by a spring or early summer drought. By this means it is seen the moisture may in a very large measure be conserved.

May 23.—Between May 14 and 23 the rainfall was scarcely more than one-tenth of an inch (.12). This probably was not sufficient at any time to thoroughly dampen the surface of the soil, for the precipitation occurred on four days of the interval and in no one of them exceeded more than a few hundredths of an inch. Practically speaking, it evaporated as soon as it fell, without benefiting the soil.

Plot A.—Now, in spite of this adverse condition, this soil, by reason of its mulch, is able to hold its own; indeed, its moisture at this date was some half a per cent higher than it was nine days earlier. No doubt there had been loss by evaporation from the soil, but the loss had been more than compensated for by water brought up from the subsoil by capillary action.

Plot B.—On the other hand, this plot continued to lose, and now showed $11\frac{1}{2}$ tons less moisture per acre than at the date of the preceding collection.

Plot C.—The soil of this plot had dried out very considerably, losing almost half its water. This was undoubtedly due to the fact that the turned over sod was not immediately disc-harrowed and an earth mulch formed. The drying atmosphere and winds freely permeated the heavy sod, abstracting its moisture. This points to the necessity of immediately discing and cultivating after the ploughing under a heavy sod, in order that capillary action may bring up water from below, and that a mulch may be formed that will prevent or retard its loss through evaporation. This plot was not disc-harrowed until May 29.

June 5.—Between May 23 and June 5 a period of thirteen days, but three-one-hundredths of an inch (.03) of rain fell. During the latter six days of this period there was absolutely no precipitation. Under this condition we find the moisture-content of plots A and B considerably reduced.

Plot A.—This soil now held but 7.76 per cent water. Probably if it had been cultivated again in this period (the previous cultivation had been on May 12) it would have had a higher water content. As it was, the drying out process had affected the soil for more than a foot. It still contained, however, over 160 tons to a depth of 14 inches.

Plot B.—The examination of this soil at this date showed it to be in the condition of powder. It had no adhesiveness and had the appearance of a soil thoroughly dried by exposure to air. Its percentage of moisture had been reduced to 3.03, having lost 12 tons per acre since the date of the first collection three weeks previous. The grass was still alive, but showing very little vitality and no growth. The leaves of the orchard trees growing in the sod had begun to shrivel and fall. It was evident that unless rain came very shortly these trees would succumb. It is important to note that under these extreme climatic conditions the soil of Plot A possessed 100 tons more water per acre in the surface 14 inches than that of Plot B, a very considerable amount.

Plot C at this date contained 8.9 per cent water, an increase of practically 2.5 per cent over that it possessed on May 23. This, in my opinion, was owing to the disc

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

ANALYSIS of Hairy Vetch, Soja Bean and Horse Bean used as Cover Crops, 1903.

Sown June 18. Collected September 21.	Height or length on date of col- lection.		COMPOSITION.			Nitrogen.	Weight of Crop Per Acre.		AMOUNT OF CERTAIN CONSTITUENTS PER ACRE.		
			Water	Organic Matter.	Ash.				Organic Matter.	Ash.	Nitro- gen.
	ft.	in.				%	Tons. Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
Hairy Vetch (<i>Vicia villosa</i>) stems and leaves.....	3	3	82.78	15.44	1.78	.54	11 1895	3689	425	120	
" " " roots.....			86.35	12.35	1.30	.41	2 345	536	56	18	
Total							14 240	4225	481	147	
Soja Bean (<i>Soja Hispida</i>) stems and leaves.....	1	10	74.69	23.13	2.18	.57	7 350	3319	313	82	
" " " roots.....			80.12	18.92	.96	.48	1 900	549	28	13	
Total							8 1250	3868	341	95	
Horse Bean (<i>Faba vulgaris</i> , var. <i>equina</i>) stems and leaves . . .	3	6	84.04	14.89	1.07	.43	7 733	2193	156	63	
" " " roots . . .			86.72	12.47	.81	.30	2 852	605	39	15	
Total							9 1585	2798	195	78	

Hairy Vetch.—This plant gave the heaviest crop of the three under trial. It also furnished the largest amount of nitrogen. Considering the entire plant, we have, from three months' growth, in round numbers $2\frac{1}{2}$ tons (4,225 lbs.) of humus-forming material per acre, containing almost 150 lbs. of nitrogen. In these data we have a strong endorsement of the very high opinion expressed by certain horticultural writers regarding the fertilizing value of this plant.

Soja Bean.—Though not yielding as heavily as the foregoing, it is undoubtedly a useful orchard cover crop, since when sown in drills it allows of surface cultivation for the conservation of moisture. Moreover, it should prove a good snow-holder, by reason of its upright form of growth and stiff stems. Somewhat more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ tons (3,868 lbs.) of humus-forming material per acre were obtained, containing almost 100 lbs. of nitrogen.

Horse Bean.—Although at the time of collection this crop made the finest appearance of the three, the analytical data place it last in fertilizing value. In total weight of crop the figures show $9\frac{1}{2}$ tons, approximately, per acre, but owing chiefly to its high percentage of water it contained less organic matter and nitrogen than the Soja Beans. The difference in favour of the latter was approximately 1,000 lbs. of organic matter and 20 lbs. of nitrogen, per acre. There is this, however, to be said in favour of the Horse Bean, that its root system is more extensive than that of the Soja Bean and the plant, being more succulent, would probably decay more quickly the ensuing season. In humus-forming material the figures denote nearly $1\frac{1}{2}$ tons (2,798 lbs.) per acre.

FODDERS AND FEEDING STUFFS.

BANNER OATS.

An important consideration in determining the relative feeding values of different varieties of oats lies in the proportion (by weight) of hull to kernel, for the nutritive properties of the former are very small compared with those of the latter.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

This subject has already been discussed by Dr. Wm. Saunders, the Director of the Experimental Farms. It, therefore, only remains to say that it is proposed, as time allows, to obtain chemical data both as to kernels and hulls, respecting all the more commonly grown oats in Canada, and that a beginning has been made in this inquiry by an examination of that widely known and highly esteemed variety—the Banner. This has included not only a determination of the relative weight of hull and kernel, but also their complete analysis, together with that of the whole grain. These oats were of the crop of 1902, grown on the Central Experimental Farm.

Proportion of Kernels to Hulls.

Kernels.....	71.92
Hulls.....	28.08
	<hr/> 100.00 <hr/>

ANALYSIS of Banner Oats: Whole grain, Kernels and Hulls.

—	Moisture.	Albumi- noids.	Fat.	Carbo- hydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.
Oats, (whole grain).....	12.74	11.22	4.82	58.84	9.47	2.91
Kernels.....	12.03	14.51	6.24	63.15	1.93	2.14
Hulls.....	10.19	2.60	0.78	49.63	31.63	5.17

The tremendous difference in feeding value between the kernel and the hull is very well brought out by the foregoing data. In albuminoids, or flesh-formers, and in fat or oil—the two most valuable constituents of a feed—the hull is seen to contain but very small percentages compared with the kernel. Further, the hull is practically one-third indigestible fibre, which in the kernel does not amount to two per cent. In fact, oat hulls would appear to have a considerably lower feeding value than oat straw.

It has been shown by Dr. Wm. Saunders that considering any one variety of oats, samples differing in weight do so by reason of the relative plumpness and heaviness of the kernel, and not to any extent from variations in the weight of hull. It is, therefore, of moment not only to know the proportion of hull to kernel in the varieties upon the market, but also to purchase the heaviest oats of the variety selected—for this will mean the heavier kernel.

BRANS AND SHORTS.

These two by-products in the manufacture of flour are by far the most important of all concentrated feeds used in the Dominion to-day. They are produced from Canadian wheats in Canadian mills in large quantities. From their extensive use, from their high nutritive value, as well as from the fact that they are materials rich in nitrogen and ash constituents derived from Canadian soils—and which under careful management are capable of being returned, in a large measure, to the soil—they are materials well worthy of the consideration of our farmers and dairymen.

Brans.—As a milk-producer, bran possesses merits peculiarly its own; it has long been recognized as standing in the very front rank for this purpose; indeed, in the opinion of many experienced dairymen it has no equal among meals and milling products for keeping up the milk flow.

harrowing it received on May 29, followed by cultivation. By these means not only was surface evaporation largely arrested, but capillary action was set up which enabled the surface soil to draw upon the water content of its underlying soil.

The drought this year has taught a very important lesson in orchard soil management. It has emphasized what we gave experimental data for in 1902, viz., the very exhaustive character of sod as regards soil moisture. It has furnished proof of the immense value of cultivation in arresting the drying out of soils, and lastly the necessity not only of early ploughing under the cover crop in districts where drought is likely to prevail, but also the desirability of further working the soil by disc harrow and cultivator in order to again set up capillary action with the underlying soil, as well as to create an earth mulch to prevent surface evaporation.

ORCHARD COVER CROPS.

HAIRY VETCH, SOJA BEAN, AND HORSE BEAN.

Without entering into any lengthy discussion as to the various functions of a cover crop and the many chemical and physical benefits it may confer upon an orchard soil, it may suffice for the present purpose to remind our readers briefly of one or two of the more important advantages of such crops in increasing the fertility of the soil.

Apart, then, from the benefit to be derived from the conservation of moisture in the summer, and the winter protection of the roots of the trees, it is sought by this system of orchard treatment to enrich the soil, by the addition of vegetable matter and nitrogen, by the conversion of mineral plant food of the soil into more available forms and by the retention and storing up of the more soluble nitrates produced in the soil during the summer months.¹ Many crops are used or have been suggested for these purposes, but it is only the legumes which possess the ability (through the agency of certain bacteria residing in nodules on their roots) to add nitrogen to the soil—nitrogen taken from that inexhaustible store, the atmosphere. Hence, it is that the legumes are *par excellence* the most valuable of all cover crops.

The value of the clovers—red and mammoth—and of alfalfa, in this connection, has already been demonstrated in several of our reports and bulletins—the first account of which, in the reports of the Chemical Division, is to be found in that for 1896. Our experiments in that year showed that in three months, from the middle of July to the middle of October, large crops could be obtained from alfalfa and the clovers, even when grown on a poor, sandy soil. Further, that these legumes contained in their foliage and roots in the neighbourhood of 100 lbs. of nitrogen per acre, nitrogen which we believe for the most part was obtained from the atmosphere. For the purposes of comparison with the results of the present season from Hairy Vetch, Soja Bean, and Horse Bean, we may insert in tabular form the data respecting these clovers and alfalfa:—

ANALYSIS of Clovers and Alfalfa, 1896.

Sown, July 13th. Collected, October 20th.	COMPOSITION.			Nitrogen.	Weight of Crop Per Acre.		AMOUNT OF CERTAIN CONSTITUENTS PER ACRE.		
	Water	Organic Matter.	Ash.				Organic Matter.	Ash.	Nitro- gen.
Mammoth Red, stems and leaves...	79.13	17.05	3.82	0.620	Tons. Lbs.				
" roots.....	77.57	19.41	3.02	0.662		6 1,310 3 1,260	2,269 1,409	508 219	82 48
Total.....					10 570		3,678	727	130
Common Red, stems and leaves....	76.24	18.84	4.92	0.718		4 1,779	1,842	481	70
" roots.....	71.22	25.61	3.17	0.784		2 1,445	1,394	172	47
Total.....					7 1,224		3,236	653	117
Crimson Clover, stems and leaves..	83.32	13.91	2.77	0.382		11 234	2,093	602	85
" roots.....	83.87	12.92	3.21	0.304		3 201	801	199	19
Total.....					14 435		2,894	801	104
Alfalfa, stems and leaves	71.63	23.81	4.56	0.671		5 1,192	2,664	510	75
" roots.....	64.74	29.47	5.79	0.557		5 558	3,120	613	61
Total.....					10 1,750		5,784	1,123	136

EXPERIMENTS WITH COVER CROPS, 1903.

In the experiments conducted during the past season by the Horticulturist with cover crops, the following modification was tested. Instead of sowing broadcast, (as has been the custom) the crops under trial—Hairy Vetch, Soja Beans and Horse Beans—were planted in rows 27 inches apart and the spaces between the rows kept cultivated. This was done, as explained at length by the Horticulturist in his report, to serve a dual purpose—the conservation of soil moisture by means of a dry earth mulch, and the production of a crop that might serve as a winter protection to the roots (by holding the snow) and for the enrichment of the soil.

The seed was sown on light, sandy soil in the farm orchard, June 18, and the samples collected for estimation of crop per acre and analysis, on September 21. At this latter date the Hairy Vetch formed a perfect mat or carpet 6 to 8 inches in thickness, entirely covering the ground, but it had not flowered. The Soja Beans were practically 2 feet high, and well branched and possessing many pods. The Horse Beans stood 3½ feet high, having made a vigorous growth, and were well podded.

After the date of collection the weather continued open and mild for several weeks, and no doubt if a further examination had been made in the middle of October larger amounts per acre than those recorded would have been obtained.

The roots in each instance were taken to a depth of 9 inches.

This, undoubtedly, is due, in part, to its composition, furnishing, as it does, in large amounts and in excellent proportions those constituents required in the elaboration of milk; in part, to its high digestibility by the cow, which is furthered by its loose, light, bulky nature, permitting the digestive fluids to readily and easily act upon it and the other foods with which it may be used. It, moreover, has a certain mild mechanical action upon the digestive tract, and particularly in the intestines, that serves to keep the animal from becoming constipated.

Bran consists of the three outer coats of the wheat kernel, together with the aleurone layer immediately underlying them. These outer coats are very fibrous and contain large percentages of phosphates and other mineral constituents; the aleurone layer consists of cells exceedingly rich in protein. Fat also is present in fair amounts, so that all the necessary materials for the production of milk are present. In the internal economy of the animal, a large proportion of these nutrients is digested and, as has been demonstrated by many carefully conducted experiments, subsequently through the blood is transformed into muscle and bone and milk. Its 'nutritive ratio,' that is, the proportion of digestible protein to the digestible fat and carbo-hydrates, is 1 : 3.68, which clearly demonstrates the value of this by-product for furnishing the protein necessary to supplement that in the home-grown coarse fodders (usually characterized by a low protein-content) in order to obtain a balanced ration.

The composition of the bran will vary somewhat according to the character of the wheat (spring or winter) and of the milling, and of the relative freedom of the bran from weed seeds and other foreign matter. Spring wheat seems to yield a bran containing slightly more protein than winter wheat.

Shorts and Middlings.—According to Snyder,* 'wheat shorts consist of those outer portions of the wheat kernel which contain less fibre, protein and ash than the parts which make up the bran. This product is practically the fine bran subjected to more complete pulverisation and mixed with some low grade flour. It is more variable in composition than bran, but for some purposes, as pig feeding, is more valuable. When the wheat germ is added to the shorts the product is called middlings or shorts middlings.' Henry, in his work 'Feeds and Feeding,' says: 'Shorts consist of re-ground bran. Middlings contain the finer bran particles and more flour; often with this grade there are incorporated the germs of the wheat grain,' and further, he states, 'Middlings and shorts are terms used interchangeably to some extent. It has become rather common of late to find shorts consisting simply of ground-over bran, almost free from floury particles.' It is evident from these statements that the distinction between bran and shorts, which has been so marked in past times, is now becoming obliterated, and this is borne out to some extent by the appearance and analytical data of the Canadian samples we have examined this year, and which will shortly be discussed.

The introduction of the 'roller' process of milling and the wonderful improvement in bolting and sifting machinery now permits the miller to include practically all beneath the aleurone layer as flour—a most desirable result from his point of view. The germ is usually mixed with the lower grade flours. This means, naturally, that shorts or middlings as we have known them from the old stone mill will soon become a feed of the past. From the farmer's standpoint, and particularly that of the pig feeder, this is perhaps to be regretted, for as food for pigs shorts have always been most highly esteemed, especially in conjunction with skim milk. As an offset to this loss of mealy shorts, we have to recognize that the shorts of the future will be richer in protein and mineral matter, and consequently of greater value for muscle making and the development of the frame. It does not seem likely, however, that it will prove as desirable a feed for pigs and young stock generally.

Before presenting the results obtained recently on Canadian brans and shorts it will be of interest, for the sake of comparison, to insert the average composition of

* The Chemistry of Plant and Animal Life (Snyder), p. 306.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ese feeds as obtained by American chemists. The following averages are taken from
bles in Henry's 'Feeds and Feeding' :—

Feed.	No. of Samples.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fibre.	Carbo- hydrates.	Fat.
an, spring wheat	10	11·5	5·4	16·1	8·0	54·5	4·5
winter wheat	7	12·3	5·9	16·0	8·1	53·7	4·0
ddlings.....	32	12·1	3·3	15·6	4·6	60·4	4·0
orts.....	12	11·8	4·6	14·9	7·4	56·8	4·5

We may also insert certain data from Bulletin No. 160 of the New Jersey Experiment Station (1902), which gives the results from the analyses of 91 samples of wheat bran, 49 of which were reported as of winter wheat, 34 of spring wheat, and 8 either mixed or not designated. The composition of 20 samples of middlings are also included :—

—	Protein.	Fat.	Fibre.
an, Winter.....	15·96	4·63	7·51
Spring.....	16·97	5·27	8·81
ddlings.....	15·21	3·85	2·34

The figures from this latter table bear out the contention that spring wheat bran contains more protein than that of winter wheat, though the results from Henry lend little support to that view. Middlings, it will be seen, are slightly lower in protein, fat, fibre and ash constituents than bran, by both sets of results.

Canadian Brans.

To obtain information regarding the composition and relative feeding value of Canadian brans and shorts, we have submitted to analysis a series of samples of these feeds, kindly supplied by certain of the leading milling companies of the Dominion. The results obtained from this investigation may now be considered.

Eight brans have been analysed, the samples in every case being received direct from the mills. In appearance they were clean and bright, the flakes thin and light, and with one or two exceptions particularly free from all mealiness. Several retained a few hulls and occasionally whole grains of wheat or oats, and in three cases a few weed seeds were noticed. They were all free from sweepings and dirt and would undoubtedly be considered of first class quality. The exact character of the wheat from which these brans were prepared could not in the majority of cases be learnt, but may presume that spring wheat only was used in the Manitoban and Keewatin mills. It is also that a large proportion of the wheat of the Ontario mills was of that nature.

Moisture.—This constituent is seen to vary from 9·73 per cent to 12·37 per cent—the average from all the samples being 11·07 per cent, a figure somewhat lower than the American average, and pointing, other things being equal, to the higher feeding value of our brans.

It will be observed that in the majority of instances the drier brans are from mill in Manitoba. Only two of the series contain more than 12 per cent water, and these are from Ontario mills. The drier atmosphere of the North-west and the larger proportion of spring wheat used in milling there are, we suppose, the factors that have led to this low water-content.

Protein.—This nutrient, the most important of all, varies from 13·25 per cent to 15·31 per cent, the average being 14·52 per cent. Making a comparison with the average for protein in American brans, our figure is seen to be somewhat the lower. Whether this is due in part to differences in structure of the wheat, *e.g.*, greater thickness of the outer fibrous coats, or a thinner aleurone layer in Canadian spring wheat or whether it is due to some recent improvement or alteration in the milling machinery affecting the proportion of the various products, we are at present unable to say, but this we hope at some future time to investigate.

Fat.—Certain differences apparently exist between the various samples as regard fat content, these differences for the most part, however, are not of any great magnitude. The general average for the fat is practically the mean of the figures quoted by Henry for American brans, though somewhat lower than the results from New Jersey.

Carbo-hydrates.—The nitrogen-free extract (chiefly starch) is seen to be very fairly uniform throughout the series and to give an average practically identical with that quoted from American sources.

Fibre.—Here we find a slight increase over the percentage given by American chemists. If on further work brans from Canadian spring wheats in general show this higher fibre content it will be of interest to ascertain the cause. If one or other of the theories already advanced when discussing the protein content be found correct, we shall at the same time receive an explanation for this increased percentage of fibre.

Ash.—The average percentage of ash obtained agrees very closely with that given for pure brans. An inspection of the data shows that all the samples were free from mill sweepings, dirt, sand, &c.

Analysis of Brans—1903.

Name of Milling Firm.	Address.	Moisture.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbo-hydrates	Fibre.	Ash.
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Ogilvie Flour Mills	Winnipeg, Man	9·73	14·00	4·55	55·18	10·74	5·80
Alexander & Law Co	Brandon, Man	10·57	15·19	5·19	53·83	9·80	5·42
Lake of the Woods	Portage la Prairie, Man	9·89	14·81	4·68	53·75	10·62	6·24
"	Keewatin, Ont	10·83	14·56	3·60	54·56	10·93	5·52
Goldie Milling Co	Galt, Ont	12·70	13·25	3·78	54·61	9·66	6·00
Tilsenburg Milling Co	Tilsenburg, Ont	11·81	14·19	4·17	54·45	9·70	5·68
Kingston Milling Co	Kingston, Ont	10·65	15·31	4·87	52·96	10·35	5·86
Winchester Roller Mills	Winchester, Ont	12·37	14·84	4·12	54·20	9·28	5·15
Average		11·07	14·52	4·37	54·19	10·14	5·71

Canadian Shorts.

The analytical results of nine samples of shorts are presented. In eight of the nine cases they were received from the mills forwarding the brans. They were labelled 'shorts,' the term middlings not being used either in their description or designation.

Though the samples differed somewhat as regards fineness and, to some extent as to mealiness, we may safely state that they all resembled fine bran rather than thin floury, mealy shorts of the old stone mills.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Protein.—The percentage of protein is seen to be considerably higher than in the case of the brans. This we presume may be due to more of the aleurone layer and less of the outer fibrous coat entering into the composition than in the case of the brans. This increase in protein-content amounts to practically 1·5 per cent. With the exception of one sample, they are all over 15 per cent protein, ranging from 15·15 per cent to 17 per cent, the average being 15·93 per cent.

Fat.—In this constituent also the shorts give higher figures than the brans, the average for the former being 5·24 per cent and for the latter 4·37 per cent. In the comparatively high fat-content we have confirmatory evidence that these shorts are more closely related to bran than to the old stone mill shorts.

Carbo-hydrates.—Considering the average, there is about 5 per cent more carbohydrates (starch) in the shorts than in the bran. This points to a difference in their chemical composition, and clearly indicates that we cannot conclude that the shorts are merely finely ground bran. This extra starch makes the shorts more mealy than bran, and consequently better suited for certain classes of farm stock, as already pointed out in our general remarks on shorts and middlings.

Fibre and Ash.—In both of these constituents the shorts show much lower percentages than the brans. The fibre of the shorts is about one-half and the ash is approximately two-thirds, of that in the bran. Since it is the outer coats of the wheat kernel that have a high fibre-content and are particularly rich in ash, it is obvious that these shorts are not to be considered as entirely made up of finely ground bran.

ANALYSIS of Shorts, 1903.

Name of Milling Firm.	Address.	Moisture.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbo-hydrates	Fibre.	Ash.
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Winnipeg Flour Mills.....	Winnipeg, Man.....	8·88	15·62	4·83	59·07	7·51	4·09
Vander & Law Co.....	Brandon, Man.....	9·83	17·00	6·23	59·12	4·43	3·39
Portage la Prairie, Man.....	Portage la Prairie, Man.....	9·54	16·03	5·97	59·15	5·41	3·90
Keewatin, Ont.....	Keewatin, Ont.....	10·38	16·25	5·50	57·40	6·51	3·96
Galt, Ont.....	Galt, Ont.....	12·34	14·62	4·54	58·76	5·74	4·00
Tilsonburg, Ont.....	Tilsonburg, Ont.....	11·60	16·75	5·61	57·55	4·77	3·72
Kingston, Ont.....	Kingston, Ont.....	10·81	16·41	5·38	60·07	3·82	3·51
Winchester, Ont.....	Winchester, Ont.....	12·13	15·15	3·98	60·50	4·80	3·44
Woodstock, N. B.....	Woodstock, N. B.....	7·58	15·56	5·09	64·56	4·11	3·10
Average.....		10·34	15·93	5·24	59·58	5·23	3·68

Concluding this comparison of Canadian brans and shorts, we may state that the analytical data of this investigation clearly indicate the higher feeding value of the shorts. Their larger percentages of protein, of fat, and of carbo-hydrates and their lower fibre content, all point in the same direction, and furnish most conclusive and satisfactory proof of their superiority.

MOLASSINE MEAL.

This feeding stuff, imported from England, is prepared from crude molasses and water or moss—the latter constituent acting simply as an absorbent and not adding in any way to the nutritive value of the compound, though counteracting, it is claimed, the tendency to ‘looseness’ frequently induced when molasses alone is fed.

As received at the farm, this ‘meal’ was in the form of a loosely held together mass, brownish black, with all the appearance of an agglutinated peat. It was somewhat moist and slightly sticky, but readily broken into granules on handling.

Its analysis furnished the following data:—

Moisture.	11.74
Water soluble extract.	59.88
Ash.	8.92

In the water soluble extract:—

Cane sugar.	45.37
Glucose.	5.40
Nitrogenous organic matter.	5.13
Ash (chiefly potash salts).	6.30

On comparing these results with those from an analysis made in England, we find a considerable difference in moisture content, the present sample containing some 8 per cent less water, which necessarily means a higher value for the meal. This drying out may merely be accidental and due to the exposure of the sample to the drier air of this country. We presume it would not occur to such an extent when the feed is imported in bulk.

The constituent of importance in such compounds is sugar, which in the animal economy has a very high value as a source of energy and heat, and in the formation of fat. Its ready solubility, and the ease with which it is digested and assimilated, place sugar before all other carbo-hydrates, starch, gum, &c., for these purposes.

Molasses, and more particularly molasses feeds, of various kinds, have been used for some time in Europe in the feeding of horses, cattle and swine, and when judiciously employed and in conjunction with a sufficiency of nitrogenous matter, have given excellent results.* Apart from their direct food value, they are stated to act beneficially in increasing the appetite, stimulating the digestion, and keeping the animal in a thrifty condition.

Though containing a certain amount of nitrogenous material, molassine meal does not in itself possess a sufficiency of protein for the animal's requirements. Hence, it can only serve as a part of the ration, and is most economically employed as a substitute for say one-third to one-half of the usual grain feed.

The sample of molassine meal here reported upon was received from Messrs. Grassett & Reid, Toronto.

IMPROVED MOLASSES CATTLE FOOD.

This newly introduced feeding stuff is made by the Dresden Sugar Company, Limited, Dresden, Ont., and constitutes what may be termed a by-product in the manufacture of sugar from beets. It is prepared from two residues in the process—the exhausted beet pulp and waste molasses. These, by the aid of suitable machinery to accomplish the necessary pressing, drying and mixing are greatly concentrated and converted into a palatable fodder. As placed upon the market, it has the appearance of dry pulp, chips or flakes, quite loose and without any stickiness so noticeable in other feeding stuffs into which molasses has entered as a component. We have analysed several samples of this feed, together with a sample of the untreated dried beet pulp, and append our results. The particulars are as follows:—

No. 1.—Dried beet pulp (collected at the factory by Dr. Saunders).

No. 2.—Improved Molasses Cattle Food (collected at the factory by Dr. Saunders).

No. 3.—Improved Molasses Cattle Food, taken from a 75-lb. bag sent to the Experimental farm.

* A short article on this subject, including an analysis of molasses, is given in the Report of the Chemical Division, Experimental Farms, 1898.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

No. 4.—Improved Molasses Cattle Food, taken from one bag of 100 lbs. in a consignment of 4 tons sent to the Experimental farm for a feeding trial.

No. 5.—Taken after mixing 20 bags of above mentioned consignment.

ANALYSIS of Dried Pulp and Molasses Cattle Food from Dresden Sugar Company, Limited, Dresden, Ont.

	No. 1.	No. 2.	No. 3.	No. 4.	No. 5.
	%	%	%	%	%
Moisture.....	7.61	4.59	4.31	3.99	4.36
Crude protein.....	7.62	8.75	8.37	8.03	8.28
Fat.....	.40	.16	.09	.10	.74
Carbo-hydrates.....	59.49	65.44	66.07	64.38	64.61
Fibre.....	20.85	14.42	15.52	18.73	16.36
Ash.....	4.03	6.64	5.64	4.77	5.65
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Aqueous extract, dried at 105° C.....	9.58	35.11	24.90	12.58	22.17
Ash in aqueous extract.....	1.02	3.80	2.55	1.31	1.97
Cane sugar.....	6.92	25.85	17.06	9.19	13.51
Glucose (reducing sugar).....	0.88	1.11	3.78	0.63	2.05
Non-albuminoid nitrogenous substances.....		2.56	2.99	0.31	.84
Albuminoids.....	7.62	6.19	5.38	7.72	7.44

Dried Pulp.—This is the first product in the manufacture of the ‘Improved Molasses Cattle Food.’ It is stated that 100 lbs. of the freshly exhausted beet pulp yielded approximately 5 lbs. of the ‘Dried Pulp.’ This agrees very well with our analysis of the fresh pulp made some years ago, which was as follows:—

Analysis of Fresh Pulp.

Water.....	95.72
Crude protein.....	.51
Carbohydrates.....	2.36
Fat.....	0.01
Fibre.....	1.26
Ash.....	.14
	100.00

Roughly speaking, therefore, we may say that the dried pulp has, weight for weight, 20 times the feeding value of that of the fresh pulp. Notwithstanding this great concentration, dried pulp, by reason of its low protein and fat and its high fibre, is not in the same class as the various meals and concentrated feed stuffs. It is rather to be considered with those generally known as coarse fodders—from many of which, however, it differs in being much more digestible and palatable. We may safely assert from a consideration of its composition, its digestibility and palatability, that ‘dried pulp’ has a distinct feeding value and would constitute a wholesome addition to the ration when roots or ensilage are scarce.

Improved Molasses Cattle Food.—From the practical feeding standpoint this differs from ‘Dried Pulp,’ simply in containing more sugar, derived from the added molasses. This undoubtedly greatly enhances the feeding value, since sugar is readily

assimilable and performs most important functions in the body in the production of heat and the formation of fat.

From the four samples so far analysed it would not seem that uniformity in composition has yet been obtained. This is most probably due to the fact that the process of manufacture is new and not as yet thoroughly worked out in all its details for the best results, that is, as far as obtaining uniformity of product is concerned. The differences referred to lie chiefly in the sugar-content; in other words, in the proportion of molasses that had been dried with the pulp. This will clearly be seen by a reference to the table of data. The solid matter dissolved out of the feed by cold water (aqueous extract dried at 105° C) is seen to vary from 35.11 per cent to 12.58 per cent; containing from 25.85 per cent to 9.19 per cent cane sugar. The extracted matter in the dried pulp is fairly constant at about 9.5 per cent, containing nearly 7 per cent cane sugar. The differences here noted in the Improved Molasses Cattle Food must therefore be due to the varying amounts of molasses with which the pulp has been dried. This is further supported by the data for the ash in the extract and those for the non-albuminoid nitrogenous substances—the latter being practically absent in the dried pulp. We have dwelt upon these differences because, as stated in the preceding chapter, the sugar content is the real measure of the feeding, and we might add the fattening value of these preparations. It has already been remarked that in addition to its function as a heat-producer, sugar is an excellent fattener. It would seem that provided the animal has a sufficiency of nitrogenous material for its requirements, the addition of sugar to the ration greatly enhances the latter's fattening properties.

The crude protein is slightly higher in the Improved Molasses Cattle Food than in the Dried Pulp, but by the further differentiation of this into the albuminoids or true flesh-formers and the non-albuminoid nitrogenous substances (nutrients of much lower feeding value) it will be seen that the percentage of the former is really greater in the Dried Pulp. The nitrogenous substances in molasses are practically all of the non-albuminoid nature, and consequently the addition of molasses to the pulp lessens the proportion of the true albuminoids present in the finished product.

The percentage of moisture in this food is exceedingly low—in fact, considerably lower than that of other feeding stuffs ordinarily upon the market. This, of course, means a larger percentage of dry matter. This dryness enhances its nutritive qualities and keeping properties besides facilitating convenience in using.

Its proportion of fibre—the nutrient of least value in a fodder—is somewhat lower than that of the Dried Pulp. This is occasioned by the addition of molasses, which contains no fibre. The larger the proportion of molasses contained in this food, the more sugar—which is the element of value—and the less fibre will it possess.

As the manurial value of a fodder is a matter of some moment, it should be pointed out that the mineral matter of molasses consists chiefly of potash—an important element of plant food. This will appear largely in the urine, and consequently sufficient litter should be used to absorb all the liquid manure if this potash is to be saved for crop use.

COTTON SEED MEAL.

We had occasion last year to call the attention of our readers to the fact that an inferior brand of this valuable feeding stuff had appeared on the Canadian market (see page 148, report of the Experimental Farms, 1902). From samples received during the past year, it is evident that this low grade meal is still being sold in the Maritime provinces and at prices very little below those of the genuine article. It may be distinguished by those accustomed to handling cotton seed meal, as darker in colour and coarser than good quality meal. Such a sample was received from Mr. H. H. Bartlett, St. Andrews, N.B., and stated to have been purchased in St. Andrews from an agent of the Florida Cotton Oil Company, Jacksonville, Fla. This, it will be remembered, is the firm from which the inferior brand analysed and reported upon last year was obtained:—

Analysis.

Moisture	10.11
Protein (albuminoids).....	23.81
Fat.....	5.98

That this meal is very much inferior to genuine cotton seed meal will be obvious when it is stated that the latter contains in the neighbourhood of 42 per cent protein and 13 per cent fat.

Two samples were received from Mr. Thos. B. Smith, Truro, N.S., and also submitted to analysis. They were taken from the one consignment (2,000 lbs.), but differed from one another considerably in depth of colour. The meal was labelled: 'Canary' Brand Cotton Seed Meal, manufactured for R. W. Biggs & Co., Memphis, Tenn.

Analysis.

	No. 1 Light coloured.	No. 2 Dark coloured.
Moisture	6.71	6.74
Protein.....	43.06	39.43
Fat.....	11.47	8.10

No. 1 meal, though somewhat below the standard in fat, is evidently genuine, but which is not the case with No. 2. Though not as seriously adulterated as the Florida Cotton Oil Company's meal, this is seen to be decidedly inferior, both as to protein and fat, and consequently should not be sold at the same price as No. 1 meal.

The consumption of concentrated feed stuffs steadily increases year by year, and will continue to do so. Their price, in the majority of instances, is high compared with other fodders, and for this reason alone it is of paramount importance that there should be no falling off in their feeding value. Many of these feeds are by-products, and consequently variable in their composition or at least capable of being mixed with inferior materials. As instances, we may cite oat feeds, from oat meal manufacture; rye feeds, from the starch factory; and cotton seed meal. Analyses of these in the farm laboratories have frequently shown that the selling price does not agree with their nutritive value. Further, it is often difficult, or indeed impossible, for a farmer to judge of the value of such feeds by mere inspection; an analysis is absolutely necessary to learn their percentages of protein and fat, the two constituents of greatest importance from the feeding standpoint.

For these reasons, the writer is of the opinion that such by-products should be sold under a guarantee and that there should be an official examination and analysis of them, similar to that in vogue for fertilizers. If it is necessary to protect the farmer in connection with the purchase of plant food, it seems equally essential that there should be a like protection in the purchase of animal food. During the past few years many of the states of the American Union have passed laws compelling the manufacturer or vendor of such feeds to attach to every consignment a tag on which is printed the guaranteed analysis, showing the percentages of protein and fat the feed contains. It appears that the time is about at hand when we shall require that the same information and protection should be given to Canadian farmers.

THE RELATIVE VALUE OF ROOTS.

For several years past we have examined the principal field roots, with the object of ascertaining how far their nutritive value may vary from season to season, as well as to obtain data which would enable us to judge of their relative feeding properties.

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

The chief varieties of mangels analysed were: Gate Post, Giant Yellow Globe, Giant Sugar Feeding, Half Long Sugar Rosy, and Half Long Sugar White. Of carrots, the varieties were: Short White and Half Long White. Of sugar beets: Danish Improved. Of turnips: Skirvings. Of Swedes: Selected Purple Top.

ANALYSIS of Roots, C. E. F., Ottawa, 1903.

Variety.	Water.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Average weight of one root.	
	%	%	%	lbs.	ozs.
Mangel—Half-long Sugar Rosy.	87.55	12.45	9.61	2	8
Half-long Sugar White.....	86.54	13.46	9.82	1	13
Giant Sugar Feeding.....	85.26	14.74	10.40	2	8
Giant Yellow Globe.....	89.11	10.89	6.17	3	13
Gate Post.....	87.07	12.93	7.38	3	3
Carrots—Improved Short White.....	89.60	10.40	4.77	1	10
Half-long White.....	90.17	9.83	2.52	1	15
Turnips—Skirvings.....	89.03	10.97	2.78	2	9
Selected Purple Top.....	88.99	11.01	2.77	5	6

The results are, on the whole, very satisfactory, showing that notwithstanding the abnormal character of the season most of the varieties are very little behind their average in dry matter and sugar.

The so-called sugar mangels again maintain their superiority, the richest of them, as in 1902, being the 'Giant Sugar Feeding' mangel, but the varieties, 'Half Long Sugar Rosy' and 'Half Long Sugar White,' follow very closely. They are all evidently roots of a high feeding value.

The following results, as regards dry matter and sugar of the mangels 'Gate Post' and 'Giant Yellow Globe,' during the past four years, will prove interesting. They show that despite changes due to season, &c., the relative position of these two well known roots has been maintained throughout.

Dry Matter and Sugar in Mangels.

	1900.		1901.		1902.		1903.	
	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Gate Post.....	11.14	6.15	9.41	4.15	13.90	9.39	12.93	7.38
Giant Yellow Globe.....	8.19	2.64	9.10	4.08	10.24	5.24	10.89	6.17

We have not the same continuous series of results for the carrots and turnips examined, and shall not, therefore, at the present time undertake any discussion of the feeding values of the different varieties.

SUGAR BEETS.

The principal varieties of sugar beets grown on the several Experimental Farms have, as in past years, been examined. The results will be found in the subjoined table; the particulars of growth are also presented in tabular form.

SSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SUGAR BEETS grown on the Dominion Experimental Farms, 1903.

Variety.	Locality.	Percentage of Sugar in Juice.	Percentage of Solids in Juice.	Coefficient of Purity.	Average weight of one Root.	
					Lbs.	Oz.
Vilmorin's Improved.....	Nappan, N. S.....	16.29	19.55	83.3	0	14
" "	Ottawa, Ont.....	15.61	16.90	92.3	1	8
" "	Brandon, Man.....	11.36	15.41	73.7	1	4
" "	Indian Head, N.W.T.....	15.52	18.27	84.9	1	3
" "	Agassiz, B. C.....	17.47	21.08	82.8	1	15
n Wanzleben	Nappan, N. S.....	14.23	18.80	75.7	1	1
" "	Ottawa, Ont.....	15.12	17.38	86.9	1	9
" "	Indian Head, N.W.T.....	16.19	20.80	77.8	1	1
" "	Agassiz, B. C.....	17.34	21.06	82.3	2	3
ch "Very Rich"	Nappan, N. S.....	15.46	19.00	81.3	0	15
" "	Indian Head, N.W.T.....	16.90	20.60	82.0	0	15
" "	Agassiz, B. C.....	17.53	22.00	79.6	2	4
ish Improved.....	Nappan, N. S.....	11.65	15.58	74.7	1	1
" "	Ottawa, Ont.....	13.49	15.74	85.7	1	6
" "	Indian Head, N.W.T.....	11.24	14.56	77.1	1	8
" "	Agassiz, B. C.....	11.42	15.94	71.6	2	3
Top Sugar	Nappan, N. S.....	12.37	16.80	73.6	1	2
" "	Ottawa, Ont.....	11.02	13.32	82.7	1	8
" "	Indian Head, N.W.T.....	11.43	14.40	79.3	1	7
" "	Agassiz, B. C.....	8.14	13.67	59.5	3	12
roved Imperial.....	Nappan, N. S.....	10.47	17.69	59.1	1	1
" "	Ottawa, Ont.....	12.19	14.33	85.0	1	12
" "	Indian Head, N.W.T.....	13.60	16.97	80.1	1	9
" "	Agassiz, B. C.....	10.33	14.87	69.6	4	7
ish Red Top.....	Nappan, N. S.....	11.48	15.77	72.7	1	4
" "	Ottawa, Ont.....	11.63	14.12	82.3	1	9
" "	Indian Head, N.W.T.....	11.49	15.35	74.8	1	9
" "	Agassiz, B. C.....	10.48	15.31	68.4	3	4
nd Giant.....	Nappan, N. S.....	9.43	14.40	65.4	1	12
" "	Ottawa, Ont.....	11.03	12.95	85.1	1	8
" "	Agassiz, B. C.....	11.04	15.91	69.3	3	3
e unknown, seed from Mr. N. Bell.....	Indian Head, N.W.T.....	17.45	21.40	81.5	1	3

NOTE—It should be observed that of all the varieties here reported upon the Vilmorin's Improved and Wanzleben and the French "Very Rich" are those only commonly employed for sugar extraction.

SUGAR BEETS grown on the Experimental Farms, 1903—Particulars of Growth.

Locality.	Date.		Distance between		Remarks
	Sowing.	Pulling.	Rows.	Plants in Row.	
Experimental Farm— Nappan, N.S.....	May 15.	Oct. 22.	2 0	12	Light clay loam; manured fall 1902.
Ottawa, Ont.....	June 1.	Sep. 21	3 0	9	Moderately heavy loam in excellent condition.
Brandon, Man.....	June 1.	Sep. 21	3 0	9	Black vegetable loam; manured two years ago.
Indian Head, N.W.T..	May 26.	Oct. 9.	2 6	10	Clay loam; 15 loads of rotted manure to the acre.
Agassiz, B.C.....	" 7.	" 22.	2 6	9	Sandy loam; clover stubble ploughed in fall of 1902.

Nova Scotia, Nappan.—The first four mentioned beets in the table—Vilmorin's Improved, Klein Wanzleben, French 'Very Rich' (Très Riche) and Danish Improved—
16—10

practically comprise the varieties now grown for factory purposes. Their sugar content and purity this year do not differ widely on the whole from those reported for this locality in 1902, though certain individual variations are to be observed. Thus the average percentage of sugar, as calculated from the four varieties, is 14'44 for 1902 and 14'41 for 1903.

Ontario, Ottawa.—The exceptional, and in many respects unfavourable, season experienced here this year—a protracted drought in the spring and early months followed by a somewhat excessive rainfall at the time when the beets were maturing and storing up sugar—has materially influenced both the sugar-content and the purity of the beets. In nearly every instance, this season's results are lower than those of the year. Averaging the results from Vilmorin's Improved, Klein Wanzleben and Danish Improved, we obtain the following results:—

1902—Percentage of sugar in juice.....	16'00
Co-efficient of purity.....	91'0
1903—Percentage of sugar in juice.....	14'74
Co-efficient of purity.....	88'3

Manitoba, Brandon.—Only one variety was examined from this district—Vilmorin's Improved. The results are exceedingly low for this excellent beet, indicating that conditions were unfavourable for a root suitable for factory purposes.

North-west Territories, Indian Head.—The results from this farm show a decided improvement over those obtained last year. Thus, we find the average sugar content in the four varieties first on the list was 13'97 per cent in 1902, whereas, this season it is 14'96 per cent. It is of interest to note that a variety, the seed of which was sent by Mr. C. N. Bell, but the name of which is unknown, was found to contain 17'45 per cent of sugar.

British Columbia, Agassiz.—In spite of the fact that most of the roots were much larger than is recommended for factory purposes, the varieties, Vilmorin's Improved, Klein Wanzleben, French Very Rich, and Danish Improved, had almost satisfactory sugar content. The average of the three first mentioned is 17'45 per cent, and the average of the first four (including Danish Improved, the roots of which were altogether too heavy) is 15'94 per cent.

Southern Alberta, Raymond.—A sample of Klein Wanzleben, forwarded by the Knight Sugar Company, who have established a factory at this place (in operation for the first time this autumn) gave the following results:—

Percentage of sugar in juice....	20'40
Co-efficient of purity.....	80'79
Average weight of one root.....	1 lb. 3 oz.

As the sample is stated to be representative of a field of 30 acres, we must conclude that the crop will prove highly satisfactory for sugar extraction.

The following particulars have been forwarded by the Knight Sugar Company: Variety of beet, Klein Wanzleben, sown May 28, pulled October 31. Distance between rows, 20 inches; distance between plants in row, 10 inches. Clay loam. No manure or irrigation. Sod broken up in the autumn of 1901; disced and reploughed in autumn of 1902, preparatory for spring planting. This field of 30 acres yields 12 tons per acre.

Northern Alberta, Strathcona and vicinity.—In the following table are given data from the examination of 5 samples received from the Secretary of the Board of Trade, Strathcona:—

SSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ANALYSIS OF SUGAR BEETS—Northern Alberta.

Locality.	Variety.	Marks.	Percent- age of Sugar in Juice.	Percent- age of Solids. in Juice.	Coefficient of Purity.	Average weight one Root.
						Lbs. Oz.
Strathcona	Danish Improved.....	J. F. ...	9.37	12.97	72.24	1 6
"	"	W. F. ...	10.84	13.55	80.00	1 5
Robert Hill	"	M. R. ...	6.75	10.72	62.96	2 10
"	"	W. M. ...	9.73	13.56	71.75	1 6
Ellerslie	K. Wanzleben.....	J. G. ...	11.74	14.92	78.68	.. 13
Clover Bar	"	G. A. C. ...	14.37	19.91	72.17	.. 15
Rabbit Hill	Wanzleben and Danish Imp.	J. J. S. ...	14.37	19.97	72.00	1 3
Clearwater	K. Wanzleben.....	W. L. ...	14.38	19.65	72.23	1 1

Nos. 1-5.—These results are not indicative of good factory beets; indeed, they are much too low to allow of profitable sugar extraction. In one instance (No. 3) the beets were too large, but even allowing for this, it is evident that the unfavourable season, heavy rains and low temperatures prevailing in the late summer months when the beet matures, had a disastrous effect upon the sugar content. Last year (1902) 4 samples of Klein Wanzleben from the same locality were tested and gave data of a much more satisfactory character.

Nos. 6, 7 and 8.—These three samples are practically identical, the differences being insignificant. Though not exceeding in sugar content a moderate average, they are decidedly superior to samples Nos. 1 to 5, which had been received and tested some five weeks earlier.

SUGAR BEETS—Northern Alberta, Strathcona and Vicinity.

Name.	Locality.	Variety.	DATES.		DISTANCE BETWEEN.		Remarks.
			Sowing.	Pulling.	Rows.	Plants.	
A. Fisher	Strathcona..	Danish Imp. ...	June 6..	Oct. 15..	18	7	Soil rather poor.
M. Fitzpatrick	" ..	" ..	" 2..	" 3..	16	9	Black clay loam, fairly good.
Reynolds....	Robert Hill.	" ..	" 2..	" 13..	18	8	Heavy black loam, new land.
M. Magee....	" ..	" ..	" 5 ..	" 10..	22	7	Heavy clay loam, lying low.
Govenlock....	Ellerslie	K. Wanzleben.	May 28..	" 10..	16	9	Heavy black loam.
A. Coff.	Clover Bar ..	" ..	June 4..	" 14..	Black loam.
J. Scribner ..	Rabbit Hill.	Danish Imp. ...	" 6..	" 20..
Loughbridge ..	Clearwater.	K. Wanzleben.	May 29..	" 19..	Rich black loam.

Wallaceburg Sugar Company, Limited, Wallaceburg, Ont.—A sample of beets (Klein Wanzleben) forwarded from the factory of the Wallaceburg Sugar Co., Limited, afforded the following data:—

Percentage of sugar in juice.....	15.61
Percentage of solids in juice.....	19.26
Co-efficient of purity.....	81.05
Average weight of one root.....	2 lbs. 5 oz.

As to richness in sugar and purity, these beets are of excellent quality, and this in spite of their weight being somewhat above that usually recognized as best for factory purposes.

Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown.—Two samples of sugar beets grown on the provincial farm near Charlottetown, were forwarded by Mr. E. J. McMillan, Secretary of Agriculture, Charlottetown, P. E. I., who writes, 'The yield was so small, owing to damage to the young plants by cut worms, as to be scarcely worth reporting. These roots were taken from a portion of the plot which escaped being cut down.'

Variety.	Sugar in Juice.	Solids in Juice.	Coefficient of Purity.	Average Weight of one Root.
1. Vilmorin's Improved.....	19·93	24·35	81·64	1 lb. 0 oz
2. Klein Wanzleben.....	12·07	17·33	69·64	1 " 2 "

As the roots of sample No. 1 were somewhat shrivelled, the sugar content, as here reported, is no doubt slightly higher than in the beet as pulled. The evidence, however, is sufficiently clear and conclusive of the high quality of these beets.

No. 2 is below the average and not sufficiently rich for factory use. If grown under similar conditions to No. 1, it seems doubtful if the seed were really of the Klein Wanzleben variety, which usually gives much higher results.

In forwarding the beets, Mr. E. J. McMillan, writes as follows: 'Both samples were grown side by side on a rich loam soil; the previous crop was grain. The land was ploughed in the fall and again in the spring, when a dressing at the rate of three cart-loads per acre of barn-yard muck and well rotten manure was turned under. The surface was well cultivated and the seed sown in rows, 26 inches apart, on May 1. The plants were thinned to about 8 inches apart in the rows. Cutworms completely destroyed a portion of the plots so that the rate of yield could not be determined. The roots were pulled in the last week of October, and were found to be very rough. I hope to overcome this in another year by more careful cultivation.'

NATURALLY-OCCURRING FERTILIZERS AND WASTE PRODUCTS.

SWAMP MUCK: ITS NATURE AND TREATMENT.

Attention has been repeatedly directed in the past reports of this Division to the agricultural value of swamp muck, black muck, peat, bog mud and allied materials, rich in organic matter, and from a large correspondence we have reason to believe that many farmers, more particularly in the older provinces, are now employing these deposits and finding in them a useful source of humus and nitrogen. Requests for information as to the nature and uses of these naturally-occurring fertilizers, however, continue to be received, and a concise account of the several ways in which they may be advantageously treated, seems to be in constant demand. We accordingly offer the following statements and suggestions in the hope that they may prove of benefit to our readers.

Origin and Nature of Swamp Deposits.

The accumulation of the semi-decayed vegetable matter known as peat or swamp muck, &c., is due to stagnant water. Swamps and bogs are the result of former lakes or ponds, or possibly mere depressions covered by water, which

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

have been filled up by the gradual encroachment of aquatic or semi-aquatic vegetation from their shores. Successive generations of mosses, and other water-loving plants, starting in the shallows and drawing their food supply year after year from the remains of the previous season's growth, have gradually pushed out towards the middle of these bodies of water, until in many instances the lake or pond as entirely disappeared. Under such conditions, though there is a certain amount of decomposition, a large proportion of the humus conserved is especially rich in nitrogen. In this way, vast deposits have accumulated, which may be utilized to furnish vegetable matter (humus) and nitrogen to both clays and sands deficient in these valuable constituents.

Uses and Treatment of Peat and Muck.

Speaking generally, the application of these materials in the crude and raw condition is not to be advised, for their plant food does not exist in immediately available forms. Fermentation is necessary to set it free. Further, the mode of occurrence develops acid, and as acidity or sourness is more or less injurious to ordinary farm crops, it is desirable to correct this quality before the muck is applied to the soil. For these reasons, we counsel one or other of the following means of preparation:—

In the first place, after digging the muck—which may be done at any time when other work on the farm permits and the bog is sufficiently dry to be accessible to teams—it is well to pile it and allow it to so remain throughout the winter. The weathering—the action of the air and frost—serves to sweeten and disintegrate the muck, oxidizes any poisonous iron compounds that may be present, and thus prepares it for more ready decomposition in the compost heap. There are mucks so sweet and so well decomposed that they may with benefit be at once applied to the soil, but these are not of common occurrence.

Use as an Absorbent in and about the Farm Buildings.

The air-dried and roughly powdered muck—and especially that from the upper layers of the bog composed chiefly of sphagnum and other mosses—is an excellent absorbent. Its use as such in and about the farm buildings, or wherever there is liquid manure likely to go to waste, cannot be too strongly recommended, for thereby not only is valuable plant food conserved (the liquid portion of the manure being by far the richer in fertilizing constituents), but the subsequent fermentation of the muck now intimately mixed with the manurial elements, rapidly brings about the conversion of its plant food into an assimilable condition. All mucks are not equally suitable for this purpose, but those of a peaty, mossy or powdery nature will be generally found of good absorbent capacity, and can be so employed. No special directions are necessary in this matter, but we may state that the practice of spreading a shovelful of the air-dried muck (which may be kept in a bag convenient to the building) in the gutter behind each cow after cleansing the stable, has been found to work excellently. It soaks up the liquid manure and makes a cleaning of the stable an easy task. The resulting manure, now largely increased in bulk and value, may be taken at once to the fields, or, still better, perhaps, subjected to a slight fermentation in the heap previous to use.

Muck Composts.

The object of composting muck with various substances, such as manure, wood shavings, &c., is to start its further fermentation, and to liberate its plant food. It is obvious that those who have deposits of this naturally-occurring fertilizer conveniently at little cost largely increase their supply of manure, and restore to their land the humus and nitrogen which has been dissipated and used by continuous cropping.

Composts with Manure.—Spread on a level piece of ground a layer of the weathered and air-dried peat or muck, 6, 8, or 10 feet wide, and of any desired length, and 1 foot to 1½ feet in thickness. Cover with a layer of manure, say, 1 foot thick, and continue with alternate layers of muck and manure until the heap is 4 to 5 feet high, finally covering with a layer of muck. The proportions here given are to be considered as suggestions only, the principle involved being to use sufficient manure to set up active fermentation in the muck. Too large a proportion of the latter prevents the decomposition of the muck, which it is sought to bring about. Keep the heap moist, but at no time should it be saturated. An occasional watering in a dry season may be beneficial, and for this purpose liquid manure and house slops will be found valuable in assisting fermentation and enriching the compost. At the end of a few weeks—the period will largely depend on the season—the mass should be forked over and again covered with muck. This operation may be repeated at similar intervals two or three times. At the end of two, or possibly three, months the compost should be in excellent condition for application to the soil.

It will be obvious that any and all refuse on the farm of an organic nature, whether vegetable or animal, can be used advantageously for composting with these materials.

Composts with Wood Ashes, Lime, &c.—The growth of micro-organisms, which bring about the further fermentation of the muck, is retarded or altogether checked by the acid naturally present in the muck. On the other hand, a slightly alkaline condition favours fermentation, and it is, therefore, evident that wood ashes, lime or marl (alkaline substances) may be employed as composting materials.

Wood Ashes.—For every 100 bushels of muck add 10 to 15 bushels of wood ashes. Intimately mix by shovelling, and shape up into a compact heap, 3 to 5 feet high. If the muck is quite damp, no water need be added, but if it is dry, pour on a sufficient quantity to thoroughly moisten the mass. Finally cover with a few inches of muck, and leave the heap for, say, two months. It may then be reshovelled and again covered, moistening if necessary. Usually, from 4 to 6 months in summer time are required to bring the muck into a suitable condition for application to the soil.

Such a compost not only contains the plant food of the muck—now in more or less available condition—but also the potash, phosphoric acid, and lime of the wood ashes, and these greatly enhance its value as a fertilizer.

Lime.—Slake 10 bushels of quick-lime to a fine powder with brine made by dissolving 1 to 1½ bushels of salt in a sufficiency of water. This is then spread upon the muck in alternate layers, and the heap built up and treated as before described. For muck fresh from the swamp, use about 2 bushels of the lime to 100 bushels of the muck, for air-dried muck (to be subsequently moistened, if necessary), 10 bushels of lime to 100 bushels of the muck or peat.

Marl, gas-lime, and leached ashes may all be used for composting, using 20 to 25 bushels to the 100 bushels of muck.

TOBACCO REFUSE.

Tobacco stalks, and the stems (from which the leaves have been stripped) dried and powdered, constitute a fertilizer of considerable value by reason of the nitrogen and potash they contain.

Tobacco dust or refuse from the cigar manufactory is largely made up of powder stems or leaf ribs, and, if not too largely mixed with inert matter, such as sand, sweepings, &c., is well worth the attention of market gardeners, fruit growers, &c., in the neighbourhood of tobacco factories.

This material, we are informed, may frequently be obtained for the hauling, at a nominal price. If, however, any considerable figure is asked it would be desirable

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

to obtain some knowledge of its fertilizing value, as this may vary in different samples within very wide limits. A sample of the tobacco dust forwarded by Mr. L. S. Campbell, K.C., Montreal, and recently analysed by us, furnished the subjoined data:—

Analysis of Tobacco Refuse.

Moisture.....	7'45
Organic matter.....	63'09
Ash or mineral matter soluble in acid.....	8'69
“ “ insoluble in acid.....	20'77
	<hr/> 100'00 <hr/>

	Per cent.	Pounds per ton.
Nitrogen.....	1'27	25'4
Potash.....	1'36	27'2
Phosphoric acid.....	'34	6'8

As usually quoted by writers on agricultural chemistry, this material should contain from $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 per cent nitrogen, and from 3 to 7 per cent potash. We suppose that in the sample here reported upon the lower values are due to the large amount of sand, &c., present. Nevertheless, it has distinct value, for at market prices of nitrogen and potash in equally available forms, it would be worth about \$4 per ton for its plant food.

Though not, strictly speaking, a matter coming within the province of the Chemical Division to report upon, mention might be made here of the insecticidal properties of powdered tobacco leaves, stems, &c., used dry or in the form of a decoction or for fumigation. This material is largely used in the preparation of many insecticides now found upon the market and is especially advocated for the destruction of plant lice and other sucking insects.

REFUSE FROM A POTATO STARCH FACTORY.

The results of our analysis of a sample of this by-product, forwarded from Charlottetown, P.E.I., and stated to be thoroughly representative of this material, are as follows:—

ANALYSIS of Refuse from Potato Starch Factory.

	As Received.	Calculated to Water-free basis.
Water.....	72'47
Organic matter.....	23'41	85'04
Ash or mineral matter.....	4'12	14'96
Total.....	<hr/> 100'00 <hr/>	<hr/> 100'00 <hr/>
Nitrogen.....	0'183	0'782
Phosphoric acid.....	0'046	0'17

These data show that the fertilizing value of this material is insignificant, though might prove of value to soils lacking in organic matter. The percentage of nitrogen does not exceed that in many soils of average productiveness, and in phosphoric acid

this refuse is also decidedly low. It is evident, therefore, that this by-product could only be used locally with any hope of profit.

Undoubtedly, the best returns would be on light, sandy or gravelly soils, and used in conjunction with lime and marl.

CHEMISTRY OF INSECTICIDES AND FUNGICIDES.

KNO-BUG.*

So many inquiries regarding the nature and value of this newly introduced preparation have been received during the past season that its analysis was deemed desirable.

The packages sent in for examination were all of the same size and weight, holding 1 lb. of the powder. The printed matter upon the package states that it is a 'combined bug-killer and potato grower.' It further states 'Kno-bug is a preparation to destroy potato bugs and all other bugs that eat leaves, plants or vines. It not only destroys the bugs, but, unlike Paris green, acts as a vegetable tonic and stimulates the growth of the plant, prevents blight, scab and rots. Carpenter-Morton Co., Boston, Mass.'

It is a fine, earthy powder of a pinkish-red colour, but revealing under the microscope many particles of Paris green.

The analysis included a search for and determination of compounds that might act as insecticides, and also of those which would furnish plant food.

Analysis.

	Per cent.
Paris green... ..	2'16
Nitrogen (present as nitrates)†... ..	729
Potash (soluble in water)†... ..	2'44
Phosphoric acid... ..	traces only
Ground gypsum (land plaster)... ..	88'15
Oxide of iron (ochre)... ..	3'67
Insoluble rock matter... ..	1'32

This insecticidal compound, it will be seen, contains an amount of Paris green approximately equivalent to that in the 'dry mixture' recommended by entomologists for leaf-eating insects, and particularly for the potato bug. The formula on the spraying calendar of the Experimental Farm reads: '1 lb. of Paris green to be mixed with 5 lbs. of flour, land plaster, slaked lime or any other perfectly dry powder.' The vehicle or filler, in Kno-bug being land plaster, shows that the manufacturers have in this case followed closely the teachings of those best qualified to advise in such matters.

There was no free arsenious acid present, or only mere traces, consequently the preparation could not injure foliage.

As regards plant food, analysis shows notable quantities of two important elements—nitrogen and potash—and these constituents are present as a compound which is soluble. They may, therefore, be considered as immediately available to growing plants.

The economy of using such compounds must depend largely upon their price, and in order to consider their value from this standpoint it would be necessary to know the prices at which the various ingredients could be bought. The latter are not necessarily the same for all purchasers. They depend upon the distance from large markets.

* In this name is evidently incorporated the formula of saltpetre or nitrate of potash KNO_3 one of the constituents of this preparation.

† Equivalent to $5\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. (approximately) of potassium nitrate (saltpetre) per hundred weight of Kno-bug.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

and the quantities in which the materials are purchased. On making a comparison, however, between the cost of the ingredients and of the prepared article, the probability is that there will be found a very handsome margin to cover the cost of mixing, putting up, &c., of the latter. Thus, for the purpose of illustration, we may assume the following prices: Paris green, 20 cents per lb.; nitrate of potash, 10 cents per lb.; and ground land plaster, 40 cents per 100 lbs. At these prices, the ingredients in 100 lbs. of Kno-bug would cost, approximately, \$1.35. In other words, this preparation would be worth, for its several constituents that make it of value, either as an insecticide or fertilizer, about $1\frac{1}{2}$ cents per lb. The retail price of Kno-bug is stated to be 10 cents per lb.

In the case of condimental foods for stock, the price almost invariably exceeds very largely the cost of the various constituents, and the same is no doubt true of preparations for the treatment and feeding of plants.

BUG FINISH.

This is another preparation for the destruction of the potato bug. In its main features it is similar to the foregoing compound: that is, the base is gypsum, with a small quantity of Paris green as the insecticide. The essential elements of fertility, however, are absent. It is stated to be manufactured by 'Church's Alabastin Company, Paris, Ont.,' and to be retailed at 3 cents per lb.

In appearance, it is a grayish-white powder, showing under the microscope scattered particles of Paris green. On analysis we obtained the following data:—

Analysis.

	Per cent.
Ground gypsum.....	64.55
Carbonate of lime.....	7.14
Oxide of iron and alumina.....	2.30
Insoluble rock matter.....	17.51
Paris green.....	1.27

For those who prefer to use the 'dry powder' form of insecticide on potatoes, this compound no doubt will answer, though the percentage of Paris green is somewhat less than that recommended.

As regards the economy in using it compared with the home prepared powder, the remarks made in discussing Kno-bug are here equally applicable.

OWENS' COMPOUND FOR THE PROTECTION OF TREES AGAINST INSECT AND FUNGUS RAVAGES.

This material, which has been exploited to a considerable extent in Western Ontario, was brought to our notice last March by several prominent orchardists, who requested an analysis and a report upon the claims of the promoter. These claims are not only will it protect the tree against all insect and fungus ravages, but that the general health and vigour of the tree will be improved. The directions for use are simply to bore a hole in the trunk of the tree and insert the powder. Presumably, the powder is to enter into the sap circulation and that this will be effective in rendering the tree immune against all insects and fungi.

We were able to obtain several samples of this compound, some of which had been taken out of trees previously treated. The first sample, obtained in the neighbourhood of London, Ont., furnished on analysis the following data:—

	Per cent.
Sulphur.....	94.3
Charcoal (containing a little ash, &c.).....	5.7
	<hr/> 100.00 <hr/>

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

A second sample of this 'Owen mixture used in the tree plugging process,' received some six weeks later, and obtained from another correspondent, was found to contain the same constituents in almost the same proportions:—

	Per cent.
Sulphur.....	90.18
Charcoal.....	9.82
	<hr/> 100.00

The third sample, also from Western Ontario, afforded on analysis the following data:—

	Per cent.
Sulphur.....	93.65
Charcoal.....	6.35
	<hr/> 100.00

It is evident, therefore, that though little care is taken by the vendor in obtaining always the same proportions, we may be sure that the chief constituent is sulphur to which has been added 5 per cent to 10 per cent of charcoal.

It seems scarcely necessary to point out that such a mixture could not be of the slightest value in protecting the tree against the ravages of insects and fungi, or in stimulating growth. It would be absolutely inert and inactive, remaining in the tree where it is put (as we had an opportunity of proving) and incapable of entering into the sap circulation.

It is extremely problematical if any chemical could thus to any extent be introduced into the sap circulation—and certainly such is out of the question with insoluble substances, such as sulphur and charcoal. Further, if such were possible, there is no doubt but that a quantity sufficient to deter insects and fungi from attacking the fruit and leaves would materially affect the health of the tree, and in all probability cause its death.

From time to time, such methods or processes as the one under consideration are exploited—indeed, it is quite an ancient fraud—and we presume a number of people especially those who wish to save themselves the trouble of spraying, are induced to purchase and make a trial. Such methods are always of the same general character and equally without merit. Quite recently an effort was made to sell county rights in Ontario for the Royal Insect Destroyer, promoted by a Mr. Lester, of Roanoke, Va. U.S., the plan of operation being identical with that of the so-called Owen Process. On inquiry from a reliable source, it was learnt that this compound was a mixture of gunpowder, sulphur, copperas, and saltpetre.

FORMALIN,* FORMALDEHYDE.

This well known antiseptic, disinfectant and preservative is now extensively and most satisfactorily used in Manitoba and the North-west Territories for the treatment of seed grain for smut. It has been for this reason that we have undertaken the analysis of the more important brands of this material upon the market and now present the results. The following descriptions are copied from the labels on the bottles collected for analysis:—

No. 1.—Formalin, Chemische Fabrik auf Aktien (Schering), Berlin.

* Formalin is the name copyrighted by Schering (Berlin) for a 40 per cent solution of formaldehyde. Merck, of Darmstadt, in the same way, for the same strength of solution uses the name Formol.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

No. 2.—Solution Formaldehyde 40 per cent solution, Parke, Davis & Co., Walkerville, Ont.

No. 3.—Formaldehyde, 40 per cent solution, Lyman, Sons & Co., Montreal, Que.

No. 4.—Formaldehyde, Merck (Formol), Darmstadt.

These have been carefully analysed by the following four well known methods: The ammonia method, the cyanide method, the iodine method and the hydrogen peroxide method. All these, according to our experience are open to some objection, but the one in our judgment yielding the most reliable results is the last mentioned, and accordingly we shall only present the data from it:—

Percentage of Formaldehyde (by weight).

No. 1.....	36.1
No. 2.....	37.3
No. 3.....	37.2
No. 4.....	37.0

Nos. 2, 3 and 4 are practically identical. Our results go to show that great uniformity in strength prevails among the chief brands of this material for sale in Canada.

It is of interest to note that the data do not in any case show the presence of 40 per cent of formaldehyde, as advertised by the manufacturers. Upon consulting analyses by American chemists a similar result is to be generally seen, and we may, therefore, conclude that the strength of 40 per cent by weight is an approximation rather than a statement of an exact nature.

The specific gravity of the several solutions was taken with the following results:—

Specific Gravity at 15.5° C.

No. 1.....	1.0815
No. 2.....	1.0900
No. 3.....	1.0895
No. 4.....	1.0885

These are in accord with the determinations of formaldehyde above given, though somewhat at variance with those quoted in several standard works.

THE CHEMISTRY OF BEE-KEEPING.

THE STORAGE OF HONEY.

Our experiments towards ascertaining the best conditions under which honey could be stored, were begun in the season of 1902. These were with extracted honey, and the results showed that it seriously deteriorated if stored in any room with a moist atmosphere.

The experiment was conducted in December, a season when at Ottawa the air may be termed dry. The temperature of the laboratory in which the work was done, was from 65° F. to 70° F. In the subjoined table the term 'dry atmosphere' has reference to the atmosphere of the laboratory; the 'moist' or 'saturated' atmosphere was obtained by exposing water in a flat dish at room temperature, under a large bell jar. In this bell jar the honey, contained in a suitable vessel, was placed upon a scaffolding or frame-work.

Honey in Comb (sections).

From October 12 to November 3.

Place of Exposure, &c.	Temperature.	Loss, (Water.)	Gain, (Water.)
	°F.	%	%
In laboratory (ordinary temperature).....	60-70	1.5 } 1.26 }
" (saturated atmosphere)	60-70	2.73 } 4.84 }
In house (pantry).....	60-70	1.33 } 0.90 }
" (cellar).....	50-60	1.13 } 0.76 }

Very little need be said in explanation of these results: their meaning is self-evident. The extracted honey exposed in the saturated atmosphere in the course of a few days showed marked signs of deterioration in quality, becoming thin and watery and beginning to ferment. At the end of the three weeks' period of experiment it was quite unsaleable, and indeed unfit for use as an article of diet. That which had been kept in the ordinary atmosphere (both in the laboratory and in the pantry) had not perceptibly altered in appearance or taste, and was in excellent condition. The cellar stored sample, at the end of three weeks, had begun to ferment.

While not suffering to the same degree as the extracted honey, that in the comb deteriorated considerably when placed in the cellar and still more so in the saturated atmosphere artificially provided in the laboratory. The latter before the close of the three weeks' period showed drops of water collected on the comb and had begun to mould. The comb stored in the pantry and in the laboratory at the end of the period of exposure was in first-class condition.

This investigation, therefore, covering two years' work, emphatically points to the desirability of storing honey—both comb and extracted—in a warm, dry atmosphere, such as may be obtained in an upstairs' pantry or room. Deterioration is sure to follow exposure in a damp atmosphere, and for this reason the cellar, no matter how dry it may appear, is not a good place in which to keep honey.

This work has been brought before the Ontario Bee-keepers' Association, and will be found in greater detail in the proceedings of that association for 1902 and 1903.

BEESWAX.

In the report of this Division for 1890, there was published an account of the examination of certain samples of 'foundation comb' found to be seriously adulterated with paraffin. These, it was stated, although sold in Canada, had been imported from the United States. Since that date, until the present no complaint, so far as we know, has been made by Canadian beekeepers regarding the quality of the 'foundation' sold in this country.

In March, however, of this year, a request was made by the Goold, Shapley & Fair Co., Brantford, Ont., for an analysis of certain beeswax they had purchased from the United States for the manufacture of foundation, on the ground of suspected adulteration. In the interests of the Canadian honey industry, it was deemed desirable to accede to this request, and the examination was made. The results pointed to the

presence of paraffin in all three samples, varying approximately from 25 per cent to 29 per cent.

Unlike the adulterated 'foundation' of 1890, these samples possessed a melting point practically identical with that of genuine beeswax, showing that the adulterant must be of the nature of ozokerite or cerasin—the former a naturally-occurring paraffin, and the latter its refined product.

We are informed that the firm in the United States on the receipt of our report made no demur to the return of the consignment, a decision at once acted upon by the Canadian manufacturers on learning from us that the wax was not genuine.

WELL WATERS FROM FARM HOMESTEADS.

Of the 85 samples received during the past year, 55 have been submitted to analysis, the remainder, either from being forwarded in dirty bottles or being insufficient in quantity, were not submitted to examination. Though the larger number of these waters were, as usual, from Ontario, samples have been received from all parts of the Dominion. For the most part they are from farmer's wells, but the series also includes a certain small number of natural spring and river waters used by farmers.

In the table of analytical data a very brief statement is made as to the general character of the water from the standpoint of wholesomeness (see last column). A more extended account or consideration of the data would not here be possible, but in reporting to the senders a fuller opinion has been given, accompanied by advice as to the purification of the water or the abandonment of the supply, as the facts dictated.

Broadly classifying the results, we find that 20 of the waters were returned as seriously polluted and dangerous to use for drinking purposes, 18 were reported as suspicious or probably contaminated to such a degree as to render them unwholesome and unsafe, 5 were designated as saline and for this reason considered non-potable, and 12 were adjudged free from all pollution, safe and wholesome.

We have for a number of years past taken the opportunity annually afforded by the presentation of the results of these water analyses to utter a protest, or rather a warning, against the use of polluted waters. By far the greater number of wells examined are undoubtedly receiving excrementitious matter, either by soakage through the soil or by surface drainage. This polluting material comes from the barnyard, privy or some similar source. This means that such waters contain readily putrescible matter and most probably—most assuredly in the summer time—are teeming with bacterial life. Some of these bacteria or germs may be harmless and have little or no effect upon the health of those who drink the water. But if the germs of disease by any chance find an entrance—and this is by no means an uncommon occurrence—they find therein all that is necessary for their rapid development and the water at once becomes most dangerous. The only safeguard the farmer has in such cases is to boil all the water required for drinking purposes. No system of household filtration is so effective as boiling the water. The boiled water, on cooling in a vessel, exposed to the air, will lose its insipidity, and become pleasant and palatable. If there is any suspicion as to the quality of the well water, either from appearance or smell, there should be no neglect in taking this simple but most effective precaution.

But apart from the possible presence of disease germs, there is a danger in such contaminated waters that must not be overlooked, namely, from poisonous organic compounds derived from the partial decomposition of the infiltrating sewage material. It seems very probable that these are in many cases responsible for various disorders of

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

the intestinal tract, diarrhoea, indigestion, as well as sick headache and general derangement of the system. If, therefore, it be established that the well is receiving polluting matter, in fact acting more or less as a cesspit, it should be abandoned, and at the earliest possible date.

The shallow well in the barnyard or close to possible sources of pollution is always a menace. At the very earliest possible opportunity a more distant and deeper source of supply should be sought and the old well abandoned. We do hope the day of the shallow well is passing away. The driven or bored well situated out of range of pollution from the farm buildings will, as a rule, furnish good water and an ample supply of it. With such a supply and a windmill pump the farmhouse and buildings can enjoy a water service at once wholesome, convenient and constant.

Farmers in doubt as to the purity of their well water may obtain an analysis and report of the same from the Chemical Division of the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa. Directions for the collection of the sample (a matter of considerable importance) will be forwarded on application.

ANALYSIS OF WELL WATERS, 1903.

RESULTS STATED IN PARTS PER MILLION.

Number.	Locality.	Marks.	Date.	Free Ammonia.	Albuminoid Ammonia.	Nitrogen in Nitrates.	Chlorine.	Total Solids at 105° C.	Solids after Ignition.	Loss on Ignition.	Phosphates.	Report.
1	Ottawa East, Ont.	J.B., No. 3.	1902. Dec. 9.	.04	.19	7.70	32.5	508.0	302.4	205.6	None.	Polluted, condemned as unsafe.
2	"	J.B., " 4.	" 9.	.135	.38	9.45	75.0	509.2	286.8	222.4	"	"
3	Hazeldean, Ont.	H. H. A.	1903. Jan. 22.	.045	.11	11.67	32.0	314.4	191.2	123.2	Traces.	Seriously contaminated.
4	McAdam, N.B.	D. T.	Feb. 27.	.134	.39	8.38	12.50	156.0	85.6	70.4	"	Suspicious.
5	Almonte, Ont.	J. F.	" 28.	.05	.19	8.24	34.5	450.0	274.0	176.0	"	Polluted.
6	Ottawa East, Ont.	F. X. L.	Mar. 2.	.03	.205	6.82	147.5	911.2	482.4	428.8	"	Undoubtedly contaminated.
7	Summerside, P. E. I.	R. H.	" 9.	.44	.46	8.72	40.0	277.0	175.0	102.0	H. ppte.	Seriously contaminated.
8	Ottawa, Ont.	T. G. B.	" 9.	.04	.127	10.37	10.3	549.6	346.8	202.8	V. H. ppte.	Undoubtedly seriously polluted.
9	Beulah, Man.	J. C., No. 1.	" 23.	.016	.395	.003	28.0	3733.6	2756.0	977.6	H. traces.	Free from organic pollution, saline.
10	"	" 2.	" 23.	.85	.765	.239	70.0	5134.8	4233.2	901.6	Traces.	Suspicious, saline.
11	Port Sydney, Ont.	A. L. F. B.	" 31.	.01	.11	1.35	2.0	38.0	12.0	26.0	None.	Wholesome, unpolluted.
12	Kilaloe Station, Ont.	J. W. P.	Apr. 7.	.89	.96	6.14	17.5	688.0	440.0	248.0	H. ppte.	Most seriously contaminated.
13	Nepean, Ont.	W. D.	" 14.	.045	.16	14.26	24.0	426.4	234.4	192.0	"	Very bad water, unsafe for use.
14	Almonte, Ont.	L. C.	" 20.	.03	.11	3.36	3.0	267.2	196.0	71.2	"	Very suspicious.
15	Yorkton, Assa.	S. G., No. 1.	" 20.	1.576	.28	None	122.5	2600.0	2115.0	485.0	Traces.	Suspicious, not suitable for drinking purposes.
16	"	" 2.	" 20.	.072	.41	"	15.0	712.0	458.0	254.0	H. traces.	Probably safe and wholesome.
17	Chilliwack, B.C.	G. M. S.	" 28.	.02	.285	3.45	6.50	116.8	60.8	56.0	V. S. Traces	Very suspicious.
18	Almonte, Ont.	"	May 2.	.028	.103	3.53	3.9	236.0	146.8	89.2	H. Traces.	Polluted.
19	Ottawa East, Ont.	J. B., S. Well.	" 11.	.044	.186	5.01	7.5	132.0	60.0	72.0	"	Suspicious.
20	Hamiota, Man.	A. E. K.	" 12.	2.75	.145	None	31.0	2071.6	1627.6	444.0	Cons. traces.	Polluted, not suitable for drinking purposes.
21	Desharats, Ont.	S. G. F.	" 21.	.05	.21	1.42	16.0	310.4	208.8	101.6	Traces.	Very suspicious.
22	Stirling, Alta.	C. B. R.	June 1.	1.59	.395	.076	49.5	2130.0	2082.0	48.0	S. traces.	Saline water.
23	Summerside, P. E. I.	F. B.	" 3.	Free	.038	1.29	10.0	137.6	116.0	21.6	V. S. traces	Free from pollution, wholesome.
24	Almonte, Ont.	L. C.	" 8.	.01	.095	2.174	4.5	296.0	229.6	66.4	"	Suspicious.
25	Mansewood, Ont.	T. C., No. 1.	" 12.	.075	.044	5.54	13.0	465.6	315.2	150.4	S. traces.	Polluted.
26	"	" 2.	" 12.	.11	.044	18.19	32.5	554.0	239.6	314.4	"	"
27	Cuthank, Alta.	F. G.	" 23.	.889	.72	3.737	180.0	2528.0	1922.0	606.0	H. traces.	Suspicious, not a good water.
28	Sheffield, N.B.	F. B. J.	July 4.	.02	.08	10.5	40.0	216.4	124.0	92.4	None.	Polluted.
29	Meaford, Ont.	J. L.	" 18.	.595	.115	.085	58.5	3008.8	2333.8	709.6	Traces.	Seriously contaminated.
30	Halls Glen, Ont.	E. E. P.	" 22.	Free	.18	.453	160.0	840.8	586.4	254.4	S. traces.	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

33 St. Agathe, Man.	T. H.	"	"	21	02	08	3-21	4-5	316-4	194-4	122-0	"	drinking.
34 Sussex, N. B.	H. W. F.	"	"	27	2-65	285	4300-0	34-0	11508-0	8516-0	2992-0	Free.	Wholesome, unpolluted.
35 Pictou, Ont.	W. C. P.	"	Aug.	4	3-99	285	8-85	34-0	302-0	298-0	91-0	S. traces.	Saline water.
36 Hargrave, Man.	W. B. P.	"	"	5	117	397	9-0	39-0	303-0	258-0	135-0	H. traces.	Seriously polluted.
37 Masonville, Ont.	A. H. C., No. 1.	"	"	7	068	405	None	006	525-0	330-8	177-0	"	Probably contains drainage.
38 " "	"	"	"	12	Free.	255	12-87	31-0	645-2	330-8	314-4	"	Not a first-class water.
39 Urquhart, Alta.	P. McD.	"	"	13	Free.	06	7-86	50-0	575-8	246-8	280-0	S. traces.	Unwholesome, polluted.
40 Vankleek Hill, Ont.	K. McC.	"	"	13	Free.	265	032	1-0	668-0	418-0	250-0	H. traces.	Suspicious.
41 Calgary, Alta.	Mrs. J. A. T.	"	Sep.	5	26	19	008	4-0	780-0	503-2	276-8	Traces.	Free from pollution, wholesome.
42 McAdam, N. B.	J. W. H.	"	"	10	25	29	369	7-0	328-0	234-8	33-2	"	Suspicious.
43 Guelph, Ont.	W. H., No. 1.	"	"	11	06	12	2-85	7-3	120-0	74-4	45-6	H. traces.	"
44 " "	"	"	"	14	06	247	756	5-8	262-8	146-4	116-4	S. traces.	Possibly safe, but not a first-class water.
45 Woodstock, Ont.	W. G. F.	"	"	14	08	214	763	6-7	261-6	148-8	112-8	V. S. traces.	From River Speed.
46 Martintown, Ont.	A. W. U.	"	"	24	058	21	16-14	80-0	839-2	572-0	267-2	H. traces.	"
47 Brackenrig, Ont.	P. W. K.	"	Oct.	1	None.	175	2-88	3-8	293-0	187-6	110-4	V. S. traces.	Suspicious.
48 Balsam Hill, Ont.	C. L. McC.	"	"	5	024	124	94	None	42-4	23-2	19-2	V. H. traces.	Probably a good and safe water.
49 Moosomin, Assa.	J. H.	"	"	12	66	215	058	20-0	360-0	238-4	121-6	Traces.	Wholesome.
50 Ottawa East, Ont.	J. B., (S. Well).	"	"	17	024	1164	158	175-0	11837-2	8538-8	3248-4	None.	Unwholesome, suspicious.
51 Thamesford, Ont.	W. J.	"	"	29	Free.	15	2-71	5-5	172-8	94-4	78-4	S. traces.	Saline water.
52 Newbury, Ont.	G. A.	"	"	31	562	252	4-12	24-5	444-0	256-0	188-0	"	Shows a marked improvement on samples of May 11th.
53 Grenfell, Assa.	J. R.	"	Nov.	4	16	195	8-57	55-0	642-4	396-8	245-6	Traces.	Very suspicious.
54 Sault au Recollet, Que.	R. P. J.	"	"	6	1-287	315	076	12-0	1572-0	1166-0	406-0	S. traces.	Seriously polluted.
55 Proctor, B. C.	J. W. F.	"	"	16	None.	10	5-259	4-75	250-0	134-5	115-5	H. traces.	Suspicious.
		"	"	26	Free.	102	Free.	Free.	188-0	126-0	62-0	Free.	Wholesome.
		"	"										Exceptionally pure water.

REPORT

OF THE

ENTOMOLOGIST AND BOTANIST

(JAMES FLETCHER, LL.D., F.L.S., F.R.S.C.)

1903.

OTTAWA, December 1, 1903.

Wm. SAUNDERS,
Director of Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to hand you herewith a report on some of the more important subjects which have been brought officially under my notice during the past season.

The appreciation of the value of the investigations prosecuted by the officers of the Division is indicated by the large correspondence with farmers, fruit-growers and others in all parts of Canada. It is impossible in an annual report to deal with all subjects which come up for consideration during the year. Many of these have already been treated of in previous reports, and the investigation of some is as yet in an incomplete state. Correspondents are constantly adding much to previously recorded facts concerning the habits of injurious insects, the utility of remedies, and the best way to apply them, the value of fodder crops, and many other subjects. The correspondence and replies relating to these are all carefully preserved and classified for future use. A complete index has been made of all letters which have been sent from the division since the institution of the Experimental Farms up to the present time, which is of much use when working up afresh a subject which has been previously studied.

Fodder Plants.—The testing of grasses and other fodder plants, native and exotic, in the experimental grass plots at the Central Experimental Farm and by correspondents, has been continued, and, as in the past, has been a source of much interest to all who have witnessed these experiments. The Awnless Brome Grass, the cultivation of which, from its introduction up to the present time, I have persistently endeavoured to encourage, has proved a great boon to farmers and stockmen in Manitoba and the North-west Territories. This grass is now recognized as one of the important crops of the West, where it is grown both for hay and pasture, as well as for the silage which always meets with a ready sale. Attention has also been drawn to the value of various mixed crops for summer feed, and, following the experience of our Superintendents at the western farms, some farmers have grown with great satisfaction mixtures of pease, oats and wheat, one bushel of each to the acre; tares and oats, or rye and oats, one and a half bushels of each to the acre.

Lucerne or alfalfa has been tried to a certain extent in most of the provinces of the Dominion, and where care has been taken to prepare the land properly by ploughing and then consolidating and smoothing the surface by harrowing, it has done

well in many localities where it had been thought previously that this most valuable clover would not grow. It is also most important that the land should be in the condition known by farmers as 'good heart,' that is, fit to grow a good crop of an ordinary farm crop. I feel confident that this fodder plant, which is of such immense importance in the semi-arid districts of the western States, both on ordinary farm land and under irrigation, is worthy of a much more extensive trial in the North-west and Manitoba than up to the present it has received. This, to a large measure, is also the case with the other well known clovers so extensively cultivated in the East, but which are considered out of the question as farm crops on prairie farms. All of these clovers may be found in many places along railway banks throughout the West, and, where they have been tried on farms, although the general result has been considered a failure, still there are many plants persisting and in some places increasing slowly by year. It is now well known that the satisfactory cultivation of clovers is much affected by the presence of bacteria-containing nodules upon the roots, and that, if they be present in the soil, the vigour of the plant is much increased. This increase takes place more and more every year when clovers are grown upon new soil, the original bacteria, adjusting themselves to the clovers from nodules on roots of native leguminous plants, or, possibly, being carried with the seed. White Clover is thoroughly established in the streets of Winnipeg and some other Manitoban towns, where it is sown to crowd out coarse weeds along the boulevards and in the streets. This plant grows well also at Regina, Calgary, and many other places. Mr. Bedford, the superintendent of the Manitoba Experimental Farm, writes:—'On this farm, when sown without a nurse crop, Alfalfa, Common Red, Mammoth Red, Alsike and White Dutch Clovers form robust plants by fall, and do not fail to pass the winter successfully. I sow in spring without a grain crop, because, when sown with grain, alfalfa and other clovers, but particularly alfalfa, have been winter-killed, the roots produced during the first year being small and short. I have grown alfalfa since 1887.'

When travelling through the North-west Territories, I have frequently come across farmers who have small patches of alfalfa, some of these of three or four years' standing; and Mr. T. N. Willing, of Regina, who, as Provincial Weed Inspector, has exceptional opportunities of seeing what crops are grown on farms in all parts of the North-west Territories, and who, as a practical farmer, is well able to judge the value of crops, writes:—'I am sorry to say I am not aware of any one who is conspicuously successful with alfalfa on a large scale, although many have tried small patches, which have apparently given most promising results. Mr. W. Stevens, of Cloverbar, near Edmonton, has a patch in its second season, which wintered perfectly; when mowed at the end of July it was between three and four feet high and gave a crop estimated at from three to three and a half tons. Near Battleford, the late Mr. Laurie sowed alfalfa about 1884; the season was dry, but the plants struggled on in spite of drought and gophers; the farm was subsequently abandoned, but in 1900, the alfalfa area was still clearly defined and proved attractive to the cattle. Mr. Laurie was satisfied that it would have done well, had he been able to care for it better. A man near Roseburg has grown alfalfa for three years, and it has constantly improved. Near Prince Albert it was grown for five years by Mr. Acorn, but was then killed out by a late spring frost.'

In view of what I myself have seen in the North-west, and of statements made by farmers who have tried it upon small areas, I have thought it wise to recommend farmers in the West to test alfalfa more thoroughly, doing so on small areas and sowing in spring at the rate of from fifteen to twenty pounds to the acre, without any nurse crop and upon land which had been summer-fallowed the year before. The first step of all that would be necessary, would be to mow the weeds. If, in districts where there is a little more moisture than is found on the open prairies, it was thought desirable to mix with the alfalfa or clover any grass, decidedly the best kinds for this purpose would be the Awnless Brome or the Western Rye-grass, which might be mixed in the proportion of ten pounds of alfalfa to six pounds of the grass seed. Awnless Brome

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

not as a rule make a very heavy growth the first season, and therefore it would not crowd out the somewhat delicate alfalfa seedlings, nor deprive them of too much soil moisture. The alfalfa, being a very deep-rooted plant, would be well suited for cultivation with either of these grasses, the root systems of which are much nearer the surface. I am glad to learn that the North-west government has secured from the Russian government a quantity of seed of the Turkestan variety of alfalfa, which will probably be distributed for testing in various localities next spring. This variety is merely a form of the common alfalfa which has been grown in Western Asia for a long time and has thus become accustomed to more severe conditions. I was fortunate enough to secure from the United States Bureau of Plant Industry some seed of the original distribution which was brought to America, and have a vigorous plot now growing from that seed. The two plants are almost indistinguishable, although the Turkestan variety is rather more vigorous in growth; but the leaves and flowers of both forms are similar.

Collections.—The collections of insects and plants in the Division have been very much augmented during the past year, many interesting additions having been made from material collected in the field, as well as through the kindness of correspondents who have sent in collections to be named by the officers of the Division. The success of the recent Nature Study movement in education has had a marked effect in increasing the interest in the subjects dealt with in the Division of Entomology and Botany, as has been evidenced by the large number of natural history objects which have been sent in with inquiries for information concerning them. These were for the most part insects and plants and came from teachers, students and farm children living in every province of the Dominion. I was much pleased to have the opportunity of distributing useful knowledge concerning these important subjects in this direct way to those for whom it was of so much practical value; and, moreover, from this source many valuable additions have been made to all of our collections. For several years material of all kinds has been accumulating from my own collections in the West, from extensive breeding investigations into the life-histories of insects which have been carried on here, and from specimens sent in by correspondents for examination. During the past season many insects have been mounted and arranged in the cases, as well as plants in the herbarium, so that we have in the Division fairly good working collections which are now available for reference when required.

Insects.—The chief effort has been made to study and represent in the cabinets the various stages of those species which are injurious to crops, and those which are known to be beneficial. Much has also been done to build up the general scientific collections of the different natural orders of insects.

Plants.—Large additions have been made to the collection of native wild plants, and some hundreds of sheets have been mounted and arranged in the herbarium. These consisted chiefly of plants of various orders from the North-west Territories, from the Rocky Mountains, and from British Columbia. A good representation has also been secured of fodder plants, particularly of grasses. Agricultural weeds and poison-plants, which are a subject of burning interest in the wheat lands of the West, and the stock ranges, are well represented in our collections, and a recent improvement has been made by arranging the collection of seeds of weeds and other plants; this collection now contains seeds of about 450 species and includes nearly all of the weeds of importance in different parts of the Dominion. These samples have been of much service in identifying seeds found among seed grain and clover and grass seeds, sent in by farmers and seed merchants for examination as to purity and for testing as to vitality.

Insects of the year.—I am pleased to report that there have been no serious outbreaks of injurious insects during the season of 1903, nor have any new pests of importance made their appearance. One species of interest, but of no great economic im-

portance is the Rhubarb Weevil (*Lixus concavus*, Say), which was found injuring rhubarb at Harrietsville, Ont. There was, however, been considerable loss in various parts of the Dominion from regularly occurring insect enemies; and, where farmers have applied promptly the remedies recommended, great saving has been effected. The season, on the whole, has not been quite as propitious as usual for good crops. Until the middle of June, the exceptional drought which prevailed through eastern Canada, prevented the germination of seed of all kinds, which retarded the development of many crops and exposed them to attacks from insect enemies. Later in the year, cool damp weather prevailed, which again delayed maturity and was the cause of some loss. Some of the leading features of insect presence during the year were the following:—

Among cereal crops there were no widespread or very serious losses. Hessian Fly was reported as the cause of some loss in Prince Edward Island, at one place in western Ontario and in restricted localities in Manitoba and the North-west Territories. The Wheat-stem Sawfly was abundant and destructive, although little observed, in south-western Manitoba. The Grain Aphis appeared suddenly during July and August in enormous numbers throughout Ontario, in Manitoba and in the North-west Territories and was the cause of considerable alarm; happily, however, the parasites which usually control this species, appeared soon afterwards and eventually, owing to the excellent weather for the grain to fill which prevailed last autumn, the injury was unimportant. In Manitoba locusts did some harm, but this was far less than in previous years. Farmers throughout the district, assisted by the provincial government, applied the standard remedy, the Criddle mixture, and in every instance with most satisfactory results. Experiments undertaken with a view to destroying these insects in a wholesale manner with the fungous disease which has been used in other parts of the world, were without avail, and this, I find, has been the general outcome of most experiments of this nature. Occasional successes which have been reported, seem to have been largely due to exceptionally advantageous atmospheric conditions at the time of the experiments. An outbreak which caused widespread alarm in Manitoba, was the caterpillars of two broods of a common prairie moth, which this year appeared in vast numbers and, having consumed all of their natural food plant, the common weed known as Lamb's Quarters, ate many other plants, amongst which were some kinds of garden plants. This insect was the pyralid known as the Sugar-beet Web-worm (*Loxostege sticticalis*, Linn.).

Root crops and vegetables were diminished to a certain extent by the ordinary pests of the field and garden. Cutworms of various kinds were reported during the dry spring weather from all parts of the Dominion, and where not controlled did much damage. Root maggots, as usual, were irregular in their appearance, but in many places were the cause of great loss amongst onions, radishes, cabbages and turnips. The Colorado Potato Beetle was noticeably less abundant in most places. The Asparagus Beetle, a recent importation into Canada, although not a cause of much loss, has gradually extended its field of destructiveness, and last summer was reported as found east as Toronto.

Fruit crops generally have been good and remunerative, growers in all districts are seeing more and more the advantage of practising such common sense factors for success as spraying for the prevention of insect enemies and fungous diseases. The San Jose Scale has been held in check to a satisfactory extent wherever instructions from specialists have been followed, and although this insect has not spread beyond the limits of the previous year's infestation, the injury done and the future danger from it are very great. The work of the Oyster-shell Bark-louse has been much complained of in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Ontario. The Pear-tree Flea-louse has been locally in Ontario the cause of considerable loss and has for the first time this year been recorded from Nova Scotia. The Pear-leaf Blister-mite is abundant in British Columbia and occurs now in every province of the Dominion. When trees have been sprayed just before the buds burst, with the lime, sulphur and salt wash, good results have followed. Plant-lice of various kinds were rather more abundant than

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

usual on apple, plum and cherry trees, but were in most cases destroyed by parasites before much damage was done. The Tent Caterpillars, Cankerworms and the Codling Moth were noticeably less troublesome last season than for some years.

Shade-tree and forest insects were seldom referred to in correspondence, and few serious attacks were observed. In Montreal, Kingston and Toronto the White-spotted Tussock Moth has increased so much that remedial measures are now urgently needed for the beauty of shade trees in these cities will be much marred at no distant date. A remarkable outbreak of the Maple Soft Scale, *Pulvinaria innumerabilis*, Rathvon, took place on the street shade-trees last summer in London, Ont., causing much inconvenience to foot passengers, and the same insect also occurred on the shade-trees in Woodstock, Hamilton, and some other towns in western Ontario. The Negundo Plant-louse disfigured shade-trees to some extent in Winnipeg, Regina and Calgary, but not to a very serious extent. An insect which has gradually increased in abundance and is now as destructive over a wide area in Canada, is the Spruce Gall-louse represented in the East by *Chermes abietis*, L., and in the West by *Chermes sibirica*, Cholodk. On small ornamental trees, spraying with a tobacco and soap wash has been effective, but in forests nothing can be done to check the ravages. There are, however, indications in some places that good work is being done by parasites. The unsightly nests of the Fall Webworm have become conspicuously more abundant lately than they have been for several years, and already demand attention from municipal authorities in towns, as well as from fruit-growers in many parts of Ontario and Quebec, as also in British Columbia. The insect occurs right across the Dominion.

Live Stock.—The Cattle Horn Fly, which a few years ago caused such extensive losses to dairymen and stockmen in eastern Canada, has now reached the Pacific coast. Although still occurring in some numbers in the eastern provinces, its most severe attacks in 1903 were in British Columbia, where I found it last summer extremely abundant in some localities on Vancouver Island. Cattle-owners were not prepared to use the remedies which have proved to a large measure effective in the East; but, when these were applied, relief was soon apparent. The most convenient remedy in our experience, is to smear the animals on the parts most attacked with a light dressing of tar, one pound mixed with five pounds of lard or half a gallon of fish oil.* Specimens of the fly were sent from Regina by Mr. Willing, which he had taken on horses; I saw no annoyance either to cattle or horses during a long journey through several of the cattle districts of the North-west in June and July last. I am hopeful that it is hardly likely this insect will ever be a very serious pest of stock in the dry regions of the West, where the cattle droppings, in which only the fly propagates while these are in a semi-fluid condition, dry up so quickly that they are soon unsuitable for the larvæ to live in.

Meetings.—Whenever official duties would permit of my absence, no opportunity has been lost of meeting farmers and of attending meetings of farmers' institutes and agricultural associations of various kinds. The subjects treated of at these meetings are as stated below:—

December 26 to 29, 1902: Washington, D.C.—Association of Economic Entomologists: 'Can the Pea Weevil be Exterminated?'; 'Injurious Insects of the Year in Canada.'

Through the kindness of the President of the Association, a special discussion was arranged on the former of these papers, and co-operation was promised by several of the entomologists at the United States experiment stations, in disseminating information in applying remedies for the Pea Weevil in those States where pease are grown and seed.

This mixture contains twice as much pine tar as in former recommendations. We have found that it keeps off the flies much longer than the old mixture of 1 lb. in 10 lbs. of lard.

December 29, 1902: Washington, D.C.—Society for the Promotion of Agricultural Science: 'Co-operation in Fighting Insects.'

January 5, 1903.—A series of addresses on the Value of Nature Study in Schools was given at the school houses in the following places: January 5, Harmony, Cedardale and Oshawa. January 6, U. S. S. No. 4, Whitby; U. S. S. No. 5, Whitby and Kinsale. January 7, U. S. S. No. 1, Pickering; U. S. S. No. 4, East Pickering and Pickering Village. January 8, Pickering, Frenchman's Bay and Dunbarton. January 9, Audley Brock Road and Cherrywood. January 10, a large meeting in the town hall at Whitby. At all of the above meetings I was accompanied by Mr. W. A. Dent, who delivered most interesting addresses upon the habits of birds. These meetings were organized to help the children of this district in competing for the prizes offered by the Live Stock Commissioner at the Whitby Model Fair.

February 18: Toronto.—Canadian Association of Fairs and Exhibitions: 'The Value of School Children's Exhibits at Fairs.'

March 6: Pembroke High School.—'The Value and Pleasure of Natural History Studies.'

March 16: Toronto.—Canadian Institute: 'Rocky Mountain Plants and Insects.'

March 18: Cowansville, Que.—(1) 'The Brome Corners Weed Exhibit and its Lessons'; (2) 'Fodder Plants Suitable to the Eastern Townships'; (3) 'Spraying to prevent Insect Injuries.'

March 21: Toronto Teachers' Association.—'Nature Study. What is it?'

April 3: Renfrew.—'Why should boys and girls study Nature?' A mass meeting held in the city hall. Renfrew Horticultural Society: 'What Everyone can do to Improve the town he lives in.'

May 11: Hamilton Horticultural Society.—'Seasonable Hints on Insect Enemies.'

May 14: St. Catharines district.—Examining orchards which had been treated with the McBain Carbolic Insecticide for the destruction of the San José Scale, in company with some members of the Ontario Fruit Growers' Association.

June 15 to August 21.—In the West, investigating an outbreak of locusts in Manitoba, and holding a series of farmers' meetings in the North-west Territories and British Columbia.

September 3 and 4: Ottawa.—Entomological Society of Ontario: 'Insects Injurious to Ontario Crops, 1903'; (2) 'Entomological Record for 1903.' At this meeting a paper was also read by my assistant, Mr. Gibson, entitled 'Basswood, or Linden Insects.'

September 16: Whitby.—Attending the Central Ontario Model Fair and judging the natural history exhibits sent in by school children. Delivered an address in the evening at a public meeting upon 'The Children's Exhibits at the Fair.'

September 29: Richmond.—Opening the Model Fair for Eastern Ontario. Address: 'Model Fairs and their Management.'

November 25 and 26: Leamington, Ont.—Ontario Fruit Growers' Association: (1) 'Insects Injurious to Fruit Trees and how to Fight them'; (2) 'Insects affecting House Plants.'

Correspondence.—The correspondence of the Division has been of the usual variety in nature and as heretofore has taken up much of the time of the officers. Many of the letters written are practically articles upon special subjects which are suitable for publication in the press, and have frequently been made use of for this purpose. That way reaching a larger number of interested readers than could be done by direct correspondence. From December 1, 1902, to December 1, 1903, the number of letters exclusive of circulars, registered as received is 3,150, and the number despatched, 2,600.

Acknowledgments.—As in previous years, I take pleasure in gratefully acknowledging my obligation to many correspondents, to practical farmers who have much aided the work of the Division by promptly reporting outbreaks of injurious insects.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

noxious weeds, and for making, at request, special observations upon these. I must particularly mention in this connection, Prof. John Macoun, of Ottawa, who has on many occasions helped me with the identification of specimens, and also Dr. L. O. Howard, the U.S. Entomologist, Dr. Harrison G. Dyar, of the U.S. National Museum, and Mr. B. T. Galloway, of Washington. My thanks are also specially due to Dr. J. B. Smith, of New Brunswick, N.J., who has examined and named for me large numbers of Noctuidæ taken in Canada.

In conclusion, I take pleasure in again testifying to the excellent work done by my assistants, Mr. J. A. Guignard, B.A., and Mr. Arthur Gibson, to whose loyal and careful work much of the success of the work of the Division is due.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

JAMES FLETCHER,
Entomologist and Botanist to the Dominion Experimental Farms.

DIVISION OF ENTOMOLOGY.

CEREALS.

Weather conditions during 1903 in all parts of the Dominion have been somewhat unusual, and crops of all kinds, particularly cereals, have suffered somewhat from this cause. Crop reports from the eastern provinces record a prolonged spring drought with frosts in some places, which in Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia somewhat thinned fruit crops and retarded growth of hay and pastures. A noticeable absence of injurious insects, with the one exception of cutworms, is mentioned by numerous correspondents in the maritime provinces. In Manitoba, conditions at sowing time were exceptionally favourable and all crops were got in and started well. The weather up to the middle of May was somewhat cool, and there was not much growth of grass and no trees were in leaf. After that time copious rains fell, which germinated all seed and gave promise of an enormous crop. The dry June which followed, with only light showers in July, checked the growth somewhat and, in districts where there was to little rain, grain was prematurely ripened. The result was that crops were rather lighter than usual, and in some districts both in Manitoba and the North-west Territories, where rain fell late in the season, crops did not ripen early enough to escape injury. The handsome gross yield, however, of fifty-seven million bushels of wheat with an average of over 18 bushels to the acre, in conjunction with the higher price of wheat, gave the farmers of Manitoba and the North-west good returns for their work. In British Columbia Mr. J. R. Anderson reports that all grain crops were good and free of injury by insects. In Ontario the growing of wheat has decreased considerably during the last two or three years. This is doubtless due to losses from the Hessian Fly. In 1900, 1,968,000 acres were put in to fall wheat and 377,000 to spring wheat, while in 1902 only 665,000 acres of fall wheat were sown, with 248,500 of spring wheat. Prof. James, in his November crop report, for Ontario, says: 'The yield of fall wheat per acre is large and the quality of the grain is, as a rule, first class. Taking both yield and quality into consideration, the crop of 1903 may be considered as one of the best in the history of the province. There has been a greatly increased area of wheat sown this fall, more particularly in the Lake Erie district and other localities where the Hessian Fly did so much injury during the previous three or four years. The crop of spring wheat may be counted as above the average, although not so good relatively as fall wheat.' Oats, in all parts of the Dominion, were a heavy crop, but in some places were late in maturing and rather light in weight. No injury by insects, either to this cereal or to barley, was mentioned, and only very few references were made to rust, notwithstanding the heavy rains in some districts. The season of 1903 was not very favourable for corn. Seed planted early did best; that which was put in at the ordinary time, germinated very poorly from lack of rain and was consequently late. The long open autumn, before severe frost came, gave an opportunity for the crop to mature well, and most of it was saved in good condition, both for the use of the silo and the stack.

Pease, which for several years have suffered so severely from the Pea Weevil, were grown to a much smaller extent in Ontario than for many years. In 1903 there were 1,500 acres less land sown to this crop in Ontario than in 1902; but the crop reaped was 259,971 bushels above that of 1902, with an average of 22 bushels per acre, against 144 of the previous year. This improvement, it must be acknowledged, is to some extent due to the campaign against the Pea Weevil, organized by the officials of the Ontario Department of Agriculture and this Division. Many farmers and others who grew peas demanded from their seedsmen seed pease which had been treated to destroy the

living weevils which might be contained in them, and the present satisfactory state of affairs emphasizes the importance of treating all seed before sowing it, and of insisting that all who sell pease should attend to this matter. If a little more effort is now put forth, I see no reason why the Pea Weevil should not be entirely wiped out in Ontario. The remedies which will, in my opinion, effect this, were given at length in my last report, and consist of sowing early, so as to hurry on maturity as soon as possible, reaping directly the crop is in a fit condition, threshing and fumigating with bisulphide of carbon at once and then bagging up the seed and keeping it in bags until required for use. If it is not considered convenient to fumigate the seed before sowing, all the weevils can be destroyed by sprinkling a little coal oil or turpentine over the seed and turning it well for two or three days before sowing, or the seed may be held over till the second year, when it will be quite free from weevils, because these always emerge at latest by the spring of the year following the season when they develop.

The GRAIN APHIS (*Nectarophora granaria*, Kirby=*Siphonophora avenæ*, Fab.).—The only insect which was complained of as having occurred in undue numbers on cereal crops during the past year was the well known Grain Aphis, or 'green fly.' There is no doubt that where this occurred early in the season some injury was done to growing wheat and oats, but for the most part, although the aphides were exceptionally abundant, the usual parasites accompanied them, and in a short time they entirely disappeared.

'Aweme, Man.—The Grain Aphis was extremely abundant on wheat and oats this year. They attracted our attention during the first week in July and later they were so plentiful that they wetted all the front part of the binder canvases, on which they could be gathered up in handfuls. Mr. Sutcliffe, of Treesbank, tells me that they were so abundant on his oats that they actually stopped the binder. On looking beneath the canvases, he found the rollers simply packed with smashed up plant-lice. These insects undoubtedly did considerable harm this year by sapping the vitality of the plants, thus preventing the heads from filling as well as they should have done. As usual, numerous parasites were present with these and the many other kinds of aphids which appeared on various plants this year. By the end of the season, the parasites had almost exterminated these.'—NORMAN CRIDDLE.

Samples and reports of the presence of the Grain Aphis were sent in from many places in Manitoba and eastern points in the North-west Territories, as well as from a few places much further west. It was reported as being unduly abundant in Manitoba, at Bagot, by Mr. Eli Roberts; at Portage la Prairie, by Mr. James Thompson, and at Miami, by Mr. Thos. Renwick, who spoke of it as general throughout that district. The farthest point west where injury was done was at Beaver Dale, N.W.T. (42° 26.7' west of 2nd meridian), from which place specimens were sent by Mr. Geo. Smith. At Ottawa large numbers of the Grain Aphis were found on wheat and oats at the end of July, and it was noticed in the experimental plots here that certain varieties of wheat were more attractive to the insect than others. As a general thing, the bearded varieties were found in this observation to be much less infested than bald ones. In every instance, large numbers of parasites were found present with specimens sent in for examination. In our Ottawa fields these were represented by the following species of Hymenoptera: *Asaphes vulgaris*, Walk., *Lygocerus niger*, How., *Xystus* (Allotria) *tritici*, Fitch, *Aphidius avenæ*, Fitch, *Pachyneuron*, sp. There were also numerous specimens of the common coccinellids *Adalia bipunctata*, L., *Hippodamia convergens*, Guér., and the Thirteen-spotted Lady-bird Beetle (*Hippodamia 13-punctata*, L.), and of the Hovering Fly *Syrphus ribesii*, L.



Fig. 1.—Lady-bird Beetle—
a, larva; b, pupa;
c, perfect insect.



Fig. 2.—The 13-spotted
Lady-bird Beetle—
enlarged.

WHEAT-STEM SAWFLY (*Cephus pygmaeus*, L.).—An insect which appears in a rather intermittent manner in Manitoba and the North-west Territories is the Wheat-stem Sawfly. Although present in considerable numbers in a locality one year, it seldom appears again in the same place the following year. It has from time to time been reported from Central Manitoba right across the plains to the Rocky Mountains. There are, I believe, other species of *Cephus* which attack various grasses in the West. In 1902, Mr. Norman Criddle sent me from Aweme, Man., a large number of stems of two grasses, *Ammodramus longifolia* and *Agropyrum caninum*, which were attacked by Cyphid larvæ. Judging from the colour—one was bright yellow and the other white like the Wheat-stem Sawfly—there were at any rate two species; but, unfortunately, I failed to rear any of the flies from the large amount of material sent me by Mr. Criddle. During the past season I received several infested wheat straws from Mr. John Davis, of Waskada, Man., who wrote:—



Fig. 3.—Wheat-stem Sawfly: a, cocoon, b, borings.

August 19.—I am sending you a few stems of wheat which I and many others here would like you to report upon. You will notice that some of the straws are broken or bent down three or four inches from the ground. The cavity of the straw is full of fine dust, and there is a small white grub about half an inch in length. This I have generally found low down quite near the root. It is very general through this district, but is not very destructive. The straws fall as they get dry, and where the attack is slight it might easily pass unnoticed. I have one field of 45 acres summer-fallowed last year. We were estimating this to yield 30 bushels to the acre. There is about 5 per cent of this field down. I have not seen any other field so badly attacked as this is, but I have not seen any field about here that is quite clear of injury. It is a new pest here, and no one seems to know anything about it.

This insect has provisionally been named *Cephus pygmaeus*, L., and it certainly bears a close resemblance to that European species; but there are some points in its habits and life-history which do not agree, and it is just possible that the insect which occurs in our North-west may be a native grass-feeding species which occasionally attacks wheat when it finds that plant in a suitable condition at the time the female are laying their eggs. This can only be proved by carefully rearing a large series of the insects. The perfect insect is a shining black four-winged sawfly, banded and spotted with yellow, and having the abdomen slightly compressed. The head is large with prominent eyes, the antennæ slightly club-shaped and composed of about 20 segments. The female is rather larger than the male and less ornamented with yellow. The average length of this fly is about one-third of an inch. The eggs are laid probably about the 1st of July, just before the wheat comes into head. They are inserted into the hollow of the stem by means of the female's saw-like ovipositor. The egg hatches in a few days, and the larva grows rapidly; before the straw ripens and hardens it will have eaten its way from the topmost joint of the stem to the lowest, feeding on the substance of the knots and on the inside tissues of the straw. About the time the grain ripens, it goes down to the lowest joint and gnaws away the inside of the straw so as to cut a ring almost, but not quite, through to the outside. This is just above or at the surface of the ground. The larva then burrows further down into the base of the stem and spins a very fragile skin-like cocoon, in which it remains unchanged until the following spring. The date of appearance of the perfect insect varies with the season and locality. I have taken specimens by sweeping, both in grain fields and on the prairie, from the last week of June to the middle of July. As all the larvæ pass the winter in the base of the straw, remedial measures must aim at treating the stub

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

so as to destroy them or the pupæ before the flies emerge. I have suggested that this may be done either by ploughing deeply or by burning over the stubbles. As a few of the cocoons occur high enough up in the straw to be cut with the grain, all straw which cannot be used during the winter should be burnt.

The HESSIAN FLY (*Cecidomyia destructor*, Say).—This destructive insect, which a few years ago was the cause of such extensive loss in the fall wheat-growing districts of Ontario, was hardly noticed during the past season. Prof. Lochhead, of the Ontario Agricultural College, writes: 'This pest of wheat, barley and rye is no longer a serious enemy in the province. It has only been observed in one or two localities during the past season. In the vicinity of Georgetown it did much damage in wheat grown on stubble. A correspondent writes: "In good crops very little harm was done. On one occasion, in passing along the road, I noticed in a badly injured field that there was one very luxuriant patch of grain. I examined this patch, where evidently a pile of manure had lain, and found that the straw and grain were in good condition. I could not find a single stalk infested by the Hessian Fly." Most farmers are practising late sowing, that is about September 15. This probably had a good deal to do with the disappearance of the Fly.'

Fig. 4.—Hessian Fly: 1, injured wheat-stem; 2, puparia—enlarged. Reports from Prince Edward Island show that the Hessian Fly was noticeably present in several localities, and Mr. E. J. McMillan informs me that there was a considerable amount of loss in some places. In the West, Hessian Fly was mentioned frequently in correspondence from Manitoba and the Territories, but I believe that there was a confusion, in some instances at any rate, with the work of the Wheat-stem Sawfly. The only account of a serious outbreak was from Beulah, Man., where Mr. A. J. Dennis reports that 'the Hessian Fly has been much thicker this summer than ever saw it.'

On the whole, however, there was probably not quite so much injury in Manitoba this year from Hessian Fly attack as in 1902. As has been frequently stated, there is normally only one annual brood of the Hessian Fly in Manitoba; consequently, the remedy is comparatively simple as compared with Ontario and the eastern provinces, where the insect is carried over in fall wheat. When Hessian Fly is known to be present in a district the grain should be cut high and the stubble burned over or ploughed down in autumn, and straw should be fed or burnt before the time the flies emerge the following spring. Screenings and rubbish from threshing machines should be put where poultry can get at them or where they will be trampled into the ground during the winter by stock.

LOCUSTS.

Locusts, or grasshoppers, which have been the cause of much anxiety in Manitoba during the past three years, again appeared last spring in the same localities as previously. They were so abundant that the provincial Minister of Agriculture again thought it wise to help farmers with advice and to supply Paris green for poisoning them with. Mr. Hugh McKellar, the energetic Chief Clerk of the Department of Agriculture, by instruction of his Minister, visited the worst districts and made arrangements for the distribution of poison. This was an advantage of by many farmers, who used the Criddle Mixture with great satis-



Fig. 5.—The Rocky Mountain Locust.

faction. Some farmers who had read in the newspapers of experiments in treating grasshopper outbreaks with parasitic fungi, asked that some experiments of this nature might also be tried in Manitoba. The idea of treating outbreaks of injurious insects by means of introducing parasitic insects or fungi is an exceedingly attractive one, and, to those who have never studied these matters, is apparently a very easy solution of a difficult problem. Knowing that many of our leading American entomologists and botanists had made extensive experiments in this direction, but that nothing was being done by these students at the present time, I had not any very sanguine hopes of securing great success in Manitoba; but, as there certainly was a chance of doing good work for the province, I endeavoured to procure some cultures of the so-called South African Grasshopper Fungus for this purpose. After correspondence with many who had experimented, I at last succeeded, through the kindness of Dr. Howard, the United States Entomologist, in obtaining six tubes. These I took with me to Manitoba in June last and placed them in the hands of Mr. Norman Criddle, a careful experimenter and asked him to follow closely the instructions which accompanied them. This work was begun while I was with him and carried out by Mr. Criddle during the summer. Notwithstanding every care, this experiment must be recorded as a failure. I append herewith Mr. Criddle's report upon his work with locusts during the season of 1903.

LOCUST NOTES FROM AWEME, MAN., 1903.

BY NORMAN CRIDDLE.

There has been throughout this part of the country a marked decrease in the number of locusts during 1903, especially where they were poisoned last season. All the early damage done, which amounted to very little, was owing to many of the stubble fields being last spring devoid of all vegetation, and consequently locusts were obliged to attack the grain much earlier than they otherwise would have done. The first hoppers noticed hatched out on the 3rd May; they were becoming quite numerous by the 5th, and on the 12th the majority were out. They then began to do harm. By the 15th they had swept into some fields in millions, I think, thicker than I had ever seen them before. They had in three days marched 200 yards. Up to this time a small amount of damage was done; but this was principally owing to carelessness, and the insects were soon got under control with poison. By the 5th June most of the locusts had passed the third stage and, owing to the hot weather, it required a good deal of exertion to keep them from the growing grain. Wherever poison had been spread, countless numbers were found lying dead about the edges of the fields. At this period quite a number hatched in the wheat fields, the eggs having evidently been laid on summer-fallow last year. On June 13 most of the locusts were in the fifth stage, and the first one was noted with wings. By July 2 two-thirds could fly and some of them began migrating. By July 6 they could nearly all fly, and many of them flew into the crops. It is at this time that the second stage of the fight begins; the locusts, flying to all parts of the crop, eat the heads of grain. Fortunately, they soon collect in the sunny places, such as where the seeder has missed or any other open spot, so that by walking up and down the fields, these places can be found and poison spread there. In fact, I am inclined to believe that in localities where locusts are troublesome it would be a good plan to miss a foot or so when drilling for the insects to collect upon. The migrating season was over by July 15, the weather at that time being cold and unfavourable for flying, so that very few left the neighbourhood. On August 1 the first female was noted laying eggs, although egg-laying did not become general until the 11th of that month, from which date eggs were deposited continuously until a few of these insects had disappeared. This they began to do about September 1, gradually getting less, until by October 3 they had nearly all disappeared. A few remained until the winter set in. The locusts responsible for damage this year were the same as last and in the same proportion.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

These were the Lesser Migratory Locust (*Melanoplus atlantis*, Riley), Packard's Locust (*M. Packardii*, Scudd.), the Two-lined Locust (*M. bivittatus*, Say), and the Rocky Mountain Locust (*M. spretus*, Uhler).

There is no doubt that the cause of the decrease in locusts was largely due to the co-operative work of farmers with Paris green, added to the increase of two species of Blister beetles, *Epicauta sericans*, Lec., and *Epicauta pennsylvanica*, DeG. This year the first of these insects were seen on June 1, and by the 4th of that month they had become abundant. *E. sericans* occurred on the dry prairies and *pennsylvanica* in somewhat damper spots, wherever the Wild Pea (*Lathyrus venosus*, Muhl.) is plentiful. *E. pennsylvanica* did considerable damage to potatoes and broad beans, but *E. sericans* is in no way injurious; it is, on the contrary, beneficial, as it seems to confine itself almost entirely to lamb's-quarters, though I have seen them actually eating wheat when other food was not to be found. The native food plant appears to be the Crocus Anemone, *Anemone Nuttalliana*, Gr., which I have often seen them eating. These beetles had all disappeared by August 28. That these two species of insects will be the cause of a still greater decrease of locusts next season is, I think, little to be doubted; for, although there are still numerous fertile eggs in some places, and notwithstanding that many locusts remained alive late into the season and there were an enormous number of eggs deposited, still, from observations I have made, I find that at least two-thirds of the eggs have been destroyed by Blister beetles. Of 141 pods examined, the eggs of 97 were destroyed. Of other locust parasites, there was an increase of tachina flies, and the Locust Mite seems to be rather more plentiful than usual. Another friend was Franklin's Gull, *Larus Franklinii*. During the migratory season, between July 26 and 31, thousands of these birds were to be seen flying up and down the fields, particularly on the summer-fallows, busily engaged in picking up locusts. Unfortunately, they were too late to prevent many of the females from laying eggs, although, of course, they did an immense amount of good.

Some damage was caused from locusts eating binder twine; very few had blue-vented the twine, and we have now been able to demonstrate without a doubt that some brands of binder twine are much more subject to attack than others. Whether it is that certain brands are made of different material or that they are looser than others, I cannot say; but the twine which was most attacked is very loosely twisted.

With regard to what you have called the Criddle Mixture, numerous tests were made with Paris green during the season to ascertain as accurately as possible the strength required to kill locusts, and it was found that one pound of Paris green could be mixed with five patent pails of horse droppings with absolute success. Weaker mixtures were not quite so successful. In the past, I believe, a large amount of Paris green, as well as labour, has been wasted through putting out the mixture in cold or wet weather, whereas I find that practically no feeding takes place in the spring with temperature below 50°F. It is on the hottest days that locusts eat most, and consequently are most easily poisoned. In the early stages locusts much prefer the mixture moist, and I have found that spreading a little every other day, in the morning, gives much better results than scattering a lot at a time, and less frequently. Another advantage of spreading lightly is that the danger of cattle eating it is greatly lessened, whereas when put in lumps the danger is claimed to be considerable.

I regret to say that some cases of cattle poisoning were brought to my notice during the season. Though in every case the loss was the result of either ignorance or gross carelessness, in some cases, through spreading the mixture in too large lumps, even putting it in pasture fields, or through leaving the barrel or whatever it was used in, where cattle could get at it. As I have said more than once, if the mixture is only scattered properly, there will be practically no danger. A good preventive measure is to keep cattle well salted. As Mr. McKellar remarked, 'Some farmers are ever-vigilant with salting their grasshoppers, but neglect their cattle. This is a fact.'

Locust fungus.—I am sorry to say that the tubes of the fungous locust disease left my care, proved a complete failure. One failed to show any signs of growth, but

the others were perfectly fertile. The first culture was mixed in sugar and water and was left in a warm place, as directed, until it showed signs of growth, when it was put out as follows: (1) Scattered among the grass infested by locusts; (2) locusts were caught and dipped in it; (3) it was put on pieces of horse droppings, bran and other attractive food, the weather at the time being very dry. Locusts after being dipped in the culture were kept in a large box for some days, but showed no signs of being any the worse for their treatment. The second culture was put out on the evening of July 22, during damp and rainy weather, though rather cold. It was spread among the locusts in the same way as the first. Two locusts were found dead, possibly as a result of this, three days after it had been put out.

The third lot of fungus was put out on July 15, in the evening when considerable dew had fallen. No results were observed. Another lot was put out on the 16th. This was mixed in bread crumbs, some of which was eaten by locusts; but no dead insects were found. During the time several locusts were found which had been killed by the native fungous disease in spots widely removed from one another and at long distances from where the experiments were being conducted, showing that the weather conditions were at least fairly favourable for this work, and also that this disease is probably always present and makes its appearance as soon as the conditions are favourable. The last lot of fungus was put out on August 2 in the same way as the first.

No results were noticed.—NORMAN CRIDDLE.

Referring to the above statement that cattle have been poisoned by the Criddle mixture, it need hardly be pointed out that, with this remedy as with every other in which an active poison is used, at any rate ordinary and reasonable precautions must be taken to prevent stock of all kinds from eating the material. It is well known that horned stock will, if allowed to do so, eat the bedding from a horse stable, but this can hardly be recommended as a good food for the production of milk, and the practice should be prevented. If the Criddle mixture is distributed in the manner recommended, that is, for the material to be scattered loosely through the plants at the edge of a field of standing grain, it can hardly be said that there is any danger. One instance came to my knowledge of a man in Manitoba who had mixed half a barrel of the Criddle mixture, part of which he did not use. The half barrel containing this was put in his barn and left there till threshing time, when, to make room, it was turned out into his yard where he had some cows. Some of these ate the poisoned material and died from its effects, but this instance of carelessness can hardly be cited as a reason for not using this most useful remedy against grasshoppers. If it is, it means that the use of active poisons such as Paris green and many other compounds now thought to be necessary to the fruit-grower and farmer, and the whole operation of spraying, would have to be condemned. On occasions when farmers have been using the Criddle mixture, which is in every way the cheapest effective remedy for grasshoppers which I have ever tried, if there is any of the material left over, it should be scattered loosely over a piece of land where its fertilizing effects may be secured and where there will be no danger of poisoning animals.

The only other place in Canada where grasshoppers were noticed in numbers was in the Okanagan valley of British Columbia. Mr. E. P. Venables, of Vernon, writes 'Grasshoppers were numerous at some places, and, although no appreciable damage was done, some people are anxious lest there may be a repetition of the plague of three years ago. Some of their enemies, however, were in evidence to an equal extent with the grasshoppers. Among these, the Spotted Gray Blister-beetle (*Epicauta maculata*, Say) was very abundant, feeding upon wild plants. Therefore, it is to be hoped that the larvæ will help, if they keep up their good name for destroying the eggs of grasshoppers.'

The Criddle mixture, as modified in accordance with the latest experiments, consists of one part of Paris green, mixed thoroughly in 100 of fresh horse droppings, which two pounds of salt per half barrel of mixture have been added, after being di-

lved in water. This is placed in a half barrel and drawn on a cart to the edge of a infested field or one likely to be infested. The mixture is then scattered broadcast along the edge of the crop by means of a trowel or wooden paddle. The locusts are attracted to it from long distances and are killed in large numbers by eating the ison.

FIELD CROPS.

The CLOVER SEED-MIDGE (*Cecidomyia leguminicola*, Lintner) has been the cause of a very serious loss to seed growers in all parts of Ontario where clover seed is produced. Probably one-half of the crop was destroyed by this insect. In some districts the whole crop was completely ruined. The remedy of feeding off or mowing the first crop of clover before June 20 has been found satisfactory by all who have tried it. The reason of this is that the maggots of the first brood come to maturity towards the end of June, and then leave the clover heads to enter the ground, where they complete their changes; and if the clover is cut or fed off before that date, the immature larvæ are destroyed. If the clover is left standing later than June 20, the maggots will have time to complete their growth and leave the clover heads. From these larvæ the second brood which attacks the seed of the second crop is produced. Just about the time the first brood is ripe, the larvæ of the second brood fall to the ground and burrow beneath the surface, where they pass the winter, the flies emerging in June of the following year laying their eggs in the flower heads soon after these form.

The HOP APHIS (*Phorodon humuli*, Schrank).—It is many years since serious complaint has been received at the Division of excessive injury by the Hop Aphis. In the hop fields of British Columbia there is an occasional outbreak, but the excellent crops of the last few years and the high price which has been secured for British Columbian hops, shows that this crop has been produced to great perfection and without serious injury from insects. In some of the plantations in the valley of the Fraser River required constant attention on the part of growers to keep the 'Red Spider' under control; but this has been done to a reasonable extent. The sovereign remedy for all hop diseases, of which the so-called Red Spider is one, is sulphur in some form, either as a wash of sulphur mixed in the ordinary quassia and tobacco wash, which is pretty generally used as a remedy or a preventive of Hop Aphis, or distributed as powder upon the plants. A new pest which has appeared in sufficient numbers this year has been noticed in British Columbia is *Psylliodes punctulata*, Mels., a small flea-beetle which was sent in by Mr. H. Hulbert, of Sardis, B.C., under the name of the Hop Flea-beetle. This has been referred to briefly as a hop pest in Bulletin No. 4, old series, of the United States Division of Entomology.

Some years ago hops were grown to a large extent in Prince Edward County, Ontario; but of late years the industry has been to some measure given up for the cultivation of other crops. Some growers, however, have continued to grow hops, and recently others were resuming the practice. During the summer of 1903, which has been stated already, was particularly characterized by the abundance of many of plant-lice, the hop yards of Ontario have suffered from a serious visitation of the hop-lice enemy, the Hop Aphis. Through the kindness of Mr. John D. Evans, of Trenton, I have received a great deal of information concerning this outbreak, and he has been good enough to visit and interview several of the growers who were most injured in this subject. I have also received from Mr. W. B. Cooper, of Bloomfield, who has been for many years an extensive grower of hops, a detailed account of the outbreak. Mr. Evans writes:

Trenton, Nov. 23.—Mr. H. S. Miller, of Pieton, who is a large dealer in hops, has visited many of the hop yards at different times during the past season, states that the total hop crop in the district this year yielded only 46 tons; last year, with

the same acreage, it was 128 or 130 tons, and that at least two-thirds of the hop acreage this year was afflicted with the pest. Although the loss was severe in some places, was not general throughout the district; for instance, Mr. Branscombe, of Chisholm only got two bales from three acres, his crop being almost a total failure. He states that the insects appeared first of all as plant-lice when the hops were coming into bud. After that it seemed as if a blight had struck them; the vines which were affected produced no hops, and the leaves turned black. On a knoll in his yard the vines were heavy and produced the two bales referred to. Then, on the other hand, Mr. Phil Vanmeer, of Bethel, Ont., had 22 acres of hops. The centre of his yard was on high ground, but the land sloped off in all directions to low ground. His yard was not affected, and he did nothing in the way of spraying or otherwise, in the way of special treatment, except that the yard was kept thoroughly cultivated. He had a very heavy crop. It would appear, then, that the abundance of this insect is not affected by the land being high or low. A great many ladybird beetles were present among the aphides. There was a similar visitation by the Hop Aphis in 1886, when the hop crop was almost ruined; but since that time the insect has occurred only in very limited numbers and has not been noticed. None, or very few, of the growers here have done any spraying, as they have not the special apparatus which is necessary. I am told that the spraying pumps which answer for fruit trees will not for hops.

Mr. Henry Corby, of Belleville, Ont., as far as I can learn, was the only grower who sprayed his yards in a thorough way to protect them from injury by the Hop Aphis last year. His experience, however, has been so widely commented upon by hop growers in the vicinity and in Prince Edward county that I have no doubt the wise measures adopted by Mr. Corby will have the good effect of inducing others to spray their yards next year, should there be any appearance of the Hop Aphis. Mr. Corby writes:

'Belleville, Nov. 19.—Your favour in re Hop Plant-louse received. In reply I first noticed the Hop Plant-louse on the vines about the 1st July. From the 1st to the 10th they came on very thickly indeed. As I had eighty acres under cultivation, we continued the spraying for close on to a month. The mixture I used, was 7 pounds of white oil soap and 8 pounds of quassia chips, boiled for an hour. This made 100 gallons of wash. I used an English sprayer which takes two horses to draw it, but it does thorough work. I consider that I lost one-quarter of my crop at least; but, had I not used the sprayer, I doubt if I should have had any hops at all. The quality of my hops is first class.'

The life history of the Hop Aphis is a remarkable one and is given in a condensed form in my annual report for 1889, which I repeat herewith, as the life history has an important application in this species, to the remedies which are suggested. The life history of the Hop Aphis has been carefully worked out by Prof. Riley and recorded in his report for 1888 as follows: 'Of this species the winter eggs are laid by the wingless females upon plum trees in autumn. From these hatch, the following spring, wingless females which are called "stem-mothers." These produce young plant-lice by a process analogous to budding in plants and known as parthenogenesis (from the Greek *parthenos*, a virgin, and *genesis*, production), which means the production of young from imperfect and unimpregnated females, without the intervention of a male. There are three broods of these parthenogenetic females produced on various kinds of trees, the third becoming winged. This last is known as a migrant and it instinctively flies to the hop plant, which up to this time has been free from attack. A number of generations of wingless females are produced upon the hop until, in autumn, wingless females known as the return migrants again appear. These return to the plum trees and produce some three or more young which have no wings but are true sexual females. Somewhat later than this, upon the hop vines true winged males, the only males of the whole series, are developed. These fly to the plum trees and towards the end of the season may be found pairing with the wingless females, which afterwards store the tree with eggs which pass the winter there.'

The above life history will show how complex and difficult to understand are the habits of some of our injurious insects. The importance of this knowledge, however, cannot be over-estimated; for it is plain that, if the Hop Plant-louse passes the winter in the egg form upon plum trees, by having no plum trees near the hop yard, the opportunities for the insect to increase in a certain district are much reduced, and, further, that, if plum trees near hop yards are treated during the winter to destroy the eggs, a very large proportion of the infestation can be wiped out. It has frequently been noticed by farmers and others with what enormous rapidity the different kinds of plant-lice sometimes increase. Dr. Wm. Saunders, in the annual report of the Entomological Society of Ontario for 1878, refers to this matter as follows:—

'Some idea may be formed of the numbers to which in a short time plant-lice increase, from a calculation of Curtis, the celebrated English entomologist, who computed that from one egg only there would be produced in seven generations, taking into account the average of each brood, the enormous number of 729,000,000, so that, were they all permitted to live, everything on the face of the earth would in a short time be covered with them. Indeed, sometimes the possible rate of increase is even greater than this. Dr. Fitch, the state entomologist of New York, ascertained by actual experiment, that the wingless females of the Grain Aphis became mothers at three days and thereafter produced four young ones every day, so that even in the short space of twenty days the progeny of one specimen, if all were preserved from destruction, would number upwards of two millions.'

Some of the useful facts derived from a knowledge of the life history of the Hop Aphis, are that, as the eggs are laid upon plum trees and pass the winter there, it is important not to allow wild or useless cultivated plums to grow round hop yards; but, if these trees are growing in the vicinity and it is impracticable to destroy them, the eggs may be destroyed before they hatch, or just at the time the young plant-lice are hatching in May, with kerosene emulsion, or a whale-oil soap solution, is manifest. The males are only produced at one season of the year and this on the hop plants. As the females have migrated to plum trees, the utility is plainly shown of burning them off once after the crop is picked all the vines and leaves of the hop plants. In this it is believed that so many of the males will be destroyed that there will not be enough left to fertilize all the females which have flown away to the plum trees. Although plant-lice can produce young for a long time without the intervention of males, at the time comes for the perfectly sexed females to be produced, the males are necessary for the fertilization of the over-wintering eggs.

As there are three broods produced upon plum trees subsequent to the hatching of the eggs, it is not until comparatively late in the season that the plant lice appear upon the hop vines. It is an important observation then to know exactly at what date the migration from the plum trees to the hops takes place, because these insects are naturally prolific and multiply with enormous rapidity as soon as they reach the hops. Consequently the sooner the plants are sprayed to destroy the aphides the easier the work will be accomplished and naturally at a much smaller loss of vitality to the hops. In New York State the migration from the plum trees to the hops takes place in the month of May, so it is probable that this may also be expected about the end of the month, or early in June, in southern Ontario.

As to the best insecticide for controlling the Hop Aphis, there are several which may be used. Kerosene emulsion diluted to as weak a wash as one part to twenty-five parts of water, will kill the insects upon the foliage at the time they migrate to the hops. This strength will not injure the leaves, which it is stated is the case with other mixtures. To destroy the winter eggs on plum trees a much stronger mixture of kerosene emulsion, viz., one to six, is necessary. Instead of the above, whale-oil soap, diluted to six gallons of water, may be used on the hop vines. The remedy, however, which is by far most generally used by hop growers in England, California and Brinsford, is the one which has been styled the 'English wash,' and is the stan-

standard remedy for the Hop Aphis in the hop gardens of the south of England. It is very similar to the one used by Mr. Corby, mentioned above :

100 gallons of soft water (if the water is hard add soda).

4 to 5 lbs. of soft soap.

6 to 8 lbs. of quassia chips, first steeped in cold water and afterwards boiled for one hour before mixing with the main supply of water.

The value of this wash has been clearly shown in England, where some hop-growers, as is the case with ourselves, do good careful work and get large and paying crops of hops of the first quality, while others who do not attend to these important matters get nothing at all or very little. The points most to be borne in mind by hop-growers in this connection are,—that early work is less troublesome, less expensive, and pays enormously all trouble taken, therefore constant attention must be given to the yards at the time the insects migrate to them, and lastly, that one application of any remedy is not sufficient. The washes effective against plant lice, unlike the arsenical poisons which are placed on foliage and remain active for a long time and eaten by insects, are contact remedies only which, to be of any use, must actually be thrown on to each individual insect ; moreover, as the plant-lice do not all migrate to the hops at the same time, two or three applications at short intervals may be necessary. Throughout the summer the various broods of the hop aphis are wingless, therefore, if the first broods which appear on the hops are thoroughly dealt with, the yards can be kept clear for the rest of the season.

ROOTS AND VEGETABLES

Roots crops in all the eastern provinces of the Dominion have suffered from an unusual weather which prevailed generally last spring from the lakes to the Atlantic coast. The dry late spring prevented prompt germination of seed when sown early. Mangels were not up to average, from poor germination and the attacks of cutworms. Sugar beets, which are now being grown in many parts of Canada both for sugar and for stock, gave a fair crop. Turnips, where not injured by cutworms and the Turnip Aphis, gave good returns, particularly from late sowings put in after the June rains. Potatoes did not start well, owing to the drought of May and early June. The crop, however, was fairly good in size and quality, where not injured by the 'Potato Blight'. This disease, which can to such a large extent be prevented by spraying with the Bordeaux mixture, as has frequently been pointed out in these reports, was, it is to be regretted, very destructive from the Maritime Provinces to the Prairies. The following extracts from Mr. B. W. Chipman's Nova Scotia government crop report of November last, are well worthy of consideration by the thousands of farmers and others who grow potatoes either in large or small quantities :—

'Chester.—The potato crop will be heavy and of large size, but the rot has been very common in some places very badly. Early spraying with Bordeaux mixture has proved beyond doubt a preventive for blight rot, and should be thoroughly tested by all potato-growers. The trial costs little and the result in this district has proved its value. Spray as soon as the plant is in blossom, and twice at intervals of two weeks thereafter, if the season is wet.'

'New Germany.—No potato bugs. Potatoes took blight about September 1st. In some cases 50 per cent are rotten. One man here, and only one, as far as I know, sprayed his potatoes, with the result that less than 1 per cent were rotten.'

The results of demonstration experiments which have been carried on at the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, year after year, for many years, have uniformly shown the enormous benefit of spraying potato vines about August 1, and twice afterwards at intervals of 15 days, with the Bordeaux mixture, which for this purpose

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ains bluestone, 6 lbs.; unslaked lime, 4 lbs.; Paris green (to destroy leaf eating insects) 4 ozs., and soft water 40 gallons.

In the Ontario crop report for November last, Prof. James refers to the prevalence of the potato rot and estimates the loss at from 10 to 60 per cent in various localities. Mangels were in some places replaced by turnips, where the seeds had not germinated well, and turnips, although yielding a good crop, were in many quarters considerably injured by the Turnip Aphis.

The Colorado Potato Beetle was reported from all sections as being less abundant than for many years. The following reports are representative of many others received:—

'Charlottetown, P.E.I.—Root crops were badly injured by cutworms, and many fields were resown for the third time; some land was ploughed up and sown to other crops. The yield of roots was fair on the decreased acreage; the cutworms seem to have been general over the whole province.'—E. J. McMILLAN.

'Halifax, N.S.—Roots and vegetables good; potatoes above the average. No complaint of injurious insects on potatoes except the potato bug, and that was not as bad as usual. In some places, mangels, beans and vegetables were injured by cutworms. Turnips were somewhat attacked by aphids.'—B. W. CHIPMAN.

There were not many large fields of roots this year in the province of Quebec. Many thought that it was too late after the rain came to bother with roots, so on the whole there will not be a very large crop. Some few have fair pieces.'—PETER MACLEAN.

Root MAGGOTS.—Among vegetables, considerable injury has been done in nearly all parts of the Dominion by root maggots. The Cabbage or Radish Maggot, and the Turnip Maggot, which for all practical purposes may be treated of as the same species,



6.—Cabbage Maggot;
3, maggot and pupa;
4, fly—1, 3 and 4 enlarged.

caused great loss in crops of cauliflowers, early cabbages, turnips, radishes and onions. The occurrence, however, was irregular, much harm being done in spots, while in another not very far distant there was no appearance of the attack. There is nothing new so far in the shape of a remedy for these insects when large areas have to be treated; but some experiments which have been carried on by the Horticulturist at the Central Experimental Farm during the past summer with the object of producing early tobacco and vegetables of high quality, have an important entomological bearing which is well worthy of mention. An enclosure was made of a light framework of wood, six feet in height, and covered entirely on the top and along the sides with cheese cloth. In this tent tobacco and various kinds of vegetables were sown, or planted, and a similar duplicate plot was also planted outside with the same conditions of soil and soil moisture. The rows of this plot were practically in continuation of those inside the enclosure. This experiment was satisfactory, both as to forcing the plants forward to earlier maturity, and on account of the important discovery made by Mr. Macoun that this cheap protection prevented nearly the attacks of many kinds of injurious insects. Radishes, onions, cabbages and cauliflowers developed well and were absolutely free from root maggots. Nothing was attacked by the troublesome Tarnished Plant Bug (*Lygus pratensis*, L.) or the Four-spotted Leaf Bug (*Pæciloscapsus lineatus*, Fab.). Cucurbits of all kinds were entirely free from injury by the Striped Cucumber Beetle. In fact, this experiment has furnished us with a sure means of growing many vegetables of which, from the difficulty of getting them into perfect condition, gardeners had in some places given up the cultivation. This is particularly the case with cauliflowers, early cabbage, radishes, onions

and other plants of only moderate height. These could be entirely protected by a framework which any ordinary workman could make, only three feet high and three feet wide for single rows in a garden. With such a covering, it would be impossible to cultivate between the rows; but, if made in sections, these could be removed for that purpose when necessary. The cost of building an inclosure in which a man could work with ease and where several hundreds of plants could be grown, would be little compared with the increased price which would be obtainable for the earlier and much superior crop. Careful handling in taking down and storing away the cheese cloth and framework would insure the lasting of these for at least two or three years. These inclosures are manifestly better suited for the cultivation of some plants than for others; such plants as egg plants and cucurbits, which depend on the intervention of insects for the fertilization of their flowers, would require to be fertilized by hand if grown in these inclosures. A noteworthy result of these experiments was that the vegetables grown within the inclosure were entirely free from attacks of root maggots while those grown in the corresponding plot outside were badly affected.

Remedies for root maggots are frequently asked for, and those which have been recommended in the past are as follows: For early cabbage and cauliflowers, the best remedy is undoubtedly an early application of the disks of tarred paper recommended by Prof. Slingerland. We use these regularly at the Central Experimental Farm, and always with great satisfaction. Where these have not been put on early, a remedy which may be used is to pour about half a teacupful of a strong decoction of pyrethrum insect powder, four ounces to the gallon of water, around the roots of each plant, after drawing away the earth right down to the rootlets. The earth must then be pushed back again. For onions and radishes, dusting white hellebore along the rows as soon as the young plants appear, has given good results in seasons when the flies are not abnormally abundant. Kerosene emulsion and a solution of whale-oil soap have also been used by some. Another excellent remedy is the carbolic wash recommended by Prof. A. J. Cook many years ago. This consists of boiling up one quart of soft soap or one pound of hard soap in a gallon of water. When boiling, add half a pint of crude carbolic acid. Boil for a few minutes and stir thoroughly. The mixture is then ready to be stored away for future use. When required, take one part of this mixture by measure to fifty of water, and sprinkle or spray directly upon the growing plant once a week from the time they appear above the ground.

THE CABBAGE AND TURNIP APHIS (*Aphis brassicæ*, L.).—Although not so injurious as it has been in some previous years, this insect was the cause of considerable loss in British Columbia, Ontario, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island. The worst attacks were probably in Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia, whence frequent requests for information came. The injuries were to both cabbages and turnips. When cabbages in gardens are attacked, the insect should be looked for when the plants are being cultivated, and, as soon as the first colonies appear, which will probably be late in July or in August, they should be attended to at once, before they increase in numbers. Whale-oil soap, one pound in six gallons of water, or the ordinary 1 to 9 dilution of kerosene emulsion, if sprayed thoroughly, will destroy the aphids. In turnip fields, where by far the greatest amount of injury is done, those engaged in thinning and hoeing should be constantly on the watch for infested plants, which may at that time be hoed out and destroyed. This will, in many instances, be sufficient to prevent the occurrence later of a serious outbreak. The eggs of this insect are laid on the turnip tops late in autumn. This suggests



Fig. 7.—The Cabbage Aphis: 1 and 2, male; 3 and 4, wingless female—2 and 4 enlarged.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

advisability of ploughing down deeply all tops which are cut from the roots at the time of harvesting in autumn, so as to destroy the eggs. In fields of cabbages, where also eggs are laid, the same practice should prevail when the cabbages cannot be fed or are too poor to store for feed purposes. The leaving of poor or imperfectly developed crops in the field until the following spring is always a dangerous practice from the point of view of those who study insect attacks. Not only may the crop have been reduced to its worthless condition by the attacks of insects which will pass the winter safely among the plants; but, even on well developed plants, there are always certain natural enemies the presence of which is detrimental to the farmer and gardener. Whenever possible, all haulms, vines, stems and foliage should be fed to stock; but, in the few cases where these are useless, they should be ploughed down into the soil to decay or be burnt, and, when this can be done in autumn, it is far better than waiting till the following spring. Many insects and fungous diseases are thus destroyed or placed where they can do no harm, and much time is saved in spring in having the land in a condition to start work at once.

CUTWORMS.—These troublesome caterpillars have, as is usually the case, been more or less destructive to field and garden crops everywhere; but in Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island almost every report mentions their depredations, and the official crop reports from these provinces show that considerable harm was done in almost every county. Such specimens as were received at the Division were the Red-backed Cutworm (*Paragrotis ochrogaster*, Gn.). The same species was the one responsible for most of the harm done in Quebec, Ontario and Manitoba. In Ontario it was accompanied by the Dark-sided Cutworm (*Paragrotis messoria*, Harr.), which was enormously abundant in some places at Ottawa. Here also in restricted localities the so-called Climbing Cutworm (*Paragrotis scandens*, Riley) was troublesome in sandy fields. At Regina and Calgary, N.W.T., the species which did harm in gardens was *Chorizagrotis auxiliaris*, Grt., the large caterpillars of which resemble the Red-backed Cutworm in a general way, and are equally omnivorous, destroying all kinds of succulent plants. The moths of *C. auxiliaris*, Grt., as well as of the allied *C. introferens*, Grt., and *C. agrestis*, Grt., both of which, possibly, are only varieties of *C. auxiliaris*, Grt., have been taken in large numbers at Millarville, 20 miles south of Calgary, by Mr. F. H. Wolley-Dod, and by Mr. T. N. Willing, at various places north and south of Regina. In Vancouver Island the species which was most troublesome proved to be *Paragrotis*



Fig. 8.—The Climbing Cutworm : moth and caterpillar.

recessellus, Grt., which was very much commoner than it had been for some years. In 1885 it was a perfect plague in market gardens around Victoria, and in 1888 specimens were also sent to me, which were at that time incorrectly identified and mentioned in my report for 1888 as an allied species, under the name of *Agrotis obeliskides*, Gn.

All of the species mentioned above have the same feeding habits and would be controlled by the same measures, which are: The removal from gardens or fields, as early as possible in the autumn after crops are reaped of all refuse, and the cultivation of the land so as to prevent the deposition of eggs. This takes place during August and September, and some of the eggs, if not all of them, remain unhatched till the following spring; therefore, late fall ploughing, or early spring ploughing, which the eggs were buried deeply would be beneficial. When in large numbers, the caterpillars, like most other cutworms, wander long distances at night in search of food. Therefore, it is necessary to make some direct application

to destroy them. For this purpose, the best remedy in my experience is the poisoned bran mash, which is remarkably efficacious. In making this material, which is equally useful in field practice as in gardens, it is best to dampen some of the bran slightly with water containing a little sugar. After mixing thoroughly, add the Paris green little by little, stirring all the time. If Paris green is added to the bran when it is perfectly dry, it will, owing to its weight, sink at once to the bottom when stirred. Half a pound of Paris green is sufficient to poison 50 lbs. of bran, although double this amount may be used. Bran should be added to the mixture until it will crumble easily and run through the fingers without adhering. It may then be distributed through or along the edge of an infested crop or may be applied to land either around or between plants, or a row may be run close to drills by means of a Planet Jr. seeder, or a similar implement. For such crops as tomatoes, cabbages, tobacco, &c., a collar of paper put around the stem at the time of planting, will prevent the destruction of many plants. Seedlings must be planted so that none of the leaves hang down and touch the ground. The same protection is provided in a more permanent manner, but at greater cost, with strips of tin. Convenient rings may be made from old tomato and fruit cans by throwing these into a bonfire and melting off the tops and bottoms and then splitting the sheet of tin which is left down the centre. This not only makes a good protection against cutworms, but disposes of a class of rubbish which often accumulates to an inconvenient degree.

The SUGAR-BEET WEBWORM (*Lorostege sticticalis*, L.).—When in Manitoba last

July, my attention was drawn by Mr. Hugh McKellar to reports which appeared in the newspapers of swarms of a small blackish caterpillar which had appeared at Brandon and other points east and west of that city, and which after devouring its natural food plants had wandered in armies to new fields in search of food. The first notice of this insect in 1903, came to me from Mr. J. R. McMullen, of Melita, Man.



Fig. 9.—The Sugar-beet Webworm :
a, moth ; b, caterpillar ; c, d, segment of b—
all enlarged.

(Chittenden, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture.)

who stated that two years before this he had noticed enormous numbers of small moth among his wheat in the month of June. He writes on June 15, in a letter addressed to the Department of Agriculture for Manitoba, which was referred to me, an interesting account of an excessive occurrence of the caterpillars during 1902, as follows: 'I thought no more of these moths until last summer. I had ploughed a field of stubble in June and sowed it in Brome grass, of which I got a good catch. There was a lot of pigweed in it, and, when the weeds were about four or five inches high, I was surprised to see thousands, yes millions of worms, eating up the pigweed, making a complete job and killing it entirely. On thirty acres they ate every pigweed, but very little of the grass or any other plants. They started to work on the north side of the field and travelled south. Nothing would turn them. When they came to the turn where the horses are watered, they crawled up the sides and fell into the water by thousands; even when they came to the house, they crawled up the walls and cleared over the house. These caterpillars were from three-quarters of an inch to an inch long, greenish in colour and with yellow stripes down the back and sides for the full length of their bodies. On the back the stripes were widened out or dotted in ten or a dozen places. When they reached the garden, they ate nothing except beets, although they tasted some other vegetables but did not eat much of them. They came to a field of wheat just headed out, but did it no harm. In four or five days they were

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

me. I did not notice any of the moths last year, but now (June 15), the moths are sick, and I send you a few to examine. I should like to know what these are, although they did me no harm last year; in fact, they saved me a day or two's work fighting weeds, but I might not have a field of pigweed ready for them when they come again.

The Sugar-beet Webworm can hardly be described as a green caterpillar, because it is dark black, with greenish yellow stripes, but, strange to say, almost every correspondent who mentioned it referred to it as a green caterpillar. As, however, in most instances specimens of the caterpillars accompanied the inquiries, there was no doubt as to the identity of the species, which has been kindly supplied to me by Dr. Dyar, the Division of Entomology, at Washington. It would appear from the dates when caterpillars are mentioned by observers in Manitoba, that there were two broods of this insect last summer. The life history of the species has been carefully worked out by the Division of Entomology at Washington, and illustrated articles have appeared upon it in 'Insect Life,' V. and VI., and in the recent Bulletin 43, by Mr. H. Chittenden, on the 'Principal Insect Enemies of the Sugar-beet.' The excellent illustrations given herewith have been kindly lent to me by Dr. Howard and were published in the last named bulletin.

The following letter gives some idea of the range of plants liable to be attacked by these caterpillars. There is no doubt that the normal food plant is the Lamb's-ears or Wild Spinach (*Chenopodium album*, L.), often called pigweed.

Deleau, Man., July 21.—We have had a visitation from a pest that I have never experienced before in my 21 years' residence here. About two weeks ago we noticed the pigweed on land left for summer-fallowing covered with a greenish worm, samples of which I send you. In a day or two these swarmed into the garden in millions. They completely touched potatoes, beans or corn, but devoured turnips, beets, cabbages, onions, currant bushes, and even crab-apple leaves. We made a vigorous fight to save our things, making narrow trenches for them to fall into, and tried various poisons, without avail; so, we stuck systematically to knocking them into tin pans and tying these into pails of water with coal oil in them. In this way we caught several millions in a day. They have now almost disappeared but have left the garden in a very dilapidated condition. As soon as we noticed them coming off summer-fallow, we ploughed the land next to our garden, but they swarmed over on top of the ploughing. They seem to be good travellers. I should like to know what they are.

E. MARPLES.

Specimens of the caterpillars were sent, without any letter being received, from H. L. Patmore, of Brandon.

Mr. Norman Criddle, of Aweme, sends the following notes :

Sept. 5.—Do you remember mentioning when here a small prairie moth, which your correspondents was afraid of as a possible enemy of wheat. I am sending you what I am pretty sure are the larvæ of the moths you showed me. These larvae are here now simply in enormous numbers, more so than anything of the kind I have ever seen. They clear off all the food before them and then march on in a regular swarm, all going the same way. The food plant seems to be usually lamb's-ears, but this has been all eaten clean, and they are now turning their attention to buckwheat, the native asters, the tumble-weed (*Amaranthus*), sand cherry, red clover, rose, red-root pigweed, and even wheat and oats, as well as numerous other plants. Fortunately, they are too late in the season to do much harm, and in any case they seem to prefer weeds to grain. The moths were very abundant during June and July.

Sept. 27.—The larvæ have now all disappeared beneath the ground, but whether they pupate or pupate, I am not quite sure. Several that I dug out had not yet undergone any change, but had merely made a straight burrow about two inches deep, which

they had lined somewhat loosely with web.' In reply to your letter, the food preferred to all others is lamb's-quarters, and wheat was only attacked when all other plants had been eaten. So far, instead of this insect being an enemy, the caterpillars have proved undoubted friends.'

'Oct. 18.—I went out this morning to try and find out for you whether the larvæ of *Loxostege sticticalis*, L., had turned to pupæ or not. I found they were all hibernating as larvæ, as you suspected. They are from one to two inches beneath the ground in a closely woven chamber of web, and they are now very sluggish.'

The Sugar-beet Webworm is stated by Mr. Chittenden in his bulletin, to be an introduced insect from western and central Europe and northern Asia, which is evidently slowly but steadily pushing its way eastward. From the letters given above it is quite apparent that the outbreak of last summer was exceptional, and also that the favourite food plant is the well known and troublesome weed of western wheat fields, the lamb's-quarters, and allied plants. As, however, the sugar-beet is one of these, and great efforts are being made in the West to foster the cultivation of this crop, seems important to make the appearance and habits of this insect well known. The most important points with regard to these are as follows: The pale yellow eggs are laid singly or in rows of two to five, overlapping like fish scales. The young larvæ are at first whitish, with polished black heads and bristle-bearing spots. They soon become blackish caterpillars with thin skins, through which the green contents of the body show. These are very voracious and very soon strip plants of their leaves. The caterpillars appear in July and early September. Pupation takes place in the ground not deeper than two inches beneath the surface, consequently they can be reached and disturbed by the teeth of an ordinary cultivator at the time they are in the delicate chrysalis condition. Actual experiments are reported by Dr. Howard (*Insect Life*, Vol. p. 37) to have been successful with the winter brood. It would doubtless be so with the summer brood. Prompt attention in spraying an infested crop with arsenical poisons will certainly control this insect should it ever become troublesome in crops of sugar beets. Such plants as spinach in gardens could not, of course, be treated with poison. In those cases, mechanical means of prevention as ditching, might be tried.

FRUIT CROPS.

A satisfactory feature of the year 1903, like that of the previous year, has been a marked decrease in the injuries caused by some of the well known pests of the fruit grower. The Tent Caterpillars, Cankerworms, Squash Bugs, and even the Codling Moth, in most places may be said to have done hardly any harm. Fruit crops have been exceptionally remunerative. The apple crop in Nova Scotia was a remarkably good one, large in quantity and excellent in quality, being very free from insect attacks as well as from Black Spot and other fungous diseases. (B. W. Chipman.) In Prince Edward Island the crop was 'rather poor, having been injured by the late frosts and dry weather in spring.' (E. J. McMillan.) Through Quebec and Ontario the crop on the trees was not so large as in some previous years, but the quality was exceptionally good that there was a larger quantity of A 1 fruit for export than has been the case for several years. Only in the west of Ontario was any trouble experienced with Black Spot fungus, or insect enemies. In British Columbia apple crops were somewhat reduced by the attacks of the Apple Aphis, but the output was large and of excellent quality. The poor crop of apples in England last season gave Canadian growers a good opportunity of showing to what exceptional excellence this valuable fruit can be grown in this country, and the large quantity shipped up to the end of November, over 1,000,000 barrels, with a probable total export of 2,000,000 barrels.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

end of the season, as well as the high quality of the fruit, will no doubt make a lasting impression on the British market.*

There was a fair yield of apples; but in various parts of the province of Ontario complaints were made of the scarcity of barrels, and, on this account, buyers were more particular than ever in the selection of this fruit; thousands of bushels of apples that in former years would have passed for shipment to Great Britain, were this season rejected by them.—(C. C. James).

Not only was the quality of the fruit exported this year better for the above reason, but the rigorous application of the 'Fruit Marks Act' has prevented much second-rate fruit from going forward, which otherwise would have found its way to the British markets. This will be a decided and lasting benefit to the country. Grapes were a good crop in the Niagara peninsula, but in Essex and Kent the crop was practically destroyed by the Black Rot of the Grape (*Loestadia Bidwelli*, V. & R.) which was an enormous crop in almost all parts of the Dominion, injuries by the common Curculio being considered this year rather a benefit than otherwise for the work they did in thinning fruit on the overloaded trees. The only discounted reports as to plums were from some parts of the maritime provinces. In British Columbia considerable loss occurred from the attacks of the fungous disease known as Brown Rot or Peck Rot (*Monilia fructigena*), which attacks the fruit just when it is ready for the market. This loss was chiefly on Vancouver Island and near the coast on the mainland. Orchards which had been sprayed early in spring and where the diseased plums had been carefully gathered and destroyed, were noticeably freer from attack than where no remedial measures had been adopted. The Shot-hole Fungus (*Cylindrosporium padi*) also did considerable injury by defoliating the trees before the fruit was ripe. This, like the last named disease, can be controlled by regular spraying. Peaches were an enormous crop of excellent quality. Cherries were fair on Prince Edward Island, good in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia, excellent and abundant in Quebec, Ontario and British Columbia. The pear crop is reported as good; but the ravages of the Pear-tree Slug were serious in some places, and the Pear-tree Flea-louse is reported by Prof. Lochhead as having been very injurious in the Grimsby district of Ontario. On the fruit farm of Mr. W. R. Dewar, trees were much stunted and were covered with the dirty black fungus, *Fumago salicina*, which develops upon the honey-suckle emitted by this insect and various other kinds of plant-lice. Berries and small fruits generally were seriously affected by the drought of early summer through the season where this prevailed. The rains, which came about the middle of June, were late to save the strawberry crop but helped considerably raspberries and currants. Raspberries in Nova Scotia did not produce such a paying crop as usual, but this was due to any trouble with insect enemies. In Prince Edward Island this crop was reported as 'fair.'

The following extract from the 'Glasgow Herald' of January 5, 1904, in an article upon Fruit Imports into the United Kingdom in 1903 is significant: 'Green Fruit Import. The trade was unique, 1903 being a bumper year. The total weight was 4,550,000 cwt. valued at £2,850,000. In ten years the imports have been nearly doubled; 1903 even surpassed 1896, which was the most prolific season of recent years. The imports in favour of 1903 against 1896 were 2,000,000 bushels. We get the largest parcels from the United States and Canada. These countries send us more than 2,500,000 cwt. annually. Of course, the Canadian apples are much superior to those of the United States.'

OYSTER-SHELL BARK-LOUSE (*Mytilaspis ulmi*, L.=*M. pomorum*, Bouché) has been complained of from almost every part of the Dominion where fruit trees are grown; and the chief reason that it remains unchecked and continues to increase, seems to be that it is so often overlooked by fruit growers and others who ought to know such a common and destructive enemy by sight and also be well acquainted with the best means of fighting against it. In south-western Ontario excellent work has been done in preventing the spread of this scale by the minute chalcid parasite, *Aphelinus mytilaspidis*, Le-Baron. The presence of the parasite in a district can be detected by the minute round holes left by the tiny parasites where they have eaten their way out through the tops of the old scales. This minute friend is so small that it can hardly be seen with the unaided eye. It is bright yellow in colour, with golden eyes, and measures only about one thirty-sixth of an inch in length. Under a magnifying glass, it is found to be a four-winged fly shaped as shown in the enlarged figure herewith. This parasite is sometimes so abundant that it destroys more than half of the scales which are formed. It has occurred in all parts of Canada but never seems to remain long in any district, a fact which is rather remarkable, as the Oyster-shell Bark-louse upon which it feeds is abundant everywhere. For the last year or two it has been noticed in large numbers upon scale-infested fruit trees in the Niagara district. There is only one brood of the Oyster-shell Bark-louse in a year. The young bark-



Fig. 11.—*Aphelinus mytilaspidis*.

Fig. 10.—Twig infested with Oyster-shell Bark-louse.



Fig. 12.—The Oyster-shell Bark-louse: a, b, male adult; c, male scale—much enlarged.

lice emerge from beneath the old scale in Ontario and British Columbia about the end of May, and in the maritime provinces towards the end of June. At that time they are small six-legged insects resembling mites. After emerging, they wander about the trees for a few hours, looking for a suitable place to attach themselves to the bark, which they do by means of their slender beaks. Once having attached themselves, they never move from that place; gradually their legs disappear, with the increase in size of their bodies, and a waxy scale is secreted over them. By the middle of August the female bark-louse has practically changed into a bag of eggs protected by a scale. Little by little the body of the mother insect dries up; and, when all her eggs are laid, the scale is well filled with these minute white objects, and the mother's body is merely an empty skin at the small end of the scale. The scale of the male bark-lice are seldom noticed. They are of different shape and are much narrower and white. (Fig. 12c.) The perfect male is a tiny winged insect which is able to fly well.

Trees upon which this insect occurs, are weakened by being robbed of sap by these small insects, which frequently occur in such enormous numbers.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

almost to coat the trees and entirely hide the bark. Although so destructive in all parts of Canada, the Oyster-shell Bark-louse is not a particularly hard insect to control, where trees are attended to regularly. The first step to take when an orchard is found to be attacked is to invigorate the trees by ploughing round them and feeding them with some quick-acting fertilizer, such as well rotted manure, or a dressing of wood ashes. When trees have been standing in sod, it is well to break this up. Trees which are planted too closely, should be pruned and cleaned out, so that they may be easy of access for spraying and other operations. As soon as winter has set in, the trees should be sprayed thoroughly with a thin lime wash, one pound of lime in each gallon of water. Two coats must be applied, the second immediately after the first is dry. Where the lime-sulphur-and-salt wash is used to protect trees against fungus and insect enemies, there will never be any trouble with the Oyster-shell Bark-louse. The young bark-lice emerge from their mothers' scales during June; the exact date should be watched for, and, immediately the dust-like yellow mites are noticed, the trees should be sprayed without delay with weak kerosene emulsion, or a whale-oil soap solution, using one pound to six gallons of water.

The SCURFY BARK-LOUSE (*Chionaspis furfura*, Fitch).—In western Ontario this bark-louse has become so abundant recently, that many fruit growers are noticing it. In several cases, it has been mistaken for the San José scale and has been sent in for that insect. It is only occasionally that this scale develops in sufficient numbers to injure trees seriously. When it does so, it can be treated in the same way as the Oyster-shell Bark-louse. Mr. W. W. Hilborn found it was entirely destroyed by the lime-sulphur-and-salt wash. The eggs of the Scurfy Bark-louse are bright red in colour and are to be found beneath the scales by the middle of August or early in September. The male scale, as in the case of the Oyster-shell Bark-louse, is of quite a different shape from that of the female. In both sexes the scales are white and so closely appressed to the bark that they are easily overlooked or are not recognized as scale insects. The male scales are frequently found all clustered together in groups around the base of a twig or at some inequality of the bark.

The EYE-SPOTTED BUD-MOTH (*Tmetocera ocellana*, Schiff).—The insect concerning which most inquiry was received from Nova Scotia last spring, was the Eye-spotted Bud-moth. Attention had already been called to it by its frequency in Nova Scotian orchards during the previous year, and specimens also came in from some parts of Ontario and Quebec and from one point in British Columbia. Prof. F. C. Sears, Director of the Nova Scotia School of Horticulture, of Wolfville, N.S., writes at the end of the season: 'Even the Bud-moth, which for the past few seasons has been extremely abundant, proved much less troublesome than was anticipated. This was undoubtedly due in large measure to the fact that our orchardists now understand it better and apply the early spraying, by which it is best controlled. We find that this early spraying should be applied from May 1st to 10th, according to the season. I am glad to report that spraying was much more general during the past season than ever before, particularly in Annapolis County. One dealer there sold one hundred spraying outfits; but, as the season was particularly unfavourable for fungous pests and most insects, I fear that some that sprayed for the first time may be discouraged.' It was suggested by Mr. E. E. Archibald, of Wolfville, N.S., that the irregularity in the fruit crop in the celebrated Annapolis valley of Nova Scotia might be due to the depredations of this small but very destructive and frequently unrecognized enemy. I believe that his suggestion is in a large measure correct and, where correspondents had reported a blighting of the leaves and fruit buds, I am sure these results had been in many cases directly due to the attacks of the caterpillars of the Eye-spotted Bud-moth. On account of its abundance last year, it will be wise for fruit growers to examine their trees during the present winter and early next spring, to see if there are any of the

small brown caterpillars upon them, and, should they find any, to be prepared to spray their orchards thoroughly, just at the time the buds are bursting, with a poisoned Bordeaux mixture, this being the remedy,—of many which have been tried,—which has given the best results. This mixture, made according to the formula which we use at the Experimental Farm, is as follows:—

Copper sulphate (bluestone)	4 lbs.
Unslaked lime	4 lbs.
Paris green (for Bud-moth and other leaf-eating insects) . .	8 oz.
Water (one barrel)	40 gals.

Dissolve the copper sulphate by suspending it inside a cotton bag in a wooden or earthen vessel containing five or more gallons of water. Slake the lime in another vessel, and then strain the lime wash through coarse sacking or a fine sieve. Pour the copper sulphate solution into a barrel, or it may be dissolved in this in the first place, and fill the barrel with water. Stir thoroughly before using. A stock solution of copper sulphate, and lime wash may be prepared and kept in separate covered barrels through the spraying season; but the quantities of copper sulphate and lime in the solutions should be carefully noted, so that the proper strength may be used when a wash is required for spraying.

The caterpillars of the Eye-spotted Bud-moth pass the winter on the twigs of trees, upon the foliage of which the eggs had been laid the previous summer. Each caterpillar is snugly curled up inside a small silken tent or covering called a pseudo-cocoon. These are extremely difficult to find until their appearance is known. They are located, as a rule, right in the crotch between two twigs, or in any small depression on a fruit spur. In many instances, I have found that a small piece of leaf or of lichen, is attached to the outside. On opening these with the tip of a knife, the small brown black-headed caterpillar, one-eighth of an inch in length, will be found inside. These caterpillars when they go into winter quarters are less than half-grown, having passed through three or four of their six moults. Early in spring, just before the time that the leaf buds burst, they emerge from their shelters and attack the opening leaf and flower buds. They do a great deal of harm at this time because they not only devour the young leaves but a single caterpillar will destroy a whole cluster of flowers. Their injuries are severe, both upon young trees and also upon full-grown bearing trees, which in some instances have been stripped of almost every bunch of flowers. These caterpillars become full-grown during June and then spin cocoons among the dead leaves which they have injured. The small gray and white moths appear during the month of July. These moths are similar in shape and size to the Codling Moth but are of a general dark gray colour, blotched with white, which makes them very inconspicuous when they are at rest on the trunks of trees. They measure about three-fifths of an inch across the opened wings and may be recognized by an eye-like spot upon each of the fore wings. The moths appear from June to the middle of July; they rest on the tree during the day time but are very active at night, flying about fruit trees and laying their eggs upon the leaves. The eggs are remarkable little objects which lie very flat upon the leaf on which they are deposited. Under a magnifying glass, they have more the appearance of minute drops of water, or of tiny fishes' scales than of the egg of an insect. Ten days after the eggs are laid, the young caterpillars hatch, and their habits during the summer are quite different from those of the spring. As soon as the caterpillars hatch, they crawl to the middle of the lower side of the leaf and form a silken tube close to the midrib of one of the larger veins. Here they feed upon the tissues of the lower side of the leaf, leaving the network of veins and the upper surface of the leaf. As they extend their operations, they cover themselves with a light tent of silk. They grow slowly, remaining for eight or ten weeks on the same leaf where they were born; they then stop feeding and crawl from the leaves to a co-

venient place on the twigs, where they spin their winter coverings. This generally takes place, Professor Slingerland found, in the first half of September, and is done irrespective of the weather, even if it be fine and hot, and there is abundance of food. Like all other insects, they seem to know instinctively that it is the proper time for them to prepare for winter. The spring appearance of the caterpillars, on the other hand, is much less regular as to date and will vary as much as three or four weeks, according as the spring and the time of the opening of the buds is early or late. However, it may be generally stated that the caterpillars leave their winter quarters and begin their depredations at the time the leaf buds open. There is only one brood of this insect in the year, the caterpillars which attack the leaves in the late summer, being the same ones which destroy the leaf buds the following spring. The moths appear at only one period in the year, viz., during the three or four weeks from the middle of June till the middle of July. Since the life-history of this insect has been discovered, better remedial measures have been devised than were previously known. The fact that the caterpillar passes the winter half-grown, accounts for the large amount of injury which is done so soon after growth begins in spring. The Eye-spotted Bud-moth attacks, besides the apple, the plum, the peach, the pear, the quince and the blackberry.

The remedy which, as stated above, has given the best results, is to spray the trees thoroughly with a Bordeaux and Paris green mixture at the time the buds are opening, covering the whole tree so that every bud may receive its share of poison. The Bordeaux mixture will also, when applied at that time, materially aid in check the troublesome Black Spot disease of the apple. There are, of course, many other kinds of poisons which may be used; but those which have given the best results, are Paris green, Arsenate of Lead or Disparene, and Green and Pink Arsenoid. Where great care is exercised in mixing and making the application according to instructions and also in destroying carefully all surplus left on hand after spraying, white arsenic in any of its combinations may be used and will destroy all leaf-eating insects, upon trees which have been sprayed with a mixture containing it; but its use is attended with considerable danger to foliage and also with great risk to animal life, including human beings, from having about a house or outbuilding a substance which so closely resembles so many materials used in a household. In Prof. Bailey's most useful little *Horticulturists' Rule Book*, under the head of arsenic, we find the following:—'*Arsenic*.—Known to chemists as arsenious acid or white oxide of arsenic. It is considered an unsafe insecticide, as its colour allows it to be mistaken for other substances; but in its various compounds it forms one of our best insecticides. From one to two grains, or less, usually prove fatal to an adult; 30 grains will usually kill a horse, ten grains a cow, and one grain, or less, is usually fatal to a dog. In cases of poisoning, while awaiting a physician, give emetics; and, after free vomiting, milk and eggs. Sugar and magnesia in milk is useful. In the very complete experiments which have been recently carried out under the instructions of Dr. L. O. Howard, the United States Entomologist, by Mr. C. B. Simpson, on the Codling moth, the following important statement is made as to the insecticide which he found most useful in his extensive investigations:—

'Arsenite of Lime with Soda.

White arsenic.pound 1
Sal soda (crystal)pounds 4
Water.gallon 1

'The ingredients are boiled in the required amount of water until dissolved, and will take place in a comparatively few minutes, after which the water lost by evaporation is replaced. To every 40 or 50 gallons of water a pint of this stock solution and from 2 to 4 pounds of fresh slaked lime are added. The chemical com-

pound derived from the combination of the sal soda and the white arsenic is arsenite of soda. In the presence of lime this breaks down and arsenite of lime is formed. It requires 4.4 pounds of crystal sal soda, or 1.6 pounds of dry sal soda to combine with one pound of arsenic, and 2 pounds of freshly slaked lime to combine with one pound of arsenic to form arsenite of lime. It is always desirable to have an excess of lime present, in order to prevent all danger of burning; furthermore this excess is a convenience to fruit growers, because they can see by the distribution and amount of lime on the foliage how well the spraying has been done. The formula, which is the Kedzie formula with a few minor changes, has been used in many different sections of the country with unvarying success. In all of the practical tests under the advice of the writer, this solution is used and is found to be, not only as efficient as other solutions, but far cheaper.

'When it is desired to use Bordeaux mixture with this solution, it is added to the Bordeaux mixture in the same proportion as to a similar quantity of water.'

The above quotation is given here because I am aware that many fruit growers in different parts of Canada are using white arsenic in some form for spraying fruit trees in preference to Paris green, and moreover because considerable injury has followed this practice, which has to a certain measure served to discredit the most important practice of spraying fruit trees for the prevention of injury by leaf-eating insects. In my own experience, I prefer to use Paris green, knowing it to be perfectly effective and believing that, notwithstanding the fact that it is a little more expensive than some other arsenical insecticides, it yet repays enormously any expenditure by the improved condition of sprayed trees; but, if other substances are used, probably the Kedzie mixture is the best. Disparene, or arsenate of lead, is also another very valuable insecticide, one great feature in its favour being the length of time it remains effective on the foliage. Mr. Joseph Tweddle, of Fruitland, Ont., who not only himself grows very satisfactory crops in orchards which he has sprayed, but has also done much work in spraying orchards for other fruit growers, who have been well satisfied with the treatment used by Mr. Tweddle, tells me that the spray which he uses is made as follows:—I boil half a pound of white arsenic in one gallon of water with one pound of lime for 45 minutes, and make up to the original quantity of water when it is finished boiling. I use this in 50 gallons of Bordeaux mixture for apple and pear trees, except for the third or fourth treatment when it will sometimes burn the foliage if used at this strength. I have never used it on plums and cherries at the above strength without doing some injury, and would always advise care in spraying so as not to drench the trees. I find this mixture very effective against all leaf-eating insects. When spraying peach trees for Curculio I use this mixture of half the strength without the Bordeaux mixture, and when with the latter not more than one quarter strength.

Prof. C. P. Gillette, of Colorado, recommends a somewhat simpler method of preparing arsenate of lime, which is to boil for three-quarters of an hour one pound of white arsenic and two pounds of fresh lime in one gallon of water, and of this he uses one quart to an ordinary barrel of 40 gallons. Prof. Gillette also draws particular attention to the necessity of using fresh lump lime and of exercising the greatest care in labelling everything containing this mixture plainly 'Poison.'

The proportions in which I have found the best known arsenical poisons satisfactory, are as follows:

Paris green—1 pound to 160 gallons of water, with 1 pound fresh lime.

Arsenate of lead—1½ pounds to 50 gallons of water.

Green arsenoid—1 pound to 160 gallons water, with 1 pound fresh lime.

The APPLE-LEAF SEWER [*Ancylis (Phoxopterus) nubeculana*, Clem.].—Apple orchards at Fruitland, Grimsby, St. Catharines and Niagara-on-the-Lake, were to a moderate extent infested last autumn by the small caterpillars of this insect. The sewed leaves were conspicuous on the trees in autumn. Inside these leaves, which fall to the ground, the caterpillars remain until the following spring, when they change to chrysalids; and the pretty moths, which are shown at fig. 13, appear in May and June. The chrysalis works its way through the leaf, when the moth escapes, the empty skin remains attached to the leaf. This insect has never been a serious pest to the apple grower, and is only sometimes sufficiently abundant to attract notice. The only remedy which has been recommended, is to rake the leaves in the autumn and burn them.



Fig. 13.—The Apple Leaf-sewer: a, caterpillar; b, pupa case on leaf; c, moth—*a* and *c* enlarged.

The APPLE-LEAF MINER (*Tischeria malifoliella*, Clem.)—Rather more abundant than the above and more destructive was this small leaf-miner. It occurred in several orchards near Grimsby, and Mr. Joseph Tweddle reports it as being sufficiently abundant to require attention. It has been noticed more or less in this same district for several years, specimens having been sent once or twice by Mr. Geo. E. Fisher, of Freeport, Ont., who had noticed it in orchards and nurseries in the above named district, while inspecting for San José scale. I do not think that it is ever likely to develop into a serious enemy, but it is advisable for students of insects to find out a little more than is at present known concerning its exact life history, so that, in case it ever requires special treatment, we may be prepared with a practical remedy, which as yet is wanting. The only remedy now suggested is to burn the fallen leaves in infested orchards, either in autumn or before the moths leave them in the spring.

The APPLE APHIS (*Aphis mali*, Fab.).—Plant-lice of all kinds have been noticed abundant on many crops throughout Canada and the northern United States during 1903. Although this has been the case, it cannot be said that their injuries have been excessive, for in nearly every instance, they were attended by large numbers of their natural parasites, which soon reduced the numbers so much that they were unable to do appreciable harm. The only injuries which could be considered serious, were where the insects attacked young stock in nurseries and while young. Some of our large nurserymen in western Ontario inform me that the Apple Aphis did them considerable harm last season, particularly upon budding stock, in July and in August. In Prince Edward Island and in British Columbia, an injury which I have already alluded to as caused by the Apple Aphis, was again this year apparent on apples. This injury is of a serious nature, and takes the form of small pits which are left on the growing fruit at spots where apples have been punctured by the aphis when they were small. This gives the fruit a distorted, gnarled appearance which renders it quite unsaleable.* As a general thing, except in British Columbia, it is not advisable to go to the expense of spraying bearing apple trees for the Apple Aphis. The insects are most abundant when they first hatch from




Fig. 14.—The Apple Aphis—enlarged.

Fig. 15, next page.

the eggs, in which form they pass the winter. At that time the plant-lice cluster on the buds to such an extent as to almost hide them. With the rapid expansion of the foliage, they are soon lost sight of, and it is very seldom that serious injury results from their presence. Late in the autumn, when they come back again to apple trees after passing some time on grasses and fall wheat, they are again found in large numbers upon apple trees, where they lay their eggs. In British Columbia, this insect is one of the most destructive orchard pests the fruit-grower has to deal with, and treatment of infested trees is frequently a necessity.

It may also be noted that, although the Apple Aphis was troublesome last season in many parts of the Pacific province, Mr. Venables expressly states that the Apple Aphis was less abundant than usual at Vernon, although one might have expected it to have appeared in great force, judging from the large number of eggs laid in 1903. These, however, for the most part failed to hatch last spring. The Apple Aphis is a green plant-louse, having the head, the eyes and the thorax black. The head is pointed forward, and the prothorax has lateral tubercles. The antennæ are shorter than the body. On comparing this species with the Grain Aphis, which very much resembles it, the most striking differences are that in the latter species the eyes are reddish, the head and thorax brown and the head not pointed in front. The antennæ, which are a little longer than the body, are borne on distinct frontal prominences. A remedy which answers well for the Apple Aphis, is to spray the infested trees thoroughly with whale-oil soap, one pound in six gallons of water, or with a tobacco and soap wash made by soaking ten pounds of tobacco leaves in hot water for a few hours, then straining off the liquid and adding ten pounds of whale-oil soap. Stir until all is dissolved and fill up to make 40 gallons. If this wash is applied and spray two or three times at short intervals, little difficulty will be met with in destroying the Apple Aphis.



Fig. 15.—Section of Apple showing distortion of outline.

The injury to apples referred to above resembles very closely that of the small British Columbia Apple-fruit Miner (*Argyresthia conjugella*, Z.), as shown at fig. 16.

The PLUM APHIS (*Aphis prunifolii*, Fitch) was mentioned by correspondents several times during June, and trees infested were sprayed promptly with whale-oil soap or the tobacco and soap wash with good effect. In British Columbia an allied species, *Hyalopterus pruni*, Fab., was reported by Mr. E. P. Venables, of Vernon, B.C., as being in greater numbers than for several years past. The insect was also observed at several other places in British Columbia, both on the mainland and in Vancouver Island.

The CHERRY APHIS (*Myzus cerasi*, Fab.).—This is a black plant-louse, which frequently appears in large numbers early in spring and clusters around the young fruit and along the stems of the fruit and leaves, sucking the sap and doing much harm. The eggs are laid upon the twigs during the autumn, the young plant lice not hatching until the following spring. This plant-louse has done a considerable amount of harm in western Ontario for several years, and during the past summer, although in most places it disappeared early in June, in others much loss resulted from its attacks. Mr. J. B. Fairbairn writing from Bowmanville, Ont., says: 'I have two English cherry trees that for years have had their crop ruined by this pest; two seasons ago I planted out three Montmorencys, and I find they also are covered with these insects. It is almost impossible to destroy them without injuring the trees.' The Cherry Aphis is one of the class known as Black Plant-lice, and it is a remarkable fact which has not been accounted for, that all of these dark coloured plant-lice are much harder to kill than those which are of a green or light colour. For the Apple Aphis, Hop Aphis and other green-coloured species, one pound of whale-oil soap in 8 or 10 gallons of water is sufficient.

ciently strong to destroy them; but, for the black species, I have found that six gallons of water to one pound of soap is the greatest dilution which can be used. An important point, too, in fighting this insect, is early work, because, as the egg is upon twigs all through the winter, and the young hatch there in spring, they are easily reached with a small amount of spraying material, and early treatments before the leaves have expanded, have been found most effective. The kerosene emulsion may also be used with great success at any time after the weather becomes warm in spring, and before the leaves expand. For this purpose, the stock emulsion should only be diluted with six parts of water, instead of nine, as in the usual dilution for use upon foliage.

The RED-HUMPED APPLE-TREE CATERPILLAR (*Schizura concinna*, S. & A.).—These voracious caterpillars were sent in from Nova Scotia, Quebec and Ontario, and were reported from British Columbia. Altogether, the species seems to have been rather more abundant than usual. The appearance of these caterpillars is well shown at fig. 16. The colours are as follows:—Head bright red, as is also a conspicuous hump on the fourth segment. The sides are striped with black, yellow and white lines. The blunt spines on the back are black. When



Fig. 16.—The Red-humped Apple-tree caterpillar.

at rest, the end of the body is raised and has, when viewed sideways, somewhat the shape of a dog's head. When full grown in autumn, they are a little more than an inch long. They then spin close parchment-like cocoons among the leaves on the ground, or a short distance beneath the surface, in which they remain unchanged until the following spring, when they assume the chrysalis condition, and the moths emerge towards the end of June. These are plainly coloured but prettily marked in varying shades of brown, which make them very inconspicuous when at rest, and, although the caterpillar is common, the moths are very seldom seen. These, when the eggs are opened, expand from an inch to an inch and a half, the males, as a rule, being much smaller than the females. The eggs are deposited in clusters on the leaves of apple trees and occasionally on a few other kinds of trees, as willow, birch and oak. They are laid early in July, and by the end of that month the colonies of young caterpillars become conspicuous from the thorough way in which they strip whole branches of their leaves. At this time much good may be done by cutting off the branches and destroying the whole colony at once, as they very seldom wander far from each other, when at rest, are massed together so as to hide the twigs and stem of the branch. Red-humped Apple-tree Caterpillar has never appeared in Canada in sufficient numbers to be the cause of much loss to fruit growers, and, where trees are regularly sprayed with insecticides, this will never be the case. The species is much rarer in British Columbia than in the East, but I have on several occasions seen colonies upon willows, as well as upon apple trees in orchards. Mr. E. P. Venable reports it as abundant than usual in 1903 at Vernon in the Okanagan valley. Prof. F. C. Davis sent specimens from Wolfville, N.S., Mr. P. E. Choquette, from St. Jerome, and Mr. E. B. Yarwood, from Picton, Ont. A few colonies were also found at Ottawa.

The PEAR-TREE SLUG (*Eriocampa cerasi*, Peck).—The slimy blackish slug-like larva of last year, as is too frequently the case with so easily controlled a pest, found very destructive in British Columbia to the foliage of pear and cherry trees. Specimens were also sent from Morrisburg, Ont., by Mr. Gordon Dill. The parent insect is a short, thick four-winged fly, about a quarter of an inch in length. It is glossy black, with pale legs, and has the habit, when an infested




Fig. 17.—The Pear-tree Slug.

tree is touched, of drawing in the legs and falling to the ground. There are two broods in a season, the flies of the first brood appearing and laying their eggs early in June. These are inserted into the tissues of the leaf, where they remain for about a fortnight before the young slugs hatch. The greatest injury is done to fruit trees during July. The larvæ are sometimes, and indeed very frequently, in such enormous numbers as to strip the green cellular tissue from the leaves to such an extent that the foliage of whole trees and even of orchards is destroyed, and the trees are left apparently covered with only dead leaves. This injury, occurring as it does when the tree requires the full use of their leaves to bring the fruit to perfection, is a serious one and its effects last over and affect the crop of the second year. A second brood of larvæ appears in August and September. These, when fully fed, fall to the ground and penetrate a short distance beneath the surface, where they remain until the following year, changing to pupæ about the middle or end of May, and the flies emerge soon afterwards. The Pear-tree Slug, which, as its latin name indicates, attacks also the Cherry-tree, is a very easy insect to control. In properly managed and sprayed orchards it can never be troublesome. Owing to the viscid secretion on the skin any dry dusty material adheres to it and causes the insect great inconvenience; therefore, dusting trees with freshly slaked lime or even with finely sifted road dust, will have the effect of clearing trees of large numbers. Two or three applications should be made at short intervals. In hot, dry weather dusting trees either by hand or with an insect gun or other implement for the distribution of dry powders, for two days running, have found quite satisfactory. The material used was freshly slaked lime, to which Paris green was added in the proportion of one pound to fifty, so that in case any of the larvæ, which might have been moulting, escaped, there would still be on the foliage a poison to destroy them as soon as they began to feed. The most practical remedy undoubtedly to spray trees with Paris green or some other arsenical insecticide, one pound to 160 gallons of water. This treatment will not only destroy the Pear-tree Slug but also many other kinds of leaf-eating insects.

The PEAR-TREE FLEA-LOUSE (*Psylla pyricola*, Foerster).—Although up to the present time the Pear-tree Flea-louse, called also the Pear-tree Psylla, has not been the cause



Fig. 18.—The Pear-tree Flea-louse : perfect insect—enlarged.

'This insect has been very injurious this past season, more especially in the Grimsby district.' A correspondent writes:—'When I came home on July 4, my trees were fairly covered with it. The insects were mostly wingless, with a few winged forms. They are found in the axils of the leaves, along the petiole and along the blade, but are chiefly found on the leaves a short distance from the vein or just in the axils of the secondary veins or mid-veins. In the first place, the tissue of the leaves dries up in spots where they are situated; but in the latter case they cause a drying up of the tissues along the edge of leaf at the outer extremity of the vein. When the psylla is situated in the secondary axils of the leaf, the petiole seems yellowish in colour and the attachment to the stem seems weak. About July 15 to 25 the psyllas were most abundant—the number of winged forms increasing until the 25th. A heavy rain on the 23rd cleared the trees of the honey-dew, and seemingly of quite a number of the psyllas. After another heavy rain on the night of July 27, I no longer

of widespread injury, still there are every year complaints of more or less serious loss in pear orchards in western Ontario. I have found this insect to be abundant when looked for in orchards, throughout the Niagara district and along the north shore of Lake Erie. During the last summer I have had it sent to me from two localities in Nova Scotia, and believe it to be also present at other places from which no specimens have been received. Prof. Lochhead, of the Ontario Agricultural College, writes me as follows:—

at there were very few of the wingless forms, but a great number of the winged ones. up to this time very few leaves had fallen off, although the growth of the trees was completely stopped; in fact, our trees have apparently made no growth at all this year, excepting a few that were free from the Psylla. At the time of writing, August 27, wingless forms have again become numerous and the winged ones few.'—W. R. WAR.


Mr. John Chute, of Berwick, N.S., also observed that those of his trees which were infested by the Pear-tree Flea-louse made no growth.

This insect was first noticed as injurious in Canada in 1894, and a short account of it, with the best remedies for controlling it, appeared in my annual report for that year. The attack may be described as follows:—Small clear-winged insects, wedged like miniature cicadæ, the head being broad, flat in front, and the body pointed behind; one-tenth of an inch in length, of a reddish brown colour, with broad black bands across the abdomen. These insects, at the slightest disturbance, leap from the edge of infested pear trees and fly for a short distance. With the above described insect, there will be found on the leaves the curious flattened oval larvæ, which, when hatched, are extremely small, only one-eightieth of an inch in length, of a semi-transparent yellow colour, with bright red eyes. These grow rapidly, and in about a month pass through five nymph stages, during which the body retains its flattened shape and becomes much darker until, in the full-grown nymph, the large wing-pads on the greater part of the upper surface are black. The eyes and sometimes the body between the black markings are crimson. The presence of this insect upon trees is easily detected by the copious secretion of honey-dew with which the leaves, limbs and trunks of the trees soon become covered, and upon which the dirty looking Sooty scale (*Fumago salicina*) develops. After a time the leaves and young fruit fall off the trees assume an unhealthy, gnarled appearance. Hardly any new growth is seen, and in cases of severe attack, trees die.

The life-history of this insect has been carefully worked out by Prof. Slingerland of Cornell University, and has been fully described in Cornell Bulletin No. 108, published in 1896, as well as in U. S. Div. of Ent., Circular No. 7, 2nd series, by Mr. Marlatt.

The remedies for this insect are the spraying of the trunks of trees which are known to have been infested, during the winter or early spring, with kerosene emulsion, soft soap solution, or whitewash. This is to destroy the hibernating adults, and to pass the winter hidden away beneath flakes of bark or in crevices.

The eggs are laid very early in spring long before the leaf buds expand. After leaving their winter quarters and after the sexes have mated, the females lay their curious spindle-shaped and tailed eggs (fig. 19) near the tips of the young wood. The young flea-louse hatch from these about the middle of May or sooner, and immediately begin sucking the sap from such leaves as have unfolded. Mr. Joseph Tweddle, of Fruitland, Ont., tells me that he obtained very satisfactory results in destroying the Pear-tree Psylla in orchards which he had sprayed with the lime and sulphur wash to control the San José Scale. He was under the impression that the mixture destroyed the egg upon the young wood, which is highly probable. It frequently happens that fruit growers do not know of the presence of this enemy in their orchards until they notice their pear trees becoming dirty and black during June, or a little later in the year notice that the leaves are falling. As the insect is noticed in sufficient numbers to cause injury to the trees, these



Pear-tree Flea-louse: a, egg; larva—both greatly enlarged. (U. S. Dept. of Agriculture.)

latter should be sprayed at once with the ordinary one to nine kerosene emulsion c with a whale-oil soap solution of one pound to six gallons of water. This will destroy large numbers both of the nymphs and also of the mature insects. The most effective work, however, is done during the winter, when nearly all of the adults resort to the trunks and larger limbs for hibernation. In my report for 1900, at page 239, I drew attention to some good work which had been done by Mr. Henry Lutz, of Youngtown, New York State, by spraying with a lime wash. In 1896 a large Dutchess orchard belonging to him was almost ruined. In February, 1897, the whole orchard was thoroughly sprayed with whitewash, and two years afterwards this orchard was almost free from Psylla. Mr. Lutz explains his plan as follows:—'During the cold weather in December we spread a canvas under the trees and then scrape off all the rough bark. This dislodges many of the torpid insects, which are burnt with the scrapings. We then give the trees a thorough coating of slushy whitewash made of freshly slaked lime that had been run off in a putty state, as masons usually make it for plastering. We thin this with skimmed milk and put it on the trunks of the trees with a brush for those parts of the tree which we can reach. We thin down the whitewash with milk and then give the whole tree a thorough spraying. In this way we destroy a large number of the hibernating Psyllas, and those which are not killed are so well sealed up that they cannot get out to lay their eggs. We spray again in March to cover the wood and buds, so that the few that are alive can find no favourable places to lay their eggs. The orchard where we experimented contained 1,000 trees, which were practically worthless, but since we began using the lime the trees have steadily regained their vigour.'

The PEAR-LEAF BLISTER-MITE (*Phytoptus pyri*, Nalepa).—This enemy has spread to every part of the Dominion where pears are grown. Specimens were sent from Prince Edward Island by Mr. E. J. McMillan, the secretary of Agriculture for that province, and within the same week in June specimens came in for report from the provinces of Quebec and Ontario. Mr. E. P. Venable, writing from Vernon, B. C., says:—'Pears suffered from the attacks of the Pear-leaf Blister-mite. This insect threatens to become a very serious enemy unless measures are taken to subdue it. I found that the lime, sulphur and salt spray was very useful in destroying it. It is applied just before the buds burst. One tree upon which the leaves were simply black with the work of the mite, was treated thoroughly and the following year was practically free from the insect. A few branches at the top of the tree, however, were as bad as ever. These had not been reached by the spray.'

Frequent experiments have shown that the best treatment for this pest is spraying the trees thoroughly with the lime, sulphur and salt wash just at the time the buds are bursting. The mites pass the winter hidden away securely beneath the bud-scales, which by the expanding of the buds in spring are opened up sufficiently to allow the entrance of liquid. Kerosene emulsion is useful to a certain extent, but sulphur has a specially fatal effect on all mites, and in practice the wash above mentioned is proved the best remedy against the Pear-leaf Blister-mite. See below for receipt of lime and sulphur wash at page 199.

THE SAN JOSE SCALE (*Aspidiotus perniciosus*, Comstock.)

This notorious insect has done much harm in Ontario orchards during the past season. The only part of Canada where the San José scale is now found as an orchard pest is in the Niagara peninsula and in the counties along the north shore of the western end of Lake Erie. The infestation has, however, decidedly increased a great deal during 1903, and has involved new orchards within the area known to be infested at the end of 1902. It is a matter of congratulation that the pest has not spread beyond its limits; for, although most of the leading fruit-growers seem to understand the danger

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

of neglecting this terrible pest, yet there are many owners of small orchards who are doing nothing whatever to save their trees, and these centres are sources of public danger. An interesting occurrence of the small parasitic beetle *Pentilia misella*, Lec., was brought to my notice by Mr. W. O. Burgess, of Queenston, Ont. This useful little coccinellid was found in some abundance on apple and plum trees infested by the San José scale. It is a well known parasite of that scale insect, and although it has on several occasions been found in considerable numbers in infested orchards, I have never been able to see that it affected the abundance of the scales appreciably.

The Minister of Agriculture still maintains the fumigating stations at Vancouver, B.C., Winnipeg, Man., Windsor and Niagara Falls, Ont., St. Johns, Que., and St. John, N.B.; and a great deal of nursery stock has been passed through them during the past season. A rigorous watch has been kept on every kind of nursery stock which could possibly bring in fresh importations of the San José Scale, and I have gained this year the greatest satisfaction in reporting that no single instance has been brought to my notice of living scales having been detected on trees which had passed through the fumigating houses. The superintendents at all of the stations have done their work carefully and well, and no complaints have been received from importers, other than as to the slight delay which must occur while the stock is being treated, or as to any injury to the trees during the necessary unpacking, handling and repacking. Careful experiments have shown that the formula used at our federal fumigation stations is thoroughly effective in killing the San José Scale, and does not in any way injure the stock submitted to the gas. The formula used is one ounce of cyanide of potassium (98 per cent), one ounce of commercial sulphuric acid and three ounces of water—exposure, 45 minutes.

During 1903 the experiments which had been carried on up to that time by the Ontario government to discover a practical remedy for the San José Scale were discontinued. After having demonstrated by the excellent work and most careful experiments of Mr. Geo. E. Fisher that this insect could be controlled by practical measures, the Provincial Minister of Agriculture considered it wise not to carry on these experiments any longer. Consequently, during the past summer, although helped with advice and publications by the Provincial Department of Agriculture and Prof. W. Lochhead, of the Guelph Agricultural College, fruit-growers have had to attend to this part of their work themselves. Some have applied the recommended measures and have been quite successful in their efforts when the work was done thoroughly, but the scale has increased to an alarming extent during 1903. The consensus of opinion is that when the well known lime, sulphur and salt wash, or the recent modification of it, in which the salt is omitted, is applied thoroughly as a late winter wash, it is a safe and reliable remedy for the San José Scale. It kills by contact with the scale and acts mechanically by coating the trees so that they are unsuitable for the young scales to settle themselves upon. This wash is used as a winter wash, and should be followed in summer with sprayings of the 1 to 6 kerosene emulsion. The preparation, as detailed in previous reports and as used to-day in many places, consists of about one pound of lime, half a pound of sulphur and six ounces of salt to every gallon of water. The wash when ready for use. Mr. G. E. Fisher, who tried an enormous number of experiments, found that the results of his investigation justified him in recommending that the salt might be omitted without loss of insect killing power. The original formula of the California wash is:

LIME-SULPHUR-SALT WASH.

Lime, unslaked.....	40 lbs.
Sulphur.....	20 "
Salt.....	15 "
Water.....	60 gallons.

The chief difficulty in making this wash has been the expense and inconvenience of dissolving the sulphur for two or three hours, so as to thoroughly dissolve the sulphur. This may

be done either directly over the fire in iron kettles or in barrels by means of a jet of steam. Mr. G. E. Fisher describes his method of preparing this useful wash on a large scale, as follows:—

‘There are a great many ways of preparing lime and sulphur wash for spraying, and nearly every one who does it prefers his own way. When large orchards are to be treated, it is not practicable to cook the material to be used, by boiling it in kettle over the fire. In my practice I found that, with the aid of steam from an ordinary threshing engine, this most effective spraying material could be supplied in large quantity perfectly cooked and at a cost of from one cent to one and a half cents per gallon. A 12-horse power boiler will not furnish steam enough to cook 12 barrels at once, without extra heavy firing, and, with ordinary firing, such a boiler will not properly run more than 8 or 9 barrels, which will cook probably about 1,200 gallons of spraying material in 10 hours. The greatest drain upon the steam is in starting, when the water is all cold, and, to expedite matters and get some of the barrels under weigh I found considerable advantage in starting about one third of them. We fill four barrels one-quarter full and then turn on the steam. With steam at from 80 to 100 lbs. pressure, these will be boiling in five minutes, when the steam is turned off these and on to four more barrels, and all the lime and sulphur are put into the first four as quickly as possible without making them boil over. It is best to turn off the steam while the lime is being slaked, as it lessens the danger of making the mixture boil over. When the lime is all slaked, the steam is turned on again and the mixture is left boiling until cooked. When the second four barrels are boiling the steam is turned on to the third lot as with the first two, always returning the steam to the barrels as soon as the lime is all slaked. Managing in this way, we always have some material ready for use. That which is prepared late in the evening will still be warm enough in the morning, even in cold weather. In order to make up for the loss of liquid from boiling and to gradually fill the barrels to the proper depth, a small stream of cold water was kept dribbling into them at a rate which allowed the barrels to fill in the course of the two or three hours’ cooking necessary to reduce the sulphur. In this way the mixture was kept boiling all the time and the necessary amount of liquid was added. For boiling the mixture in the barrels, we have a quarter-inch pipe which reaches down to within four inches of the bottom of each barrel, and each pipe is provided with a stop-cock.’

‘When using a kettle, if I have only one, it is filled about one-third full and brought to a boil. The lime and sulphur are then added, and an old tin pail with a small hole in the bottom is hung over the kettle, and cold water dribbling from it into the kettle replaces the water which evaporates with boiling and increases the quantity. When kettles are used, if there are two, one may be used for heating water; for, while the mixture is cooking, cold water should not be added in sufficient quantity to check the boiling. I have generally slaked the lime in the barrels or kettles as it was required, but on some occasions we slaked it in another barrel by throwing boiling water over it and with just as good results. We certainly got our best results where each gallon of the wash contained one pound of lime and half a pound of sulphur, which we cooked from two to three hours. It is true Dr. Forbes got his wonderful results from a less quantity cooked one and a quarter hours. Mr. Pease, the California State Inspector, says it must be cooked at least three hours and that more cooking is better. He believes that this wash is of little use unless sufficiently cooked. We had good results and perhaps should be satisfied, but I think we have good reasons for using a larger quantity of material and cooking a long time. In Michigan again they use less material even than Dr. Forbes. A very common proportion in the United States is 40 lbs. of lime, 20 lbs. of sulphur, 15 lbs. of salt, in 50 imperial gallons of water.

Dr. S. A. Forbes, who has been very successful in fighting the San José Scale, on the Oregon wash and is quite satisfied with it. Writing at the end of the season of 1903, he says: ‘I am still using the ordinary Oregon wash of 15 lbs. of lime, 15 lbs. of sulphur and 1½ lbs. of blue vitriol, dissolving the lime and sulphur by boiling’

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

about an hour and then adding the blue vitriol, which has been dissolved in hot water, and boiling for 15 or 20 minutes longer.'

Mr. W. H. Owen, who has done a great deal of work against the San José Scale, in Catawba Island, Ohio, and has tried all of the different remedies which have been suggested from time to time, wrote me recently: 'In 1903 the original California formula was somewhat modified. The quantities of the new formula being lime 15 lbs., sulphur 15 lbs. and salt 15 lbs. to the 50 gallons of water, and this gave equally good results with the old formula. The Oregon wash of 15 lbs. of lime, 15 lbs. of sulphur and 1 lb. of blue vitriol, is what I used during the past season, and I cannot expect to find anything that will do better work than this, both on the San José Scale and the Leaf Curl. When properly made it surely is a perfect insecticide and fungicide. Too much stress cannot be laid upon proper making; for I believe that failure in obtaining satisfactory results can in most cases be traced to careless making.'

The lime-sulphur-and-salt wash, as made in the old method by boiling for a long time, is very fatal to scales, and many other kinds of insects, and there has been a constant effort made to see if the long boiling cannot be avoided. The point aimed at is to dissolve the sulphur thoroughly by means of the lime and heat, and to form a soluble sulphide of lime. There is an excess of lime in all the formulas used, but this in no way detrimental. The mixture, however, is not a pleasant one to use, being caustic if it gets on the bare flesh, and is very destructive to clothes of workmen using it. For this reason old clothes should be worn and the hands should be protected with gloves. It must only be used as a winter wash, for if of sufficient strength to destroy the scale, it would injure foliage as well as sensitive stock in autumn before the buds are dormant; but, when buds are quite dormant, it may be used upon all fruit trees and other hard-wooded plants liable to infestation by the San José Scale. Its effectiveness has been proved by several, and one instance which has been seen by many of our Ontario fruit growers, is the case of some plum and peach trees in the orchard of J. W. W. Hilborn, at Leamington, Ont. In the spring of 1903, Mr. Hilborn found that a small block of trees was badly infested with the scale. He at once procured a quantity for making the lime and sulphur wash and sprayed the trees thoroughly. These trees were examined by me with great care on November 25 last, and I could not find a single living scale. All experimenters recommend that this wash should be applied when it is hot; but, as a matter of fact, this is seldom done in practice, although those who have used hot or warm wash will notice how much more convenient it is to spray when in this condition, and it certainly is more effective in killing the scale.

A simple formula for making this wash in small quantities is 1 lb. lime, $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. sulphur, and 3 gallons of water.

THE NEW LIME-SULPHUR-SODA WASH.

The chief difficulty in making the wash has been the expense and inconvenience of boiling it for such a long time, to thoroughly dissolve the sulphur, and several of our fruit growers have inquired for information concerning some experiments which have been mentioned in the agricultural press and which were undertaken to dissolve sulphur with caustic alkali and lime, instead of the troublesome and lengthy boiling. These experiments originated with Professors Victor Lowe and P. H. Parsham at the New York Agricultural Experiment Station, Geneva, N.Y., as set forth in the Station Bulletin No. 228, 1902, and consisted of dissolving the sulphur by means of caustic soda or caustic potash in addition to the lime. In making the wash, the lime were slaked in hot water, using only enough water to make it boil rapidly, while slaking 20 lbs. of ground sulphur, which has been made into a thin paste, is then thoroughly mixed with the slaking lime. Five pounds of caustic soda in solution is then poured in with more water as needed, and the whole is stirred thoroughly. As soon as chemical action has ceased, hot water is added to make the wash

up to 60 gallons, and the mixture is then ready for immediate use. In making the above wash, it was found that to secure the proper chemical action the quantity could not be reduced lower than: lime 4 lbs., sulphur 2 lbs., and caustic soda (the ordinary concentrated lye of commerce) $\frac{1}{2}$ lb., water 6 gallons. The rule is to use one-quarter of a pound of caustic soda, or potash, to each pound of sulphur. With the exception of heating the water, the whole of the cooking of this wash can be done in a half barrel, and takes from ten to twenty minutes. From the ease with which this wash can be made and from the fact that Mr. Parrott tells me that, although 'the results upon the scale differed with different lots of the mixture, some of the applications were entirely satisfactory,' I believe it is well that several people should try this method of manufacture. The trouble of making the lime-sulphur-and-salt wash has certainly prevented the use of such a valuable mixture to a large extent. I regret to say that my own work with it did not begin soon enough for me to report upon it now. I can merely say that the lime and caustic potash do dissolve the sulphur and that the appearance of the wash is what it ought to be.

Mr. F. T. Shutt, the chemist of the Dominion Experimental Farms, has kindly carried out some test preparations by this convenient new method of making the wash and has handed me the following resumé of his work:—

ON A NEWLY-PROPOSED METHOD OF PREPARING THE LIME-SULPHUR WASH.

(By FRANK T. SHUTT, M.A., F.I.C., F.R.S.C.)

In the report of the Division of Chemistry of the Experimental Farms for 1902 the results of a series of experiments in the preparation of the lime, sulphur and salt wash by boiling, are given. Since the appearance of that report a method has been proposed by the New York (Geneva) Experiment Station, which obviates the necessity of boiling—the chief drawback to the more common use of this valuable remedy. The modification consists in the addition, at a certain stage in the preparation, of strong lye, such as Babbitt's or Gillett's. The proportions and preparation as given in Bulletin No. 228 of the above named Experiment Station are as follows:—

Lime (unslaked).....	40 lbs.
Sulphur (ground).....	20 "
Lye, concentrated.....	5 to 10 "
Water.....	60 gallons.

'In the preparation of the mixture the lime was slaked, preferably with hot water and while it was slaking vigorously, the sulphur, which had been made into a thin paste, was added and thoroughly mixed with the slaking lime. The caustic soda was then added, with water as needed, and the whole stirred thoroughly. As soon as the chemical action has ceased, the required amount of water, preferably hot water, added, and the mixture is ready for use.'

It will be noticed that in this process there is no boiling and no salt, an ingredient in the old formula which apparently had no direct value, but was useful in raising the boiling point of the mixture, thus ensuring a more complete union of the sulphur and lime.

At the request of the Entomologist (Dr. Fletcher), we made several trial preparations in the laboratory and found that the proposed method is quite workable: simple, and yields a product in which there is *very little uncombined sulphur*. The latter is an essential point, as undoubtedly it is the sulphur compounds that give the wash its great value for destroying the scale. It is necessary to this end that the sulphur be added (in a thin paste) while the lime is still actively slaking—for which purpose care should be taken to use only a sufficiency of water—and the mass still

vigorously. As soon as the sulphur paste is poured on to the slaking lime, add the solution of lye, with such further quantities of water as may be necessary, stirring and mixing, until all bubbling ceases. There is now an orange-yellow, pasty, homogeneous mass, which can be diluted to the requisite volume, either at once or at any subsequent time, if kept out of contact with the air.

As far as one can judge from what might be called the chemical or physical point of view, this wash should prove equally effective with that prepared by boiling.

F. T. S.

In an excellent bulletin just issued by Prof. J. B. Smith, of New Jersey, entitled 'Insecticides and their use,' this lime, sulphur and soda wash is mentioned and some valuable suggestions are made. Prof. Smith says: 'This wash has been found quite effective, but it is not so good as the boiled mixture, and costs a little more.' He also draws attention to the fact that warm water must be used as well as a good quality of stone lime and of caustic soda, and further that it must be remembered that a can of lye does not equal a pound.* He further states that 'all these combinations of lime and sulphur are more or less unstable and sooner or later the lime settles and the sulphur forms long spicules. When this occurs, the mixture is ineffective in proportion as the sulphur has become separated out. The best boiled combinations become useless in forty-eight hours, and in all cases the wash is most effective just after it is made.'

The above extracts from Prof. Smith's bulletin indicate the importance of using the lime and sulphur washes while fresh; but the statement that 'the best boiled combinations become useless in forty-eight hours,' is probably too sweeping.


A point upon which too much stress cannot be laid is the great importance of washing out thoroughly all pumps and hoses used for spraying caustic or corrosive insecticide and fungicide washes.

FOREST AND SHADE TREES

Forest insects and those which attack shade trees in cities, have been, on the whole, less injurious than usual during the past season. There were, however, one or two outbreaks which require mention. The White-marked Tussock-moth has increased very much in the cities of Toronto, Montreal and Kingston, so much so that remedial measures are now urgently needed, or the beautiful shade trees in those cities will suffer irreparably at no distant date. Something has been done in the past by the city authorities to control this insect, but of late years they seem to have relaxed their efforts, and the insect is increasing in numbers. A remarkable outbreak of the Maple-leaf Scale (*Pulvinaria innumerabilis*, Rathvon) occurred on shade trees in the cities of London, Woodstock and Hamilton, as well as in other places in south-western Ontario. The well known Fall Webworm (*Hyphantria textor*, Harr.), which for some years has been occurring only in small numbers, during the last season increased sufficiently in most parts of the Dominion to attract general attention. The unsightly webs were very conspicuous in British Columbia and in many places in Ontario and Quebec. The webs of the caterpillars are so easily seen that this insect, if attended to, can be controlled with comparative ease, by spraying the trees with poisonous applications or by cutting off the webs, each of which contains a whole colony of



b



20.—The Fall Webworm: a, caterpillar; b, pupa; c, moth.

The contents of several cans of concentrated lye which were examined here in no case came up to 1 lb. avoirdupois.

caterpillars. This must, however, be done before the caterpillars reach full growth, or the work is useless. I have known of one instance where a municipal body with all good intentions employed a man to cut out all of the webs of this insect and those of the Tent Caterpillar in winter time, under the supposition that by this means they were controlling those enemies. It is true the trees were more slightly when these nests had been removed; but the operation in no way affected the abundance of the species the following summer, because the caterpillars only live in the nests until nearing full growth, when they leave them and pupate or build their cocoons in other places. The Tent Caterpillars pass the winter inside the eggs, which may be found on trees, and the Fall Webworms as pupæ buried in the ground. Prof. Lochhead reports 'that the Fall Webworm was very abundant in western Ontario late in summer, not only on shade trees, but on many kinds of fruit trees, and unquestionably did considerable harm. On account of the scarcity of labour in rural sections, few attempts were made to get rid of the ugly webs filled with caterpillars. Unless parasites thin them out very much, there is every likelihood that the Fall Webworms will be very numerous next season.' The Negundo Plant-louse (*Chaitophorus negundinis*, Thomas) was observed as injuriously abundant in Winnipeg, Regina and Calgary, the shade trees, which are largely Ash-leaved Maples, being much disfigured by the copious deposit of honey-dew on the leaves, and the Sooty Fungus which grows upon it. These trees attracted swarms of flies during the daytime and of moths at night. The remedy recommended for clearing these trees was to spray them with kerosene emulsion, 1 to 9, or whale-oil soap, 1 pound in 6 gallons of water, with or without tobacco. The tobacco, however, adds considerably to the killing value of the wash. The Spruce Gall-louse (*Chermes abietis*, L.) has spread widely through the Dominion, and has been the cause of a good deal of injury to spruce trees. In the forest, nothing can be done to check the spread of the insect; but in the case of ornamental trees, good results have followed spraying with a tobacco and soap wash. The Fall Cankerworm was very abundant and destructive in the woods around Ottawa early last spring. The caterpillars were not quite full grown on June 12 last, when the first heavy rains came, which broke the exceptional drought which up to that time had prevailed throughout eastern Ontario. Previous to that they had been literally swarming in many woods along the Ottawa river. After the rains they suddenly disappeared, and the total absence of both male and female moths in the woods in autumn was noticed by many. It is possible, therefore, that there will not be a recurrence of this attack for some time. The Birch Skeletonizer (*Bucculatrix canadensisella*, Cham.) did some harm to birch trees of all kinds again last year in eastern Ontario. The attack, however, was not nearly so severe as in the two previous years, nor was its work supplemented by that of the large aphid *Callipterus mucidus*, Fitch, and the small green leaf-hopper, *Empoasca smaragdula* Fall., which for the last two years have perhaps done as much harm to trees on the Central Experimental Farm as was done by the *Bucculatrix* caterpillars. On my return to Ottawa on August 21 last I found the birch trees on the ornamental ground of the Central Experimental Farm attacked in some places by the Birch Skeletonizer to such an extent that some trees looked about half clothed with foliage. These were at once sprayed with a whale-oil soap and tobacco wash, which was quite effective, and no further injury was done. Should this insect again occur, trees should be examined in July and early August, and, if the small caterpillars or the round white pseudococoons in which the caterpillars pass their moults are seen in numbers, the trees should at once be sprayed before the foliage is injured to a conspicuous extent.

THE WHITE-MARKED TUSSOCK-MOTH

[*Hemerocampa (Orgyia) leucostigma*, S. and A.]

Attack.—Slender, sparsely hairy caterpillars, from one and a quarter to one




Fig. 21.—The White-marked Tussock-moth : caterpillar.

and a half inches in length, blackish above and paler beneath, with two bright yellow stripes along the back, most conspicuous towards the end of the body. There are four short brush-like tufts of whitish hairs on segments 5, 6, 7 and 8. The head chestnut red ; a large patch on segment 2, and two small glandular spots on segments 10 and 11, bright vermilion red. From each side of segment 2, close behind the



Fig. 22.—The White-marked Tussock-moth : male moth.



Fig. 23.—The White-marked Tussock-moth : female moth.

moth measures about an inch and a quarter across the wings, and is marked as shown in Fig. 22. The colour is gray and the wings are crossed by wavy bands. The base of the fore-wings bears a dark patch, and there is another of smaller size towards the tip. The popular name is given to this moth from the presence of a small white spot near the outer hind angle of the fore-wings. The female is a large-bodied wingless pale gray creature, with only rudiments of wings. On emerging from the cocoon she crawls on to it and seldom moves from it. After pairing, she lays a mass of eggs, from four to five hundred in number, generally on the outside of her cocoon, and then dies there. These eggs are covered over as laid with a white frothy fluid, which dries over the eggs and protects them through the winter. There is in Canada only one annual brood of this insect. The eggs may be found during the winter on the trunks of trees upon which the caterpillars had fed the previous season. The young caterpillars emerge from the eggs at the end of May or early in June, and soon crawl up and distribute themselves throughout the foliage of the trees, feeding at first beneath the leaves, and when disturbed letting themselves down by a slender silken thread. By the middle of July the caterpillars have for the most part become full grown and are preparing to spin their cocoons. As they increase in size, they become very ravenous and strip entire trees, eating the cellular tissues between veins of the horse chestnut leaves, which appears to be the favourite food plant, and leaving a characteristic injury, which is easily recognized. These caterpillars have the habit of wandering from branch to branch and from tree to tree, which has given to the practice of banding trees with strips of cotton batting. This gives a very ugly appearance to streets and does not do very much good, certainly not enough to atone for the unsightly appearance of the trees. The most effective remedies for the White-marked Tussock-moth are (1) the collection of the conspicuous egg masses from the trunks in winter or before they hatch in spring. This may be easily done by the use of a small wire brush on the end of a long pole which will reach up among the branches of the trees. Such a brush as this was devised by the late Alderman James of Toronto, and used to good effect on the city shade trees during a previous outbreak of this insect. (2) Undoubtedly the best remedy is the systematic spraying

of shade trees with some arsenical poison as soon as the young caterpillars hatch from the egg, or as soon afterwards as possible. This work, if properly done, will destroy every caterpillar and render unnecessary the collection of the eggs in winter and the use of unsightly tree protectors, bandages of cotton batting, or sticky substances, all of which are more costly and objectionable. It might be well to point out that, when municipal bodies adopt the plan of collecting the cocoons in winter, it would be well to place these for a time in some place where any parasites which might be passing the winter in the cocoons could emerge and escape, but where the young caterpillars upon emerging would find it impossible to gain access to any trees. This might be done by putting them in an upper room of some building from which the parasites could fly out of the windows, but from which the young caterpillars could not crawl to trees which would serve them as food. Deprived of food, they will soon starve after leaving the egg.

THE APIARY

The Apiary, as in the past, has been under the management of Mr. John Fixter, the farm foreman, whose report I append herewith. The same experiments which have been carried on for some years have most of them been repeated on account of the large amount of interest which has been evinced in the subject by correspondents and visitors to the Central Experimental Farm. The services of Mr. Fixter have been asked for at a great many meetings of bee-keepers, and, whenever his duties at the Central Experimental Farm would permit of it, he has attended these meetings and given addresses.

REPORT OF MR. JOHN FIXTER.

The season of 1903 has been a poor one in the Ottawa valley, but in the greater part of western Ontario the crop has been excellent both as to quality and quantity. parts of the province of Quebec also report good crops, principally where Bokhar clover grows extensively; also in districts which had sufficient moisture in the spring.

The season opened very early; the colonies were set out on their summer stand on March 21. The temperature on that date being 48; and the day bright and mild was most favourable for the cleansing flight of the bees. Then followed several days of cool windy dull weather, which kept the bees confined to their hives; this continued all the rest of March. April was also very unfavourable, being cool and windy. During the greater part of the latter month there was only about three-quarters of an inch of rain, all growth and bloom being thus kept back. May set in warmer; the bees gathered pollen freely, and built up fairly well. It was necessary to feed the bees during May to keep up brood rearing. Only about a quarter of an inch of rain fell during May, and up to June 8 the land was so dry and hard that no clover of any account came in bloom. After June 8, abundance of rain fell, many flowers appeared, and the small amount of surplus honey was gathered after that date. Swarming was light owing to the poor season. There being no fall honey flow from any source all supers were removed on August 26.

On September 1 all colonies were weighed; any that did not weigh 50 pounds at once were fed. When feeding, care must be taken not to feed weak swarms, but the strong ones; then, when these have filled the frames these latter should be given to the weak colonies: otherwise the weak colonies are liable to get robbed. A better plan of bringing colonies up to the required weight is, in the extracting season, to save some of the well-sealed combs to fill up the light colonies with them. There is then very little danger of their being robbed.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

On November 24 all colonies were weighed and found to be in good condition. They were then put into their winter quarters.

Returns from the Experimental Farm apiary averaged 23 lbs. per colony.

Meetings were attended during 1903. The Ontario Bee Keepers' Association at Barrie and Trenton; also farmers' and beekeepers' joint meetings at the following places: Manotick, North Gower, Stittsville, Richmond, Malakoff, Lanark, Wellman's Corners, Bell's Corners, Jockville, Carp, Kinburn, Smith's Falls, Leonard, Metcalf, Balderson and Innisville, in Ontario; Grenville, Lachute, St. Andrews, Como, Buckingham and Templeton in Quebec.

INSULATING HIVES FOR OUTSIDE WINTERING.

Two colonies of equal strength with good laying queens in Langstroth hives were taken for this experiment. The hives were insulated against the winter cold by air cushions in the following manner:—

Slats 1 inch thick are nailed at intervals all round the hive, on these is packed one layer of thick brown building paper and then a layer of oiled paper, which increases the durability and keeps out vermin. In order to provide extra protection to the hive, a box six inches wider and six inches longer was placed over it with an opening cut at the entrance 1 inch by 2 inches, all other openings being closed.

The wooden cover of each hive was removed and replaced with a chaff cushion 3 inches thick, the latter placed on the propolis quilt, and lapping over the sides of the hive; two layers of paper were then placed on the top of the cushion and a second cushion added, with the top of the outside box over it. The bees were put into winter quarters on November 18, 1902. No sound could be heard from those colonies all winter, up to March 10, when a slight hum was perceptible. On March 20, 1903, the first bees made their appearance; there were many dead bees at the entrance of the hives. On March 25 the outside cases were removed, leaving the paper and one chaff cushion on during the cold spring. Upon examination one colony was found to be in fairly good condition, the other very poor, with many dead bees on the bottom board. A few days afterwards the latter was found to be deserted. The frames in both cases were all dry and clean and had abundance of honey to carry them through from November to the clover bloom. Weight, when put into winter quarters, 53½ lbs. each; in spring, 37½ pounds each. Owing to the cool, backward spring, the surviving colony did not build up until May 1, when warmer weather set in; the bees at once began gathering pollen and built very rapidly. The colony was in excellent condition for a honey flow, but during May and the early part of June the weather was very dry and warm, keeping all blooming backward; the bees, therefore, made but little surplus honey.

This experiment is to be tried again this winter.

EXPERIMENTS TO TEST WHETHER DAMPNES OR MOISTURE WOULD BE INJURIOUS TO BEES IN THEIR WINTER QUARTERS.

Three colonies were selected for this experiment, all of about equal strength, and in Langstroth hives, weighing on an average 55½ pounds each. The wooden covers were removed from the hives and replaced with propolis quilts; the bottom of each was loosened from the brood chamber and a block two inches square was placed at each corner between the bottom board and the brood chamber, insuring free ventilation from the bottom of each hive. Four pails of water were then put on a table such a way that the three hives were set resting on the edge of the pails, allowing a surface of water to be exposed. The cellar was kept at a very even temperature of 42 to 48 degrees, and was well ventilated during the whole winter. The bees could be seen hanging below this frame in a quiet cluster, and there were very few dead bees on the bottom board, and no signs of dysentery.

On March 22, the day being fine, the colonies were removed to the bee yard, where all began flying at once. Average weight of the three colonies when set on their summer stands, 43½ pounds each. From March 22 to May 1, the weather, although bright, was cool and windy, and very little flying took place. After May 1, the weather became considerably warmer, and the bees began building up rapidly. They were in excellent condition by May 24.

EXPERIMENT IN FEEDING BEES IN THEIR WINTER QUARTERS.

Many letters have been received from people who have only a few colonies of bees, stating that when carrying their bees into winter quarters they had discovered there did not seem to be a sufficient store of honey in the hive to carry the bees through the winter. To gain information as to the best method of overcoming this difficulty the following experiment was tried with six strong colonies of bees:—

Four frames of sealed honey were taken from each of the six hives, leaving the cluster on the four remaining frames. The four frames were left in the centre of the hive with a division board at each side, and some light packing placed between the division boards and the sides of the hives. The wooden covers were removed and replaced by large propolis quilts made of heavy canvas. Over the top of the propolis quilt extra packing was added to keep in the heat, absorb moisture and prevent draughts or upward ventilation. The bottom boards were left on as they came from the bee yard, leaving the entrance wide open. The experiment was made as follows:—

1. Two colonies received maple sugar of the best quality.
2. Two colonies received partly filled sections of honey.
3. Two colonies received candied honey and sugar.

Each colony when put on this test, weighed 31 pounds, and each was given 5 pounds of its respective food to start with. The experiment lasted from November 18, 1902, to March 22, 1903. The two colonies fed on maple sugar consumed 11½ pounds each, they were examined every two weeks and water added to the sugar through hole in the tops of the cakes, keeping it soft and moist.

The two colonies fed on partly filled sections of honey, consumed during the same time 14½ pounds each. There was for several reasons considerable waste in this test consequently if partly filled sections could be sold even at a reduced price it would be advisable to sell them instead of feeding back.

The two colonies that were given candied honey and sugar consumed 10½ pounds each. The candied honey was moistened from time to time, which made it easier for the bees to suck it up. Candied honey is made as follows: Take good thick clover honey, and heat (not boil) it until it becomes very thin; then stir in it fine granulated sugar. When the honey has dissolved the sugar, pour it into another vessel, and, while it has cooled sufficiently, thoroughly knead it with the hands. The kneading makes more pliable and soft, so that it can take up more sugar. The kneading operation with the adding of fine sugar, should be continued until the dough is so stiff as to be quite hard to work. It should then be allowed to stand for a day or two, and, if at the end of that time it is so soft as to run or to be sticky, a little more sugar should be kneaded in, so that it may be cut into cakes of a convenient size. These cakes are to be placed on top of the frames in such a way that the bees can get at them easily.

The colonies in all the three tests came through in excellent condition. Any one of the three methods may be safely followed, but I would strongly recommend examining and weighing all colonies the first week in September. At that time every colony should have a good laying queen, and should weigh over 50 pounds. In seasons when there is no autumn flow of honey, all colonies in Langstroth hives weighing less than 50 pounds in September should be fed up to that weight at least. The best method for getting colonies up to the required weight is, when the extracting takes place, save several full well-sealed combs, then remove some of the light ones out of the hive and replace them with the heavier full frames. If no honey is available, feed sugar.

syrup. This plan is rather a tedious one and great care must be taken not to daub the bees or appliances, as robbing at this season of the year is very easily started and very hard to stop.

If the colonies that are short of stores are weak or feeble in number of bees, they should then be fed with syrup. In order to provide for them, feed the strongest colonies first, for instance, by putting in their hives extra frames and feeding the syrup in a Miller feeder. A good strong colony will take down 10 to 15 pounds in a warm night. Continue the feeding until you have sufficient frames well sealed to make up the required weight. The full frames are then removed and given to the weak colonies that are short of stores; by this method there will be very much less danger of robbing, as the strong colonies are well able to look after themselves.

Sugar syrup may be made as follows: Use the best grade of granulated sugar, mix two parts to one of water by weight. The water should first be brought to a boil, then the pan or vessel set back on the stove so that the boiling will not continue but the water be kept sufficiently hot to dissolve all the sugar. The sugar should be poured in slowly and thoroughly stirred until all is dissolved. The syrup should then be fed in a lukewarm condition..

FOUL BROOD.

Much attention has been drawn of late to this most destructive disease of bees, which affects particularly the larvæ or brood, causing them to die, mostly at the age of six to nine days. The disease is spread by bees feeding their larvæ with infected honey, and is carried to new colonies by bees robbing diseased colonies. It is thought desirable to publish in this report the McEvoy method of detecting the disease and eradicating it out when found in an apiary. With reference to this method of treatment of foul brood we have much pleasure in quoting the following from Wisconsin Beekeeping, Bulletin No. 2, 1902, by N. E. France, State Inspector of Apiaries. 'In Wisconsin I have tried many methods of treatment and cured some cases with the McEvoy method, but the one that never fails, if carefully followed, and that commends itself, is the McEvoy treatment. It has cured foul brood by the wholesale, thousands of colonies.' Mr. McEvoy describes his method as follows:—

THE MCEVOY TREATMENT.

How to detect foul brood.—When any dead brood is noticed in a hive, a sure way to ascertain whether the cause of death is the disease known as foul brood, is to put the head of a pin into a cell of a comb and draw it out; if the matter contained in the cell adheres to the pin's head and can be stretched about three-fourths of an inch, it is undoubtedly a case of foul brood. But every bee-keeper should be able to recognize the disease at a glance without having to use a pin, as above said; he should learn to detect the stain mark of foul brood when he sees it. The manner of proceeding to locate an apiary in which foul brood is suspected, is as follows: Before opening any of the hives give every hive in the vicinity a little smoke at the entrance. This will check the bees for a time from coming from other colonies and disturb you when you have a hive open to examine the combs. After taking a comb to examine it, turn your back to the sun, and, holding the comb in a slanting position, let the light fall on the lower side and bottom of the cells; look there for the dark spots left in the cells and formed from the dried up, decayed bodies of the dead larvæ. The first sign of the presence of foul brood is that several of the cappings have a small hole in them, but this also appears in the case of cells containing brood killed by other causes than this disease.

Mr. Charles O. Jones, of Missisquoi, Que., describes the symptoms of foul brood as follows in the Montreal 'Weekly Star':—

Of the diseases affecting the brood, the most serious is foul brood, which has appeared in some localities in Ontario in a virulent form, but is being successfully

combated. The symptoms of this disease are not easily mistaken by one who is at all familiar with it. The brood hatches unevenly and the cappings have a shrunken appearance, and many of them are perforated as if the bees had begun uncapping the brood. The dead brood will be found adhering to the side (lower side) of the cell and of a brownish colour. On inserting a small stick, the decomposed brood will adhere here, and when withdrawn three-fourths of an inch, will still cling to the stick. Besides this "ropiness," the dead brood has a distinct odour very much like old glue. If the disease has developed sufficiently, this odour may be detected on removing the covering from the bees. These two last symptoms are peculiar to foul brood, and present, are considered a certain indication of infection.']

HOW TO CURE INFECTED APIARIES.

Every infected apiary should be treated according to the condition in which it is found, and at the same time not only to stamp out the disease, but also so as to induce a considerable increase in the colonies, and end by having every colony in first-class condition. I may therefore first explain how I proceed. The best time for this work is while the bees are gathering freely during the honey season.

For this, taking two hives at a time, I shake off the bees from them with one of the queens, and give them a clean hive with foundation starters, leaving in the original hives one queen and only about a quart of bees to take care of the brood still unhatched in those two hives. I now remove the bottom of one hive and the top of the other, and place the first on the top of the second, so that the bees may unite and the young bees hatch out, form one strong colony. By the time that most of the brood is hatched I have from the two colonies, when united, one large swarm of very vigorous bees. This swarm must then be shaken into a fresh clean hive with foundation starters.

I have now two first-class colonies, each containing a queen, one from the brood first shaken out of the two original infected hives, and another from the brood left in the original hives with a queen and a small number of bees to take care of it. Both of these colonies must now be treated to destroy the disease. All handling of diseased colonies, especially during warm days should be done in the evening, when no bees are flying. This will prevent robbing, and also will prevent bees from diseased colonies mixing with those from sound colonies, going into their hives with them. Again, by doing the work in the evening, it gives bees which have been treated a chance to settle and quiet down before the morning.

[Mr. Jones, of Missisquoi, explains the same treatment as follows:—

'The cure, although simple, requires great care to carry it out successfully. A clean hive containing frames with starters of foundation, should be placed on the stand after removing the affected hive. Remove the combs from the affected colony and shake the bees in front of the clean hive into which they will run. This should be done at nightfall, when the bees are all at home, and then there will be no danger of robbers getting at any of the tainted honey. Leave the bees in the new hive for at least four or five days, by which time they will have used all the honey they can get with them in comb-building, when you can remove the starters to melt into wax, replacing them with frames filled with sheets of foundation, and your cure is effected. I would advise burning the combs and honey removed from the hive and thoroughly disinfecting the hive by scalding before using again.

'Some authorities advocate caging the queen for ten days or so, to prevent her from rearing until all danger of infection has passed, but I consider this only as an extremely precautionary measure; in fact, hardly necessary.']

Treatment during the Honey Season.—When the bees are gathering freely, remove the combs from the hive in the evening, replacing them by frames with comb foundation starters, as said before; then shake the bees from the combs into a clean hive and let them build comb for four days. By that time they will have made the starters at least, and will have stored in these the infected honey which they brought from the

d combs. On the fourth day, in the evening, replace those combs containing the infected honey with full sheets of fresh comb foundation, and the cure will thus be complete. By this method of treatment, all the infected honey is removed before the full sheets of foundation are used.

When only a few cells are found with foul brood, after shaking off the bees for treatment, two hives may be filled with the combs containing the brood; then place these two hives on top of each other, as explained before, keeping them shaded from the sun until most of the brood is hatched. Then, in the evening, shake the bees from both hives into another single hive and give them frames with comb foundation starters. Let them build comb for four days, as above said, after which, in the evening, remove the new comb and give the bees comb foundation to work out to complete the cure. If the diseased colonies are weak in bees, the bees of two, three or four should be put together, so as to have a strong colony to start the cure with, as it does not pay to spend time over weak colonies.

When bees are not gathering honey.—An infected apiary can be cured of foul brood by removing the infected combs in the evenings and giving the bees frames with comb foundation starters on. Then, also in the evenings, feed the bees plenty of sugar syrup; they will draw out the foundation and store the infected honey which they took with them from the old combs. On the fourth evening, replace the new combs made out of the starters by frames with full sheets of comb foundation, and feed plenty of sugar syrup every evening until all the colonies are in first-class order. The sugar syrup should be made of granulated sugar, using one pound of water to every two pounds of sugar, and bringing it to a boil.

Treatment after all honey gathering is over.—When the disease is discovered in a good colony after the honey season is finished, the best plan is to leave them until the evening in October. Then take every comb out of the diseased colonies, replacing them by six combs of all-sealed or capped stores from sound colonies. Place a division board on either side of these all-capped combs. These colonies will thus be in perfect condition for wintering, and the disease will at the same time be stamped out; for, as there are no empty cells, the bees must have kept the infected honey which they took from the old combs, until it was consumed, as they could not find a place in the all-capped combs to put it.

If there is a scarcity of all-capped combs from the sound colonies, as many as required can be secured by putting Miller feeders on sound colonies in the evenings of September and feeding the bees all the sugar syrup they can be made to take; then, in October, each of these fed colonies can spare the two outside combs, which will be fully capped all over down to the bottom of the frames. These all-capped combs will provide plenty of good stores to carry out this autumn method of treatment.

All the old infested brood combs which have been removed from the hives, must be melted or made into wax, as well as all the combs made on the starters by the bees during the four days of the treatment.

As to the infected honey, I have always been opposed to having it treated and then given to the bees, for fear that the treatment may not be thorough enough. My recommendation is to bury it in the ground, as well as all the refuse from the honey extracted. This applies also, of course, to the honey stored up in the combs during the four days of treatment.—W. McEvoy.

Treatment of the Hives and Frames.—In Mr. McEvoy's treatment of foul brood, it appears to be a danger that the hives themselves in some of their parts might be infected with germs of the disease. We would, therefore, strongly recommend to disinfect the hives and the frames that have contained foul brood, by a thorough scalding. The operation is very simple; and, in view of the great losses that have been occasionally caused by foul brood, it is important to neglect no means to secure success in stamping out the infection.

DIVISION OF BOTANY

FODDER CROPS.

The season of 1903 was not a good one for the production of heavy crops of fodder of any kind. In the East an exceptionally prolonged drought prevented grass and clover from starting well, and although, when rains came, these crops picked up in a surprising manner, still the yields were below the average in most places. A cold damp autumn prevented corn from maturing and made it difficult to cure all but crops.

Among various fodder plants which have been grown on the experimental plots at the Central Experimental Farm, one which has lately received much attention is Sainfoin (*Onobrychis sativa*, DC.). This beautiful plant, which may be known at once by its pinnate leaves and large cones of rose pink flowers on slender stems, is all but unknown to the clovers, and, as a rule, is spoken of as a clover in the same way as Alfalfa. Lucerne is. It was noticed on the experimental plots that the flowers of this plant were extremely attractive to bees, and it is also a producer of good fodder, suitable for all stock. It is not as heavy a cropper as Alfalfa, but like that is a persistent perennial which roots deeply and in localities which suit it, produces heavy crops of fodder.

The following notes on the cultivation of this plant have been prepared mainly by Mr. John Fixter, the farm foreman at the Central Experimental Farm.

SAINFOIN.

This clover has attracted much attention on the Central Experimental Farm, both as a fodder plant and also as a honey producer. In its cultivation and manner of growth it resembles alfalfa, but it is slightly finer and grows thicker in the bottom. It has a more decided stooling habit, which makes it better for pasture. It is specially liked by sheep. The soil best suited to the growth of this plant seems to be a rather dry loam, containing a fair proportion of lime with good natural drainage. It will do well upon almost any soil that is well drained, provided it gets a good supply of water. Heavy clay and light sandy soils both produce excellent crops of sainfoin, but on the latter it naturally requires generous manuring. It should never be sown on land likely to be covered with water at any season of the year. The amount of seed sown under ordinary conditions is about 20 lbs. per acre. Great care should be taken to secure a new and plump seed; the hulled seed is preferable when it can be obtained, as it is easier to sow and germinates more quickly. A good seed bed is of great importance, and one of the best methods for preparing this, and also at the same time clearing the land of weeds such as quack grass and thistles, is to cultivate it with a firm-footed cultivator. If the field has been in meadow or grain, do not plough, but simply cultivate and harrow; first cultivate as shallow as possible, then pass the heavy iron harrow and a good sharp walk across the first cultivating. This operation will break up the soil or stubble very fine and leave it on the surface to dry out. The second cultivation should be in the opposite direction to the first, and likewise the harrowing. By this operation two-thirds of the sod will be loosened from its roots. It usually requires about four cultivations and four harrowings to make a perfect job. All this work must be done on fine sunny days, and the sooner after harvest the better. The cul-

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

draining and harrowing must be gauged by the growth. If possible, every leaf must be cut off and kept out of sight, and all vegetation brought to the surface to be dried by the sun. This dead but valuable material may, during the autumn, be ploughed under to decay and add to the fertility of the soil. By the next spring this land should be in perfect condition for sowing. The best time to sow is as soon as the ground can be got ready in spring; the seed will then germinate quickly. As sainfoin is a quick-growing and deep-rooting plant, the roots keep going down into the moist earth so that dry weather will not have much effect upon it. If sown with a nurse crop, clover, wheat or barley may be used, but the latter is preferable, as it can be harvested first. Not more than half the ordinary amount of grain should be sown per acre with this clover, and better results are usually obtained by sowing it alone. It may be sown broadcast, then harrowed in and rolled so as to render the surface smooth, or it may be sown with the ordinary grain drill with grass seed attachment. The seed could be dropped in front of the drill and the land should afterwards be rolled. The small seeds will thus be covered, and, the surface being smooth, the young plants will come up quickly and regularly. For this crop land may be prepared by late summer plowing, or, what is even better, the seeding may follow a hoed crop; but, whatever the preparation of the land, it must be clean, and, as the seeds are small, it is essential to have it in a good state of tilth.

This plant has been grown on the experimental plots at the Central Experimental Farm for several years. The oldest plot now living has been standing for seven years, the second plot for two years, and the third plot was sown in the spring of 1903. The first which has been growing for seven years is now thin and will soon be ploughed down. It would probably be the most economical plan to plough down this clover after three years and resow. As is well known, clovers of all kinds are the most valuable plants which can be grown and ploughed down as fertilizers, and the benefit of ploughing under this clover would more than pay for the resowing.

The Botanist's records of the experimental plots show that Sainfoin sown May 1901 came into bloom on August 12 of the same year, was cut for hay on August 25, and gave a yield per acre of 1 ton 1,700 lbs. of cured hay. The second growth of the first year should be allowed to stand over for the winter as a protection to the roots, and the second year the plants came into bloom on June 1st and lasted up till the middle of that month, when the plot was cut for hay. These dates might have been extended, had the plants been grown merely for honey; but, as they were at that time in the best condition for hay, they were cut for that purpose. If the crop had been allowed to stand longer, the hay would have been too woody. The yield of this first year was 2 tons 200 lbs. of cured hay per acre—a rather small crop, due to the extreme drought, which lasted up till June 12. The second bloom was on July 27, and lasted until August 17, when it was again cut for hay, giving 2 tons 1,400 lbs. of cured hay, or a total yield for the year of 4 tons 1,600 lbs. A third crop, which will make some pasture, is allowed to remain on the ground for the winter, or in very favorable seasons might be again cut before winter, although this is not advisable. From what we have seen of this clover, it is believed that farmers and beekeepers would find it profitable to grow it.

HAY AND PASTURE MIXTURES.

In the last annual report the results of growing several mixtures of grasses and clovers were published. These experiments were again observed during the past season and the yields given herewith are from the same plots which were sown in 1901. The season should have been the large crop from these plots; but, unfortunately, the yields were very much lessened by the exceptionally dry weather which prevailed during the time when meadows most require copious moisture. The yields for the present year are given, together with those of the previous year, for comparison. It will be seen that several of these mixtures give heavy yields of excellent hay, and all of them are worthy of the consideration of the farmers of Canada.

Number.	Mixtures Sown May 4, 1901.				Cured Hay, per Acre.							
					1903.				Total.			
	Grasses.		Clovers.		July 14.		Sept. 30.		1903.		1902.	
		Lbs.		Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Timothy.....	6	Alfalfa.....	2								
	Meadow Fescue....	4	Alsike.....	2								
	Orchard Grass.....	2	Mammoth Red.....	1								
	Kentucky Blue.....	1	Common Red.....	1								
	Red Top.....	1	White Dutch.....	2	2	1,160	1	1,360	4	520	4	4
2	Meadow Fescue....	6	Alfalfa.....	4								
	Timothy.....	3	Alsike.....	1								
	Canadian Blue.....	2	White Dutch.....	1								
	Orchard Grass.....	3			2	720	1	840	3	1,560	4	6
	Red Top.....	3										
3	Timothy.....	5	Alfalfa.....	6								
	Awnless Brome.....	4	Alsike.....	3	2	1,210	1	1,560	4	770	5	12
	Orchard Grass.....	2										
4	Meadow Fescue....	6	Common Red.....	4								
	Orchard Grass.....	2	Alfalfa.....	3	2	640	1	1,680	4	320	5	1,520
	Kentucky Blue.....	1	White Dutch.....	1								
5	Timothy.....	6	Alfalfa.....	6	2	1,320	1	1,520	4	840	4	900
	Upright Brome.....	4	Mammoth Red.....	4								
6	Timothy.....	10	Common Red.....	6	1	1,680		1,200	2	880	4	700
7	Timothy.....	10	Mammoth Red.....	6	1	520		1,000	1	1,520	3	1,120
8	Orchard Grass....	18	Alsike.....	5	1	840		1,240	2	080	2	1,120
9	Orchard Grass.....	18	Common Red.....	8	1	1,800		1,800	2	1,600	3	1,120
10	Meadow Fescue....	20	Common Red.....	8	1	1,320		1,360	2	680	3	
11	Timothy.....	12	Mammoth Red.....	8	2	280		1,120	2	1,400	3	1,120
12	Timothy.....	12	Common Red.....	8	2	80		1,840	2	1,920	3	
13	Timothy.....	5	Common Red.....	5				1,920	2	1,840	4	
	Awnless Brome.....	10	Mammoth Red.....	5	1	1,920						
14	Awnless Brome.....	25			1	1,360			1	1,360	3	1,120
15	Awnless Brome.....	15	Common Red.....	8	2	40	1	320	3	360	4	
16	Timothy.....	8	Mammoth Red.....	8	2	480	1	680	3	1,160	3	
17	Alfalfa.....	15	{(weight green, 8 tons 720 lbs.)}		3	120	1	1,040	4	1,160	3	1,120

There has been a large correspondence carried on with farmers in all parts of Canada with regard to the best grasses to grow for hay and pasture, and also as to the best crops for late sowing in seasons when drought or other adverse conditions have interfered with the germination or development of corn and other fodder crops. In the drier districts of the West excellent results have been secured from sowing Alfalfa and Brome grass together, 12 to 15 lbs. of the former and 6 of the latter, or mixtures of varying proportions according to the requirements of the growers, of the small grains and some leguminous plant. The mixtures, which have given good satisfaction, are: Tares and oats, a bushel and a half of each, or Peas and oats, in the same proportion; Peas, wheat and oats, one bushel of each; or Peas, wheat and late barley. All of these give heavy crops of excellent hay. A valuable crop which is every day growing in favour, is Fodder Rape. This has been grown with much satisfaction in all parts of Canada. It is best sown alone, two pounds of seed to the acre in drills thirty inches apart, so as to allow of cultivation to destroy weeds and to hold in moisture when the seed has been sown late. Crops of rape are ready for cutting or feeding off in about sixty days after sowing. Two or three crops may be taken before winter sets in.

REPORT OF THE EXPERIMENTALIST.

(CHAS. E. SAUNDERS, B.A., Ph. D.)

Dr. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith the first report of the work of this division, which has been in my charge since the commencement of the present year.

The work of cereal breeding occupied a large proportion of my time during the summer season, several weeks being given up almost exclusively to the cross-fertilising of wheat, oats, barley and peas; while the selection of fixed and desirable types from among the progeny of crosses made in previous years demanded considerable attention. The results of the season's work along these lines have been satisfactory.

The uniform tests of new and established varieties of cereals, field roots and fodder corn have been continued in much the same way as usual, and the results are presented in tables similar to those which annually appeared in your own report during the long period in which this work was under your immediate supervision.

The prolonged drought of spring followed by the extremely wet weather in June proved very unfavourable for most of the early varieties of cereals, and also prevented her crops from giving very large returns; but on the whole the results of the season are fairly good.

The care of those parts of the ornamental grounds which have been assigned to this division occupied a portion of my time. The season was, as a whole, most favourable to flowers: the pansies, roses, pæonies and asters being particularly fine.

During the month of May much time was spent in the hybridising of apples and pears for the production of extremely hardy varieties suitable for the climate of the western prairie country. Thirty-four different crosses were made in apples, yielding 21 seeds; one cross was made in plums, yielding 38 seeds; and one cross was made between the plum and the sand cherry, yielding 2 seeds. In the autumn, during your absence in the North-west, a large amount of time was given to studying, comparing and describing the new hybrid apples which were bearing fruit—many of them for the first time.

Considerable time was spent during the winter months in establishing a reference collection of mounted specimens of the heads of cereals. The specimens are attractive and conveniently arranged in a series of shallow cardboard boxes filled with cotton wool and covered with glass. A set of small bottles containing the threshed grain has also been prepared. These collections have already proved very useful for purposes of description, identification, &c.

I acknowledge with pleasure my indebtedness to Mr. George Fixter, whose accurate records of the experimental plots relieved me of a large amount of labour, and to Mr. James Taggart, whose work in the ornamental grounds displayed much care and ability. The following donations are thankfully acknowledged:—From the United States Department of Agriculture, samples of macaroni wheat, oats and millet; from Haage and Schmidt, Erfurt, flower seeds; from W. Atlee Burpee, Philadelphia, flower seeds; from J. MacGrady, Gatineau Point, seed of choice delphiniums; and from S. P. Hamilton, Bush Glen, samples of grain from India.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant.

CHAS. E. SAUNDERS,

Experimentalist.

CEREAL BREEDING.

This work falls naturally into two divisions, first, the foundation work of cross-fertilising, and second, the work of selection.

Cross-fertilising.—This work in cereals was begun on June 10, and continued until July 14, a considerable proportion of the time being devoted to it. A description of the actual process of cross-fertilising need not be repeated here, as it has been already published in the annual report for the Experimental Farms for 1896 (page 21) and is necessarily of a somewhat technical nature. On account of the great importance and difficulty of this kind of work it is done entirely by the Experimentalist himself, no assistant being employed.

The weather was very favourable and the number of seeds obtained was large. About seventy different crosses between cereals were successfully carried out, producing over 550 kernels. Most of the crosses were made between wheat and wheat with a view to combining, as far as possible, the extremely desirable qualities of productivity and earliness with the ability to produce flour of great strength and good colour. The varieties used as parents included some of the most promising of the cross-bred sorts produced at the Experimental Farms, as well as older and standard kinds. Over four hundred kernels of wheat were thus obtained. A much smaller amount of work was done in the crossing of oats, barley and peas. A few mixed crosses, such as between wheat and emmer, were also successfully attempted.

Selection.—Each kernel produced by cross-fertilising generally gives rise, in the course of three or four years, to a number of distinct varieties. So that the foundation work of crossing needs to be followed by persistent and rigid selection for several years afterwards, until the various types are fixed, in order to obtain the best possible results from the cross. Selection, as sometimes practised, without previous crossing is an easy but comparatively unprofitable process, and has little relation to the work here described, inasmuch as those varieties of cereals which have been in cultivation for long periods show little or no tendency to vary until after they have been crossed with some other sort.

Over one hundred new varieties of wheat were grown this season in very small plots. The best types found among these are being selected to sow again next year. These sorts are the progeny of some crosses (made by the writer in 1900) between Red Fife and some of the macaroni wheats and between Colorado and Common Emmer. Most of the types produced from these crosses are not yet fixed. As an instance of extreme variation it may be mentioned that nearly forty varieties have already arisen among the progeny of one of the original (cross-fertilised) seeds.

The tendency of cross-bred cereals to vary for a number of years after their production is also seen in the case of those varieties produced at the Experimental Farms in the earlier years of its history. Some of these, such as Preston wheat, Star wheat, &c., have already attracted a good deal of attention. It is found, however, that each of these, as now grown, is not of one fixed type, but contains a small proportion of kernels which appear foreign. Efforts are being made to improve these varieties by reducing each of them to one type as quickly as possible with the intention of supplying only such improved strains as soon as a sufficient quantity of the seeds is available. Descriptions of the varieties will be published when the types are decided upon and fixed.

Attention is also being paid to the elimination of the false kernels and undesirable types which are often found in varieties of cereals obtained from commercial and other sources.

Descriptions of five of the cross-bred varieties of wheat produced at the Experimental Farms are here given.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Preston.—Parentage, Ladoga (female) crossed with Red Fife (male). Kernels red, above medium size. Heads bearded, usually about $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches long (at Ottawa). Chaff yellowish (that is, 'white'), smooth. Straw stiff, usually about 44 inches long (at Ottawa). Ripens early (about six days before Red Fife, at Ottawa). Gives a very large yield.

Stanley.—Parentage, Ladoga (female) crossed with Red Fife (male). Kernels red, above medium size. Heads beardless, usually about $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches long. Chaff red, smooth. Straw stiff, usually about 44 inches long. Ripens early (about six days before Red Fife). Gives a good yield.

Huron.—Parentage, Ladoga (female) crossed with White Fife (male). Kernels red, above medium size. Heads bearded, usually about $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches long. Chaff red, smooth. Straw stiff, usually about 45 inches long. Ripens rather early (about 3 days before Red Fife). Gives a large yield.

Percy.—Parentage, Ladoga (female) crossed with White Fife (male). Kernels red, above medium size. Heads beardless, usually about 4 inches long. Chaff yellowish, smooth. Straw stiff, usually about 47 inches long. Ripens early (about 5 days before Red Fife). Gives a good yield.

Laurel.—Parentage, Red Fife (female), crossed with Gehun (male). Kernels red, above medium size. Heads beardless, usually about 4 inches long. Chaff yellowish, smooth. Straw stiff, usually about 49 inches long. Ripens with Red Fife. Gives a large yield.

UNIFORM TEST PLOTS OF CEREALS, FIELD ROOTS AND FODDER-CORN.

The standard and new varieties of cereals which are obtainable commercially are usually grown in plots of one-fortieth of an acre, along with the cross-bred sorts produced at the Farms and a number of other varieties obtained from various sources. The field roots and fodder corn are grown in similar plots, and the yield per acre is estimated from the crop obtained from two rows, each 33 feet long. The object of these plots is to determine the relative productiveness, earliness, &c., of the different varieties. Those which for a series of years are found to be distinctly inferior are rejected, and great efforts are made to keep the lists within as small bounds as possible without omitting anything which may ultimately prove of value.

The number of plots grown during the past season was as follows:—Spring wheat, 33; macaroni wheat, 16; winter wheat, 20; emmer and spelt, 12; oats, 81; six-row barley, 33; two-row barley, 25; pease, 44; rye, 1; soja beans, 2; horse beans, 2; millet, 6; turnips, 42; mangels, 32; carrots, 22; sugar beets, 16, and Indian corn 37; making a total of 503 plots. These represent about 430 varieties, duplicate plots having been necessary, for special reasons, in some cases. Nearly all of these varieties will be reported on in the tables following, only a few of those which are manifestly inferior having been dropped. Quite a number of those mentioned in the last annual report of the Experimental Farms were not sown this season inasmuch as they had shown a distinct lack of productiveness for a series of years.

Some of the cross-bred sorts produced at this Farm have also been withdrawn for final selection, but will probably be introduced again in the course of a few years.

PREPARATION OF LAND FOR THE UNIFORM TEST PLOTS.

The system of cultivation adopted for the land devoted to the experimental plots is somewhat different from that which is generally considered advisable in ordinary

farming. The land used for the plots consists of three separate fields. Each field receives every third year a dressing of fresh barn-yard manure at the rate of about 12 tons per acre. This is placed on the frozen ground in winter in small heaps of about one-third of a cart-load each, and is spread and ploughed under in spring. This field is then used for roots, fodder corn and other hoed crops. In the autumn, after the harvest is over, the land is ploughed about seven inches deep, and is left in that condition until the following spring when it is cultivated twice with a two-horse cultivator and harrowed twice with a smoothing harrow. Cereals are then sown. After the grain is harvested the land is ploughed about three or four inches deep, to start the shed grain and any weed seeds present, and is again ploughed a few weeks later about seven inches deep. In the following spring it is prepared as before and cereals are again sown. It is not, however, the practice to sow the same cereal twice in succession on the same piece of land.

In this way a three-year rotation is kept up which is found to be very satisfactory, the quantity of manure applied maintaining fully and even increasing the fertility of the soil in spite of the great demands made upon it.

WEATHER.

The weather was quite unusual during the past season: an almost unbroken drought from April 4 to June 11, being followed by a long period of very wet weather. The early varieties of grain suffered most, as they were so far advanced when the rain came that they did not recuperate to the same extent as the later ripening sorts. The earliest varieties of wheat suffered particularly, and the yields are therefore in some cases remarkably low. The wet weather proved very favourable for the spread of rust, which materially diminished the grain crop in some instances. In the case of the field roots the principal effect of the drought was to delay the germination of a large proportion of the seed of both sowings until about the middle of June, when the dormant seed of both sowings germinated together.

In such a season as this it will be readily understood that very slight differences in the composition, drainage, &c., of the soil assumed unusual importance.

MOST PRODUCTIVE VARIETIES OF CEREALS.

In order to present in as concise a form as possible the most important conclusions to be drawn from the extensive series of tests made at this Farm, very short lists of varieties recommended for cultivation on account of their large yield have been added. No variety is recommended until it has been grown for at least five years, and the conclusions drawn are taken from the average returns for a series of five years or more. The greatest care is exercised to make these comparisons entirely trustworthy, and it is hoped that these short lists will be found useful for reference by farmers who wish to grow only the most productive sorts.

EARLIEST VARIETIES OF CEREALS.

Brief lists of the earliest varieties of cereals are given in the hope that they may prove useful to farmers in the northern parts of Ontario and Quebec, as well as in other sections of the Dominion where the seasons are comparatively short.

SPRING WHEAT.

Three additional varieties of spring wheat appear in the list this year.

Marvel, which was obtained from the United States, is a beardless variety with downy chaff, and very closely resembles Blue Stem.

Blue Stem was added to the plots this year chiefly for the sake of comparison with Hayne's Selected Blue Stem, which has been further selected at the Minnesota Experiment Station, and is often referred to as Minnesota No. 169.

Oregon Club is a beardless variety obtained from Oregon. It is not a promising sort for this climate.

All the plots of spring wheat were sown on April 14 or 15, except Marvel, which was sown April 16. The seed was used at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels to the acre. The yields given are calculated from plots of one-fortieth of an acre, except in the case of Huron and Marvel, where one-eightieth of an acre was used.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 pounds.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
			Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
Advance*.....	Aug. 7	115	47 to 49	Stiff.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ to 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	34 40	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
Nixon*.....	" 10	117	46 " 48	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	33 20	60	"
Australian I.....	" 12	119	40 " 42	Medium... 3	" 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	32 40	58	Considerably.
Benton*.....	" 5	113	43 " 45	".....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	30 20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
McKendry's Fife (Minn. 181)	" 16	124	43 " 45	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	30 20	59	Slightly.
Preston*.....	" 5	113	35 " 37	Medium... 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	29 40	59	"
Minnesota No. 163.....	" 16	124	42 " 44	Stiff.....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	29 20	59	"
Wellman's Fife.....	" 14	122	44 " 46	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	29 ..	60	"
Marvel.....	" 16	122	42 " 44	".....	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	28 40	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Robin's Rust Proof.....	" 10	118	40 " 42	".....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	28 20	60	"
Australian F.....	" 12	119	38 " 40	".....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	28 20	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
Monarch.....	" 15	123	40 " 42	".....	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	28 ..	58	Slightly.
Florence.....	" 4	111	43 " 45	".....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	27 40	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Badly.
White Connell.....	" 14	122	43 " 45	".....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	27 20	59	Slightly.
Hungarian.....	" 5	113	41 " 43	Medium... 3	" 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	27 20	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
Orleans*.....	" 6	113	42 " 44	".....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	27 20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Redpath*.....	" 15	122	43 " 45	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	27 20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
White Fife.....	" 15	123	42 " 44	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	27 ..	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
White Russian.....	" 13	121	37 " 39	Medium... 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	26 40	58	"
Baron*.....	July 31	108	39 " 41	".....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	26 20	59	Badly.
Huron*.....	Aug. 10	118	40 " 42	".....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	26 ..	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
Tringle's Champlain.....	" 9	117	44 " 46	".....	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	26 ..	58	Slightly.
Australian C.....	" 12	119	42 " 44	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	26 ..	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Australian D.....	" 5	112	42 " 44	".....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	26 ..	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
Bel Fife.....	" 15	123	41 " 43	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	25 40	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
Jerison Bearded.....	" 6	114	35 " 37	Medium... 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 2	25 40	57	Considerably.
Lawson*.....	" 14	121	44 " 46	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	25 40	59	Slightly.
Sorval*.....	" 10	118	37 " 39	Medium... 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	25 40	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
Seax*.....	" 13	121	45 " 47	Stiff.....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	25 20	59	"
Luper*.....	" 8	115	38 " 40	Medium... 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	25 20	56	Badly.
Tyle*.....	" 10	118	40 " 42	Stiff.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	25 ..	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
Blue Stem.....	" 15	122	46 " 48	".....	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	25 ..	60	"
Australian No. 1.....	" 12	119	38 " 40	Medium... 3	" 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	24 40	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
Law*.....	July 27	103	39 " 41	Weak.....	2 " 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	24 20	57 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
James' Blue Stem (Minn. 169)	Aug. 8	115	40 " 42	".....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3	24 20	59	Badly.
Australian No. 19.....	" 17	125	46 " 48	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	24 20	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
Australian No. 23.....	" 15	123	41 " 43	".....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	24 20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Edison*.....	" 14	122	41 " 43	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	24 20	58	"
Arck*.....	" 10	118	42 " 44	".....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	24 ..	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
rown*.....	July 27	103	39 " 41	Weak.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	23 20	56	Badly.
Australian No. 27.....	Aug. 10	118	42 " 44	Medium... 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 3	23 20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
Australian No. 21.....	" 13	121	46 " 48	Stiff.....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	23 20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
	" 8	115	39 " 41	Medium... 3	" 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	23 ..	57 $\frac{1}{2}$	Badly.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.			
44	Australian H	Aug 16	123	44 to 46	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	23 ..	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
45	Stanley*	" 1	109	39 " 41	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	22 40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
46	Blair*	" 7	115	38 " 40	Weak	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	22 40	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
47	Grant*	" 5	112	39 " 41	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	22 40	58	Slightly.
48	Countess*	July 31	108	39 " 41	Medium.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	22 20	59	Considerably.
49	Australian No. 25.....	Aug. 13	121	40 " 42	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	22 20	58	Slightly.
50	Australian No. 28.....	" 8	115	40 " 42	"	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	22 20	61	"
51	Australian E.....	" 12	119	45 " 47	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	22 20	58	Considerably.
52	Australian J.....	" 4	111	41 " 43	"	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	22 20	60	"
53	Colorado	" 6	114	40 " 42	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	22 ..	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
54	Ebert*	July 28	104	44 " 46	Medium.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	22 ..	60	Considerably.
55	Power's Fife (Minn. 149).....	Aug. 16	124	39 " 41	Stiff.....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	22 ..	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
56	Australian No. 11.....	" 16	123	46 " 48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	22 ..	58	"
57	Red Fern	" 10	118	44 " 46	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	21 40	60	"
58	Crawford*	July 31	108	37 " 39	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	21 40	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
59	Bishop*	" 31	108	42 " 44	Medium.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	21 40	60	Badly.
60	Angus*	Aug. 7	115	48 " 50	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	21 40	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
61	Powell*	" 5	112	39 " 41	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	21 40	58	Considerably.
62	Cartier*	July 31	108	40 " 42	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	21 20	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
63	Laurel*	Aug. 13	121	42 " 44	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	21 ..	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
64	Boyle*	" 12	119	42 " 44	"	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	21 ..	58	Considerably.
65	Australian No. 13.....	" 15	123	39 " 41	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	21 ..	59	Slightly.
66	Rio Grande	" 16	124	46 " 48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	20 40	58	"
67	Alpha*	" 10	118	37 " 39	Medium.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	20 20	59	Considerably.
68	Morley*	" 10	117	43 " 45	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	20 20	57 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
69	Dawn	" 4	112	38 " 40	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	20 20	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
70	Australian No. 12.....	" 7	114	38 " 40	Weak.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3	20 20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
71	Plumper*	" 6	114	36 " 38	Medium.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	20 ..	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
72	Percy	" 7	115	38 " 40	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	19 40	59	Slightly.
73	Hastings*	" 5	113	38 " 40	Medium.....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	19 40	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
74	Fraser*	July 28	105	39 " 41	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	19 40	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	Badly.
75	Chester	Aug. 8	116	39 " 41	"	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	19 20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
76	Admiral*	" 8	116	14 " 46	Stiff.....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	19 ..	58	Considerably.
77	Japanese	July 29	106	37 " 39	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	19 ..	59	"
78	Progress*	Aug. 6	114	40 " 42	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	18 40	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
79	Vernon	" 10	118	38 " 40	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	18 40	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
80	Australian No. 18.....	" 15	122	46 " 48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	18 40	60	"
81	Red Swedish	" 13	121	37 " 39	Weak.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	18 20	59	"
82	Newdale*	" 7	114	38 " 40	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4	18 20	59	"
83	Steinwedel	" 1	108	39 " 41	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	18 20	57 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
84	Australian No. 10.....	" 14	122	34 " 36	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3	18 ..	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
85	Markham*	" 10	117	40 " 42	"	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	17 40	58	"
86	Australian No. 15.....	" 16	123	40 " 42	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	17 40	61	"
87	Spence*	July 28	104	40 " 42	Medium.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	17 ..	59	Considerably.
88	Tracey*	Aug. 13	120	46 " 48	Stiff.....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	16 40	59	Slightly.
89	Australian No. 9.....	" 1	109	34 " 36	Medium.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	14 40	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
90	Cassel*	" 10	118	38 " 40	Stiff.....	3 " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	14 20	57 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
91	Oregon Club	" 3	110	35 " 37	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	13 20	57	Badly.
92	Dayton*	" 4	111	42 " 44	"	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	13 ..	59	Considerably.
93	Early Riga*	July 28	105	38 " 40	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	10 ..	56 $\frac{1}{2}$	Badly.

* Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms.

MOST PRODUCTIVE VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT.

Taking the average of the returns for a series of years, the varieties of spring wheat found to be the most productive at this Farm are Preston, Huron, Pringle's Champlain, Wellman's Fife and Hungarian. Preston stands at the head of the list for productiveness (macaroni wheats being excluded). Red Fife gives a distinctly smaller yield than Preston, but is slightly superior in value from a miller's point of view.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EARLIEST VARIETIES OF SPRING WHEAT.

The earliest varieties now grown at this Farm (as shown by the average of the records for several years) are Harold, Gehun, Early Riga, Fraser and Ebert. These ripen, as a rule nearly two weeks earlier than Red Fife, and about one week earlier than Preston. These earlier varieties are not yet available for general distribution, but their value for the production of flour is being investigated with a view to the propagation of the best variety, or varieties, for those parts of the country where earliness is of the greatest importance.

STUDY OF THE QUALITY OF VARIOUS KINDS OF WHEAT.

The value from a miller's point of view of the various sorts of wheat is so important a consideration that steps are being taken towards the testing of all the varieties grown on this Farm. A rough preliminary test of most of the important sorts of spring wheat has been made and valuable information has been gained, although the results must be regarded as suggestive rather than conclusive.

In the case of some of the most important varieties, where larger quantities of grain were available, actual milling tests have been obtained. The results of some of these tests will be found in the report of the Director for this year.

It is proposed to subject all the new varieties which may be produced at this Farm to a critical examination by the methods indicated, before sending them out for test elsewhere.

MACARONI WHEAT.

It has been thought best to publish the results of the comparative tests of varieties of macaroni wheat in a separate table, rather than in conjunction with the ordinary sorts of spring wheat. While it is possible to make good flour from some kinds of macaroni wheat, such flour is peculiar in its character and is generally unpopular. Furthermore, the extreme hardness of the kernels necessitates special care in the milling of these kinds of wheat. They are naturally, therefore, looked upon with disapproval by millers.

Farmers who grow any of these varieties should exercise the utmost care to prevent them from becoming mixed with the standard sorts used for flour making. Conversely, macaroni wheat in which kernels of other types of wheat are found is regarded as much less valuable for its special purpose.

Macaroni wheat appears to succeed best in rather dry climates, and can often be successfully grown on rather poor and sandy soil, where it is difficult to obtain a good yield of the better varieties of wheat.

Through the courtesy of the Department of Agriculture at Washington, U.S., the following new varieties of macaroni wheat were obtained this year and were tested in the uniform plots :—

- Medeah (No. 7579) from Algeria.
- Kahla (No. 7794) from Algeria.
- Mahmoudi (No. 7792) from Algeria.
- Mishriki (No. 7016) from Egypt.
- Gejar (No. 7430) from Spain.
- Girgeh (No. 7422) from Egypt.

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

Most of these gave fair yields, except Gejar and Girgeh, both of which proved entirely unsuitable for this climate.

The plots of macaroni wheat were all one-fortieth of an acre in extent. The seed was sown on April 14 and 15, at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels to the acre.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 pounds.

MACARONI WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.


Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel after Cleaning.	Rusted.
				In.		In.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Yellow Gharnovka (Washington, No. 5642).....	Aug. 17..	124	44-46	Medium..	$3\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{3}{4}$	33 40	59 $\frac{3}{4}$	Slightly.
2	Gharnovka (Washington, No. 5646).....	" 18..	125	40-42	" ..	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{4}$	31 20	59	"
3	Beloturka (Washington, No. 5800).....	" 18..	125	45-47	" ..	$2\frac{1}{2}$ -3	31 20	58	"
4	Kubanka (Washington, No. 5639).....	" 18..	125	41-43	" ..	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{3}{4}$	29 ..	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
5	Black Don (Washington, No. 5645).....	" 7..	114	40-42	" ..	2- $2\frac{28}{32}$	27 40	61	"
6	Roumanian.....	" 7..	115	40-42	Weak...	2- $2\frac{26}{32}$	27 ..	61	Considerably.
7	Medeah.....	" 6..	113	41-43	Medium..	2- $2\frac{1}{2}$	27 ..	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
8	Velvet Don (Washington, No. 5644).....	" 11..	118	38-40	Stiff.....	2- $2\frac{28}{32}$	27 ..	60	Slightly.
9	Kahla.....	" 12..	119	36-38	Weak....	$1\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$	25 ..	58	Considerably.
10	Mahmoudi.....	" 6..	113	36-38	"	$1\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$	23 20	57 $\frac{3}{4}$	Slightly.
11	Goose.....	" 7..	115	40-42	Medium..	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{28}{32}$	19 ..	58 $\frac{1}{2}$	Badly.
12	Mishriki.....	" 5..	112	34-36	" ..	$1\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$	14 20	47 $\frac{1}{2}$	"

WINTER WHEAT.

The plots of winter wheat were sown on September 6, 1902. The size of the plots was one-fortieth of an acre each; and the seed was used at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels to the acre.

The plots looked well when winter set in; but were found to be considerably injured when growth commenced in spring. The yield of all the varieties except Imperial Amber, Reliable, Egyptian Amber and American Bronze has been estimated from one-eighth of an acre only, taking the better half of the plot in each case. The yield of the varieties above-mentioned has been calculated from the whole plot. Surprise, Red Velvet Chaff, Poole and Tasmania Red were so largely winter-killed that no accurate estimate of their yield could be made.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 pounds.



DOUBLE ROWS OF CEREALS AT EXPERIMENTAL FARM, OTTAWA.

(Photo. by C. E. Saunders.)

WINTER WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel after Cleaning.	Rusted.
			In.		In.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	
Turkey Red.....	July 23..	320	37-39	Weak....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	45 20	62	Slightly.
Dawson's Golden Chaff...	" 21..	318	38-40	Stiff.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	41 20	63	"
Imperial Amber.....	" 19..	316	44-46	Medium..	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	39 20	62 $\frac{3}{4}$	"
Reliable.....	" 23..	320	48-50	".....	3 $\frac{3}{4}$ -4	36 ..	62 $\frac{3}{4}$	"
Egyptian Amber.....	" 23..	320	38-40	Stiff.....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	35 20	62 $\frac{3}{4}$	"
Early Red Clawson...	" 19..	316	38-40	".....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	34 40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Buda Pesth.....	" 25..	322	40-42	Medium..	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	33 20	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Long Berry Red.....	" 19..	316	38-40	Stiff.....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	33 20	62	"
Bonnell.....	" 23..	320	47-49	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{3}{4}$	33 20	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Treadwell.....	" 22..	319	41-43	".....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	32 40	62	"
Jones' Winter Fife....	" 22..	319	40-42	Stiff.....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	32 ..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Golden Cross.....	" 20..	317	37-39	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	32 ..	61	Considerably.
Gold Coin.....	" 22..	319	33-40	".....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{4}$	30 40	62	Slightly.
Pride of Illinois.....	" 20..	317	40-42	".....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ -4	30 40	62	"
American Bronze.....	" 22..	319	43-45	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{3}{4}$	30 ..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Velvet Chaff.....	" 18..	315	38-40	".....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	29 20	62	Considerably.

EMMER AND SPELT.

The different varieties of emmer and spelt are separated in this report from the varieties of wheat on account of their peculiar characteristics. The emmers and spelts are distinguished by the fact that in ordinary threshing the kernels are not separated from the chaff; the chaff generally constituting about 21 to 26 per cent of the total weight of the product in the case of the emmers, and about 27 to 35 per cent in the case of the spelts. The latter are, as a rule, much the coarser. In estimating the yield of these grains, it is obvious that no comparison can be made with wheat until a proper deduction has been made for the chaff present. The neglect of this precaution is one of the reasons why Common Emmer (often incorrectly called Speltz) has attracted undue amount of attention of late. This grain, after threshing and grinding, makes valuable food for animals, but it seldom gives a yield equal to that of the best varieties of other cereals. Some farmers who have cut their emmer green for fodder report that it is unsatisfactory in that condition, partly, no doubt, on account of the husks which are present.

The only new emmer introduced this year is *Triticum monococcum*, a variety with very small and pretty heads, presenting a most attractive appearance in the field. It gave the heaviest yield in the plots this season, but will probably not maintain that position in the future as its extreme lateness gave it a distinct advantage this year owing to the peculiar character of the weather.

The plots of emmer and spelt were one-fortieth of an acre, except in the case of *Triticum monococcum*, which was grown on one-eightieth of an acre only. The grain was sown on April 17, at the rate of about 120 pounds per acre.

As some confusion exists at present in regard to the number of pounds which should be considered as a bushel of emmer or spelt, the yield is given in the following table in pounds per acre:—

EMMER AND SPELT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel after Cleaning.	Rusted
				In.		In.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Triticum monococcum....	Sept. 11..	147	40-42	Stiff	2½-3	2720	25	Slightly.
2	Red Spelt (No. 1990)	Aug. 19..	124	45-47	"	3½-4	2660	27½	"
3	Smooth Spelt (No. 1993)...	" 18..	123	43-45	"	4½-5	2380	29	"
4	White Spelt (No. 1991)...	" 17..	122	48-50	"	4½-5	1940	29	"
5	Long Emmer (No. 1994)...	" 29..	134	42-44	"	3-3¾	1760	28½	"
6	Red Emmer (No. 1989)...	" 19..	124	40-42	"	2½-3	1740	32	"
7	White Bearded Spelt (No. 1995)	" 16..	121	34-36	"	3-3¾	1600	29	"
8	Black Bearded Spelt (No. 1985)	" 16..	121	38-40	Medium..	3¾-4½	1580	27	"
9	White Emmer (No. 1981)...	" 28..	133	41-43	Stiff	2½-3	1540	30	"
10	Ufa Emmer (Washington, No. 2959)	" 9..	114	34-36	Medium..	1½-2½	1320	33½	"
11	Common Emmer ("Speltz")	" 12..	117	31-33	Stiff	1½-2½	1300	35	"
12	Thick Emmer (No. 1984)...	" 17..	122	34-36	"	2-2½	1020	29	"

OATS.

Five new names were added to the list of varieties of oats in the uniform test this season.

Excelsior is a new black oat produced by Garton Bros. (England). The original sample was remarkably plump and weighed 44 pounds to the measured bushel.

Storm King is another new oat produced by Garton Bros. The seed received is very large but not remarkably plump, weighing 40½ pounds per measured bushel. This variety presents a very striking appearance in the field, producing straw of very large diameter. It, however, lodged slightly in some parts of the plot. The yield obtained was rather small, but the figures are not published, as the quantity of seed on hand was not sufficient to sow the plot as thickly as was desirable. Oats of such remarkable size require a larger quantity of seed per acre than those of smaller dimensions.

Golden Fleece and Sheffield Standard were advertised as two distinct varieties, but the difference, if any, between them is very slight. The original samples of seed received weighed only 33½ pounds per bushel in each case.

The Chinese Naked oat has the peculiarity of threshing out free from husk. The yield given in the table represents, therefore, free kernels. In order to make this comparable with the yields of the other varieties of oats, the quantity obtained must be considered as about 72 per cent of that which would have been obtained had the husks remained on the oats, most varieties of oats having only about 72 per cent of kernels as ordinarily threshed. Estimated in this way the yield of Chinese Naked oat becomes 44 bushels 4 pounds per acre.

All the plots of oats were sown April 20, except Chinese Naked, which was sown April 17. The plots were one-fortieth of an acre, and the seed was sown at the rate of two bushels per acre.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 34 pounds.

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel after Cleaning.	Rusted.
			Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
Golden Giant	Aug. 14.	116	46-48	Stiff ...	7½-9	86	16	34½
Robbey	" 16.	118	46-48	Weak ..	8-9½	80	..	36
Holland*	" 17.	119	45-47	" ..	8½-10	77	22	35
Iron*	" 15.	117	48-50	Stiff ...	8-9½	77	22	35½
Notch Potato	" 14.	116	49-51	Medium ..	9-10½	77	2	37
Stehorn's Abundance	" 16.	118	44-46	Weak ..	7½-9½	75	30	35
Virginia White Abundance	" 17.	119	40-42	" ..	6½-8½	75	10	37½
Great Northern	" 14.	116	44-46	Medium ..	7-8½	74	24	35½
White Giant	" 14.	116	44-46	Stiff ...	8-9½	74	4	35½
Golden Beauty	" 12.	114	45-47	" ..	8-9½	73	18	35
Golden Tartarian	" 18.	120	46-48	Medium ..	8-9½	71	26	34½
Inner	" 13.	115	40-42	Stiff ...	7-8½	71	6	36½
Abundance	" 13.	115	44-46	Medium ..	8½-9½	71	6	36½
Twentieth Century	" 17.	119	45-47	" ..	8½-10	71	6	38
Merian	" 16.	118	44-46	Stiff ...	8½-9½	70	20	34½
Lumbus	" 13.	115	40-42	Weak ..	7-8½	70	20	34½
Reddish Select	" 17.	119	38-40	Medium ..	6-7½	70	20	36½
Mononite	" 15.	117	42-44	" ..	8½-9½	70	..	34
Colett's Seizure	" 14.	116	43-45	Stiff ...	7½-9	68	28	35
Improved Ligowo	" 13.	115	44-46	Medium ..	8-9½	67	22	37
Consolation	" 15.	117	44-46	" ..	7½-9	67	22	37½
Excelsior (black)	" 17.	119	42-44	Stiff ...	7½-8½	67	22	36½
Black Beauty	" 13.	115	40-42	Weak ..	8½-10½	67	2	35
Ordeal White*	" 14.	116	45-47	Medium ..	8½-10	67	2	34½
Wide Awake	" 16.	118	42-44	Stiff ...	6½-8	66	16	36
Perfluss	" 16.	118	44-46	Medium ..	6½-7½	66	16	34
American Triumph	" 18.	120	40-42	Stiff ...	7½-8½	65	30	35
Genfrei	" 16.	118	43-45	Weak ..	7-8½	65	10	36
Australian	" 17.	119	46-48	Medium ..	8-9½	65	10	35
Golden Fleec	" 15.	117	46-48	Stiff ...	7-8½	64	24	34
Base White*	" 12.	114	47-49	Medium ..	9-10½	64	4	37
Atlantic	" 17.	119	44-46	Weak ..	7½-8½	62	32	35½
Lines	" 17.	119	47-49	Stiff ...	7½-9	62	32	35
Polin	" 13.	115	47-49	" ..	8½-9	62	12	37½
Star King	" 10.	112	46-48	" ..	8½-9½	61	26	36½
Improved American	" 13.	115	39-41	" ..	7-8½	61	26	36
Overley	" 14.	116	48-50	" ..	7½-9	61	6	35½
Ve Black*	" 15.	117	44-46	Weak ..	8½-10	61	6	35½
Lines*	" 18.	120	44-46	Medium ..	8½-9½	61	6	34
Sh Victor	" 15.	117	39-41	Stiff ...	7½-9½	60	20	36
Sh Island	" 13.	115	43-45	" ..	7-8½	60	20	35½
White*	" 14.	116	47-49	Weak ..	8½-9½	60	20	35
Hinder	" 16.	118	45-47	Medium ..	7½-8½	59	14	34½
Ordeal White	" 14.	116	45-47	Weak ..	9-10½	59	14	35
Four (Salzer's)	" 16.	118	41-43	Stiff ...	7½-8½	57	22	36
Ordeal Black*	" 15.	117	48-50	Weak ..	8½-10	57	22	35
Black Tartarian	" 15.	117	48-50	" ..	9½-11	57	2	35
King Scotchman	" 15.	117	42-44	" ..	6-7½	56	16	35½
Ordeal	" 13.	115	41-43	" ..	7-8½	56	16	35
Ordeal Beauty	" 15.	117	46-48	" ..	7½-9	55	30	36
Golden Profile	" 16.	118	37-39	Medium ..	7-8½	55	10	36½
Grand Dollar	" 14.	116	38-40	Stiff ...	7½-9½	55	10	35½
Libbe's Illinois	" 17.	119	41-46	Medium ..	7½-9	55	10	36
Field Standard	" 17.	119	40-42	" ..	7½-8½	54	24	36½
Ordeal Russian	" 14.	116	39-41	Weak ..	6½-7½	51	26	36
Ordeal Black	" 15.	117	45-47	" ..	7½-9½	51	6	35½
Ordeal Zealand	" 18.	120	46-48	Medium ..	7½-9	50	..	36½
Ordeal	" 16.	118	38-40	" ..	7-8½	50	..	35
Ordeal Schonen	" 12.	114	44-46	" ..	8-9½	48	8	35
Ordeal (black)	" 16.	118	37-39	Weak ..	7½-8½	48	8	36
Ordeal Golden Profile	" 14.	116	42-44	Medium ..	8-9½	47	22	37
Ordeal	" 13.	115	43-45	" ..	7½-9	44	4	35½
Ordeal Black*	" 15.	117	43-45	" ..	7½-9	41	6	36
Ordeal Naked	" 20.	125	50-52	Stiff ...	9½-11	31	26	46½

Cross bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms.

Most Productive Varieties of Oats.—Taking the average of the returns for a series of years, the varieties of oats found to be the most productive at this Farm are White Giant, Holstein Prolific, Banner, Columbus, Menmonite, Golden Giant, American Triumph, Joannette, Black Beauty and Golden Beauty.

Earliest Varieties of Oats.—Wallis is the earliest variety which has been grown at this Farm for the past five years. It ripens, as a rule, about two or three days earlier than White Giant or Banner, but is very much less productive.

Welcome and White Wonder, which were discontinued from the plots at this Farm some years ago on account of their small yield, ripen as a rule about five or six days earlier than Wallis.

SIX-ROW BARLEY.

The plots were all one-fortieth of an acre in extent. The seed was sown at a rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre, the date of sowing being April 18.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 48 lbs.

SIX-ROW BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel after Cleaning.	Rust.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Blue Long Head.....	July 25	98	34—36	Weak...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3 $\frac{1}{2}$	58	47	Considerable
2	Summit*.....	" 25	98	46—48	Medium	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3 $\frac{3}{4}$	54	28	Slightly.
3	Brome*.....	" 27	100	40—42	Weak...	3—3 $\frac{1}{4}$	53	36	"
4	Silver King.....	Aug. 2	106	32—34	Medium	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ —4	53	16	"
5	Trooper*.....	July 26	99	39—41	Stiff...	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ —3 $\frac{1}{2}$	52	4	"
6	Garfield*.....	" 25	98	44—46	Medium	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ —3 $\frac{1}{2}$	52	4	"
7	Stella*.....	" 25	98	44—46	"	3—3 $\frac{1}{4}$	51	12	"
8	Albert*.....	Aug. 2	106	34—36	"	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ —3 $\frac{3}{4}$	47	4	"
9	Empire*.....	July 27	100	37—39	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —2 $\frac{3}{4}$	45	20	"
10	Baxter.....	" 24	97	41—43	"	2—2 $\frac{1}{2}$	45	20	"
11	Yale*.....	" 27	100	37—39	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3	45	50	"
12	Odessa.....	" 27	100	35—37	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ —3 $\frac{1}{4}$	44	28	"
13	Common.....	" 25	98	36—38	Weak...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3 $\frac{1}{4}$	44	28	"
14	Norwegian (No. 8).....	" 26	99	38—40	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —2 $\frac{3}{4}$	44	28	"
15	Oderbruch.....	" 27	100	35—37	Medium	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3 $\frac{1}{4}$	42	44	"
16	Rennie's Improved.....	" 25	98	35—37	Weak...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —2 $\frac{3}{4}$	42	44	"
17	Nugent*.....	Aug. 3	107	31—33	Stiff...	3—3 $\frac{1}{4}$	42	4	"
18	Royal*.....	" 2	106	35—37	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3 $\frac{1}{4}$	41	12	"
19	Champion (beardless).....	July 23	96	40—42	Weak...	3—3 $\frac{1}{4}$	40	20	"
20	Mensury.....	Aug. 1	105	35—37	Stiff...	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ —4 $\frac{1}{2}$	38	36	"
21	Sisolsk.....	July 24	97	34—36	Weak...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3 $\frac{1}{4}$	38	36	Considerable
22	Claude*.....	Aug. 3	107	27—29	Medium	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3	35	40	"
23	Argyle*.....	July 27	100	28—30	Stiff...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ —3 $\frac{1}{4}$	34	28	Slightly.
24	Mansfield*.....	" 27	100	27—29	Medium	2—2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	28	Considerable
25	Chinese Hulless.....	" 31	104	25—27	"	2—2 $\frac{1}{2}$	25	40	"
26	Hulless Black.....	" 23	96	26—28	Weak...	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ —2	25	20	"

*Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Most Productive Varieties of Six-row Barley.—Taking the average of the returns for a series of years, the varieties of six-row barley found to be the most productive at this Farm are Odessa, Blue Long Head, Mensury, Stella and Trooper.

Earliest Varieties of Six-row Barley.—There are no important differences in earliness to be noted among these varieties of six-row barley which have been tested for five years or longer at this Farm. Odessa, Stella and Trooper are about one day earlier than Blue Long Head and Mensury.

TWO-ROW BARLEY.

Attention is called to two new varieties of two-row barley, imported this year, Maltster and Brewer's Favourite. The original seed of both of these was very plump, and weighed 54½ lbs. to the measured bushel. It will be seen that Maltster has given a good yield of heavy grain, but Brewer's Favourite has not done remarkably well. These varieties were originated by Garton Bros., England.

The plots of two-row barley were sown on April 17, the seed being used at the rate of two bushels to the acre. The yield given is calculated from one-fortieth of an acre except in the case of Princess Sialof, where only one-eightieth of an acre is used, as one-half of the plot ripened somewhat earlier than the other.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 48 lbs.

TWO-ROW BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel after Cleaning.	Rusted.
			Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
Maltster.....	Aug. 11..	116	37—39	Medium..	3—3½	48 36	52½	Slightly.
Princess Sialof.....	" 4..	109	31—33	" ..	3½—4	48 16	49	Badly.
Canadian Thorpe.....	" 2..	107	38—40	Stiff.....	3—3½	46 32	50½	Slightly.
Invincible.....	July 31..	105	36—38	" ..	2½—3½	46 32	52	"
Larvis*.....	Aug. 1..	106	45—47	" ..	4½—4¾	46 12	52½	"
French Chevalier.....	July 31..	105	33—35	Medium..	3½—4	44 28	50½	"
Posthorn's Kaiser.....	Aug. 3..	108	36—38	Stiff.....	2½—3½	43 36	51½	"
Plumage.....	" 1..	106	36—38	" ..	2½—3	43 16	53	"
Beaver*.....	July 30..	104	45—47	" ..	3½—3¾	42 24	51	Considerably.
Jordon*.....	Aug. 1..	106	38—40	" ..	2½—3½	41 32	51	Slightly.
Harvey*.....	Aug. 4..	109	38—40	Medium..	2½—4	40 ..	52	Considerably.
Fichtel Mountain.....	July 30..	104	28—30	" ..	2½—3½	39 28	48	"
Schney*.....	Aug. 2..	107	38—40	Stiff.....	3½—4	39 8	52	Slightly.
Danham*.....	" 3..	108	40—42	" ..	3½—3¾	37 44	51½	"
Danish Chevalier.....	" 2..	107	41—43	" ..	4½—4¾	37 4	50	Considerably.
Polton*.....	" 3..	108	36—38	Medium..	3—3½	37 4	50	"
Isolan*.....	Aug. 4..	109	40—42	" ..	3½—3¾	37 4	52½	Slightly.
Winford*.....	" 4..	109	37—39	" ..	3½—3¾	36 32	51	Considerably.
Brewer's Favourite.....	" 11..	116	32—34	" ..	3½—4	35 40	52	Slightly.
Standwell.....	" 4..	109	40—42	" ..	2½—3½	35 ..	51	"
Belham*.....	July 28..	102	33—35	" ..	3—3½	34 28	52	"
Newton.....	Aug. 3..	108	35—37	Stiff.....	2½—3½	31 12	51½	"

* Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms.

Most Productive Varieties of Two-row Barley.—Taking the average of the returns for a series of years, the varieties of two-row barley found to be the most productive at this Farm are: Canadian Thorpe, French Chevalier, Beaver and Danish Chevalier.

Earliest Varieties of Two-row Barley.—The earliest varieties of two-row barley grown at this Farm are Jarvis, Beaver, Gordon. These are all cross-bred sorts produced here. They ripen, as a rule, two or three days earlier than Canadian Thorpe and French Chevalier.

PEASE.

The plots of pease were one-fortieth of an acre each. The seed was sown on April 22, at the rate of from two to three bushels per acre, according to the size of the pea. The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 pounds.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days maturing.	Character of growth.	Length of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured bushel after Cleaning.
					Inches.	Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
1	English Gray	Aug. 21	121	Strong.	67-70	2 1/2-3	34 20	55 1/2
2	Crown	" 19	119	"	47-50	2 1/2-2 3/4	34 ..	60 1/2
3	Prussian Blue	" 18	118	"	62-65	2-2 1/2	33 40	62
4	Golden Vine	" 21	121	"	57-60	2-2 1/2	32 40	61
5	Daniel O'Rourke	" 18	118	"	62-65	1 3/4-2 1/2	32 ..	61 1/2
6	Paragon*	" 17	117	Medium	33-36	2 1/2-2 3/4	31 20	61 1/2
7	Victoria*	" 25	125	Strong.	70-73	2-2 1/2	31 20	60 1/2
8	Pictou*	" 22	122	"	65-68	2-2 1/2	31 ..	61 1/2
9	Gregory*	" 20	120	"	63-66	2 1/2-2 3/4	30 40	61
10	Duke*	" 20	120	"	70-73	2 1/2-2 3/4	30 40	61 1/2
11	Bruce*	" 22	122	"	72-75	2 1/2-2 3/4	30 40	61 1/2
12	Chancellor	" 18	118	Medium	60-63	1 3/4-2 1/2	30 20	60 1/2
13	Mummy	" 22	122	"	59-62	2-2 1/2	30 ..	60 1/2
14	Perth*	" 18	118	Strong.	61-64	2 1/2-3	29 ..	61 1/2
15	Kent*	" 23	123	"	67-70	2 1/2-3 1/4	29 ..	60 1/2
16	Pride	" 22	122	"	50-53	2 1/2-2 3/4	28 40	61
17	Cooper*	" 17	117	Medium	62-65	2 1/2-2 3/4	28 ..	61
18	Trilby*	" 18	118	Strong.	63-66	2 1/2-2 3/4	27 40	62
19	Archer	" 23	123	"	70-73	1 3/4-2 1/2	27 20	62
20	German White	" 20	120	"	67-70	2-2 1/2	27 20	61
21	King*	" 21	121	"	64-67	2 1/2-2 3/4	27 20	61
22	White Marrowfat (Large)	" 19	119	"	67-70	2 1/2-3	27 20	60 1/2
23	Prince Albert	" 19	119	"	63-66	2 1/2-2 3/4	26 20	60 1/2
24	Prince*	" 23	123	"	72-75	2 1/2-2 3/4	26 20	59
25	Alma*	" 17	117	"	60-63	2 1/2-2 3/4	26 ..	62
26	Canadian Beauty	" 18	118	"	65-68	2 1/2-3	26 ..	62
27	Wisconsin Blue	" 19	119	"	57-60	2-2 1/2	25 40	62
28	Pearl*	" 19	119	"	57-60	2 1/2-2 3/4	25 20	61 1/2
29	Early Britain	" 22	122	"	69-72	2 1/2-2 3/4	24 40	58 1/2
30	New Potter	" 19	119	"	67-70	2-2 1/2	23 40	61 1/2
31	Elliot*	" 20	120	"	63-71	2-2 1/2	23 20	61 1/2
32	Lanark*	" 19	119	"	60-63	1 3/4-2 1/2	23 20	60 1/2
33	Agnes*	" 19	119	"	62-65	1 3/4-2 1/2	23 ..	61 1/2
34	Carleton*	" 23	123	"	60-63	2 1/2-2 3/4	23 ..	61 1/2
35	Macoun*	" 20	120	"	61-64	2 1/2-2 3/4	23 ..	61
36	Black-eyed Marrowfat	" 18	118	"	60-63	2-3	22 40	61
37	White Wonder	" 16	116	Medium	38-40	2 1/2-2 3/4	22 40	61 1/2
38	Arthur*	" 18	118	Strong.	59-62	2 1/2-3	22 40	61 1/2
39	Field Gray	" 17	117	Medium	57-60	1 3/4-2 1/2	22 ..	57 1/2
40	Fergus*	" 20	120	Strong.	60-63	2 1/2-2 3/4	21 ..	61 1/2
41	Centennial	" 22	122	"	67-70	2-2 1/2	20 40	60
42	Mackay*	" 20	120	"	65-68	2 1/2-3	20 ..	61 1/2
43	Nelson	" 19	119	Medium	47-50	2-2 1/2	15 40	61

* Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Most Productive Varieties of Pease.—Taking the average of the returns for a series of years, the varieties of pease found to be most productive at this Farm are Arthur and Paragon.

Earliest Varieties of Peas.—Chancellor and White Wonder ripen, as a rule, about two days earlier than Paragon and Arthur. Chancellor gives a good crop, but White Wonder gives a light yield.

SPRING RYE.

One plot of spring rye (one-fortieth acre) was sown on April 17, the seed being sown at the rate of one and one-half bushels to the acre. The rye made a strong and fairly even growth, and ripened on August 10. The straw was stiff, its length (including the head) being 53 to 55 inches. The length of the heads was from three to three and three-quarter inches. The number of days from sowing to harvesting was 115. The yield, expressed in 'bushels' of 56 pounds, was 21 bushels 24 pounds per acre; and the weight per measured bushel (after cleaning) was 55 pounds.

SOJA BEANS.

Two plots of one-fortieth acre each were sown in rows at different distances apart, viz.: 21 and 28 inches, to gain information as to the best distance for sowing. The soil was a light sandy loam, which received a dressing of barn-yard manure during the winter of 1899 and 1900 of about 12 tons per acre. The previous crop was horse beans. After the beans were cut the land was ploughed late in the autumn to the depth of about seven or eight inches, and left in that condition until the following spring, when it was cultivated once with a two-horse cultivator and twice with a smoothing harrow. The beans were sown with a seed-drill on May 9, and cut on September 22. Half of each plot was cut green, when the pods were well formed, but the beans were still soft. The other half of each plot was allowed to ripen.

Plot 1.—Sown in rows 21 inches apart; growth strong and even, leafy; average height 32 to 37 inches; total yield of green crop, 12 tons 960 lbs. per acre; yield of beans, 14 bushels 40 lbs. per acre.

Plot 2.—Sown in rows 28 inches apart; growth very strong and leafy; average height 34 to 38 inches. Plot all standing; stalks considerably stiffer than in plot No. 1. Total yield of green crop, 15 tons 1,200 lbs per acre; yield of beans, 13 bushels 20 lbs. per acre.

HORSE BEANS.

Two plots of one-fortieth acre each were sown in rows at different distances apart, viz.: 21 and 28 inches, to gain information as to the best distance for sowing. The land was adjoining that used for soja beans, was similar in quality and received the same treatment. The previous crop was flax. The beans were sown with the seed-drill on May 9, and cut on September 22.

Half of each plot was cut green before the beans were ripe. The other half of each plot was allowed to ripen.

Plot 1.—Sown in rows 21 inches apart; growth strong, pods fairly numerous; height 50 to 52 inches; crop all standing. Total yield, 13 tons 560 lbs. per acre. Yield of beans, 20 bushels 40 lbs. per acre.

Plot 2.—Sown in rows 28 inches apart; growth very strong; pods numerous; height 51 to 55 inches; crop all standing; stalks considerably stiffer than in plot No. 1. Total yield 13 tons 880 lbs. per acre. Yield of beans, 32 bushels per acre.

MILLET.

The plots of millet were one-eightieth of an acre each. The seed was sown with a hand seed drill on May 19. The plots were cut when the seed was in the doughy state

MILLET—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Cutting.	Length of Straw.	Character of Growth.	Weight per Acre, Green.		Weight per Acre, Dry.	
					Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lb.
1	Pearl or Cat-tail.....	Sept. 8....	38—42	Weak.....	8	160	3	1,840
2	Algerian.....	" 8....	65—70	".....	6	800	4	1,200
3	Moha Hungarian.....	" 3....	28—32	Medium.....	5	800	2	1,760
4	White Round French.....	" 3....	38—40	".....	5	...	2	1,360
5	Red Orenburg.....	" 8....	35—38	".....	3	1,760	2	800
6	Italian or Indian.....	" 6....	54—58	".....	3	1,680	1	960

TURNIPS.

Two sowings were made of each variety, the first on May 7 and the second on May 21. The seed was used at the rate of about four pounds per acre. Before sowing, the land was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller which flattened the drills nearly one-half, leaving a firm seed bed. When the young plants were about three inches high they were thinned out, leaving them about seven inches apart in the rows.

The roots were pulled on two different dates: October 19 and November 2. The yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of roots gathered from two rows each 33 feet long.

The results obtained this season in the case of turnips and of other root crops do not altogether harmonise with those of previous years. This is no doubt due chiefly to two causes: first, the drought in spring, which delayed the germination most of the seed of the first sowing, and second, the unusually severe frosts which occurred between October 19 and November 2.

In Canada the ton contains 2,000 lbs.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 2nd Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 2nd Pulling.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
New Century	47	1,865	23	1,850	50	1,970	24	345
Funibo.....	46	235	24	1,665	46	400	25	325
Kangaroo.....	44	1,430	24	1,005	45	90	24	1,500
Manmoth Clyde.....	41	665	30	1,545	41	1,160	30	390
Good Luck.....	40	1,510	28	1,090	41	665	30	60
Imperator Swede.....	37	1,570	20	425	39	210	20	1,085
Elephant's Master.....	33	1,155	20	260	25	1,315	21	900
Drummond Purple Top.....	33	990	30	225	31	40	30	225
Hamrock Purple Top.....	33	825	26	965	34	805	26	1,955
Magnum Bonum.....	30	555	24	345	25	820	22	550
Imperial Swede.....	30	390	29	740	30	225	28	595
Langholm Selected.....	29	905	24	15	20	755	23	1,850
Selected Purple Top.....	29	575	28	1,915	32	1,340	24	345
Cartley's Bronze.....	29	245	27	780	24	1,665	25	1,815
Cartley's Elephant.....	27	120	17	320	25	490	20	1,745
utton's Champion.....	26	1,625	24	1,630	27	285	28	1,750
Perfection Swede.....	25	1,975	24	1,005	29	1,565	24	1,170
Kirvings.....	25	1,480	19	445	23	1,190	20	1,415
East Lothian.....	23	1,520	23	860	26	1,790	24	840
Walewood's Bronze Top.....	23	1,520	21	1,890	28	1,420	26	1,790
Ball's Westbury.....	23	695	19	1,270	26	1,460	15	1,515

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling, was	32	1,126
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling, was	32	460
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling, was	24	974
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling, was	24	1,508

MANGELS.

Two sowings were made of each variety, the first on May 7, and the second on May 21. The seed was used at the rate of about six pounds per acre. Before sowing, and was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to a firm seed bed. When the young plants were about three inches high they were cut out, leaving them about seven inches apart in the rows. The roots were pulled on different dates: October 19 and November 2. The yield has been calculated in case from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 33 feet long.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 2nd Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 2nd Pulling.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Mammoth Long Red	41	335	21	900	37	745	20	1,250
2	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	40	190	18	1,125	32	1,505	14	1,040
3	Selected Yellow Globe.....	39	375	17	815	39	1,200	17	1,640
4	Half Long Sugar White.....	35	620	15	1,350	34	1,300	15	1,185
5	Gate Post	34	1,795	20	1,580	36	1,500	26	140
6	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	34	1,630	15	1,845	37	1,240	20	590
7	Giant Sugar Mangel.....	34	1,135	15	1,680	30	885	17	630
8	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	34	475	17	815	43	130	16	340
9	Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....	32	845	18	630	26	1,625	16	1,330
10	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	32	350	20	1,250	46	1,720	21	75
11	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	30	225	19	1,435	46	70	19	1,270
12	Yellow Intermediate.....	29	1,730	14	495	39	1,035	25	820
13	Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	29	740	14	215	22	220	13	1,720
14	Giant Yellow Globe.....	25	1,645	16	1,990	34	310	20	1,415
15	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	24	1,500	22	385	31	1,030	26	965
16	Leviathan Long Red.....	23	200	13	1,885	24	180	15	690

Tons. Lbs.

The average yield from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling, was 32 1,237

The average yield from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling, was 35 424

The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling, was 17 1,400

The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling, was 19 445

CARROTS.

Two sowings were made of each variety, the first on May 7 and the second on May 21. The seed was used at the rate of about four pounds per acre. Before sowing the land was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to make a firm seed bed. When the young plants were about three inches high they were thinned out, leaving them about five inches apart in the rows. The roots were pulled on two different dates: October 19 and November 2. The yield has been calculated each case from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 33 feet long.

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 2nd Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 2nd Pulling.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	New White Intermediate.....	33	1,815	25	160	34	1,300	25	32
2	Mammoth White Intermediate.....	30	1,875	22	1,210	26	1,625	21	90
3	Ontario Champion.....	29	1,070	22	550	26	140	22	82
4	Giant White Vosges.....	29	80	21	570	31	370	21	57
5	Improved Short White.....	28	430	20	755	33	165	21	1,50
6	White Belgian.....	27	1,110	19	1,930	28	430	18	67
7	Long Yellow Stump Rooted.....	26	1,810	18	300	22	880	14	77
8	Half Long White.....	21	1,890	20	1,745	22	1,870	20	77
9	Carter's Orange Giant.....	21	1,065	18	135	24	1,995	17	1,67
10	Half Long Chantenay.....	21	75	16	175	23	1,685	19	1,77
11	Early Gem.....	16	1,495	15	1,020	20	1,580	17	1,37

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling, was	26	247
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling, was	26	1,640
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling, was	20	50
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling, was	19	1,975

SUGAR BEETS.

Two sowings were made of each variety, the first on May 7 and the second on May 11. The seed was used at the rate of about six pounds per acre. Before sowing, the ground was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to make a firm seed bed. When the young plants were about three inches high they were thinned out, leaving them about five inches apart in the rows. The roots were pulled on two different dates: October 19 and November 2. The yield has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 33 feet long. Though the varieties mentioned here are commonly classed as sugar beets, it should be noted that the only ones recommended for use in the manufacture of sugar are Wanzleben, French Very Rich, and Vilmorin's Improved.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing 2nd Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing 2nd Pulling.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
Improved Imperial	39	540	21	900	39	1,035	21	1,230
Red Top Sugar	36	105	20	920	31	370	14	330
Wanzleben	35	455	16	1,495	32	1,670	15	525
French Very Rich	32	1,010	15	690	30	390	16	505
Wanzleben	29	1,565	13	70	30	1,545	13	1,060
French Very Rich	23	695	13	1,455	23	1,190	19	1,930
Wanzleben	20	1,250	15	1,185	27	450	18	630
Vilmorin's Improved	19	610	7	1,180	13	1,885	8	1,820

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling, was	29	1,029
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling, was	28	1,317
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling, was	16	237
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling, was	16	10

INDIAN CORN.

The corn was sown with the seed drill in rows thirty-five inches apart, and was sown in hills thirty-five inches apart each way. When the plants were about six inches high they were thinned out, leaving them from six to eight inches apart in the rows, and leaving four or five plants in each hill. The seed was sown May 27, and the corn was cut green for ensilage September 30. The yield has been calculated from the weight of crop cut from two rows, each 33 feet long.

For the making of ensilage the corn should be cut when the kernels are in the milk or doughy stage; but the summer at Ottawa is not always warm enough to get the later varieties to this state of maturity before it is necessary to cut the crop before a hard frost.

In Canada the ton contains 2,000 pounds.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Height.	Leafiness.	Condition when Cut.	Yield per Acre Grown in Rows.		Yield per Acre Grown in Hills.	
						Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Early Mastodon.....	Strong	In. 100-110	Leafy	Late milk	27	835	26	800
2	King of the Earliest.....	"	80-90	Very leafy	Early milk	21	955	17	155
3	Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	"	80-90	Leafy	"	20	755	18	300
4	Mammoth Cuban.....	"	85-95	Very leafy	"	19	1,600	19	775
5	Pride of the North.....	Very strong.	95-105	Leafy	"	19	500	18	1,290
6	Early Butler.....	Strong	90-100	Very leafy	"	19	280	19	560
7	Compton's Early.....	Medium	80-90	Leafy	Late milk	18	1,840	17	1,860
8	Champion White Pearl.....	Strong	80-90	Very leafy	Early milk	18	355	17	1,200
9	Selected Leaming.....	"	80-90	"	"	17	1,970	16	10
10	Thoro'bred White Flint.....	Very strong.	95-105	"	"	17	1,200	17	540
11	Red Cob Ensilage.....	"	95-105	"	"	17	100	14	160
12	Superior Fodder.....	"	90-100	"	"	16	780	16	340
13	Cloud's Early Yellow.....	"	85-95	"	"	16	560	15	1,955
14	Sanford.....	Medium	85-95	Medium	Late milk	15	1,570	13	1,500
15	White Cap Yellow Dent.....	"	80-90	Leafy	Early milk	15	1,460	12	1,300
16	Salzer's All Gold.....	"	75-85	Very leafy	"	15	1,460	14	1,810
17	Longfellow.....	"	65-75	Medium	Late milk	15	1,240	14	1,260
18	Mammoth Eight-rowed Flint.....	"	70-75	"	"	15	140	13	1,720
19	North Dakota White.....	Strong	85-95	Very leafy	"	14	1,700	15	1,515
20	Eureka.....	Medium	75-85	"	Early milk	14	1,370	14	990
21	Evergreen Sugar.....	"	75-85	Medium	"	14	600	13	1,555
22	Angel of Midnight.....	"	75-85	"	Late milk	13	180	12	860
23	King Phitip.....	"	65-75	"	Early milk	11	1,100	11	1,760

The average yield from the rows was 17 tons 502 pounds per acre, and from the hills, 16 tons 352 pounds per acre; showing an advantage, this season, of 1 ton 13 pounds per acre in favour of the corn grown in rows.

INDIAN CORN SOWN AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES.

Three varieties were chosen for this test: Champion White Pearl, Selected Leaming and Longfellow. The seed was sown May 27 and the corn was cut for ensilage September 30. Sixteen rows of each variety were sown, that is, four rows at each the distances mentioned, and the yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of crop obtained from the two inner rows in each case. The length of the portions the rows cut for weighing was 33 feet.

Name of Variety.	Distance between the Rows.	Character of Growth.	Height when Cut.	Condition when Cut.	Yield per Acre.
	In.		In.		Tons. Lbs.
Champion White Pearl.....	21	Medium	70-80	Early milk	28 1
" ".....	28	Strong	75-85	"	22
" ".....	35	"	85-95	"	19
" ".....	42	"	85-95	"	14 1
Selected Leaming.....	21	"	70-80	"	31
" ".....	28	"	80-90	"	21 1
" ".....	35	Very strong.	85-95	"	17
" ".....	42	"	85-95	"	17
Longfellow.....	21	Weak	55-65	Late milk	15 1
" ".....	28	"	55-65	"	14 1
" ".....	35	Medium	60-70	"	14
" ".....	42	"	70-80	"	14

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

It will be seen that, in every case, the largest yield per acre was obtained from the rows which were closest together. In previous years this has not always been so. The character of the season has evidently an important influence on the results.

GRAIN SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON SANDY LOAM.

These experiments were all conducted on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The wheat was sown on April 25 and was ripe on August 11. The oats were sown April 25 and were ripe August 11. The barley was sown April 25 and was ripe August 8.

The results of the tests in previous years are published, for comparison, along with the figures obtained this year.

Name of Variety.	Quantity Sown per Acre.	Number of Days from Sowing to Harvesting.			Yield Per Acre.					
		1901.	1902.	1903.	1901.		1902.		1903.	
					Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Eastern Wheat.....	1 bushel....	190	108	108	10	20	24	..	15	..
" "	1½ bushels....	100	108	108	15	..	20	40	14	20
" "	1½ " ..	100	108	108	19	40	15	20	20	40
" "	2 " ..	100	108	108	20	20	10	40	15	20
" "	2½ " ..	100	108	108	21	..	20	40	13	20
" "	3 " ..	100	108	108	19	40	17	20	16	40
Winter Oats.....	1½ " ..	96	107	108	41	6	60	..	63	18
" "	2 " ..	96	107	108	59	14	45	30	56	16
" "	2½ " ..	96	107	108	57	2	52	32	79	14
" "	3 " ..	96	107	108	43	18	50	20	84	4
" "	3½ " ..	96	107	108	31	26	50	20	88	8
" "	4 " ..	96	107	108	35	10	54	4	67	22
Early Barley.....	1½ " ..	84	95	105	35	35	40	40	61	32
" "	2 " ..	84	95	105	37	19	28	16	60	..
" "	2½ " ..	84	95	105	43	11	27	24	54	28
" "	3 " ..	84	95	105	42	19	37	24	46	12
" "	3½ " ..	84	95	105	39	23	26	32	47	44
" "	4 " ..	84	95	105	43	11	45	..	35	40

GRAIN SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON CLAY LOAM.

These experiments were all conducted on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The wheat was sown April 30 and was ripe August 16. The oats were sown April 30 and were ripe August 18. The barley was sown April 30 and was ripe August 11.

The results of the tests in previous years are published, for comparison, along with the figures obtained this year.

Name of Variety.	Quantity Sown per Acre.	Number of Days from Sowing to Harvesting.			Yield Per Acre.					
		1901.	1902.	1903.	1901.		1902.		1903.	
					Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Preston Wheat.....	1 bushel....	97	108	108	28	20	24	40	28	40
" "	1½ bushels...	97	108	108	28	20	24	40	30	..
" "	1½ " ..	97	108	108	29	..	29	20	30	40
" "	2 " ..	97	108	108	26	20	28	..	23	..
" "	2½ " ..	97	108	108	26	20	30	..	29	40
" "	3 " ..	97	108	108	25	..	24	40	28	20
Banner Oats.....	1½ " ..	92	111	110	58	23	63	18	72	32
" "	2 " ..	92	111	110	65	30	62	12	78	28
" "	2½ " ..	92	111	110	67	2	72	32	74	4
" "	3 " ..	92	111	110	64	24	67	2	80	20
" "	3½ " ..	92	111	110	61	6	70	20	84	24
" "	4 " ..	92	111	110	57	22	67	2	88	28
Mensury Barley.....	1½ " ..	83	99	103	37	..	64	8	54	28
" "	2 " ..	83	99	103	40	35	70	40	59	28
" "	2½ " ..	83	99	103	44	3	68	16	48	16
" "	3 " ..	83	99	103	45	35	69	8	50	..
" "	3½ " ..	83	99	103	45	35	65	..	50	..
" "	4 " ..	83	99	103	44	3	62	24	58	16

DOUBLE ROWS OF GRAIN.

Important varieties of cereals which have been rejected from the uniform test plots as undesirable for general cultivation are retained for reference purposes, and are grown annually; two rows of each variety being sown, the distance between the rows being about six inches, and the length of the rows 33 feet. Each pair of rows is separated from the neighbouring pairs by a space of about two feet. In these double rows are also sown the new varieties of grain originated at this farm which are available only in very small quantities and which are being propagated for larger plots. A few of the best standard sorts are also grown in the double rows for comparison with the other varieties.

These double rows form an interesting object lesson for visitors, presenting as they do a large number of distinct types in a very small area.

The accompanying plate gives a good idea of the appearance of these double rows in the early stages of growth.

REPORT OF THE POULTRY MANAGER.

(A. G. GILBERT.)

OTTAWA, December 1, 1903.

To DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I submit with pleasure the sixteenth annual report of the Poultry Department of the Central Experimental Farm.

Among other subjects, brought to the notice of the farmers as immediately affecting the poultry interests of the country, are the following:—

1. A rapidly growing demand for new laid eggs in winter and the superior quality of poultry flesh. Is the production in proportionate increase?
2. Some obstacles to more rapid poultry development. How they may be overcome.
3. The detrimental practice (which is too common) of using birds of the smaller breeds for crossing, or for any other purpose, in preference to those of the utility pure breeds, such as Plymouth Rocks, Wyandottes, Orpingtons, &c., &c.
4. What experience has shown to be the best breeds for the farmer to adopt.
5. The value of building up strains of hardy fowls which will make good winter layers in cold houses, and the progeny of which will make early and rapid growing chickens. Instances of how this has been accomplished are given.
6. The experimental work of the year, in which is shown, in detail, the results of winter laying; the hatching, rearing and proper feeding of chickens from incubator to marketable age; the summer and fall management of the young and old stock, and other information of practical import.

A feature of the past year was the high price of new laid eggs during the late summer and fall months. The probable cause of this—in the more general practice among farmers of causing their fowls to moult during the late summer months (the season of low value for eggs)—is pointed out in report, and the still further adoption of this business-like method is urged. It has been found from experience that in order to have fowls lay in winter it is necessary for them to moult during the summer, and as the moulting period is one of non-production it is wise to have that time of non-production when prices are lowest.

Some further experiments in the fattening of chickens in crate and pen, conducted by Mr. F. T. Shutt, chemist, will be found appended. It may be remembered that in experiments of a similar nature, carried on by the same gentleman last year, the advantage seemed to be with the birds kept in pens. This year the crate-kept birds made a slightly better showing. It will be interesting to note further results.

It is with gratification that I note the appointment of Mr. Victor Fortier, of St. Jerome, Que., as assistant in the management of the department under my charge. Mr. Fortier is an experienced poultry breeder and exhibitor, and his assistance will not only afford opportunity for extended usefulness of this department, but for the develop-

ment of the poultry interests of the province of Quebec, the requirements of which, in this respect, he is so well acquainted.

I have much pleasure in again mentioning the faithful services of Mr. George Deavy, who has for a number of years past assisted me in the care and management of the birds under my charge.

It is to be hoped that the subjects discussed and the information given in this report will be found of practical value by the farmers of the country and act as incentives to greater effort in the production of eggs in winter and the superior quality of poultry flesh in summer, and for which there is such demand.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

A. G. GILBERT.

Seventeen years ago the first annual report of this department was distributed among the farmers of the country. It contained information as to the breeds best calculated to make winter egg-layers and rapid flesh-forming chickens during summer, so permitting opportunity to make money at both seasons of the year. While the benefit likely to accrue from such a course of action was freely admitted, there was yet—on the part of many persons—a feeling of misgiving which found expression in the exclamation, Oh ! but when the production of winter eggs and the superior quality of poultry is more general, prices will become so low as to be profitless.' Happily such pessimistic foreboding has not been realized. On the contrary prices have either remained stationary or advanced and this notwithstanding an increased production. Comparison with the winter prices of ten years ago and those of last winter will give proof of this. In the case of the city of Toronto, for instance, the advance, during recent years, in winter prices—in face of greatly increased production in the surrounding country—is most marked. In that city eight or ten years ago twenty-five cents would have been considered full value for a dozen of new laid eggs. Last winter the same quality and quantity of eggs sold for forty cents. A corresponding advance in the value of the superior quality of poultry may also be noted. Not only in the district surrounding Toronto has there been increased winter egg and superior quality of poultry production, but throughout the greater part of the Dominion. Why then should prices not have become lower? The answer at once suggests itself, that there has been a greater proportionate increase in the number of consumers. While this is doubtless correct, it is not the only reason. It may be interesting to note some of the causes which experience has shown to mitigate against a greater and more rapid production.

WHY MORE RAPID POULTRY DEVELOPMENT DOES NOT TAKE PLACE.

Experimental work for many years has plainly shown that the obtaining of eggs in winter and a better class of poultry is not so easy as at first glance may seem. Success is dependent upon conditions which are not only more or less exacting according to location, but complete knowledge of which is imperative to success. This is not always realized. The numerous letters received by the writer from different points, show that many are anxious to get results before they know how to do so. And for that reason many try only to fail. On the part of the specialist expert knowledge is requisite. On the part of all, not only knowledge, but patience, perseverance, liking for the occupation and adaptability are necessary factors. Without then success is not likely to be attained.



(Photo. by F. T. Shutt.)

1. SMALL COLONY-HOUSES AND CHICKENS. 2. FAVEROLLE COCKEREL AND HEN. 3. BREEDING STOCK. ONE AND TWO-YEAR-OLD HENS.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

A drawback to successful poultry development is often met with in the enthusiast who establishes a plant, buys a number of birds and then writes for information as to proper methods of management and feeding, which should have been thoroughly learned. A letter received some time ago may be quoted as a case in point. It is as follows: 'DEAR SIR,—I recently had opportunity to purchase at a gain one hundred Barred Plymouth Rock pullets and I did so. Will you please tell how to successfully manage them.—J. M.'

It is hardly necessary to say that in such a case successful results are not likely to follow, and then poultry keeping is at once declared non-profitable.

Another drawback is the practice—frequently on the part of farmers—of keeping a few fowls and the hatching of more chickens than can profitably be managed or sold. In report of last year methods of procedure calculated to lead to successful poultry keeping by farmers were given at length. It may be admissible to repeat in this section, a suggestion made in that report to the effect that 'farmers should keep no more fowls than they can manage profitably, nor should they attempt to rear a larger number of chickens than circumstances will permit of their bringing to sale as early in the season as is possible.'

Another too common practice on the farms of the country and which retards poultry development—from winter eggs and better quality of flesh standpoints—is the keeping of 'scrub' stock. Doubtless the practice is not so general as it was, but should be abandoned. It has been shown in previous reports that 'scrub' poultry are neither as good winter layers as pure bred birds, nor do their chickens make as profitable table fowls. Why have them? It is to be remembered that the cost of feeding a pure bred fowl is no more than that of the nondescript of much less value.

FACTORS IN THE PRODUCTION OF THE SUPERIOR QUALITY OF POULTRY.

More particularly in regard to the superior quality of poultry there is found, as in the case of winter eggs, a far greater demand than there is supply. The demand is both home and foreign market. That a superior quality of poultry suited to the most exacting tastes of home, or, British market can be produced by the farmers of the country has been demonstrated by the number and quality of the chickens grown in the poultry department and many of which have been killed, dressed and exhibited at farmers' institute meetings, fairs, special meetings and poultry exhibitions throughout the country for many years past.

It has been urged upon our farmers with almost unvarying monotony that not only may they have the desirable chickens of plump and inviting appearance but also that they may have the winter-laying fowls by their conforming with the following essential conditions, viz. :—

The proper breeds.

Proper management and feeding of the same.

Proper care of the chickens from time of hatching to the saleable age of 3, 3½ or 4 months.

As to suitable breeds it has been shown that no mistake can be made in choosing from the following varieties, viz.: Barred or White Plymouth Rocks, White Wyandotters or Buff Orpingtons.

Of these varieties and their dual qualifications as egg and flesh producers and the proper caring of their chickens, so as to have the acceptable market type as early as possible, detailed information is given in succeeding pages.

A DETRIMENTAL PRACTICE.

The practice which seriously retards the quicker and greater production of the market type of market chickens is that of using a Leghorn, Andalusian or Hamburg

male with pure bred or mixed fowls of larger size, presumably with the object of having better layers. While such a course may be permissible from an egg standpoint, it is not advisable for farmers to adopt, who have the dual requirements of eggs and better quality of chickens in view. The result is sure to be chickens of smaller size and much less value than those of the English or American utility breeds. Speaking of the writer on this subject, some months ago, the manager of an extensive poultry firm of Toronto said 'that the farmers of the country should be strongly urged to abandon the too prevalent custom of using male birds of the Mediterranean breeds for breeding or crossing purposes. We get,' he said, 'so many small chickens of Leghorn or Andalusian cross that we suffer serious loss. These chickens are sold with others and we do not like to refuse them. They cannot be shipped to the English dealers and we cannot put them on the local markets as good quality, so we are glad to take what we can get for them.'

Occasionally a case is met with where birds of a large 'first' or 'mixed' cross are kept, and results in winter eggs and large chickens are said to be satisfactory. Inquiry generally elicits the information that all the good points in these fowls are owing to the use of pure bred males of the large breeds, thus conveying the moral that the nearer to the pure breeds the better the birds. In connection with 'first crosses' it must not be forgotten that unless the cross is made every year, by the introduction of new blood it is apt to degenerate into the nondescript.

WHAT HAS LED TO INCREASED PRODUCTION.

Although not in proportion to the demand there has yet been an increase of production in both winter eggs and better quality of poultry. It may be interesting to note some of the incentives which have led to past, and are likely to lead to greater future production, viz :—

1. A rapidly increasing demand with continued high price.
2. A better appreciation by farmers of poultry as money makers.
3. Results of tried and successful practical methods given in Experimental Farm reports for the past seventeen years.
4. Practical instruction at farmers' institute, agricultural or special meetings from different sources.
5. Greater attention to and the devoting of more space to poultry matters by agricultural papers.
6. Increased railway facilities whereby the higher price markets may be reached.

HIGH PRICE OF EGGS LAST SUMMER AND AUTUMN.

A remarkable feature of last summer's poultry and egg trade was the high price of new laid eggs throughout the country, but more particularly in city markets. During the months of July and August last, a period heretofore of lowest prices, new laid eggs were worth from 18 to 20 cents per dozen, the value gradually rising until 25 cents were obtained for them in September and 30 cents in October. Speaking of this unusual state of affairs, the *Toronto Telegram*, of 19th October last, says: 'What householders of our city want to know is why they have to pay 30 cents per dozen for new laid eggs at this season of the year?' And then follows the statement that the reason may be found in the changed methods of management on the part of the farmers, by which the moulting of their hens in summer is brought about with the view of having them winter layers. And such explanation, so far as it applies, is undoubtedly correct, for as soon as 'bringing on the moult' during the summer is

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ly practised by farmers, new laid eggs in autumn will surely be in less supply. The moulting period, which occurs once every year, is really one of non-production, and it is only wise to have it at the time of year when values are at their lowest. The poultry department—Experimental Farms Report—for 1896, page 298, full information is given as to how early moulting for some years previously had been thought about, and farmers are advised to adopt a similar course. In 1901 report the subject is again referred to.

It is quite likely that the shortage of eggs during the autumn months will be followed by an increased winter egg production, and as a probable consequence a slight falling of prices during that season. Should this take place, the experience of recent years tends to show that any falling off in winter values will be compensated for by increased prices during the moulting period. It is quite possible that a more uniform the-year-round price for the new laid article may be the ultimate result.

A QUESTION AS TO POSSIBLE LOWER PRICES.

The question is now being asked : 'Has experimental research shown any likelihood of lower prices in the near future?'

In reply to this it may at once be said : 'Not as long as the demand is greater than the supply, as it is at present.'

So far instruction from our poultry department, and which is warranted by experience, has been in the way of showing farmers the best and cheapest ways and means of obtaining eggs and the superior quality of poultry at such seasons of the year as will bring them the highest prices. In this connection, observation has shown that there is greater likelihood of a larger and more immediate supply of new laid eggs in winter than of the superior quality of market poultry in later months. For this reason that so many farmers have more time in winter to care for their laying flocks (and which attention is absolutely necessary) than they have in the spring and summer to devote to the hatching and rearing of chickens. This phase of the subject is fully discussed in poultry department report for last year, 1902.

WHAT BREEDS EXPERIENCE HAS SHOWN AS BEST FOR FARMERS—PREVIOUS INSTRUCTION CONFIRMED.

Much experience has been gained since the first report of this department was published seventeen years ago. Better methods of management and the more effective application of different rations have made themselves evident from time to time, and have been noted in previous reports. But it has not been found necessary to recommend any other than certain breeds which, from the first, have proved themselves best adapted to the requirements of the farmers, as winter layers, and the progeny of which are quick growing chickens. Rather has experience shown that a more general adoption of such breeds would be followed by still better results. The fowls of Plymouth Rock and Wyandotte breeds have always been advocated as essentially 'utility breeds' for farmers, because experimental handling of them for many years has proved them to be such. To-day these breeds are placed by practical authorities at the head of the list of fowls best adapted to the wants of the farmer. Other breeds have come into vogue in recent years, notably the Orpington family of English origin, with its various varieties, and each with strong claims as prolific egg layers and flesh makers of a desirable market type. We have also Rhode Island Reds, from the eastern states of America, with strong claims from utility standpoints. These breeds are now on trial. If they have the merit claimed for them, they will take rank with the best. Any breed that cannot hold their own in competition with the other standard breeds named cannot command the same respectful regard or 'bolstering up' will be found sufficient to keep them from a

lower rating. It is a matter of congratulation that it has not been found necessary to make any change in the advice given as to the breeds best adapted to the requirement of the farmer. To have recommended change without reason would have been to confuse rather than benefit.

STRAIN ALL IMPORTANT.

The importance of *strain* has made itself apparent in no uncertain manner. Letters received from many points of the country show that much of the disease among poultry in recent years may be traced to inbreeding and the resulting lack of constitutional vitality. This has made itself very evident in the case of turkeys, the mortality among which in all parts of the country is much greater than it should be. In summing up the results of an egg laying contest held in England some months ago, the secretary of a leading poultry association of that country remarks 'that the value of strain made itself more evident than ever. It did seem as if strain was as important if not more so, than breed.' Such being the case, farmers who purchase eggs for hatching, or stock to breed from, should ascertain that both are from strains of not worth.

THE EXPERIMENTAL WORK OF THE YEAR.

Experience has shown that in order to have hens lay early and well during winter it is necessary that they should moult during the summer months. The numerous inquiries received from time to time, as to how this is accomplished, shows growing appreciation of the importance of the event. A description of the methods which have been successfully adopted in our department for the past and several previous summers will best convey the information as follows: 'The sale of eggs for hatching purposes being over during the first week in July the male birds were removed from the breeding pens to another building containing small compartments with outside runs. The breeding stock as well as all other hens were then allowed to run promiscuously in fields in rear of the poultry buildings where there were grass, clover and shade, the important essentials. At this time the rations were reduced to half quantity. The effect of this was immediately to very much reduce and ultimately to almost entirely stop egg production, which was the desideratum. The half rations were continued two weeks when full quantity was resumed as follows: Mash composed of coarsely ground oats 2 parts; shorts 1 part; gluten meal 1 part with beef scraps in proportion of one pound to 15 fowls. The mash, which in summer was mixed with cold water and fed three times per week. At times a small quantity of linseed meal was added. The beef scraps were used in lieu of cut green bones because it was not convenient to procure the latter. If mash was fed in the morning wheat, or oats or both mixed were given in the afternoon, or, *vice versa*. On such days as mash was not given grain took its place. An excellent summer grain ration is composed of buckwheat and oats mixed. Pure water should always be in abundant supply. In response to this treatment results have always been satisfactory and by the end of September or beginning of October the hens have looked remarkably well. The advice of Dr. Sanborn, a well known poultry authority, in reference to the moulting period is valuable enough to warrant its repetition. He says: 'A moulting hen is easily fattened. Hence at this period feed lightly of those foods which produce fat. Corn, cornmeal, middlings, potatoes must be used sparingly. Increase the amount of green bone, bran and skimmed milk. A run in a field of clover will be a help. Keep all males by themselves during the moulting period. Shelter the hens from storms or cold rains. The ideal place for a run is an apple orchard where, in addition to the grass, may be found insects in fallen fruit, &c. Birds should go into the moult not fat, free from lice and with no mites in the house.'

EARLY FALL WORK—HANDLING THE PULLETS.

No effort was made to stimulate the hens to lay during October. What eggs there were came from early hatched pullets which, with the other chickens hatched during the season, were kept in location some distance from the older stock. Experience has shown the advisability of keeping the pullets away from the hens of older age. For the reason that the quantity of stimulating food that would be positively beneficial to the pullets would make the more mature laying stock—notably of the heavy breeds—too fat. And the object of every experienced breeder is to avoid such disaster as coming his prospective layers go into winter quarters in an overfat condition. It is to be borne in mind that it is far easier to prevent than to remedy an overfat condition. On a previous page it is stated that one of the drawbacks to a greater supply of new eggs during winter is a lack of knowledge or appreciation of certain essentials necessary to success. Here is one of these details met with at the beginning of the season of highest prices. If the prospective layers through mismanagement, or, carelessness are allowed to become too fat, it is a matter of weeks to get them into proper condition. The dividing line between too much and too little is very fine. He who knows the happy medium makes the profit. Only a thorough knowledge of conditions and close observation of symptoms will show where the line is to be drawn.

WHEN THE PULLETS BEGAN TO LAY.

The pullets which had been well-fed and cared for from time of hatching, began to lay at age and dates as follows :—

- B. P. Rock pullet hatched April 14, laid October 5.
- L. Bra-P. R. Cross pullet hatched April 17, laid October 25.
- W. P. Rock pullet laid November 19.
- Buff Orpington pullet laid November 27.
- Faverolle pullet laid November 17.

COMMENCEMENT OF WINTER LAYING

In the early part of November last the first snow fell and remained. The fowls, in consequence, placed in different pens according to variety. Experience has shown that where a number of fowls are kept in different compartments, when once they should be allowed to so remain. Moving them from one place to another has always been found detrimental to early or steady laying. This is known to experienced breeders, but beginners are sometimes apt to indulge in the practice. Winter laying may be said to have begun on the 18th November and was fairly general by the end of the month, when 30 to 37 eggs were laid per day, the number increasing as the month became older. The first fowls to begin laying after moulting were :—Barred Plymouth Rock, hens and pullets ; White Plymouth Rock, hens and pullets ; Buff Leghorn, hens and pullets ; Faverolle, hens and pullets ; Buff Orpington, hens and pullets ; Buff Plymouth Rock, hens and pullets.

TESTING FERTILITY AND STRENGTH OF GERM.

During the months of March and April for some years past investigation has been made with the view of discovering, if possible, the cause, or causes, of so many infertile germs found in eggs laid at the latter part of winter, and early spring by hens

which were confined to limited quarters in the farm poultry houses. The houses were artificially heated to a moderate temperature, varying from 30 in cold weather to 50 degrees on mild days. The fowls had been gently stimulated to lay, but with no condiment, and had laid fairly well. But these eggs when hatched out in late March or April by incubator or hens, produced few chickens. The eggs on being tested showed a fairly satisfactory percentage of fertility, but on examination, after the hatch was over, a great many chickens were found dead in the shell, the majority of them, at the 'pipping' stage.

With the view of obtaining further *data* a number of pens were mated up on the fowls going into winter quarters. Tests heretofore had been made towards the end of the winter season. The object on this occasion was to test the fertility and strength of germs of eggs laid early in December and before the hens had become enervated by long laying or confinement. Accordingly on December 20, 181 eggs of different breeds (enumerated further on) were placed in an incubator. On the 26th instant 18 clear eggs (i.e., without germs) and 6 with partially developed germs, were removed.

On January 1 (eleven days from date of placing eggs in incubator) a further test was made with the following results:—

Barred Plymouth Rocks—46 eggs showed 69 per cent fertility.

L. Bra-B. P. Rock Cross—54 eggs showed 90 per cent fertility.

Rhode Island Reds and White Plymouth Rock—49 eggs showed 61 per cent fertility.

Buff Leghorns—8 eggs showed 26 per cent fertility.

The rapidly developing germs presented a strong and healthy appearance. This was confirmed by later examination. An unfortunate accident to the incubator ten days before the chickens were due resulted in the death of all but 26, which, however, hatched out apparently strong and healthy.

Further experimental tests were made with eggs laid from time to time during the balance of the season and confirmed the conclusions of previous years. The conclusions showed that the longer and closer the term of artificial life of the laying stock the greater was the weakness of the germs. In report of 1901, a mistake frequently made, that of speaking of fertility and strength of germ as of one and the same significance, is pointed out. Experience has shown, with no uncertainty, that it is nothing to have a high percentage of fertility and another to have results in a corresponding number of robust chickens. It is the strong and lively chicken which will make rapid growth, that is wanted. It has been shown by experiment that the germs of eggs from hens closely confined to winter quarters, but laid in spring time, although showing a high percentage of fertility, did not result in many chickens. The germs hatched in different stages of development, the greatest number when fully developed or at the 'pipping stage.' And in many cases the chickens which came out proved weaklings. As warranting the foregoing conclusions, the following results of experimental tests are given:—

On March 27 last (1903), 202 eggs of different breeds (described further on) were placed in one of the most reliable incubators on the market. The result was 170 chicks. The eggs placed in the incubator were laid probably during the third week of the month named, and by hens which were kept in artificially, but moderately heated compartments of our poultry houses. The fowls had received generous rations with a view to egg production, and had laid fairly well for the most part of the previous winter. The following table shows a fairly satisfactory percentage of fertility, but an unsatisfactory number of chickens hatched:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

RESULTS from Early Spring Eggs laid by hens kept in warm houses during winter.
Put into an Incubator on 27th March, 1903.

Description of Eggs.	No. of Eggs put in Incubator.	Eggs Tested Out.	No. of Chickens Hatched.	Eggs which did not Hatch.	Examination of Eggs which did not Hatch and Results.
Rhode Island Reds.....	31	10	7	14	Of these one was found clear; remainder contained fully developed chicks dead in shell.
Barred P. Rocks.....	20	5	2	22	1 egg apparently without germ; 21 eggs with fully developed chickens dead in shell.
White P. Rocks.....	33	15	11	12	1 egg without germ; 11 chicks dead in shell at pipping stage.
Silver Gray Dorkings...	34	12	7	15	Eggs with germs dead at various stages of incubation.
White Wyandottes.....	20	6	8	6	1 egg without germ; remaining eggs contained well developed chickens dead in shell.
Buff Orpingtons.....	26	9	1	16	2 eggs found without germs; remaining eggs with germs dead in more or less advanced stages of incubation.
Bra.-B. P. Rock cross.	24	5	3	16	Unhatched eggs in different stages of incubation.
Total.....	202	62	39	101	

The above table shows a large number of unhatched eggs, which, upon examination, were found, in the great majority of cases, to contain chickens fully developed but dead, presumably too weak to break their way out of the shell, a very discouraging result certainly. Under similar circumstances, the first conclusion would be, on the part of the experienced, to blame the incubator. But if it hatched 39 chickens, was it not as capable of hatching out more, if germs were as strong in the unhatched eggs as in those which produced chickens?

SIMILAR EGGS UNDER HENS AND RESULTS.

In order to ascertain results with hens as hatching mediums, on the same day as the incubator was started, three Faverolle hens, which were broody, were given 13 eggs each. The eggs were of the same kind and age as those put into the incubator, as follows:—

Description of Eggs	No. of Eggs Set.	No. of Chickens Hatched.	Remarks.
Barred P. Rock.....	13	1	Eggs were hens' and pullets'. On testing all eggs showed fertility. Examination of eggs which did not hatch showed 8 with fully developed chicks dead in shell at 'pipping' stage; 3 eggs with premature germs; 1 egg was missing.
White Wyandotte.....	13	6	Hens' eggs; 2 clear eggs were tested out; 1 fully developed chick was dead in shell; 2 eggs were missing, probably broken in nest; 2 chicks were crushed by hen in nest.
Rhode Island Reds.	13	8	Hens' eggs. On testing one egg was found to contain dead germ; 1 egg was accidentally broken. Examination of eggs which did not hatch showed 2 fully developed chicks dead in shell; 1 egg with partially developed germ.
Barred P. Rock.....	13	2	This hen was set on April 4th, a week later than the preceding ones. On testing 3 clear eggs were found. Remaining 10 eggs all showed fertility. Examination of unhatched eggs showed that two fully developed chicks had been crushed in nest by hen. Remaining 6 eggs contained dead germs.
Total	52	17	

As compared with results from the incubator this showing is in favour of the hens, but the average experience of several years past does not point to much difference between incubator or hen when conditions are equally favourable to both.

RESULTS FROM EGGS LAID BY HENS IN COLD HOUSES.

The above results, it will be borne in mind, are from eggs laid by hens which had been kept in warm houses and given rations calculated to gently stimulate egg production during winter. It will be interesting, then, to compare these results with those from hens which had not—nor had their parent stock—known what warm winter quarters were. Fowls which were kept under such conditions as are to be met with in the majority of farm-yards throughout the country. Certain conclusions are noted in report of last year. Investigation was continued last winter and spring, as follows:—

On the 11th of last March 13 eggs laid by Buff Orpington pullets from hardy stock—as described above—were set under a B. P. Rock hen. On 2nd of April 10 chickens hatched. On eggs being tested, one clear egg was found. Examination of the two eggs which did not hatch showed two embryos, which had probably died about fourteenth day after eggs were put under hen.

On March 21 (ten days later), 13 eggs, also laid by Buff Orpington pullets, were placed under another B. P. Rock hen. On 11th April, 11 chickens hatched, one chick was crushed in nest by the hen. Examination of the remaining egg showed a fully developed chick dead about 'pipping' time.

On March 21 (same day), 13 eggs of Buff Orpington pullets were given to a Langshan hen. Result, 11 chicks.

The most convincing results were obtained from 16 eggs (half Buff Orpington and half B. P. Rock pullets), which on March 9 were placed under a large hen, and in due course every egg hatched. And what was further satisfactory, every one of the 16 chickens lived and made rapid growth.

The total of 48 chickens from 55 eggs laid by pullets, which had been kept in cold winter quarters—as had their parent stock—and which had been good winter layers is in favourable contrast with 17 chickens from 52 eggs laid by fowls which had been kept in artificially warmed poultry houses.

It is also an effectual answer to the statement, sometimes made, that strong germ cannot be had in early spring time from hens which have laid steadily during the winter.

To farmers, particularly those in parts of the Dominion where the winters are rigorous, these results are important, as they are strikingly in favour of fresh air and plenty of it, even if it is cold.

They are doubly important, as giving proof that with intelligent effort it is possible and profitable to build up strains of fowls to suit winter conditions, rather than to attempt making winter conditions suit the fowls.

SUMMARY OF EXPERIENCES GAINED RE FERTILITY AND STRENGTH OF GERM OF EGGS LAID IN WINTER.

A summary of the experiences gained in connection with the testing and hatching results of eggs laid during the cold season under conditions described, in detail, in foregoing pages may be given as follows:—

1. The generous and gently stimulating rations given to the fowls kept in cold houses did not seem to affect the strength of the germs of the eggs laid by them. Similar rations apparently did in the case of the hens kept in artificially warmed quarters.
2. Eggs laid in early December by the hens in artificially warmed houses showed greater percentage of strong germs than did eggs laid by them later in the season.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

3. Eggs laid by the same hens in early spring showed a satisfactory percentage of fertility, but the weakest germs.

4. The most striking and gratifying results were obtained from the fowls which, and their parent stock had never known warm quarters. From 55 eggs laid by these fowls in early spring—after laying well during the winter—48 strong chickens were hatched. In contrast with this are 17 chickens from 52 eggs laid by hens kept in warm, but comparatively limited quarters.

5. Results were strongly in favour of the average farm conditions, such as were described by Mr. Wm. Moe, of South Franklin, Que., on page 318 of 1901 report. Mr. Moe has an open shed attached to his poultry house, and to this shed, which is protected by a curtain in stormy weather, his fowls have access, so obtaining fresh air and exercise. The latter is secured by throwing grain in litter which is always on the floor of the shed.

HOW THE HENS WERE SET.

Although incubators are becoming more general in use, there are yet a number of persons who use hens as hatching mediums. To them the following method as adopted by the poultry department of the experimental farm will be found useful. During the latter part of the season several hens became broody, presumably those which had laid during winter, and they were given eggs. Wooden boxes, without bottoms, and with a hinged door in front, were used to place the sitters in.

These boxes should be roomy and need not be expensive. At the bottom of the box a comfortable nest was made, preferably of oat straw, which was well dusted with insect-killing powder. Three or four imitation eggs were placed in the nest and the sitter, which was also well dusted with powder, was placed on the eggs. She was allowed to sit on these eggs for 24 or 36 hours. The object in so doing was to destroy any lice in that might have been on hen, or in the nest. A lice-infested fowl will not make a good sitter. Should she succeed in bringing out a number of chickens they will likely be infested upon by the lice and will soon dwindle away. Scores of chickens are lost every season in this way, and the cause attributed to any but the right one. Two or three times during the incubating period the sitter should be dusted under the wings, on the fluff and back of the neck with lice-destroying powder. In the case of borrowed sitters some such measures are absolutely necessary. Food, in the shape of mixed grains, and grit were supplied regularly every day. In the morning the doors of the boxes, which had been closed from the previous day were opened, and the sitters had opportunity to get to food and drink. Where there are valuable eggs they should be examined every morning when the sitter is off the nest. If any have been laid the remaining eggs should be carefully washed in lukewarm water and returned to the nest, which should also be thoroughly cleansed. In early spring, when the weather is yet cool, the sitter should not be away from the nest longer than eight or ten days.

INCUBATORS.

With all incubators are sent full instructions as to their proper management and care. Instructions as to care and feeding of the chickens accompany all brooders, and are generally purchased with the incubator and from the same maker. As is generally known, the chickens, 36 hours after being hatched in the incubator, are moved to the brooder where they will remain until fully feathered, when they will be moved to other quarters. The brooder is really the foster-mother, as it is called in England. The chickens are hatched in the incubator and reared in the brooder.

EGGS SET AND CHICKENS HATCHED.

The following table shows the number of eggs set and chickens hatched. It also gives a description of the eggs which did not hatch—as learned by examination after the eggs had hatched out chickens.

From above table it will be seen that the germs of the eggs laid by hens which were confined to limited quarters in artificially warmed houses, during the winter season, did not become strong enough to give a satisfactory percentage of robust chickens until they had run outside and recuperated from their term of indoor winter life. As was the case last year, this seemed to be about the middle of April.

PROGRESS OF THE CHICKENS.

On the chickens being hatched out, if by incubator, they were placed in brooder outside. If by hens they were put with their mother hens, in coops with slatted fronts on the grass in front of the poultry buildings.

The coops were so arranged that they could be securely fastened at night, while ventilation was provided for. The little doors which closed the front of the coops a night made convenient and clean platforms whereon to feed the chicks during the day. Through the slats the chicks could run on the grass, while the hen remained inside. Sand or dry earth to the depth of two or three inches was placed on the floor of the coop. On taking the mother hen from the nest, she was given food and water. She had probably been on the nest, bringing out her chickens, for 36 hours, and required both. Any little attention at this time to the sitter is well bestowed, for it is well known to poultry-raisers that a well-fed mother is likely to brood her chicken satisfactorily. It is most important that the chickens should be well brooded, as we as carefully fed and looked after during the early period of their lifetime. The same rations as used in previous years for brooder and hen-raised chickens were given last season, viz.:—

First few hours very little food is required. If the hen has been well fed, as suggested, she will be inclined to brood her chicks. This is desirable, particularly in the early part of the season. A few stale bread crumbs will be all the food required.

Next day.—Give stale bread soaked in milk and squeezed dry. Feed a little a time and leave none on the platform. A little hard boiled egg finely cut up may be added with benefit.

Continue this for a day or two and add granulated oatmeal or finely crushed wheat. Many persons feed finely crushed wheat from the first, and with success.

At the end of ten days crushed corn was given. Whole wheat was not fed till after twelfth day and then a little at a time.

As the chicks grew older, they were fed a mash composed of stale bread, short cornmeal, ground meat, &c. A small quantity of finely cut bone was eaten with avidity and with benefit. Skim or sweet milk and water were given for drink.

On the chickens becoming fully feathered, the mother hens were placed with the others and the chicks returned to their coops as usual, and were allowed to remain there until removed to more commodious quarters in the shape of a colony house, one of the small pens in No. 2 house.

WEIGHT DEVELOPMENT OF CHICKENS.

Fed and treated as above, the chickens of the different varieties made the following weight development:—

			Lbs.	Oz.
Barred P. Rock Cockerel	at 2 months, 3 days of age	1	12
"	"	"	1	7
"	3 months and 6 days of age	..	3	0½
"	"	"	2	15½
White P. Rock Cockerel	at 2 months, 3 days of age	1	12
"	"	"	1	9
White Wyandotte	"	"	1	8½
"	"	"	1	7

				Lbs.	Oz
Rhode Island Red Cockerel	at 2 months, 3 days of age	1	10
"	"	"	"	1	12
Buff Orpington	"	"	"	1	12
"	"	"	"	1	9
"	"	4 months of age	...	3	15½
"	"	"	"	4	8

CROSSES.

Light Bra.-B.P.R. (2nd cross) Cockerel	at 2 mos. 3 days of age	1	13
Light Bra.-B.P.R. (2nd cross) Cockerel	at 4½ mos. of age	4	14
"	"	"	"	4	6
Bro. Leg.-B.P.R. Cross Cockerel	at 2 mos. 3 days of age	1	10½
"	"	"	"	1	5
"	"	3 mos. of age	...	2	15
"	"	"	"	2	6
"	"	4 mos. of age	...	4	7
"	"	"	"	4	4½

JUBILEE ORPINGTONS.

The Jubilee Orpingtons are a new variety of the Orpington family, and were originated by Messrs. Cook & Son, the well known poultry breeders of Kent, England, who are also the originators of the Buff Orpingtons. It is claimed for the Jubilee Orpingtons that the cockerels made rapid flesh development and are acceptable market types at 3 and 4 months of age. It is claimed for the pullets that they are early and good winter layers.

From settings of eggs obtained from Mr. W. P. Willett, of East Orange, N.J., S.A., the agent of Messrs. Cook, several chickens were hatched. The weight development of some of the cockerels were as follows:—

				Lbs.	Oz.
Jubilee Orpington Cockerel	at 2½ months	2	2½
"	"	"	"	1	14
"	"	"	"	1	14
Jubilee Orpington Cockerel	at 3 months	2	15
"	"	"	"	2	6
"	"	"	"	2	4½
Jubilee Orpington Cockerel	at 4 months	3	15
"	"	"	"	3	4

Another variety of the Orpington breed, named Spangled Orpingtons, has recently been originated, and is exploited as a remarkably promising type of early market chicken.

In the foregoing account of the weight development of chickens of the different varieties named, it may be said that they were in no way forced beyond being regularly on rations as described, were well cared for and their coops cleaned every day. At about three months of age several of their number were handed over to the medical division for fattening experiments on different rations. A detailed account of these experiments by Mr. F. T. Shutt, chemist, will be found in a subsequent page.

EGGS LAID FROM DECEMBER TO JUNE 30.

The following table will show the number of eggs laid by different breeds and varieties during the months of highest prices. In some cases hens were kept over

for breeding or hatching purposes. In others some of the pullets were late, but commenced to lay in the spring when other hens were becoming broody. Experience has, however, shown that it is best for the farmer to keep over the winter the pullets that are likely to make early and continuous layers during that season.

Eggs laid by different breeds from December 1, 1902 to June 30, 1903.

BREEDS.	1902.	1903.						Totals.	Remarks.
	December.	January.	February.	March.	April.	May.	June.		
8 White Leghorn hens...	84	71	63	113	126	111	68	636	
10 Black Minorca " ...	64	77	65	128	167	169	62	732	
7 Brown Leghorn " ...	42	31	42	107	116	99	40	471	
12 Langshan " ...	158	135	103	141	120	123	105	890	As the hens of the sitting varieties became broody they were given eggs, as shown in table of eggs set and chickens hatched.
30 Barred P. Rock " ...	225	202	142	260	285	234	165	1,034	
18 " pullets	85	153	126	222	201	182	65	1,034	
15 White P. Rock hens...	120	98	75	141	141	124	111	810	
14 " pullets	82	146	146	164	182	157	75	952	
4 Buff P. Rock hens.....	59	94	64	71	32	32	31	383	
12 White Wyandotte hens	113	112	86	133	126	81	69	720	
4 " pullets	45	75	55	81	35	Brdy.		291	
15 Buff Leghorn hens.....	71	32	23	106	116	95	55	509	
3 Buff Orpington hens....	45	50	34	33	50	Brdy.		212	
13 " pullets	35	94	103	107	133	174	118	764	
12 Rhode I. Red hens	126	158	129	189	131	134	95	953	
6 Faverolle hens	67	97	93	73	63	29	39	461	
9 Mixed hens.	57	47	47	127	169	116	44	607	
7 White Ind. Game hens.		29	9	17	77	47	31	210	
8 White Leghorn pullets.		55	70	132	126	93	44	520	
11 Silver G. Dorking hens.	50	132	115	115	94	75	42	623	
15 L. B. B. P. R. cross pullets	174	113	88	191	189	168	69	992	
	1,702	2,007	1,683	2,642	2,679	2,248	1,328	14,289	

EGGS LAID DURING THE YEAR.

The number of eggs laid during the different months of the year are as follows:—

1902.	
December.....	1,702
1903.	
January.....	2,007
February.....	1,683
March.....	2,642
April.....	2,679
May.....	2,248
June.....	1,328
July.....	857
August.....	482
September.....	386
October.....	106
November.....	346

16,466

The list of stock on hand at the end of the year and their disposition is as follows:—

Pen.		Females	Males
1.	Barred Plymouth Rock hens.		
2.	" " "	10	1
3.	White Plymouth Rock hens	10	1
4.	Buff Orpington Rock hens.	10	1
5.	White Wyandotte hens.	6	1
6.	Silver Grey Dorking hens.	10	1
7.	Black Minorca hens	10	1
8.	White Leghorn hens.	9	1
9.	Buff Leghorn hens.	10	1
10.	Buff Plymouth Rock hens.	10	1
11.	Spare Cockerels.	4	1
12.	" "		5
13.	" "		5
14.	" "		5
15.	Brown Leghorn hens (Wyandotte male for crossing).	4	1
16.	Silver Spangled Hamburg pullets	4	1
17.	Black Hamburg hens.	4	1
18.	Faverolle hens.	4	1
19.	Light Brahma hens.	5	1
20.	Silver Laced Wyandotte pullets.	4	1
21.	White Leghorn (Hodson) pullets	4	1
22.	Rhode Island Red hens.	5	1
23.	Barred P. Rock pullets.	7	1
24.	Silver Grey Dorking pullets.	7	
25.	Rhode Island Red pullets.	7	1
26.	White Wyandotte pullets.	7	1
27.	Jubilee Orpington pullets.	7	1
28.	Buff " "	7	1
29.	Mixed hens.	9	1
30.	" pullets	9	
31.	Brown Leg-B. P. Rock cross pullets.	9	
32.	Mixed pullets.	9	
33.	Mixed (late hatched) pullets.	9	
		8	

EXPERIMENTS IN CHICKEN FATTENING.

BY FRANK T. SHUTT, M.A., F.I.C.,

Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.

In connection with a series of feeding experiments, made with a view of ascertaining the relative digestibility of certain foods by poultry, we were able during the season of 1902 to obtain data of economic value to those preparing chickens for the market. These results were set forth in the report for that year. In continuing the above-mentioned research during the past season, we again accumulated results of practical interest to the chicken fatterer. These may now be presented, as follows:—

PEN *vs.* CRATE.

Experiments to ascertain the relative merits of pen and crate fattening were made in 1902, and the results, together with the description of the crates and pens, with yards attached, will be found on pages 226-7 of the report of the farms for that year. The tests were made with Barred Plymouth Rock and Silver-grey Dorking, and both breeds, from the standpoint of economy in feeding gave marked results in favour of pen fattening.

The interest evinced in the results and the criticisms they received led us this season to further investigate this subject, and we can accordingly present additional data towards the solution of this problem that will be of interest to poultry fatteners. In one particular a change was made from the plan adopted in 1902; the crated birds were fed in the basement of the laboratory building, a room sufficiently lighted and ventilated, but in temperature 10°F. to 15°F. lower than the accommodation used in 1902. When we remember that the results of last season showed that the weekly gains were invariably reduced during spells of abnormally high summer temperatures, the significance of this modification will be apparent.

No. 1 (pen) and No. 2 (crate).—The feeding was commenced on August 13 1903, the birds being between seven and eight weeks old, and the test continued for four weeks. Each lot consisted of six birds, as follows:—2 Orpingtons, 2 Barred Plymouth Rocks and 2 crosses, Brown Leghorn and Barred Plymouth Rocks.

The Ration.—For the first two weeks the following was used :

- | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|---|
| (a) Ground oats. | 3 parts. | } Protein ratio—1:3'2
Cost, 1'54 cents per lb. |
| Ground barley. | 1 part. | |
| Meat meal. | 1 part. | |

Mixed with a sufficiency of skim-milk.

During the last two weeks the ration was :

- | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|--|
| (b) Ground oats. | 4 parts. | } Protein ratio—1:4.
Cost, 1'45 cents per lb. |
| Ground barley. | 3 parts. | |
| Meat meal. | 1 part. | |

Mixed with a sufficiency of skim-milk.

TABLE I.—PEN *versus* CRATE.

Pen or Crate.	Number of Chicken.	Breed.	Pullet or Cockerel.	WEIGHT.								Gain during Experiment.	Average gain per Chicken per Week.		
				Beginning of Experiment.		1st Week.		2nd Week.		3rd Week.				4th Week.	
No. 1.				Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Oz.	
36	Orpington	P	3	9	3	14	4	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	6	4	9	1	0	4.0
79	"	C	3	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	10	5	5	5	12	6	4	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	9.3
62	B. L. & P. R.	C	3	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	7	3	5	3	15	4	5	1	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	5.6
6	"	C	3	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	5	5	2	5	7	1	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	6.7
72	Ply. Rock	C	2	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	4	3	9	3	14	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	5	5.0
34	"	C	2	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	9	3	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	4	1	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	7.1
No. 2.															
37	Orpington	C	3	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	0	4	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	3	5	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	5	9.2
70	"	C	4	8	5	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	4	6	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	
76	B. L. & P. R.	C	3	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	4	4	7	5	0	1	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	5.6
65	"	C	3	8	4	4	4	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	7	5	12	2	4	9.0
71	Ply. Rock	C	3	0 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	12	4	2	1	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	4.3
73	"	C	2	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	5	3	11	0	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	3.8
No. 3.															
52	Ply. Rock	C	3	8 $\frac{1}{2}$			5	0		6	0 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	8		10.0
49	"	C	3	11			5	6 $\frac{1}{2}$		6	6	2	11		10.7
41	"	C	2	9 $\frac{1}{2}$			4	1		4	11	2	1 $\frac{1}{2}$		8.4
50	"	C	3	4			4	6		5	0	1	12		7.0
35	"	C	3	13 $\frac{1}{2}$			5	7		6	6	2	8 $\frac{1}{2}$		10.1
89	"	C	3	10 $\frac{1}{2}$			5	2		5	13	2	2 $\frac{1}{2}$		8.6
No. 4.															
32	Ply. Rock	C	3	12			5	7							
47	"	C	3	15			5	9		6	0	2	3		8.7
43	"	C	3	4 $\frac{1}{2}$			4	7 $\frac{1}{2}$		6	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	6 $\frac{1}{2}$		9.6
46	"	C	3	5 $\frac{1}{2}$			4	14		5	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	15		7.7
66	"	C	3	7			4	11 $\frac{1}{2}$		5	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	3		8.7
38	"	C	3	4			4	8		5	7	2	0		8.0
										5	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	2 $\frac{1}{2}$		8.6

From the details given in Table I, it will be seen that the increase in weight varied among the members of each group, though the total increase in weight (see Table II.) made during the fattening period was practically the same for each set. The birds in the pen ate more food by 2 lbs. 5 ozs., it follows that the cost per lb. of increase exceeded that of the crated birds. A further fact in favour of the fed chickens was that they furnished a somewhat larger percentage (23 per cent.) of carcass (Table III.)

No. 3 (pen) and No. 4 (crated).—Though not in all particulars exactly a duplicate of the first, its general conduct and the object with which it was made were the same. The chickens employed were all Barred Plymouth Rocks, of one strain and very uniform as to weight and build. It was very largely due to this uniformity and the general excellence of type for feeding, we believe, that led to the more uniform results than were obtained in the first experiment. The gains throughout were more uniform and were made more economically. Type or build is a factor that has been emphasized repeatedly by the poultry manager as one of considerable importance in the fattening of chickens for the market, and the results of this feeding, including the general appearance of the dressed birds, certainly support his con-

TABLE II.—PEN *versus* CRATE.

	NUMBER OF CHICKENS.		Weight at beginning of experiment.		Weight at close of experiment.		Total increase in weight.		Average increase in weight per chicken.		FOOD CONSUMED.				Total cost of food.	Cost of food per lb. increase in weight.
	Pullets.	Cockerels.									Meal.	Skin-milk.				
Nos. 1 & 2.			Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Cts.	C.
Pen.....	1	5	19	9½	29	13½	9	8	1	9½	36	0½	66	0	63·7	6
Crate.....		6	20	10	30	4	9	10	1	9½	33	11½	66	0	60·0	6
Nos. 3 & 4.																
Pen.....		6	20	9	34	4½	13	11½	2	4½	47	0	60	0	71·1	5
Crate.....		6	21	1	33	15	12	14	2	2½	41	0	60	0	68·4	5

TABLE III.—Proportion of Edible and Non-edible parts, calculated on weight of chickens as killed.

	EDIBLE.		NON-EDIBLE.		
	Dressed carcase.	Giblets.	Head and feet.	Feathers.	Entrails, contents of gizzard, &c.
Nos. 1 & 2.					
	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.
Pen.....	66·8	5·3	10·7	9·4	7·8
Crate.....	69·1	4·7	11·8	8·6	5·7
Nos. 3 & 4.					
Pen.....	68·0	5·3	10·8	8·9	6·9
Crate.....	69·2	4·9	10·5	9·8	5·6

Nos. 3 and 4.—The ration used throughout these tests was that employed during the second two weeks of the previous experiment (b), a ration that proved highly satisfactory in the feeding experiments of 1902.

Comparing the results of the pen with those of the crate fed birds, we find that the average increase in live weight per chicken during the feeding trial was somewhat greater for the pen fed birds. These chickens, however, to make this gain consumed more food (see table II.), and a simple calculation shows that, as in the former experiment, the crate-fed birds put on flesh somewhat more cheaply ($\frac{3}{10}$ cent per lb.) than those in the pen. It is worthy of note that both 'pen' and 'crate' birds were fattened at less cost than in the first test (Nos. 1 and 2), there being a difference practically of 1 cent per pound in favour of Nos. 3 and 4. This we believe, in large part, as being consequent upon the better fattening type of the latter.

The proportion of dressed carcase was slightly larger in the case of the crate fed birds, though the difference in this respect was not so marked as with tests Nos. 1 and 2. It was noticed that the dressed birds from the pen were slightly yellower than those from the crate.

These results seem to contradict the conclusions reached in 1902. It is possible that the more favourable temperature for the crated birds this season was the predominating factor in altering the relative economy of the two systems of feeding.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The question of temperature appears to be one well worthy of further investigation. There are also other points upon which we need more information. Until a bird has attained its size, that is, as long as growth in frame continues, it seems to the writer that a certain limited amount of exercise is desirable, if not necessary, for the best results. This stage having been reached—and it will vary somewhat in the age of the chicken with different breeds—it may be found that exercise is no longer necessary and that the additional flesh to round out the bird can be more economically put on the crate.

'ALL GRAIN' *versus* 'GRAIN AND MEAT.'

This experiment was undertaken to ascertain the value of adding a certain proportion of meat meal to the fattening ration.

Each lot consisted of 5 Barred Plymouth Rocks, the birds at the beginning of test being about 3 months old and very uniform as to weight and build. The feeding was done in the pens with yards attached, and continued for four weeks.

The ration of those fed 'all grain' (No. 5) was as follows:—

Ground oats—4 parts. } Protein ratio, 1:6.
Ground barley—3 parts. } Cost, 13 cents per lb.
With a sufficiency of skim-milk.

The ration of those fed 'grain and meat' (No. 6) was:—

Ground oats—4 parts. } Protein ratio, 1:4.
Ground barley—3 parts. } Cost, 145 cents per lb.
Meat meal—1 part.

TABLE IV.—'ALL GRAIN' *versus* 'GRAIN AND MEAT.'

PEN No. 5.—'All Grain' Ration.

of en.	Breed.	Sex.	WEIGHT.					Gain in four Weeks.
			Aug. 27.	Sept. 3.	Sept. 10.	Sept. 17.	Sept. 24.	
			Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	
	Barred Plymouth Rock.....	C.	2 11½	3 2	3 9	3 14	4 1½	1 6
	" ".....	"	3 8½	4 4	4 12	5 5	5 10½	2 2
	" ".....	"	3 4½	3 14	4 2	4 11	5 0	1 11½
	" ".....	"	3 5	3 15½	4 7	4 15½	5 6½	2 1½
	" ".....	"	3 1½	3 11½	4 3½	4 10	5 0	1 14½

PEN No. 6.—'Grain and Meat' Ration.

Barred Plymouth Rock.....	C.	2 15½	3 6	4 0	4 6	4 14½	1 15
" ".....	"	3 0	3 8	4 2	4 9½	5 1½	2 1½
" ".....	"	2 15½	3 10½	4 3½	4 11	5 3½	2 4
" ".....	"	3 2	3 10½	4 3½	4 8½	5 0	1 14
" ".....	"	3 2½	3 15	4 5	4 12½	5 3	2 0½

TABLE V.—'ALL GRAIN' *versus* 'GRAIN AND MEAT.'

Ration.	No. of Chickens.	Weight at beginning of experiment.		Weight at close of experiment.		Total increase in weight.	Average increase in weight per Chicken.	FOOD CONSUMED.				Total cost of food.	Cost of food per lb. of increase in live weight.		
								Meal.		Skim-milk.					
		Lbs. Oz.		Lbs. Oz.		Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Cents.	Cents		
All grain.....	5	15	15	25	2½	9	3½	1	13½	33	1	46	0	49.8	5.4
Grain and meat.....	5	15	3½	25	6½	10	3	2	0½	34	13	46	0	57.2	5.6

TABLE VI.—PROPORTION of Edible and Non-edible parts, calculated on weight of Chicken as killed.

Ration.	Edible.		NON-EDIBLE.		
	Dressed carcass.	Giblets.	Head and feet.	Feathers.	Entrails, contents of gizzard, &c.
	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.
All grain	67.7	5.3	11.5	9.6	5.7
Grain and meat.....	67.8	5.3	11.1	9.4	6.3

At the outset, the 5 chickens put on the 'grain and meat' ration weighed in all 1 ounces less than those to be fed 'all grain'; at the close of the experiment their weight exceeded that of the grain fed chickens by 4 ounces. In other words, the 'grain and meat' birds gained 15½ ounces (or a little more than 3 ounces per chicken) more than the 'all grain' fed chickens, and this gain resulted from the consumption of 1 lb. oz. more food.

Leaving out of consideration for the moment their relative cost, it will be interesting to ascertain the relative value of these two rations in flesh production. Since amount of skim-milk consumed was the same for each set, we may neglect its consideration in the calculation. In the case of the 'all grain' test, the birds ate 33 lbs. 1 and gained 9 lbs. 2½ oz., or for 1 lb. of increase in live weight 3.586 lbs. of the ration were consumed. With the 'grain and meat' ration, 34 lbs. 13 oz. were eaten, with concomitant gain of 10 lbs. 3 oz. in live weight, or for 1 lb. of increase, 3.417 lbs. meal were consumed.

These results show an increased efficiency for the ration containing the scrap. When, however, the relative cost of the ration is taken into account, the 'all grain' has slightly the advantage (by reason of its costing less), the difference being two-tenths of a cent per lb. of increase more in the case of the 'grain and meat' ration.

On killing and dressing, the two lots were found to be remarkably similar as regards plumpness and weight, due largely, the writer thinks, to the uniformity of the already referred to. They furnished identical data as regards the percentage of dressed carcass (table VI), and were only distinguished into groups by the slight yellow tinge of the 'all grain' fed birds; the chickens from the 'grain and meat' ration gave a perfectly white flesh.

SATURATED LIME-WATER FOR THE PRESERVATION OF EGGS.

BY FRANK T. SHUTT, M.A.

Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.

The solubility of lime in water at ordinary temperatures is one part in 700 parts water. Such a solution would be termed saturated lime water. Translated into and gallons, this means that one lb. of lime is sufficient to saturate 70 gallons of water. However, owing to impurities in commercial lime, it is well to use more than is stated for in this statement. It may not, however, be necessary, if good, freshly burnt lime can be obtained, to employ as much as was at first recommended, namely, 3 lbs. to 5 gallons of water. With such lime as is here referred to, one could rest assured that 1 lb. to 5 gallons (50 lbs.) would be ample, and that the resulting lime-water would be thoroughly saturated. The method of preparation is simply to slake lime with a small quantity of water, and then stir the milk of lime so formed into 5 gallons of water. After the mixture has been kept well stirred for a few hours, allowed to settle. The supernatant liquid, which is now 'saturated' lime-water, is drawn off and poured over the eggs, previously placed in a crock or water-tight barrel. As exposure to the air tends to precipitate the lime (as carbonate), and thus weaken the solution, the vessel containing the eggs should be kept covered. The air should be excluded by a covering of sweet oil, or by sacking upon which a paste of lime should be spread. If after a time there is any noticeable precipitation of the lime, the lime-water should be drawn off or siphoned off and replaced with a further quantity newly prepared.

It is essential that attention be paid to the following points:—

1. That perfectly fresh eggs only be used.
2. That the eggs should throughout the whole period of preservation be completely immersed.

Although not necessary to the preservation of the eggs in a sound condition, a temperature of 40°F. to 45°F. will no doubt materially assist towards retaining good quality, or rather in arresting the 'stale' flavour so characteristic of packed eggs. Regarding the addition of salt, it must be stated that our experiments, conducted throughout three seasons, do not show any benefit to be derived therefrom; indeed, it appears to impart a livery flavour to the eggs, probably by inducing an interchange of fluids within and without the eggs.

Water glass (sodium silicate), has been extensively experimented with, using solutions varying from 2 per cent to 10 per cent. Although in the main the results have been fairly satisfactory, we are of the opinion that lime-water is fully its equal, if not superior, as a preservative, and that this latter preservative is both cheaper and simpler to use there can be no doubt.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR THE MARITIME PROVINCES.

REPORT OF R. ROBERTSON, SUPERINTENDENT.

NAPPAN, N.S., November 30, 1903.

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith my annual report of operations on the experimental farm for the maritime provinces at Nappan, N.S.

The season has not been quite as favourable for farm crops as that of 1902. The early part was particularly dry, and crops generally made a poor start, from which they never completely recovered. Hay was quite below the average and the new take of clover is the poorest we have had for years. Owing to favourable weather after about June 25th roots were a fairly good crop, while corn was poor. Pasture was poor the whole season.

I wish to again acknowledge my indebtedness for valuable assistance rendered by Mr. J. Thomas Coates, farm foreman, who has kept all records of crop experiments, and to Mr. R. Donaldson, herdsman, who has kept all records of live stock experiments, each doing so in a careful and painstaking manner.

WEATHER.

December opened with no frost in the ground, but on the 2nd it was well frozen. A light snow on the 4th was followed by a heavy fall on the 5th, accompanied by strong winds, which made it drift badly. The temperature fell to zero on the 7th, and on the 9th 10° below zero, with 16° below on the 10th. The temperature continued below zero to the 16th, with one day only above that point. On the 8th, 6 inches of snow fell. The snow drifted badly on the 9th, 10th and 11th, when one of the coldest winds and snowstorms experienced in many years blocked the roads so that it was necessary to break them out. The 17th was moderate, with rain, and the snow all went off. This was followed by cold and rain again on the 22nd. Slight snow fell occasionally from the 22nd to the 29th, but not enough to make sleighing. It snowed sufficient for sleighing on the 29th, but it went off again the following day.

January commenced fine, with no very cold weather until the 8th. Snow fell to make good sleighing on the 7th, which continued good for the month. The temperature went below zero on the 10th, 11th, 14th, 19th, 20th, 24th, 25th, 26th and 27th, registering 2°, 4°, 2°, 12°, 9°, 4°, 4°, 2°, 4° on these dates respectively.

February opened cold and fine, with occasional snow and rain to the 17th, when there was a snow storm, followed by cold weather to the 23rd. Another snow and wind storm prevailed on the 23rd and 28th. The temperature went below zero only three times during this month, on the 8th, 18th and 20th, when 2°, 5°, 5°, respectively was recorded.

March opened fine but mild, taking off the snow. It snowed on the 6th, but melted again, and it all went off on the 7th. The weather was fine and mild to the end of the month, and it continued more or less broken to the end of the month. Very little snow fell during the month.

April came in cold, but broken weather set in and continued more or less wet until the 20th. Some snow fell on the 16th, 17th, 18th and 20th. The rainfall during the month was 3'57 inches. The first seeding was done April 27th.

May commenced cool but fine. The month throughout was warmer than usual. Frost was recorded seven times during the month, on the 2nd, 3rd, 10th, 13th, 16th, 25th and 26th, there being 3°, 7°, 2°, 1°, 10°, 8° and 4°, respectively on these dates. There was little rainfall during the month, only '68 inches.

June was unusually fine and dry, continuing with one exception to the 25th. Crops and pastures suffered greatly for want of rain during this period, and many of the June sown roots and vegetable seed failed to germinate quickly on account of a lack of moisture. A slight rainfall on the 14th and 15th, but only '20 inch, not doing much good. On the 25th and 26th, however, a fall of 1'69 inches thoroughly wet the ground, doing a vast amount of good, and considerable seed that had been in the ground some weeks, and remained dormant, on account of a lack of moisture germinated. From this date forward all roots and grain crops did exceptionally well. From this to the end of the month there were four light rains. The total rainfall for the month was 2'20 inches. The only frosts recorded in the month was on 1st, 2nd, 4th and 5th, when 4°, 3°, 4° and 3° was registered, respectively, at these dates. The month throughout was not as warm as usual, and as a result the corn crop made a poor start.

July was more or less broken, but with no very heavy rains. The rainfall for the month was 2'07 inches. The month generally was not as warm as usual. On the 9th 80° was registered, and on the 11th, 82°, these being the two warmest days.

August was fine to the 7th, when rain fell, followed by another rainfall on the 10th. From the 10th to the 18th was fine, when rain again occurred. The remainder of August was practically free from rain, with the exception of the last day. The rainfall during the month was 2'40 inches. This month throughout was cooler than generally experienced here, and at no time during the month did the temperature go above 76° that point being reached only once, August 29th. On the 23rd, very high tides, accompanied with high winds, did considerable damage to marsh lands by breaking in flowing over the dykes.

September was showery to the 8th. From the 9th to the 17th was fine, with two days wet weather, on the 17th and 18th, and fine again to the 24th, with showery weather to the end of the month. The total rainfall for the month was 3'63 inches. The month averaged about up to the usual temperature. The thermometer only once went above 76°, and that was on the 14th, when 80° was recorded.

October commenced with fine weather, but we had a heavy rain on the 10th and 11th, of 2'55 inches. This was followed by changeable weather to the 18th, when 2'13 inches of rain fell, accompanied by high winds, which shook many apples off the trees. The remainder of the month was more or less changeable. The rainfall for the month was 5'78 inches. The first frost of the season was October 4th, when 6° of frost was registered. There was little frost during the month.

November up to the 20th was very mild, with very little frost. The month, however, was unusually wet up to that time. On the 17th, 18th and 19th 3'15 inches of rain fell. The total rainfall for the month was 7'98 inches. This made it difficult to plant root crops on the wet land and made fall ploughing backward. From the 20th to the end of the month was more or less frozen and little ploughing was done after that date.

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

In this uniform test of varieties forty-five different sorts were grown in plots of one-fortieth acre each. These plots all received the same treatment and were on soil practically uniform throughout.

The soil was a clay loam. The previous crop was mangels, for which crop two one-horse cart loads of manure were applied. The ground was ploughed in the fall

SSIONAL PAPER No. 16

In the spring it was harrowed twice with the spring tooth and once with theoothing harrows. The seed was sown May 4, at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre, with seed drill. The seed for these plots was from heads selected in the field, at harvest e, before cutting the various plots the previous season. The ground was seeded vn with 3 pounds alsike clover, 7 pounds Mammoth Red clover and 12 pounds nothy seed per acre, by means of a grass seeder attached to the seeder.

No fertilizer was used this season, the grain started slowly and irregularly, made growth, did not rust and the seed filled out well. Some smut was noticed in many the plots; some of the straw lodged, but generally speaking was strong and stood well.

OATS.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			Inch's		Inches.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Centieth Century.....	Aug. 26.	114	42-46	Stiff.....	6-8	Branching	7,040	98	37
Centieth Century.....	" 24.	112	42-46	".....	6-8	"	6,600	97	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	45-48	".....	6-8	"	7,080	97	37
Centieth Century.....	" 31.	119	45-50	".....	6-9	"	5,440	97	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	45-49	".....	6-9	"	6,960	96	37
Centieth Century.....	" 25.	113	43-48	".....	6-8	"	6,520	95	37
Centieth Century.....	" 22.	110	36-40	Medium..	6-9	"	5,800	94	37
Centieth Century.....	" 31.	119	44-48	Stiff.....	6-9	"	6,600	94	37
Centieth Century.....	" 25.	113	43-47	".....	6-8	"	6,400	92	37
Centieth Century.....	" 31.	119	47-52	".....	6-9	"	5,800	92	37
Centieth Century.....	" 27.	115	43-47	".....	5-8	"	6,680	90	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	43-48	".....	6-8	"	6,400	89	37
Centieth Century.....	" 25.	113	43-46	".....	5-7	"	6,840	89	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	43-43	".....	5-8	"	6,800	88	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	42-46	".....	5-8	"	6,520	88	37
Centieth Century.....	" 23.	116	46-52	".....	6-9	Sided....	6,600	88	37
Centieth Century.....	" 27.	115	41-46	".....	5-7	Branching	5,600	87	37
Centieth Century.....	" 20.	108	40-44	".....	6-9	"	5,480	85	37
Centieth Century.....	Sept. 2.	121	44-50	".....	6-9	"	6,600	85	37
Centieth Century.....	Aug. 20.	108	41-43	".....	7-9	"	5,060	81	37
Centieth Century.....	" 28.	116	46-50	".....	6-8	"	6,600	81	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	42-46	".....	6-8	"	5,720	84	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	38-42	".....	5-8	"	5,400	83	37
Centieth Century.....	" 25.	113	42-46	".....	6-8	"	6,560	82	37
Centieth Century.....	" 27.	115	40-46	".....	6-8	"	5,800	82	37
Centieth Century.....	" 25.	113	41-47	".....	5-7	"	4,960	82	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	42-46	".....	5-7	"	6,520	81	37
Centieth Century.....	" 28.	116	43-52	".....	6-9	Sided....	6,000	81	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	41-45	".....	6-8	"	5,680	80	37
Centieth Century.....	Sept. 2.	121	42-46	".....	6-9	"	5,160	77	37
Centieth Century.....	Aug. 26.	114	40-43	".....	6-8	"	5,160	75	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	40-45	".....	6-8	"	6,100	75	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	40-45	".....	6-8	"	6,280	74	37
Centieth Century.....	" 28.	116	46-52	".....	6-9	"	5,400	71	37
Centieth Century.....	" 25.	113	40-45	".....	5-7	Branching	4,720	71	37
Centieth Century.....	" 21.	109	44-49	".....	6-8	Sided....	4,800	70	37
Centieth Century.....	Sept. 1.	120	42-46	".....	6-8	"	5,200	70	37
Centieth Century.....	Aug. 23.	116	44-52	".....	6-9	"	5,600	69	37
Centieth Century.....	" 27.	115	40-44	".....	6-8	Branching	5,880	68	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	38-43	".....	5-7	"	4,400	64	37
Centieth Century.....	" 29.	117	40-45	".....	5-7	"	5,000	62	37
Centieth Century.....	" 27.	115	38-45	".....	5-7	"	3,600	62	37
Centieth Century.....	" 31.	119	44-47	".....	6-9	Sided....	3,800	60	37
Centieth Century.....	" 29.	117	42-46	".....	6-9	Branching	3,880	58	37
Centieth Century.....	" 26.	114	36-40	".....	6-8	"	3,840	58	37

OATS—NOT INCLUDED IN THE UNIFORM TRIAL PLOTS.

These were all sown on May 4 in plots of one-fortieth acre each adjoining the Uniform Trial Plots.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Number of days maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw	Yield per Acre.	
										Weight per Bush.	Weight per Bush.
					Inches.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Abyssinnia	May 4..	Aug. 24	112	42-46	Stiff..	6-8	Branching	6,000	88	8
2	Rosedale	" 4..	" 24	112	40-45	"	6-9	Sided....	6,600	87	2
3	Early Blossom	" 4..	" 24	112	40-46	"	6-8	"	6,600	85	30
4	Cream Egyptian	" 4..	" 25	113	42-47	"	5-7	Branching	6,400	84	24
5	Salzer's Big 4	" 4..	" 22	119	44-48	"	6-9	Sided....	5,880	81	24
6	White Russian	" 4..	" 25	113	42-45	"	6-8	Branching	5,600	74	4
7	Cromwell	" 4..	" 29	117	44-48	"	5-7	"	4,800	72	32
8	Black Mesdag	" 4..	" 17	105	44-48	"	7-9	"	5,080	60	14
9	Oderbruch	" 4..	" 26	114	42-46	"	6-8	Sided..	4,680	63	8
10	Newmarket	" 4..	" 26	114	42-45	Medium..	6-8	Branching	4,200	67	2
11	Pense	" 4..	" 26	114	40-44	Stiff.....	6-8	Sided....	5,200	64	24
12	P.E.I. Black	" 4..	" 24	112	38-42	Medium..	6-8	Branching	5,400	58	23

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

These comparative tests were conducted on land similar to that used for the o plots, which was practically of a uniform character. The soil was a clay loam, having been in mangels last year, and manured for that crop with twenty one-horse cart load of stable manure per acre. After the mangel crop was removed the land was ploughed and this spring it was worked twice with the spring tooth and once with the smooth harrow. No fertilizer was used for the crop this season.

Twenty varieties of six-rowed and fifteen of two-rowed sorts were sown, all May 13, in one-fortieth acre plots, at the rate of 2 bushels per acre. The seed for the plots was from heads selected in the field, at harvest time, before cutting the varieties, the previous season. Timothy and clover seed was sown at the same time at rate of 3 pounds Alsike, 7 pounds Mammoth Red clover and 12 pounds of Timothy seed per acre. The plants started slowly and irregular, but good growth was made late in the season. There was no rust and the grain filled out well. Some smut was noticed in some of the plots. The information given in the following table was obtained from the experiments:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BARLEY, SIX-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Oderbruch.....	Aug. 19..	98	42-46	Stiff	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	6,400	66 32	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Empire.....	" 21..	100	40-44	"	2-3	6,520	65 40	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Trooper.....	" 20..	99	42-46	"	2-3	6,400	65 ..	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Nugent.....	" 25..	104	33-43	"	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	6,440	64 8	48
Common six-rowed.....	" 20..	99	40-45	"	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	6,280	63 16	48
Odessa.....	" 18..	97	42-45	"	2-3	6,200	60 40	48
Mensury.....	" 22..	101	40-45	"	2-3	6,800	60 ..	48
Albert.....	" 20..	99	41-44	Medium..	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	6,120	59 8	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Baxter.....	" 20..	99	40-44	Stiff	2-3	6,000	59 8	48
Stella.....	" 25..	104	38-42	"	2-3	5,880	58 16	48
Royal.....	" 20..	99	37-41	Medium..	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	6,200	58 16	47 $\frac{1}{2}$
Mansfield.....	" 22..	101	41-46	Stiff	2-3	6,240	55 40	47 $\frac{1}{2}$
Summit.....	" 25..	104	38-42	"	2-3	6,120	54 8	48
Argyle.....	" 21..	100	40-43	"	2-3	6,040	54 8	47
Prome.....	" 24..	103	40-44	"	2-3	5,400	53 16	48
Male.....	" 25..	104	38-42	Medium..	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	5,880	53 16	48
Garfield.....	" 21..	100	40-43	"	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	5,400	50 ..	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Champion.....	" 17..	96	43-48	Stiff	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	5,880	49 8	39
Laude.....	" 20..	99	39-42	Medium..	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	5,520	48 16	47 $\frac{1}{2}$
Tennie's Improved.....	" 20..	99	40-43	"	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	5,800	45 40	48 $\frac{1}{2}$

BARLEY TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

British Chevalier.....	Aug. 22..	101	36-42	Stiff	3-4	5,400	65 0	51
Newton.....	" 22..	101	41-45	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	4,800	64 8	50
Peaver.....	" 21..	100	35-37	Medium..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,200	60 40	50
Canadian Thorpe.....	" 22..	101	36-42	Stiff	2-3	4,400	59 8	49
French Chevalier.....	" 22..	101	38-43	"	3-4	4,600	58 16	50
Invincible.....	" 24..	103	38-42	"	2-3	4,480	55 40	50 $\frac{1}{2}$
Landwell.....	" 24..	103	38-42	"	2-3	4,400	52 24	50
Warham.....	" 22..	101	40-46	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,400	49 8	48
Wilton.....	" 24..	103	39-43	"	2-3	4,600	48 16	48
Worndon.....	" 22..	101	40-46	"	2-3	3,720	46 32	48
Wigan.....	" 22..	101	40-46	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,880	45 40	48
Widney.....	" 22..	101	38-42	Medium..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,720	43 16	48
Wifford.....	" 21..	100	40-44	Strong....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,600	42 24	49
Worvey.....	" 22..	101	40-46	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,800	40 40	51
Worvis.....	" 22..	101	39-43	Medium..	3-4	3,600	40 0	48

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

The ground selected for these plots was a light clay loam which was in corn the previous year. The land was manured for the corn crop, with twenty one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. The land was ploughed after the corn crop was reaped, and this spring was worked by twice harrowing with the spring tooth and once with the smoothing harrow. The seed was sown with the seed drill April 29, at the rate of 12 bushels per acre. The seed for these plots was from heads selected in the field at the same time, before cutting the various plots, the previous season. The land was seeded at the same time, with a mixture of 3 pounds Alsike clover, 7 pounds Mammoth clover and 12 pounds of Timothy seed per acre. Sixty-one varieties were sown in one-fortieth acre plots, all of which received the same treatment. The seed germinated very slowly, during which time the weeds got the start of the wheat. The grain made a fair growth. The straw was rather light,

and the grain did not fill out as well as it usually does. There was no rust, but a few heads of smut were occasionally noticed. The yield from these plots is given in the following table:—

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		
									Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
				In.		In.		Lbs.			
1	Byron.....	Aug. 26	119	38-42	Medium..	2-3	Bearded....	3380	42	40	60½
2	Early Riga.....	" 22	115	42-47	Stiff.....	2-3	Beardless...	4200	42	0	61
3	Chester.....	" 27	120	40-45	"	2-3½	"	3600	40	0	61
4	Wellman's Fife....	" 31	124	44-48	"	2-3½	"	5000	38	0	61
5	Essex.....	" 29	122	44-48	"	2-3½	"	4400	38	0	59
6	Minnesota No. 149..	" 31	124	40-43	"	2-3	"	4320	37	20	61
7	Crawford.....	" 29	122	40-44	"	2-3	"	4680	36	40	61
8	Red Fife.....	" 28	121	40-44	"	2-3½	"	5200	36	40	60
9	Rio Grande.....	" 27	120	42-46	"	2-3½	Bearded....	4800	36	0	61
10	Admiral.....	" 29	122	43-48	"	2-3	"	4680	36	0	61
11	White Fife.....	" 31	124	43-48	"	2-3½	Beardless...	4800	36	0	61
12	Laurel.....	" 31	124	41-45	"	2-4	"	4400	36	0	61
13	White Cannon.....	" 31	124	38-45	"	2-3	"	4600	35	20	61
14	Monarch.....	" 29	122	42-46	"	2-3	"	4280	35	20	60
15	Red Swedish.....	" 29	122	43-46	"	2-3½	Bearded....	4640	35	29	59
16	Norval.....	" 27	120	40-44	Medium..	2-3	"	3860	34	40	60
17	Dawn.....	" 27	120	42-46	"	2-3	Beardless...	4600	34	0	60
18	Clyde.....	" 29	122	40-44	Stiff.....	2-3	"	4200	34	0	60
19	Australian, No. 23....	" 31	124	40-45	"	2-3	"	4400	33	20	60
20	Advance.....	" 25	118	43-47	"	2-3½	Bearded....	4800	32	40	60
21	Minnesota No. 181....	" 31	124	44-48	"	2-3½	Beardless...	4720	32	0	60
22	Australian, No. 13....	" 31	124	38-42	"	2-3	"	3800	32	0	60
23	Bishop.....	" 27	120	40-46	"	2-3	"	4200	31	20	60
24	Crown.....	" 27	120	41-46	Medium..	2-3	Bearded....	4100	31	20	60
25	Harrison Bearded...	" 27	120	38-40	"	1-1½	"	3-80	31	20	60
26	White Russian.....	" 29	122	40-46	Stiff.....	2-3½	Beardless...	4120	30	40	60
27	Japanese.....	" 29	122	40-45	"	2-3	Bearded....	4020	30	40	60
28	Pringle's Champlain..	" 28	121	41-46	"	2-3	"	3720	30	0	60
29	Vernon.....	" 28	121	43-49	"	2-3	"	3760	30	0	60
30	Alpha.....	" 27	120	40-46	"	2-3	"	4400	30	0	60
31	Australian No. 9.....	" 31	124	40-43	"	2-3	Beardless...	3800	29	20	60
32	Robin's Rust Proof...	" 31	124	38-45	Medium..	2-8	"	3320	28	40	60
33	San Jose.....	" 28	121	40-45	"	2-3	"	4000	28	40	60
34	Minnesota, No. 163....	" 31	124	40-45	Stiff.....	2-3	"	4100	28	40	60
35	Proctor.....	" 28	121	42-46	"	2-3	Bearded....	4400	28	20	60
36	Australian, No. 10....	" 31	124	38-43	"	2-3	Beardless...	3500	28	40	60
37	Huron.....	" 28	121	40-43	Medium..	2-3	Bearded....	3320	28	40	60
38	Percy.....	" 29	122	44-48	Stiff.....	2-3	Beardless...	4-00	26	0	60
39	Minnesota No. 169....	" 31	124	40-45	"	2-3	"	3400	26	0	60
40	Angus.....	" 29	122	38-41	"	2-3	"	3400	26	0	60
41	Cassell.....	" 31	124	40-44	"	2-3	"	3240	26	0	60
42	Canterbury.....	" 29	122	40-45	"	2-3	"	3400	25	20	60
43	Progress.....	" 28	121	43-48	Stiff.....	2-3	"	3740	24	40	60
44	Hungarian.....	" 28	121	40-44	Medium..	2-2½	Bearded....	3600	24	40	60
45	Colorado.....	" 25	118	43-47	Stiff.....	2-3	"	4480	24	0	60
46	Weldon.....	" 29	122	42-46	"	2-3½	Beardless...	2720	23	20	60
47	Red Fern.....	" 28	121	40-43	"	2-3	Bearded....	4090	22	0	60
48	Goose.....	" 27	120	40-43	Medium..	1½-2	"	3560	22	0	60
49	Roumanian.....	" 29	122	37-42	"	1-2	"	3240	21	20	60
50	Benton.....	" 29	122	40-44	"	2-3	Beardless...	3160	20	40	60
51	Australian No. 27....	" 31	124	33-42	Stiff.....	2-3	"	3200	20	0	60
52	Blair.....	" 29	122	43-47	"	2-3	"	3720	20	0	60
53	Fraser.....	" 27	120	40-45	"	2-3	Bearded....	3480	19	20	60
54	Cartier.....	" 28	121	35-40	Medium..	2-3	"	3120	18	40	60
55	Australian No. 25....	" 31	124	40-43	Stiff.....	2-3	Beardless...	3040	18	0	60
56	Australian No. 19....	" 31	124	40-45	"	2-3	"	2920	17	20	60
57	Hastings.....	" 29	122	35-40	Medium..	2-3	"	2540	16	40	60
58	Plumper.....	" 29	122	40-43	"	2-3	Bearded....	2440	16	40	60
59	Medeah.....	" 29	122	30-35	Weak....	1-2	"	2120	16	0	60
60	Mishrik.....	" 29	122	20-25	"	1-1½	"	2080	16	0	60

AGRICULTURAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH EMMERS AND SPELTZ.

Experiments have been conducted this season with two varieties of emmer and two of speltz, with the following results. They were all sown on April 29, on land adjoining the wheat plots:—

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel.
			Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
White Speltz.....	Sept. 8.	132	29 20	35
White Bearded Speltz.....	" 2.	126	28 40	34
Common Emmer (Speltz).....	Aug. 29.	122	25 20	
White Emmer.....	Sept. 2.	126	23 20	

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

Forty varieties of pease were sown May 9 in one-fortieth acre plots. The land was light clay loam, similar to that chosen for the wheat plots. This land was previously in corn and was manured for that crop with twenty one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. This ground was ploughed after the corn crop was removed, in the fall of 1902, and the following spring was worked up by harrowing twice with spring tooth and once with the smoothing harrow.

The seed was sown with the seed drill, and Timothy and clover mixed, at the rate of 2 pounds Alsike clover, 7 pounds Mammoth Red clover and 12 pounds Timothy seed per acre was sown at the same time. The crop was light. The plants did not make good growth, but the quality of the seed was good. The following results were obtained from this experiment:—

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel.
				In.	In.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Alfred.....	Sept. 9.	123	Fair.....	34-38	2-2½	Medium	44 40	62
Alfred.....	" 10.	124	".....	36-40	2-2½	"	42 ..	62
Alfred.....	" 7.	121	".....	30-36	1½-2	Small..	40 ..	62
Alfred.....	" 10.	124	Strong..	45-48	2-3	Large..	38 40	61
Alfred.....	" 9.	123	Fair.....	36-40	2-2½	Medium	37 20	62
Alfred.....	" 8.	122	".....	38-42	1½-2	Small..	36 40	61½
Alfred.....	" 9.	123	".....	36-40	2-2½	Large..	35 20	62
Alfred.....	" 14.	128	".....	36-40	2-3	".....	34 ..	60
Alfred.....	" 7.	121	Medium..	36-40	2-2½	Medium	34 ..	63
Alfred.....	" 12.	126	".....	33-40	2-3	Large..	33 20	61
Alfred.....	" 9.	123	".....	32-36	2-2½	Medium	32 40	62½
Alfred.....	" 8.	122	Fair.....	34-40	2-3	Large..	31 20	62
Alfred.....	" 12.	126	".....	35-40	1½-2	Medium	30 40	61
Alfred.....	" 10.	124	".....	36-40	2-2½	".....	30 40	61
Alfred.....	" 10.	124	".....	35-39	2-3	Large..	30 ..	61
Alfred.....	" 8.	122	".....	34-40	2-3	".....	30 ..	62
Alfred.....	" 9.	123	Good.....	41-46	2-3	".....	29 20	62½
Alfred.....	" 10.	124	Fair.....	36-40	2-2½	Medium	28 40	61½
Alfred.....	" 15.	129	".....	36-41	2-3	Large..	28 40	61
Alfred.....	" 10.	124	".....	36-42	2-3	".....	28 ..	62

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of days maturing.	Character of Straw.	Length of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per bushel.
					Inches.	Inches.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
21	Prince Albert.....	Sept. 7..	121	Medium..	33—38	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Medium	27 20	62
22	Trilby.....	" 14..	123	" ..	30—35	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ —2	"	26 40	62
23	New Potter.....	" 12..	126	" ..	35—38	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	"	26 ..	61
24	Victoria.....	" 13..	127	" ..	36—40	2—3	Large ..	26 ..	61
25	Kent.....	" 13..	127	" ..	30—36	2—3	" ..	26 ..	61
26	Prussian Blue.....	" 9..	123	" ..	35—38	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Medium	25 20	60
27	German White.....	" 14..	128	" ..	33—38	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	"	25 20	61
28	Pearl.....	" 12..	126	" ..	35—40	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	"	24 40	60
29	Early Britain.....	" 8..	122	" ..	30—33	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Large ..	24 ..	60
30	Wisconsin Blue.....	" 14..	128	" ..	38—40	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Medium	23 20	60
31	Fergus.....	" 15..	129	" ..	32—38	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	"	21 20	62
32	Duke.....	" 8..	122	" ..	34—38	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Large ..	20 40	61
33	Lanark.....	" 11..	127	Poor	30—33	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Medium	20 ..	61
34	King.....	" 10..	124	" ..	23—32	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ —2	Small ..	20 ..	61
35	Golden Vine.....	" 8..	122	" ..	28—33	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ —2	" ..	18 40	62
36	Prince.....	" 9..	123	Medium..	32—38	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Medium	18 ..	62
37	Picton.....	" 8..	122	" ..	30—35	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ —2	Small ..	16 40	62
38	White Wonder.....	" 8..	122	Poor	24—30	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Medium	13 20	62
39	Paragon.....	" 10..	124	" ..	30—33	2—2 $\frac{1}{4}$	Large ..	13 20	6
40	Chancellor*.....								

*Failed.

EXPERIMENTS WITH BUCKWHEAT.

These experiments were conducted on land similar to and receiving the same treatment as that on which the barley plots were grown. The ground was in mangels the previous year, and received for that crop twenty one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. The land was ploughed in the fall of 1902, and this spring was worked by going over it twice with the spring-tooth and once with the smoothing harrow.

The seed was sown with the seed drill, June 12, in one-fortieth acre plots, and five varieties were included in the test. The land was also seeded down as for the other grain plots, with Timothy and clover. The yield per acre, time of ripening and character of growth are given below:—

BUCKWHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bush.
				Inches.		Bush. Lbs.	Lb.
Silver Hull.....	June 12..	Sept. 3..	83	38—42	Strong....	45 40	
Rye Buckwheat.....	" 12..	" 4..	84	35—42	"	45 0	
Tartarian or Siberian	" 12..	" 4..	84	34—38	"	43 16	
Japanese.....	" 12..	" 3..	83	40—44	"	84 8	
Grey.....	" 12..	" 3..	83	38—42	"	31 32	

FIELD CROP OF GRAIN.

Six acres of field grain were sown May 16, on a light clay loam. The previous crop was turnips, for which thirty-five one-horse cart loads of stable manure had been spread per acre. Previous to this manuring for the root crop this land had never received any manure. The ground was ploughed in the fall, after the root crop was removed, and in the spring was worked up with the spade, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows. The grain was sown with the seed drill and seeded down to Mammoth Red clover, 10 pounds per acre. Five acres were seeded to oats and one with barley. The following table gives the names of varieties grown, amount of land to each variety, yield per acre, by measure from the threshing machine, and the weights per bushel. The mixed grain was oats, 2 bushels; barley, 1 bushel, and pease, 1 peck, mixed together and sown at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre:—

	Weight per Measured Bushel.	Yield per Acre.	
	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
One acre, Sensation oats.....	37	70	14
" Cream Egyptian oats	39	65	0
" Black Tartarian.....	36	62	12
" Canadian Thorpe barley.....	49	45	0
" mixed oats, barley and pease.....	43	62	12

FIELD CROPS OF MIXED GRAIN.

Eleven acres of mixed grain were grown on a clay loam soil. The previous crop was clover and Timothy, which was ploughed in the fall, with the aftermath turned over, which was light. The ground was manured in 1900 for a root crop, followed by grain in 1901, and clover in 1902. The soil was worked up into a good tilth and the grain sown with the seed drill. Six acres was sown May 5, with a mixture of the following proportions: Oats, Rosedale, 2 bushels; barley, Surprise, 1 bushel, and Golden Vine pease, 1 peck, sown at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre. This was harvested August 1. Five acres was sown May 11, with Sensation oats, 2 bushels; Canadian Thorpe barley, 1 bushel; Golden Vine pease, 1 peck, mixed together and sown at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre. This was harvested September 3. The following yields per acre of mixed grain from the threshing machine, weighing 41 pounds per bushel were obtained: 6 acres Rosedale oats, Surprise barley, Golden Vine pease mixed, yielded 62 bushels per acre. 5 acres Sensation oats, Canadian Thorpe barley and Golden Vine pease mixed, yielded 72 bushels per acre.

FIELD CROP OF OATS ON MARSH LAND.

Five acres of oats were sown May 8, on marsh land that had been ploughed the previous fall. This was seeded at the time the grain was sown, with clover and Timothy. This made a fairly good growth the early part of the season, but owing to exceptionally high tides in August, which broke the dykes and overflowed the land, the grain crop was almost a total loss, and the young take of clover and timothy was completely destroyed.

FIELD CROPS OF BUCKWHEAT.

Four and one-half acres of Silverhull buckwheat was sown on land that had been brought into cultivation for the first time last season. The ground was exceptionally good. 250 pounds of seed was sown June 24, and the crop harvested September 15, yielding 23 bushels 16 pounds per acre.

One-half acre of buckwheat of the Grey variety was grown on clay loam in a fair state of fertility, it having received manure at the rate of twenty one-horse cart loads per acre, the previous year, when a crop of roots was grown. This land was ploughed in the fall of 1902. It was sown June 19, and harvested September 10, and yielded at the rate of 35 bushels per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

Twenty-three varieties of Indian corn were planted June 2. The soil was a light clay loam and had been manured for roots in 1900, followed by grain in 1901 and clover in 1902. The land was manured in the fall of 1902 with twenty-five one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. This was not ploughed, however, until the following spring just before planting. The object in letting the land go without ploughing to seeding time was to get the benefit from the spring growth of clover turned under, but owing to the exceptionally dry spring the growth was very light. After ploughing, the ground was worked up by going over it once each with the spade, spring tooth and smoothing harrows. No commercial fertilizer was used on these plots.

The corn was planted in hills and rows. One set of plots was in hills 3 feet apart each way, and a duplicate lot of plots in rows 3 feet apart. The seed sown in rows was dropped in shallow drills and covered with the hoe, and the plants were thinned to inches apart in the rows. In the hills from three to five plants were left in each hill. The yield per acre is calculated from the weight obtained from two rows each 66 feet long. The crop was harvested October 6, and the following yields obtained:—

CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Height.	When Tasselled.	In Silk.	Condition when Out.	Weight per Acre grown in Rows.		Weight per Acre grown in Hills.	
		Inches.				Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Selected Leaming	95	Sept. 4.	Sept. 25.	Watery ..	18	850	15	29
2	Giant Prolific Ensilage	97	" 4.	"	Tasselling ..	17	1,750	17	1,380
3	Red Cob Ensilage	96	" 4.	"	"	17	870	15	80
4	Early Mastodon	93	" 5.	Sept. 15.	Watery ..	17	650	17	39
5	Eureka	97	" 5.	"	Tasselling ..	17	320	16	1,35
6	Superior Fodder	96	" 5.	"	"	17	109	16	1,00
7	Thoroughbred White Flint ..	92	" 5.	"	"	16	1,230	16	40
8	Longfellow	90	Aug. 25.	Sept. 2.	Glazed ..	15	1,570	14	90
9	Sanford	84	" 25.	" 3.	Soft Glazed.	15	1,350	16	1,77
10	Salzer's All Gold	93	" 25.	"	Tasselling ..	15	800	12	70
11	Compton's Early	86	" 25.	Sept. 2.	Glazed ..	14	1,370	14	1,15
12	Mammoth Cuban	95	Sept. 5.	" 15.	Watery ..	14	1,150	15	25
13	Champion White Pearl	93	" 5.	" 15.	"	14	600	14	1,50
14	King Philip	81	Aug. 28.	" 8.	"	14	600	13	1,50
15	Early Butler	92	" 28.	"	Tasselling ..	14	270	14	87
16	King of the Earliest	87	Sept. 1.	Sept. 15.	Watery ..	14	50	15	87
17	White Cap Yellow Dent	98	Aug. 29.	" 15.	"	13	1,500	13	95
18	Angel of Midnight	85	" 25.	" 3.	Glazed ..	13	970	12	1,87
19	Pride of the North	94	Sept. 5.	" 15.	Watery ..	12	530	12	1,87
20	North Dakota White	83	Aug. 27.	" 4.	Soft Glazed.	11	1,100	11	50
21	Mammoth Eight-rowed Flint ..	84	Sept. 1.	" 15.	Watery ..	11	1,100	11	60
22	Cloud's Early Yellow	90	" 3.	" 17.	"	11	550	11	60
23	Evergreen Sugar	87	" 6.	" 18.	"	11		10	1,67



SIX ACRES MIXED GRAIN. YIELD PER ACRE 72 MEASURED BUSHELS.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CORN SOWN IN ROWS AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

The experiment of growing corn planted in rows at different distances apart was again continued this year. The varieties of corn sown were Champion White Pearl, selected Leaming and Longfellow. The land on which these were grown was similar and received the same treatment in every respect as that on which the other corn crops were grown.

The seed was sown June 2, in rows 21, 28, 35 and 42 inches apart. Each plot was one-fortieth acre. The crop was harvested October 6, and the following yields per acre obtained:—

Name of Variety.	Distance Apart.	Yield Per Acre.	
		Tons.	Lbs.
selected Leaming.....	in.		
" ".....	42	13	1,840
" ".....	35	15	910
" ".....	28	15	720
Champion White Pearl.....	21	14	905
" ".....	42	15	1,720
" ".....	35	17	980
" ".....	28	18	1,620
Longfellow.....	21	15	725
" ".....	42	12	1,800
" ".....	35	15	1,960
" ".....	28	14	432
" ".....	21	14	20

FIELD CROPS OF CORN.

FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

One acre of corn was planted in rows 3 feet apart on a light clay sandy loam. The ground was in clover in 1902. Stable manure at the rate of twenty-five one-horse loads per acre was spread on the sod in the fall, and this was ploughed under just before planting. The ground was worked up into good tilth with the spade, spring-harrow and smoothing harrows, and the seed was sown with the seed drill June 1. To one-third of the acre was added complete fertilizer at the rate of 500 pounds per acre, one-third at the rate of 250 pounds per acre, and the remainder left without commercial fertilizer. The fertilizer was scattered broadcast along the rows after the seed was planted, and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow. The crop was harvested October 3 and the following yields per acre obtained. The variety Longfellow was used.

	Tons.	Lbs.
$\frac{1}{3}$ acre plot, manure and fertilizer, 500 pounds per acre....	17	1,700
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " " 250 ".....	15	1,500
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " manure only.....	14	700

Three acres of corn were grown on a light clay loam soil that had been previously ploughed, with which Mammoth Red clover at the rate of 10 pounds per acre was sown and ploughed under in the fall of 1902. Up to this time this field had not received any stable manure. The ground was worked up in the spring with the spade and spring-harrow, and stable manure at the rate of 30 one-horse cart loads per acre spread at least and ploughed under. This was worked up to a good tilth, and the seed sown with the seed drill on June 10, in rows 3 feet apart. To one-third of each acre was added complete fertilizer at the rate of 500 pounds per acre, and one-third 250 pounds per acre, and the remainder of the acre was left without commercial fertilizer. The commercial fertilizer was scattered broadcast along the rows after planting, and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow. One acre was planted with Compton's Early,

one with Angel of Midnight and one with Dakota White corn. The following yields were obtained from weighing the crop from each one-third acre. It was harvested October 5 to 8:—

FIELD CROP OF CORN—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENT.

Sown June 10. Harvested October 5 to 8.

Name of Variety.		Yield Per Acre
		Tons. L.
1 acre Compton's Early, manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....		16 1, 1
" " " " " 250 " "		14 1, 1
" " " " " only " " "		12
" " " " " only " " "		21
" " Angel of Midnight, manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....		18 1, 1
" " " " " 250 " "		15 1, 1
" " " " " only " " "		17
" " Dakota White, manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....		15 1, 1
" " " " " 250 " "		12 1, 1
" " " " " only " " "		

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

These plots were sown May 15 and a duplicate set planted May 29. Twenty varieties were included in the test. The crops on both sets of plots were pulled October 27, and the yields per acre have been calculated from the yield per plot of two rows, each 66 feet long. The ground was a light clay loam and was previously clover. The land was manured with 15 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre on the sod in the fall of 1902 and ploughed under. In the spring this was worked with the spade harrow and 15 one-horse cart loads of stable manure was again applied which was ploughed under and the ground harrowed with the spring-tooth and smoothing harrows. Two hundred pounds of complete fertilizer and 200 lbs. of bone meal per acre were sown broadcast and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow. The ground was run into rows 24 inches apart. These rows were raked off and the plots planted with the Planet Jr. No. 5 seed drill. The plants were thinned to about one foot apart in the rows, and following yields per acre obtained:—

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Perfection Swede.....	50	320	1,672	0	43	625	1,443	
2	Magnum Bonum.....	49	1,000	1,650	0	41	1,390	1,391	
3	Halewood's Bronze Top.....	48	1,845	1,630	45	34	1,465	1,167	
4	Elephant's Master.....	48	30	1,600	30	37	910	1,248	
5	Selected Purple Top.....	47	1,370	1,589	30	40	955	1,349	
6	Mammoth Clyde.....	47	1,040	1,584	0	38	1,055	1,284	
7	Kangaroo.....	47	875	1,581	15	34	1,795	1,163	
8	Emperor.....	47	710	1,578	30	40	25	1,333	
9	New Century.....	47	50	1,567	30	38	725	1,278	
10	Bangholm Selected.....	46	235	1,537	15	35	455	1,174	
11	Junibo.....	44	1,925	1,498	45	37	745	1,245	
12	Good Luck.....	44	1,760	1,496	0	41	500	1,375	
13	Skirvings.....	44	605	1,476	45	38	1,550	1,292	
14	Drummond's Purple Top.....	43	1,120	1,452	0	35	125	1,168	
15	Imperial Swede.....	43	955	1,449	15	37	85	1,234	
16	Hall's Westbury.....	41	1,820	1,397	0	37	1,735	1,232	
17	Hartley's Bronze.....	41	1,490	1,391	30	35	455	1,174	
18	Shamrock Purple Top.....	41	1,325	1,388	45	35	1,115	1,185	
19	East Lothian.....	41	665	1,377	45	36	435	1,207	
20	Sutton's Champion.....	39	1,530	1,325	30	38	1,550	1,292	
21	Carter's Elephant.....	39	1,365	1,322	45	32	680	1,078	

SIONAL PAPER No. 16

FIELD CROPS OF TURNIPS—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

Five acres of turnips were grown on land that was of a light clay-loam character. The year previous a crop of pease was ploughed under, green. This land was exceptionally poor and had not had any stable manure previously. In the spring of 1903 the land was worked up with the spade and spring-tooth harrows, and 35 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre spread broadcast and ploughed under. Five varieties of turnips were sown, one acre to each sort. To one-third of each acre was added complete fertilizer at the rate of 500 lbs. per acre; to another third 250 lbs. complete fertilizer per acre, and on the remaining third no commercial fertilizer was used. The turnips were sown broadcast and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow before the rows were run up. The rows were made 24 inches apart. The yield from each $\frac{1}{3}$ acre was weighed and the following crops per acre obtained :—

FIELD CROP OF TURNIPS—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENT.

Sown June 6. Harvested October 27.

Name of Variety and Size of Plot.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
<i>Sutton's Champion.</i>				
Manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	30	1,605	1,026	45
" " 250 "	27	1,020	917	..
" only.....	28	425	940	25
<i>Kangaroo.</i>				
Manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	30	330	1,005	30
" " 250 "	28	1,870	964	30
" only.....	27	1,815	930	15
<i>Hartley's Bronze.</i>				
Manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	29	1,025	983	45
" " 250 "	28	325	938	45
" only.....	27	1,755	929	15
<i>Elephant Swede.</i>				
Manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	27	1,350	922	30
" " 250 "	26	395	873	15
" only.....	24	800	..
<i>Rennic's Prize Purple Top.</i>				
Manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	27	330	905	30
" " 250 "	26	1,610	893	30
" only.....	26	1,880	898	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Sixteen varieties of mangels were sown May 15, and a duplicate set sown May 20, a week later. Each plot was two rows, each 66 feet long. The land on which these were sown was adjoining the turnip plots and received the same treatment in every way. The rows were 24 inches apart. They were raked off and the seed sown in rows one foot apart with the Planet Jr. seed drill No. 5. The crop of both sets was harvested October 21, and the following yields were obtained. On account of the extremely dry weather at planting time the seed germinated very irregularly and a number of the plants were destroyed by the cutworm when from 3 to 5 inches high:—

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre, 2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	46	1,225	1,554	25	37	745	1,245	4
2	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	46	235	1,537	15	41	5	1,366	
3	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	45	1,575	1,526	15	36	600	1,210	
4	Giant Yellow Globe.....	45	585	1,509	45	36	1,425	1,223	
5	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	45	255	1,504	15	37	1,240	1,254	
6	Selected Yellow Globe.....	45	90	1,501	30	35	475	1,174	
7	Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....	43	1,450	1,457	30	37	415	1,240	
8	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	43	1,120	1,452	..	39	1,365	1,322	
9	Leviathan Long Red.....	42	1,800	1,430	..	35	125	1,168	
10	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	41	1,325	1,388	45	30	225	1,003	
11	Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	41	170	1,369	30	32	185	1,069	
12	Mammoth Long Red.....	41	5	1,366	45	36	1,755	1,229	
13	Half Long Sugar White.....	40	1,675	1,361	15	30	1,710	1,028	
14	Yellow Intermediate.....	40	850	1,347	30	32	1,175	1,086	
15	Giant Sugar Mangel.....	33	495	1,108	15	25	985	849	
16	Gate Post.....	32	1,670	1,094	30	22	550	742	

FIELD CROP OF MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

The land on which these were grown was previously in clover, and was ploughed in the fall of 1902. The soil was a light clay loam. The ground was worked up with the spade and spring-tooth harrows in the spring of 1903, and stable manure at the rate of twenty-five one-horse cart loads per acre spread broadcast and ploughed under. This was worked up to a good tilth and 250 lb. of complete fertilizer per acre sown broadcast and harrowed in with the smooth-barrow before the rows were run. The rows were made with the double mould-board plough 24 inches apart. The rows were raked off by hand and the mangel seed at a rate of 8 lbs. per acre, sown in bunches one foot apart with the hand Planet Jr. seed drill No. 5. Three varieties of mangels were sown, one half acre each. Owing to continued dry spring the seed germinated slowly and irregularly. The cutworms caused considerable damage to the young plants, leaving a number of blanks. The entire yield of each variety was weighed and the following crops per acre obtained. The seed was sown May 16, harvested October 19 and 20.

FIELD CROP OF MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Manure and fertilizer 250 lbs. per acre.	Yield per acre.		
	Tons.	lbs.	Bush.
Mammoth Long Red.....	21	936	715
Giant Yellow Half Long.....	20	1,100	685
Giant Yellow Globe.....	19	1,300	655

FIELD CROP OF MANGELS—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

The land on which these were grown was a light clay loam. The previous crop was oats, with which 10 lbs. Mammoth Red Clover was sown per acre, and was

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

growth it made was ploughed under in the fall of 1902. This was worked up in the spring with the spade and spring-tooth harrows, and 30 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre spread broadcast and ploughed under. The land was then worked into good tilth. Three varieties were grown in $\frac{1}{4}$ acre lots. One-third of each lot had complete fertilizer added at the rate of 500 lbs. per acre sown broadcast; one-third had commercial fertilizer at the rate of 250 lbs. per acre, and the remaining third no commercial fertilizer. The fertilizer was sown broadcast and harrowed in with the spring harrow, before the rows were run 24 inches apart. The seed germinated slowly and the plants came up irregularly, due to the dry weather. The seed was sown May 26 and harvested October 19 and 20. The following yields per acre were obtained.

FIELD CROP OF MANGELS—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENT.

	Yield per Acre		Yield per Acre	
	Tons.	lbs.	Bush.	lbs.
MAMMOTH LONG RED.				
Manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	25	250	837	30
" " 250 "	24	350	805	50
" only.....	23	1,750	795	50
GIANT YELLOW HALF LONG.				
Manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	28	1,250	954	10
" " 250 "	28	250	937	30
" only.....	26	866	40
GIANT YELLOW GLOBE.				
Manure and fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	19	1,350	656	10
" " 250 "	18	450	607	10
" only.....	21	100	701	40

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

The plots chosen for this test were similar in every respect and received the same treatment as the turnip and mangel plots. Eleven varieties were sown. One set of rows was sown on May 15 and a duplicate set on May 29. The rows were 24 inches apart. They were pulled off by hand and the seed was sown with the Planet Jr. No. 5 seed drill. Each plot was two rows, 66 feet long. They were harvested October 27 and gave the following yields:—

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Ontario Champion.....	30	225	1003	25	22	1,375	756	15
2	Giant White Vosges.....	29	905	981	45	19	1,600	660	..
3	Mammoth White Intermediate.....	27	615	910	15	24	675	811	15
4	New White Intermediate.....	24	675	811	15	22	880	748	..
5	Half Long Chantenay.....	23	200	770	..	18	300	605	..
6	Long Yellow Stump rooted.....	22	880	748	..	21	1,375	723	15
7	Improved Short White.....	20	1,580	693	..	20	920	632	..
8	Early Gem.....	19	1105	651	45	19	445	640	45
9	Half Long White.....	19	415	640	15	18	1,620	627	..
10	White Belgian.....	18	1,950	632	30	17	155	569	15
11	Carter's Orange Giant.....	17	650	577	30	15	1,350	522	30

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of sugar beets were planted in plots consisting of two rows each 66 feet long, on May 15, and duplicate ones on May 29. These were on land similar in every respect and receiving the same treatment as the turnip, mangel and carrot plots. The seed was sown in rows 24 inches apart. The rows were raked off and the seed sown in bunches one foot apart, with the Planet Jr. No. 5 seed drill. The crop was gathered October 22 and the following yield obtained:—

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Royal Giant.....	37	415	1,204	15	30	390	1,006	3
2	Danish Red Top.....	31	40	1,034	0	21	1,395	723	14
3	Red Top Sugar.....	29	575	976	15	19	445	640	4
4	Vilmorin's Improved.....	28	925	943	45	24	675	811	1
5	Improved Imperial.....	28	595	943	15	18	1,620	627	..
6	Danish Improved.....	28	265	937	45	22	1,375	756	1
7	Wanzleben.....	24	1,005	816	45	20	425	673	4
8	French 'Very Rich'.....	21	75	701	15	17	650	577	3

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

The land on which these were grown was clay loam, having been in timothy and clover the year before. The ground was manured with 20 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre in the fall of 1902, and ploughed under. This was worked up in the spring following, with the spade, spring-tooth and smoothing harrows and ploughed again. Rows were run 30 inches apart and from 3 to 5 inches deep, and potato fertilizer at the rate of 300 lbs. per acre sown in the rows before the planting was begun. The sets were planted May 22 one foot apart in the rows and covered with the plough. The tubers were cut so as to have from two to three eyes in each piece. The drills were harrowed down once before the plants were above the ground, to destroy weeds, and again drilled up in a few days and kept loose with a cultivator until the vines were quite large. An unusual number of sets rotted in the ground, making the plots somewhat irregular. The plots were sprayed with bordeaux mixture and paris green color.

SSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ed July 21, August 8, and August 28. The potato blight did not strike these plots, which kept green throughout the whole season, while considerable damage was done by the blight in surrounding districts.

Sixty-five varieties were included in this test. Each plot consisted of two rows, each 66 feet long. The crop was harvested September 25, and the following yields obtained:—

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre Marketable.		Yield per Acre Unmarketable.		Description of Variety, Form and Colour.
		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Arce.....	Medium..	605	0	572	0	33	0	Long, pink and white.
Amier.....	"	550	0	451	0	99	0	Long, dark pink.
Clark's Extra Early.....	Good....	534	36	451	0	83	36	Oval, pink.
Bedding No. 7.....	Medium..	528	0	448	48	79	12	"
Clutye.....	"	517	0	462	0	55	0	Long, blue and white.
Formous.....	"	506	0	446	36	59	24	Oblong, white.
Chester Rose.....	"	495	0	444	24	50	36	Oblong, pink.
May Rose.....	"	492	48	444	36	46	12	Round, pink.
Herett.....	Good....	433	24	356	24	77	0	Flat, round pink.
Boy Seedling.....	Medium..	429	0	319	0	110	0	Round, white.
Manor.....	"	418	0	297	0	121	0	Long, pink.
Marby Seedling.....	"	411	24	352	0	59	24	Round, pink.
Peritane.....	"	407	0	374	0	33	0	Long, white.
Envoys.....	"	407	0	352	0	55	0	Oblong, pink.
Miss Snowflake.....	"	404	48	360	48	44	0	Round, white.
Se No. 9.....	Good....	378	24	341	0	37	24	Oblong, pink.
Wile's Thoroughbred.....	Medium..	374	0	330	0	44	0	Long, pink.
Ly Puritan.....	Good....	367	24	314	36	52	48	Long, pink and white.
Ly Northern.....	"	365	12	316	48	48	24	Long, white.
X. L.....	Medium..	363	0	314	36	48	24	Long, pink and white.
Sh Cobbler.....	Good....	358	36	297	0	61	36	Round, white.
vee.....	"	347	36	297	0	50	36	Oblong, pink and white.
te of Maine.....	"	345	24	297	0	48	24	Round, flat and white.
urpe's Seedling.....	Medium..	341	0	301	24	39	36	Long, white.
to Date.....	"	336	36	297	0	39	36	Long, flat, white.
aware.....	Good....	330	0	286	0	44	0	Round, flat, white.
proe.....	Medium..	325	36	272	48	52	48	Oblong, white.
pire State.....	Good....	314	36	268	24	46	12	Long, white.
ndian Beauty.....	"	312	24	279	24	33	0	Long, round, pink and white.
ly Andes.....	"	310	12	250	48	59	24	Oblong, pink.
on Mountain.....	"	308	0	257	24	50	36	Round, white.
de Sam.....	"	308	0	275	0	33	0	"
ean's Elephant.....	Medium..	301	24	268	24	33	0	Long, round, white.
born Abundance.....	"	297	0	253	0	44	0	Round, white.
h Daisy.....	"	294	48	242	0	52	48	Oblong white.
wn's Rot Proof.....	"	286	0	220	0	66	0	"
ay Maker.....	Good....	272	48	220	0	52	48	Long, white.
nan No. 3.....	"	270	36	237	36	33	0	Round, flat, white.
Ly White Prize.....	"	264	0	231	0	33	0	Long, white.
rk's Standard.....	"	259	36	220	0	39	36	Oblong, white.
ly Rose.....	"	253	0	209	0	44	0	Long, pink.
ly Rose.....	"	250	48	213	24	37	24	"
rian Wonder.....	"	248	36	213	24	35	12	Long, white.
vel's Rose.....	"	242	0	187	0	55	0	Long, pink.
rican Giant.....	Medium..	235	24	191	24	44	0	"
bridge Russell.....	"	231	0	198	0	33	0	Long, white.
y St. George.....	Good....	231	0	198	0	33	0	Long, pink.
y Sunrise.....	"	228	48	182	36	46	12	"
ery Gentleman.....	"	228	48	191	24	37	24	Long, pink and white.
nan No. 1.....	"	226	36	191	24	35	12	Round, flat and white.
ral Gooden.....	Medium..	222	12	171	36	50	36	Long, pink.
s Favourite.....	"	222	12	162	48	59	24	Long, pink and white.
ock's Extra Early.....	Good....	202	24	165	0	37	24	Long, round, pink and white.
y Michigan.....	"	202	24	160	36	41	48	Oblong, white.
on Rose.....	"	198	0	169	24	23	36	Oblong, pink and white.

POTATOES—NOT IN UNIFORM TEST PLOTS.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre Marketable.		Yield per Acre Unmarketable.	Date of Planting.	Date of Digging.	Form and Colour.	
		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.					
1	Peachblow.....	539	0	495	0	44	0	May 22.	Sept. 25.	Oval, light red.
2	Shenango.....	446	36	396	0	50	36	" 22.	" 25.	Long, blue and white.
3	Garnet Chili.....	440	0	385	0	55	0	" 22.	" 25.	Round, light red.
4	Thorburn.....	429	0	330	0	99	0	" 22.	" 25.	Long, pink and white.
5	Dark Blue.....	426	48	391	36	35	12	" 22.	" 25.	Round, flat, blue.
6	Rural No. 2.....	407	0	374	0	33	0	" 22.	" 25.	Round, white.
7	Quaker City.....	352	0	308	0	44	0	" 22.	" 25.	Round, white.
8	Sir Walter Raleigh.....	330	0	297	0	33	0	" 22.	" 25.	Round, flat, white.
9	White Beauty.....	259	36	209	0	50	36	" 22.	" 25.	Long, pink and white.

FLAX—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Two varieties of flax were grown on a clay loam soil which was in mangels the previous year. The land was ploughed in the fall of 1902 and worked up to a good tilth in the spring of 1903, and the seed sown with the seed drill at the rate of 30 lbs per acre June 12. The plots were one-twentieth acre each and were harvested September 3. The following yields were obtained :—

	Weight per Bushel.	Yield per Acre.
	Lbs.	Bush.
Riga Flax.....	50	22
White Russian Flax.....	50	24

EXPERIMENTS WITH SOJA BEANS.

The soil selected for these plots was a heavy clay loam. The previous crop was on and vetches for green feed, the land having been manured for that crop in the spring of 1902 with 25 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. It was ploughed in the fall of 1902 and this spring was worked up by ploughing and harrowing with the spring tooth and smoothing harrows. The beans were sown with the Wisner seed drill June 13 in rows 21, 28 and 35 inches apart. The crop was cut and weighed October 6.

The object of this experiment is to obtain information as to the value of the plant as a forage crop, and to ascertain the yields per acre from seed sown in rows at different distances apart. The plots were one-fortieth acre each. The crop made only fair growth and did not mature well.

	Yield per Acre.	
	Tons.	Lbs.
Soja Beans, 21 inches apart.....	6	200
" 28 ".....	5	1,600
" 35 ".....	5	1,000

EXPERIMENTS WITH HORSE BEANS.

The land on which these were grown was similar to that used for the Soja Beans and received the same treatment. The beans were sown with the seed drill June 13 in rows 21, 28 and 35 inches apart. The variety 'Tick' was used. Each plot was one-fortieth acre. The plants, on account of the cool summer, did not mature as well as usual. The following yields per acre were obtained from the crop harvested October 6 :—

			Yield per Acre.	
			Tons.	Lbs.
Horse Beans, 21 inches apart.....			15	800
" 28 "			14	680
" 35 "			12	1,400

CLOVER EXPERIMENTS.

The object in view in these experiments was to show the value of growing clover with grain crops, and determine the gain, if any, from, ploughing the clover of one year's growth under for future crops. Another object sought this year was to find out whether the yield of grain would be affected by the clover growing with it. On account of this season being an exceptionally dry one, the growth of clover was less than usual, and it may be well to repeat these experiments next year, with the same object in view. The Mammoth Red Clover was sown with the grain at seeding time at the rate of 10 lbs. per acre, by means of a seeding attachment to the grain seed drill. The grain was sown in May 13; the barley was harvested August 18, the oats September 3 and the wheat September 8. The plots were one-twentieth acre each. The land was a clay loam in good state of fertility, having been in roots the previous year, being manured for the crop with 25 one-horse cartloads of stable manure per acre. The following grains were grown, giving the following yields:—

	Yield per Acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.
Plot Banner Oats—		
No. 1, without clover.....	98	28
No. 2, with clover.....	104	14
No. 3, without clover.....	111	6
No. 4, with clover.....	102	17
Plot White Fife Wheat—		
No. 1, without clover.....	41	..
No. 2, with clover.....	39	30
No. 3, without clover.....	41	40
No. 4, with clover.....	40	20
Plot Odessa Barley—		
No. 1, without clover.....	59	28
No. 2, with clover.....	59	38
No. 3, without clover.....	61	12
No. 4, with clover.....	60	40

SPECIAL EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS.

These experiments which have been conducted for the past four years were continued this year. The object of these tests is to ascertain the relative usefulness of fertilizers commonly used for field crops of various kinds. The plots were one-eighth of an acre each, 38 x 142½ feet for each kind of fertilizer used. These were subdivided into strips 14 feet wide, each running lengthwise across all the different fertilized plots. The strips were sown with ten different kinds of crops, namely, potatoes, turnips, mangel-worms, mangels, oats, wheat, barley, pease, corn and mixed grain, making in all 140 plots. A margin of two feet was left between each plot and one foot between each plot. Two plots were left without any fertilizer to serve as check plots. The results that are in grain one year are planted to roots, potatoes and corn the following

year. The quantity and kind of fertilizer used is applied each year. Each of the crops were sown at the same time as the uniform test plots, with the same amount of seed per acre, and were cultivated in the same manner. The following table gives the yield per acre of these various crops :—

Fertilizer Used per acre.	Barley, Canadian Thorpe.		Oats, Tartar King.		Wheat, Colorado.		Barley, oats and pease.		Pease, Golden Vine.		Corn, Longfellow.		Turnips, Purple Top.		Mangels, Giant Yellow Intermediate.		Carrots, Half Long White.		Potatoes, Delaware.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Manure, 30 tons	62	4 54	8 36	40	57	20	51	40	12	500	30	1,500	33	1,300	21	1,800	380			
Manure, 15 tons, fertilizer, 250 lbs.	60	0 50	0 33	20	55		53	20	12	1,000	31	1,500	33	200	22	100	370			
Complete fertilizer, 1,000 lbs.	45	40 39	28 30		47	20	48	20	11	500	23		18	1,500	19	400	328			
Complete fertilizer, 500 lbs.	41	32 37	24 23	20	42	10	48	20	10	1,000	22	1,000	18	200	20	500	306			
Check	37	24 27	4 23	20	37	20	38	20	6	700	17	1,500	11	1,500	14	900	196			
Bone meal, 1,000 lbs.	43	36 39	28 26	40	50		46	40	10	1,500	30		22		17	600	290			
" 500 "	47	44 37	24 25		47	20	50		10	1,200	29		19	800	15	1,200	260			
Ashes 2,500 "	52	4 35	20 28	40	50		50		11	700	25	500	21	700	18	400	435			
Manure, rotted, 20 tons.	68	36 58	16 41	40	70		53	20	14	600	32	1,000	34	1,300	21	1,900	540			
Check	39	28 25	13	20	25		33	20	5		4	500	1	500	5	1,700	228			
Land plaster, 500 lbs.	41	32 27	4 16	40	30		30		6	1,500	6	700	1	700	6	400	203			
Salt, 500 "	45	40 33	16 20		43	30	36	40	7		21	1,500	7	500	13	1,800	175			
Marsh mud, 100 tons.	62	24 41	32 25		50		40		10	1,500	23		27	600	21	1,300	223			
Manure, green, 20 "	72	44 62	24 43	20	67	20	56	40	13	1,700	35	500	43	200	28	900	483			

HAY.

The crop of timothy and clover hay was light—twenty-four acres of upland yielded 44 tons 837 lbs.

Twelve acres of underdrained marsh land yielded 18 tons 1,775 lbs., and 33 acres not underdrained, yielded 39 tons 660 lbs. This made a total of 102 tons 1,272 lbs about one-third less than an average crop. This was all secured in good condition

SUMMARY OF CROPS ON EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN.

Grain Field Crops.	Bushels.
Oats	291
Barley	56½
Mixed grain	812
Buckwheat	124½
	1,284
From Uniform Trial Plots.	Bushels.
Oats	96
Wheat	46
Barley	42½
Pease	24½
Buckwheat	3½
	212½

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Roots, &c., Field Crops.

	Bushels.
Turnips..	4,609
Mangels..	2,818
	<hr/>
	7,427

From Uniform Trial Plots.

	Bushels.
Turnips..	408
Mangels..	216
Carrots..	102
Sugar beets..	86
Potatoes..	237
	<hr/>
	1,049

Indian Corn Cut Green for Ensilage.

	Tons.
Field crops..	63½
From uniform trial plots..	2½
	<hr/>
	66

	Tons.	Lbs.
Hay..	102	1,272

GRAIN AND POTATO DISTRIBUTION.

Some of the most promising varieties of grain and potatoes were again distributed year to farmers who made application. The following number of three-pound packages were sent out for trial :—

Potatoes..	354
Oats..	212
Barley..	62
Wheat..	68
Pease..	42
Buckwheat..	16
Rye..	1
	<hr/>
Total..	755

EXHIBITIONS, AGRICULTURAL MEETINGS AND EXCURSIONS TO FARM

An exhibit was made of farm produce at the Nova Scotia provincial exhibition, Halifax, September 9 to 17; at Fredericton, N.B., September 21 to 26, and Sussex, September 30 to October 1. Charlottetown, P.E.I., was unavoidably omitted on account of its being on the same date as Fredericton, and as no exhibit of experi-

mental farm products had ever been made at Fredericton it was thought best to give that place the preference.

I have attended and given addresses at quite a number of agricultural meetings throughout the provinces of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick during the year, besides delivering a series of lectures to the students at the Sussex, N.B., dairy school in March.

As in other years, many visitors have visited the farm, and there have been several farmers' excursions, the largest of which was that of the Pictou County Farmers' Association on July 9, when about 1,200 were present. Smaller excursions from the surrounding country, of about 20 to 100 persons, have been common. Although railway rates are quite reasonable for large excursions, smaller parties do not find the rates so favourable, and the fact of no hotel accommodation being available, no doubt tends to hinder many from visiting the farm.

CORRESPONDENCE.

During the year 1,840 letters were received and 1,685 sent out.

HORSES.

The stock of horses at present on the farm consists of three teams of heavy working horses, one express horse and one driver. Total, 8. One draught horse was bought during the year. All are in good condition.

CATTLE.

The herd of dairy cattle on the farm at present numbers 46 head, as follows:—

- | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 Guernsey bull, 5 years old. | 2 Ayrshire heifers, 1½ years. |
| 1 Ayrshire bull, 2½ years old. | 4 Grade Ayrshire heifers, 2½ years. |
| 1 Jersey cow. | 16 Grade milch cows. |
| 3 Holstein cows. | 3 Ayrshire heifers, 8 to 10 months. |
| 2 Guernsey cows. | 1 Holstein heifer, 8 months. |
| 1 Guernsey heifer, 2½ years old. | 8 Grade Ayr. heifers 8 to 10 mos. |
| 5 Ayrshire cows. | |

Steers have also been secured for experiment to the number of 36, as follows:—

- 12 three-year-old steers, short-horn grades.
- 9 two-year-old steers, short-horn grades.
- 10 one-year-old steers, short-horn grades.
- 5 steer calves, short-horn grades.

Total number of cattle, 82.

EXPERIMENT WITH DAIRY COWS.

This experiment was again carried on with a view to further determine whether a fairly good dairy herd, well fed and cared for, would leave a credit balance after paying for feed consumed, their milk being sent to the creamery and their food being charged at current market price.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The different feeds were charged at the following prices:—Wheat, bran, \$20 per ton; oats, \$25 per ton; oil cake, \$33 per ton; gluten meal, \$28 per ton; making an average price of mixed meal ration, as per proportion fed to cows, of $1\frac{1}{2}$ c. per pound. Roots at \$2 per ton, ensilage at \$2 per ton, and hay at \$8 per ton.

The ration fed to cows in full milk was: Ensilage or roots, 50 lbs.; meal, 10 lbs.; hay, 10 lbs., making a cost of 21 cents per cow per day.

In summer months, while milking, they were charged \$2.50 per month, and when dry \$1 per month.

When dry in winter they were charged \$3 per month. Different quantities were fed to different cows, according to their capacity to consume and produce, and charged accordingly.

They were kept in the stable from November 1, 1902, to June 1, 1903, except on occasional fine days, when they were allowed out in the yard.

They were fed, watered and milked each day at as nearly regular intervals as possible, and by the same persons.

The summer feed was practically all summer soiling crops, rye, clover, oats, pease and vetches, grown together and sown at different times.

The milk of each cow was weighed at milking twice each day, and a careful record kept of the number of pounds given.

The percentage of fat in the milk of each cow was determined by the Babcock milk tester, and the fat credited to the cows on the basis that 85 pounds of fat produces 100 pounds of marketable butter.

The milk was sent to the Nappan dairy station, and the cows were credited with the butter produced at the prices paid to all patrons of that station, which averaged for the winter months 24c. per pound and for the summer months $21\frac{1}{2}$ c. per pound, which, after deducting 4 cents per pound for manufacturing and hauling milk, leaves 20 cents per pound for winter butter and $17\frac{1}{2}$ cents per pound for summer butter.

The skim-milk was fed to calves and pigs, and credited to the cows at the rate of 15 cents per 100 pounds.

The following table will show the results obtained during the year :—

Name.	Age.	Breed.	Date of Dropping last Calf.	Days in Milk.	Milk. Lbs.	Fat. p. c.	Butter. Lbs.	Value Skim Milk \$	Total Credit. \$	Cost Feed. \$	Cost making Butter at 4 c. p. lb.		Total Cost \$	Profit. \$
											\$	cts.		
Eva Rooker.....	Sys.	Holstein.....	Jan. 1, 1903.	285	10,040	3.3	389.78	10 04	100 95	50 75	\$	cts.	66 34	34 61
Corie.....	6 "	Ayrsh. Grade.	Dec. 15, 1902.	310	10,010	3.6	400.40	10 01	103 21	53 53	\$	cts.	69 81	33 90
Alton.....	8 "	" "	Jan. 1, 1903.	270	8,860	3.6	375.24	8 86	95 93	50 00	\$	cts.	65 00	30 93
Mosly.....	10 "	" "	Jan. 5, 1903.	270	8,530	3.8	369.56	8 53	94 33	50 00	\$	cts.	64 78	29 55
Lucy.....	10 "	" "	Feb. 1, 1903.	245	8,110	3.5	333.93	8 11	86 04	46 40	\$	cts.	59 75	26 29
Curly.....	4 "	Av. Gn. Grade.	Dec. 10, 1902.	240	6,920	4.0	325.64	6 92	82 43	45 00	\$	cts.	58 02	24 41
Tida Rooker.....	4 "	Holstein.....	" " "	280	8,870	3.3	343.53	8 85	88 55	51 80	\$	cts.	63 54	23 01
Rex's Maud.....	8 "	Guernsey.....	Feb. 20, 1902.	285	6,110	4.7	337.82	6 11	85 29	50 15	\$	cts.	60 33	21 63
Carrie.....	10 "	Ayrsh. Grade.	Feb. 1, 1903.	260	7,140	3.7	310.79	7 14	79 82	47 90	\$	cts.	58 74	19 49
Sonsy.....	7 "	Ayrshire.....	" " "	270	7,110	3.6	301.12	7 11	75 71	46 70	\$	cts.	62 36	16 88
Yellow Katie.....	3 "	" " "	Jan. 1, 1903.	300	7,390	3.6	309.17	7 30	79 24	50 00	\$	cts.	60 24	15 39
Daisy.....	8 "	Ayrsh. Grade.	Jan. 1, 1903.	270	7,340	3.4	293.60	7 34	73 63	48 50	\$	cts.	58 23	14 62
Jessie P.....	9 "	" "	March 4, 1903.	260	6,810	3.6	288.42	6 81	72 85	46 70	\$	cts.	59 45	10 28
Rae.....	24 "	Av. Gn. Grade	Dec. 1, 1902.	270	5,970	3.9	273.91	5 97	69 73	43 50	\$	cts.	59 16	8 48
Lizzie.....	22 "	" "	" " "	270	5,810	3.9	265.57	5 81	67 64	48 50	\$	cts.	58 51	5 42
Blue Bull.....	24 "	Ayrsh. Grade.	" " "	270	5,910	3.6	250.29	5 91	63 93	48 50	\$	cts.	57 95	2 75
Betsy.....	24 "	" "	" " "	270	5,740	3.5	236.35	5 74	60 70	48 50	\$	cts.	56 64	2 17
Rose.....	10 "	Jersey Grade.	Mar. 15, 1903.	235	5,400	3.8	241.17	5 40	57 91	46 10	\$	cts.	53 16	0 51
Mary.....	11 "	Holstein Grade	April 1, 1903.	240	5,540	3.4	221.60	5 54	53 67	44 30	\$	cts.	53 17	0 24
Ida B.....	11 "	Ayrsh. Grade.	" " "	240	5,240	3.6	221.92	5 24	53 41	44 30	\$	cts.	53 17	0 24

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH STEERS.

TIED IN STALLS *vs.* FED IN LOOSE BOX.

This experiment was again carried on with the view of testing the advisability of feeding in loose boxes, as contrasted with similar steers fed tied in stalls.

Sixteen three-year-old steers were used for this test in two lots of eight each, of nearly as possible equal form, fatness and weight (Shorthorn grades.)

All weights were taken after a fast of 14 hours, that is, at 9 a.m., without feed.

All were dehorned previous to beginning of test.

All lots were fed alike, as nearly as possible, from start to finish of test, and kept in the stable all the time, except on occasional fine days, when they were let out for a short time, averaging not more than once a week.

The feeds were charged at the following prices: Hay, \$8 per ton; roots, \$2 per ton; silage, \$2 per ton; mixed meals averaged \$24 per ton; as per proportion fed.

RECORD of steers fed from Dec. 1, 1902, to April 30, 1903.

EXPERIMENT I—LOT I—DEHORNED, FED IN LOOSE BOX.

Numbers.	Dec. 1.	Dec. 31.	Gain.	Jan. 30.	Gain.	Mar. 1.	Gain.	Mar. 31.	Gain.	Apr. 30.	Gain.	Total Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
.....	1,240	1,310	70	1,370	60	1,450	80	1,510	60	1,555	45	315
.....	1,260	1,340	80	1,400	60	1,450	50	1,500	50	1,540	40	280
.....	1,285	1,370	85	1,440	70	1,500	60	1,550	50	1,585	35	300
.....	1,265	1,360	95	1,450	90	1,510	60	1,570	60	1,600	30	335
.....	1,220	1,320	100	1,400	80	1,475	75	1,520	45	1,550	30	330
.....	1,240	1,330	90	1,410	80	1,480	70	1,530	50	1,545	15	305
.....	1,170	1,230	60	1,300	70	1,340	40	1,375	35	1,400	25	230
.....	1,100	1,200	100	1,260	60	1,340	80	1,400	60	1,440	40	340
	9,780	10,460	680	11,030	570	11,545	515	11,955	410	12,215	260	2,435

EXPERIMENT I—LOT II—DEHORNED, TIED IN STALLS.

.....	1,545	1,625	80	1,700	75	1,770	70	1,810	40	1,855	45	310
.....	1,335	1,440	105	1,510	70	1,580	70	1,640	60	1,665	25	330
.....	1,200	1,260	60	1,325	65	1,400	75	1,470	70	1,510	40	310
.....	1,150	1,200	50	1,240	40	1,300	60	1,350	50	1,385	35	235
.....	1,120	1,190	70	1,230	40	1,280	50	1,320	40	1,340	20	220
.....	1,160	1,220	60	1,270	50	1,330	60	1,400	70	1,435	35	275
.....	1,200	1,290	90	1,350	60	1,400	50	1,450	50	1,490	40	290
.....	1,060	1,140	80	1,200	60	1,260	60	1,310	50	1,340	30	280
	9,770	10,365	595	10,825	460	11,320	495	11,750	430	12,020	270	2,250

EXPERIMENT I—AVERAGE COST OF 1 STEER PER DAY FOR ENTIRE PERIOD.

Period.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
1902.		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Dec. 1 to Dec. 31	Roots 90 lbs. Hay 10 " Meal 3 "	0 09 0 03½ 0 04	2 70 1 08 1 20	4 90
1903.				
Dec. 31 to Jan. 30	Roots 60 lbs. Hay 10 " Meal 4 "	0 04 0 04 0 04½	1 80 1 20 1 44	4 44
Jan. 30 to Mar. 1	Roots 40 lbs. Hay 12 " Meal 6 "	0 04 0 04½ 0 07½	1 20 1 44 2 16	4 80
Mar. 1 to Mar. 31	Roots 30 lbs. Hay 15 " Meal 8 "	0 03 0 06 0 09½	0 90 1 80 2 88	5 58
Mar. 31 to April 30	Roots 20 lbs. Hay 15 " Meal 10 "	0 02 0 06 0 12	0 60 1 80 3 60	6 00
Cost of feed 1 steer				25 72
" 16 steers				411 52

SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENT 1.

Financial Part.

Original weight of 16 steers, 19,550 lbs., at 4½c. per lb. . . . \$ 806 18
 Weight at finish of 16 steers, 24,235 lbs. at 5½c. 1,272 33

Balance \$ 466 15
 Cost of feed for lot, 150 days 411 52

Net profit \$ 54 63

Daily rate of gain per steer Lbs. 1'94
 Cost of 1-lb. gain Cts. 8'78
 Cost of feed per day per steer " 17'14
 Profit per steer \$3.41

STEER-CALF EXPERIMENTS.

(Continued from December 1, 1902.)

This experiment, with a view to determine the comparative economy of feeding calves a full fattening ration from the start, as contrasted with a limited growin ration, begun in 1901 and repeated in 1902, was continued with 10 calves in each experiment, in two lots of five each. Those commenced in 1901, termed experiment 1, being continued so. Those commenced in 1902, termed experiment 11, also continue

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Owing to the difficulty in securing suitable calves for this experiment, it was not completed in the spring of 1903, but suitable calves were secured December 1 at six months old, and were put in at that age and date, with a view to continuing this experiment from that age instead of from birth.

In estimating the cost of feeding calves, the following values were placed on the different feeds:—Wheat bran, \$20 per ton; crushed oats, \$24 per ton; oil cake, \$33 per ton; gluten meal, \$28 per ton; roots or ensilage, \$2 per ton; hay, \$8 per ton; straw, \$1 per ton.

STEER CALF EXPERIMENT.

EXPERIMENT 1.—Continued from December 1, 1902.

The full fattening lot of this experiment were considered finished April 30, 1903, and sold. The limited growing lot will be kept until April 30, 1904, when they are expected to be finished, when a comparison of the relative cost from birth to block can be made.

The following tables show the gains per month and the amount of food consumed :

EXPERIMENT I.—FULL FATTENING RATION. CALVES MAY 1901, CONTINUED FROM DECEMBER 1, 1902.

Lot I.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
Period.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
December 1 to December 31.....	4,620	4,955	335
December 31 to January 30.....	4,955	5,335	380
January 30 to March 1.....	5,335	5,735	400
March 1 to March 31.....	5,735	6,095	360
March 31 to April 30.....	6,095	6,355	260

Period.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
December 1 to December 31.....	Roots, 60 lbs.....	0 06	1 20	
	Hay, 8 lbs.....	0 03½	0 96	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
December 31 to January 30.....	Roots, 60 lbs.....	0 06	1 80	3 36
	Hay, 10 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Meal, 4 lbs.....	0 04½	1 44	
January 30 to March 1.....	Roots, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	4 44
	Hay, 10 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Meal, 5 lbs.....	0 06	1 80	
March 1 to March 31.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	4 20
	Hay, 10 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Meal, 6 lbs.....	0 07½	2 16	
March 31 to April 30.....	Roots, 20 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	4 26
	Hay, 12 lbs.....	0 04½	1 44	
	Meal, 7 lbs.....	0 08½	2 52	
				4 56

SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENT 1.

	Lbs.
Weight at start, Dec. 1, 1902, 5 steers.....	4,620
Weight at finish, April 1, 1903, 5 steers.....	6,355
Gain for period.....	1,735
Daily rate of gain per steer.....	2'32
Cost of feed per day per steer.....	\$ 0 12'54
Cost of 1-lb. gain.....	0 06
Cost of feed for lot, 150 days.....	104 10

EXPERIMENT I.—LIMITED GROWING RATION. CALVES MAY 1901. CONTINUED FROM
DECEMBER 1, 1902.

Lot II.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
Period.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
December 1 to December 31, 1902.....	3,485	2,665	180
December 31 to January 30.....	3,665	3,840	175
January 30 to March 1.....	3,840	4,000	160
March 1 to March 31.....	4,000	4,190	190
March 31 to April 30.....	4,160	4,395	235
April 30 to May 30.....	4,395	4,495	100
May 30 to November 1.....	4,495	4,700	205
November 1 to December 1.....	4,700	5,160	460

EXPERIMENT I.—LIMITED GROWING RATION.—CONTINUED FROM DECEMBER 1, 1902.
LOT II.

Period.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ ct.
December 1 to December 31.....	Roots, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 2 lbs.....	0 00½	0 24	
	Straw, 5 lbs.....	0 00¾	0 06	
	Total . . . 47 lbs.	0 05	1 50	1 34
December 31 to January 30.....	Roots, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 2 lbs.....	0 00½	0 24	
	Straw, 5 lbs.....	0 00½	0 06	
	Total.... 47 lbs.	0 05	1 50	1 34
January 30 to March 1	Roots, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 5 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	
	Total.... 45 lbs.	0 06	1 80	1 84
March 1 to March 31.....	Roots, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 5 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	
	Total.... 45 lbs.	0 06	1 80	1 84
March 31 to April 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	
	Hay, 8 lbs.....	0 03½	1 08	
	Total.... 38 lbs.	0 06½	1 98	1 98

SIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENT I.—LOT II.—*Concluded.*

Period.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
30 to May 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	
	Hay, 10 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
0 to November 1.....	At pasture @ \$3 per steer.....			2 10 3 00
ber 1 to December 1.....	Roots, 60 lbs.....	0 06	1 80	
	Hay, 8 lbs.....	0 03½	1 08	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Total ... 71 lbs.	0 13½	4 08	4 08
feed for 1 steer 365 days.....				17 76

SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENT I.—LIMITED GROWING RATION.

Continued from December 1, 1902.—Lot 11.

Weight at start, December 1, 1902, 5 steers.....	Lbs. 3,487
Weight at finish, December 1, 1903, 5 steers.....	5,160
Gain for period.....	1,675

Daily rate of gain per steer.....	Lbs. 86
Cost of feed per day per steer (winter).....	cts. 7
Cost of feed per day per steer (summer).....	" 150
Cost of feed per day per steer for period.....	" 487
Cost of 1 lb. gain.....	" 530
Cost of feed for lot 1 year.....	\$88 80

STEER-CALF EXPERIMENT.—EXPERIMENT II.

(Continued from December 1, 1902.)

The following tables show results to December 1, 1903.—

FATTENING RATION.—EXPERIMENT II.—CONTINUED FROM DECEMBER 1, 1902.

LOT I.

Period.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
1902.			
1 to December 31.....	2,580	2,860	220
1903.			
31 to January 30.....	2,800	3,010	210
30 to March 1.....	3,010	3,200	190
1 to March 31.....	3,200	3,450	250
1 to April 30.....	3,450	3,600	150
1 to May 30.....	3,600	3,800	200
1 to June 30.....	3,800	4,100	300
1 to July 30.....	4,100	4,295	195
1 to August 30.....	4,295	4,410	115
1 to September 30.....	4,410	4,700	290
1 to October 30.....	4,700	4,980	280
1 to December 1.....	4,980	5,220	240

Total gain five steers for one year..... 2,640

FULL FATTENING RATION.—EXPERIMENT II—CON. LOT I.

Period.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$
Dec. 1 to Dec. 31.....	Roots, 15 lbs	0 01½	0 45	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	1
Dec. 31 to Jan. 30.....	Roots, 20 lbs	0 02	0 60	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	1
Jan. 30 to Mar. 1....	Roots, 25 lbs	0 02½	0 75	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	2
Mar. 1 to Mar. 31.....	Roots, 25 lbs	0 03	0 90	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	2
Mar. 31 to April 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	0 01½	0 48	2
April 30 to May 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs	0 03	0 90	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	0 01½	0 48	2
May 30 to June 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs	0 03	0 90	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 5 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	2
June 30 to July 30.....	Green feed, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	1
July 30 to Aug. 28.....	Green feed, 40 lbs	0 04	1 20	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	1
Aug. 28 to Oct. 1.....	Green feed, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 36	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 36	2
Oct. 1 to Nov. 1.....	Roots and G. F., 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	2
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	Roots, 40 lbs	0 04	1 20	
	Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 5 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	3
	Cost to feed 1 steer, 1 year.....			27

SUMMARY, FULL FATTENING RATION, EXPERIMENT II. LOT I.

	Lbs.
Weight at start, December 1, 1902.....	2,580
Weight at finish, December 1, 1903.....	5,220
Total gain for period.....	2,640
Daily rate of gain per steer.....	lbs. 1'44
Cost of feed per day per steer.....	cts. 7'55
Cost of 1 lb. gain.....	" 5'21
Cost of feed for lot 1 year.....	\$137 80

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

LOT II.—EXPERIMENT II.—CALVES OF 1902.—LIMITED GROWING RATION.—CONTINUED
FROM DECEMBER 1902.

Period	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
December 1 to December 31.....	1,945	2,150	205
December 31 to January 30.....	2,150	2,420	270
January 30 to March 1.....	2,420	2,725	305
March 1 to March 31.....	2,725	2,975	250
March 31 to April 30.....	2,975	3,195	220
April 30 to May 30.....	3,195	3,300	105
May 30 to November 1.....	3,300	3,480	180
November 1 to December 1.....	3,480	3,690	210
Gain of lot for year.....			1,745

LOT II.—LIMITED GROWING RATION.

Period.	Daily Rations.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
December 1 to 31.....	Roots, 15 lbs.....	0 01 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 45	
	Meal, 1 lb.....	0 01 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 36	
	Straw, 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs.....	0 00 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 15	
31 to Jan. 30..	Roots, 20 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	0 96
	Meal, 1 lb.....	0 01 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 36	
	Straw, 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs.....	0 00 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 15	
January 30 to March 1..	Roots, 25 lbs.....	0 02 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 75	1 11
	Meal, 1 lb.....	0 01 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 36	
	Hay, 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	
March 1 to March 31....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	1 41
	Meal, 1 lb.....	0 01 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 36	
	Hay, 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	
31 to April 30....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	1 56
	Meal, 1 lb.....	0 01 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 36	
	Hay, 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	
30 to May 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	1 56
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	0 01 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 48	
50 to November 1..	Pasture at \$3 per steer.....			1 38
December 1 to Dec. 1....	Roots, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	3 00
	Hay, 2 lbs.....	0 00 $\frac{1}{2}$	0 24	
	Straw, 5 lbs.....	0 01	0 30	
Total.....				1 74
				12 72

SUMMARY.

	Lbs.
Weight at start, December 1, 1902.....	1,945
Weight at finish, December 1, 1903.....	3,690
Total gain for period.....	1,745

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

Daily rate of gain per steer.	lbs.	'95
Cost of feed per day per steer (winter)	cts.	4'52
Cost of feed per steer (summer)	"	1'50
Cost of feed per day per steer for period	\$	3 48
Cost of 1 lb. gain	cts.	3'64
Cost of feed for lot, 1 year		\$63 60

PIGS.

The herd of pigs on the farm consists of Yorkshires, Berkshires, and their grades and crosses, in all 60 head, as follows:—

- 1 Yorkshire boar, registered.
- 4 Yorkshire sows, registered.
- 1 Berkshire boar, registered.
- 2 Berkshire sows, registered.
- 6 grade brood sows.
- 46 grade pigs, from 1 to 6 months' old.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SWINE.

FEEDING IN PASTURE COMPARED WITH FEEDING IN PENS.

The experiment carried on in the summer of 1902, was repeated this year with 20 pigs of from 1 to 2 months old, in 2 lots of 10 each, of various breeds and crosses, each lot consisting of an equal number from each litter and termed lot 1 and lot 11:— lot 1 in pasture and lot 11 in pens.

They were fed an average ration of 2 lbs. buckwheat meal, shorts and wheat-bran, and 3 lbs. skim-milk, from July 1 to November 1, and pasture, which consisted of 1 acre of equal parts of rape, hairy or sand vetch, and spring vetch and peas mixed, sown side by side lengthwise of the field, and divided with hurdles crosswise of the field into six divisions.

The pigs were moved from division to division once every week. A portable house was used for shelter.

On November 1 the pigs were taken into pens, and fed a ration of 3 lbs. per day of a mixture of shorts, corn-meal and wheat-meal, until December 1.

The results are as follows:

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH SWINE.—EXPERIMENT I.—LOT I.

ON PASTURE, JULY 1 TO NOVEMBER 1; FED IN PENS, NOVEMBER 1 TO DECEMBER 1.

Breed.	Weight, July 1.	Weight, November 1.	Weight, December 1.	Days Fed.	Gained.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Yorkshire.....	35	172	233	153	198
" (D) Berkshire (S).....	30	158	198	153	168
" (D) Tamworth (S).....	24	137	184	153	160
Berkshire (D) Yorkshire (S).....	29	148	192	153	163
Chester (grade).....	27	120	160	153	133
Yorkshire.....	34	191	243	153	209
" (D) Berkshire (S).....	31	151	192	153	161
" (D) Tamworth (S).....	30	115	157	153	127
Berkshire (D) Yorkshire (S).....	24	118	184	153	160
Chester (grade).....	21	139	175	153	154

LOT II.—FED IN PENS, JULY 1 TO DECEMBER 1.

Yorkshire.....	32	152	178	153	146
" (D) Berkshire (S).....	30	140	161	153	131
" (D) Tamworth (S).....	26	119	146	153	120
Berkshire (D) Yorkshire (S).....	28	122	153	153	125
Chester (grade).....	22	86	118	153	96
Yorkshire.....	31	129	157	153	126
" (D) Berkshire (S).....	24	128	152	153	128
" (D) Tamworth (S).....	27	108	138	153	111
Berkshire (D) Yorkshire (S).....	26	116	144	153	118
Chester (grade).....	18	102	141	153	123

Lot 1—average daily gain on pasture, July 1 to Nov. 1..... Lbs. '95
 " " in pens, Nov. 1 to Dec. 1..... '51
 " " entire period.... '06
 Cost per lb. gain entire period, exclusive of pasture.... 3'04c.

Lot 11—average daily gain in pens, July 1 to Nov. 1..... Lbs. '76
 " " Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.... '92
 " " entire period .. '80
 Cost per lb. gain entire period.... 4'05c.

SHEEP.

The flock of sheep at present consists of:—

- 1 pure bred Leicester ram.
- 5 pure bred Leicester ewes.
- 5 pure bred Shropshire ewes.
- 4 grade Shropshire ewes.
- 2 cross bred Leicester-Shropshire ewe lambs.

POULTRY.

During the year four breeds of poultry were kept: B. P. Rocks, Black Minorcas, White Leghorns and Buff Wyandottes.

Two additional breeds were the number added this year, and now on hand is six Barred P. Rocks, Black Minorcas, White Leghorns, Buff Wyandottes, White Wyandottes and Silver Grey Dorkings.

The breeding pens were made up as follows:—

	Hens.	Cocks.
B. P. Rocks.....	4	1
Black Minorcas.....	3	1
W. Leghorns.....	4	1
Buff Wyandottes....	3	1

The season's chicks were all hatched by incubator, the incubator being filled times.

During the winter season they were fed on corn-meal, shorts and crushed oat mashed in the morning, and whole grain in the afternoon. Green-bones, meat-scraps and oyster shells were regularly given and free access to water and dust bath.

The eggs laid during the year by the different breeds were as follows:—

Variety.	Eggs laid.	Av. per hen.
4. B. P. Rocks.....	260	65
4. W. Leghorns....	310	85
3. B. Minorcas.....	160	53
3. Buff Wyandottes.....	250	83

In past years they were only allowed a run out part of the time as they were quite destructive to flowers and shrubs that grew close to their buildings, and as a consequence had to be kept in small yards the greater part of the summer.

This summer a yard of about $\frac{1}{2}$ acre in extent was fenced off close to their building, which will serve as a run for the future, thus improving the conditions under which they have been kept.

BEES.

Six colonies were put into winter quarters last December; all died through the winter.

EXPERIMENTS TO TEST THE VALUE OF BUG DEATH AS COMPARED WITH PARIS GREEN AND BORDEAUX AND PARIS GREEN ON POTATOES.

The object of this experiment was to test the value of Bug Death as an insecticide as compared with Paris green, and also as an insecticide and fungicide as compared with bordeaux mixture and Paris green.

For this experiment a piece of ground was chosen adjoining the potato plots. The land was similar in character and had the same treatment. It was divided into three plots, each one-twentieth of an acre. The variety of potato used was the Delaware and the plots were all planted May 22 and dug September 23. The vines were sprayed or dusted three times, July 21, August 4 and August 28.

SSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Plot A.—Paris green, $\frac{1}{2}$ lb., 1 gallon lime water, and water added to make 40 gallons. This was sprayed on the plants twice only, as no bugs were present after the second application. For the first application $6\frac{3}{4}$ gallons were used; for the second $7\frac{1}{2}$ gallons were used, making a total of $14\frac{1}{2}$ gallons per plot of one-twentieth acre, or 290 gallons per acre for both applications, the mixture containing for the acre 3 lbs. 10 oz. Paris green.

Plot B.—Bug Death dry was dusted on the leaves with a cheese cloth dusting bag. The vines were nicely covered, but not given an excessive amount. For the first application $4\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of Bug Death was used per plot; for the second, 5 lbs. per plot, and for the third, $4\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. per plot, making a total of $14\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. per plot, or 285 lbs. per acre in the three applications.

Plot C.—Bordeaux and Paris green mixture, made as follows:—Bluestone, 4 lbs.; lime, 4 lbs.; Paris green, $\frac{1}{2}$ lb., and water added to make 40 gallons. For the first application seven gallons of the mixture was used, second application 8 gallons per plot, and third application $7\frac{1}{2}$ gallons of Bordeaux alone, as it was not considered necessary to add Paris green, no bugs being present. This made a total of $22\frac{1}{2}$ gallons of the mixture to the acre at three applications, or equal to 450 gallons of the mixture to the acre, for which 45 lbs. of bluestone, 45 lbs. lime and $3\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. of Paris green would be used.

MATERIAL USED AND COST PER ACRE.

Plot A.—3 lbs. 10 oz. Paris green at 20c. per lb. \$ 0 72 $\frac{1}{2}$

Plot B.—285 lbs. Bug Death at \$7 per hundred. \$19 95

Plot C.—45 lbs. bluestone at 7c. per lb. \$ 3 15

45 " rock lime at 1c. 0 45

$3\frac{3}{4}$ " Paris green at 20c. 0 75

\$ 4 35

For killing bugs alone two applications of either Paris green or Bug Death are sufficient. Therefore, the cost of Plot A, as compared with Plot B per acre, is as follows:—

Plot A.—3 lbs. 10 oz. Paris green at 20c. \$ 0 72 $\frac{1}{2}$

" B.—190 lbs. Bug Death at 7c. 13 30

There was no blight on any of these plots. The following yields per acre were obtained.

How Treated.

	Bus.	Lbs.
Plot B.—Bug Death.	373	20
" C.—Bordeaux and Paris green.	310	..
" A.—Paris green.	290	20

METEOROLOGICAL RECORD.

The maximum and minimum thermometrical observations for the year beginning December 1, 1902, and ending November 30, 1903 :—

Month,	Maximum.	Minimum.
1902.		
December.....	22nd 52° above zero.....	10th 16° below zero.....
1903.		
January.....	21st and 27th 41° above zero.....	19th 12° ".....
February.....	28th 50° above zero.....	18th and 20th 5° below zero.....
March.....	14th 53° ".....	3rd 2° above zero.....
April.....	29th 65° ".....	7th 13° ".....
May.....	28th 74° ".....	16th 22° ".....
June.....	10th 80° ".....	4th 28° ".....
July.....	11th 82° ".....	29th 40° ".....
August.....	20th 76° ".....	3rd 36° ".....
September.....	14th 80° ".....	10th 32° ".....
October.....	1st 69° ".....	31st 23° ".....
November.....	1st 4th 5th 57° above zero.....	21st 11° ".....

RAINFALL.

April..	3'57 inches.
May..	'68 "
June..	2'29 "
July..	2'07 "
August..	2'40 "
September..	3'63 "
October..	5'78 "
November..	7'98 "
Total..	23:40 "

I have the honour to be, sir,
your obedient servant,

R. ROBERTSON,
Superintendent.

REPORT OF THE HORTICULTURIST.

(W. S. BLAIR.)

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

NAPPAN, N.S., December 1, 1903.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith a report of some of the work done in horticultural department of the Experimental Farm for the maritime provinces for year 1903.

The spring generally was very favourable for getting work done, on account of less than usual dry weather. The mean average temperature for May was about equal to that of other years. June was not as warm as usual. July was about up to average temperature, while August was considerably cooler. This made it unfavourable for plants that require plenty of heat to develop properly. The following gives the mean average temperature for the months of May, June, July, August and September, as compared with those months of the years 1900-1901 and 1902:—

Month.	MEAN TEMPERATURE AT NAPPAN.				Rainfall, 1903.
	1903.	1902.	1901.	1900.	
	°	°	°	°	Inches.
.....	47.7	47.6	49.1	46.1	0.68
.....	53.6	54.5	59.3	57	2.29
.....	62.7	61.7	65.2	64.5	2.07
.....	59.3	63.4	65.3	62.1	2.40
ber.	57.5	57.5	58.4	53.4	3.63

The exceptionally dry weather in May and to the latter part of June caused a slow even germination of garden seeds. In some cases where there was not sufficient moisture to start them they remained dormant for several weeks. The dry weather exceptionally trying to annual flowering plants; both those started from seed in open ground and transplanted plants. There were frosts in June on the 1st, 2nd, and 5th, doing considerable damage. Frost kept off unusually well in the fall, the first being on October 4 of 6°.

The apple crop here was about up to the average, and of excellent quality. The crop in the Annapolis and Cornwallis valleys and western end of the province was good one. The apple spot was not so prevalent as usual, the season not favourable for development. The fruit developed well, and the percentage of inferior fruit small. The fruit crop in the eastern end of the province is small. Prince Edward reports would indicate that on the average not one-third of a fair crop was secured. New Brunswick reports a good crop of apples of excellent quality.

In plums we have to report a complete failure, due to the late frosts killing the blossoms. The report is an average crop in the Annapolis and Cornwallis valley. Prince Edward Island reports that on the average one-half of a good yield was harvested.

Cherries here all suffered from late frosts. The frost and birds together have made it difficult to obtain a quart of ripe cherries the past three years. The pear crop was also a failure this year.

Strawberries, owing to the extremely dry weather, gave only one-half an average crop. A considerable shortage in this crop is also reported from all over the maritime provinces. The gooseberries, raspberries and currants were only a fair crop. Cranberries are reported one-third of a fair yield, due to the injury of blossoms from the late frosts.

The fruit trees have made a fair growth this season. The shrubs and ornamental trees made an average growth. An addition was made this season to the area devoted to ornamental trees and shrubs and many new varieties sent from the Central Farm at Ottawa were planted, all of which did well.

The collection of annuals and perennials are each year a source of much pleasure and profit to visitors. In this report I am presenting some of the information obtained from the annuals tested here during the past four years. I am also reporting the growth of hedges under test here. Experiments were again conducted with different varieties of vegetables, some of which are included in this report.

I beg to acknowledge the following donations: From John Byrne, Esq., Kenilville, N.S., scions of 'Cornish Aromatic' apple. From Mr. A. S. Banks, Waterville, N.S., scions of 'Black Ben Davis,' and 'Apple of Commerce.' From Mr. Wm. Satter, Falmouth, N.S., two trees of 'Stark' apples. From Stark Bros., Louisiana, Missouri, ten varieties of peach trees.

I addressed several agricultural meetings in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick during the year; also a series of two weeks' institute meetings in Prince Edward Island from February 17 until March 3.

HEDGES.

In the spring of 1896 twenty-three different kinds of hedges were planted. The plants were from 6 to 8 inches high, and were set 18 inches apart, in rows 50 feet long.

The hedges were placed ten feet apart, and have been trimmed more or less every year. This is done once about the last of June to head in rank growing shoots, but the principal clipping is done the last of July or early in August.

The system of clipping adopted here with deciduous hedges is to produce round top and sides, and this has given satisfactory results. Where the sides are clipped square with almost a square top, as is sometimes seen, hedges so treated usually have many dead bottom branches.

In pruning the evergreen hedges, the aim is to give a gradual rounding from the top to the ground, giving the tips of all branches access to sunlight and rainfall, which doubtless aids their proper development, and in this way well grown vigorous branches to the bottom are usually obtained. Severe clipping when the hedges are young is not necessary, but some trimming should be done every year.

Sometimes hedges are planted with two rows, 8 or 10 inches apart. This is not always necessary, as one row of plants 18 inches apart will give excellent results. Plants not more than 18 inches high, well branched to the bottom, are the best. The common spruce makes one of the best and most easily obtained hedges, and no prettier hedge can be had if kept in proper shape. The Amur Privet, *Ligustrum amurense*, is one of the best of the deciduous hedges tested here. The Ginnalian maple is a stronger and quicker growing hedge; but it requires more clipping to keep in shape.

EVERGREEN HEDGES.

Name of Variety.	Present height of hedge.	Present width of hedge at bottom.	Character of Hedge.
	Feet.	Feet.	
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i> , common Arbor vitæ or White Cedar.....	24	3½	Good.
<i>Picea nigra</i> , Common Black Spruce.....	24	3	"
<i>Picea excelsa</i> , Norway Spruce.....	33	4	"
<i>Picea pungens</i> , Rocky Mountain Blue Spruce.....	24	2½	"
<i>Pinus Cembra</i> , Swiss Stone Pine.....	24	2½	Fair.
<i>Pseudotsuga Douglasii</i> , Douglas Fir.....	34	3½	Good.

DECIDUOUS HEDGES.

<i>Ligustrum amurense</i> , Amur Privet.....	33	5½	Good.
<i>Rhamnus cathartica</i> , Common Buckthorn.....	34	4	"
<i>Acer tataricum Ginnala</i> , Ginnalain Maple.....	5½	6	"
<i>Acer glabrum</i> , Smooth Western Maple.....	3	3	Very poor.
<i>Cotoneaster acutifolia</i> , Sharp-leaved Cotoneaster.....	3	3½	Fair.
<i>Cotoneaster integerrima</i> , Common Cotoneaster.....	21	3½	"
<i>Rubus Thunbergii</i> , Thunberg's Barberry.....	24	4	Good.
<i>Rosa rubrifolia</i> , Purple-leaved Rose.....	4	3	Very poor.
<i>Rubus Vulgaris purpurea</i> , Purple-leaved Barberry.....	24	2½	Fair.
<i>Lonicera tatarica</i> , Tartarian Honeysuckle.....	4	4	"
<i>Prunella arborescens</i> , Siberian Pea tree.....	3	3	"
<i>Fraxinus frutescens</i> , Woody Caragana.....	21	2½	"
<i>Laurum Landana</i> , Wayfaring Tree.....	24	2½	"
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i> , Charles X. seedling lilac.....	4	3½	"
<i>Spiraea Van Houttei</i> , Van Houtte's Spiræa.....	3	3½	"
<i>Salix opulifolia aurea</i> , Golden-leaved Nine bark.....	3½	4	"
<i>Salix opulifolia</i> , Nine Bark.....	4½	5½	"

ANNUAL FLOWERING PLANTS.

The object in growing a number of annual flowering plants is to beautify the grounds, and to obtain information as to their relative value for bedding, massing, or mixed planting. Some bedding work is done, but the majority of the flowers are grown in masses in beds, 3 by 12 feet. These are easily kept weeded, and one-half of each bed is usually given to a variety. The plants grown are generally of mixed colours, and little attention has thus far been devoted to varieties in special colours. Mixed will be found to give general satisfaction, and the best strains obtainable of different kinds are used.

A large number of annuals will start easily in the open ground, but for early ones those grown in the hot-bed and once transplanted there to develop stocky, well rooted plants, will be found the most satisfactory. The difficulty in sowing the seed in the open ground is to get the young plants started early enough. The seed generally is sown shallow, and a few dry days will thoroughly dry out the surface soil. In some instances careful and frequent watering is needed; very dry weather is also unfavorable for transplanting. This year strawberry boxes were used to shade the plants for a few days until they were rooted. The show of flowers was good this year, and the selection an excellent one, containing many new and interesting things.

LIST OF ANNUAL FLOWERS GROWN AT NAPPAN.

Propagated in hot-beds, grown March 15: transplanted into shallow boxes about April 15 and put out in open ground May 15.

Asters (12 varieties).—Flowered profusely and made an excellent display.

Ageratum coyzoides.—Made a nice show with its brush-like blue flowers.

Amarantus superbus.—Gave excellent results.

Brachycoma iberidifolia.—A graceful plant; fine for edging, flowered abundantly, *Chrysanthemum coronarium*, *Chrysanthemum carinatum tricolor*, *Chrysanthemum aureum*.—These all flower freely and are very attractive. *C. aureum* is an excellent border plant.

Celosia plumosa, *Celosia plumosa superba*, *Celosia plumosa* (dwarf).—All good varieties; flowered freely; very useful for bedding.

Dianthus chinensis, *Dianthus Heddeggii*, *Dianthus laciniatus*, *Dianthus dematus*, *Dianthus imperialis*.—All good sorts; produce flowers in great variety of form and colour in great abundance. In bloom from early in August to frost.

Gaillardia picta, *Gaillardia picta Lorenziana*.—Produce brilliant flowers in great abundance.

Lobelia erinus (Crystal Palace).—Valuable for bedding and edging.

Antirrhinum majus, *Antirrhinum majus manum*, *Antirrhinum* (Tom Thumb).—Beautiful free flowering varieties of Snapdragon.

Nicotiana affinis, *Nicotiana colossea*, *Nicotiana sylvestris*.—Free blooming and effective, especially in large beds.

Phlox Drummondii (many varieties).—Excellent for bedding; free bloomers with a wide range of attractive colours.

Petunias (many sorts, single and double).—Very showy flowers, abundant bloomers, useful for bedding.

Portulaca grandiflora.—Produces brilliant flowers in great abundance.

Pansies (many varieties).—Flower most freely, make an excellent display.

Stocks (many varieties).—Give fine flowers; useful for bedding.

Verbenas (in great variety).—Profuse bloomers, very pretty.

Zinnias.—Showy annuals; flowers purple and orange.

LIST OF ANNUAL FLOWERS GROWN AT NAPPAN.

SOWN IN THE OPEN GROUND ABOUT MAY 15.

China Asters, 12 varieties.—Made a fine show in the autumn.

Abronia umbellata.—In bloom August 6. A handsome trailing plant.

Agrostemma cæli rosea.—In bloom last of July. Bloomed well.

Amarantus superbus.—Flowered freely in the autumn.

Alyssum Little Gem.—Succeeds well; a fine border plant.

Bartonia aurea.—In bloom July 18 to September 8; made a fine show.

Cacalia coccinea.—Produces scarlet flowers in abundance.

Cacalia lutea.—An orange flowered sort; very desirable.

Calendula officinalis (Royal Trianon).—In bloom July 24; flowers very fine and abundant.

Coreopsis tinctoria, *Coreopsis Drummondii*, *Coreopsis Atkinsoniana*.—Very showy. Flowers bright yellow; produced in abundance from last of July to frost.

Iberis coronaria, *Iberis odorata*, *Iberis umbellata*.—Plants useful for bedding bloom freely from July 18 until frost.

Centaurea cyanus, *Centaurea moschata*, *Centaurea alba*.—All bloom well from July 18 until late in autumn. Make a fine display.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Godetia rubicunda splendens, *Godetia Whitneyi*.—Produce showy flowers of a tin-like texture, beginning July 20.

Eschscholtzia californica, *Eschscholtzia mandarin*, *Eschscholtzia Douglassi*.—Known as California Poppies; remarkable for the abundance and brilliance of their flowers.

Gypsophila elegans, *Gypsophila elegans rosea*.—Produces small flowers in abundance, valuable for bouquets.

Helichrysum bracteatum.—Everlasting flowers; very desirable.

Helianthus multiflorus fl. pl., *Helianthus cucumeri folius Stella*.—Produce showy light yellow flowers in abundance.

Larkspur hyacinth-flowered, *Larkspur ranunculus-flowered*, *Larkspur candelabrum*, *Larkspur Emperor*.—These different forms of larkspur are all desirable. They vary in height and colour, but are free bloomers and very ornamental.

Lupinus sulphureus, *Lupinus hybridus fl. pl.* *Lupinus nanus fl. albus*, *Lupinus albus albo coccinea*.—Different forms of Lupin, producing in August large spikes of flowers of different colours.

Nigella damascena.—Produces interesting and attractive flowers.

Papaver somniferum, *Papaver Rhoeas*, *Papaver carnation-flowered*, *French Shirley*.—All desirable forms of Poppy; very free bloomers, with a wide range of colours.

Scabious major, *Scabious major dwf.*—Produce flowers in great abundance.

Salpiglossis var. grandiflora, *Salpiglossis var. superbissimus*.—Both very fine varieties and free bloomers.

Tagetes signata pumila.—A very fine bloomer, good for massing.

GARDEN VEGETABLES.

EXPERIMENTS WITH GARDEN PEASE.

Comparative tests have been carried on for a number of years with varieties of garden peas obtained from different seedsmen. This season eighty-two sorts were tested including many of the newer sorts advertised. The information obtained from these tests has been reported from time to time, and varieties considered of less value than others have been discarded. This season fifty sorts have been thought not worthy of further test, and a table of those kinds found to be the best is given below.

The seed was sown in rows 3 feet apart, and 33 feet long. No stakes or brush was used as support to the vines, they being allowed to spread between the rows. Two rows, each 33 feet long, were planted of each variety—one row was allowed to ripen for seed, and the other was picked when fit for eating green, and the weight of unshelled peas fit for market obtained. The seed was sown May 4, in drills 2 inches deep, 1½ feet apart.

The ground was previously in corn, having been manured for that crop in the spring of 1902. Complete commercial fertilizer, at the rate of 100 lbs. per acre, was applied along the rows at time of planting. The land was well worked up before planting, and the rows were kept cultivated and hoed until the first of July, after which account of the vines covering the ground it could not be worked.

Peas can be grown in almost any kind of soil, but for the best results a fairly rich soil should be selected. The pea plant likes a cool moist soil, and can be planted as early in the spring as the land is fit to work. No gain, however, is made by planting peas in ground that has been worked before it is dry enough.

The wrinkled sorts of peas are generally better in quality than the smooth, round sorts, but the majority of very early peas put upon the market are of the latter character. The Alaska, or green smooth pea, and Station, a green wrinkled variety, are the best very early peas to grow. They are as early and as good croppers as any of the

very early sorts tested. They are not large podded, as, in fact, none of the very early sorts are. Following these as market sorts are, Prosperity, or Gradus, Thomas Saxton and King Edward VII., all about the same class and coming in at the same time. These are practically of the same season as Nott's Excelsior and American Wonder, but have much larger pods. We could not see any difference between Thomas Saxton and King Edward VII. pea. These varieties can be safely recommended for either home use or market purposes, surpassing in vigor and productiveness either the Gradus or Prosperity, and if anything a little earlier.

GARDEN PEASE.

Name of Variety.	When First Fit to Use.	Date of Last Picking.	Length of Vine.	Length of Pods.	Number of Peas in Pod.	Size of Pea.	Kind of Pea.	Total weight of marketable peas in pod.
			in.	in.				lb.
Alaska.....	July 15..	July 30..	36	2½ to 3	6 to 7	Small	Smooth	24
Station.....	" 15..	" 30..	38	2½ " 3	6 " 7	"	Wrinkled	24
Surprise.....	" 15..	" 30..	37	2½ " 3	6 " 7	"	"	23
First of All.....	" 15..	" 30..	40	2½ " 3	6 " 7	"	Smooth	21
Claudit.....	" 21..	Aug. 4..	42	3 " 3½	6 " 8	Medium	"	21
Exonian.....	" 21..	" 4..	42	2½ " 3	6 " 7	"	Wrinkled	21
Ameer.....	" 21..	" 4..	37	3 " 3½	6 " 8	Large	Smooth	19
Prosperity.....	" 21..	" 6..	43	3½ " 4	6 " 8	"	Wrinkled	20
Thos. Saxton.....	" 21..	" 4..	47	3½ " 4	6 " 8	"	"	21
King Edward VII.....	" 21..	" 4..	47	3½ " 4	6 " 8	"	"	21
Gradus.....	" 21..	" 6..	43	3½ " 4	6 " 8	"	"	21
A 1.....	" 21..	" 6..	42	3½ " 3¾	6 " 7	"	"	21
American Wonder.....	" 21..	" 6..	28	2½ " 3	5 " 7	Medium	"	23
Nott's Excelsior.....	" 21..	" 6..	22	2½ " 3	5 " 7	"	"	23
Juno.....	Aug. 3..	" 13..	30	3½ " 4	7 " 8	Large	"	24
Hurst's Reliance.....	" 3..	" 8..	46	3 " 3½	5 " 7	"	"	24
Dwarf Defiance.....	" 3..	" 8..	20	3½ " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	24
Advancer.....	" 3..	" 13..	48	2 " 3	6 " 7	Medium	"	24
Daisy.....	" 3..	" 13..	20	3½ " 4	6 " 8	Large	"	24
Prolific.....	" 3..	" 13..	36	3½ " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	24
Admiral Dewey.....	" 3..	" 13..	40	3½ " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	24
American Champion.....	" 3..	" 13..	54	3½ " 4	7 " 8	"	"	24
Prince Edward.....	" 3..	" 13..	54	3½ " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	24
Dwarf Telephone.....	" 3..	" 18..	24	3 " 3½	6 " 8	"	"	25
Prodigious.....	" 10..	" 18..	52	3½ " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	25
Fillbasket.....	" 10..	" 25..	48	3½ " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	25
Perfection.....	" 10..	" 28..	52	3½ " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	25
Heroine.....	" 10..	" 20..	53	3½ " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	25
Duke of Albany.....	" 10..	" 25..	50	3 " 3½	6 " 7	"	"	25
Stratagem.....	" 10..	" 25..	49	3 " 3½	7 " 8	"	"	25
Stanley.....	" 10..	" 25..	52	4 " 4½	7 " 9	"	"	26
Perpetual.....	" 10..	" 30..	49	3 " 3½	5 " 7	"	"	28

EXPERIMENTS WITH TOMATOES.

Seventy-one varieties were included in this comparative test. The seed was sown April 7, in boxes 3 inches deep with 2½ inches of soil. These boxes were set on a bed having a moderate heat. The plants did not make a rapid growth, but strong vigorous plants were ready for pricking out; one plant in a strawberry box filled with fairly rich garden soil, on April 27. These boxes were set closely together in a bed having moderate heat, and having about 2 inches of sand over the manure.

These plants were carefully watched, giving a judicious amount of water, allowing plenty of ventilation on warm days. The boxes were moved in the hot-b once a week to prevent the roots of the plants from fastening on the manure below the boxes, for the roots will quickly penetrate into it through the openings in the boxes.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

It is not well to force the plants too much in the hot-bed, but a moderate, continuous growth is important. To be the most successful, this character of growth should be maintained if practicable, without check from the time the plants are started until the fruit is ripe. Before planting out, the sash was left off the plants as much as possible, this making them hardy and more stocky. The tomato requires uniformity of heat and moisture to develop properly. There is usually no gain in setting the plants in the open ground before towards the middle of June. This year, however, the soil was fairly warm, and weather conditions favourable, and they were set out June 10. The boxes were cut, and the plants set with the earth attached. They were set on the level and not mounded up.

Usually it is not necessary to water the plants when they are planted this way, but this season a drying wind with exceptionally dry soil made it necessary to water. This was done by making the soil cup-shaped around the plant to hold the water, pouring about a quart around each plant. After the water had been soaked up, dry earth was put around each plant to conserve the moisture by preventing evaporation. Out of the 400 plants set none were lost. Although the season kept it was not found necessary to water again.

The practice followed by some of keeping the soil around the plants soaked with water, is not good, as an excess of water and lack of heat checks the growth of the plants very materially.

The plants when set were from 8 to 10 inches high, and some of them were in blossom. A lath was driven into the ground by each plant, to which it was tied. The lateral branches were kept cut off as they appeared, and the plant trained to the stake, leaving only one stalk to grow. Each plant was tied to the stake three times as they grew, and each plant was about 4 feet high at the end of the season.

This method gives more perfect fruit which ripens earlier than where the plants are allowed to run untrained over the ground; but, the yield of fruit is not so large. Plants of six varieties were allowed to grow without stakes to compare with five other plants of the same variety staked. Those trained were not affected with rot so much as those not staked, and there was a much larger percentage of perfect marketable fruit. The unstaked plants require more room, and should be set 4 by 4 feet apart each way, while those staked can be set 30 inches apart each way.

The practice followed by some is to let the vines grow until about the first of August, when three stakes each about 3 feet long are set pyramid shape over the plant, tied at the top. The vines are gathered together and tied with binder twine to the top of these stakes. This keeps the fruit from the ground and prevents so much damping-off around the fruit, thereby materially lessening the loss of fruit from rot.

Sufficient cultivation and hoeing was given to keep the ground in a loose condition. The ground had not been manured since the spring of 1901, and had tomatoes on it in 1900. The usual practice is to grow tomatoes where the previous crop has been corn, and not use stable manure directly for the crop, as it is apt to produce too rapid a growth in the plant. The soil on which these plants were grown was a light loam, not very fertile, and potato fertilizer at the rate of 300 lbs. per acre was broadcast and harrowed before planting. In addition to this, one teaspoonful of soda was scattered around each plant on June 26 before a rain, and a similar amount on July 14. This quantity of nitrate of soda will be found sufficient to give the plants a good start.

The object of the experiment was to find out which kinds are earliest matured and best for market purpose. The requirement of the market is for an even, medium-sized round, smooth tomato; Bond's Early Minnesota, a smooth purplish pink, medium-sized tomato; Early Ruby, medium, quite smooth, scarlet, and Extra Early, medium, smooth, scarlet.

The season being short at best for tomatoes in the maritime provinces, earliness of great importance. Any fruit that will mature at Nappan is likely to mature almost any part of the maritime provinces, if given similar treatment.

Five plants of a variety were planted in each plot, and the following yield of ripe and green fruit was obtained. For fear of frost, all unpicked fruit was gathered September 21.

TOMATOES.

Name of Variety.	SEPT. 4.	SEPT. 14.	SEPT. 21.		Total Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants.	Total Green Fruit from 5 Plants.	Character of Fruit.
	Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants.	Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants.	Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants.	Green Fruit from 5 Plants.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
Autocrat.....	3½	4	1½	5	9	14	Smooth.
Atlantic Prize.....	4	2½	2½	6½	9½	15½	Medium smooth
Acme	8½	3½	4	10½	16½	27	Smooth.
Acme—Improved.....	5½	11½	2½	7½	18½	28	"
Brinton's Best.....	1½	3½	4	12½	9	21½	"
Bright and Early.....	2	4	1	7	7	14	"
Baltimore Prize Taker..	1½	3½	4	11½	9	20½	"
Bolgiano's Best	3	2½	3	8½	6	14½	"
Best of All.....	3	4	2½	15	7½	22½	"
Crimson Cushion.....	1½	4	8	13	13½	26½	Medium smooth
Century.....	3	3	5½	11½	11½	23	Smooth.
Comrade.....	8½	5½	2½	13½	11½	21½	Medium smooth
Combination	3½	3	5	11½	11½	23	Smooth.
Climax.....	2½	8½	3½	8	14½	22½	"
Canada.....	1½	2½	3½	19½	7½	27	Medium smooth
Cream City.....	2	5½	2	11	8	19	Smooth.
Democrat.....	1½	3	2	6½	6½	12½	"
Dominion Day.....	3½	12½	1½	8	17½	25½	Medium smooth
Diadem.....	1½	3½	3½	10½	8	19½	Smooth.
Dwarf Champion.....	5½	2½	3	12½	6½	18½	"
Earlana.....	2	4	2	12	11	23	"
Early Minnesota.....	1½	2½	6	10	9½	19½	"
Extra Early Advance ..	6	13½	1½	9½	21½	31	"
Enormous.....	4	2½	7	8	6½	14½	Medium smooth
Early Richmond.....	4½	11½	5½	7	21½	28½	Rough.
Early Leader	8	2½	6	8½	16½	25	"
Early Jersey.....	4½	7½	3½	12	15½	27½	"
Early Jewel.....	3	4	2	8½	9	17½	Smooth.
Early Ruby.....	4	4	9	14	17	31	Medium smooth
Essex Hybrid.....	2½	2½	2	8½	7½	16	"
Earliest-Maule.....	1½	4½	1	16½	6½	23½	"
Early Bermuda.....	2½	5½	3	9	10½	19½	"
Favourite.....	4	2½	13½	10½	8½	19	Smooth.
Frogmore.....	2½	4½	3	16½	10½	26½	"
Fordhook First.....	2½	6	1½	5	10½	15½	"
Freedom.....	1½	4	9	9½	5½	15	Medium smooth
Fordhook Fancy.....	1½	2½	3½	7½	7½	15	Smooth.
Creekside Glory.....	2½	5½	5½	14½	13½	27½	Medium smooth
Garden Sowing.....	1½	2	1	11	4½	15½	Smooth.
Golden Jubilee.....	3½	5½	3	15	11½	26½	Medium smooth
Great Mississippi.....	4½	7½	4½	9½	16½	26½	Medium smooth
Honor Bright.....	1½	6½	3½	8	11½	19½	Smooth.
Ignotum.....	1	3½	3½	17½	8½	26	"
King Humbert.....	1½	2	6	14	8½	22½	Medium smooth
Long-keeper.....	4	5½	2	12	11½	23½	"
Lorillard.....	3½	3	5	11½	11½	23	Smooth.
Landreth's Earliest....	3	3½	4½	6½	10	17	Medium smooth
Livingston's Stone.....	3½	2½	4½	13½	10½	24	Smooth.
Maule's—No name.....	1	4½	5½	16½	10½	27	Medium smooth
Marvel.....	1	5½	3	8½	9½	17½	Smooth.
Magnus.....	2½	5½	1½	6½	9	15½	Medium smooth
Matchless.....	½	2½	2	18	4½	22½	Smooth.

TOMATOES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	SEPT. 4.	SEPT. 14.	SEPT. 21.		Total Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants.	Total Green Fruit from 5 Plants.	Character of Fruit.
	Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants.	Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants.	Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants.	Green Fruit from 5 Plants.			
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
Imperial.....	2	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	2	16 $\frac{1}{2}$	6 $\frac{3}{4}$	23 $\frac{1}{2}$	Smooth.
Earliest.....	5	9 $\frac{1}{4}$	2	4	16 $\frac{1}{4}$	26 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
Key State.....	2	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	12 $\frac{3}{4}$	4 $\frac{1}{4}$	16 $\frac{3}{4}$	"
Berty Bell.....	2	5	4 $\frac{1}{4}$	11	11 $\frac{1}{4}$	22 $\frac{1}{4}$	Medium smooth.
Idaho.....	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	14	11	25	"
Idaho.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	12	23 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Idaho.....	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	3	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	7 $\frac{1}{4}$	16 $\frac{3}{4}$	Smooth.
Rock.....	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	7	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	23 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Idaho.....	4	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	3	7 $\frac{1}{4}$	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	17 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Century.....	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	5	19 $\frac{1}{4}$	24 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
Idaho.....	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	9 $\frac{1}{4}$	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	16 $\frac{1}{4}$	21 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
Idaho.....	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	7	13	20	Medium smooth.
Idaho.....	8 $\frac{1}{4}$	2	2 $\frac{1}{4}$	15 $\frac{1}{4}$	4 $\frac{3}{4}$	20	Smooth.
Earliana.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	3	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	10	13 $\frac{1}{4}$	23 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
Earliest.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	14 $\frac{3}{4}$	1	4	19	24	Medium smooth.
Idaho's Earliest.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	6 $\frac{1}{4}$	12	12	24	"
Idaho.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	7	9	18	19 $\frac{1}{4}$	37 $\frac{1}{4}$	Smooth.
Idaho 1902.....	1	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	14	9	23	Medium smooth.
Idaho.....	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	4 $\frac{1}{4}$	9	7 $\frac{1}{4}$	16 $\frac{1}{4}$	Smooth.

TOMATOES Staked compared with those not Staked.

Name of Variety.	Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants, Sept. 4.	Total Ripe Fruit from 5 Plants, Sept. 21.	Total Green Fruit from 5 Plants.	Total Fruit from 5 Plants.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Idaho's Best—Not staked.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	8	27 $\frac{1}{2}$	35 $\frac{1}{2}$
Idaho's Best—Staked.....	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	9	12 $\frac{3}{4}$	21 $\frac{3}{4}$
Idaho's Best—Not staked.....	4	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	26	40 $\frac{1}{2}$
Idaho's Best—Staked.....	8	16 $\frac{1}{2}$	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	25
Idaho's Best—Not staked.....	6 $\frac{3}{4}$	13 $\frac{1}{4}$	24	37 $\frac{1}{4}$
Idaho's Best—Staked.....	4	17	14	31

EXPERIMENTS WITH GARDEN CORN.

Five varieties of garden corn were planted May 28 on a clay loam soil. This was previously in strawberries. No stable manure was used this season. The corn was ploughed and worked up a few days before planting. Complete fertilizer, of 350 pounds per acre, was sown broadcast and harrowed in with the corn harrow. The corn was planted in rows three feet apart, and three kernels planted in a group a foot apart and 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ inches deep. The corn was thinned to one plant to a row, cutting out the weakest plants. It is better to thin by cutting off the plant at the top, than by pulling, the remaining plant is liable to be disturbed. The results are not favourable for this crop, and many of the varieties did not mature sufficiently for table use. The following notes were taken of these varieties:—

CORN.

Name of Variety.	Length of Ears.	Size of Ears.	Remarks.
	Inches.		
Extra Early Beverly.....	5 to 6....	Small to medium....	All fit for table use.
Peep O'Day.....	5 " 6....	" " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " "
Extra Early Cory.....	5 " 7....	Medium.....	90 p. c. fit for table use.
Red Cob Cory.....	5 " 7....	" " " " " " " "	90 " " " "
Ringleader.....	5 " 6....	" " " " " " " "	90 " " " "
Eastern Extra Early.....	4 " 5....	Small.....	80 " " " "
Ford's Early Sugar.....	5 " 6....	" " " " " " " "	80 " " " "
Tom Thumb.....	5 " 7....	" " " " " " " "	80 " " " "
Burbank's Early Maine.....	5 " 6....	" " " " " " " "	80 " " " "
Fuller's Early Yellow.....	7 " 10....	Medium.....	80 " " " "
Vick's Extra Early.....	6 " 7....	Medium to large....	80 " " " "
Crosby's Early.....	5 " 7....	Medium.....	60 " " " "
Early Six Weeks.....	3 " 4....	Small.....	50 " " " "
Extra Early Premo.....	6 " 7....	Large.....	40 " " " "
Oakview.....	6 " 7....	Medium to large....	40 " " " "
Extra Early Minnesota.....	7 " 8....	Large.....	40 " " " "
Early Adams.....	6 " 7....	" " " " " " " "	40 " " " "
Mammoth White Cory.....	7 " 8....	" " " " " " " "	30 " " " "
New Champion.....	7 " 8....	" " " " " " " "	10 " " " "
Golden Bantam.....	4 " 6....	Small.....	10 " " " "
Metropolitan.....	6 " 8....	Large.....	10 " " " "
Nelson's Yellow.....	8 " 9....	Medium.....	10 " " " "
Cosmopolitan.....	6½ " 8....	Large.....	10 " " " "
Early Essex.....	6 " 8....	" " " " " " " "	10 " " " "
Kendall's Early Giant.....	6 " 7....	" " " " " " " "	5 " " " "
Stabler's Extra Early.....	7 " 8....	" " " " " " " "	5 " " " "
Honey Dew.....	6 " 8....	" " " " " " " "	5 " " " "

None of the following produced any heads fit for table use:—

Ne Plus Ultra, Potter's Excelsior, None Such, Earliest Sheffield, Marblehead, Ma moth, Burlington Hybrid, Henderson, Landreth's Sugar, Lackey's Early, Quincy, ket, Hickox Improved, Perry's Hybrid, Old Colony, Early Landreth Market, Concord, Early Amber Pop Corn, White Rice Pop Corn, White Pearl Pop Corn.

EXPERIMENTS WITH CABBAGE.

The seed was sown in shallow boxes April 3. The boxes were placed in a frame. This cold bed was earth two feet deep put into a frame set on the ground. The bed was used for a hot-bed the previous season, and was covered during the winter and about March 1 glass sashes were put on it, and by April 1 the soil was all the way out and quite warm.

The seed germinated slowly, but the plants were stocky and strong. They were fit to prick out April 27. They were set 3 by 3 inches apart into the cold frame and by setting out time, May 19, were good, strong, healthy plants, well rooted. Twenty-five plants of a variety were planted, but the ravages of the root Maggot made it necessary to reduce the selection to 15 plants of each variety for the test.

The ground on which these were planted was manured in the fall of 1902 with 20 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre and ploughed. This was ploughed again in the spring and worked up, and 300 pounds of complete fertilizer per acre was sown broadcast and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow. On June 15 a teaspoonful of nitrate of soda was scattered around each plant. The plants were set in three feet apart and thirty inches apart in the rows on level ground.

The cabbage thrives in a cool, moist atmosphere. The failure of plants to thrive is seldom experienced in these provinces. This condition is usually the result of hot weather and a dry atmosphere, which we are not generally subjected to. The cabbage plant is a gross feeder, and if well supplied with food and a proper supply of moisture will generally succeed on any kind of soil. Unlike the tomato, it can be set out as early in the spring as the soil will permit of working properly; that is, providing the plants have been started under good conditions. If the plants have been forced in a green-house and set out May 1 a frost of over three degrees is liable to injure them.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

them, while if grown under cool conditions and well hardened up before planting, they will stand any spring frosts to which they are likely to be exposed after May 1. If the plants are to be set out before the middle of May they must be started early enough to make strong and well rooted plants by that time.

The object of this experiment was to find out what sorts are best for early market. The heads were cut as soon as fit for market and the weights obtained. Forty-six varieties were included in this test.

CABBAGE

Name of Variety.	JULY 31.		AUG. 8.		AUG. 12.		AUG. 19.		AUG. 28.		Average weight of Heads, lbs.	Remarks.
	No. of Heads.	Lbs.	No. of Heads.	Lbs.	No. of Heads.	Lbs.	No. of Heads.	Lbs.	No. of Heads.	Lbs.		
Gory's Earliest.....	3	6 ³ / ₄	7	18 ³ / ₄	4	13 ³ / ₄	1	4 ¹ / ₂	2.90	Conical.
Best.....	4	9 ⁵ / ₈	3	8	3	10 ¹ / ₄	4	11 ⁵ / ₈	1	2 ³ / ₄	2.80	"
Wakefield.....	4	8 ¹ / ₂	6	16	5	23	3.16	"
Market.....	5	11 ¹ / ₂	7	15 ³ / ₄	3	6 ³ / ₄	2.21	"
Express.....	5	12 ¹ / ₂	4	13 ³ / ₄	3	10 ¹ / ₄	3	10	3.10	"
Marrow.....	3	4 ¹ / ₂	8	13	4	6	1.55	Round.
Jack.....	3	8 ¹ / ₂	5	13 ³ / ₄	2	7	3	7	2	4 ³ / ₈	2.71	Conical.
Arleston.....	1	3 ³ / ₄	3	9 ⁵ / ₈	6	19	2	6 ³ / ₄	3	10 ¹ / ₄	3.26	"
Baseball.....	2	4 ³ / ₈	5	9 ⁵ / ₈	4	9 ¹ / ₂	4	10	2.23	"
Papes.....	7	14	4	7 ³ / ₄	4	9	2.05	Round.
Berg's Earliest.....	1	2 ¹ / ₂	3	8	3	8 ¹ / ₂	4	15	4	9 ¹ / ₄	2.88	Conical.
ier.....	3	14 ¹ / ₂	12	51 ¹ / ₂	4.40	Round, not compact.
Eureka.....	3	10	4	10 ¹ / ₄	5	20	3	15	3.70	Flat, round.
Dwarf York.....	1	2 ¹ / ₂	4	14 ¹ / ₂	7	22	3	9	3.25	"
Improved Early Spring.....	6	19	6	22 ³ / ₄	3	12	3.58	"
iv Spring.....	6	21	5	21 ¹ / ₂	4	17	3.96	"
ingstadt.....	6	18 ¹ / ₄	4	15	5	19 ³ / ₄	3.55	Conical.
Summer.....	3	9	4	18	5	19 ³ / ₄	3	10 ¹ / ₄	3.80	Round.
Early.....	6	23 ³ / ₄	6	22	3	11 ³ / ₄	3.83	"
<hr/>												
Aug. 19. Aug. 28. Sept. 2. Sept. 10. Sept. 14.												
r's Nonpareil.....	5	14 ¹ / ₂	5	17 ³ / ₄	3	10	2	7 ³ / ₄	3.33	"
ark.....	2	11	1	4 ¹ / ₂	4	19 ³ / ₄	4	31	4	22	5.90	Flat, round.
land Early Drum.....	6	28	6	18 ¹ / ₄	3	16	4.16	Round.
Flat Dutch.....	3	14 ¹ / ₂	2	9	3	13 ³ / ₄	7	28 ¹ / ₂	4.38	Flat, round.
ummer.....	6	26	1	4	2	12 ¹ / ₂	2	9 ¹ / ₂	4	18 ³ / ₄	4.68	Round.
ima Flat Dutch.....	3	12	2	9	2	12 ¹ / ₂	5	33	3	18	5.63	Flat, round.
asons.....	4	22 ¹ / ₂	4	19 ³ / ₄	3	13	2	11 ¹ / ₂	2	16	5.46	"
ead.....	3	16 ³ / ₄	3	16 ¹ / ₄	5	18 ¹ / ₄	4	14 ¹ / ₂	4.38	Flat, round.
et Gardeners.....	2	7 ¹ / ₂	3	15	4	20 ¹ / ₂	3	14	3	14 ³ / ₄	4.78	Round.
uisen.....	4	12	6	21 ¹ / ₂	2	8	3	12 ³ / ₄	3.60	"
ved Brunswick.....	2	10 ¹ / ₄	4	20 ³ / ₄	1	3 ³ / ₄	3	15	5	23	4.85	Flat, round.
Winter.....	1	4 ¹ / ₂	4	28 ¹ / ₂	2	10 ¹ / ₄	2	11	6	32	5.75	"
Mason.....	1	5 ¹ / ₂	2	12 ¹ / ₂	2	11	2	22	8	44 ¹ / ₂	6.36	"
<hr/>												
Aug. 28. Sept. 2. Sept. 10. Sept. 14. Sept. 30.												
Flat Dutch.....	3	23	3	14 ¹ / ₂	5	44	4	29 ¹ / ₂	7.40	Flat.
n.....	1	5	2	9 ¹ / ₂	4	28	8	41 ¹ / ₂	5.56	Flat, round.
osh Rock Red.....	1	5 ¹ / ₂	1	4	4	33	9	51 ¹ / ₂	6.26	Round.
Red Drumhead.....	1	6	1	5 ¹ / ₂	2	11	6	52 ¹ / ₂	5	32	7.13	Flat, round.
Heading.....	2	7 ³ / ₄	4	20	4	18	5	23 ¹ / ₂	4.61	Round.
n Ball.....	1	4 ¹ / ₂	4	27	5	28 ¹ / ₂	5	26 ¹ / ₂	5.76	"
Grant.....	3	12 ³ / ₄	2	14	3	17 ¹ / ₂	7	25 ¹ / ₂	4.66	"
Baseball.....	1	3	4	26 ¹ / ₂	2	15	8	42 ¹ / ₂	5.80	"
raw.....	3	16 ³ / ₄	1	7 ¹ / ₂	1	9	2	12	8	52 ¹ / ₂	6.48	Flat, round.
on.....	4	22 ¹ / ₂	2	10 ¹ / ₄	3	26	6	33 ¹ / ₂	6.13	Round.
ed.....	3	12	3	14 ¹ / ₂	2	9 ³ / ₄	7	37 ¹ / ₄	4.93	"
n King.....	4	32	2	10	9	42	5.60	"
uperior.....	4	28	3	23 ¹ / ₄	8	67	7.88	Flat, round.
.....	10	72	2	14	3	19 ¹ / ₂	7.03	"

EXPERIMENTS WITH EARLY POTATOES.

The object of this experiment was to gain information as to the relative earliness of different sorts of early potatoes. Seventeen sorts were selected and planted in rows, 26 inches apart, and the seed dropped one foot apart in the rows. They were given cultivation similar to the field crop of potatoes.

The ground was previously in vegetables. It was manured in the fall of 1902 with twenty one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre and ploughed under. This was worked up in the spring by ploughing and harrowing with the disc and springtooth harrows, and once with the smoothing harrow, after 300 lbs. per acre of complete fertilizer had been sown broadcast. Drills were run with the plough, the seed dropped, and covered with the plough.

The seed started regularly and a strong vigorous growth was made up to the first of August, when the Early Blight or leaf spot disease (*Mucrosporium solani*) made its appearance. This blight is different from the late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*). The plants had been dusted with Bug Death at the rate of 100 lbs. per acre July 20, and on the appearance of this blight Bordeaux mixture was sprayed on the plants August 4. The plants, however, had already been infected and this did little good. The field plots of potatoes, which were thoroughly sprayed with Bordeaux mixture July 20, showed no signs of the disease. The plants made no practical gain after August 20, as will be seen from the results given below, and the vines were nearly all dead by September 4. There were no rotten tubers in the field. This disease, unlike the late blight, is not accompanied by a decay of the tubers.

A plot of each variety was dug August 8, and duplicate ones August 20 and September 4. The yield from each plot, one row 66 feet long; is given in the following table, also the average yield of all the plots at the different dates of digging. It will be seen that the yield per acre increased 84 bushels per acre in the twelve days from August 8 to August 20.

EXPERIMENTS WITH EARLY POTATOES.

Name of Variety.	Dug Aug. 8.		Dug Aug. 20.		Dug Sept. 4	
	Marketable per plot.	Not marketable per plot.	Marketable per plot.	Not marketable per plot.	Marketable per plot.	Not marketable per plot.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Irish Cobbler.....	46	6	66½	8	65½	13
Early Andes.....	37	6	61½	9	48	2
Early Michigan.....	35½	4	45½	8	47½	11
Reeves' Rose.....	35	7½	47½	11	48	8
Crown Jewel.....	34½	2	55	8½	45½	13
Beauty of Hebron.....	34	7	56½	9	45	45
Bovee.....	33½	6	45½	5½	42½	11
Pearce's Extra Early.....	33½	5	53½	5½	50½	11
Canadian Beauty.....	33	4½	51½	3½	54½	9
Early Harvest.....	32	6½	42½	7½	43½	6
Early Sunrise.....	31½	5	33½	6½	39½	7
Earliest of all.....	30½	3	42	3½	42	4
Early Ohio.....	29½	3½	41½	2½	53	6
Early Gem.....	27	3½	44½	5½	52½	10
Rawdon Rose.....	26½	3	50	5½	41½	8
Early Rose.....	25	2½	31½	6	28½	6
Early Northern.....	17½	3½	60	3	60½	

AVERAGE YIELD OF ALL THE PLOTS.

When Dug.	Marketable.		Not Marketable.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
August 8.....	157	56	24	34
August 20.....	241	56	32	9
September 4.....	237	7	44	46

POTATOES CUT IN DIFFERENT WAYS FOR PLANTING.

The object of this experiment was to ascertain whether any gain was made by cutting potatoes in different ways for seed. The variety Bovee was used. The land on which these tests were made was similar to that on which the early potatoes were grown, and received the same treatment in every particular.

On plot No. 1 small whole potatoes were planted; No. 2 medium whole potatoes; No. 3 the potatoes were cut in two crosswise, and both halves of the potato planted; No. 4, the potatoes were cut in two lengthwise and both halves planted; No. 5, the potatoes were cut in two crosswise, and the seed end half only planted; No. 6, the potatoes were cut in two crosswise, and the butt end half only planted; No. 7, a piece only one eye; No. 8, a piece with two eyes, and No. 9 a piece with three eyes.

Each plot was one row 33 feet long. They were dug on August 20, and duplicate dug September 4. The following yields were obtained:—

How Cut.	Dug Aug. 20		Dug Sept. 4.	
	Marketable per plot.	Not marketable per plot.	Marketable per plot.	Not marketable per plot.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Small whole.....	181 $\frac{1}{4}$	6	121 $\frac{1}{2}$	10 $\frac{1}{2}$
Medium whole.....	28	134 $\frac{1}{2}$	32	184 $\frac{1}{2}$
Cut in two crosswise.....	203 $\frac{1}{2}$	64 $\frac{1}{2}$	244 $\frac{1}{2}$	10
Cut in two lengthwise.....	30	4	36	84
Seed end half.....	241 $\frac{1}{2}$	9	32	11
Butt end half.....	223 $\frac{1}{2}$	54 $\frac{1}{2}$	271 $\frac{1}{2}$	9
One eye.....	104 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	12	2
Two eyes.....	24	2	223 $\frac{1}{2}$	2
Three eyes.....	22	2	26	44 $\frac{1}{2}$

LIMING *versus* NOT LIMING POTATO SEED FOR PLANTING.

This experiment was for the purpose of testing the value of rolling cut tubers for planting in air-slacked lime. For this test the early potato plots were divided into two plots, on one-half of which seed rolled in lime was planted, and the other half planted with seed not limed. The seed was cut just before planting. The plots were dug August 20, and duplicate ones September 4. Each plot dug for test was 17 rows (each row a variety), 33 feet long and 26 inches apart. The

yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of marketable and unmarketable tubers obtained. As these plots were the same as the early potato plots, the premature decay of the vines already mentioned resulted in no practical increase of yield after the digging of August 20.

How Treated.	Dug Aug. 20.						Dug Sept. 4.					
	Marketable per acre.		Not marketable per acre.		Total Yield per acre.		Marketable per acre.		Not marketable per acre.		Total Yield per acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Limed.	246	19	33	50	280	9	242	31	49	52	292	
Not limed.	235	19	30	29	265	48	232	10	39	40	271	

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

W. S. BLAIR,
Horticulturist.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR MANITOBA.

REPORT OF S. A. BEDFORD, SUPERINTENDENT.

BRANDON, MANITOBA, November 30, 1903.

WILLIAM SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa, Canada.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith my fifteenth annual report, with details of experiments undertaken and work accomplished on the Brandon Experimental Farm during the past year.

The past winter was a very favourable one, snow came fairly early and remained all winter; severe storms were rare and the weather was generally favourable for out of door work. Spring opened up on April 3, and a small area of wheat was sown on that day in some parts of the province, but colder weather followed and seeding did not commence on this farm until about April 16. May began fine, but cool; by the middle of the month the temperature had increased, and a much-needed rain fell on the 16th; the remainder of the month was showery and favourable for growth.

The early part of June set in very warm, and growth was very rapid, but later in the month grain on fall and spring ploughing was in great need of rain, particularly in the eastern portion of the province.

July and August were unusually cloudy and cool, with much east wind and fresh showers; fogs were also prevalent.

September opened with a severe frost on the 4th, injuring all tender vegetation; notably the bulk of the grain throughout the province was cut by this date, but the fodder corn and other tender vegetation was injured. On the 12th and 13th of the month occurred one of the worst snow storms ever recorded here during September. The storm found nearly all the grain in the stook, and stacking was delayed for two weeks and the quality of wheat reduced two, and in some cases, three grades. During the last week of September and nearly all October the weather was unusually fine and gave opportunity for threshing and fall ploughing, which had been delayed.

WHEAT.

This important grain has had much to contend with during the past season; it threatened it during June, rust was very prevalent on some of the stronger and unseasonable weather in September threatened to spoil the sample, but in spite of all these drawbacks, the sample is generally a fair one, and the prices are above average; so that farmers will realize nearly an average return for their crop. On the farm both the yield and sample were greatly injured by rust, so prevalent, especially in the valley land during the close, moist days of August; the uniform test plots were most from this cause, possibly this was owing to the well compacted summer soil retaining the moisture and causing an over-rank growth. In the larger fields of grain where the soil was ploughed later in the season and somewhat drier, the straw was fairly bright, and there was scarcely any injury from this cause; the sample was plump and weighed the full standard weight. Usually, the Goose and Roumanian wheats were practically free of rust, and were for the reason much more productive than any of the other varieties and also heavier.

The following varieties of wheat were sown here for the first time this year, but none of them promise to equal our standard varieties. Red and White Fife.

Velvet Don wheat has some resemblance to Goose wheat, but was somewhat earlier and the beard is dark in colour.

Mishriki and Oregon Club were on trial for the first time this year, but neither of them are promising.

Gejar is evidently a fall wheat, and it produced only a few scattered heads.

The Blue-stem grown among the uniform test plots this year is from the western states, and is quite distinct from the variety with blue tinted straw and velvet chaff usually grown by that name in this province.

Owing to rust many of the kinds of wheat in the uniform test of varieties ripened prematurely, and for that reason the dates of ripening given are only approximate.

Sixty-four varieties of spring wheat were tested this year. These were sown from April 20 to 27, on black loam soil, in plots of one-twentieth acre each. All the seed was treated with bluestone, and all the varieties were quite free of smut.

SPRING WHEAT.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Heads.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Goose.....	Apr. 24	Aug. 22	126	41	Weak	3	Bearded..	5,620 46	20 63	None	
Roumanian.....	" 24	" 26	124	51	"	2 1/2	" ..	5,120 44	40 63	Slightly.	
Velvet Don.....	" 27	" 23	123	42	Stiff..	2 1/2	" ..	6,200 40	00 63	None.	
Australian No. 9.....	" 21	" 20	121	40	"	3	Beardless..	4,500 34	00 60	Slightly.	
Chester.....	" 21	" 19	120	37	Fair..	3 1/2	" ..	3,190 33	30 50	Badly	
Blair.....	" 21	" 21	122	45	"	3	" ..	2,710 31	30 50	Consider.	
Fraser.....	" 24	" 18	116	41	Weak	3	Bearded..	3,320 31	20 50	Badly.	
White Russian.....	" 23	" 24	124	45	Fair..	4	Beardless..	4,500 30	40 50	Slightly.	
Wellman's Fife.....	" 22	" 23	123	44	Stiff..	4	" ..	5,580 30	20 50	"	
Dawn.....	" 21	" 18	119	40	"	3 1/2	" ..	2,980 30	20 50	Badly.	
Angus.....	" 22	" 20	120	39	Fair..	3	" ..	4,600 30	00 50	Consider.	
Benton.....	" 24	" 24	122	43	Weak	4	" ..	3,440 29	20 50	Slightly.	
Percy.....	" 20	" 20	122	47	Stiff..	3 1/2	" ..	4,850 29	10 50	"	
Crawford.....	" 21	" 19	120	44	Fair..	4	" ..	3,260 29	00 50	"	
Bishop.....	" 21	" 21	122	37	Stiff..	3 1/2	" ..	3,680 28	40 50	"	
Weldon.....	" 20	" 18	120	47	Fair..	4	" ..	4,680 28	40 50	Badly.	
Herisson Bearded.....	" 24	" 26	124	40	Weak	2	Bearded..	3,500 28	20 50	"	
Hungarian.....	" 24	" 24	122	48	Fair..	3	" ..	4,300 28	20 50	Slightly	
Advance.....	" 22	" 21	121	46	"	4	" ..	4,310 28	10 50	"	
Alpha.....	" 21	" 22	123	50	Stiff..	3 1/2	Beardless..	4,120 28	00 50	"	
Plumper.....	" 22	" 25	125	42	Fair..	3 1/2	Bearded..	3,720 27	00 50	Badly.	
Admiral.....	" 21	" 21	122	42	Stiff..	3 1/2	Beardless..	3,540 27	40 50	Slightly	
Huron.....	" 22	" 23	123	41	"	4	Bearded..	3,540 27	40 50	Consider.	
Stanley.....	" 21	" 19	120	44	"	3	Beardless..	4,500 27	40 50	Badly	
White Fife.....	" 22	" 23	123	42	Fair..	3	" ..	2,340 27	40 50	Consider.	
Cartier.....	" 24	" 21	119	41	Weak	3	Bearded..	2,740 27	40 50	Badly.	
Byron.....	" 22	" 23	123	27	"	3	" ..	4,240 27	40 50	"	
Nerval.....	" 22	" 22	122	41	Stiff..	3	" ..	3,880 27	00 50	Slightly	
Vernon.....	" 24	" 24	122	45	"	3	" ..	4,280 27	00 50	"	
Cassel.....	" 21	" 21	122	46	"	4	Beardless..	3,300 26	50 50	Badly	
Minnesota No. 149.....	" 21	" 23	124	49	"	4	" ..	3,200 26	50 50	Slightly	
Japanese.....	" 24	" 18	116	36	Weak	3	Bearded..	3,200 26	40 50	Badly.	
Laurel.....	" 22	" 23	123	43	"	4	Beardless..	6,840 26	00 50	"	
White Connell.....	" 22	" 23	123	41	Fair..	4	" ..	3,840 26	00 50	"	
Rio Grande.....	" 22	" 22	122	48	"	3	Bearded..	5,040 26	00 50	"	
Monarch.....	" 22	" 23	121	45	Stiff..	3 1/2	Beardless..	3,640 26	00 50	Slightly	
Red Fern.....	" 24	" 24	122	54	"	4	Bearded..	4,400 25	50 50	Consider.	
Hastings.....	" 21	" 19	120	40	Fair..	3	Beardless..	2,400 25	40 50	Slightly	
Robin's Rust Proof.....	" 22	" 22	122	44	"	3 1/2	" ..	3,670 25	20 50	"	
Clyde.....	" 22	" 24	124	45	Weak	4	" ..	3,770 25	30 50	"	

SPRING WHEAT.—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Heads.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
ota No. 181....	Apr. 21	Aug. 23	123	43	Stiff	4	Beardless.	3,500	25 00	59½	Badly.
iki.....	" 22	" 19	119	27	"	2½	Bearded..	3,700	25 00	60½	"
le's Champlain..	" 24	" 22	120	48	Weak	3	"	5,040	24 50	55½	"
ife.....	" 22	" 23	123	44	Stiff	4	"	5,310	24 50	55	Slightly.
n.....	" 22	" 23	123	47	Fair	3	Beardless.	5,140	24 29	57	"
ss.....	" 22	" 23	123	42	"	3	Bearded..	3,580	23 40	59	"
lian No. 27.....	" 21	" 21	122	48	Stiff	3	Beardless.	2,580	23 40	58½	"
tem.....	" 22	" 22	122	45	Fair..	3¾	"	3,400	23 20	59	"
Riga.....	" 21	" 18	118	38	"	3	"	4,520	23 00	60	Badly.
lian No. 19.....	" 21	" 24	125	42	"	3	"	4,260	22 20	58	"
lian No. 25.....	" 22	" 24	124	46	Stiff	4	"	3,060	22 20	58½	Slightly.
lian No. 23.....	" 22	" 24	124	43	Fair	4	"	3,080	22 00	58	"
han No. 10.....	" 21	" 24	125	42	"	4	"	2,890	21 50	57	Badly.
.....	" 22	" 22	122	47	Weak	4	"	2,900	21 40	58½	Slightly.
ota No. 163.....	" 21	" 24	125	48	Stiff	4	"	4,910	21 30	57	Badly.
ss.....	" 22	" 21	121	44	Weak	3½	"	4,320	21 20	58	Slightly.
lo.....	" 24	" 24	122	51	Fair	3½	Bearded..	3,030	20 50	56	Badly.
ota No. 169.....	" 21	" 24	125	47	"	3	Beardless.	4,440	19 20	57	"
edish.....	" 24	" 22	120	44	Weak	3	Bearded..	4,930	18 30	50	"
ian No. 13.....	" 21	" 24	125	46	Stiff..	4	Beardless.	5,110	18 10	55½	"
Club.....	" 22	" 19	119	43	Fair..	2	"	3,720	18 00	56	Slightly.
.....	" 22	"	...	44	Stiff..	2	"	4,080	15 20	43½	Badly.
.....	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	5,000	5 00	53	Slightly.

AVERAGE Results of a Test of Nine Varieties of Wheat for the past Seven or Eight Years.

Varieties.	Years under Test.	Yield per Acre.
		Bush. Lbs.
to.....	8	41 58
.....	8	36 35
.....	8	35 29
.....	8	35 26
ssian.....	8	34 43
nnell.....	8	33 58
de.....	8	33 47
.....	8	33 24
.....	7	32 56

VARIETIES OF WHEAT GROWN FROM SELECTED AND UNSELECTED SEED.

In former years, the largest heads were selected from standing grain of last year and the seed was sown this year for a comparison with unselected seed, from the same plots.

The plots were all one-twentieth acre, and each pair were sown in close proximity; one was a black loam. The accompanying table gives the result of each individual variety. A summary is also given, which shows the average yield from the selected seed to be eleven pounds per acre more than the unselected. The selected seed were sown on summer fallowed land from April 20 to 27.

WHEAT.

Variety.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Variety.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	
	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Goose—Unselected	5,620	46	20	63	Advance—Unselected	4,310	23	10
" Selected	5,060	45	40	63½	" Selected	4,520	29	40
Roumanian—Unselected	5,120	44	40	63½	Alpha—Unselected	4,120	28	
" Selected	5,100	48	20	63½	" Selected	4,100	21	40
Speltz—Unselected	5,050	43	50	47½	Plumper—Unselected	3,720	28	
" Selected	6,340	54	20	47½	" Selected	4,300	28	20
Australian No. 9—Unselected ..	4,560	34		58	Admiral—Unselected	3,510	27	40
" Selected	2,540	31		57½	" Selected	3,190	20	10
Chester—Unselected	3,190	33	30	60½	Huron—Unselected	3,540	27	40
" Selected	3,030	32	50	60	" Selected	3,720	28	
Blair—Unselected	2,710	31	30	57½	Stanley—Unselected	4,510	27	40
" Selected	3,600	30		57½	" Selected	3,230	29	30
Fraser—Unselected	3,320	31	20	59	Norval—Unselected	3,580	27	
" Selected	3,740	32	40	60	" Selected	3,200	26	40
Dawn—Unselected	2,980	30	20	57	Japanese—Unselected	3,200	26	40
" Selected	3,460	29		58	" Selected	2,940	27	40
Percy—Unselected	4,850	29	10	59	Rio Grande—Unselected	5,040	26	
" Selected	4,010	29	50	59½	" Selected	5,180	23	40
Crawford—Unselected	3,260	29		55½	Red Fern—Unselected	4,450	25	50
" Selected	3,330	31	10	56	" Selected	4,910	21	50
Bishop—Unselected	3,680	28	40	57½	Hastings—Unselected	2,460	25	40
" Selected	3,980	33	40	58	" Selected	2,640	27	40
Weldon—Unselected	4,680	28	40	59	Preston—Unselected	3,680	23	40
" Selected	2,340	27	40	58½	" Selected	3,520	24	40
Herisson Bearded—Unselected ..	3,500	28	20	56	Early Riga—Unselected	4,260	22	20
" " Selected	3,260	27	20	57½	" Selected	4,210	26	30

	Bush.	Lbs.
Average yield of 26 varieties (selected)	30	10
" " " (unselected)	29	59

	Bush.	Lbs.
Average yield of 26 varieties (selected)	30	10
" " " (unselected)	29	59

FIELD PLOTS OF WHEAT.

The larger fields of wheat were ploughed late last summer, and did not receive much surface cultivation as the test plots; probably this accounts for the small amount of rust in these fields; the sample of grain was much heavier per bushel: better in every respect.

All were sown on summer fallow, in the proportion of one and one-half bushels seed per acre.

Variety.	Character of Soil.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Weight per Bush.	Yield per Ac.
					Lbs.	Bush.
Preston	Clay loam ..	5 acres	April 17	August 21 ..	62	31
White Fife	" ..	3 "	" 21	" 28 ..	61	38
Monarch	" ..	2 "	" 21	" 26 ..	62	39
White Connell	" ..	2 "	" 20	" 26 ..	63	41
Red Fife	" ..	3 "	" 20	" 28 ..	62	26
Percy	" ..	2 "	" 18	" 20 ..	62	31
Stanley	" ..	2 "	" 18	" 20 ..	62	31

DIFFERENT METHODS OF PREPARING LAND FOR SPRING WHEAT.

In this series of tests the result is somewhat unusual, the summer fallowed giving the smallest return. This was no doubt owing to the grain on this plot growing unusually rank and rusting more than the others.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The plots in this experiment were all one-twentieth acre each; the soil a rich clay loam. All were sown on April 18.

Name of Variety.	Previous Crop.	Rust.	Date of Ripening.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bush.
				Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Wheat—Red Fife.....	Turnips.....	Little.....	August 20..	30	10	58
" ".....	Millet.....	".....	" 20..	29	..	54
" ".....	Sunflowers.....	Badly.....	" 20..	28	40	54
" ".....	Flax.....	".....	" 20..	28	20	52
" ".....	Horse Beans.....	".....	" 20..	28	10	51
" ".....	Pease.....	".....	" 20..	26	40	55
" ".....	Summer fallow..	Very badly..	" 20..	26	10	54

A TEST OF GRAIN DRILLS.

Disc-drills, a comparatively new implement, are becoming extensively used in many parts of the province, and some extravagant claims are made for them. From the following table it would appear that there is very little difference in yield between the two ways of sowing.

The size of the plots was one-twentieth acre; the soil a sandy loam, which had been summer fallowed.

Variety.	Kind of Drill.	Sown.	Ripe.	Days Maturing.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bush.
					Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Fife.....	Shoe drill.....	April 24....	August 25..	123	28	10	57
".....	Disc drill.....	" 24....	" 25..	123	27	20	56½

EXPERIMENTS WITH THE USE OF BARN YARD MANURE.

During several seasons experiments have been carried on with fertilizers on the upper portion of this farm, but with very unsatisfactory results. This year a series of plots for this purpose were laid out on the upper portion of the farm, where the soil is very light and somewhat exhausted. It will be seen from the accompanying table that the result is again somewhat contradictory.

The size of the plots in this series was one-twentieth acre, and the soil a very light sandy loam, the previous crop being wheat.

The varieties of grain sown were Red Fife wheat and Mensury barley.

Kind of Grain.	How Treated.	Sown.	Ripe.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bush.
				Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Wheat.....	10 loads per acre rotted manure.....	April 20....	August 23..	13	30	58
".....	No manure.....	" 20....	" 23..	16	10	58
".....	10 loads per acre fresh manure.....	" 20....	" 23..	18	..	58
".....	No manure.....	" 20....	" 23..	16	30	58
Barley.....	No manure.....	May 14....	" 14..	20	..	47½
".....	10 loads per acre fresh manure.....	" 14....	" 14..	16	12	47½
".....	10 " " rotted ".....	" 14....	" 14..	18	6	47½

EXPERIMENTS WITH ARTIFICIAL FERTILIZERS.

The tests with chemical fertilizers carried on for the past three years were again undertaken this year, but owing to an unusual interference the test was spoilt. The plots were laid out in a somewhat secluded location, and shortly after the crop was cut, it was nearly all destroyed by prairie chickens.

SMUT PREVENTIVES IN WHEAT.

Although it is now generally recognized by the older residents that injury from smut can be prevented, many new-comers are either ignorant of the risk in sowing untreated grain or else do not know of a preventive, and every year there is still considerable loss from this cause.

This year's test included the use of both bluestone and formalin, and both of these preparations were effective in preventing injury from this cause whether they were applied by steeping or sprinkling.

The seed used was badly 'tagged' with smut, and it will be noticed from the accompanying table that nearly 20 per cent of the crop from untreated seed was destroyed, while the treated seed was practically free of smut.

Variety.	How Treated.	Good Heads on 9 Sq. Ft.	Smutty Heads on 9 Sq. Ft.
Red Fife	Steeped for 5 minutes in 4½ oz. formalin to 10 galls. of water..	306	None.
"	Sprinkled with 9 oz. of formalin to 10 galls. of water	419	"
"	Steeped for 5 minutes in 1 lb. of bluestone to 3 pails of water..	264	1
"	Sprinkled with 1 lb. bluestone to 1 pail of water.....	253	None.
"	Not treated.....	383	65

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPELT AND EMMER.

Three newly introduced varieties of emmer and spelt were tested this year: none of these are as promising as the common emmer in general use here, the yield of grain being smaller and the weight per bushel less.

The size of the plots was one-twentieth acre for the common spelt and one-fortieth for the others. The soil was a sandy loam which had been summer-fallowed.

Variety.	Sown.	Ripened.	Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			Inches.	Inches.	Bus. Lbs.	Lbs.
White Emmer (Common Emmer known also as Spelt)	April 24..	Aug. 29..	42	2½	43 50	47½
Red Emmer.....	" 27..	" 23..	43	3	38 40	39
Smooth Spelt	" 27..	" 26..	41	6	29 20	26
White Bearded Spelt	" 27..	" 25..	41	6	27 00	25

In all these varieties the yield per acre is based on a bushel of 60 lbs. No allowance, however, has been made for the husk. When comparing these yields with clean wheat at least 20 per cent should be deducted from the emmer or spelt to make the comparison a fair one.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

A CROP OF SPELT AS A PREPARATION FOR OTHER GRAIN.

Very little is known regarding the influence of spelt (emmer) on the succeeding crop; with a view of gaining some light on this subject, three sets of plots were laid out. One was sown with wheat, one with oats, and one with barley; the result was fairly uniform, and in each series the plot sown the previous year with spelt gave the largest return, followed by summer-fallow; the wheat stubble giving the smallest crop in each case.

The spelt shelled badly in 1902, and the volunteer crop was very apparent this year, both in the field and threshed grain, and probably increased the yield of grain. The size of the plots was one-twentieth acre, and the soil a sandy loam.

Grain sown 1903.	Previous Crop.	Sown.	Ripened.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
Pat Red Fife	Spelt (Emmer)	April 18..	Aug. 24..	Bus. Lbs.	Lbs.
"	Summer fallow	" 18..	" 24..	51 40	57½
"	Wheat	" 18..	" 24..	29 40	57
" Banner	Spelt (Emmer)	" 27..	" 25..	26 00	58
"	Summer fallow	" 27..	" 24..	100 30	37½
"	Wheat	" 27..	" 24..	92 12	37
Pat Mensury	Spelt (Emmer)	May 11..	" 7..	88 28	37
"	Summer fallow	" 11..	" 7..	57 24	47½
"	Wheat	" 17..	" 7..	55 20	48
				28 16	47½

ROTATION OF CROPS.

In accordance with your instructions arrangements were made during 1899 for a series of rotation plots on one-half acre each, the principal object in view being the maintenance of the fertility of the soil, by ploughing under a leguminous crop every year, instead of the usual summer-fallow.

The soja beans were sown in rows 14 inches apart, using 60 pounds of seed per acre; the red clover was sown in the proportion of 12 pounds of seed per acre and the alfalfa in the proportion of eight pounds of alfalfa and six pounds of alsike per acre.

These leguminous plants were ploughed under each year when they reached their first development. The order of rotation is as follows:—

First Year.	Second Year.	Third Year.
Wheat	Oats	Soja Beans.
"	Wheat	Pease.
"	Oats	Tares.
"	Wheat	Red Clover.
"	Barley	Alfalfa and Alsike.
"	Wheat	Wheat.
"	"	Oats.
"	"	"
"	"	Wheat.
"	"	Barley.
"	"	Summer-fallow.
"	Oats	"
"	Barley	"
"	Wheat	Oats.
"	Barley	"
"	Soja Beans	Wheat.
"	Pease	"
"	Tares	"
"	Red Clover	"
"	Alfalfa and Alsike	"
"	Summer-fallow	"

In 1901 the first series of three years was completed. Owing to the unusual high water in the Assiniboine river last year the field was left fallow. This year the second series of three years of rotation was commenced, with the following result:—

ROTATION OF CROPS.

FIRST year of the second series.

No.	Name of Varieties.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Wheat—Red Fife.....	April 22.	August 25.....	26	45	58
2	" "	" 22.....	" 25.....	31	45	58
3	" "	" 22.....	" 25.....	23	25	58
4	" "	" 22.....	" 25.....	28	39	58
5	" "	" 24.....	" 25.....	30	45	58
6	Pease—Golden Vine.....	" 24.....	Ploughed under.....			
7	Tares.....	May 11.....	"			
8	Soja Beans.....	June 11.....	"			
9	Clover—Red	April 23.....	"			
10	Clover Alfalfa and Alsike. ..	" 23.....	"			
11	Rape.	June 11.....	"			
12	Wheat—Red Fife.....	April 24.....	August 25.....	28	45	58
13	" "	" 24.....	" 25.....	27	13	58
14	" "	" 24.....	" 25.....	30	45	58
15	" "	" 24.....	" 25.....	28	01	58
16	" "	" 24.....	" 25.....	29	40	58
17	Oats—Banner.....	May 7.....	" 25.....	56	24	37
18	Wheat—Red Fife.....	April 24.....	" 25.....	24	30	58
19	Oats—Banner.....	May 7.....	" 28.....	54	00	37
20	Wheat—Red Fife.....	April 24.....	" 25.....	26	15	58
21	Barley—Mensury.....	May 7.....	" 28.....	44	20	47
22	Rye—Spring.....	April 25.....	Ploughed under.....			

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

The past season has been favourable for this grain in all parts of the province. On the experimental farm the yield is above the average, and the sample plump but slightly discoloured.

Swedish Select, grown this year for the first time, is a promising white variety with a very handsome branching head, and it proved very productive.

Golden Fleece, another new variety, did not prove nearly so productive.

Since the four cross-bred varieties, viz., Kendal, Milford, Pense and Olive, have been divided into white and black kinds, they have a much more uniform appearance but were not very productive this year.

The plot of Banner oats was adjoining a well travelled road, and the grain was badly injured by vehicles. This accounts for the reduced yield of this variety.

The Tartar King oats used as seed for this test was very large and plump; though combined with an almost total absence of stooling, made the sowing much too thick and reduced the yield.

The tests were made with forty-five varieties, on plots of one-twentieth acre each. The soil was a sandy loam; the previous crop Brome grass, and two bushels of seed per acre was used, sown with a drill. Golden Fleece was sown on May 14, and all the others on May 5 and 6.



PLOTS OF VEGETABLES AT BRANDON.

(Photo, by C. E. Saunders.)

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
Buckbee's Illinois...	May 5	Aug. 21	108	47	Stiff...	10	Branching..	3,940	119 14	36	Slightly
Early Golden Prolific	" 5	" 22	109	47	" ..	10	" ..	4,280	115 10	37 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
New Zealand...	" 5	" 27	115	51	" ..	10	Sided.....	4,700	114 24	35	Badly
Wide Awake.....	" 5	" 23	110	49	" ..	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	Branching..	4,340	113 18	37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly
Golden Giant.....	" 5	" 29	116	48	" ..	10	Sided.....	4,580	109 14	37	"
Abundance.....	" 5	" 20	107	51	" ..	9	Branching..	4,480	109 14	38 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Waverley.....	" 5	" 20	107	52	" ..	8	" ..	4,780	109 14	38 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Lincoln.....	" 5	" 22	109	49	" ..	9	" ..	4,700	108 28	38	"
Danish Island.....	" 5	" 22	109	49	" ..	7	" ..	4,720	108 8	38	"
Iolstein Prolific...	" 5	" 22	109	47	" ..	10	" ..	4,430	107 32	36	"
Irish Victor.....	" 5	" 25	112	49	" ..	10	" ..	4,140	107 22	33	"
Golden Tartarian...	" 5	" 20	107	49	" ..	8	Sided.....	4,390	106 6	37	Badly
Improved Ligowo...	" 5	" 20	107	52	" ..	7	Branching..	4,810	105 26	42	Slightly
Thousand Dollar...	" 5	" 21	108	44	" ..	8	" ..	4,520	105 10	39 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Columbus.....	" 5	" 22	109	45	" ..	10	" ..	4,150	104 14	36 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Twentieth Century..	" 5	" 22	109	45	" ..	8	" ..	4,050	104 14	38 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
White Giant.....	" 5	" 22	109	48	" ..	7	" ..	4,270	103 28	37	"
White Schonen.....	" 5	" 22	109	44	" ..	8	" ..	4,680	100 20	36	"
American Beauty...	" 5	" 23	110	47	" ..	8	" ..	3,920	99 14	36 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Callis.....	" 5	" 23	110	45	" ..	8	" ..	4,230	99 4	36	"
Berberian.....	" 5	" 18	105	46	" ..	6	" ..	4,240	98 28	35 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Ennonite.....	" 5	" 22	109	44	" ..	8	" ..	3,840	98 28	39	"
American Triumph...	" 5	" 24	111	51	" ..	8	" ..	3,650	98 18	37	"
Lines.....	" 6	" 21	107	50	" ..	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	4,400	95 10	36	"
Improved American..	" 6	" 20	106	43	" ..	6	" ..	4,360	95 10	36 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Irish Select.....	" 5	" 22	109	47	Fair..	8	" ..	3,700	94 4	42	None
Golden Beauty.....	" 6	" 20	106	43	" ..	8	" ..	3,460	93 18	36 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly
Jack Beauty.....	" 6	" 23	109	47	Stiff..	9	" ..	3,840	93 8	37 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Swanian.....	" 5	" 22	109	39	" ..	8	" ..	4,860	91 26	36 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Hot Potato.....	" 6	" 26	109	44	" ..	9	Sided.....	4,680	91 26	38	"
Andal White.....	" 5	" 21	108	46	" ..	7	Branching..	2,780	91 26	36	"
Abundant.....	" 6	" 20	106	40	Fair..	8	" ..	3,900	91 6	41	"
Black.....	" 6	" 26	112	45	" ..	8	" ..	3,130	88 18	36	"
Black.....	" 6	" 26	112	43	" ..	9	Sided.....	3,700	88 8	37 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Black.....	" 6	" 26	112	44	" ..	9	" ..	3,630	87 12	36	"
Black.....	" 5	" 20	107	49	Stiff..	9	Branching..	3,700	85 10	35 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
Black.....	" 14	" 23	99	45	" ..	8	" ..	5,540	84 4	38	"
Black.....	" 5	" 24	111	48	" ..	8	" ..	4,540	84 4	35 $\frac{1}{2}$	None
Black.....	" 6	" 21	107	34	Fair..	8	" ..	5,060	83 18	36	Slightly
Black.....	" 6	" 26	112	43	" ..	8	Sided.....	4,160	83 18	35	"
Black.....	" 6	" 26	112	45	" ..	8	" ..	3,400	82 12	37	"
Black.....	" 6	" 26	112	47	Stiff..	9	" ..	3,660	80 20	38	"
Black.....	" 6	" 26	112	47	" ..	9	" ..	2,680	80	38	None
Black.....	" 5	" 20	107	49	" ..	9	" ..	2,760	77 22	37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly
Black.....	" 5	" 20	107	49	" ..	9	" ..	3,860	77 22	39	Considerably.

THE RESULTS OF A TEST OF SEVEN VARIETIES OF OATS FOR THE PAST SEVEN OR EIGHT YEARS.

Varieties.	Years Under Test.	Yield per Acre.
American Beauty.....	8	Bush. Lbs.
Early Golden Prolific	7	91 10
Golden Prolific.....	8	90 00
Golden Prolific.....	8	88 29
Golden Prolific.....	8	88 18
Golden Prolific.....	8	87 19
Golden Prolific.....	8	86 56
Golden Prolific.....	8	83 13

FIELD PLOTS OF OATS, 1903.

These were all sown on summer fallow with a drill, in the proportion of two bushels of seed per acre.

Variety.	Character of Soil.	Size of Field.	Date Sown.	Date Ripe.	Weight per Bushel.	Yield per Acre.
					Lbs.	Bush. L.
Banner	Clay loam	7 acres.....	April 28..	Aug. 15..	37	83
Improved Ligowo.....	"	7 "	May 9..	" 20..	37	73
Tartar King.....	"	6½ "	" 1..	" 17..	38½	82
Waverley	"	5 "	" 8..	" 28..	38	86
Abundance.....	"	3 "	" 13..	" 28..	36	86

DIFFERENT METHODS OF PREPARING LAND FOR OATS.

Name of Variety.	Previous Crop.	Rust.	Date of Ripening.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Banner Oats.....	Flax.....	Little	Aug. 26..	117 12	37
"	Millet.....	"	" 19..	115 00	37
"	Summer fallow.....	Bad	" 18..	102 32	37
"	Turnips	Little	" 20..	85 10	38

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

The past season has been favourable for a heavy yield of barley, but the weather discoloured the sample. As nearly all the barley grown in this province is used for feed, the loss arising from discoloration was not serious.

Among the many varieties of barley grown on this farm, the Mensury is one of the best; the plant is vigorous and productive, the straw is stiff, and the head and kernels seldom fail to reach full development.

Twenty varieties of six-rowed barley were tested. The size of the plots used in this test was one-twentieth acre. The soil was a sandy loam which had been summer fallowed. All were sown on May 7 and 8, in the proportion of two bushels per acre. There was no rust on any of the plots.

BARLEY—SIX ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per bushel.
							lbs.	Bush. lbs.	lbs.
gent.....	May 8..	Aug. 10..	94	34	Stiff.....	4	3,540	73 4	48½
nsury.....	" 7..	" 10..	95	41	".....	3½	2,760	71 32	50
e.....	" 8..	" 10..	94	38	".....	3	4,440	70 ..	48½
mit.....	" 7..	" 13..	98	40	Fair.....	3	3,570	67 14	49
me.....	" 8..	" 11..	95	30	Weak.....	3	3,000	66 32	49½
nsfield.....	" 7..	" 11..	96	36	Stiff.....	2½	3,820	66 12	48
ssa.....	" 8..	" 10..	84	55	Fair.....	3	3,820	66 12	48
rbruch.....	" 7..	" 7..	92	33	Stiff.....	3	2,860	63 16	51
pire.....	" 8..	" 12..	96	66	Weak.....	3	3,140	61 32	48
umon.....	" 8..	" 7..	91	38	Stiff.....	3	3,440	61 32	46
ert.....	" 8..	" 11..	95	34	".....	3	3,380	58 36	48½
yle.....	" 7..	" 10..	95	37	".....	3	2,720	55 40	48½
ide.....	" 8..	" 10..	94	33	".....	2½	3,140	55 20	48½
ield.....	" 8..	" 10..	94	38	".....	3½	3,540	55 20	46½
mie's Improved.....	" 7..	" 7..	92	36	".....	3	3,810	53 46	48½
a.....	" 8..	" 10..	94	30	".....	3	4,410	53 46	48½
oper.....	" 7..	" 10..	95	35	".....	3	4,240	53 16	47½
al.....	" 7..	" 10..	95	38	".....	3	3,160	50 40	48
er.....	" 8..	" 11..	95	38	".....	2½	2,240	41 12	50
npion.....	" 8..	" 4..	88	59	".....	3	2,840	32 24	47

AVERAGE RESULTS OF A TEST OF FOUR VARIETIES OF SIX-ROWED BARLEY FOR THE PAST SEVEN YEARS.

Varieties.	Number of Years under Test.	Yield per Acre.
		Bush. Lbs.
.....	7 years.....	56 17
.....	7 ".....	53 27
.....	7 ".....	52 30
.....	7 ".....	52 24

BARLEY, TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

The first sowing of two-rowed sorts of barley was made on May 8, but a very heavy rain occurring before the plants were well rooted, a large portion of them in it were washed out, and a second sowing was made on June 5; these did not before severe frosts and the yield on this account was much smaller than rowed varieties and the weight per bushel less.

Seven varieties of two-rowed barley were tested this season.

The plot of Newton barley was one-fortieth acre in size; all the others were one-half acre.

The soil was a sandy loam which had been summer-fallowed; all were sown on in the proportion of two bushels of seed per acre.

BARLEY, TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rust.
				In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Dunham	Sept. 15..	102	34	Stiff..	3	4,650	40 30	48	None.
2	Sidney	" 13..	100	34	" ..	4	3,410	39 18	47½	Slightly
3	Logan	" 14..	101	36	" ..	3	3,790	37 34	47½	"
4	Harvey	" 13..	100	40	" ..	4	3,520	35 ..	47½	None.
5	Fulton	" 14..	101	34	" ..	4	3,580	33 36	48	"
6	Newton	" 17..	104	34	" ..	6	4,400	33 16	47½	Slightly
7	Invincible	" 14..	101	37	" ..	4	4,040	32 24	38	"
8	Beaver	" 17..	104	34	" ..	3½	3,460	32 4	46½	"
9	Standwell	" 19..	106	35	" ..	4	3,270	31 42	41	"
10	Clifford	" 18..	105	34	" ..	6	3,370	31 42	45	"
11	Jarvis	" 13..	100	38	" ..	5	4,730	30 30	50	None.
12	Gordon	" 18..	105	37	" ..	4	3,560	30 ..	48	Slightly
13	Danish Chevalier	" 18..	105	35	" ..	5	3,410	28 46	47	"
14	French Chevalier	" 18..	105	35	" ..	5	3,470	27 34	44½	"
15	Canadian Thorpe	" 15..	102	30	" ..	3	4,220	24 28	40	"

DIFFERENT METHODS OF PREPARING LAND FOR BARLEY.

Name of Variety.	Previous Crop.	Rust.	Date of Ripening.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				Bus. Lbs.	Lb.
Mensury—Barley (six-rowed)	Millet	None	Aug. 19..	67 4	48
"	Summer-fallow	"	" 18..	62 4	48
"	Flax	"	" 26..	58 16	48
"	Turnips	"	" 7..	47 4	48

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

Forty varieties of pease were on trial this year, and the yield has been above average.

Although some of the varieties were ripe a full month before they were harvested, there was scarcely any shelling and the sample was bright and heavy.

Pease are usually very productive here; the sample is bright and quite free from the attacks of pea weevil; the cost of harvesting and threshing is apparently only drawback, and this can be largely overcome by sowing one or two pecks of oats per acre with the pease; the combined crop can then be cut with a binder, and threshed like other grain.

The size of the plots used for this test of varieties was one-twentieth acre. The soil was a clay loam, summer-fallowed. All were sown from April 25 to 29, in the proportion of two bushels of seed per acre for the small kinds and three bushels for the larger ones.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Str. w.	Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
					in.	in.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
ma..	Apr. 25..	Aug. 29..	126	Weak....	57	2	Small	64 40	62½
glish Grey.....	" 25..	Sept. 1..	129	Medium....	35	2¼	"	63 ..	61
ly Britain.....	" 25..	Aug. 21..	118	Weak....	53	2½	Large	60 20	61½
acoun.....	" 25..	Sept. 1..	129	Medium....	67	3	"	57 ..	62¼
etoria.....	" 25..	" 4..	132	Rank....	55	3	"	54 40	62½
rman White.....	" 25..	Aug. 29..	126	Medium....	55	2½	Small	54 40	63
arl.....	" 27..	Sept. 3..	129	Rank....	45	2½	Medium....	54 ..	63½
lden Vine.....	" 27..	Aug. 25..	120	Fair.....	46	2	Small	53 40	62½
own.....	" 27..	" 22..	117	"	47	2	"	53 ..	62
cher.....	" 27..	Sept. 1..	127	Medium....	50	2½	Medium....	51 40	62½
ckay.....	" 27..	" 1..	127	Fair.....	53	2½	Large	50 20	63
de.....	" 27..	Aug. 29..	124	Weak....	53	2½	Medium....	50 ..	62¾
sconsin Blue.....	" 27..	" 30..	122	Rank....	48	3	Small	50 ..	62½
lby.....	" 27..	Sept. 2..	128	"	60	2½	"	49 40	62½
ck-eyed Marrowfat	" 24..	" 1..	130	Weak....	55	2	Large	48 ..	63½
ite Wonder.....	" 25..	Aug. 19..	116	"	29	2½	Medium....	47 20	62½
g.....	" 27..	" 29..	124	Rank....	54	2	"	47 ..	63
nce Albert.....	" 25..	" 27..	129	Fair.....	56	2½	Small	47 ..	62
thar.....	" 25..	" 27..	124	Weak....	37	3	Large	46 40	63
ton.....	" 25..	" 29..	126	Fair.....	48	3	"	46 40	63
nt.....	" 25..	Sept. 1..	129	Rank....	41	2½	"	45 20	63½
my.....	" 27..	Aug. 29..	124	Fair.....	45	2	Small	45 20	63
son.....	" 27..	" 26..	121	"	43	2	"	44 ..	62½
ge White Marowft	" 24..	" 30..	128	Rank....	58	3	Large	43 40	63½
us.....	" 25..	Sept. 2..	130	"	55	3	Small	43 20	61½
ot.....	" 27..	" 1..	127	"	60	3	Large	43 20	63
us.....	" 25..	Aug. 28..	125	Weak....	41	3	"	43 ..	63½
ncelior.....	" 27..	" 20..	115	Fair.....	44	2	Small	41 40	62½
niel O'Rourke.....	" 27..	" 29..	124	Medium....	65	3	Medium....	41 ..	61½
agon.....	" 25..	Sept. 1..	129	Fair.....	43	2	Small	38 40	62½
ee.....	" 25..	" 1..	129	"	55	2½	Medium....	37 ..	63½
th.....	" 25..	Aug. 27..	124	Weak....	51	3½	Large	36 ..	64
te.....	" 25..	Sept. 1..	129	Rank....	70	3	"	34 20	62½
gory.....	" 25..	Aug. 29..	126	"	52	3	Medium....	34 20	63
ssian Blue.....	" 25..	" 27..	124	"	56	2½	"	34 ..	63
leton.....	" 25..	Sept. 2..	130	"	54	2	"	33 40	63
Potter.....	" 27..	Aug. 25..	124	Fair.....	48	2	"	31 40	62
ce.....	" 25..	Sept. 1..	129	"	47	3	Small	28 20	62½
ennial.....	" 27..	" 1..	127	Rank....	44	2½	Medium....	27 40	62
ark.....	" 25..	" 1..	129	Medium....	38	3	Large	24 ..	62½

FLAX—TEST OF VARIETIES.

The several varieties of flax tested last year were again sown this year. Towarossick has again proved the most productive, closely followed by La Plata. Common flax is very similar to the varieties from Russia, viz., Russian, Riga and Gersburg; but the other kinds given in the following table are quite distinct. La Plata is a late variety with wide-spreading branches, and unusually large seed; Towarossick is also a coarse plant, but ripens with common flax. Bombay is so short and unproductive that it is not worthy of cultivation. The size of plots for this test was one-fortieth acre, and the soil was a clay loam which had been summer-fallowed except in the case of the last plot on the list.

FLAX—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Varieties.	Date Sown.	Date Ripe.	Length of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bush.
			In.	Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Novarossick.....	June 2....	Aug. 25..	25	26	44	55
La Plata.....	" 2....	Sept. 1..	25	20	40	40
Common.....	" 2....	Aug. 25..	31	19	36	55
Russian.....	" 2....	" 25..	26	18	32	55
Riga.....	" 2....	" 25..	28	13	32	52
St. Petersburg ..	" 2....	" 25..	31	12	28	54
Bombay.....	" 2....	" 28..	15	8	32	55
Common on new breaking.....	" 2....	Sept. 1..	25	19	6	55

FLAX—THICK AND THIN SOWING.

Last year from 15 to 50 pounds of seed was used in this series of experiments, with the result that the yield increased in about the same ratio as the increase of seed.

This year much larger quantities of seed were used, but sixty pounds of seed gave a larger yield than any thicker sowing. The plots for this test were one-fortie acre, and the soil a black loam, summer-fallowed.

All were harvested on September 3, 1903.

Varieties.	Amount sown per Acre.	Date of Sowing.	Length of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bush.
	Lbs.		In.	Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Common Flax.....	40	June 2....	29	20	40	5
"	50	" 2....	29	18	32	5
"	60	" 2....	29	22	28	5
"	70	" 2....	29	21	4	5
"	80	" 2....	29	20	20	5
"	90	" 2....	29	19	36	5
"	100	" 2....	29	17	48	5

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

The crop of Indian corn was heavier than usual this year, some of the plants being twelve feet high; but owing to lack of sunshine during midsummer it was not well matured as usual, only five out of about twenty-five varieties reaching the milk stage.

In addition to the test plots a field of Pearce's Prolific was grown for feeding purposes. About seventy-five tons of this corn was harvested with a corn binder and made into ensilage; the remainder was stooked in the field and will be drawn in as it required and fed dry.

The seed was sown on May 28, in rows 30 inches apart, using about half a bush of seed per acre. The crop was cut on September 4. Twenty-five varieties were used in the trial, the soil was a black sandy loam, and the previous crop was corn. The yields were calculated from two rows each 66 feet long.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Height Inches.	When Tasselled.	In Silk.	Condition when cut Sept. 2.	Weight per Acre grown in rows.		Weight per Acre grown in hills.	
					Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
ureka.	132	Aug. 30.		In tassel....	28	1,532	22	1,672
Thoroughbred White Flint.....	100	" 26.		"	28	232	21	1,032
Champion White Pearl.....	108	" 25.		"	24	312	18	960
Superior Fodder	85	" 21.	Aug. 30.	In silk.	21	1,824	20	1,712
Early Mastodon	108	" 20.	" 30.	"	21	768	21	1,032
Compton's Early	116	" 20.	" 22.	Early milk ..	20	392	17	1,112
Early Butler.....	120	" 24.		In tassel....	19	1,336	17	320
Red Cob Ensilage.....	108	" 26.		"	19	1,072	22	1,672
Ammoth Cuban	144	" 22.	Sept. 1.	In silk.	19	1,072	18	432
Angel of Midnight	97	" 18.	Aug. 24.	Early milk ..	19	1,072	16	1,792
Instant Prolific Ensilage.....	110	" 26.		In tassel....	19	280	16	472
Youngfellow.....	100	" 11.	Aug. 20.	Early milk ..	19	280	19	16
King Philip.....	96	" 19.	" 25.	Late milk ..	18	1,752	16	1,528
White Cap Yellow Dent.....	100	" 22.	" 26.	Early milk ..	18	1,752	15	1,680
North Dakota White	110	" 12.	" 20.	Late milk ..	18	960	16	1,528
Selected Leaming.....	109	" 24.	" 30.	In silk.	18	432	15	1,680
Unford.....	85	" 18.	" 21.	Late milk ..	16	1,000	15	1,680
Wood's Early Yellow.....	120	" 23.	" 30.	In silk.	16	472	13	1,192
Quaw Corn.....	91	" 18.	" 22.	Late milk ..	15	1,944	18	960
Verdegreen Sugar.....	90	" 25.		In tassel....	15	1,680	13	400
Side of the North.....	114	" 20.	Aug. 27.	Early milk ..	15	1,680	15	360
Ammoth 8-rowed Flint.....	106	" 15.	" 25.	Late milk ..	15	1,152	14	1,832
King of the Earliest	96	" 21.	" 31.	In silk.	15	360	16	472
Miller's All Gold.....	107	" 22.	" 30.	"	14	248	22	352
North Dakota Yellow Flint.....	95	" 11.	" 20.	Early milk ..	11	1,760	14	248

INDIAN CORN—SOWN AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

Name of Variety.	Distance apart.	Height.	Condition when cut.	Weight per Acre, cut green for ensilage, in rows.	
				Tons.	Lbs.
Yellow	Inches. 24	Inches. 114	Late milk....	18	300
"	30	114	"	14	1,832
"	36	114	"	15	800
Red Leaming.....	42	114	"	11	275
"	24	120	In silk.....	19	1,600
"	30	120	"	17	320
"	36	120	"	18	960
Champion White Pearl	42	120	"	12	750
"	24	116	"	21	900
"	30	116	"	20	1,712
"	36	116	"	19	1,600
"	42	116	"	13	895

INDIAN CORN.

Average Yield at Different Distances Apart.				Tons.	Lbs.
Yield of green corn at 24 inches apart.....				19	1,600
" " 30 "				17	1,288
" " 36 "				17	1,786
" " 42 "				12	640

POP-CORN.

Two varieties of pop-corn were grown, but neither of them matured grain before the frost. They were sown on June 3, and cut September 3.

The Early Amber Rice pop-corn reached the early milk stage, was 75 inches high and yielded 14 tons 1,600 pounds of green fodder per acre.

The White Pearl pop-corn was only in silk when cut, and 80 inches high. It yielded 18 tons of green fodder per acre.

The size of each plot was one-twentieth acre, and the soil sandy loam, summer-fallow.

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

Twenty varieties of turnips have been on trial at the experimental farm this year. The yield was much above the average, and the quality excellent.

The soil chosen for this experiment was a sandy loam, and the previous crop potatoes. Ten loads of well rotted manure per acre were applied in the autumn of 1903 and ploughed under at once.

Two sowings were made of each variety; in every instance the early sown plots gave the largest return; in some instances the early sown plots yielded twice as much as the late sown ones.

The first plots were sown on May 30, the second on June 13, and the roots from both were pulled on October 7. The estimate of yield has been made from the produce of two rows, each 66 feet long.

TURNIPS.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
			1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
			Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Magnum Bonum	Strong	43	1,120	1,452	..	18	960	616	..
2	Drummond Purple Top	"	42	480	1,408	..	14	1,040	484	..
3	Mammoth Clyde	Weak	41	1,160	1,386	..	16	1,000	550	..
4	Elephants Master	Fair	40	1,312	1,355	12	15	1,680	528	..
5	Selected Purple Top	Strong	40	520	1,342	..	16	736	545	3
6	Skirvings	"	40	520	1,342	..	20	920	682	..
7	Imperial Swede	Fair	40	520	1,342	..	19	1,600	660	..
8	Kangaroo	Strong	39	1,200	1,320	..	17	320	572	..
9	Sutton's Champion	Fair	39	1,200	1,320	..	15	360	506	..
10	Hall's Westbury	Strong	39	1,200	1,320	..	21	240	704	..
11	New Century	"	39	672	1,311	12	14	1,040	484	..
12	Halewood's Bronze Top	"	38	1,880	1,298	..	17	320	572	..
13	Emperor Swede	Fair	38	560	1,276	..	14	1,568	492	4
14	Hartley's Bronze	"	38	560	1,276	..	22	880	748	..
15	East Lothian	Strong	36	1,920	1,232	..	15	1,680	528	..
16	Good Luck	Fair	36	864	1,214	24	14	1,040	484	..
17	Shamrock Purple Top	"	36	600	1,210	..	19	280	638	..
18	Perfection Swede	Weak	35	1,280	1,188	..	16	1,000	550	..
19	Bangholm Selected	Fair	34	1,960	1,166	..	15	360	506	..
20	Jumbo	Strong	32	680	1,078	..	16	1,000	550	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Sixteen varieties of these useful field roots were tested this year; the yield was the average and the quality good.

Mangels are found to be one of the most serviceable field roots grown on the farm; animals are partial to them, even chickens will consume a large quantity of them during the winter months.

About the only objection to their cultivation is the risk from injury from early frosts.

The soil chosen for this crop was a sandy loam fertilized the previous year with loads per acre of barn-yard manure; the previous crop was potatoes.

The first plots were sown on May 30, and the second on June 13; all were harvested on September 21.

The estimate of yield has been made from the product of two rows, each 66 feet

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
	1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Mammoth Long Red.....	42	744	1,412	24	23	1,520	792	..
Long Sugar White.....	36	1,302	1,223	12	28	760	946	..
Triumph Yellow Globe.....	36	1,584	1,126	24	20	656	677	36
Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	33	1,320	1,122	..	24	1,368	822	48
Triumph Mammoth Long Red.....	32	1,736	1,095	36	23	1,784	796	24
Triumph Mammoth Long Red.....	32	1,472	1,091	12	22	88	734	48
Triumph Winner Yellow Globe.....	31	1,360	1,056	..	21	1,560	726	..
Triumph Intermediate.....	30	720	1,012	..	20	712	695	12
Triumph Yellow Intermediate.....	29	1,400	990	..	22	880	748	..
Triumph Nathan Long Red.....	28	232	937	12	18	960	616	..
Triumph Post.....	27	1,968	932	48	23	200	770	..
Triumph Yellow Globe.....	27	1,704	928	24	23	728	778	48
Triumph Sugar Mangel.....	27	912	915	12	20	1,712	695	12
Triumph Long Sugar Rosy.....	27	912	915	12	20	1,712	695	12
Triumph Yellow Globe.....	25	1,480	858	..	21	1,560	726	..
Triumph Yellow Intermediate.....	25	160	836	..	23	992	783	12

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

The soil selected for this crop was not a suitable one, being too stiff and hard; the roots little opportunity of penetrating it.

Seven varieties were tried; the first sowing was made on May 16 and the second on June 6. With one exception, all the first sown plots gave the largest yield.

The soil was a stiff clay loam, which had been summer-fallowed; all were pulled on October 19.

The yield per acre has been calculated from the product of two rows, each 66 feet

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
		1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Improved Short White.....	22	1,320	755	20	12	640	410	40
2	New White Intermediate.....	21	240	704	..	10	900	348	20
3	Ontario Champion.....	21	240	704	..	11	1,760	396	..
4	White Belgian.....	17	1,640	594	..	10	1,780	363	..
5	Half Long Chantenay.....	17	320	572	..	10	1,120	352	..
6	Half Long White.....	16	1,440	557	20	8	720	278	40
7	Giant White Vosges.....	15	1,680	528	..	12	1,300	421	40
8	Mammoth White Intermediate.....	14	1,700	495	..	8	60	267	40
9	Early Gem.....	14	690	443	20	11	1,320	388	40
10	Carter's Orange Giant.....	11	880	381	20	9	1,800	330	..
11	Long Yellow Stump Rooted.....	10	900	348	20	11	1,320	338	40

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of sugar beets were on trial this year; the soil was a sandy loam on which a crop of potatoes was grown in 1902; the soil was fertilized with ten loads per acre of barn-yard manure in the fall of 1902.

The first plots were sown on June 1, and the second on June 15. All were pulled September 21.

The yield has been calculated from two rows, each 66 feet long.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
		1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Danish Red Top.....	25	160	836	..	19	1,660	660	..
2	Red Top Sugar.....	24	312	805	12	20	920	682	..
3	Danish Improved.....	23	464	774	24	16	1,000	550	..
4	Improved Imperial.....	22	1,936	765	36	21	504	708	..
5	Wanzleben.....	20	128	668	48	15	360	506	..
6	Royal Giant.....	19	1,600	660	..	21	504	708	..
7	French "Very Rich".....	13	1,720	462	..	13	1,720	462	..
8	Vilmorin's Improved.....	13	1,456	457	36	14	776	479	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

Fifty-six varieties of potatoes were under trial this year; the season was a favorable one. The yield was large and the quality excellent.

On this farm the following system of cultivation has given excellent yields of potatoes, with the minimum amount of labour, and leaves the field to a large extent free of weeds.

Stubble land is ploughed deep and as early in spring as possible; this is harrowed at once, and again as the weeds germinate, until about May 20, when the field is rolled and ploughed shallow, the potatoes being planted in every third furrow. The land is then harrowed every few days until the potato plants are three inches high; by this plan little or no hoeing is necessary, and good yields are assured.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The soil selected this year was a stiff clay loam, and the previous crop was mangels. The potatoes were planted on May 21 and dug October 16 and 17. There was no injury from rot; the yield has been estimated in each case from the product of one row 66 ft long.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Average Size.	Total yield per acre.	Yield per acre of Marketable.	Yield per acre of unmarketable.	Form and Colour.
			Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	
General Gordon.	Rank	Medium to large.	630 40	539 20	91 40	Long round deep pink
Delaware.	V. rank.	"	586 40	535 20	51 20	Long, oval, white.
Normous.	"	Medium.	509 40	440 20	69 40	Roundish, white.
Merican Sam.	"	Medium to large	498 40	462 20	36 40	Flat, oval, white.
Merican Wonder.	"	Large.	495 40	462 20	33 40	Long, round, white.
Edling No. 7.	"	Medium to large	487 40	439 20	58 40	Long, deep red.
ish Daisy.	Fair.	"	484 40	432 40	51 20	Long, oval, white.
ate of Maine.	Rank.	Small to medium	484 40	432 40	51 20	Flat, oval, white.
oney Maker.	Fair.	"	480 20	429 20	51 20	Round, oval, white.
nadian Beauty.	Rank.	Medium to large	469 20	436 20	33 40	Long, round, l't pink.
mbidge Russet.	Fair.	"	465 40	429 20	33 40	" " dp russet
ly Puritan.	Rank.	"	462 40	429 20	33 40	" " white.
ly Northern.	Fair.	"	462 40	403 20	58 40	" " flat, pink.
een Mountain.	Rank.	Small to medium	451 40	414 20	36 40	Flat, oval, white.
eve's Rose.	"	Medium to large	451 40	432 40	18 20	Flat, oval, light pink.
pire State.	"	"	451 40	429 20	22 40	Long, white.
wn's Rot Proof.	Fair.	"	447 20	414 20	33 40	Round oval deep pink
ntry Gentlemen.	"	"	447 20	407 20	40 20	Long, deep pink.
sh Cobbler.	"	"	447 20	392 20	55 40	Flat, white.
erett.	Rank	"	447 20	407 20	40 20	Long, oval, pink.
se of the North.	"	"	440 40	403 20	36 40	Round, oval, l't pink.
wdon Rose.	Fair.	Small to medium	440 40	399 40	40 20	"
ule's Thorghbred.	Rank.	Medium to large	440 40	344 40	95 20	Long, deep, pink.
born Abundance.	"	"	436 20	418 20	18 20	Round, white.
's Favourite.	Weak.	Small to medium	432 40	392 20	40 20	Long, oval, light pink
le Puritan.	Rank.	Medium to large	430 50	388 40	42 10	Long, round, white.
se No. 9.	"	"	429 40	396 20	33 40	Long, flat, deep pink
naby Seedling.	"	"	429 40	414 20	14 40	Flat, oval, pink.
pe's Seedling.	Fair.	"	425 20	381 20	44 40	Long, oval, l't pink.
ier.	Rank.	Small to medium	425 20	385 20	40 20	Round, oval, pink.
ly White Prize.	Fair.	Medium to large	418 40	385 20	33 40	Round, oval, l't pink
ific Rose.	"	"	418 40	396 20	22 40	Round, deep pink.
ss Snowflake.	Rank.	Small to medium	414 20	396 20	18 20	Irregular, white.
er's Standard.	"	Medium to large	414 20	381 20	33 40	Round, oval, white.
C. L.	"	"	414 20	381 20	33 40	Flat, oval, white.
ly Michigan.	Fair.	"	414 20	377 40	36 40	Long, round, pink.
n Manor.	Rank.	"	410 40	366 40	44 40	Long, white.
ree.	"	"	407 40	355 40	51 20	Long, oval, deep pink
ran, No. 1.	Fair.	"	407 40	374 20	33 40	Round, pink.
ntyre.	Rank.	"	399 40	370 20	29 20	Flat, white.
nan No. 3.	Fair.	"	388 40	363 20	25 40	Long, round, white.
y Sunrise.	"	"	381 20	348 20	33 40	"
y Seedling.	Rank.	Large	377 40	341 20	36 40	Long, oval, l't pink.
y's Elephant.	"	Small to medium	377 40	355 40	22 40	Irregular, white.
y Andes.	Fair.	Medium to large	366 40	341 20	25 40	Long, round, white.
y Rose.	Rank.	Small to medium	363 40	330 20	33 40	Round, oval, white.
ester Rose.	Rank.	Medium to large	355 40	308 20	47 40	Flat, oval, deep pink
y Rose.	Weak.	Small to medium	341 40	308 20	33 40	Long, round, l't pink
y St. George.	Fair.	"	335 30	251 10	84 20	Round, pink.
"	"	Medium to large	330 40	297 20	33 40	Long, oval, deep pink
's Extra Early.	"	"	319 40	282 20	36 40	Long, oval, l't pink.
's Extra Early.	Weak.	Small to medium	315 20	289 40	25 40	Flat, pink.
y Envoy.	Fair.	Medium to large	311 40	297 20	14 40	Oval pink.
's Date.	Rank.	"	271 20	253 20	18 20	Round pink.
's Date.	Weak.	Small to medium	258 30	232 50	25 40	Flat, white.
's Date.	"	"	113 40	106 20	7 20	Flat, oval, white.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Common Millet is the only variety that will ripen its seed here every year. Much the imported seed is mixed with the seed of wild mustard, but if a small plot is sown with the imported seed and the noxious weeds pulled by hand, pure seed can be obtained for future use. It has not been found advisable to feed millet in large quantities to horses, but during the past winter the work horses on this farm were each fed a sheaf of common millet each day, with excellent results. For this purpose the crop should be cut directly it is in the head, and before the seed has fully formed. Millet seed is small and the germ rather feeble, and for that reason it should be sown only in well pulverized and moist loam; hard clay, gumbo, dry sandy or stony soils are not suitable for it.

Summer-fallowed land or the first crop after field roots makes a good preparation for Millets, and from May 20 to June 15 is the proper time to sow them.

The size of the plots for this test were one-fortieth acre and the soil was a rich sandy loam which had been summer-fallowed; all were sown on June 3 and cut on September 3.

MILLETS.

Varieties.	Height.	Length of Head.	Stage when Cut.	Yield per Acre of Hay.
	Inches.	Inches.		Tons. Lbs.
Iranian or Early Pearl	75	5	Fully headed .	5 1,600
Hungarian	45	5	"	5 600
Iranian or Indian	43	None.	Not headed . .	4 1,000
Red French	63	8	Nearly ripe . .	3 600
or Cat-tail	30	None.	Not headed . .	3 400
Common Millet	39	4	Nearly ripe . .	2 800
Donburg	47	6	Fully headed .	2 600

HORSE BEANS AND SUNFLOWERS.

A one-twentieth acre plot of each of these plants were grown, but the frost of September 3 and 4 injured them so severely that they were not worth cutting.

CATTLE.

The herd of cattle on the Brandon experimental farm now consists of the following animals:—

Name of Animal.	Breed.	Age.	Weight.
			Lbs.
Night of Brandon	Shorthorn	19 months	1,210
on Myrtle	"	4 years	1,595
May	"	3 "	1,240
f Brandon	"	3 "	1,420
Brandon	Ayrshire	5 months	465
Brandon	"	7 "	550
Prince	"	19 "	865
on's Maid	Guernsey	19 "	760
Brandon	"	21 "	1,090
Brandon	Shorthorn-grade	2 years	965
Brandon	"	6 "	1,365
Brandon	"	5 "	1,355
Brandon	"	8 "	1,420
Brandon	"	7 "	1,290
Brandon	"	3 months	270
Brandon	Ayrshire-grade	6 years	925
Brandon	"	5 months	370

EXPERIMENTS IN FEEDING STEERS.

BROME GRASS COMPARED WITH FODDER CORN.

Of the ten steers selected for this test, two were Aberdeen Angus grades, and the balance Shorthorn grades; all were two and one-half years old when the test began.

When purchased in November, 1902, the steers cost \$3.50 per hundred pounds live weight, and they sold in April, 1903, for \$4.25 per hundred; both lots were then choice export cattle.

After two weeks of preparatory feeding, they were divided into two uniform groups. All were tied in double stalls and fed all they would eat of the following rations:—

Ration fed Group No. 1.

During the first four weeks, December 12, 1902, to January 9, 1903, each steer received per day—

	Pounds.
Brome hay.....	20
Turnips.....	10
Chop.....	6
Bran.....	5

During the second four weeks, January 9 to February 6, 1903, each steer received per day—

	Pounds.
Brome hay.....	20
Turnips.....	7
Chop.....	7
Bran.....	5

During the third four weeks, February 6 to March 6, 1903, each steer received per day—

	Pounds.
Brome hay.....	20
Turnips.....	7
Chop.....	8
Bran.....	5

During the fourth four weeks, March 6 to April 3, 1903, each steer received per day—

	Pounds.
Brome hay.....	20
Turnips.....	7
Chop.....	9
Bran.....	5

Ration fed Group No. 2.

During the first four weeks, December 12, 1902, to January 9, 1903, each steer received per day—

	Pounds.
Fodder corn.....	24
Turnips.....	10
Chop.....	6
Bran.....	5

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

During the second four weeks, January 9, to February 6, 1903, each steer received per day—

	Pounds.
Fodder corn.....	26
Turnips.....	7
Chop.....	7
Bran.....	5

During the third four weeks, February 6 to March 6, 1903, each steer received per

	Pounds.
Fodder corn.....	30
Turnips.....	10
Chop.....	8
Bran.....	5

During the fourth four weeks, March 6, to April 3, 1903, each steer received per

	Pounds.
Fodder corn.....	30
Turnips.....	10
Chop.....	9
Bran.....	5

DESCRIPTION OF FODDER.

The brome was cut early and well cured.
The fodder corn was Pearce's Prolific, cut when in the late milk stage, well cured and stacked outside and only drawn in as it was wanted. The chop consisted of one-half each of wheat screenings, oats and barley.

COMPARATIVE GAINS.

Brome Grass Hay.	Date.	Weight.	Gain.	Total Gain.
Initial weight of steers.....	Dec. 12, 1902..	6,030 lbs....		
Weight at end of 1st period.....	Jan. 9, 1903..	6,205 ".....	175 lbs.....	
" 2nd ".....	Feb. 6, 1903..	6,490 ".....	285 ".....	
" 3rd ".....	March 6, 1903..	6,810 ".....	320 ".....	
" 4th ".....	April 3, 1903..	6,965 ".....	155 ".....	935 lbs.
Fodder Corn.	Date.	Weight.	Gain.	Total Gain.
Initial weight of steers.....	Dec. 12, 1902..	6,000 lbs....		
Weight at end of 1st period.....	Jan. 9, 1903..	6,210 ".....	210 lbs.....	
" 2nd ".....	Feb. 6, 1903..	6,505 ".....	295 ".....	
" 3rd ".....	March 6, 1903..	6,810 ".....	305 ".....	
" 4th ".....	April 3, 1903..	7,010 ".....	200 ".....	1,010 lbs.

COST OF FEEDING.

Lot No. 1.—Brome Grass Hay.

11,200 pounds of hay at \$5 per ton.	\$28 00
79½ bushels of turnips at 5c. per bushel.	3 96
4,200 pounds of chop at 75c. per 100 pounds.	31 50
2,800 pounds of bran at \$12 per ton.	16 80
Total cost of five steers.	\$80 26
Cost of one steer.	\$16 05

Lot No. 2.—Fodder Corn.

16,050 pounds of fodder corn at \$4 per ton.	\$32 10
79½ bushels of turnips at 5c. per bushel.	3 96
4,200 pounds of chop at 75c. per 100 pounds.	31 50
2,800 pounds of bran at \$12 per ton.	16 80
Total cost for five steers.	\$84 36
Cost for one steer.	\$16 87

SUMMARY OF RESULTS.

	First Cost per Steer.	Value of Feed consumed.	Price per Steer sold for.	Gain per day.	Profit per Steer.
	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs. oz.	\$ ct.
Fed Brome Grass Hay	42 51	16 05	59 20	1 10	0 6
Fed Fodder Corn	42 00	16 87	59 58	1 12	0 7

CONCLUSIONS.

The results of this experiment would lead us to the following conclusions:—

First, that there is very little profit in feeding steers when the difference between the buying and selling price is only about 75 cents per steer.

Second, that cattle require more pounds of fodder corn per day than they do brome grass hay.

Third, that the comparative value of these two fodder crops is about \$4 per ton for fodder corn and \$5 for brome hay.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SWINE.

SPELTZ (EMMER) COMPARED WITH MIXED GRAIN.

The area sown with speltz in this province has increased very largely during the past year, but very little is known of its value as a pig feed.

Eight pigs were used for this test, two Yorkshires and two Berkshires in each group.

The mixed grain used was composed of one-fifth oats, two-fifths wheat screening and two-fifths barley; both it and the speltz were ground and fed.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Both kinds of feed were valued at 75c. per hundred pounds. Reports have been received of injury to young pigs from feeding speltz, but no difficulty was experienced from this cause here.

At the close of the test the pigs were sold at \$5.25 per hundred pounds, live weight.

RATION FED.

Amount and value of food consumed during the fattening term of 81 days from January 15 to April 9, 1903 :—

	Grain fed.	Value of feed.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.
fed speltz.....	1,525	11 43
fed mixed grain.....	1,550	11 62

SUMMARY.

	Weight when bought.	Value when bought.	Weight when sold.	Value when sold.	Value of food.	Profit on each pen.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
fed speltz.....	432	22 68	821	43 10	11 43	8 99
fed mixed grain.....	402	21 10	809	42 47	11 62	9 75

CONCLUSIONS.

First, the pen of animals fed on mixed grain consumed 25 pounds more grain during the fattening period than those fed on speltz.

Second, the same pen also made a gain of 18 pounds more than those fed on speltz.

Third, the amount of profit was practically the same from each class of food, the difference being only 76c. per pen in favour of the mixed grain ration.

POULTRY.

Three breeds of poultry have been kept on this farm during the year, namely, Plymouth Rocks, White Wyandottes and Light Brahmas. All have kept healthy and seventy-three chicks were raised during the summer.

INCUBATOR.

trial was made this year with an incubator, as this is the first year it has been on the farm, and the operator inexperienced, it was deemed advisable to await results of another year's test before reporting on its success.

FATTENING OF BARRED PLYMOUTH ROCKS COMPARED WITH WHITE WYANDOTTES.

Four Barred Plymouth Rock cockerels and an equal number of White Wyandottes put up in slatted pens each 2 x 3 feet, and fed all they would eat of finely ground consisting of one-third each of wheat, oats and barley. This was given in mixed with skim-milk to the consistency of thin porridge. The following tables the meal has been estimated at 75 cents per hundred. The fattening period covered 28 days.

Barred Plymouth Rocks.

Weight Nov. 25.		Weight Dec. 23.		Gain.		Cost of food.		Cost per lb. live weight.
Lbs.	oz.	Lbs.	oz.	Lbs.	oz.	\$	cts	cts.
19	00	24	8	5	8	0	22	4

Wyandottes (white).

Weight Nov. 25.		Weight Dec. 23.		Gain.		Cost of food.		Cost per lb. live weight.
Lbs.	oz.	Lbs.	oz.	Lbs.	oz.	\$	cts.	cts.
17	10	21	10	4	00	0	21	5½

SUMMARY.

First. The pen of Barred Plymouth Rocks consumed one and one-half pound more grain during the fattening period than the White Wyandottes.

Second. The Barred Plymouth Rocks gained one and one-half pounds of flesh during the month, and the cost of the added flesh was one and one-quarter cent per pound less than in the case of the White Wyandottes.

BEES.

The colonies of bees were removed from the cellar to their summer stands April 4, ten days earlier than usual; of the twelve hives placed in the cellar last year one died from inadequate stores.

A large number of farmers in this province are starting in bee-keeping.

For this reason the apiary was run for swarms more than for honey and still demands for colonies could not be met. Some of the colonies were shipped long distances; this gave opportunity for the testing of different ways of packing hives for shipment; some of the plans tried proved disastrous to both comb and bees.

The most successful shipping was accomplished with the Langstroth hive, as follows: The reversible bottom board is placed so as to give the largest possible entrance, which, with this hive is seven-eighths of an inch. This entrance is then covered with fine wire netting. A piece of comb section is placed on each corner of the hive board just under the cover; this raises the cover just enough to permit of ventilation, but not enough to allow the escape of bees. Malleable bale wire is then wrapped around the hive and twisted tight to keep the cover and bottom board firmly attached to the hive. By the above plan colonies have invariably reached their destination safely.

A trial was made this year of growing two different plants, as bee food, namely Sweet Clover (*Melilotus Alba*) and Borage, the sweet clover is a biennial, and during the second summer blooms freely and continuously until frost. Bees are very partial to it, and the yield of nectar is large.

Borage is an annual garden herb, with bright blue flowers, which are very abundant throughout the summer. The plot of one-twentieth acre grown on the farm last year was fairly swarming with bees every bright day, and apparently the yield of honey from it is considerable.

Thirteen colonies were placed in the cellar on November 13, 1903.

HORTICULTURE.

The season of 1903 was a very favourable one from a horticultural standpoint. The total absence of spring frosts contributed to a very heavy setting of fruits, and the generous rains throughout the season materially assisted in producing one of the best crops of fruit and vegetables of recent years.

A very large crop of crab-apples and plums were harvested, the yield from these being one of the heaviest recorded on the experimental farm; while raspberries and strawberries also gave fair returns.

The vegetable garden was very satisfactory, all varieties giving an exceptionally high yield of excellent quality.

Only one serious check was experienced during the season and this, fortunately, occurred near the close. On September 12, we were visited by a severe snow storm, accompanied by much wind, after which the thermometer registered 10° of frost, which retarded the late ripening vegetables as well as the later ripening varieties of cross-apples.

The bright weather experienced during the spring months was very favourable to the work, and exceptionally strong flowering plants were available at transplanting in the flower garden presenting a mass of colour throughout the season.

Following will be found the results of portions of the work undertaken in this season.

STANDARD APPLES.

The following standard apples grafted on *Pyrus baccata*, together with some other seedlings, were received from the Central Experimental Farm at Ottawa and planted here during the past season:—

Hibernal.

Wealthy.

North-west Greening.

McMahon White.

Longfield.

Russian Seedling, No. 13.

Russian Seedling, No. 22.

Russian Seedling, No. 7.

Russian Seedling, No. 3.

Russian Seedling, No. 26.

Yellow Transparent.

Pointed Pipka.

Duchess of Oldenburgh.

Scott's Winter.

McIntosh Red.

It became well established before the winter set in.

APPLES (*PYRUS BACCATA*).

Although a heavy crop of fruit of the several varieties of *Pyrus baccata* was obtained last year, we were again favoured with an enormous crop during the present season. Of these the largest were the *Pyrus baccata sanguinea*, *Pyrus baccata xanthocephala*, *Pyrus baccata yellow*, *Pyrus* — No. 529. These made excellent preserves when cooked whole, while the smaller ones were unexcelled for jelly.

SEEDLINGS OF THE MARTHA CRAB.

A considerable number of these seedlings fruited for the first time this season, and which were some excellent varieties. The best of these will be propagated for the future by grafting on roots of *Pyrus baccata*.

GRAFTING

In the spring of 1902, scions of the following varieties were grafted on *Pyrus*

Transcendent.
Pride of Minneapolis.

Wealthy.
Duchess of Oldenburgh.

Excellent unions were made in all cases, and the following shows the condition of the scions after having passed through one winter.

Transcendent.—100 per cent alive to tips.

Pride of Minneapolis.—100 per cent alive to tips.

Wealthy.—All killed back three-fourths.

Duchess.—50 per cent killed back three-fourths. Balance alive to tips.

The scions that came through in good condition made splendid growth during the present season, and their condition will be reported upon next year. In connection with the Duchess of Oldenburgh, we desire to state, that the percentage of scions of this variety which wintered successfully, was greatly reduced by reason of the fact that two of the *Pyrus baccata* on which these were grafted, afterwards died and were destroyed.

ROOT GRAFTING.

A number of root grafts were made on *Pyrus baccata* with scions taken from surviving trees of the old apple orchard. These made good unions, and successfully passed through the winter of 1902-03. They were planted in the *Pyrus* orchard in the spring and made good growth during the season.

TOP GRAFTING.

Scions of the following were received from the Central Experimental Farm and top grafted on *Pyrus baccata* during the past spring:—

Yellow Transparent.
McMahon White.
Hibernal.
Duchess of Oldenburgh,
Malinda.

Wealthy.
Patten's Greening.
Charlamoff.
North-western Greening.

In addition to these a few scions were received from Miss Fowler, of Heading, Manitoba, of an unnamed red apple. The following named cross-bred apple scions were received from the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa:—

Charles, Pioneer, Northern Queen,
Carleton, Ruby, Aurora, Derby.

There were no cases of failure to unite, and a good growth was made during the season.

CROSS-BRED APPLES.

A large number of cross-bred apples fruited for the first time this season, though none of the named varieties were included, some very fair samples were none the less obtained. The most satisfactory of these will be found under the heading of 'Descriptive list of apples.'

A large addition of cross-bred apple seedlings and grafted specimens of the named varieties of cross-breeds was made to the *Pyrus* orchards during the past season. Nearly every specimen became established and we have now growing on the farm a large representative collection of this class of apples, which should prove most interesting on coming into bearing.

The following is a descriptive list of the more meritorious varieties of apples fruited this season. All of these make excellent preserves when cooked whole.

SIONAL PAPER No. 16

Martha Seedling, No. 1.—Colour, deep yellow slightly streaked with red; diameter, $1\frac{1}{8}$ inches; flattish; seed cavity medium; ripe middle August; flesh firm, sweet and juicy; calyx persistent.

Martha Seedling, No. 2.—Colour, deep yellow slightly streaked with red; diameter, $1\frac{1}{8}$ inches; seed cavity very small; flesh firm, sweet and juicy, with a pleasant taste; shape, flattish oval; ripe late September; calyx persistent.

Martha Seedling, No. 3.—Colour, bright red; diameter, $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches; seed cavity medium; flesh somewhat soft, rather dry but sweet; ripe, early August; calyx persistent.

Martha Seedling, No. 4.—Colour, deep yellow; diameter, $1\frac{3}{8}$ inches; seed cavity rather large; flesh soft and mealy; sweet; ripe early in September; calyx persistent.

Martha Seedling, No. 5.—Colour, bright yellow, dotted and streaked with red; diameter, $1\frac{2}{8}$ inches; seed cavity, small; flesh firm, sweet and juicy, slightly astringent; shape, flattish; ripe early September; calyx persistent.

Martha Seedling, No. 6.—Colour, deep yellow, streaked heavily with red on sunny side; diameter $1\frac{1}{8}$ inches; seed cavity small; flesh firm, sweet and juicy; shape flattish oval; ripe late in August; calyx persistent. The best of the Martha seedlings.

Martha Seedling, No. 7.—Colour, deep yellow, slightly streaked with red; diameter, $1\frac{3}{8}$ inches; seed cavity large; flesh firm, sweet and juicy, with a pleasant taste; shape, flattish; ripe late in August; calyx persistent. One of the best flavoured seedlings.

Snyder Seedling, No. 8.—Colour, deep yellow, slightly streaked with red on sunny side; diameter, $1\frac{3}{8}$ inches; flesh firm, sweet and juicy; seed cavity small; shape roundish; ripe early September; calyx persistent.

Pyrus baccata x Wealthy, No. 9.—Colour, deep red on sunny side, reverse side yellow slightly streaked with red; diameter, $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches; seed cavity, medium; ripe early week in September; flesh firm and juicy, slightly astringent; calyx persistent; fruit very thin and susceptible to bruising.

Cross-bred Pyrus Seedling, No. 10.—Colour very bright red; diameter $1\frac{3}{8}$ inches; shape roundish; seed cavity medium; flesh crisp and juicy and slightly astringent; ripe late August; calyx persistent.

Fig. 116, Pyrus baccata x Tetofsky, No. 11.—Colour bright red; diameter, $1\frac{3}{8}$ inches; seed cavity small; flesh, soft and mealy, sweet; shape flattish; ripe, middle of September; calyx persistent.

Pyrus baccata x Talman's Sweet, No. 12.—Colour deep yellow, very slightly streaked with red on sunny side; diameter, $1\frac{5}{8}$ inches; seed cavity, medium; flesh, sweet and juicy, sub-acid, slightly astringent; shape, flattish round, ripe early in October; calyx persistent.

Pyrus baccata x Talman's Sweet, No. 13.—Colour, deep red; diameter, $1\frac{5}{8}$ inches; seed cavity small to medium; flesh, firm, sweet and juicy, very slightly astringent; ripe late in August, calyx persistent. A good variety.

Pyrus baccata x Talman's Sweet, No. 14.—Colour, deep yellow, fairly streaked with red on sunny side; diameter, $1\frac{5}{8}$ inches; seed cavity, medium; flesh firm, sweet, and juicy; ripe early October; calyx persistent. A good sort.

Pyrus baccata, No. 529, No. 15.—Colour, bright yellow, streaked with red on sunny side; diameter, $1\frac{3}{8}$ inches; flesh firm, juicy and sweet; seed cavity, medium ripe, early August; calyx persistent.

No 125, *Parker*.—Colour, deep yellow, heavily splashed with red on sunny side; diameter, $1\frac{1}{6}$ inches; flesh firm, juicy and slightly astringent; seed cavity, medium ripe middle of September; calyx persistent.

Transcendent crab.—The large tree of this variety growing on the hillside again produced a fair crop of excellent fruit. On account of having been used as a source supply for scions, the crop was not as heavy as it would otherwise have been.

PLUMS.

The plum crop of 1903 was the heaviest ever recorded on the Experimental Farm. The fruit set in such profusion that the branches were weighted to the ground, many of them breaking when the fruit attained full size. The trees of the native plum (*Prunus nigra*), ripened practically all their fruit, but those of the American plum (*Prunus Americana*), failed to ripen, although some large fruit was produced on some specimens of this class.

SMALL FRUITS.

RASPBERRIES.

The raspberry crop was only a fair one during the past season, though the yield throughout the province was much above the average. An interesting test was made in order to determine the efficacy of laying down the canes in the fall of the year in order to prevent winter-killing. One-half the row of each variety was laid down, the tips of the canes being held down by a light furrow thrown on them with the plough, the balance being left standing. On the approach of spring the canes were lifted, and while the covered canes were found to be in good condition, those unprotected were dead to snowline. It is evident that, in exposed positions it would be wise to lay down the canes and partly cover them, as described.

CURRANTS.

The currant crop was an excellent one throughout the province the past season, although, on account of change of location of the currant plantation at the Experimental Farm, the crop was below the average.

TREES, SHRUBS, HEDGES, &c.

HEDGES.

No additions were made to the list of trial hedges during the past season, but a few would call attention to one or two which have been planted quite recently.

Cedar or Arbor-Vitæ (*Thuja occidentalis*), planted 1900.—Though somewhat slow growing, this is proving quite hardy, and gives promise of making a most symmetrical hedge in the near future, and it bears clipping well.

Rhamnus cathartica (Buckthorn).—This plant is receiving considerable attention from the farmers as a hedge plant and seems to promise well for that purpose. Its branches are more or less spined, and it should make a good hedge. It is hardy and

SPECIAL PAPER No. 16

One of the best thorn hedges growing on the farm is that composed of the native Buffalo Berry (*Shepherdia argentea*). Though not a rapid grower, the numerous spines with which it is covered render it a very impervious hedge even when quite small, and its beautiful silvery foliage makes it a striking object during the summer season.

The large hedge of Native Spruce (*Picea alba*), planted in 1893, and now 14 feet high, continues to prove very satisfactory and does not show the slightest signs of deterioration on account of crowding, being green from top to base.

The large double-rowed maple hedges (*Acer Negundo*), surrounding the shelter belt at the south end of the farm, were given a good pruning this season, which has greatly added to their appearance.

Several of these sample hedges, which were in a low portion of ground near the Superintendent's house, were injured by the heavy floods of a year ago, and it seems doubtful if they will ever thoroughly recover.

ARBORETUM.

No additions were made to the Arboretum during 1903. The following is a list of varieties planted in 1902, together with notes on their condition this year.

Crataegus oxyacantha (English Hawthorn).—Killed to snow-line; strong growth;

Ostrya virginica (Ironwood).—Wintered well; very small growth; 1903.

Banksian Pine (*Pinus banksiana*).—Wintered well; fair growth; 1903.

Red Pine (*Pinus resinosa*).—Wintered well; fair growth; 1903.

CANKER ON RUSSIAN POPLAR.

This disease continues to make rapid progress on the Experimental Farm, many of the trees of Russian Poplar being more or less seriously affected by it. The canker (fungus growth), rots the wood tissue, causing the limbs and trunk to break off at the affected point during high winds. There seems to be no question, but that cuttings from the affected trees soon exhibit symptoms of the disease, consequently it may be advisable to make a new commencement from seed. This tree is a very rapid grower.

CRATAEGUS—NIEMETZ (HAWTHORN).

Several of these thorns, procured by Dr. Saunders from Russia from Mr. Niemetz, growing in the arboretum here, and are well worthy of special notice on account of their comparatively rapid growth, handsome appearance and great hardiness. Many inquiries are received as to suitable material for thorn hedges, and it seems probable that these would be suitable for this purpose. They are similar in growth to the native thorn (*Crataegus coccinea*) and produce similar offensive spikes, which render a hedge of this sort almost impenetrable.

FLOWERING SHRUBS.

owing to the absence of spring frosts the numerous varieties of flowering shrubs on the farm were much above the average this season, and brought forth enthusiastic interest from visitors, the lilacs, spireas and honeysuckles being especially fine.

LILAC—CHARLES X.

This is a magnificent form of the common lilac, with very large heads of flowers, and are produced much more abundantly than with the common variety. It has also

the advantage of flowering when comparatively young. It may be propagated by grafting on the common stock.

SEEDLINGS OF LILAC CHARLES X.

The hedge composed of seedlings of Charles X. lilac surrounding one of the Pyrenean orchards, flowered heavily for the first time last season, and was very interesting from the fact that they are the first seedlings of this variety yet flowered on the farm. A large percentage of the plants produced flowers quite equal to the parent variety, but the most noticeable peculiarity was the great range of colour, a large number of shades being represented. It is evident that this is a very satisfactory method of propagation.

EUONYMUS LINEARIS.

This dwarf growing shrub flowered for the first time this year. The flowers are very striking both in colour and form, and the plant blooms when quite young.

JAPAN LILAC (SYRINGA VILLOSA).

A very distinct form, flowering later than the other varieties, and of a different form and colour. The flower spikes are large, and of a reddish white colour. Its late flowering greatly lengthens the period of lilac blooms.

PHILADELPHUS GRANDIFLORUS (MOCK ORANGE).

A test was made during the fall of 1902, in order to ascertain the possibility of flowering this beautiful shrub, by means of covering; though the roots are perfectly hardy, the branches are usually killed to snow line, hence the total absence of flowering the following season. The test was partially successful, and a number of flowers were produced during the past summer. A more thorough covering was given before the present winter set in, and we hope thus to still further increase the value of this beautiful flowering shrub.

SPIRAEAS.

We would call special attention to a few varieties of this hardy flowering class which is one of the most satisfactory for the North-west.

Spiraea hypericifolia.

Spiraea Van Houttei.

Spiraea sorbifolia.

These are arranged in order of earliness, the flowers being produced during a considerable period.

FALL SOWING OF SEEDS COMPARED WITH STRATIFICATION.

A test was undertaken to find out whether the fall sowing of seeds of flowering shrubs and fruits would be as advantageous, as the means usually adopted, viz., stratification. The latter method is accomplished by filling a box in the fall with alternating layers of the seed and sand, and leaving it in the open where it will be exposed to the full rigour of winter. The boxes are opened, and the seed sown as early as possible in the spring. It is expected that the action of the winter's frost will conduce to quick germination. It will be readily seen that fall sowing would lessen the amount of labour. There is also a drawback to be considered when stratification is resorted to, and that is, that germination sometimes begins in the boxes before spring sowing is possible, and when the box is opened a mass of intergrown, attenuated seedlings is sometimes brought to light. Included in this test were the following varieties:—*A. ginnala*, *Acer tatarica*, *Lonicera tatarica* and *Pyrus baccata*.

A large number of seedlings of flowering shrubs and hedge plants are grown on farm every year for distribution the following spring. The demand, however, is great that it is not often that all the applicants can be supplied.

GARDEN PEASE.

Thirty-nine varieties of garden pease were sown in the open ground on May 3, the having been grown on the Brandon Experimental Farm in 1902. It was very satisfactory to note that the percentage of germination was in every case excellent, corroborating former experience that Manitoba-grown pease are much above the average. All varieties again ripened their own seed, and the results of the test follows, arranged in order of earliness.

Varieties.	Length of Pod.	Length of Vine.	Peas in Pod.	Flavour.	Productiveness.
	In.	In.			
Briggs' Extra Early.....	2 to 2 $\frac{1}{4}$	16	4 to 5	Fairly sweet..	Very productive.
Chumb.....	2 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	24	6 " 7	" ..	Fairly "
Delphia Extra Early.....	2 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	36	6 " 7	" ..	" "
Early Conqueror.....	2 " 2 $\frac{1}{4}$	24	4 " 5	" ..	Not "
New Yorker.....	2 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	26	6 " 7	" ..	Fairly "
Early Daniel O'Rourke.....	2 " 3	28	5 " 6	" ..	" "
First Crop.....	2 " 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	5 " 6	" ..	Very "
Little Gem.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	26	5 " 6	" ..	Fairly "
Blue Peter.....	2 " 2 $\frac{1}{4}$	18	5 " 6	" ..	" "
Surprise.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	14	5 " 6	Sweet.....	" "
Early Exonian.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	36	6 " 7	Fairly sweet..	" "
Wonder.....	2 " 2 $\frac{1}{4}$	18	4 " 5	Sweet.....	Very "
Wonder.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	36	6 " 7	" ..	" "
Wonder.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	14	6 " 7	Very sweet...	" "
Excelsior.....	2 " 2 $\frac{1}{4}$	26	4 " 5	Sweet.....	Not "
Gradus.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	14	6 " 7	" ..	Very "
Hurst.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	5 " 6	Very sweet...	Not "
Market Garden.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	18	7 " 8	" ..	Very "
Period.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3	30	6 " 7	" ..	" "
Supreme.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	24	5 " 6	Fairly sweet..	Fairly "
Swallowtail.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	36	8 " 9	Sweet.....	" "
Queen.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	18	5 " 6	Fairly sweet..	" "
Market.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	30	8 " 9	Very sweet...	" "
Perfection.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3	30	7 " 8	Fairly sweet..	" "
Perfection.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	30	6 " 7	Very sweet...	" "
Laxton.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	8 " 9	Excellent....	Very "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	6 " 7	Very sweet...	Fairly "
Laxton.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ " 3	36	6 " 7	" ..	Very "
Laxton.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	18	5 " 6	" ..	" "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	36	7 " 8	" ..	" "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	24	7 " 8	" ..	" "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	36	8 " 9	" ..	" "
Laxton.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	36	7 " 8	" ..	" "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	40	8 " 9	" ..	Fairly "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	8 " 9	Fairly sweet..	Very "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	28	8 " 9	Very sweet...	Fairly "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	28	8 " 9	" ..	" "
Laxton.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	30	8 " 9	" ..	" "
Laxton.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	30	8 " 9	" ..	" "
Laxton.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	30	8 " 9	" ..	Very "
Laxton.....	3 " 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	30	8 " 9	" ..	" "

ONIONS.

Seven varieties of onions were sown on April 14 in rows 12 inches apart, with Planet Junior hand drill. The germination was excellent in all cases, and the product above the average both in yield and quality. The yield of the Red Prize Take a variety tested here for the first time this season, has been large, and this may be a valuable onion for this province. Following will be found the result of this test arranged in order of productiveness:—

ONIONS.

Varieties.	Sown.	Pulled.	Colour.	Shape.	Yield per Acre.	
					Bush.	Lbs.
Prize Taker (Red).....	May 14...	Sept. 8...	Deep red.....	Globular....	871	12
Red Wethersfield.....	" 14....	" 8....	"	Flattish....	671	13
Trebon's Yellow.....	" 14....	" 8....	Light yellow..	Globular....	544	30
Yellow Globe, Danvers..	" 14....	" 8....	Dark " ..	"	508	17
Gibraltar.....	" 14....	" 8....	Light " ..	"	471	54
Paris's Silverskin Market.....	" 14....	" 8....	White....	Flattish....	435	30
Favourite Keeping.....	" 14....	" 8....	Light yellow..	Globular....	381	9

*SQUASH AND PUMPKINS.

Forty-six varieties of squash and pumpkins were sown in the open on May 28. hills ten feet apart each way. The coolness of the summer prevented the best result being obtained, although a very fair yield was had, many of the varieties coming quite up to the average.

We would again point out the special value of the Bush forms of squash as compared with the running varieties, on account of their earliness and ease of cultivation. Extra Early Orange Marrow continues to merit our good opinion, as to its being the best substitute for a pie pumpkin yet tested here.

The following results were obtained:—

SQUASH AND PUMPKINS.

Varieties.	Sown.	Ripe and Ready.	Colour of Skin.	Colour of Flesh.	Form.	Weight.	Quality.
						Lbs.	
Grey Mammoth.....	May 28	Sept. 20	Green'h white.	Light yellow..	R	30	Rough for feed.
Jumbo	" 28	" 20	Bright yellow.	" ..	R	25	" ..
Golden Oblong.....	" 28	" ..	" ..	" ..	R	"	Did not mature fr
Japanese Pie.....	" 28	Sept. 15	Dark yellow..	Light yellow..	R	10	Fine for pies.
Cushaw.....	" 28	" ..	" ..	" ..	R	"	Did not produce fr
Sweet or Sugar.....	" 28	Sept. 15	Dark yellow..	Light yellow..	R	8	Fine for pies.
Mammoth Tours.....	" 28	" 20	Green'h white.	Green'h yellow	R	20	Rough for feed.
Jonathan.....	" 28	" 20	Bright yellow.	Light yellow..	R	25	" ..
Red Etampes.....	" 28	" ..	" ..	" ..	R	"	Did not mature fr
Large Field.....	" 28	Sept. 10	Deep yellow..	Light yellow..	R	32	Rough for feed.
Nantucket or Negro	" 28	" 15	Deep green....	" ..	R	15	" ..
Winter Luxury.....	" 28	" 20	Light yellow..	" ..	R	10	Fine for pies.
Mammoth King.....	" 28	" 20	Light yellow..	Med. yellow..	R	35	Rough for feed.
Japan Crookneck.....	" 28	" ..	" ..	" ..	R	"	Did not produce fr
Mammoth Globe.....	" 28	Sept. 20	Deep green....	Light yellow..	R	33	Rough for feed.
Golden Bronze.....	" 28	" ..	" ..	" ..	R	"	Did not mature fr
Turban.....	" 28	Sept. 20	Orange.....	Light yellow..	R	6	Fair quality.
Chicago Warted Hubbard.	" 28	" ..	" ..	" ..	R	"	Did not mature fr
Perfect Gem.....	" 28	" ..	" ..	" ..	R	"	" ..

SQUASH AND PUMPKINS—*Concluded.*

Varieties.	Sown.	Ripe and Ready.	Colour of Skin.	Colour of Flesh.	Form.	Weight.	Quality.
						Lbs	
Peak or Silby.....	" 28	Sept. 25	Green'h white.	Green'h yellow	R	10	Good quality.
moth Whale.....	" 28	" 15	Deep green....	Light yellow..	R	38	Rough for feed.
ter Crookneck.....	" 28				R		Fruit did not ripen.
mer Crookneck.....	" 28	Aug. 20	Orange.....	Deep yellow..	R	7	Valueless.
ada Crookneck.....	" 28	" 20	"	"	R	7	"
ton.....	" 28				R		Did not produce fruit
anut.....	" 28				R		"
len Hubbard.....	" 28	Sept. 10	Deep yellow.	Light yellow..	B	11	Good. " "
ian Marrow.....	" 28	" 10	Light yellow.	Cream yellow..	B	9	Excellent.
g White Bush Marrow	" 28	Aug. 12	Yellow'h white	Yellow'h white	R		Did not produce fruit
Wing.....	" 28				B	12	Excellent.
z Isd. Bush Scalloped.	" 28	Sept. 1	White.....	Yellow'h white	R		Did not produce fruit
hook.....	" 28				B	3	Fair.
y Golden.....	" 28	Aug. 25	Deep yellow..	Light yellow..	R		Did not mature fruit.
ren.....	" 28				B	5	Valueless.
ish Vegetable Marrow	" 28	Aug. 25	Yellow'h white	Yellow'h white	R		Did not mature fruit.
zelle Bush.....	" 28	" 15	Green'h white.	"	B	12	Excellent.
r Custard.....	" 28	Sept. 5	White.....	Whitish	B	4	Fair.
te Bush Scalloped.....	" 28	" 5	"	"	B	4	"
le Head.....	" 28				R		Did not produce fruit
ata.....	" 28				R		"
arly Orange Marrow	" 28	Sept. 1	Deep orange..	Light yellow..	R	10	Fine for pies.
en Custard Bush.....	" 28	Aug. 25	" yellow ..	"	B	5	Valueless.
Apple.....	" 28				R		Did not mature fruit.
ious.....	" 28				R		"
State.....	" 28				R		"

BEANS.

Seven varieties of beans were sown in the open on May 29, in rows 30 inches apart; with one exception a most satisfactory crop was obtained. The exception was variety, Henderson's Dwarf Bush Lima, the earliest bean of this type which is sown by American seedsmen, but it failed to arrive at a fit condition for table. The results of this test are given below, the varieties being arranged in order of earliness.

Varieties.	Sown.	Colour Pod.	Length of Pod.	Texture and Flavour.	Ripened.
Golden Skinless.....	May 29....	Light yellow....	5 inches..	Fairly tender good.....	None.
French matchless.....	" 29....	"	5 " ..	Very tender good.....	"
let.....	" 29....	Green.....	6 " ..	"	"
Inexhaustible.....	" 29....	"	6 " ..	Tender good.....	"
of Vity.....	" 29....	"	6 1/2 " ..	Fairly tender good.....	"
or of Russia.....	" 29....	"	6 1/2 " ..	Tender.....	"
son's Bush Lima.....	" 29....	Did not reach condition for table.			"

CABBAGE.

Eight varieties of cabbage were sown in cold frames on April 20, and transplanted in the open on May 23. All varieties did well, but special attention is called to the variety, Green Globe. This is a late variety which has proven to be excellent for storage, far better than the others in this respect. The following results were obtained:—

Variety.	Sown.	Trans- planted.	Early or Late.	Texture.	Average weight.
					Lbs.
Paris Market, very early	April 20	May 23	Very early	Fairly firm	8
Early Express	" 20	" 23	Early	Somewhat loose	7
Early Jersey Wakefield	" 20	" 23	"	Firm	8
Early Winningstadt	" 20	" 23	Summer	Very firm	9
Midsummer Savoy	" 20	" 23	"	Loose	5-6
Fottler's Drumhead	" 20	" 23	Late	Very firm	13
Green Globe Savoy	" 20	" 23	"	Fairly firm	8
Red Drumhead	" 20	" 23	"	Very firm	9

PARSNIPS.

Three varieties of parsnips were sown on April 14, in rows 30 inches apart, with a Planet Junior hand drill. Hollow Crown gave the heaviest yield, and ranked first regard to quality. The Student is a turnip-shaped variety of fair quality, and very easy to harvest, this with the long varieties being a somewhat difficult operation.

The following results were had :

Varieties.	Sown.	Lifted.	Shape.	Flavour.	Yield per Acre.
					Bush. L
Hollow Crown	April 14	October 5	Long	Good	667
Half Long	" 14	" 5	Half-long	Fair	435
Student	" 14	" 5	Short	"	412

TOMATOES.

Two varieties of tomatoes were sown in the hotbed on April 20, and transplanted to the open on May 27. The most noticeable point in connection with this test was the early planting out (May 27).

The plants escaped frost, and a larger quantity of ripe fruit was harvested than usual.

Varieties.	Sown.	Transplanted.	Ripe.	Colour.	Shape.	Flavour.
Century	April 20	May 27	August 25	Bright red	Smooth	Meaty, very ju
Earliana	" 20	" 27	" 10	"	Ribbed	Fair.

Representatives of all standard varieties of vegetables not referred to in the foregoing, were tested during the past season, including radish, citron, &c., with uniformly good results. The twenty varieties of rhubarb under trial gave heavy returns. A quantity of rhubarb seed of the best varieties was gathered for distribution.

LIST OF VARIETIES OF VEGETABLES ESPECIALLY SUITABLE FOR MANITOBA.

Many inquiries are made of the officers of the Experimental Farm regarding the most profitable varieties of vegetables to grow in this province. Following will

SESSONAL PAPER No. 16

ound a list of selected varieties compiled from the results of several years' trial
n this farm:—

- Asparagus*.—Conover's Colossal, Columbia, Mammoth White.
Beans (Dwarf).—Canadian Wonder (yellow podded), Scarlet Flageolet Wax
 yellow podded), Stringless Green Podded (green podded).
Beans, Broad.—Broad Windsor.
Beets.—Early Blood Turnip (early), Long Smooth Deep Blood Red (for winter
 storage).
Cabbage.—Paris Market Very Early (early), Early Jersey Wakefield (early), the
 Lipton (late), Marblehead Mammoth (late), Large Red Drumhead (late), Drumhead
 Bertus (Savoy).
Carrots.—Early Scarlet Horn (early), Half-long Danvers (late).
Celery.—White Plume (early), Giant Pascal (early), London Red (early).
Cauliflower.—Early Snowball (early and medium), Extra Early Paris (early and
 medium).
Cress or Pepper-grass.—Extra curled.
Cucumbers.—Early Cluster, Cumberland, White Wonder
Corn, Sweet.—Early Cory.
Corn, Flint.—Mitchell's Extra Early.
Lettuce.—Neapolitan (cabbage), White Paris Cos (cos).
Kohl Rabi.—Early White Vienna.
Musk Melon.—Extra Early Green.
Citron.—Colorado Mammoth.
Parsnip.—Hollow Crown (long), Student (short).
Onion Sets.—Yellow Dutch, English Multipliers, Shallots.
Onion (Seed).—Yellow Globe Danvers (large), Red Prize Taker (large), Gibraltar
 ge), Adriatic White Barletta (pickling).
Peas.—Extra Early Exonian (1st early), William Hurst (2nd early), American
 nder (2nd early), Juno (late), Shropshire Hero (late).
Parsley.—Moss Curled.
Radish.—Early Scarlet Turnip, French Breakfast.
Spinach.—Victoria.
Squash.—Extra Early Orange Marrow, English Vegetable Marrow, Long White
 h Marrow.
Salsify.—Sandwich Island.
Tomatoes.—Earliana, Earliest of All, Early Ruby.
Turnip (Garden).—Early Snowball, Robertson's Golden Ball.
Herbs.—Sage, Savory, Thyme, Parsley.

SAVORY AND MEDICINAL HERBS.

Twenty-three varieties of herbs were sown in the open on May 28. Owing to the
 what cool summer and late date of sowing, few of them arrived at maturity, and
 al failed to germinate. Among those which succeeded best were, Tansy, Lemon
 ne, Coriander, Rosemary, Borage, Rue, Sweet Basil, Winter Savory, Dill and
 le Seed.

PEANUTS.

A small quantity of peanuts, catalogued as a very early variety, was purchased
 a Canadian seedsman and sown in the open on May 28.
 They germinated promptly, but although they made excellent growth, they failed
 to produce the slightest signs of tubers.

FLOWERS.

The usual representative collection of annuals was again sown on the Farm during the past season, with excellent results. Owing to the very bright weather experienced during the early spring, large healthy plants were available at planting out time, and as there were no late frosts the annuals came quickly into flower, presenting a mass of colour throughout the season. Petunias (single and double), Phlox, Verbenas and Stocks were especially fine, and called forth much favourable comment. In consequence of the disastrous results to the garden experienced last season by reason of the accumulation of water in the valley, the beds were raised from 12 to 18 inches, which proved to be very satisfactory, and it is hoped that the results will be permanent.

HARDY ROSES.

Two varieties of hardy roses at present growing on the farm continue to prove hardy, and gave an exceptionally heavy crop of flowers during the summer. It is unfortunate that both these varieties were received from individuals who had lost their names, as they are likely to be of special value to Manitoba and the North-west, on account of their hardiness. The colour of one is a light pink, that of the other a deep red, and both are double. Propagation is readily effected by means of suckers which are produced abundantly by both these varieties.

LILIES.

The following varieties of lilies planted in 1902 have proved thoroughly hardy without the slightest protection:—

Lilium	Dahuricum	Gretchen.
"	"	atrosanguineum.
"	"	incomparabile.
"	"	Brittanicum.
"	"	grandiflorum.
"	Hansoni.	
"	tigrinum, fl; pl.	
"	Tottenhami.	
"	Sensation.	
"	elegans Van Houttei.	
"	"	aureum.

These are very free flowering varieties, with large individual flowers of bright colouring. They come into bloom early in the season and remain in flower for a considerable period.

TULIPS AND OTHER SPRING FLOWERING BULBS.

Tulips made an exceptionally fine display during the past season, and the large collection of named varieties was much appreciated by all lovers of flowers. This is no doubt the most satisfactory spring flowering bulb for the North-west, and by judicious selection of varieties, the blooming period can be prolonged for a considerable time. Tulips are quite hardy here without protection.

SNOWDROPS.

Bulbs of this beautiful harbinger of spring, planted on the farm in the fall of 1902, have now successfully passed through two winters. It is gratifying to know that this old-fashioned flower can be satisfactorily grown in Manitoba.

SCILLA SIBIRICA ALBA.

This is similar to the well known blue Squill, with the exception of colour, which is pure white, and as an edging for a bed, alternated with the blue variety, it is very useful, and is perfectly hardy without protection.

COLCHIUM AUTUMNALE (FALL CROCUS).

This bulbous flower deserves special mention on account of its being the last plant of the season to come into bloom. After the ground is covered with snow the flower will push itself through, resembling (at a casual glance) our spring Anemone, and being thoroughly hardy, is a welcome addition to our list of bulbous perennials.

PUSCHKINIA SCILLOIDES.

Special attention is called to this beautiful spring flowering bulb, which has now come through two winters at the Experimental Farm in good condition without protection. As its name implies, it is squill-like in appearance, but differs in having a distinct white band down the centre of each petal, rendering it very attractive.

CROCUS.

These bulbs, planted in the fall of 1902, have now passed successfully through two winters, and it appears that they may be considered as hardy in the North-west. They are a decided acquisition to our list of spring flowering bulbs.

FRITILLARIAS.

Of a large number of these bulbs planted in 1901, two came through the winter of 1901-02, but did not flower. The same bulbs also wintered successfully in 1902-03, but again failed to produce flowers.

HERBACEOUS PERENNIALS.

None of the varieties under test succumbed during the past winter, and a very notable show of flowers was made during the summer, the large number of varieties of Iris and Peonies being especially fine. This branch of floriculture is becoming very popular with farmers each year.

DISTRIBUTION OF TREES FOR THE FORESTRY BRANCH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.

All the 876,000 trees grown here in 1902 for the above department were distributed this spring to farmers in different parts of the province, and the percentage of loss, as we understand, was very small. About one million and a half trees were grown here this year for future distribution by the Forestry Branch, nearly all the young trees were dug in the fall and healed for spring shipping.

DISTRIBUTION OF GRAIN, POTATOES, &c.

The usual distribution was made of grain, potatoes, maple seed, rhubarb seed and flower seeds. The following quantities were sent out to applicants:—

Grain of all kinds in 3-pound bags.. . . .	161
Seedling trees and shrubs, packages.. . . .	555
Potatoes in 3-pound bags.. . . .	241
Maple seed in $\frac{1}{2}$ -pound bags.. . . .	137
Rhubarb seed, packages.. . . .	64
Flower seeds, packages.. . . .	117

The following reports have been received from parties to whom Manitoba maple seeds were sent in 1-pound packages during the spring of 1902:—

Number of applicants supplied.. . . .	216
Number of reports received.. . . .	74

	Successes.	Failures.
Seeds sown on summer-fallow.. . . .	21	4
“ “ spring ploughing.. . . .	9	4
“ “ backsetting.. . . .	10	5
“ “ garden (dug with spade).. . . .	10	2
Maximum number of trees grown from one packet.. . . .	2,500	

INJURIOUS INSECTS.

Red Spider (*Tetranychus telarius*) was very numerous and destructive on the native White Spruce during the early summer; many of the lower branches were discoloured, and in some instances the needles were stripped from them.

Green Lice (*Aphis*) were also plentiful on the native Ash-leaved Maple for a short time, but these disappeared during the heavy rains of August.

The Western Blister-Beetle (*Cantharis Nutalli*) was very numerous on English Horse Beans, and a few were also found on potatoes. In a very few days they stripped the leaves from the plants, but quickly succumbed to a spraying of Paris Green and water; a teaspoonful of the poison to a pail of water.

NEW BRIDGE.

During the year a new traffic bridge has been built across Lake Percy, replacing the unsafe pontoon foot bridge in use for many years, and making the southern portion of the farm easy of access.

SAMPLES FOR EXHIBITION PURPOSES.

Twenty large cases of exhibits have been prepared and forwarded to Ottawa for the exhibition to be held at St. Louis next year. These contain grain in the straw, grasses and preserved fruits and vegetables; a portion of this exhibit was grown on the Experimental Farm and the balance collected from farmers throughout the province. In every instance the name of the grower is attached to the exhibit. In addition to the above, a large collection of threshed grain has been prepared for the same purpose.

SIONAL PAPER No. 16

The usual exhibits were made at the Brandon Agricultural and Horticultural s, and a display was also made at the Western Horticultural Exhibition at Winni-

The Department of the Interior was supplied with a quantity of millets and grain e use of their immigration offices in both Europe and the United States.

FARMERS' MEETINGS.

During the year meetings were attended and addresses given at the following

Winnipeg, December 30, 1902.

Oak Lake, January 2, 1903.

Winnipeg, February 19, 1903.

Winnipeg, February 26, 1903.

Deloraine, March 17, 1903.

Boissevain, March 19, 1903.

Killarney, March 19, 1903.

Cartwright, March 20, 1903.

Crystal City, March 20, 1903.

Manitou, March 21, 1903.

VISITORS.

The number of visitors to the Experimental Farm during the past year has ex- all previous records, approximating 12,000. In addition to the large number gates from the United States, the farm was honoured with a visit from the 200 delegates attending the fifth Congress of the Chambers of Commerce, held at al. They spent some time on the farm, and appeared much interested in the ents in progress.

representatives of some of the largest British flour mills were particularly ted in the production of No. 1 wheat, which they spoke very highly of. A field er oats just harvested attracted the attention of the oatmeal millers in the party. e usual provincial ploughing match and picnic was held on the farm, and the nce was above the average.

METEOROLOGICAL TABLES.

Months.	Highest temperature.		Lowest temperature.		Total rainfall.	Total snowfall.	Total amount of sunshine.
	Day.	Deg.	Day.	Deg.	Inches.	Inches.	Hours.
1902.	31	32	25	-40	13	84.3
1903.	25	38	30	-35	19	87.8
.....	15	30	16	-44	6	157.8
.....	31	47	20	-21	1	151.9
.....	18	80	29	10	2	190.1
.....	14	88	2	18	4.29	195.4
.....	26	87	22	35	.67	237.9
.....	23	94	13	39	2.13	258.4
.....	20	89	29	38	3.93	178.5
.....	28	73	14	22	1.97	12	140.3
.....	24	70	17	14	.89	181.8
.....	2	67	24	-18	112.8
					14.29	53	1977.0

CORRESPONDENCE.

This year 3,767 letters were received and 2,848 despatched, irrespective of circulars sent out.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

S. A. BEDFORD,

Superintendent.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR THE NORTH-WEST TERRITORIES.

REPORT OF ANGUS MACKAY. SUPERINTENDENT.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.,
November 30, 1903.

M. SAUNDERS,
Director, Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

Sir.—I have the honour to submit to you the sixteenth annual report of the operation of the Experimental Farm for the North-west Territories at Indian Head, Assiniboia, during the year 1903.

Like all its predecessors, the past season has had its drawbacks, and though the crops throughout the Territories have not realized what they promised at one time, the districts have given good returns, though a good deal of the grain is inferior. With the exception of one or two seasons, the soil was never so dry as in the fall of 1902, in the wheat-growing districts of Assiniboia, and this spring being without rain until May 17, the grain, though sown early, was in many cases very late in germinating, and August being cold and wet, all the late germinating crops were slow in growing, and were caught by frost on morning of September 5, and injured according to the stage of ripeness they were in. In most cases the injured grain was on fallowed land, especially where fallows were ploughed deep, just before or after harvest last fall, and the soil to dry out, leaving it loose and subject to the dry winds of winter. In spring. Crops on breaking and backsetting were in some cases injured also for the same cause as operated against the crops on fallowed land. Grain on stubble was invariably ripened before frost visited the country, and as a rule gave satisfactory

The harvest started from August 20 to 25, but was often delayed by heavy rain storms, and was more or less prevalent during all of September. October was fine, and the threshing to be carried on with few interruptions, and in many districts it was completed in that month.

Wheat throughout the territories did well the past season, though at present prices it is not as high as last year.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM CROPS.

The crops on the Experimental Farm were, with a few exceptions, extra good. The yields were large, especially in oats and barley. The wheat yields also satisfactory, but the late varieties were injured by the frost and rust. Potatoes and roots, with carrots excepted, gave large returns. The hay crop, on account of the dry spring, was not heavy. Cultivated fruits, raspberries and crab apples gave good crops. Currants, gooseberries and plums were failures. Native fruits were completely destroyed by May

I wish to draw the attention of territorial wheat growers to the varieties of wheat, Stanley and Huron, which have been tested for some years on the Experimental Farm. These varieties were sown later than Red Fife, and were ripe, cut and threshed five or six days before frost came, while Red Fife was injured by the cold wave

that passed over on the morning of September 5. The two varieties, Preston and Stanley, are cross bred wheats, originated by Dr. Saunders, Director of Experimental Farms, Preston being bearded and Stanley bald. The parents of both varieties were Red Fife and Ladoga. The leading milling authorities in Great Britain and the United States, after thorough tests, pronounce both wheats about equal to Red Fife in milling qualities.

Huron, a bearded sort, is also a cross-bred, originated by Dr. A. P. Saunders, Ladoga and White Fife being the parents. It has always been near the top in yield and this year heads the list in productiveness. It also matured before the frost came. Preston, Stanley and Huron were the only sorts, out of nine varieties sown, that in grade N. 1 Hard, Monarch and Percy, though fairly ripe, had heads not matured, while the frost injured.

EXPERIMENTS WITH WHEAT.

Sixty-two varieties of wheat were tested on 1-20 or 1-40 acre plots. These were sown by hoe drill on April 18 on fallowed land, $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels seed was sown per acre and the soil being a clay loam. As will be seen, many of the sorts were too late in maturing. In comparing Preston, Stanley and Huron in this list, and in the field lots, it will be seen that they correspond fairly well in yield and ripening. A number of the varieties were struck by rust, causing sample to be very poor.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rust.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Mahmoudi	Sept. 8	143	45	Strong.	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	4,080	46	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	
2	Weldon	" 8	143	50	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	3,260	43	60	Slightly.
3	Preston	" 1	136	50	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	4,250	43	10	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
4	Kahla	" 8	143	46	"	2	"	2,880	42	40	61
5	Hungarian	" 2	137	50	"	3	"	3,240	42	"	59
6	Pringle's Champlain ..	" 4	139	49	"	4	"	4,100	41	40	63
7	Roumanian	" 8	143	50	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3,940	41	"	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
8	Fraser	Aug. 26	130	47	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,005	39	35	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
9	Stanley	Sept. 1	136	50	"	4	Bald	4,370	39	10	59
10	Huron	" 1	136	50	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	4,700	39	"	60
11	Adjini	" 8	143	42	"	2	"	2,860	39	"	61
12	Angus	" 1	136	47	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	4,870	38	50	60
13	Norval	Aug. 29	133	49	"	4	Bearded	5,375	38	45	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
14	Red Fife	Sept. 7	142	50	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	4,090	38	30	57 $\frac{1}{2}$
15	Hastings	" 7	142	48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4,900	38	20	60
16	Advance	" 7	142	48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,920	38	"	58
17	Alpha	" 1	136	48	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	3,060	37	40	57
18	Australian No. 27 ..	" *	"	51	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	5,585	37	35	56
19	Crawford	Aug. 29	133	45	"	3	"	3,685	37	15	61
20	Crown	Sept. 4	139	49	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	6,320	37	"	57 $\frac{1}{2}$
21	Benton	" 3	138	48	"	3	"	5,610	36	30	62
22	Percy	" 1	136	53	"	4	"	3,570	36	30	56
23	Laurel	" *	"	46	Medium	4	"	5,560	36	20	54
24	Chester	Sept. 1	138	46	Strong.	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,025	36	15	61
25	Goose	" 6	141	46	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	2,630	36	10	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
26	White Fife	" 6	141	48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	4,435	36	5	59
27	Clyde	Aug. 29	133	50	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4,840	36	"	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
28	Countess	" 29	132	46	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,590	35	50	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
29	Colorado	Sept. 3	138	46	"	3	Bearded	4,075	35	25	61
30	Byron	Aug. 29	133	44	"	3	"	3,880	35	20	62
31	Herisson Bearded ..	" *	"	46	Medium	2	"	6,400	35	20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$
32	Early Riga	Aug. 25	129	45	Strong.	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	4,110	35	10	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
33	White Connell	Sept. 6	141	49	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4,700	35	"	57 $\frac{1}{2}$
34	Monarch	" 4	139	47	"	3	"	4,060	35	"	57
35	Plumper	" 1	136	45	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	4,710	34	50	61
36	Girgeh	Aug. 25	129	30	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4,310	34	50	51

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.		
Progress	Sept. 1	136	46	Strong.	3	Bald	4,910	34 30	58	Considerably.
ed Fern.	" 7	142	49	"	4	Bearded	5,710	34 10	57	Slightly.
air	Aug. 29	133	43	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bald	3,090	33 50	62	Considerably.
ustralian No. 19	" *	51	"	"	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	"	5,215	33 45	53 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
town.	Aug. 28	132	43	"	3	"	5,480	33 40	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
rtier.	" 29	133	45	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded	2,950	33 30	62 $\frac{3}{4}$	"
ellman's Fife	Sept. 7	142	51	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	2,650	33 10	57 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
lmiral.	" 1	136	49	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded	3,250	32 30	61	"
nesota No. 149	" *	48	"	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	5,490	32 20	58	Considerably.
sex.	" *	50	"	"	4	"	5,300	32 20	55	"
ustralian No. 9	" *	51	"	"	4	"	3,880	32	57	"
White Russian	" *	48	"	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4,890	31 50	55	Slightly.
o Grande	Sept. 7	142	51	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	3,630	31 30	56 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
ustralian No. 25	" *	48	"	"	4	Bald	5,745	31 15	54	"
ssel.	Sept. 1	136	52	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	4,645	30 55	61	"
bin's Rust-proof.	" 1	136	47	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	3,550	30 50	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
nesota No. 181.	" *	49	"	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,835	30 25	57	"
l Swedish.	" *	49	Weak	"	4	Bearded	5,520	29 20	58	"
hop.	Sept. 1	136	46	Strong.	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	4,065	28 15	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
anese	Aug. 26	130	42	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded	4,840	27 40	57	"
nesota No. 163.	" *	51	"	"	3	Bald	7,880	27	54 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
ustralian No. 23.	" *	52	"	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,385	26 55	53	"
ustralian No. 10.	" *	48	"	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,295	26 45	55	"
non.	Sept. 1	136	45	"	3	Bearded	6,270	25 30	57	Considerably.
ustralian No. 13.	" *	50	"	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bald	5,005	22 35	52	Slightly.
nesota No. 169.	" *	54	"	"	4 $\frac{1}{4}$	"	5,205	21 35	49	"

These varieties were not fully ripe, but were cut on Sept. 8 on account of frost. They would have needed 4 or 5 days more to ripen. The number of days from sowing to cutting was 143.

WHEAT.

TEST OF VARIETIES IN FIELD LOTS.

In this test nine varieties were used. On account of very strong winds, the varieties could not be all sown on the same day. The field used was uniformly even in soil, and been fallowed the previous year. The cultivation consisted of one deep ploughing (seven to eight inches) in May, and four cultivations during the growing season. Two to three inches on top were stirred after the first ploughing, iron harrow, spring-tooth cultivator and three-furrow ploughs being used. One and one-half bushels of seed was sown per acre, by hoe drill, with no harrowing or cultivating before seeding. Soil, clay loam.

WHEAT—FIELD LOTS.

Name of Variety.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
	Acres				In.		In.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs
.....	1	April 16	Aug. 31	137	49	Strong	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	49	24 62
.....	2	" 16	Sept. 2	139	50	Medium	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	38	19 59
.....	4	" 14	Aug. 29	137	53	Strong	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	38	56 62 $\frac{1}{2}$
.....	3	" 15	Sept. 7	144	52	"	4	Bald	37	56 59 $\frac{1}{2}$
.....	3	" 14	Aug. 29	137	52	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	37	18 61
.....	10	" 9	Sept. 4	148	50	"	4	"	35	49 61
.....	4	" 9	" 7	151	53	"	4	"	35	10 60
.....	1	" 16	" 7	144	51	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	34	50 57 $\frac{1}{2}$
.....	3	" 14	Aug. 31	139	53	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	30	18 59

WHEAT CROP AND AVERAGE YIELD.

Variety.	Cultivation.	Acres.	Yield per Acre.		Total Yield	
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Huron.....	Fallow.....	1	40	24	40	24
Monarch.....	".....	$\frac{1}{3}$	38	19	12	46
Preston.....	".....	4	38	..	152	..
Laurel.....	".....	$\frac{1}{3}$	37	56	12	38
Stanley.....	".....	3	37	18	111	54
Red Fife.....	".....	10	35	49	358	18
Wellman's Fife.....	".....	4	35	10	140	40
White Fife.....	".....	$\frac{1}{3}$	34	50	11	36
Percy.....	".....	3	30	18	90	54
		26			931	7

Or an average of 35 bush. 48 lbs. per acre.

SPRING WHEAT.

TEST OF FERTILIZERS.

Six plots of one-fortieth acre each were sown May 14. Five of these were treated with artificial manures, and the sixth used as a check plot. They were sown with R Fife wheat, by hoe-drill, at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre.

All plots in this test were so badly injured by rust that results of any value could not be obtained. Apparently there was no difference in the growth of straw. The check plot was as badly injured as those on which fertilizers were used. The land used for this test was summer-fallow; soil, clay loam.

WHEAT—TEST OF FERTILIZERS.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Rusted.
				In.		In.		
Plot No. 1—Nitrate of soda, 100 lbs. per acre (half sown when grain was 2 in. high, balance when 6 in. high).....	May 14.	Sept. 1.	110	46	Strong....	4	Bald..	Badly injured by rust.
Plot No. 2—Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre (half sown when grain was 2 in. high, balance when 6 in. high).....	" 14.	" 1.	110	46	"	4	" ..	"
Plot No. 3—Superphosphate No. 1, 400 lbs. per acre (sown before grain and harrowed).....	" 14.	" 1.	110	46	"	4	" ..	"
Plot No. 4—Check plot, unfertilized ...	" 14.	" 1.	110	46	"	4	" ..	"
Plot No. 5—Muriate of potash, 200 lbs. per acre (sown before grain and harrowed).....	" 14.	" 1.	110	46	"	4	" ..	"
Plot No. 6—Superphosphate No. 1, 200 lbs per acre; muriate of potash, 100 lbs. per acre; nitrate of soda, 100 lbs. per acre (half sown before grain and harrowed, and the balance when the grain was 2 in. high).	" 14.	" 1.	110	46	"	4	" ..	"

FALL WHEAT.

Two varieties were sown on October 7, 1902. The soil being dry, little or no growth took place before winter set in; and this spring, both sorts being dead, the land was re-sown with flax.

EXPERIMENTS WITH EMMER AND SPELT.

Two varieties of emmer and two of spelt were sown on one-twentieth or one-fortieth acre plots, and common emmer was also sown on one-quarter acre lot. They were sown on fallowed land, clay loam, by hoe-drill, at the rate of two bushels seed per acre.

SPELT AND EMMER—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel Measure.
	Acre.				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Common Emmer	1.20	April 18	Sept. 8	143	47	Strong...	2	Bearded	3,320	54 40	33 $\frac{1}{4}$
Speltz')	1.40	" 18	" 8	143	49	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	6,510	45 30	37
Emmer	1.40	" 18	" 8	143	51	"	5	Bald...	5,620	39 40	28
Spelt	1.40	" 18	" 8	143	50	"	5	Bearded	2,050	26 30	33
Bearded Spelt.	1.40	" 18	" 8	143	50	"	5	Bearded	2,050	26 30	33
Common Emmer	$\frac{1}{4}$	May 5	" 8	126	44	" ...	2	"	42 40	

In estimating the yields of these spelts and emmers, the bushel has been estimated at sixty pounds and allowance has been made for the husk, which forms about twenty per cent of the total weight.

SUMMER-FALLOWS.

In view of the great importance of properly preparing land for crops, I make no apology for repeating in this what was stated in last year's report respecting summer-fallows and breaking up and cultivating new prairie land.

While grain on fallows the past year was more or less injured by frost in early November, it must be borne in mind that August last was the worst ripening month for the past 16 years, and that had the last week of that month been at all favourable, the largest crop for years would have been obtained on fallowed land. Another point to be considered. A great many have lately been working their fallows shallow in the season, and later on ploughing deep, which naturally leaves the soil loose and exposed to drying winds. In a fall like that of 1902, in which no rain fell during the entire season, such cultivation defeats one of the objects of making a fallow at all, viz., conserving moisture.

While Red Fife wheat, on properly fallowed land, in few instances was entirely killed and cut when frost came, a good deal was nearly so, and suffered only in loss of weight at the most two grades; while all sown on fallows ploughed deep in the fall were injured.

In many cases, the seed, although sown in April, did not germinate until May 20, the late fall and deep ploughing being the cause.

It is gratifying to know that throughout the Territories, summer-fallowing is becoming general. No matter where farming is carried on, the farmers realize the sure of a crop they must prepare a portion of their land the year before the grain is grown, and apart from the value of the stored moisture, there is the inestimable advantage of keeping weeds from overrunning the farm.

The true worth of properly prepared fallows has been clearly demonstrated in past years in every grain-growing district of Assiniboia.

The work of preparing land for crop by fallowing is carried on in so many ways in different parts of the Territories, that perhaps a few words on some of the methods may be of help to at least some of the new settlers.

It has been observed in Alberta and Saskatchewan that the land to be fallowed is first ploughed, touched until the weeds are full grown, and in many cases bearing fully ripe seed. It is then ploughed.

By this method, which no doubt saves work at the time, the very object of a summer-fallow is defeated. In the first place, moisture is not conserved, because the land has been pumped dry by the heavy growth of weeds; and secondly, instead of using the summer-fallow as a means of eradicating weeds, a foundation is laid for years of labour and expense by the myriads of foul seeds turned under.

The endless fields of yellow-flowered weeds, generally Ball Mustard (*Neslia paniculata*), testify to the indifferent work done in many districts, and while no weed more easily eradicated by a good system of fallows, there is no weed that is more easily propagated or takes greater advantage of poor work on fallows or on fallow spring cultivation.

As has been pointed out in my previous reports, early and thorough work on fallows is absolutely necessary to success, and I here repeat the methods and results of tests carried on for some years past.

First method.—Ploughed deep (6 to 8 inches) before last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and just before or immediately after harvest ploughed 5 or 6 inches deep.

Result.—Too much late growth if season was at all wet; grain late in ripening and a large crop of weeds if grain was in any way injured by winds.

Second method.—Ploughed shallow (3 inches deep) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and ploughed shallow (3 to 4 inches deep) in the autumn.

Result.—Poor crop in a dry year; medium crop in a wet year. Not sufficient stirred to enable soil to retain the moisture.

Third method.—Ploughed shallow (3 inches) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and ploughed deep (7 to 8 inches) in the autumn.

Result.—Soil too loose and does not retain moisture. Crop light and weedy in dry year.

Fourth method.—Ploughed deep (7 to 8 inches) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season.

Result.—Sufficient moisture conserved for a dry year, and not too much for a wet one. Few or no weeds, as all the seeds near the surface have germinated and been killed. Surface soil apt to blow more readily than when either of the other methods is followed. For the past fourteen years the best, safest and cleanest grain has been grown on fallow worked in this way, and the method is therefore recommended.

Fallows that have been ploughed for the first time after the first of July, and especially after July 15, have never given good results; and the plan too frequently followed of waiting till weeds are full grown, and often ripe, and ploughing under with the idea of enriching the soil, is a method that cannot be too earnestly advised against.

In the first place, after the rains are over in June or early in July, as they usually are, no amount of work, whether deep or shallow ploughing, or surface cultivation, can put moisture in the soil. The rain must fall on the first ploughing and be conserved by surface cultivation.

Weeds, when allowed to attain their full growth, take from the soil all the moisture put there by the June rains, and ploughing under weeds with their seeds ripe nearly so, is adding a thousand-fold to the myriads already in the soil, and does not materially enrich the land.

BREAKING AND BACK-SETTING.

In view of the fact that every year brings to the Territories many new settlers who are unacquainted with the methods of breaking up and preparing new land for crop, a few suggestions with regard to this very important work may not be amiss.

In all sections where the sod is thick and tough, breaking and back-setting should be done; while in districts where scrub abounds and the sod is thin, deep breaking and all that is necessary.

The former is generally applicable to Assiniboia, and the latter to Alberta and Saskatchewan, especially to the northern parts of these Territories where the land is more or less scrubby.

SHALLOW BREAKING.

(To be back-set).

The sod should be turned over as thin as possible, and for this purpose a walking plough with a 12 or 14-inch share, is the best. When the breaking is completed (which should not be later than the second week in July), rolling will hasten the rotting process and permit back-setting to commence early in August.

BACK-SETTING.

Back-setting is merely turning the sod back to its original place, and at the same time bringing up two or three inches of fresh soil to cover it. The ploughing should be done in the same direction as the breaking and the same width of furrow turned. Three inches below the breaking is considered deep enough, but three or four inches will give better results.

After back-setting, the soil cannot be made too fine, and the use of disc or Randall plough to cut up every piece of unrotted sod, will complete the work.

DEEP BREAKING.

Deep breaking, which in many sections of the country is the only practicable way of preparing new land, and which is, unfortunately, done in some instances where shallow breaking and back-setting would give more satisfactory results, consists in the turning of the sod as deeply as possible; usually from four to five inches.

When the sod has rotted, the top soil should be worked and made as fine as possible. The use of harrow and disc will fill up all irregularities on the surface, and make a fine, level seed-bed.

Whether the land is broken shallow or deep, it is necessary to have the work completed early, so as to take advantage of the rains which usually come during June or July. These rains cause the sod to rot, and without them, or if the ploughing is delayed after they are over, the sod remains in the same condition as when turned, and the amount of work will make up for the loss.

In some districts near the foot-hills of the mountains and in districts where scrub is abundant and the sod is thin, these remarks may not apply; but as a rule, throughout the prairie territories, early breaking, whether deep or shallow is advisable.

WORKING LAND AFTER FIRST CROP.

Inquiries are often made as to what should be done after taking off the first crop of land, the question being as to whether the land should be ploughed, or cultivated, or sown without any cultivation whatever.

This, however, can only be determined by circumstances. In districts with heavy soil, a satisfactory crop may be expected from burning the stubble of the former year and sowing with or without cultivation; although a shallow cultivation after the first crop is burnt usually gives the best results.

In districts with light soils and especially with gravelly subsoil, cultivation before sowing is necessary.

After taking the second crop from breaking or back-setting, there can be no doubt that the land should be well fallowed to put it in proper condition for succeeding crops. If the fallow is well made and the process repeated every third year, the farmer will have started on the right road to future success.

SMUT.

On account of many new settlers coming into the country each year that can have no knowledge of the prevalence of smut, especially in the wheat crop, and the serious loss caused by this fungous disease, I submit the results obtained during the past years on wheat for their guidance.

No tests were carried on the past season, as in former years, as it was though sufficient information had been gained to ensure the safety of all crops, whether wheat or barley, from this dangerous enemy.

Burnt or stinking smut in wheat is a fungous disease that attacks the grain more or less each year, and where at all bad, the crop is rendered unsaleable, and with only a few heads affected, if threshed in damp weather, the grade and price are reduced. No district is proof against smut, and though more prevalent in some seasons than others, it is wise to guard against all danger from this source each year. Three remedies have been tried repeatedly; these are, treating the seed with Bluestone (Copper Sulphate), with Formalin and with Massel powder. Bluestone, from cheapness, ease in application and effectual cure, has proven the best for wheat, while formalin has given the best results with smut in oats and barley. While formalin is not more expensive than bluestone, the application is more difficult in the seed having to be soaked longer.

For wheat apparently free from smut, 1 pound of bluestone crushed and dissolved in warm water and mixed with 10 gallons water, and the seed sprinkled with, or dipped in the solution, is sufficient for 10 bushels. For wheat at all affected, 1 pound bluestone to 5 bushels seed is required. The seed can be sprinkled or dipped as is more convenient, but, in sprinkling, care must be taken that every grain is wet with the solution.

For smut in oats or barley, 1 pound of formalin (which is a liquid), is sufficient for 50 bushels seed. If the seed is smutty the solution should be 8 or 9 ounces formalin to 10 gallons of water; if not smutty, $4\frac{1}{2}$ ounces to the same quantity of water.

The seed should be soaked from 5 minutes to 2 hours, according to condition of grain and strength of solution.

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

The oat tests, whether on small or large plots, gave good returns, with samples above the average. The land used in uniform tests and field lots had been fallowed the previous year, the cultivation consisting of one deep ploughing early in the spring, and surface cultivation afterwards. It will be seen that Banner oats gave much the best returns in field lots, and in the uniform test plots as well. This variety has in the past always given good yields, and without a doubt is a safe and satisfactory oat,—if Assiniboia at least.

OATS—FIELD LOTS.

Nine varieties were sown from 22nd to 29th April. Soil clay loam. All varieties except Waverley, which occupied high land, were badly lodged in spots. Black Beauty was almost entirely down, and had to be cut from one way.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	
		Acres				In.		In.		Bush.	Lbs.
1	Banner.....	5	April 25..	Aug. 25..	122	54	Strong...	9	Branching	119	2
2	Abundance.....	3	" 27..	" 29..	124	55	".....	9	"	106	0
3	Wide Awake.....	4	" 24..	" 25..	123	50	".....	8	"	98	14
4	Black Beauty.....	3	" 29..	" 31..	124	53	Weak....	9	"	97	13
5	Thousand Dollar....	2	" 28..	" 31..	125	56	Strong...	9	"	93	8
6	Goldfinder.....	4	" 25..	" 31..	128	56	".....	9	"	91	21
7	Improved Ligowo....	5	" 25..	" 25..	122	54	".....	8	"	87	0
8	Tartar King.....	5	" 24..	" 22..	120	54	".....	10	Sided....	86	12
9	Waverley.....	5	" 22..	" 27..	127	53	".....	9	Branching	82	3

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Forty-five varieties of oats were sown in this test. The plots were chiefly one-tieth acre, with a few one-fortieth acre. They were sown on April 25, at the rate of 2 bushels of seed per acre. Nearly all the plots were lodged by rainstorms, but the crop was well advanced, and no injury was done so far as the yield was concerned. The soil was a clay loam.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
mer.	Aug. 27	124	54	Strong....	8	Branching	4,350	136 26	41	Slightly.
de Awake.....	" 25	122	53	"	7	"	2,800	134 4	42	"
ousand Dollar	" 25	122	52	"	10	"	3,325	132 27	43	"
coln.....	" 25	122	52	"	8	"	4,185	129 29	43	"
stein Prolific.....	" 26	123	50	"	9	"	3,750	127 32	42	"
den Beauty.....	" 25	122	52	"	10	"	5,380	127 2	41½	"
idal White.....	" 29	126	53	"	9	"	4,000	127 2	41	"
ckbee's Illinois.....	" 26	123	50	"	8	"	3,965	126 31	41	"
arian.....	" 28	125	50	Weak....	8	"	4,870	126 26	40½	"
ish Select.....	" 29	126	47	Strong....	8	"	5,060	126 16	43½	"
proved American.....	" 25	122	53	"	8	"	4,340	125 10	42	"
h Victor.....	" 26	123	56	"	9	"	2,225	123 33	42½	"
erican Triumph.....	" 28	125	51	"	9	"	2,680	123 18	41	"
len Tartarian.....	" 29	126	50	"	11	Sided.....	3,180	122 32	41½	"
ndance.....	" 26	123	50	"	9	Branching	4,425	122 27	42½	"
k Beauty.....	" 26	123	47	Weak....	8	"	4,180	122 12	37½	"
e Black.....	" 31	128	57	Strong....	11	Sided.....	4,500	121 26	40	"
te Giant.....	" 25	122	50	"	9	Branching	4,665	121 21	42	"
ation.....	" 25	122	58	"	9	"	3,070	121 16	43	"
len Fleece.....	" 31	128	52	"	10	"	5,890	120 30	41	"
y Golden Prolific.....	" 29	126	56	Medium..	8	"	5,280	120 ..	42	"
nonite.....	" 26	123	51	Weak....	10	"	4,730	119 24	42	"
ord White.....	" 29	126	58	Strong....	11	Sided.....	4,580	119 14	41	"
on Giant.....	" 29	126	48	"	10	"	3,820	118 18	40½	"
finder.....	" 31	128	54	"	9	Branching	2,880	117 22	41	"
al Black.....	" 29	126	50	"	10	Sided.....	4,980	117 2	41	"
es.....	" 27	124	53	"	11	Branching	3,975	116 1	40	"
merican Beauty.....	" 26	123	56	"	10	"	5,530	115 20	41	"
e White.....	" 29	126	54	"	10	Sided.....	3,360	115 10	41	"
ash Island.....	" 25	122	51	"	8	Branching	5,450	115 ..	42	"
e Black.....	" 31	128	60	"	13	Sided.....	5,810	115 ..	42	"
ord Black.....	" 29	126	50	"	11	"	5,100	114 24	41½	"
Zealand.....	Sept. 5	133	54	Weak....	11	"	2,610	112 22	41	"
mbus.....	Aug. 21	126	44	"	9	Branching	2,440	111 26	39	"
ved Ligowo.....	" 25	122	55	Strong....	8	"	2,920	111 26	44½	"
tyeth Century.....	" 25	122	50	"	8	"	2,480	110 20	42½	"
White.....	" 29	126	53	"	10	Sided.....	5,240	109 14	40	"
ray.....	" 26	123	57	"	10	Branching	3,020	108 28	43½	"
schonen.....	" 19	116	46	"	9	"	4,160	105 30	41½	"
atte.....	" 30	127	47	Weak....	9	"	5,000	104 19	40	"
er.....	" 26	123	50	Strong....	7	"	4,005	100 15	43½	"
o Potato.....	" 26	123	57	"	10	"	3,785	100 15	42	"
King.....	" 25	122	50	"	9	"	2,760	97 22	44½	"
.....	" 28	125	55	"	9	"	4,480	97 22	42	"
ean.....	Sept. 5	133	59	Weak....	12	Sided.....	2,705	89 9	39	"

TOTAL YIELD FROM FIELD CROPS OF OATS.

Variety.	Cultivation.	Acres.	Yield per Acre.		Total Yield.	
					Bush.	Lbs.
Banner.....	Fallow.....	5	119	2	595	10
Abundance.....	".....	3	106	..	318	
Wide Awake.....	".....	4	98	14	393	22
Black Beauty.....	".....	3	97	13	292	5
Thousand Dollar.....	".....	2	93	8	186	16
Goldfinder.....	".....	4	91	21	366	16
Improved Ligowo.....	".....	5	87	..	435	
Tartar King.....	".....	5	86	12	431	26
Waverley.....	".....	5	82	3	410	15
		36			3,429	8

An average of 95 bushels 8 pounds per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

The barley tests, whether grown on field lots or on small plots, gave good return. Repeated rains and heavy dews coloured the grain, but otherwise the sample is good.

FIELD LOTS.

Mensury and Odessa were sown on Brome sod broken and back-set the previous year. The balance of the varieties were on fallowed land, cultivated the same as wheat. Sidney, in addition to what was sown on fallow, was ploughed in on stub land, 3 inches deep, for feeding purposes. Odessa came up thin on account of the soil being very dry when sown, which accounts for the yield being small. Soil a loam.

BARLEY—FIELD LOTS.

Name of Variety	Cultivation.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bush.
		Acres.				Ins.		Inches.		Bush.	Lbs.
Royal.....	Fallow.	2	Apr 25	Aug. 10	107	34	Strong..	21	6-rowed.	67	3
Claude.....	"	1	" 29	" 25	118	41	" ..	28	"	66	
Standwell.....	"	3	" 29	" 25	118	50	Medium	28	2-rowed.	63	20
Invincible.....	"	4	" 27	" 28	123	43	"	34	"	59	25
Mensury.....	Brome s	5	" 30	" 12	104	45	Strong..	3	6-rowed.	56	12
Sidney.....	Fallow.	6	" 30	" 21	113	46	" ..	31	2-rowed.	54	20
CanadianThorpe	"	4	" 28	" 21	115	50	" ..	3	"	53	39
Mansfield.....	"	1	" 29	" 25	118	44	" ..	24	6-rowed.	50	
Odessa.....	Brome s	4	May 1	" 12	103	42	" ..	24	"	48	28

BARLEY—UNIFORM TEST PLOTS.

Fifteen varieties of 2-rowed, and twenty varieties of 6-rowed barley were tested on one-twentieth or one-fortieth acre plots. The soil was clay loam. They were sown on April 29, all the varieties came up evenly and gave large returns.

BARLEY, TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Char-acter of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			Ins.		Inches.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Standwell.....	Aug. 22	115	51	Weak..	3	2-rowed..	2,520	80 40	54
Invincible.....	" 22	115	50	"	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	" ..	4,900	77 14	54
French Chevalier ..	" 24	117	41	Medium	4	" ..	4,930	71 22	53
Canadian Thorpe..	" 15	108	53	Strong..	3	" ..	4,150	71 2	51 $\frac{1}{2}$
Danish Chevalier..	" 24	117	40	Weak..	3	" ..	3,280	66 2	52
Gordon.....	" 14	107	51	Strong..	3	" ..	4,100	64 28	52
Sidney.....	" 15	108	46	"	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	" ..	3,500	62 24	54 $\frac{1}{2}$
Logan.....	" 12	105	48	"	3	" ..	4,810	62 14	51 $\frac{1}{2}$
Jarvis.....	" 15	108	50	"	3	" ..	4,430	61 22	50
Newton.....	" 22	115	48	Medium	3	" ..	3,470	61 2	53
Fulton.....	" 10	103	55	Strong..	3	" ..	4,050	58 46	51
Harvey.....	" 10	103	50	"	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	" ..	5,200	58 16	51
Clifford.....	" 12	105	50	"	3	" ..	5,250	57 14	51
Beaver.....	" 19	112	40	Medium	3	" ..	5,460	56 12	52
Junham.....	" 16	109	44	"	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	" ..	6,220	52 14	52 $\frac{1}{2}$

BARLEY, SIX-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Dessa.....	Aug. 13	106	42	Strong..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	6-rowed...	1,900	71 12	51 $\frac{1}{2}$
Claude.....	" 19	112	39	" ..	3	" ..	3,080	65 40	49
Prooper.....	" 17	110	40	" ..	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" ..	3,080	64 8	52
Mensury.....	" 15	108	41	" ..	3	" ..	3,440	63 36	50 $\frac{1}{2}$
Prome.....	" 20	113	38	" ..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	3,100	63 26	52
Mansfield.....	" 15	108	41	" ..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	3,130	63 6	52 $\frac{1}{2}$
Immit.....	" 20	113	40	" ..	3	" ..	3,760	61 2	53
Common.....	" 13	106	37	" ..	2	" ..	2,800	60 20	53
Loyal.....	" 17	110	38	" ..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	3,720	60	53
ennie's Improved..	" 13	106	37	" ..	2	" ..	2,850	57 14	54
Empire.....	" 20	113	39	" ..	3	" ..	4,120	56 32	51 $\frac{1}{2}$
Argyle.....	" 18	111	42	" ..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	3,040	56 32	52
Arfield.....	" 18	111	40	" ..	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" ..	3,250	55 30	52
debruch.....	" 14	107	39	" ..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	2,270	54 38	54
ugent.....	" 19	112	40	" ..	3	" ..	4,260	54 28	
Pella.....	" 19	112	38	" ..	3	" ..	2,865	54 3	52 $\frac{1}{2}$
ale.....	" 19	112	40	" ..	3	" ..	4,970	53 46	51
bert.....	" 15	108	39	" ..	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	" ..	3,030	53 26	53 $\frac{1}{2}$
exter.....	" 15	108	42	" ..	2	" ..	3,580	50 20	53
ampion.....	" 10	103	42	" ..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	3,000	45 20	48

BARLEY CROP AND AVERAGE YIELD.

Variety.	Cultivation.	Acres.	Yield per Acre.	Total Yield
			Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
Standwell.....	Fallow	2	67 3	134 6
Invincible.....	"	1	66 ..	66 ..
French Chevalier ..	"	3	63 20	190 12
Canadian Thorpe..	"	4	59 25	258 4
Danish Chevalier..	Brome sod....	5	56 12	281 12
Gordon.....	Fallow	6	54 20	326 24
Sidney.....	"	4	53 39	215 12
Logan.....	"	1	50 ..	50 ..
Jarvis.....	Brome sod....	4	48 28	194 16
Newton.....		30		1,695 38
Fulton.....				
Harvey.....				
Clifford.....				
Beaver.....				
Junham.....				
Total				

Average of 56 bushels 25 pounds per acre.

3-4 EDWARD VII., A. 1904

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

Forty varieties of pease were sown on fallowed land, clay loam on one-twentieth acre plots, on May 5, at the rate of 2 bushels of small, $2\frac{1}{2}$ bushels of medium and 3 bushels of large pease per acre. As will be seen, only four sorts were ripe when frost came. The balance matured afterwards, but were injured more or less.

All varieties were very heavy in straw, and well podded, but the cool, wet weather early in September delayed the ripening.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.		Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre		Weight per Bushel.
					In.	In.			Bus. lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Early Britain.....	Sept. 9..	126	Strong...	53	$2\frac{1}{2}$	Medium..	60 30	60	60	60
2	Paragon.....	" 12..	130	"	54	3	" ..	60 ..	60	61	61
3	Gregory.....	" 12..	130	"	60	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	59 10	62	62	62
4	Mackay.....	" 14..	132	"	51	3	" ..	57 50	61	61	61
5	King.....	" 13..	131	"	48	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	56 30	62	62	62
6	English Gray.....	" 8..	126	"	56	3	" ..	55 50	60	60	60
7	Prussian Blue.....	" 8..	126	"	50	$2\frac{3}{4}$	Small.....	55 50	62	62	62
8	Macoun.....	" 13..	131	"	56	3	Medium.....	55 30	59	59	59
9	Wisconsin Blue.....	" 16..	134	"	60	$2\frac{3}{4}$	Small.....	55 10	58	58	58
10	Archer.....	" 10..	128	"	52	3	Medium.....	53 10	61	61	61
11	Pride.....	" 10..	128	"	57	3	" ..	53 10	62	62	62
12	Bruce.....	" 10..	128	"	53	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	52 50	60	60	60
13	Black-eyed Marrowfat.....	" 10..	128	"	48	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	50 30	62	62	62
14	Alma.....	" 16..	134	"	54	$2\frac{1}{2}$	Small.....	50 10	63	63	63
15	Carleton.....	" 16..	134	"	65	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	49 50	60	60	60
16	Kent.....	" 14..	132	"	58	3	Medium.....	49 10	62	62	62
17	New Potter.....	" 10..	128	"	52	$2\frac{1}{4}$	" ..	49 10	61	61	61
18	German White.....	" 8..	126	"	48	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	49 10	64	64	64
19	Picton.....	" 6..	124	"	48	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	48 30	62	62	62
20	Perth.....	" 6..	124	"	55	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	47 50	61	61	61
21	Agnes.....	" 6..	121	"	50	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	46 50	62	62	62
22	Elliot.....	" 10..	128	"	56	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	46 50	61	61	61
23	Nelson.....	" 8..	126	"	50	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	45 30	62	62	62
24	Pearl.....	" 8..	126	"	53	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	45 30	62	62	62
25	Duke.....	" 15..	133	"	57	3	" ..	45 10	62	62	62
26	Prince.....	" 8..	126	"	50	$2\frac{3}{4}$	Large.....	45 10	63	63	63
27	Lanark.....	" 8..	126	"	50	$2\frac{3}{4}$	" ..	44 50	63	63	63
28	Centennial.....	" 8..	126	"	50	3	Medium.....	44 50	60	60	60
29	Fergus.....	" 16..	134	"	63	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	44 30	53	53	53
30	Large White Marrowfat.....	" 6..	124	"	57	$2\frac{3}{4}$	Large.....	43 30	62	62	62
31	Arthur.....	" 6..	124	"	43	$2\frac{1}{4}$	" ..	42 10	65	65	65
32	Daniel O'Rourke.....	" 3..	121	"	50	$2\frac{1}{4}$	Small.....	41 10	62	62	62
33	Crown.....	" 3..	121	"	52	2	" ..	40 50	63	63	63
34	Trilby.....	" 14..	132	"	56	3	Medium.....	39 50	62	62	62
35	Golden Vine.....	" 3..	121	"	47	2	" ..	39 10	64	64	64
36	Victoria.....	" 10..	128	"	60	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	38 10	64	64	64
37	Chancellor.....	" 6..	124	"	50	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	37 30	64	64	64
38	Mummy.....	" 6..	124	"	50	$2\frac{1}{2}$	Small.....	37 30	64	64	64
39	Prince Albert.....	" 8..	126	"	60	$2\frac{1}{2}$	" ..	37 30	64	64	64
40	White Wonder.....	Aug. 28..	115	"	46	$2\frac{1}{4}$	Medium.....	31 30	64	64	64

ROTATION OF CROPS.

The rotation tests which were commenced in 1899, were continued this year.

All land was ploughed in fall of 1902 that had been in crop that year, and five half acres of beans, pease, tares and clovers had been ploughed as these crops attained their greatest growth, and all harrowed and put in as good condition as the state of the soil would permit.

ROTATION OF CROPS.

	1899.	1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.
1	Wheat	Oats.	Soja Beans	Wheat.	Oats.
2	"	Wheat	Pease.	"	Wheat.
3	"	Oats.	Tares	"	Oats.
4	"	Wheat	Red Clover.	"	Wheat.
5	"	Barley.	Alsike and Lucerne.	"	Barley.
6	Pease.	Wheat	Wheat.	Pease.	Wheat.
7	Tares.	"	Oats.	Tares	"
8	Soja Beans.	"	"	Soja Beans.	"
9	Red Clover.	"	Wheat.	Red Clover.	"
10	Alsike & Lucerne.	"	Barley.	Alsike & Lucerne.	"
11	Rape.	"	Summer-fallow.	Rape.	"
12	Wheat	"	"	Wheat.	"
13	"	Oats.	"	"	Oats.
14	"	Barley.	"	"	Barley.
15	"	Wheat	Oats.	"	Wheat.
16	"	Barley.	"	"	Barley.
17	Oats.	Soja Beans.	Wheat	Oats.	Soja Beans.
18	Wheat	Pease.	"	Wheat.	Pease.
19	Oats.	Tares.	"	Oats.	Tares
20	Wheat	Red Clover.	"	Wheat.	Red Clover.
21	Barley.	Alsike & Lucerne.	"	Barley.	Alsike & Lucerne.
22	Rye.	Summer-fallow.	"	Rye	Summer-fallow.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripen- ing.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Char- acter of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Rusted.
Os. Banner.....	April 29	Aug. 20	113	47	Strong..	In.	9 Branching..	Bus. lbs. 47 12	
Went. Red Fife.....	" 15	" 31	138	41	" "	3	Bald.....	16 2	Badly.
Os. Banner.....	" 29	" 29	122	39	" "	9	Branching..	43 18	
Went. Red Fife.....	" 15	" 31	138	40	" "	3	Bald....	15 30	"
May. Canad'n Thorpe.	" 29	" 28	121	39	" "	23	Two-rowed..	20 40	"
Went. Red Fife.....	" 15	Sept. 8	146	43	" "	31	Bald.....	24 8	Considerably
"	" 15	" 8	146	44	" "	31	"	24 28	"
"	" 15	" 8	146	43	" "	34	"	22 53	"
"	" 15	" 8	146	43	" "	31	"	20 52	"
"	" 15	" 8	146	44	" "	31	"	23 14	"
"	" 15	" 8	146	43	" "	31	"	20 20	"
"	" 15	Aug. 21	128	45	" "	4	"	14 16	Badly.
Os. Banner.....	" 29	" 20	113	38	" "	8	Branching..	42 12	
May. Canad'n Thorpe.	" 29	" 18	111	36	" "	2	Two-rowed..	18 36	
Went. Red Fife.....	" 15	" 31	138	45	" "	3	Bald....	15 40	Considerably.
May. Canad'n Thorpe.	" 29	" 28	121	37	" "	3	Two-rowed..	20 ..	
Os. Beans.....	May 16	Ploughed under,				Aug. 5			
"	" 21					July 28			
"	" 21					Aug. 5			
Red Clover.....	" 16					Oct. 21			
Went. and Lucerne.	" 16					" 21			
Winter fallow.....									

EXPERIMENTS WITH FLAX.

Several tests as to quantity of seed per acre, and different dates of seeding were made, but unfortunately the plots were on low ground, and very heavy rains destroyed the tests.

Two acres of Western Rye Grass sod, ploughed early in May were sown with flax on May 21, and harvested August 20. Yield per acre, 12 bushels.

Three-quarters of an acre of fallowed land was sown with flax on May 5. Ripe September 2. Yield per acre, 10 bushels.

EXPERIMENT WITH CANARY GRASS.

(*Phalaris Canariensis*).

Sown April 30 on one-twentieth acre plot of fallowed land. Cut September 8. Days to mature, 131 days. Straw, strong; 33 inches long. Weight of straw per acre, 3,960 pounds. Head, 1½ inches. Yield per acre, 29 bushels 20 pounds. Weight per bushel, 48 pounds.

EXPERIMENT WITH SUNFLOWERS.

Russian variety, sown May 22. Produced heads, but no seed had formed when frost came and destroyed the crop.

EXPERIMENT WITH TARES.

One-twentieth acre of fallowed land was sown with tares on May 5; ripe September 10; days to mature, 128; length of straw, 40 inches; pod, 2¼ inches. Yield per acre, 24 bushels 10 pounds. Weight per bushel, 54 pounds.

EXPERIMENTS WITH MILLETS.

Six varieties were sown on May 16, on one-twentieth or one-fortieth acre plots of fallow. Did not mature. Cut for feed on September 5, on account of frost. Two varieties did not germinate and were ploughed up.

Variety.	Size of Plot.	Height	Yield per Acre.	
	Acre.	Inches.	Tons.	Lbs.
Hungarian	1-20	43	6	...
White Round French	1-40	50	6	...
Italian	1-40	43	4	...
Red Orenburg	1-40	46	3	800
Algerian	1-40	Did not germinate.		
Pearl	1-40			

EXPERIMENTS WITH SOJA BEANS.

Sown May 16, on fallowed land. No pods formed.

Variety.	Rows Distance Apart.	Height.	Yield per Acre. (Green.)	
	Inches.	Inches.	Tons.	Lbs.
Soja beans	21	20	3	1,54
"	28	20	2	1,66
"	35	21	1	1,39



CUTTING BANNER OATS AT INDIAN HEAD.

EXPERIMENTS WITH HORSE BEANS.

Sown in drills on fallowed land on May 16.

Variety.	Rows Distance Apart.	Height.	Yield per Acre. (Green.)	
	Inches.	Inches.	Tons.	Lbs.
beans	21	46	15	176
.....	28	46	12	1,496
.....	35	44	14	866

EXPERIMENT WITH FALL RYE.

Sown October 7, 1902, on one-twelfth acre plot of fallow. Ripe August 20. Straw, 61 inches long. Head, 4½ inches long. Yield per acre, 46 bushels 20 pounds.

EXPERIMENT WITH SPRING RYE.

Sown April 18, on one-twentieth acre plot of fallow. Ripe August 22. Days to maturity, 126. Straw, strong; 50 inches long. Head, 4 inches long. Weight of straw, 126 pounds per acre. Yield per acre, 38 bushels. Weight per bushel, 57 pounds.

HAY CROP.

The yield of Brome hay on account of the dry spring was small, but Western Rye which is later in starting and did not suffer so badly, gave satisfactory returns. Alfalfa, which gave a small yield in first cutting, improved greatly through the weather of August, and the second cutting was better. Timothy gave a good return.

YIELDS.

Brome Grass (*Bromus inermis*).

Fourteen acres Brome, second year.—Cut July 13 and 15; yield, 1 ton 733 lbs. per

Western Rye Grass (*Agropyrum tenerum*).

Four acres, third year.—Cut July 13; yield, 2 tons 148 lbs. per acre.

Five acres, second year.—Cut July 22; yield, 2 tons 166 lbs. per acre.

Three acres, first crop.—Cut July 24; yield, 2 tons 1,530 lbs. per acre.

Alfalfa.

One-half acre.—First cutting, July 13; yield, 1,560 lbs. per acre. Second cutting, October 3; yield, 1 ton 252 lbs. per acre.

Timothy.

One-half acre.—Cut July 13; yield, 2 tons per acre.

Twenty-four acres of Brome Grass, first crop, was pastured.

Twenty acres of Brome Grass, which have been cut for hay from three to six years, taken up, and a portion back-set and made ready for crop.

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

Twenty-four varieties of Indian corn were sown on May 22, in clay loam in drills three feet apart, and also in hills three feet apart each way. In addition, three varieties

were sown on May 27, in rows at different distances apart. The yield was computed from the weight of two rows, each 66 feet long.

The land was fallowed the previous year and 10 loads of well-rotted manure per acre spread over it after frost came, and cultivated in, as lightly as possible, before seeding.

The corn was cut on September 8 and 9, and cut up and put in silo after wilting two or three days. In addition to the experimental tests, six acres were sown for ensilage.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of sowing.	Character of Growth.	Height.	Condition when cut.	Weight per Acre grown in rows.		Weight per Acre grown in hills.
				In.		Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Angel of Midnight.....	May 22.	Very strong.	77	Early milk...	25	600	22
2	Eureka.....	"	"	80	Tassel.....	20	700	19
3	Yellow Dakota Flint.....	"	"	70	Early milk...	20	700	17
4	Longfellow.....	"	"	72	"	19	1,600	18
5	North Dakota White.....	"	"	75	Tassel.....	19	500	18
6	Salzer's All Gold.....	"	"	78	"	19	500	21
7	Early Mastodon.....	"	"	77	"	18	300	13
8	Mammoth 8-rowed Flint.....	"	"	75	Early milk...	18	300	18
9	Rural Thoro'bred White Flint.....	"	"	73	Not in tassel.	18	300	22
10	Compton's Early.....	"	"	70	Early milk...	17	1,200	13
11	Superior Fodder.....	"	"	71	Not in tassel.	16	1,000	14
12	Sanford.....	"	"	70	Early milk...	16	500	16
13	Early Butler.....	"	"	77	Tassel.....	15	1,900	15
14	King Philip.....	"	"	70	"	15	1,900	14
15	Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	"	"	74	"	19	800	13
16	Champion White Pearl.....	"	"	70	"	14	1,700	13
17	White Cap Yellow Dent.....	"	"	70	Early milk...	14	1,700	15
18	Mammoth Cuban.....	"	"	70	Tassel.....	13	1,500	13
19	Pride of the North.....	"	"	80	"	13	1,500	13
20	Selected Leaming.....	"	"	67	"	13	400	13
21	King of the Earliest.....	"	"	77	Early milk...	12	1,300	12
22	Evergreen Sugar.....	"	"	65	Tassel.....	12	1,300	12
23	Cloud's Early Yellow.....	"	Medium.	67	"	11	1,100	11
24	Red Cob Ensilage.....	"	"	70	Not in tassel.	11	...	14

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF SEEDING AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES.

Sown in rows by grain seeder May 27; cut September 9. Cultivation of land the same as for preceding test.

Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	Distance between rows.	Character of Growth.	Height.	Weight per acre grown in rows.
		Inches.		Inches.	Tons.
Longfellow.....	Clay loam ..	21	Strong.....	70	24
".....	"	28	"	68	17
".....	"	35	"	69	12
".....	"	42	"	68	13
".....	"	21	"	58	18
Champion White Pearl.....	"	28	"	57	18
".....	"	35	"	53	15
".....	"	42	"	55	13
".....	"	21	"	50	16
Selected Leaming.....	"	28	"	54	20
".....	"	35	"	51	15
".....	"	42	"	48	11

EXPERIMENTS WITH FIELD ROOTS.

allowed land, with 10 to 12 loads of well-rotted manure per acre, was used for
sts with field roots. The manure was evenly spread on the surface after frost
and in the spring was lightly ploughed in with three-furrow ploughs. Soil, clay

l varieties of turnips, mangels, beets and carrots came up evenly. The yield
tained by weighing the roots in two rows 66 feet long and 30 inches apart.

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

enty-one varieties were sown on May 14, and again on May 26. Heavy rains
ter the first seeding delayed the second seeding longer than intended.

e Turnip-fly was troublesome, and did injury to the young plants; but the
Moth, after the plants had been thinned out, destroyed great numbers, and
l the growth greatly.

e turnips on both sets of plots were taken up on October 9.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Westbury.....	23	464	774	24	27	1,440	924	..
ation Swede.....	22	1,936	765	36	29	80	968	..
ng's.....	22	1,540	759	..	15	360	506	..
moth Clyde.....	22	1,012	750	12	31	832	1,047	12
mond Purple-top.....	21	1,956	732	36	16	1,000	550	..
d Purple-top.....	21	1,956	732	36	18	1,752	629	12
's Elephant.....	20	1,580	693	..	18	488	624	48
ock Purple-top.....	20	1,316	688	36	21	240	704	..
or Swede.....	19	1,792	663	12	19	1,600	660	..
od's Bronze-top.....	19	544	642	24	26	8	866	48
ohn Selected.....	19	148	635	48	26	1,328	888	48
al Swede.....	18	1,884	631	24	21	1,032	717	12
'entury.....	17	980	583	..	28	288	938	48
othian.....	17	848	580	48	18	960	616	..
uck.....	15	96	501	36	24	312	805	12
m Bonum.....	14	1,964	499	24	22	880	748	..
nt's Master.....	13	400	440	..	23	1,520	792	..
ro.....	12	948	415	48	23	1,520	792	..
's Bronze.....	10	196	336	36	22	1,808	756	48
's Champion.....	*		*		32	152	1,069	12
					25	952	849	12

eeding destroyed by turnip fly.

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Sixteen varieties of mangels were sown on May 14 and 28 and taken up October 8. From the start all varieties did well.

MANGELS.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.	Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	Yield Acre 2nd P
		Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Bush.
1	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	33 1,716	1,128 36	28 496	941
2	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	32 812	1,080 12	24 840	814
3	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	32 152	1,069 12	17 1,704	565
4	Giant Yellow Globe.....	29 1,796	996 36	30 720	1,012
5	Half-long Sugar White.....	29 1,796	996 36	17 1,968	597
6	Gate Post.....	29 1,400	990 ..	19 1,600	660
7	Yellow Globe Selected.....	29 80	968 ..	19 608	643
8	Prizewinner Yellow Globe.....	28 1,948	965 43	18 1,552	925
9	Yellow Intermediate.....	28 892	948 12	26 800	880
10	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	28 496	941 36	26 1,064	884
11	Half-long Sugar Rosy.....	27 516	908 36	18 1,024	617
12	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	26 1,532	893 12	25 1,480	858
13	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	26 800	880 ..	24 576	800
14	Mammoth Long Red.....	26 536	875 36	29 1,064	994
15	Giant Sugar.....	25 1,480	858 ..	24 1,104	818
16	Leviathan Long Red.....	24 1,236	820 36	27 1,176	919

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties were tested. The first seeding was made May 15, and the second on May 26, and the roots from both were pulled October 9.

SUGAR BEETS.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.	Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	Yield Acre 2nd Plot.
		Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Bush.
1	Improved Imperial.....	26 866	881 6	28 1,024	95
2	Royal Giant.....	26 866	881 6	18 828	61
3	Red Top Sugar.....	25 1,559	859 19	22 1,144	75
4	Danish Red Top.....	24 1,896	831 36	22 1,144	75
5	Danish Improved.....	21 1,956	732 36	23 1,520	79
6	French 'Very Rich'.....	21 1,243	720 43	14 1,700	49
7	Vilmorin's Improved.....	21 1,005	716 45	29 1,400	99
8	Wanzleben.....	21 886	714 46	21 1,956	77

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

Eleven varieties were tested. The first seeding was made May 2, and the second July 16 and both were pulled October 12. Although the land was fallowed, manured and cultivated the same as for mangels and other roots, the yield in all varieties was full.

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Half-long Chantenay.....	12	684	411	24	10	1,912	365	12
Early Gem.....	11	1,892	398	12	8	1,160	286	..
St. George.....	10	64	334	24	9	1,800	330	..
Improved Short White.....	9	1,404	323	24	9	1,800	330	..
White Belgian.....	9	1,140	319	..	10	1,120	352	..
Long Yellow Stump-rooted.....	9	1,140	319	..	8	1,688	294	48
Ant White Vosges.....	9	1,008	316	48	12	1,080	418	..
White Intermediate.....	9	876	314	36	11	704	378	24
Winter's Orange Giant.....	8	1,820	297	..	9	1,800	330	..
Elephant White Intermediate.....	8	500	275	..	8	1,160	286	..
Half-long White.....	7	652	244	12	9	1,272	321	12

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

Fifty-five varieties of potatoes were tested this year. The land used was fallow in 1902. It was clay loam and was manured after frost came, the same as for all crops. The sets were dropped in drills 30 inches apart on May 14, and the crop was pulled October 5. The yield per acre was obtained by weighing the potatoes from one 6 feet long.

All the varieties gave large yields, with few or no small tubers.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Average Size.	Total Yield per Acre.		Form and Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	
Man No. 1.....	Strong.....	Large.....	711	28	Long, white.
Early Sunrise.....	".....	".....	649	52	" red.
St. George.....	".....	".....	631	24	" pink.
Favorite.....	".....	Medium.....	603	40	Oval, red.
Green Abundance.....	".....	Large.....	597	31	Round, white.
No. 9.....	".....	Medium.....	597	31	Oval, red.
Green State.....	".....	".....	597	31	" white.
Green Wonder.....	".....	".....	597	31	" red.
Indian Beauty.....	".....	Large.....	585	12	" pink.
Andes.....	".....	Medium.....	585	12	" red.
St. George.....	".....	".....	575	57	Long " "
Rose.....	".....	Large.....	575	57	" pink.
Green Giant.....	".....	Medium.....	563	38	Oval, white.
Sam.....	".....	".....	560	33	" " "
Snowflake.....	".....	Large.....	554	24	Round " "
Thoroughbred.....	".....	".....	554	24	Long, red.
Early Seedling.....	".....	".....	551	19	" pink.
Extra Early.....	".....	".....	548	14	Oval " "
St. George.....	".....	Medium.....	542	4	Long " "
Rose.....	".....	Large.....	542	4	" red.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Average Size.	Total Yield per Acre.		Form and Colour
				Bush.	Lbs.	
21	Delaware.....	Strong.....	Medium.....	535	55	Oval, white.
22	Penn Manor.....	".....	Large.....	530	50	Long, red.
23	Seedling No. 7.....	".....	Medium.....	523	36	Oval "
24	Country Gentleman.....	".....	Large.....	523	36	Long, pink.
25	Late Puritan.....	".....	Medium.....	517	26	" white.
26	Vanier.....	".....	Large.....	514	21	" red.
27	General Gordon.....	".....	".....	511	16	Oval "
28	Early North.....	".....	".....	508	12	" "
29	Sharpe's Seedling.....	".....	".....	508	12	Long, pink.
30	Enormous.....	".....	".....	508	12	" white.
31	State of Maine.....	".....	Medium.....	498	57	Oval "
32	McIntyre.....	".....	Small.....	492	48	Long, blue.
33	Troy Seedling.....	Medium.....	Large.....	489	43	Oval, white.
34	Early Michigan.....	".....	".....	489	43	" "
35	Early Rose.....	Strong.....	Medium.....	483	33	Long, pink.
36	Irish Daisy.....	".....	".....	480	28	Round, white.
37	Dreer's Standard.....	".....	".....	477	24	Long "
38	Vick's Extra Early.....	Medium.....	".....	468	9	Oval, pink.
39	Early White Prize.....	Strong.....	Large.....	455	50	" white.
40	Sabean's Elephant.....	".....	Medium.....	455	50	Long, red.
41	Reeve's Rose.....	Medium.....	".....	446	36	Oval, white.
42	Early Puritan.....	Strong.....	Large.....	443	31	" "
43	Irish Cobbler.....	".....	".....	434	16	" pink.
44	Rawdon Rose.....	".....	".....	428	7	Long, red.
45	Cambridge Russet.....	".....	".....	428	7	" white.
46	Carman No. 3.....	".....	".....	403	28	Oval "
47	Moneymaker.....	".....	".....	391	7	Round, red.
48	Clay Rose.....	".....	Medium.....	385	..	Oval, white.
49	Brown's Rot-proof.....	".....	Large.....	369	36	Long, white.
50	Green Mountain.....	Medium.....	Medium.....	357	16	" "
51	Pingree.....	".....	Large.....	357	16	" pink.
52	Pearce.....	Strong.....	Medium.....	351	7	Oval "
53	Bovee.....	Medium.....	Large.....	326	28	Long, red.
54	Early Envoy.....	Strong.....	Medium.....	267	57	Oval, white.
55	Up to Date.....					

SUMMARY OF CROPS, 1903.

Wheat:

	Bushels.
9 varieties, 26 acres.....	931
10 half acres, rotation test.....	98
62 uniform test plots.....	90
	1,119

Oats:

	Bushels.
9 varieties, 36 acres.....	3,429
5 acres Banner, not threshed....	500
3 acres mixed feed, not threshed (on stubble).....	200
3 half acres, rotation test.....	66
45 uniform test plots.....	254
	4,449

Barley:

	Bushels.
9 varieties, 30 acres.....	1,695
3 acres mixed feed.....	100
3 half acres, rotation test.....	34
35 uniform test plots.....	87
	1,916

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

<i>Pease:</i>		
40 uniform test plots.....	Bushels.	
1 acre.....	95	
	40	
	<hr/>	
	135	
Flax.....		
Rye.....	28	
Speltz.....	6	
	10	
	<hr/>	
	44	
Corn, ensilage.....	Tons.	Lbs.
	70	
<i>Hay:</i>		
Brome grass.....	20	
Western Rye grass.....	41	
Timothy.....	1	1,612
Alfalfa.....	2	
Cut in coulees, about.....	10	
	<hr/>	
	144	1,612
Roots, about.....	Bushels.	
Potatoes.....	2,000	
	100	
	<hr/>	
	2,100	

VEGETABLE GARDEN.

The vegetables grown in the past season were not satisfactory in all cases. Beans they were up were injured by frost, and the growth retarded so much that few ties ripened. Cucumbers, citrons, melons, pumpkins, squash and tomatoes were satisfactory as the beans, from the same cause. Onions continued growing too and had not fully matured when they had to be taken up. Corn produced no ears for the table before frost came.

The remainder of the vegetables were good.

ASPARAGUS.

Barr's Mammoth, Barr's Elmira and Conover's Colossal were in use from May 17 to 11. Did not do well at first, but after rains came produced a fair crop.

BEANS.—Sown in open, May 11.

Imported Seed.	In use Green.	Remarks.
Dwarf Black Speckled.....		Killed by frost in June
Emperor of Russia.....		" "
Dwarf Extra Early.....		" "
Fame of Vitry.....		" "
Golden Skinless.....		" "
Dwarf Inexhaustible.....		" "
Experimental Farm Seed.		
Haricot, Inexhaustible.....	Aug. 12...	Frozen before maturity
" Matchless.....	" 3...	Matured.
Black Speckled.....	" 7...	Frozen before maturity
Golden Skinless.....	" 3...	" "
Emperor of Russia.....	July 28...	Matured.
Early Six Weeks.....	Aug. 7...	Frozen before maturity
Valentine Wax.....	" 7...	" "
Fame of Vitry.....	July 28...	Matured.
Dwarf Kidney.....	Aug. 3...	" "
Golden Wax.....	" 1...	" "
Detroit Wax.....	July 28...	" "
Extra Early.....	" 30...	" "
Early Mohawk.....	" 31...	" "
Currie's Rust-proof.....	" 30...	" "
Challenge Black Wax.....		

BEETS.

Sown, May 8; in use, August 5; lifted, October 3.
 Blood Red Turnip Early, 701 bush. 48 lbs. per acre.
 Egyptian Dark Flat Red Early, 810 bush., 42 lbs. per acre.
 Nutting's Dwarf Improved Blood Red, 834 bush., 54 lbs. per acre.
 Long Smooth Blood Red, 750 bush., 12 lbs. per acre.

BROCOLI.

Sown in hot-house March 30; transplanted, April 13; set out, May 20.
 Extra Early White, did not mature.

BRUSSELS SPROUTS.

Dwarf Improved, sown March 30; set out May 20; did not mature.

CELERY.

Sown in hot-house, March 30; transplanted, May 6; set out, June 12; taken, October 9.

Name of Variety.	In use.	Weight Single Plant
		Lbs
Rose-ribbed Paris.....	Oct. 1...	1 1/2
Paris Golden Yellow.....	Sept. 5...	1 1/2
Red Large-ribbed.....	Oct. 1...	2
Giant Pascal.....	" 9...	2 1/2

All varieties did well and produced an excellent crop.

SESSONAL PAPER No. 16

CAULIFLOWER.

Sown in hot-house March 30; transplanted, May 13; set out, May 20.
 Half Early Paris.—In use July 8; average weight, 5 lbs.
 Extra Early Snowball.—In use June 30; average weight, 6 lbs.
 Extra Early Dwarf Erfurt.—In use July 10; average weight, 5 lbs.

CARROTS.

Sown, April 22; lifted, October 8.

Name of Variety.	In use.	Yield per Acre.
		Bush. Lbs.
Paris Forcing Red	Aug. 5....	290 24
Halfing Luc Stump-rooted	" 5....	302 30
French Horn	" 5....	265 12
Long lood Red	" 5....	314 36

f fair size except French Horn carrots, which were very small.

CABBAGE.

Sown in hot-house, March 30; transplanted to frame, April 13; set out, May 20; taken up, October 13.

Name of Variety.	In use.	Average Weight.	Remarks.
		Lbs.	
Extra Early Express	July 14....	8	Good, solid heads.
Midsummer Savory	Aug. 13....	6	" heads.
Wilmstadt Early	" 6....	10	" solid heads.
Early Jersey Wakefield	July 28....	9	Did well.
Large Market	" 18....	8	"
Improved Brunswick	" 18....	16	Very large heads.
Robinson Savoy	" 18....	10	Good heads.
Red Late Drumhead	" 18....	12	"

GARDEN CORN.

Planted May 12. None ready for use before frost came.
 Varieties Planted.—Crosby's Early Sweet; the Cory, in use September 12; Mitchell's Extra Early; Ringleader; Early White Cory, in use September 12; Squaw (Head seed), very little germinated; Extra Early Premo. Pop-corn, White Amber Rice, did not ripen.

CUCUMBERS.

Planted in hot-house, April 14; set out, May 28; sown in open, May 13; all frozen, September 5.
 Varieties Sown.—Cool and Crisp, Everbearing, Improved White Spine.

CITRONS.

Sown in hot-house, April 14; set out, May 28; sown in open, May 13.
 Preserving.—Green fruit, July 20; frozen, September 5.

LETTUCE.

Sown, May 17 and June 6.

Name of Variety.	First Seeding. In use	Second Sown.	Second Seeding. In use	Remarks.
Green Paris Cos.....	July 10....	June 6....	Aug. 10....	Very fine large heads.
White Self-folding Cos.	" 10....	" 6....	" 10....	" " "
Blonde Stone-head.....	June 24....	" "....	" "....	Good heads.
Wheeler's Tom Thumb.....	" 24....	" "....	" "....	" " "
Trocadero Red-edged.....	" 24....	" "....	" "....	" " "
Early Ohio.....	" 24....	June 6....	July 28....	" " "
All the Year Round.....	" 24....	" 6....	" 28....	" " "
Red-edged Victoria.....	" 24....	" "....	" "....	" " "
Neapolitan.....	" 30....	June 6....	Aug. 3....	" " "

ONIONS.

Sown in hot-house, March 30; set out, May 28; lifted, September 24. Sown in open, April 22; lifted, September 24. Were not fully matured when taken up.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre, Sown in hot-house.	Yield per Acre, Sown in open.
	Bush. Lbs.	Bush.
Market Favorite.....	217 48	217
Trebon's Large Yellow.....	230 24	193
Danver's Yellow Globe.....	242	290
Large Red Wethersfield.....	266 12	242
Paris Silverskin.....	...	193

MELONS.

Sown in hot-house, April 14; set out, May 28; sown in open, May 13; frozen, September 5.

Musk Melons.—Earliest Ripe and Long Island, did not mature.

Water Melon.—Fourth of July did not mature.

PUMPKINS.

Sown in hot-house, April 28; set out, May 28; sown in open, May 13.

Large Yellow Field, New Japanese Pie and Sweet or Sugar did not mature; frozen, September 5.

SQUASH.

Sown in open, May 13.

White Bush Scalloped and Giant Crookneck did not mature; frozen, September 5.

TURNIPS.

Sown, May 13; in use, August 10; lifted, October 8.

Name of Variety.	Weight of Largest.	Yield per Acre.	
	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Extra Early White Milan.....	14	738	
Early White Flat Strap-leaved.....	10	586	54
Hortons's Golden Ball.....	7	665	30
Early Stone.....	8	639	42

PEASE.

Sown, May 14.

Name of Variety.	In use Green.	Ripe.	Size.	Remarks.
Surprise.....	July 21..	Sept. 1..	Large....	Good crop, early.
Star of Gem.....	Aug. 7..	" 1..	"	"
Star-bire Hero.....	" 7..	" 10..	"	"
C. P. R.....	July 26..	" 2..	"	"
Mus.....	" 18..	Aug. 28..	Small	"
Admiral.....	" 28..	Sept. 10..	"	"
Anticipation.....	" 28..	" 9..	Large	Extra good crop.
American Wonder.....	" 20..	" 1..	Medium..	Good crop.
Barp's Profusion.....	Aug. 1..	" 10..	"	Fair crop, early.
Extra Early.....	July 18..	Aug. 28..	Small	Good crop.
Forcing.....	Aug. 7..	Sept. 1..	Large	Heavy crop, early.
Best All.....	July 18..	Aug. 28..	Medium..	Good crop, early.
Best Best.....	" 18..	" 28..	Small	"
Champion of England.....	Aug. 7..	Sept. 10..	Large	Excellent crop, early.
Best's Market Garden.....	July 20..	Aug. 28..	Medium..	Good crop.
Best's Urst.....	" 25..	Sept. 9..	Small	" early.
Best's.....	" 18..	Aug. 28..	Large	"
Antos Charming.....	Aug. 3..	Sept. 1..	Medium..	"
Grand New Yorker.....	July 27..	Aug. 30..	"	"
Grand Gem.....	Aug. 1..	Sept. 9..	"	"
Scarlet Hero.....	" 7..	" 10..	Large	"
Scarlet's Glory.....	" 7..	" 10..	"	"
Scarlet's Excelsior.....	July 22..	" 8..	Medium..	"
Scarlet.....	Aug. 7..	Aug. 30..	"	"
Scarlet.....	" 7..	Sept. 10..	Large....	"

RADISH.

Sown, May 8; in use, June 20. Second seeding, June 1; in use, July 2.
 Early Scarlet Turnip, Forcing Scarlet Turnip, Forcing Deep Scarlet Extra Early,
 Early Deep Scarlet, French Breakfast, Scarlet White-tipped, Olive-shaped Scarlet.
 Winter.—Scarlet China, Black Spanish.
 A varieties did well in both seedings.

PARSNIPS.

Sown, May 8; ready for use, September 25; lifted, October 8.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Remarks.
	Bush.	Lbs.	
Hollow Crown.....	338	48	Did well, some fine roots.
.....	447	42	" " "

TOMATOES.

Sown in hot-house March 30; transplanted to cold frame April 14; set out May .

Name of Variety.	In Use Green.	First Ripe.	Remarks.
The Ruby	July 20...	Sept. 15...	
Earliana	" 20...	" 5...	
Dominion Day	" 22...		Did not ripen.
Earliest of All	" 18...	Sept. 7...	
New Earliana	" 24...		"

PARSLEY.

Sown May 8; Champion Moss-curler; did well.

RHUBARB.

Old beds : Victoria, good crop; Linnaeus, good crop.

Seed sown in cold frame April 24; set out July 10; Victoria or Giant, Myra s Linnaeus.

Roots from Experimental Farm, Brandon. Set out May 9:—

Early Prince.	Prince Albert.
Victoria.	Paragon.
Monarch Seedling.	Brabant's Colossal.
Scarlet Nonpareil.	Royal Albert.
Royal Linnaeus.	Prince of Wales.
Magnum Bonum.	Strawberry.
Early Crimson.	Early Scarlet.
General Taylor.	Salt's Perfection.
Fottler's Improved.	Tobolsk.

All varieties did well. There were some very fine stalks, some of which seeded.

COMMON SAGE.

Sown May 8; did well.

SUMMER SAVORY.

Sown May 8; did well.

SPINACH.

Large Round Viroflay; sown May 8; in use June 26; good crop.

THE FLOWER GARDEN.

The flower garden was extra good the past season. Pansies were never so fine, and continued in bloom up to November.

ANNUALS.—Propagated in hot-house. Sown March 23.

Variety.	Set out.	Bloom.		Remarks.
		From	To	
<i>Aronia Umbellata</i>	June 4	Aug. 5	Sept. 5	Very fine.
<i>Aratum</i> , Dwarf Imperial Blue.	" 4	" 5	" 5	Good border flower.
<i>Arostemma</i> , Coeli Rosa dwarf...	" 4	July 15	" 5	Did well.
<i>Aurantus Superbus</i>	" 4	" 30	" 5	Fine plants.
<i>Assum Benthami</i>	May 28	" 15	" 5	Did well.
<i>Airrhinum</i> , 3 varieties.....	" 26	" 20	" 5	Very fine.
<i>Anis Autumnalis</i>	" 26	" 26	" 5	Small deep red flower.
<i>Aers</i> , 15 varieties.....	" 26	" 20	" 16	Did well. Fine blooms.
<i>Betonia Aurea</i>	June 4	" —	" —	Did not germinate.
<i>Behycome iberidifolia</i>	" 4	June 20	Sept. 5	Did well.
<i>Cadytuft</i>	May 26	" 20	" 5	Made good show.
<i>Camdula</i> , Royal Marigold.	" 26	" 15	" 16	"
<i>Clysanthemum</i> , Tricolor.	" 26	" 25	" 16	Good show.
Coronarium	" 26	July 1	" 16	"
<i>Clkia</i> , 2 varieties.....	" 26	June 23	" 5	"
<i>Clearea Margarita</i>	" 26	" 6	" 5	"
<i>Copsis Drummondii</i>	" 26	" 11	" 5	"
tinctoria.....	" 26	" 15	" 5	"
<i>Cesia</i> , 3 varieties.....	" 26	" 25	" 5	"
<i>Cythus</i> , 7 varieties.....	" 27	July 6	" 16	Some extra fine blooms.
Day, double mixed.....	June 4	" 1	" 5	Bloomed well.
<i>Glyophila viscosa</i>	May 26	June 20	" 5	Did well.
<i>Gottia</i> , 4 varieties.....	" 26	Aug. 5	" 5	Did well. Good show.
<i>Gaardia picta</i> , 2 varieties.....	" 26	July 11	" 16	Very fine.
<i>Hemthus</i> , 2 varieties.....	" 27	" 25	" 5	Did well.
<i>Hochock</i> , 2 varieties.....	" 27	" 28	" 5	Some fine plants.
<i>Hochrysum</i> , double dwarf	" 27	" 10	" 5	Fine flowers.
<i>Ibes Gibraltarica</i>	" 28	" —	" —	Did not bloom.
<i>Imtiens Balsamina</i> , double.	" 27	" —	Sept. 5	Frozen June 10.
<i>Linn Grandiflorum</i>	" 28	June 20	" 5	Some fine blooms.
<i>Linos</i> , 5 varieties.....	" 28	" 20	" 16	All did well.
<i>Laspur</i> , 4 varieties.....	" 28	" 24	" 5	"
<i>Mignonette</i>	" 28	" 10	" 5	Did well.
<i>Napartium</i> , 3 varieties.....	" 28	July 6	" 5	"
<i>Nidiana</i> , 2 varieties.....	June 2	" 1	" 5	"
<i>Palaca</i> , double.	May 28	June 26	" 5	"
<i>Petia</i> , 5 varieties.....	" 26	" 24	" 16	Fine blooms.
<i>Palca</i> , Crystal Palace.	" 27	" 15	" 5	Good border flower.
<i>Palca</i> , 4 varieties.....	" 28	" 15	" 16	Splendid show of colours.
<i>Papies</i> , 8 varieties.....	" 26	" 10	Nov. 6	Good show till November.
<i>Papies</i> , 9 varieties.....	June 4	" 10	Sept. 5	Fine show.
<i>Schlossis</i> , 2 varieties.....	May 28	" 26	" 5	Extra fine.
<i>Sassa</i> , 3 varieties.....	" 28	July 15	" 5	Very good show.
<i>Stea</i> , 3 varieties.....	" 26	June 16	" 16	"
<i>Teges signata pumila</i>	" 23	" 8	" 5	Good border flower.
<i>Vetna</i> , 2 varieties.....	" 26	" 24	" 5	Very fine blooms.
<i>Zaur</i> , 3 varieties.....	" 26	" 8	" 5	Did well.

ANNUALS.—Sown in the open.

The following annuals were sown in the open on May 9, except Sweet Pease, which were sown April 16, and May 10. All varieties bloomed freely, but were from two to four weeks later than the same varieties sown in the hot-house and transplanted.

Abronia umbellata.

Asters.

Ageratum.

Antirrhinum.

Calendula.

Coreopsis.

Centaurea.

Candytuft.

Chrysanthemum.

Clarkia.

Dianthus.

Eschscholtzia.

Gaillardia.

Godetia.

Mignonette.

Nasturtium.

Phlox Drummondii.

Poppies.

Salpiglossis.

Stocks.

Scabiosa.

Sweet Pease, 33 varieties.

Verbena.

Zinnia.

PERENNIALS.

The old beds of perennial flowers wintered well and flowered freely during the summer.

BULBS.

Dahlias.—Set out May 26. In flower July 29. Late on account of being injured by frost in June.

Gladioli.—Set out May 26. In flower August 10. Only a few bloomed.

Tulips.—Bloomed May 12. Were short, but fine blooms.

Cannas.—Bulbs rotted.

Iris.—Planted 1900. Bloomed freely from June 7 to end of July.

PEONIES.

Planted in 1900. Flowered well, but were a good deal beaten down by heavy rains as buds were opening.

Following will be found a list of the perennial flowers that were living at the end of the past season. The majority of these were sent up from the Central Experimental Farm in 1900, and have proved sufficiently hardy for this climate.

IRIS.

Amcena Crebillon.

" Julia Grisie.

" Maria Theresa.

" Mrs. H. Darwin.

Balkana.

Blondovi.

Chamæiris.

Ensata.

" Biglumis.

" Oxypetala.

Flavescens.

Furcata.

Germanica.

Germanica Verschuur.

Gigantea.

Hungarica.

Neglecta Arlequin Milanais.

" Hericartiana.

Nudicaulis.

Orientalis.

Plicata Gisela.

Prismatica.

Pumila.

" Gracilis.

" Lutea.

Regina.

IRIS—Concluded.

Ruthenica.

Sibirica.

" Constantinopolitana.

" Furcata.

" Hematophylla.

" Light Blue.

" Lutea.

" Maritima.

" Tenuifolia.

Squalens.

" Bronze Stoffel.

" Hector.

" Lady Seymour.

Squalens La Marmora.

" La Tristesse.

" Minerva.

" Tarquin.

Variegata.

" Arquinto.

" Henry Havard.

" Honorable.

" pancrace.

" Minos.

" Samson.

Virescens.

PÆONIES.

Pæonia Sinensis—

Souvenir de l'Exposition.

Albiflora Thorbecki.

Festiva.

Prosper d'Arenburg.

Thorbecki.

Officinalis Mutabilis.

De Candolle.

Festiva Maxima.

Rubra plenissima.

Rubicunda Alba Marg.

Duchesse d'Orleans.

Ambroise Verschaffelt.

L'Eclatante.

Tenuifolia fl. pl.

SUNDRY PERENNIALS.

Ajuga genevensis.

Acorus spurius.

Achillea millefolium rubrum.

" ptarmica fl. pl.

Aster Novæ Angliæ roseus.

" Top Sawyer.

Aconitum napellus.

Artemisia stellerianum.

Boltonia latisquama.

Campanula macrantha.

Centaurea macrocephala.

" montana alba.

Clematis recta.

Dictamnus fraxinella.

Delphinium.

Dahlia.

Erigeron macranthus.

Funkia lancifolia.

Grass Pink.

Gladiolus.

Hyacinthus candicans.

Helianthus Maximiliana.

Hemerocallis Kwanso fl. pl.

" Middendorffii.

" fulva.

" disticha fl. pl.

" graminæfolia.

Hemerocallis Dumortieri.

Lupinus.

" Pres. Cleveland.

" polyphyllus.

Lychnis Hybrid.

Lysimachia nummularifolia.

Phalaris arundinacea, fol. var.

Pyrethrum uliginosum.

Rose, Queen of the Prairie.

" Persian Yellow.

" Sweet Briar.

Rosa rugosa alba.

Double Rosé.

Rosa Cinnamomea.

" Rugosa.

" Baronne Prevost.

Hyb. P. Rose Clara Cochet.

Rosa Acicularis.

" Lucida.

" Nutkana.

" macrantha.

Rudbeckia Golden glow.

" Laciniata.

Solidago rigida.

" gigantea.

Spiraea Ulmaria.

" filipendula.

SUNDRY PERENNIALS—*Concluded.*

Sidalcea candida.
Symphytum asperrium.
Thermopsis fabacea.
Veronica elegans carnea.

Veronica spicata.
 " *salurgoides.*
 " *Virginica.*
Viola pedata.

TREES AND SHRUBS.

The trees and shrubs on this farm made rapid growth during the past season. The frequent rains in August and September extended the growing period longer than usual.

Very few seeds formed on the ash-leaved Maple trees, but Caragana, Honeysuckle and other shrubs seeded very heavily.

108,000 seedling maple and a large number of Cottonwood trees, Caragana and other shrubs were taken up this fall for next year's distribution.

The following trees and shrubs have done the best on the Indian Head Farm, and can be recommended for cultivation throughout the Territories:—

Botanical Name—


Acer Negundo.
Acer Tataricum Ginnala.
Alnus glutinosa.
Betula populifolia.
Caragana arborescens.
Cornus stolonifera.
Cotoneaster integerrima.
Crataegus chlorosarca.
 " *coccinea.*
 " *Crus galli.*
Fraxinus americana.
 " *pennsylvanica lanceolata.*
Lonicera Alberti.
 " *tatarica.*
Populus balsamifera.
 " *deltoidea.*
Rhamnus cathartica.
Rhamnus frangula.
Ribes aureum.
 " *Sibirica.*
Salix pentandra.
 " *purpurea pendula.*
 " *Voronesh.*
Syringa chinensis.
 " *Josikea.*
 " *vulgaris.*
Ulmus americanus.
Viburnum Opulus.

Common Name—

Box Elder.
 Ginnalian Maple.
 Common Alder.
 White Birch.
 Siberian Pea Tree.
 Red Osier Dogwood.
 Common Cotoneaster.
 Scarlet Haw.
 Cockspur Thorn.
 White Ash.
 Green Ash.
 Albert Regel's Honeysuckle.
 Tartarian Honeysuckle.
 Balsam Poplar.
 Cottonwood.
 Common Buckthorn.
 Breaking Buckthorn.
 Missouri Currant.
 Siberian Currant.
 Laurel-leaved Willow.
 Pendulous Purple Willow.
 Voronesh Willow.
 Rouen Lilac.
 Josika's Lilac.
 Common Lilac.
 American Elm.
 Highbush Cranberry.

ARBORETUM.

The Arboretum was very attractive during the past season, and proved of interest to visitors at all times from the early spring till late in the fall. On account of abundant rains, everything made extra strong growth.



CROP OF RED FIVE IN STOOK AT INDIAN HEAD.

(Photo. by C. E. Saunders.)

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

A list is appended of the species and varieties under observation at present, giving the date planted and particulars as to hardiness. Those which have come through one or more winters without injury, or with very slight injury to the tips only, are marked hardy; where the new wood has been killed back to one-half its growth, the variety has been marked half hardy; and those which have had their wood killed to the ground by winter, have been noted as tender.

No additions were made to the Arboretum last spring.

Botanical Name.	Common Name.	Planted.	Remarks.
<i>Asa. hopanax sessiliflorum</i>			
<i>Asa. lasiocarpum</i>	White maple.....	1900	Nearly hardy.
" <i>negundo</i>	Box elder.....	1896	Half hardy.
" <i>atanoides</i>	Norway maple.....	1895	Hardy.
" <i>accharinum</i>	Rock or sugar maple.....	1896	Half hardy.
" " Minn. seed No. 1.....		1899	"
" <i>ataricum</i>	Tartarian maple.....	1897	"
" " <i>ginnala</i>	Ginnalian maple.....	1902	Hardy.
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>	Common alder.....	1895	"
" " <i>imperialis</i>	Imperial cut-leaved alder.....	1896	"
" <i>viridis</i>	Green alder.....	1899	Tender.
<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>	Alder-leaved June-berry.....	1896	"
<i>Amelanchia canadensis</i>	Lead plant.....	1902	Hardy.
" <i>fruticosa</i>		1900	Half hardy.
<i>Arctostaphylos alabamica</i>	Old man.....	1902	Tender.
" " <i>tobolskianum</i>	Siberian Southernwood.....	1895	Half hardy.
<i>Berberis amurensis</i>	Amur barberry.....	1895	"
" <i>aristata</i>		1899	Hardy.
" <i>asiatica</i>		1896	Half hardy.
" <i>canadensis</i>		1902	"
" <i>cerasina</i>		1892	"
" <i>cretica</i>	Cretan barberry.....	1896	Hardy.
" <i>Fischeri</i>		1899	Nearly hardy.
" <i>hybrid No. 2</i>		1896	Half hardy.
" <i>ilicifolia</i>	Holly-leaved barberry.....	1899	Hardy.
" <i>Sieboldii</i>	Siebold's ".....	1896	Half hardy.
" <i>sinensis</i>	Chinese ".....	1898	"
" <i>Thunbergii</i>	Thunberg's ".....	1896	"
" <i>vulgaris iberica</i>		1897	"
" " <i>japonica</i>		1899	Nearly hardy.
" " <i>foliis purpureis</i>		1899	Half hardy.
" " <i>violacea</i>		1896	Tender.
<i>Betula</i>	European white birch.....	1897	Nearly hardy.
" <i>fastigiata</i>		1895	Hardy.
" <i>lacinata pendula</i>	Cut-leaved birch.....	1899	Tender.
" <i>pendula Youngii</i>	Young's weeping birch.....	1899	Hardy.
" <i>purpurea</i>		1900	Half hardy.
<i>Betula avurica</i>		1902	"
<i>Betula from Niemetz</i>		1896	Hardy.
" <i>alba</i>		1898	Half hardy.
" <i>tea</i>	Sweet birch.....	1899	Nearly hardy.
" <i>pyrifera</i>	Yellow birch.....	1899	Half hardy.
" <i>pubifolia</i>	Paper birch.....	1896	Nearly hardy.
" <i>umila</i>	White birch.....	1899	Half hardy.
" <i>arbuscula</i>	Low birch.....	1899	Hardy.
<i>Chamaecyparis</i>	Siberian Pea-tree.....	1895	"
" <i>frutescens</i>		1900	"
" " <i>mollis glabra</i>	Woody caragana.....	1895	"
" <i>grandiflora</i>	Large-flowered caragana.....	1896	"
" <i>microphylla</i>		1896	"
" <i>pyramidalis</i>	Dwarf caragana.....	1901	"
" <i>aurantiaca</i>		1896	"
" <i>Rehowskii</i>		1900	"
" <i>scandens</i>	Climbing bitter-sweet.....	1895	"
" <i>batavica</i>	Hackberry.....	1898	Half hardy.
" <i>Flammula</i>	Sweet-scented Virgin's bower.....	1901	"
" <i>prostrata</i>		1898	"
" <i>viridis</i>		1898	Hardy.
" <i>viridis</i>		1898	Half hardy.
" <i>viridis</i>		1901	"

Botanical Name.	Common Name.	Planted.	Remarks.
<i>Cornus alba sibirica</i>	Siberian dogwood.....	1897	Hardy.
" " " <i>variegata</i>	Variegated ".....	1897	Nearly hardy.
" " " <i>Spaethii</i>	Spath's ".....	1899	Tender.
" " " <i>Baileyi</i>	".....	1899	Hardy.
" " <i>sanguinea</i>	".....	1896	"
" " <i>stolonifera</i>	".....	1899	"
<i>Cotoneaster acutifolia</i>	Common Cotoneaster.....	1896	"
" " <i>integerrima</i>	".....	1899	"
" " <i>laxiflora</i>	".....	1898	"
" " No. 10 Niemetz.....	".....	1896	"
<i>Crataegus chlorosarca</i>	Scarlet haw.....	1896	"
" " <i>coccinea</i>	Cockspur thorn.....	1896	"
" " <i>Crus-galli</i>	".....	1902	Tender.
" " <i>Douglasii</i>	".....	1900	"
" " <i>nigra</i>	".....	1898	"
" " No. 9 Niemetz.....	".....	1897	"
" " <i>oxyacantha Sibirica</i>	".....	1897	"
" " <i>sanguinea</i>	".....	1899	"
<i>Cytisus biflorus</i>	".....	1899	Hardy.
" " <i>capitatus</i>	".....	1899	Half hardy.
" " <i>nigricans</i>	".....	1898	Tender.
" " " <i>longispicatus</i>	".....	1902	"
" " <i>purpureus</i>	".....	1896	Half hardy.
" " <i>sessilifolius</i>	".....	1902	Tender.
" " <i>triflorus</i>	".....	1902	Half hardy.
<i>Diervilla lutea</i>	Russian olive.....	1895	Nearly hardy.
<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i>	Wolf willow.....	1895	Hardy.
" " <i>argentea</i>	".....	1895	"
" " <i>macrophylla</i>	".....	1896	Half hardy.
<i>Euonymus atropurpureus</i>	Burning bush.....	1896	"
" " <i>europaeus</i>	Common spindle-tree.....	1902	Hardy.
" " <i>linearis</i>	".....	1896	Nearly hardy.
<i>Fraxinus americana</i>	White ash.....	1897	Tender.
" " <i>berlandieriana</i>	Berlandier ash.....	1899	Hardy.
" " <i>nigra</i>	Black ash.....	1895	"
" " <i>pennsylvanica</i>	Red ash.....	1897	Tender.
" " <i>quadrangulata</i>	Blue ash.....	1899	"
<i>Genista tinctoria sibirica</i>	".....	1896	Tender.
<i>Hydrangea paniculata</i>	".....	1899	"
" " <i>hortensis</i>	".....	1898	"
<i>Juglans cinerea</i>	Butternut.....	1898	"
<i>Laburnum alpinum</i>	".....	1899	Half hardy.
<i>Ligustrum amurense</i>	Amur privet.....	1899	Tender.
" " <i>vulg. fol. aureis var.</i>	".....	1896	Hardy.
<i>Lonicera Alberti</i>	Albert Regel's honeysuckle.....	1902	"
" " <i>bella atrofusca</i>	".....	1899	"
" " <i>flava</i>	".....	1899	"
" " <i>gracilipes</i>	Hairy honeysuckle.....	1899	"
" " <i>hirsuta</i>	".....	1902	"
" " <i>Morrowi</i>	".....	1902	Tender.
" " <i>notha carnea</i>	".....	1902	Hardy.
" " " <i>gilva</i>	".....	1899	Tender.
" " <i>punicea</i>	".....	1901	"
" " <i>regeliana</i>	".....	1901	Hardy.
" " <i>ruprechtiana</i>	".....	1901	Tender.
" " <i>Sullivantii</i>	".....	1896	Hardy.
" " <i>tatarica</i>	Tartarian honeysuckle.....	1902	"
" " " <i>alba rosea</i>	".....	1899	"
" " " <i>elegans</i>	".....	1899	"
" " " <i>grandiflora rubra</i>	".....	1902	"
" " " <i>splendens</i>	".....	1899	Half hardy.
" " <i>Xylosteum</i>	".....	1902	Tender.
<i>Lycium europaeum</i>	".....	1902	Half hardy.
" " <i>chinense</i>	".....	1900	Nearly hardy.
<i>Neillia opulifolia</i>	Ninebark.....	1899	Hardy.
<i>Ostrya virginica</i>	Ironwood.....	1896	Half hardy.
<i>Philadelphus dentzscheflorus</i>	".....	1896	"
" " <i>grandiflorus</i>	".....	1899	Tender.
" " <i>hyb. Lem. Bouled'Argent</i>	".....	1900	"
" " <i>Keteleerii flore pleno</i>	".....	1899	"
<i>Photinia variabilis arguta</i>	".....	1899	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Botanical name.	Common name.	Planted.	Remarks.
<i>Populus alba nivea</i>			
" " pyramidalis	Pyramidal Silver poplar	1896	Hardy.
" balsamifera	Balsam poplar	1896	Nearly hardy.
" berolinensis		1895	Hardy.
" certinensis		1895	"
" deltoidea	Cottonwood	1896	"
" nigra	Black poplar	1895	"
" " Nolestii		1898	"
" petrowskyana		1896	"
" sibirica		1896	"
" suaveolens		1895	"
" tremuloides		1898	"
" Wobstii	White poplar	1895	"
" la fruticosa		1896	"
" Besseyi	Shrubby Cinque-foil	1899	"
" demissa	Western wild cherry	1902	Half hardy.
" grayana, Maxim.		1895	Hardy.
" Maackii		1896	"
" Maximowiczii		1896	"
" pennsylvanica		1899	"
" pumila	Sand cherry	1895	"
" " (Seedling of Wonder)		1895	"
" serotina	Wild black cherry	1901	Half hardy.
" tomentosa		1899	"
" utahensis		1902	Tender.
" americana	American mountain ash	1902	Hardy.
" ia flabelliformis		1896	"
" scoparia	European mountain ash	1897	"
" acuta	Siberian crab apple	1896	Half hardy.
" tuteifolia		1896	Hardy.
" aulei	Maule's Japanese quince	1902	Half hardy.
" gra salicifolia		1899	"
" undifolia		1900	Tender.
" unia		1900	"
" ensis		1896	Hardy.
" occinosa	Scarlet oak	1902	Tender.
" (Japanese)		1899	Half hardy.
" macrocarpa		1899	"
" edunculata fastigiata	Mossy cup oak	1895	Hardy.
" cathartica	Common buckthorn	1902	Tender.
" crenata		1896	Hardy.
" davurica		1900	Tender.
" Frangula		1899	Hardy.
" No. 13 Nienetz.	Breaking buckthorn	1896	Nearly hardy.
" rira	Smooth sumach	1898	Hardy.
" num.	Mountain currant	1896	Nearly hardy.
" pumdam.		1899	Tender.
" tennidlorum	Missouri currant	1899	Hardy.
" (Cross Hills)		1901	"
" robustum		1900	Nearly hardy.
" swile		1899	Hardy.
" sinica		1899	Tender.
" lia		1899	Hardy.
" cornica	Smooth rose	1898	"
" nuna		1898	"
" nuna		1899	Half hardy.
" nuna		1902	Hardy.
" nuna	Purple-leaved rose	1895	Half hardy.
" nuna		1899	"
" grandiflora	Japanese rose	1896	Hardy.
" pomifera		1902	"
" arianus		1898	Nearly hardy.
" arianus		1900	Hardy.
" arianus		1900	"
" arianus	Silver-leaved willow	1897	Half hardy.
" arianus		1896	Hardy.
" arianus		1896	Nearly hardy.
" arianus		1898	"
" arianus	Goat willow	1897	Half hardy.
" arianus	Violet willow	1895	Hardy.
" arianus		1898	Half hardy.

Botanical name.	Common name.	Planted.	Remarks.
<i>Salix Nicholsoni purpurascens</i>		1898	Nearly hardy.
" <i>nigricans</i>	Dark broad-leaved willow.....	1898	"
" <i>pentandra</i>	Laurel-leaved willow.....	1896	Hardy.
" <i>purpurea pendula</i>		1896	"
" <i>rubra forbyana</i>		1896	Half hardy.
" <i>Salamoni</i>		1898	"
" <i>triandra</i>		1897	"
" <i>Voronesh</i>		1895	Hardy.
<i>Sambucus</i> (Blue-fruited from B.C.)		1899	Tender.
" <i>canadensis</i>	Common elder.....	1896	Nearly hardy
" <i>nigra</i>		1902	Tender.
" " <i>aurea nova</i>		1896	"
" " <i>foliis aureis</i>		1896	"
" " <i>heterophylla</i>		1899	"
" " <i>Swindonensis</i>		1899	"
" " <i>virescens</i>		1898	"
" No. 45 Niemetz.....		1895	Hardy.
<i>Shepherdia argentea</i>	Buffalo berry.....	1896	"
<i>Spiraea arguta</i>		1896	"
" <i>chamaedrifolia</i>		1899	Half hardy.
" <i>discolor</i>	White-beam leaved spiraea.....	1899	Tender.
" <i>japonica</i>	Japanese spiraea.....	1899	Half hardy.
" " <i>alba</i>		1899	Tender.
" " <i>humalda</i>		1896	"
" " <i>superba</i>		1899	"
" <i>media</i>	Common Meadow-sweet.....	1899	Hardy.
" <i>salicifolia</i>	Sorbus-leaved spiraea.....	1898	Nearly hard
" <i>sorbifolia</i>	Hard-hack.....	1898	Tender.
" <i>tomentosa</i>	Van Houtte's spiraea.....	1895	Half hardy.
" <i>Van Houttei</i>		1900	Hardy.
<i>Symphoricarpus Heyeri</i>	Snow-berry.....	1895	"
" <i>racemosus</i>	Rouen lilac.....	1896	"
<i>Syringa chinensis</i>		1901	"
" <i>Emodi</i>	Josika's lilac.....	1895	Half hardy.
" <i>Josikea</i>	Pekin lilac.....	1899	"
" <i>pekinensis</i>		1895	Hardy.
" <i>villosa</i>	Common lilac.....	1895	"
" <i>vulgaris</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Abel Carriere</i>	White lilac.....	1899	"
" " <i>alba</i>		1899	"
" " <i>alba grandiflora</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Alphonse Lavallée</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Charles Joly</i>		1899	"
" " <i>Charles X</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Condorcet</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Congo</i>		1901	"
" " <i>de Marley</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Emilie Lemoine</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Francisque Morel</i>		1901	"
" " <i>La Tour d'Auvergne</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Lemoinei</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Mad. Casimir Perier</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Madame Lemoine</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Marie Legraye</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Mathieu de Dombasle</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Maxime Cornu</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Michel Buchner</i>		1901	"
" " <i>President Grevy</i>		1901	Half hardy
" " <i>purpurea</i>		1901	Hardy.
" " <i>rubella</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Virginité</i>		1896	"
<i>Tilia americana</i>	Basswood.....	1895	"
<i>Ulmus americanus</i>	American elm.....	1898	Half hardy
<i>Viburnum Lantana</i>	Wayfaring tree.....	1902	Tender.
" <i>molle</i>		1895	Hardy.
" <i>Opulus</i>	High-bush Cranberry.....	1898	Half hardy
" " <i>sterile</i>		1899	Hardy.
" <i>prunifolium</i>	Nanny-berry.....		

Botanical name.	Common name.	Planted.	Remarks.
<i>Coniferac.</i>			
<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Balsam fir.....	1896	Hardy.
" <i>variegata</i>	Variegated fir.....	1900	Tender.
" <i>procarpa</i>	Common Savin.....	1898	Half hardy.
" <i>variegata</i>	Variegated Savin.....	1901	Hardy.
" <i>Virginiana elegans variegata</i>		1901	"
" " <i>Schottii</i>		1899	"
" " <i>tripartita</i>		1899	"
" <i>ropea</i>	European Larch.....	1899	Tender.
" <i>pendula</i>	Tamarack.....	1899	Nearly hardy.
" <i>coerulea</i>	White Spruce.....	1896	Hardy.
" <i>variegata</i>		1895	"
" <i>cockiana</i>		1901	Tender.
" <i>gelmanni</i>	Alcock's spruce.....	1899	Hardy.
" <i>celsa</i>		1898	Tender.
" <i>pendula major</i>	Norway spruce.....	1900	Hardy.
" <i>pyramidalis</i>		1895	Nearly hardy.
" <i>ovata Schrenkiana</i>	Pyramidal Norway spruce.....	1899	Tender.
" <i>ngens</i>		1899	Nearly hardy.
" <i>glauca</i>	Rocky Mountain spruce.....	1899	Hardy.
" <i>nksiana</i>		1895	"
" <i>ombra</i>	Jack pine.....	1899	"
" <i>licio nigricans</i>	Stone pine.....	1902	"
" <i>intana</i>		1895	"
" <i>Mughus</i>	Austrian pine.....	1899	Tender.
" <i>vestris</i>	Mountain pine.....	1895	Nearly hardy.
" <i>oudonga Douglasii</i>	Dwarf mountain pine.....	1899	Half hardy.
" <i>ayacentalis</i>	Scotch pine.....	1895	Hardy.
" <i>Columbiae</i>	Douglas spruce.....	1895	Nearly hardy.
" <i>Hovei</i>	White cedar.....	1895	Hardy.
" <i>Meehani</i>		1899	Nearly hardy.
" <i>Variegata</i>	Hovey's Arbor-vitae.....	1900	Half hardy.
" <i>Wareana</i>	Meehan's Arbor-vitae.....	1900	Tender.
	Variegated cedar.....	1899	Hardy.
	Ware's Arbor-vitae.....	1899	Nearly hardy.

FRUIT TREES AND BUSHES.

The crop of fruit the past season was disappointing. Crab Apples (*Pyrus bac-*
a. d.) and Raspberries alone gave good crops. Late spring frosts killed either
 blooms or the fruit of all other sorts. A few plums escaped, but were not ripe
 when first came in September.

SEEDLING APPLES.

The trees of Tonka (seedling) blossomed, and one produced fruit of a good size.
 The fruit on the second tree was small, but the tree died before they were fully ma-
 ture from rabbits eating the bark away last winter.

PLANTING.

The following seedlings of cross-bred apples were planted in 1902:—

42 seedlings of Novelty.	20 seedlings of Charles.
26 " Progress.	6 " Pioneer.
18 " Prairie Gem.	2 " Olive.
42 " Aurora.	16 " Eastman.
13 " Belmont.	18 " Eaton.
6 " Cavan.	1 " Dean.
1 " Carleton.	6 " Parker.

Last spring the following were planted in the same nursery:—

CROSS-BRED APPLES.

4 Northern Queen.	4 Ruby.
4 Derby.	4 Carleton.
4 Pioneer.	4 Aurora.
2 Progress.	4 Charles.

Seedlings from seed of Apples from Thos Frankland, Stonewall, Manitoba:
1 seedling of Maud. 1 seedling Annie.

APPLE TREES.

- 3 Hibernial, grafted on *Pyrus prunifolia*.
- 3 Wealthy, grafted on *Pyrus prunifolia*.
- 2 North-western Greening, grafted on *Pyrus baccata*.
- 3 McMahon White, grafted on *Pyrus baccata*.
- 3 Yellow Transparent, grafted on *Pyrus baccata*.
- 3 Pointed Pipka, grafted on *Pyrus prunifolia*.
- 3 Duchess, grafted on *Pyrus prunifolia*.
- 3 Scott's Winter, grafted on Martha Crab seedling.
- 2 McIntosh Red, grafted on Martha Crab seedling.
- 2 Longfield, grafted on Martha Crab seedling.
- 3 Russian Seedling, No. 18, grafted on *Pyrus prunifolia*.
- 3 Russian Seedling, No. 22, grafted on *Pyrus prunifolia*.
- 2 Russian Seedling, No. 7, grafted on *Pyrus prunifolia*.
- 1 Russian Seedling, No. 26, grafted on *Pyrus prunifolia*.

PLUMS.

The following were planted last spring:—

2 Cheney,	2 Aitkin.
1 Bixby.	1 Mankato.

CURRENTS.

The following varieties were planted in 1902 in a new nursery, and are in tested:—

White.—White Cherry, Frauendorfer White, White Grape, Climax, White Imperial, Large White, White Dutch, White Transparent.

Red.—Victoria, Manitoba Amber, London Red, Early Scarlet, Prince Wilder, Simcoe King, Large Red, North Star, Red Grape, La Condé, Fay's P Houghton Castle, Ruby Castle, Rankin's Red, Versailles, Cherry, Fertile d'Ang

Black.—Eclipse, Sterling, Black English, Gewonhliche, Stewart, Dominion cess, Beauty, Clipper, Perry, Ethel, Winona, Star, Ontario, Crandall's Missouri, Mattie, Black Grape, Merveille de la Gironde, Bang Up, Standard, Perth, Prolific Black, Lewis.

GOOSEBERRIES.

In the same nursery as the currants, the following gooseberries were plan 1902:—

3 Governess, 2 Smith's Improved, and in 1903—

2 Houghton.	2 Downing.
1 Cox's Late Green.	2 Lady Houghton.
1 Cluster.	1 Carman.

Also Saunders' Cross-breds.

2 Merton.	2 Richland.
4 Mabel.	1 Sussex.
2 Edna.	4 Pale Red.
1 Griffin.	6 Red Jacket.
1 York.	5 Rideau.
2 Sandow.	1 Ruth.
2 Weir.	2 Saunders.
1 Troy.	2 Gibb.

FRUIT CROP.

PYRUS BACCATA AND PRUNIFOLIA.

Many of these *Pyrus* trees were loaded with fruit, the best of which were ripe before frost came hard enough to injure them.

PLUMS.

The plum crop was a failure. Although some trees had considerable fruit, none of it ripened. The Aitkin plum, which is the earliest variety on the farm, had a little fruit but it disappeared before it had a chance to mature. The native varieties were no more fruitful than the improved sorts.

CHERRIES.

All the varieties were more or less killed back, and though blossoms appeared on some variety, no fruit formed.

SMALL FRUITS.

CURRANTS.

Red, White and Black Currants were killed by frost after they were well formed. The varieties set out in the spring of 1902 made good progress this year. The following varieties are under test :—

Black.—Pomona, Stewart, Clipper, Black Victoria, Black Naples, Native Black, Kerr, Eagle, Monarch, Charmer, Beauty, Ontario, Stewart, Ethel, Sterling, Standard, Ohio Star, Madoc, Climax, Kerry Eclipse, Oxford, Winona, Lewis, Prince of Wales.

Red.—Fay's Prolific, Wilder, North Star, Raby Castle, Red Dutch, Cherry, Verdelaine, Fertile d'Angers, Prince Albert, Victoria.

White.—White Imperial, White Grape, White Dutch.

RASPBERRIES.

1. Reider, Turner, Caroline, Miller, Garfield, Lady Anne, Mary, Marlborough, and Seedling.
2 varieties had a good crop of fruit.

GOOSEBERRIES.

Houghton, Pearl, Golden Prolific, Columbus, Keepsake, Smith's Improved, Lan shire Lad, Governess.

Blossoms were entirely killed by frost.

STRAWBERRIES.

Vines were dead when spring opened.

CATTLE.

The herd of cattle at present consists of 54 head; this includes 18 steers purchased for feeding tests.

The animals raised on the farm are 16 pure-bred Shorthorn cows and heifers, 19 cross-bred cows, heifers and steers.

The bull 'Arbor,' bred by E. Potter, Lowfield, Kirby Lonsdale, England, imported by the Experimental Farm, Ottawa, and sent up last fall, is at the head of the herd.

The three bulls in use on the farm when my last report was sent in were sold during the fall and early spring.

In December last every animal in the herd was tested for tuberculosis, and I am pleased to report that not one that had been raised on the farm was affected. Fifteen steers had, shortly before that, been purchased for feeding tests. Four of these were affected and were killed. Three were badly affected, while in the fourth the disease was not found, but it had inflammation of the lungs.

The herd was never in better condition than at present.

FEEDING TEST.

Fifteen three-year-old steers were purchased last November for feeding tests. Out of these, four had to be killed, as already stated.

Ten steers out of the 11 left were chosen and divided into two lots of five each.

Both lots received the same ration during the entire time they were being fed, including the preparatory period and after the test was completed.

The test was for 16 weeks, and commenced on December 11.

Lot No. 1 was turned out each day for two hours.

Lot No. 2 was kept continuously in the stable.

The test was carried on to ascertain whether close confinement was a benefit or not in feeding animals.

The meal used consisted of 2 parts barley and 1 part small wheat.

The first month 6 lbs. per day was given to each animal, and increased each month by 2 lbs.

Hay was fed morning and night, and oat or barley straw at noon. Each animal received all the hay and straw it could eat.

Following will be found a statement of the monthly and total weights and gains of each lot during the period of the test; weights and gain made during the winter period from November 4 to May 2; the total amount and estimated value of the feed consumed during the same time; and a summary of the financial results of the transaction.

It will be observed that Lot No. 2, confined in the stable, made a very small total gain over the lot let out for exercise.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

MONTHLY and total weights and gains of each lot of steers during the period of test:—

Lot.	Weight at start of test.	1st 4 weeks.		2nd 4 weeks.		3rd 4 weeks.		4th 4 weeks.		Total Gain.
		Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.	
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Lot N 1. . .	6,810	7,150	340	7,380	230	7,670	290	7,930	260	1,120
Lot N 2. . .	6,700	7,070	370	7,280	210	7,510	230	7,720	210	1,020

Lot.	Weight when bought, November 4.	Weight when sold, May 2.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Lot N 1	6,620	8,140	1,520
Lot N 2	6,465	8,080	1,615
	13,085	*16,220	3,135

*Ded less 5 per cent shrinkage, leaving net weight 15,409 lbs.

Total weight and estimated value of feed consumed during the whole period—
November 4 to May 2:—

Separatory feeding, each lot (five steers), 36 days—

Straw, 3,600 lbs. at \$1 per ton.	\$ 1 80
Meal, 720 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.	4 80

C for both lots, \$13.20.

\$ 6 60

Lining test* (112 days), each lot—

Hay, 10,656 lbs. at \$5 per ton.	\$26 64
Meal, 5,040 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.	33 60
Ground linseed, 210 lbs. at 2c. per lb.	4 20

C for both lots, \$128.88.

\$64 44

From end of test till sold (31 days), each lot—

Hay, 2,984 lbs. at \$5 per ton.	\$ 7 46
Meal, 1,860 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.	6 20
Ground linseed, 77½ lbs. at 2c. per lb.	1 55

C for both lots, \$30.42.

\$15 21

*Record was not kept of the weight of straw consumed during test.

Summary of cost of feeding—

Preparatory feeding..	\$ 13 20
During test..	128 88
Till sold..	30 42
	<hr/>
	\$172 50

Or for each steer, \$17.25.

Or for each lot of five steers, \$86.25.

SUMMARY of the Financial result of the Transaction.

Lot.	Weight bought.	At	Amount paid.	Add Cost of Feed.	Total Cost.	Weight sold.	At	Amount received.	Gain on each lot.	
	Lbs.	Cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	cts.
No. 1.....	6,620	3½	231 70	86 25	317 95	7,733	4½	328 65	10 70	1
No. 2.....	6,465	3½	226 27	86 25	312 52	7,676	4½	326 23	13 71	7
Total...	13,085	3½	457 97	172 50	630 47	15,409	4½	654 88	24 41	

* An average net gain of \$2.44 per head.

On account of the price of steers being high when purchased and the export of cattle having fallen considerably by the time the animals could be sold, the realized was very little above their cost and the value of feed consumed.

HORSES.

There are at present 13 horses on the farm. In the spring two young, light ones were exchanged for heavier ones; otherwise the working force remains the same as last year. The health of the horses has been good.

SWINE.

Three breeds, Berkshire, Tamworth and Improved Yorkshire White are kept on the farm at present. Since the last report nine Berkshire boars and five sows, six Tamworth boars and three sows have been sold to farmers for breeding purpose.

POULTRY.

Three breeds are kept on the farm at present, namely: Black Minorcas, Brahmas and Plymouth Rocks. All breeds did well. During the 12 weeks from April 3 to June 20, the eggs laid were kept separate and the number laid by each bird recorded, with the following result:—

Black Minorca.—Sixteen hens laid 496 eggs, an average of 31 each hen.

Plymouth Rock.—Eleven hens laid 275 eggs, an average of 25 for each hen.

Light Brahma.—Twelve hens laid 372 eggs, an average of 31 for each hen.

After June 20, the eggs were not kept separate.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXHIBITS FOR ST. LOUIS EXHIBITION.

During the past year a large number of exhibits have been prepared and forwarded to Ottawa for the St. Louis Exposition to be held in 1904.

Sixteen large cases of grain and grasses from the crop of 1902 were shipped early in November, and the same number of cases of this year's grain at the end of that month. In addition three cases of fruits and vegetables in bottles, and threshed grain in bags accompanied these shipments.

Fifteen agricultural societies were requested to collect samples from this year's crop from their respective districts. Although all expenses were guaranteed, only one society, Edmonton, sent in anything whatever. A few sheaves were collected by a private party at Moosomin, and I regret that out of the whole of the Territories so little interest has been taken in the matter.

When harvest commenced in 1902, a member of the staff visited the leading grain districts in Assiniboia, Saskatchewan and Alberta, and made arrangements for samples to be sent to the Experimental Farm from the crop then being harvested. I am sorry to say that Pincher Creek alone did anything in the matter.

Samples were collected in the Indian Head district last year of sheaves, and this year of threshed grain by one of the Experimental Farm staff. These have been prepared and sent forward to Ottawa.

GASOLINE ENGINE.

A gasoline engine was obtained after harvest from Goold, Shapley & Muir, Brantford, Ont., and I am pleased to say gave good satisfaction. The engine 'Ideal' is of 1-horse power, and ran a 28-inch 'Advance' separator with apparent ease. Some trouble took place at first through want of knowledge in operating the engine, but this was only temporary.

DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES.

During the months of March, April and May, the following distribution of samples of products of the farm was made to applicants throughout the Territories of Assiniboia, Alberta and Saskatchewan.

GRAIN.

Wheat.....	278	bags, 3 lbs. each.
Oats.....	411	"
Barley.....	196	"
Pease.....	232	"
Sundries.....	41	"
Potatoes.....	497	"
Tree seeds.—Maple.....	675	" 1 lb. each.
Grass seed.—Brome.....	167	"
Western Rye.....	15	"
Small seeds.....	326	packages containing 6,155 packets shrub seed, flower seeds, root seeds, garden seeds and corn.
Fruit bushes.....	163	packages.
Tree and shrub seedlings.....	452	"

CORRESPONDENCE.

During the twelve months ending October 31, 1903, 4,926 letters were received, and 4,980 mailed from this office. In letters received, circular reports on grain and other samples are not counted, and in letters mailed, circulars of instruction sent with grain and other samples are not included.

METEOROLOGICAL.

Month.	Temperature. Maximum.		Temperature. Minimum.		Snow- fall.	Rain-fall.		Hours Bright Sunshine
	Date.	Degrees	Date.	Degrees	Inches.	No. of days.	Inches.	
1902.								
November.....	2	50	10	-10	6			48
December.....	17	32	25	-34	13			42
1903.								
January.....	25	37	12	-31	4			60
February.....	26	34	15	-42	1			124
March.....	31	55	19	-25	3½	1	·07	152
April.....	26	75	29	4	1	2	·06	164
May.....	14	92	5	21		14	4·08	200
June.....	17	84	10	30		8	1·29	228
July.....	23	86	31	35		11	4·23	240
August.....	20	83	9	40		13	4·16	160
September.....	28	76	27	24		10	1·26	121
October.....	13	75	17	11		6	·40	175
					28½	65	15·55	1,730

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

ANGUS MACKAY,

Superintendent.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA.

REPORT OF THOMAS A. SHARPE, SUPERINTENDENT.

Dr WM. SAUNDERS,
Director, Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to present my report of the progress made and the work done on the Experimental Farm at Agassiz, B.C., for the year 1903. The season was unfavourable in many respects from the early spring until after the crops were secured. The winter was mild and the lowest temperature was 18 above zero on March 11, and there were no very severe wind storms. There was a heavy fall of snow in March, which clung to the branches of the trees as it fell, and many fruit trees were injured, large limbs being split off by the weight of snow. The spring was cold, with frequent showers and with north winds, and the soil remained cold until late in the season, retarding growth in the trees and shrubs, and causing many seeds to fail to germinate, and much of the bloom of the fruit trees to fall off. The weather continued cool throughout, there being only a few days of warm weather during summer. The whole season, and especially during harvest, was cool and showery, which delayed the harvesting and made it more expensive than usual, besides the loss from damaged grain and the helling of oats and pease in the turnings made necessary by the frequent heavy showers. The crops of grain, roots and hay have been fairly good and prices satisfactory. The fall weather has been mild, with only one fall of snow, and the lowest range of the thermometer up to the present being 22 above zero, enabling farmers to get all crops harvested and other fall work done without delay or injury from frost.

FRUIT CROP.

The fruit crop has been only a very moderate one, but apples have been freer from scab than usual.

HEDGES.

The hedges have made a good growth. There are forty-five of them, and that number gives a fairly wide choice to those desiring to plant an ornamental hedge.

ORNAMENTAL TREES AND SHRUBS.

The shrubs and trees have made a fine growth, especially during the flowering season, were very handsome, and many inquiries are received as to where they can be had to the best advantage.

FOREST AND TIMBER PLANTATIONS.

The forest trees planted in the shelter belt continue to grow vigorously, and a considerable number of the timber and nut trees planted on the mountain are making a fair growth, but as these trees have received no care since planting a great growth is not to be expected, at least until they get up above the hazel and other undergrowth.

NUT TREES.

The English and American black walnut trees produced a small crop of nuts, and Japanese and heart-shaped walnut trees a fair crop this season. The chestnut tree bloom late in the spring every year, but this year they were so very late that the nut did not fill. The walnuts of all sorts are being distributed to farmers throughout the province, as in past years, and many of those who received nuts in previous years report good success in raising trees. The pecan trees are growing into strong trees, but are not large enough yet to bear nuts. All of the filbert bushes have grown splendidly, but as in former years, the crop was light, and the bluejays carry off much of the fruit before it is ripe.

DITCHING.

Owing to the scarcity of labour and the pressure of other work, very little ditching has been done this year.

NEW BREAKING.

Nearly fifteen acres have been ploughed and cropped for the first time this year and a small area cleared and partly grubbed, and this work will be continued during the winter as the weather and conditions of the ground permits.

LIVE STOCK.

The stock bull mentioned in my last report injured one of his hind legs, and though kept in for some months, never recovered, and was finally slaughtered. Three of the four bull calves then mentioned have been sold at satisfactory prices, and the fourth is still on the farm. A young bull has been received from the Central Experimental Farm, and, as he is from imported stock noted for their superior milking qualities and is a nice, well-formed calf, he is likely to be a valuable acquisition to our stock. The present herd consists of seven registered Shorthorn cows, three heifers, two young bulls, two young bull calves and four heifer calves.

SHEEP.

The flock at present consists of twelve ewes and ewe lambs and two rams, several of which have been sold since my last report. The Dorset Horned breed appears to be well adapted to the damp climate of the coast, and also to make a satisfactory cross with the common sheep.

PIGS.

The stock at present consists of one white Yorkshire boar and two young sows, two Berkshire boars, two sows and four small cross-bred pigs. The Yorkshire pigs and two of the Berkshires were recently received from the Central Experimental Farm, and are very fine animals.

HORSES.

The force of horses consists of five of the original purchase made in 1889, and two young horses bought one year ago. These latter have proved to be very useful horses, and have given good satisfaction. Another team will be necessary for the next season's work, owing to the increasing area under cultivation and the age of the old horses.

BEES.

This has been a poor season for bees, not enough honey having been secured by any one swarm to carry it over. All are being given a little feed now, and we hope thus to carry seven swarms through the winter.

FOWLS.

There are on this farm five breeds of fowls—Light Brahmas, Barred Plymouth Rocks, Black Minorcas, Buff Orpingtons and Rhode Island Reds. The two latter are this year's birds—hatched last spring—so have not been tested, except as to weight of cockerels and general thrift.

Of the three first breeds the Black Minorcas are the best layers, and lay large white eggs, but the Barred Plymouth Rocks come very nearly up to them as layers, and far surpass them as table fowls. The Light Brahmas are good layers, but do not come up in this respect to the Black Minorcas or B. P. Rocks. The feathers on their feet and legs are a disadvantage in this climate, as they keep them damp and cold. They are a very fair table fowl, but do not mature quite so early as is desired.

Both the B. P. Rocks and Light Brahmas are good sitters and good mothers, and are profitable up to the age of two and a half years, when they are apt to get too fat and lay few eggs.

The Buff Orpingtons grow large and rapidly, but with us do not mature as early as the B. P. Rocks and the Rhode Island Reds. The latter is a fine blocky bird, and matures early.

The hens are kept in breeding pens with yards attached, from January 1 to July 1 during the rest of the year they are allowed to run at large. They are not troubled by any disease, except sometimes a little rheumatism, caused by the wet weather, but cats and hawks carry off the chickens, even after they are well grown.

We have had an average of 60 per cent of chickens from eggs hatched in the incubator. These chickens were reared in a brooder and have been strong and healthy. They have always done well, but have not been any stronger than those hatched and reared by hens.

The weight of cockerels per pair, live weight, at three months old, were respectively: B. P. Rocks, 8 lbs. 2 ozs.; Light Brahmas, 7 lbs. 8 ozs.; Buff Orpingtons, 7 lbs. 5 ozs.; Rhode Island Reds, 7 lbs. 10 ozs.; Black Minorcas, 6 lbs.

The hens are fed on mixed grain, $\frac{2}{3}$ wheat, $\frac{1}{3}$ oats and $\frac{1}{3}$ peas; sunflower seeds in the autumn, and boiled roots with some chaff (whatever is on hand) mixed in, during the coldest weather in winter.

The hen-house is whitewashed several times a year and otherwise kept clean.

The treatment given to the farm fowls is in every way just what every farmer should and can give his hens.

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

Fifty-four varieties of oats were tested this year. The land had been in corn the year previous, was fall ploughed and dressed with stable manure during the winter, and this was well worked in with the spade harrow and drag. The crop was very promising and the yield would have been heavy but a considerable portion was shelled during the process of curing owing to the frequent showers.

All were sown April 17, at the rate of two and a half bushels per acre. The soil was a sandy loam and the size of the plots one-fortieth of an acre each. There was scarcely any smut, but the rust was very bad, and materially lowered the yield, and by causing weakness in the straw and consequent falling down of the crop increased the loss of harvesting.

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.	
				In.		In.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.		
1	Holland.	Aug. 18	123	46	Stiff.	10	Sided.	83	8	34½	None.
2	Bavarian.	" 13	118	42	Medium.	10	Branching.	82	32	34½	"
3	Danish Island.	" 19	124	48	Stiff.	10	"	82	22	35	"
4	Milford (white).	" 12	117	44	Weak.	9	Sided.	82	12	34½	Slightly.
5	Sensation.	" 11	116	42	Stiff.	9	Branching.	82	"	34½	"
6	Columbus.	" 12	117	44	Weak.	9	"	78	28	34	Consid'ably.
7	Tartar King.	" 10	115	44	Stiff.	9	Sided.	77	28	35	None.
8	Abundance.	" 17	122	42	Medium.	9	Branching.	75	30	34	Consid'ably.
9	Olive (white).	" 12	117	46	"	9	Sided.	74	4	34½	Slightly.
10	White Giant.	" 17	122	46	Stiff.	9	Branching.	73	28	34	Consid'ably.
11	Cromwell.	" 17	122	44	"	9	"	73	18	34	Slightly.
12	Kendal (white).	" 13	118	44	Medium.	8	Half sided.	73	8	34	"
13	Waverley.	" 11	116	44	Stiff.	10	Branching.	72	12	34½	"
14	Irish Victor.	" 14	119	40	"	10	Sided.	72	2	34½	"
15	Golden Tartarian.	" 17	122	44	"	12	"	71	26	34	Badly.
16	Golden Fleece.	" 14	119	46	Medium.	9	Branching.	71	6	34	Slightly.
17	Early Gothland.	" 14	119	46	Stiff.	9	"	69	14	34½	"
18	Siberian.	" 13	118	42	"	10	"	69	4	34	Badly.
19	Improved Ligowo.	" 11	116	48	"	10	"	68	28	34½	"
20	American Triumph.	" 13	118	46	"	9	"	68	18	34	Consid'ably.
21	Probstey.	" 11	116	46	"	10	"	67	8	34	Slightly.
22	Pense (white).	" 13	118	48	"	9	"	66	16	34½	Consid'ably.
23	Hazlett's Seizure.	" 14	119	48	"	10	"	66	6	34	Slightly.
24	Early Blossom.	" 12	117	46	"	9	Sided.	65	30	34	Badly.
25	Goldfinder.	" 15	120	44	"	10	Branching.	64	24	34	"
26	Pioneer.	" 10	115	44	Medium.	9	"	64	14	34½	Slightly.
27	Banner.	" 13	118	46	Stiff.	11	"	64	4	35	"
28	Olive (black).	" 14	119	44	Medium.	8	Sided.	63	28	34	Badly.
29	Kendal (black).	" 15	120	44	"	9	"	63	18	34	"
30	Joanette.	" 11	115	42	"	10	Branching.	63	8	34½	Consid'ably
31	Abyssinia.	" 17	122	46	Stiff.	10	"	62	32	34	Slightly.
32	Wide Awake.	" 15	120	42	Medium.	9	"	62	22	34½	"
33	White Schonen.	" 13	118	44	"	9	"	62	12	34½	Consid'ably
34	Early Golden Prolific.	" 11	116	42	"	9	"	62	2	34	Slightly.
35	Lincoln.	" 17	122	48	Stiff.	10	"	61	26	34½	"
36	Golden Beauty.	" 13	118	48	"	9	"	61	16	34	Consid'ably
37	Pense (black).	" 19	124	46	"	9	"	61	16	34½	Slightly.
38	Golden Giant.	" 18	123	46	"	9	Sided.	61	6	34	Consid'ably
39	Mennonite.	" 12	117	44	"	10	Branching.	61	6	34	Badly.
40	Black Beauty.	" 11	116	48	Weak.	11	"	60	30	34	Slightly.
41	Holstein Prolific.	" 15	120	42	Stiff.	10	"	60	20	35	"
42	Salines.	" 19	124	44	"	9	"	60	10	34½	Badly.
43	New Zealand.	" 21	126	42	Medium.	9	Sided.	59	14	34	Slightly.
44	Swedish Select.	" 11	116	48	Weak.	9	Branching.	59	4	34	"
45	Improved American.	" 13	118	46	Stiff.	9	"	58	28	31	Badly.
46	Buckbee's Illinois.	" 19	124	46	"	9	"	58	28	34½	Slightly.
47	American Beauty.	" 15	120	43	Medium.	11	"	58	18	34	Badly.
48	Scotch Potato.	" 15	120	42	Stiff.	10	"	57	32	35	Slightly.
49	Longhoughton.	" 17	122	40	Medium.	9	"	57	22	34½	"
50	Wallis.	" 17	122	46	Stiff.	8	"	56	16	34	"
51	Twentieth Century.	" 12	117	42	"	11	"	55	20	34	"
52	Milford (black).	" 14	119	39	Weak.	8	"	55	10	34	Consid'abl
53	Salzer's Big Four.	" 15	120	38	Medium.	9	"	54	10	34	Slightly.
54	Thousand Dollar.	" 14	119	44	"	10	"	52	32	34	"

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

Thirty-five varieties of barley were tested, fifteen of two-rowed and twenty of six-rowed. The soil chosen for these plots was a rather gravelly loam, with an open gravel bottom. It was fall ploughed and covered with a dressing of farm-yard manure during the winter, and this was well mixed with the soil and the seed sown



ROAD PLANTING AND SUPERINTENDENT'S RESIDENCE AT AGASSIZ.

(Photo. by C. E. Saunders.)

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The yield has been good, but the grain was much discoloured by the weather. All were sown on April 20, on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each, and all the barleys are free from rust and smut.

BARLEY, TWO-ROWED.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days, Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			Inches.		In.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Dunham	Aug. 12..	114	42	Stiff & bright.	3½	76 32	49
Waver	" 15..	117	35	Medium	3½	75 ..	49½
Jordan	" 6..	108	46	Stiff	3	73 26	48½
Ridney	" 15..	117	44	Medium	3½	73 16	49
French Chevalier	" 15..	117	46	Stiff	4	70 40	49
Canadian Thorpe	" 12..	114	46	Stiff & bright.	3	69 28	48½
Standwell	" 13..	115	44	"	3	67 4	48
Invincible	" 13..	115	42	"	3	66 12	48½
Harvey	" 10..	110	40	Stiff	3½	65 40	48
Samsh Chevalier	" 13..	115	40	Medium	3	65 20	48
Newton	" 7..	109	44	Stiff & bright.	3	62 44	48½
Jogan	" 10..	112	42	Stiff	4	61 12	48½
Difford	" 7..	109	46	Stiff & bright.	3½	59 28	48½
Multon	" 14..	116	40	Medium	3	57 44	48
Arvie	" 7..	109	46	Stiff	3½	56 32	48

BARLEY, SIX-ROWED.—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Lensbury	Aug. 3..	105	42	Stiff	3	80 ..	49
Lansfield	" 7..	109	40	Stiff & bright.	2½	73 16	48½
Tella	" 12..	114	36	Weak	3	72 24	48
Home	" 1..	103	42	Medium	2½	71 32	48½
Merchuch	" 1..	103	40	Stiff	3	71 12	48
Loyal	July 29..	100	42	"	3	68 36	48½
Empire	Aug. 7..	109	38	Stiff & bright.	3	68 36	48½
Sammon	July 30..	101	40	Medium	3	67 4	49
Argyle	Aug. 6..	108	40	Stiff	3	65 40	48½
Cooper	" 7..	109	36	Weak	3	65 20	48
Home's Improved	July 31..	102	40	Medium	3	65 20	48½
Argent	Aug. 7..	109	44	Stiff & bright.	3	65 20	48½
Maxter	" 1..	103	40	Medium	2½	64 28	48
Laude	" 3..	105	42	Stiff	3	62 4	48
Fort	" 7..	109	40	Medium	2½	61 32	48½
Champion	July 28..	99	42	Stiff	3	61 32	48
Amaret	Aug. 7..	109	42	Medium	3	60 20	48
Albion	July 29..	100	43	"	3	58 16	48
Albion	Aug. 7..	109	40	Stiff & bright.	2½	55 ..	48
Arnold	" 7..	109	42	Medium	3	55 ..	48

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

Sixty varieties of spring wheat were tested this year, on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The soil was a fairly fertile sandy loam which had produced a heavy crop of corn and clover sod in 1902, and was fall ploughed in fall of 1902 and given a light top dressing of stable manure during the winter, and was well prepared for sowing before sowing. All the varieties were sown on April 15. The crop was handled after cutting, on account of the rain, that a good deal of it was shelled. The varieties were sown at the rate of one and a half bushels per acre, and they were not affected either by rust or smut.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per
								Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Percy.....	Aug. 10..	114	48	Stiff and bright.	3	Beardless.	46	40	
2	Australian No. 19.....	" 10..	114	48	"	3	"	44	..	
3	Advance.....	" 10..	114	44	"	3	Bearded..	42	40	
4	Plumper.....	" 11..	115	40	"	3	"	42	..	
5	Hastings.....	" 8..	112	40	Medium.....	3½	Beardless..	41	40	
6	Bishop.....	" 11..	115	42	"	3	"	41	40	
7	Monarch.....	" 17..	121	43	Stiff and bright.	3	"	41	20	
8	Cartier.....	" 10..	114	44	Stiff	3	Bearded..	41	20	
9	White Connell.....	" 17..	121	40	Stiff and bright.	2½	Beardless..	41	10	
10	Wellman's Fife.....	" 19..	123	48	Medium.....	3½	"	41	..	
11	Clyde.....	" 19..	123	40	"	3	"	41	..	
12	Alpha.....	" 14..	118	46	Stiff and bright.	3	"	40	50	
13	Laurel.....	" 17..	121	44	"	3½	"	40	40	
14	Australian No. 27.....	" 17..	121	43	"	3	"	40	30	
15	Preston.....	" 15..	119	44	Medium.....	3	"	40	20	
16	Red Fern.....	" 17..	121	42	"	3½	Bearded..	40	20	
17	Fraser.....	" 10..	114	44	Stiff and bright.	3	"	40	10	
18	Benton.....	" 19..	123	44	"	2½	Beardless..	40	10	
19	White Russian.....	" 19..	123	46	"	4	"	40	..	
20	Blair.....	" 20..	124	46	Medium.....	3	"	40	..	
21	Roumanian.....	" 15..	119	46	"	3	Bearded..	39	50	
22	Countess.....	" 11..	115	42	Stiff and bright.	4	Beardless..	39	40	
23	Essex.....	" 16..	120	44	"	4	"	39	30	
24	Minnesota No. 163.....	" 18..	122	42	"	3½	"	39	20	
25	Cassel.....	" 22..	126	42	Weak.....	2½	"	39	20	
26	Goose.....	" 10..	114	46	Stiff and bright.	3½	Bearded..	39	10	
27	Crown.....	" 11..	115	46	"	3	"	39	..	
28	Robin's Rust Proof.....	" 12..	116	40	Weak.....	3	Beardless..	39	..	
29	Byron.....	" 10..	114	46	Medium.....	3	Bearded..	38	50	
30	Australian No. 25.....	" 12..	116	45	Stiff and bright.	3½	Beardless..	38	40	
31	Huron.....	" 11..	115	42	"	3½	Bearded..	38	..	
32	Stanley.....	" 14..	118	46	"	3½	Beardless..	37	50	
33	Australian No. 10.....	" 18..	122	46	"	3	"	37	40	
34	Crawford.....	" 8..	112	44	Medium.....	3	"	37	30	
35	Red Swedish.....	" 10..	114	46	Stiff and bright.	3½	Bearded..	37	20	
36	Minnesota No. 181.....	" 21..	125	44	"	3½	Beardless..	37	..	
37	Minnesota No. 149.....	" 18..	122	41	"	3	"	36	50	
38	Admiral.....	" 15..	119	46	"	3½	"	36	50	
39	Early Riza.....	" 8..	112	40	Weak.....	2½	"	36	40	
40	White Fife.....	" 14..	118	46	Stiff and bright.	3	"	36	30	
41	Colorado.....	" 20..	124	44	"	3½	Bearded..	36	..	
42	Hungarian.....	" 12..	116	44	Medium.....	3½	"	36	..	
43	Red Fife.....	" 17..	121	46	"	3	Beardless..	36	..	
44	Australian No. 9.....	" 18..	122	46	Stiff and bright.	3	"	35	50	
45	Pringle's Champlain.....	" 15..	119	40	"	3	"	35	40	
46	Australian No. 13.....	" 18..	122	44	Medium.....	3	"	35	30	
47	Rio Grande.....	" 18..	122	46	Weak.....	3½	"	35	20	
48	Progress.....	" 18..	122	40	Medium.....	3	"	35	..	
49	Herisson Bearded.....	" 19..	123	40	Weak.....	3½	Bearded..	34	40	
50	Norval.....	" 8..	112	42	Stiff	3	Beardless..	34	40	
51	Australian No. 23.....	" 18..	122	46	Stiff and bright.	3½	"	34	30	
52	Minnesota No. 169.....	" 20..	124	46	"	3½	"	34	20	
53	Weldon.....	" 19..	123	44	Medium.....	3	"	34	20	
54	Angus.....	" 12..	116	42	"	3	"	33	30	
55	Chul Bidai.....	" 6..	110	36	Weak.....	3	Bearded..	33	10	
56	Chester.....	" 11..	115	42	"	2½	Beardless..	33	10	
57	Vernon.....	" 11..	115	46	Stiff and bright.	3½	Bearded..	32	50	
58	Japanese.....	" 8..	112	40	Medium.....	2½	"	32	40	
59	Adjini.....	" 4..	108	38	Weak.....	2	"	32	40	
60	Dawn.....	" 11..	115	48	Stiff and bright.	3½	Beardless..	30	40	

WHEAT.

FALL VERSUS SPRING SOWING.

Two varieties were included in this test, both varieties being sown September 22 for the fall test, and April 25 for the spring test. The land was in fairly fertile condition and was well prepared for the seed in each instance, and the fall sown plots received a light harrowing with the drag, when the spring sowing was made. The fall sown yield was much the heaviest, as will be seen by the accompanying record, and the grain is finer looking.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
								Bush.	Lbs.	
Region Club.....	Sept. 22	Jul. 29	...	44	Stiff.....	2	Beardless..	49	20	60
" ".....	Apr. 25	Aug. 20	117	44	Medium..	2	"	37	20	60
Blue Sm.....	Sept. 22	Jul. 29	46	Stiff.....	3½	"	46	40	60
" ".....	Apr. 25	Aug. 20	117	46	Medium..	3½	"	38	40	60
Blue Sn from Brandon....	Apr. 25	Aug. 20	117	46	Medium..	3½	"	37	20	60

EMMER AND SPELT.

Several varieties of emmer and spelt were sown this year. The land for these plots had produced a crop of potatoes following rape, which had been turned under and which left the land in very good condition. The yields of grain and straw are fairly good, but the straw was of no use for forage, as it was badly discoloured by rain before it was cured.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw per Acre.	Yield per Acre.
			In.		Inches.		Lbs.	Lbs.
Idaho No. 3	Aug. 20..	132	42	Medium..	2½	Bearded..	5,100	2,130
Idaho No. 524	" 20..	132	40	" ..	2½	" ..	4,950	2,040
" ".....	" 20..	132	42	" ..	3½	Beardless..	4,600	1,960
" ".....	" 10..	122	40	" ..	2	Bearded..	5,000	1,920
Bearded Spelt	" 12..	124	44	Stiff.....	4	" ..	5,120	1,720
Emmer (Speltz).....	" 18..	122	40	Weak	2½	"	2,190

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

Four varieties of pease were tested this year on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The soil was a fertile clay loam and all the plots were sown on April 21. The peas made a vigorous growth, and were well podded, but a considerable loss was sustained by shelling before they could be properly cured and housed.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Char-acter of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Weight of Straw per plot.	Yield per Acre.	Weight of Bush.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Early Britain	Aug. 15..	116	52	Strong..	3	Medium	155	46	40
2	Large White Marrowfat	" 21..	122	52	" ..	3	Large..	160	46	20
3	German White	" 17..	118	54	" ..	3	Medium	163	46	20
4	Carleton	" 17..	118	70	" ..	2½	" ..	168	45	20
5	Arthur	" 15..	116	50	" ..	3	Large..	165	44	40
6	Macoun	" 15..	116	56	Medium	2½	" ..	180	44	20
7	Chancellor	" 20..	121	56	Strong..	3	Medium	154	44	..
8	Pearl	" 20..	121	76	" ..	3	Large..	165	42	40
9	Lanark	" 15..	116	48	" ..	2½	" ..	168	42	20
10	Mummy	" 18..	119	58	" ..	3	Medium	148	42	..
11	Centennial	" 18..	119	64	" ..	2½	" ..	170	41	30
12	Nelson	" 17..	118	58	" ..	3	" ..	160	40	40
13	Paragon	" 22..	123	62	" ..	2½	" ..	145	40	10
14	White Wonder	" 21..	122	61	" ..	2½	" ..	160	40	..
15	Agnes	" 21..	122	62	" ..	3	" ..	156	39	20
16	New Potter	" 21..	122	70	" ..	3	Large..	143	39	10
17	Wisconsin Blue	" 18..	119	64	" ..	2½	Small..	155	39	..
18	Black Eyed Marrowfat	" 22..	123	60	" ..	3	Large..	145	38	50
19	King	" 20..	121	58	" ..	3	" ..	130	38	..
20	Crown	" 21..	122	54	" ..	2½	Small..	140	37	40
21	Golden Vine	" 18..	119	64	" ..	2½	" ..	149	36	40
22	Pride	" 22..	123	58	" ..	2½	Large..	125	36	20
23	Oddfellow	" 18..	119	48	Medium	3	Medium	163	36	..
24	Canadian Beauty	" 18..	119	58	Strong..	3	Large..	160	35	50
25	Prince Albert	" 18..	119	56	Medium	2½	Small..	138	35	30
26	Daniel O'Rourke	" 15..	116	36	" ..	2½	" ..	140	35	30
27	Mackay	" 20..	121	58	Strong..	3	Medium	140	35	20
28	Kent	" 18..	119	56	" ..	3	Large..	166	35	10
29	Prussian Blue	" 20..	121	50	" ..	3	Medium	125	34	50
30	Bruce	" 19..	120	52	" ..	3	Large..	165	34	10
31	Fergus	" 20..	121	52	" ..	3	Medium	135	33	50
32	Duke	" 19..	120	60	" ..	2½	Large..	148	33	40
33	English Grey	" 15..	116	60	Medium	3	Medium	143	33	30
34	Archer	" 21..	122	54	Strong..	2½	" ..	135	33	20
35	Alma	" 22..	123	58	" ..	2½	Large..	125	33	10
36	Prince	" 20..	121	48	" ..	3	" ..	143	33	..
37	Perth	" 17..	118	52	" ..	2½	" ..	125	32	40
38	Elliot	" 22..	123	64	" ..	2½	Medium	125	32	30
39	Picton	" 20..	121	54	" ..	2½	" ..	135	32	20
40	Victoria	" 18..	119	54	Medium	3	" ..	130	32	..
41	Trilby	" 22..	123	62	Strong..	2½	" ..	150	31	40
42	Gregory	" 18..	119	58	" ..	3	" ..	120	31	10

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

Twenty-three varieties of Indian corn were tested this year. All were planted May 20 and cut October 8 and 9. The land was a clay loam which had been ploughed the fall before, turning under a fine growth of clover. It was well harrowed several times during the spring, to start weed seeds and destroy the weeds. The crop was very late on account of cold and wet weather, but a few of the earlier sorts produced well, the ears being in roasting condition when cut. All the varieties were planted both in drills and hills. The rows were three feet apart and the hills three feet apart each way. The rows were thinned, leaving the plants six inches apart.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	When Tasselled.	In Silk.	Early Milk.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre grown in rows.		Weight per Acre grown in hills.	
						Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Old Cob Ensilage	Aug. 28.	Sept. 2.	Sept. 20.	Late milk.	31	480	22	1,980
2	Angel of Midnight	" 28.	" 14.	" 30.	Early milk.	26	580	23	800
3	Edge of the North	" 31.	" 14.	Oct. 8.	"	26	350	22	1,760
4	Superior Fodder	Sept. 6.	" 24.	"	Ears formed	25	1,480	23	1,740
5	Irish Mastodon	" 6.	Oct. 3.	"	In silk.	24	1,940	18	1,400
6	Ont Prolific Ensilage	" 4.	Sept. 26.	"	Ears formed	24	1,720	22	1,480
7	Thoroughbred White Flint	" 8.	" 30.	"	In silk.	24	1,500	23	860
8	Scorer's All Gold	" 8.	" 30.	"	"	24	1,280	22	1,430
9	North Dakota White	Aug. 28.	" 12.	"	Early milk.	24	400	20	480
10	Emmoth Cuban	" 30.	" 14.	"	"	23	1,960	24	620
11	Ireka	" 30.	" 15.	"	"	22	1,760	23	420
12	Capton's Early	" 20.	Aug. 28.	Sept. 24.	Late milk.	22	220	21	1,010
13	Irish Butler	" 28.	Sept. 10.	" 30.	Early milk.	21	1,560	19	280
14	Emmoth 8-rowed Flint	Sept. 4.	" 15.	Oct. 4.	"	21	570	22	220
15	Champion White Pearl	" 6.	" 24.	" 12.	"	20	480	21	1,120
16	Big Philip	Aug. 28.	" 20.	"	Ears formed	20	40	18	1,620
17	Selected Leaming	" 30.	" 28.	"	Early milk.	18	80	17	870
18	Cud's Early Yellow	" 22.	" 14.	Oct. 4.	"	17	1,860	17	430
19	Big fellow	" 24.	" 12.	Sept. 24.	Roasting	17	870	17	1,860
20	Big of the Earliest	Sept. 3.	" 28.	"	Ears formed	17	650	18	80
21	White Cap Yellow Dent	" 4.	" 16.	Sept. 30.	Early milk.	16	1,220	16	10
22	Big green Sugar	" 10.	" 28.	"	Ears formed	16	780	15	360
23	Sford	" 10.	" 26.	"	"	15	1,46	12	1,740

INDIAN CORN SOWN AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

The same varieties that were used in this test last year were chosen again this year. They were planted alongside the main crop, both in drills and hills. In the drill the plants were thinned to about six inches and to three plants in the hills. Three feet apart in drills appears to be the best distance, as that gives room for the best development of the plant, and at the same time no space appears to be wasted. The plots were planted May 20 and cut October 2.

INDIAN CORN.—SOWN AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

Name of Variety.	Distance in rows.		Distance in hills.		Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre—grown in rows.		Weight per acre—Grown in hills.	
	in.		in.			Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
Champion White Pearl	21		21		Early milk	17	540	16	340
"	28		28		"	18	960	17	1,200
"	35		35		Late milk	20	1,580	19	1,720
"	42		42		"	17	1,640	17	980
Selected Leaming	21		21		Early milk	15	1,240	14	1,360
"	28		28		"	16	1,220	16	780
"	35		35		Late milk	20	260	18	1,510
"	42		42		"	18	300	17	430
White Cap Yellow	21		21		Early milk	13	180	12	860
"	28		28		"	13	1,720	13	510
"	35		35		Late milk	19	1,600	18	1,400
"	42		42		"	18	1,280	18	520

TEST OF SUPERPHOSPHATE OF LIME ON INDIAN CORN.

This test was made on sandy land, which had produced a crop of clover the previous year, and a heavy aftermath was turned under early in September. The corn was planted in hills three feet apart each way, and the fertilizer was applied on the surface about the hills just as the corn was coming up, and worked in lightly with a hoe.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	When Cut.	Yield per Acre.		Remarks.
			Tons.	Lbs.	
1 Longfellow, superphosphate 100 lbs...	May 20	Oct.	2 18	1,950	Well eared and corn nearly glazed
2 " " 150 " ..	" 20	"	2 19	1,160	" " " "
3 " " 200 " ..	" 20	"	2 21	240	" " " "
4 " no fertilizer.....	" 20	"	2 17	430	" but corn in early mil

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

Twenty-one varieties of turnips were tested under practically the same conditions. The soil was a sandy loam, which was in clover in 1902, and in October of that year the aftermath was ploughed under and the land dressed with farm-yard manure during the winter which in spring was thoroughly worked into the soil with spade harrow and drag. Two sowings of each sort were made, 4 rows 100 feet long of each sort each sowing. The first series of plots were sown May 13, and the second sowing May 27. The rows or drills were 30 inches apart, and, as in the mangels, the first sowing have averaged the best returns. The yield has been calculated from the weight of crop obtained from the two centre rows in each plot.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	YIELD PER ACRE.					
		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.			
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Emperor Swede	47	1,270	1,587	50	33	1,200
2	East Lothian	45	1,410	1,519	10	38	1,550
3	Perfection Swede	45	1,080	1,518	..	36	930
4	Hall's Westbury	42	1,800	1,430	..	36	600
5	Imperial Swede	41	1,820	1,397	..	39	1,200
6	Good Luck	41	1,390	1,394	50	37	1,240
7	Mammoth Clyde	41	1,160	1,386	..	39	540
8	Elephant's Master	40	520	1,342	..	41	500
9	New Century	40	355	1,339	15	36	765
10	Bangholm Selected	39	1,860	1,331	..	39	1,200
11	Jumbo	38	1,880	1,298	..	31	1,360
12	Skirving	38	890	1,281	30	33	1,880
13	Halewood's Bronze Top	37	1,240	1,254	..	35	620
14	Drummond Purple Top	37	580	1,243	..	40	520
15	Carter's Elephant	36	1,755	1,229	15	33	495
16	Kangaroo	36	1,260	1,221	..	38	1,220
17	Shamrock Purple Top	35	1,610	1,193	30	34	1,630
18	Magnum Bonum	34	1,960	1,166	..	32	680
19	Sutton's Champion	33	1,320	1,122	..	36	1,590
20	Selected Purple Top	33	660	1,111	..	36	1,920
21	31	1,360	1,056	..	37	1,240

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

seventeen varieties of mangels were sown in two sets of plots, one was sown on April 28, and the second May 12. Early sowing has given the best results. The soil was similar to that on which the turnips were sown, and its preparation and treatment the same. Four drills at thirty inches apart and one hundred feet long were sown in each case and the yield per acre is computed from the produce of sixty-six feet of the two centre rows of each plot. Both sets of plots were dug October 22.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	YIELD PER ACRE.							
		1st Plot.				2nd Plot.			
		Tons. Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.		Tons. Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	ammoth Long Red.....	48	1,185	1,619	45	46	1,555	1,559	15
2	alf Long Sugar Rosy.....	41	830	1,380	30	38	1,220	1,287	..
3	alf Long Sugar White.....	40	25	1,333	45	46	1,390	1,556	30
4	ammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	39	1,695	1,328	15	34	1,630	1,165	30
5	lected Yellow Globe.....	39	1,200	1,320	..	35	1,940	1,199	..
6	ant Yellow Intermediate.....	39	1,035	1,317	15	38	560	1,276	..
7	on Yellow Intermediate.....	39	540	1,309	..	33	1,145	1,119	5
8	lected Mammoth Long Red.....	37	1,340	1,255	40	34	970	1,149	30
9	ant Sugar.....	34	1,300	1,155	..	37	1,340	1,255	40
10	ize Winner Yellow Globe.....	34	970	1,149	30	31	1,505	1,091	45
11	ant Yellow Globe.....	33	1,980	1,133	..	28	265	937	25
12	te Post.....	33	1,815	1,130	15	36	270	1,204	30
13	ize Mammoth Long Red.....	29	1,520	992	..	27	1,440	924	..
14	umph Yellow Globe.....	28	1,460	957	40	26	1,965	899	25
15	viathan Long Red.....	27	120	902	..	31	370	1,039	30
16	llow Intermediate.....	26	1,790	896	30	26	1,470	891	10

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

Eleven varieties of carrots were tested. Two sowings were made of each sort, in thirty inches apart. The first sowing was made April 27, and the second May 11. They were pulled October 27. Four rows of each sort were put in at each sowing, and the yield was reckoned from the produce of 66 feet of the two centre rows of each plot. The land for these plots was similar to that used for the turnips, and its treatment and preparation was the same.

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	YIELD PER ACRE.					
		1st Plot.				2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Mammoth White Intermediate	32	1,175	1,086	15	26	1,625
2	Giant Short White Vosges	31	1,690	1,061	30	28	430
3	Improved Short White	26	140	869	..	24	1,005
4	Ontario Champion	25	160	836	..	25	1,480
5	White Belgian	24	1,830	830	30	22	220
6	Carter's Orange Giant	23	860	781	..	22	55
7	Half Long White	21	570	709	30	22	385
8	Long Yellow Stump Rooted	20	920	682	..	18	1,290
9	New White Intermediate	19	940	649	..	17	1,640
10	Half Long Chantenay	19	610	643	30	17	1,310
11	Early Gen	18	960	616	..	18	630

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of sugar beets were tested on a mellow sandy loam that was clover the previous year, was ploughed in September and disc-harrowed and cultivated in the fall and given a dressing of about twenty loads of barn-yard manure per acre during the winter. This was thoroughly worked into the soil in March and April and the first series of plots sown in drills 30 inches apart on April 28, and the second sowing on May 12. All were harvested October 23. The yields have been computed from the produce of 66 feet of the two centre rows.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	YIELD PER ACRE.					
		1st Plot.				2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Red Top Sugar	28	1,420	957	..	28	1,750
2	Danish Red Top	27	120	902	..	25	160
3	Improved Imperial	26	800	880	..	35	290
4	Danish Improved	26	635	877	15	27	1,440
5	Royal Giant	26	470	874	30	26	80
6	Vilmorin's Improved	23	1,190	786	30	22	1,540
7	French 'Very Rich'	19	280	638	..	22	880
8	Klein Wanzleben	18	960	616	..	20	590

POTATOES.

Fifty-six varieties of potatoes were tested. The soil was a clay loam on which clover and pease were grown in 1902, and which had a crop of clover in 1901. Clover was sown again with the oats and pease in 1902, and a splendid catch resulted which formed a fine mat of growth to turn under for the potatoes. Four rows of each sort, one hundred feet long, were planted May 19. All were sprayed July 6 and again two weeks later, except two test plots left unsprayed. When matured the two centre rows in

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

case were dug, and the yield per acre computed from the weight of crop obtained from these two rows (66 feet). There was little or no blight this season, and in consequence there was no apparent benefit from the spraying.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Total Yield per Acre.		YIELD PER ACRE OF						Form and Colour.
			Sound.		Rotten.	Market-able.		Un-market-able.	
	Bus.	Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.	Bus. Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.	Bus. Lbs.	
1 Rochester Rose.....	466	24	466	24	None...	373	24	93	Long, rose.
2 Cambridge Russet.....	459	48	459	48	"	323	32	136	Oblong, russet.
3 Reeve's Rose.....	400	24	380	24	20	340	..	40	Long, rose.
4 Country Gentleman.....	338	12	398	12	None..	360	..	38	" pink and white.
5 Vanier.....	376	12	376	12	"	340	..	36	" red.
6 Early Rose.....	375	40	356	40	19	321	..	35	Oblong, rose.
7 American Wonder.....	360	48	342	48	18	291	48	51	Long flat, white.
8 Early Michigan.....	360	48	326	..	34 48	361	..	65	" white.
9 Rose No. 9.....	358	36	353	36	None..	305	36	53	" rose.
10 Sharpe's Seedling.....	356	24	356	24	"	285	..	71	" round, rose.
11 Seedling No. 7.....	347	36	330	..	17 36	296	..	34	" red.
12 Irish Daisy.....	344	48	329	..	15 48	263	30	65	Round, white.
13 Pearce.....	344	48	344	48	None..	274	..	70	Long, white and pink.
14 Sutton's Invincible.....	332	12	316	..	16 12	253	..	65	" white.
15 Drier's Standard.....	323	24	323	24	None..	273	24	50	Oval, white.
16 Uncle Sam.....	321	12	304	12	17	259	12	45	Round, white.
17 Rawdon Rose.....	316	48	269	18	47 30	221	18	43	Long, rose.
18 Brown's Rot-proof.....	316	48	316	48	None..	237	48	79	" red.
19 Prolific Rose.....	316	48	284	..	32 48	228	..	56	" rose.
20 Maule's Thoroughbred.....	314	36	302	..	12 36	257	..	45	"
21 Swiss Snowflake.....	312	24	312	24	None..	266	24	46	" white.
22 Penn. Manor.....	310	12	294	42	15 30	250	..	44	" red.
23 Late Puritan.....	299	12	299	12	None..	210	12	89	" white.
24 Earl St. George.....	299	12	284	42	14 33	256	12	28	"
25 I. N. T.....	293	18	263	18	30	238	..	25	Long, flat, pink.
26 Aruan No. 1.....	292	36	292	36	None..	234	..	58	Round, white.
27 Irish Cobbler.....	290	24	276	..	14 24	236	..	40	"
28 Joece.....	286	..	257	..	29	219	..	38	Long, rose.
29 Green Mountain.....	285	54	285	54	None..	230	54	55	" white.
30 Burnaby Seedling.....	281	36	267	36	14	217	36	50	" rose.
31 Early Northern.....	279	24	267	..	12 24	227	30	39	" pink.
32 Roy Seedling.....	277	12	277	12	None..	251	12	26	" red.
33 McIntyre.....	275	..	275	..	"	205	..	76	" pink.
34 Early White Prize.....	268	24	268	24	"	214	24	54	Oblong, white.
35 Early Puritan.....	266	12	253	..	13 12	202	30	50	Long, white.
36 State of Maine.....	261	48	261	48	None..	209	48	52	" pink.
37 Holborn Abundance.....	257	24	257	24	"	206	..	51	Round, white.
38 Delaware.....	253	..	253	..	"	190	..	63	"
39 Early Sunrise.....	248	36	224	..	24 36	179	..	45	Long, rose.
40 Monmons.....	244	12	244	12	None..	194	12	50	" white.
41 Jack's Extra Early.....	242	..	242	..	"	181	..	61	Round, pale rose.
42 Honey Maker.....	239	48	239	48	"	190	..	49	Long, white.
43 Everett.....	236	30	189	30	47	141	54	47	" round, red.
44 Sharpe's Extra Early.....	234	18	234	18	None..	175	30	58	" rose.
45 Early Andes.....	233	12	209	12	24	177	12	32	Round, rose.
46 Early Rose.....	232	6	232	6	None..	185	36	46	Long, rose.
47 Indian Beauty.....	231	..	231	..	"	172	30	58	" flat, pink.
48 Sutton's Supreme.....	228	48	228	48	"	171	18	57	" white.
49 Early Envoy.....	226	36	226	36	"	181	18	45	" pink and white.
50 Bean's Elephant.....	211	12	200	42	10 30	166	42	34	" flat, white.
51 Favourite.....	200	12	200	12	None..	160	12	40	" rose.
52 Aruan No. 3.....	195	48	186	..	9 48	149	30	37	Oblong, white.
53 General Gordon.....	193	36	193	36	None..	135	36	58	Oval, pink.
54 American Giant.....	189	12	189	12	"	161	12	28	Long, white.
55 Pure State.....	183	44	165	14	18 30	132	14	33	" pink and white.
56 No Date.....	140	48	140	48	None..	105	48	35	Oval, white.

FERTILIZERS APPLIED TO POTATOES.

The land chosen for these plots was similar to that for the main crop of potatoes, having had clover turned under both of the two preceding years, and consequently the soil was well supplied with nitrogen. Early in the spring it received a dressing of muriate of potash at the rate of 100 lbs. per acre. All the plots were planted the same day and were treated alike in every way. The results show a decided profit in the use of the Thomas' slag.

POTATOES—FERTILIZER TEST.

Name of Variety.	Fertilizer applied.	Planted.	Dug.	Total Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre of Sound.	Yield per Acre of Rotten.	Yield per Acre of Marketable.	Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.
				Bus. lbs.	Bus. lbs.	Bus. lbs.	Bus. lbs.	Bus. lbs.
Dakota Red.	Thomas slag, 100 lbs. per acre.	May 19.	Sept. 28.	589 36	589 36	None...	501 ..	88 36
"	" 150 " ..	" 19.	" 28.	618 12	618 12	" ..	525 30	92 42
"	" 200 " ..	" 19.	" 28.	686 24	686 24	" ..	584 ..	102 24
"	Untreated	" 19.	" 28.	468 36	468 36	" ..	398 21	70 15

SUMMARY OF CROPS.

The following is a summary of the grain, roots and fodder crops raised on the Experimental Farm at Agassiz this season:—

	Tons.	Lbs.
Hay	53	1,000
Corn for silage and fed green.	110	..
Turnips.	42	..
Mangels.	25	..
Carrots.	8	..
Sugar beets.	5	..
Oats.	11	1,500
Pease.	4	1,000
Wheat.	2	500
Barley.	2	1,700
Potatoes.	5	..
Total.	269	1,700

FODDER PLANTS.

The following fodder plants were tested this year, all on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. None of the millets appear to be very successful here, and it is always practicable to get heavier yields of mixed grains, such as oats and pease, or oats and vetches, than of any of the millets, and the mixed grains are eaten as readily as are the millets, and the results of their feeding are more satisfactory.

EXPERIMENTS WITH MILLETS.

Plots 1 to 6 inclusive were sown May 21 and cut September 1.

Plot 1.—White Round Extra French:—

Stalks 30 to 36 inches long and not leafy, heads 2 to 2½ inches long; yield per acre when cut, 3 tons 1,920 lbs.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Plot 2.—Red Orenburg.

A poor uneven crop; stalks 30 to 48 inches long and not leafy; heads $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 inches long; weight when cut, 3 tons 960 lbs. per acre.

Plot 3.—Cat-tail Millet:—

Not an even crop; stalks 30 to 36 inches long and moderately leafy; heads 3 to 4 inches; weight when cut, 3 tons 640 lbs. per acre.

Plot 4.—Italian Millet:—

Stalks 32 to 40 inches long; heads 4 to 5 inches long; weight when cut, 3 tons 1,360 lbs. per acre.

Plot 5.—Pearl Millet:—

A poor uneven stand; stalks 36 to 50 inches long and very few leaves; heads 2 to 3 inches long; weight when cut, 3 tons 1,840 lbs. per acre.

Plot 6.—Hungarian Grass:—

A fair even stand and moderately leafy, but short in head and stalk; stalk 24 to 30 inches long and heads 3 to 5 inches; weight when cut, 3 tons 1,280 lbs. per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH MIXED GRAIN.

Plot 7.—Oats, Tares and Wheat mixed:—

Sown May 21 and cut September 1; an even luxuriant growth; cut when the oats were in the dough stage; weight when cut, 9 tons 1,460 lbs.

Plots 8 to 15 were sown May 7, and cut September 30.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SOJA BEANS.

Plot 8.—Soja Beans:—

Sown in drills 21 inches apart; an even stand and fairly well podded; pods 1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches long, very leafy; length of stalk, 30 inches; yield per acre weighed when cut, 4 tons 200 lbs. per acre.

Plot 9.—Soja Beans:—

Sown in drills 28 inches apart, well podded, very leafy and well branched; stalks 30 inches long; weight when cut, 4 tons 1,200 lbs. per acre.

Plot 10.—Soja Beans:—

Sown in drills 35 inches apart; very branchy and leafy, pods 1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches long and fairly plentiful; stalks 28 inches long; weight when cut, 4 tons 400 lbs. per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH HORSE BEANS.

Plot 11.—Horse Beans:—

Sown in drills 21 inches apart; a very patchy stand; pods short and few on the stalk; stalks about 24 inches long; weight when cut, 2 tons 1,440 lbs. per acre.

Plot 12.—Horse Beans:—

Sown in drills 28 inches apart; stalks 30 inches long and poorly furnished with pods; weight when cut, 2 tons 1,600 lbs. per acre.

Plot 13.—Horse Beans:—

Sown in drills 35 inches apart; stalks 32 inches long; pods short and not well filled; weight when cut, 2 tons 1,280 lbs. per acre.

Velvet Beans.

Plot 14.—Velvet Beans:—

Sown in drills 18 inches apart; very few of the seeds germinated, and none grew more than 2 inches and shortly died; not hardy enough for this climate.

Cow Peas.

Plot 15.—Whip-poor-will Cow Peas:—

Sown in drills 18 inches apart; made a weak straggling growth of not more than 6 inches; produced no crop worth mentioning.

SUNFLOWERS.

A plot of the Mammoth Russian Sunflowers were sown May 7 in drills three feet apart. They grew very vigorously and made very fine heads. The birds began to eat the seed as soon as it was full grown, and were very destructive. The seed is valuable for poultry.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FLAX.

Two varieties of flax were sown for seed May 7.

Improved Russian. Straw 36 inches long and very branching; yield of clean flax 14 bushels and 23 lbs. per acre. Harvested August 10.

Early Riga. Straw 34 to 38 inches long, not as well branched as Improved Russian and not as good a yield of seed. Ripe August 10; yield, 1 bushels and 8 lbs. per acre.

GARDEN VEGETABLES.

RADISHES.—Sown April 16.

Variety.	Fit for use.	Remarks.
Early Scarlet Turnip.....	May 10....	Crisp, pleasant.
Olive Shaped Scarlet.....	" 16....	Crisp, good.
French Breakfast.....	" 22....	Very sweet and crisp.

LETTUCE.—Sown April 16.

Grand Rapids.....	May 14....	Crisp, tender.
Ohio Cabbage.....	" 18....	Crisp, tender, sweet.
Black Seeded Simpson.....	" 20....	Very crisp and good.
Toronto Gem.....	" 23....	Firm, sweet, crisp.
All The Year Round.....	" 30....	White, solid, sweet.

CARROTS.—Sown April 16.

Parisian Forcing.....	June 18....	Crisp, sweet, good.
French Horn.....	" 22....	Very fine flavoured.
Luc Half Long.....	July 10....	Crisp, sweet, pleasant.
Long Blood Red.....	" 18....	Crisp, very good.
Half Long Danvers.....	Aug. 8....	Very fine.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TABLE TURNIPS.—Sown May 1.

Variety.	Fit for use.	Remarks.
Extra Early White Milan.....	June 16....	Very sweet and good.
White Six Weeks.....	" 20....	Sweet, fine flavour.
Red Top Strap Leaf.....	" 29....	Crisp, good.
White Stone.....	July 8....	Very solid, crisp.
Robertson's Golden Ball.....	" 14....	Very fine flavour.
Hazard's Swede.....	Aug. —....	Very sweet, crisp, good.

ONIONS.—Sown April 17.

Variety.	Remarks.
Early White Welsh.....	Uniform size, firm, mild, good flavour.
Large Red Wethersfield.....	Medium, large, solid good.
Danvers Yellow.....	Flat, medium size, solid.
Market Favourite.....	An even regular grower, mild, good flavour.
Trebons Large Yellow.....	Large, handsome, solid, mild.
Paris Silver Skin.....	Very handsome, fine flavour.

CABBAGE.—Sown in hot-bed April 20, and transplanted May 26.

Variety.	Fit for Table	Remarks.
ersey Wakefield.....	July 18 ..	Heads solid, crisp, white; a uniform header.
Extra Early Express.....	" 24 ..	Heads small, firm, good quality, an even header.
Extra Early Midsummer Savoy.....	" 28....	Heads soft but flavour very fine, extra quality.
Paris Market.....	" 30....	Variable in size and firmness, quality good.
Early Winningsstadt.....	Aug. 20....	A very nice header; heads uniform in size, firm, white, sweet, quality good.
Drumhead Savoy.....	" 26....	Heads medium size, solid, white, extra fine flavour.
Mammoth Red Rock.....	" 28....	A good header; heads medium size, solid, very red, good.
Green Globe Savoy.....	Sept. 10....	A regular header; heads of medium size, solid, crisp, fine flavour.
Cory of Enkhousen.....	Oct. —....	A regular header, heads large, solid, very crisp and sweet.
Butler's Drumhead.....	" —....	Heads, large, solid, white, good quality; good keeper.
Elder Kraut.....	" —....	A fine, medium head, solid, white, sweet.
Lipton.....	" —....	A regular header; heads large, solid, good.
Central Drumhead.....	" —....	Heads large, solid, white, fine quality.
Irish Ball Head.....	" —....	Heads medium size, very solid, sweet and of fine flavour; a good keeper.
Züth.....	Oct. —....	A regular header, head of medium size; solid, tender, fine quality, very good.
Middlehead Mammoth.....	" —....	Heads large, but sometimes soft; quality medium.
Large Red Drumhead.....	" —....	A fairly regular header; heads very solid, deep red, tender, good.

CAULIFLOWER.—Sown April 20 and transplanted May 26.

Extra Early Snowflake.....	Aug. 4....	Heads large, solid, crisp, very white, good.
Extra Early Dwarf.....	July 28....	Heads medium to large, solid, crisp, sweet, very fine.
Extra Early Paris.....	" 24....	Heads small, crisp, of good flavour, very fine.

BROCOLI.—Sown April 20 and transplanted May 26.

Extra Early White.....	Aug. 20....	Heads firm, of medium size, white, crisp, fine flavour.
------------------------	-------------	---

BRUSSELS SPROUTS.—Sown April 20 and transplanted May 26.

Dwarf Improved.....	Oct. 24....	Sprouts solid, crisp, sweet, very good.
---------------------	-------------	---

BEETS.—Sown May 1.

Name.	Fit for use.	Remarks.
Egyptian.....	July 9....	Solid, very dark red, sweet.
Nutting's Dark Red.....	" 18....	Good size, very dark red.
Edmands Early Blood Turnip....	" 18....	Sweet, good, very even sized, good colour, pleasant.
Long Smooth Blood.....	Aug. 28....	Smooth, long, sweet, very dark red, very good.

BEANS.—Planted May 1.

Early Mohawk.....	July 10....	Dwarf grower, but very productive; pods 4 to 5 in. long, crisp, pleasant flavour.
Dwarf Golden Skinless.....	" 13....	A dwarf grower, but very productive; pods 2½ to 4 in. long, crisp, stringless and good.
Early China.....	" 13....	A very dwarf grower, productive; pods 4 to 5 in. long, of a pleasant flavour.
Extra Early Edible Podded.....	" 15....	Dwarf grower, productive, good flavour; pods 4 to 5 in. long.
Royal Dwarf Kidney.....	" 15....	A bushy grower, fairly productive and of a pleasant flavour.
Long Yellow Six Weeks.....	" 18....	A bushy grower, productive; pods 3 to 5 in. long, crisp, pleasant, good.
Improved Early Red Valentine....	" 19....	A strong bushy grower and productive; pods 3 to 5 in. long and of very fine flavour.
Crystal White Wax.....	" 19....	A bushy grower, fairly productive; pods plump, crisp, 4 to 5 in. long with a very pleasant flavour.
Fame of Vitry.....	" 20....	A strong grower, productive; 4 to 6 in. long, crisp, tender, sweet, pleasant, good.
Dwarf, Emperor of Russia.....	" 20....	A bushy strong grower, very productive; pods 4 to 5 in. long, crisp, and of very fine flavour.
Dwarf, Inexhaustable.....	" 22....	Very dwarf, bushy, productive; pods 3 to 5 in. long; crisp, very pleasant, good.
Dwarf, Black Speckled.....	" 24....	Dwarf, bushy, productive; pods 4 to 6 in. long; fleshy, crisp, juicy with a very pleasant flavour.

GARDEN PEASE.—Sown April 16.

Nott's Excelsior.....	June 21....	Vines 16 in. long, well podded; pods 2 to 2½ in. long and well filled, peas sweet and tender.
Alaska.....	" 21....	Vines 24 in., well podded; pods 2½ to 3½ in. long and well filled with medium sized peas of fine quality.
American Wonder.....	" 24....	Vines 14 to 18 in. long, well podded; pods 2 to 3 in. long and filled with medium sized sweet tender peas.
Premium Gem.....	" 30....	Vines 20 to 24 in. and very well furnished pods 2 to 3 in. long, pea of medium size, sweet and tender with a pleasant flavour.
Sutton's May Queen.....	July 3....	Vines 24 to 30 in., fairly well podded; pods 2 to 3 in. long well filled, pea of medium size, quality good.
McLean's Advancer.....	" 5....	Vines 26 to 30 in. long and fairly well loaded; pods 3 to 3½ in. long, well filled with medium size peas tender and sweet and of very fine quality.
Heroine.....	" 8....	Vines 20 to 24 in. long, pods 3 in. long well filled, peas large, sweet, tender and of very fine flavour.
Gradus.....	" 8....	Vines 30 to 36 in. long and well podded; pods 3½ to 5 in. long and filled with large peas sweet and of superior flavour.
Sutton's Conqueror.....	" 9....	Vines 2 ft. long, well loaded with pods of 3 to 5 in. long, peas large, sweet and of very fine quality.
Duke of Albany.....	" 10....	Vines 30 to 36 in. long, well furnished with pods 2½ to 3 in. long containing medium sized very sweet fine flavour ed peas.
Admiral.....	" 11....	Vines 3 to 3½ ft. long, very well podded; pods 2½ to 3½ in. long, well filled with large peas of very fine quality.
Rent Payer.....	" 11....	Vines 24 to 30 in. long, well loaded with pods 4 to 5 in. long, pea large, sweet, tender, very good.
New Dwarf Telephone.....	" 18....	Vines 18 in. long, very productive; pods 3 to 3½ in. long pea large, sweet, tender and of fine flavour.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

GARDEN PEAS—Sown April 16.

Name.	Fit for use.	Remarks.
Pride of the Market	July 12....	Vines 18 in. to 2 ft. long and fairly productive; pods 2 to 3½ in. long, well filled with medium sized peas of fine quality.
Stratagem	" 13...	Vines 18 to 24 in. and well podded, pods 3 to 4 in. long, pea large, sweet and of very fine quality.
Shropshire Hero.....	" 13....	Vines vigorous and 2½ to 3 ft. long, productive, pods 2½ to 4 in. long, well filled with large peas of very superior flavour.
Horsford's Market Garden	" 13....	Vines 2 to 2½ ft. long, vigorous and productive, pods 2 to 3 in. long, peas of medium size and very fair quality.
utton's Perfection	" 13....	Vines stout and 1½ to 2 ft. long, productive, pods long and well filled with 6 to 10 large peas of good quality.
utton's Windsor Castle	" 15....	Vines 2 to 2½ ft. long, moderately productive, pods 3 to 4½ in. long, peas large, sweet, tender and of very fine flavour.
utton's Matchless Marrow	" 15....	Vines 1½ ft. to 2 ft. long, well podded, pods 3 to 4 in. long, peas large sweet and of pleasant flavour.
utton's Late Queen	" 22....	Vines 15 to 18 inches long, well furnished with large pods containing 6 to 10 very large sweet tender peas of first quality.
Telephone	" 22....	Vines 2 to 2½ ft. long well podded, pods 2 to 3 in. long and well filled with large sweet peas of very fine flavour.

KOHL RABI—Sown May 10.

White Goliath	July 22....	Crisp, sweet, mild and of pleasant flavour.
---------------------	-------------	---

SQUASH—Planted May 2.

Golden Bush.....	July 29....	Productive, sweet and of pleasant flavour.
ish Fordhook	Aug. 8....	A vigorous grower and very productive, fruit small, solid, very thick fleshed and very fine in flavour.
English Vegetable Marrow	July 30 ..	A strong grower and productive, very fine flavour, fit for table July 30.
Ice-cream	Sept. to Jan.	Vines strong growers and very productive, squash 9 to 11 in. long and 3½ in. in diameter, very thick, flesh of the finest quality.

Name.	Remarks.
Beacon Marrow	A vigorous grower and productive. Thick fleshed, sweet, dry, of fine flavour. Fit for table September 4.
Box Hybrid	Vines vigorous and very productive. Flesh fine grained, sweet and of a fine flavour. Fit for table September 10.
Box's Peak	A very strong grower and very productive. Very solid, flesh dry, sweet, fine-grained, good. Fit for use September 10.
Golden Bronze	Vine a strong grower and productive. Squash medium size, very thick fleshed. Flesh very sweet, fine-grained, dry and of extra fine flavour. Season September.
Male-head	Vines vigorous and productive. Squash solid, thick fleshed, sweet, fine-grained and of very fine flavour. Season, September.
Chicago Warty Hubbard.....	Vines productive. Flesh very thick, sweet, fine-grained and of fine flavour. Season, September.

SWEET CORN.—Planted May 1.

Ear Minnesota	Stalks 4½ to 5 feet high, often two good ears on a stalk. Fit for table August 23. Ears 4 to 6 inches long, well filled, corn sweet and fine flavoured.
Ear Crosby	Stalks 5 feet high and fairly productive. Fit for table August 26. Ears rather small but very well filled with very sweet, fine flavoured corn.

SAMPLES DISTRIBUTED.

It is gratifying to observe the increase in the interest taken in the work of the farm. This is evident from the increase in the correspondence and the large number of requests for seed grain, nuts and other tree seeds, as well as for scions of fruit trees.

Packages of scions and cuttings.....	384
3 lb. samples of potatoes.....	310
3 " oats.....	163
3 " pease.....	148
3 " wheat.....	217
3 " barley.....	128
Nut and tree seeds, bulbs, &c.....	213
Total.....	1,563

CORRESPONDENCE.

Number of letters received, 2,767; number of letters sent out, 2,570.

APPLES.

The season in the spring was unfavourable. The weather was cold and showery and although the trees were full of bloom, many varieties did not set fruit, and the crop has been light in most cases. The quality, however, was better and the fruit free from scab than in previous years. The following new varieties fruited for the first time this year :—

1. *James Welch*. Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, oblong, conical. Stalk short, cavity narrow and shallow, calyx small, basin narrow, shallow and ribbed. Skin pale yellowish green, with many grey dots sprinkled over the whole surface. Flesh coarse, white, not juicy, sharply acid. A good cooking apple. Season August.

2. *Summer Rose*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit small, round. Stalk medium in length, slender. Calyx small, closed. Basin smooth, medium, deep and wide. Skin clear yellow, with a bright red cheek. Flesh white, tender, juicy, sprightly, with a very pleasant flavour. Season August.

3. *Sweet Russet*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, oblate. Stem long and slender. Cavity wide and deep. Calyx closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin russet with a russet red cheek. Flesh white, moderately juicy, sweet and pleasant. Season September.

4. *Reine des Pommes*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stalk short, slender. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin pale yellow, striped with bright red. Flesh white, crisp, finely grained, pleasant, sprightly, acid, of good flavour. Season August.

5. *Avista*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish oblate. Stalk medium in length and slender. Cavity round and shallow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin yellowish white, striped and splashed with bright red. Flesh white, firm, crisp, juicy, pleasant and sub-acid. Season early September.

6. *Yorkshire Greening*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, oblate, somewhat ribbed. Stem short. Cavity small. Calyx medium, open. Basin shallow. Skin greenish yellow, with stripes of dull red and small patches of russet. Flesh yellowish white, firm, crisp, moderately juicy, sub-acid. Season early.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

7. *Kerry Pippin*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish, oblong. Stalk long and slender. Cavity small. Calyx small, closed. Basin small. Skin pale yellow, with sometimes a faint blush in the sun. Flesh yellowish, tender, crisp, moderately juicy, rich, sugary, with a pleasant flavour. Season October.

8. *Golden Spire*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong, conical, somewhat ribbed. Stem short, slender. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, closed. Basin shallow, narrow and ribbed. Skin bright golden yellow, occasionally with a blush on the sunny side. Flesh white, juicy, tender, mild and pleasantly acid. Season September.

9. *Steward*.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit of medium size, globular. Stem short. Cavity very small and shallow. Calyx large and open. Basin wide and deep. Skin greenish yellow, with red stripes on sunny side. Flesh white, crisp juicy, nearly sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

10. *Gold Ridge Seedling*.—Tree a free grower. Fruit below medium size, roundish, oblate. Stem long, slender. Cavity medium to large. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin dull, greenish yellow, with sometimes a faint blush. Flesh white, crisp, juicy, pleasantly sub-acid. Season September.

11. *Winter Golden*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to small, roundish, oblate. Stem slender. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and of medium depth. Skin clear golden yellow, with sometimes a faint blush in the sun. Flesh yellowish white, moderately juicy, sweet and of pleasant flavour. Season September.

12. *Northern Dumpling*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit above medium size, conical, ribbed. Stalk short, cavity small, calyx medium and closed. Basin deep and constricted. Skin yellowish white, nearly overspread with dull red and sprinkled with small russet dots. Flesh white, crisp, juicy, sprightly with a pleasant flavour. Season October.

13. *Looker Winter*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to small, globular. Stalk short and slender. Cavity small. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide, shallow and constricted. Skin yellow with stripes and splashes of deep red. Flesh yellowish, crisp, mildly sub-acid. Season October.

14. *Brierly Wood*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small to medium, globular. Stem short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep and narrow. Skin russet yellow, with a faint reddish blush in the sun and sprinkled with russet dots. Flesh white, tender, a little granular, moderately juicy, mildly sub-acid with a pleasant flavour. Season October.

15. *President de Fays du Monceau*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, oblate, slightly conical. Stalk short, slender. Cavity small. Calyx closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin yellow with a little red in the sun. Flesh yellowish white, crisp, tender, mildly sweet. Season October and November.

16. *Imperial*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stalk short. Cavity shallow and wide. Calyx medium and closed. Basin shallow. Skin greenish yellow, striped with dull red. Flesh white, juicy, tender and pleasantly sub-acid. Season October and November.

17. *Clarke's Pearmain*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium or below, roundish, slightly conical. Stalk short. Cavity small. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow. Skin greenish yellow, nearly covered with dull red and many russet dots. Flesh yellow, firm, crisp, sweet and pleasant. Season November.

18. *Calville de Maussion*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size. Stem short. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx small, closed. Basin small and corrugate. Skin yellowish with a faint blush on sunny side. Flesh white, crisp, juicy, sprightly pleasant. Season November.

19. *Hoary Morning*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, flattish, conic. Stem short. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx small, closed. Basin small. Skin pale yellowish green splashed with red, and with a thin white bloom. Flesh white, firm and briskly sub-acid. Season November.

20. *Friandise*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong, ovate. Stem short. Cavity small. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin green, nearly covered with stripes and splashes of dull red, and a few small patches of russet. Flesh white, firm, juicy and pleasantly sub-acid. Season November and December.

21. *Cornish Gilliflower*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish conical. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow and plaited. Skin greenish yellow, nearly covered with red. Flesh yellowish, tender, moderately juicy, aromatic and pleasantly sub-acid. Season November.

22. *Ash-leaved Reinette*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size or below. Stem medium, roundish, conical. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx small, closed. Basin small. Skin yellowish, with a bright red cheek in the sun. Flesh yellowish, firm, crisp, moderately juicy, pleasantly sub-acid. Season November.

23. *Forfar Pippin*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large, round, globular, ribbed. Stem long. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx large, with an open base. Skin wide, shallow and corrugated. Skin dull greenish yellow, liable to be scabby. Flesh yellowish, firm, crisp, sprightly. Season November and December.

24. *De Sermoise*.—Tree a feeble grower. Fruit of medium size, globular, slightly conical. Stem short. Cavity medium. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin greenish yellow, striped with deep red. Flesh white, crisp, firm, juicy and pleasant flavour, mildly sub-acid. Season December.

25. *Castle Major*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate, conical. Stem short. Cavity medium to small. Calyx small, closed. Basin small. Skin greenish yellow, with a dull red cheek and sprinkled with whitish dots. Flesh firm, juicy and briskly acid. Season December.

26. *Wm. Penn*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small, round, flat. Stem short. Cavity deep and narrow, a little russeted. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide, shallow and corrugated. Skin yellow, with a red cheek. Flesh yellowish, crisp, juicy, sub-acid with a pleasant flavour. Season December.

27. *Reinette Titus*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit above medium size, globular. Stem short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow. Skin greenish yellow, with considerable russet about the stem, and a bronze red cheek sprinkled with light dots. Flesh firm, yellowish, juicy, a mild pleasant acid. Season December.

28. *Shackleford*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stem of medium length. Cavity moderately deep and wide. Calyx small, open. Skin wide and shallow. Skin yellow, with stripes and splashes of red in two shades. Flesh white, crisp, tender, juicy, mildly sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season December.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

29. *Reinette Gris du Portugal*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate. Stalk short. Cavity wide and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin a russet brown, with many dots. Flesh firm, juicy, mildly acid, with pleasant flavour. Season December.

30. *Reinette de Madère*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and moderately deep, corrugated. Skin dull russet green, with a little russet about the stalk. Season January.

31. *Green Reinette*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit below medium size, oblate, flattened at stem. Stem short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow and narrow. Skin yellowish with a bronze red cheek and a little ribbed about calyx. Season January.

32. *Duke of York*.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate. Stem moderately long. Cavity wide and deep. Calyx large and open. Basin wide and shallow. Skin green, striped and splashed with dull red and a few grey specks. Flesh crisp, white, juicy and pleasantly acid. Season winter.

33. *American Beauty*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish inclining to conic. Stalk of medium length and slender. Cavity medium, with russet. Calyx small, closed. Basin of medium depth. Skin yellow, nearly covered with dark red. Flesh white, juicy, mildly sub-acid, with a pleasant slightly aromatic flavour. Season winter.

34. *Bow Hill Pippin*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, globular, slightly angular. Stem short. Cavity narrow, shallow. Calyx closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin greenish yellow, with a brownish red cheek and a few grey dots. Flesh crisp, white and mildly acid. Season winter.

35. *Calville Rose*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong, conical and ribbed. Stalk short. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx closed. Basin narrow and shallow and deeply corrugated. Skin yellow with a dull red cheek. Season late winter.

36. *Reinette Tardive*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate, conical. Stem short. Cavity small. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and flat, slightly corrugated. Skin yellow, with a brownish red cheek and many grey dots. Season late winter.

37. *Reinette de Breda*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate, conical, a little angular. Stem short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx large, open. Basin wide and shallow, somewhat corrugated. Skin greenish yellow, with a red blush and sprinkled freely with grey dots. Season late winter.

38. *Grillot*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit small, oblong, globular. Stem long. Cavity wide and deep. Calyx large, open. Basin wide and deep. Skin golden yellow, with warm blush. Season late winter.

39. *Grande Breitache*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate. Stem short. Cavity shallow. Calyx closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin yellow, with pale red streaks and splashes and a few dark brown specks, inclined to be scabby. Season late winter.

40. *Reinette de Willy*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, oblate, a little angular. Stem long. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide, shallow and corrugated. Skin greenish yellow, with a faint blush on sunny side and sprinkled with white dots. Season late winter.

41. *Reinette de la Rochblin*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to large globular. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin russet green, with a reddish brown cheek, and a few gray dots. Season late winter.

42. *Bayard*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, conical. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep and narrow. Skin yellow, with small blush, a little reddish russet about the calyx and a few white dots. Season late winter.

43. *Golden Queen*.—Tree a strong upright grower. Fruit small, conical. Stem short. Cavity small. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin golden yellow, with a red cheek and sprinkled with white dots. Season late winter.

44. *Reinette de Damason*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small, roundish oblate. Stem long, slender. Cavity small. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow and narrow. Skin bronze russet, with a red cheek. Season winter.

45. *Oelkofen Pippin*.—Tree a feeble grower. Fruit small, round flat. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx large, open. Basin wide and shallow. Skin golden yellow, nearly overspread with deep red. Season winter.

46. *Ohio Nonpareil*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit large, roundish, oblate. Stem short. Cavity small. Calyx medium and open. Basin narrow and deep. Skin clear yellow, with a bright, clear red cheek. Season winter.

47. *Greaves' Pippin*.—Tree a feeble grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish oblate, ribbed, somewhat angular. Stem short. Cavity medium, deep and wide. Calyx medium, closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin dull yellow, with a few russet dots. Season winter.

48. *Poorhouse*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, roundish, oblate, a little conical. Stem short and stout. Cavity moderately deep and wide. Calyx large, partly open. Basin small. Skin yellow, with a faint blush in the sun and a few russet dots. Season late winter.

49. *Nero*.—Tree a strong upright grower. Fruit below medium size, roundish oblate. Stalk slender and short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide, flat and corrugated. Skin yellowish white, nearly covered with bright red russet in cavity about stem, and a few yellowish dots. Season winter.

PEARS.

The pear trees made a strong healthy growth in 1902, and were very full of blossom this spring, but the weather was cold and wet all the time of blossoming, and the fruit failed to set. A few varieties bore good crops, but a few specimens were the rule on most trees and no fruit at all on many varieties. Bartlett, La France, Dr. J. E. Guyot, Clairgeau, Bosc and Emile de Heyst gave fair crops. The Emile de Heyst is one of the most satisfactory of the late autumn pears, being a reliable cropper and of very fine quality.

The following new sorts fruited for the first time:—

1 *Hutcherson*.—Tree a strong grower and an early and free producer. Fruit of medium size, broad at calyx and tapering to the stem. Stem one inch long and slender. Skin greenish yellow, with a few small gray dots. Flesh white, juicy, melting, sweet with no pronounced flavour. Season early August.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

2. *July Doyenne*.—Tree a medium grower and not productive. Fruit below medium, obovate pyriform tapering to stem which is about an inch long. Calyx small, open. Basin shallow and open. Skin greenish yellow, with a dull reddish cheek. Flesh whitish, sweet, moderately juicy and a little gritty. Season August.

3. *Red Bergamot*.—Tree a poor grower and not productive. Fruit below medium size and nearly round. Stem medium in length. Calyx small, open. Basin wide, shallow. Skin pale yellow, with a dull red over most of the surface. Flesh yellowish, juicy, soft, sweet with a pleasant flavour. Season early September.

4. *Bergamot d'Ete*.—Tree a moderate grower and an early bearer. Fruit of medium size, obtuse, pyriform. Stem short. Cavity moderately deep. Calyx small, open. Basin wide, shallow. Skin yellow, freely sprinkled with gray dots, and with a bronze red cheek. Flesh yellowish, juicy, fine grained, buttery, sweet, with a good flavour. Season September.

5. *Beurre Amande*.—Tree a vigorous grower, but a poor producer. Fruit of medium size, acute, pyriform. Stalk moderately long, slender, curved. Calyx medium and open. Skin russet green. Flesh white, juicy, buttery, sweet with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

6. *Yat*.—Tree a moderate grower, and a poor bearer. Fruit small, obovate, pyriform. Stem short. Calyx large, open. Skin light green, with a few pale greenish spots. Flesh white, juicy, sweet, tender; decays very soon after ripening. Season September.

7. *Honey*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish, pyriform. Stalk short and stout. Calyx open. Basin wide and shallow. Skin yellow, with a reddish cheek, and sprinkled with russet dots. Flesh a little coarse, not very juicy, sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

8. *Sutton's Great Britain*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, obtuse, pyriform. Stem medium in length and stout, set in a narrow small cavity. Calyx large, open. Basin shallow. Skin yellow with a small red cheek and patches of russet, with many russet dots. Flesh white, juicy, a little coarse, sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

9. *Baronne de Mello*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, acute pyriform, curved. Stem long, curved and fleshy at base. Calyx medium and closed. Skin yellow, with a reddish cheek and many russet dots. Flesh whitish, a little coarse, sub-acid, vinous, very pleasant; quality good. Season October.

10. *Esperine*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large, pyriform. Stalk short, stout, with a lip or enlargement on one side. Calyx small, closed. Flesh white, buttery, sweet, with a very pleasant flavour. Season October.

11. *Kopertscher*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish, oblate, or nearly globular. Stalk short and slender. Calyx large, open. Skin yellowish green, with small patches of russet and many brown dots. Flesh white, juicy, buttery, sweet with a very pleasant flavour. Season October.

12. *Beurre de Ghelin*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large, oblong, oval. Stalk short, stout. Calyx large, open. Skin yellowish, with a little russet in patches. Flesh yellowish, juicy, fine grained, sweet with a pleasant flavour. Season November.

13. *Duhamel du Monceau*.—Tree vigorous. Fruit of medium size, roundish, pyriform. Stalk long and set at an angle in a slight cavity. Calyx open. Skin

pale greenish yellow, with a bronze cheek in the sun and many brown dots. Flesh whitish, fine-grained, juicy, buttery, sweet, a little vinous, with a very pleasant flavour. Season November.

14. *Beurre Lade*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit above medium size, oblong, obtuse, pear-shaped. Stalk long, curved and set in a small depression. Calyx small. Basin shallow, with knobby edges. Skin yellow with a little red in the sun. Flesh white, fine-grained, juicy, very sweet, with a fine aromatic flavour. Season November.

15. *Olivier de Serres*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, with a roundish form. Stem of medium size. Cavity moderately wide, shallow. Calyx large open. Basin wide and shallow. Skin yellow, with patches of russet and sprinkled with reddish dots. Season winter.

16. *Vauquelin*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, oblong, pyriform. Stem of medium length, stout, enlarged at the base. Calyx large, open. Skin russet yellow with a dull red cheek. Season winter.

17. *Baronsbirne*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, obovate, acute pyriform. Stalk long, curved, in a small cavity with a lip. Calyx large, open. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin pale greenish yellow, with many small reddish brown dots. Season winter.

18. *Colmar Dumortier*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit of medium size, obtuse pyriform. Stalk short. Cavity shallow, with a lip. Calyx small, open. Basin wide and shallow. Skin yellowish green, with dots and splashes of russet. Season winter.

19. *Franc-real*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit small, roundish, pyriform. Stalk one inch long and set even. Calyx large, open. Skin dull yellow, with many brown dots and a bronze red cheek. Season winter.

20. *Charles Cogne*.—Tree a slow feeble grower. Fruit small ovate, obtuse pyriform. Stalk of medium length, a little angular. Calyx small open. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin pale yellow, with a little russet about the stem and many brown dots. Season winter.

21. *Winter Jonah*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish. Stalk one inch long, stout, and set in a very slight depression. Calyx large, open. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin pale yellow, with a faint blush on the sunny side, few small dark greenish yellow spots, and many small gray dots. Season winter.

PLUMS.

The season has been a very poor one for this fruit. The spring was unfavourable and bad weather conditions prevailed from the time the trees were in bloom until the crop was ripe. Cold rains in blooming time prevented a free setting of fruit and frequent rains afterwards interfered with effectual spraying to protect the fruit from rot, which was very prevalent again this season. This was especially so on the Experimental Farm orchard, where there are so many varieties, some of which are very susceptible to rot, and these spread the spores to other trees, and cause injury to the fruit of varieties that are, or would be under more favourable conditions, almost, not quite, free from the disease. Very few of those most recently planted have fruited this year; the trees have in most cases grown well, and many of them bloomed, but the fruit did not set. The most satisfactory sorts which have fruited are listed in the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

order of their ripening. All are vigorous growers and free producers and desirable fruits.

Clyman,	Cochet Pere,	Diamond,
Angelina,	Blue Apricot,	Bittern,
Burdette,	Belgian Purple.	Grand Duke,
Goliath,	Tragedy Prune,	Monarch,
Lincoln,	Sultan,	Italian Prune.
Mallard,	Mitchelson,	

The following varieties fruited for the first time :—

1. *Blue Rock*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, round, slightly flattened at stem. Stem short, inserted in a small cavity. Suture distinct. Skin dark purple, with a heavy whitish bloom and sprinkled with small gray dots. Flesh yellowish, juicy, sweet, with a rich pleasant flavour. Season middle of August.

2. *Reine Claude Davion*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit below medium in size, lobular. Suture short and shallow. Stem short and set in a small depression. Skin pale greenish yellow, with reddish purple spots. Flesh greenish yellow, sweet, juicy, with a pleasant flavour. Season middle of August.

3. *Apple*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, round, heart-shaped. Suture deep and terminating in a point one side enlarged. Stem of medium length and set in shallow depression. Skin deep glossy red with many small white dots. Flesh yellowish, stained with red, sweet, sprightly with a pleasant flavour. Season August.

4. *Late Prolific*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit below medium size, globular. Suture very shallow and short. Stem medium size and no cavity. Skin dark purple, with a heavy bluish bloom. Flesh greenish yellow, juicy, with a pleasant flavour. Season late August.

5. *Guthrie's Green Gage*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit above medium in size, globular, one side enlarged. Skin greenish yellow, with a thin whitish bloom. Stem short. Cavity small and shallow. Flesh greenish yellow, juicy, sweet, with a fine flavour. Season last of August.

6. *Late Orange*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, globular. Suture distinct. Stem short, in a narrow depression, one side enlarged. Skin deep orange, with a reddish cheek. Flesh juicy, tender, sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season last of August.

7. *Late Black Orleans*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit below medium in size, round. Suture distinct. Stem of medium length, set in small cavity. Skin black, with a thin blue bloom and sprinkled with brown dots. Flesh yellow, juicy, sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

8. *Kentish Diamond*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, oval, pointed at the apex. Suture distinct, one side enlarged. Stem of medium length. Skin black, with a light blue bloom. Flesh yellowish, rather coarse, not very juicy, sprightly. Season September.

9. *Brahm's Green Gage*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to large, roundish. Suture wide. Stem short and stout. Cavity wide. Skin greenish yellow, mottled with darker green, and a thick white bloom. Flesh yellowish green, juicy, sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season September.

10. *Wyedale*.—Tree a strong, upright grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish, oval. Stem short. Cavity small. Suture distinct. Skin dark greenish purple, with a whitish bloom. Flesh greenish, juicy and sprightly. Season October.

CHERRIES.

As in the case of the other fruits, the cold, wet weather prevented the blossom setting, and the small crop of sweet cherries which some trees produced were cracked and spoiled by the rains when they were maturing.

Very few of the young trees blossomed, and only one or two produced fruit.

1. *Bigarreau Jaboulay*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit very large, blunt, heart-shaped. Stem long and set in a shallow depression. Skin dark glossy red. Flesh and juice red, tender, sweet, juicy, with a very fine flavour. Last of June.

2. *Amarelle Hative*.—Tree a slender, vigorous grower. Fruit below medium size roundish. Stem long and set in a narrow depression. Skin deep glossy red. Flesh and juice red, tender, juicy, sprightly, very pleasant. Season last of June.

3. *Brindilles*.—Tree a low slender grower. This variety has blossomed for two years in middle of June, and the fruit ripens late in August. The two trees are healthy and vigorous. Fruit of medium size, round, depressed or oblate. Stem long and set in a narrow depression. Skin light, clear red. Flesh reddish, tender, juicy, sprightly. Ripe last of August.

PEACHES, APRICOTS AND NECTARINES.

The few trees of these fruits which remain have bloomed freely both on the mountain and on the level land, but there was no fruit.

QUINCES.

Portuguese.—This variety makes a vigorous growth, and fruited last year and again this season. It is promising, as the fruit is fine, and having fruited in two unfavourable years in succession, it is likely to be a regular bearer. It is the only one of the quinces tried which has produced fruit, although several varieties were planted in the spring of 1890, and have grown to be fairly large bushes.

MEDLARS.

All varieties of this fruit produced crops again this year.

GRAPES.

The grapes were very late in starting growth this season and late in blossoming. Nearly all the vines produced fruit, but owing to the late spring and cool wet autumn even the earliest sorts did not ripen.

MULBERRIES.

As usual the mulberry trees were full of fruit, which is very much appreciated by the robins.

MOUNTAIN ORCHARDS.

The fruit trees on the mountains continue to make a strong growth, and a few of the apple trees produced fruit this season, but being so far isolated and unprotected by birds and wild animals destroy much of the fruit. As it has been clearly demonstrated that fruit trees as well as nut trees do well on these lower hills this will be a guide to many who may be able to preserve and protect trees in such situations.

NUT ORCHARDS.

The English and American black walnuts produced a small crop of nuts this year, and the Japanese walnut and the heart-shaped walnut gave fine crops. All of these nuts are being distributed to planters throughout the province, and many report very successful success in growing the young trees.

SMALL FRUITS.

The crop of small fruits was fairly good this year, although a little later than usual.

YELLOW AND RED RASPBERRIES.

There are now under test here seventy-three varieties of red and yellow raspberries. These have all been described in previous reports.

After several years' trial under similar conditions, the following varieties have proved the best: In quality Sarah is superior to all the others, and equal to any in productiveness, although it is not quite so firm as Cuthbert.

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Phoenix.....	June 28	Vigorous...	Large.....	Firm, good quality.....	Productive.
Se Fastolf.....	July 4	"	"	"	"
du of Brabant.....	" 4	"	"	"	"
Chumberland Fill	" 4	"	Very large....	"	"
Eket.....	" 4	"	"	"	"
de Fontenay.....	" 5	"	Large medium	"	"
ar.....	" 6	"	"	"	"
or Beaconsfield.....	" 7	"	Large	Very good quality.....	"
on.....	" 7	"	Large medium	Firm, good quality.....	"
d nimer.....	" 9	"	Large	"	"
utbert.....	" 9	"	"	"	"
Whyte.....	" 10	"	"	"	"
ren Vice-President..	" 10	"	"	"	"
hals Colossal.....	" 10	"	"	"	"
Golden Queen.....	" 6	"	"	Purplish red; firm; acid, fair quality.	"
arg Yellow.....	" 7	"	"	Firm, good quality.....	"
				"	"

BLACK CAP RASPBERRIES.

ineteen varieties of Black Cap raspberries are under test. Black Caps are rather an uncertain crop. They require very rich ground and moisture, as well as sunshine when the berries are growing and ripening. The following are the best which have been tried here:—

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productive-ness.
Nemaha.....	July 10..	Vigorous...	Large.....	Good quality..	Productive.
Conrath.....	" 10..	" ..	" medium.	" ..	"
Older.....	" 10..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Kansas.....	" 10..	" ..	Medium.....	" ..	"
Palmer.....	" 11..	" ..	Large.....	" ..	"
Gregg.....	" 11..	" ..	" medium.	" ..	"
Progress.....	" 11..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Mammoth Cluster.....	" 12..	" ..	" medium.	" ..	"
Ida.....	" 12..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"

BLACKBERRIES.

The blackberries were a good crop this year. There are twenty-nine varieties of this fruit under trial here; of these the following are the best, named in the order of merit:—

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productive-ness.
Eldorado.....	July 22..	Vigorous...	Large.....	Sweet; melting; no core.	Productive.
Stone's Hardy.....	" 22..	" ..	" ..	Very good quality..	"
Erie.....	" 24..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Maxwell.....	" 28..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Early King.....	" 15..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Snyder.....	" 20..	" ..	" medium.	" ..	"
Agawam.....	" 26..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Taylor.....	" 25..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Hansel.....	" 20..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"

The only blackberry fruiting this year for the first time was the Rathburn, July 20. A weak grower. Fruit small to large, of medium quality, sweet, moderately productive.

RED AND WHITE CURRANTS.

The crop of currants as a whole was rather light. Of the forty-two varieties under test, the following are the best:—

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Red Cherry.....	July 4..	Vigorous...	Large.....	Very good quality.....	Productive.
London.....	" 4..	" ..	Large medium	Good quality.....	"
Raby Castle.....	" 4..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Pomona.....	" 4..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Ia Fertile.....	" 4..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
La Conde.....	" 5..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Prince Albert.....	" 6..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
White Cherry.....	" 8..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Red Gondoin.....	" 10..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Large, white	" ..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Brandenburg.....	" 10..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
Victoria.....	" 10..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"
White Pearl.....	" 10..	" ..	" ..	" ..	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BLACK CURRANTS.

Fifty-one varieties of black currants are under test here. Of these the following are the best:—

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Dominion	July 10.	Vigorous ...	Large medium	Good quality	Productive.
Merveille de la Gironde	" 10.	" ...	"	"	"
Boskoop Giant	" 10.	" ...	Very large...	Very good quality.....	"
Prince of Wales	" 10.	" ...	Large	Good quality	"
Middlesex	" 10.	" ...	"	"	"
London	" 12.	" ...	Large medium	"	"
Victoria	" 12.	" ...	"	"	"
Baldwin	" 12.	" ...	"	"	"
Black Naples	" 12.	" ...	"	"	"
See's Prolific	" 12.	" ...	"	"	"
Pearce	" 12.	" ...	"	"	"
Coma	" 12.	" ...	Large	"	"
Limax	" 12.	" ...	Large medium	"	"

METEOROLOGICAL RECORD.

Date of Highest Temperature.	Temperature.	Date of Lowest Temperature.	Temperature.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Sunshine.	
				Inches.	Inches.	Hours.	Minutes.
1902.	°		°				
December 1	50	December 10.	27	6.74	6	17	12
1903.							
January 2	53	January 26	26	4.49	9	41	42
February 19	52	February 2	21	1.04	0	130	18
March 27	62	March 11	18	4.04	20	131	12
April 28	65	April 22	30	5.30		89	30
May 30	76	May 14	36	3.58		128	54
June 9	93	June 6	46	6.00		159	00
July 11	89	July 8	44	2.30		184	18
August 10	85	August 27	44	5.08		132	54
September 18	75	September 30	35	7.30		106	00
October 24	68	October 14 and 15.	33	2.71		111	24
November 2	54	November 17	22	3.31	11	32	12
		Totals..		51.89	46	1,264	36

Although the season has been so showery during haying and harvest, the rainfall for the whole year been below the average.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

THOMAS A. SHARPE.

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURE ON THE DOMINION EXPERIMENTAL FARMS FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1903.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM—EXPENDITURE, 1902-3.

Live stock.....	\$ 1,146 08
Feed for stock, including supplies from experimental plots, \$295.50.	1,496 43
Veterinary services and drugs	64 06
Seed grain seeds, trees, &c.	446 21
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies	708 67
Drainage and drain tiles.....	1,958 06
Manure and fertilizers for experimental plots and horticultural department.....	310 42
Travelling expenses	1,651 66
Exhibition expenses, including value of grain held over for exhibitions	723 33
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs	379 54
Bee department	218 47
Salaries of officers engaged in the general work of the farms, proportion chargeable to the Central Farm.	1,792 49
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with grain and other farm crops ; also salaries of officers in charge	6,840 84
Wages, care of stock	3,087 45
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to Central Farm.....	1,412 90
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to the Central Farm.....	1,442 56
Horticultural division, including salary of officer in charge.....	5,110 92
Poultry division, including all supplies ; also salary of officer in charge	1,992 04
Forestry division and care of grounds	1,335 81
Arboretum, including drawing and spreading of 380 loads of gravel on roads.....	1,892 03
Distribution of trees and tree seeds, including \$85.58 value of tree seeds supplied by Brandon and Indian Head Farms.....	157 74
Office help, correspondence branch and messenger service.	4,018 71
Printing of office supplies and stationery	826 68
Seed testing and care of greenhouses	1,019 39
Dairy branch, including wages of dairyman.....	715 27
Contingencies, including \$104 for 197 loads of gravel and work on roads	320 34
Books and newspapers	104 35
Telegrams and telephones	144 06
Steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	2,787 85
	<hr/>
	\$ 44,104 36
LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments..	4,082 00
	<hr/>
	\$ 40,022 36

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.—EXPENDITURE, 1902-3.

Live stock	\$ 205 68
Feed for stock	1,816 77
Veterinary services and drugs	26 68
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.	27 17
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies	261 57
Manure and fertilizers	70 60
Travelling expenses	168 19
Exhibition expenses	269 90
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs	63 21
Salary of Superintendent, including proportion of salaries for general work, Ottawa	2,545 62
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops	2,109 48
Wages, care of stock	1,353 08
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm	824 19
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm	586 25
Poultry branch	89 87
Horticultural division, including experimental work with vegetables, fruits, forest and ornamental trees and flowers; also care of grounds and salary of officer in charge	1,408 68
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.	198 14
Contingencies, including postage, \$105; mail delivery, \$82.50	237 50
Printing and stationery	23 57
Books and newspapers	23 50
Telegrams and telephones	19 05
Drainage and drain tiles	11 25
Steers purchased for feeding experiments	990 00
	\$ 13,329 95

LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments . . . 1,830 00

\$ 11,499 95

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MAN.—EXPENDITURE, 1902-3.

Live stock	\$ 244 67
Feed for stock	182 07
Veterinary services and drugs	21 65
Seed grain, trees, seeds, &c.	33 86
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies	746 49
Travelling expenses	124 26
Exhibition expenses	185 16
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs	218 10
Bee department	13 84
Salary of Superintendent, including proportion of salaries for general work, Ottawa	2,545 62
Wages, farm work, including experimental work, with farm crops, &c.	2,347 91
Wages, care of stock	929 00
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm	824 19
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm	586 25
Horticultural branch, including experiments with vegetables, fruits and flowers; also care of arboretum and grounds	502 64
Forestry branch, including care of hedges	360 50
Poultry branch	62 62
Office help, including delivery of mail, \$110	689 99
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.	225 99
Distribution of trees and tree seeds	306 81
Contingencies, including postage, \$243.06	268 82
Printing and stationery	37 50
Books and newspapers	18 00
Telegrams and telephones	30 43
Drainage and drain tiles	53 50
Manure and fertilizers	239 25
Steers purchased for feeding experiments	474 17
	\$ 12,273 20

LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments

Value of grain supplied for seed distribution at
Ottawa

566 05

125 48

691 53

\$ 11,581 67

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.—EXPENDITURE, 1902-3.

Live stock.....	\$	37 16
Feed for stock.....		39 20
Veterinary services and drugs.....		45 35
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.....		13 70
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....		919 83
Travelling expenses.....		143 13
Exhibition expenses.....		19 83
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....		111 95
Salary of Superintendent, including proportion of salaries for general work, Ottawa.....		2,545 62
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops.....		2,958 99
Wages, care of stock.....		819 90
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....		824 19
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....		586 25
Horticultural branch.....		388 53
Poultry branch.....		67 13
Forestry branch, including hedges.....		65 00
Office help, including delivery of mail.....		594 54
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.....		596 42
Distribution of trees and tree seeds.....		101 25
Contingencies, including postage, \$378.38.....		479 98
Printing and stationery.....		50 79
Telegrams and telephones.....		37 90
Manure and fertilizers.....		37 00
Books and newspapers.....		6 00
Steers purchased for feeding experiments.....		700 87
	\$	12,190 51
LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	\$	909 30
Value of grain supplied for grain distribution at Ottawa.....		712 64
		1,621 94
	\$	10,568 57

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.—EXPENDITURE, 1902-3.

Live stock.....	926 30
Feed for stock.....	76 74
Veterinary services and drugs.....	6 30
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.....	105 19
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....	348 39
Manure and fertilizers.....	160 06
Travelling expenses.....	124 29
Exhibition expenses.....	323 44
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....	80 56
Salary of Superintendent, including proportion of salaries for general work, Ottawa.....	2,545 61
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops, vegetables, fruit trees, vines, &c.....	2,445 23
Wages, care of stock.....	542 96
Chemical division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	824 19
Botanical and Entomological division, proportion chargeable to each branch farm.....	586 25
Poultry branch.....	70 30
Forestry branch, including care of hedges.....	134 40
Office help.....	112 50
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.....	160 74
Distribution of trees and tree seeds.....	2 00
Clearing land.....	596 40
Contingencies, including postage, \$110.22.....	155 63
Printing and stationery.....	0 70
Books and newspapers.....	21 50
Drainage and drain tiles.....	105 95
	\$ 10,455 63

SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE, 1902-3.

Central Experimental Farm.....	\$ 40,022 36
Nappan ".....	11,499 95
Brandon ".....	11,581 67
Indian Head ".....	10,568 57
Agassiz ".....	10,455 63
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c., from Central Experimental Farm, including value of grain supplied from Brandon and Indian Head Experimental Farms.....	5,871 82
Printing bulletins and distribution of bulletins and reports.....	\$ 7,000 00
Less special sum in estimates for this item.....	7,000 00
	<u>\$ 90,000 00</u>

SUMMARY OF STOCK, MACHINERY, IMPLEMENTS, &c., ON HAND
DECEMBER 31, 1903.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM, OTTAWA, ONT.

19 Horses ..	\$ 3,765 00
13 Ayrshire cattle ..	1,515 00
12 Guernsey cattle ..	1,330 00
11 Durham cattle (Shorthorns).....	2,705 00
7 Canadian cattle.....	875 00
34 Grade cattle.....	875 00
31 Yorkshire swine.....	840 00
5 Berkshire swine.....	175 00
7 Tamworth swine.....	158 00
140 Grade swine.....	697 19
4 Large black swine.....	120 00
25 Shropshire sheep.....	665 00
9 Leicester sheep.....	245 00
1 Grade sheep.....	12 00
Farm machinery and implements.....	2,782 50
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	1,129 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	1,099 65
Harness.....	553 25
Dairy department, machinery, &c.....	510 00
Horticultural and forestry departments, implements, tools, &c ..	606 25
Botanical department, implements, tools, &c.....	4 95
Poultry department, 222 fowls.....	218 75
Poultry department, implements, furnishings, &c ..	113 30
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	454 78
Chemical department, apparatus and chemicals ..	1,875 00
Books in several departments.....	546 55
Greenhouse plants, supplies, &c.....	2,082 75
Furniture at Director's house.....	1,100 00
Office furniture and stationery.....	1,617 25
	<u>\$ 28,671 17</u>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.

8 Horses ..	\$ 1,085 00
5 Guernsey cattle.....	905 00
5 Holstein cattle ..	325 00
14 Ayrshire cattle.....	890 00
1 Jersey cow ..	50 00
48 Grade cattle.....	1,567 50
5 Yorkshire swine.....	120 00
3 Berkshire swine.....	70 00
52 Grade swine.....	290 00
16 Sheep.....	245 00
100 Fowls.....	60 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	10 30
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.	386 50
Farm machinery.....	517 00
Farm implements.....	213 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	360 45
Harness.....	185 50
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	154 00
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	90 00
	<u>\$ 7,525 15</u>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MAN.

12 Horses.....	\$ 1,075 00
3 Ayrshire cattle.....	150 00
5 Durham cattle.....	475 00
2 Guernsey cattle.....	150 00
7 Grade cattle.....	297 00
1 Tamworth pig.....	15 00
4 Berkshire swine.....	40 00
5 Yorkshire swine.....	50 00
1 Grade pig.....	5 00
93 Fowls.....	93 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	101 95
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	435 00
Farm machinery.....	2,126 33
Farm implements.....	654 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	643 75
Harness.....	218 50
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	161 55
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	286 30
	<u>\$ 6,977 38</u>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.

13 Horses.....	\$ 1,460 00
18 Durham cattle.....	1,625 00
19 Grade cattle.....	669 00
3 Berkshire swine.....	45 00
8 Tamworth swine.....	85 00
2 Yorkshire White swine.....	45 00
6 Grade swine.....	36 00
63 Fowls.....	63 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	25 75
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	576 00
Farm machinery.....	2,213 33
Farm implements.....	718 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	373 55
Harness.....	185 30
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	217 50
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	367 60
	<u>\$ 8,695 93</u>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.

6 Horses.....	\$ 715 00
17 Durham cattle.....	1,275 00
14 Dorset horned sheep.....	127 50
8 Berkshire swine.....	110 00
3 Yorkshire White swine.....	85 00
76 Fowls.....	56 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	43 95
Vehicles, including farm wagons.....	207 50
Farm machinery.....	508 50
Farm implements.....	137 50
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	153 50
Harness.....	91 00
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	165 40
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	129 00
	<u>\$ 3,804 85</u>

THOS. M. CRAMP, Accountant.

INDEX

	PAGE.		PAGE.
AGRICULTURIST—Report of	57	AGRICULTURIST, Report of— <i>Con.</i>	
Beef production	67-75	Live stock	57
" " influence of age on cost..	70	Horses	57
Baby beef	72	Cattle	53
Cattle	57	Sheep	53
Ayrshire	57	Swine	53
Dairy	59	Financial statements	78
Grade	58	Mixed crops	81
Guernsey	57	Oats, varieties grown 1903	80
Shorthorn	57	Cost to grow 52 acres of	81
Canadian	57	" produce 1 bushel	81
Steers	53	" analyzed	81
Movers, experiments with	83	Roots, varieties grown	86
Onion, varieties grown for ensilage	85	Seed, influence of amount sown upon	
Cost to grow 34 acres	85	quantity and quality of yield	82
Dairy herds, the	61	Silo, stave cost of	79
Monthly statements	61	Silo, experimental, how filled 1903	86
Financial and general statements	61-62	Steers, experiments with	67-75
Daily dairy herd records	66	Loose vs. tied	67
Feeding, summer	59	Influence of age on cost of putting on	
Feeding, cost of	60	flesh	70
Feed, amount consumed by	77	Feeding calves up to six months	72
Dairy herd reports	61	Feeding calves 6 months to 1 year old	72
Ayrshires	63	Feeding yearlings	71
Ayrshire grades	64	Feeding two year olds	71
Canadians	64	Feeding three year olds	71
Canadian grades	65	Amount of food eaten from birth to	
Guernseys	63	block by one steer	73
Guernsey grades	65	Long vs. short feed beef, compared...	73
Shorthorns	63	Weed seeds, vitality of in manure as	
Shorthorn grades	64	affected by rotting of manure	87
Farm, the 200 acre	75	Weight, loss of in hay experiment	84
Crop on in 1903	80	Loss of in meal on farm	77
Comparative statement of crops on		Loss of in hay on farm	77
from 1899 to 1903	76	Loss of in ensilage and roots on farm	77
Estimating cost of producing crops		Bedford, S. A., Superintendent of Ex	
thereon	79	perimental Farm, Brandon, Manitoba,	
Food produced on and utilization there-		Report of	313
of in 1902	77	Blair, W. S., Horticulturist, Experimental	
Financial summary	79	Farm, Nappan, Report of....	299
Crops, experiments with	83	CHEMIST, Report of	127
Hay, produced in 1903	83	Acknowledgments	129
Cost of growing 66 acres	83	Alfalfa and clover, analysis of	133
Crops	57	Banner oats	134
Cost of feeding	59	Beeswax	157
Food consumed	77	Brans and shorts	135
Labour, value of	78	Canadian	137
Fertilizer of transmittal	57	Bug finish	127, 153
Fertilizer for cattle, experiment with	87		

	PAGE.
CHEMIST, Report of—Con.	
Chemistry of bee-keeping.	128, 155
Horticulture.	127
Insecticides and fungicides.	152
Chicken fattening, experiments in.	128
Clover and alfalfa, analysis of.	133
Conservation of moisture in orchard soils.	127, 129
Correspondence.	128
Cotton seed meal.	142
'Cover' crops, legumes in orchard.	127, 132
Early Riga wheat.	128
Fertilizers and waste products, natural-ly-occurring.	148
Peat and muck.	149
Refuse from potato starch factory.	151
Swamp deposits.	148
Tobacco refuse.	150
Fodders and feeding stuffs.	128, 134
Banner oats.	134
Brans and shorts.	135
Canadian brans.	137
Canadian shorts.	138
Cotton seed meal.	142
Improved molasses cattle food.	128, 140
Molassine meal.	128, 139
Roots, relative value of.	143
Formalin, Formaldehyde.	154
Hairy vetch.	127, 132, 134
Honey, storage of.	155, 156, 157
Horse bean.	127, 132, 134
Improved molasses cattle food.	128, 140
Insecticides and fungicides.	127, 152
Bug finish.	127, 153
Formalin, Formaldehyde.	154
Kno-bug.	127, 152
Owens' compound for protecting trees.	127
Kno-bug.	127, 152
Legumes as orchard cover crops.	127
Letter of transmittal.	127
Mangels, dry matter and sugar in.	144
Molassine meal.	128, 139
Muck and peat, uses and treatment of.	149
composts.	149
Naturally-occurring fertilizers and waste products.	148
Oats, Banner.	134
Orchard cover crops, legumes as.	127, 132
Soil, moisture conservation in.	127, 129
Owens' compound for protecting trees.	127
Peat and muck, uses and treatment of.	149
Percy wheat.	128
Potato starch factory, refuse from.	151
Precipitation, total.	130
Preston wheat.	128
Red Fife wheat.	128
Roots, relative value of.	143
Samples received for analysis.	128, 129

	PAGE.
CHEMIST, Report of—Con.	
Shorts and brans.	135
Canadian.	138
Soil moisture, conservation of.	127, 129
Soja bean.	127, 132, 134
Stanley wheat.	128
Sugar beets.	128, 140
Grown on the Dominion Experimental Farms.	140
From B.C., Agassiz.	140
From Man., Brandon.	140
From N.S., Nappan.	140
From N.W.T., Indian Head.	140
From N.W.T., Northern Alberta.	140
From N.W.T., Southern Alberta.	140
From Ont., Ottawa.	140
From Ont., Wallaceburg.	140
From P.E.I., Charlottetown.	140
Sugar mangels.	142
Swamp deposits, origin and nature of.	140
muck.	142
Tobacco refuse.	150
Waters from farm homesteads.	128, 150
Wax, adulterated.	142
Wheats.	140
Early Riga.	140
Percy.	140
Preston.	140
Red Fife.	140
Stanley.	140
DIRECTOR,—Report of the.	
Acknowledgments.	
A journey to the west.	
Area of cultivable lands in Alberta.	
Area of cultivable lands in Assiniboia.	
Area of cultivable lands in Manitoba.	
Area of cultivable lands in Sackatchewan.	
Barr colonists.	
Battleford, journey to.	
Beet sugar factory in Southern Alberta.	
Canada's vast areas of farm lands.	
Clovers, experiments with.	31
Clover, increased crops from ploughing under of.	33
Clover, results of sowings of oats, wheat and barley after.	31-33
Clover, results of ploughing under, on potato crop.	34
Clover, results of ploughing under, on corn crop.	33
Clover, results of ploughing under, on farm crops.	31-33
Co-operative experiments by Canadian farmers.	31
Correspondence.	2
Crops, action of fertilizers on.	2

	PAGE.		PAGE.
DIRECTOR,—Report of the— <i>Con.</i>		DIRECTOR,—Report of the— <i>Con.</i>	
Doukhobor villages, visit to	51	Different species cultivated	9
Ellis, Wm., reports of	44-48	Examined by experts for quality	16-17-21
Experiments with fertilizers on barley	28	From northern districts in Canada	11
On oats	30	Growing of early varieties of	14
On wheat	26	High character of grain produced in	
Farm crops, exports of	5	Canada	12
Fertilizers, action of, on wheat, oats,		Review of work with, at Experimental	
clover and Brome grass	38-40	Farms	13
Fertilizers, special experiments with	24	Total crops of, in United States	12
Financial statement	429	World's crop of	9
Grain tests, table of, for each province	46	Early Riga	6-21
Green clover as a fertilizer	31-37	Goose	21
Leading cereal crops in Canada	6	Ladoga	14
Letter of transmittal	3	Laurel	21
Louisiana purchase exposition, prepar-		Percy	15-16-19
ations for	55	Preston	14-16-19
Meteorological observations	47-48	Red Fife	13-14 19
Notes on a journey westward	49	Stanley	14-16-19
Oats	6	White Fife	21
Acreage of, in Ontario	6	Visit to Experimental Farm, Nappan,	
Acreage of, in Manitoba	6	N.S.	49
Analyses of hulls and kernels	8-9	Visit to Experimental Farm, Brandon, M.	49
Banner	7	Visit to Experimental Farm, Indian	
Banner, its cultivation in Scotland	7	Head, N.W.T.	50
Comparison of yields of, in Canada		Visit to Experimental Farm, Agassiz,	
with United States	6	B.C.	52
Most productive varieties of	7	Visit to Calgary and Edmonton districts	52
Proportion of hull in leading varieties		Visit to Regina and Prince Albert	52
of	8	Visit to Southern Alberta	52
Relative value of kernel and hull	7	ENTOMOLOGIST & BOTANIST, Report of	163-215
Wide Awake	7	Acknowledgments	168
Wide Awake, its cultivation in Scot-		<i>Adalia bipunctata</i>	171
land	7	<i>Agrotis obeliscoides</i>	183
Yield of, at experimental farms	6-7	<i>Alfalfa</i>	163
Publications issued during the year	54	<i>Ancylis nubeculana</i>	193
Reports on journeys made	49	<i>Aphelinus mytilaspidis</i>	183
St. Louis Exposition preparations for	55	<i>Aphidius avenæ</i>	171
Thutt, F. T., analysis of wheat	19-29	<i>Aphis brassicæ</i>	182
Staff, additions to the	54	<i>mali</i>	193
Seed grain, distribution of	41-44	<i>prunifoli</i>	194
Seed grain distribution, benefits of	41-42	Apiary, report on	206
Seeds, tests of vitality of	44	season of 1903	206
Summary of stock, &c., on each Experi-		insulating hives for outside wintering	207
mental Farm	432	is dampness injurious to bees in win-	
Wide Awake, its cultivation in Scotland		ter quarters?	207
<i>tritium durum</i>	9	feeding bees in winter	203
" <i>dicoccum</i>	9	foul brood, the McEvoy treatment	209
" <i>polonicum</i>	9	Apple aphid	193
" <i>spelta</i>	9	Apple-leaf Miner	193
" <i>vulgare</i>	9	Apple-leaf Sewer	193
Wheat, analysis of	19-22	Apple-tree Caterpillar, Red-humped	195
Analyses, deductions from	23	Arsenate of lead, for Eye-spotted Bud-	
Breeding of new varieties of	14	moth	192
Crops of, in Manitoba and the Terri-		Arsenic, an unsafe insecticide	191
ories	10	Arsenite of lime with soda	191
Crops in Ontario	10	Arsenoid, green	192
Crops in, comparison of in Canada		<i>Asaphes vulgaris</i>	171
with the United States	12		

	PAGE.		PAGE.
ENTOMOLOGIST & BOTANIST, Report of—Con.		ENTOMOLOGIST & BOTANIST, Report of—Con.	
Asparagus Beetle	166	<i>Cylindrosporium padi</i>	187
<i>Aspidiotus perniciosus</i>	198	Davis, John, on Wheat-stem Sawfly..	172
Bacteria-containing nodules of clovers	164	Dewar, W. R., on Pear-tree Flea-louse	197
Bark-louse, Oyster-shell	188	Disks of tarred paper, for root maggots	182
Scurfy	188	Disparene, for Eye-spotted Bud-moth..	192
Bedford, S. A., on clover growing at		<i>Empoasca smaragdula</i>	204
Brandon	164	<i>Epicauta maculata</i>	176
Birch skeletonizer	204	<i>pennsylvanica</i>	175
Black Rot of the Grape	187	<i>sericans</i>	175
Blister-beetle, Spotted Gray	176	<i>Eriocampa cerasi</i>	195
Blister-beetles as parasites on locusts..	175	Evans, John D., on Hop Aphis	177
Bordeaux mixture for Potato Rot	180	Fixter, John, report on Apiary	206
poisoned for Eye-spotted Bud moth..	190	Fruit crops	186
preparation of	190	fungous diseases of	187
Brome grass, Awnless	163	insect enemies of	188
Brown Rot of the Plum	187	<i>Fumago salicina</i>	187, 197
<i>Bucculatrix canadensisella</i>	204	Fungus, Locust	174, 175
Bud-moth, Eye-spotted	189	Fungus, Sooty	197
remedies for	190	Field crops, insect enemies of	177
Cabbage Aphis	182	Fisher, Geo. E., on preparation of lime	
remedies for	182	and sulphur wash	200
Cabbage Maggot	181	Fodder crops	212
<i>Callipterus mucidus</i>	204	Fodder plants	162
Carbolic wash, for root maggots	182	Forest and shade trees, insect enemies	
Cattle Horn Fly	167	of	205
<i>Cecidomyia destructor</i>	173	Foul brood, treatment of	204
<i>leguminicola</i>	177	Fumigation stations	19
<i>Cephus pygmaeus</i>	172	Grain Aphis	166, 170
Cereals, insect enemies of	170	parasites of	17
<i>Chaitophorus negundinis</i>	204	Grain crops, 1903	17
Cheese cloth-enclosure, effect on insects	181	Grape, Black Rot of the	18
<i>Chermes abietis</i>	167, 204	Grass mixtures, experiments with .. .	21
<i>sibirica</i>	167	Gull, Franklin's, eating locusts	17
Cherry Aphis	194	Hay mixtures	21
remedies for	194	<i>Hemerocampa leucostigma</i>	20
<i>Chionaspis furfura</i>	189	Hessian Fly	163, 17
Chipman, B. W., on crops in Nova Scotia		<i>Hippodamia convergens</i>	17
.. . . .	180, 181, 186	<i>13-punctata</i>	17
<i>Chorizagrotis agrestis</i>	183	Hop Aphis	17
<i>auxiliaris</i>	183	remedies for	17
<i>introferens</i>	183	Horn Fly, Cattle	16
Clover seed Midge	177	remedy for	16
Clovers, in the North-west	164	Hovering Fly	17
in grass mixtures	214	Hydrocyanic acid fumigation	2
Colorado Potato Beetle	166	<i>Hyphantria textor</i>	2
Corby, Henry, on Hop Aphis	178	Insects of the year	10
Correspondence of the Division	168	James, Prof. C. C., on crops in Ontario	
Correspondents, assistance from	163, 168	170, 181, 1
Criddle, Norman, on Grain Aphis	171	Kerosene emulsion for Hop Aphis .. .	1
on Locusts	174	for Plant-lice	1
on Sugar-beet Webworm	185	<i>Læstidia Biducelli</i>	1
Criddle Mixture, for Locusts	176	<i>Larus Franklinii</i>	1
Cucumber Beetle, Striped	181	Leaf Bug, Four-lined	1
Cutworm, Climbing	183	Lime-wash for Oyster-shell Bark-louse	1
Dark-sided	183	Lime-sulphur-salt wash, preparation of	2
Red-backed	183	Lime-soda-sulphur wash	2
Cutworms	163, 183	Lime-sulphur wash, a new	2
remedies for	183	<i>Lixus concavus</i>	1

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
ENTOMOLOGIST & BOTANIST, Report of— <i>Con.</i>		ENTOMOLOGIST & BOTANIST, Report of— <i>Con.</i>	
Lochhead, Prof. W., on Hessian Fly ..	173	Plum, Brown Rot or Ripe Rot of the...	187
Locust, Lesser Migratory ..	175	Plum-trees, the Hop Aphid on ..	178
Packard's ..	175	<i>Pachillocapsus lineatus</i> ..	181
Rocky Mountain ..	175	Potato Beetle, Colorado ..	166
Two-lined ..	175	Potato Rot ..	180
Locusts ..	166, 173	<i>Psylla pyricola</i> ..	196
<i>Loxostege sticticalis</i> ..	166	<i>Psylliodes punctulata</i> ..	177
Lucerne ..	163	<i>Pulvinaria innumerabilis</i> ..	167, 203
<i>Lygocerus niger</i> ..	171	Pyrethrum, for root maggots ..	182
<i>Lygus pratensis</i> ..	181	Quassia washes, for Hop Aphid ..	178, 179
Macfarlane, Peter, on root crops in		Radish Maggots ..	181
Quebec ..	181	Rape, fodder ..	215
Maple Soft-scale ..	166, 203	Rhubarb Weevil ..	166
Marples, J. E., on Sugar-beet Webworm	184	Riley, Prof. C. V., on Hop Aphid ..	178
McMillan, E. J., on root crops in Prince		Root Maggots ..	166, 181
Edward Island ..	173, 181, 186	remedies for ..	182
Meetings attended by the Entomologist		Roots and vegetables, insect enemies of	180
and Botanist ..	167	Sainfoin, its culture ..	212
by the Apiarist ..	207	San José Scale ..	166, 198
<i>Melanoplus atlantis</i> ..	175	remedies for ..	199
<i>bivittatus</i> ..	175	Saunders, Dr. Wm., on plant-lice ..	179
Packard's ..	175	<i>Schizura concinna</i> ..	195
<i>spretus</i> ..	175	Sears, Prof. F. C., on the Eye-spotted	
<i>Monilia fructigena</i> ..	187	Bud-moth ..	189
<i>Mytilaspis pomorum</i> ..	183	<i>Siphonophora avenæ</i> ..	171
<i>ulmi</i> ..	183	Shutt, F. T., on Lime-sulphur wash ..	202
<i>Nectarophora granaria</i> ..	171	Spruce Gall-louse ..	167, 204
Negundo Plant-louse ..	204	<i>Syrphus ribesii</i> ..	171
Onion Maggot ..	181	Tarnished Plant-bug ..	181
<i>Onobrychis sativa</i> ..	212	<i>Tischeria malifoliella</i> ..	193
<i>Orygia leucostigma</i> ..	205	<i>Tmetocera ocellana</i> ..	189
Owen, W., on lime-sulphur wash ..	201	Turnip Aphid ..	182
Oyster-shell Bark-louse ..	166, 183	remedies for ..	182
parasite of ..	183	Tussock-moth, White-marked ..	205
remedies for ..	183	remedies for ..	205
<i>Pachyneuron</i> , sp. ..	171	Tweddle, Joseph, on preparation of ar-	
<i>Paragrotis messoria</i> ..	183	senate of lime ..	192
<i>ochrogaster</i> ..	183	Vegetables, insect enemies of ..	180
<i>perezcellens</i> ..	183	Wash, English, for Hop Aphid ..	179
<i>scandens</i> ..	183	quassia, for Hop Aphid ..	178
Paris green, for Eye-spotted Bud-moth	192	Webworm, Fall ..	167, 203
Paris green mixture for locusts ..	175, 176	Sugar-beet ..	166, 184
and bran for cutworms ..	184	remedies for ..	186
Parthenogenesis of plant-lice ..	178	Whale-oil soap for Hop Aphid ..	179
Pasture mixtures ..	213	for Cabbage and Turnip Aphid ..	182
Pea Weevil ..	170	for Apple Aphid ..	191
Pear-leaf Blister-mite ..	166, 193	for green plant-lice ..	194
Pear-tree Flea-louse ..	168, 195	for black plant-lice ..	195
remedies for ..	197	Wheat-stem Sawfly ..	166, 172
Pear-tree Slug ..	195	Willing, T. N., on alfalfa growing in the	
remedies for ..	196	North-west ..	164
<i>Pentilia misella</i> ..	199	<i>Xystus tritici</i> ..	171
<i>Phorodon humuli</i> ..	177	EXPERIMENTALIST—Report of the ..	217
<i>Phozopteris nubeculana</i> ..	193	Acknowledgments ..	217
<i>Phytoptus piri</i> ..	193	Barley, six-row ..	228
Plant-bug, Tarnished ..	181	Earliest varieties of ..	229
Plant-lice, habits of ..	178	Most productive varieties of ..	229
Plum Aphid ..	194		

	PAGE.		PAGE.
EXPERIMENTALIST—Report of the—Con.		EXPERIMENTALIST—Report of the—Con.	
Test of varieties of	228	Cross-fertilizing of cereals	218
Albert	228	Descriptions of cross-bred wheats....	219
Argyle	228	Donations	217
Baxter	228	Double rows of grain	230
Blue Long Head	228	Earliest varieties of cereals	220
Brome	228	Emmer and Spelt	225
Champion	228	Test of varieties of	226
Chinese Hulless	228	Black Bearded Spelt	226
Claude	228	Common Emmer	225, 226
Common	228	Long Emmer	226
Empire	228	Red Emmer	226
Garfield	228	Red Spelt	226
Hulless Black	228	Smooth Spelt	226
Mansfield	228	Thick Emmer	226
Mensury	228	Triticum monococcum	225, 226
Norwegian	228	Ufa Emmer	226
Nugent	228	White Emmer	226
Oderbruch	228	White Spelt	226
Odessa	228	Grain sown in different quantities on	
Rennie's Improved	228	clay loam	23
Royal	228	Grain sown in different quantities on	
Silver King	228	sandy loam	23
Sisolsk	228	Indian corn	23
Stella	228	Sown at different distances	23
Summit	228	Test of varieties of	23
Trooper	228	Mangels	23
Yale	228	Test of varieties of	23
Barley, two-row	229	Millet	23
Earliest varieties of	230	Most productive varieties of cereals ..	22
Most productive varieties of	229	Oats	22
Test of varieties of	229	Earliest varieties of	22
Beaver	229	Most productive varieties of	22
Bestehorn's Kaiser	229	Test of varieties of	22
Brewer's Favourite	229	Abundance	22
Canadian Thorpe	229	American Beauty	22
Clifford	229	American Triumph	2
Danish Chevalier	229	Anderbecker	2
Dunham	229	Atlantic	2
Fichtel Mountain	229	Australian	2
French Chevalier	229	Banner	2
Fulton	229	Bavarian	2
Gordon	229	Bestehorn's Abundance	2
Harvey	229	Big Four	2
Invincible	229	Black Beauty	2
Jarvis	229	Buckbee's Illinois	2
Logan	229	Chinese Naked	226, 2
Maltster	229	Columbus	2
Newton	229	Danish Island	2
Pelham	229	Dixon	2
Plumage	229	Early Golden Prolific	226
Princess Sialof	229	Excelsior	226
Sidney	229	Flying Scotchman	2
Standwell	229	Forbes	2
Beans, horse	231	Golden Beauty	226
Soja	231	Golden Fleece	226
Beets, Sugar	235	Golden Giant	2
Carrots	234	Golden Tartarian	2
Cereal breeding	218	Goldfinder	2

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.
EXPERIMENTALIST—Report of the—Con.	
Great Northern.....	227
Hazlett's Seizure.....	227
Holland.....	227
Holstein Prolific.....	227
Improved American.....	227
Improved Ligowo.....	227
Irish Victor.....	227
Joanette.....	227
Kendal Black.....	227
Kendal White.....	227
Lincoln.....	227
Mennonite.....	227
Milford Black.....	227
Milford White.....	227
New Zealand.....	227
Olive Black.....	227
Olive White.....	227
Pense Black.....	227
Pense White.....	227
Pioneer.....	227
Probstey.....	227
Prolific Black Tartarian.....	227
Salines.....	227
Scotch Potato.....	227
Sensation.....	227
Sheffield Standard.....	226, 227
Siberian.....	227
Sorgenfrel.....	227
Storm King.....	226
Swedish Select.....	227
Tartar King.....	227
Thousand Dollar.....	227
Twentieth Century.....	227
Überfluss.....	227
Virginia White Abundance.....	227
Wallis.....	227
Waverley.....	227
Welcome.....	228
White Giant.....	227
White Russian.....	227
White Schonen.....	227
White Wonder.....	228
Wide Awake.....	227
Case.....	230
Earliest varieties of.....	231
Most productive varieties of.....	231
Test of varieties of.....	230
Preparation of land for uniform test plots.....	219
re, Spring.....	231
lection of grain.....	218
elt. See Emmer.	
snips.....	232
Test of varieties of.....	233
Uniform test plots of cereals.....	219
acher.....	220
beat, Macaroni.....	223
Test of varieties of.....	224

	PAGE.
EXPERIMENTALIST—Report of the—Con.	
Beloturka.....	224
Black Don.....	224
Gejar.....	224
Gharhovka.....	224
Girgeh.....	224
Goose.....	224
Kahla.....	224
Kubanka.....	224
Mahmoudi.....	224
Medeah.....	224
Mishriki.....	224
Roumanian.....	224
Velvet Don.....	224
Yellow Gharhovka.....	224
Wheat, Spring.....	221
Earliest varieties of.....	223
Most productive varieties of.....	222
Study of quality of.....	223
Test of varieties of.....	221
Admiral.....	222
Advance.....	221
Alpha.....	222
Angus.....	222
Australian C.....	221
Australian D.....	221
Australian E.....	222
Australian F.....	221
Australian H.....	222
Australian I.....	221
Australian J.....	222
Australian No. 1.....	221
Australian No. 9.....	222
Australian No. 10.....	222
Australian No. 11.....	222
Australian No. 12.....	222
Australian No. 13.....	222
Australian No. 15.....	222
Australian No. 18.....	222
Australian No. 19.....	221
Australian No. 21.....	221
Australian No. 23.....	221
Australian No. 15.....	222
Australian No. 27.....	221
Australian No. 23.....	222
Benton.....	221
Bishop.....	222
Blair.....	222
Blue Stem.....	221
Boyle.....	222
Byron.....	221
Cartier.....	222
Cassel.....	222
Chester.....	222
Clyde.....	221
Colorado.....	222
Countess.....	222
Crawford.....	222
Crown.....	221

	PAGE.		PAGE
EXPERIMENTALIST—Report of the— <i>Con.</i>		EXPERIMENTALIST—Report of the— <i>Con.</i>	
Dawn.. . . .	222	Bonnell.. . . .	222
Dawson.. . . .	221	Buda Pesth.. . . .	222
Dayton.. . . .	222	Dawson's Golden Chaff.. . . .	222
Early Riga.. . . .	222	Early Red Clawson.. . . .	222
Ebert.. . . .	222	Egyptian Amber.. . . .	222
Essex.. . . .	221	Gold Coin.. . . .	222
Florence.. . . .	221	Golden Cross.. . . .	222
Fraser.. . . .	222	Imperial Amber.. . . .	222
Gchun.. . . .	221	Jones' Winter Fife.. . . .	222
Grant.. . . .	222	Long Berry Red.. . . .	222
Harold.. . . .	221	Poole.. . . .	222
Harper.. . . .	221	Pride of Illinois.. . . .	222
Hastings.. . . .	222	Red Velvet Chaff.. . . .	222
Haynes' Blue Stem.. . . .	221	Reliable.. . . .	222
Herisson Bearded.. . . .	221	Surprise.. . . .	222
Hungarian.. . . .	221	Tasmania Red.. . . .	222
Huron.. . . .	219, 221	Treadwell.. . . .	222
Japanese.. . . .	222	Turkey Red.. . . .	222
Laurel.. . . .	219, 222	Velvet Chaff.. . . .	222
McKendry's Fife.. . . .	221		
Markham.. . . .	222	EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ—Report	
Marvel.. . . .	221	of Superintendent.. . . .	3
Minnesota No. 163.. . . .	221	Apples, report on, with descriptions of	
Morley.. . . .	222	new varieties fruiting.. . . .	416-4
Monarch.. . . .	221	Apricots, report on.. . . .	4
Newdale.. . . .	222	Barley, experiments with.. . . .	4
Nixon.. . . .	221	Test of varieties of.. . . .	4
Norval.. . . .	221	Beans, experiments with.. . . .	4
Oregon Club.. . . .	221, 222	Bees, report on.. . . .	4
Orleans.. . . .	221	Beets, experiments with.. . . .	4
Oxbow.. . . .	221	Blackberries, report on.. . . .	4
Percy.. . . .	219, 222	Agawam.. . . .	4
Plumper.. . . .	222	Early King.. . . .	4
Powell.. . . .	222	Eldorado.. . . .	4
Power's Fife.. . . .	222	Erie.. . . .	4
Preston.. . . .	219, 221	Maxwell.. . . .	4
Pringle's Champlain.. . . .	221	Rathburn.. . . .	4
Progress.. . . .	222	Stone's Hardy.. . . .	4
Red Fern.. . . .	222	Snyder.. . . .	4
Red Fife.. . . .	221	Taylor.. . . .	4
Redpath.. . . .	221	Broccoli, experiments with.. . . .	4
Red Swedish.. . . .	222	Brussels Sprouts, experiments with.. . . .	4
Rio Grande.. . . .	222	Cabbage, experiments with.. . . .	4
Robin's Rust Proof.. . . .	221	Carrots, experiments with.. . . .	4
Spence.. . . .	222	Test of varieties of.. . . .	458-2
Stanley.. . . .	219, 222	Cattle.. . . .	3
Steinwedel.. . . .	222	Cauliflowers, experiments with.. . . .	3
Tracey.. . . .	222	Cherries, report on, with descriptions	
Vernon.. . . .	222	of new varieties, fruiting.. . . .	4
Weldon.. . . .	221	Clearing of land.. . . .	3
Wellman's Fife.. . . .	221	Corn, experiments with.. . . .	95
White Connell.. . . .	221	Planted at different distances.. . . .	95
White Fife.. . . .	221	Treated with fertilizers.. . . .	13
White Russian.. . . .	221	Corn, sweet, experiments with.. . . .	13
Wheat, winter.. . . .	224	Correspondence.. . . .	13
Test of varieties of.. . . .	225	Cow peas, experiments with.. . . .	13
American Bronze.. . . .	225	Crops, summary of.. . . .	13

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ—Con.		EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ—Con.	
Currants, Black, report on..	427	Pears, report on, with descriptions of	
Baldwin..	427	new varieties fruiting..	420
Black Naples..	427	Pease, garden, experiments with..	414
Boskoop Giant..	427	Field, experiments with..	403
Climax..	427	Test of varieties..	404
Dominion..	427	Pigs..	338
Lee's Prolific..	427	Plums, report on, with descriptions of	
London..	427	new varieties fruiting..	422
Merveille de la Gironde..	427	Plums, desirable sorts for planting in	
Middlesex..	427	British Columbia..	423
Pearce..	427	Potatoes, experiments with..	408
Pomona..	427	Test of varieties of..	409
Prince of Wales..	427	Tests with fertilizers..	410
Victoria..	427	Poultry..	399
Currants, red and white, report on..	384	Quinces, report on..	424
Gondoin, red..	426	Radishes, experiments with..	412
La Conde..	426	Raspberries, Black Cap, report on..	425
La Fertile..	426	Conrath..	426
Large White Brandenburg..	426	Gregg..	426
London..	426	Ida..	426
Pomona..	426	Kansas..	426
Prince Albert..	426	Mammoth Cluster..	426
Raby Castle..	426	Nemaha..	426
Red Cherry..	426	Older..	426
Victoria..	426	Palmer..	426
White Cherry..	426	Progress..	426
White Pearl..	426	Raspberries, red and yellow, report on	425
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes,		All Summer..	425
&c..	416	Belle de Fontenay..	425
Ditching..	393	Cuthbert..	425
Emmer and Spelt..	403	Duke of Brabant..	425
Flax, experiments with..	412	French Vice-President..	425
Fodder crops, experiments with..	410-412	Golden Queen..	425
Forest trees, plantations of..	397	Hansel..	426
Fowls..	399	Large Yellow..	425
Fruit crops..	397	London..	425
Grapes, report on..	424	Lord Beaconsfield..	425
Hedges..	397	New Fastolf..	425
Horses..	398	Northumberland Filbasket..	425
Horse beans, experiments with..	411	Phoenix Red..	425
Kohl Rabi, experiments with..	415	R. B. Whyte..	425
Lettuce, experiments with..	412	Sarah..	425
Live stock..	398	Shaffer's Colossal..	425
Mangels, experiments with..	407	Sheep..	398
Test of varieties..	407	Small fruits..	425
Medlars, report on..	424	Soja beans, experiments with..	411
Meteorological report..	427	Squash, experiments with..	415
Millets, experiments with..	410	Sugar beets, experiments with..	408
Mixed grain, experiments with..	411	Test of varieties of..	408
Mountain orchards..	424	Turnips, experiments with..	406
Mulberries, report on..	424	Test of varieties of..	406
Nectarines, report on..	424	Turnips, table, experiments with..	413
Nut-bearing trees, report on..	398-425	Vegetables..	412
Oats, experiments with..	399	Velvet beans, experiments with..	412
Test of varieties of..	400	Weather..	397
Onions, experiments with..	413	Wheat fall versus spring sowing..	403
Ornamental trees and shrubs..	397	Wheat, spring, experiments with..	401
Peaches, report on..	424	Test of varieties of..	402

	PAGE.
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON—Report	
of the Superintendent	313
Apples, grafted	339-340
Report on	339
Arboretum	343
Awnless Brome grass	332
Barley, experiments with	322-323
Test of varieties of	323-324
Barley, average results of varieties for seven years	323
Barley, methods of preparing land for ..	324
Beans, experiments with	347
Bees, experiments with	338
<i>Bromus inermis</i>	332
Cabbage, experiments with	347
Carrots, experiments with	329
Tests of varieties of	330
Cattle	333
Clovers, experiments with	332
Corn, Indian, experiments with	326
Test of varieties of	327
Corn, pop, experiments with	328
Sown at different distances apart ..	327
Correspondence	354
Crab apple seedlings, report on	340-341
Crab, transcendant	340
Currants, report on	342
Distribution of seed grain and potatoes.	352
Of forest tree seeds	352
Exhibition samples	352
<i>Euonymus linearis</i>	344
Farmers' meetings attended	353
Flax, experiments with	325
Flowers, experiments with	350
Flowering shrubs, report on	343
Fruit trees, experiments with	339
Grain drills, test of	317
Grasses and clovers	332
Hedges, report on	342
Herbs, savory	349
Horse beans, experiments with	323
Horticulture	339
Insects, injurious	352
Lilac, Chas. Tenth	343
Lilac, seedlings	344
Mangels, experiments with	329
Test of varieties of	329
Meetings attended	353
Meteorological report	353
Millets, experiments with	332
New Bridge	352
Oats, experiments with	320
Oats, field plots of	322
Average results of a seven years' test	321
Test of varieties of	321
Onions, experiments with	346
Parsnips, experiments with	348
Peanuts, experiments with	349

	PAGE.
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON—Con.	
Pease, field, experiments with	324
Test of varieties of	325-326
Garden	345
Perennial flowers	351
Plum trees, report on	342
Potatoes, experiments with	330
Test of varieties of	331
Poultry, report on	337
<i>Pyrus baccata</i>	340
Raspberries, report on	342
Rotation of crops	319-320
Samples for exhibition purposes	352
Seed, fall sowing compared with stratification	344
Shrubs and trees, distribution of	345
Small fruits	342
Squash and pumpkins	346
Steers, experiments in feeding	334-336
Sugar beets, experiments with	330
Sunflowers, experiments with	333
Swine, experiments with	336
Tomatoes, experiments with	348
Top grafting	340
Tree distribution	352
Trees and shrubs, report on	343
Trees, propagation of for Forestry	
Branch, Dept. Interior	351
Tulips and other bulbs	350
Turnips, experiments with	328
Turnips, test of varieties of	328
Vegetables, suitable for Manitoba ..	348-349
Vegetable garden	345
Visitors to the Experimental Farm ..	353
Weather	313
Wheat, Emmer, experiments with	313
Wheat, Spelt, experiments with	313
Wheat	313
Wheat, different methods of preparing land for	316
Wheat, spring, experiments with	313
Field plots of	316
Preventives of smut in	318
Test of fertilizers on	317-318
Selected and unselected seed	315
Test of varieties of	314
Results of seven or eight years' test of	315
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD,	
N.W.T.: Report of the Superintendent ..	355
Alfalfa, experiments with	360
<i>Agropyrum tenebrum</i>	369
Apples, report on	359-360
Arboretum	334-339
Asparagus, experiments with	375
Awnless Brome grass	369

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD—Con.		EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD—Con.	
Barley, test of varieties of..	365	Pease garden ..	379
Experiments with..	364	Test of varieties of..	366
Field lots of..	364	Perennial flowers, report on..	382
Barley crop and average yield..	365	Plum trees, report on..	390
Beans, garden, experiments with..	376	Potatoes, experiments with..	373
Beets, experiments with..	376	Distribution of..	395
Breaking and backsetting..	360	Test of varieties of..	373-374
Broccoli..	36	Poultry, report on..	394
<i>Bromus inermis</i> ..	369	Pumpkins, experiments with..	378
Brussels Sprouts ..	376	Radish, experiments with..	379
Cabbage, experiments with..	377	Rainfall..	296
Canary seed, grass..	368	Raspberries, report on..	391
Carrots, experiments with..	373-377	Rhubarb, experiments with..	380
Test of varieties..	373	Roots, field, experiments with..	371
Cattle..	392	Rotation of crops, experiments in..	363-367
Cauliflower, experiments with ..	377	Rye, fall ..	369
Celery, experiments with..	376	Rye, spring..	369
Citrons, experiments with..	377	Rye grass, western..	369
Corn, Indian, experiments with..	369	Sage..	380
Sown at different distances..	370	Seed grain, distribution of..	395
Test of varieties of..	370	Small fruits..	391
Corn, garden..	377	Soja beans, experiments with..	363
Correspondence..	396	Spinach, experiments with ..	380
Crab apples, Siberian..	291	Squash, experiments with..	378
Crops on Experimental Farm..	355	Steers, experiments with..	392-394
Crops, summary of..	374	Strawberries, report on..	392
Cross-bred apples..	389	Summer savory..	380
Cucumbers, experiments with ..	377	Sunflowers, experiments with..	368
Currants, report on..	390-391	Sugar beets, experiments with..	372
Distribution of grain, potatoes, forest		Summer fallows ..	359
trees, &c..	395	Swine, report on..	394
Experimental Farm crops..	355	Tares, experiments with..	338
Exhibitions, preparations for..	395	Timothy, experiments with..	369
Flax, experiments with..	368	Tomatoes, experiments with..	380
Flowers, report on..	381	Trees and shrubs..	384
Forest trees and shrubs, report on dis-		Turnips, experiments with..	371
tribution of..	395	Test of varieties..	371-379
Fruit trees and bushes, report on..	380-391	Vegetable garden..	375
Gasoline engine..	395	Weather..	355
Grain, distribution of samples of..	395	Wheat, Spelt and Emmer, experiments	
Gooseberries, report on..	390-391	with ..	359
Grasses, experiments with..	369	Wheat, spring, experiments with..	356
Hay crop..	369	Field lots of..	357
Horse beans..	369	Test of varieties of..	353
Horses..	394	Test of bluestone as a smut preventive	
Lettuce, experiments with..	378	for..	362
Mangels, experiments with..	372	Test of fertilizers for..	358
Melons, experiments with..	378	Crops and average yield..	353
Meteorological report..	396		
Millet, experiments with..	368	EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.:	
Oats, experiments with..	362	Report of the Superintendent ..	263
Field lots of..	364	Acknowledgments..	263
Test of varieties of..	363	Barley, experiments with ..	266
Onions, experiments with..	378	test of varieties of ..	267
Parsley..	380	Bees, experiments with ..	296
Parsnips, experiments with..	379	Buckwheat, field crop of ..	271
Pease, experiments with..	366	experiments with..	270

	PAGE.
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN— <i>Con.</i>	
Bug Death, experiments with	296, 297
Carrots, experiments with	277
test of varieties	278
Cattle	284
Clovers, experiments with	281
Corn, Indian, experiments with	272
field crops of	273
planted at different distances	273
test of varieties	272
Correspondence	284
Cows, experiments with	284
Dairy cattle	284
Distribution of seed grain and potatoes	283
Exhibitions attended	283
Experimental Farm crops	263
Experiments with field grain	271
Fertilizers special experiments with	281-282
Flax, experiments with	280
Hay	282
Horse beans, experiments with	280
Horses	284
Live stock	275
Mangels, experiments with	276
field crops of	276
test of varieties	276
Meetings attended	284
Meteorological record	298
Milch cows, experiments with	284
Mixed grain field crops	271
Oats, experiments with	264
test of varieties of	265-266
Pease, experiments with	269
test of varieties	269
Pigs	294
Potatoes, experiments with	278
test of varieties of	279-280
Poultry	296
Rainfall	298
Seed grain and potatoes distributed	283
Sheep	295
Soja beans, early, experiments with	280
Steer calf, experiments	283
Steers, experiments with	287
on limited and full fattening ration	289-294
Sugar beets, experiments with	278
test of varieties	278
Summary of crops	282
Swine, experiments with	294-295
Turnips, experiments with	274
field crops of	275
test of varieties	274
Visitors	233-284
Weather	263
Wheat, spring, experiments with	267
test of varieties	268
Emmer and Spelt experiments with	260
Report of the Horticulturist	299

	PAGE.
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN— <i>Con.</i>	
Annual flowering plants	301-303
Apple crop	299
Cabbage, experiments with	308
Cabbage, test of varieties of	309
Cherry orchard	300
Corn, garden, test of varieties	307
Currants, red and white	300
Flower garden	300
Fruit crops	299-300
Garden pease	303
test of varieties	304
Garden, vegetables	303
Gooseberries	300
Hedges	300
list of	301
Ornamental trees and shrubs	300
Pease, garden, list of best varieties	304
Plums	300
Potatoes, early, experiments with	310
Potatoes, results of Liming and not Liming	311
Raspberries	300
Shrubs and trees	300
Strawberries	300
Tomatoes, experiments with	304
Tomatoes, test of varieties	306
Weather	299
Fletcher, Dr. J., Entomologist and Bot- anist—Report of	163
Gilbert, A. G., Poultry Manager—Report of	240
Grisdale, J. H., Agriculturist—Report of	57
HORTICULTURIST of the Central Experi- mental Farm—Report of	89
Acknowledgments	91
Apples	92
Cross-bred	93
New or little known varieties of	95
Seedling	93, 96
Shipment to Glasgow in cold storage	93
Apple-spot fungus	106
Arboretum	121
Black-rot of the Grape	107
Botanic garden	121
Brown-rot	106
Character of season	89
Cherries	100
Climbers with attractive foliage and fruit	122
Cold storage, shipment of apples to Glasgow in	93
Corn, experiments with	114
Cover crops	107
hairy vetch	109
horse beans	108

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
HORTICULTURIST, Report of—Con.		POULTRY MANAGER, Report of—Con.	
Deciduous trees, shrubs and climbers		Breeds, weight development of	252
with attractive foliage and fruit	122	Commencement of winter laying	245
Diseases of fruits	106	Chicken fattening experiments, by F.	
Donations	91	T. Shutt	256
Dust spraying	105	Conclusions re egg-preserving substan-	
Enclosure, experiments in growing		ces	261
vegetables in	118	Chickens, Progress of the	252
Forest belts	121	Detrimental Practices	241
Fruit crops	90	Drawbacks to successful production ..	241
Fruit, diseases of	106	Deductions from hatching results ..	250
Individuality of	102	Different methods of preserving eggs..	261
seedling	96	Eggs laid during the year	254
Grapes	100	Eggs laid by different breeds in seven	
Hairy vetch	109	months	254
Horse beans	103	Eggs set and chickens hatched	250
Individuality of fruits	102	Experiments in different ways of fat-	
List of best vegetables for farmers ..	100	tening chickens	256
Meetings attended and places visited..	90	Experience necessary in poultry keep-	
New or little known varieties of apples	95	ing	240
Peach-leaf curl	107	Experimental work of the year	244
Pears	99	Experiences, Summary of	248
Pease	117	Factors in the production of poultry..	241
experiments for comparison of yield		Fertility and strength of germ, Testing	
and quality of	117	of	245
Plums	99	Good results from hardy stock	248
Potatoes	111	How the hens were set	249
Experiments with	112	Hatching results	250
Raspberries	101	High prices of eggs last summer	242
Ripe rot	106	Hatching by hens vs Incubator	247
Seedling apples	93, 96	Incubators	249
Seedling fruits	96	Jubilee Orpingtons	253
Shipment of apples to Glasgow in Cold		List of stock	255
Storage	93	Pen vs Crate	256
Shrubs, deciduous, with attractive foli-		Progress of the chickens	252
age and fruit	122	Proper treatment of chickens	252
Soja beans	108	Proper breeds for the farm	243
Spraying	104	Rations and their fattening effect ..	256
Strawberries	100	Shutt, F. T., preservation of eggs by ..	256
Tomatoes, experiments with	115	Strain all-important	244
Top grafting	93	Testing fertility of germ	245
Trees, deciduous, with attractive foliage		When the pullets began to lay	245
and fruit	122	Weight development of chickens	252
Vegetables, experiments in growing in		What examination of unhatched eggs	
an enclosure	118	showed	250
List of best for farmers	109	What has led to increased production.	242
Vegetable crops	90		
Mackay, A., Superintendent Experimental		Robertson, R., Superintendent Experimen-	
Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.: Report of	355	tial Farm, Nappan, Report of	263
POULTRY MANAGER, Report of..	240	Saunders, C. E., Experimentalist, Report of	217
Artificial Incubation, Results of	247	Saunders, Wm., Director, Report of ..	5
A question as to lower prices	243	Sharpe, Thomas A., Superintendent Ex-	
Breeds, eggs laid by	254	perimental Farm, Agassiz, Report of	397
Breeds, dual purpose	243	Shutt, F. T., Chemist, Report of ..	127

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS

REPORTS

DIRECTOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	WM. SAUNDERS, LL.D.
AGRICULTURIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	J. H. GRISDALE, B. AGR.
HORTICULTURIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	W. T. MACOUN
CHEMIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	F. T. SHUTT, M.A.
ZOOLOGIST AND BOTANIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	JAS. FLETCHER, LL.D.
EXPERIMENTALIST	-	-	-	-	-	-	C. E. SAUNDERS, PH. D.
Poultry Manager	-	-	-	-	-	=	A. G. GILBERT
UPT. EXPERIMENTAL FARM,	NAPPAN,	N.S.	-	-	-	-	R. ROBERTSON
HORTICULTURIST	"	"	-	-	-	-	W. S. BLAIR
UPT. EXPERIMENTAL FARM,	BRANDON,	MAN.	-	-	-	-	S. A. BEDFORD
"	"	"	INDIAN HEAD,	N.W.T.	-	-	ANGUS MACKAY
"	"	"	AGASSIZ,	B.C.	-	-	THOS. A. SHARPE

FOR

1904

PRINTED BY ORDER OF PARLIAMENT



OTTAWA

PRINTED BY S. E. DAWSON, PRINTER TO THE KING'S MOST
EXCELLENT MAJESTY

1905

APPENDIX

TO THE

REPORT OF THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE

ON

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS

OTTAWA, December 1, 1904.

SIR,—I beg to submit for your approval the eighteenth annual report of the work done, and in progress, at the several experimental farms.

In addition to my report, you will find appended reports from the following officers of the Central Experimental Farm : From the Agriculturist, Mr. J. H. Grisdale; from the Horticulturist, Mr. W. T. Macoun ; from the Chemist, Mr. Frank T. Shutt ; from the Entomologist and Botanist, Dr. James Fletcher; from the Experimentalist, Dr. C. E. Saunders, and from the Poultry Manager, Mr. A. G. Gilbert.

From the Branch Experimental Farms there are reports from Mr. R. Robertson, Superintendent, and from Mr. W. S. Blair, Horticulturist of the Experimental Farm for the Maritime Provinces, at Nappan, Nova Scotia; from Mr. S. A. Bedford, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for Manitoba, at Brandon; from Mr. Angus Mackay, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for the North-west Territories, at Indian Head, and from Mr. Thomas A. Sharpe, Superintendent of the Experimental Farm for British Columbia, at Agassiz.

In these reports there will be found the results of many important and carefully conducted experiments in agriculture, horticulture and arboriculture, the outcome of practical and scientific work in the fields, barns, dairy and poultry buildings; the orchards and plantations at the several experimental farms; also of scientific research in connection with the breeding of cereals and in determining their relative value, also of research work in the chemical laboratories bearing on many branches of agricultural and horticultural employment and of information gained from the careful study of the life histories and habits of injurious insects and the methods by which noxious weeds are propagated and spread, together with the most practical and economical measures for their destruction. In the report of the Entomologist and Botanist will

also be found particulars of the experiments and observations which have been made during the past year in connection with the Apiary.

The large and constantly increasing demand by the farmers of the Dominion for the publications issued from the experimental farms, the rapidly extending correspondence and the readiness shown by farmers everywhere to co-operate with the work of the farms in the testing of new and promising varieties of cereals furnish gratifying evidence of the desire for information and improvement among this class of the community, also of the high esteem in which the work of the farms is held. It is hoped that the facts brought together in the present issue will be found of much practical value to the Canadian farmer and fruit-grower, and that they may assist in advancing agriculture and horticulture in this country.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

WM. SAUNDERS,
Director of Experimental Farms.

To the Honourable
The Minister of Agriculture,
Ottawa.

ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

EXPERIMENTAL FARMS

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR, WM. SAUNDERS, LL.D., F.R.S.C., F.L.S.

The general results of farm work throughout the Dominion, although not so uniformly favourable as in some other years, have on the whole been fairly satisfactory. The lengthened drought which prevailed in the Maritime Provinces during June and the greater part of July, reduced the hay crop considerably, leaving it from 20 to 30 per cent below the average. The grain, also, in most districts for the same reason gave lighter crops than usual, while pastures were seriously injured. In Quebec and Ontario the general conditions have been more favourable. The season, however, was cooler than usual, and although the rainfall in most places was sufficient, the crops did not make rapid growth. Owing to the severe winter, the fall wheat in Western Ontario was much injured, and nearly one-fourth of the crop was ploughed up. The average yield of that harvested was considerably below the average of past years. Spring wheat gave a yield about equal to the average, while barley and oats gave excellent crops, considerably above the average returns. In hay, also, the crop was well above the average.

In Manitoba the spring opened late; otherwise the season was favourable. Farmers have, however, suffered from an unusual invasion of rust, which reduced the crops of wheat and oats in some districts, but this was not sufficiently general to materially affect the total crop, and the high price paid for wheat this year, together with the increased area under crop will probably more than make up for any loss from rust. In the Territories seeding was also late, with favourable weather until the middle of June, when a period of drought set in which continued until the middle of July. Then heavy rains saved the grain from injury, but the straw was considerably shorter than usual. The wheat crop in the Territories will probably average higher than in Manitoba, and the largely increased area there, together with the high prices realized, should materially assist in placing Territorial farmers in a very prosperous condition. The acreage now prepared for grain next season is much larger than in 1903, both in Manitoba and the Territories, and the prospects for the future are bright.

In the coast climate of British Columbia the rainfall in May, June and part of July was less than usual, but crops did not materially suffer. In the interior districts, where the rainfall is always light, the shortage this season reduced the grain yield in many localities below the average of past years.

In carrying on the work of the Experimental Farms from year to year, persistent efforts are made to assist farmers with information in regard to the maintenance of the fertility of their land, its proper treatment, and in the selection of highly productive seed of best quality; also to aid them generally in their endeavours to overcome difficulties which present themselves from time to time in the carrying on of

farm work. These efforts have been much appreciated. The mass of new facts bearing on agriculture contained in this eighteenth annual report gives evidence of the skill and assiduity of the officers composing the staff of the Experimental Farms, and of their untiring efforts to benefit the cause of agriculture. At all these institutions visiting farmers are always welcome, and those who have an opportunity of personally inspecting the work in progress, after seeing its extent and its practical character, usually leave with a higher regard for the farms than they had before. Those who are unable to visit any of the farms can obtain, for the asking, the annual reports, in which the experiences gained at all the Experimental Farms are given, the perusal of which will give the reader, wherever he may be located, much information of practical value. Bulletins also are issued from time to time on special subjects, and are supplied free in the same manner as the reports.

THE BREEDING OF CROSS-BRED APPLES FOR THE CANADIAN NORTH-WEST.

As soon as the branch experimental farms were established in the Canadian North-west experiments were begun on a rather extensive scale with both large and small fruits, with the object of finding out what sorts could be successfully grown there. Hardy varieties of the apple received special attention on account of the general usefulness of this fruit, and of its importance as a healthful article of diet. During the first eight or ten years more than two hundred of the hardiest sorts of cultivated apples obtainable in northern Europe and other northern countries were thoroughly tested, both at Brandon and Indian Head. These were planted in considerable numbers, often from twenty to fifty trees of a kind, in shelter of different degrees and without shelter, but none of these have yet produced a single apple. Experiments are still being continued with such new varieties as are announced from time to time as specially hardy, and thus far with similar negative results.

In 1887, the year during which work on the Experimental Farms was begun, seed was obtained from the Imperial Botanic Gardens at St. Petersburg, Russia, of a small wild Siberian crab-apple known as the 'Berried Crab,' *Pyrus baccata*. This wild crab is said to grow in great abundance near the shores of the Baikal Sea, and in other parts of Northern Siberia. Young trees were raised from this seed, and some of them were sent to Brandon, Man., and some to Indian Head, N.W.T., and at both places they were found to be entirely hardy. During a trial of fourteen or fifteen years the 'Berried Crab,' has never been injured by winter, and the trees have started from the terminal buds on the branches every season. These trees have fruited abundantly for many years, but the fruit is small—not much larger than a cherry—astrigent and acid, and sometimes bitter. It does, however, make excellent jelly, hence this fruit in its unimproved form is found useful. It is also highly ornamental when covered with blossom in the spring, or with its fruit in the autumn. The trees are rather dwarf in habit, low branched and strongly built, with the fruit very firmly attached to the tree. From their build and general character they are well adapted to resist the winds to which trees are exposed on the North-west plains.

BEGINNING OF THE WORK OF CROSS-BREEDING.

After four or five years' experience had thoroughly established the character of this tree for extreme hardiness, efforts were made to improve the size and quality of the fruit by cross-fertilizing the flowers of *Pyrus baccata* with pollen from many of the hardiest and best sorts of apples grown in Ontario. This work was begun in 1894, and has since been continued along several different lines. The seeds obtained from the first crosses were sown in the autumn of that year and germinated the following spring, producing in all about 160 thrifty young trees. These were planted in the spring of 1896. Many of them grew very rapidly, and soon made shapely specimens. The

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

young trees resulting from subsequent experiments have been planted from year to year in orchards at Ottawa, Brandon and Indian Head. In 1899 thirty-six of the cross-bred apples first produced and grown at Ottawa fruited, and five of them were of such size and quality as to justify their being propagated for more general test. The fact that so many of these fruited on the fourth year from the sowing of the seed indicates a very early bearing habit. Since then about two hundred more of these cross-bred apples have borne fruit, and the number of varieties worthy of extended cultivation has been considerably increased. Root grafts of some of the more promising sorts were early made, and these have been tested some three or four years at each of the North-western Experimental Farms, and have shown no indications of tenderness, even when planted in exposed situations. The cross-bred sorts grafted on the roots of *Pyrus baccata* have produced trees which so far as they have been tried seem to be quite as hardy as the wild form of *baccata*, and there is every reason to expect that they will prove generally hardy throughout the North-west country.

EXPERIMENTS WITH 'PYRUS PRUNIFOLIA.'

In 1896 a series of crosses was begun on another sort of wild crab known as *Pyrus prunifolia*. This is regarded by some authorities as a distinct species; by others it is believed to be a hybrid between *P. malus*, the wild crab of Europe, and *P. baccata*. Seeds of this form were also obtained from the Royal Botanic Gardens of St. Petersburg, Russia. The fruit of *P. prunifolia* is usually larger than that of *baccata*, and will average nearly double the size. Its hardiness in the North-west has also been established by a test covering a number of years on both of the Experimental Farms, at Brandon and Indian Head. The first crosses with this species were made in 1896, and since then many new sorts have been originated.

APPLES FROM WHICH POLLEN WAS USED.

In the first crosses made on *Pyrus baccata* in 1894, pollen was used from the Tetofsky, Duchess and Wealthy apples, but since then pollen has been obtained from many other varieties of apples and used on *P. baccata*, *P. prunifolia*, or both, including Anis, Beautiful Arcade, Broad Green, Excelsior, Fameuse, Golden Russet, Haas, Herren, Krimskoe, McIntosh Red, McMahon White, Osimoe, Pewaukee, Red Astrachan, Ribston Pippin, Scott's Winter, Simbirsk No. 9, Swayzie Pomme Gris, Talman's Sweet, Winter St. Lawrence and Yellow Transparent. The number and variety of the crosses have thus been very much increased.

About 800 of these cross-bred varieties have been produced, and between 200 and 300 have fruited. While a large number have produced fruit of inferior quality, there have been obtained up to the present time 20 varieties in all, which from their superior size and quality may be regarded as useful for domestic purposes, and deserving of more extended trial.

VARIETIES PRODUCED.

On plate I there are shown figures of *Pyrus baccata* (No. 1), and eight of the new cross-bred sorts of natural size, all produced from this species. The relative increase in the size of the cross-bred sorts is manifest to the eye. On weighing good average samples we find that the larger of these cross-bred apples are from 12 to 14 times heavier than *P. baccata*.

2. Alberta. *Pyrus baccata* with Haas.—Tree a strong grower and an abundant bearer. Fruit size 1'6 inches across, 1'4 inches deep, round somewhat flattened and slightly ribbed. Calyx persistent. Stem about half an inch long. Colour greenish yellow with a bright red cheek. Flesh nearly white, juicy, slightly astringent (astrin-

gency scarcely perceptible when fruit is ripe). Quality fair to good. Season last week in September to middle of October.

3. *Silvia*. *P. baccata* with Yellow Transparent.—Tree a strong grower and fair bearer. Fruit, size 1'4 inches across, 1'5 inches deep, form somewhat pointed and ribbed. Calyx persistent. Stem $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch long. Colour pale yellow. Flesh of pleasant flavour, subacid, no astringency. Quality good. Ripe August 9 or 10, the earliest to ripen of all the cross-bred apples yet fruited.

4. *Tony*. *P. baccata* with McMahon White. Tree a strong grower and a heavy bearer. Fruit, size 1'6 inches across and 1'4 inches deep. Form round, somewhat flattened. Calyx persistent. Stem about $\frac{1}{8}$ of an inch long. Colour greenish yellow, streaked and splashed with bright red, and with many yellowish dots. Flesh yellowish white, juicy, sprightly, subacid, slightly astringent, with a pleasant flavour. Quality good. Season late September and October. A group of specimens of this variety is shown on plate II.

5. *Columbia*. *P. baccata* with Broad Green.—Tree a very strong grower and a fair bearer. Fruit, size 1'8 inches across and 1'6 inches deep, somewhat conical, distinctly ribbed. Calyx protruding and persistent. Stem of medium length. Colour red with stripes and dots of a deeper shade. Flesh yellowish, lightly streaked with red, juicy, subacid with a pleasant flavour, slightly astringent. Season late September and October.

6. *Elsa*. *P. baccata* with Yellow Transparent.—Tree a strong grower and good bearer. Fruit, size 1'4 inches across and 1'3 inches deep; nearly round, slightly ribbed. Calyx persistent on a slightly raised eminence, ribbed. Stem about an inch long, slender, but strong. Colour bright yellow. Flesh fine grained, tender, juicy, rather acid, but of pleasant flavour. Quality good. Season latter part of August.

7. *Prince*. *P. baccata* with Tetofsky.—Tree a strong grower and very productive. Fruit, size 1'6 inches across and 1'3 inches deep, nearly round. Calyx drops in many of the specimens. Stem 1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches in length. Colour bright red (of a deeper shade on the side exposed to the sun), with a few paler dots and streaks. Flesh nearly white, juicy, subacid, somewhat astringent (astringency lessens as the fruit ripens). Of a pleasant flavour. Ripe early in September.

8. *Jewel*. *P. baccata* with Yellow Transparent.—Tree a strong grower and a good bearer. Fruit, size, 1'4 inches across and 1'3 inches deep, nearly round, slightly elongated. Calyx persistent, stem about $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches long. Colour yellowish, with a pale red cheek. Flesh moderately firm, crisp, juicy, of good flavour, subacid with very little astringency. Quality good. Season, last week in August and early in September.

9. *Robin*. *P. baccata* with Simbirsk No. 9.—Tree a good grower and a medium bearer. Fruit, size, 1'5 inches across and 1'4 inches deep; nearly round, strongly ribbed. Calyx large, persistent and projecting. Stem about 1 inch long. Colour, yellow and red. Flesh very firm, juicy, subacid with a slight astringency and a pleasant flavour. Quality good, one of the best. Season, latter part of August and September.

10. *Charles*. *P. baccata* with Tetofsky.—Tree a very upright and strong grower, with large leathery leaves, and a medium bearer. Fruit, size, 1'6 inches across and 1'5 inches deep; nearly round, slightly ribbed. Calyx persistent. Stem rather long. Colour a uniform yellow. Flesh yellowish, solid, crisp, juicy, with a pleasant flavour, mildly acid and slightly astringent. Season, early in September.

11. *Novelty*. *P. baccata* with Wealthy.—Tree a vigorous grower with good foliage and fairly productive. Fruit, size, 1'6 inches across and 1'3 inches deep; nearly round, somewhat flattened at each end. Calyx persistent. Stem long and slender. Colour



1



2




3



4



5



6



7



8



9

1.—PYRUS BACCATA.
2.—ALBERTA.
3.—SILVIA.

4.—TONY.
5.—COLUMBIA.
6.—ELSA.

7.—PRINCE.
8.—JEWEL.
9.—ROBIN.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

deep red. Flesh a pale yellowish pink, firm, crisp, juicy, subacid and of fair quality. Season, middle to end of September.

12. Progress. *P. baccata* with Wealthy.—Tree a vigorous grower, fairly upright habit and productive. Fruit, size, 1 1/4 inches across and 1 1/2 inches deep; nearly round, somewhat flattened at each end. Calyx persistent. Stem long and slender. Colour, red with some yellow and a dark red cheek. Flesh very firm, crisp, sub-acid, juicy, very slightly astringent and of fair flavour. Season, middle of September.

13. Aurora. *P. baccata* with Tetofsky.—Tree a fair grower and productive. Fruit, size, 1 1/5 inches across and 1 1/2 inches deep; nearly round, somewhat ribbed. Calyx persistent. Stem long. Colour, bright red almost all over. Very handsome. Flesh firm, juicy, acid and of fair flavour. Astringency very slight. Ripe September 6 to 12.

14. Dawn. *P. prunifolia* with Simbirsk No. 9.—Tree a good grower and fairly productive. Fruit, size, 1 1/8 inches across and 1 1/6 inches deep. Calyx persistent. Stem about half an inch long. Colour, red, of a deeper shade on the sunny side. Flesh firm, juicy, distinctly sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. Quality good. Ripe September 20 to 30.

15. Magnus. *P. prunifolia* with Simbirsk No. 9.—Tree a strong grower and a fair bearer. Fruit, size, 1 1/8 inches across and 1 1/7 inches deep; nearly round. Calyx persistent. Stem about half an inch long. Colour, orange and scarlet. Flesh firm, rather juicy but not crisp, subacid. Flavour aromatic, very slight astringent. Quality very good. One of the largest and best yet fruited of the cross-bred apples. Ripe September 20 to 30.

16. Manitou. *P. baccata* with McMahon White.—Tree a fair grower and productive. Fruit, size, 1 1/5 inches across and 1 1/2 inches deep; nearly round, distinctly ribbed. Calyx persistent, prominent, ribbed. Stem 1 to 1 1/4 inches long. Colour yellow, almost covered with bright red, becoming deep red where exposed to the sun. Flesh nearly white, juicy, sprightly, subacid, with a pleasant flavour. Quality fair. Ripe, end of September.

17. Pioneer. *P. baccata* with Tetofsky.—Tree a strong grower and a good bearer. Fruit, size, 1 1/5 inches across and 1 1/3 inches deep; nearly round, slightly ribbed. Calyx persistent. Stem rather long. Colour, yellow with a pink cheek. Flesh white, fine-grained, firm, crisp, subacid, slightly astringent, moderately juicy, with a pleasant flavour. Season latter part of September and October.

18. Golden. *P. prunifolia* with Golden Russet.—Tree a fair grower, and quite productive. Fruit, size 1 1/5 inches across, 1 1/2 inches deep, round, somewhat flattened at the ends. Calyx persistent, in a shallow basin. Stem 1/2 inch long, rather stout. Colour bright yellow. Flesh fairly juicy, rather sweet, very slightly astringent. Quality good. Season last week in August and September.

19. Bow. *P. baccata* with Pewaukee.—Tree a fairly strong grower and productive. Fruit, size 1 1/5 inches across and 1 1/2 inches deep. Calyx persistent. Stem rather long. Colour bright yellow, with a faint tinge of red. Flesh yellowish white, crisp, juicy, slightly subacid, not astringent, of good flavour. Season late in September.

20. Kent. *P. baccata* with McIntosh Red.—Tree a good grower and productive. Fruit, size 1 1/5 inches across and 1 1/3 inches deep, nearly round and ribbed about the calyx. Calyx persistent, and slightly projecting stem 3/4 to 1 inch long. Colour deep red, with orange shade deeper in tint on the sunny side. Flesh yellowish white, juicy, crisp, slightly subacid, slightly astringent and of fairly good flavour. Season end of September to December. A group of specimens of this variety is shown on plate II.

SUITABLE STOCKS FOR GRAFTING.

To ensure hardiness in a fruit tree not only must the part exposed to the air be capable of enduring the cold weather of winter, but the root on which the variety is grafted must be equally hardy, otherwise the tree will often perish at the root while the wood above ground is plump and free from injury. Fortunately we have in this instance in the roots of the wild form of *Pyrus baccata* a safe basis on which to work, and all of the young trees of the cross-bred apples which have been sent out for test from the Central Experimental Farm have been grafted or budded on this species. Some partial failures have occurred in grafting on this stock which have interfered with rapid distribution, and experience has shown that budding is to be preferred as a method of propagation in this instance. Having at the outset only one small tree to work with the number of grafts available must necessarily be limited, while probably three times the number of buds may be got from the same amount of wood. Not only does budding form a better union with the stock, but it also admits of the trees being multiplied more rapidly.

METHODS OF DISTRIBUTION OF THESE CROSS-BRED FRUITS FOR FURTHER TEST.

Supplies of all these different sorts are sent first to the Experimental Farms at Brandon and Indian Head, where orchards of considerable size are being established. These fruits are also being tested at many different points in Manitoba and the North-west Territories, and at a few places in northern Ontario. To determine their hardiness on the North-west plains it is essential that they be tried in many localities from the eastern boundaries of the plains, where the altitude is comparatively low, to the foothills of the Rocky Mountains, where the elevation above sea-level is much greater. The question of altitude has a most important bearing on the hardiness of fruit trees.

For several years a list has been in course of preparation, on which have been entered from time to time the names of settlers who take a special interest in the growing of trees and shrubs. From this list a number of names were chosen, distributed over a wide area, seldom taking more than one or two in each district. In this way about 200 locations were selected, the extreme points of variation in elevation ranging from 740 to 4,200 feet. Having corresponded with these parties and received assurance that any young trees sent them would be carefully looked after, the first distribution was made in 1902, when four one-year old trees (one tree each of four different sorts) were sent to each person. In the spring of 1903 a second package was sent to the same individuals containing two additional varieties of cross-bred apples, so that at each of these points six of these young trees have been received. Reports have come in from all those who have received the trees, and in almost every instance they are reported as entirely hardy, having stood the winters to which they have been exposed without injury, and as a rule made rapid growth. It is scarcely probable that any of these young trees will fruit in 1905, but in the following year it is likely that many of them will bear apples, when the interest in this work will be very much increased.

OTHER LINES OF WORK UNDERTAKEN.

Another line of work in producing new apples was begun two years ago in crossing *Pyrus malus*, the wild apple of Europe, with some of our best apples. This fruit is about an inch in diameter to start with and of fair quality. A hardy form of this tree has been secured, which has stood several winters at Brandon and Indian Head without injury; and with this during the past two seasons a number of crosses have been made.

Many of the best of the crosses produced on *P. baccata* and *P. prunifolia* have been recrossed, thus introducing a second quota of the blood of the larger apple, with

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

the hope of obtaining fruits of larger size. How far this can be carried without inducing tenderness can only be determined by experiment. The first one-year old trees produced by this method were planted in the orchard at Ottawa in the spring of 1904.

A very large number of young trees has been raised within the past five years from seed saved from the best of the named cross-bred sorts, and this work is being rapidly extended. Many hundreds of these seedlings have been planted, chiefly in orchards on the western Experimental Farms. Some of these have already fruited, and among them several new sorts of promise have appeared. In raising trees from the seeds of these cross-breds, a large proportion of them will probably sport towards the female parent, *P. baccata*, and produce fruit of an inferior quality, while a small proportion will be likely to inherit more fully the qualities of the male, which would result in larger and better fruit. What proportion will show improvement in this direction can only be determined by growing them, but if only one good variety in a considerable number be had, the inferior ones can all be grafted with the good variety, and time thus saved in building up an orchard.

Many seedlings have also been raised of the Martha, Snyder and other crabs, and several of the seedlings of Martha grown at the Experimental Farm at Brandon have borne fruit of good size and quality, and have thus far been quite hardy.

Of these seedlings, Maggie and No. 309 are among the most promising, and these are being propagated for more extended trial. Including the products from all these different methods of working there are now more than 700 different sorts growing on the Experimental Farm at Ottawa, about 1,200 on the farm at Brandon, and about 650 at Indian Head. There are also the 1,200 trees which have been referred to as growing at 200 different localities in the North-west country.

Grafts of a number of these new seedlings have been sent to some of the leading nurseries in Canada and the opportunity thus afforded of growing stock to meet such demand for these fruits as may arise.

The lines of work in progress may be thus summarized :—

1. The producing of a large number of hybrids by crossing *P. baccata* and *P. grunifolia* with a large number of the best sorts of cultivated apples.
2. The carrying on of similar work with a hardy strain of *Pyrus malus*, the wild crab of Europe.
3. The growing of a large number of trees from seed obtained from the best of the named cross-bred sorts.
4. The producing of a series of second crosses by which the best of the first produced cross-breds will receive another portion of the blood of the larger fruits.
5. The careful testing of every new seedling, or cross-bred fruit, from any and every source, where, associated with acceptable size and quality, there is promise of hardiness.

By persevering along the lines indicated there is little doubt that within a very few years a number of varieties of apples will be available, possessing that hardiness, size and quality which will commend them to the settlers in all those portions of the northern country where ordinary apples under average conditions cannot be grown. The success thus far achieved is most encouraging, and doubtless greater triumphs in the future will reward persistent effort.

CO-OPERATIVE EXPERIMENTS BY CANADIAN FARMERS.

The assistance rendered to Canadian farmers by the distribution of samples of seed of high quality for the improvement of crops has been continued, and the work

highly appreciated. Farmers everywhere have gladly undertaken to co-operate with the Experimental Farms in the endeavour to ascertain the relative merits in earliness, productiveness and quality of the different varieties under trial, when grown under the different climatic conditions which prevail in the several provinces and territories of the Dominion. During 1904 more than 37,000 farmers joined in these co-operative tests. A large number of reports have been received in which many have expressed their gratitude for the efforts made in their behalf, and their appreciation of the great value of this work. The samples of wheat and barley sent to each applicant have weighed five pounds each, and those of oats four pounds, sufficient in each case to sow one-twentieth of an acre. The samples of Indian corn, pease and potatoes have weighed three pounds each.

The samples sent from the Central Experimental Farm during the early months of 1904 have been distributed as follows :—

DISTRIBUTION BY PROVINCES.

Name of Grain.	Prince Edward Is- land.	Nova Scotia.	New Brunswick.	Quebec.	Ontario.	Manitoba.	North-west Terri- tories.	British Columbia.
Oats.....	603	1,350	1,319	3,316	2,212	891	1,395	135
Barley.....	136	514	297	1,201	893	334	540	66
Wheat.....	395	795	908	1,711	790	977	1,658	82
Pease.....	23	121	140	328	94	54	67	19
Indian corn.....	46	189	175	831	687	47	158	26
Potatoes.....	116	620	748	1,574	2,155	760	1,124	202
Total.....	1,319	3,589	3,587	8,961	6,831	3,063	4,942	530

Total number of samples distributed, 32,822.

Number of applicants supplied, 32,756.

Total number of packages of each sort distributed :—

Oats.....	11,221
Barley.....	3,981
Wheat.....	7,316
Pease.....	846
Indian corn.....	2,159
Potatoes.....	7,299
Total.....	32,822

The following list shows the number of packages which have been sent out of the different varieties :—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.	Name of Variety.	Number of Packages.
OATS.		PEASE.	
Banner.....	2,765	Canadian Beauty.....	516
Improved Ligowo.....	1,976	Prussian Blue.....	195
Tartar King.....	1,828	Arthur.....	52
Waverley.....	1,328	Miscellaneous.....	83
Wide Awake.....	897	Total.....	846
Goldfinder.....	759	INDIAN CORN.	
Abundance.....	679	Angel of Midnight.....	653
Thousand Dollar.....	504	Selected Leaning.....	508
Black Beauty.....	333	Early Mastodon.....	423
American Beauty.....	242	Compton's Early.....	222
Total.....	11,221	Longfellow.....	207
BARLEY (Six-rowed).		Superior Fodder.....	90
Mensury.....	1,228	Eureka.....	56
Odessa.....	686	Total.....	2,159
Mansfield.....	218	POTATOES.	
Claude.....	171	Carman No 1.....	387
Rennie's Improved.....	162	American Wonder.....	723
Royal.....	44	Rural Blush.....	606
(Two-rowed).		Early White Prize.....	583
Sidney.....	533	Everett.....	548
Canadian Thorpe.....	333	Rochester Rose.....	535
Standwell.....	333	Reeve's Rose.....	449
Invincible.....	273	Early Andes.....	418
Total.....	3,981	Canadian Beauty.....	434
WHEAT.		Early Sunrise.....	399
Red Fife.....	1,765	Early Ohio.....	394
Preston.....	1,602	Late Puritan.....	334
Percy.....	811	Uncle Sam.....	264
Stanley.....	777	Wonder of the World.....	236
Laurel.....	668	Beauty of Hebron.....	192
White Fife.....	575	Thorburn.....	106
Huron.....	471	Miscellaneous.....	161
White Russian.....	280	Total.....	7,299
Wellman's Fife.....	197		
White Connell.....	167		
Common Emmer.....	3		
Total.....	7,316		

DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES FROM THE BRANCH EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

Samples were also distributed from the Branch Experimental Farms, as follows:—

Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.		Experimental Farm, Brandon, Man.	
	No. of Sample Bags.		No. of Sample Bags.
Spring wheat.....	72	Spring wheat.....	134
Oats.....	198	Oats.....	166
Barley.....	65	Barley.....	60
Pease.....	51	Pease.....	49
Potatoes.....	25	Potatoes.....	128
Total.....	331	Total.....	537
Total.....	742		

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.		Experimental Farm, Agassiz, B.C.	
	No. of Sample Bags.		No. of Sample Bags.
Spring wheat.. . . .	420	Spring wheat.. . . .	86
Oats.. . . .	542	Oats.. . . .	153
Barley.. . . .	367	Barley.. . . .	74
Pease.. . . .	176	Pease.. . . .	120
Flax, Rye and Emmer.. . . .	153	Potatoes.. . . .	164
Potatoes.. . . .	813		
Total.. . . .	2,476	Total.. . . .	597

By adding the number of samples distributed by the branch farms to those sent out by the central farm, we have a total of 37,174. It is gratifying to find among the farmers of Canada so large a number of volunteers ready to co-operate in this experimental work.

For ten years the volume of this work has been large, and the average number of experimenters to whom samples have been sent has been 36,406 each year.

In distributing this large quantity of seed grain great care is taken to have it clean and as far as possible true to name. Most of it is grown at the Experimental Farms at Indian Head and Brandon, where the crops average larger yields than they do at Ottawa. It is believed that better results can be got from samples of oats from a crop which has given 100 bushels per acre than from one giving 50 or 60 bushels. There is much individuality stamped on every variety, and it is doubtless an advantage to have seed grain from productive strains.

To provide the large quantity of seed required for this distribution, arrangements are made for growing it the previous year. While maturing in the fields most of the grain from which the samples for distribution are to be supplied is gone carefully over, and any plants found of other varieties pulled up. After the grain is threshed it is put through suitable cleaning machinery, and then thoroughly examined, and if any foreign admixture which the separators will not remove is found the grain is hand picked before it is sent out. There is no doubt that the high quality and productiveness of the cereals grown throughout the Dominion has been favourably influenced and very largely so by the placing of these comparatively small quantities of cereals of high quality in the hands of so many good men. From the samples received hundreds of farmers have within three seasons produced sufficient seed for their own sowing and a considerable surplus to sell to their neighbours.

CORRESPONDENCE.

The correspondence carried on during 1904 between the farmers of Canada and the officers of the Experimental Farms has been very large.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

The following is a summary of the letters received and sent out at the Central Experimental Farm from December 1, 1903, to November 30, 1904; also the number of reports, bulletins and circulars forwarded by mail during the same period:—

	Letters received.	Letters sent.
Director.. . . .	43,791	18,539
Agriculturist.. . . .	2,067	2,967
Horticulturist.. . . .	1,479	1,417
Chemist.. . . .	1,284	1,251
Entomologist and Botanist.. . . .	3,231	2,909
Experimentalist.. . . .	349	281
Poultry Manager.. . . .	2,293	2,006
Accountant.. . . .	867	873
	55,366	30,243

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

A large number of the letters received by the Director are applications for samples of grain or for the publications of the farms, a considerable proportion of which are answered by sending the correspondents the material asked for, accompanied by circular letters. This explains why the number of letters received so much exceeds the number sent out.

Circular letters, including circulars sent with samples of seed grain	33,825
Reports and bulletins mailed	345,853

BRANCH EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

The correspondence with the superintendents of the branch experimental farms is also large, as shown by the following figures:—

	Letters received.	Letters sent.
Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.	2,030	1,790
“ “ Brandon, Man.	5,300	3,528
“ “ Indian Head, N.W.T.	5,849	5,871
“ “ Agassiz, B.C.	2,942	2,772

Much additional information has also been sent out from the branch farms in printed circulars. By adding the correspondence conducted at the branch farms to that of the central farm, it will be seen that 71,487 letters in all were received, and 44,204 sent out during the year.

TESTS OF THE VITALITY OF SEED GRAIN AND OTHER SEEDS.

The number of samples of seeds tested during the season of 1903-4 to find the proportion which would germinate, and to determine the percentage of plants of strong and weak growth, was 2,285.

This useful work has been carried on at the Central Experimental Farm every year since its establishment in 1887. The total number of samples tested since that time is 31,736. Farmers are invited to send in every year any samples which may be of doubtful vitality through injury before harvest or in harvesting or storing, so that their germinating power may be determined and their usefulness for seed purposes ascertained. The appliances available for these tests are all that could be desired, affording facilities for testing every sample in the soil, and also in germinators where the grain is placed between folds of linen or other fabric and kept constantly moist. In our experience there is no test so reliable as the soil, and it has often occurred when testing samples of low vitality in a germinator that the proportion of seeds which will start to grow between the moist folds of fabric in the apparatus will be larger than can be got from the same seed put into the soil. The information which is of practical use to the farmer is the proportion of seed which will grow in his fields when sown there. If the vitality of a sample is so weak that a large proportion of the young plants are unable to force their way through the soil, such seed, however high the percentage of germination shown in the germinator, is of less value for sowing.

During the past season 820 samples of oats have been tested, a large number of which were sent in from Northern Alberta, where the oat crop of 1903 was considerably injured by frost. In all cases where the germinating power was low, farmers were advised to dispose of such grain for feed and to buy oats of higher vitality for sowing. Many instances have come to our knowledge where such information supplied has saved farmers from much loss.

Any farmer may avail himself of the help which this branch of the work can give him; about an ounce of seed is all that is needed to allow of its germinating power

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

being determined. No charge is made for testing samples, and they may be sent to the Central Experimental Farm by mail free of postage and can usually be reported on in about a fortnight.

RESULTS of Tests of Seeds for Vitality, 1903-4:—

Kind of Seed.	Number of Tests.	Highest Per-centage.	Lowest Per-centage.	Per-cent- age of Strong Growth.	Per-cent- age of Weak Growth.	Average Vitality.
Wheat.....	679	100·0	17·0	81·6	4·6	86·2
Barley.....	269	100·0	22·0	83·2	6·9	90·1
Oats.....	820	100·0	1·0	60·3	10·0	*70·3
Rye.....	2	94·0	88·0	89·0	2·0	91·0
Pease.....	164	100·0	6·0			64·6
Grass.....	117	100·0	7·0			74·5
Clover.....	186	100·0	17·0			76·3
Corn.....	13	94·0	20·0			62·1
Radish.....	5	72·0	17·0			40·0
Sugar Beet.....	3	82·0	74·0			78·0
Cabbage.....	3	75·0	57·0			66·0
Tobacco.....	2	54·0	47·0			50·5
Ash Seed.....	2	14·0	10·0			12·0
Maple Seed.....	2	20·0	16·0			18·0
Rape.....	1	99·0	99·0			99·0
Miscellaneous vegetable seeds.....	17	100·0	10·0			49·8
Total number of samples tested, highest and lowest percentage...	2,285	100·0	1·0			

TABLE showing Results of Grain Tests for each Province:—
ONTARIO.

Kind of Grain.	Number of Tests.	Highest Per-centage.	Lowest Per-centage.	Per-cent- age of Strong Growth.	Per-cent- age of Weak Growth.	Average Vitality.
Wheat.....	222	100·0	23·0	74·8	5·5	80·4
Barley.....	113	100·0	39·0	81·6	7·2	88·8
Oats.....	127	100·0	1·0	87·1	5·1	92·2

QUEBEC.

Wheat.....	45	100·0	53·0	88·4	3·3	91·7
Barley.....	17	100·0	58·0	87·5	7·5	95·0
Oats.....	21	100·0	47·0	83·5	5·9	89·5

MANITOBA.

Wheat.....	155	100·0	38·0	86·3	4·0	90·3
Barley.....	33	100·0	22·0	86·1	4·5	90·6
Oats.....	159	100·0	6·0	74·7	8·3	83·1

NORTH-WEST TERRITORIES.

Wheat.....	170	100·0	23·0	80·2	5·2	85·4
Barley.....	76	100·0	26·0	83·2	5·7	88·9
Oats.....	481	100·0	3·0	45·6	12·3	*58·0

* This low average percentage in oats is due to the number of samples injured by unfavourable weather received from Northern Alberta. These samples ranged in vitality from 3 per cent and upwards. In other localities in the north-west the percentage of vitality ranged from 75 to 100 per cent.



1



2

1. —ALBERTA.

2.—TONY.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

NOVA SCOTIA.

Wheat.....	30	100.0	60.0	87.4	2.4	89.9
Barley.....	10	100.0	86.0	83.1	11.9	95.0
Oats.....	9	98.0	67.0	81.1	5.7	86.7

NEW BRUNSWICK.

Wheat.....	31	100.0	17.0	89.0	2.9	91.9
Barley.....	10	99.0	86.0	84.5	12.1	96.6
Oats.....	10	99.0	89.0	87.9	6.8	94.7

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND.

Wheat.....	20	100.0	86.0	92.6	2.7	95.3
Barley.....	8	100.0	83.0	86.3	7.6	94.0
Oats.....	10	100.0	91.0	92.1	4.3	96.4

BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Wheat.....	6	100.0	79.0	92.3	1.5	93.8
Barley.....	2	87.0	86.0	70.6	16.0	86.5
Oats.....	3	89.0	78.0	77.0	7.3	84.3

(Signed)

WILLIAM T. ELLIS.

METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS.

TABLE of Meteorological Observations taken at the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, 1904; maximum, minimum and mean temperature for each month, with date of occurrence, also rainfall, snowfall and total precipitation.

Month.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Range.	Mean.	Highest.	Date.	Lowest.	Date.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Total Precipitation.	Number of Days Precipitation.	Heaviest in 24 hours.	Date.
	°	°	°	°	°		°							
January.....	13.90	-4.91	18.82	4.50	30.5	31st...	-30.2	5th...	0.00	40.75	4.06	12.0	80.	16th.
February.....	15.23	-5.66	20.89	4.78	38.0	22nd...	-28.0	2nd...	0.39	24.00	2.79	13.0	55.	23rd.
March.....	32.49	14.66	17.83	23.57	42.8	23rd...	-12.0	5th...	2.09	13.75	3.46	16.0	83.	26th.
April.....	47.15	30.01	17.14	38.58	66.0	24th...	6.2	2nd...	3.36	6.00	3.96	13.1	00.	9th.
May.....	71.31	46.82	24.49	59.06	85.0	9th...	35.2	12th...	3.49	3.49	10.0	88.	15th.
June.....	75.98	53.82	22.16	64.90	87.5	25th...	46.0	23rd...	2.80	2.80	14.0	49.	15th.
July.....	78.86	56.34	22.51	67.59	95.0	19th...	44.5	30th...	3.31	3.31	16.1	14.	31st.
August.....	75.98	52.52	23.45	64.24	83.5	5th...	42.8	30th...	2.80	2.80	13.1	68.	20th.
September.....	64.95	45.34	19.60	55.14	80.0	11th...	27.5	22nd...	5.50	5.50	15.1	58.	24th.
October.....	53.31	34.76	18.55	44.03	70.1	10th...	19.0	31st...	1.80	...	1.80	10.0	72.	21st.
November.....	36.27	22.10	14.17	29.18	52.0	3rd...	0.0	29th...	0.41	4.75	0.88	10.0	22.	27th.
December.....	16.72	-1.03	17.76	7.85	35.7	31st...	-20.6	25th...	T	19.50	1.94	15.0	50.	19th.

Rain or snow fell on 157 days during the 12 months.

Heaviest rainfall in 24 hours, 1·68 inches, on August 20th.

Heaviest snowfall in 24 hours, 8·00 inches, on January 16th.

The highest temperature during the 12 months, was 95·0° on July 19th.

The lowest temperature during the 12 months, was -30·2° on January 5th.

During the growing season rain fell on 13 days in April, 10 days in May, 14 days in June, 16 days in July, 13 days in August, and 15 days in September.

May, October and November, show the lowest number of days with precipitation, viz., 10 days in each month.

Total precipitation during the 12 months, 36·79 inches, as compared with 34·92 inches during 1903.

RAINFALL, Snowfall, and total Precipitation from 1890 to 1904, also the average annual amount that has fallen.

Year.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Total Precipitation
	In inches.	In inches.	In inches.
1890	24·73	64·85	31·22
1891	30·19	73·50	37·54
1892	23·78	105·00	34·28
1893	31·79	72·50	39·04
1894	23·05	71·50	30·20
1895	27·01	87·50	35·76
1896	21·53	99·75	31·50
1897	24·18	89·00	33·08
1898	24·75	112·25	35·97
1899	33·86	77·25	41·63
1900	29·48	108·00	40·27
1901	29·21	97·25	38·91
1902	25·94	101·75	36·10
1903	26·43	85·00	34·92
1904	25·95	108·75	36·79
Total for 15 years.....	401·88	1,353·85	537·21
Yearly average for 15 years.....	26·79	90·25	35·81

RECORD of Sunshine taken at the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, for the Year 1904.

Months.	Number of days with Sunshine.	Number of days without Sunshine.	Total hours Sunshine.	Average Sunshine per day.
January	14	17	65·1	2·10
February	19	10	97·0	3·24
March	24	7	129·4	4·17
April	21	9	129·4	4·31
May	28	3	233·8	7·54
June	27	3	236·4	7·88
July	29	2	224·0	7·22
August	28	3	252·2	8·13
September	25	5	145·3	4·84
October	24	7	107·2	3·45
November	22	8	99·0	3·30
December	19	12	70·6	2·27
Total			1,789·4	

(Sgd.) WILLIAM T. ELLIS,
Observer.

SPECIAL EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS.

In the annual report of the experimental farms for 1893, details were given on pages 8 to 24 of the results of a series of tests which had then been carried on for some years with the object of gaining information regarding the effects which follow the application of certain fertilizers and combinations of fertilizers on the more important farm crops. The particulars there given covered the results of six years' experience with crops of wheat and Indian corn, and five years' experience with crops of oats, barley, turnips and mangels. The results of similar tests conducted for three years with carrots and one year with sugar beets were also given.

These experiments have been continued, and a summary of the results obtained has been given each year, taking the average yield of crops from the beginning, adding the results for the current year, and then giving the average yield for the full time. These tests were undertaken on virgin soil, on a piece of land which was cleared for the purpose. For particulars regarding the clearing and preparing of the land for crop in 1887-88 and its subsequent treatment, the reader is referred to the earlier issues of this report.

OBJECT IN VIEW IN CONDUCTING THESE EXPERIMENTS.

In establishing and conducting this series of experiments, the object in view has been to gain information as to the effects produced by certain fertilizers and combinations of fertilizers on particular crops. They were never intended to serve as model test plots such as farmers could copy with advantage in their general practice. On the contrary, to gain the information desired, it has been found necessary to use some fertilizers in unusual quantities, and in other instances to more or less exhaust the soil by a succession of crops of the same sort, practices which in ordinary farming would be extravagant or detrimental. From this long conducted series of tests much useful information has been gained, which appeals to the mind with greater force as experience accumulates from year to year.

VALUABLE INFORMATION GAINED.

These trials have shown that barn-yard manure can be most economically used in the fresh or unrotted condition; that fresh manure is equal, ton for ton, in crop-producing power to rotted manure, which, other experiments have shown, loses during the process of rotting about 60 per cent of its weight. In view of the vast importance of making the best possible use of barn-yard manure, it is difficult to estimate the value of this one item of information.

When these experiments were planned, the opinion was very generally held that untreated mineral phosphate, if very finely ground, was a valuable fertilizer, which gradually gave up its phosphoric acid for the promotion of plant growth. Ten years' experience has shown that mineral phosphate, untreated, is of no value as a fertilizer.

The use of sulphate of iron, which at the time these tests were begun, was highly commended, as a means of producing increased crops, has also been proven to be of very little value for this purpose.

Common salt, which has long had a reputation with many farmers for its value as fertilizer for barley, while others disbelieved in its efficacy, has been shown to be a most valuable agent for producing an increased crop of that grain, while it is of much less use when applied to crops of spring wheat or oats. Land plaster or gypsum has also been proven to be of some value as a fertilizer for barley, while of very little service for wheat or oats. Some light has also been thrown on the relative usefulness of single and combined fertilizers.

CHANGES MADE IN THE EXPERIMENTS.

After ten years' experience had demonstrated that finely-ground, untreated mineral phosphate was of no value as a fertilizer, its use was discontinued in 1898. Prior to this it had been used in each set of plots in Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, in all the different series of plots, excepting roots. In 1898 and 1899, similar weights of the Thomas' phosphat were used in place of the mineral phosphate, excepting in plot 6 in each series. In this plot the Thomas' phosphate was used in 1898 only.

After constant cropping for ten or eleven years, it was found that the soil on those plots to which no barn-yard manure had been applied was much depleted of humus, and hence its power of holding moisture had been lessened, and the conditions for plant growth, apart from the question of plant food, had on this account become less favourable. In 1899 the experiments were modified and an effort made to restore some proportion of the humus and at the same time gain further information as to the value of clover as a collector of plant food. In the spring of that year ten pounds of red clover seed per acre was sown with the grain on all the plots of wheat, barley and oats. The young clover plants made rapid growth, and by the middle of October there was a thick mat of foliage varying in height and density on the different plots, which was ploughed under.

In 1900, 1901, 1902, 1903 and 1904, clover was again sown on all the grain plots, and was ploughed under in October. In 1900 and 1901 a good growth of clover was obtained, but in 1902 a severe frost in the spring destroyed a large proportion of the young plants, so that the crop available for ploughing under in the autumn was very light. In 1903 and 1904 the crop of clover ploughed under in the autumn was fairly good.

APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS DISCONTINUED.

Another direction in which information was sought was in reference to the length of time which a liberal application of barn-yard manure would continue to affect subsequent crops, and in 1899 on plots 1, 2 and 6 the barn-yard manure, which had been used for ten or eleven years in succession, was discontinued. The phosphate fertilizer was also omitted on plot 6 in each series.

In 1900 all the fertilizers on all the plots were discontinued, and since then the same crops have been grown on all these plots from year to year without fertilizers, sowing clover with the grain each season. In this way some information has been gained as to the value of clover as a collector of plant food, and also as to the unexhausted values of the different fertilizers which have been used on these plots since the experiments were begun.

SPECIAL TREATMENT OF PLOTS OF INDIAN CORN AND ROOTS.

As it was not practicable to sow clover with the Indian corn and root crops, the sowing of these latter crops was discontinued in the spring of 1900 and clover sown in their place in the proportion of 12 pounds per acre. The clover on these plots made strong growth, so strong as to necessitate twice cutting during the season, the cut clover being left on the ground in each case to decay and add to the fertility of the soil. The clover was left over for further growth in the spring of 1901, and ploughed under for the roots about May 10, and for corn about the middle of that month. Then root and Indian corn were again sown. In 1902 crops of Indian corn and roots were grown on these plots, but in 1903 the land was again devoted to clover.

WHEAT PLOTS.

The seed sown on each of these plots from the beginning has been in the proportion of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre, excepting in 1894; and the varieties used were as follows:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

In 1888 to 1891, White Russian, and in 1892-3, Campbell's White Chaff. In 1894, the Rio Grande wheat was used, when, owing to lack of germinating power in the seed, a larger quantity was required. From 1895 to 1904 inclusive, Red Fife wheat was used in the usual quantity of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre. In 1904 the Red Fife was sown May 6, and was ripe August 18.

TABLE I.
EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF WHEAT.

No. of Plot.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SIXTEEN YEARS.		17TH SEASON, 1904. VARIETY, RED FIFE.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SEVENTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
	Fertilizers applied each year from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.						
1	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) well rotted, 12 tons per acre in 1888; 15 tons per acre each year after to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	22 23 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,022	26 20	2,750	22 37 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,947
2	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) fresh, 12 tons per acre in 1888; 15 tons per acre each year after to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	22 38 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,053	26 10	2,880	22 50 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,985
3	Unmanured from the beginning.	11 37 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,978	13 10	1,290	11 42 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,937
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,107	17 40	1,250	12 23 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,056
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 58 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,773	19 ..	1,190	13 19 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,680
6	Barnyard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, six tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898, 500 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	19 22 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,317	21 10	2,510	19 28 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,270
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 38 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,607	17 40	2,310	13 52 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,590

TABLE I.—EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF WHEAT—*Concluded.*

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR SIXTEEN YEARS.		17TH SEASON, 1904. VARIETY, RED FIFE.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SEVENTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11 34 ⁹ / ₁₆	2,218	16 40	2,390	11 50	2,226
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 22 ¹¹ / ₁₆	1,986	15 20	2,250	12 33 ³ / ₁₆	2,002
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	13 27 ⁴ / ₁₆	2,953	13 40	2,640	13 28	2,935
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	14 24 ¹¹ / ₁₆	2,900	14 10	2,270	14 23 ¹ / ₁₆	2,863
12	Unmanured from the beginning.	10 31 ¹ / ₁₆	1,943	13 10	1,400	10 41 ¹ / ₁₆	1,911
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 42 ¹ / ₁₆	2,103	14 20	1,770	12 47 ¹ / ₁₆	2,083
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre; used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	15 26 ¹ / ₁₆	2,681	17 ..	1,840	15 32 ¹ / ₁₆	2,632
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	14 15	2,496	16 ..	2,100	14 21 ¹ / ₁₆	2,472
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	15 43 ¹ / ₁₆	2,282	14 30	2,280	15 39 ¹ / ₁₆	2,282
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	13 1 ¹¹ / ₁₆	2,432	13 40	3,170	13 31 ¹ / ₁₆	2,475
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then..	12 50 ¹ / ₁₆	2,019	13 30	2,030	12 53 ¹ / ₁₆	2,020
19	Common salt (Sodium chloride), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 47 ⁷ / ₁₆	1,667	15 40	1,470	13 54 ¹ / ₁₆	1,655
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 53 ¹ / ₁₆	1,989	12 50	1,600	12 53 ¹ / ₁₆	1,966
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been used since then.	13 16 ⁹ / ₁₆	1,984	12 30	1,580	13 13 ¹ / ₁₆	1,960

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BARLEY PLOTS.

The quantity of seed sown per acre on the barley plots was 2 bushels in 1889 to 1891, 1½ bushels in 1892 and 1893, and 2 bushels from 1894 to 1904, inclusive. Two-rowed barley was used for seed throughout until 1902, when Mensury, a six-rowed sort, was tried. The varieties used were as follows: 1889 to 1891, Saale; 1892, Goldthorpe; 1893, Duck-bill; and in 1894 to 1901, Canadian Thorpe, a selected form of the Duck-bill. Since 1902 Mensury has been sown. In 1904 it was sown May 6, and was harvested on July 30.

TABLE II.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF BARLEY.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1889 to 1893 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.		16TH SEASON, 1904. VARIETY, MENSURY.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SIXTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
1	Barn-yard manure, well rotted, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	35 25 ⁷ / ₁₅	3,060	42 4	2,860	35 45 ² / ₁₅	3,047
2	Barn-yard manure, fresh, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	35 14 ⁴ / ₁₅	3,234	41 2	2,660	35 32 ² / ₁₅	3,198
3	Unmanured from the beginning.	14 28 ¹ / ₁₅	1,537	16 42	1,430	14 35 ¹ / ₁₅	1,530
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	15 44 ² / ₁₅	1,510	17 44	1,660	16 2 ³ / ₁₅	1,519
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	21 10 ² / ₁₅	2,219	22 14	1,800	21 13 ⁶ / ₁₅	2,193
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	28 26 ² / ₁₅	2,396	37 4	2,720	29 3 ¹ / ₁₅	2,416
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	26 12 ¹ / ₁₅	2,377	35 10	2,760	26 39 ¹ / ₁₅	2,461

TABLE II.—EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF BARLEY—*Concluded.*

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.		16TH SEASON, 1904. VARIETY, MENSURY.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SIXTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	21 37	1,835	35 30	2,070	22 30 ⁹ / ₁₆	1,850
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	21 26 ⁶ / ₁₆	1,729	28 46	1,280	22 0 ⁹ / ₁₆	1,701
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	28 4	2,359	25 40	1,770	27 45 ⁴ / ₁₆	2,322
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	27 1 ⁵ / ₁₆	2,481	32 14	2,170	27 17 ² / ₁₆	2,462
12	Unmanured from the beginning.	14 12 ⁶ / ₁₆	1,228	17 24	1,420	14 22 ¹ / ₁₆	1,240
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	15 15	1,421	21 22	1,560	15 33 ⁷ / ₁₆	1,430
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	24 1 ⁵ / ₁₆	2,089	27 34	2,630	24 12 ⁶ / ₁₆	2,123
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	22 7 ¹ / ₁₆	2,270	19 8	1,530	21 46 ¹¹ / ₁₆	2,222
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	22 40 ¹ / ₁₆	1,859	23 36	1,430	22 43 ¹¹ / ₁₆	1,832
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	19 16 ⁷ / ₁₆	1,933	19 38	1,450	19 17 ¹³ / ₁₆	1,903
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	18 44 ¹ / ₁₆	1,656	20 ..	1,290	18 47 ¹ / ₁₆	1,635
19	Common salt (Sodium chloride) 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	27 19	1,892	23 26	1,510	27 7 ⁷ / ₁₆	1,861
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	20 24	1,591	22 34	1,780	20 30 ¹ / ₁₆	1,601
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	21 9 ² / ₁₆	1,770	24 18	1,580	21 19 ² / ₁₆	1,751

OAT PLOTS.

The quantity of seed sown per acre on the oat plots was 2 bushels in 1889 and 1890; $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels in 1891 to 1893, and 2 bushels from 1894 to 1904, inclusive. The varieties used were as follows: In 1889, Early English; in 1890 to 1893, Prize Cluster; and from 1894 to 1904, inclusive, the Banner. In 1904 Banner was sown April 22 and the plots were harvested August 17.

TABLE III.
EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF OATS.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.		16TH SEASON, 1904. VARIETY, BANNER.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SIXTYEEN YEARS.		
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Barn-yard manure, well rotted, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	51	11½	3,226	57 12	3,040	51 24⅞	3,214
2	Barn-yard manure, fresh, 15 tons per acre each year to 1898, inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	55	17⅝	3,368	58 18	3,110	55 23⅞	3,352
3	Unmanured from the beginning.....	34	11⅞	1,715	42 12	2,660	34 28⅞	1,774
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	34	26½	1,844	51 6	26 10	35 27⅞	1,892
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	48	25⅞	2,661	58 28	2,450	49 12½	2,648
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 500 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	48	5⅞	2,738	60 30	2,850	48 32⅞	2,745
	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	49	4⅞	3,143	53 18	2,890	49 13½	3,121
	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	43	22⅞	2,498	58 18	2,900	44 19½	2,523
	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been used since then.....	38	5⅞	1,976	50 10	2,340	38 31⅞	1,999

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF OATS—Continued.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1899 and each year since with the grain and ploughed under in the autumn.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.		16TH SEASON, 1904. VARIETY, BANNER.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR SIXTEEN YEARS.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.
		Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.
		Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	46 32	2,680	54 24	2,130	47 14 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,645
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	39 .. $\frac{1}{2}$ 23 28 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,427 1,426	37 32 25 ..	2,650 1,540	38 31 $\frac{1}{2}$ 23 30 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,441 1,433
12	Unmanured from the beginning						
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	34 28 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,023	46 26	1,730	35 19 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,005
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	41 18 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,297	50 20	2,350	41 31 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,300
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	47 6	2,746	43 28	2,480	46 32 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,729
16	Muriate of potash, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1898 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	39 51 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,218	54 24	2,210	40 41 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,217
17	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	45 13 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,794	54 4	2,340	45 32 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,766
18	Sulphate of iron, 60 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then...	39 — $\frac{1}{2}$	1,985	47 32	2,630	39 13 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,025
19	Common salt (Sodium chloride), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	38 15	1,929	53 28	2,690	39 13 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,976
20	Land plaster or gypsum (Calcium sulphate), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	34 32	1,966	46 26	2,610	35 23 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,060
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	35 17	1,859	51 26	2,580	36 17 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,904

The one-tenth acre plots of wheat, barley and oats had by the end of 1903 become infested with several troublesome perennial weeds, hence it was thought best to sow only one-half of each plot with grain in 1904, devoting the other half to a hoed crop to clean it. On this account no clover was sown on any of the cereal plots in 1904, and one-half of the wheat plots was sown with mangels, one-half of the barley plots with potatoes, and one-half of the oat plots with carrots, computing the yields of grain from a one-twentieth acre plot in each case.

INDIAN CORN PLOTS.

The experiments with the plots of Indian corn have been conducted with the object of obtaining the largest weight of well matured green fodder for the silo, and to have the corn so far advanced when cut, that the ears shall be as far as is practicable in the late milk or glazed condition. Each plot has been divided from the outset into two equal parts, on one of which—known as No. 1—one of the stronger growing and somewhat later ripening sorts has been tried, and on the other, marked No. 2, one of the earlier maturing varieties. During the first four years one of the Dent varieties was tested under No. 1. The Mammoth Southern Sweet was tried in 1888 to 1890. In 1891 the Red Cob Ensilage was used, and in 1892 to 1902 the Rural Thoroughbred White Flint was tested. On the other half of the plot (No. 2) the Canada Yellow Flint was used in 1888 to 1890, the Thoroughbred White Flint in 1891, Pearce's prolific in 1892 to 1894, and the Mammoth Eight-Rowed Flint in 1895 to 1902. For the first four years the No. 1 series was planted in drills 3 feet apart, using about 24 pounds of seed to the acre and thinning the plants, when up, to 6 or 8 inches, and the No. 2 in hills 3 feet apart each way with 4 or 5 kernels in a hill. During the past seven years both sorts have been grown in hills.

In 1900 no crop of Indian corn was grown on these plots, but clover was sown in its place on May 5 in the proportion of 12 pounds per acre. This made a strong growth, was cut twice during the season and left on the ground to decay, so that when ploughed under, the land might get the full benefit of the clover crop. The clover was allowed to remain growing until May 20, 1901. It was then ploughed under about 6 inches deep, and harrowed well before the corn was planted. Clover was sown again in 1903, and ploughed under in May, 1904. The corn was planted in 1904, on June 6, and cut for ensilage September 26.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF INDIAN CORN, CUT GREEN FOR ENSILAGE.

	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.		15TH SEASON, 1904.				AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.	
	Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 1— Selected Leam- ing, weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— Angel of Mid- night, weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder.		
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$		
	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.	Per acre.		
	Tons. lbs.	Tons lbs.	Tons lbs.	Tons lbs.	Tons lbs.	Tons lbs.		
Fertilizers applied each year from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the corn and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the corn was planted. In 1903 clover was again sown and ploughed under in May, 1904.								
Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) well rotted, 12 tons per acre, each year from 1888 to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	16	757 13	167 16	1,460 14	640 16	804 13	332	
Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) fresh, 12 tons per acre each year from 1888 to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.	16	627 11	941 16	130 13	240 16	594 11	1,161	
Unmanured from the beginning.	7	28 5	209 9	1,600 9	1,470 7	399 5	826	
Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 800 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	7	1,749 4	1,844 13	540 13	40 8	468 5	924	

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF INDIAN CORN—Continued.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year, from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the corn and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the corn was planted. In 1903 clover was again sown and ploughed under in May, 1904.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.		15TH SEASON, 1904.				AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.					
		Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 1— Selected Leam- ing, weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— Angel of Mid- night, weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder.						
		Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre	Per acre.	Per acre						
		Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.						
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 800 lbs., nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 800 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11	703	8	1,874	15	1,820	14	440	11	1,311	9	578
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 500 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	16	493	11	1,861	16	190	15	260	16	473	12	288
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	15	499	11	355	14	1,750	13	930	15	449	11	660
8	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 500 lbs., wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs., per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12	150	9	637	15	360	14	460	12	564	9	1,292
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11	483	8	1,315	13	600	11	350	11	757	8	1,651
10	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13	1,105	10	1,034	13	430	12	40	13	1,060	10	1,234
11	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	16	526	12	613	16	620	15	520	16	532	12	1,007
12	Unmanured from the beginning.	10	1,970	8	1,979	12	160	11	1,430	11	116	9	34
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12	371	9	784	13	880	12	1,110	12	538	9	1,200
14	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12	1,690	9	1,755	14	1,150	13	580	12	1,921	10	21
15	Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12	1,317	9	1,406	11	50	10	530	12	1,099	9	1,48
16	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1888 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13	317	10	178	12	740	11	1,320	13	212	10	2

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF INDIAN CORN—*Concluded.*

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1888 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the corn and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the corn was planted. In 1903 clover was again sown and ploughed under in May, 1904.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.		15TH SEASON, 1904.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FIFTEEN YEARS.	
		Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 1— Selected Leam- ing, weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— Angel of Mid- night, weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 1— weight of green fodder.	Plot No. 2— weight of green fodder.
		$\frac{1}{2}$ Per acre.	$\frac{1}{2}$ Per acre.	$\frac{1}{2}$ Per acre.	$\frac{1}{2}$ Per acre.	$\frac{1}{2}$ Per acre.	$\frac{1}{2}$ Per acre.
		Tons. lbs.	Tons lbs	Tons. lbs.	Tons lbs	Tons. lbs.	Tons lbs
17	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 600 lbs.; muriate of potash 200 lbs.; sulphate of ammonia, 150 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 1,069	9 1,927	14 270	12 1,610	13 1,149	10 306
18	Muriate of potash, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	9 1,836	7 211	13 120	12 1,440	10 255	7 960
19	Double sulphate of potash and magnesia, 300 lbs. per acre in 1889 and '90; (muriate of potash 200 lbs., substituted, each year since); dried blood, 300 lbs.; mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 569	8 1,689	13 1,510	13 810	12 765	9 297
20	Wood ashes, unleached, 1,900 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	10 1,739	8 356	14 1,510	13 1,800	11 257	8 1,119
21	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; sulphate of ammonia, 200 lbs.; muriate of potash, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 1,347	7 1,207	12 1,950	12 70	12 1,387	7 1,797

PLOTS OF MANGELS AND TURNIPS.

In conducting these experiments, the roots only have been taken from the land, the tops have always been cut off and left on the ground to be ploughed under, so that the plant food they have taken from the soil may be returned to it. One-half of each one-tenth acre plot in the series has been devoted to the growth of mangels, and the other half to turnips, and these crops have been alternated from year to year. The preparation of the land has been the same for both these roots. Until 1900 it was ploughed in the autumn after the crop was gathered, gang-ploughed deeply in the spring after the barn-yard manure had been spread on plots 1, 2 and 6, and after gang-ploughing, the other fertilizers were spread by scattering them evenly over the surface, after which it was all harrowed with the smoothing harrow, then made in ridges 2 feet apart, rolled and sown.

In 1889, the variety of mangel used was the Mammoth Long Red. In 1890, three varieties were sown on each plot. In 1891, each plot again had three varieties, and from 1892 to 1902 one variety only was used, namely, the Mammoth Long Red. About 4 pounds of seed were sown per acre each year.

Two varieties of turnips were sown on the half plots devoted to these roots in 1889, and in 1890, a single variety, Carter's Elephant Swede. In 1891, six varieties were sown. In 1892, the Improved Purple Top Swede only was sown, in 1893 and 1894, the Prize Purple Top Swede, in 1895, the Imperial Swede, and from 1896 to 1902, the Prize Purple Top Swede. The land used for the turnips, which are usually sown later than the mangels, was prepared in the same manner as for the mangels. It was then allowed to stand until the day before sowing, when it was gang-ploughed shallow or cultivated to kill weeds and loosen the soil, ridged, rolled and sown. About 3 pounds of seed were sown per acre.

In 1900 and 1903, no crops of mangels and turnips were grown, but clover was sown in their place in May in the proportion of 12 pounds per acre. This made a strong growth and was cut twice each year during the season, and left on the ground to decay, so that when ploughed under, the land might get the full benefit of the clover crop. The clover was allowed to remain growing until near the middle of May, by which time it had made a very heavy growth. It was then ploughed under about 6 inches deep and harrowed well, then made up into ridges 2 feet apart. These were rolled with a hand roller, which flattened the ridges considerably and made a firm, even seed bed. The crops of clover and roots are alternated in this way, for the purpose of supplying humus and also of gaining information as to the fertilizing effect of green clover ploughed under on land to be used for growing roots.

In 1904, the mangels were sown on May 12, and pulled on October 14; the turnips were sown May 12, and pulled October 14. The yield per acre has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 66 feet long.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF MANGELS AND TURNIPS.

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the roots and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the roots were sown. In 1903 clover was again sown and ploughed under in May, 1904.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.		14TH SEASON, 1904. VARIETIES.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.	
		Mangels, Weight of Roots.	Turnips, Weight of Roots.	West Half Plot.	East Half Plot.	Mangels, Weight of Roots.	Turnips, Weight of Roots.
		Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.
		Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.
1	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) well rotted, 20 tons per acre each year from 1889 to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	22 1,267	15 1,327	12 1,830	23 1,400	21 1,879	16 475
2	Barn-yard manure (mixed horse and cow manure) fresh, 20 tons per acre each year from 1889 to 1898 inclusive. No manure has been applied since then.....	21 792	15 1,522	12 1,200	23 200	20 1,535	16 570
3	Unmanured from the beginning.....	9 122	7 864	8 1,230	13 140	9 58	7 1,669
4	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1897, inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 a similar weight of the Thomas' phosphate was used. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	8 1,577	7 1,908	9 1,840	17 1,490	8 1,739	8 1,307
5	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 1,000 lbs., nitrate of soda, 250 lbs. wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899, 500 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	14 1,403	9 1,948	11 1,250	18 70	14 963	10 1,099
6	Barn-yard manure, partly rotted and actively fermenting, 6 tons per acre; mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 1,000 lbs. per acre, composted together, intimately mixed and allowed to heat for several days before using, applied each year from 1889 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 1,000 lbs. of Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	18 53	12 1,949	12 1,760	18 1,230	17 1,318	13 755
7	Mineral phosphate, untreated, finely ground, 1,000 lbs.; sulphate of potash, 200 lbs. in 1889 and 1890 (substituted by muriate of potash, 250 lbs. in 1891 and subsequent years); nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1897 inclusive. In 1898 and 1899 1,000 lbs. of the Thomas' phosphate was used in place of the mineral phosphate. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	11 1,252	9 1,030	10 1,950	14 340	11 1,162	9 1,695
8	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs.; sulphate of potash, 200 lbs. in 1889 and 1890 (substituted by muriate of potash, 250 lbs. in 1891 and subsequent years); nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.....	14 159	11 1,618	10 1,590	14 820	13 1,690	11 1,990

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON PLOTS OF MANGELS AND TURNIPS - *Concluded*

No. of Plot.	Fertilizers applied each year from 1889 to 1898 or 1899. No fertilizers used since. Clover sown in 1900 in place of the roots and ploughed under in May, 1901, before the roots were sown. In 1903 clover was again sown and ploughed under in May, 1904.	AVERAGE YIELD FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.		14TH SEASON, 1904, VARIETIES.		AVERAGE YIELD FOR FOURTEEN YEARS.	
		Mangels, Weight of Roots.	Turnips, Weight of Roots.	West Half Plot.	East Half Plot.	Mangels, Weight of Roots.	Turnips, Weight of Roots.
		Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.	Per Acre.
		Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.
9	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	9 1,306	9 339	10 1,240	18 1,410	9 1,444	9 1,701
10	Nitrate of soda, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899, inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	14 823	9 918	10 1,420	14 990	14 294	9 1,637
11	Sulphate of ammonia, 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 743	10 1,795	10 1,750	13 880	12 529	11 158
12	Unmanured from the beginning.	7 894	7 645	7 1,910	12 1,320	7 966	7 1,407
13	Bone, finely ground, 500 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 842	8 1,891	9 50	14 220	12 356	9 628
14	Wood ashes, unleached, 2,000 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	11 76	8 317	12 740	16 1,200	11 266	8 1,523
15	Common salt (Sodium chloride), 400 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	9 1,422	7 825	12 910	16 530	9 1,814	8 896
16	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs.; nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 160	10 1,896	10 1,140	17 1,070	12 1,801	11 837
17	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 350 lbs.; wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	13 1,179	10 694	10 970	21 1,200	13 735	11 301
18	Mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs.; muriate of potash, 200 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	12 1,988	11 285	11 820	19 810	12 1,762	11 1,465
19	Double sulphate of potash and magnesia, 300 lbs. per acre in 1889 and 1890 (muriate of potash, 200 lbs., substituted each year since); dried blood, 250 lbs.; mineral superphosphate, No. 1, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	14 820	12 503	11 1,750	18 990	14 458	12 1,395
20	Wood ashes, unleached, 1,500 lbs.; common salt (Sodium chloride), 300 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	15 324	10 1,562	12 690	20 30	14 1,922	11 881
21	Mineral superphosphate, No. 2, 500 lbs. per acre, used each year from 1889 to 1899 inclusive. No fertilizers have been applied since then.	15 48	11 309	12 1,530	19 1,610	14 1,725	11 1,544

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The results had in 1904 in crops from the plots under these experiments show still further the benefits arising from the ploughing under of clover.

EFFECTS OF FERTILIZERS ON OATS, CLOVER AND BROME GRASS.

In continuation of the report made last year on the 'Effects of Fertilizers on Wheat, Oats, Clover and Brome Grass,' the following tables are submitted. A part of the wheat plots were unfortunately so injured as to make the comparisons in that series of no value, hence no reference is made to the wheat plots. Fertilizers were applied in the proportions stated to the different series of plots in 1900, 1902 and 1904.

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO OATS.

Sown, May 9; Ripe, August 10, 1904.

No. of Plot.	Name of variety, Improved Ligowo.	Yield of Grain per Acre.		Yield of Straw per Acre.
		Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.	70	20	3,160
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.	70	20	4,240
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre.	82	12	2,920
4	Check.	56	16	2,480
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.	52	32	1,960
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.	51	26	3,200
7	Check.	60	—	1,960
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 160 lbs. p. acre.	81	26	4,180
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.	83	18	4,360
10	Barnyard manure, mixed horse and cow, fresh, 12 tons per acre.	61	6	3,960
11	Barnyard manure, mixed horse and cow, well rotted, 12 tons per acre.	80	—	4,320
12	Check.	76	16	4,040
13	Fresh slacked lime, 1,000 lbs. per acre.	71	26	4,600
14	Nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.	80	—	5,120
15	Check.	62	12	3,920
16	Nitrate soda, 200 lbs. per acre.	70	20	5,600

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO CLOVER.

First cutting, June 23; second, August 29, 1904.

Fertilizers used.	YIELD PER ACRE.							
	1st Cutting.				2nd Cutting.			
	Green.		Cured.		Green.		Cured.	
Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.	8	1,200	2	600	3	480	1	1,120
Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre.	9	920	3	80	3	—	1	1,200
Check.	7	1,640	2	360	2	1,680	1	960
Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.	7	80	2	260	3	360	1	1,220
Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.	8	1,040	2	960	3	1,680	1	1,600
Check.	9	840	2	1,280	2	1,360	1	920
Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.	8	1,440	2	800	2	1,280	1	880
Superphosphate, 400 lbs., kainit, 200 lbs., nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.	8	1,320	2	720	2	1,200	1	400
Barnyard manure, mixed horse and cow, fresh, 12 tons per acre.	8	1,560	1	1,920	2	480	1	120
Barnyard manure, mixed horse and cow, well rotted, 12 tons per acre.	6	160	1	1,120	3	880	1	720
Check.	7	120	1	1,960	2	1,280	1	400
Fresh slacked lime, 1,000 lbs. per acre.	7	920	1	1,520	3	720	1	920
Nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.	6	460	1	1,360	2	400	1	—
Check.	6	720	1	1,760	2	800	1	—
Nitrate soda, 200 lbs. per acre.	5	1,240	1	1,080	3	400	1	1,520
	7	480	1	1,560	4	400	1	1,040

RESULTS OF THE APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS TO AWNLESS BROME GRASS.

Crop Cut June 27, 1904.

No. of Plot.	TABLE III. Fertilizers used.	Height of Brome Grass	YIELD PER ACRE.			
			Green.		Cured.	
			Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
		Inches.				
1	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	44-48	9	320	4	1,040
2	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs. per acre.....	44-48	7	480	4	—
3	Thomas' phosphate, 800 lbs. per acre.....	44-48	7	1,400	3	—
4	Check.....	44-48	5	800	2	440
5	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs.; kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	45-50	5	1,600	2	800
6	Superphosphate, 400 lbs.; kainit, 200 lbs. per acre.....	45-50	7	—	2	1,800
7	Check.....	44-48	5	1,760	2	1,480
8	Thomas' phosphate, 400 lbs.; kainit, 200 lbs.; nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	45-50	4	240	2	1,800
9	Superphosphate, 400 lbs.; kainit, 200 lbs.; nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	45-50	9	80	3	1,920
10	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, fresh, 12 tons per acre.....	45-50	7	560	3	560
11	Barn-yard manure, mixed horse and cow, well rotted, 12 tons per acre.....	45-50	6	—	2	840
12	Check.....	44-48	5	880	2	560
13	Fresh slacked lime, 1,000 lbs. per acre.....	44-48	3	1,800	1	1,760
14	Nitrate soda, 100 lbs. per acre.....	45-50	7	40	3	240
15	Check.....	45-50	8	160	3	1,040
16	Nitrate soda, 200 lbs. per acre.....	45-50	7	640	3	320

INCREASED CROPS FROM THE PLOUGHING UNDER OF CLOVER.

Further experiments have been conducted during 1904 to show the benefit arising from the ploughing under of clover to add humus and fertility to the soil. In all these experiments there has been a marked increase in the crop the first year following the ploughing under of clover, a considerable increase the second year, and some increase on the third year after clover.

Plot.	GROUP 1.	1904.					
		Banner Oats.		Corn Selected Leaming.		Potatoes Everett.	
		Yield of Grain.	Yield of Straw.	Yield per acre.		Yield per acre.	
		Per acre.	Per acre.	per acre.		per acre.	
		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.		Bush. L.	
1	Crop in 1904 after clover in 1903.....	63	8	4,080	29 1,600	402	—
2	Crop in 1904 on plot where no clover was grown in 1903.....	43	18	2,080	26 400	362	2
	Gain from use of clover.....	24	24	2,000	3 1,200	39	4

GROUP 2.

In each of the divisions of this group there were also three plots. In the upper three in each table, the crops were sown after clover, grown in 1901, and ploughed under in the autumn of that year; the lower three show the crops where no clover was grown. In divisions 3, 6 and 9 the effect is also shown, on the crops of 1903 and 1904, of allowing the clover sown in 1901 to grow for two seasons and ploughing it under in the autumn of 1902.

DIVISION 1.

	1902. BANNER OATS.		1903. TURNIPS.	1904. POTATOES.
	Yield of Oats.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per acre.	Yield per acre.
	Per acre.	Per acre.		
	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
1 Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901	72 20	4,720	25 —	390 —
2 Crops in 1902-3-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	58 28	3,120	20 1,920	376 20
Gain from use of clover	13 26	1,600	4 80	13 40

DIVISION 2.

	1902. POTATOES, EVERETT.		1903. CARROTS.	1904. POTATOES, EVERETT.
	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.
	Bush. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
3 Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901	592 40	20 1,400	378 40	
4 Crops in 1902-3-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	358 —	18 280	346 20	
Gain from use of clover	34 40	2 1,120	32 20	

DIVISION 3.

	1902. CORN SELECTED LEAMING.		1903. POTATOES, EVERETT.	1904. MENSURY BARLEY.	
	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield of Barley. — Per Acre.	Weight of Straw. — Per Acre.
	Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901	29 800	202 —	45 —		3,840
Crops in 1902-3-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	15 —	154 40	38 16		3,760
Gain from the use of clover	5 800	47 20	6 32		90
Crops in 1903-4, on plot where clover was allowed to grow two seasons		200 40	35 —		3,720
Crops in 1903-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901		134 40	32 24		2,210
Gain from the use of clover		66 00	2 24		1,510

GROUP 2—Continued.

DIVISION 4.	1902. BANNER OATS.		1903. MANGELS.		1904. TURNIPS.	
	Yield of Oats. — Per Acre.	Weight of Straw. — Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.
	Bush. Lbs. 70 20	Lbs. 4,960	Tons. Lbs. 30 1,000	Tons. Lbs. 21 40	Tons. Lbs. 19 1,160	Tons. Lbs. 4 880
9 Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901						
10 Crops in 1902-3-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	61 6	2,720	27 320	19 1,160	4 880	
Gain from the use of clover	9 14	2,240	3 680			

DIVISION 5.	1902. POTATOES, EVERETT.		1903. SUGAR BEETS.		1904. TURNIPS.	
	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.
	Bush. Lbs. 386 20	Tons. Lbs. 20 680	Tons. Lbs. 27 360	Tons. Lbs. 25 640	Tons. Lbs. 1 1,720	Tons. Lbs. 1 1,720
11 Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901	346 40	16 1,040	27 360	25 640	1 1,720	
12 Crops in 1902-3-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	39 40	3 1,640	1 1,720			
Gain from use of clover						

DIVISION 6.	1902. CORN SELECTED LEAMING.		1903. CORN SELECTED LEAMING.		1904. BANNER OATS.	
	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.
	Tons. Lbs. 23 1,200	Tons. Lbs. 18 1,440	Tons. Lbs. 61 6	Tons. Lbs. 54 4	Tons. Lbs. 7 2	Tons. Lbs. 2,880
13 Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901	17 720	14 1,200	54 4	2,880		
14 Crops in 1902-3-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	6 480	4 220	7 2	2,880		
Gain from use of clover						
15 Crops in 1903-4, on plot where clover was allowed to grow two seasons	15 1,600	56 16	2,680			
16 Crops in 1903-4, on plot where no clover was sown in 1901	7	45 30	2,440			
Gain from use of clover	8 1,600	10 20	240			

DIVISION 7.	1902. BANNER OATS.		1903. PRESTON WHEAT.		1904. MANGELS.	
	Yield of Oats. — Per Acre.	Weight of Straw. — Per Acre.	Yield of Wheat. — Per Acre.	Weight of Straw. — Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.
	Bush. Lbs. 72 32	Lbs. 5,280	Bush. Lbs. 16 ..	Lbs. 1,760	Tons. Lbs. 21 1,040	Tons. Lbs. 21 1,040
17 Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901	63 18	3,280	14 40	1,400	21	1,040
18 Crops in 1902-3-4, on plots where no clover was grown in 1901	9 14	2,000	1 20	360	..	1,040
Gain from the use of clover						

GROUP 2.—*Concluded.*

DIVISION 8.

	1902. POTATOES, EVERETT.		1903. MENSURY BARLEY.		1904. MANGELS.
	Yield Per Acre.		Yield of Barley. — Per Acre.	Weight of Straw. — Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.
19 Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.
20 Crops in 1902-3-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	396	..	51	32	2,640
	353	20	50	2,520
Gain from the use of clover	42	40	1	32	120
					.. 1,080

DIVISION 9.

	1902. CORN, SELECTED LEAMING.		1903. BANNER OATS.		1904. CORN, SELECTED LEAMING.
	Yield Per Acre.		Yield of Oats. — Per Acre.	Weight of Straw. — Per Acre.	Yield Per Acre.
21 Crops in 1902-3-4, after clover in 1901	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.
22 Crops in 1902-3-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901	22	1,600	82	12	3,920
	16	800	76	16	3,240
Gain from the use of clover	6	800	5	30	680
23 Crops in 1903-4, on plot where clover was allowed to grow two seasons			87	2	4,880
24 Crops in 1903-4, on plot where no clover was grown in 1901			74	4	4,080
Gain from the use of clover			12	32	800
					.. 1,600

INFLUENCE of Previous Crops on Yield of Grain and Weight of Straw of Banner Oats, grown in 1904.

BANNER OATS.

1904. BANNER OATS.		
Yield of Oats. — Per Acre.		Weight of Straw. — Per Acre.
Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.

1 Crop in 1904, after horse beans, rows 21 inches apart in 1903	61	6	3,280
2 Crop in 1904, after horse beans, rows 28 inches apart in 1903	80	..	4,720
3 Crop in 1904, after pease, crop harvested in 1903	83	18	5,160
4 Crop in 1904, on plot where crop of oats was harvested in 1903	84	24	5,280
5 Crop in 1904, after pease, crop ploughed under twice in 1903	88	8	6,280
6 Crop in 1904, after soja beans, rows 21 inches apart in 1903	52	32	2,400
7 Crop in 1904, after soja beans, rows 28 inches apart in 1903	72	32	3,600
8 Crop in 1904, after crop of sand vetch harvested in 1903	78	28	5,080
9 Crop in 1904, after sand vetch harvested in 1903	83	18	5,200
10 Crop in 1904, after alsike clover in 1903	89	14	5,480
11 Crop in 1904, on plot where no clover was grown in 1903	45	10	2,140
12 Crop in 1904, after buckwheat crop harvested in 1903	28	8	1,120
13 Crop in 1904, on plot where crop of oats was harvested in 1903	30	20	1,640
14 Crop in 1904, after buckwheat, ploughed under twice in 1903	71	26	3,640
15 Crop in 1904, after Alfalfa clover in 1903	67	2	4,120
16 Crop in 1904, on plot where no clover was grown in 1903	44	24	2,440
17 Crop in 1904, after flax in 1903	35	10	1,680
18 Crop in 1904, on plot where no flax was grown in 1903	27	2	1,440
19 Crop in 1904, after hairy vetch in 1903	49	14	2,160
	70	20	3,840

BULLETINS ISSUED DURING 1904.

Four bulletins have been issued during the year.

No. 44, on the 'Results obtained in 1903 from trial plots of grain, fodder, corn, field roots and potatoes,' issued jointly by the Director and the Experimentalist. In this bulletin there are presented the results of a large number of experiments which were conducted at all the experimental farms during the season of 1903, with oats, barley, spring wheat, pease, Indian corn, turnips, mangels, carrots, sugar beets and potatoes, in plots of uniform size and the crops grown under uniform conditions. Both earliness and productiveness are recorded. The average results are also given of the tests for a series of years of those varieties which have proved most profitable.

No. 45, on Emmer and Spelt, prepared by Dr. C. E. Saunders, Experimentalist, in which are given a number of descriptions of varieties of these two sorts of grain; the results are also submitted of many experiments which have been conducted with these cereals at the experimental farms.

Much interest has been awakened of late among farmers in some parts of this country in the growing of emmer and spelt, and in the bulletin referred to many facts are brought together, regarding the proportion of hull to kernel and the relative usefulness and cropping power of emmer and spelt in comparison with other cereals. There are also given in this bulletin the results of some analyses made by the Chemical Division of the kernels and hulls of emmer and spelt, showing the relative nutritive value of these cereals.

No. 46, on 'Alfalfa or Lucern, its culture, use and value.' This bulletin consists of three parts: Part 1 was prepared by Mr. J. H. Grisdale, Agriculturist of the Central Experimental Farm; Part 2, by Mr. Frank T. Shutt, Chemist of the Experimental Farms, and Part 3 by Dr. James Fletcher, Entomologist and Botanist of the Experimental Farms.

In reference to this plant, the economy of growing it for the feeding of stock and for ploughing under to enrich the soil, its deep rooting habit which gives it the power of drawing moisture and plant food from depths not reached by other plants, and the large quantities of palatable and nutritious fodder which it produces, are all discussed in this bulletin, also its adaptability to many of the climatic conditions found in the Dominion.

No. 47, 'Trees and Shrubs tested in Manitoba and the North-west Territories,' prepared by the Director. In this bulletin are given the results of a very large number of trials of trees and shrubs which have been planted at the Experimental Farms at Brandon, Manitoba, and at Indian Head, in the North-west Territories, during the past sixteen years to ascertain what species and varieties are hardy enough to endure the winter in those parts of the Dominion. In this bulletin is presented in a convenient and condensed form all the facts ascertained for the instruction and encouragement of those who desire to adorn their homes with these objects of beauty. The love of trees and shrubs is almost universal and nowhere is it more strongly felt than on the North-west plains where trees and shrubs are scarce. Hitherto considerable sums of money have been spent annually by settlers in the purchase of trees and shrubs from the east, most of which have been too tender to endure the climate. The information given in this bulletin will, it is hoped, greatly lessen this injudicious expenditure. This bulletin will also be useful to residents of eastern Canada, since any of the species found hardy enough to endure the climate of the North-west, may be planted with assurance of success in any of the eastern parts of this country.

VISITS TO THE BRANCH EXPERIMENTAL FARMS.

THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM AT BRANDON, MAN.

On August 5, I left Ottawa for the annual tour of inspection of the branch experimental farms and arrived in Brandon on August 7. Several days were spent on this farm at that time and two days more on the return journey, September 15-16. The crops on the higher lands on the farm were in good condition, but a heavy flooding of the Assiniboine river had seriously injured those on the lower lands. Rust prevailed on some of the plots of cereals to a limited degree, nevertheless many of the crops were very heavy.

In the uniform trial plots, the best varieties of spring wheat gave from 30 to 36 bushels per acre, six-rowed barley, 54 to 66 bushels, and two-rowed from 55 to 63 bushels per acre. Oats gave extraordinary returns, ranging from 112 to 134 bushels per acre, pease also gave extra heavy crops, from 60 to 85 bushels per acre. Roots also did well, and potatoes gave an immense crop, from 500 to 650 bushels per acre.

The fields gave evidence of good and careful cultivation. The stock, implements and buildings were also found in good condition.

The orchards of cross-bred apples and seedling crabs have made strong growth and some new and promising varieties were fruiting for the first time.

The pasture fields looked well, and the crop of hay was very fair. The forest and ornamental trees, also the shrubs and flowers had made good growth and presented an attractive appearance.

THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM AT INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.

This farm was visited on August 10-12 and September 13-14. The wheat was an excellent crop. The best sorts on the trial plots gave from 45 to 50 bushels per acre, while the larger fields averaged about 40 bushels, the grain weighing from 61 to 63 lbs. per bushel. The wheat crop throughout this district was good and in many instances from 35 to 40 bushels per acre was harvested. The experiments carried on at Indian Head with early ripening varieties of grain command much attention from farmers. The Preston, Stanley and Huron, cross-bred sorts produced at the Central Experimental Farm were ripe and cut this year about a week before the Red Fife was ready to harvest.

The crop of oats was very heavy, ranging from 90 to 120 bushels per acre, while the best yielding sorts of barley gave from 60 to 67 bushels. There was very little rust on any of the cereals in the North-west. Pease yielded unusually well, from 60 to 68 bushels per acre, and the most prolific sorts of potatoes from 350 to 435 bushels.

Bromus inermis.—Brome grass is now a well established and important crop, and with the western rye grass *Agropyrum tenerum* furnishes the greater part of the hay fed to horses and cattle on the Experimental Farm. Indian corn has been successfully grown, giving from 10 to 20 tons of green fodder per acre. Field roots have also done well, excepting carrots, the crop of which has been light.

Many of the Siberian crabs and cross-bred apples fruited well; trees and shrubs also, planted for shelter and ornament, made luxuriant growth, while annual and perennial flowers provided a wealth of bloom.

Stock of all sorts looked well, giving evidence of attention and care. The buildings were in good condition and the implements well cared for.

THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM AT AGASSIZ, B.C.

The farm at Agassiz was visited from August 25 to 30. Both fruit and forest trees were found to be suffering from the drought which had prevailed for some weeks

previous. The leaves were turning yellow. Extensive fires were consuming the forests in many districts and much valuable timber was destroyed. The air in many localities was so filled with smoke as to veil the beauties of the landscape.

The hay crop had been an excellent one and the clover exceptionally heavy. The yield of grain also was fairly good. Oats have given as high as 67 bushels per acre, barley 63 bushels, and spring wheat 33 bushels per acre. Indian corn had made good growth but was rather uneven, due chiefly to faulty germination of the seed. Roots and potatoes promised well.

The fruit orchards were not in a very satisfactory condition. Many of the young apple trees have been greatly injured by canker which has spread rapidly and proved very destructive, making it necessary to root up many of the trees. Pears were a very light crop and some of the trees were withering from the drought. Plums were a fair crop and the rot was not very prevalent this season, so that most of the fruit was gathered in good condition.

Blackberries were fruiting well and raspberries had given a fair crop. Currants and gooseberries had also borne fairly well. The nut trees and mulberries were well laden with fruit.

The cattle and sheep were in good condition, and notwithstanding the long period of dry weather the pastures were looking fairly well. Pigs were thriving, but the litters had been smaller than usual. The fowls had made good progress and there was a large number of chickens.

VISIT TO VICTORIA.

While in Victoria, several orchards were seen and the crops seemed to be fully up to the average. One of these, owned by Mr. R. M. Pamler, deserves special notice. It has been established as a commercial orchard and comprises twenty acres in all. The trees have all been planted about eighteen feet apart with the intention of allowing them to bear until they begin to crowd each other and then gradually thin them out. In this orchard there are planted about 3,000 trees. The apples number about 2,000 and consist chiefly of Wealthy, Blenheim Orange, Duchess, Boskoop, Cox's Orange Pippin and Lord Suffield. Of pears there are about 200, chiefly Bartlett, Louise Bonne and Beurre Bosc. The cherries, of which there are about 800, are largely Olivet, Belle Magnifique and English Morello.

This method of growing fruit on the Island is said to have been very satisfactory and to have given good returns.

VISIT TO VERNON AND PENTICTON.

While at Vernon a visit was paid to the Coldstream ranch where the large orchards planted by Lord Aberdeen are now bearing abundantly. These orchards are in splendid condition and remarkably clean and well cultivated. The trees are thrifty with well formed heads, and the fruit is regularly thinned, so that none of the trees are allowed to overbear. Nearly all the fruit produced is of first quality.

The journey on Lake Okanagan from Okanagan Landing to Penticton was very enjoyable, and at the various landing places there was evidence of much progress, and settlement is going on rapidly. Kelowna, which is about half way down the lake, has now become a town of good size, and in the surrounding country, orchards can be seen in every direction. On the return journey, the steamer took on shipments of fruit, &c., for the east. At Summerland, 700 boxes of tomatoes, apples and plums were received, and at Peachland and Kelowna additional shipments were made. There is a rapidly growing business in fruits and vegetables throughout this region.

THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM AT NAPPAN, N.S.

The annual visit was paid to this farm in October, when all the crops were found to be harvested, excepting field roots. Owing to the unusually dry weather in

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

the summer all the grain and fodder crops were light. Hay was 20 to 25 per cent below the average, while oats, wheat and barley had also given considerably less than an average yield; the quality of the grain, however, was good. Indian corn was not a heavy crop and had been cut by a severe frost which had lessened its weight. The crop of turnips was heavy, giving from 30 to 40 tons per acre.

The stock was in good condition, the steers under feeding tests were making satisfactory progress and the dairy cows milking fairly well.

The apple orchards have made good progress, and a large proportion of the trees have borne good crops. Pear trees have given very little fruit during 1904, some of the varieties look very healthy, while others have made but a short and feeble growth. Similar variation was noticeable among the plums and some of the European sorts had fruited fairly well, but the American plums seem to be of little value here.

Of cherries the Bigarreau varieties in the older and more exposed orchard have suffered much from winter killing of the wood, whereas in the younger orchard in the shelter of the woods they have mostly escaped injury. Many of the Morello's and Russian sorts have made good growth, but have had very little fruit this year, probably because of the killing of the blossom buds during the severe winter of 1903-04. Some seedlings of the Kentish cherry, which is found in many parts of Nova Scotia, have been planted and are making promising growth. A large proportion of the cherries raised by the farmers of Nova Scotia are from seedling trees of this character, which are very generally distributed, are very hardy and usually bear good crops.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

Grateful acknowledgments are due to those who have rendered me special service during the year. To the Director of the Arnold Arboretum, Jamaica Plains, Mass., for seeds of many different sorts of trees and shrubs, also for a fine collection of specimens of *Crataegus* and other rare trees for the Arboretum at Ottawa. To the United States Department of Agriculture, for many favours, including samples of cereals, seeds of fodder crops, &c., for test from foreign countries. To the Director of the Royal Gardens, Kew, England, for seeds of many sorts of trees, shrubs and plants. To Prof. John Macoun and Mr. J. M. Macoun, both of the Geological and Natural History Survey of Canada, for seeds of rare Canadian plants.

To the officers of the Central and Branch Experimental Farms my thanks are due for their earnest co-operation in carrying on the different divisions of the work. Grateful acknowledgments are also due to those members of the staff who have aided me in those branches of which I have had personal charge. To Mr. John Fixter, the farm foreman, who has taken charge of the special tests made with fertilizers on farm crops and aided me with practical suggestions. To Mr. George Fixter, to whom I am indebted for his careful supervision of the distribution of samples of seed grain. To Miss M. Hager, for valuable help in the taking of field notes and in the compilation of records in connection with work on the several experimental farms. To Mr. James Aggart, for the care and good judgment he has displayed as foreman of the ornamental grounds, and to Mr. Wm. T. Ellis, who has done careful work in testing the vitality of seeds, the management of the plants in the green-house and in propagating useful plants for outside decoration. Mr. Ellis has also rendered useful service in the taking of meteorological records.

I also take pleasure in bearing testimony to the faithful services of my secretary, Mr. Malcolm C. O'Hanly. The employees also of all the farms have my thanks for the interest they have taken in their work, and the care with which they have discharged their respective duties.

WM. SAUNDERS,
Director of Experimental Farms.

REPORT OF THE AGRICULTURIST

(J. H. GRISDALE, B. AGR.)

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith reports upon the horses, cattle, sheep, swine and farming operations under my supervision during the past year.

I have to report a fairly successful year in the different branches of my division and in this connection I wish to acknowledge my indebtedness for assistance and interested co-operation in their various positions of the farm foreman, Mr. John Fixter, of the herdsman, Mr. C. T. Brettell, and of the dairyman, Mr. J. Meilleur.

During the year I have attended a number of meetings in various parts of Canada, and have conducted a number of student judging contests, in addition to my regular work of supervising and directing the experimental feeding and farming operations at the Central Experimental Farm.

From December 1, 1903, to November 30, 1904, 2,067 letters were received and 2,967 despatched by the agricultural division.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

J. H. GRISDALE,
Agriculturist.

LIVE STOCK.

The live stock now (December 1, 1904) occupying the different stables and pens under my charge include horses, cattle, sheep and swine.

HORSES.

The horses are kept for labour exclusively, although some experimental feeding is usually under way to gain some information as to the most economical methods of feeding draught horses, as well as experiments to determine the comparative values of different foods as forage for the same.

The horses are usually 19 in number, made up of:—

Thirteen heavy draught horses of Clydesdales and Percheron blood.

Five heavy driving horses.

One light driver.

CATTLE.

There are representatives of four breeds of cattle, viz.:—Shorthorn, Ayrshire, Guernsey and Canadian. There are besides, a number of grade cattle and steers. These cattle are kept for breeding and feeding operations, mostly of an experimental character. Pure bred breeding animals are usually on sale, however, and a considerable number are sold in the course of the year.

Pure Bred Breeding Cattle.

The pure bred cattle in the barns at present are as follows:—

Shorthorns, including 3 bulls and 13 females.

Ayrshires, including 2 bulls and 15 females.

Guernseys, including 4 bulls and 8 females.

Canadians, including 1 bull and 7 females.

Grade Cattle.

At present the grades number 17 head, made up of 3 Shorthorn grades, 5 Ayrshire grades, 7 Guernsey grades and 2 Canadian grades.

Steers.

Sixty-three steers are under feed at present. They are of different ages and breeding and the number is made up of:—

15 three-year-olds.	16 yearlings.
20 two-year-olds.	12 calves.

SHEEP.

Sheep are not kept in large numbers, only 43 being now in the pens. Two breeds are kept, namely : Shropshires and Leicesters.

There are 25 Shropshires, as follows :—1 aged ram, 3 spring ram lambs, 14 aged ewes and 7 ewe lambs.

The Leicesters number 13, made up as follows :—1 aged ram, 2 ram lambs, 7 aged ewes and 4 ewe lambs.

There are besides two grades and three wethers.

SWINE.

One hundred swine of all classes are now in the pens being fed experimentally or being kept for breeding purposes. The breeds kept are Berkshires, Tamworths and Yorkshires.

The Yorkshires are 37 in number, including :

2 stock boars.	6 young sows.
4 young boars.	15 sucklings.
10 breeding sows.	

The Berkshires are 7 in number, including :

1 stock boar.	2 young sows.
4 breeding sows.	

The Tamworths are 5 in number, including :

3 breeding sows.	1 young boar.
1 young sow.	

HORSES.

There are 19 horses in the stables. These horses are expected to do the work in the various departments during the year. The work on the '200 acre farm' is but a part of their duties. They work in addition for the horticultural and experimental departments, as well as upon the lawns and in the arboretum. In addition a large amount of hauling in connection with the different departments, as well as road making and messenger service, takes up much of their time.

HORSE LABOUR.

During the year from December 1, 1903, to November 30, 1904, the work done by the 19 horses kept in the stables here was equivalent to 5,260 days work, distributed as follows:—Live stock, hauling feed, marketing stock, &c., 109 3-10 days ; farm work (200 acre farm), 722 8-10 days ; draining and care of roads, including removing snow and breaking roads in winter, 92 days ; manure on 200 acre farm, 261 6-10 days ; cleaning land, gathering stones, &c., 84 5-10 days ; arboretum, 169 5-10 days ; horticultural division, 611 5-10 days ; lawns, &c., 160 5-10 days ; experimental division, 586 days ; bulletins and reports to and from farm office, 100 days ; poultry, 8 1-10 days ; mail, including milk delivery, 171 7-10 days ; omnibus service, including 3 horses for omnibus, 2 horses for general driving and 1 horse for supervision of work, 2,122 days ; work about greenhouse, outbuildings, sidewalks, exhibitions, &c., 60 5-10 days.

In estimating the cost of farming operations further on in this report, \$2.50 per day is charged for team and driver. To feed and care for the horses, cost 37 cents per

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

horse per working day, and the driver received \$1.41 $\frac{3}{4}$ per day. It is evident therefore that the team and driver cost \$2.16 per day, leaving a margin of 32 cents, or 16 cents per horse per day for wear and tear.

FEEDING HEAVY HORSES.

Several experiments in feeding heavy horses have been conducted during the year.

Not infrequently oats are high-priced when bran is cheap. During the past year chopped oats have usually sold for from \$24 to \$26 per ton on the Ottawa markets. Bran has been as low as \$15 per ton.

To the man with many horses to feed, economy in the meal part of the ration is a most important consideration. For that reason one of the experiments was conducted for the purpose of finding out if bran could be used to any considerable extent as a substitute for oats.

The horses, 12 in number, were divided into 6 groups of 2 each; the roughage ration in each case being oat hay.

Group 1 received a meal mixture of equal parts of oats and bran; group 2, 1 part bran to 2 parts oats; group 3, 2 parts bran to 1 part oats; group 4, pure oats; group 5 oil meal 1 part, oats 10 parts; and group 6, bran 2 parts, oil meal 1 part, and oats 10 parts. The oats were ground in every case, and the ground oats or other meal and ground oats were mixed with the cut hay and the whole mass dampened.

The meal was fed in three nearly equal portions morning, noon and night, while only about one-fifth of the hay was fed in the morning, as much at noon, and the balance or three-fifths at night.

To illustrate, one of the horses in group 1 received his rations as follows:—
Morning, hay, 3 lbs.; meal mixture, 6 lbs.

Noon, hay, 3 lbs.; meal mixture, 6 lbs.

Evening, hay, 8 lbs.; meal mixture, 5 lbs.

The meal mixture and cut oat hay being mixed together and slightly dampened in each case.

BRAN FEEDING EXPERIMENT—OAT HAY.

Group.	Average weight Sept. 25.	Meal Ration, kind.	Hay (all fed on oat hay).	Amt. Meal Mixture fed in 40 days to 1 horse.		Amt. Oat Hay fed in 40 days.		Daily Meal Ration.	Daily Hay Ration.	Average weight Nov. 4.	Loss — or Gain +		Value of Food consumed in 1 day.	Value of Food consumed in 40 days.	Cost of Food for 1 year if such a meal ration were fed.	Cost of Food for 1 year if pure oats were fed.	Saving in 1 year by feeding such grain ration rather than pure oats.
											Lbs.	Cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
1	1,488	Bran ... 1 Oats ... 1	Oat hay.	680	580	17	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,495			7+	22	8 80	80 30	89 06	8 76	
2	1,604	Bran ... 1 Oats ... 2	" ..	800	760	20	19	1,601			3—	27 98	11 19	102 12	111 83	9 71	
3	1,685	Bran ... 2 Oats ... 1	" ..	800	700	20	17 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,649			36—	24 77	8 91	90 41	109 94	19 53	
4	1,669	Oats ...	" ..	800	760	20	19	1,663			6—	39 65	12 26	111 87	111 87		
5	1,656	Oil meal 1 Oats ... 10	" ..	680	740	17	18 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,622			34	27 22	19 89	99 35	98 10	1.25 loss	
6	1,673	Bran ... 2 Oil meal 1 Oats ... 10	" ..	800	620	20	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,624			49—	28 61	11 44	104 46	107 38	2 92	

Group 1, on bran and oats equal parts, seemed to like their ration and did very well on it during the 40 days, making a gain of 7 lbs. each in that time. This is a meal mixture that may safely be fed by any owner of heavy horses, as they are practically certain to do well on it. It is much better adapted for feeding with timothy hay than is a ration of pure oats. Where bran was valued at \$16 per ton and ground oats at \$24, there would be a saving of \$8.76 in the cost of feeding a horse for one year as compared with feeding pure oats.

Group 2, on bran 1 part and oats 2 parts, did very well on their ration and seemed to relish it.

Group 3, on bran 2 parts and oats 1 part, seemed fond of the meal mixture, but did not like the oat hay and consequently lost somewhat in weight. When timothy hay was substituted for the oat hay, however, this group came up in weight and did as well as any of the others. This mixture may be recommended as a good meal ration for working horses and is certainly very economical in comparison with pure oats, since there would be a saving of \$19.53 in the year by feeding such a ration instead of an equal weight of pure oats.

Group 4, on pure oats did very well. Their ration, however, while not any heavier than that of several other groups cost 30.65 cents for the day or 2 cents more than the next most expensive.

Group 5, on oil meal 1 part, and oats 10 parts, seemed to enjoy their food but succeeded in losing 34 lbs. each in weight. Oil meal is usually found to give very good results and even in this case seemed to help keep the horses in good health and spirits. The price was against it, however, as it was found to have raised the cost of the ration slightly above what it would have been had pure oats been fed.

Group 6, on bran 2 parts, oil meal 1 part, and oats 10 parts, would be considered by most horsemen as being an ideal ration. They liked the meal very much but did not care for the oat hay. As soon as put on timothy hay they started to recover in weight very rapidly. Even though bran constituted such a small portion of the ration it more than overcame the extra cost of the oil meal and there was a slight saving over what would have been the cost had pure oats been fed, viz.: \$2.92 in one year.

All the horses were on general farm work. Sometimes one team would for a few days be put at harder work than the others but things were fairly equal.

The oat hay had been cut a little on the ripe side and was not very palatable. A glance at the following table will show how the groups were affected by the change to timothy hay.

BRAN FEEDING EXPERIMENT—TIMOTHY HAY.

Group.	Average weight when starting oat hay, Sept. 25.	Average weight when finishing oat hay, Nov. 4.	Loss — or Gain + while on oat hay 40 days.	Average weight 10 days after being fed on timothy hay.	Average gain in 10 days after change from oat hay to timothy hay.	Meal Ration.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	1,488	1,495	7+	1,507	12	Bran 1, oats 1.
2	1,604	1,601	3—	1,628	27	Bran 1, oats 2.
3	1,685	1,649	36—	1,668	17	Bran 2, oats 1.
4	1,669	1,663	6—	1,674	11	Oats.
5	1,656	1,622	34—	1,633	11	Oil meal 1, oats 10.
6	1,673	1,624	49—	1,656	32	Bran 2, oil meal 1, oats 10.

FEEDING ROOTS AND ENSILAGE TO WORKING HORSES.

The effect of feeding roots or ensilage to working horses has been studied during the year and a few points noted.

The roots experimented with were turnips, mangels and carrots, in addition one lot received ensilage as a part of their ration. A check lot receiving no feed other than the regular ration of hay, oats and bran was under feed at the same time.

The following table shows the amount of each kind of succulent food fed and the results so far as the gains or losses in weight of the horses are concerned.

Group.	Average weight Nov. 19.	Kind of roots fed 1 horse.	Amount fed in 14 days.	Average daily feed of roots.	Meal Ration, amt. fed in 1 day.	Hay (mixed), amt. fed in 1 day.	Average weight of horses Dec. 3.	Loss -- or Gain +
1	1,490	Carrots.	152	10	17	13		Lbs.
2	1,625	Mangels.	92	6	18	15	1,460	30—
3	1,657	Turnips.	152	10	18	15	1,610	15—
4	1,595	Ensilage.	152	10	18	15	1,657	
5	1,625	18	15	1,590	5—
							1,642	17+

As a general conclusion it may be stated that when such amounts of roots, &c., as are indicated in the table are fed horses working every day, the effect is not likely to be very good. The roots, &c., are laxative in character, hence any heavy exercise when receiving such food induces an undue looseness which is both unpleasant and injurious. There seemed to be but little preference in this respect among the feeds mentioned, and the only horses not suffering from this affection during the period of the experiment were the ones getting no succulent food.

Turnips and carrots seemed the most palatable of the four, with ensilage almost as welcome to the horses and mangels not at all in favour.

It was observed that fed in smaller amounts per day or fed to idle horses no evil effects were noticeable. The roots or ensilage did not seem to replace any of the regular ration of meal and hay and the feeding of these feeds was an added expense rather than an economy.

Where fed to idle horses, however, or where fed to horses it was desired to put in better condition, a small amount of roots—5 to 8 pounds per day—has been found beneficial, as serving to prevent digestion troubles.

DAIRY CATTLE.

The herd of dairy cattle during the year 1904 consisted of 28 females all told. They were:—

Ayrshires.....	6
Guernseys.....	5
Canadians.....	4
Shorthorns.....	3
Shorthorn grades.....	2
Ayrshire grades.....	4
Guernsey grades.....	3
Canadian grades.....	1

FEEDING THE DAIRY CATTLE.

One important consideration in feeding dairy cows is to make the ration not only as effective as possible as a milk-producing ration, but to make it as cheap as possible, and at the same time productive of good results. From the farmer's standpoint the most expensive part of the ration is the grain or meal part thereof. Our experience goes to show that with the use of clover hay and succulent food there is not the same, nor nearly the same need of a large proportion of meal in the ration as there is when either the one or the other of these most valuable milk-producing foods is lacking. When both are absent the amount of meal necessary to insure good returns from the cattle is so great as to render the profitable production of milk almost impossible in winter.

Both clover hay and succulent food are produced in abundance on the farm here and every advantage is taken of these, to the dairy farmer, invaluable feeds, to reduce the cost of producing milk.

Accordingly, the roughage ration fed to the cows consisted of ensilage, roots, (mangels and sugar-mangels), clover hay and some chaff.

The amount of roughage fed varies considerably, since the milch cows vary in weight from 800 lbs. to 1,600 lbs. The approximate roughage ration fed per 1,000 lbs. live weight is 35 lbs. ensilage, 20 lbs. mangels, 3 lbs. clover hay and a little chaff.

The meal mixture or grain ration consisted of different mixtures at different times and for different cows. Cows in heavy milk should receive a meal ration very rich in milk-forming material.

In feeding meal, even more than in feeding roughage, to dairy cows there is every opportunity for the careless or ignorant feeder to waste much valuable feed by feeding in too large quantities, or by feeding the wrong kind of meal or grain.

SUMMER FEEDING.

The cows were pastured as usual during the greater part of the summer months. They occupied one field of the three year rotation marked 'E' on page 82, and referred to there, as being under pasture in 1904. This field was able to carry about fifty head of cattle for over a month and over thirty head for over two months. When the pasture began to get bare it was supplemented by soiling crops cut and fed in the stables. A somewhat heavier grain ration was fed this year than usual on account of the greater extent to which soiling was carried on. The meal ration in summer consisted of oats and bran about equal parts. It was fed in amounts varying with the milk yield of the cows being fed, save in the case of heifers with their first calves which usually received more than their records seemed to call for as it was desired to encourage them and to cultivate in them the habit of maintaining a heavy and uniform flow of milk during the whole lactation period.

COST OF FEEDING.

In estimating the cost of feeding, the following prices were charged for feed stuffs, being the average local market rates for the same during the season of 1904, save in the case of ensilage and roots, which are charged for at the rate usually affixed in experimental feeding in all parts of America.

Pasture (per month)	\$ 1 00	per cow.
Bran	16 00	per ton.
Gluten meal and oil meal	25 00	"
Oats and barley	21 00	"
Clover hay	7 00	"
Chaff	4 00	"
Roots and ensilage	2 00	"

In estimating the value of the product, 20 cents per pound is allowed for the butter and 15 cents per hundred pounds for the skim-milk and buttermilk. The butter

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

is manufactured in the farm dairy and sells on the market at from 22 to 30 cents per pound, an average of about 24 cents per pound during the last year. This leaves about 4 cents per pound for cost of manufacture.

The following tables give in detail the particulars concerning each cow, herd statements for each of the pure-bred herds, and monthly statements for all the herds combined.

The monthly statements for the whole milking herd show the total yield of milk for each month, its butter-fat content, the amount of butter produced, the number of pounds of milk required for a pound of butter, and the average yield of milk per cow per diem. The highest average per cent of fat was recorded in October and the lowest in January.

DAIRY CATTLE REPORTS.

During the year 28 different cows were milked for shorter or longer periods, as indicated on the first page of my report on dairy cattle, whereas in the subjoined 'herd reports' only 20 animals are reported upon.

In almost any dairy herd of any size some cows will be found that for some reason have given milk during only a very small part of any given year. Where a large number of cows are being considered, one or two such cases introduced in estimating the average does not materially affect the same, but where the herds to be compared are small the consideration of one or two such cases in one herd and no such cases in another makes an unjust difference in favour of the latter herd. To overcome this difficulty as far as possible, the records of three of the best cows in each herd, and of cows that had been in milk for the greater part of the year, have been taken, and the averages estimated from these records, rather than from the records of all cows of that particular breed that happened to calve during the year.

Report 1 is a summary of the more important points in connection with the year's work with the dairy herd.

Report 2 contains the individual records of all cows that gave milk during the year.

Reports 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 give the herd records of the several pure-bred and grade herds under test.

REPORT 1.

GENERAL SUMMARY.

	November.	December.	January.	February.	March.	April.	May.	June.	July.	August.	September.	October.	Total.
No. of cows giving milk for month.	26	26	24	20	24	25	25	28	28	26	25	25	
Lbs. of milk for month.	11,640	11,363	8,148	7,919	13,394	17,233	19,614	22,400	21,242	18,653	15,467	14,251	181,321
Average for 1 day.	388	378.7	271.6	263.8	446.4	574.4	553.8	746.6	708	621.7	515.5	475	494.8
Daily average per cow.	14.92	14.56	11.31	13.19	18.60	22.97	22.15	26.66	25.28	23.91	20.62	19	18.59
Per cent fat.	4.67	4.84	4.79	4.48	4.20	4.26	4.28	4.27	4.13	4.04	4.20	4.57	4.41
Lb. butter.	546.69	533.28	420.21	343.28	544.53	712.22	833.18	942.96	861.96	747.07	633.64	641.96	7761.01
Lb. milk for lb. butter.	643.16	627.38	494.40	403.86	610.60	837.96	980.21	1109.36	1014.07	878.90	745.45	755.23	9130.60
Per cent fat.	18.09	18.11	16.48	19.60	20.90	20.50	20.01	20.19	20.99	21.22	20.74	18.86	19.85

REPORT 2.

INDIVIDUAL COW RECORDS.

Name of Cows.	Age.	Date of dropping calf.	Number of days in milk.	Daily average yield of milk.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds butter produced in year.	Value of butter at 20 cts. per lb.	Value of skim milk at 15 cts. per 100 lbs.	Total value of product.	Amount moved eaten, valued at 1c. per lb.	Amount of roots and ensilage eaten, valued at \$2 per ton.	Amount hay, valued at \$7 per ton.	Months on pasture at \$1 per month.	Total cost of feed for year.	Cost to produce 100 lbs. milk.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter, skim-milk neglected.	Profit on 1 lb. butter, skim-milk neglected.	Profit on cow during year, labour neglected.
			Days.	Lbs.	Lbs.	p. c.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Mos.	\$ cts.	Cts.	Cts.	\$ cts.
Queenie	(G. G.)	6 Apr. 5, '04	324	19.2	6,250	6.12	450.30	90 06	8 70	98 76	1,596	11,210	941	5	36 97	59 28	8.2	11.8	61 79
Fortune	(C.)	8 Nov. 27, '04	333	26	8,734	4.96	468.60	93 72	10 89	104 61	2,359	11,960	911	5	45 35	51 92	9.7	10.3	59 26
Zanora	(C.)	8 May 24, '04	327	23.5	7,658	4.91	442.48	88 48	10 67	99 15	1,972	11,960	941	5	41 48	54 16	9.3	10.7	57 67
Jessie A	(A.)	16 Feb. 15, '04	313	32.2	10,086	3.77	447.85	89 57	14 45	104 02	2,486	12,120	941	5	46 78	46 38	10.4	9.6	57 24
Itchen Lady	(G.)	7 May 4, '04	334	26.6	7,782	4.50	412.39	82 47	11 05	93 52	1,906	11,815	941	5	40 67	52 26	9.8	10.2	52 85
Exile	(C.)	9 " 1, '04	334	25.8	8,628	4.10	416.90	83 88	12 31	95 69	2,214	11,960	941	5	43 90	51 21	10.5	9.5	51 79
Courtesy	(A. G.)	6 Apr. 2, '04	324	25.4	8,258	4.10	389.41	77 88	11 89	89 77	1,952	11,810	941	5	41 13	49 80	12.1	7.9	48 64
Flossy Lyons	(G.)	4 Feb. 25, '04	319	20	6,386	5.12	384.92	76 98	9 00	85 98	1,724	11,210	941	5	41 22	47 09	10.9	10.1	47 73
Maggie	(A.)	8 Mar. 27, '04	300	28.6	8,595	3.76	381.03	72 74	12 02	88 22	1,965	11,815	941	5	36 61	51 23	10.7	9.9	46 30
Bellflower	(G. G.)	9 Apr. 15, '04	275	25.9	7,146	4.32	363.74	72 74	10 17	82 91	1,500	11,815	941	5	43 46	56 71	11.1	9.1	44 30
Polly	(G. C.)	9 Mar. 24, '04	343	22.3	7,665	4.30	388.56	77 71	10 91	88 62	2,201	11,060	941	5	40 10	52 27	10.9	9.2	42 18
Denty	(A.)	5 Feb. 6, '04	284	27.1	7,672	4.06	361.82	72 36	8 89	81 25	1,776	11,515	941	5	39 07	66 59	10.8	8.4	40 88
Alma	(G. G.)	3 " 28, '04	321	20.1	6,291	4.88	374.98	74 99	9 18	84 17	2,168	11,815	941	5	41 32	67 00	11.4	8.6	40 07
Deanie	(G.)	7 Aug. 29, '04	366	17.7	6,501	4.90	363.14	72 62	8 58	81 20	1,882	11,815	941	5	41 82	51 30	12	8	20 87
Ruby	(G.)	7 Sept. 28, '04	321	18.9	6,084	5.07	267.59	63 62	9 75	77 87	1,919	11,332	941	5	39 36	57 51	11.6	8.4	38 01
Marchioness	(S.)	10 Mar. 10, '04	321	18.8	6,054	3.75	338.10	67 62	8 68	62 19	1,775	11,810	941	5	41 39	51 49	12.3	7.9	33 53
Bloomer	(A.)	5 " 12, '04	326	22.4	7,750	3.87	307.32	61 22	9 66	70 87	1,613	11,480	941	5	41 39	51 49	12.3	6.7	32 18
Alice	(G. A.)	3 " 20, '04	322	19.1	6,750	3.87	307.32	61 22	11 59	76 81	2,253	11,815	941	5	43 59	79 18	15.3	4.7	21 23
Laura	(G. A.)	8 Feb. 9, '04	331	27.5	8,057	3.65	329	65 40	10 31	76 81	2,253	11,815	941	5	40 84	66 62	15.4	4.6	20 98
Rosy	(G. S.)	5 Oct. 5, '03	366	20.7	7,694	3.65	284.96	56 99	7 83	61 82	2,198	11,815	941	5	37 62	82 57	16.9	3.1	13 35
Janet	(S.)	3 Nov. 14, '03	328	18.6	6,130	3.67	295.19	53 03	8 79	61 82	1,923	11,815	941	5	40 84	66 62	15.4	3.1	13 35
Gurka	(S.)	4 Dec. 13, '03	323	16.56	4,566	4.15	222.44	44 48	6 49	50 97	1,863	11,815	941	5	37 62	82 57	16.9	3.1	13 35
Duchess	(S.)	3 Dec. 13, '03	275	16.56	4,566	4.15	222.44	44 48	6 49	50 97	1,863	11,815	941	5	37 62	82 57	16.9	3.1	13 35
Cherry	(G. S.)	4 Sept. 14, '04	314	15.1	4,759	3.78	212.06	42 41	6 82	49 23	1,861	11,660	941	5	45 38	1.04	18.9	1.1	9 10
Fleeky	(A.)	5 Mar. 14, '04	308	14.09	4,342	4.27	128.55	43 71	6 13	28 14	2,346	12,125	941	5	25 21	1.13	20.1	2 93
Claford Spot	(G. A.)	10 May 22, '04	143	15.5	2,217	4.79	125.05	25 01	3 13	28 14	797	7,600	941	5	35 45	95 63	21.5	2 84
Alvina	(G. A.)	3 July 21, '04	254	14.03	3,705	3.78	164.93	32 98	5 31	38 29	1,399	11,660	941	5	35 45	95 63	21.5	2 84
Duchesse	(C.)	309	6,614	331.58	66 30	9 32	75 63	1,908	11,582	9294	5	40 41	35 22
Average	309	6,614	331.58	66 30	9 32	75 63	1,908	11,582	9294	5	40 41	35 22

Name of Cows.	Age.	Date of dropping calf.	Number of days in milk.	Daily average yield of milk.	Total milk for year.	Per cent of fat in milk.	Pounds of butter produced in year.	Value of butter at 20 cents per lb.	Value of skim-milk at 15 cents per 100 lbs.	Total value of products.	Amount of meal eaten, valued at 1c. per lb.	Amount of roots and ensilage eaten, valued at \$2 per ton.	Amount of hay, valued at \$7 per ton.	Months on pasture at \$1 per month.	Total cost of feed for year.	Cost to produce 100 lbs. of milk.	Cost to produce 1 lb. butter, skim-milk neglected.	Profit on 1 lb. butter, skim-milk neglected.	Cts.	Profit on cows during year, labour neglected.	Sex of calf dropped during the year.	Value of calf, or price for which it sold.	Total returns from cow, milk and calf.
			Days.	Lbs.	Lbs.	p. c.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Mos.	\$ cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	\$ cts.		\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Marchioness... (S)	10	Mar. 10, '04	321	18.8	6,054	3.75	267.59	53.51	8.68	62.19	1,919	12,332	941	5	41.32	51.30	12.0	8.0	20.87	Heifer...	v. 75.00	137.19	
Janet...	3	Nov. 14, '03	290	13.81	5,505	4.4	284.96	56.99	7.83	64.82	2,198	11,815	941	5	43.59	79.18	15.3	4.7	21.23	Bull...	v. 100.00	164.82	
Buchess...	3	Dec. 13, '03	275	16.56	4,556	4.15	222.44	44.48	6.49	50.97	1,883	10,525	641	5	37.62	82.57	16.9	3.1	13.35	"	v. 100.00	150.97	
Average			295	16.39	5,335	4.10	258.33	51.66	7.66	59.32	2,000	11,557	841	5	40.84	71.01	14.7	5.2	21.82			91.66	150.99
AYRSHIRES.																							
Jessie A...	10	Feb. 15, '04	313	22.2	10,086	3.77	447.85	89.57	14.45	104.02	2,486	12,120	941	5	46.78	46.38	10.4	9.6	57.24	Heifer...	v. 75.00	179.02	
Maggie...	8	Mar. 27, '04	300	28.6	8,335	3.75	381.03	76.20	12.02	88.22	1,963	11,810	941	5	41.22	47.09	10.9	9.1	47.00	"	v. 50.00	138.22	
Denty...	5	Feb. 6, '04	284	27.1	7,572	4.06	367.28	73.45	10.95	84.40	1,834	11,965	941	5	40.10	52.27	10.9	9.1	44.30	2 bulls...	s. 55.00	139.40	
Average			299	29.3	8,734	3.86	398.72	79.74	12.47	92.21	2,095	11,965	941	5	42.70	48.58	10.7	9.2	49.51			60.00	152.55
GUERNSEYS.																							
Ischen Lady...	7	May 4, '04	294	26.6	7,782	4.50	412.39	82.47	11.05	93.62	1,906	11,815	941	5	40.67	52.26	9.8	10.2	52.85	Bull...	s. 30.00	123.52	
Flossy Lyons...	4	Feb. 25, '04	319	20	6,386	5.12	384.92	76.98	9.10	85.98	1,724	11,210	941	5	38.25	59.89	9.9	10.1	47.73	Heifer...	v. 35.00	120.98	
Deanie...	7	Aug. 27, '01	466	17.7	6,501	4.90	374.98	74.99	9.18	84.17	2,168	11,815	941	5	43.29	66.59	11.6	8.4	40.88	Bull...	s. 25.00	109.17	
Average			359	21.4	6,839	4.84	390.76	78.14	9.74	87.89	1,932	11,613	941	5	40.73	59.58	10.4	9.5	47.15			30.00	117.89
CANADIANS.																							
Fortune d'Oka...	8	Nov. 27, '04	335	26	8,734	4.56	468.60	93.72	10.89	104.61	2,359	11,960	941	5	45.35	51.92	9.7	10.3	59.26	Bull...	s. 30.00	123.72	
Zanora...	8	May 24, '04	327	23.5	7,658	4.91	442.43	88.48	10.67	99.75	1,972	11,960	941	5	41.48	54.16	9.3	10.7	57.67	Heifer...	v. 50.00	138.48	
Exlie...	9	" 1, '04	334	25.8	8,628	4.10	416.90	83.88	12.31	95.69	2,214	11,960	941	5	43.90	51.21	10.5	9.5	51.79	Bull...	s. 25.00	108.38	
Average			332	25.1	8,340	4.52	442.64	88.52	11.29	99.81	2,181	11,960	941	5	43.57	52.43	9.8	10.1	56.24			35.00	123.52

• SHORTHORN GRADES.

Name of Cows.	Age.	Date of dropping last calf.	Number of days in milk.		Daily average yield of milk.		Total milk for year.		Per cent of fat in milk.		Pounds of butter produced in year.		Value of butter at 20c. per lb.		Value of skim-milk at 15c. per 100 lbs.		Total value of product.		Amount of meal eaten, valued at 1c. per lb.		Amount of roots and ensilage eaten, valued at \$2 per ton.		Amount of hay, valued at \$7 per ton.		Months in pasture at \$1 per month.		Total cost of food for year.		Cost to produce 100 lbs. milk.		Cost to produce 1 lb. butter, skin-milk neglected.		Profit on 1 lb. butter, skin-milk neglected.		Profit on cow during year, labour neglected.		Sex of calf dropped during year.		Value of calf or price for which it sold.		Total returns from cow, milk and calf.	
			Days.	Lbs.	Lbs.	p. c.	Lbs.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.	Lbs.	Mos.	\$	cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$	cts.	Cts.	\$	cts.	Cts.	\$	cts.	Cts.	\$	cts.	Cts.	\$	cts.					
Rosy	5	Oct. 5, '03	366	20.7	7 604	3.65	327	65	40	10	91	76	31	2,253	11,815	941	5	44	14	58	05	13	5	6	5	32	17	Bull	5 00	81	31	5 00	57	00								
Cherry	4	Sept 14, '04	303	14.8	4,502	4.36	227	98	45	59	6	41	52	00	1,864	11,815	941	5	40	25	89	00	17	6	2	4	11	"	"	5 00	69	15	5 00	69	15							
Average			334	17.7	6,053	3.97	277	49	55	49	8	66	64	15	2,058	11,815	941	5	42	19	73	52	15	5	4	21	96															

AYRSHIRE GRADES.

Countess	6	Apr. 2, '01	324	25.4	8,258.4	389.41	77.88	11.89	89.77	1,952	11,810	941	5	41	13	49	80	12.1	7.9	48.04	Heifer.	5	00	94	77
Alice	3	Mar. 20, '04	352	19.1	6,750.3	67.32	61.22	9.66	70.88	1,613	11,480	941	5	37	35	55	33	12.1	7.9	33.53	Bull.	5	00	70	88
Laura	8	Feb. 9, '04	291	27.5	8,037.3	65.309	90.61	98.11	73.57	1,978	11,815	941	5	41	39	51	49	13.3	6.7	32.18	"	5	00	73	57
Average			322	24	7,681.3	84.335	54.67	02.11	04.78	1,847	11,701	941	5	39	95	52	20	12.5	7.5	38.11	...	5	00	79	74

GUERNSEY GRADES.

Queenie	6 Apr. 5, '04	324	19.2	6,250	6.12	450	30	90	06	8 70	98 76	1,596	11,210	941	5 36-97	59 28	8-2	11-8	61-79	Bull.	98 76
Ballflower	6 Apr. 15, '04	275	25.9	7,146	4.32	363	74	72	74	10 17	82 91	1,500	11,815	941	5 36-61	51 23	10-7	9-3	46-50	"	82 91
Alma	3 Feb. 28, '04	312	20.1	6,201	4.88	361	82	72	36	8 89	81 25	1,776	11,515	941	5 39-07	62 10	10-8	9-2	42-18	Heifer.	2 00	83 25
Average	303	21.7	6,502	5.10	391	95	78	38	9.25	87 64	1,624	11,546	941	5 37 35	57 53	9-9	10-1	50-02	2 00	88 30

DAILY RECORDS.

The effort to interest dairymen in the returns from their individual cows has been continued, and many farmers seem to be awakening to a knowledge of the fact that the improvement of the whole herd demands the study of the unit; that is, a close acquaintance with the expenditure upon the individual cow and the returns from the same. This can be determined in no other way than by keeping an exact record of the daily milk yield and the daily food consumption.

Forms, similar to the following, for keeping a record of the milk yield are still supplied free on application.

DAIRY MILK RECORD.

Herd belonging to
Post Office.....
Record for week ending

(This form supplied free by Live Stock
Division, Central Experimental
Farm, Ottawa, Ont).

COWS.

Day.	Time.																		Total for Day.
Sunday.	Morning.....																		
	Evening.....																		
Monday.....	Morning.....																		
	Evening.....																		
Tuesday.....	Morning.....																		
	Evening.....																		
Wednesday..	Morning.....																		
	Evening.....																		
Thursday.....	Morning.....																		
	Evening.....																		
Friday.....	Morning.....																		
	Evening.....																		
Saturday.....	Morning.....																		
	Evening.....																		
Total.....	Week.....																		

(Reverse.)

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

WM. SAUNDERS, Director.

J. H. GRIDDALE, Live Stock and Agriculture.

MILK RECORDS.

1. The profitable dairy cow must give over 5,000 pounds of milk each year. To know the value of a cow her total annual yield of milk must be known. The only way to know this is to keep a record of her daily milk yield.

2. The form on the other side of this sheet is intended to help progressive dairy farmers by supplying them with a simple and convenient sheet for the keeping of the milk records of their individual cows. A study of such records will soon indicate which cows should go to the butcher. We would be pleased to receive a summary of your record. If you have no summary forms write us.

3. Such records are being kept by hundreds of successful dairymen to-day. Many of these men attribute their success to the keeping of such records. Why not give the

thing a trial if you are a dairyman? It will increase your milk product. It will lighten your labour, since your interest will be increased in your work, and 'interest lightens labour.' It will show you the unprofitable cow the 'boarder.' You cannot get rid of her too quickly.

4. For weighing the milk a simple spring balance may be secured for from one to three dollars. If your local dealer cannot supply you, write the undersigned for particulars. A small platform scale is fairly convenient, but we find the spring balance preferable.

5. Many farmers keep records of the amount of food fed to individual cows. If you would like to do so, sample forms would be sent free on writing J. H. Grisdale, Agriculturist, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, Ont.

EXPERIMENTS WITH DAIRY COWS.

In reporting upon the following experiments with dairy cows, a few introductory remarks are submitted, a careful reading of which will help in understanding the results.

A careful examination of the daily milk records of many cows shows that for from 2 to 3 months the milk flow increases or remains nearly uniform; for the next 3 or 4 months the decrease is at the rate of about 10 per cent, and then till the end of the lactation period the rate of decrease seems to vary very much, some cows decreasing very rapidly, and others very slowly indeed. It is, therefore, rather difficult to say what the normal rate of decrease in milk flow in a given group of cows really should be, even though the dates of calving were known. It would probably be safe, however, to say that 10 per cent per month was the regular rate of decrease, and taking that rate as the basis, some idea of the influence of the different feeds on the milk flow may be formed.

In estimating the values of rations, hay is charged at \$7 per ton; ensilage, turnips, mangels and sugar mangels at \$2; sugar beets at \$3 per ton, and meal at \$20 per ton.

The cows in the different experiments were in some cases dry, in other cases far advanced in the lactation period, and in other cases newly calved.

ENSILAGE VS. MANGELS.

The cows in these groups were all fairly well advanced in lactation and the experiment was in every way satisfactory, no mishap or untoward circumstance arising. It will be observed that while the mangels were practically no better milk producers than the ensilage, the mangel ration cost 1.2 cents more per diem than the ensilage ration. The table is self-explanatory. The 'summaries' are the averages of the results of group 'C' on mangels and group 'D' on mangels, and the same when on ensilage.

METHOD OF FEEDING.

	1st period of 2 weeks.				2nd period of 2 weeks.				3rd period of 2 weeks.				Summaries.	
	Group C.		Group D.		Group C.		Group D.		Group C.		Group D.		Summary.	Summary.
	Number in group, 4.	Regular Ration.	Number in group, 4.	Regular Ration.	Number in group, 4.	Ensilage, Hay, Meal.	Number in group, 4.	Mangels, Hay, Meal.	Number in group, 4.	Mangels, Hay, Meal.	Number in group, 4.	Ensilage, Hay, Meal.		
Average weight to start.						1,024	922		1,044	941			983	982
Loss or gain +						1,044	941		1,071	969			1,006	1,006
Meal fed group in 1 day.						+ 20	+ 19		+ 27	+ 28			+ 23	+ 24
Hay fed group in 1 day.						32	30		28	29			294	293
Ensilage and roots fed group in 1 day.						12	20		20	20			20	20
Ensilage fed group in 1 day.						200	190							
Mangels fed group in 1 day.														
Meal fed in 2 weeks.						222					178		271	200
Hay fed in 2 weeks.							277							
Ensilage and roots in 2 weeks.						448	434		265	406			409	413
Mangels in 2 weeks.						168	168		399	280			280	280
Ensilage in 2 weeks.						2,800	2,660		280					
Value of food fed group in 2 weeks.									3,880				3,795	
Value of food fed 1 cow in 1 day.						7 87	7 59		3,105		2,495			2,800
Milk produced by group in 2 weeks.						14	13 5		8 68		7 53		8 87	7 91
First day's milk from group.						1,204	1,162		15 5		13 5		15 4	14 2
Second day's milk from group.						87	82		972	991			1,007	1,003
Average daily yield of group during 1st week.						85	84		71	72			71	71
Average daily yield of group during 2nd week.						87	85		73	73			75	73
Average daily yield of group during 2 weeks.						84	82		70	70			73	73
Next to last day's milk from group.						86	83		69	69			71	70
Last day's milk from group.						83	80		70	70			72	71
Decrease in rate of daily milk yield in 2 weeks.						3	3		68	68			73	68
Per cent decrease in rate of daily milk yield.						3	5		68	70			73	71
						3	6		5	4			4	6
						3			5	3			4	8
						3			12	5			5	

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ROOTS AND ENSILAGE, TURNIPS AND SUGAR MANGELS
and

ROOTS AND ENSILAGE, SUGAR MANGELS AND SUGAR BEETS.

The experiments with sugar beets, sugar mangels and turnips may hardly be said to be as instructive as the previous experiments. They were not carried out quite so fully for two reasons: the supply of sugar beets was quite limited, and the effects of the turnips on the butter was very injurious.

So far as turnips are concerned, the results show them more expensive than ensilage and roots and not much more effective, since the natural rate of decrease is not retarded. They were fed in large quantities and rendered the butter quite unsaleable. When fed after the milk was drawn night and morning the effect was not quite so noticeable but still sufficient to render the butter unpalatable.

Sugar beets was the only succulent food fed that succeeded in entirely overcoming the normal rate of decrease and in even turning it into an increase of about $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in two weeks. But the cost was increased although the amount fed was less than in the case of sugar mangels.

ROOTS AND ENSILAGE, TURNIPS, SUGAR MANGELS AND SUGAR BEETS.

	METHOD OF FEEDING.					
	Group G.			Group H.		
	1st Period.	2nd Period	3rd Period	1st Period.	2nd Period	3rd Period
	Regular Ration.	Turnips, Hay, Meal	Sugar, Mangels, Hay, Meal	Regular Ration.	Sugar Mangels, Hay, Meal	Sugar Beets, Hay, Meal
	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 3.	Number in group 3.	Number in group 3.
Average weight to start. Lbs		1,009	1,016		1,003	1,002
Average weight at end of 2 weeks. . "		1,016	1,051		1,002	1,037
Loss -- or gain + "		+7	+35		-1	+35
Meal fed group in 1 day. "	30	25 $\frac{1}{2}$	23	22	22 $\frac{1}{2}$	20
Hay "	12	20	20	9	15	15
Roots and ensilage fed group in 1 day "	200			150		
Sugar mangels "			280		218	
Turnips "		277				
Sugar beets "						210
Meal fed in 2 weeks. "	420	357	322	308	315	280
Hay "	168	280	280	126	210	210
Roots and ensilage fed in 2 weeks. "	2,800			2,100		
Sugar mangels "			3,920		3,050	
Sugar beets fed in 2 weeks "						2,940
Turnips "		3,880				
Value of food fed group in 2 weeks. \$	7.59	8.43	8.12	5.62	6.94	7.94
" " 1 cow in 1 day. Cts.	13.5	15	14.5	13.3	16.5	18.8
Milk produced by group in 2 weeks Lbs.	928	806	692 $\frac{1}{2}$	836 $\frac{1}{2}$	760	835 $\frac{1}{2}$
First day's milk from group. "	71 $\frac{1}{2}$	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	54	60 $\frac{1}{2}$	59	57 $\frac{1}{2}$
Second "	71	59 $\frac{1}{2}$	55	63	57	58 $\frac{1}{2}$
Average daily yield of group during 1st week "	68 $\frac{1}{2}$	61	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	61 $\frac{1}{2}$	55 $\frac{1}{2}$	58
Average daily yield of group during 2nd week. "	64 $\frac{1}{2}$	54	48 $\frac{1}{2}$	57 $\frac{1}{2}$	54	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
Average daily yield of group during 2 weeks. "	66 $\frac{1}{2}$	57 $\frac{1}{2}$	50	60	54	60
Next to last day's milk from group "	64	53	48 $\frac{1}{2}$	57	54	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
Last day's milk from group "	62 $\frac{1}{2}$	55	48	58	56	60
Decrease in rate of daily milk yield in 2 weeks. "	8	6	6	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	increase 2
Per cent decrease in rate of daily milk yield p. c.	11	10	11	7	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	3 $\frac{1}{2}$

TWO FEEDS VS. THREE FEEDS DAILY.

It is the regular practice here to feed the dairy cows as well as all other cattle twice a day as follows:—roots, ensilage and meal at 5.30 o'clock, first thing in the morning, followed by hay in about an hour and a half, in the afternoon about 3.30 o'clock the other half of the roots and ensilage and meal is given, and shortly after 5 o'clock the rest of the hay is fed. The meal is mixed with the roots and ensilage after it is before the animal and the hay is fed uncut.

Many feeders claim that it is better to feed three times in the day rather than twice even though no more food be fed. The following experiment would seem to indicate that two feeds a day is quite as effective as three feeds.

In lot 'B' one of the cows calved during the preliminary or check fortnight, but as this happened before the real experiment began, it does not affect the results as each group is fed each way.

TWO FEEDS vs. THREE FEEDS DAILY,

METHOD OF FEEDING.				SUMMARIES.			
1st period of 2 weeks.		2nd period of 2 weeks.		3rd period of 2 weeks.			
Group A.	Group B.	Group A.	Group B.	Group A.	Group B.	Summary.	Summary.
2 feeds.	2 feeds.	2 feeds a day.	3 feeds a day.	3 feeds a day.	2 feeds a day.	3 feeds.	2 feeds.
Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.	Number in group, 4.
Average weight to start.							
Average weight at end 2 weeks.							
Loss -- or gain							
Meal fed group in 1 day.							
Hay							
Ensilage and roots fed group in 1 day.							
Mangels							
Turnips							
Sugar beets							
Ensilage							
Meal fed group in 2 weeks							
Hay							
Ensilage and roots fed group in 2 weeks							
Value of feed fed group							
Value of feed fed 1 cow in 1 day.							
Milk produced by group in 2 weeks.							
First day's milk from group.							
Second "							
Average daily yield of group during 1st week.							
2nd "							
2 weeks.							
Next to last day's milk from group.							
Last day's milk from group.							
Decrease in rate of daily milk yield in 2 weeks.							
Per cent decrease in rate of daily milk yield.							

REFUSE APPLES AS FEED FOR MILCH COWS.

Every season the disposition to be made of low grade apples is a matter of more or less moment to many farmers. To be in a position to give some exact data as to their value as food for at least some classes of live stock, a few experiments in feeding them were conducted here in the autumn. Among others was one to study their effect upon milk secretion and upon the health of dairy cattle.

Four grade cows about six months in milk were selected. They were fed on the usual ration of ensilage, pulped roots and hay for two weeks, then put on a ration of meal, hay, ensilage and roots and apples.

Below are submitted a few particulars.

FIRST PERIOD.

NO APPLES.

Number of cows in experiment.	4
Average ration fed each cow during 2 weeks	<div> <div>Ensilage and roots....</div> <div>Hay.</div> <div>Meal.</div> </div>
previous to apple feeding experiment and	
2 weeks after apple feeding experiment.	
Feed consumed by group in average 2 weeks..	<div> <div>Ensilage and roots....</div> <div>Hay.</div> <div>Meal.</div> </div>
Value of feed in average 2 weeks.	\$ 7.78
Cost to feed 1 cow 1 day.	13'9 cts.
Milk yielded by group in average 2 weeks.	1,353 lbs.
Daily average for cow during 2 weeks.	24'2 "

SECOND PERIOD.

APPLES IN RATION.

Average ration for each cow during 2 weeks	<div> <div>Apples.</div> <div>Roots and ensilage....</div> <div>Hay.</div> <div>Meal.</div> </div>	25 "
on experiment.		20 "
		4 "
		7½ "
Feed consumed by group in 2 weeks while ex-	<div> <div>Apples.</div> <div>Roots and ensilage....</div> <div>Hay.</div> <div>Meal.</div> </div>	1,400 "
periment lasted.		1,120 "
		224 "
Value of feed, other than apples, fed during 2 weeks.		\$ 6.10
Cost to feed one cow 1 day (apples not valued).		10'9 cts.
Milk yielded by group in 2 weeks while eating apples.		1,395 "
Daily average for cow during 2 weeks.		24'9 "
Difference in milk yield in favour of apple ration, for 2 weeks.		42 "
Average weight of cows when going on apple feeding period.		985 "
Average weight of cows at end of apple feeding period.		1,008 "
Gain in weight on average.		23 "
Average weight at end of last 2 weeks.		992 "
Loss in average weight during 2 weeks.		16 "

It is only fair to credit the refuse apples as being worth the value of the food saved in the 2 weeks. On such a basis, therefore, refuse apples may be valued at \$2.40 per ton, or about 7 cents per bushel, when roots and ensilage are valued at \$2 per ton for cattle feed.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

By way of comment it might be added that the cows seemed to relish the apples, which were of different sorts, and to thrive upon them as shown by the quite considerable gain of 23 pounds per cow made in 14 days while on apples, whereas during the subsequent 2 weeks a loss of 16 pounds per cow is shown. The health of the cows seemed to be very favourably affected by the apples, as might be inferred from the above.

Calves given a few of the apples each day seemed to like them, and did well on them.

BEEF PRODUCTION.

EXPERIMENTS IN 1903-4.

The lines of experiment followed in the winter of 1903-4 were:—Influence of age on cost of beef; influence of manner of housing, *i.e.*, feeding loose vs. feeding tied; baby beef; values of feeds.

On the whole the steer feeding operations may be considered successful from the financial point of view since the selling price covered the cost of the steers, the cost of the feed at market prices, and left a fair margin for profit. Full particulars are given in the group reports.

In conducting feeding operations the farmer may seldom expect to make much over and above market prices for his feeds, but he will, of course, have saved himself the trouble of marketing the feeds and will have retained on his farm a large amount of material for fertilizing purposes without which it is practically impossible to long farm successfully in Canada.

LOOSE VS. TIED.

The experiment of feeding lots of steers loose as compared with feeding similar lots tied has been continued as indicated above and is concluded. The results in 1903-4 are decidedly in favour of loose box feeding. The loose box fed lots gained on the average 311 pounds per steer in 129 days, while the tied steers gained 275 lbs. in 129 days. The loose box steers put on flesh at a cost of \$4.76 per 100 lbs., while the tied lots cost \$5.39 per 100 lbs. gain in live weight.

Lot 'A'—(Three-year-olds)—Loose.

Number of steers in lot.....	8
First weight, gross.....	9,888 lbs.
First weight, average.....	1,236 "
Finished weight, gross.....	12,240 "
Finished weight, average.....	1,530 "
Total gain in 129 days.....	2,352 "
Average gain per steer.....	294 "
Daily gain for lot, 8 steers.....	18'24 "
Daily gain per steer.....	2'28 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$ 122 89
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	5 22
Cost of steers, 9,888 lbs. at \$4 per 100 lbs.....	395 52
Total cost to produce beef, \$395.52 + \$122.89.....	518 41
Sold, 12,240 lbs. at \$5 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.....	581 40
Profit on lot.....	62 99
Net profit per steer.....	7 87
Average buying price per steer.....	49 44
Average selling price per steer.....	72 67
Average increase in value.....	23 23
Average cost of feed per steer.....	15 36

Amount of meal eaten by lot of 8 steers.	4,127 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.	49,728 "
Amount of hay.	6,328 "
Amount of straw eaten.	4,872 "

Lot ' B '—(Three-year-olds)—Tied.

Number of steers in lot.	9
First weight, gross.	11,097 lbs.
First weight, average.	1,233 "
Finished weight, gross.	13,563 "
Finished weight, average.	1,507 "
Total gain in 129 days.	2,466 "
Average gain per steer.	274 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.	19'08 "
Daily gain per steer.	2'12 "
Gross cost of feed.	\$ 137 78
Cost of 100 pounds gain.	5 59
Cost of steers, 11,097 lbs. at \$4 per 100 lbs.	443 88
Total cost to produce beef, \$443.88 + \$137.78.	581 66
Sold, 13,563 lbs. at \$5 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.	644 25
Profit on lot.	62 59
Net profit per steer.	6 95
Average buying price per steer.	49 32
Average selling price per steer.	71 58
Average increase in value.	22 26
Average cost of feed per steer.	15 31
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 9 steers.	4,662 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.	55,536 "
Amount of hay.	7,119 "
Amount of straw eaten.	5,355 "

Lot ' C '—(Two-year-olds)—Tied.

Number of steers in lot.	9
First weight, gross.	9,216 lbs.
First weight, average.	1,024 "
Finished weight, gross.	11,709 "
Finished weight, average.	1,301 "
Total gain in 129 days.	2,493 "
Average gain per steer.	277 "
Daily gain for lot, 9 steers.	19'35 "
Daily gain per steer.	2'15 "
Gross cost of feed.	\$ 128 40
Cost of 100 pounds gain.	5 16
Cost of steers, 9,216 lbs. at \$4 per 100 lbs.	368 64
Total cost to produce beef, \$368.64 + \$128.40.	479 04
Sold, 11,709 lbs. at \$5 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.	556 20
Profit on lot.	59 16
Net profit per steer.	6 57
Average buying price per steer.	40 96
Average selling price per steer.	61 80
Average increase in value.	20 84
Average cost of feed per steer.	14 25
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 9 steers.	4,613 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.	47,943 "
Amount of hay.	7,219 "
Amount of straw.	4,536 "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Lot 'D'—(Two-year-olds)—Loose.

Number of steers in lot.	8
First weight, gross.	7,736 lbs.
First weight, average.	967 "
Finished weight, gross.	10,424 "
Finished weight, average.	1,303 "
Total gain in 129 days.	2,516 "
Average gain per steer.	327 "
Daily gain for lot, 8 steers.	21'24
Daily gain per steer.	2'53
Gross cost of feed.	\$ 122 89
Cost of 100 pounds gain.	4 30
Cost of steers, 7,736 lbs. at \$3.90 per 100 lbs.	294 00
Total cost to produce beef, \$294 + \$122.89.	416 89
Sold, 10,424 lbs. at \$4.85 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent..	480 29
Profit on lot.	63 40
Net profit per steer.	7 92
Average buying price per steer.	36 75
Average selling price per steer.	60 03
Average increase in value.	23 28
Average cost of feed per steer.	15 36
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 8 steers.	4,102 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.	43,110 "
Amount of hay.	6,328 "
Amount of straw eaten.	3,032 "

INFLUENCE OF AGE ON COST OF BEEF.

Cost of producing Beef with three-year-olds, two-year-olds, yearlings, six months' calves and new-born calves.

The experiments to gain some data as to the influence of age upon the cost of producing a pound of beef have been continued and are now concluded.

Lots of animals of as nearly uniform type and breeding as possible were selected and fed such rations as were found to suit them best. The roughage ration in each case consisted of roots, ensilage and hay, the concentrates fed to three-year-olds, two-year-olds, and yearlings was gluten meal. The calves were fed a meal ration made up of oats, pease, barley, oil meal and gluten mixed in different proportions at different periods.

Full statements of the particulars in connection with each lot will be found below. A few of the more important particulars are grouped for comparison, as follows:—

Ages.	Daily Gain.	Gain in 129 days.	Cost 100 lbs. Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$ cts.
Three-year-olds	2'23	294	5 22
Two-year-olds.	2'53	327	4 30
Yearlings.	1'9	242	5 62
Six month calves.	1'75	267	4 48
Skim-milk calves, new born.	1'68	360	2 77

INFLUENCE OF AGE OF STEERS ON COST OF PRODUCTION OF BEEF.

Lot 'E'—(Three-year-olds)—Loose.

Number of steers in lot.. . . .	8
First weight, gross.. . . .	9,888 lbs
First weight, average.. . . .	1,236 "
Finished weight, gross.. . . .	12,240 "
Finished weight, average.. . . .	1,530 "
Total gain in 129 days.. . . .	2,352 "
Average gain per steer.. . . .	294 "
Daily gain for lot, 8 steers.. . . .	18'24 "
Daily gain per steer.. . . .	2'28 "
Gross cost of feed.. . . .	\$ 122 89
Cost of 100 pounds gain.. . . .	5 22
Cost of steers, 9,888 lbs. at \$4 per 100 lbs.. . . .	395 52
Total cost to produce beef, \$395.52 + \$122.89.. . . .	518 41
Sold, 12,240 lbs. at \$5 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.. . . .	581 40
Profit on lot.. . . .	62 99
Net profit per steer.. . . .	7 87
Average buying price per steer.. . . .	49 44
Average selling price per steer.. . . .	72 76
Average increase in value.. . . .	23 23
Average cost of feed per steer.. . . .	15 36
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 8 steers.. . . .	4,127 "
Amount of ensilage and roots.. . . .	49,728 "
Amount of hay.. . . .	6,328 "
Amount of straw eaten.. . . .	4,872 "

Lot 'F'—(Two-year-olds)—Loose.

Number of steers in lot.. . . .	8
First weight, gross.. . . .	7,736 lbs.
First weight, average.. . . .	967 "
Finished weight, gross.. . . .	10,424 "
Finished weight, average.. . . .	1,303 "
Total gain in 129 days.. . . .	2,516 "
Average gain per steer.. . . .	327 "
Daily gain for lot, 8 steers.. . . .	21'24 "
Daily gain per steer.. . . .	2'53 "
Gross cost of feed.. . . .	\$ 122 89
Cost of 100 pounds gain.. . . .	4 30
Cost of steers, 7,736 lbs. at \$3.90 per 100 lbs.. . . .	294 00
Total cost to produce beef, \$294 + \$122.89.. . . .	416 89
Sold, 10,424 lbs. at \$4.85 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent.. . . .	480 29
Profit on lot.. . . .	63 40
Net profit per steer.. . . .	7 92
Average buying price per steer.. . . .	36 75
Average selling price per steer.. . . .	60 03
Average increase in value.. . . .	23 28
Average cost of feed per steer.. . . .	15 36
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 8 steers.. . . .	4,102 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.. . . .	43,110 "
Amount of hay.. . . .	6,328 "
Amount of straw eaten.. . . .	3,032 "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Lot 'G'—(Yearlings)—Loose.

Number of steers in lot.. . . .	8
First weight, gross.. . . .	6,464 lbs.
First weight, average.. . . .	808 "
Finished weight, gross.. . . .	8,400 "
Finished weight, average.. . . .	1,050 "
Total gain in 129 days.. . . .	1,936 "
Average gain per steer.. . . .	242 "
Daily gain for lot, 8 steers.. . . .	15'2
Daily gain per steer.. . . .	1'9
Gross cost of feed.. . . .	\$ 108 80
Cost of 100 pounds gain.. . . .	5 62
Cost of steers, 6,464 lbs. at \$3.50 per 100 lbs.. . . .	226 24
Total cost to produce beef, \$226.24 + \$108.80.. . .	335 04
Sold, 8,400 lbs. at \$4.75 per 100 lbs., less 5 per cent..	379 05
Profit on lot.. . . .	44 01
Net profit per steer.. . . .	5 50
Average buying price per steer.. . . .	28 28
Average selling price per steer.. . . .	47 43
Average increase in value.. . . .	19 15
Average cost of feed per steer.. . . .	13 60
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 8 steers.. . . .	4,102 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.. . . .	38,360 "
Amount of hay.. . . .	6,104 "
Amount of straw eaten.. . . .	4,032 "

Lot 'H'—(Calves over 6 months)—Loose.

Number of steers in lot.. . . .	5
First weight, gross.. . . .	1,930 lbs.
First weight, average.. . . .	386 "
Finished weight, gross.. . . .	3,265 "
Finished weight, average.. . . .	653 "
Total gain in 152 days.. . . .	1,335 "
Average gain per steer.. . . .	267 "
Daily gain for lot, 5 steers.. . . .	8'75 "
Daily gain per steer.. . . .	1'75 "
Gross cost of feed for 152 days.. . . .	\$ 59 83
Cost of 100 pounds gain.. . . .	4 48
Average cost of feed per steer for 152 days.. . . .	11 96
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 5 steers.. . . .	2,674 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.. . . .	20,377 "
Amount of hay.. . . .	1,820 "
Amount of straw eaten.. . . .	980 "
Amount of sugar beet pulp and molasses (dried)..	343 "

Lot 'I'—(Calves under 6 months)—Loose.

Number of steers in lot.. . . .	6
First weight, gross.. . . .	740 lbs.
First weight, average.. . . .	123 "
Finished weight, gross.. . . .	2,900 "
Finished weight, average.. . . .	483 "
Total gain in 214 days.. . . .	2,160 "
Average gain per steer.. . . .	360 "
Daily gain for lot, 6 steers.. . . .	10'08 "
Daily gain per steer.. . . .	1'68 "

Gross cost of feed.....	\$ 59 83
Cost of 100 pounds gain.....	2 77
Average cost of feed per steer.....	9 97
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 6 steers.....	2,361 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	9,240 "
Amount of hay.....	1,512 "
Green feed.....	9,408 "

BABY BEEF.

The fourth and fifth lots of calves of the series of baby beef experiments are now under way. It is proposed to end this experiment when the present lots are slaughtered. Since a full discussion of the matter will be necessary when the final lots are reported upon, no comment is made upon the lots now being fed and herewith reported upon up to date.

Lot 'J'—(Yearlings)—Fattening Lot.

Number of steers in lot.....	5
First weight, gross.....	1,930 lbs.
First weight, average.....	386 "
Last weight, gross.....	4,950 "
Last weight, average.....	990 "
Total gain in 365 days.....	3,020 "
Average gain per steer.....	604 "
Daily gain for lot, 5 steers.....	8'25 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1'65 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$108 46
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	3 59
Average cost of feed per steer.....	21 69
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 5 steers.....	4,699 "
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	40,862 "
Amount of hay.....	3,370 "
Amount of straw.....	1,540 "
Amount sugar beet pulp (dried).....	378 "

Meal consumed consisted of : Oats, 2,091 lbs.; oil meal, 937 lbs.; bran, 1,027 lbs.; and gluten, 644 lbs.

Lot 'K'—(Yearlings)—Limited Ration Lot.

Number of steers in lot.....	5
First weight, gross.....	1,760 lbs.
First weight, average.....	325 "
Finished weight, gross.....	3,690 "
Last weight, average.....	738 "
Total gain in 365 days.....	1,930 "
Average gain per steer.....	386 "
Daily gain for lot, 5 steers.....	5'30 "
Daily gain per steer.....	1'06 "
Gross cost of feed.....	\$63 68
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.....	3 30
Average cost of feed per steer.....	12 73
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 5 steers.....	898 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.....	40,370 "
Amount of hay.....	3,277 "
Amount of straw eaten.....	1,190 "
Amount of potatoes.....	816 "
On pasture 6 months.....	36 mos.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Meal consumed consisted of : Oats, 387 lbs.; gluten, 255½ lbs.; oil meal, 101½ lbs.; bran, 154.

Lot 'L'—(Steer Calves)—Fattening Ration.

Number of steers in lot.	6
First weight, gross.	740 lbs.
First weight, average.	123 "
Last weight, gross.	2,900 "
Last weight, average.	483 "
Total gain in 214 days.	2,160 "
Average gain per steer.	360 "
Daily gain for lot, 6 steers.	10'08 "
Daily gain per steer.	1'68 "
Gross cost of feed.	\$ 59 83
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.	2 77
Average cost of feed per steer.	9 97
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 6 steers.	2,025 lbs.
Amount of roots and ensilage.	9,240 "
Amount of hay.	1,512 "
Green feed	9,408 "

Meal consumed consisted of : Oats, 780 lbs.; oil meal, 171 lbs.; barley meal, 336; and bran, 738.

Lot 'M'—(Steer Calves)—Limited Ration Lot.

Number of steers in lot.	6
First weight, gross.	490 lbs.
First weight, average.	81 "
Last weight, gross.	2,530 "
Last weight, average.	421 "
Total gain in 214 days.	2,040 "
Average gain per steer.	340 "
Daily gain for lot, 6 steers.	9'53 "
Daily gain per steer.	1'58 "
Gross cost of feed.	\$ 57 90
Cost of 100 lbs. gain.	2 83
Average cost of feed per steer.	9 65
Amount of meal eaten by lot of 6 steers.	1,878 lbs.
Amount of ensilage and roots.	10,842 "
Amount of hay.	1,599 "
Amount of green feed.	7,266 "

Meal consumed consisted of : Oats, 780 lbs.; oil meal, 96; barley meal, 273; bran, 729.

SUGAR BEET PULP.

'Improved Molasses Cattle Feed,' the name under which the Dresden Beet Sugar manufacturing Company placed the combined dried sugar beet pulp and residual molasses from their factory upon the market, is a feed that has received a fairly thorough and very careful test during the past winter months.

Before entering into a full report of the experiments conducted it may be stated in a general way that this preparation is one that, according to shipments received here is in uniformity of composition. The molasses would appear to have been mixed with the pulp in an irregular way so that when feeding it to animals there is not likely to be much uniformity in the composition of succeeding portions fed. This

peculiarity is, of course, decidedly objectionable, especially where it is fed in any considerable quantities per diem.

It is objectionable in this, that the effect it will have upon the digestive organs of the animal fed cannot be counted upon. The molasses part of the preparation is somewhat laxative in character and when it is in excess, as occurs occasionally, the animal's digestive organs are more or less deranged for a longer or shorter period. The average feeder would be quite unlikely to note the excess of molasses by looking at the feed.

Where fed in small quantities, say 1 to 3 lbs. per diem, to either calves or mature cattle, however, this peculiarity is of no consequence.

VALUE OF PRODUCT.

We have found the preparation of particular value for feeding to young steers or beef animals. Its value lies in its extreme palatability. It serves to whet the appetite of the otherwise sated fattening calves and induces them to eat not only the portion of Improved Molasses Cattle Feed fed them in excess of the previous ration but quite frequently seems to cause them to eat more of other and possibly more fattening feeds. It is in this direction, that is as an appetiser, that the future of the feed lies, if our experiments count for anything.

EXPERIMENTS WITH DAIRY COWS.

It was fed to dairy cows both in excess of the normal meal ration usually fed and as replacing part of the meal ration. It proved of quite low value in this connection, being apparently equivalent to about half an equal weight of bran as an incentive to greater or even equal milk production.

WITH TWO-YEAR-OLD AND THREE-YEAR-OLD STEERS.

It was used also on some two-year-old and on some three-year-old steers. It was used as an addition to the meal ration and latterly as a substitute for part of the meal ration. In neither case did it prove to be equal to more than about half its weight of bran or other concentrate ration. It was of value, however, in improving the appearance of the cattle, giving them a sleek look scarcely attainable otherwise.

AS ROUGHNESS.

An experiment to determine its value as a substitute for roots or ensilage was conducted and the results are given:—

Nine three-year-old steers were chosen and divided into three groups of three each

Lot 1. Received no improved molasses cattle feed.

Lot 2. Received 8 lbs. improved molasses cattle feed per diem and half amount other roughness fed Lot 1.

Lot 3. Received 12 lbs. improved molasses cattle feed and 4 lbs. straw per diem

All lots received equal amounts of long hay and meal.

Particulars are as follows:—

Lot 1. Receiving no improved molasses cattle feed—

First weight, December 28, 1903.	Total	Lbs. 3,880
	Average	1,293
Last weight, March 22, 1904.	Total	4,380
	Average	1,460
Gain in 83 days.	Total	500
	Average	167
Daily rate of gain per steer.		2

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Three steers consumed of roughness—

	Lbs.	Per ton.	Value.
Ensilage..	8,106	\$2 00	\$8 11
Roots..	1,621	2 00	1 62
Straw..	996	4 00	1 99

Total cost of roughness used.. . . . \$11 72

Cost of roughness used in producing 1 lb. increase in live weight, 2'35 cents.

Lot 2. Receiving 8 lbs. improved molasses cattle feed and one-half other roughness—

	Lbs.
First weight, December 28, 1903.. . . . Total	4,115
Average	1,372
Last weight, March 22, 1904.. . . . Total	4,730
Average	1,577
Gain in 83 days.. . . . Total	615
Average	205
Daily rate of gain per steer.. . . .	2'47

Three steers consumed of roughness:—

	Lbs.	Per ton.	Value.
Ensilage..	4,053	\$ 2 00	\$ 4 05
Roots..	810	2 00	0 81
Straw..	498	4 00	1 00
Improved molasses cattle feed....	1,992	15 00	14 94

Total cost of roughness used.. . . . \$20 80

Cost of roughness used in producing 1 lb. increase in live weight, 3'38 cents.

Lot 3 Receiving 12 lbs. improved molasses cattle feed and 4 lbs. straw per diem—

	Lbs.
First weight, December 28, 1903 Total	3,990
Average	1,330
Last weight, March 22, 1904 Total	4,455
Average	1,485
Gain in 83 days.: Total	465
Average	155
Daily rate of gain per steer	1'87

	Lbs.	Per ton.	Value.
Improved molasses cattle feed	2,928	\$15 00	\$21 97
Straw	996	4 00	1 99

Total cost of roughness used \$23 96

Cost of roughness used in producing 1 lb. increase in live weight, 5'15 cents.

From the above data it is evident that where 8 lbs. Improved Molasses Cattle Feed took the place of half the straw, roots, and ensilage, it may be said to have been worth \$5.86 for 1,992 lbs., or about \$5.90 per ton.

In the case of lot 3, where 12 lbs. was fed per diem, a slightly higher value is indicated, namely, \$6.30 per ton.

UVECO FOR BEEF PRODUCTION.

Uveco, a prepared food (see page 74), was fed in small quantities to a number of steers and all seemed to be very fond of it. The supply was limited, however, and so it was possible to feed only two steers with this food as an exclusive grain ration.

Two small steers put upon this feed as an exclusive meal ration on April 14, weighed together 1,685 lbs. on that date. They thrived very well and on May 30, 45 days after starting, weighed 1,810 lbs., a gain of 125 lbs. for the pair, or 62½ lbs. per steer, which was at the rate of about 1¼ lb per diem.

The meat from these steers was of very excellent quality, due in some measure no doubt to the good quality of the food fed.

So far as gains are concerned, it will of course be noted that much larger daily gains were quite possible.

PORK PRODUCTION.

PIG FEEDING EXPERIMENTS.

A large number of pigs have been fed during the year. Most of them were pastured for a shorter or longer time on hog lands. (See plan and report, page 80).

These experiments in pasturing are incomplete and will be reported upon at a later date.

WINTERING SOWS OUTSIDE VS. INSIDE.

Where much pasturing of pigs is carried on the wintering of the sows and the fall litters is always a problem of considerable difficulty, since the full utilization of pastures requires pigs ready to turn out at an early date in the spring.

During the past winter a number of the brood sows were housed in the small single board cabins used on the pastures in summer. They did well and were healthy, but cost about 25 per cent more to maintain in good condition than did their mates housed in the regular brood sow run or house.

WINTERING YOUNG PIGS OUTSIDE VS. INSIDE.

A study was also made of the comparative economy of feeding fall pigs outside and inside.

Below is a statement of the results secured. There were two lots inside and two lots outside. The lots were from two different litters, some from each being inside and the rest outside.

YOUNG PIGS WINTERED INSIDE vs. OUTSIDE.

	Lot 1.	Lot 2.	Lot 3.	Lot 4.	Lots 1 & 3.	Lots 2 & 4.
	Inside.	Outside.	Inside.	Outside.	Inside.	Outside.
Location.....	Inside.	Outside.	Inside.	Outside.	Inside.	Outside.
Number of pigs in lot.....	5	4	4	7	9	11
Number of days on feed..... Days	60	60	60	60	60	60
Description of ration fed..... Lbs. {	Shorts 100 Gluten 100	Shorts 100 Gluten 100	Oil meal 100 Shorts 400	Shorts 400 Oil meal 100	Mixed meals.	Mixed meal as inside.
Pounds of mixture required for 100 lbs. gain.....	417	552½	280	502	365½	526
Amount fed in period..... Lbs.	993	1,071	400	1,265	1,393	2,336
Value..... \$	10.67	11.51	4.00	12.65	14.67	24.16
Gain made by lot..... Lbs.	238	192	143	252	381	444
Average gain per pig..... "	48	48	35½	36	42½	40½
Average rate of gain per diem. "	.82	.80	.6	.6	.70	.68
Cost of 100 lbs. increase in live weight..... \$	4.48	6.00	2.80	5.02	3.85	5.42
Health and appearance.....	Good.	Good.	Good.	Good.	Good.	Good.
Weight of lot to start..... Lbs.	496	400	181	331	677	731
Average weight to start..... "	99	100	45½	47	75	66½
Weight of lot at finish..... "	734	592	324	583	1,058	1,175
Average weight at finish..... "	147	148	81	83	117½	107

RAISING YOUNG PIGS.

A problem that confronts the farmer who wishes to go heavily into bacon production is the raising of young pigs to the age of 3 or 4 months without the help of skim-milk or whey. This difficulty is more particularly noticed in winter or autumn. To gain some information as to the probably best meal mixtures for the purpose, two experiments were tried in January, February and March, 1904. One was conducted outside with pigs housed in small cabins, as mentioned above, and the other inside the regular piggery.

In determining the value of a meal mixture the items to be considered are the rate of gain and the cost of 100 pounds increase in weight.

OUTSIDE FEEDING.

An examination of the reports of the experiments carried on outside, submitted below, shows that a mixture of shorts 4 parts and oil meal 1 part produced pork for \$5.02 per 100 pounds at the rate of 6-10ths of a pound per day. Shorts and gluten meal equal parts produced pork at a more rapid rate, viz.: 8-10th pounds per day, but at a slightly higher cost, viz., \$6 per 100 pounds. The difference may have been due to the difference in the age of the pigs. A mixture of shorts and oil meal equal parts gave very poor results since it cost \$7.93 to produce 100 pounds live weight at the rate of 47-100th pounds per pig per day.

INSIDE FEEDING.

When similar feeds were fed inside much better results were noted. Both the rate of gain per day being slightly increased and the cost of production lowered. The mixture of shorts 4 parts and oil meal 1 part was again to the fore, as gains were made at a cost of \$2.80 per 100 pounds gain and at the rate of 6-10th pounds per pig per day.

RATIONS FOR YOUNG PIGS OUTSIDE.

	Lot 3.	Lot 4.	Lot 6.	Lot 7.	Lot 8.	Lot 9.
Number of pigs in lot.	4	4	6	7	4	4
Location	Outside.	Outside.	Outside.	Outside.	Outside.	Outside.
No. of days on feed	60	60	60	60	60	60
Description of ration fed. Lbs.	Shorts 100 Gluten 100	Shorts 100 Oil meal 100	Oats 200 Shorts 100	Shorts 400 Oil meal 100	Oats 100 Shorts 200	Shorts 400 Oil meal 100 Gluten 100 Skim-milk 4 1/2 lbs. per d. Meal 281 1/2 Milk 766 Meal 1,134 Meal 417
Pounds of meal mixture required for 100 lbs. gain	552 1/2	721	600	502	600	5.49
Amount fed in period. Lbs.	1,071	898	1,080	1,265	1,176	148
Value \$	11.51	8.88	10.80	12.65	11.76	37
Gain made by lot Lbs.	192	112	180	252	196	62
Average gain per pig.	48	28	30	36	28	37
Daily rate of gain.8	.47	.5	.6	.47	.62
Cost of 100 lbs. increase in live weight. \$	6.00	7.93	6.00	5.02	5.70	3.82
Health and appearance.	Good.	Good.	Good.	Good.	Fair.	Excellent.
Weight of lot to start. Lbs.	400	155	334	331	356	256
Average weight to start.	100	38.7	64	47	81	64
Weight of lot at finish.	592	267	564	583	762	403
Average weight at finish.	148	66.7	94	83	169	101

RATIONS FOR YOUNG PIGS INSIDE.

	Lot 1.	Lot 2.	Lot 3.	Lot 4.	Lot 5.
Number of pigs in lot.....	5	4	5	4	5
Location	Inside.	Inside.	Inside.	Inside.	Inside.
Number of days on feed.....	40	40	60	60	60
Description of ration fed.....	(Oil meal 200 Shorts 200 Gluten 200 Skim-milk 4½ lbs. a day)	Shorts. (Skim-milk.	Shorts 100 Gluten 100	Shorts 400 Oil meal 100	Oats 100 Oil meal 100
Pounds of mixture required for 100 pounds gain..... Lbs.	190 meal, 564 skim-milk.	152 meal, 564 skim milk.	417	280	322
Amount fed in period..... "	252 meal, 750 milk.	204 meal, 756 milk.	993	400	699
Value	3.94	2.96	10.67	4.00	8.04
Gain made by lot..... Lbs.	183	134	238	143	217
Average gain per pig..... "	26½	33½	48	35½	44½
Average rate of gain per day..... "	.66	.84	.82	.6	.74
Cost of 100 lbs. increase in live weight	2.94	2.21	4.48	2.80	3.70
Health and appearance	Very good.	Excellent.	Good.	Good.	Good.
Weight of lot to start..... Lbs.	183	122	496	181	379
Average weight to start..... "	36½	30½	99	45½	76
Weight of lot at finish..... "	316	256	734	324	595
Average weight at finish..... "	63	64	147	81	119

STOCK FOODS FOR PORK PRODUCTION.

In August, 32 pigs, ranging in weight from 43 to 80 pounds were divided into 8 groups of 4 pigs each, and for the next 90 days fed experimentally. In each case the individuals in a group were nearly uniform in size. The groups, however, showed considerable difference in their total weights, the heaviest group weighing 300 pounds or 75 pounds per pig, while the lightest group weighed 180 pounds or 45 pounds per pig. It was not possible to secure a more uniform lot at the time and it was considered better to have considerable difference in the total weights of the lots rather than to have some large and some small pigs in each lot.

The experiments lasted 90 days. During that time the pigs were confined in pens with small floored yards attached. Lots 7 and 8, however, were outside, lot 7 having a small unfloored yard and a cabin wherein to sleep, while lot 8 had a clover pasture of about ½ acre area and a cabin wherein to sleep.

The results speak for themselves, but it will be noticed that all supplementary foods fed other than skim-milk and pasture, had the effect of raising the cost of production. Skim-milk on the contrary lowered the cost very materially, and pasture had a similar effect in a lesser degree. The meal used was a mixture of half shorts and half mixed grains, oats, pease and barley.

In estimating the cost of production the meal ration is valued at \$1 per 100 pounds, the skim-milk at 15 cents per 100 pounds, and the supplementary foods or stock foods at the cost of the same on the Ottawa markets, viz., Anglo-Saxon Stock Food, 10 cents per pound, International Stock Food, 15 cents per pound, Herbageum, 12½ cents per pound and Sugar and Flax 2½ cents per pound. Pasture is not valued, but its value may be deduced from the data given.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

STOCK FOODS FOR PORK PRODUCTION.

Lot	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Description of Ration	Meal, Inside.	Meal, Anglo-Saxon Stock Food.	Meal, International Stock Food.	Meal, Sour skim-milk.	Meal, Herbageum.	Meal, Sugar and Flax.	Meal, Outside.	Meal, Pasture Clover and Rape.
No. of Pigs	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
No. of days on feed	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Total weight to start	300 lbs.	206 lbs.	208 lbs.	180 lbs.	220 lbs.	240 lbs.	204 lbs.	250 lbs.
Average weight to start	75 "	51½ "	52 "	45 "	55 "	60 "	51 "	62½ "
Total weight at end of experiment	725 "	565 "	541 "	612 "	673 "	711 "	657 "	653 "
Average weight at end of experiment	181¼ "	141¼ "	135¼ "	153 "	168 "	178 "	164 "	163 "
Amount meal eaten	1,869 "	1,551 "	1,456 "	1,275 "	1,781 "	1,880 "	1,942 "	1,741 "
Amount other food		80 "	42 "	1,335 "	45 "	222 "	Pasture.
Total gain of lot in 90 days	425 lbs.	359 "	333 "	432 "	453 "	471 "	453 lbs.	413 lbs.
Amount meal required for 100 lbs. gain	438 "	432 "	437 "	295 "	393 "	399 "	431 "	421 "
Amount other food for 100 lbs. gain		22 "	12 "	309 "	10 "	68 "	Pasture.
Cost of 100 lbs. gain	\$4.38	\$6.52	\$6.17	\$3.42	\$5.15	\$5.69	\$4.31	\$4.21
Daily rate of gain per pig	1.171 lbs.	1.00 lbs.	.925 lbs.	1.20 lbs.	1.25 lbs.	1.31 lbs.	1.25 lbs.	1.15 lbs.
Total gain per pig in 90 days	106¼ "	89¼ "	83¼ "	108 "	113¼ "	117½ "	113¼ "	103¼ "

The Anglo-Saxon Stock Food, the International Stock Food and Herbageum were all fed according to manufacturers' directions both as to quantity to feed and method of feeding.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

UVECO VS. SHORTS AND OATS FOR PORK PRODUCTION.

In the winter of 1904 a shipment of a prepared food called 'Uveco' was received from 'Uveco Cereals, Ltd., Usk Vale Mills, Newport, Mon., England.

This food looked as though it might have been prepared from Indian corn by cooking or steaming and then passing between heated rollers while still wet. It was fed to a lot of 3 pigs for 7 weeks, with results given below. At the same time a similar lot of pigs was fed on an equal amount of a mixture of equal parts shorts and crushed oats.

	Uveco. Shorts and Oats.	
Weight of pigs to start May 5....	239	239
Average weight....	79 $\frac{3}{4}$	79 $\frac{3}{4}$
Weight of pigs, July 11....	405	363
Average weight of July 11....	135	121
Increase in weight in lot....	166	124
Gain per pig in 49 days....	55	41
Daily rate of gain....	1.12	.84
Amount food consumed....	533	533
Value of food required for 100 lbs. gain....		\$ 4 08

An examination of the table shows that while 430 pounds of shorts and oats was required to produce 100 pounds increase in live weight, only 321 pounds of Uveco was required to secure a similar result. If 430 pounds of shorts and oats be worth \$4.08 it is evident that 321 pounds of Uveco may be claimed to be worth the same amount, *i.e.*, Uveco may be said to be worth \$1.27 per 100 pounds.

This is of course a single trial and no definite conclusion should be based upon the results.

The food was evidently very palatable as the pigs ate it with avidity, and when it was fed in small quantities to young pigs they always seemed to want more of it than of any of the regular meals fed.

The keenness of appetite for the food wore off as the experiment advanced, however, and it seemed evident that some other food would have to be fed along with the Uveco if a long feeding period were intended.

LARGE BLACKS.

For a number of years Large Blacks have been bred on the farm to gain some information as to their value as a class of swine for bacon production. They have been tested in various ways, and the results may be summarized as follows:—

1. As prolific and healthy breeding stock they cannot be surpassed by any of the breeds now commonly bred in Canada.

2. As pigs for crossing they are exceedingly impressive whether male or female, and leave their mark stamped very distinctly no matter what the other cross may be. The cross-breds have also been uniformly healthy and quick feeders, the cross with the Tamworth being particularly remarkable in this respect.

3. As pure-bred pigs they have been found to be rapid and easy fatteners, exceedingly good grass or pasture pigs, and have stood all kinds of weather without any apparent evil effects.

4. As pigs for bacon production, however, they have proven to be a complete failure. The carcasses have been invariably scored as falling far short of the ideal in (a.) quality of meat, (b.) uniformity of fat layer on the back, (c.) length of side, (d.) too little thickness of belly meat and too great a proportion of belly meat to the rest of the carcass, and (e.) a marked tendency to lay on fat thickly rather than develop a large amount of lean meat.

A pair was exhibited at the Guelph Fat Stock Show in December, 1903, and experts from the largest packing houses were at once in condemning them for the reasons I have given above.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CROPS ON '200 Acre Farm,' from 1899 to 1904, inclusive. (200 Acre Farm includes 7 Acres of Roads.)

Year.	GRAIN.		HAY.		ROOTS AND CORN.		PASTURE.		SOILING CROP.		Pig PASTURE.		Remarks.
	Area in Acres.	Yield in Pounds.	Area in Acres.	Yield in Tons.	Area in Acres.	Yield in Tons.	Area in Acres.	Number of Cattle.	Area in Acres.	Disposition of Crops.	Area in Acres.	Crops Grown for Pasture.	
1899	73	118,466	39	93	40	326½	40	36	1	Fed to dairy cows			Generally considered a good year for all crops.
1900	80	126,621	53	138	40	743	20 and aftermath	49					Season very favourable for most crops.
1901	79	114,472	58	210	40	702	16 and aftermath	52					Season very favourable for most crops.
1902	74	144,914	60	216	39	665	20 and aftermath	62				5 Clover, rape and aftermath.	Season favourable for hay, bad for corn.
1903	69	126,619	62	154	34	473	16 and aftermath	96	5	Dairy cows, bulls and calves.	6	Clover and rape.	Season very unfavourable for most crops, particularly adverse to corn and roots. No second crop hay.
1904	67	112,009	60	192	46½	674	13-75	98	3	Dairy cows, bulls and calves.	3	Clover and rape.	Season unfavourable for grain and corn, good for hay and roots.

The variety of crops grown and the varying areas under each crop each year make it quite difficult to make a comparison of the returns of the different years, so to simplify matters I would suggest that a fixed valuation be put upon the products, and the returns of each year valued accordingly.

Fixing prices as follows : Grain, \$1 per 100 lbs.; roots and ensilage, \$2 per ton; hay, \$7 per ton; pasturing cattle, \$8 per season: and area under pigs, \$15 per acre; the returns from the '200 Acre Farm' for the years mentioned may be said to have been worth \$2,776.66 in 1899; \$4,110.21 in 1900; \$4,434.72 in 1901; \$4,787.14 in 1902; \$4,148.19 in 1903; \$4,741.09 in 1904.

UTILIZATION OF FEED.

An examination into the supply of feed produced on the '200 Acre Farm,' the experimental plots of roots and corn, and the meal or grain purchased for use in the barns, together with a detailed statement of the disposal thereof, and a statement of the kinds of grain and meal consumed from July 1, 1903, to June 30, 1904, follows :—

SUMMARY of Feed of all kinds used for Stock on 200 Acre Farm from July 1, 1903, to June 30, 1904.

	Straw.	Grain or Meal.	Roots and Ensilage.	Hay.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Grown on 200 Acre Farm, (crop of 1903).....	120,000	106,621	900,000	304,000
Received from Experimental Department.....	10,000		217,745	
Received from Distribution Division (refuse grain) ..		39,318		
Purchased.....	110,000	249,863		8,000
Total	240,000	395,802	1,117,745	312,000

DISPOSITION of Feed harvested on, and bought for use of Live Stock on 200 'Acre Farm.'

Class Fed.	Hay.	Grain and Meal.	Corn and Roots.	Feeding Straw.	Bedding Straw.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
19 horses.....	130,000	115,512	3,000		28,000
81 steers.....	37,393	36,107	340,400	24,563	50,000
38 milch cows, all breeds.....	53,480	63,144	344,128	15,460	30,650
40 young stock and bulls, all breeds.....	40,500	15,680	200,040	20,478	30,480
75 sheep.....	20,500	9,041	5,000		5,000
400 swine.....		110,500	25,000		20,000
Poultry division.....		21,615	2,700		13,950
Loss by experimental curing.....	4,000		5,500		
Total accounted for.....	285,873	371,599	925,768	60,501	178,680
Amount harvested and received.....	312,000	395,802	1,117,745		
Shrinkage or loss.....	26,127	24,203	191,977		
Percentage shrinkage or loss.....	8.38%	6.12%	17.17%		

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The meal consumed consisted of :—

	Lbs.
Oats.....	168,777
Barley.....	3,761
Bran.....	81,549
Shorts.....	76,101
Gluten meal.....	27,000
Mixed grain (oats, pease, barley).....	23,399
Corn meal.....	956
Oil meal.....	5,400
Uveco.....	2,717
Other special feeds.....	6,142
Total.....	395,802

BALANCE SHEET OR FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF LIVE STOCK FEED- ING OPERATIONS ON 200 ACRE FARM, JULY 1, 1903, TO JUNE 30, 1904

In compiling the following table, the figures in the columns headed 'Value' in both 1903 and 1904 represent either the cost price of the animals included, where recently bought, or the fair merchantable price of the same at the date of valuation.

Under the heading 'Returns' are included values of products and services during the year.

LIVE STOCK INVENTORIES.

	JULY 1, 1903.		JUNE 30, 1904.				Gross returns made up of increase in value, value of products and animals sold.
	Number on hand.	Value.	Number handled during year.	Number on hand.	Value.	Returns of all descriptions.	
		\$ cts.			\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Horses.....	19			19		2,630 00	2,630 00
Shorthorns—							
Pure-breds (15) and grades (3).....	20	3,410 00	25	18	3,495 00	726 29	811 29
Ayrshires—							
Pure-breds (18) and grades (10).....	30	2,410 00	39	28	2,560 00	1,240 50	1,390 50
Guernseys—							
Pure-breds (13) and grades (10).....	23	1,956 00	28	23	2,040 00	1,160 72	1,244 72
Canadians—							
Pure-breds (8) and grades (2).....	9	895 00	14	10	1,075 00	542 40	622 40
Steers.....	67	2,307 00	67	22	440 00	3,005 50	1,138 50
Sheep.....	64	935 00	96	66	1,020 00	160 00	245 00
Swine.....	255	2,040 00	405	260	2,000 00	1,860 55	1,910 55
Total.....		13,953 00		446	12,720 00	11,325 96	9,992 96

SUMMARY.

RETURNS.

Gross returns from animals of all classes, including value of products, value of services and increase in value of young stock.....		9,992 96
Manure, 1,100 tons.....		1,100 00
		<hr/>
		\$11,092 96

EXPENDITURE.

Value of Food Consumed.

Meal.....	\$3,560 89
Hay.....	1,078 00
Roots and ensilage.....	1,109 54
Whole milk, 17,640 lbs.....	176 40
Skim-milk, 180,000 lbs.....	270 00
	<hr/>
	6,194 83
Straw, 112 tons at \$4 per ton.....	448 00
Cost of labour in connection with care of horses, cattle, sheep and swine:—	
Herdsman.....	\$660 00
Two men at \$480.....	960 00
Three men at \$432.....	1,296 00
Extra help, teaming, &c.....	461 40
	<hr/>
	\$3,377 40 3,377 40
	<hr/>
	\$10,920 23
Balance.....	1,072 73

It will be noted that the clear profit after all items have been paid is rather small when the number of animals is considered. It must be remembered, however, that all feeds are charged at market prices and no allowance made for shrink or loss in curing; further, that straw for bedding, &c., is charged at \$4 per ton. The wage item in connection with the care and feeding is likewise open to criticism, but may be explained as follows. In the first place, experimental feeding demands more time and a higher class service than is generally used by farmers; in addition, proximity to Ottawa raises the wage rate, and lastly, the buildings and facilities for feeding and caring for the stock are not nearly so good as they should be.

ROTATION EXPERIMENT.

For five years, from 1899 to 1903, inclusive, the '200-acre farm' has been cropped under a rotation of five years' duration as follows: Clover hay; Timothy or mixed hay or pasture; grain, 10 pounds Red Clover for fertilizing purposes; corn or roots; grain, 8 pounds Red Clover, 10 pounds Timothy seed for meadow.

The results have been very interesting, since the aggregate annual crop returns from the farm seemed to have been materially increased. The fact that a rotation

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

of the character described above seemed to help increase the crop returns from a given area and at the same time increase the fertility of that area, has led to the putting under way of a number of rotations of different lengths, with different crops in different orders and with different purposes in view.

It is not possible this year to explain or outline the whole scheme, but brief descriptions of the rotations, the areas devoted to each and the results obtained from each field, are submitted herewith.

The rotations are as follows:—

Rotation A.—Five years, Clover hay, Timothy hay, grain, corn, grain.

Rotation B.—Five years, Clover hay, grain, Clover hay, corn, grain.

Rotation E.—Three years, pasture, corn, grain.

Rotation Z.—Three years, Clover hay, corn, grain.

Rotation S.—Four years, shallow cultivation, Clover hay, Timothy hay, roots, grain.

Rotation D.—Four years, deep cultivation, Clover hay, Timothy hay, roots, grain.

Rotation H.—Three years, hog pasture, roots, grain or soiling crop.

Rotation T.—Four years, sheep pasture, roots and soiling crop, grain, Clover hay.

Rotation M.—Six years, grain, grain, Clover hay, Timothy hay for three years.

Rotation N.—Six years, grain, grain, Timothy hay for four years.

Rotation O.—Three years, grain, Timothy hay, Timothy hay.

Rotation P.—Three years, grain, Clover hay, Timothy hay.

In the descriptions of the rotations and fields that follow, an effort is made to give as concisely as possible the location of each field, its size, the character of its soil, its drainage and its general crop history.


In the tables will be found all items of expenditure. The manure is applied in the same ratio to each field in each rotation. To illustrate: if to the corn land in Rotation 'Z,' 15 tons of manure per acre is applied; this is equivalent to 5 tons per acre per annum, as Z is a three year rotation. Then in applying manure to M, 30 tons per acre would be applied, as M is a six year rotation. Since the manure must vary slightly in quantity each year, \$3 per annum per acre is charged in each rotation.

The total amount of each kind of crop material is divided so as to show the production capacity of one acre under each rotation.

ROTATION 'A.'

This rotation of five years' duration includes grain, Clover hay, Timothy or mixed hay, grain and corn in the order named. The grain crop mentioned first comes after corn. The corn stubble is torn up with a strong stiff-toothed cultivator run across, and angling to both right and left, of the direction of the rows. The surface soil and roots so loosened up are then ridged up in drills about 21 inches apart and 8 inches high. The drills are broken down the next spring by means of the disc harrow or stiff-toothed cultivator, harrowed once, and the field is ready to sow. Red clover 8 pounds, Alsike clover 1 pound, and Timothy seed 10 pounds per acre, is sown with the grain, and the land then rolled.

The clover field is mown as early as possible to insure a second crop. The mixed hay or Timothy is cut just as the bloom fades away, and a second cut is taken if growth warrants the expense of cutting. In any case the land is ploughed 4 inches deep about the end of August.



The grain crop mentioned second is sown after the Timothy or mixed hay. The land is ploughed shallow in August, harrowed and cultivated at intervals till October 15 or later, then put up into ridges by means of a double mould board plough. The seed is sown after the ridges are broken down in the spring, and with the oats 10 pounds of Red Clover to the acre is sown.

Corn follows this grain crop. The clover is allowed to grow within a day or two of the date on which it is desired to sow the corn. Meanwhile manure will have been spread upon the field in the fall, put there in small heaps during the winter and spread as soon as the land was bare, or spread from the wagon as early as possible in the spring. The Clover growing up through it facilitates the ploughing, which is done with a shallow wide furrow. The land is thoroughly disked, harrowed and then seeded with corn in rows 42 inches apart. It receives all the cultivation necessary to insure the retention of moisture and the killing of weeds between the rows.

A 1, 9'96 acres in Windmill is a long narrow, slightly rolling field, sand and muck predominating, but ranging to loam in spots, and is all underdrained.

It has given fairly good crops of all kinds in the past, 1902 oats, 1903 hay.

A 2, 8'90 acres in Lonetree; long, narrow, slightly rolling; sand to heavy loam in spots, mostly underdrained; fairly good crops; 1902 corn, 1903 oats.

A 3, 10'20 acres in Ashlands; oblong, slightly sloping to east and south; sand, heavy loam, muck and hardpan, mostly underdrained; fairly good crops; particularly good hay yields; 1902 hay, 1903 hay and pasture.

A 4, 9'15 acres, West Pine Grove and Fenceless; slightly sloping in Pine Grove rolling in Fenceless; sand, muck loam to clay; underdrained; fair crops; 1902 oats 1903 corn.

A 5, 9'63 acres Fenceless; square, rolling, sand loam, muck and clay, mostly underdrained; rather poor crops in past, save in case of hay; 1902 hay, 1903 oats.

There was nothing remarkable in connection with the crops on this rotation this year save that in A 2 there was some Alfalfa Clover as well as Red and Alsike.

ROTATION 'B.'

This rotation of five years' duration includes Grain, Clover, Hay, Grain, Clover, Hay and Corn in the order named.

The grain crop mentioned first comes after corn. The treatment of the corn stubble is the same as in the case of Rotation 'A.' With the Grain is sown 10 pounds Red Clover, 1 pound Alsike and 5 pounds Timothy seed per acre. The Clover field mentioned first is cut twice, if possible, then ploughed about the end of August, cultivated and harrowed at intervals and ridged up late in October.

The Grain crop mentioned second is sown on a field treated as just described, the ridges being broken down in the spring by means of the disc harrow, and 10 lbs. R Clover, 1 lb. Alsike and 5 lbs. Timothy seed per acre. The Clover field mentioned first is cut twice, if possible, then ploughed about the end of August, cultivated and harrowed at intervals and ridged up late in October.

The Grain crop mentioned second is sown on a field treated as just described, the ridges being broken down in the spring by means of the disc harrow, and 10 lbs R Clover, 1 lb. Alsike and 5 lbs. Timothy sown with the grain.

The Clover field mentioned second is cut twice if possible, and the aftermath third crop allowed to stand all winter.

Corn follows the Clover crop just mentioned. The treatment is exactly the same as described for corn in Rotation 'A.'

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

B 1, 10'00 acres, Windmill; long narrow field, nearly level; sand, sandy loam and muck; all underdrained but somewhat springy; most crops fair, Timothy hay particularly good; 1902 oats, 1903 hay.

B 2, 8'82 acres, Lonetree; long, narrow, slightly rolling; sand to medium loam, some black muck; mostly underdrained; fairly good crops; 1902 corn, 1903 oats.

B 3, 10'20 acres, Ashlands; oblong slightly rolling sand to heavy sandy or light clay loam; mostly underdrained; fairly good crops in past; 1902 hay, 1903 hay and pasture.

B 4, 9'15 acres, West Pine Grove; square slightly sloping to north-west; sand, sandy loam, muck and clay underdrained; fair crops, some bad spots; 1902 oats, 1903 corn.

B 5, 9'93, Fenceless; square fairly flat loam. clayey loam and clay, mostly clay, well underdrained; rather poor crops in past save in hay; 1902 hay; 1903 grain.

The crops on the various fields in this rotation in 1904 were uniformly fair; in A 1, owing to a new spring appearing, nearly an acre of corn was lost; in B 5 part of the field had been in pease in 1903, so had to be sown down to oat hay in the spring, and the rest of the field had had no timothy sown with the clover in 1903, and had in addition been tramped by the cattle in the fall of 1903, as it was not known then that it would be in hay in 1904.

ROTATION

This rotation of five years duration is that which has been followed for the

Lot.	Location.	Description of Soil.							Area in Acres.	Crop.	Crop.	Rent and Manure.	Seed, Twine and use of Machinery.
		Sand.	Sandy Loam.	Clayey Loam.	Clay.	Black Muck.	Gravel.	Hardpan.					
		p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.		1903.	1904.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
A 1.....	V.S. 3.....	30	45	25	9.96	Hay.....	Hay.....	59 76	11 35
A 2.....	L.S. 1.....	30	65	5	8.90	Oats.....	".....	53 40	17 44
A 3.....	A.S. 14.....	10	15	20	20	15	20	10.20	Pasture & hay.	Oats.....	61 20	16 32
A 4.....	W.P.G.S. 1.	70	20	10	9.15	Corn.....	".....	54 90	14 53
A 5.....	F.S. 1.....												
	F.S. 3.....	35	30	10	15	10	9.63	Grain.....	Corn.....	57 78	11 78
Aggregate.....									47.84			287 04	71 42
Average per acre.....									1			6 00	1 49

ROTATION

This rotation of five years duration is a modification

B 1.....	W.S. 4.....	5	35	5	50	5	10.00	Hay.....	Corn.....	60 00	12 00
B 2.....	L.S. 2.....	20	70	5	5	8.82	Oats.....	Hay.....	52 92	17 29
B 3.....	A.S. 15.....	20	60	5	15	10.20	Hay & pasture.	Oats.....	61 20	16 32
B 4.....	W.P.G.S. 2.	20	60	15	5	9.15	Corn.....	Grain.....	54 90	14 53
B 5.....	F.S. 2.....	30	30	40	9.93	Grain.....	Hay.....	59 58	19 40
Aggregate.....									48.10			288 60	79 60
Average per acre.....									1			6 00	1 60

ROTATION 'E.'

This rotation of three years duration includes grain, pasture and corn.

The grain comes after the corn, the stubble of which is treated as described under Rotation 'A.' With the grain in the spring is sown 10 lbs. red clover, 1 lb. alsik clover, 5 lbs. Alfalfa clover and 5 lbs. timothy seed per acre. If weather permits the field is pastured slightly in the fall.

After the grain crop the land is pastured, the grass seeding having been done with this object in view. In estimating the value of the returns from this field, pasture is charged at \$1 per month per cow. At this rate the returns fall very far short of what would have been the returns if a hay crop had been harvested, if we may judge by the returns from 'Z 2.' This rotation and Rotation 'Z' were introduced into the list in order to gain some idea as to the difference in returns probable from land pastured and land from which all the crops are harvested. Of course, it is just possible that the corn crop after the pasture may in a measure make up for the difference in favour of the no pasture rotation 'Z.'

Corn follows the pasture. Manure is applied during the fall and winter and turned under with the growth of clover in the spring. The land is ploughed shallow

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

'A.'

last five years on the whole "200 acre farm." Area, 47.84 acres.

Items of Expense in Raising Crop of 1904.										Particulars of Crop of 1904.										Profit per Acre in 1904.
Manual Labour.		Horse Labour.																		
No. of hours.	Cost of Manual Labour.	No. of hours with Team.	No. of hours with Single Horse.	Value of Horse Labour	Threshing.	Total Cost.	Cost for 1 Acre.	Grain.	Straw.	Hay.	Roots and Ensilage.	Total Value.	Value of Crop per / acre.							
Hrs.	\$ cts.	Hrs	Hrs	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.					
144	19 20	48	27	16 73	107 04	10 75	44,000	154 00	15 46	4 71					
207	27 52	508	353	18 88	117 24	13 17	77,483	271 19	30 47	17 30					
43	5 74	163	40 75	13 14	137 15	13 44	22,114	25,333	271 81	26 65	13 31					
38	5 07	118	...	29 55	7 94	112 09	12 24	13,236	20,711	172 76	18 54	6 30					
452	60 25	278	26	74 05	...	203 86	21 17	279,060	279 06	28 98	7 81					
884	117 78	657	883	179 96	...	677 38	14 37	35,350	46,044	121,483	279,060	1,154 82	24 33	9 96					
18 48	2 46	134	13	3 76	14 37	14 37	738	962	2,537	5,833	24 33	24 33	9 96					

'B.'

of Rotation "A." Area, 48.10 acres.

470	62 65	292	28	77 90	212 55	21 25	216,755	216 75	21 67	0 42
205	27 33	503	331	18 71	116 25	13 18	76,787	268 75	30 47	17 29
43	5 74	163	40 75	11 31	135 32	13 27	18,848	28,516	245 51	24 07	10 80
38	5 07	118	29 55	7 94	112 09	12 24	13,722	22,118	181 45	19 83	7 59
202	26 94	484	13	19 52	125 50	12 64	63,430	222 00	22 35	9 71
958	127 75	671	662	186 43	701 71	14 59	32,570	50,631	140,217	216,755	1,134 41	23 58	8 99
19 9	2 62	139	138	3 87	14 59	14 59	677	1,052	2,914	4,506	23 58	23 58	8 99

and disc harrowed, the corn is then sown in rows 42 inches apart and receives the usual treatment during the rest of the season.

F 1. 14.66 acres. Windmill; rolling land, well drained; sand, sandy loam, small mount clayey loam; good crops; 1902, oats; 1903, hay.

F 2. 13.75. Lenoire; rolling land, well underdrained; sand, sandy loam, muck, small amount clay and clayey loam; good crops; 1902, corn; 1903, oats.

F 3. 14.70 acres. Morningside; rolling land, well drained; sand, sandy loam, small amount clayey loam; good crops; 1902, pasture; 1903, grain.

ROTATION 'Z.'

This rotation of 3 years' duration includes corn, grain and clover hay, in the order named.

Corn comes after the clover hay. The manure is applied in the fall or during the winter and spring and the clover allowed to grow up through it, so facilitating the turning of the whole mass of manure and spring growth and late fall growth of

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1903

ROTATION

This rotation of three years duration includes

Lot.	Location.	Description of Soil.								Area in acres.	Crop.	Crop.	Rent and manure.	Seed, twine and use of machinery.
		Sand.	Sandy loam.	Clayey loam.	Clay.	Black muck.	Gravel.	Hardpan.						
		p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.						
E 1	W.S. 1	40	40	15	5	..	14.00	Hay	Corn	84 00	16 80	
E 2	L.S. 4	10	60	10	..	20	13.75	Oats	Pasture	82 50	25 57	
E 3	Morn	30	60	5	..	5	14.00	Grain	Oats	84 00	22 40	
Aggregate									41.75	250 50	64 77	
Average per acre									1.00	6 00	1 53	

ROTATION

This rotation of three years duration

Z 1.....	W.S. 2.....	40	40			15	5		6.00	Hay	Corn	36 00	7 80
Z 2.....	L.S. 3.....	10	60	10		20			5.81	Oats	Hay	34 86	11 38
Z 3.....	Obs. S.....	10	60	20	10				6.66	Hay	Oats	40 00	10 65
Aggregate.....									18.47			110 86	29 83
Average per acre..									1.00			6 00	1 61

clover under a few days before the corn is to be sown. The furrow turned is quite shallow, about 5 inches deep, and the land is then thoroughly disc-barrowed and the corn sown in rows 42 inches apart. It receives later the usual cultivation and care.

Grain follows corn, the land having been prepared as described under Rotation 'A'. With the grain there is sown 10 lbs. red clover, 1 lb. alsike and 5 lbs. Timothy seed.

The hay is cut twice and the last aftermath allowed to grow up to be turned under the next spring.

Z 1, 6.00 acres, Windmill; long narrow field; sand, muck, heavy loam underdrained; rolling land; crops usually good, 1902, oats; 1903, hay.

Z 2, 5.81 acres, Lonetree; long narrow field of rolling land, sand, muck, sandy loam underdrained; fair crops; 1902, corn; 1903, oats.

Z 3, 6.66 acres, Observatory; irregular square; sand, sandy loam, clayey loam clay; underdrained; good crops; 1902, hay; 1903, hay.

ROTATION 'H.'

This rotation is of three years duration and includes roots, soiling crop and pasture in the order named. The land is plowed late in the fall after it has been manured. It is disked the next spring and the roots sown on ridges. The roots receive the usual cultivation and are of a varied character, including mangels, sugar mangels

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

'E.'

pasture and has an area 41.75 acres.

Items of Expense in Raising Crop of 1904.									Particulars of Crop of 1904.									
Manual Labour.		Horse Labour.			Threshing.	Total cost.	Cost for 1 acre.	Grain.	Straw.	Hay.	Roots and ensilage.	Total value.	Value of crop per acre.	Profit per acre in 1904.				
No. of hours.	Cost of manual labour.	No. of hours with team.	No. of hours with single horse.	Value of horse labour.														
Hrs.	\$ cts.	Hrs.	Hrs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.				
658	87 54	417 ³ / ₈	38	111 00	299 34	21 38	382,116	382 12	27 29	5 91				
135	17 99	193	48 25	12 85	108 07	7 85	143	143 00	10 41	2 56				
793	105 53	610 ³ / ₈	159 25	185 49	13 25	21,413	32,781	279 69	19 98	9 13				
19	2 53	146	3 82	592 90	21,413	32,781	143	382,116	592 90				
						14 20	14 20	512	785	3 42	9,152	19 28	19 28	5 08				

* Hay not cut but field furnished pasturage equivalent to 1 cow on pasture for 143 mos.

'Z.'

includes an area of 18.47 acres.

282	37 60	179	161	47	89	129 29	21 55	163,764	163 76	27 28	5 72
136	18 15	33	23 ¹ / ₂	12	11	76 50	13 17	50,756	177 64	30 47	17 30
36	4 80	90	22	56	6 92	84 87	12 74	11,520	17,160	161 93	24 32	11 58
454	60 55	202	39 ³ / ₈	82	50	290 66	15 73	11,520	17,160	50,756	163,764	503 33	27 25
24 57	3 27	16 35	2 14	4	46	15 73	15 73	623	929	2,748	8,866	27 25	27 25	11 52

sugar beets and turnips devoted to pork production for the most part, the surplus being sold to cattle and the returns invested in meal for pig feeding.

The soiling crop field is sown with various crops suitable for feeding to pigs. What is over and above the amount possible of consumption by pigs is sold to cattle at \$2 per ton and the returns used to purchase meal for pork production.

The pasture area is divided into several parts, the seed being sown as far as possible at the same time as the soiling crops the previous year and not allowed to be eaten too close the first fall, although any good growth is not wasted.

If 1, 3.55 acres, Hoglands; rolling, sand and sandy loam; underdrained; 1902, pasture; 1903, oats.

If 2, 3.15 acres, Hoglands; rolling, sand, hardpan, loam, clayey loam; underdrained; 1902, pasture; 1903, grain.

If 3, 2.85 acres, Hoglands; sloping north; clayey loam, clay, sandy loam, sand; underdrained; 1902, pasture; 1903, rape and hog pasture.

'Sheep Farm.'

This rotation of four years duration includes roots, grain, hay, pasture.

The area devoted to sheep farming is rather limited, about 10.72 acres. This area is not included in the '200 acre farm.' The whole field had been for several years

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

ROTATION

This rotation of three years duration includes an area of 9.35 acres.

Lot.	Location.	Description of Soil.							Area in Acres.	Crop.	Crop.	Rent and Manure.	Seed, Twine and use of Machinery.
		Sand.	Sandy Loam.	Clayey Loam.	Clay.	Black Muck.	Gravel.	Hardpan.					
		p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	Ac.	1903.	1904.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
H 1.....	H.S. 1.....	30	40	20	10	3.35	Grain.....	Pasture and hay.	20 10	5 35
H 2.....	H.S. 2.....	25	45	20	10	3.15	".....	Roots.....	18 90	3 69
H 3.....	H.S. 3.....	10	20	50	20	2.85	Rape and pasture.	Pasture and soil-ing crop.	17 10	3 65
Aggregate.....									9.35			56 10	12 69
Average per acre.....									1			6 00

ROTATION

This rotation of four years duration is devoted to

Lot.	Location.	Description of Soil.							Area in Acres.	Crop.	Crop.	Rent and Manure.	Seed, Twine and use of Machinery.
		Sand.	Sandy Loam.	Clayey Loam.	Clay.	Black Muck.	Gravel.	Hardpan.					
		p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	Ac.	1903.	1904.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
T 1.	S.S. 1.....	10	90	1.51	Pasture.....	Roots and soil-ing.	9 06	3 90
T 2.....	S.S. 2.....	15	85	2.44	Rape, pastured	Rape, p'std	14 64	2 43
T 3.....	S.S. 3.....	100	3.27	Pasture.	Soiling....	19 62	6 98
T 4.....	S.S. 4.....	15	85	3.50	".....	Pasture...	21 00	6 06
Aggregate.....									10.72			64 32	19 31
Average per acre.....												6 00	1 86

devoted to pasturing sheep, but it has been divided into four rather unequal fields susceptible of further subdivision and devoted to a rotation considered suitable for sheep.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

('H.')

It is as far as possible devoted to pork production.

Items of Expense in Raising Crop of 1904.										Particulars of Crop of 1904.										Profit per Acre in 1904.
Manual Labour.		Horse Labour.				Threshing.	Total Cost.	Cost for one Acre.	Grain.	Pasture for Pigs.	Hay.	Roots and Green Feed.	Total Value.	Value of Crop per Acre.						
No. of Hours.	Cost of Manual Labour.	No. of hours with Team.		Value of Horse Labour.																
		Hrs.	Hrs.	% cts.	%															
															% cts.	%				
2	0 27	5	2	1 60	27 32	8 15	63	3,580	14,570	38 70	11 55	3 40						
493	65 61	32	28	12 90	101 10	32 10	132,570	132 57	42 09	9 99						
.....	23½	5 87	26 62	9 34	10	42,735	44 73	15 69	6 35						
495	65 88	60½	30	20 37	155 04	3,580	189,875	216 00	23 10	6 52						
.....	16 58	16 58	7 80	382	20,307	23 10	23 10	6 52						

('T.')

Sheep, it includes an area of 10.72 acres.

Items of Expense in Raising Crop of 1904.										Particulars of Crop of 1904.										Profit per Acre in 1904.
Manual Labour.		Horse Labour.				Threshing.	Total Cost.	Cost for one Acre.	Grain.	Sheep on Pasture.	Hay.	Roots, Ensilage and Soil- ing Crop.	Total Value.	Value of Crop per Acre.						
No. of Hours.	Cost of Manual Labour.	No. of hours with Team.	No. of hours with single Horse.	Value of Horse Labour.																
\$ cts.	Hrs.	Hrs.	\$ cts.	\$	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Mos.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.							
100	13 33	13½	3 37	29 66	19 64	30,684	30 68	20 32	0 68						
2	0 27	16	4 00	21 34	8 75	87 1	17 42	7 14	1 61						
.....	34	8 50	35 10	10 73	73 5	40,315	55 02	16 82	6 12						
.....	27 00	7 71	143 6	28 72	8 21	0 50						
102	13 60	63½	15 87	113 10	304 2	70,999	131 84	12 30	1 75						
95	1 27	6	1 48	10 55	10 55	23 22	6,623	12 29	12 30	1 75						

* Loss.

The root field is devoted to white turnips, Swedes, cabbage, Kohl Rabi, thousand-padded kale, rape, &c. It comes after the pasture, the land being manured and plowed the fall.

ROTATION

Four year rotation, with Deep

Lot.	Location.	Description of Soil.							Area in Acres.	Crop.	Crop.	Rent and Manure.	Seed, Twine and use of Machinery.	
		Sand.	Sandy Loam.	Clayey Loam.	Clay.	Black Muck.	Gravel.	Hardpan.						
D 1.....	E.P.G.S. 2..	20	80						2	Corn.....	Oats.....	\$ 12 00	\$ 3 20	
D 2.....	" 4..	20	80						2	"	Oat hay..	12 00	2 60	
D 3.....	" 6..	30	70						2	"	"	12 00	2 60	
D 4.....	" 8..	60	40						2	Roots	Roots	12 00	2 30	
Aggregate.....										8	48 00	10 75
Average per Acre										1	6 00	1 30

ROTATION

Four year rotation, with Shallow

S 1.....	E.P.G.S. 1..	20	80							Corn.....	Oats.....	12 00	3 20
S 2.....	" 3..	20	80							"	Oat hay..	12 00	2 60
S 3.....	" 5..	30	70							"	"	12 00	2 60
S 4.....	" 7..	60	40							"	Roots	12 00	2 30
Aggregate.....												48 00	10 75
Average per Acre												6 00	1 30

Grain follows the root land, and with the grain various clovers and grass seeds are sown to prepare for the ensuing two years. The grain may be harvested or used as soiling crop for sheep.

The hay field is expected to give one crop of hay and then be devoted to pasture for lambs as soon as they are weaned.

The pasture field is the field that has been hay the previous year. Alfalfa, R clover, Alsike clover, *Bromus inermis* and Timothy are the clovers and grasses used.

T 1, 1.51 acres, Sheeplands; fairly level, quite stony, light loam; always in pasture till 1904.

T 2, 2.44 acres, Sheeplands; quite level loamy; 1902, grain; 1903, rape.

T 3, 3.27 acres, Sheeplands; rolling, very stony shallow light loam soil; always pasture till 1904.

T 4, 3.50 acres, Sheeplands; slightly rolling sand, sandy loam; 1902, hay; 1903, pasture.

ROTATION 'D.'

Deep Ploughing.

This rotation is of four years' duration and includes grain, clover hay, milk clover and timothy hay and roots.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

'D.'

late Fall Plowing Area 8 acres.

Items of Expense in Raising Crop of 1904.								Particulars of Crop of 1904.							
Manual Labour.		Horse Labour.			Threshing.	Total Cost.	Cost for 1 Acre.	Grain.	Straw.	Hay.	Roots and Ensilage.	Total Value.	Value of Crop per Acre.	Profit per Acre in 1904.	
No. of Hours.	Cost of Manual Labour.	No. of hours with Team.	No. of hours with single Horse.	Value of Horse Labour.											
Hrs.	\$ cts.	Hrs	Hrs	\$ ts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	
20	2 67	23	...	5 85	1 15	24 87	12 43	1,916	5,344	29 85	14 92	2 43	
57	7 60	23	13	8 63	...	30 83	15 41	9,553	...	33 37	16 68	1 27	
57	7 60	23	13	8 63	...	30 83	15 41	9,553	...	33 37	16 68	1 27	
323	43 15	44	23	15 15	...	72 65	36 32	87,245	87 25	43 62	7 30	
457	61 02	118	49	38 26	...	159 18	...	1,916	5,344	19,106	87,245	183 84	22 98	3 09	
57	7 63	14	6	4 78	...	19 89	19 89	239 5	668	2,388	10,905	22 98	22 98	3 09	

'S.'

early Fall Plowing Area 8 acres.

Hrs.	\$ cts.	Hrs.	Hrs.	\$ ts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
20	2 67	23	5 85	1 15	24 87	12 43	1,916	5,344	29 85	14 92	2 43
57	7 60	25	13	8 63	30 83	15 41	9,553	33 37	16 68	1 27
57	7 60	25	13	8 63	30 83	15 41	9,553	33 37	16 68	1 27
323	43 15	44	23	15 15	72 65	36 32	87,245	87 25	43 62	7 30
457	61 02	118	49	38 26	159 18	19,066	87,245	183 84	22 98	3 09
57	7 63	14	6	4 78	19 89	19 89	239 5	668	2,388	10,905	22 98	22 98	3 09

The grain crop is sown after roots. After the roots are harvested the land is ploughed 7 inches deep, and then left till the next spring, when it is harrowed and seeded to oats. With the grain is sown 10 pounds Red clover, 1 pound Alsike clover and 10 pounds Timothy seed per acre.

The clover hay is cut twice in the season, and the second aftermath left on the field, i.e., it is not pastured off.

The mixed clover and timothy hay is cut twice if possible and plowed 7 inches deep early in October. Manure is applied and the land replowed in the spring with a shallower furrow.

The roots are sown on ridges drilled up after the spring ploughing, and receive the usual cultivation.

D 1, 2 acres, East Pine Grove; slopes to north-west, is partly underdrained; sand rather heavy sandy loam; has given fair crops for most part, but has small 'bad land' spots; 1902, oats; 1903, corn.

D 2, 2 acres, East Pine Grove; slopes from both ends to centre; underdrained; sand heavy loam; has given good crops for most part, but has some 'bad land' spots; 1902, oats; 1903, corn.

D 3, 2 acres, East Pine Grove; slopes from both ends to centre; underdrained; sandy loam, underdrained; good crops; 1902, oats; 1903, corn.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

ROTATION

This rotation of six years

Lot.	Location.	Description of Soil.							Area in acres.	Crop.	Crop.	Bert and manure.	Seed, twine and use of machinery.
		Sand.	Sandy loam.	Clayey loam.	Clay.	Black muck.	Gravel.	Hard pan.					
		p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	Ac.	1903.	1904.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
M 1.....	A.S. 2	30	15	...	45	...	10		1	Meadow and pasture.	Oats.....	6 00	1 60
M 2.....	A.S. 4.....	30	15	...	45	...	10		1	" ..	Oat hay ..	6 00	1 30
M 3.....	A.S. 6.....	30	15	...	45	...	10		1	" ..	" ..	6 00	1 30
Aggregate.....									3			18 00	4 20
Average per acre.....									1			6 00	1 40

ROTATION

This rotation of six years duration includes no clover save such

N 1.....	A.S. 3.....	30	15	...	45	...	10		1	Meadow and pasture.	Oats.....	6 00	1 60
N 2.....	A.S. 5.....	30	15	...	45	...	10		1	" ..	Oat hay ..	6 00	1 30
N 3.....	A.S. 7.....	30	15	...	45	...	10		1	" ..	" ..	6 00	1 30
Aggregate.....									3			18 00	4 20
Average per acre.....									1			6 00	1 40

D 4, 2 acres, East Pine Grove; slopes to south-east; sandy loam; partly under drained; good crops; 1902, oats; 1903, roots.

ROTATION 'S.'

Shallow Ploughing.

This rotation is of 4 years' duration, and includes grain, clover hay, mixed clover and timothy hay and roots.

The grain crop is sown after roots. After the roots are harvested in the fall, the land is ploughed shallow, 4 inches deep, and then left till the next spring, when it is harrowed and seeded to oats. With the grain is sown 10 pounds red clover, 1 pound alsike clover and 10 pounds timothy seed per acre.

The clover hay is cut twice in the season and the second aftermath left on the field; that is, it is not pastured off, as is usually done.

The mixed clover and timothy hay is cut twice if possible, and in August the land is ploughed with a shallow furrow (exactly 4 inches deep). The land is kept cultivated and harrowed at intervals till late October, when it is ridged up with the double mouldboard plough. To this field destined for roots, manure is applied during the winter.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

'M.'

duration includes the clover hay. Area 3 acres.

Items of Expense in raising Crop of 1904.								Particulars of Crop of 1904.								Profit per acre in 1904.
Manual Labour.		Horse Labour.			Threshing.	Total cost.	Cost for 1 acre.	Grain.	Straw.	Hay.	Roots and ensilage.	Total value.	Value of crop per acre.			
No. of hours.	Cost of manual labour.	No. of hours with team.	No. of hours with single horse.	Value of horse labour.												
Hrs.	\$ cts.	Hrs.	Hrs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.		
4	0 53	16	4 00	0 90	13 04	13 04	1,493	3,182	21 29	21 29	8 25		
15	2 00	16½	4 12½	13 60	13 60	3,670	12 85	12 85	*0 75		
15	2 00	16½	4 12½	13 60	13 60	3,670	12 85	12 85	*0 75		
34	4 53	49	12 25	40 24	13 41	1,493	3,182	7,340	46 99	15 66	2 25		
11½	1 51	16½	4 08	13 41	13 41	498	1,061	2,447	15 66	15 66	2 25		

* Loss.

as may happen to get into the field from unknown sources. Area 3 acres.

4	0 53	16	4 00	0 90	13 04	13 04	1,493	3,182	21 29	21 29	8 25	
15	2 00	16½	4 12½	13 60	13 60	3,670	12 85	12 85	*0 75	
15	2 00	16½	4 12½	13 60	13 60	3,670	12 85	12 85	*0 75	
34	4 53	49	12 25	0 90	40 24	13 41	1,493	3,182	7,340	46 99	15 66	2 25	
11½	1 51	16½	4 08	0 30	13 41	13 41	498	1,061	2,447	15 66	15 66	2 25	

* Loss.

disked in the spring and the land again ridged up and sown to roots, which receive the usual cultivation.

S 1, 2 acres, East Pine Grove; slopes to north-west; is partly underdrained; sand to rather heavy sandy loam; has given fair crops for most part, but has small 'bad land' area; 1902, oats; 1903, corn.

S 2, 2 acres, East Pine Grove; slopes from both ends to centre; sand to heavy loam; underdrained for most part; has given good crops for most part but has some 'bad land' spots; 1902, oats; 1903, corn.

S 3, 2 acres, East Pine Grove; slopes from both ends to centre; underdrained; sand to sandy loam, underdrained; good crops; 1902, oats; 1903, corn.

S 4, 2 acres, East Pine Grove; slopes to south-east; sandy loam; partly underdrained; good crops; 1902, oats; 1903, corn.

ROTATION 'M.'

This rotation of six years duration includes in its crops grain, grain, clover hay and then Timothy hay or mixed hay for three years.

The first year, grain is sown on sod plowed late in the fall. In the spring the land is disked, harrowed and sown with 10 pounds of red clover seed per acre at the same

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

ROTATION

This rotation of three years duration has no

Lot.	Location.	Description of Soil.								Area in acres.	Crop.	Crop.	Rent and manure.	Seed, twine and use of machinery.
		Sand.	Sandy loam.	Clayey loam.	Clay.	Black muck.	Gravel.	Hardpan.						
		p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	Ac.	1903.	1904.	% cts.	% cts.	
O 1 ..	A. S. 8.	30	15	...	45	...	10	...	1	Meadow and pasture.	Oat hay ..	6 00	1 30	
O 2	A. S. 10	30	15	...	45	...	10	...	1	"	" ..	6 00	1 30	
O 3	A. S. 12	30	15	...	45	...	10	...	1	"	Oats	6 00	1 60	
Aggregate									3	18 00	4 20	
Average per acre									1	6 00	1 40	

ROTATION

This rotation of three years duration

P 1	A. S. 9.	30	15	...	45	...	10	...	1	Meadow and pasture.	Oat hay ..	6 00	1 30
P 2	A. S. 11	30	15	...	45	...	10	...	1	"	" ..	6 00	1 30
P 3	A. S. 13	30	15	...	45	...	10	...	1	"	Oats	6 00	1 60
Aggregate										3	18 00	4 20
Average per acre										1	6 00	1 40

time as the grain is sown. After the grain is harvested the clover is allowed to grow as late as possible and the land plowed the last thing in the fall. The next spring 8 pounds of Red clover and 10 pounds Timothy seed is sown with the grain and the land put in as good shape as possible.

Clover hay follows the second year grain. It is cut twice in the year and the last aftermath not pastured.

Timothy hay or mixed hay then occupies the land for three consecutive years. Manure is applied in the fall of the second year that the field is under hay.

M 1, 1 acre, Ashlands; long narrow field, sandy loam, clayey loam, black muck, hardpan; underdrained; good crops; 1902, hay; 1903, hay and pasture.

M 2, and M 3, are quite similar to M 1 in every respect.

The crops of hay on M 2 and M 3 this year should not be taken as a fair sample of what may be expected from these fields in the future as it was impossible to have them under the right kind of hay the first year and so they were put under oat hay.

ROTATION 'N.'

This rotation of six years duration includes in its crops grain, grain and Timothy hay for four years.

The first years grain is sown on land that had been plowed six inches deep the fall previous. No grass or clover seed of any kind is sown with it. The stubble is plowed

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

'O.'

clover included in its crops. Area 3 acres.

Items of Expense in Raising Crop of 1904.									Particulars of Crop of 1904.								Profit per acre in 1904.
Manual Labour.		Horse Labour.			Threshing.	Total cost.	Cost for 1 acre.	Grain.	Straw.	Hay.	Roots and ensilage.	Total value.	Value of crop per acre.				
No. of hours.	Cost of manual labour.	No. of hours with team.	No. of hours with single horse.	Value of horse labour.													
Hrs.	\$ cts.	Hrs	Hrs	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.			
15	2 00	16½	4 12½	13 60	13 60	3,670	12 85	12 85	* 0 75			
15	2 00	16½	4 12½	13 60	13 60	3,670	12 85	12 85	* 0 75			
4	0 53	16	4 00	1 30	13 43	13 43	2,169	2,482	26 65	26 65	13 22			
34	4 53	49	12 25	1 30	40 63	13 54	2,169	2,482	7,340	52 35	17 45	3 91			
11½	1 51	16½	4 08	0 43	13 54	13 54	723	827	2,447	17 45	17 45	3 91			

* Loss.

'P.'

includes clover. Area 3 acres.

15	2 00	16½	4 12½	13 60	13 60	3,670	12 85	12 85	* 0 75		
15	2 00	16½	4 12½	13 60	13 60	3,670	12 85	12 85	* 0 75		
4	0 53	16	4 00	1 30	13 43	13 43	2,169	2,482	26 65	26 65	13 22		
34	4 53	49	12 25	1 30	40 63	13 54	2,169	2,482	7,340	52 35	17 45	3 91		
11½	1 51	16½	4 08	0 43	13 54	13 54	723	827	2,447	17 45	17 45	3 91		

* Loss.

in the fall and with the grain of the second year Timothy seed is sown at the rate of 12 pounds per acre. Every care is taken to insure a good catch and the land put in as good shape as possible to remain in meadow four years.

Timothy hay is then the crop for four years, manure being applied in the fall of the second year of hay.

N 1, 1 acre. Ashlands; long narrow field, sandy loam, clayey loam, black muck, landpan; well underdrained; good crops; 1902, hay; 1903, hay and pasture.

N 2, and N 3 are quite similar to N 1 in every particular.

ROTATION 'O.'

This rotation is of three years duration and includes grain, timothy hay, timothy hay.

The field intended for grain is ploughed early in the fall and cultivated at intervals to insure the sod rotting. It is ploughed again late in the fall and with the grain. The next spring, timothy seed is sown at the rate of 12 lbs. to the acre.

Timothy hay is cut for two years and the land again ploughed early in the fall. Manure is applied in the fall of the first year under hay.

It was impossible to get the proper fields under timothy hay for this year, so it was necessary to sow oat hay. The results were not very satisfactory, so this year's

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

crop on O 1 and O 2 need not be taken as an example of what may be expected from these fields in the future.

O 1, 1 acre, Ashlands, long narrow field, rolling, sandy loam, clayey loam, black muck, hardpan; underdrained, good crops; 1902, hay; 1903, hay and pasture.

O 2, and O 3 are similar to O 1 in every particular.

ROTATION 'P.'

This rotation is of three years duration and includes grain, clover hay, and timothy hay or mixed hay.

The field intended for grain is ploughed early the previous fall and cultivated at intervals to insure the sod rotting. It is again ploughed late in the fall and left till seed time the next spring. With the grain is sown ten pounds clover and ten pounds timothy.

Manure is applied in the fall of the first year hay.

P 1, 1 acre, Ashlands; long narrow rolling sandy loam, clayey loam, black muck, hardpan; underdrained; good crops; 1902, hay; 1903, hay and pasture.

EXPERIMENTS WITH GRASSES AND CLOVERS FOR HAY.

Some further experiments to gain some information as to the comparative economy of different mixtures of grasses and clovers have been carried on during the year.

In comparison with the usual grass mixture of eight pounds timothy and ten pounds red clover, there were tested several others not so commonly used. *Bromus inermis*, orchard grass, alfalfa and alsike were the other grasses and clovers used. The following table gives full particulars of the different plots tested.

Particulars of seeding and returns in hay are as follows:—

	SEED SOWN PER ACRE.				Yield of Hay July 5.	Yield of Hay Aug. 18.	Total yield Hay per lot.	Total yield Hay per acre.	Yield Green Feed Oct. 7.
	Grasses.	Lbs.	Clovers.	Lbs.					
					Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.	Tons. lbs.
Plot 1, 3½ acres	Timothy	10	Common Red	8	11 1,220	4 760	15 1,980	4 1,137
Plot 2, 5 acres	Timothy	4	16 42	6 520	22 560	4 912	7 330 put in silo
	<i>Bromus Inermis</i>	8	Alfalfa	8					
	Orchard grass	8	Common Red	6					
Plot 3, 5 acres	Timothy	4	Alsike	2	18 1,267	5 1,970	24 1,337	4 1,867
	<i>Bromus Inermis</i>	8	Common Red	6					
	Orchard	8	Alfalfa	2					
Plot 4, 5 acres	Timothy	5	Alsike	2	12 1,072	6 1,690	19 762	3 1,752
	Orchard	16	Common Red	6					
Plot 5, 5 acres	Timothy	5	Alsike	2	13 192	7 190	20 382	4 76
	<i>Bromus Inermis</i>	15	Common Red	6					
Total		91		48	71 1,793	30 1,130	102 1,021	4 943	7 330

Very little need be said in explanation or amplification of the above.

The early part of the season was very suitable for hay, and the first cut was most excellent in quality and large in quantity.

July and August were dry months in the Ottawa district, and consequently the second cut was quite light.

Plot 2, the seed on which included 8 pounds Alfalfa, was cut a third time, October 7, and the material (about 78 per cent Alfalfa) put in the experimental silo.

PASTURE.

None of these particular plots was pastured in 1904. A plot of 13.75 acres seeded with 10 pounds Timothy and 8 pounds Common Red clover was under pasture. This plot had been seeded down with oats the previous year. It made a very rapid growth in the spring, and the cattle were turned in May 20.

During the season 4,290 days' pasture was furnished by the 13.75 acres. This amount of pasture at \$1 per month per head would be worth \$143, or \$10.41 per acre.

A field of 5.81 acres of similar seeding yielded during the season 25 tons, 763 pounds hay worth at \$7 per ton \$177.67. It will, however, be remembered that to harvest the hay cost considerable, about \$1.66 per ton.

YIELDS AND COST OF VARIOUS CLASSES OF HAY.

In the following statement of cost of producing 1 ton and 1 acre of various sorts of hay and hay mixtures, labour, seed, rent and manure are all considered. Where more than one crop was harvested in the year the seed rent and manure were, of course, counted only once.

Kind of Hay.	Amount seed.	Cost per Acre to grow.	Cost per Ton to produce.	Yield per Acre.	Value of Hay per Ton.	Remarks.
		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Tons. lbs.	\$ cts.	
Timothy.....	10	10 75	4 87	2 400	8 00	
Timothy.....	10	13 17	2 90	4 1,137	7 00	
Red Clover.....	8	13 90	3 10	4 912	7 00	
Alfalfa.....	8	13 77	2 82	4 1,800	7 00	
Timothy.....	4	13 60	7 40	1 1,670	7 00	
Timothy.....	8	15 41	6 46	2 766	7 00	
Isake.....	6					
at hay.....	68					
at and Pea hay.....	50					
" ".....	30					

A further aftermath cut for silage not considered in this estimate.

TIMOTHY.

Timothy is of course the favourite hay for horses. It is, however, usually expensive to produce since it yields only one crop in the season, and two tons is considered a very good yield per acre. Freedom from dust, good keeping qualities, palatability and wholesomeness are its chief recommendations. It depletes the soil of fertility to a certain extent and very few fields should be left longer than two years under this crop.

TIMOTHY AND CLOVER.

Timothy and Red Clover mixed is a hay that, if well made, can scarcely be surpassed for any class of live stock, combining as it does in itself, palatability, wholesomeness, high digestibility, and high nutritive qualities. It is better for horses than

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

pure Timothy, and should be fed much more extensively than is at present the case in this country, provided, of course, that it is well made.

The Red Clover part of the mixture adds to the fertility of the soil and makes up in some measure for the loss entailed by the growing of the Timothy along with it.

ALFALFA.

Alfalfa, Timothy and Brome makes a most excellent hay for cattle of all descriptions and horses do very well on it. The Alfalfa part of the mixture increases the nitrogen content of the soil, but the other two constituents of the mixture are soil robbers.

TIMOTHY AND ALSIKE.

Timothy and Alsike is a hay of a very high value for cattle, but not so good for horses. Timothy is, of course, again a factor in lowering the fertility of the soil, but the Alsike being a perennial clover may be expected to replace at least a portion of the nitrogen removed.

OAT HAY.

Oat hay is not a hay that can be recommended to the farmers of this country, as it is expensive, only fairly palatable and not highly nutritious. To give the best results it must be cut the very day it is in the thin milk stage. Any later date means a great loss in palatability.

OAT AND PEA HAY.

Oats and pease make a very good hay mixture, but not equal to any of the other hays discussed, save only pure oat hay, which it surpasses for cattle and sheep and at least equals for horses.

MIXED CROP EXPERIMENT.

On West Pine Grove field, which had been under corn in 1903, were grown in 1904, in lots of 1 acre each, 7 different sorts of grain or grain mixtures. The aim was to determine if possible the comparative economy of sowing each sort of grain by itself or mixed with one or more other sorts. This experiment has been carried on for five years now and as the seasons have been quite varied and the soils used have been of different character each year, it may safely be considered as having been a fair test.

The results this year are as follows :—

	Grain, lbs. per acre.
Plot 1, pure pease, yielded.	1,135
Plot 2, pure barley, yielded.	1,662
Plot 3, pure oats, yielded.	1,687
Plot 4, mixture, pease 1 bushel, barley 1 bushel, oats 1 bushel, yielded.	1,550
Plot 5, mixture, pease 1 bushel, oats 2 bushels, yielded. . . .	1,447
Plot 6, mixture, oats 1½ bushels, barley 1 bushel, yielded. . . .	1,689
Plot 7, mixture, wheat ½ bushel, barley ¾ bushel, oats 1 bushel, and pease ¾ bushel yielded.	1,498

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

A summary of the results for the five years is herewith submitted:—

	POUNDS OF GRAIN PER ACRE.					
	1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.	1904.	Five year average per acre.
Plot 1, pure pease, yielded	1,101	1,140	1,805	1,140	1,135	Lbs.
Plot 2, pure barley, yielded	1,252	1,070	2,490	1,070	1,662	1,264
Plot 3, pure oats, yielded	2,059	1,819	2,495	1,819	1,687	1,507
Plot 4, mixture, barley 1 bushel, oats 1 bushel, pease 1 bushel, yielded	1,559	2,183	1,550	1,976
Plot 5, mixture, pease 1 bushel, oats 2 bushels, yielded	1,247	746	2,382	1,447	1,764
Plot 6, mixture, oats $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels, barley 1 bushel, yielded	1,458	1,239	2,360	1,238	1,689	1,455
Plot 7, mixture, wheat $\frac{1}{2}$ bushel, barley $\frac{1}{2}$ bushel, oats 1 bushel, pease $\frac{1}{2}$ bushel, yielded	1,560	888	2,225	888	1,498	1,597
Plot 8, mixture, oats and pease equal parts by weight, yielded	1,341	1,052	2,160	1,052	1,412
Plot 9, mixture, oats and pease equal parts by measure, yielded	1,011	2,165	1,011	1,401
						1,396

The results seem to indicate that, generally speaking, pure grains may be expected to give more pounds to the acre than mixtures.

CORN.

Owing to difficulty in procuring seed of fair germinable quality, it was necessary to sow considerably more large growing late varieties than was desired. Several mixtures were sown, and herewith are submitted a few notes on the pure lots as well as the mixed lots. Judging by the stand and the weights secured from some small lots before the frost of September 23, the yields from the different lots would have been from three to five tons per acre greater than was the actual yield when cut about the 1st of September and the first week in October.

LEAMING.

Leaming, 14 $\frac{7}{12}$ acres sown in drills 42 inches apart on June 1, cut for ensilage September 30. It yielded at the rate of 14 tons 610 lbs. per acre. The stand was very good, but frost coming on September 23 and 24 did a great deal of harm. The corn stood from 8 to 11 feet high and was fairly well cobbled.

LONGFELLOW AND RED COB ENSILAGE.

Longfellow and Red Cob Ensilage, $3\frac{1}{4}$ acres, sown June 8, cut for ensilage, October 1. Growth strong and fairly even, well cobbled in late milk at date of cutting. It stood from 8 to 10 feet high. It was badly frozen, but yielded 11 tons 1,968 lbs. per acre. The two made a very good mixture, which would have made excellent ensilage under favourable conditions.

SOUTHERN MAMMOTH SWEET AND EARLY BUTLER.

Southern Mammoth Sweet and Early Butler, 7 acres, sown June 6, cut for ensilage September 28. It made a strong even growth, but showed very few cobs on either side of the ear.

sort. It got past the late milk stage before being cut, but suffered very severely from the frost. It stood 7 to 9 feet high, and yielded at the rate of 12 tons 30 lbs. per acre.

CUBAN GIANT AND KING OF THE EARLIEST.

Cuban Giant and King of the Earliest, 6 7/12 acres, sown June 8, cut for ensilage October 5. This mixture made a strong, even growth, and was fairly well cobbled in the milk stage at time of cutting. It stood about 9 feet high, was very badly frozen but yielded 92 tons 995 pounds, or 14 tons 353 pounds per acre. In a good season for corn this mixture would be a most profitable one to sow, particularly so on early or light soil.

NORTH DAKOTA AND RED COB ENSILAGE.

North Dakota and Red Cob Ensilage, 8 7-12 acres, sown June 7, cut for ensilage September 29. Rather uneven in growth, due to character of soil. Few cobs on North Dakota, none on Red Cob. Grew 8 to 10 feet high, and yielded 96 tons 1,355 pounds or at the rate of 11 tons 527 pounds per acre. This mixture to be a success must have a fairly long season.

A summary of the cost of growing the whole 40 acres is submitted herewith. For particulars of soil preparation, methods of manuring, &c., the reader is referred to the paragraphs discussing the different rotations.

Cost of growing and returns from 40 acres of corn:—

Rent of land at \$3 per acre	\$120 00
Manure at \$3 per acre (same allowance made for all crops).	120 00
Ploughing, 25'2 days at \$2.50 per day	63 00
Dise harrowing, 12'6 days at \$2.50 per day	31 50
Harrowing, 4 days at \$2.50	10 00
Seeding, 4 days at \$2.50	10 00
Seed, 20 bushels at \$1.20 per bushel	24 00
Hoeing, 80 days at \$1.33½ per day	106 66
Cultivating, team 32 days at \$2.50 per day	80 00
Cultivating, single horse 11'2 days at \$1.75 per day	19 60
Cutting with corn harvester, 11'4 days	28 50
Loading, unloading, tramping and putting into silo, 80 days at \$1.33½ per day	106 66
Drawing with teams, 30'4 days at \$2.50 per day	76 00
Twine, 2½ pounds per acre	12 00
Use of machinery at 30 cents per acre	12 00
Use of engine, &c., 6 days at \$5	30 00
	<hr/>
	\$849 92

Forty acres yielded 520 tons 1,690 lbs.

Average yield per acre, 13 tons 42 lbs.

To produce 1 ton ensilage in silo cost \$1.63.

Cost to produce 1 acre corn in silo ready to feed, \$21.25.

EXPERIMENTAL SILO.

Some years ago a small silo was constructed, to be used for the purpose of experimenting with various crops as material for preservation as ensilage.

Different green crops have been tested from time to time, since its construction, as to their fitness for ensilage manufacture, and reported upon in previous reports.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

In September, 1903, the silo was again filled with the following materials and mixtures, beginning at the bottom:—

	Lbs.
1. Pure corn, late milk stage.....	9,370
2. { Corn, late milk stage.....	5,280
{ Rape cut when about 15 inches high, mixed while going through blower or cut box.....	5,280
3. Pure corn, late milk stage.....	960
4. Pure rape, cut when about 15 inches high.....	5,620
5. { Corn, late milk stage.....	12,370
{ Sunflower heads, mixed going through machine.....	2,120
6. Horse beans.....	1,002
Total weight put in silo.....	42,002

The silo was emptied in March, 1904, with the following results:—

1. Pure corn, late milk stage (bottom layer), weighed out on March 29, gave an excellent sample of ensilage palatable and sweet. All classes of stock seemed to like it. As noted above, there was put into the silo 9,370 pounds. The amount weighed out was considerably less, being..... 7,950
A loss of about 15 per cent.
2. Corn, late milk stage, and rape, mixed in the proportion of 5,280 of corn to 5,280 of rape, removed and fed on March 18, gave a very excellent sample of ensilage that seemed to suit the palates of all classes of horned cattle even better than the pure corn ensilage. While 10,560 pounds of the mixture was weighed in, only 7,680 pounds was taken out, being a loss of about 33 per cent. Removed from silo..... 7,680
3. Pure corn, late milk stage, came out in condition quite similar to layer 1, and was quite as palatable.
4. Pure Rape, cut as described above, was taken out and fed March 16. It came out in excellent shape, and was eaten with avidity by all classes of cattle. It had a pleasant smell, and a rather pleasing taste. It was not leathery, as any one familiar with rape might have anticipated, but seemed quite as crisp and almost as fresh as when put into the silo. It seemed to be by far the most popular feed that could be given the cattle from among all our succulent feeds, as they would push the corn ensilage and roots away to get at the rape ensilage. The chief objection to be raised is this, that the loss in weight while in the silo is very great. The amount put into the silo was, as already stated, 5,620 pounds, but the amount taken out was only..... 2,590
5. The corn and sunflower came out in good shape, and as usual made good ensilage. The loss was considerable, but not nearly so great as in the case of rape. There was placed in the silo 14,470 pounds, while the amount removed was..... 11,500

6. The horse beans were at the top, and were spoiled entirely. The weight of material taken out, however, was.....	610
Total weight removed was.....	29,330
Percentage loss on pure corn.....	15 per cent of gross weight.
“ “ corn and rape	33 “ “
“ “ pure rape.	54 “ “

COMPOSITION.

For a full discussion of the composition and nutritive value of these mixtures, the reader is referred to the report of the Chemist, but a few remarks might not be out of place here.

According to the analysis, the rape on going into the silo showed a dry matter content of 13·95 per cent, of which 1·91 was crude protein. When it came out the dry matter content was found to be 21·81 per cent, of which 2·56 was crude protein. Thus, while the loss is still very considerable, it will be observed that it is not nearly so heavy as might be concluded if the weights alone were considered. When the dry matter content of the rape as it entered the silo is computed it is found to be about 784 pounds, while a calculation shows the dry matter content of the rape ensilage as it came out of the silo to be about 565 pounds, a loss of 219 pounds on 784 pounds, or about 26·5 per cent of loss in the feeding value, as nearly as we may judge of feeding value by the chemical composition.

THE EXPERIMENTAL SILO IN 1904.

The experimental silo has been filled again with the following layers and mixtures:—

1. (Top). Pure corn.....	3,195
2. { Corn.....	5,910
{ Alfalfa.....	2,050
3. Alfalfa (This alfalfa was part of the third crop off a field of mixed clovers and grasses. A botanical analysis showed about 22 per cent of other clovers and grasses which were of course left in the mixture when it was put in the silo).....	4,920
4. { Corn.....	4,450
{ Alfalfa.....	5,100
{ Corn.....	4,950
5. { Alfalfa.....	2,210
6. Corn (pure).....	3,390
Total in silo.....	36,175

This silo was filled on October 7, 1904, and will be fed out during the winter.

AUTUMN CULTIVATION.

For several years early shallow plowing has been advocated and practised on the 200 acre farm, where meadow or pasture land was to be put in grain the next year. Two years ago a field of 18 acres was divided into 3 six acre parts.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

One part was plowed 4 inches deep in August, and the land cultivated at intervals until late in October, when the surface soil was gathered together into ridges by means of a double mould board plow and put by for the winter.

Another part was torn up with a stiff toothed cultivator and the loosened soil so exposed to the sun was moved at intervals to allow the grass to die. Late in the fall the field, was ploughed and put by for the winter. The other field was not touched till late in the fall, when it was plowed about 6½ or 7 inches deep and left for the winter. It was impossible to keep track of the returns from each of the parts separately but appearances were much in favour of the early fall plowing and ridging up.

In the fall of 1903 the experiment was repeated and things arranged to permit of a record of the grain crop being secured for each part. Each lot was 5 acres in area.

Lot 1. Ploughed late in the fall 6 inches deep, disc harrowed twice and harrowed once in the spring, sown with seeder. Yielded 8,553 lbs. of oats.

Lot 2. Cultivated 5 times with stiff toothed cultivator, harrowed 5 times and plowed in late autumn about 6 inches deep, was harrowed once in the spring and sown with the seeder. Yielded 9,995 lbs. oats.

Lot 3. Plowed shallow with gang plow in August; cultivated 3 times; harrowed three times, and then the surface soil gathered into ridges for the winter, was cultivated once in the spring, harrowed once and sown with seeder. Yielded 10,845 lbs. oats.

The three lots were each seeded with clover. Lot 1 was a poor catch; lot 2, a fair catch, and lot 3, a very excellent catch.

It is to be regretted that lot 3 cannot be left in hay in 1905. Lots 1 and 2 will be in hay, however, and will be watched with interest. The experiment is being repeated.

REPORT OF THE HORTICULTURIST.

(W. T. MACOUN.)

December 1, 1904.

Dr. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director, Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith the eighteenth annual report of this division.

In the following pages will be found the results of some of the most important experiments conducted during the past year, and information regarding other work done.

CHARACTER OF SEASON.

The winter of 1903-4 was the most severe winter that has been experienced in Ottawa for many years, and the past summer has been one of the coolest summers. The frost last winter played great havoc in the orchards of Ontario and Quebec, many fruit trees being killed which had never been previously injured, and in the Essex district the peach trees were nearly all destroyed.

Winter set in on November 16, 1903, and there was sufficient snow for sleighing by November 24, and on the 26th of that month the temperature fell to zero. December, January and February were all very cold months, the temperature only rising above the freezing point three times in December and twice in February, and then only for a short time, there being no real thaw. In January it never thawed. During the winter the temperature fell below zero 58 times, and lower than 20° F. below zero 15 times. In the coldest spell, which lasted from December 26 to January 6, the minimum temperature ranged from 4 to 30 degrees F. below zero for twelve consecutive days, and on 6 of the 12 days it was between 20° and 30° F. below zero. The lowest temperature recorded during the winter was 30.2° F. below zero on January 5. This continuous, dry, cold weather was very hard on fruit trees and a large number were killed. Fortunately, there was a good covering of snow all winter and little, if any frost in the ground, so that vegetation below the snow line was practically uninjured. The snow as at its greatest depth on March 21st., when there were about four feet on the level. There was a thaw on March 22, and by April 1, sleighing was practically gone. By April 11, the snow was out of the orchards and the soil in most of the apple and plum orchards was in condition for ploughing at once. The indications were that the injury on mice would have been great if the trees had not been protected, as a few seedlings not protected were badly injured. April was a very cool month, with much cloudy weather, the highest temperature recorded being 66° F. on the 24th. On the 20th, there was a heavy fall of snow and good sleighing for cutters. The early part of May was quite warm and owing to the rapid development of the leaves the planting season was much shorter than usual, but it was a fine month for sowing seeds. The highest temperature in May was on the 9th., when the thermometer registered 85° F. There were no frosts in May, and in fact none since April 23. June was a much cooler month than usual. The highest temperature was on the 25th, when it was 87.5° F. There was one warm week in July, but the month on the whole was cool and cloudy, especially cool at nights. The highest temperature during the month was 95° F. on

Sender.	Donation.
Arnold Arboretum, Jamaica Plain, Mass.	100 species Crataegus and other plants.
D. F. Aikin, Farmington, Minn.	Scions, seedling apple.
H. Beyer, New London, Iowa.	6 plants Everbearing raspberry.
Botanic Garden, Upsala, Sweden.	Collection of seeds.
R. Brodie, Westmount, Q.	Scions, Ogilvie apple.
Botanic Garden, Lausanne, Switzerland.	66 packages of seeds.
Botanic Garden, Karlsruhe, Baden.	58 packages of seeds.
Thos. Connolly, Lindsay, O.	Scions, seedling apple.
Wm. Craig, Abbotsford, Q.	Scions, Victoria apple.
Mr. L. Cameron, Iroquois, O.	Buds of seedless apple.
J. K. Darling, Almonte, O.	Scions, unknown apple.
B. Edwards, Covey Hill, Q.	Apple scions.
Geo. Fraser, Ucluelet, B.C.	Plants of "Pyrus rivularis."
H. N. Grant, Newtonbrook, O.	Scions, seedling apple.
A. Harkness, Lancaster, O.	Scions, unknown apple.
Robert Hamilton, Grenville, Q.	Scions, seedling apple.
A. D. Harkness, Irena, Ont.	Scions, seedless apple.
C. P. Hanon, Mount St. Hilaire, Q.	Scions of red apple.
N. E. Jack, Chateauguay Basin, Q.	Queen Mary plum scions.
Daniel, Lack, Lindsay, Ont.	Scions, seedling apple.
J. S. Littooy, Everett, Wash.	6 plants Superlative raspberry.
Prof. J. Macoun, Ottawa, Ont.	Bulbs of "Erythronium grandiflorum."
E. Morris, Fonthill, Ont.	Scions, McDonald apple.
Prof. J. Macoun, Ottawa, Ont.	Evergreens from Rocky Mountains.
D. C. McKinnon, Atherley, O.	Scions, seedling apple.
Geo. H. McMillan, Dunbar, O.	I case of Bug Death.
New York Experiment Station, Geneva, N.Y.	Scions, seedling apple.
C. P. Newman, Lachine Locks, Q.	Grape cuttings.
A. W. Peart, Leamington, O.	Scions, seedling apple like McIntosh also Williams' Favorite.
E. M. Richardson, Toronto, O.	Scions, unknown apple.
Heber Rawlings, Forest, O.	Ash Leaf Kidney potato.
Royal Gardens, Kew, England.	Collection of seeds.
Royal Botanic Gardens, St. Petersburg, Russia.	Packages of seeds.
N. Smith & Son, Adrian, Mich.	6 plants "Helianthus sparsifolia."
C. H. Snow, Cumming's Bridge, O.	Scions, Red Sports of St. Lawrence apple.
C. L. Stephens, Orillia, Ont.	Scions, hardy peach and Red Russet apple.
F. G. Semple, Brule, N.S.	Scions, unknown apple.
Stark Bros., Louisiana, Mo.	Scions, E. 5, Z. 26, Bay and Black Ben Davis apples.
C. L. Stephens, Orillia, O.	Scions, apples and plums.
Wm. Stark, Kelso, Scotland.	Northern Star potato.
A. E. Sherrington, Walkerton, O.	Scions, Sweet Bough and Northern Spy apples.
Robert Thompson, St. Catharines, Ont.	Scions, unknown apple.
Prof. F. A. Waugh, Amherst, Mass.	Plants of "Prunus Besseyi."
H. E. Wright, Summerside, P.E.I.	Scions, Abegweit plum.
C. W. Young, St. Stephen, N.B.	2 Dickey Bug Death Dusters.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

W. T. MACOUN,
Horticulturist.

APPLES.

The winter of 1903-4 was the severest in the history of the Central Experimental Farm and the coldest on record in this district. In the orchards at the farm, 306 apple trees were killed, including 164 varieties. Owing to the good covering of snow there was no root killing, many trees being merely killed to the snow line, this point being

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

clearly marked on the trunk in most cases. The vacancies caused by the death of the trees were most of them filled by varieties not hitherto tested, and by those which had proven hardy and were desired in larger numbers.

APPLE CROP.

Notwithstanding the severe winter, the apple crop was good at the farm this year and the fruit was clean, being without spot and exceptionally free from Codling Moth.

SEEDLING AND CROSS-BRED APPLES.

This year 192 seedlings of good varieties were added to those planted during the past four years, making the total number of apple seedlings of good varieties 1,788. Some work in cross-breeding apples was also accomplished, and the seeds obtained were sown this autumn. Some of the trees of the crosses between McIntosh Red and Lawver are approaching fruiting age and some good varieties are hoped for from these, as well as from the seedlings. This year 17 different crosses between McMahon White and Scott's Winter fruited, but although most of these resembled either or both parents in some respects, it is doubtful if any of them will be superior. There are three resembling Scott's Winter, but larger, which possibly may be useful.

EFFECTS OF WINTER KILLING ON TOP GRAFTED TREES.

During the past six years, 90 varieties of apples have been top grafted on hardy stocks with the object of determining whether varieties which would not succeed when grown in the ordinary way would prove satisfactory when top grafted on stocks having hardy trunks. The experiment was proving very interesting and some varieties were apparently going to succeed when tested in this way, but last winter came and killed practically all those which had proven tender when tried as standard trees. Anyone who thinks that hardy stocks will make the graft noticeably hardier will have abundant proof that such is not the case from the following table. The dividing line between graft and stock was very marked in all cases examined. A Northern Spy, which had been top grafted on Duchess for 13 years, was killed completely back to the stock, which was as healthy as ever; and many other instances might be quoted. Two varieties of apples were top grafted on Wealthy in 1891. One of these, the Milwaukee, a hardy variety, and another, the Martha. Each variety occupied about half the top of the tree. The Martha was all killed, while the Milwaukee remained alive and bore a good crop of fruit. Top grafting will bring a tree into bearing sooner and will permit of growing varieties which sunscald on the trunk or are weak in the trunk in other respects, but the grafts if made any hardier are not sufficiently so to stand very severe winters.

In the following table will be found the names of the varieties of apples, 164 in number, which were killed last winter with the earliest dates of planting or top grafting the trees killed. Other varieties had been killed previous to last winter which are not recorded in this table, the Ben Davis being one of these. There were a few varieties of which one or more trees were killed, but others left uninjured, which are not included in the table, as they had proven so hardy up to last winter in this district. Among these may be mentioned American Golden Russet, Pewaukee, Baxter, and Canada Red, which are hardy enough to be given a further trial:—

VARIETIES of Apples Winter-killed, 1903-1904.

Name.	Number of Standard or Top-grafted Trees, Winter- killed, 1903- 1904.	First Dates of Planting or Top-grafting	Name.	Number of Standard or Top-grafted Trees Winter- killed, 1903- 1904.	First Dates of Planting or Top-grafting
Allen's Choice	2 st.	pl. 1897.	Hubbardston	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.
Allington Pippin	1 st.	pl. 1899.	Huntsman	1 st.	pl. 1891.
Almond Reinette	1 st.	pl. 1895.	Hurlbut	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1901.
Arctic	1 st., 4 t. gr.	pl. '98, t.g. '97	Hybrid No. 37 (Iowa)	1 st.	pl. 1897.
Arkansas Black	2 st.	pl. 1896.	Jacob's Sweet	1 st.	pl. 1900.
Aport (White Alexander)	1 st.	pl. 1897.	Johnston, Asa, No. 2, from	1 st.	pl. 1902.
Aurora	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1900.	Johnston, Asa, No. 7, from	1 st.	pl. 1901.
Baldwin	1 st., 2 t. gr.	pl. '00, t.g. '99	Kara Synap	2 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '96, t.g. '91
Bayard Williams	1 st.	pl. 1899.	Keswick Codlin	1 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '00, t.g. '98
Belle de Boskoop	1 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '00, t.g. '98	Kinthead	2 st.	pl. 1902.
Bedfordshire Foundling	1 st.	pl. 1899.	King	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1901.
Black Annette	1 st.	pl. 1899.	Knight's Greening	1 st.	pl. 1901.
Blenheim Pippin	2 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '97, t.g. '03	Knight's No. 2	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Bohemian Favorite	1 st.	pl. 1903.	La Victoire	1 st.	pl. 1902.
Boiken	4 st.	pl. 1892.	Lady	1 st.	pl. 1888.
Boy's Delight	3 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '97, t.g. '01	Lady Sudeley	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Bottle Greening	1 st.	pl. 1900.	Lady Washington	1 st.	pl. 1897.
Bramley's Seedling	2 st.	pl. 1902.	Lake's Pippin	1 st.	pl. 1896.
Burlövka	2 st.	pl. 1888.	Lanark Greening	1 st.	pl. 1902.
Carliss Red	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1900.	Lamb Abbey Pearmain	1 st.	pl. 1902.
Carthouse	1 st.	pl. 1903.	Lane's Prince Albert	2 st.	pl. 1899.
Chenango Strawberry	1 st.	pl. 1900.	Lawver	6 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '99, t.g. '99
Chelibi	1 st.	pl. 1896.	Leaf, W. H., from	1 st.	pl. 1897.
Cooper's Market	1 st.	pl. 1900.	Legal Tender	1 st.	pl. 1901.
Colvert	1 st.	pl. 1903.	Lord's Late	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1899.
Cox's Orange Pippin	2 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.	Louise	2 st.	pl. 1893.
Delicious	1 st.	pl. 1901.	Mann	3 st.	pl. 1890.
Dempsey No. 80	1 st.	pl. 1895.	Marsh, J. D., from	1 st.	pl. 1901.
Devonshire Quarrenden	1 st.	pl. 1899.	Martha (not crab)	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1891.
Dr. Noyes	1 st.	pl. 1903.	Messenger, R., from	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.
Dr. Walker	2 st.	pl. 1891.	Merrit	1 st.	pl. 1901.
Domine	1 st.	pl. 1901.	Milding	2 st.	pl. 1897.
Duffey's Seedling	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1901.	Minkler	1 st.	pl. 1893.
Ecklinville Seedling	1 st.	pl. 1902.	Missouri Pippin	3 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '90, t.g. '02
Edgehill	2 st.	pl. 1893.	Mitchell's No. 5	2 st.	pl. 1896.
Elske	2 st.	pl. 1895.	Mother	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1900.
Empress	1 st.	pl. 1899.	McCallum No. 102	1 st.	pl. 1899.
English Pippin	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1896.	McLure Pippin	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1901.
Esopus Spitzenburg	1 st., 2 t. gr.	pl. '00, t.g. '02	New Winter Hawthorn'd n	1 st.	pl. 1900.
Fall Jenetting	3 t. gr.	t. gr., 1900.	Newell's Winter	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.
Fall Pippin	1 st.	pl. 1900.	Nodhead	2 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '99, t.g. '03
Fallowater	2 st., 2 t. gr.	pl. '00, t.g. '98	Northern Spy	1 st., 2 t. gr.	pl. '99, t.g. '91
Fameuse Noire	3 st.	pl. 1893.	Ontario	3 t. gr.	t. gr., 1899.
Fillipa's Apfel	1 st.	pl. 1899.	Peasegood Nonsach	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Flat Aport	1 st.	pl. 1901.	Perry's Russet	1 st.	pl. 1900.
Flushing Spitzenburg	2 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '02, t.g. '03	Pomme Grise	1 st.	pl. 1888.
Forest No. 3	4 st.	pl. 1901.	Primate	2 t. gr.	t. gr., 1900.
Forest No. 4	1 st.	pl. 1901.	Princess Louise	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Gascoigne's Seedling	1 st.	pl. 1901.	" of Denmark	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Gano	4 st.	pl. 1901.	Ramsay, A. J., No. 2, from	1 st.	pl. 1898.
Ghent T.	2 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '95, t.g. '03	Red Detroit	2 st.	pl. 1901.
Gideon No. 20	1 st.	pl. 1902.	Red Subluck	2 st.	pl. 1895.
Graham, I. J., from	1 st.	pl. 1901.	R. I. Greening	1 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '00, t.g. '03
Golden Stone	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1891.	Ribston Pippin	3 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '00, t.g. '03
Goode	1 st.	pl. 1900.	Rockwood	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1902.
Gravenstein	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.	Rome Beauty	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1902.
Greenfield Seedling	1 st.	pl. 1899.	Rubicon	2 st.	pl. 1895.
Grimes' Golden	2 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.	Ruby Gem	3 st.	pl. 1893.
Hebble	1 st.	pl. 1901.	St. Johnsbury	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Henzen's Gravenstein	1 st.	pl. 1899.	Salome	3 st.	pl. 1888.
Hofgärtner Braun	1 st.	pl. 1899.	Sambo	2 st.	pl. 1895.
Holly	1 st.	pl. 1901.	Saxton	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Hoover's Seedling	1 st.	pl. 1898.	Senecal	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Hoover's Red Seedling	1 st.	pl. 1897.	Shackleford	2 st.	pl. 1899.

VARIETIES of Apples Winter-killed, 1903-1904—*Concluded.*

Name.	Number of Standard or Top-grafted Trees, Winter-killed, 1903-1904.	First Dates of Planting or Top-grafting	Name.	Number of Standard or Top-grafted Trees, Winter-killed, 1903-1904.	First Dates of Planting or Top-grafting
Shannon	1 st.	pl. 1900.	Vermont Sweet	2 st.	pl. 1899.
Sklanka	2 st.	pl. 1888.	Wagener	2 t. gr.	t. gr., 1902.
Smith's Cider	1 st.	pl. 1902.	Walworth Pippin	1 st.	pl. 1895.
Spencer	2 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '91, t. g. '02	Warner's King	1 st.	pl. 1892.
Springdale	1 st.	pl. 1897.	Washington Royal	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.
Star	2 st.	pl. 1899.	Westfield Seek No Fur-		
Stark	3 st., 2 t. gr.	pl. '01, t. g. '03	ther	4 st., 1 t. gr.	pl. '90, t. g. '01
Stettin No. 80	1 st.	pl. 1896.	Willow Twig	1 st.	pl. 1903.
Stuart's Golden	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.	Windsor Chief	1 st.	pl. 1895.
Sturmer Pippin	1 st.	pl. 1902.	Winesap	2 st.	pl. 1900.
Sugar Sweet	1 st.	pl. 1893.	Winter Banana	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1903.
Sutton Beauty	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1902.	Winter Bough	2 st.	pl. 1889.
Summer King	1 st.	pl. 1903.	Winter Duchess	1 st.	pl. 1889.
Svintzovka	1 st.	pl. 1892.	Winter Calville	1 st.	pl. 1899.
Swear	1 st.	pl. 1900.	Winter Maiden's Blush ..	3 st.	pl. 1899.
The Jake	1 st.	pl. 1896.	Winter Rambour	1 st.	pl. 1895.
The Queen	4 st.	pl. 1901.	Yellow Bellflower	2 t. gr.	t. gr., 1901.
Tom Putt	1 st.	pl. 1902.	York Imperial	1 t. gr.	t. gr., 1901.
Tidika	1 st.	pl. 1899.			

REVISED LIST of varieties of apples recommended for the province of Ontario between latitudes 45° and 46° and along the north side of the St. Lawrence river in the province of Quebec to about Three Rivers (District No. 7, Bulletin 37.)

Owing to the winter killing of some varieties of apples last winter, which were previously thought to be hardy, it is necessary to revise the list of apples recommended for this district. The only important changes which occur, however, are in the winter varieties.

Summer.—Yellow Transparent, Duchess of Oldenburg.

Autumn.—St. Lawrence, Wealthy, Alexander.

Early Winter.—McIntosh Red, Fameuse.

Winter.—Scott's Winter, Milwaukee, North Western Greening, Canada Baldwin, and Golden Russet in the more favoured localities.

Additional varieties suggested for home use:—

Summer.—Lowland Raspberry, Early Joe, Russell, Dyer.

Winter.—Swayzie Pomme Grise, Grimes Golden.

A CLOSE-PLANTED WEALTHY ORCHARD.

In the Annual Report for 1902, an account was given of a close-planted orchard. Wealthy apple trees. The receipts and expenses in connection with this orchard, from the time the trees were planted until the autumn of 1902, were published in that report. It was shown that from a little less than one-third of an acre of trees planted by 10 feet apart in the spring of 1896 the receipts had been \$307.01, or at the rate of \$940.15 per acre, and the expenses per acre \$454.62, leaving the net receipts per acre \$485.53. The trees began bearing well in 1899 and the receipts represent the money obtained for the fruit for four years' crops. These net receipts meant an average per year of fruiting of \$121.35 per acre. There are 131 trees in this orchard left of an original number of 144.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

The crop in 1903 was a light one, being 161 gallons picked fruit, and 162 gallons windfalls, or a total crop of about $13\frac{1}{2}$ barrels, but this year it was very good, and while the fruit was smaller it was highly coloured, and sold as well as could be expected on such a glutted market as there was this year.

In the following table will be found the receipts and expenditure from the year 1899, when the trees began to bear well, until the autumn of 1904. The expenses before 1899, including rent of land, cost of trees, planting and cultivating are estimated at \$150 per acre.

	Receipts.	Estimated per acre.
1899-1902..	\$ 307 01	\$ 940 15*
1903, sold 88 baskets at $17\frac{1}{2}$ cts.	20 80	62 92
1904 " 60 boxes (Dublin) 4s. 6d. (\$1.09)	65 40	197 83
" 30 boxes 3s. 6d. (85cts)	25 50	77 14
" 20 boxes (Glasgow) 5s. (\$1.22)	36 60	110 71
" 46 baskets at 20cts.	9 20	27 83
" 42 baskets at $17\frac{1}{2}$ cts.	7 35	22 23
" 53 bags (X grade) 30cts.	15 90	48 10
Total receipts, 1899-1904.	\$ 487 76	\$1,486 91

Expenses.

	Estimated per acre.
1896-1899—Estimated expenses per acre including rent of land, cost of trees, planting and cultivating.	\$ 150 00
1899-1902 (For details see report for 1902). Total expenses per acre.	454 62
1903, Rent of land.	3 00
Spraying.	9 44
Cost of baskets (baskets at $5\frac{1}{2}$ cts. each).	14 64
Cost of picking.	8 05
Cost of packing.	5 32
Commission on sales.	6 29
1904, Rent of land.	3 00
Spraying.	9 44
Cost of boxes and baskets (boxes at $14\frac{1}{2}$ cts., baskets $6\frac{1}{2}$ cts).	69 27
Cost of picking.	60 50
Cost of packing and grading fruit in boxes, including excelsior and cardboard.	69 01
Cost of packing baskets.	5 32
Freight, &c., on boxes of fruit sold.	115 24
Commission on fruit sold in boxes.	11 62
Commission on fruit sold in baskets.	4 99

Total expenses, 1896-1904. \$ 999 75

Total receipts per acre, 1896-1904. \$1,486 91

Total expenses per acre, 1896-1904. 999 75

Net receipts. \$ 487 16

Average profit per acre per year, 1896-1904. \$ 54 13

Average profit per acre per year, 1899-1904. 106 19

*Part of this estimate of \$940.15 is based on the yields from 139 trees and part from 144. Five trees died previous to 1899 and were not replaced, hence it was considered fairer to estimate from those that remained. In 1902 and since, however, the yields have been estimated on the area occupied by the original plantation of 144 trees, as this area now is fully occupied by the trees.



WEALTHY APPLE ORCHARD (CLOSE PLANTED) IN BLOOM.

(By Frank T. Shutt.)



APPLE TREE TOP GRAFTED WITH TWO VARIETIES.

(By Frank T. Shutt.)

(ONE VARIETY WINTER KILLED, OTHER UNINJURED AND REQUIRING PROPS TO SUPPORT LOAD OF FRUIT.)
HORSE BEAN COVER CROP SHOWN IN THE FOREGROUND.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

These expenses are estimated from about one-third of an acre and on the assumption that the percentage of sales in boxes and baskets would be the same from a full acre. There was no expense for cultivating either in 1903 or 1904, as the trees being close, cultivation was impracticable. There was no expenditure on barn-yard manure or chemical fertilizers in 1903 or 1904, as none were applied up to the time of making these calculations.

While this system of close planting is not recommended for general adoption, it is well worthy of a trial by fruit specialists who will give sufficient attention to it. Only a few varieties of apples are suitable for close planting. Wealthy being one of the best, and Wagener probably almost as good, on account of their early bearing habit. The Wealthy orchard at the Central Experimental Farm will receive a good dressing of barnyard manure this winter. As the trees are now meeting and it would be unwise to continue to grow them as thick any longer, an experiment will be tried next spring of heading back a proportion of the trees severely, the object being to re-head the trees alternatively, thus keeping up the vigour and allowing light to get at the fruit. If this is not found satisfactory some of the trees will be removed altogether. The total crop this year on about one-third of an acre was 2,134 gallons, about 90 barrels, or at the rate of about 270 barrels to the acre. There were 564 gallons windfalls and 1,570 gallons picked fruit. There are still 131 trees of the original 144 alive, most of them in a thrifty condition.

EXPERIMENTAL APPLE SHIPMENTS TO IRELAND AND SCOTLAND IN 1904.

In 1902 and 1903 experimental shipments of apples in boxes were made to Glasgow, Scotland, with gratifying results, both in regard to the condition in which the fruit arrived on the other side and in the prices obtained for it. The information furnished in the annual report regarding sales and cost of shipment proved very acceptable to growers in Canada who had not had any experience in shipping apples and who did not know how to go about it.

As agents in Ireland had been requesting Canadian fruit growers to give the Irish market a trial this year, it was thought that useful information would be obtained by making some experimental shipments there. This was considered particularly desirable this year when there was such a large crop of apples in England and it was thought the Irish market would not be as well supplied with home-grown fruit, as better prices would therefore be obtained. The crop in Ireland, however, was a very large one also, and the prices obtained for summer and autumn apples from Canada was low, in some cases not covering the cost of shipment.

Six shipments in all were made, four being to Belfast, Ireland, one to Dublin, Ireland, and one in Glasgow, Scotland, for comparison. All the apples were packed in boxes 10 x 11 x 20 inches, inside measurement. The fruit was placed in regular tiers and tiers in the boxes with a sheet of cardboard above and below and a very little excelsior between the cardboard and the sides of the box. The Charlamoff apples in the second shipment were wrapped in tissue paper, and the Anis and Winter Stoe in the third shipment, the others were not wrapped. As a rule the apples were well coloured but still hard, with the exception of the Duchess apples in the first shipment, which were not as well coloured as in the second, being picked earlier. The fruit was inspected by the Dominion fruit inspectors at Montreal and all graded XXX.

Following is a table showing the prices obtained for the different varieties, the boat and steamer on which they were shipped; name of variety, number of boxes, selling price per box, destination and route, and whether sent in cold storage or not. It will be seen from the table below that fair profits for the season were had from the Dublin and Glasgow shipments. The fruit sent to Belfast realized considerably less. The charges on these are not yet available, but it is expected the returns will not do more than cover expenses.

Date of Shipment.	Destination and Route.	Name of Steamer.	Name of Variety.	Number of Boxes.	Price, per Selling box.	Cost of Shipment, including cost of boxes, packing, material, freight, commission, &c.	Profit.
1904.					s. d.	£	cts. \$ ct
Aug. 20	Belfast <i>via</i> Liverpool (cold storage)	Dominion.	Duchess.	100	2 0		
" 27	" " " " "	Vancouver.	" " " " "	55	2 0		
" 27	" " " " "	" " " " "	Charlamoff (Pointed Pipka)	70	3 6		
Sept. 14	Belfast (direct)	Bray Head.	Antonovka	46	1 6		
" 14	" " " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " "	Anis.	24	2 6		
" 14	" " " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " "	Dudley.	12	2 6		
" 14	" " " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " "	Winter Stripe.	12	2 6		
" 14	" " " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " "	McMahon White.	6	2 6		
" 18	Dublin (direct)	Inishowen Head	Wealthy.	70	4 6	66 79	35
" 18	" " " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " "	30	3 6		
" 29	Glasgow (direct)	Parthenia.	" " " " " " " " "	30	5 0		
" 29	" " " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " "	Patten's Greening.	10	3 0	31 28	12
" 30	Belfast (direct)	Dunmore Head.	Wealthy.	20	3 0		
" 30	" " " " " " " " "	" " " " " " " " "	McMahon White.	5	3 0		

The following quotations from letters received from the consignees show the condition in which the fruit arrived, and give the criticisms made upon it. They are published for the guidance of fruit growers in the future. It is very satisfactory to know that no complaints were made with regard to the packing of the fruit nor the condition in which it arrived.

REPORT OF FIRST SHIPMENT OF DUCHESS APPLES IN COLD STORAGE TO BELFAST, IRELAND

'BELFAST, September 7, 1904.

'I have received the 100 boxes of Duchess apples this week, and the quality of the apples is rather disappointing, as it is not good enough for eating, and for cooking. Buyers prefer our own Irish apples which are an exceptionally good crop this year and very cheap. Had they been a nice good keeping eating apple and a good colour, I could have sold them, I think, very well. They are certainly very well put up and the packing very good, in fact, one of the largest buyers told me he would take the whole of the apples if they had been suitable, but he would not take a box when he saw the result. He said he was quite prepared to pay me 4d. for as many of the empty boxes as I could get him, but the apples to him were useless, as they would not suit his customers at all. What we want is a nice dessert apple. We have plenty of the cooking grade here. There was only one buyer that would make me an offer at all, and the best offer would make me was 2s. per box ex quay.'

(Signed) 'HUGH GORDON.'

EXTRACT FROM REPORT OF SECOND SHIPMENT (DUCHESS AND CHARLAMOFF).

BELFAST, September 14, 1904.

'The second consignment of apples has arrived, and as requested, I have examined the boxes and notice the way you have them packed. They are certainly very well put up, but some of the largest fruit merchants here state that they are the wrong kind of apples (they were Duchess and Charlamoff) you are shipping, as they will

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

keep no time and would have to be disposed of immediately they arrive, and as there is considerable risk to the buyer, he will not be willing to give a very big price for them, as the Irish apples are so plentiful this year and are being sold at very low prices. One of the largest fruit merchants in Belfast informed me that if you could get him any barrels of Alexander apples that he would have a ready sale for them, in fact, he said that the demand was entirely on barreled apples as the boxes were difficult to place, as there is so very little bulk, and of course the prices must be higher in consequence of the expense of packing. I have only been able to get 3s. 6d. for Pipkas (Charlamoff) and 2s. for Duchess ex quay Belfast.

(Signed) 'HUGH GORDON.'

EXTRACT FROM REPORT OF THIRD SHIPMENT (ANTONOVKA, WINTER STRIPE, ANIS, DUDLEY).

'BELFAST, October 27, 1904.

'Re 100 boxes of apples, they came in good order, but as I have already wrote you, you are not shipping the right sort of apple at all. What we want is a good eating apple somewhat similar to good Baldwins and packed in barrels. Apples are so very cheap here that it is impossible to get a decent price for them, and I don't know what you think of the grade you are shipping, but buyers do not care for them at all, the flavour not being nice like Baldwins. I sold 46 boxes at 1s. 6d. per box. Winter Stripe, Anis, Dudley and McMahon White, I sold at 2s. 6d. per box, less the freight, so that after deducting the freight I have practically nothing left for the apples. As you are aware, this is a very bad year for experimenting with apples, and I believe that apples in barrels would do a great deal better than in boxes as they would come cheaper.'

(Signed) HUGH GORDON.

EXTRACT FROM REPORT OF FOURTH SHIPMENT (WEALTHY).

DUBLIN, October 19, 1904.

'I duly received the consignment of 100 boxes, Wealthies per SS. *Innishown Head*. They arrived in very good condition, presenting a fine appearance when opened. The only thing I noticed in respect to the boxes was that a few of them had the end pieces broken across, but this did not cause any damage to the contents. The trip occupied twelve days and it was four days after the steamer arrived here before I got delivery, making sixteen days from the time of shipment, and considering that the consignment did not come in cold storage, the result was very satisfactory, as the whole looked as well as could be desired. If this direct shipment can be utilized by Canadian shippers it would mean a very large saving in rates. The prices realized for this consignment, namely: 30 boxes at 3s. 6d. and 70 at 4s. 6d. were not as high as expected, but this was owing to the enormous crop of Irish grown apples on the market and some very large consignments of States fruit. The crop of Irish apples this year is the largest for ten years, but will be very quickly worked off. The consignment you sent is the very thing we want here; good colour and sound, well packed, there is no market for Canadian or American green apples suitable only for cooking.'

(Signed) 'J. H. SHERIDAN.'

EXTRACT FROM REPORT OF FIFTH SHIPMENT (PATTEN'S GREENING, AND WEALTHY).

'GLASGOW, October 19, 1904.

'I beg to send you herewith account sale for your consignment of 40 boxes apples by steamer *Portlinton*, and draft for £5 11s. 1d. sterling in settlement of net proceeds, which please acknowledge. I can assure you we did the very best possible with this lot of apples, and trust that the result is satisfactory to you. They arrived here in

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

prime condition and sold well under the conditions of the market. As you are doubtless aware, large quantities of apples have been coming on the British markets this season and prices have ruled low, but for good coloured fruit lately we have experienced a strong demand in view of the near approach of Hallowe'en festivities, while for green fruit, such as Greening, Colverts and such like varieties, there has been a very poor sale owing to the large quantities of English and continental of like description.

(Signed) 'THOS. RUSSELL'

EXTRACT FROM REPORT OF SIXTH SHIPMENT (WEALTHY AND M'MAHON WHITE).

'BELFAST, November 19, 1904.

'With reference to the last consignment of 25 boxes of apples, Wealthy and McMahon White, the Wealthy apples were certainly very nice, good flavour, but of course a shade smaller. I was unable to get a very big price for them. As I explained to you before, the Irish apples were so very plentiful, I sold them at 3s. a box to Lennon Bros. Are you able to quote apples in barrels yet, as there is a far greater demand for them than the boxes ?

'HUGH GORDON' (per).

SEEDLING FRUITS.

There have not been quite as many seedlings sent in this year as in 1903, but most of those received were above the average seedlings sent in for examination in the past. Full descriptions are published of those which were thought to be the most promising, and partial descriptions of those which are not of special merit.

As scions of most of the best seedlings which are received from year to year are obtained from the grower, a very fine collection of seedlings is being got together here, some of which should prove superior to those now generally grown.

It is hoped that anyone who has a promising seedling will send fruit for examination to the Horticulturist, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa.

All the seedlings described below are apples, with the exception of one plum.

291. J. Gossley, Richmond Hill, Ont.—(No. 12 seedling). Medium size, sparsely splashed with purplish red. Quality good, but not attractive. Season, winter.

292. J. Gossley, Richmond Hill, Ont.—Medium size; form roundish, slightly angular; cavity deep, open; stem broken; basin medium depth and width, smooth; calyx open; colour yellow, well splashed and washed with bright red; dots few, small, yellow indistinct; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh yellow, crisp, tender, juicy; core medium subacid, flavour pleasant; quality good to very good; season apparently mid to late winter. Tree said to be a cross between Canada Red, Baldwin and Spy. Much like Spy in appearance and flavour, but is not as good flavour as Spy.

293. F. C. Judd, Doe Lake, Ont.—Medium size, splashed and streaked with bright red, medium quality. Season, October.

294. F. C. Judd, Doe Lake, Ont.—Medium size; yellow, red about cavity; quality above medium to good. Season late September.

295. J. W. Morrison, Acton's Corners, Ont.—Above medium size, bright red, medium quality. Season late September to October.

296. J. W. Morrison, Acton's Corners, Ont.—Medium size, pale yellow; quality above medium. Season September.

297. J. W. Morrison, Acton's Corners, Ont.—Medium size, pale yellow with pinkish blush; quality above medium. Season early to mid-winter.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

298. C. H. Snow, Cummings' Bridge, Ont.—Winter Greening; medium size, pale greenish yellow with a pinkish red blush, quality above medium. Season mid to late winter.
299. H. N. Grant, Newtonbrook, Ont.—Medium size; form roundish, slightly angular; cavity medium depth and width; stem medium length, slender; basin very deep, open, wrinkled; calyx open; colour yellow washed with dark red; dots obscure; skin thick, moderately tough; flesh yellow, tender, juicy; core small; mildly subacid, pleasant flavour; quality good; season probably mid to late winter. Seedling tree growing near fence. Colour too dark to be very attractive. Only fairly promising, though better in quality than most seedlings.
300. G. H. McMillan, Dunbar, Ont.—Medium size, yellow splashed and washed with purplish red; quality medium to above. Season mid to late winter.
- 301.—Samuel Greenfield, Ottawa East, Ont.—Above medium size; form roundish, conical, angular; cavity medium depth and width; stem short, slender; basin medium depth and width, wrinkled; calyx closed; colour yellow, almost entirely covered with deep crimson; dots moderately numerous, yellow, distinct; skin thick, tough; flesh yellow, moderately juicy, rather coarse; core medium; subacid, with a pleasant flavour; quality good; season evidently October and perhaps later.
Seedling originated by Mr. Greenfield. If this apple has better points than Wealthy it may be useful, but it is not as juicy nor as tender in the flesh as Wealthy, though perhaps a little higher flavoured.
302. Miss P. L. Baker, Oakville, Ont.—Size large; form roundish; cavity narrow, medium depth, lipped; stem short, slender; basin narrow, medium depth, almost smooth; calyx open; colour pale yellow, almost covered with crimson; dots obscure; skin thin, tender; flesh white; core medium; subacid, slightly astringent; quality good; season evidently late August to early September. Tree a seedling about ten years old. Blossomed for the first time this year. Blossoms very large. A handsome apple, resembling Red Astrachan very much in outward appearance, and probably a seedling of it. Resembles Langford Beauty and Russell in character of flesh and flavour.
303. E. Rakestrow, Township of Ryde, Muskoka District, Ont.—Above medium size; form oblate roundish; cavity deep, medium width, russeted; stem short, moderately stout; basin open, deep; calyx open; colour yellow, well washed with bright red; dots few, indistinct; skin thick, moderately tough; flesh yellowish, tender, juicy; core medium; subacid, sprightly, with a pleasant flavour; quality good; season evidently October. Tree quite hardy. Seed sown eight years ago by daughter of Mr. Rakestrow. Had one apple in 1903 and fifty this year. Fourteen miles from Gravenhurst. A promising seedling. Not as high flavoured as Wealthy, but a good apple. Promising.
304. Thos. C. Paddon, 62 Bolton Avenue, Toronto.—Plum seedling; form broad oval; size above medium; cavity deep, narrow, abrupt; suture a distinct line, not expressed; apex rounded; colour dark, purplish red; dots numerous, small, yellow, distinct; bloom appears light; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh deep greenish yellow, juicy, firm; stone medium size, practically free; moderately sweet; quality medium to above. Tree said to be a seedling. 'Tree is an upright grower, stands about 25 feet high and is a good heavy cropper.' It resembles Lombard very much. Should be a pod shipper. Domestica group.
305. E. Kenny, St. Vincent de Paul, Que.—Medium size; form roundish conical, angular; cavity medium depth and width, slightly russeted; stem medium length, slender; basin shallow, narrow, wrinkled; calyx partly open; colour yellow, well splashed and washed with rich red; dots moderately numerous, yellow and gray, distinct; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh crisp, tender, yellowish, juicy; core medium; briskly

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

subacid with a pleasant flavour; quality good; season late winter. Tree has been planted about 20 years. Fruit has large seeds. Said to keep until June. Resembles Rubicon somewhat. September 12, 1904, received 4 specimens of same apple from Mr. Kenny kept in an ordinary cellar. Still in condition for eating, but mildly subacid at this date. Evidently a good keeper.

306. Wm. Ogilvie, Ormstown, Que.—Size large; form oblate; cavity very deep, open; stem very short, stout; basin deep, medium width, almost smooth; calyx partly open; colour yellow, well splashed and washed with lively purplish red; dots few, large, gray, prominent; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh dull white, crisp, tender, juicy; core small; subacid, sprightly; quality good; season evidently early to mid-winter or later. A large handsome apple. Should make an excellent cooking apple, and is a good dessert variety also. Mr. Robert Brodie, Westmount, P. Q., received this apple from Wm. Ogilvie, Ormstown, Que., where it was grown. He thinks it may be a variety he used to call Hemmingford.

307. J. K. McKenzie, Rogers Hill, N.S.—Above medium size; yellow washed with bright red on sunny side; medium quality; season mid to late winter.

308-314. John McCarthy, Semiwagan Ridge, N.B.—Seven seedlings.

309. Seedling No. 2. Medium size; form roundish; cavity medium depth and width; stem broken; basin medium depth and width, almost smooth; calyx open; colour pale yellow well washed with crimson; dots few, small, pale yellow, indistinct; skin thick, tough; flesh white, juicy, tender; core medium; mildly subacid with a pleasant flavour; quality good to very good; season early winter. Resembles Fameuse very much. Evidently a seedling of it.

315. A. P. Stevenson, Nelson, Man.—Martha Crab seedling; size large; form roundish to oblate, conic, angular; cavity open, medium depth; stem medium length stout; basin narrow, medium depth, much wrinkled; calyx partly open; colour yellow, well splashed and washed with bright red; dots obscure; skin moderately thick, tender; flesh yellow, rather coarse, moderately juicy; core medium size, open; briskly subacid; quality medium; season evidently early September. A large, handsome apple which is said to have been grown from Martha Crab seed sent from the Experimental Farm, Ottawa, in 1896.

PLUMS.

Last winter was very hard on plums of the European and Japanese classes and most varieties were killed to the snow line. None of these plums have proven satisfactory here. There are, however, two seedlings of the Red June plum originated at the experimental farm which are hardier in the flower bud than any others which have been tested, and these bore some fruit this year. These have been called Togo and Oyama, and descriptions of them are given in this report. The crop of Americana and Nigra plums was the best we have ever had, both in quality and quantity, and the fruit sold well on the exchange here. Three American seedlings originated at the Central Experimental Farm were named this year, these being Gloria, Swift and Fitzroy. Descriptions of these follow. Among the newer Americana varieties which fruited this year the Admiral Schley, Bomberger, Lottie and Smith were the most promising. Descriptions of these are given also. One of our aims is to develop ar

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Americana plum with as tender a skin as the European, of good flavour and having a free stone. The nearest approach to this is the Welcome plum, originated at the Central Experimental Farm, and described in the report for 1903. Stones of this have been planted in the hope of getting an improvement in the next generation.

Togo (seedling of Red June).—Form roundish, somewhat heart-shaped; size above medium; cavity narrow, medium depth, abrupt; suture an indistinct, sometimes distinct, line, no depression; apex slightly flattened; colour deep red; dots numerous, small, indistinct; bloom moderate, bluish; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh yellow, firm, juicy; stone medium size, oval, slightly flattened, cling; sweet, acid next skin; quality good. A promising plum. Larger than Red June and better in quality. Handsome. Named Togo August 31, 1904, in honour of Adairai Togo. Triflora group.

Oyama (Botan seedling).—Form roundish to broad oval; size medium; cavity narrow, medium depth, abrupt; suture a distinct line, not depressed; apex rounded; colour deep red all over; dots obscure; bloom thin, pale bluish; skin moderately thick, moderately tender, bitter; flesh yellow, firm, juicy; stone small, oval, cling; sweet, not of rich flavour; quality, medium to above medium. Not specially promising. September 12, 1904. May be useful on account of hardness of fruit buds. Triflora group.

Gloria (Wolf seedling).—Form oval to oblong, somewhat flattened; size large; cavity narrow, shallow, abrupt; suture a distinct line; apex rounded; colour uniformly bright red all over, or yellow mottled with red; dots few, yellow, small, distinct; bloom thin, bluish; skin thick, tough; flesh deep yellow, juicy; stone large, almost or quite free, oblong, considerably flattened; sweet; quality good. Owing to its large size and the almost freeness of stone, this is a promising variety. Americana group.

Swift (De Soto seedling).—Form broad oval, much flattened; size large; cavity narrow, shallow; suture merely an indistinct line; apex slightly flattened; colour yellow, mottled and washed with deep red; dots obscure; bloom slight; skin thick, moderately tough; flesh rather pale yellow, juicy; stone above medium, oval, semi-cling, almost free; flavour sweet, pleasant. A good plum and worth propagating. Americana group.

Fitzroy (Rollingstone seedling).—Form roundish, slightly heart-shaped, flattened; size above medium to large; cavity narrow, shallow, abrupt; suture a distinct line, no depression; apex rounded; colour yellow, well washed with deep red; dots numerous, small, yellow, distinct; bloom moderate; skin thick, moderately tender; flesh rather pale, yellow, juicy; stone above medium size, flattened, roundish to oval, practically free; sweet; quality good. A good plum, but cracks some, which may be against it. Freeness of stone a good point. Americana group.

Admiral Schley.—Form roundish; size very large; cavity narrow, shallow; suture a distinct line; apex rounded; colour yellow, well washed with deep bronzy red; dots numerous, small, yellow, distinct; bloom thin, bluish; skin moderately thick, tough; flesh deep yellow, juicy; stone large, oval, flat, cling; sweet, of a rich flavour; quality very good. One of the best Americana plums yet tested. An improvement over Hawkeye. Americana group.

Bomberger.—Form roundish to broad oval; size very large; cavity shallow, narrow; suture a distinct line; apex rounded; colour yellow, more or less covered with deep lively red; dots few, small, yellow, distinct; bloom medium; skin thick, tough; flesh deep yellow, juicy; stone medium size, oval, flat; sweet and rich; quality very good. A very handsome plum. More attractive than Hawkeye. Promising. Americana group.

Lottie.—Form roundish; size large; cavity shallow, narrow; suture an indistinct line; apex slightly flattened; colour yellow, mottled and washed with red; dots obscure; bloom slight; skin thick, tough; flesh sweet, juicy; stone medium size, roundish, semi-cling; sweet, rich; quality good. A handsome plum of good quality. Propagate. Americana group.

Smith.—Form roundish to broad oval; size large; cavity narrow, shallow; suture a distinct line; apex rounded; colour yellow, mottled and washed with red; dots obscure; bloom light; skin thick, moderately tough; flesh yellow, juicy; stone rather large, oval, nearly free; sweet, rich; quality good to very good. A good plum. Promising. Americana group.

GRAPES.

There was never a finer crop of grapes at the experimental farm than there was this year, but owing to the unusually cool and cloudy summer and autumn only 32 varieties ripened thoroughly compared with 101 in 1903. As the varieties which ripened this year are those which will mature with the least amount of heat, a list of them is herewith given as a guide to those who wish to test grapes in the colder parts of Canada. These are given in order of ripening. Florence, Early Daisy, September 9. Manito, Champion, September 17. Golden Drop, Jewel, Moore's Early, September 26. Moyer, September 27. Wyoming Red, September 28. Campbell's Early, Lincoln (Read's Hybrid), Brant, Canada, Telegraph, Hartford, Potter, Patterson, Seedling No. 1, X Muscat Hamburg, Northern Muscadine, Dracut Amber, Maxatawny, September 29. Peabody, September 30. Janesville, Early Victor, Cottage, Luttie, October 3. Early Ohio, October 4. Creveling, Marion, Jessica, Superb, October 6. Belvidere, October 8. Delaware, Lindley, Brighton, Moore's Diamond had some bunches about ripe October 6.

The following new variety is described for the first time in this report.

Lincoln (Read's Hybrid).—Concord female X Black Hamburg male. In 1897 three vines of this grape were sent for test by Mr. M. A. Read, Port Dalhousie, Ont., son of Wm. H. Read, the originator.

This variety has proven so valuable here, and should prove so valuable even in the best grape districts, that it deserves especial mention. The vine is a vigorous grower and very productive. The bunches are below medium size, but well filled, from 4 to 5 inches in length, compact, cylindrical or slightly shouldered. Fruit below medium size, round, black with a moderate bloom. Skin thick, tough; pulp moderately firm, but breaks fairly easily. Sweet, sprightly, slightly foxy; flavour somewhat like Concord with a suggestion of Black Hamburg. Quality almost good. This is attractive in appearance and ripens about the same time as Moore's Early and would probably make a good shipping grape. Very promising.

In a letter received from Mr. M. A. Read, Port Dalhousie, Ont., dated December 1, 1904, further information was obtained regarding this variety. He writes:—

'The Black Hybrid grape received by your department in the year 1897 was originated by my father, the late Wm. H. Read, in the year 1887. It is a cross between the Concord and Black Hamburg; Concord for female and Hamburg for male. The original vine stood the test equally as well as the Concord thus far and is much more prolific, very compact, well shouldered bunch, berry medium size and of good quality, ripens about with Champion or Moore's Early, and a vigorous grower. This variety has taken first premium wherever exhibited and a special award of a silver medal at the Pan-American Exhibition, Buffalo, on its merits.'

BUSH FRUITS.

The raspberry, currant and gooseberry crops were all good this year. The Herbert raspberry continues to be the best main crop red variety tested here. The Brighton and Count are two hardy and very productive seedlings of Dr. Wm. Saunders, but are not equal to the Herbert in size or quality. The Sarah, another of Dr. Saunders' seedlings, is the finest in quality, but the colour is rather dark and it is not productive enough. Hechner and Clarke, resembling each other very much, are two desirable varieties for home use, being hardy, productive and of good quality. Cuthbert is too tender for this district.

The blackberry crop was a failure here this year.

STRAWBERRIES.

The strawberry crop was somewhat lighter than usual this year, not owing to unfavourable weather this season, but on account of the protracted drought in 1903, which delayed planting until June 15. Being planted so late, fewer runners were formed than usual, hence the crop was less. The plants came through the winter well, being practically uninjured.

For general purposes, the following varieties have proven among the most satisfactory, after a number of years' tests: Sample, P., Buster, P., Bisel, P., Glen Mary, P., Greenville, P., Beder Wood, B., Marie, P., Warfield, P., Enhance, B., Howard's 41, P., Barton's Eclipse, P., Thompson's Late, P. In addition to these are William's B., for shipping long distances and Babach, P., for near market or home use. Lovett, B., is also a good, perfect berry for general purposes and for home use. Daisy is very handsome and productive, but soft. Afton, Steven's Early and Daniel Boone all resemble Warfield so much that they cannot be distinguished from it. Among the newer varieties which fruited this year for the first time, the following are considered promising:—

Pocomoke, B.—This was the most productive variety in the plantation this year, berries large to very large; form obtusely conical; colour, bright glossy red but inclined to have white tip; very firm; flesh juicy, briskly subacid; quality above medium. Season, medium to late. Plant a vigorous grower with good foliage. Quite promising as a productive berry for long shipment.

Lyon, P.—Size medium to above medium; form long, pointed or wedge-conical; colour deep red; moderately firm; flesh juicy, briskly subacid, pleasant flavour; quality above medium. Season early to medium. Plant a vigorous grower, with good foliage. A productive variety which this year ripened a good deal of fruit early.

Early Beauty, P.—Size medium to above medium; form roundish; colour deep glossy red; moderately firm; flesh juicy, briskly subacid; quality above medium. Season very early. Plant a vigorous grower, with healthy and abundant foliage. One of the most promising early varieties.

Splendid.—This variety was grown for a number of years and then discarded, but being given a further test with a new strain. It is a very productive variety but soft and not attractive in colour.

In the following table will be found a list of fifty varieties of strawberries arranged in their order of merit or rank, from the average of two to four years' test. Most of them have been tested for four years, namely, 1900, 1901, 1902, 1904. The crop was practically a failure in 1903. Their rank for the year 1904 is also given in the table, as well as other information. In addition to the list of fifty varieties, a short list of twelve new ones, representing the best yielding varieties fruiting for one year only. There were 196 named varieties under test this year, and 53 unnamed seedlings. In the table B. stands for bi-sexual or perfect, while P. stands for pistillate or imperfect:—

Most productive 50 varieties of Strawberries for an average of from 2 to 4 years.

Average Rank.	Number of years averaged.	Rank, 1904.	Name.	Date of full bloom, 1904.	Date of first ripe fruit, 1904.	Date of first picking, 1904.	Date of last picking, 1904.	Number of pickings, 1904.	Weight of 25 average berries, 1904.	Total yield, 1904.	Average yield.
									Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.
1	3	84	Mele.....P.	May 19	June 20	June 18	July 18	11	5 7	63 24	13 13
2	4	9	Sample.....P.	June 4	" 19	" 22	" 18	10	6 17	42 22	13 13
3	4	85	Buster.....P.	" 2	" 20	" 22	" 15	9	7 7	42 22	13 13
4	4	5	Bisel.....P.	" 2	" 19	" 22	" 18	10	7 18	11 21	12 12
5	4	23	Afton.....P.	May 30	" 17	" 20	" 18	11	5 13	51 21	8 8
6	4	29	Steven's Early..P.	June 2	" 17	" 18	" 15	10	6 12	14 20	14 14
7	4	14	Glen Mary.....B.	" 2	" 19	" 22	" 15	9	7 15	15 20	14 14
8	4	94	Daisy.....P.	" 6	" 20	" 22	" 15	9	6 6	14 20	10 10
9	4	40	Greenville.....P.	May 21	" 17	" 20	" 15	10	7 11	5 20	4 4
10	4	11	Daniel Boone....P.	June 2	" 18	" 20	" 18	11	7 16	62 20	00 00
11	4	60	Howard's 41.....P.	May 25	" 19	" 22	" 18	10	6 9	3 19	12 12
12	4	34	Enhance.....B.	" 30	" 22	" 24	" 18	9	8 11	15 19	12 12
13	4	54	Warfield.....P.	" 28	" 17	" 18	" 18	12	5 9	11 18	12 12
14	2	36	Marie.....P.	June 2	" 17	" 18	" 18	12	7 11	9 18	3 3
15	4	12	Beder Wood.....B.	May 29	" 18	" 20	" 15	10	6 16	6 18	2 2
16	4	50	Carleton.....P.	June 2	" 20	" 22	" 18	10	5 9	14 17	13 13
17	3	44	Cole's Seedling..B.	" 5	" 25	" 27	" 18	9	6 13	3 17	13 13
18	4	25	Barton's Eclipse..P.	" 2	" 18	" 20	" 18	11	6 13	3 17	13 13
19	4	20	Hattie Warfield..P.	May 29	" 16	" 18	" 18	12	5 14	5 17	11 11
20	4	102	Thompson's Late..P.	June 4	" 21	" 22	" 18	9	6 6	8 17	7 7
21	4	110	Dora.....P.	" 2	" 18	" 20	" 18	11	5 6	1 17	5 5
22	4	45	Maggie.....P.	" 2	" 17	" 18	" 18	12	5 10	13 17	7 7
23	4	16	Bubach.....P.	" 4	" 19	" 22	" 18	12	7 15	103 16	12 12
24	4	8	Swindle.....P.	May 29	" 21	" 22	" 18	11	6 17	6 16	12 12
25	4	72	No Name.....B.	June 2	" 20	" 22	" 18	10	5 8	1 16	8 8
26	4	7	Crescent.....P.	May 29	" 18	" 20	" 18	11	5 17	12 16	5 5
27	4	10	John Little.....P.	June 2	" 19	" 20	" 18	11	5 17	0 16	4 4
28	4	125	Wonderful.....P.	" 2	" 18	" 20	" 15	10	6 4	14 16	2 2
29	4	96	Williams.....B.	May 28	" 20	" 22	" 15	9	6 6	14 15	12 12
30	4	22	Clyde.....B.	" 30	" 19	" 22	" 18	10	8 13	5 15	12 12
31	4	78	Arkansas Traveller..B.	June 4	" 22	" 24	" 18	9	6 7	9 15	11 11
32	4	66	Parker Earle.....B.	May 29	" 16	" 18	" 18	12	6 8	12 15	1 1
33	4	68	Carrie.....P.	June 4	" 24	" 27	" 18	9	7 8	7 15	7 7
34	4	39	Bomba.....P.	May 30	" 18	" 20	" 15	9	6 11	5 15	1 1
35	4	46	World's Champion..B.	June 5	" 20	" 24	" 18	9	6 10	11 15	1 1
36	4	114	G. H. Caughell....B.	May 25	" 16	" 18	" 15	11	4 5	11 14	1 1
37	4	124	Dr. Arp.....P.	" 29	" 18	" 20	" 18	11	7 12	6 14	1 1
38	4	32	Tennessee Prolific..B.	" 30	" 19	" 22	" 15	9	7 12	6 14	1 1
39	4	83	Lovett.....B.	June 4	" 18	" 20	" 18	10	5 7	7 13	1 1
40	4	113	Cyclone.....P.	" 2	" 19	" 22	" 18	9	5 5	12 13	1 1
41	3	31	Senator Dunlap....B.	May 29	" 16	" 18	" 18	12	6 12	10 13	1 1
42	4	89	Kyle.....P.	June 2	" 20	" 24	" 15	8	5 7	1 13	1 1
43	4	70	Enormous.....P.	June 2	" 20	" 22	" 15	9	8 8	2 13	1 1
44	4	26	Anna Forest.....P.	June 2	" 18	" 20	" 11	9	5 13	0 13	1 1
45	4	57	Hood River.....P.	" 4	" 20	" 22	" 18	11	6 9	8 13	1 1
46	4	6	Boynton.....P.	" 2	" 17	" 18	" 15	12	4 18	0 12	1 1
47	4	117	Brandywine.....B.	" 4	" 23	" 24	" 18	8	6 5	9 12	1 1
48	4	43	Wm. Belt.....B.	" 2	" 21	" 22	" 15	8	6 11	0 12	1 1
49	4	104	Satisfaction.....B.	" 4	" 20	" 22	" 18	10	5 6	6 12	1 1
50	4	64	Morgan's Favorite..B.	" 2	" 23	" 24	" 15	9	8	13 12	1 1

Most productive 12 varieties fruited for one year.

1	Pocomoke.....B.	June 2	June 22	June 24	July 15	9	7 22	2
2	Lyon.....P.	" 2	" 20	" 22	" 18	11	7 20	13 13
3	Warfield (Kellogg)..P.	May 28	" 17	" 18	" 18	12	5 19	14 14
4	Splendid (new strain).B.	June 2	" 20	" 22	" 18	10	6 19	14 14
15	Early Beauty.....B.	May 29	" 15	" 18	" 6	9	5 15	12 12
28	Success.....B.	June 4	" 20	" 22	" 18	11	6 12	15 15
30	Tilgran.....P.	" 6	" 24	" 27	" 18	9	12	13
56	Superior.....B.	May 25	" 16	" 18	" 8	10	5 9	8 8
63	Monitor.....B.	June 2	" 18	" 20	" 15	10	6 9	0 13
71	Big Bobs.....B.	" 4	" 22	" 24	" 15	10	8 8	1 1
75	Minute Man.....P.	" 2	" 18	" 20	" 18	12	6 7	10 10
82	Latest.....B.	" 6	" 24	" 27	" 18	9	7 7	7 7

FUNGOUS DISEASES.

With the exception of the Black Rot of the grape, fungous diseases were not unusually prevalent this year. Owing doubtless to the almost entire absence of Black Spot of the apple in 1903 in eastern Ontario and the province of Quebec, there was much less spot this year than usual, although some unsprayed orchards were badly affected. In western Ontario, however, the spot was about as bad as usual in unsprayed orchards, while in orchards well sprayed the fruit was clean. As the plum crop was almost a complete failure, the Ripe Rot was not bad, but grapes suffered to such an extent with Black Rot that growers have become alarmed, and for this reason some space is devoted to diseases of the grape in the report this year.

DISEASES OF THE GRAPE IN ONTARIO VINEYARDS IN 1904.

Knowing that rot was causing serious damage in a number of vineyards in the Niagara peninsula, I took the opportunity on September 13 and 14, of visiting some of them in the hope of learning something that would prove suggestive in fighting the diseases of the grape and of obtaining other information that would be useful to fruit growers regarding the different kinds of rot which were causing loss. On September 13, accompanied by Mr. W. H. Bunting, of St. Catharines, Ont., I visited his vineyard and others in the neighbourhood of St. Catharines. Mr. Bunting had sprayed seven times and his fruit was only slightly injured. He had bagged 1,000 bunches when the grapes were the size of peas in order to find out if infection took place before that time. Most of the bunches thus bagged were perfect, but some had the Black Rot in various stages of development, showing that infection had taken place before the grapes were as large as peas. The Niagara grape was the variety most affected in Mr. Bunting's vineyard. Several vineyards of Concord near Mr. Bunting's were examined, but Black Rot had not worked to any extent in them. Brown Rot was, however, found in one vineyard, but it had not done much injury to the fruit. Another vineyard, probably of about fifteen acres, was visited, consisting principally of Concord, Brighton, Niagara and Moore's Early. Of Niagara and Brighton there was scarcely a sound grape anywhere, and none of the bunches of Concord even with manipulation could be made fit for market. Moore's Early was not affected. This vineyard had not been sprayed.

The infection by the Black Rot as it appeared in the vicinity of St. Catharines was first noticed on the fruit as a round, brownish coloured spot about the size of the head of a pin. This brownish appearance gradually spread over the surface of the berry and by the time one-third of the surface was covered in this way the original brown spot had become paler, showing distinctly the mark of infection. After the whole grape became brown, the tissue gradually shrunk and dried and when thus shrunken the fruit appeared black and prominently and irregularly ridged, the surface being covered by small black pustules. On September 14, I visited the vineyards of Mr. Murray Pettit, Winona, Ont., and other vineyards in that vicinity. No Black Rot was noticed at Winona, but Brown Rot was quite abundant, and while it had not caused such damage as the Black Rot, it had done considerable injury. The leaves of the vines affected with Brown Rot had a velvety or downy appearance underneath. The affected fruit first showed a brownish spot or patch on one side and a shrinking of the tissue. This brownish appearance spread all over the grape and the whole grape eventually shrunk into a hard shrivelled mass. When badly affected the vine loses a large amount of foliage. Powdery mildew was also found in these vineyards.

The Niagara grapes, both in Mr. Pettit's and adjoining vineyards, were affected this year with either a new disease or more probably, as Prof. Selby suggests, a condition caused by either Powdery Mildew or Brown Rot affecting the stem to which a grape is attached. This disease caused a hardening of the grape and gave it a pale, unhealthy colour.

Another disease of the grape which was doing a great deal of injury at Winona was what we took to be the Grape-leaf Blight, a disease which has not received the attention which it deserves. This blight causes the leaves to wither and drop, thus preventing a free circulation of sap and the proper development and maturing of the fruit.

The diseases of the grape can be controlled by thorough spraying, but the work must be done persistently and carefully.

FUNGUS DISEASES OF THE GRAPE.

Anthraxnose: Bird's Eye Rot: Scab (*Sphaceloma Ampelinum*).—This is the only grape disease which has given any trouble at the Central Experimental Farm. It is difficult to control by spraying, but, fortunately, only a few varieties have been affected, Lindley being the worst. This fungus attacks leaves, stems, and fruit, but it is on the fruit where it is most noticed. The disease is apparent in depressed patches extending along the stems, which checks the growth. There are also reddish brown patches on the leaves. The stems of the clusters of grapes are frequently affected, and when the disease occurs there the fruit remains green and eventually withers, making an imperfect bunch. The disease on the fruit occurs in roundish brown depressed spots with a purplish margin, giving somewhat the appearance of a bird's eye. Frequently spots unite and form a large irregular area. This is a very difficult disease to control, and thorough spraying with Bordeaux mixture has not checked it to any extent. Spraying before the buds open; before blossoming; after fruit has set and ten days later with Bordeaux mixture is recommended.

Black Rot (*Laestadia Bidwellii*).—Up to quite recent years this disease was thought to have reached its northern limit, south of lakes Erie and Ontario, but during the last few years in Essex county, and more recently in the Niagara peninsula, it has caused much damage. The appearance of this disease has already been described, but something further must be said regarding it. The spores live over winter on the vines and in the affected grapes, and germinate when growth starts in the spring. The disease attacks the leaves and young shoots, the leaves showing the disease in roundish reddish brown patches, and on the stems it appears in small, long shaped, dark brown, slightly depressed spots, on the surface of which appears the characteristic pustules of the Black Rot. When conditions are favourable, the disease only requires from 8 to 12 days from the time the spore germinates until the mycelium has run its course through the fruit and has produced new spores. Before the grape shrinks much in size the mycelium concentrates, as it were, in small masses underneath the skin, and in these are produced the spores. These masses soon break through the skin and the black pustules with the spores appear. The spores are scattered and they reinfect other fruits and vines. Although it is possible for a new generation of spores to be borne within two weeks, it requires favourable weather conditions for the disease to develop. While early sprayings have in some cases not been found to give the results expected, the life history of the disease shows that it must be wise to endeavour to destroy as many spores as possible at or before the first infection. The first spraying should be made just before blossoming, the second just after the fruit has set, the third and fourth at intervals of about a week—all with ordinary Bordeaux mixture. There should then be three sprayings with Ammoniacal Copper Carbonate or Soda Bordeaux, which will not discolour the fruit to any extent. Although the disease will probably not be eradicated from a vineyard in one season, the more thoroughly the spraying is done the less trouble there should be. It is now sixteen years since it was conclusively shown that Bordeaux mixture would control this disease.

Brown Rot: Downy Mildew: Gray Rot (*Peronospora viticola*).—This is the ro which up to quite recent years proved most injurious in Ontario. The general appearance of this rot as it affects the fruit has already been noticed. Like the Black

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Rot, it affects leaves, stems, and fruit. The disease causes slightly depressed patches on the shoots, somewhat like Anthracnose, but are not so deep. The stems, however, are not usually badly affected, but it is the leaves and fruit which suffer most. Unlike the Black Rot, in which the mycelium does not extend far into the tissue of the plant, in the case of Brown Rot once an infection takes place the disease spreads through the tissues of the vine; and when the leaves are affected they turn pale where the disease has been at work, and about this time the under part of the affected part of the leaf becomes downy, indicating the presence of spores and presenting the 'Downy Mildew' stage of the disease. After this the affected parts of the leaves turn brown. As previously stated, the diseased condition of the fruit is indicated by a brown patch which spreads over the whole grape, which gradually withers. The absence of black pustules readily distinguish this at this stage from the Black Rot. Sometimes after the fruit has withered it becomes covered with a white powdery substance, indicating the spores, but these do not always develop. Treatment.—Spray with Bordeaux mixture just before blossoming, after fruit has set, and ten to fourteen days later.

Powdery Mildew (*Uncinula spiralis*).—This disease does not penetrate into the tissue of the plant as the Black and Brown Rot, but grows upon the surface, making it much easier as the Black and Brown Rot, also, it spreads more rapidly in rather dry weather. The mildew grows on the young shoots and upper surface of the leaves and on the fruit, giving them a grayish, powdery appearance easily recognized as being caused by the Powdery Mildew. This disease feeds on the plant by sending small suckers into the plant cells from which it gets food. Spores are produced early in the season and these being scattered about soon infect other leaves or vines and spread the disease. A second crop of spores is produced later in the summer and these carry the disease over the winter. These are enclosed in a hard, roundish case which becomes black during the latter part of the season. Treatment.—This is a very easy disease to treat and yields readily to fungicides. Dry sulphur and sulphur and water have been found effective, but as this disease often accompanies other diseases of the grape, the sprayings with Bordeaux mixture recommended for Black and Brown Rot are preferable and will effectually check it.

Ripe Rot.—A species of ripe rot has affected a few varieties at the Central Experimental Farm, Salem and Peabody being two of the most affected. The fruit is quite plump and juicy up to the last, but about the time of ripening, the fruit turns brownish at the affected part and often bursts.

Grape Leaf Blight (*Cladosporium viticolum*).—A disease noticed in the vineyards at Winona, Ont., is undoubtedly this species. It causes a withering of the leaves somewhat like the Brown Rot, but the fruit is not affected nor has the under surface of the leaf the downy appearance of the Brown Rot. The leaves on the vines at Winona had the burnt appearance which is peculiar to many leaf blights. The patches on the leaves indicating the disease, are large and irregular in outline. These patches become quite dry and will break from the leaf very easily. The spores are borne on the under surface of the leaf on slender filaments and are produced in large numbers during damp weather. This disease lives over the winter in the fallen leaves. It has not received very much attention but it weakens the vines and prevents the full development of the fruit. Spraying the vines, as for Black Rot, should prove quite effectual with this disease.

COVER CROPS.

English Horse Beans and Rape.—In the report for 1903, experiments in the use of the English Horse Bean and Hairy Vetch were described. It was shown that Horse Beans and Hairy Vetch sown in rows 28 inches apart had given very satisfactory

results. These were sown in this way because it is sometimes difficult to get a good 'stand' for a cover crop in the autumn by sowing about the middle of July and later, owing to the dry weather which often occurs after seeding, delaying the germination of the seed, and in the north it is very desirable to have the cover crop tall so that it will hold the snow. By sowing the seed in rows it can be sown comparatively early and the soil cultivated between the rows when the plants come up, thus conserving moisture and making sure of a good cover crop. Cultivation may be discontinued about the middle of July or a little later. The Horse Beans sown on June 18, 1903, were from 3 feet 6 inches to 4 feet in height on September 21, and it was estimated that the green crop per acre was 7 tons 733 pounds above ground and 2 tons 852 pounds of roots, or a total of 9 tons 1,585 pounds per acre, containing according to the figures given by Mr. Frank T. Shutt, Chemist of the Experimental Farms, in his report for 1903, 75 pounds of nitrogen as compared with 130 pounds from Mammoth Red Clover, and 147 pounds from Hairy Vetch. These beans stood up well all winter, holding the snow admirably, and by spring were still 2 to 2½ feet in height. A land roller was put on as soon as the soil was in condition to work, and the beans were rolled down. The disc harrow was then used and it was found that they broke up readily; they were then cultivated in with a spring tooth cultivator. Owing to the coarse nature of the stems they were noticed in the soil longer than clover or vetch, but in a comparatively short time they decayed and gave practically no trouble. Horse beans were again sown in drills this year on June 16, and were 3 feet 5 inches in height when frozen. The advantage of Horse Beans is that they winter kill and are easily worked under in the spring, while Hairy Vetch and Clover are more difficult to deal with, and if left until late in the spring will take considerable moisture from the soil. The disadvantage of the Horse Bean is that there is no mat of vegetation close to the soil, and if there should be a winter without snow it might not prove as effective as Red Clover or Hairy Vetch. In order to ensure a mat of vegetation which would cover the ground in winter and which would be dead in the spring, rape was used in one part of the orchard and it is believed that English Horse beans and rape grown together will prove one of the most satisfactory cover crops where they will succeed. The Horse beans will furnish nitrogen and humus and will hold the snow well. The rape will cover the ground, thus protecting the roots, and will also add humus. At Ottawa, Horse beans sown during the last week of June at the rate of one bushel per acre in drills 28 inches apart and cultivated two or three times, and rape sown broadcast between the rows during the latter half of August should furnish a very satisfactory combination. Both English Horse beans and rape are moisture-loving plants and will not succeed as well in dry soils as they will where there is a fair amount of moisture. Where the Hairy Vetch is grown for seed, Horse beans sown in drills at the same time as the vetch should prove very useful the following season in holding up the vines, thus insuring a larger crop of seed. At our suggestion, one grower tried it this year and is favourably impressed with this method.

Hairy Vetch.—The Hairy Vetch was used quite largely in the orchards at the Central Experimental Farm in 1903, and was sown again this year, both alone and broadcast and also with Horse Beans to form a mat on the ground, and has been found satisfactory for this purpose, but owing to the difficulty of ploughing under, rape would appear to be more suitable. The Hairy Vetch is a very rapid grower and will continue to grow until almost winter, as light frosts have apparently little effect upon it. It forms a thick mat on the ground, making a perfect mulch and an ideal cover for preventing the thawing and freezing of the ground and protecting the roots of the trees. It will not hold the snow as well as the taller plants, but will probably be found as a rule satisfactory enough in that respect. It is quite rich in nitrogen, being more so than the Mammoth Red or Common Red clovers. The great disadvantage of the Hairy Vetch is the difficulty in ploughing it under where it lives over the winter. Sown broadcast, from 30 to 40 lbs. per acre is

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

sufficient to give a good stand under favourable conditions, and 20 lbs. per acre has been found sufficient when sown in rows. It was not winter killed at the Central Experimental Farm last winter and soon began to make rapid growth in the spring. On June 2 it was cut, with the object of mulching the ground with the crop, the plan being to cut at intervals throughout the summer as with Red clover and use each cutting as a mulch. The vetch, however, was killed by the first cutting. It was thus not found satisfactory as a crop for mulching. Mammoth Red and Common Red clover sown broadcast at the rate of 10 or 12 pounds per acre about the middle of July proves very satisfactory as cover crops in those sections, such as eastern Ontario, where they make good growth in the autumn. Ploughed under in the spring, Red clover adds much plant food and humus to the soil, and in orchards where there is usually an abundance of moisture, such as the orchard at the Central Experimental Farm, it has been found quite satisfactory to cut the clover several times during the summer instead of ploughing it under in the spring, leaving the green crop as a mulch on the ground.

CONSERVATION OF MOISTURE.

As the conservation of moisture is one of the chief reasons for the cultivation of orchards in the summer, any method which will bring about as good results as cultivation without going to the expense and trouble would be very acceptable. It is claimed for the so-called mulch method, by which the grass grown in an orchard in sod is used about the trees to conserve moisture, that the results obtained are quite as satisfactory as with clean cultivation, but it has been found in certain cases that where such good results have been obtained the soil is naturally moist. This year an experiment was planned in conjunction with Mr. F. T. Shutt, Chemist, to determine if there were any crops which would conserve, by the mat they formed on the ground, almost or quite as much moisture as they transpired through their leaves. The extremely cool wet season was unfavourable for this work, but the results of the test will be found in Mr. Shutt's report.

VEGETABLES.

Experiments with vegetables were continued this year, but the list of varieties was cut down very considerably, as sufficient information has now been obtained of a great many of them to warrant discarding them. Those that are recommended are tested each year for comparison with the newer kinds which are being constantly offered for sale. The season was favourable for all vegetables except those which required much heat, such as melons, peppers, and tomatoes, and the crop of these was much less than usual. Cutworms were very bad and injured the test of pease so much that this season's results are worthless. Bran and Paris green in the proportion of 1 lb. Paris green to 50 lbs. bran has been found to be the best remedy for cutworms yet tried, as if applied in time the cutworms will apparently eat it in preference to living plants.

Selection of Pease and Beans.—During the past five years an experiment has been in progress in selecting garden pease to develop, if possible, earlier and more productive strains. The results are very encouraging and the effect of selection in regard to increase of yield and earliness is quite marked in some cases. A similar experiment has been carried on with beans for four years, and more recently with tomatoes and melons. There is a wide field for work of this kind, especially in this climate, where earliness is such an important factor in determining the profits from vegetables.

Further experiments in growing vegetables in a cheese-cloth inclosure.—The experiment begun and reported on last year of growing vegetables in a cheese-cloth in-

closure was continued this year with results confirming those of last year in some respects, while in others owing to the extremely cool, cloudy season the difference in favour of cheesecloth was not so marked, and in some cases vegetables which had done better in 1903 inside than out, this year did better outside than in. Radish, cauliflower, lettuce, beans, and onions were tested this year. It was again found that radish and cauliflower grown inside the inclosure were free of maggots except in an occasional instance in the case of the cauliflower where the plants had evidently been affected in the hot-bed before setting out in the inclosure. This preventative of root maggots should be more widely utilized, especially among amateurs, where these insects are troublesome. Both radish and cauliflower develop very satisfactorily in the inclosure. In 1903 radish was ready for use inside the inclosure three days earlier than outside, this year radishes were two days later than outside, but the radishes remained fit for use nearly a week longer inside than out, the radishes, when they had reached a large size, being still crisp and tender. Whether it would pay commercially or not is still doubtful. The cauliflower outside was practically a failure, inside it was quite satisfactory. Lettuce was ready for use in 1903 in the inclosure two to four days later than outside; this year it was ready two days earlier inside. Beans were ready for use in 1903 in the inclosure three days sooner than outside, and the yield was 14 quarts outside and 11 quarts inside. This year the beans were ready for use inside one to two days later than outside, the yield inside being 58 quarts, while outside it was 53 quarts. There was no apparent difference between the onions planted outside and inside.

The cheesecloth used in 1903 was used again this year, but it tore considerably during the latter part of the season, and gave trouble. Two years is the longest time that this cheesecloth, which cost 5 cents a yard, may be expected to last.

POTATOES.

The season of 1904 was favourable to the potato crop at the Central Experimental Farm, as the blight did not appear until late, and there was little rot in the field. The yields of 73 varieties are published in the following table, all grown in the same sized plots. The Vermont Gold Coin, which was tested for the first time in 1903, headed the list this year, yielding at the rate of 554 bushels 24 pounds per acre. This is a very promising variety. Between this variety and the lowest yielder, the Early Andes, which only yielded at the rate of 123 bushels 12 pounds per acre, there is a difference of 431 bushels 12 pounds per acre, which is more than three times the average yield per acre for the province of Ontario, striking evidence of the importance of planting only the most productive varieties.

The soil in which the potatoes were planted was good sandy loam, the previous crop being strawberries. The soil was given a heavy dressing of barnyard manure for the strawberries in the spring of 1902, but had not received any since. The land was ploughed in the summer of 1903, and again in the spring of 1904, and thoroughly harrowed with the disc and smoothing harrows shortly before planting. The drills, which were 2½ feet apart, were made with the double mould board plough and were about 4 inches deep. The sets were of good size, having at least three eyes, it having been found that, taking one year with another, this is the best kind to use. There were 66 sets of each variety planted 1 foot apart in a single row. The sets were covered with the hoe to ensure more uniform conditions. Level cultivation was adopted and the potatoes were cultivated four times, and sprayed four times with Bordeaux mixture.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TWELVE BEST YIELDING POTATOES—AVERAGE OF FIVE YEARS, 1900-4.

Name of Variety.	Season.	Colour.	Quality.	Average Yield per Acre, 1900 to 1904.	
				Bush.	Lbs.
1. Dr. Maercher.....	Very late.....	White.....	Med. to good..	496	19
2. Late Puritan.....	Medium.....	".....	Good.....	485	19
3. Burnaby Mammoth.....	".....	Pink and white.....	".....	483	34
4. Money Maker.....	".....	White.....	".....	482	41
5. Carman No. 1.....	Medium.....	White.....	".....	459	48
6. Dreer's Standard.....	".....	".....	".....	458	55
7. Sabeau's Elephant.....	".....	".....	".....	454	58
8. Canadian Beauty.....	".....	".....	".....	452	46
9. Rural Blush.....	Late.....	Pink and white.....	".....	437	48
10. I.X.L.....	Medium.....	Pink.....	".....	433	50
11. Pearce.....	".....	Pink and white.....	Good.....	433	24
12. Clay Rose.....	".....	Pink.....	Medium.....	432	53

* This variety was first grown under the name of Burnaby Seedling, and then procured under the name of Burnaby Mammoth. The average yield from the older strain for four years, and the new one for the year is 469 bushels 29 lbs.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Season.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield Per Acre, Marketable.		Yield Per Acre of Unmarketable.		Colour.
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Vermont Gold Coin.....	Medium.....	Good.....	554	24	475	12	79	12	White.
Morgan's Seedling.....	".....	".....	514	48	413	36	101	12	Pink and white.
Carman No. 1 (new seed).....	".....	".....	501	36	409	12	92	24	White.
Dr. Maercher.....	Late.....	Medium.....	501	36	382	48	118	48	"
Dooley.....	Medium.....	Good.....	479	36	409	12	70	24	"
Rural Blush.....	Late.....	".....	479	36	391	36	88	0	Pink.
White Elephant.....	Medium.....	".....	466	24	409	12	57	12	" and white.
Burnaby Mammoth.....	".....	".....	462	0	396	0	66	0	"
Quick Crop.....	Early.....	".....	448	48	382	48	66	0	"
Northern Beauty.....	".....	".....	440	0	356	24	83	36	"
Carman No. 3 (new seed).....	Late.....	".....	435	36	391	36	44	0	White.
Holburn Abundance.....	".....	Medium.....	435	36	369	36	66	0	"
Carman No. 1.....	Medium.....	Good.....	435	36	330	0	105	36	"
American Giant.....	".....	Medium.....	431	12	365	12	66	0	"
Deherty's Seedling.....	".....	Good.....	431	12	356	24	74	48	"
Early Carter.....	Early.....	".....	426	48	334	24	92	24	"
Money Maker.....	Medium.....	".....	418	0	347	36	70	24	"
Rose's Rose.....	Early.....	".....	404	48	343	12	61	36	Pink.
Montana Bluff.....	Medium.....	".....	404	48	334	24	70	24	White.
Mammoth Pearl.....	".....	".....	400	24	334	24	66	0	"
Clark's Pride.....	".....	".....	400	24	286	0	114	24	"
Clay Rose.....	Late.....	Medium.....	391	36	338	48	52	48	Pink.
Times Lightning.....	Early.....	Good.....	391	36	334	24	57	12	Red, brighter in eye.
Connet.....	".....	".....	387	12	316	48	70	24	Pink.
Rose No. 9.....	Late.....	Medium.....	382	48	356	24	26	24	"
Clark's Extra Early.....	Early.....	Good.....	378	24	325	36	52	48	" and white.
Early Abundance.....	Medium.....	".....	378	24	321	12	57	12	"
Manor.....	Early.....	".....	374	0	334	24	39	36	"
Deherty's Rose.....	".....	".....	374	0	281	36	92	24	"
Canadian Beauty.....	".....	".....	369	36	272	48	96	48	"
Orman's Earliest.....	Medium.....	".....	365	12	321	12	44	0	" and white.
Sabeau's Elephant.....	Early.....	".....	365	12	308	0	57	12	"
I.X.L.....	Late.....	Good.....	365	12	333	36	61	36	White.
Dooley.....	".....	".....	365	12	294	48	70	24	Pink and white.
Early Blush.....	Medium.....	".....	360	48	286	0	79	12	"
Early State.....	Medium.....	Good.....	360	48	316	48	44	0	"
Clark's Early.....	Early.....	".....	360	48	299	12	61	36	White.
							66	0	Pink.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

No.	Name of Variety.	Season.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield Per Acre, Marketable.		Yield Per Acre of Unmarketable.		Colour.
				Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	
39	Dreer's Standard.....	Late.....	Good.....	356	24	299	12	57	12	White.
40	Flemish Beauty.....	Medium..	"	347	55	286	0	61	36	Bright pink.
41	Late Puritan	Late.....	"	343	12	290	24	52	48	White.
42	Early Rose	Early.....	"	343	12	277	12	66	0	Pink.
43	Morgan's White.....	Medium..	"	343	12	272	48	70	24	White.
44	Eureka Extra Early	E. early...	"	338	48	281	36	57	12	"
45	Swiss Snowflake.....	Late.....	Good.....	334	24	272	48	61	36	"
46	Rawdon Rose.....	Early.....	"	334	24	268	24	66	0	Pink and white.
47	Early Ohio	E. early...	Good.....	330	0	264	0	66	0	"
48	Rough Coat Cup.....	"	"	325	36	220	0	105	36	"
49	Early Elkinah.....	Early.....	Good.....	316	48	237	36	79	12	"
50	Uncle Sam.....	Medium..	"	312	24	228	48	83	36	White.
51	Nott's Peachblow.....	Late.....	"	312	24	220	0	92	24	Pale pink, red in eye.
52	Enormous.....	"	Good.....	308	0	255	12	52	48	White.
53	American Wonder.....	"	"	308	0	246	24	61	36	"
54	Wonderful.....	Medium..	"	308	0	246	24	101	12	Yellowish.
55	Vick's No. 9.....	"	"	299	12	255	12	44	0	White.
56	State of Maine.....	Late.....	Good.....	299	12	242	0	57	12	"
57	Pingree	Early.....	"	290	24	224	24	66	0	"
58	General Gordon.....	"	Good.....	290	24	215	36	74	48	Pink.
59	Delaware	Medium..	"	277	12	242	0	35	12	White.
60	Prolific Rose.....	"	"	272	48	211	12	61	36	Pink.
61	Dublin Prize	"	"	268	24	180	24	88	0	Yellowish.
62	Carman No. 3.....	Late.....	Good.....	264	0	215	36	48	24	White.
63	Early White Prize.....	Early.....	"	250	48	176	0	74	48	"
64	Country Gentleman	"	"	246	24	189	12	57	12	Pink and white.
65	Early Envoy.....	"	"	246	24	189	12	57	12	"
66	Irish Cobbler.....	"	Good.....	246	24	189	12	57	12	White.
67	Snowball.....	E. early...	"	246	24	167	12	79	12	"
68	Maule's Thoroughbred.....	Early.....	"	233	12	189	12	44	0	Pink.
69	Seedling No. 7.....	Late.....	"	215	36	193	36	22	0	Bright pink.
70	Early St. George.....	Early.....	Good.....	215	36	140	48	74	48	Pink and white.
71	Cambridge Russet.....	Medium..	"	206	48	162	48	44	0	White.
72	James Nugget.....	"	"	206	48	88	0	118	48	"
73	Bovee.....	E. early...	Good.....	180	24	105	36	74	48	Pink and white.
74	Bliss Triumph.....	"	"	127	36	70	24	57	12	Red.
75	Early Andes.....	"	"	123	12	92	24	30	48	Pink.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ADDITIONAL VARIETIES OF POTATOES TESTED IN 1904.

The following varieties, some of which were sent for test, and including among their number some of the newer English sorts, were grown in smaller plots this year:—

Name of Variety.	Number of Sets Planted.	Total Yield Per Acre.		Yield Per Acre of Marketable.		Yield Per Acre of Unmarketable.		Colour.
		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Ashleaf Kidney Heber Rawlings, Forest, Ont.	33	545	36	448	48	96	48	White.
Dalmeny Beauty.	33	519	12	440	..	79	12	"
White Albino.	33	501	36	378	27	123	12	"
Pat's Choice.	33	404	48	334	24	70	24	Bright pink, red eye.
Early Johnston.	33	404	48	308	..	96	48	Pale pink.
Kaiser.	33	352	..	264	..	88	..	White.
Woltman.	33	352	..	255	12	96	48	Red.
Charles Fidler.	33	343	12	290	24	52	48	White.
Early Sunlight.	33	343	12	261	..	79	12	"
Daybreak.	33	343	12	228	48	114	24	Pink.
Empress Queen.	33	334	24	220	..	114	24	White.
Hibernia.	33	334	24	184	48	149	36	Deep pink.
Northern Star.	16	334	..	211	12	123	12	White.
Evergood.	33	308	..	132	..	176	..	"

Spraying Potatoes for the Prevention of Blight and Rot.

Although it has been known for about seventeen years that spraying with Bordeaux mixture will prevent the blight and rot of the potato, only a small proportion of Canadian farmers spray even yet, although the loss is very great nearly every year. This year a comparative test was made between plots sprayed with Bordeaux mixture and Bug Death applied together; Bordeaux mixture and Paris green; Bordeaux mixture made with washing soda instead of lime, and Paris green; Bug Death; and Paris green. Sixteen varieties were used in this test, each occupying one row 33 feet long, the 16 varieties covering just 1-33 of an acre being the area devoted to each test. Only sixteen sorts are reported on, as in one plot one variety had an advantage over the others and it was not included. The soil on the whole was a uniform, rich sandy loam. The potatoes were kept thoroughly cultivated until the vines met and were sprayed five times, namely, on July 2, 13, 25, August 2, 27. The plots sprayed with the Bordeaux-Bug Death mixture received an extra spraying on June 22 with Bug Death alone. There were no rotten potatoes in the plot sprayed with the Bordeaux-Bug Death mixture. The potatoes were planted on May 28, and dug on October 6.

TABLE I.—Experiments in Spraying to prevent Blight and Rot of Potatoes.

Name of Varieties.	Yield per acre, market- able potatoes — Bor- deaux mixture and Bug Death.		Yield per acre, market- able potatoes — Bor- deaux mixture and Paris Green.		Yield per acre, market- able potatoes — Soda Bordeaux and Paris Green.		Yield per acre, market- able potatoes — Bug Death.		Yield per acre, market- able potatoes — Paris Green.		Yield per acre, rotten potatoes — Bordeaux mixture and Paris Green.		Yield per acre, rotten potatoes — Soda Bor- deaux.		Yield per acre, rotten potatoes — Bug Death.		Yield per acre, rotten potatoes — Paris Green.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
<i>Main Crop Varieties.</i>																		
Sir Walter Raleigh.....	576	24	488	24	391	36	277	12	281	36
Rural Blush.....	611	36	580	48	413	36	400	24	334	24
Late Puritan.....	484	..	352	..	356	24	422	24	308
Dreer's Standard.....	308	..	215	36	268	24	167	12	206	48
Enormous.....	332	48	440	..	387	12	92	24	360	48	136	24	44	..
Cambridge Russet.....	325	36	347	36	290	24	330	..	237	36
I. X. L.....	563	12	444	24	299	12	404	48	360	48
Burnaby Mammoth.....	475	12	365	12	378	24	440	..	396	13	12
Swiss Snowflake.....	426	48	431	12	426	48	360	48	400	24
Average.....	461	31	407	15	356	53	321	41	320	43	33	41	5	23
<i>Early Varieties.</i>																		
Rochester Rose.....	426	48	422	24	431	12	347	36	360	48	83	36
Early Rose.....	303	36	382	48	404	48	457	36	426	48	4	24
Lee's Favourite.....	233	12	250	48	264	..	246	24	176	35	12
Early Ohio.....	343	12	255	12	343	12	189	12	233	13	48	24
Irish Cobbler.....	299	12	290	24	250	48	356	24	299	12
Flemish Beauty.....	413	36	387	12	290	24	167	12	259	36	79	12	8	48
Average.....	336	36	331	28	330	44	294	4	292	36	-44	..	41	4	1	28
Average of all varieties, 1904.....	399	3	369	21	343	48	307	52	306	39	-22	..	37	24	3	25
Average of all varieties, 1902.....	310	12	251	6	189	54	15	18	32	24	34	28
Average of all varieties, 1901.....	333	43	233	11	28	41
Average for 3 years..	337	45	243	15

In the above table the fifteen varieties were divided and the results from spraying the main crop varieties averaged and kept separately from the early ones. This was to show which were influenced most by spraying. It will be seen that the main crop varieties were much more influenced this year than the early, the average greatest increase of the main crop varieties being at the rate of 140 bushels 48 pounds per acre. and of early varieties only 44 bushels per acre, or an average of both of 92 bushels 24 pounds per acre. This great difference was probably due to the fact that this year the blight did not appear until well on in August, when the crop of the early varieties was well advanced. Taking the average of the years 1901, 1902 and 1904, the increase in crop from the use of Bordeaux mixture has been 94 bushels 30 pounds per acre.

In 1902 there was an average increase from the use of Bug Death over Paris Green of 61 bushels per acre, but in 1904 there was practically no increase. There was more rot in the plots treated with Bug Death in 1904 than in those where Paris Green was used, which is difficult to account for as the soil was of a uniform character. In 1904 the amount of rot was about the same in both plots.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Mixtures used, 1904.	Cost of Materials and Application per acre.	Yield per acre Potatoes, average of 15 varieties.	Increase in Crop per acre over Potatoes Sprayed with Paris Green only.	Increase in value of Crop per acre at 40 cents per bushel.	Net Loss or Gain per acre after deducting cost of materials and application.
		Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Plot 1.—Bordeaux Mixture and Bug Death— Formula 6 lbs. bluestone, 4 lbs. lime, 40 galls. water, 12 oz. Bug Death..... Sprayed July 13, 25, Aug. 2, 27..... 22½ lbs. per acre dry, June 22..... 33 lbs. per acre dry, July 2.....	99 lbs. bluestone at 6 cts..... \$ 5 94 14 bush. lime at 22 cts..... 0 29 154½ lbs. Bug Death at 7 cts..... 10 83 Total cost..... \$ 17 06	399 3	492 24	36 96	21 86 gain.
Plot 2.—Bordeaux Mixture and Paris Green— Formula 6 lbs. bluestone, 4 lbs. lime, 8 oz. Paris Green, 40 galls. water..... Sprayed July 2, 13, 25, Aug. 2, 27.....	118½ lbs. bluestone at 6 cts..... \$ 7 13 9½ lbs. Paris green at 19 cts..... 1 88 1½ bush. lime at 22 cts..... 0 35 Total cost..... \$ 9 36	369 21	62 42	25 08	17 68 gain
Plot 3.—Soda Bordeaux and Paris Green— Formula 6 lbs. bluestone, 7½ lbs. washing soda, 8 oz. Paris green, 40 galls. water.....	118½ lbs. bluestone at 6 cts..... \$ 7 13 148½ lbs. washing soda at 2 cts..... 2 97 9½ lbs. Paris green at 19 cts..... 1 88 Total cost..... \$ 11 98	343 48	37 9	14 86	4 44 gain.
Plot 4.—Bug Death— Formula—22½ lbs. per acre, June 22..... 33 lbs. per acre, July 2..... 24½ lbs. per acre, July 13, 22, Aug. 2, 27.....	80½ lbs. Bug Death at 7 cts..... \$ 5 63	307 52	1 13	0 49	3 18 loss.
Plot 5.—Paris Green— Formula 8 oz. Paris green to 40 galls. water.....	10½ lbs. Paris green at 19 cts..... \$ 1 96	306 39			

The foregoing table shows that the Bordeaux-Bug Death mixture used in the manner described gave a net increase of \$21.86 per acre in the value of the potato crop, a difference in favour of this combination over ordinary Bordeaux mixture and Paris green of \$4.18. The cost of applying the different mixtures in this test is not given in the table, as the expense of spraying small plots is larger proportionately than it would be by the acre. The cost of applying the Bordeaux-Bug Death mixture was greater than the Bordeaux mixture and Paris green on account of the extra spraying on June 22, hence the difference in favour of the Bordeaux-mixture and Bug Death is really less than the table indicates, the estimated cost per acre of applying the Bug Death dry on June 22, being \$1.10. The probable reason of the greater increase of yield from the Bordeaux-Bug Death mixture is that the Bug Death adheres well to the foliage and when applied with Bordeaux mixture would cause it to adhere better also.

TOMATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

The season of 1904 was a very unfavourable one for tomatoes, owing to so much cool, cloudy weather, and there was only about one-third of the usual crop. There were 62 varieties tested. The seed was sown in the hot-beds on March 31, and the plants pricked out into strawberry boxes on May 2, and kept in a cold frame until June 6, when they were planted in the open air. They were planted four feet apart each way, and five plants of each variety were used. The soil was a light sandy loam which had been manured the previous season. The soil was kept cultivated until the plants covered the ground. The Sparks' Earliana which has been among the best early ripening kinds for the past four years, did not do quite so well this year, although it is still considered the best early tomato tested, being smoother than other kinds. If the Nolte's Earliest were a little smoother it would compare very favourably with Sparks' Earliana, and this year has yielded much better.

TOMATOES—TWELVE BEST YIELDING VARIETIES, 1904.

Name of Variety.	Date of First Ripe Fruit.	Yield of Ripe Fruit to Aug. 13, 1904.	Total Yield of Ripe Fruit, all pickings—5 Plants.	Total Yield of Ripe Fruit per Plant.	Remarks.
		Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	Lbs. Oz.	
Early Bird.....	Aug. 4	1 ..	69 12	13 15	Below medium size, smooth, purplish pink.
Nolte's Earliest.....	July 22	4 2	58 2	11 10	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.
Democrat.....	Aug. 1	.. 8	50 ..	10 ..	Medium size, wrinkled, purplish pink.
Turner's Hybrid.....	" 4	1 8	45 12	9 2	Large, smooth to slightly wrinkled, purplish pink.
Extra Early Red.....	July 26	1 12	44 8	8 14	Below medium size, smooth, scarlet.
Acme.....	Aug. 3	1 ..	37 8	7 8	Medium size, smooth, purplish pink.
Canada Victor.....	July 26	.. 12	36 ..	7 3	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.
Rosedale.....	" 26	2 15	35 3	7 1	Medium size, scarlet.
Thorburn's Long Keeper.	Aug. 4	1 ..	34 4	6 14	Below medium size, regular, smooth, purplish pink.
Sparks' Earliana.....	July 29	1 8	33 ..	6 10	Medium size, half wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.
Bond's Early Minnesota.	" 29	1 12	32 8	6 8	Below medium size, smooth, purplish pink.
Thorburn's Earliest.....	Aug. 1	1 8	32 8	6 8	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.

TOMATOES—SIX EARLIEST VARIETIES, 1904.

Maule's Earliest.....	July 27	5 5	26 9	5 5	Medium size, wrinkled, scarlet.
Nolte's Earliest.....	" 22	4 2	58 2	11 10	" "
Early Leader.....	" 26	3 15	29 15	6 ..	Below medium size, half wrinkled, scarlet.
Chalk's Early Jewel.....	" 24	3 8	19 2	3 13	Medium size, smooth, scarlet.
New Extra Early.....	" 27	3 4	25 4	5 1	" "
Conqueror.....	" 27	2 14	19 6	3 14	Medium size, wrinkled to smooth, scarlet.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The varieties of tomatoes which have averaged best for a number of years and which are recommended for general planting are:—*Early*, Sparks' Earliana. *Main Crop*, Brinton's Best, Trophy, Matchless (scarlet), and Burpee's Climax, and Autocrat (purplish pink).

An experiment with a certain method of pruning tomatoes was tried this year with gratifying results. When the plants in the hot-beds had six strong leaves developed, which was on May 23, the tops were nipped off and the plants given more room, being placed 5½ inches apart. The object of pinching off the top of the plant was to cause new shoots to develop at the axils of the leaves in order to have six branches bearing early tomatoes instead of the one cluster usually found on the top of the plant. These were planted out on June 6, alongside other plants unpruned. On June 22, half of the pruned plants were again pruned, all laterals being taken out and the six main branches only being left, the other plants were left to grow at will, and it was found that they produced the most ripe fruit, though not the largest early crop. This system of pruning is very promising. The further advanced the axillary shoots are when the plants are set out the larger the early crop is likely to be. In the experiment this year the plants were not started nearly early enough to get the best results. The experiment was suggested by Mr. J. S. Littooy, Everett, Washington Territory, who has been pruning tomatoes in this way for some time in Washington, with gratifying results, where they have difficulty in ripening tomatoes.

TOMATOES—EXPERIMENT IN PRUNING.

Name of Variety.	Date of First Ripe Fruit.	Ripe Fruit First Three Pickings.	Total Yield of Ripe Fruit.
		Lbs.	Lbs. Oz.
Sparks' Earliana—			
Unpruned	July 29....	9	84
Pruned once	Aug. 13....	6	137 10
Pruned twice	" 12....	18	132 13
Matchless—			
Unpruned	Aug. 4..		29
Pruned once	" 29....		73 8
Pruned twice	" 29....		62

TOBACCO—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Tobacco is tested every year at the Central Experimental Farm on account of the importance of the crop. This year fifty-one varieties were grown, or at least tobacco under fifty-one different names, as it is probable that a number of them were synonyms. Twenty plants of each variety were tested, but seven kinds were grown on larger scales. The season was favourable to the tobacco crop, as although it was cool the plants grew well, and by September 9, when they were cut, the plants were nearly mature on the whole as they usually get here. The seed was sown in hot-beds on April 4, and the plants pricked out into a cold frame on May 21, and planted in the field on June 6, in rows 3 x 3½ feet apart.

Name of Variety.	Condition when cut.	Yield of dry leaves from 20 plants.		Yield of dry leaves per acre.	
		Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.
Connecticut Seed Leaf.....	Nearly mature..	13	12	2,852	2
Pennsylvania Seed Leaf.....	"	8	9	1,776	2
Cuban Seed Leaf.....	"	7	12	1,607	9
Havana Seed Leaf.....	"	7	9	1,568	11
Lancaster Co. Broad Leaf.....	"	7	7	1,542	12
Bonanza.....	"	7	4	1,503	14
Lack's.....	"	7	1	1,465	0
Gold Leaf.....	"	6	13	1,413	0
Honduras.....	"	6	5	1,309	6
Flannagan.....	"	6	3	1,283	7
White Burley.....	"	6	0	1,244	9
Warne.....	"	5	14 $\frac{3}{4}$	1,228	6
Maryland.....	Mature.....	5	13	1,205	11
Kentucky Burley.....	Nearly mature..	5	12	1,192	11
Big Havana.....	"	5	10	1,166	12
Oronoka White Stem.....	"	5	10	1,166	12
Sumatra.....	"	5	9	1,153	13
Sterling.....	Mature.....	5	8	1,140	14
Comstock Spanish.....	Nearly mature..	5	8	1,140	14
Bradley's Broad Leaf.....	"	5	8	1,140	14
Zimmer's Spanish.....	"	5	7	1,127	14
N. C. Bright Yellow.....	"	5	7	1,127	14
Conqueror.....	"	5	3	1,076	0
Hester.....	"	5	1	1,050	2
Persian Muscatelle.....	Mature.....	5	1	1,050	2
Small Red Canadian.....	"	5	1	1,050	2
Gold Finder.....	Nearly mature..	5	0	1,037	2
Virginia One Sucker.....	Mature.....	4	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,030	11
Virginia Oak Hill.....	Nearly mature..	4	15	1,024	3
Safrano.....	Mature.....	4	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	1,004	12
Yellow Pryor.....	Nearly mature..	4	13	998	4
Large Havana.....	"	4	12	985	5
Little Oronoka.....	"	4	6	907	8
Yellow Mammoth.....	"	4	5	894	9
Oronoka Yellow.....	"	4	3	868	10
Hyc.....	"	4	1	842	11
Long Leaf Gooch.....	Mature.....	4	0	829	12
Sweet Oronoka.....	"	3	9	738	15
Granville Co. Yellow.....	Nearly mature..	3	9	738	15
Primus.....	"	3	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	732	7
Eastern Pride.....	"	3	8	726	0
Improved White Burley.....	"	3	7	713	0
Choice Havana.....	"	3	4	674	2
Turkish.....	Mature.....	3	3	661	3
Havana.....	"	3	2	648	3
Evans.....	"	3	0	622	5
Climax.....	Nearly mature..	2	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	615	13
Persian Rose.....	Mature.....	2	11	557	7
Small Havana.....	"	2	9	531	8
Cannelle.....	"	2	5	479	11
Vuelta de Abajo.....	"	2	5	479	11
Porto Rico.....	"	2	4	466	11
Cannelle Good Canadian.....	"	2	3	453	12

FOREST BELTS.

The forest belts at the Central Experimental Farm extend along its northern and western boundaries, the belt on the western boundary being 165 feet wide, and that on the northern boundary 65 feet wide. Their total length is nearly $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles. The number of trees growing in these belts, including those in a separate plantation of evergreens, is about 23,100.

One of the principal objects for which the forest belts were planted was to obtain information relating to the growth of the best timber trees, when grown on different soils at different distances apart, in blocks of single species, and in mixed plantations

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The distances chosen at first were 5 by 5 ft., 5 by 10 ft., and 10 by 10 ft. apart. In addition to obtaining information on the growth of the trees, another object of planting the belts was to find what influence they would have on the crops in the adjoining fields, both favourable and unfavourable. It was expected also that these belts would add much to the appearance of the landscape. It was hoped that other useful information regarding timber trees would also be obtained.

The first planting was done in the autumn of 1887, just seventeen years ago, and the rapid growth which most of the trees have made should be some inducement to farmers and others to plant trees.

Although the soil was not in all cases suitable for the trees which were planted in it, being very poor in some places and badly drained, at first in others, these various conditions have enabled us to note the kinds of soils which certain species will thrive in or those in which they will not do well.

It has been found that the trees which were planted 5 by 5 feet apart, the closest distance, used at first, are making the best trees from a forestry standpoint, as the side branches are killed much sooner. The trees planted 5 by 5 feet apart are more protected from storms than those further apart, and hence the tops are less injured. They are also a little taller in most cases, but are not so great in diameter as those 10 by 10 feet apart. During the first years of growth there is a great advantage in having the trees close, as in order to get thrifty growth the soil should not become hard, nor should the trees be almost smothered with weeds or grass, and to get these good conditions it is necessary to cultivate at first, and the further the trees are apart the longer one will have to cultivate, thus making the expense greater.

Until the last three years the trees in the mixed plantation were making the most satisfactory growth, and are yet making better growth than some of the clumps composed of single species, but the rapid growing kinds are developing so fast in the mixed belt that they are overshadowing some of the more valuable trees, and those which cannot endure much shade are being killed. To some extent this overshadowing is prevented by shearing the side branches and letting in more light. In nature, the proper proportion of fast and slow growing, shade-enduring and light-needing trees is gradually adjusted as the trees develop, but in artificial planting, it is very difficult to arrange them in proper proportion where a number of species are used. The fewer kinds that are employed the easier it becomes.

In some of the clumps of single species the disadvantage of not having two or more kinds mixed is quite as apparent as the disadvantage of having so many kinds mixed in the mixed belt. Ash, Butternut, Black Walnut, and Elm, which have thin foliage, do not kill the sod, and the growth on this account is checked. If other heavy foliaged kinds, such as Larch, Spruce, Pine, or Box Elder had been mixed with these the results would have been, almost certainly, much better.

Beginning in 1899 and continuing at intervals since, some plantations have been made with trees and shrubs at much closer distances apart, the largest proportion being shrubs which are used for under-growth and which grow rapidly at first, but do not reach a great height. In these plantings the trees and shrubs are but 2½ feet apart. It is too soon yet to report fully on this experiment, but the results already obtained tend to show that this method, if properly carried out, has some important advantages over wider planting, one of the principal being the saving of cultivation. It is possible that 3 feet apart would be as satisfactory or more satisfactory a distance than 2½ ft. The chief shrubs used as undergrowth were Rosemary Willow (*Salix rosmarinifolia*), Alder Buckthorn (*Rhamnus Frangula*), Sand Cherry (*Prunus pumila*), and Inebark (*Neillia opulifolia*). The last has been found the most satisfactory, as it grows rapidly even in sod and has dense foliage.

Every year measurements are taken in the forest belts at the Central Experimental Farm, both of the annual growth in height and in diameter, and tables are published from time to time in this report, the last one appearing in 1901. In the following table will be found the measurements of the principal species of trees in the belts up to this autumn. In most cases the published figures are the averages of three or four trees, but in a few instances six trees are averaged.

GROWTH OF TREES IN THE FOREST BELTS AT THE CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

Name of Species.	Character of Soil.	When Planted.	Distance Apart.	Age or Height when Planted.	Average Height.					Average Height, 1904.	Average Diameter 4' 6" from ground, 1904.
					1900.	1901.	1902.	1903.	1904.		
			Feet.	Years.	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.	in.
Black Walnut— <i>Juglans nigra</i>	Low sandy loam.....	1888	5 x 5	1	11 6	12 11	12 9	13 11	13 6	21 1	21 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1888	10 x 10	1	7 4	17 7	18 5	19 8	20 3	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	Sandy loam with small stones.....	1889	5 x 5	2	16 4	17 4	18 8	19 6	20 1	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1889	10 x 10	2	12 3	13 4	14 2	14 7	15 2	21 1	21 1
Butternut— <i>Juglans cinerea</i>	Clay loam.....	1888	10 x 5	1	14 1	15 4	16 2	16 10	17 2	21 1	21 1
" " ".....	Low sandy loam.....	1889	5 x 5	1	11 7	11 11	12 1	12 10	12 8	21 1	21 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1888	10 x 10	1	10 1	10 6	10 6	10 8	10 10	21 1	21 1
Silver-leaved Maple— <i>Acer dasycarpum</i>	Light sandy loam.....	1889	5 x 5	3	27 3	28 1	28 5	28 7	28 10	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1889	10 x 10	3	24 8	25 1	25 8	25 5	25 8	31 1	31 1
European White Birch— <i>Betula alba</i>	" " ".....	1889	5 x 5	3	34 8	35 9	35 9	35 5	35 8	41 1	41 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1889	10 x 10	3	37 3	38 7	39 5	39 5	39 5	41 1	41 1
Canoe Birch— <i>Betula papyrifera</i>	" " ".....	1889	5 x 5	3	31 1	32 8	33 1	33 5	33 5	41 1	41 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1889	10 x 10	3	31 1	32 8	33 1	33 5	33 5	41 1	41 1
Yellow Birch— <i>Betula lutea</i>	" " ".....	1889	5 x 5	3	21 10	23 6	23 3	24 1	24 2	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1889	10 x 10	3	21 8	23 6	23 3	24 1	24 2	31 1	31 1
White Elm— <i>Ulmus americana</i>	Sandy loam.....	1889	5 x 5	3	17 3	18 1	18 11	19 9	20 2	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1889	10 x 10	3	18 9	19 8	20 9	21 4	22 4	31 1	31 1
Black Ash— <i>Fraxinus sambucifolia</i>	Black muck.....	1889	5 x 5	2	18 2	18 10	19 4	19 9	20 5	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	Low sandy loam.....	1889	10 x 10	2	11 11	12 5	12 8	12 10	13 1	31 1	31 1
Green Ash— <i>Fraxinus viridis</i>	Black muck.....	1889	5 x 5	3	20 10	22 8	24 8	25 1	26 10	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	Low sandy loam.....	1889	10 x 10	3	17 2	18 5	19 8	20 3	21 6	31 1	31 1
Red Ash— <i>Fraxinus pubescens</i>	Black muck.....	1889	5 x 5	2	22 8	24 4	26 3	26 11	28 8	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	Light sandy loam.....	1889	10 x 10	2	17 2	18 10	20 3	21 4	22 11	31 1	31 1
White Ash— <i>Fraxinus americana</i>	Black muck.....	1889	5 x 5	3	24 1	24 8	25 9	26 7	27 7	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	Light sandy loam.....	1889	10 x 10	3	23 10	25 9	26 9	27 7	28 8	31 1	31 1
Black Cherry— <i>Prunus serotina</i>	Light sandy loam and gravel.....	1889	5 x 5	3	15 11	19 11	21 2	22 1	23 2	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1889	10 x 10	3	24 4	26 7	29 8	30 3	32 1	31 1	31 1
Box Elder— <i>Acer Negundo</i>	Light sandy loam.....	1889	5 x 5	2	25 2	26 7	25 8	26 1	26 6	31 1	31 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1889	10 x 10	2	23 6	24 9	26 9	28 2	28 3	31 1	31 1
Scotch Pine— <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Sandy loam with gravel.....	1888	5 x 5	18	23 6	24 9	26 9	28 2	28 3	41 1	41 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1888	10 x 10	18	21 2	22 7	22 9	24 10	24 4	51 1	51 1
" " ".....	Low sandy loam with gravel.....	1888	5 x 5	18	22 9	23 2	25 8	26 10	26 6	61 1	61 1
" " ".....	Low sandy loam.....	1888	10 x 10	13	21 10	23 3	24 3	25 5	26 6	71 1	71 1
" " ".....	Light sandy loam.....	1888	10 x 5	18	24 5	25 2	27 2	28 7	29 4	81 1	81 1
" " ".....	Clay loam.....	1888	10 x 5	18	20 9	22 2	23 10	24 7	24 8	91 1	91 1
" " ".....	Light sandy loam and gravel.....	1888	10 x 5	18	23 5	25 2	26 7	27 11	27 9	101 1	101 1
" " ".....	" " ".....	1887	3 x 3	9	24 4	25 2	25 5	26 11	27 4	111 1	111 1

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

GROWTH OF TREES IN THE FOREST BELTS AT THE CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM—Concluded.

Name of Species.	Character of Soil.	When Planted.	Distance Apart.	Age or Height when Planted.	Average Height 1900.	Average Height 1901.	Average Height 1902.	Average Height 1903.	Average Height 1904.	Average Diameter 4 1/2 feet from ground, 1904.
			Feet.	in.	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.	In.
Austrian Pine— <i>Pinus austriaca</i>	Light sandy loam.....	1889	5 x 5	18	17 10	19 9	21 9	23 4	24 10	4 1/2
"	"	1889	10 x 10	18	18 1	19 9	20 10	22 4	23 6	6 1/2
"	"	1888	10 x 5	15	17 5	19 7	21 1	22 2	23 3	5 1/2
"	Clay loam.....	1888	10 x 5	15	17	18 11	20 10	22 4	23 7	5 1/2
"	Light sandy loam and gravel.	1888	10 x 5	15	19 6	21 6	23 1	24 5	25 7	6 1/2
"	"	1887	3 x 3	15	18	19 8	20 11	22 5	23 7	3 1/2
White Spruce— <i>Picea alba</i>	Light sandy loam.....	1889	5 x 5	15	13 2	14 2	15 1	15 7	16 5	3 1/2
"	"	1889	10 x 10	15	14	14 10	15 6	16 6	17 8	2 1/2
Norway Spruce— <i>Picea excelsa</i>	"	1889	5 x 5	18	15 11	17 2	18 7	19 1	20 1	3
"	"	1889	10 x 10	18	20 1	22	23 3	24 9	25 5	4 1/2
"	Clay loam.....	1888	10 x 5	15	23 4	25 7	27 4	29 3	30 4	5
"	Low sandy loam and black mud.....	1888	10 x 5	15	23 11	25 11	27 7	29 11	30 11	6
American Arbor-vite— <i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Low sandy loam.....	1889	5 x 5	18	15	16 5	17 2	18 2	18 11	3 1/2
"	"	1889	10 x 10	18	13 9	14 10	15 6	16 1	17 3	3 1/2
European Larch— <i>Larix europaea</i>	"	1888	5 x 5	2	26 10	28	28 11	30 4	31 10	4
White Pine— <i>Pinus Strobus</i>	Light sandy loam with gravel	1888	10 x 10	2	27 6	28 5	28 9	29 7	31 2	5 1/2
"	"	1889	5 x 5	8 to 10 in.	22 9	24 6	26 1	26 10	28 11	4 1/2
"	"	1889	10 x 10	8 to 10 in.	21	22 7	24 5	26	27 9	6 1/2

NOTE: The low sandy soil in which the Black Walnut and Butternut are growing appears quite unsuitable and the trees are almost at a standstill. The light sandy soil in which some of the White Spruce are is not very suitable nor is the sandy loam where the White Elm are growing. These trees have all made much better growth in other soils.

ARBORETUM AND BOTANIC GARDEN.

Notwithstanding the severe winter of 1903-4 there were not many more trees and shrubs winter killed than usual, owing to the deep snow which protected the roots and the lower part of the trunk, but the killing back of the trees was severer than in other years. Further additions were made to the collection of trees and shrubs and to the herbaceous perennials. Of trees and shrubs 343 specimens representing 291 species and varieties were added, making the total number of species and varieties of trees and shrubs alive 3,132 when winter set in. The addition to the collection of herbaceous perennials was not large this year, but there are over 400 species and varieties available for planting next spring. A bulletin on herbaceous perennials is being prepared.

In the following table will be found a list of the genera of trees and shrubs in the Arboretum, with the number of species and varieties of each genus alive in the autumn of 1904.

No. of species and varieties alive in Arboretum, 1904.	Name of Genus.	No. of species and varieties alive in Arboretum, 1904.	Name of Genus.
4	Acanthopanax.	50	Clematis—Virgin's Bower.
122	Acer—Maple.	4	Clethra—Sweet Pepperbush.
2	Actinidia.	1	Cocculus.
58	Aesculus—Horse Chestnut—Buckeye.	10	Colutea.
3	Akebia.	38	Cornus—Dogwood.
33	Ainus—Alder.	16	Corylus—Hazel-nut, Filbert.
8	Amelanchier—June-berry.	18	Cotoneaster.*
17	Amorpha—False Indigo.	116	Crataegus—Hawthorn.
1	Andrachne.	26	Cytisus—Broom.
3	Andromeda.	4	Daphne.
1	Aphananthe.	1	Decumaria.
5	Aralia.	29	Deutzia.
1	Aralidium.	31	Diervilla—Weigela.
1	Arctostaphylos.	2	Diospyros—Persimmon.
2	Aristolochia—Birthwort.	9	Elaeagnus—Olive.
5	Artemisia—Southernwood.	1	Ephedra.
1	Asimina—North American Papaw.	5	Erica—Heath.
1	Atrophaxis.	29	Euonymus—Spindle Tree.
1	Baccharis—Groundsel-tree.	1	Exochorda.
75	Berberis—Barberry.	7	Fagus—Beech.
1	Berchemia.	1	Fatsia.
42	Betula—Birch.	1	Fendlera.
1	Brcussonetia—Paper Mulberry.	6	Fontanesia.
4	Buddleia.	1	Forestiera.
1	Bupleurum.	10	Forsythia—Golden Bell.
1	Bruckenthalia.	86	Fraxinus—Ash.
1	Buxus—Box.	6	Genista—Green-weed.
1	Caesalpinia.	1	Grewia.
1	Calluna—Heather.	10	Gleditschia—Honey Locust.
2	Calycanthus—Carolina Allspice.	2	Gymnocladus—Kentucky Coffee Tree.
26	Caragana—Siberian Pea Tree.	2	Halesia—Silver-bell Tree.
14	Carpinus—Hornbeam.	2	Halimodendron.
1	Cassandra.	2	Hamamelis—Witch Hazel.
3	Carya—Hickory.	1	Hedysarum.
5	Castanea—Chestnut.	2	Hippophae—Sea Buckthorn.
11	Catalpa.	8	Hydrangea.
4	Ceanothus—New Jersey Tea.	9	Hypericum—St. John's Wort.
3	Celastrus—Shrubby Bitter-Sweet.	6	Ilex—Holly.
5	Celtis—Hackberry.	1	Itea.
1	Cephalanthus—Button Bush.	1	Indigofera.
1	Cercidiphyllum—Katsura Tree.	1	Jamesia.
1	Cercis—Redbud.	19	Juglans—Walnut, Butternut.
1	Cercocarpus.	1	Kalmia—American Laurel.
1	Chionanthus—Fringe-Tree.	2	Kerria.
2	Cladrastis—Yellow-wood.	1	Kolreuteria.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

No. of species and varieties alive in Arboretum, 1904.	Name of Genus.	No. of species and varieties alive in Arboretum, 1904.	Name of Genus.
1	Laburnum.	26	Robinia—Locust-tree.
1	Ledum—Labrador Tea.	82	Rosa—Rose.
3	Lespedeza.	36	Rubus—Raspberry, Blackberry, Dewberry.
2	Leucothoe.	157	Salix—Willow.
24	Ligustrum—Privet.	41	Sambucus—Elder.
1	Lindera—Wild Allspice.	1	Schizandra.
2	Liriodendron—Tulip Tree.	1	Securinega.
107	Lonicera—Honeysuckle.	6	Sophora.
9	Lycium—Matrimony Vine.	85	Spiræa—Meadow-Sweet.
1	Lyonia.	5	Staphylea—Bladder-Nut.
3	Magnolia.	2	Stephanandra.
1	Menispermum—Moonseed.	2	Styrax.
14	Morus—Mulberry.	13	Symphoricarpus—Snowberry.
5	Myrica—Bayberry.	1	Symplocos.
1	Nandina.	162	Syringa—Lilac.
2	Myricaria.	8	Tamarix—Tamarisk.
7	Neillia—Ninebark.	2	Tecoma—Trumpet Flower.
1	Nemopanthus—Mountain Holly.	37	Tilia—Linden, Basswood.
1	Neviusia.	92	Ulmus—Elm.
1	Nuttallia.	2	Vaccinium—Cranberry, Blueberry, Bilberry, Huckleberry.
1	Nyssa—Pepperidge—Sour Gum.	29	Viburnum—Arrow-wood.
2	Ostrya—Hop Hornbeam—Iron-wood.	1	Vitex.
1	Ononis.	32	Vitis—Grape, Virginian Creeper, Ivy.
1	Ostryopsis.	9	Wistaria.
1	Oxydendron—Sorrel Tree—Sour Wood.	1	Xanthorrhiza—Shrub—Yellow-root.
1	Pachysandra.	1	Xanthoxylum—Prickly Ash.
2	Paeonia—Paony.	4	Yucca.
1	Paliurus—Christ Thorn.	4	Zelkova.
1	Parrotia.		
1	Peraphyllum.		
1	Periploca.		
3	Phellodendron.		
62	Philadelphus—Mock Orange—Syringa.		
5	Photinia.		
1	Pirrasma.		
9	Platanus—Plane Tree—Buttonwood.		
51	Populus—Poplar.		
5	Potentilla—Cinque-foil.		
138	Prunus—Almond, Peach, Apricot Plum, Cherry.		
8	Ptelea—Wafer Ash.		
3	Pterocarya.		
1	Pterostyrax.		
217	Pyrus—Pear, Apple, Mountain Ash, Quince, Medlar.		
28	Quercus—Oak.		
27	Rhamnus—Buckthorn.		
6	Rhododendron.		
1	Rhodotypos.		
14	Rhus—Sumach.		
57	Ribes—Currant, Gooseberry.		
		3,132	Total number of species and varieties alive autumn of 1904.
		180	Genera.

CONIFERS.

36	Abies—Fir.
2	Cedrus—Cedar.
40	Cupressus—Cypress.
4	Ginkgo—Maiden-hair Tree.
66	Juniperus—Juniper.
7	Larix—Larch—Tamarack.
75	Picea—Spruce.
45	Pinus—Pine.
1	Pseudolarix.
3	Pseudotsuga.
2	Taxodium—Bald Cypress.
12	Taxus—Yew.
67	Thuya—Arbor Vitæ.
5	Tsuga—Hemlock.

REPORT OF THE CHEMIST.

(FRANK T. SHUTT, M.A., F.I.C., F.S.C., F.R.S.C.)

OTTAWA, December 1, 1904.

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director, Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith the eighteenth annual report of the Chemical Division of the Experimental Farms.

Though much of a new and interesting character will be found in the results here presented, the investigations undertaken during the past season have for the most part been similar in nature to those of former years. More or less assistance has been rendered in all the branches of agriculture and, as far as possible, the more important problems affecting the farming interests of the various provinces of the Dominion have received attention and study.

In addition to the work here recorded, we have examined a large number of samples of an agricultural nature received from farmers.

Help also of a direct character has been given the individual through correspondence—an important branch of the work of the division.

Addresses have been delivered at several of the larger agricultural conventions in Ontario and Quebec, the following titles indicating the character of the matters discussed:—

‘The Economic Maintenance of Soil Fertility.’

‘The Importance of Clover as a Source of Humus and Nitrogen.’

‘The Control of Soil Moisture in Orchards.’

‘The “Cover” Crop and Cultivation; their Relative Importance in the Management of Orchard Soils.’

‘The composition of Concentrated Feed Stuffs as sold in Canada.’

‘The Factors which Control the Moisture Content of Butter.’

‘The Changes in Honey on Storage in a Damp Atmosphere.’

Tour in British Columbia.—At the special request of the Provincial Government, two months were spent in visiting the more important agricultural areas of British Columbia. An account of this interesting and instructive tour will be found at the conclusion of the accompanying report.

Soils.—A number of virgin soils from British Columbia have been submitted to careful analysis. These include representative samples from Kingcome Inlet, Capecott, Balfour and Kualt. A soil from New Liskeard (New Ontario) and one from the Peace River district have also been examined and are now reported upon.

In addition to these, we have received a large number of soils from farmers all over the Dominion. These have not received complete analysis—and consequently do not find a place in this report. From a preliminary examination and the determination of certain elements of fertility we have endeavoured to draw conclusions regarding the rational treatment of these soils, and these particulars we trust have proved of value to those sending the soils.

Control of Soil Moisture.—Further experiments have been conducted, in the orchards of the experimental farms at Ottawa and Nappan. The results are well in accord with those of our former researches and at the same time serve to emphasize certain important features in soil management which had not hitherto been investigated.

Fodders and Feeding Stuffs.—During the past three seasons an investigation has been carried on with the object of determining the amount of dry matter, protein, &c., as produced per acre by Indian corn sown in hills and drills, respectively. This work is now reported upon.

Analysis of Rape ensilage and ensilage of mixed Rape and Corn are given and the values of these new succulent fodders discussed.

A considerable number of milling products, meat meals, and stock foods have been examined during the past year. These analyses now constitute an important branch of our work, owing to the many new products and condimental foods being constantly put upon the market.

Materials of Fertilizing Value.—These include samples of wood-ashes, ashes from muck, &c., &c., received from farmers in various parts of Canada. The results obtained on the more important of these are here given and briefly discussed.

Sugar Beets.—We have determined the amounts of plant food withdrawn from the soil by this crop as grown for factory purposes. The results show the nitrogen, phosphoric acid, potash, and lime contained in the roots, crowns and leaves, respectively, in the beet at three stages of growth, and may serve as a guide in the rational manuring of this crop.

The richness and purity of the varieties, Vilmorin's Improved, Klein Wanzleben and Très Riche—probably the three best for factory purposes—as grown on the several Experimental Farms of the Dominion, have been ascertained and tabulated.


Roots.—A continuance into the inquiry respecting the amounts of dry matter and sugar furnished by the different classes of farm roots has been made. The data will be found of interest and value to all farmers growing roots for feeding purposes.

The Effect of Rust on the Straw and Grain of Wheat.—This research was undertaken by reason of the prevalence of rust in the wheat fields of certain districts in Manitoba. It has shown clearly that the rust arrests development of the wheat plant, resulting more particularly in a straw of greater feeding value than that of the normally mature wheat, and in a very much shrivelled kernel, slightly richer in albuminoids than in the plump grain from rust-free wheat.

Well Waters from Farm Homesteads.—Analyses have been made, from the hygienic standpoint, of about 100 samples of well waters from farms, creameries and cheese factories, and reports in detail sent to those forwarding the waters. The tabulated results here given are accompanied by a very brief conclusion as to the quality of the supply. Those desiring to avail themselves of the privilege extended by the experimental farms in this matter should write for a copy of the instructions which it is necessary to closely follow in the collection and shipment of water for analysis.

Intimately connected with the matter of a good water supply is that of an effective drainage system. We have accordingly given an account of the Septic Tank, which, we consider, practically solves the problem of the safe disposal of the sewage of the rural home.

Correspondence.—The letters directed to this division from November 30, 1903, to December 1, 1904, in addition to those referred to us by the other departments of the farm, numbered 1,284; those sent out, 1,251.



KERNELS OF RUSTED WHEAT.

(By Frank T. Shutt.)
KERNELS OF RUST-FREE WHEAT.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Samples Received for Analysis.—Subjoined will be found, in classified form, an enumeration of the samples received from farmers for analysis.

SAMPLES received for Examination and Report.

November 30, 1903, to December 1, 1904:

Samples.	British Columbia.	North-west Territories.	Manitoba.	Ontario.	Quebec.	New Brunswick.	Nova Scotia.	Prince Edward Island.	Total.	Number still awaiting examination.
Soils.....	16	23	139	5	1	68	1	253	38
Muds, mucks and marls.....	2	2	3	1	6	2	16	11
Manure and fertilizers.....	2	7	5	1	5	1	21	5
Forage plants and fodders.....	2	8	8	65	8	4	10	3	108	2
Well waters.....	7	20	3	31	15	15	7	2	100
Miscellaneous, including dairy products, fungicides and insecticides..	12	1	2	69	10	2	5	2	103	26
	41	52	13	313	46	24	101	11	601	82

Acknowledgments.—It is again my pleasure to publicly record my sincere thanks to Mr. A. T. Charron, M.A., Assistant Chemist, and Mr. H. W. Charlton, B.A.Sc., Second Assistant Chemist, who have so well and faithfully performed the tasks allotted to them during the past year. The work of the Chemical Division has very materially increased in all its branches, and necessarily a very large portion of it falls upon these gentlemen. In this work they have manifested an enthusiastic interest, performing their duties with skill and industry. It is for this hearty co-operation that my thanks are particularly due.

I also desire to tender my thanks to Mr. J. E. Watson, who has again performed to my perfect satisfaction the large amount of clerical work in connection with the Division.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

FRANK T. SHUTT,
Chemist, Dominion Experimental Farms.

SOIL INVESTIGATIONS.

BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Cape Scott, Vancouver Island.—This sample was forwarded by Mr. J. R. Anderson, Deputy Minister of Agriculture, Victoria, B.C., who furnished the following information: 'A virgin soil with a depth of 2 to 4 feet, underlaid by a hardpan. This is representative of nearly all the soil on the north-east end of Vancouver Island, except on some small river bottoms. It is lightly timbered with hemlock, cedar, pine, and the soil is covered with moss.'

Surface Soil.—Judging from its appearance, this is very largely vegetable matter and might be rightly classed as peat, or more properly speaking, swamp muck. Though

rich in nitrogen, such soils do not contain this element in a readily assimilable form. The chief disadvantages of soils of this character for farm crops, however, generally lie in the very small proportions of sand and clay they contain and their deficiency in the mineral constituents of plant food—lime, phosphoric acid and potash and, further, their acid or sour character also renders them unfavourable for many crops.

Analysis of (air-dried) Soil.

Moisture.	5'26
Organic and volatile matter.	81'55
Insoluble residue (clay and sand).	10'65
Oxide of iron and alumina.	2'38
Lime.	'48
Magnesia.	'16
Potash.	'06
Phosphoric acid.	'09
	<hr/>
	100'63
	<hr/>
Nitrogen, in organic matter.	1'65

The soil as received was strongly acid, and on drying by exposure became extremely hard and refractory.

The above data are in close accord with those we have obtained from swamp black mucks collected in various parts of the Dominion, and clearly indicate that the remarks already made regarding their characteristics and faults are strictly applicable to the soil under consideration.

The general treatment for their reclamation and improvement may be outlined as follows:—

Drainage.—This should be as thorough as possible. The removal of all free stagnant water results in the aeration of the soil, the correction of its sourness and the improvement of its mechanical condition by causing it to become more friable or compact.

Admixture with Subsoil.—Whenever the depth of the surface soil will allow the plough to reach the subsoil, there should be a certain admixture of the underlying stratum with the muck. This will serve to improve the latter, both mechanically and chemically. Where this plan is not feasible by reason of the great depth of the surface soil it would be advisable to dress heavily with sand or clay, or better, a mixture of both. Unfortunately, the expense of this latter plan prevents its general adoption.

Fertilizers.—Muck soils, as already remarked, are rich in humus and nitrogen; nevertheless, for a season or two until the soil 'sweetens' and nitrification ensues, dressings of barnyard manure will be found of value in encouraging growth by applying immediately available nitrogen.

The chief requirements of such soils are, however, the mineral constituents of plant food. If wood ashes are obtainable no better fertilizer could be recommended, as they supply lime, potash and phosphoric acid. An application of 50 to 80 bushels per acre, harrowed in, should have a marked effect upon the crop. A dressing of lime, simply, will also be of great value (say, 40 bushels per acre), though it should, if possible, be supplemented by potash and phosphoric acid in one or other of the above forms. Marl, a natural deposit of carbonate of lime, frequently found in connection and underlying peat or muck, is very useful for such soils as we are considering. A heavy dressing of 'gas lime' has been found valuable for such soil. Basic (Thomas') slag will, I believe, be found very useful for such soils. It presents phosphoric acid

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

associated with lime in an alkaline form, and, therefore, particularly adapted for sour, peaty soils. It might be tried at the rate of 300 to 500 lbs. per acre, together with 100 to 200 lbs. of muriate of potash.

In all this work it should be the aim not to bury the fertilizer, but to keep it in the surface few inches of the soil. It will naturally and of its own accord tend to sink.

Crops.—There are few crops that will give lucrative yields on muck soils unless the latter received some treatment as already outlined. Probably Timothy succeeds best of all on the crude muck. Neither roots nor cereals can be considered as naturally adapted to such soils, but several may be grown with profit after the lacking mineral elements have been supplied. Potatoes, mangels, oats and Indian corn have all done fairly well under such improved conditions.

Subsoil.—This is of the nature of a 'hard pan,' consisting chiefly of compacted sand. It, nevertheless, contains some organic matter and nitrogen, as is shown by the following partial analysis.

Analysis of (air-dried) Subsoil.

Moisture.. . . .	2'99
Organic and volatile matter.. . . .	11'07
Sand and other rock matter.. . . .	85'04
	<hr/>
	100'00
	<hr/>
Nitrogen.. . . .	1'23

This subsoil is not, unfortunately, rich in lime or phosphoric acid, but this should not prevent its judicious admixture with the surface soil wherever possible, for such would undoubtedly enhance the crop-producing power of the latter.

Kingscome Inlet, B.C.—A dark-gray loam of granular texture, in which the comparatively large amount of organic matter is intimately incorporated with the silt and fine sand which form the mineral basis of the soil. Laboratory trials go to show that the mechanical or physical condition of this soil is excellent and that it would be suitable for the majority of farm crops.

Our correspondent, in forwarding the soil, furnishes the following particulars: This is representative of the soil in this valley. The soil has been dyked and cultivated for seven years. Occasionally, perhaps once a year, the tide will overflow the dyke. The soil is underdrained with cedar drains and the water does not lie on the land. The climate here is decidedly wet, for the rainfall is a heavy one, but there is no record of it. Please advise me as to the best fertilizer to use.

Analysis of (air-dried) Soil.

Moisture.. . . .	1'70
Organic and volatile matter.. . . .	10'43
Insoluble matter (sand, &c.).. . . .	73'82
Oxide of iron and alumina.. . . .	13'15
Lime.. . . .	25
Magnesia	?
Potash.. . . .	64
Phosphoric acid.. . . .	26

100'25

Nitrogen.. . . .	369
Available potash.. . . .	0188
“ phosphoric acid.. . . .	0185
“ lime.. . . .	088

The foregoing results are indicative of great crop producing power. The soil contains an abundance of organic matter rich in nitrogen and the mineral elements of plant food—and especially potash—are for the most part present in amounts equal to those in many of our finest and most fertile soils. It may further be stated that the percentages of potash and phosphoric acid in an ‘available’ condition are considerably above the average.

What perhaps might be termed a weakness of this soil is its small lime content. This fact, in conjunction with the slight, but distinct acid reaction of the soil, leads me to suggest an application of lime, wood-ashes or basic slag as most probably the treatment which above all would give increased crop yields. It is essential, however, that the drainage be made as effective as possible.

Lime might be applied at the rate of 25 to 40 bushels per acre; wood-ashes, 35 to 50 bushels, and basic slag, 300 to 500 pounds per acre. The latter fertilizer, owing to its alkalinity, would, I think, on this soil be a more suitable form in which to furnish lime and phosphoric acid than superphosphate, which is an acid form of phosphoric acid. From the standpoint of economy, it might be advisable to give lime a trial before investing in the more expensive basic slag.

Special examination was made for salt, as it was stated that the tide occasionally overflowed the land, but the amount found, 0.023 per cent, was so small that its presence could not be considered as at all injurious to crops.

Kualt, on Shuswap Lake, near Salmon Arm.—‘Virgin’ soil from the south side of mountain (Notch Hill) with a lake on the east side of it. It is somewhat elevated but can be irrigated if necessary.

This is a light-grey sandy loam, and having the appearance of being deficient in humus.

Analysis of (air-dried) Soil.

Moisture.. . . .	132
Organic and volatile matter.. . . .	357
Insoluble residue (chiefly sand).. . . .	8896
Oxide of iron and alumina.. . . .	530
Lime.. . . .	27
Magnesia.. . . .	22
Potash.. . . .	15
Phosphoric acid.. . . .	82
	<hr/>
	10061
Nitrogen.. . . .	051
Available phosphoric acid.. . . .	268
Available potash.. . . .	011

The data makes it evident that the want here is organic matter (humus) and concomitant nitrogen, and we have again to advise the growth and ploughing under of green crops (preferably clover or some other legume) to supplement the available supply of farm manures.

Probably the application of immediately available nitrogen (as in nitrate of soda) will be necessary to induce a vigorous growth until the soil, by the means just advocated, becomes richer in this element.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The soil appears to be remarkably rich in phosphoric acid, and analysis further shows that a considerable proportion is available for plant growth. Judging, therefore, from the present results, an application of a phosphatic fertilizer would not be profitable.

Though the available potash is not very low, the 'total' potash is considerably less than that in average productive soils. It is probable, therefore, that in time potash fertilizers would prove useful.

The lime-content is by no means large and we should, therefore, expect that applications of this element from time to time would be beneficial.

Balfour, 17 miles east of Nelson, West Kootenay, B.C.—'A bench soil, naturally drained, as yet uncropped and unmanured, from 6 to 10 inches deep and overlying a subsoil of white sand, which again rests on gravel. The sample is stated to be representative of at least 1,200 acres.'

The sample forwarded had been taken *in situ* to a depth of 14 inches. The upper 6 inches were considered as representing the surface soil and accordingly the soil to that depth was separated and prepared for analysis. Speaking generally, from an inspection, the soil would be considered as extremely light and sandy and poor in humus, especially below four inches. It had a distinctly acid reaction. The nature of the vegetation on the soil—chiefly mosses—afforded further evidence as to the need of aeration and neutralization.

In a letter to Mr. J. R. Anderson, Deputy Minister of Agriculture, Victoria, B.C., (through whom the soil was submitted) the farmer says: 'The oats and corn that I sowed last spring did not amount to anything, but I am told that all it requires is working. It is said here that the first year or two very little of anything will grow, but that afterwards—when it had become sweet—the soil will give good returns.'

Analysis of (air-dried) Soil.

	Per cent.
Moisture.....	1'04
Volatile and organic matter.....	3'15
Insoluble matter (sand, &c.).....	84'27
Oxide of iron and alumina.....	9'94
Lime.....	'47
Magnesia.....	'39
Potash.....	'21
Phosphoric acid.....	'60
	<hr/> 100'07
Nitrogen.....	'045
Available potash.....	'008
Available phosphoric acid.....	'075
Available lime.....	'033

The analytical data support the deduction made from the appearance of this soil to its poverty in organic matter and nitrogen. In both these constituents the percentages are considerably below those in soils of average productiveness, pointing to the desirability of organic manures for the improvement of the soil, both chemically and physically. Green manures, obtained preferably through the growth of one or more of the legumes, are advised in order to supplement the store of farmyard manure. Nitrogen for immediate crop use may be supplied by small and repeated dressings of nitrate of soda or sulphate of ammonia during the early part of the growing season.

Our results would go to show that it is very well supplied with phosphoric acid. In the 'total' and 'available' are considerably above the average and we should expect, therefore, a phosphatic fertilizer to be necessary.

The possibilities are strong that the soil will respond to applications of lime and potash. For this, no better fertilizer could be found than wood ashes. If such are not obtainable, potash may be used in the form of kainit or of muriate of potash, and lime—or some compound of lime—as marl or gas lime, as circumstances allow, employed.

PEACE RIVER DISTRICT.

This soil was collected by Mr. James M. Macoun, of the Geological Survey of Canada, during his exploration in the Peace River district in 1903. The analysis was made in order to obtain chemical evidence as to the quality of the land, the results to accompany the report of Mr. Macoun on the agricultural possibilities of that district.

The samples (soil and subsoil) were taken near Saskatoon on Serviceberry lake at the west end of the Grande prairie, Lat. 55° 15', Long. 119° 11'.

Surface Soil.—A heavy clay loam, but containing a small percentage of fine sand. Black or very dark brownish-black, from presence of humus (vegetable matter). As received, in the air-dried condition, it was in lumps and powder, the former, while not readily friable, could not be considered refractory. It had all the appearance of a fertile loam, and one that would prove suitable for the majority of farm crops, provided it were deep enough.*

It was found to have a very slightly acid reaction. Tested for 'alkali,' only traces of common salt were found, though careful search for injurious sodium and magnesium compounds was made. A qualitative examination for lime, showed that the soil was by no means deficient in this element. A partial analysis of the air-dried sample furnished the following data:—

	Per cent.
Moisture.	3'44
Organic and volatile matter.	11'82
Nitrogen.	1'71

We have in these results ample and emphatic evidence of the richness of this soil in humus compounds and nitrogen, equalling in these respects much of the fertile prairie soil of Manitoba and the North-west Territories. Time has not allowed any determination of the potash and phosphoric acid, but judging from past experience with soils of a similar humus and nitrogen content, this soil in all probability is well supplied with these constituents.

Subsoil.—This, as received, was in hard, exceedingly refractory lumps, of a greyish colour. Though in appearance and texture it was of an undesirable nature for mixing with the surface soil, analysis showed it to contain notable amounts of organic matter and nitrogen. The data are as follows:—

	Per cent.
Moisture.	3'42
Organic and volatile matter.	8'01
Nitrogen.	1'74

The general deductions that I am enabled to make from this preliminary examination are that the soil is by no means wanting in the elements of fertility, the chief drawback being its reported shallowness. With good drainage, careful culture—particularly avoiding all working of the soil when wet—and favourable climatic conditions, it should prove a strong, productive soil, quite capable of yielding remunerative crops.

* Mr. Macoun states that the surface soil is but 3 or 4 inches thick, resting without any gradual transition on the heavy plastic subsoil of clay.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ONTARIO.

New Liskeard, Nipissing District.—A yellowish-red, coarse-grained, sandy loam, showing a fair amount of root fibre and underlaid by clay at a depth of from 6 inches to 2 feet. This soil, our correspondent states, has never been cropped, manured or burnt over and is covered with 'spruce, cedar, red pine, and cyprus.' 'Possibly the area covered by this soil is 20 square miles.'

From an inspection of the soil one would judge it to be deficient in humus and apt, in seasons of drought, to rapidly dry out.

Analysis of (air-dried) Soil.

	Per cent.
Moisture.	1'45
Organic and volatile matter.	4'57
Insoluble matter (sand, &c.)	84'97
Oxide of iron and alumina.	7'74
Lime	'36
Magnesia	'55
Potash	'10
Phosphoric acid	'10
Undetermined.	'16
	<hr/> 100'00
Nitrogen	<hr/> 0'72

These results indicate that in all the essential elements of plant food—nitrogen, phosphoric acid, potash and lime—this soil is considerably below the average of our productive virgin soils.

Whether it will prove profitable to work such a soil as a farm is certainly doubtful, but that can only be definitely determined by actual trial. The possibilities, however, are that it would yield a larger return in timber, if carefully husbanded and managed according to the principles of forestry. Such soils as the one under consideration may, of course, be improved, and made to give fairly good yields if the requisite amount of plant food be supplied. They are responsive and under favourable climatic conditions with a sufficiency of manure are to a certain degree suitable for potatoes, hay and oats, and perhaps a few other crops. But it must be remembered that soils such as the one under consideration rapidly deteriorate when worked (owing to loss of humus) unless continually replenished with organic matter from one source or another. It seems, therefore, desirable, if employed agriculturally, to use them largely for grazing or to adopt such a rotation as will every few years give the soil a crop of clover or some other legume, and thus keep up the store of humus and nitrogen.

Shallow ploughing should be adopted for a number of years, in order to make practicable the enrichment of the upper few inches of the surface soil. The drainage is possibly good, but if not, it should be made so. The turning under of clover or pease, in addition to the application of such barnyard manure as is available cannot be too strongly recommended, and wood-ashes or a mixed fertilizer containing phosphoric acid and potash, could no doubt be used to advantage to supply the necessary mineral elements.

FERTILIZERS AND AMENDMENTS.

WOOD ASHES FROM SAW-MILLS AT PORT MOODY, B.C.

We have in a former publication (see p. 156, Report, 1901) endeavoured to correct the impression prevalent in parts of British Columbia that there is but little fertilizing

value in the ash of the soft woods—Douglas fir, cedar, &c.—grown in that province, and we have ventured the opinion from the examination of many soils, both on Vancouver Island and on the mainland, that the application of such ashes would be found to give a good return, more particularly on the sandy and peaty loams. It is of interest, therefore, to insert the following analysis of a sample of such ashes recently made in the Farm laboratory.

The correspondent forwarding the ashes says: 'The sample of ash is from the saw-mill at Port Moody. There are many tons lying out in the yard and thought to be useless. We in this vicinity, as fruit-growers and gardeners, wish to know what fertilizing value it may have. It is principally the ash from slabs of fir, with some cedar taken from booms out of the salt water. You will confer a great favour on us here by your earliest reply.'

Analysis of Ashes.

Moisture.	82
Potash	1'91
Phosphoric acid	1'76
Carbonate of lime	36'55

Though not equal, as regards potash, to hard wood ashes, I should certainly consider these ashes as a valuable fertilizer, especially in conjunction with farm manures or clover turned under. In addition to the potash and phosphoric acid they contain, there is a notable amount of carbonate of lime present—and this fact alone would make the ashes valuable for the soils already referred to. The probability is, from what our correspondent says regarding the storage of these ashes, that they are partially leached and have thus lost a considerable proportion of their most important element—potash. We are inclined to think that with a little care and protection, such ashes should contain at least between 3 per cent and 4 per cent of potash. The use of wood ashes may be specially recommended for all classes of fruits, for vegetables and other leafy crops, and for the encouragement of vigorous growth in clover.

LEACHED WOOD ASHES.

Occasionally we are in receipt of inquiries regarding the value of leached wood ashes. This value, we have pointed out, will be dependant upon the extent to which leaching has occurred. In the following data we present the results obtained from a sample of such ashes, and they go to show the disastrous effect of exposure as regards the potash content.

Analysis.

Moisture.	2'18
Charcoal (loss on ignition).	26'59
Mineral matter (soluble in acid).	54'92
“ “ (insoluble in acid).	16'31
	100'00

Potash, soluble in water. 24

It is evident that these ashes have been very thoroughly leached, and are of very little value as far as potash is concerned. There will, of course, be a certain amount of phosphoric acid present, probably between 1 per cent and 2 per cent. The greater part of the mineral matter 'soluble in acid' is lime, or, rather, carbonate of lime. For land needing lime, such ashes would be useful, and the price that the farmer or fruit grower should give for them should be estimated entirely from that point of view.

This sample was forwarded from 'an old ashery near St. Catharines, Ont., that has not been disturbed for many years.' We think this is an extreme case of leaching,

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

but it certainly furnishes a marked illustration of the loss that ashes may suffer through want of proper protection from rain. In former samples of leached ashes examined in the Farm laboratory, we have usually found between 2 per cent and 4 per cent potash.

ASH OF ROCK MAPLE.

A sample of ash from rock maple, forwarded by Mr. James L. Matheson, Dundas, P.E.I., furnished the following data:—

Analysis.

	Per cent.
Moisture..	24
Organic and volatile matter (chiefly charcoal)..	12'68
Insoluble residue (clay and sand)..	1'32
Potash..	12'46
Lime..	42'46
Phosphoric acid..	2'95

Our correspondent, in forwarding these ashes, says:—‘These were obtained from the Rock maple and are much lighter in colour than those usually seen here. We are interested to know how they compare in fertilizing value with ordinary hardwood ashes.’

Good samples of commercial wood ashes will contain, as a rule, from 5 per cent to 6 per cent potash, and from 1'5 per cent to 2 per cent phosphoric acid. It is thus seen that the sample under consideration is much superior, as regards its most valuable element, potash.

We have frequently in our publications called attention to the fertilizing value of wood ashes, especially as a source of potash. Without unnecessarily repeating what has been said as to the composition of ashes and the crops for which they are best suited, it may be advantageous to point out that while the commercial value of ashes will depend upon the potash and phosphoric acid content, the manurial value will be considerably higher. The presence of a large amount of lime, the mild alkalinity of the ash, the particular combinations in which two elements of plant food are held, are all, undoubtedly, factors that enhance the value of wood ashes as a fertilizer. In other words, the benefits derived from their use include, in addition to the supplying of mineral plant food, the correction of sourness, the conversion of injurious iron compounds into harmless forms, the encouragement of nitrification, and the general improvement of the tilth of the soil. It has frequently been noticed that soil to which ashes have been applied is much better able to resist the injurious effect of a protracted drought than adjoining land which has not been so treated.

ASHES FROM MUCK.

Two samples of ashes obtained by the burning of muck in heaps, were forwarded by Mr. James Hopgood, West Cape, P.E.I., who writes: ‘These ashes were made by burning soft wood stumps and covering over with partially dried-out muck. The bulk of the ashes is like No. 1, dark-grey and heavy. There is, however, a fair proportion of No. 2, which is light in character and almost white. Do you think it is worth while to go to any expense in making these ashes?’

Analysis.

	No. 1.	No. 2.
Moisture..	77	3'02
Insoluble matter (clay, sand, &c.)..	77'83	73'55
Oxide of iron and alumina..	7'46	3'89
Lime..	6'40	7'00
Potash..	30	51
Phosphoric acid..	39	57

In No. 1, there is a very large proportion of sand; in No. 2, the chief constituent is silica—also valueless as plant food.

Though undoubtedly possessing a certain fertilizing value, both these ashes are decidedly inferior to wood ashes. The potash and phosphoric acid are not present in amounts larger than those found in most fertile soils, but they are possibly in a more available condition. The lime would prove beneficial for certain soils, but we do not think the data warrant any great expense in obtaining the ashes. No. 2, is the much more valuable ash, as will be evident from the larger percentages of potash and phosphoric acid.

The most valuable fertilizing constituent of muck is nitrogen, and the next in importance is the organic matter. Both of these are lost in burning the muck, and for this reason we counsel composting by one or other of the methods outlined in our report for 1903.

ASHES FROM CARBIDE WORKS.

These so-called 'ashes' are described as 'the residue from the manufacture of the carbide' and were forwarded from the carbide factory at St. Catharines, Ont. They are not to be confused with the residue from the acetylene gas machine, (resulting from the action of water on carbide), which as we have repeatedly stated, is practically slacked lime. In the formation of carbide in the electrical furnace, the outside portion of the mixture is but imperfectly acted upon and it is this, we conclude, separated from the carbide, that constitutes these 'ashes.'

<i>Analysis.</i>	<i>Per cent.</i>
Moisture.	11'51
Loss on ignition (carbon and coke).	13'88
Residue, insoluble in acid.	5'86
Oxide of iron and alumina.	3'50
Lime (present partly as carbonate).	46'53
Potash.	12
Phosphoric acid.	slight traces.
Nitrogen.	1'02

As regards the mineral constituents of plant food, it is evident that this material can have no agricultural value, save for the lime it possesses. Phosphoric acid is absent, or practically so, and the potash is present in an amount less than that found in most fertile soils. Such ashes, however, are undoubtedly of value as an amendment for soils deficient in lime or requiring lime to correct sourness or improve their tilth.

The nitrogen is 1 per cent., or 20 lbs. per ton, and the question naturally presents itself as to its availability for plant use. To obtain information regarding this matter certain experiments were made, with the following results:—

1. Ten (10) grams of ashes, to which were added 500 cc. of water, were distilled with magnesia. This resulted in obtaining 11 per cent nitrogen. This shows that practically 10 per cent of the total nitrogen present exists in the form of ammonium salts, or in such a combination that under the conditions of the experiment ammonium compounds are formed. Distillation with water only gave 0'75 per cent nitrogen.

2. Ten (10) grams of the material were digested in the cold with 200 cc. water for two hours and filtered. 100 cc. of the filtrate were distilled after being made strongly alkaline with magnesia, but no ammonia was obtained. This proves that a part of the nitrogen exists either as free ammonia or as ammonium salts.

3. Two (2) grams were extracted in the cold with dilute sulphuric acid, filtered and filtrate made alkaline and distilled. Nitrogen amounting to 0'94 per cent was obtained.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

4. Hydrogen was passed over the ashes (5 grams) in a red-hot tube. The gas was conducted into dilute sulphuric acid, which was subsequently made alkaline and distilled. Nitrogen amounting to 0.72 per cent was obtained. Unfortunately the furnace at our disposal for this class of work is not very satisfactory as regards obtaining high temperatures, and it is probably owing to this fact that this experiment did not result in a larger percentage of nitrogen.

However, there seems to be no doubt that the nitrogen of the fresh material exists very largely, if not entirely, as calcium nitride. By paragraph 2, it will be seen that the absence of ammonium salts was proven.

On keeping the ashes, as in a bottle, it was found that a considerable amount of ammonia developed.

As to how soon such nitrogen might become available to plants we cannot at present say, but from the fact that ammonia is so readily formed in the presence of moisture, there seems a strong probability that this material may be found of some value as a nitrogenous fertilizer.

ASHES FROM INCINERATOR.

These are the product of the crematory or incinerator at Montreal. In forwarding them for analysis, the Hon. J. A. Ouimet writes: 'These ashes are from burnt garbage, &c. It is a matter of some importance for farmers and others in the neighbourhood to know what fertilizing value they may possess.'

As received, this sample consisted of fine ash mixed with a large proportion of cinders and clinkers, among which were observed many pieces of glass, crockery and unburnt coal. A few fragments of burnt bone were also noticed.

<i>Analysis.</i>	<i>Per cent.</i>
Moisture	45
Insoluble mineral matter	75.83
Lime	3.30
Phosphoric acid	1.03
Potash	44

A mechanical separation gave 66 per cent cinders, &c., and 34 per cent fine ash.

The fertilizing value of these ashes, it will be seen, is extremely small, being represented practically by the phosphoric acid, which the analysis shows to be in the neighbourhood of 1 per cent. We cannot, therefore, regard this waste product as of any importance from the manurial standpoint. It might, however, be used to advantage on heavy, plastic clays. Upon such, ashes of this character have an ameliorating effect by lightening and mellowing and otherwise beneficially affecting the mechanical condition of the soil.

CALCAREOUS DEPOSITS FROM BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Deposits of tufa-like appearance, with a semi-crystalline, more or less honey-combed structure, occur not infrequently in various parts of the country in or adjacent to the so-called dry belt of British Columbia. As a rule this material is reported as found in the valleys or canyons, apparently issuing from the hillside as a plastic mass, covering possibly a considerable area, and subsequently hardening by simple exposure. From an examination of one of the deposits made by the writer in the Nicola valley last summer, it is evidently formed by the evaporation of waters or springs highly charged with carbonate of lime, held in solution by carbonic acid. One correspondent writing respecting this deposit, says: 'As the growth of the vegetation in the immediate vicinity of the deposit is very vigorous, the material must be of some importance as fertilizer.'

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

At the request of Mr. J. R. Anderson, Deputy Minister of Agriculture, Victoria, B.C., we have analysed specimens collected at Enderby, Okanagan Mission, Nicola Lake and East Kootenay. There was a strong similarity between these samples; with the exception of the one from Okanagan, it would have been difficult to distinguish them the one from the other.

ANALYSIS of Calcareous Deposits.

Locality.	Carbonate of Lime.	Insol- uble Matter (Clay).	Oxide of Iron and Alumina.	Organic Mat- ter, Mag- nesia, &c.
	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.	p. c.
Enderby.....	94.14	.61	.60	4.65
Nicola Lake.....	95.71	.63	.86	3.00
East Kootenay.....	95.33	.35	.65	3.67
Okanagan Mission.....	70.75	3.40	18.93	6.92

They are all essentially carbonate of lime, the first three mentioned in the table being very similar in composition, and of excellent quality.

As this material is usually extremely hard, it would seem that in order to make it effective agriculturally, it would be necessary to crush or grind it to a powder. But most probably the best plan would be to burn it. The resulting lime would, I think, be found very serviceable, especially on the strong clay soils, as about Enderby and Armstrong. It would be valuable to low-lying and mucky soils, which as a rule are excessively rich in organic matter and nitrogen, but deficient in mineral matter constituents.

It is of interest to note that the analysis of a second sample of the Enderby deposit, forwarded after being burnt and allowed to air-slake, gave 90.23 per cent slaked lime and 1.33 per cent oxide of iron and alumina. Its quality was such that it could be well used for making concrete, and for other building purposes.

BONE FROM WHALE.

This sample consisted of two pieces of rib bone, dry and bleached by exposure. It was forwarded from East Leicester, N.S., and accompanied by the information that there were about 20 tons of the bones procurable in the locality at a cost of \$10 per ton. Our correspondent, with others, was anxious to learn how they compared with the ordinary bone meal on the market.

Analysis.

Moisture.....	7.41
Organic and volatile matter* (gelatine, fat, &c.) ..	35.95
Mineral matter (phosphate of lime, &c.) ..	56.64
	100.00
Phosphoric acid (equivalent to 47.33 per cent phosphate of lime).....	21.68
Nitrogen ..	2.98

Reference to the last issue of the bulletin on Commercial Fertilizers (Inland Revenue Department) shows that the bone meals upon the Canadian market contain between 2.7 per cent and 4.7 per cent nitrogen, and from 19.0 per cent to 26.0 per cent

* Containing 14.35 per cent fat.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

phosphoric acid. We may safely conclude, therefore, that as regards these essential elements, this bone does not differ in any marked degree from the bone meal generally sold, the price of which is in the neighbourhood of \$25 per ton.

It has not, however, the same agricultural value of bone meal, for two reasons: its unground condition and the presence of a considerable quantity of fat. The degree of fineness and the proportion of fat in a very large measure control the rapidity with which the bone is decomposed in the soil and its plant food liberated in available form; the finer the bone and the freer from fat, the more valuable it is from the standpoint of a fertilizer.

In the event of its being impracticable to have the bones ground or treated for conversion into superphosphate, it is suggested that the bones be broken and crushed, composted with barnyard manure, wood ashes or with alkali, according to one or other of the methods outlined for the reduction of bones on the farm and described in our report for 1895. Unbroken and untreated, the bones would be of very little immediate value as a fertilizer—they would probably remain for years in the soil with but little decomposition.

THE CONTROL OF SOIL MOISTURE.

Among the several factors that go towards successful orcharding three may be mentioned which are intimately connected: (1) the control of the soil's moisture at different seasons of the year, (2) the maintenance or increase of the fertility of the soil and its mechanical improvement, and, (3) the furnishing of 'cover' to protect the roots of the trees during the winter. By cultivation, followed by the growth of a cover crop, all these objects may be attained, but as soils and climatic conditions throughout the country are not the same, it will be evident that the plan—as regards periods to be under cultivation and under crop—best adapted to one locality may require modification before giving equally good results in another.

In order to test various modifications of this system as regards soil treatment, and to obtain information as to the fertilizing value of certain new crops or new combination of crops, experiments were begun a number of years ago on the Experimental Farm, Ottawa. The results of these investigations have appeared in the annual reports of the farms. In continuing this work during the past season, we have carried on experiments at Ottawa and at Nappan, N.S., the information sought being solely with regard to the control of the soil's moisture.

Experiments at the Experimental Farm, Ottawa, Ont.

Two series of experiments were instituted; the first, to ascertain the comparative effect of cultivation and mulching on the soil's moisture; the second, to learn the relative amounts of water withdrawn by certain crops sown broadcast and in drills, respectively—in the case of the drill-sown crop the cultivation was carried on between the rows as long as practicable.

Series I.—Consisted of 5 plots, A, B and C, adjoining one another; D and E also adjoining one another, but in a different part of the orchard from the first three named. The soil of one plot (A) was to be kept in 'clean culture' throughout the season and its moisture content, compared with that of a soil carrying a growing crop of Hairy Vetch, uncut (plot B), of a soil with Hairy Vetch cut and mulched (plots C and D), and of a soil with a crop of mixed clover and Timothy (E) cut and used as mulch.

Plot A was ploughed May 6, cultivated June 10, 25, July 25.

Plot B had been sown in 1903, with Hairy Vetch, which before the close of the season had practically covered the ground. The vetch survived the winter well and during the early part of the present (1904) season produced an excellent, though somewhat patchy, growth. Towards the end of July the crop began to die and it was cut August 5, to be saved for seed.

SERIES II.—CONSERVATION OF SOIL MOISTURE.—C. F. F., OTTAWA, ONT., 1904.

Date of Collection.	Rainfall.	Plot M. (Buckwheat, broadcast.)		Plot N. (Peas, in Drills.)		Plot O. (Hairy Vetch, broadcast.)		Plot P. (Hairy Vetch, in Drills.)		Plot Q. (Soja Beans, in Drills.)		Plot R. (Horse Beans, in Drills.)	
		Water.		Water.		Water.		Water.		Water.		Water.	
		Per cent	Per acre.	Per cent	Per acre.	Per cent	Per acre.	Per cent	Per acre.	Per cent	Per acre.	Per cent	Per acre.
			Tons. Lbs.		Tons. Lbs.		Tons. Lbs.		Tons. Lbs.		Tons. Lbs.		Tons. Lbs.
July 4	*1.41	11.20	258 1,630	8.86	199 969	8.42	188 1,334	11.77	273 1,488	11.91	277 881	11.45	265 678
" 18	.86	10.75	247 331	8.30	135 1,469	7.78	173 243	11.24	259 1,645	11.11	256 951	11.14	257 504
Aug. 1	1.81	10.81	248 1,421	10.45	229 922	10.19	232 1,654	13.31	315 2	13.51	320 1,068	12.77	300 813
" 16	.40	4.31	92 852	5.08	103 1,644	4.95	106 1,731	9.48	214 1,812	11.56	268 443	8.70	190 124
" 29	2.40	11.60	269 543	8.90	200 948	7.58	168 601	12.80	301 443	10.20	253 163	10.06	230 988
Sept. 12	1.40	11.80	274 1,070	9.42	213 808	9.02	203 888	13.82	329 137	12.12	283 14	10.43	238 1,899
" 26	2.71	12.97	305 1,625	12.30	287 1,599	12.08	281 1,889	14.29	342 251	14.66	358 729	15.31	365 113
Oct. 10	3.00	11.37	263 495	10.33	237 1,854	10.38	237 1,365	13.51	320 1,068	14.11	337 216	11.92	277 1,410

From June 20 to July 4.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Series II.—The purpose in establishing this series of plots (M, N, O, P, Q, R,) was to ascertain the relative degree to which certain crops reduced the soil's moisture by their growth and, further, to gain information regarding the soil's moisture content when the same crop is sown broadcast and in drills. With respect to this latter feature it may be pointed out that the broadcasted crop may be supposed to conserve moisture by shading the soil; while with the crops growing in drills, cultivation may be practiced with the same object. We wished to learn which of these was the most effective.

The investigation was carried on between July 4 and October 10, the collection of samples being made fortnightly to a depth of 14 inches.

The crops (see table) were sown on June 27, and the cultivations of those sown in drills were made on July 16 and 27, and August 12.

Unfortunately, owing to the initial moisture contents of Plots N and O being considerably less than that of the others, we are unable to compare the percentages throughout the series. By comparing the losses or gains of one plot with those of another, however, some idea may be gained as to the relative effect on the soil's moisture by the different methods under trial, and it is this plan we shall follow in considering the data of this series.

Reference has already been made to the nature of the season. It will be noticed from the tabulated data that with the exception of the fortnight ending August 15, no period (of two weeks) passed without an ample precipitation. This fact renders the results, from the standpoint we are considering them, of much less value than if the summer had been dry and warm. The results of this heavy rainfall, for instance, increased the moisture of all the plots between July 18 and August 1, though at that time they were carrying vigorously growing crops.

Between August 1 and 15, slightly less than half an inch of rain fell, and it might, therefore, prove instructive to make a comparison of the plots on that date. The losses between July 4 and August 15 were as follows:—

Plot M.—With a good crop of buckwheat the loss was 6·89 per cent, or 166 tons per acre.

Plot N.—This was in pease, but the growth was not very good, much of the land being occupied by weeds. The loss was 3·78 per cent, or 90 tons per acre.

Plot O.—Hairy Vetch, broadcast, good growth. The loss was 3·47 per cent., or 2 tons per acre.

Plot P.—Hairy Vetch, in drills, and cultivated between rows. The loss was 2·29 per cent, or 59 tons per acre.

Plot Q.—Soja Beans, in drills, and cultivated, not a heavy growth. The loss was only 0·89 per cent, or 9 tons per acre.

Plot R.—Horse Beans, in drills, and cultivated. The loss was 2·75 per cent, or 1 tons per acre.

Without reading too much into these results we may safely conclude that the buckwheat extracted the most moisture, and this conclusion, as regards the effect of a grain crop in drying out the soil, received confirmation by the data obtained from the growth of a crop of oats as instanced in the Nappan experiments, hereafter to be discussed.

Comparing the effect of Hairy Vetch broadcast and in drills, there is a notable difference in favour of the latter method when it is desired to minimize the loss of moisture.

With Soja Beans, in drills, the loss had been insignificant, though during the preceding fortnight, August 15-29, the moisture fell off a little, probably owing to increase in foliage. On this plot, however, the moisture content was remarkably constant throughout the whole period of the experiment.

With the exception of the remarkable and unaccountable loss of moisture in the plot with Horse Beans, the results of August 15 clearly indicate that much moisture may be saved by sowing the crops in drills and cultivating between the rows from time to time throughout the summer months.

CONSERVATION of Soil Moisture, Nappan, N. S., 1904.

Date of Collection.	Rainfall.	Plot 1.		Plot 2.		Plot 3.		Plot 4.		Plot 5.	
		Water.		Water.		Water.		Water.		Water.	
		Per cent.	Per acre.	Per cent.	Per acre.	Per cent.	Per acre.	Per cent.	Per acre.	Per cent.	Per acre.
	Inches.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
May 12.....	3.69	18.41	406 1,417	20.00	450 1,231	18.09	398 155	20.83	475 1,330	18.93	420 1,757
" 26.....	1.50	17.21	374 1,375	18.02	396 398	18.43	407 500	21.21	484 1,165	18.97	421 1,952
June 9.....	.97	12.52	257 1,931	17.84	391 642	19.24	429 826	20.31	459 1,301	14.04	294 799
" 23.....	1.60	10.46	210 1,125	17.40	379 1,391	17.71	387 1,832	20.46	463 1,292	11.65	237 1,352
July 7.....	.63	9.06	179 1,144	16.70	361 715	17.46	381 563	19.14	426 1,304	11.22	227 1,590
" 21.....	.46	7.46	145 666	13.43	279 1,247	16.35	352 609	20.54	465 1,855	12.06	247 376
Aug. 4.....	1.05	8.23	161 1,292	9.49	138 1,977	15.10	320 1,159	18.11	398 1,230	10.36	208 633
" 18.....	1.15	9.80	195 1,606	10.30	212 1,091	15.71	335 1,887	20.26	457 1,924	13.66	285 341
Sept. 6.....	3.55	17.79	390 95	16.99	368 1,836	20.13	454 565	24.04	570 895	20.22	456 1,657
" 20.....	1.26	14.91	315 1,677	16.31	351 549	17.99	395 789	18.90	398 155	19.87	446 1,921
Oct. 31.....	6.94	21.33	483 1,413	19.77	444 313	21.42	491 662	26.02	633 1,913	19.71	442 669

* Total amount of rainfall from April 9 to May 12.

Experiments at Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.

As already stated, the treatment of an orchard soil, with respect to the control of its moisture-content, will naturally be largely regulated by the climatic conditions likely to prevail in the district. Thus, we find that the practice in the Niagara district and west, in regard to the time of ploughing under the cover crop, &c., differs, and rightly so, from that in vogue in eastern Ontario and Quebec. Recognizing this, the value of data from experiments similar to those carried on in the orchards at Ottawa for some years past, but obtained in the various fruit-growing areas of the Dominion, will be obvious. With this in mind, a series of experiments was conducted during the past season on the Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S. The work in connection therewith at Nappan was conducted by Mr. W. S. Blair, the Horticulturist, who in his report is giving full details regarding the plots, their treatment and the results obtained; the moisture determinations were made in the farm laboratory, Ottawa. These latter are given in the subjoined table, being expressed as percentages and as tons per acre to a depth of 14 inches of soil. We purpose merely to utilize these data here in so far as they may furnish information relating to the effect of crops in general and clean cultivation on the soil's moisture-content.

Plots adjoining one another on soil of uniform character, clay on clay subsoil.

Plot 1.—Seeded to Winter Rye and Clover in autumn of 1903. Rye harvested August 3, 1904, crop standing 55 inches. Clover made but poor growth and was not entirely covering the ground when the season closed.

Plot 2.—Seeded to Crimson Clover in 1903, which was winter killed. Ploughed May 26, 1904, worked and seeded with oats June 20.

Plot 3.—Seeded to Crimson Clover, 1903, which was winter killed. Ploughed May 3, worked and cultivated May 29, June 20 and 29. Seeded to Alfalfa July 7, which made a strong growth, 12 inches high, before the close of the season.

Plot 4.—Seeded to Crimson Clover in 1903, which was winter killed. Ploughed May 13, 1904, disked and harrowed May 29, June 20 and 29, July 7, 13, 25. Crimson clover sown July 25. This made excellent growth.

Plot 5.—Seeded to Oats, Mammoth Red and Alsike Clovers and Timothy, in spring of 1903. It made excellent growth and was cut for green feed. In 1904 the growth of clover was good; it was cut and fed June 23. A mat of growth 5 to 8 inches remained at the close of the season.

THE EFFECT OF GROWING RYE ON THE MOISTURE-CONTENT OF THE SOIL

The most striking results are those from plot 1, which, as we have seen, carried a crop of rye until August 3. At the outset (May 12) the moisture-content of this soil did not differ widely from that of the others of the series. Very shortly after this date, however, this soil (No. 1) began to lose moisture, so that by June 9, in spite of the fact that 2½ inches of rain had fallen during the first month of the experiment the moisture-content was reduced 5·89 per cent (from 18·41 to 12·52 per cent)—equivalent to a loss of, practically, 150 tons from the first fourteen inches of soil per acre. This was, of course, due chiefly to the large amount of water used by the growing rye on this plot, but a part of this water was no doubt lost through capillarity being established (the soil not being stirred) and subsequent evaporation.

On June 23 the percentage of moisture in this soil was further reduced to 10·46, while the soils of plots 2, 3 and 4 (at this time in clean cultivation) practically maintained their initial percentages. By July 9, though an inch of rain had fallen during the preceding fortnight, the soil of this plot (No. 1) had lost another 1·5 per cent of water. The moisture-content on July 21 showed a still further reduction; it was now 8·746 per cent, practically one-half of that in soils of plots 2 and 3, and but one-third of that of plot 4. The comparison between the moisture-content of soils in crop

and under cultivation during this season of the year (May 12 to July 21), *i.e.* between the results from plots 1 and 2, makes it evident that there was lost from the soil bearing the crop, practically 90 tons per acre *more* water (equivalent to 9 inches of rain) than from the soil under cultivation. It is during this period that the fruit tree makes its growth. For this, as well as for the development of its fruit, it is essential that there should be a sufficiency of soil moisture at this time in the orchard soil, and our present results indicate most clearly how the trees may be robbed of this moisture by a growing crop of grain. The condemnation of this practice of taking a grain crop from the orchard is most certainly emphasized by the results of this investigation.

THE EFFECT ON THE MOISTURE-CONTENT BY VARYING PERIODS OF CULTIVATION.

By reference to the brief description of the plots 2, 3 and 4, it will be observed that their respective treatment differs in the length of time during which cultivation was continued. With plot No. 2 this period was from May 26 to June 20; with No. 3 from May 13 to July 7, and with No. 4, from May 13 to July 25.

During the month of June, as might be expected, the moisture-content of all three plots is fairly constant; the cultivation evidently was effective in preventing the drying out of the soil, which we have seen was so marked at this period in plot No. 1.

After June 20 cultivation ceased, however, on plot 2, and immediately the soil began to lose moisture. This loss became greater and greater as the season advanced owing to the increased demands of the crop (sown June 20). On August 18 this soil showed 5 per cent less moisture than plot 3 and 10 per cent less than plot 4. Towards the end of August heavy rains set in which served to equalize the moisture-content of all the plots.

From the fact that plot No. 3 was cultivated till July 7, we find the percentage of moisture in this soil fell but little to that date, the decline from the beginning of the experiment being from merely 18.09 per cent to 17.45 per cent. As the Alfalfa on this plot (sown July 7) grew, soil moisture was utilized and the percentage correspondingly reduced.

The results of plot No. 4 are in accord with those of Nos. 2 and 3: that is, they furnish additional evidence regarding the effect of cultivation in conserving moisture. The water present in this soil, cultivated to July 25, was practically unchanged till the first week of August, when it fell about 2 per cent.

We may safely conclude from a consideration of these three plots (2, 3 and 4) that the later the cultivation is continued the less falling off in soil moisture will there be as the season advances. These results may also serve to remind us that cultivation should not be continued into the autumn, or late growth will be stimulated and the due ripening of the wood prevented before winter sets in.

In plot No. 5, we have an example of a soil bearing a crop (principally clover) throughout the season. The reduction in moisture-content during the month of June was almost equal to that of plot 1, carrying a crop of Winter rye. The cutting of this plot (No. 5) on June 23, undoubtedly checked this loss of moisture, but it did not altogether prevent it, as evident by the data of August 4, which showed that the moisture at that date had been reduced to 10.36 per cent—practically 8 per cent less than that of plot 4.

INOCULATION FOR THE GROWTH OF LEGUMES.

We have received during the past two months numerous inquiries from all parts of Canada on this subject. This re-awakened interest in the matter of inoculation is undoubtedly due to the wide publicity given to the new cultures now being prepared and distributed by the Bureau of Plant Industry, Washington, D.C., U.S. A beautifully illustrated article in *Scribner's* monthly for October, setting forth in popular language the claims made for these cultures and the results that have been obtained is particularly answerable for the present demand for inoculating material. It has

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

become necessary, therefore, to make a brief statement as to what has been done in this important research by the Experimental Farms and our present position as regards the necessity or desirability of generally distributing the cultures.

1. For many years we carried on experiments, both in pots and in the field, with cultures of nitrogen-assimilating bacteria, prepared in Germany, publishing the results in the Experimental Farms reports (1897-8-9). In certain instances it was found that the cultures favoured the growth of legumes, clover, beans, &c., but there was not sufficient evidence to justify us in recommending them for general use. The cultures were found particularly susceptible to light and heat, and under the best conditions of preservation their vitality could only be guaranteed for six weeks from the date of their preparation. It was felt that the matter was still in the experimental stage, and for the reasons just stated it was not desirable to make any general distribution of the cultures.

Since these experiments were made, the preparation of the cultures, known as Nitragin, has been discontinued, owing, we presume, to lack of sufficient demand for the preparations.

Last spring we were kindly supplied by the authorities at Washington with samples of their new cultures for Red clover and Alfalfa. It is claimed for these cultures that by reason of the method employed in their preparation and the mode in which they are sent out, they are more potent and more stable than the cultures formerly made in Germany. We experimented with these preparations, using pots filled with sterilized soil. The directions issued with the cultures were carefully followed. While it is true that nodules were found on many of the plants growing in the inoculated pots, these nodules were few and of small size and no general increase in the weight of the crop was to be observed as a result of the use of the cultures. Further, as nodules developed on plants in two of the control (uninoculated) pots, we were unable to decide if the cultures had been effective or not. It is certainly to be regretted that the results this year have not been more satisfactory, but at present, from our own experience we cannot report very favourably. Further trials will be made next season, both in pots and in the field, and the results made known in due course.

The attention of farmers may be drawn to the fact that effective inoculation for clover and Alfalfa may be obtained by the use of a certain amount of the soil from fields growing good crops of these plants. This method has proved most successful. Such soil is not difficult to obtain in all the provinces save, perhaps, Manitoba and the North-west Territories. Directions for using such soils have from time to time been issued by us.

For many years past, as is well known, particular attention has been paid by us to the system of soil enrichment by the growth of legumes and to the various means that could be taken to obtain a vigorous growth of the crop. In this connection I should like to add that our experience and observation have shown that the necessity of inoculation is not so great as was at one time thought. We are led to believe that the existence of the bacteria that serve to fix the nitrogen in the legume is by no means restricted to small or isolated areas. We have found—at all events in Ontario and the eastern provinces—that failures in the past to obtain a good catch of clover have been due rather to deficiency of moisture, or unsuitable mechanical condition of the soil, or insufficient drainage, than to the absence of nitrogen-assimilating germs. The general—though probably not universal presence of root nodules on the clover in Ontario and the east lead us to believe that special means for inoculation have not been necessary save, perhaps, in exceptional instances in the aforementioned provinces. It was due to these facts, we consider, that there has been no general demand for inoculating material.

In my recent tour through British Columbia, I found these organisms present on every root of clover examined, and I took especial care to obtain information on this matter in all the agricultural districts I visited. The same stands true alike for the irrigated soils of the dry belt (Nicola and Okanagan valleys), as well as for

the lower Fraser and the coast soils and those of Vancouver Island. The luxurious crops of clover observable in British Columbia almost everywhere this year convinced me that inoculation was not generally necessary in this province.

My impression is that the severity of the winter, lack of sufficient moisture, and an uncongenial condition of the soil, or poor seed, will be found to militate more against successful clover growing than any supposed lack of the nodule bacteria though I would not say that artificial inoculation would not be advantageous in certain districts.

It would seem from certain inquiries received lately from farmers that there is an impression abroad that the benefit to be derived from the nitrogen-fixing bacteria can be obtained directly from inoculation of the soil, *i.e.*, without the agency of the clover crop. This is, of course, erroneous. It is only through the growth of the clover (or other legume) and the subsequent decay in the soil of its roots (or whole plant) that the soil is enriched in humus and nitrogen. It is obvious, therefore, that where clover grows luxuriantly inoculation is unnecessary. We feel safe in saying that the roots of such clover will be found plentifully supplied with nodules.

FODDERS AND FEEDING STUFFS.

FODDER CORN, AS GROWN IN HILLS AND DRILLS.

The feeding value of the corn crop at various stages of growth was determined in the Farm Laboratories in 1896 and the results published in the report of the Chemical Division for that year. Amongst other interesting facts brought out by that research, it was shown that there was a very large increase of nutrients to be obtained simply by allowing the corn to come to the 'glazing' condition before cutting for the silo or for curing in the field as a fodder. This condition or stage of growth is not reached when the corn is sown broadcast, and hence the method of planting in hills or drills was strongly recommended. The question has since arisen: Which produces the greater weight per acre of real cattle food, corn planted in hills or drills?

To obtain information on this point the investigation now discussed was begun in 1901 and continued during the seasons 1902 and 1903. We have consequently three years' data from which deductions may be made. Two varieties of Dent corn, Selected Leaming and Mammoth Cuban, and a similar number of Flint varieties—the Long-fellow and Canada White—were chosen and planted in hills and drills respectively, this part of the investigation being conducted on the experimental plots of the Experimental Farm at Ottawa.* When the respective corn had reached the 'glazing' stage, or as near as the season would permit to that condition, it was cut, the weight per acre ascertained and samples taken for analysis.

The analytical data in detail are given at the close of this article, and are of considerable value in showing the variations in composition that may occur from various causes from year to year in the same variety, and in throwing light upon several other matters of equal interest connected with the growth of the plant. For the purpose of our present inquiry, however, the problem will be much simplified if we consider merely the averages obtained from these results.

*The drills were 35 inches apart, with 6 to 8 inches between the plants. The hills were also 35 inches apart, with an average of four to five kernels in a hill.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Composition of Corn Fodder (fresh material)—Four Varieties, Average of 3 Years.

	Hills.	Drills.
Water.	80'81	79'05
Dry matter.	19'19	20'95
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	100'00	100'00
	<hr/>	<hr/>
*Crude protein.	1'55	1'50
Fat.	'08	'07
Carbo-hydrates (nitrogen-free extract).	11'04	12'31
Fibre.	5'38	5'91
Ash.	1'14	1'15
*Nitrogenous substances—		
Albuminoids.	6'96	6'29
Non-albuminoids.	1'28	6'29

Fresh Material.—Compared weight for weight, the fodder produced in drills contains slightly more dry matter, the increase being in the carbo-hydrates (starch, &c.) and the fibre.

In the more important nutrient, crude protein, the fodder from the hills is very slightly the richer, and this relation holds good on further analysis of the nitrogenous bodies, the percentage of albuminoids or true flesh formers being somewhat lower in the corn grown in drills. These differences, with the exception of that relating to the dry matter, are, however, exceedingly small and cannot in themselves be considered of any great significance from the feeding value standpoint.

Composition of Corn Fodder (dry matter)—Four Varieties, Average of 3 Years.

	Hills.	Drills.
*Crude protein.	8'24	7'22
Fat.	'42	'35
Carbo-hydrates (nitrogen-free extract).	57'64	59'43
Fibre.	27'76	27'40
Ash.	5'94	5'60
*Nitrogenous substances—		
Albuminoids.	6'96	6'29
Non-albuminoids.	1'28	'93

Dry Matter.—The only difference worthy of special notice here is the percentage of protein, which is somewhat higher in the case of the hill-grown corn. This, as might be expected, is accompanied by a correspondingly lower percentage of carbo-hydrates. In albuminoids (the more valuable part of the crude protein), the dry matter of the fodder from the hills is about three-quarters of a per cent ('75 per cent) the richer.

In summing up the data of the investigation so far discussed, it seems justifiable to conclude that the fodder from the corn planted in drills is slightly the more valuable by reason of its larger proportion of dry matter, but that in albuminoids (the true flesh formers) the hill-grown fodder is a little the richer.

We may now consider the data of the yields per acre and thus arrive at an answer to the question which was the occasion and incentive of this investigation.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Yield and Weight of Nutrients per Acre—Four Varieties, Average of 3 Years.

	Hills.		Drills.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
Weight of crop.....	18	146	19	162
Dry matter.....	3	1,123	4	60
*Crude protein.....	..	564	..	583
Fat.....	..	28	..	30
Carbo-hydrates (nitrogen-free extract).....	2	74	2	732
Fibre.....	1	30	1	254
*Nitrogenous substances—				
Albuminoids.....	..	488	..	507
Non-albuminoids.....	..	76	..	76

First, in regard to yields, the average obtained from the crops of three successive seasons was one ton more per acre from the corn planted in drills. This increase in yield means 937 lbs. more of dry matter per acre, composed of 19 lbs. protein (albuminoids), 658 lbs. carbo-hydrates, 2 lbs. fat, 224 lbs. fibre and 34 lbs. ash.

In spite, therefore, of the slightly higher feeding value of the dry matter of the hill-grown corn (due to its containing more protein), more real cattle food was obtained per acre from the corn in drills, by reason of the latter giving a larger yield of fodder containing a higher percentage of dry matter.

We do not wish to exaggerate the differences here indicated in favour of planting in drills. Though significant, they are by no means large, and it is quite possible that with other varieties of corn they might be considerably modified. The general impression among those who have planted in both ways is that hill-grown corn produced the larger number of ears, and the analysis bears out this contention; the larger yield obtained from the drills, however, more than offsets this advantage.

Dent and Flint Varieties.—It will be remembered that two Dent and two Flint varieties were employed in this research, consequently the data obtained may serve to make a comparison between Dent and Flint corn as regards yield of fodder and the relative value of that fodder.

COMPOSITION of Corn Fodder, Dents and Flints, two Varieties of each from Drills and Hills, Average of 3 Years.

Constituents.	FRESH MATERIAL.		DRY MATTER.	
	Dents.	Flints.	Dents.	Flints.
Water.....	80.22	79.64		
Dry matter.....	19.78	20.36		
Crude protein.....	1.41	1.63	7.23	8.12
Fat.....	0.78	0.09	0.35	0.44
Carbo-hydrates.....	11.54	11.91	58.19	58.99
Fibre.....	5.64	5.56	28.43	26.72
Ash.....	1.12	1.16	5.73	5.72
Nitrogenous substances, Albuminoids.....	1.24	1.41	6.35	6.91
Non-albuminoids.....	0.17	0.22	0.88	1.21

The fodder (fresh material) of the Flint varieties, compared weight for weight with that from the Dents, is seen to contain the larger amount of dry matter. The difference is not a large one, but the superiority of the 'Flint' fodder is still further emphasized by the fact that its dry matter is richer in albuminoids and possesses less fibre.

In the second table, to be found on page 168, we present the data of the yields and weights of nutrients per acre from the Flint and Dent varieties examined.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Composition of fresh material and water-free substance.

Variety.	Hills or Drills.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Collection.	FRESH MATERIAL.						WATER-FREE SUBSTANCE.									
				Water.	Fat.	Fibre.	Nitrogen—free extract.	Protein.			Ash.	Fat.	Fibre.	Nitrogen—free extract.	Protein.			Ash.	
								Crude.	Albuminoids.	Non-albumi- noids.					Crude.	Albuminoids.	Non-albumi- noids.		
				p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.
Selected Leaming.	Drills.	May 28, 1901	Sept. 21, 1901.	77.34	0.04	6.83	13.41	1.28	1.23	0.05	1.10	0.16	30.15	59.15	5.07	5.43	0.24	4.87	
	Hills.	" 28, 1901.	" 21, 1901.	82.05	0.06	4.77	10.99	1.26	1.23	0.03	0.87	0.35	26.60	61.17	7.04	6.83	0.21	4.84	
	Drills.	" 27, 1902.	" 24, 1902.	80.43	0.14	5.72	10.43	1.81	1.35	0.42	1.46	0.71	29.25	53.86	9.27	7.09	2.18	7.41	
	Hills.	" 27, 1902.	" 24, 1902.	84.14	0.06	4.67	8.22	1.62	1.34	0.28	1.29	0.35	29.47	51.85	10.21	8.44	1.77	8.12	
Mammoth Cuban.	Drills.	" 27, 1903.	" 24, 1903.	81.08	0.05	4.31	12.39	1.28	1.16	0.12	0.89	0.25	22.77	65.42	6.79	6.14	0.65	4.77	
	Hills.	" 27, 1903.	" 24, 1903.	81.27	0.09	4.69	11.41	1.46	1.29	0.17	1.08	0.49	25.02	60.89	7.81	6.89	0.92	5.79	
	Drills.	" 28, 1901.	" 21, 1901.	77.31	0.02	8.04	12.46	1.04	1.01	0.03	1.10	0.08	35.45	55.03	4.60	4.46	0.14	4.84	
	Hills.	" 28, 1901.	" 21, 1901.	80.13	0.01	7.35	10.22	1.10	1.05	0.05	1.19	0.04	37.00	51.40	5.35	5.30	0.25	6.01	
Longfellow.	Drills.	" 27, 1902.	" 24, 1902.	79.00	0.07	6.35	11.51	1.75	1.48	0.27	1.34	0.33	30.15	54.82	8.33	7.03	1.30	6.37	
	Hills.	" 27, 1902.	" 24, 1902.	80.40	0.08	4.60	11.04	1.66	1.37	0.29	1.22	0.40	28.59	56.31	8.54	6.99	1.55	6.16	
	Drills.	" 27, 1903.	" 24, 1903.	79.09	0.09	4.58	14.08	1.21	1.05	0.16	0.95	0.41	21.91	67.37	7.59	5.01	0.78	4.52	
	Hills.	" 27, 1903.	" 24, 1903.	80.42	0.11	3.87	12.03	1.66	1.29	0.27	1.01	0.56	24.89	61.48	7.96	6.58	1.38	5.16	
Canada White.	Drills.	" 28, 1901.	" 21, 1901.	73.53	0.18	9.88	13.42	1.69	1.60	0.69	1.25	0.67	37.39	60.81	6.39	6.06	0.33	4.74	
	Hills.	" 28, 1901.	" 21, 1901.	79.40	0.09	6.31	11.56	1.56	1.46	0.10	1.10	0.42	30.62	56.94	7.57	7.09	0.48	5.35	
	Drills.	" 27, 1902.	" 24, 1902.	79.19	0.04	5.70	11.42	1.94	1.52	0.42	1.71	0.18	27.41	54.87	9.33	7.31	2.02	8.21	
	Hills.	" 27, 1902.	" 24, 1902.	80.48	0.08	5.52	10.58	1.90	1.42	0.48	1.44	0.40	28.28	54.19	9.75	7.27	2.48	7.38	
Sanford.	Drills.	" 27, 1903.	" 24, 1903.	80.11	0.08	3.81	13.59	1.82	1.31	0.21	0.89	0.35	19.15	68.33	7.65	6.59	1.66	4.48	
	Hills.	" 27, 1903.	" 24, 1903.	79.34	0.21	4.65	12.99	1.82	1.57	0.25	0.99	1.03	22.49	62.88	8.83	7.58	1.25	4.77	
	Drills.	" 28, 1901.	" 24, 1901.	79.00	0.13	5.84	12.10	1.78	1.63	0.15	1.15	0.62	27.80	57.02	8.49	7.75	0.74	5.47	
	Hills.	" 28, 1901.	" 24, 1901.	75.76	0.01	8.38	12.82	1.69	1.61	0.08	1.44	0.03	34.16	52.83	7.03	6.64	0.39	5.95	
Longfellow.	Drills.	" 27, 1902.	" 24, 1902.	80.41	0.04	5.35	11.48	1.52	1.24	0.28	1.20	0.18	27.29	58.59	7.74	6.34	1.40	6.20	
	Hills.	" 27, 1902.	" 24, 1902.	81.65	0.08	4.57	10.92	1.62	1.26	0.36	1.16	0.45	24.90	59.53	8.85	6.85	2.00	6.37	
	Drills.	" 27, 1903.	" 24, 1903.	82.15	0.05	3.59	12.24	1.19	1.13	0.06	0.78	0.30	20.11	68.60	6.64	6.31	0.33	4.35	
	Hills.	" 27, 1903.	" 24, 1903.	84.70	0.09	3.24	9.80	1.32	1.07	0.25	0.85	0.57	21.16	64.14	8.60	7.02	1.58	5.53	

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

INDIAN CORN as grown in Hills and Drills. Yield and Weight of Nutrients per Acre.

Variety.	Hills or Drills.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Collection.	Weight of Crop.		Dry Matter.			Nitrogen-free extract.	Protein.			
				Tons.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Fat.	Fibre.		Crude.	Albuminoids.	Non-Albuminoids.	Ash.
Selected Leaming.	Drills ..	May 28, 1901	Sept. 21, '01	22	..	9,970	18	3,005	5,900	563	541	22	484
" "	Hills...	" "	" "	23	860	8,411	28	2,235	5,150	590	576	14	408
" "	Drills ..	May 27, 1902	Sept. 24, '02	23	1,300	9,257	68	2,706	4,937	856	657	199	690
" "	Hills...	" "	" "	17	990	5,548	21	1,634	2,875	567	469	98	451
" "	Drills ..	May 27, 1903	Sept. 24, '03	17	1,970	6,806	18	1,559	4,453	460	417	43	320
" "	Hills...	" "	" "	16	10	5,996	29	1,501	3,453	467	413	54	346
Mammoth Cuban.	Drills ..	May 28, 1901	Sept. 21, '01	19	940	8,836	8	3,131	4,864	405	393	12	423
" "	Hills...	" "	" "	26	140	10,360	5	3,832	5,323	574	547	27	621
" "	Drills ..	May 27, 1902	Sept. 24, '02	22	1,320	9,517	32	2,869	5,216	793	671	122	607
" "	Hills...	" "	" "	17	1,640	6,986	29	1,996	3,934	592	498	104	435
" "	Drills ..	May 27, 1903	Sept. 24, '03	19	1,600	8,280	36	1,814	5,575	479	416	63	376
" "	Hills...	" "	" "	19	775	7,592	43	1,888	4,601	605	500	105	465
Longfellow.....	Drills ..	May 28, 1901	Sept. 21, '01	15	360	8,021	55	2,999	4,074	513	486	27	380
"	Hills...	" "	" "	20	40	8,248	36	2,527	4,620	625	585	40	440
"	Drills ..	May 27, 1902	Sept. 24, '02	22	1,320	9,432	18	2,583	5,177	879	689	190	775
"	Hills...	" "	" "	17	1,640	6,957	29	1,967	3,771	677	506	171	513
"	Drills ..	May 27, 1903	Sept. 24, '03	15	1,240	6,214	25	1,190	4,246	475	409	66	273
"	Hills...	" "	" "	14	1,260	6,045	51	1,361	3,800	533	459	74	290
Canada White....	Drills ..	May 28, 1901	Sept. 21, '01	18	1,840	7,946	49	2,210	4,578	674	617	57	435
" "	Hills...	" "	" "	17	100	8,266	3	2,824	4,372	576	549	27	491
" "	Drills ..	May 27, 1902	Sept. 24, '02	17	760	6,809	14	1,860	3,990	528	431	97	417
" "	Hills...	" "	" "	18	1,400	6,863	30	1,709	4,084	606	471	135	434
Sanford	Drills ..	May 27, 1903	Sept. 24, '03	15	1,570	5,636	16	1,133	3,865	376	357	19	245
"	Hills...	" "	" "	13	1,500	4,208	25	891	2,695	363	294	69	234

CORN FODDER, Dents and Flints—Two Varieties of each from Drills and Hills—Yield and Weights of Nutrients per Acre.

	Dents.		Flints.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
Yield of crop.....	20	961	17	585
Dry matter.....	4	129	3	1,053
Crude protein.....	..	580	..	569
Fat.....	..	28	..	30
Carbo-hydrates.....	2	707	2	107
Fibre.....	1	346	..	1,938
Ash.....	..	469	..	411
Nitrogenous substances—
Albuminoids.....	..	508	..	488
Non-albuminoids.....	..	72	..	81

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Notwithstanding the better quality of the dry matter furnished by the Flint corns, the Dent varieties must certainly be considered as easily first from the standpoint of the value of the fodder produced per acre. Thus, the Dents gave an increase in yield of 3 tons 376 lbs., containing 1,076 lbs. of dry matter over the product of the Flint varieties. This increase in dry matter is chiefly in carbo-hydrates (600 lbs.) and fibre (400 lbs.), but also possesses a notable amount (20 lbs.) of the more valuable albuminoids.

RAPE, RAPE ENSILAGE, RAPE AND CORN ENSILAGE.

Rape is better known and more widely grown in Canada to-day than ever before, so that now it occupies an important position among the succulent forage crops.* Its use, so far, has been in the fresh condition, being consumed either on the field by the stock (sheep, swine and steers), or cut and used as a soiling crop. On account of its leaves crumbling to powder on drying, rape cannot be cured as hay, and by reason of its large percentage of water, it was considered unsuitable for ensiling. This latter, however, has been disproved by the experiments of Mr. Grisdale, the Agriculturist, who during the past season made ensilage solely of rape and also a mixture of corn and rape, both being found at the end of six months sound and very palatable to cattle. These ensilages were used in a feeding experiment by the Agriculturist, and the results obtained will be found in his report for the current year.

To supplement these results and to learn what changes might take place by the ensiling of the rape, certain analyses have been made. These analyses, further, allow us to compare the composition of fresh rape, rape ensilage, and an ensilage composed of half rape and half corn.

RAPE, Rape Ensilage and Rape and Corn Ensilage. (Results on the fresh material.)

Constituents.	Rape as put in the silo, Oct. 6, 1903.	Rape Ensilage, Mar. 18, '04.	Rape and Corn Ensil- age, $\frac{1}{2}$ Rape, $\frac{1}{2}$ Corn, Mar. 18, '04.
	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.
Water.....	86.05	73.19	79.66
Crude protein.....	1.91	2.67	2.18
Fat.....	0.16	0.84	0.37
Carbo-hydrates.....	8.11	12.93	10.40
Fibre.....	2.33	2.00	5.29
Ash.....	1.44	3.37	2.10
Nitrogenous compounds (crude protein)—			
Albuminoids.....	1.36	1.36	1.04
Non-albuminoids.....	0.61	1.31	1.14

First, comparing rape with rape ensilage, we notice that ensiling the crop has resulted in a large loss of water, increasing the percentage of total dry matter from 3.95 to 21.81. Weight for weight, then, we should expect the rape ensilage to have considerably higher feeding value. This, of course, is not to be interpreted as meaning that the rape increases in value in the silo, that a given weight of green rape gives an equal weight of ensilage with an increased percentage of dry matter, for such is not the case. The fermentation that ensues in the silo necessarily means loss in certain of the nutrients (especially the carbo-hydrates); this is true of all ensiled crops. In comparing equal weights of green rape and rape ensilage, the latter is the much more valuable. This will be further apparent by continuing the comparison of the analyses. In crude protein the ensilage is considerably the richer. This gain,

*For an account of the feed value of this crop, see the article, 'The Chemistry of Rape,' report of this Division for 1902. Bulletin No. 42 (Experimental Farm Series) furnishes information respecting its culture and use.

however, is more apparent than real, for by reference to the percentage of albuminoids—the part of the crude protein which has by far the greater feeding value—it is seen that it is practically identical with that of the rape. From this fact we may infer that in muscle-forming constituents the rape and its ensilage are of about the same value.

In carbo-hydrates (starch, &c.)—heat-producing constituents—the ensilage contains about one-third more, and it is in this, principally, that the greater feeding value of the ensilage lies. The fibre is almost the same in both. In fat the ensilage is higher, making it the more valuable. Lastly, as regards ash or mineral matter, the percentage in the ensilage is almost three times that in the fresh material. This does not arise, of course, from any creation of ash, but from the disappearance through decomposition of the organic constituents, leaving a higher percentage of the mineral matter.

The comparison of the rape ensilage with the rape and corn ensilage makes clear, from the chemical standpoint, the superiority of the former. In all the more valuable nutrients the rape ensilage is the richer; in fibre—the constituent of least value—the presence of the corn increases the amount in the mixed ensilage.

The average composition of corn ensilage may now be given for the purpose of comparison with the foregoing analysis of the rape and mixed ensilage.

Analysis of Corn Ensilage.

Water.	79.1
Crude protein.	1.7
Fat.8
Carbo-hydrates.	11.0
Fibre.	6.0
Ash.	1.4

100.00

The corn ensilage, it is evident, is less valuable than either rape ensilage or that from rape and corn, in that it contains less crude protein. The difference is, of course, more marked between the rape ensilage and corn ensilage than between that of the mixed crops and the ensiled corn, but the difference is one of degree rather than of kind—the addition of corn increases proportionately the percentage of fibre while reducing that of the crude protein in the product. To sum up these considerations, there seems no doubt but that in both the rape and mixed ensilages we have a succulent feed of a more nutritious character than in an ensilage from corn alone, and this chiefly by reason of the nitrogenous character of rape and its low fibre content.

A consideration of the data calculated on a water-free basis, in other words, of the composition of the dry matter of the several materials, throws some light upon the nature and direction of the changes that take place on ensiling the rape.

RAPE, Rape Ensilage, Rape and Corn Ensilage. (Results on the water-free substance.)

Constituents.	Rape as put in the silo.	Rape Ensilage.	Rape and Corn Ensil- age, $\frac{1}{2}$ Rape $\frac{1}{2}$ Corn.
	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.
Crude protein	13.72	12.25	10.75
Fat	1.14	3.86	1.84
Carbo-hydrates.	58.14	49.27	51.05
Fibre	16.70	19.18	26.02
Ash	10.30	15.44	10.34
Nitrogenous compounds—			
Albuminoids	9.35	6.22	5.10
Non-albuminoids	4.37	6.03	5.65

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The increase in the non-albuminoids and the concomitant decrease in the albuminoids that has followed upon ensiling the rape marks the most important change in the composition of the dry matter of the rape. This in conjunction with the destruction of a part of the carbo-hydrates necessarily increases the percentages of the fibre and ash. The changes are such as might have been expected and indicate a certain deterioration in the silo of the dry matter of the rape.

ROOTS.

Five years ago (1900) we began the study, from the chemical standpoint, of the relative feeding values of the more important farm roots. This work has been continued every season since that time. It has been instrumental in showing that as regards the percentages of dry matter and sugar, the two chief nutrients in determining the feeding value of roots, considerable differences may, and frequently do, exist between mangels, carrots, turnips, &c.; and, further, that between varieties of the same class similar differences may often be found. Of course, no two roots from the same seed and growing side by side are exactly alike in composition, but in this research a sufficient number of roots has been taken to practically eliminate the factors of size and individualism. It may also be remarked that in the endeavour to arrive at a knowledge of the various factors influencing the composition of these roots, the soil factor has, as far as possible, been also eliminated by growing the roots under experiment on ground of a very uniform character. The relative richness of the soil need not, therefore, be taken into account when comparing the roots of the same season with one another.

Influence of Inherited Qualities.—Differences of a well marked, and, to a certain degree, constant character undoubtedly exist between the varieties of a class. Thus, for instance, in mangels, for five years in succession, with varying seasonal and soil conditions, the 'Gate Post' has invariably proved itself richer in dry matter and sugar than the Giant Yellow Globe. We must conclude that such differences are due to inherited qualities.

Dry Matter and Sugar in Gate Post and Giant Yellow Globe Mangels.

	1900.		1901.		1902.		1903.		1904.		AVERAGE OF 5 YEARS, 1900-04.	
	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.
	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.
Gate Post	11.14	6.15	9.41	4.15	13.90	9.39	12.93	7.38	12.64	7.62	12.00	6.94
Giant Yellow Globe...	8.19	2.64	9.10	4.08	10.24	5.24	10.89	6.17	9.24	5.26	9.53	4.68

These results show that the 'breed' factor is an important one. They open up a most interesting field for work in the improvement of roots—one which undoubtedly offers an opportunity for obtaining results of practical value to the farmer. The Villmorins of Paris have already achieved a marked success in this research in the production of the so-called 'Sugar Mangels,' a cross between the sugar beet and the mangel. This root is far superior in feeding qualities to the ordinary mangels, and at the same time gives a very satisfactory tonnage to the acre.

Influence of Season.—The above table, further, may serve to illustrate the effect of the season upon the composition of the root. It would not be altogether correct

to ascribe the differences observable from year to year, entirely to climatic causes, but there can be no doubt that the percentage of sugar (the most valuable nutrient) is particularly influenced by the character of the season. It would seem from our observations that heavy rains and low temperatures in the late summer months had an injurious effect upon the sugar content of the root. From investigation with sugar beets it seems evident that ideal climatic conditions for sugar production include a comparatively low mean summer temperature, certainly not higher than 70° F., an evenly distributed but not excessive rainfall during May, June, July and August, and warm and moderately dry weather during September and October.

ANALYSIS of Roots, C. E. F., Ottawa, 1904.

Variety.	Seeds Purchased from.	Water.	Dry Matter.	Sugar in Juice.	Average Weight of one Root.	
		p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	Lbs.	Ozs.
Mangels—						
Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	Vilmorin, Paris, France.....	86.52	13.48	8.70	2	2
Giant Sugar Mangel.....	Rennie, Toronto.....	86.08	13.92	9.13	1	15
Half Long Sugar White.....	Vilmorin, Paris, France.....	89.20	10.80	5.45	1	14
Giant Sugar White.....	Graham Bros., Ottawa.....	88.94	11.06	5.06	4	7
Giant Sugar Rosy.....	Rennie, Toronto.....	87.90	12.10	7.00	3	13
Gate Post Yellow.....	Bruce & Co., Hamilton.....	87.36	12.64	7.62	2	6
Gate Post Red.....	" ".....	88.53	11.47	6.56	2	14
Giant Yellow Globe.....	Rennie, Toronto.....	90.76	9.24	5.26	2	13
Mammoth Long Red.....	" ".....	87.45	12.55	6.65	2	10
Giant Yellow Intermediate..	" ".....	90.36	9.64	4.75	2	5
Carrots—						
Guérande or Oxheart.....	" ".....	89.47	10.53	3.44	1	6
Improved Short White.....	Steele, Briggs & Co., Toronto.....	89.69	10.41	3.00	1	6
Half Long Chantenay.....	Ewing & Co., Montreal.....	88.94	11.06	3.63	1	2
Turnips—						
Selected Purple Top.....	Steele, Briggs & Co., Toronto.....	89.17	10.83	2.73	3	11
Good Luck Swede.....	" ".....	89.33	10.67	1.11	3	4
New Century.....	Graham Bros., Ottawa.....	88.08	11.92	2.51	3	11
Skirvings.....	Kenneth McDonald, Ottawa.....	88.14	11.86	2.11	3	1
Sugar Beets.....						
Wanzleben.....	Berlin Sugar Works.....	77.88	22.12	15.40	1	4

Mangels.—Ten varieties of mangels were examined. The lowest percentage of dry matter was 9.24; the highest, 13.92; the difference is 4.68 per cent, or practically 33 per cent of the total dry matter. In sugar, the percentages vary from 4.75 to 9.18, or a difference of 4.43 per cent, equivalent to 49 per cent of the total sugar.

The 'Sugar Mangels,' the first six given in the table, as in past years, are characterized (with one exception) by an excellent dry matter and sugar content. These are followed by the variety known as Gate Post, including the Mammoth Long Red which is probably the same mangel under another name. The Giant Yellow Globe and Giant Yellow Intermediate close the list with less dry matter, though showing a very fair proportion of sugar.

Carrots.—The Ox-heart and Improved Short White have given results practically identical as regards dry matter, and very close as regards sugar. The Half Long Chantenay is somewhat richer than these in both respects.

It will be observed that, taken as a class, carrots do not furnish the same amount of dry matter as mangels, and fall considerably below the latter in respect to sugar content.

Turnips and Swedes.—Four varieties were analysed. Of these, the New Century stands highest, both in respect to dry matter and sugar, closely followed by Skirvings.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The Good Luck Swede, though practically equal to the Selected Purple Top in dry matter, possesses a very much lower proportion of sugar. Though comparing very favourably as a class with carrots in dry matter, they are not quite so rich in sugar.

Sugar Beets.—An example of the Klein Wanzleben, grown for feeding purposes, is added in order to show the vast differences in composition that exist between sugar beets and the ordinary field roots

LINSEED OR OIL CAKE.

A sample of oil cake, manufactured by the Canada Linseed Oil Mills, Montreal, and sold as the 'Maple Leaf' brand, has been submitted to analysis. It is stated as being made by the 'old process'—hydraulic method.

The following data were obtained :—

<i>Analysis.</i>		Per cent.
Moisture..		11'29
Protein..		32'00
Fat or oil..		6'38
Carbo-hydrates..		36'81
Fibre..		8'25
Ash..		5'27
		<hr/>
		100'00

Oil cake is widely recognized as a 'concentrate' of great value, both from its high protein content and its large percentage of oil. It is, therefore, unnecessary to say more than that the above figures are in close accord with those obtained in the Farm Laboratories from samples of unadulterated, good quality 'old process' linseed cake.

GLUTEN FEED.

The various by-products of the corn starch factory have been discussed in considerable detail in former publications and their relative feeding values pointed out. At first these products were sold separately, and inspection only was needed to determine, approximately, the nature and value of the material offered for sale. This is scarcely possible now, for all the by-products (with the exception of the germ) are mixed together and sold as Gluten Feed. This should not be confounded with Gluten Meal, which was formerly upon the market and contained about 35 per cent protein and from 8 to 11 per cent fat. It is stated that Gluten Feed is by some being sold as Gluten Meal; this, of course, is distinctly fraudulent. Gluten Meal has, we believe, entirely disappeared from the market, but whether such be the case or not, it is desirable that farmers should know that Gluten Feed is the product now offered them, and that it will contain 10 to 13 per cent less protein and 6 to 8 per cent less fat than the Gluten Meal they were accustomed to use.*

Thus, we may place side by side analysis made of Gluten Meal two years ago and of Gluten Feed received a few weeks ago, both being from the Edwardsburg Starch Co.:

*Since writing the above, and just as this report is going to press, we have received a letter from the Edwardsburg Starch Co. stating that they have a true 'Gluten Meal' upon the market.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

	Gluten Meal. Per cent.	Gluten Feed. Per cent.
Moisture.	5'25	3'68
Protein.	36'38	23'00
Fat or oil.	11'05	2'83
Carbo-hydrates.	43'83	63'79
Fibre.	1'54	5'75
Ash.	1'55	'95
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	100'00	100'00

The difference between these two in feeding value is at once apparent. The Gluten Meal was sold at \$25 to \$30 per ton, and the price of the Gluten Feed this year was about \$22 per ton. We have no hesitation in saying that the Gluten Meal was much the better value at these prices.

COTTON-SEED MEAL.

This feeding stuff is used largely in the maritime provinces and, as we have pointed out in several of our past reports, great differences in feeding value exist between the brands found upon the market. We have, further, found that the prices are not in accordance with the quality, so that frequently of two meals offered the price of the inferior brand may be only a few dollars, or even a fraction of a dollar per ton less than that of a brand worth, from the feeding standpoint, one-third more. It is for these reasons that we have repeatedly urged that such products be sold under a guarantee stating the amount of protein and fat present. (See page 143, Report of Experimental Farms, 1903.) Until such time that farmers are so protected it has been thought desirable to submit to analysis such samples as might be forwarded. Among these many have proved of excellent quality, with the proportions of protein and oil found in genuine meals. On the other hand, not a few samples have been shown to be of inferior quality. Thus, genuine grades should contain from 43 to 44 per cent protein, and from 9 to 11 per cent oil, and data have been obtained recently from samples received showing a protein content ranging from 19 to 35 per cent, and from 5 to 7'5 per cent of oil.

With respect to the genuine cotton-seed meals, it is evident from our work that the methods now employed extract more of the oil than was the custom, and thus, while reducing the oil-content, tend to increase the percentage of protein.

An analysis is absolutely necessary to determine the percentages of protein and fat (the constituents of greatest importance from the feeding standpoint) a meal may contain, but it will be of assistance to farmers to know that genuine meals are of a bright yellow colour, while inferior grades are much darker and show on closer inspection many fragments of hull intermixed with the finer meal.

UVECO AND FLAKERINE.

These are 'cooked' foods, manufactured by the Uveco Cereals Co., Ltd., Newport, Monmouthshire. Quantities of each were received for trial, the Uveco being intended for cattle, the Flakerine for poultry. In appearance they are not at all dissimilar (though with a little practice they can be distinguished), and give the impression that they consist largely, if not solely, of Indian corn which has been steamed or partially cooked, rolled into flakes and dried. They are bright, clean-looking feeds, with a pleasant, slightly sweetish taste.

Analysis.

	Uveco. Per cent.	Flakerine. Per cent.	*Cornmeal. Per cent.
Moisture..	9'75	11'50	15'0
Protein..	8'94	12'43	9'2
Fat..	3'89	2'37	3'8
Carbo-hydrates..	74'62	69'71	68'7
Fibre..	1'48	2'22	1'9
Ash..	1'32	1'77	1'4
	<hr/> 100'00	<hr/> 100'00	<hr/> 100'00

Aqueous extract:

Total solids, soluble in cold water	4'76	8'16
Containing dextrine.	4'51	6'13

It will be observed that both Uveco and Flakerine contain somewhat less water than corn meal and this, of course, is in their favour. Uveco is considerably the drier of the two.

In protein and fat, the two most valuable nutrients, Uveco (notwithstanding its higher percentage of dry matter) is practically identical with corn meal, and the same may almost be said with regard to the amounts of fibre and ash present. The only difference of moment, therefore, between Uveco and Indian corn meal appears to be that the former contains a larger percentage of carbo-hydrates (starch, &c.), a part of which by the cooking process has been converted into dextrin, which, unlike starch, is soluble in cold water.

Flakerine is considerably richer in protein than Uveco, though poorer in fat. Its percentage of carbo-hydrate is very close to that of Indian corn meal, but a greater proportion has been made soluble by cooking than in the case of Uveco, as evidenced by the larger percentages of extractive matter and dextrin.

While admitting the great palatability of these foods, it is very doubtful if their feeding value, so far as most classes of stock are concerned, has been enhanced by the cooking process. Many experiments have been made to ascertain the effect of cooking and boiling on foods, and the results show most decidedly that in the majority of instances their digestibility has not been increased. Very seldom have the practical returns in gains been sufficient to warrant the necessary expense of cooking, and consequently it can only be recommended when it is desirable to render the foods more palatable. Henry in his work on Feeds and Feeding, sums up the discussion on this matter in these words: 'As a general proposition, it may be stated that it does not pay to cook food for stock when such food will be satisfactorily consumed without cooking, for cooking does not increase the digestibility of feeding stuffs, but may render it, and there is considerable expense involved in the operation.'

It is scarcely necessary to point out that neither Uveco nor Flakerine belong to that class of concentrated by-products which is characterized by a high protein content (Oil Cake, Gluten Meal, Cotton-seed Meal, &c.) and, therefore, cannot be used with economy when the intention is merely to enrich the ration in this constituent.

MEAT MEALS FOR POULTRY.

Among the nitrogenous foods which we now find being used by poultrymen, the various 'meat meals' take a prominent place. Their high protein content makes them

The analysis of corn meal (average of FF samples), taken from Jenkins & Winton's Co., Washington, D.C., has been added in order to allow a comparison to be made between these feeding stuffs and corn meal.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Owing to the difficulties in manufacturing a product of this character that shall not vary in composition, it becomes necessary in considering analyses of the same to overlook small differences. Further, the mechanical condition of these meals makes an exceedingly hard matter to sample accurately—and irregularities of sampling, of course, become apparent in the subsequent analysis. We may, however, safely divide the meals examined into three classes, according to their protein content.

Class I.—50 per cent to 55 per cent Protein—Beef Scrap No. 1, Cyphers. Darling Beef Scrap.

Class II.—45 per cent to 50 per cent—Superior Meat Meal, Freeman.

Class III.—35 per cent to 40 per cent—Beef Scrap No. 2, Cyphers. Morgan's Meat Meal.

Fat.—This is also a valuable constituent, serving alike as a source of fat in the body and for the production of animal heat, but a large percentage is not desirable in poultry meat meals. In the brands analysed, this nutrient varies from 11 to 22 per cent. In comparing these meals, using the tabulated data, we would impress upon the reader that it is not desirable to have protein replaced by fat; in other words, a high protein content with a moderate percentage of fat will give better results than a meal containing a minimum of protein and a large percentage of fat.

Ash.—The two last columns of the table allow us to form some opinion of the amount of bone present. The proportion of this material undoubtedly affects the value of the meal when used for laying stock.

We may, for our present purpose, consider bone to consist of, approximately:

Organic matter (nitrogenous and fatty) and moisture, 40 per cent.

Mineral matter (chiefly phosphate of lime), 60 per cent.

On this assumption and, further, supposing that the differences between the data columns 4 and 5 of the table represent the mineral matter furnished by the bone present, we obtain the following approximate percentages of bones in the various brands:—

Beef Scrap No. 1, approximately.	30	per cent. bone
Beef Scrap No. 2 "	50	"
Darling's Beef Scrap "	35	"
Superior Meat Meal "	50	"
**Morgan's Meat Meal "	?	"

The very small percentages of 'insoluble ash' make it very plain that in no instance was sand present, either intentionally or by accident.

MILLING PRODUCTS FROM PEASE, OATS AND BARLEY.

Attention has repeatedly been called to the desirability of some official system of selection and analysis of concentrated feeding stuffs as sold in Canada and which, further, necessitate the manufacturer or vendor of these products to attach to each bag or consignment a tag bearing a guarantee of the amounts of protein and fat obtained by the feed. Such a plan has long been in force with regard to the essential ingredients of plant food in fertilizers and the ever increasing number of milling by-products now in the market makes it equally important that a similar method be adopted for them. This matter was discussed at some length in our report for 1903,

This brand effervesces strongly on the addition of acid, showing the presence of a carbonate. It is the only one of the number analysed that so reacted. By reason of this (probably carbonate of lime) the method here employed for estimating the amount of bone present cannot be applied.

and is only here again brought forward for the reason that recent analyses have furnished an excellent illustration of the force of this contention.

In the early part of the present year a quantity of several such materials was bought from a miller in western Ontario for use in feeding experiments at the Experimental Farm, Ottawa. These on arrival were sampled and analysed and the results are to be found in the subjoined table. Together with the analytical data, the name under which the product was bought, and the price paid are stated:

	Water.	Protein.	Fat.	Carbo- hydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.
	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.	p.c.
Pea meal, ground pea chips (\$25 per ton)	8.02	25.91	2.19	61.19	.20	2.49
Pea dust (\$22 per ton)	8.37	26.16	2.77	48.70	10.28	3.72
Ground pea bran (\$14 per ton) ..	8.01	28.53	2.89	48.44	8.11	4.02
Barley feed (\$14 per ton)	8.57	12.12	4.34	59.00	10.87	5.10
Meal seeds (\$12 per ton)	5.67	7.09	3.83	60.05	19.17	4.19
Oat dust (\$5 per ton)	4.81	9.59	3.77	52.13	24.60	5.10

It is quite unnecessary to enter into any detailed discussion of these results in order to make good the point under consideration. A casual review of them with reference to the percentages of protein and fat will be sufficient to assure the reader that in most instances the food values and the prices of these feeds are not in accord. Thus, the Ground Pea Bran at \$14 per ton contains more protein than the Pea Meal which is quoted at \$25 per ton. Again, the 'Meal Seeds' at \$12 per ton is poorer in protein than the Oat Dust at \$5 per ton. Similar differences are observable between many of the other feeds, and throughout the whole series there is no direct relationship between prices and feeding value. We do not wish it to be inferred that any fraud was intended by this manufacturer; these discrepancies between price and value are, without doubt, the result of ignorance on the part of the manufacturer as to the nature of what he is selling, but they serve, as we have said, to illustrate admirably the desirability of official analysis and the selling of these products with statement as to their composition attached.

RAISINS.

At the request of the Poultry Division, Department of Agriculture, we submitted to analysis a sample of spoiled raisins, the object being to ascertain if they were of any value as a poultry food. A comparatively large quantity could be purchased at a very low rate (our correspondent writes) and it is interesting to know how they compare with grain (oats or wheat) at the same price—say 1 cent per pound.

Analysis.

	Per cent.
Moisture	7.86
Crude protein	5.19
Fat	3.39
Carbo-hydrates	72.44
Fibre	6.71
Ash	4.41
	100.00

This could not be regarded as of any considerable value either for egg or for production as the crude protein is very low—not quite half that present in oats

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

wheat, for instance. No doubt a considerable part of the carbo-hydrates is glucose or grape sugar, the function of which in the animal economy is the production of heat and energy, and to some extent, the formation of fat. We do not, however, think that this would prove a satisfactory poultry food even at 1 cent per pound.

GROUND SEEDS.

A sample under the above name was received from Joseph C. King & Co., Port Arthur, Ont. It was in the form of a fine meal, and results, we presume, from the grinding together of the weed seeds, screenings, &c., from cleaning grain.

Analysis.

	Per cent.
Moisture	8'14
Protein	15'12
Fat	8'77
Carbo-hydrates	49'12
Fibre	13'15
Ash	5'28
	<hr/>
	100'00

Compared with bran, this product contains about an equal amount of protein and about 3 per cent more fat. It is, however, about 3 per cent higher in fibre.

Provided this feed is found to be palatable, no objection can be urged to its use. The fineness to which it is ground precludes the possibility of any dissemination of weeds over the farm in the resulting manure.

HERBAGEUM.

At the request of several correspondents, an analysis of this well advertised condimental food has been made. It is manufactured by the Beaver Manufacturing Co., Galt, Ont., and its use is stated to 'ensure true economy in the production of milk, flesh, butter, cheese, poultry and eggs.'

Analysis.

	Per cent.
Moisture	6'70
Protein	22'94
Fat	6'98
*Carbo-hydrates	40'61
Fibre	7'86
**Ash	14'91
	<hr/>
	100'00

Microscopic examination shows it to consist largely of linseed meal and bran or some other wheat refuse. It also contains, in addition to the salt and sugar stated above, fenugreek and charcoal.

Its price, 4 lbs., 60c., 100 lbs., \$12, precludes its consideration as a feeding stuff—in this connection it may be pointed out that its value as such cannot be equal to oil cake meal. We must, therefore, look upon it largely as a tonic or condiment and suppose that the high price for which it is sold is placed upon it for its (alleged) medicinal properties. But viewed either as a food or medicine, or both, it is altogether

* Including sugar, 2.22 per cent. ** Including salt, 10.17 per cent.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

too dear. All its constituents are of a cheap character and the mixture, if desired, could be made at a very much lower figure.

Without denying that such condimental foods may be useful at times, the continuous or general employment of them, as is so frequently practiced, is quite unnecessary and uneconomical. Animals that are in good health and thrifty do no better from the addition of such 'tonics' to their ration—this is the conclusion reached by careful experiment—and it becomes a question whether it would not be far cheaper and better to treat stock that are out of condition as their ailments require.

SUGAR BEETS, FOR FACTORY PURPOSES.

Examples of roots from the three best varieties of sugar beets, Vilmorin's Improved, Klein Wanzleben, and Très Riche (French 'Very Rich'), as grown on the Experimental Farms during the last season, have been analysed.

SUGAR Beets grown on the Dominion Experimental Farms, 1904.

Variety.	Locality.	Percentage of Sugar in Juice.	Percentage of Solids in Juice.	Co-efficient of Purity.	Average Weight of one Root.	
					Lbs.	Oz.
Vilmorin's Improved.....	Nappan, N.S.....	15.59	20.04	77.8	1	4
".....	Ottawa, Ont.....	16.59	18.50	90.2	1	14
".....	Brandon, Man.....	16.66	20.40	81.7	1	3
".....	Indian Head, N.W.T....	14.87	18.00	82.6	1	2
".....	Agassiz, B.C.....	7.03	12.13	57.9	1	2
Klein Wanzleben.....	Nappan, N.S.....	13.83	18.03	76.7	1	10
".....	Ottawa, Ont.....	16.92	19.34	87.5	1	6
".....	Brandon, Man.....	16.65	20.50	81.2	1	6
".....	Indian Head, N.W.T....	15.96	19.50	81.8	1	2
French "Very Rich".....	Nappan, N.S.....	13.82	18.89	73.2	1	5
".....	Ottawa, Ont.....	17.24	20.01	85.7	1	5
".....	Brandon, Man.....	16.56	19.63	84.1	1	8
".....	Indian Head, N.W.T....	14.89	18.03	82.6	1	4
".....	Agassiz, B.C.....	8.17	13.13	62.2	1	2

SUGAR Beets grown on the Experimental Farms, 1904—Particulars of Growth.

Locality.	DATE.		DISTANCE BETWEEN.			Remarks.
	Sowing.	Pulling.	Rows.	Plants in Rows.		
Experimental Farm— Nappan, N.S.....	May 30..	Oct. 12..	2 0	12		Light clay loam, manured at rate of 25 one-horse cart loads per acre.
Ottawa, Ont.....		Sept. 24..	3 0	12		Rich black sandy loam, manured three years ago with barn-yard manure at the rate of 10 loads to the acre.....
Brandon, Man.....						
Indian Head, N.W.T..	May 27..	Oct. 6..	2 4	8		Clay loam, 10 loads rotted manure to the acre.
Agassiz, B.C.....	April 25..	" 24..	2 6			

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Nova Scotia, Nappan.—The beets of this farm are perceptibly of lower quality than those of last year. This is noticeable in the sugar content, but more particularly so in purity. The average percentage of sugar in the three varieties tested, for 1903, was 15'33, with a co-efficient of purity of 81'3; for the present season, the averages are 14'41 and 75'8, respectively.

It will be observed that as regards both sugar content and purity, Vilmorin's Improved is the best. Klein Wanzleben and Très Riche give results practically identical and are somewhat less valuable for factory purposes.

Ontario, Ottawa.—Both as regards sugar content and purity, the results are considerably in advance of those of 1903, due, undoubtedly, to the more favourable character of the past season. They indicate a beet in all respects eminently suitable for sugar extraction.

The following data will allow a comparison of these varieties for the past three years, as grown on the Experimental Farm, Ottawa.

	Sugar in Juice, Co-efficient of Purity. per cent.	
Vilmorin's Improved—		
1902.. . . .	17'26	87'0
1903.. . . .	15'61	92'0
1904.. . . .	16'59	90'2
Klein Wanzleben—		
1902.. . . .	17'84	91'5
1903.. . . .	15'12	86'9
1904.. . . .	16'94	87'5
Très Riche (French 'Very Rich')—		
1902.. . . .	15'81	89'1
1903.. . . .	Not grown.	
1904.. . . .	17'24	85'7

The results not only indicate the high character of these varieties for factory purposes, but furnish an excellent illustration of the effect of the season upon the sugar content of the beet. In 1903, it will be noticed, there was a considerable falling off in the percentage of sugar, compared with the results of 1902 and 1904. This was due, no doubt, to the exceptional climatic conditions that prevailed that season (1903), a protracted drought in the spring followed by heavy and continuous rains in the autumn. These rains induced a second growth of the root at a time when the storing up of sugar more particularly takes place and for which, if the sugar content is to be satisfactory, warm, dry weather is essential.

Manitoba, Brandon.—For several years past sugar beets from Manitoba, as grown at Brandon and in the neighbourhood of Winnipeg, have been analysed, but we have never before been able to report—save in what might be called one or two exceptional cases—very favourably. Thus in 1903, Vilmorin's Improved gave only 11'36 per cent sugar in juice and 73'7 co-efficient of purity. Reference to the foregoing table, however, shows the beets as grown on the Experimental Farm, Brandon, this year to be of excellent quality. Mr. Bedford, the superintendent, on being informed of the results, writes: 'I was not aware that the season had been particularly favourable to a high sugar content, but nearly all field roots with us have given above an average yield.'

North-west Territories, Indian Head.—In all three varieties a very satisfactory sugar content was obtained. The percentages of sugar are slightly lower than those for 1903, but are sufficiently high for factory purposes.

British Columbia, Agassiz.—The two varieties received this year from the Experimental Farm at Agassiz, Vilmorin's Improved and Très Riche, were very poor in

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

sugar content, with a corresponding low co-efficient of purity. In 1903, excellent beets were grown here, showing a very satisfactory sugar content. Mr. Sharpe reports 'a very poor season (1904) for mangels, carrots, and sugar beets,' so we must suppose the present unsatisfactory results have been due to specially unfavourable climatic conditions.

CHEMISTRY OF THE SUGAR BEET.

Within the last few years, as is well known, there has been a revival in certain centres in the Dominion of the beet sugar industry, and factories are now in operation at Berlin and Wallaceburg, Ontario, and at Raymond, Alta, N.W.T.

The commercial success of the undertaking at any point depends very largely on obtaining an adequate supply of beets. It is necessary, if the extraction of the sugar is to be profitable and the return to the farmer a lucrative one, not only that the beets be up to a certain standard of richness and purity, but also that the tonnage available, in other words, the acreage be sufficiently large. According to the size of the 'plant' or factory so will the tonnage be necessary for its profitable operation, but we may safely assume that not less than 30,000 tons will be required for a modern factory—one Ontario factory stated 40,000 tons as a minimum, and another, 50,000 tons. If we allow a yield of 10 tons per acre (the average over large areas is somewhat less), the area under beets, within reasonable distance of a factory necessary to satisfactorily supply its requirements, will be from 3,000 to 5,000 acres. These considerations and the further fact that on some part of the farm the crop must be grown annually (or otherwise there will be a shortage of beets for the factory), have led to many inquiries as to the effect of the sugar beet on the soil, i.e., as regards the exhaustion of the more essential elements of plant food.

To answer these inquiries we have submitted to analysis beets—roots, collars or crowns and leaves, separately—at three stage of growth, determining, among other constituents, the percentages of nitrogen, phosphoric acid, potash, and lime present. The variety selected was Klein Wanzleben and the collections were made on July 29, September 8, and October 19. The soil of the plot (Experimental Farm, Ottawa) was a fairly rich, warm, well drained sandy loam.

Immediately on taking the samples the beets were cleaned and the proportions (by weight) of the leaves, collars, and dressed roots (as ready for the factory) determined.

PROPORTION of Leaves, Collars and Roots in Sugar Beets.

Date of Collections.		Leaves.	Collars or Crowns.	Roots.
First collection,	July 29.....	68·3	6·4	25·3
Second	" Sept. 8.....	46·4	12·7	40·9
Third	" Oct. 19.....	37·8	11·4	50·8

The proportion of the dressed root had increased from 25·3 per cent to 50·8 per cent between July 29 and October 19 (practically an increase of 100 per cent), while the relative weight of leaves had decreased from 68 per cent to 37 per cent, or 44·6 per cent. The proportion of crowns or collars, the part from which the leaves spring and which with the leaves is left on the ground when dressing the beets for the factory, increased from 6·4 per cent to 12·7 per cent, practically 100 per cent, between the dates of the first and second collection. On October 19, when the last collection was made the proportion of collar was somewhat less, viz., 11·4 per cent.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The composition of the leaves, collars and roots as regards water, organic matter, and ash, on the several dates of collection, is shown by the following data :—

ANALYSIS of Sugar Beets.

Date of Collections.	LEAVES.			COLLARS OR CROWNS.			ROOTS.		
	Water.	Organic Matter.	Ash.	Water.	Organic Matter.	Ash.	Water.	Organic Matter.	Ash.
First collection, July 29	92·16	5·96	1·88	84·21	14·59	1·20	86·38	12·71	·91
Second " Sept. 8	89·16	8·74	2·10	80·95	17·59	1·46	82·12	16·97	·91
Third " Oct. 19	87·58	10·10	2·32	79·50	19·22	1·28	80·70	18·50	·80

Leaves.—These show a general and continuous increase in organic matter and ash constituents throughout the growing period.

Compared, weight for weight, with the collars and dressed roots, the leaves are considerably lower in organic matter, but decidedly higher in ash. This is true at all three periods of growth at which the examination was made.

Collars or Crowns.—These also show a continuous increase in organic matter, though the increase is not so marked as in the leaves. On July 29 the percentage of organic matter was almost three times that of the leaves. On the two last dates of collection it was practically twice that of the leaves.

Compared with the dressed roots, the collars are invariably the higher (from 1 per cent to 2 per cent) in organic matter.

The percentage of ash is intermediate between that of the leaves and that of dressed roots, but unlike that in the leaves does not uniformly increase. The results seem to show a slight increase between July 29 and September 8, but a decline from that date till October 11, practically to the percentage present on July 29.

Roots.—As regards organic matter, we find a marked increase throughout the whole period. The percentage of ash remained the same from July 29 to September 8, and fell off a little from the latter date till October 19.

FERTILIZING CONSTITUENTS IN THE BEET.

Proceeding to a discussion of the essential elements of fertility present in the roots, collars and leaves, respectively, we may first consider briefly the data of the following table, which gives the percentages of phosphoric acid, potash, lime and nitrogen, in the fresh material :—

FERTILIZING Constituents in Sugar Beets (in fresh material).

Dates of Collection.	LEAVES.				COLLARS OR CROWNS.				ROOTS.			
	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.
First collection, July 29	·051	·563	·129	·231	·106	·332	·038	·194	·086	·493	·066	·148
Second " Sept. 8	·065	·716	·184	·249	·111	·354	·042	·221	·115	·366	·032	·138
Third " Oct. 19	·110	·823	·211	·279	·132	·303	·062	·271	·106	·338	·046	·187

Leaves.—As might have been expected from the already observed continued increase in organic matter and ash, the percentages of all these elements increase.

Weight for weight, the leaves are very much richer in potash than either the collars or dressed roots, and the percentage of this element, it will be noticed, makes a very marked advance as the season progresses.

The same tendency is to be observed in the case of the phosphoric acid and lime and nitrogen. It is thus seen that the older leaves, compared weight for weight, contain much more soil-derived plant food than the younger.

Collars or Crowns.—Here we find a slight increase in the percentages of phosphoric acid and lime, but a falling off in the potash.

The percentage of nitrogen shows a notable increase in this part of the beet as the plant grows.

Roots.—As the season advances, the following changes are to be noted: The phosphoric acid slightly increases; the potash shows a slight, but more apparent decrease; the nitrogen apparently increases, though the gain is a small one.

A review of the foregoing data when calculated on the dry matter (water-free material) reveals certain interesting facts:

FERTILIZING Constituents of Sugar Beets : Calculated on Water-free material.

Dates of Collection.	LEAVES.				COLLARS OR CROWNS.				ROOTS.			
	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.
1st collection, July 29	·646	7·18	1·64	2·95	·670	2·42	·24	1·23	·637	2·96	·50	1·09
2nd " Sept. 8	·60	6·61	1·70	2·30	·584	1·86	·22	1·16	·643	2·05	·18	·77
3rd " Oct. 19	·888	6·63	1·70	2·25	·643	1·48	·30	1·32	·549	1·75	·24	·97

Leaves.—Neglecting slight differences, the dry matter of the leaves remains fairly constant throughout the season (July-October) in phosphoric acid and lime.

In potash and nitrogen the percentages decrease perceptibly, more particularly during August. During September there is but little change. It is evident, therefore, that the increase of these constituents before noted as appearing in the fresh leaves, is due to the larger amount of dry matter contained in the leaves as the plant reaches maturity, rather than to any enrichment of that dry matter. This points to the greater absorption of these constituents from the soil in the early stages of growth than subsequently.

Collars or Crowns.—The phosphoric acid and lime do not vary to any large degree, but the percentage of potash falls away very considerably as the plant approaches maturity. The nitrogen suffers slight change, but the direction of the change is not well marked.

Roots.—The most notable fact to be observed is the large decrease in potash content, as the season advances, evidently due in a large measure to relatively less potash being absorbed in the later months of the season and the fact that it is particularly during this latter period that the sugar is developed, thus, as it were, diluting the mineral constituents in the root. The lime is reduced to about one-half, from July to October very probably the causes being those just stated. There are minor fluctuations of the other constituents, but they are not sufficiently marked to allow of hard and fast deductions being made as to the general trend in content of these elements in the dry matter as the beet ripens.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FERTILIZING CONSTITUENTS PER ACRE.

From the practical standpoint of the beet grower, who naturally wishes to know the amounts of fertilizing constituents taken from the soil and contained in the different parts of the beet at the various stages of growth, the data of the concluding tables will prove of interest and value. The results will also prove useful in a consideration of those fertilizers that it may be necessary to employ for the sugar beet crop, and at the same time maintain the soil's productiveness.

To obtain them we have employed the foregoing data and the weights of the various parts taken from an equal number of beets at date of collection, the only assumption entering into the calculation being that of 10 tons per acre of dressed roots at maturity.

WEIGHT per Acre of the different parts of the Sugar Beet: Computed on the basis of 10 Tons of Dressed Roots, October 19.

Dates of Collection.	Leaves.		Collars.		Roots.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
First collection, July 29.....	4	1,381	..	879	1	1,475
Second " Sept. 8	9	1,702	2	1,392	8	1,367
Third " Oct. 19.....	7	872	2	470	10	..

In spite of the large increase in the weight of the dressed roots per acre during the period, September 8 to October 19 (due chiefly to the development of sugar), the total weight of the crop is less on the latter than on the former date. The weights, respectively, are 21 tons, 461 lbs. on September 8, and 19 tons, 134 lbs. on October 19. This is explained chiefly by the drying out of the leaves; the loss of the weight of water in this way being greater than the gain in weight of sugar. It may in a small measure be also due to the breaking off and falling away of certain of the more mature leaves. This would not only lessen the weight of crop at this date, but also reduce the amounts of the fertilizing constituents contained in the crop at this period, and thus explain a certain small decrease in weight of potash per acre noticeable between September 8 and October 19.

It is of interest to observe that of the total weight of crop at harvesting, if the beets are properly 'topped' on the field, practically one-half is removed in the dressed beets.

In the following tabular scheme the data representing the fertilizing constituents of the crop are given, the figures indicating the amounts (per acre) found in the various parts at the three periods of collection:—

FERTILIZING Constituents in Beet Crop. Pounds per Acre (Computed).

Dates of Collections.	LEAVES.				COLLARS OR CROWNS.				ROOTS.			
	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.
1 collection, July 29	4.8	52.8	12.1	21.7	5.9	3.3	3	1.7	3.0	14.0	2.4	5.1
2 " Sept. 8	12.8	141.0	36.2	49.0	6.0	19.0	2.3	12.0	20.0	63.6	5.6	24.0
3 " Oct. 19	16.3	122.4	31.4	41.5	5.9	13.5	2.8	12.1	21.2	67.6	9.2	37.4

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

There is in these results much of interest, but it may suffice for our present purpose to call attention to one or two of the more important deductions that may be made from them. The largest draught is upon the potash. On July 29, the amount was 70 lbs. per acre, increasing to a total of over 200 lbs. by the time the beets were ready to harvest.

The relative amounts of this potash in roots and leaves is also a matter of importance. Thus, according to these results, there is at the time of harvesting the beet practically twice as much potash in the leaves and crowns taken together as in the dressed roots.

Further, we conclude that at this period the phosphoric acid in the dressed roots is essentially equal to that in the leaves and crowns taken together, while the nitrogen in the dressed roots is two-thirds of that contained in the rest of the beet. These deductions will perhaps be more evident from the following table of data, given for October 19, 1904 :

FERTILIZING Constituents in Beet Crop, per Acre.

Constituents.	Leaves and Crowns.	Dressed Roots.	Total.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Potash	135.9	67.6	203.5
Phosphoric acid.....	22.2	21.2	43.4
Nitrogen	53.6	37.4	91.0

It is very evident that if the leaves are carted away and used as cattle food the restitution of potash and nitrogen to maintain the fertility of the soil must be very much greater than if the crop is 'topped' on the field.

Another important deduction may be made respecting the period of growth at which this plant food is more particularly absorbed by the beet crop. The figures from which to obtain this information are as follows :

WEIGHTS of Fertilizing Constituents per Acre in Beet Crop (Roots, Crowns and Leaves) at various stages of growth.

Dates of Collections.	Phosphoric Acid.	Potash.	Lime.	Nitrogen.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
First collection, July 29	8.7	70.1	14.8	28.5
Second " Sept. 8.....	38.8	213.6	44.1	85.0
Third " Oct. 19*.....	43.4	203.5	43.4	91.0

It needs but a glance to show that there is very little appropriation of soil food after September 1, though from that date till the middle of October there was a large production of sugar, as made evident by the increase in the weight of dressed roots (1 ton 633 lbs. per acre) and the higher percentage of sugar in them. The percentages of sugar in the beet at the various periods, were as follows : July 29, 8.07 per cent; September 8, 14.12 per cent ; and on October 19, 14.94 per cent. This early assimilation of nourishment from the soil, to my mind, points to the desirability of thorough

*From the weight of potash recorded for this collection being less than that for Sept. 8th, it seems quite probable that all the data for the third collection are somewhat too low—owing, we conjecture, chiefly to the loss of mature leaves, as already explained.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

preparation of the soil, so that by a favourable tilth or mechanical condition of the soil and a generous supply of available plant food the young plant may make a rapid growth during the spring and early summer months. It is not desirable, as is well known, to grow a large beet, as that would mean a poor beet for factory purposes, but the size should be controlled by the system of sowing rather than by lack of plant food or an unfavourable condition of the soil. The elaboration of sugar—the aim of growing the crop—does not take place to any large extent while the beet is yet young, it occurs rather during the maturation of the plant. For a large production of sugar there must be an abundance of foliage, and this cannot be obtained unless the plant has access to large stores of soil food, both mineral and nitrogenous, during that earlier period in the beet's history, when the foliage is more particularly developed.

THE EFFECT OF RUST ON THE STRAW AND GRAIN OF WHEAT.

The prevalence of rust this season in certain districts of Manitoba has led to inquiries regarding the general effect of this fungus upon the wheat plant—both straw and grain—and more particularly as to how it may influence their feeding value. To obtain data on this subject, samples of both rusted and rust-free wheat have been obtained and analysed.

In order that the results should be strictly comparable, it was important in procuring these samples that the clean and the affected wheat should be of the same age and grown on the same soil. Through the kind offices of the editor of the 'Nor-West Farmer,' we were able to secure such specimens. In the letter accompanying them (under date of September 15), it is stated that both wheats were collected by hand on the same day in the same field, on the farm of Sir William Van Horne at East Selkirk, Manitoba.

There was a marked difference in appearance between them, both in straw and grain. The rust-free wheat had a clear, bright yellow, well-ripened straw; a normal ear, both as to size and colour, and plump, well-filled grain. On the other hand, the rusted wheat straw presented in general a dirty greenish-brown appearance and on closer inspection showed many spots or patches of infection, while its ears were smaller than normal and the kernels light and much shrivelled.

ANALYSIS of Rusted and Rust-free Wheat—Straw and Grain.

	Weight of 100 kernels.	Moisture.	Crude Protein.	Crude Fat.	Carbo- hydrates.	Fibre.	Ash.
	Grams.						
Straw from rust-free wheat.....		7.92	2.44	1.65	39.00	39.95	9.04
" rusted "		7.92	7.69	1.97	38.44	36.78	7.20
Grain from rust-free wheat	3.0504	12.26	10.50	2.56	70.55	2.29	1.84
" rusted "	1.4944	10.66	13.69	2.35	68.03	3.03	2.24

The Straw.—We first notice that in crude protein the rusted straw is much the richer. Under the term crude protein is included all those nitrogenous compounds of a food that go to repair waste, form blood and build up muscle and tissue. The high

value of concentrated feed stuffs is due chiefly to the large proportion of protein they contain. It may safely be concluded, therefore, that the rusted straw, containing as it does more than three times the protein found in the rust-free straw, is very much superior in feeding value.

Further, in the rusted straw we have a slightly higher percentage of fat—the constituent next in value to protein—and somewhat less fibre—the element of least value in a fodder, and hence there is additional evidence of the most satisfactory character to support the statement respecting the more nutritious nature of the rust-affected straw.

The Grain.—The small and shrivelled character of the grain from the rusted wheat may be deduced from the data in the first column of the table—the weight of 100 kernels being only half that of 100 kernels from the unaffected wheat. This fact, however, from the standpoint of a feed does not betoken a lessening of the nutritive qualities; indeed, as the data for the protein show, it has, weight for weight, considerably the higher value.

The protein of the shrivelled grain is 3.19 per cent higher than that of the plump grain from the rust-free plant. Part of this higher protein content in the smaller grain is no doubt to be accounted for in its larger proportion of bran—but chiefly is it due to the fact that the transference and accumulation of starch in the kernel has been but partial and incomplete.*

Other features of note in the analysis of the grain from the rusted wheat are :
 (1) the somewhat larger percentages of fibre and ash—indicating more bran—and,
 (2) the lower carbo-hydrates (starch) and fat content.

Apart from the valuable information that these data furnish regarding the relative feeding value of the straw and grain of rusted wheat, we have in these results interesting evidence as to the physiological effect of the rust on the wheat plant. Speaking broadly, there are (after germination) two periods in the life of the wheat plant—the first, a period of feeding and assimilation; the second, a later and usually shorter period, during which the food materials accumulated in the stem and leaf (straw) are transferred to and stored in the seed (kernel). There is, of course, no exact time when it can be said that the one ends and the other begins. Under normal conditions there is a gradual cessation of feeding, both by root and leaf, accompanied by an ever increasing movement of the accumulated material to the seed. The first period is characterized by growth, the second is recognized by the maturation or ripening of the seed.

Further, it would seem that in the development of the seed, the albuminoids or protein are the first to be transferred and later—towards the close of the maturation period—the carbo-hydrates (starch, &c.), are more particularly deposited.

The rust apparently does not affect the vitality of the wheat plant during the first stage or period, but as the season progresses and the ripening period advances the fungus attains the ascendancy, crippling the energies and functions of the tissues and checking the movement of the food materials to the seed. In other words, the growth of the rust arrests development and induces premature ripening, which, as we have seen, means a straw in which still remains the elaborated food, and a grain small, shrivelled, immature, rich in protein and deficient in starch.

It may be well to point out that although the rust makes the grain more nitrogenous, it at the same time very materially reduces the yield per acre—the present figures indicating a loss in weight of about 50 per cent.

We have not as yet been able to complete the analysis of the milling products of this shrivelled wheat, but we may rest assured until such time as the data are avail-

* NOTE.—Some years ago in determining the relative feeding value of frosted wheat (which presents a shrivelled appearance very similar to that of the grain from rusted wheat) we found that the protein content was considerably higher than in the unfrosted mature grain. It is evident that the effect of rust and frost in this respect, is the same, resulting in a premature ripening or rather a drying out of the grain which, as we have seen, means a kernel high in protein, but low in starch.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

able that its proportion of bran to flour will be higher than from normally ripened wheat. We may, further, conjecture that this bran will be found slightly more nitrogenous than that from rust-free wheat. It is held by certain millers that rust makes the flour somewhat 'stronger,' but at the moment there are no data, I believe, to support this contention.

WELL WATERS FROM FARM HOMESTEADS.

One hundred samples of well water have been received during the past year. Of these, 66 were submitted to analysis, the remaining 34, by reason of insufficient quantity or a dirty bottle or cork, were not examined. In the appended table the data obtained are given, together with a very brief conclusion as to the character of the water. To those forwarding the samples more extensive reports have been sent, indicating the character of the pollution when present, and when necessary and possible making suggestions for the improvement of the supply.

It will be seen by reference to the table that of the 66 waters examined, 27 were returned as safe and wholesome, 18 were found most seriously polluted, and 16 were reported as very suspicious and probably unsafe. Five were saline waters.

There are too many shallow wells in existence and most of them, I regret to say, are situated so that they may receive soakage from the barnyard or similar contaminating source. The barnyard and back-door wells should all be filled up, for they are a menace to the farmer and his family and, further, it should be emphasized that water which is dangerous to use in the house cannot be good for stock.

The soil is an excellent purifying agent, but it has its limitations and once it has become loaded and choked with organic filth it cannot longer perform this beneficial function. When once the soil surrounding a well has become so charged no amount of cleaning the well will prove effective; the well should be abandoned.

Our 'deep seated' waters are for the most part pure and the driven well, placed at safe distance from the farm buildings and equipped with a windmill pump, should be a source on many farms of an ample and wholesome supply for house and barn. There are other sources of good water, creeks, rivers, and lakes, and these can frequently be utilized at little cost. An earnest and intelligent effort will result in most instances in securing pure water, and no farmer should rest content without making this effort if his present supply is from the barnyard well. Pure water is as necessary and desirable in the country as in the city, and there is no reason, with a moderate outlay, why it should not be found in the rural home. We believe there has been a great improvement in this matter during recent years, but the facts clearly show that there is yet room for advance.

All that has been said regarding the supply for the farm applies with equal force to that of the creamery and cheese factory. It was admitted at the Dairy Conference recently held in Ottawa that the water supplies of many of these factories were anything but satisfactory. Instances, and many of them, were given of very foul water being used in the making of both butter and cheese—and the consensus of opinion amongst those present was that there should be a systematic inspection and examination of all the supplies of creameries and cheese factories and, if necessary, to have legislation on the matter. Dairy experts are agreed that neither first-class butter or cheese can be made if the water is not good. It will thus be seen that the water question is one that affects our commerce as well as our health.

ANALYSES OF WELL WATERS, 1904.

RESULTS STATED IN PARTS PER MILLION.

Number.	Locality.	Marks.	Date.	Free Ammonia.	Albuminoid Ammonia.	Nitrogen in Nitrates and Nitrates.	Chlorine.	Total Solids at 105° C.	Solids after Ignition.	Loss on Ignition.	Phosphates.	Report.
1	Dunham, Que.	E. O'L.	1903. Dec. 7	.058	.105	.100	Nil.	65.6	48.0	17.6	Slight trace.	Eminently suited for drinking and household purposes.
2	Calgary, Alta.	J. A. T.	" 14	Free.	.162	.44	2.5	667.0	419.0	248.0	None	Good and wholesome.
3	Yankleek Hill, Ont.	J. A. Mac.	1904. Jan. 18	.05	.095	5.171	34.5	422.4	265.8	155.6	Traces.	Very suspicious.
4	Knowlton, Que.	S. A. F.	Feb. 6	.445	.425	8.375	5.8	185.6	89.6	96.0	Heavy trace.	Contaminated with drainagewater.
5	Welwyn Station, Assa.	A. S.	" 8	.138	.98	11.559	173.0	8632.8	6140.0	2492.6	"	Probably unpolluted.
6	Fredericton, N.B.	F. A. G. No. 1.	Mar. 14	.015	.1875	.267	3.0	72.0	32.0	40.0	Free.	"
7	"	" No. 2.	" 14	.09	.045	.111	1.0	8.0	3.0	5.0	"	"
8	Bayswater, Ont.	L. N.	" 19	.036	.135	4.615	21.0	407.6	182.4	225.2	Traces.	Polluted.
9	Oaklake, Man.	H. R. T.	" 28	.01	.315	4.29	72.5	4071.0	3138.0	933.0	None	Saline water.
10	McAdam, N.B.	J. W. H.	" 28	.06	.05	3.261	9.0	102.4	62.6	39.0	"	Suspicious.
11	"	D. T.	" 28	Free.	.075	3.623	6.75	71.6	33.6	38.0	"	Somewhat suspicious.
12	Nepean, Ont.	J. K.	" 29	.45	.45	5.419	27.5	446.0	247.2	198.8	"	Very seriously polluted.
13	Dunham, Que.	A. W. W.	Apr. 14	.335	.135	1.815	2.0	182.4	108.4	74.0	"	Suspicious.
14	Maibone Bay, N.S.	A. C. No. 3.	May 18	.045	.18	.058	4.0	27.6	2.8	24.8	V. S. trace.	Good and wholesome.
15	"	" No. 4.	" 18	.038	.125	.017	4.0	32.4	4.4	28.0	Slight trace.	"
16	"	" No. 5.	" 18	.02	.195	.082	6.25	34.8	6.4	28.4	None	"
17	Clinton, Ont.	D. A. T.	" 25	Free.	.18	11.62	18.3	438.2	254.4	183.8	Traces.	Contaminated.
18	L'Epiphanie, Que.	J. P. C.	June 7	.050	.165	.041	32.5	166.0	126.4	39.6	"	Good and wholesome.
19	Campbell's Bay, Que.	T. A. McI.	" 14	.10	.90	.29	1.0	253.0	149.0	104.0	"	Very suspicious.
20	Nepean, Ont.	W. L. R.	July 6	13.07	5.03	4.38	110.0	944.8	560.0	384.8	V. H. trace.	Contaminated; unfit for domestic purposes.
21	Hintonburg, Ont.	H. R.	" 12	.024	.115	3.892	14.5	341.0	216.8	127.2	Hvy. ppte.	Seriously polluted.
22	Wallace, N.S.	C. W. M.	" 20	.036	.4575	.0037	170.0	191.0	112.0	79.0	V. S. trace.	Suspicious.
23	Fredericton, N.B.	E. B. J. No. 1.	Aug. 1	.06	.188	.092	2.3	70.0	33.6	36.4	Trace.	Free from pollution.
24	"	" No. 2.	" 1	.188	.165	.0297	2.8	75.6	35.6	40.0	Traces.	Seriously contaminated.
25	"	" No. 3.	" 1	.03	.14	.0249	2.2	69.2	33.2	36.0	"	Free from pollution.
26	"	" No. 4.	" 1	Free.	.138	.05	1.6	64.4	32.4	32.0	None	"
27	South Durlum, Que.	E. M.	" 3	1.14	.135	3.55	22.5	207.6	152.8	54.8	Heavy trace	Very seriously polluted.
28	Muskoka, Ont.	W. G. O'H.	" 4	.24	.47	4.923	60.0	344.4	207.6	136.8	Traces.	Contaminated with drainagewater.
29	Wellesboro, P.E.I.	J. R.	" 9	.056	.038	3.0	17.0	178.8	103.6	75.2	V. H. trace.	Dangerously contaminated.
30	"	A. C.	" 2	Free.	Free.	.288	9.0	126.4	86.4	40.0	Slight trace.	Wholesome.

No.	Locality	Dr. Name	Sex	Age	Color	Size	Weight	Measurements	Remarks
31	W. M. S.	C. W. M.	"	9	"	02	50	144-0	Heavy trace
32	Sky, Ont.	H. A. McD.	"	9	3-87	21-0	50	144-0	Trace
33	Hampton, N.B.	J. D. F.	"	10	Free	28-0	40	60-8	Suspicious
34	Rockliffe, Ont.	M. A. S.	"	16	Free	110-0	428	72-0	Very seriously polluted.
35	Lancaster, Ont.	W. J. S.	"	17	Free	21-0	428	196-0	Suspicious.
36	Shawville, Que.	R. H.	"	25	Free	21-0	428	56-8	Wholesome.
37	Shawville, Que.	R. H.	"	25	Free	21-0	428	72-8	Most seriously polluted.
38	Ashcroft, B.C.	R. S.	"	25	Free	21-0	428	14-8	Good and wholesome.
39	Somenos, B.C.	J. J.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	293-2	Slightly saline, but most probably wholesome.
40	Channel, Que.	J. W. P.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	16-0	Very good water.
41	Amirion, Ont.	G. A. C.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	55-2	Free from pollution.
42	Fredericton, N.B.	E. B. J.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	287-2	Very seriously polluted.
43	"	"	"	30	Free	21-0	428	43-2	Good and wholesome.
44	"	"	"	30	Free	21-0	428	43-2	"
45	"	"	"	30	Free	21-0	428	43-2	"
46	Carleton Place, Ont.	J. H. C.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	34-0	"
47	Griffith, N.W.T.	E. F.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	44-0	"
48	Baldwin, Man.	H. McPh.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	112-4	Heavy trace
49	Wolsley, Ass.	C. J. R.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	485-0	Saline water.
50	Wolsley Station, Ont.	C. J. R.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	240-5	Suspicious.
51	Westboro, Ont.	A. C. M. S.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	278-2	Saline water.
52	Sussex, N.B.	W. B. McK.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	88-0	Decidedly suspicious.
53	"	B. S.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	25-6	Suspicious.
54	Arrowhead, B.C.	D. T. H.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	60-0	Pure and wholesome.
55	Maxton, Alta.	A. A. M. S.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	230-8	Pure and wholesome.
56	Westboro, Ont.	G. T. D.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	311-2	Excellent water.
57	Yellow Grass, Ass.	A. C. M. S.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	61-6	Seriously contaminated.
58	Gaspé, Que.	L. P. D.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	1912-8	Strongly saline.
59	St. Samuel, Beauce, Que.	L. P. D.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	101-2	Most seriously polluted.
60	Kingsmere, Que.	J. G. G.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	54-8	Pure and wholesome.
61	North Gower, Ont.	A. O. M.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	100-0	Very suspicious.
62	Galaxy, N.W.T.	A. O. M.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	135-0	Free from pollution.
63	Westboro, Ont.	A. C. M. S.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	114-8	Safe and wholesome.
64	Rideauville, Ont.	Mrs. J. H. A.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	632-8	Very suspicious.
65	Oranigan Landing, B.C.	F. H. C.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	376-0	Very suspicious, most probably dangerous.
66	South March, Ont.	J. W.	"	30	Free	21-0	428	160-4	Showing evidence of previous contamination and probably unwholesome.

THE SEPTIC TANK FOR THE DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE.

Certainly one of the most hopeful signs of progress, one might almost say of advancement in civilization, at the present time is the widespread desire in the country home for a better and more convenient water supply, for a bath-room, and for those sanitary conveniences (closet, sink, laundry, &c.), which go so far towards making the difference in comfort between the city and the farm house, especially in the winter. The requests for information regarding these matters, and particularly respecting some simple and effective method for the disposal of the sewage from the farm house, have been very numerous during the past year.

As regards the latter question, these inquiries have been answered by an account of the septic tank system, a comparatively speaking new system, but one that has proved highly satisfactory, as far as the writer is aware, wherever it has been tried. In many instances this correspondence has further led to requests for details, dimensions and drawings. It has, therefore, been thought advisable to insert the following detailed account of this system with illustration in the Annual Report, since its publication in this way will not only bring the matter prominently before a very large number of farmers, but will place on record in an available form particulars which it is almost impossible to furnish in the limited scope afforded by an ordinary letter.

We have no hesitation in saying, at the outset, that there is no method of sewage disposal at once so effective, so cheap, and so simple for the farm house, the creamery and the cheese factory, as that which is known as the Septic Tank System. For its working, a water supply in the house or building is necessary, but there is no good reason now-a-days why such should not be obtainable on the majority of farms. There are many means of bringing water from a safe, and perhaps fairly distant source, into the house and barns, and one or other of these, as circumstances dictate, should be employed. Apart from the question of sewage disposal, apart from the convenience and the saving of labour that would follow, such a water supply must now be considered from the health standpoint most desirable, if not a necessity. Reference to results given annually in these reports show that the shallow well, sunk in the barnyard or about the farm buildings ought to be abandoned. Such wells are always a menace to the health of the farmer and his family, and his stock. With a water supply in the house—even though that may consist merely of a tank in one of the upper rooms periodically filled by a force pump, and from which pipes lead to the bath room and kitchen—there is nothing to prevent the installation of this system, which, as one writer of authority puts it, is at once ‘inexpensive, absolutely automatic, scientific simple, and in every way thoroughly efficient and satisfactory.’

Very briefly, the system may be outlined as follows:—The sewage or waste from the closet and sink is conducted by the soil pipe, 4 inches in diameter, into a tank, situated outside the building, in which, without the addition of any chemical or disinfectant, but simply by the action of certain self-sown microbe or bacteria (which accomplish their useful work of destruction largely in the absence of light and air), its organic matter—its filth—is decomposed and rendered harmless, and moreover its disease germs, if any are present, destroyed. The effluent or what might be termed purified sewage is now discharged automatically and intermittently from the tank, either into a filter box containing gravel or sand, or coke, or, better still, into a system of subsurface or distributing field tiles of unglazed ware which allow the effluent to soak into the soil throughout their whole length. The distance from the house to the tank is not a matter of any moment. The tank must be water-tight, and may be constructed of brick or stone cemented or, preferably, of concrete. When the system was first put into use it was supposed that light and air prevented the development of the filth destroying bacteria and, therefore, that it was essential for the tank to be practically light tight and air tight. Further, it was held that the inlet and outlet should be so arranged that the sewage would not be disturbed by currents. According to certain authorities it is still believed that the bacteria can only do their best work under these conditions. More recent investigations, however, go to show that su

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

precautions are unnecessary. The bacteria which are engaged in this destruction, or rather nitrification, of the organic matter of the sewage do not all belong to that class which can only thrive in the absence of the oxygen of the air. However, these considerations need not be here further discussed. It is sufficient for our present purpose to know that the system, as consisting of the closed tank and distributing tiles, is efficient alike in the satisfactory disposal of house sewage and waste from the cheese factory or creamery.

For practical purposes—that is, for the installation of the system—the following details and the accompanying illustrations will no doubt prove serviceable.

SEPTIC TANK AND DISTRIBUTING TILES

Fig. 7




Fig. 2.




Figure I. represents a tank fitted with the automatic discharge valve. The size of the tank for the ordinary farm house may be 6 feet long by 3 feet wide by 3 feet deep, or a working capacity, say, of 120 gallons to each compartment. Since it is apparently desirable that the sewage should be submitted to the action of the bacteria for a period of 24 to 36 hours before passing into the second compartment of the tank, it is perhaps better to have the tank a little too large than too small. It is customary to allow a capacity of 12 gallons for each person. It will be seen that by a partition wall, carried within two inches of the top or roof, the tank is divided into two smaller tanks or compartments, figured as No. 1 and 2. Into the first of these, near the top, the sewage from the house flows through the glazed tile E. connected with the soil pipe which opens above the roof. The pipes from closet, bath, sink, &c., should, of course, be trapped before entering the soil pipe.

In this tank or compartment (No. 1) there should be a perforated partition, as shown in cut, to prevent paper and other solids entering the overflow and being carried over into compartment No. 2. It is in No. 1 compartment that the bacteria chiefly effect their work of decomposing the organic matter of the sewage, and when in time this compartment becomes full its fluid contents pass over into No. 2 by means of the overflow F. If there is no perforated partition in No. 1 the lower orifice of this overflow (F) is covered with wire netting which may act as a strainer to prevent any paper, &c., passing into No. 2. In compartment No. 2 is the automatic valve H., connected with the discharge pipe D, which carries off the effluent to the subsurface tiles (see figure 2). The success of the system depends largely upon this valve, for it is essential that compartment No. 2 should be emptied as soon as it is full, and then allowed to refill. This can only be satisfactorily accomplished by a self-acting (both opening and closing) valve.* This second chamber should have a 4-inch vent pipe to allow the entrance of air. Manholes are provided at G, to permit of the examination of the tank at any time. The probabilities are, however, that no cleaning out will be necessary for years, as the action of the bacteria is very thorough and complete in destroying the organic matter.

The tank must be so situated that its glazed discharge pipe D. *at the point from which the field tiles are led off is not more than 12 inches below the surface of the ground.* This pipe as well as the field tiles are to be laid on a level so that the latter will be equally filled when the tank is discharged. If the ground be level, this will necessitate constructing the tank so that a portion of it is above the surface of the land, and in this case it should be banked around, covered with earth and sodded. It is not essential that the tank should be close to the house, but if placed at a distance the inlet pipe should have a fall from the house and be protected from the frost. Un glazed field tiles inserted every two or three feet along the discharge pipe D. finally distribute the effluent through the soil (Fig. 2). If desired, these distributing tiles from D need not commence in the immediate vicinity of the tank, but it is important that they should not be at a greater depth than 12 inches, unless the soil is very light and sandy and has good natural drainage. Since a 4-inch tile holds $\frac{3}{4}$ gallon and it is essential that there should be tile capacity for all the effluent immediately on discharge a tank with a compartment No. 2 of 100 gallons will require at least 200 distributing tiles. If the soil is heavy clay, it should be underdrained. When the soil is of such a character that percolation is very difficult, the distributing tiles may be replaced by a 'filter box' of sufficient size, filled with sand or gravel, or coke, the effluent entering near the top at one end and being conducted away from the other end by subdrain. However, in practice it is found that a larger tank with less frequent discharge and more tiles is preferable to a filter box.

*An automatic discharge valve is made by the Dominion Valve Co., Toronto. The price is from \$18 to \$25, according to size and quality.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

This system is in operation in many parts of Canada and has proved satisfactory wherever installed, so that it cannot be regarded as an experiment. It is in use in rural homes, in several cheese factories and creameries, in asylums, factories, &c., and in every instance, I believe, it is working efficiently.

In the preparation of this article, the writer has consulted Dr. P. H. Bryce, Chief Medical Officer of the Department of the Interior, who, when Secretary of the Provincial Board of Health for Ontario, was instrumental in introducing this system into various public institutions. He authorizes the statement that after 15 years' experience this system properly installed has proved the most sanitary and most economical method yet discovered for sewage disposal on a moderate scale.

AN AGRICULTURAL TOUR IN BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Accompanied by Mr. J. R. Anderson, Deputy Minister of Agriculture, Victoria, I visited during May and June of the present year the greater number of the more important agricultural districts of that province, both on Vancouver Island and the main-land. This tour had been under contemplation for some time past, for the number of inquiries regarding soils, crops, &c., &c., received from that province has been steadily on the increase for several years, and it was felt that the information, the advice thus sought could be more satisfactorily given if the writer had some personal knowledge of the country, its soils, and methods of farming. Further, it was desirable to study more fully the climatic conditions prevailing in the various districts referred to, as well as to obtain an insight into the practice of irrigation, largely used in the Okanagan, Nicola and valleys and other parts of the 'dry belt' of British Columbia. The itinerary was planned and arranged by Mr. Anderson, to whom I am greatly indebted for much help and many kindnesses. It was at a time when one could best study the soils and their crops and afford an opportunity of meeting the men working the land on the land and discussing with them their failures and successes. The days, therefore, were spent largely in examining soils, crops, and conditions generally. We were frequently accompanied through the fields by the farmer and his neighbours and this enabled us to hold many impromptu meetings 'on the ground,' which proved of much interest and value to all present. In the evenings, meetings of a more general character were convened under the auspices of the local Farmers' Institute. In all, twenty-one of these evening meetings were held and addressed, and with very few exceptions the attendances were large. The interest of the people in agricultural matters was evident at every point visited and there was a sincere desire on the part of all whom we met in this way to benefit as far as possible by our visit. Considered from every point of view, I look back upon this tour as possibly the most satisfactory I have ever made to any province in the Dominion. The information gained must be of immense value to me in the future when considering the farming problems of that province, and in this connection, I desire to add that very much of the interest and enjoyment of the trip was due to the intimate knowledge of the country by Mr. Anderson, who was not only of the greatest service to me, but who strove to make my visit both pleasurable and profitable, and in this was eminently successful.

It will not be possible to give any detailed account of this survey trip here, but an outline enumerating the places visited, together with one or two of the more salient features of the districts examined, may prove of interest.*

Vancouver Island—Nanaimo and Cedar.—Though there are clay lands in this district their area appears to be limited. The larger part of the soil is of a light sandy or gravelly nature, which is frequently deficient in humus. The value of clover—

*A report of this tour, in extenso, has been written by Mr. Anderson, and will appear in his forthcoming report of the Department of Agriculture for British Columbia.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

which undoubtedly will grow well here—for replenishing the soil in this valuable constituent was pointed out. The clay soils require similar treatment and would also be improved by an occasional liming. The use of swamp muck as a fertilizer was explained and the most economic treatment for bringing these muck soils (which occupy considerable areas in Vancouver Island) into successful cultivation, given. Orchards here were found, as a rule, in sod. This is evidently a plan not best suited for the soil and climatic conditions prevailing. Dairying is progressing and a creamery, started about a year ago, is stated as making good progress and leading to the increase in the number of milch cows, and consequently to more manure produced on the farm. There seems no reason why pork production should not increase with the development of the dairy industry and thus give the farmer an additional and lucrative source of revenue.

Comox and Courtenay.—This has already established an excellent reputation as a dairying district, there being good pasture, excellent water and some very fine dairy animals on practically all the farms visited. The co-operative creamery at Comox is well patronized and is stated to be in a flourishing condition. Greater care is required to keep the fields free of weeds, among which we noticed the Canada thistle and Velvet Grass. This latter is almost worthless as a pasture grass or for hay, and efforts should be made by ploughing up old pasture and re-seeding neglected fields to stamp it out. A very noxious weed that is spreading here and elsewhere on the island is the Wild Barley (*Hordeum jubatum*). Its awns are dangerous, causing sores in the jaws of the cattle eating the grass. Since dairying will undoubtedly be the most important branch of farming here, the value of corn and the silo was pointed out. In both Nanaimo and Comox districts the introduction of silos would no doubt be advantageous.

From Courtenay we proceeded to Cumberland, and from that point drove to Parkville—most of the way being through a magnificent forest, chiefly of Douglas fir, cedar and balsam. There are but few ranches as yet along the road. The soil on the higher ridges is light and gravelly, but much of the nature of a black sandy loam is noticed in the lower levels. At Parkville there was an excellent meeting, at which many matters of interest in connection with the treatment of soils, &c., were discussed.

Alberni.—The drive from Parkville to Alberni (27 miles) traverses a most magnificent primeval forest, one certainly that no effort should be spared to protect from the ruthless axe of the lumberman. This region would, if reserved, make a national park of unexcelled beauty and grandeur, for its scenery, especially in the vicinity of Cameron lake, is very fine. Managed under the rules of good forestry, moreover, it could be made remunerative, which we scarcely think will be the case once the trees are gone, for the soil is very light and for the most part ill adapted to agriculture.

At Alberni a beginning is being made in co-operative dairying, a creamery being in course of construction a few miles from the village. This will materially help to improve the farming prospects, by converting the raw material into a finished and more concentrated product. There will then be a possibility of getting the produce to Victoria and other markets, at present impossible owing to well nigh prohibitive freight rates. The reclamation of muck lands was a subject here of much interest as there are large areas now in swamp that might be made productive.

On the Mainland—Agassiz and Chilliwack.—These were the first places visited. Two days were spent with much profit on the Experimental Farm at Agassiz, and under the experienced guidance of Mr. Sharpe, the Superintendent, much information was gained as to the possibilities of the district, not only as a fruit-growing area, but also as to its suitability for mixed farming. It was somewhat a matter of surprise to me to find such excellent soil on the upper benches of the mountain here, soil of better quality in fact than much of that occupying the flats level with the river, the greater part of which at this point is of a very sandy or gravelly nature. The luxuriance of the clover crop here showed that there should be little difficulty in economically maintaining the soil's fertility.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Chilliwack is essentially a dairying district, and here two days were spent in inspecting many of the good farms in the neighbourhood. There are two creameries in operation and each, I was told, had its full quota of patrons. Oats are extensively grown, but the chief crops are roots and clover, though the area in Indian corn is yearly increasing. This is essentially one of the most thriving and prosperous of the districts visited. The crop yields are reported as excellent. Velvet Grass, already referred to is, however, taking possession of some of the fields owing to poor methods of farming. In certain portions of this district the soils were found to be sour and in a measure unproductive, owing to insufficient drainage. I, therefore, spent considerable time in discussing with the farmers such means as might be practicable for lowering the water level, which I feel sure is essential to making such soils profitable. There are certain areas here covered with muck soils, and we, therefore, devoted some time to their careful inspection and the outlining of such treatment as we considered desirable for their improvement.

Ladner and the Delta Districts.—Between two and three days were spent in visiting the farms of the Delta, which for the most part are devoted to dairying and are in a thrifty and prosperous condition. Clover, grasses, roots and oats, all give large yields on this excellent soil, which, at many places on the lower Fraser, has been formed by the deposition of rich silt brought down by the river.

Though good pastures were seen that had not been re-seeded for 10, 15 and, in one case we saw, 30 years, my opinion is that still better results could be obtained if they were broken up from time to time and resown. One reason for this opinion is that the Velvet Grass and Buttercup (*Ranunculus acris*) have in many fields taken such possession as to crowd out to a very large extent the clover and good grasses.

A general neglect of the orchards is noticeable in this district, the trees showing want of pruning and care, the soil being uncultivated and the Tent Caterpillar very common. This pest had in many places stripped the trees of their foliage.

A large number of fine milch cattle in excellent condition were seen here, as at Chilliwack.

Most of the land is of a heavy, plastic nature and would, we believe, be improved by more thorough drainage and an occasional liming.

The district is on the whole in a thriving condition, the only serious drawback being the scarcity of really good water. Nearly all that is used is taken from the ditches between the dykes. The difficulty in this water problem lies in the fact that much of the land is below the level of the river. A system of supply that would convey water from the higher lands and distribute it over the Delta would prove a great blessing.

THE DRY BELT.

Spence's Bridge and Nicola.—Up to this time I had never visited the Dry Belt, and beyond what I had read and had been told, my impression had been formed from what could be observed from the carriage window in passing through on the line of the Canadian Pacific Railway. These impressions, from the agricultural point of view, I am willing to confess, had not been very favourable. The general aspect is forbidding, the apparently barren soil bearing a scanty growth of sage brush and it is indeed difficult to realize that the country is one adapted to agriculture. A closer acquaintance, however, with those parts cultivated under irrigation was destined to dispel this impression and to make one astounded at the truly marvellous results obtained on this sterile looking soil merely by the aid of water. Crossing on the ferry at Spence's Bridge, I had the opportunity of personally examining for the first time the results of irrigation, and these results were certainly a revelation. The farms of Mr. Clements and Mr. Smith are veritable oases. The crop of clover and timothy

which was being cut, was very fine; growth generally was of the most luxuriant character and the fruit trees vigorous, healthy, and bearing well. A casual inspection of the soil, apart from what it can produce with the aid of water, certainly would not lead one to suppose it to be a fruitful one; indeed, it would on such an examination be generally judged as of poor quality. We purpose, therefore, during the coming year to subject typical samples of these soils to careful analysis and hope therefrom to arrive at some better knowledge than we have to-day regarding the cause of their great productiveness. Very possibly it may be shown that the climatic conditions prevailing have been conducive to an accumulation of 'available' plant food—we think this more than probable—and if this proves true it will point to the desirability of carefully husbanding this most valuable heritage and not allowing its waste by the excessive use of irrigation water.

From Spence's Bridge to Lower Nicola the road winds along on the side of the Nicola canyon. Several farms on the route are to be observed, chiefly at the bends of the river, most of them apparently being occupied by Indians, near the cultivated spots. The irrigation ditches are to be seen winding their way down, or rather around, steep inclines of barren-looking soil, carrying a stream of living water brought from some creek at a higher level; then as they reach the bottom lands branching and losing themselves and their precious burden in innumerable smaller channels amongst the most luxuriant herbage of field and orchard. Agriculturally speaking, one cannot help realizing, with water, everything; without water, nothing.

At the Lower Nicola we stayed two days in order to allow me to more thoroughly study the irrigation schemes in vogue, to examine the crops and to visit certain out-crops of 'alkali' that I had been asked to report on. It would be undesirable here to enter fully into the several problems in connection with irrigation that must be solved if this country is to be more than sparsely settled, but we may briefly refer to one or two of the more important features, as they occurred to the writer. We have first to recognize that in many parts the water available for irrigation purposes is limited—many ditches several miles in length were seen, proving that even now water has frequently to be brought long distances. To obtain an equitable distribution of the water is of the utmost importance to the future welfare and progress of this country, for land and farms without water are practically unproductive and valueless. If the tapping of the streams and other sources is left so largely to the greed or caprice of the individual, if the conservation or storage of available waters is neglected, it seems scarcely likely that the community can continue to benefit equitably from the supply. At present much water is wasted that might on other lands prove of the greatest service.

Secondly, we should like to point out how the more frequent use of the cultivator and harrow to preserve a dry earth mulch, might be profitably substituted for water. Such a method of conserving soil moisture is most effective and quite applicable in orchards and for root crops.

Lastly, it is quite evident that in some places too much water is used. The excessive application is detrimental both to soils and crops—the soils are injured physically and chemically, by being choked, becoming sour and losing their more soluble plant food, and the crops suffer through the drowning of their roots. In several instances, we noticed much harm as resulting from this excessive use, especially on the lower levels.

Patches of land were examined that were evidently suffering from the presence of alkali, of which both the 'white' and the 'black' forms are found here. The nature and origin of alkali were explained and the best methods for the treatment of such lands outlined. We took pains at all our meetings and demonstrations in the dry belt to give information on this matter, as well as to speak on the equally important matter, the use and abuse of water in irrigation.

At Loewr Nicola and at Coulées truly magnificent crops of Red Clover, Alfalfa, Sainfoin, and Alsike Clover were seen—it was very evident that all the legumes thrive

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

here. On the roots of all those examined, nodules were found. Potatoes and root crops also do very well. The chief agricultural industry is at present the production of beef though we think, with railroad facilities to a market, the district would prove almost equally suited to dairying and orcharding. The universal custom is to allow the cattle to find their own food in the woods on the mountains during the summer and to feed them in the winter months on the hay cut from the irrigated fields already spoken of. As the land is taken up, this primitive method of farming must be more and more abandoned, and we are of the opinion that even in beef production the more modern methods which the changed conditions will render necessary to adopt, will be more remunerative than those now in vogue.

A day was spent in the vicinity of Nicola, where there was further and abundant evidence of the wonderful growth of both grasses and clovers.

On the road between Nicola and Kamloops many excellent farms were visited, though some fields, we regretted to notice, were badly infested with wild mustard. Exceptionally fine crops of Alfalfa and Brome Grass were examined at 'Pattersons,' about half way to Kamloops. Two, and frequently three, cuttings, I was told, were taken from the former in the season, while the latter gives a large yield of hay and a very heavy and palatable aftermath for grazing.

Strange as it may seem, great injury to roads and fields had been caused in several places along the route by freshets in the spring. With uncontrollable fury the waters had burst forth from the ravines bringing huge boulders, stones, trees, &c., with them and ruining thereby sometimes beyond hope of reclamation, considerable areas of fine land.

Unfortunately our programme did not allow time for an excursion to Grand Prairie, where I am told there is an excellent farming section and a large number of interested and intelligent men.

From Kamloops a drive was taken on the north side of the Thompson river, along which a ditch to bring water for irrigating purposes is being constructed. The water will be conveyed about 17 miles from Jameson creek. It is expected when the scheme is finished that several thousand acres can be brought into productive cultivation.

The Okanagan.—Proceeding from Sicamous to Okanagan Landing by rail we thence continued by boat to Summerland, a comparatively speaking new agricultural development near the southern end of the Okanagan Lake. This and Peachland, further north on the same side of the lake, were of particular interest to me, by reason of the methods by which they have been developed and exploited by the parties or companies originally owning these sites. The land after careful survey, has been divided into five and ten acre lots, allowances for roads, &c., being made. These lots are sold subject to certain rules and taxes, among the latter being an annual rate (25 cents per acre at Summerland) for irrigation water supplied by the company. The newer of the two places is Summerland, and here at present the greater activity is evident. Many of the lots have been planted as orchards, and if not at present worked by the owner are managed by the company, which, in addition to an initial charge for breaking and planting, collect an annual fee for this care of the trees. The land before this operation has a 'thin' look and is sparsely covered with the native sage, &c., but with working and the careful application of water, it can no doubt be made productive. We should strongly advise, however, better preparation of the soil, than has been the practice, before setting out the trees, and we further believe that the fertility of the soil should be kept up by the occasional growth of clover or some other legume. There is no doubt as to the success of clover here—evidences were clear as to that—and it is the height of folly to imagine, as many do now, that nothing further than water is or ever will be necessary. The climatic conditions we recognize as most favourable, but warmth and water, though all important, do not constitute all the factors necessary for profitable fruit growing. Most of the people who have taken lots speak enthusiastically of the future and certainly the prospects are promising. Summerland is yet in its

infancy and necessarily some years must elapse before there can be much return. Peachland is older and should be in a position to ship fruit in considerable quantities in a year or two. Many who are taking up land in this district have had no experience in fruit growing, but a hopeful sign is the general desire for information by the new-comers. There seems no doubt of the suitability of the climate for fruit, nor with regard to obtaining good markets for the produce in the Kootenays, the North-west Territories, and Manitoba. We may, therefore predict that with careful management of soil and water and the experience that will be gained in the actual culture of the fruit, there is a large measure of success in store for these and similar localities. In addition to apples, pears, cherries, and peaches, corn, melons, tomatoes, and small fruits and vegetables generally are, it is stated, raised successfully.

A very fine cherry orchard in full bearing was seen at Trout Creek, a few miles below Summerland. Large shipments of delicious fruit were being made at the time of our visit.

At Peachland the orchards on most of the lots are thrifty. Many of the peach trees were coming into bearing and gave great promise. Examination of the soil revealed areas of excellent quality, more particularly on some of the higher levels. Careful management of the irrigation water is here necessary as it was noticed that the seepage from water applied on the upper slopes appeared on some of the lots at the base of the hill. In several places this was excessive and doing injury to the trees. These lots required drainage rather than irrigation.

Kelowna.—Several very fine cherry orchards were seen in this vicinity, notably those of Mr. Pridham and Mr. Stirling, and that the district, speaking generally, is eminently adapted for fruit there can be no doubt. Certain large estates in the neighbourhood are being subdivided and sold in small lots for fruit culture and the 'boom' in land was apparently as active here as elsewhere in the Okanagan district.

Through the kindness of Mr. Chaplin, Secretary of the Farmers' Institute here, I was enabled to go over a large amount of the ground within a radius of 25 miles of Kelowna. At one or two places patches of alkali occur and samples were taken for further examination. One very interesting drive was through the main valley to Duck Lake, returning by 'Dry Valley.' This main valley, or rather the greater part of it, has for the past twenty-five years been cropped with wheat without any rational attempt to maintain the soil in a productive condition. The result is most deplorable. Land that I am told was once the most fertile, has been reduced to such a condition that in many instances I could see the crop was not worth harvesting. The heavy clay of which most of this land is composed has been depleted of its humus and available plant food to such an extent by continuous growth of wheat that it is now refractory, hard and altogether unsuitable, chemically and physically, for farming purposes. The only hope for this land which has been so ruthlessly treated lies in the addition of humus and nitrogen through the growth of clover or some other of the legumes. This no doubt will be very difficult to obtain at the outset owing to the condition of the land, and probably at first buckwheat or rye will be found easier to grow for green manuring. These, however, should be followed by a leguminous crop to enrich the soil in nitrogen. We also think that tile drainage and occasional liming will be found valuable in reclaiming the land, both tending to improve its physical condition. Towards Duck Lake several large hay farms were seen, the crops on the whole being good. 'Dry Valley' suffers for want of sufficient irrigation water. If by an engineering scheme water could be brought at a reasonable cost into this valley, there is a large area of arable land here that would be made profitable.

Vernon.—This is widely and favourably known as a fruit-growing district. There is very little grain sown now, but the area devoted to fruits of all kinds is continually on the increase. The planting out of orchards is considered a profitable investment. The interest and pleasure of our visit to Vernon were much enhanced by our stay.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Coldstream ranch, the estate of Lord Aberdeen, Mr. Ricardo, the manager, having kindly extended to us his hospitality. Mr. Ricardo not only took us over the larger portion of this magnificent and well-kept estate, but drove us over a considerable part of the surrounding country. In this way I was able to learn much of the character of the soil, the methods of irrigation in vogue, and the capabilities of this highly favoured district.

A visit to the Commonage was of much interest. This district lies only a few miles from Vernon, but unfortunately has practically no water supply available for irrigation purposes. For the past few years, I understand, the farmers here have done fairly well (the district has been settled about six years) owing largely to a succession of wet seasons. This year being exceptionally dry, the crops are very short. It is certainly a hazardous undertaking when farming is attempted here without the aid of irrigation. Excellent meetings were held under the auspices of the Farmers' Institute at Vernon and Commonage.

Armstrong.—It is held that here and northward there is a sufficient rainfall for agricultural purposes and therefore irrigation is not practised. However, the present season had been a very dry one and as a result very short grain crops were obtained. Much of the soil is very heavy and had become refractory owing to poor methods of farming. It stood badly in need of humus. Where hay was grown, both the crop and the soil were better. The soil generally is a strong one, but it already stands in need of much better treatment—which may be outlined as comprising, the growth of clover, more attention to rotation of crops, the use of tile drainage, and the application of lime. We, further, are of the opinion that both dairying and fruit growing might be considerably developed with advantage to the district.

From Armstrong the drive to Enderby was taken, spending a day or two on the road at Sir Arthur Stepney's ranch, now in charge of Mr. Heggie. It is only right that I should add, this ranch is being conducted on rational lines, the land constantly improving rather than deteriorating. As already remarked, much of the land in this district of Spallumcheen is unprofitable, due to the continuous growth of wheat.

At Enderby the general conditions of soil, &c., are much the same as at Armstrong. It has been a wheat-growing district and in consequence the land has suffered. Where Alfalfa and clovers are grown, excellent crops are obtained and the land is steadily improving. If the farmers can only get away from this practice of wheat after wheat and grow the legumes more largely, this district will assuredly hold its reputation as amongst the richest farming areas in the province.

From Enderby we went to Mara on the Spallumcheen river, where a number of low lying, mucky lands were inspected and instruction given for their reclamation.

The last locality visited in British Columbia was Salmon Arm, on Shuswap Lake. Some very promising orchards were seen here, and the district is considered as one eminently adapted to the apple. Though a certain amount of dairying and mixed farming is carried on, it is evident that the future growth of the district is intimately connected with its development as a fruit-growing centre. We were enabled to see many comparatively large areas that had been recently planted, and all gave promise of good returns.

In conclusion, I should like to thank all those who helped to make this tour of such great interest and pleasure to me; many devoting time to driving me over the country in the various districts, explaining much which otherwise would have been obscure, and many kindly and hospitably entertaining me. I should also like to say, as a last word, how gratifying it was to meet so many who were anxious to benefit by our visit. Never have I had the pleasure of speaking to more interested and enthusiastic men than those I met on the field and at the meetings of this visit to British Columbia.

REPORT OF THE ENTOMOLOGIST AND BOTANIST

(JAMES FLETCHER, LL.D., F.L.S., F.R.S.C.)

1904.

OTTAWA, December 1, 1904.

DR. WILLIAM SAUNDERS,
Director of Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to hand you herewith a report of some of the most important subjects which have been brought officially under my notice during the past season.

The development of the Division of Entomology and Botany in the various directions has been pushed forward as evenly as possible, with an effort not to allow any work once undertaken to fall behind by giving undue attention to other branches.

Collections.—During the past year, as previously, the collections of insects and plants have been very much increased. Large additions have been made from material collected in the field, as well as also through the kindness of correspondents who have applied to the Division for help in their studies of insects and plants. The great attention which has lately been directed to Nature Study in schools has brought the officers into close contact with many teachers and students in the public schools of the country. There are few things more marked, in matters connected with the development of the country, than the keen interest which is being shown by all classes of society in those investigations which in a general way may be grouped under the head of natural history, and with which the work of the Division of Entomology and Botany is intimately associated. This includes not only a study of insects of all kinds, and plants, wild and cultivated, but also allied researches in forestry, the reclamation of land from the encroachments of the sea or of drifting sand, and also to a certain measure investigations into the habits of birds and animals with which farmers come into contact in their every-day life. This new movement in the schools of the country is giving to the growing boys and girls, who in a few years will be the citizens of Canada, an elementary knowledge of many of the common things which surround them every day of their lives, and which for this very reason are of importance to them. A practical knowledge of animals, plants and natural phenomena cannot but be of enormous assistance to the farmers of the country, whose every occupation is connected in some way with nature. The Nature Study movement is going steadily forward, and it has been a great pleasure to the officers of the Division to be in a position to encourage and help those who have taken it up so earnestly in all the provinces of the Dominion. Our collections here have been of much use in this work, and many visitors have availed themselves of the opportunity of consulting the cabinets.

Insects.—As in previous years, much time has been given to the rearing of insects, eggs or larvæ of many of which have been received by mail from all quarters or collected in the field. An exact knowledge of the preparatory stages of insects, the number of broods, and the time at which they develop, is of the greatest value when devising remedies for injurious species. Careful notes are taken of every species studied, and year by year the collections are enriched by the addition of specimens reared from the egg and prepared for the cabinets, showing all stages of growth, as well as the work of the various species. At the same time, records are kept for reference or for future use in the reports when sufficient data have accumulated or when occasion demands it.

Plants.—Extensive additions have been made to the herbarium, either from specimens sent in by correspondents for naming or as donations; and in many instances fine specimens of rare plants have been acquired by growing the plant from the seed and securing samples at different stages of development. During the year the herbarium has been gone over, and many imperfect specimens have been replaced by better ones, or additions have been made by increasing the series of various species by representatives from other localities.

The collection of weed seeds has been largely increased, and it is now a rare thing for a seed to be submitted by seedsmen or purchasers of seeds, or even to be sent in by students, which cannot be recognized. The institution of the Seed Division, under the Commissioner of Agriculture and Dairying, has had a most marked effect upon the quality of all kinds of seed now offered by seedsmen, and it may be justly said that at the present time, if purchasers will pay a reasonable price, they can easily obtain in Canada all crop seeds of the highest quality, both as to vitality and as to freedom from the seeds of other plants.

Fodder Plants.—The Experimental Grass Plots during the past season have been very attractive to visitors. The season at Ottawa was extremely favourable for the development of all fodder plants, and consequently very complete collections of all the leading hay and fodder plants were made for exhibition at the various fall fairs and other exhibitions where the government has assisted by sending exhibits. A large collection has also been made for the museum at the Central Experimental Farm.

Reclaiming Sand Hills.—A visit was paid to the large tract of shifting sand near Lachute, Que., locally known as the Argenteuil Sand Hill. This is estimated as now covering nearly one thousand acres, stretching along the Ottawa River in an elongated patch about four miles long by half a mile to one mile in width, for the most part entirely destitute of vegetation, but bearing in places clumps of spruce trees, birches, maples, tamaracks and willows. As is usually the case on such areas, the surface is very dry; but a few inches below this there is an abundance of moisture available for the support of any plants which can be protected against the drifting sand. At the request of Mr. Thomas Christie, M.P., I called upon the various farmers living around this sand hill and examined the work they had been doing in their efforts to control the sand. I found, without exception, that every one of them had taken a keen interest in fighting against the common enemy, and much good work had been done in the way of holding back the drift by planting trees and other vegetation. Since 1898 the attention of the Division has been directed to this tract of land, and a few hundreds of plants of the Beach Grass, and also of Norway and White Spruce trees, have been sent to different farmers to be planted on the sand as an experiment; but no extensive work has been carried on by the department. I was much pleased to see the success which had attended the efforts to grow trees on this apparently barren sand hill. The kinds of trees which were noticed growing wild in the scattered clumps which here and there appear, were White Pine, Tamarack, Canada Balsam, White Spruce, White Cedar, Balm of Gilead, Aspen Poplar and White Birch; and round the edges all the ordinary forest trees of the region are represented. In low spots two or three kinds of willows and the Gray Alder flourish. Of shrubs which attracted attention by their vigour and

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

the extent to which they had spread out in every direction, special mention may be made of the following kinds which doubtless can be made use of in prosecuting this work. The Willow-leaved Meadowsweet (*Spiræa salicifolia*, L.).—This free-growing bush, which not only produces large numbers of running roots or stolons, but also ripens much seed, was found to be covering many acres and spreading rapidly over some low spots in the central portion of the sand hill. This is a native shrub, common in all swamps and low lands. The Red Raspberry (*Rubus strigosus*, Mx.).—A form of this common shrub was seen covering a large area on the farm of Mr. Thomas McGregor, who has encouraged its growth, as well as some other native plants which occur with it. The common Blackberry (*Rubus villosus*, Ait.).—Even more luxuriant than the Red Raspberry was the Common High Blackberry, which rooted freely through the sand and threw up many stems. Both of these berry-bearing plants produce heavy crops of excellent fruit, and it seems as though they might prove a valuable resource to farmers, while at the same time performing the important office of providing a barrier against the encroachments of the sand or as a temporary shelter, while more valuable trees are being grown. Roses.—At various places old and vigorous clumps of Sweetbrier, which were evidently many years old, were seen, as well as of the little old-fashioned semi-double Cinnamon Rose. The Smooth Meadow Rose (*Rosa blanda*, Ait.) was found in spots, covering several yards in diameter and showing an unexpected power to grow up and keep its head above the drifting sand. Shrubs which also showed great vigour and which occurred in many parts of the sand hill, where evidently they had sprung up spontaneously, were the Red Osier Dogwood (*Cornus stolonifera*, Mx.) and the Beaked Hazel (*Corylus rostrata*, Ait.). Of the wild heretaceous perennials growing naturally on the sand, and the growth of which had to some extent been encouraged, the most noticeable were the Common Milkweed (*Asclepias cornuti*, Decne.), the Canada Thistle (*Cnicus arvensis*, Scop.), and Couch or Quack grass (*Agropyrum repens*, L.). There were also seen in some places a few plants of the Strawy Sedge (*Carex straminea*, Schk.), the Ox-eye Daisy and the Dandelion.

The trees which have been experimented with to the largest extent by farmers living in the locality are the White Pine, Canada Balsam Fir, the Norway Spruce, the White Spruce and the Tamarack or American Larch. Of these, the last-named has made the most rapid growth, but seems to require more protection than the sturdy spruces. The Balsam Fir has succeeded as well as the spruces, but is a less valuable tree. The Norway Spruce has been planted only to a small extent, a few hundred trees having been sent from this department three years ago. These were planted carefully, and doubtless will succeed; but it is too early as yet to compare them for this purpose with the White Spruce, which is the favourite conifer and is transplanted from the woods in the neighbourhood. The greatest satisfaction is expressed by all of the way in which willows have succeeded. The kind used for the most part is the large European Tree-Willow (*Salix alba*, L.) known mostly in this country under the name of French Willow. Large numbers of these trees have been started from cuttings and have in a single year made a remarkable growth, even from small cuttings put in with little labour in a furrow made by a plough. Such plantations were seen on the farms of Mr. John Doig and Mr. Walter Smith. On the edge of one of Mr. Doig's plantations the sand had been drifted away by the wind so as to expose the roots of one of his trees. These, by actual measurement, extended for forty feet from the central point, showing the great value of the willow as a sand binder, both from its rapid growth and from its great root production. An observation of much interest, as showing the power of the Canada Balsam to resist destruction by sand, was that this tree, when covered up to a certain extent with sand, threw out large numbers of roots from the branches which were partially submerged. (See Plate II., fig. 10.) Many samples of such branches were found upon trees which had their roots and trunks covered up with from six to ten feet of sand. Experiments with Beach Grass and the Sea Lyme Grass have been very satisfactory, particularly where the former has been planted on

exposed banks. In low, undisturbed spots the Sea Lyme Grass has succeeded rather better than the Beach Grass. Tufts of both of these grasses were found in some places to have extended four feet in each direction by the end of the second year, and on Mr. Walter Smith's land one clump was found which had a thick growth four feet across in the centre, with five smaller shoots round it and 18 shoots just showing through the sand, which will produce tufts of leaves next spring at a radius of twelve feet from the centre.

It is hoped next year to encourage this work by sending a large consignment of Beach Grass and several thousand cuttings of those willows and poplars which have shown the greatest vigour at Ottawa and at our western experimental farms. The enthusiasm and interest shown in this subject by the farmers themselves, every one of whom has already gone to much trouble and expense, is most encouraging. I can see no reason why in a few years this large tract of sand may not be brought under control.

Meetings.—Meetings of farmers, dairymen, fruit growers, &c., have been attended whenever other official duties would allow of my absence from Ottawa.

December 28, 1903 : St. Louis, Mo.—Annual meetings of the Society for the Promotion of Agricultural Science, of the Association of Economic Entomologists and of the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

January 29, 1904 : Cowansville, Que.—Convention of District of Bedford Dairymen's Association.

February 12 : Ormstown, Que.—Huntingdon Dairymen's Association.

April 18 : Perth, Ont.—Horticultural Society and address to school children of the Public Schools in the town hall.

May 5 : St. Catharines, Ont.—Meeting of fruit growers to discuss the San Jose Scale remedies.

May 6 : Toronto.—Normal School : Address on Nature Study.—Toronto Branch of the Entomological Society of Ontario and Toronto Horticultural Society—joint meeting : Address on 'The Opening of Spring and Spring Work.'

June 14 : Amherst, N.S. ; and June 18 : Halifax, N.S.—Meetings of Maritime Stock Breeders' Association and Nova Scotia Farmers' Association.

June 21 to 24 : St. John, N.B. ; June 16 : Kentville, N.S.—Address before King's County Board of Trade on 'Orchard Insects.'

June 27 and 28 : Gagetown, N.B.—Address before Farmers' and Dairymen's Association on 'Farm Insects,' and attending spraying demonstration in orchard.

July 11 to August 11.—In Manitoba and the North-west Territories, holding weed meetings for the North-west government.

September 5 : Brome, Que.—Attending the Brome County Fair and judging horticultural exhibits.

September 9 to 17 : Halifax, N.S.—Attending the Nova Scotia Provincial Exhibition in company with the Dominion Live Stock Commissioner. Meeting farmers and fruit growers in the Farmers' Pavilion and delivering addresses on Noxious Weeds and Injurious Insects.

September 19 to 23 : St. John, N.B.—Attending Canada's International Exhibition and judging the natural history exhibits sent in by the school children of the province. This competition is worthy of special mention on account of the excellence and number of collections sent in. No less than 83 separate collections, aggregating nearly three thousand specimens, were on exhibition and formed a most attractive exhibit. For the most part, the specimens were well preserved, neatly mounted and labelled. The identifications in most of the collections were also as accurate as could be expected under the circumstances. On the whole, I believe that this competition was the most extensive and best managed of any similar effort which has ever taken place in Canada. The example of the Exhibition Association may well be followed by other similar institutions.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

September 24 to 30 : Charlottetown, P.E.I.—Provincial Exhibition. Attending meetings and giving addresses in the Farmers' Pavilion upon Weeds, Hay and Pasture Grasses and Injurious Insects.

October 19 : Lachute, Que.—Visiting the Argenteuil Sand Hill and discussing with farmers means of controlling the drifting sand.

October 21 : Whitby, Ont.—Visiting the Model Fair Grounds with the Live Stock Commissioner and examining the illustration plots of various crops; and also the fodder crops grown in the district.

October 26 and 27 : London, Ont.—Annual meeting of the Entomological Society of Ontario : 'Injurious Insects of the Year,' 'Entomological Record for 1904.'

November 15 : Toronto, Ont.—Provincial Fruit, Flower and Honey Show : Address on 'The Value of Bees to the Fruit-grower.'

In addition to the above, Mr. Arthur Gibson attended the County of Carleton Annual Exhibition at Richmond, Ont., and judged the natural history exhibits made by the teachers and school children of the county. These exhibits were on the whole very satisfactory, and showed good careful work on the part of the teachers.

Mr. Gibson also attended the annual meeting of the Entomological Society of Ontario at London, and took an active and acceptable part in the proceedings, reading two papers : 'Further Notes on Basswood or Linden Insects,' and 'The Columbine Borer (*Papaipema purpurifascia*, G. & R.).

Acknowledgments.—I have again gratefully to acknowledge many favours from specialists who have assisted me with identifications of many specimens of insects received for the collections during the past year. My thanks are specially due to Dr. L. O. Howard, Chief of the Bureau of Entomology at Washington, and members of his staff, particularly Dr. H. G. Dyar, Dr. W. H. Ashmead, Messrs. Schwarz, Coquillett and Busck; also to Prof. J. B. Smith, of New Jersey; Mr. W. D. Kearfott, of Montclair, N.J.; Prof. J. S. Hine, of Columbus, Ohio, and Rev. G. W. Taylor, Wellington, B.C.

Valuable additions to the collections of insects have been made by the following:

Mr. F. H. Wolley-Dod, Millarville, Alta.—A collection of named noctuidæ from Alberta.

Mr. T. N. Willing, Regina, N.W.T.—Many specimens of insects of all orders from the North-west Territories.

Mr. Norman Criddle, Aweme, Man.—Many rare moths and other insects from Manitoba.

Mr. W. Metcalfe, Ottawa.—A large collection of minute diptera and other insects beautifully pinned, mounted and labelled.

Mr. A. W. Hanham, Victoria, B.C.—A large collection of pinned hymenoptera, diptera and hemiptera taken in Manitoba and British Columbia.

Mr. E. F. Heath, Cartwright, Man.—A collection of Manitoban moths in papers.

Mr. C. H. Young, Ottawa.—Specimens of rare moths taken at Ottawa.

Mr. E. P. Venables, Vernon, B.C.—A collection of named *Bombi* taken at Vernon, B.C.

Correspondence.—The correspondence of this Division has been sufficient during the past year to take up every minute of the time of the officers which could be spared from time necessary for investigation. Many thousands of specimens of insects and plants have been received from students for naming. This requires much time, but is of great value in the work of the Division in bringing the officers into contact with students all over the country and in learning of the occurrence of many insects and plants, which otherwise would not come to their notice. From December 1, 1903, until December 30, 1904, the number of letters, exclusive of circulars, registered in the Division as received on official business was 3,231, and the number despatched was 2,909.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

JAMES FLETCHER,

Entomologist and Botanist.

DIVISION OF ENTOMOLOGY.

CEREALS.

The season of 1904 in all parts of the Dominion has been remarkably irregular and uncertain. Extensive areas have suffered from drought, while in other places there has been trouble from too much rain at certain periods; crops, accordingly, have been very irregular. Through the greater part of the Maritime Provinces and in the eastern part of the province of Quebec, a prolonged drought during the months of June, July and August reduced enormously all hay and grain crops. In the western portion of the province of Quebec and in eastern Ontario, weather conditions were very favourable and excellent crops of grain and hay were secured. In western Ontario, on the other hand, and in the whole of the province of British Columbia, hot dry weather prevailed and somewhat reduced crops of all kinds. The Ontario November crop report describes the wheat crop as below the average and rather light in weight; barley as one of the most successful crops of the year; oats a splendid crop, yield and quality most gratifying. Throughout the Dominion, however, the season on the whole has been cool and backward. In the North-west Territories and Manitoba the growing season began late; but with improved summer conditions and no killing frosts until rather later than usual, a large crop was reaped. The quality was not quite as high as was at one time hoped for, owing to rain at harvest time and slight frosts in some localities, and also to a certain amount of injury by rust. Rust is almost unknown in the West as a serious enemy of cereal crops; but during the past season a more severe epidemic of this destructive parasite made itself manifest towards the end of August than has ever previously been recorded. Mr. J. R. C. Honeyman, the Deputy Commissioner of Agriculture for the North-west Territories, although stating that the presence of rust last summer was a factor to be considered, claims that practically it did not affect the crops in the Territories to any appreciable degree. Writing on November 16, he says: 'There is a large amount of very good grain in the country, and prices are satisfactory. However, a comparatively small proportion of the crop has been marketed, owing to the continued fine weather, which enables farmers even at the date of writing to continue their fall ploughing.'

Mr. J. R. Anderson, Deputy Minister of Agriculture for British Columbia, writes: 'The abnormally dry season which extended through the whole of the province, had the effect of reducing considerably the production of all crops in those parts where irrigation is not practised, because it is unnecessary. Spring wheat was generally a failure where it is grown for milling purposes. Fall wheat was better, but on the whole, milling wheat was short. Nevertheless, some fine samples were produced. Kansas Red from Spallumcheen weighed 69½ lbs. per bushel, with a fine, hard, plump grain. Oats and other small grains were good where the seed was got in early and on irrigated land. In dry regions these crops were indifferent.'

In Northern Alberta the summer was fine and dry, and grain crops were better than they had been for two or three years, except in some instances where poor seed or oats had been sown. No mention was made of rust. In Manitoba, however, the injury by the Black Stem Rust caused great anxiety to farmers. Some crops were actually cut green or before they were ripe to save further damage. The districts most affected were between Brandon and Winnipeg and in the south and west of the province. Loss from this cause was not confined to the West. Reports from Ontario and Quebec mention rust on wheat, oats and barley, and a consequent shrinkage in the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

crops. An undetermined injury referred to as 'Dead Heads' by settlers also occurred rather widely in Manitoba just before wheat harvest. Unfortunately, no cause for this injury which involved patches of from two to fifteen feet in diameter, could be discovered by my correspondents, who made investigations in accordance with suggestions sent to them. Neither fungus nor insect enemy could be discovered. Mr. Geo. H. Greig, Secretary of Live Stock Associations of Manitoba, wrote that the injury ceased about August 20, and that in speaking with the farmers in the district where this occurred, the opinion seemed to prevail that new land was worse affected than old, and he estimated the loss in the fields which showed most of the injury at about 5 per cent.

Among insects which have attracted attention by their numbers on cereal crops during the season of 1904, mention may be made of the following:—

WIREWORMS.—Wireworms in grain fields were complained of in New Brunswick, near St. John, on Prince Edward Island, at Kensington, and at Qu'Appelle in the North-west Territories. These troublesome larvæ, for which up to the present time no satisfactory remedy has been discovered, did much harm by eating into the young sprouting grains of wheat. It was noticed by Mr. William Henley, of Qu'Appelle, that oats sown on the same land where wheat was being destroyed, were not injured by the wireworms. The destruction of the wheat, however, was considerable. He writes under date June 20:—'Wireworms are destroying our wheat crop in the Wascana District (T. 13, R. 15, W. of H., 30 miles south of Qu'Appelle). This is heavy hummocky land full of humus. I broke a hundred acres last summer, disked it in the fall and harrowed it before and after seeding this spring. I shall not get over half a crop from it. I am breaking another hundred acres this summer, and should like to avoid this trouble next season, if possible. Would more cultivation in the spring have any effect on this insect, or would you recommend putting on extra seed? I don't think this worm does much harm after the wheat has germinated. We had two weeks of cold weather this spring after seeding, and the seed did not start to grow for some time. This was when the wireworms did most harm.'

A remedy which has given a measure of satisfaction to those who have tried it, is to plough the land twice in autumn—once in August, when the wireworms (the larvæ of several species of Click Beetles) change to the pupal condition, in which they are soft and easily injured, and then again in October or later, when the perfect beetles have formed but are still too soft and delicate to stand the cold of autumn and winter if their pupal cell is broken. This late ploughing also exposes them to many enemies. In the North-west, as Mr. Henley has pointed out, it is very rarely possible to plough land as late as October. The present open season, nearly up to the end of November, gives farmers a good opportunity to try this remedy. It has been noticed that oats are not so much attacked as wheat; and barley and rye are even less so, therefore, when land is found to be badly infested with wireworms, it will be advisable to sow other crops than wheat.

CUTWORMS IN GRAIN.—In the middle of July the 'Nor'-West Farmer' referred to by the Division several complaints of injury to wheat crops by cutworms (Plate I, fig. 1), and specimens were received from Manitonias, Man. These proved to be the Red-backed Cutworm (*Paragrotis ochrogaster*, Gn.), which is a very general feeder, but, as a rule, restricts itself in a large measure to the weeds growing in grain crops, instead of attacking the grain. Occasionally, however, as in the oat crops of Manitoba in 1901, widespread injury was done by this cutworm; and, in 1900, as well as in 1901, several undoubted instances were reported of its attacking wheat. This bad habit, however, must be considered exceptional; and it is particularly so to low vegetables and root crops that the Red-backed Cutworm does harm. The Glassy Cutworm (*Hadena crustatrix*, Bracc.), a greenish white caterpillar with a red head, which works beneath the ground, damaged wheat fields seriously in the neighbourhood of Virden, Man.

In grain fields it is difficult, as a rule, to apply remedies for cutworms; but, as many of the different kinds assume a marching habit as they clear away the food be-

fore them, it is frequently possible to prevent damage to a large extent by applying poisoned bait in advance of their line of march. The poisoned bran remedy, which gives such remarkably good results against all surface feeding cutworms, is probably the best form of bait. This can be scattered lightly through the grain near the spots where the caterpillars are numerous, and the small particles of bran will be found by the cutworms, which eat this material with avidity. For the Glassy Cutworm, which feeds almost entirely underground, this remedy would be of little avail, and the best means of combating this insect is to keep the land to be used for small grain crops the following year as free as possible from long grass and weeds in the autumn before. Prairie or sod land which is to be broken for seeding the next year should be fed off as late as possible or mowed before breaking. In this way the female moths will not be attracted to the tall vegetation on such land when laying their eggs.

GRASSHOPPERS.—I visited the districts in Central Manitoba lying between Trecebank and Douglas in the middle of July, and saw no traces of injury by locusts. Mr. N. Criddle, of Aweme, writes under date of November 1: 'As was anticipated, locusts did not hatch out in sufficient numbers to cause any loss to farmers in this district. A few reports of their being unduly numerous were heard in the spring from places south-east of here; but, as far as I can learn, very little, if any, damage was done. The gradual disappearance of these troublesome pests seems to have been brought about chiefly by the multiplication of their well known parasites, mention of which was made in my last year's report.'

The kinds of grasshoppers which have been devastating the crops in Central Manitoba for the last four years are the Rocky Mountain Locust (*Melanoplus spretus*, Uhler), the Lesser Migratory Locust (*M. atlantis*, Riley), and Packard's Locust (*M. packardii*, Scud.). The two parasites referred to by Mr. Criddle are two blister beetles, *Epicauta sericans*, Lec., and *Epicauta pennsylvanica*, DeG., as well as two or three kinds of *Tachina* flies.

In some of the dry regions of British Columbia another species of locust, *Camnuella pellucida*, Scud., appeared in a few places, and did a good deal of harm on the ranges. Mr. George Packham, of the Plateau ranch, Okanagan Mission, writes on June 25: 'Grasshoppers are coming out in thousands again this year. Last year they destroyed most of the crops and damaged the young orchards considerably. Is there nothing that can be done to check them? Is there not a fungous disease that the Australian government supplies to settlers? If so, could not our government supply it to us at cost price? It is important that we get it immediately, or we shall lose acres of vegetables and thousands of young trees.' In view of the great success which had been obtained by Mr. Criddle in controlling vast hordes of grasshoppers in Manitoba in a practical way with the Criddle mixture, I recommended Mr. Packham to try that mixture in the Okanagan country. It has been noticed that the Pellucid Locust which was the species there prevalent, has the habit of occurring in dense swarms in rather restricted localities, and therefore gives a good opportunity for the application of poison.

The Criddle mixture, for convenience, is made in quantities of half a barrel at a time. It consists of fresh horse droppings 100 parts, Paris green 1 part (=1 pound) and salt 2 pounds, dissolved in half a pail of water, and the whole mixed together. In this connection, Mr. Criddle says: 'We usually measure with a three-gallon pater pail, because it is more convenient to farmers than to weigh the material. Five pails we calculate approximately equal 100 parts of horse droppings, and each part equal in bulk one pound of Paris green. The great drawback in using weights is that horse droppings are not always of the same weight.'

The propagation and wholesale cultivation of the fungous disease for the destruction of grasshoppers of all kinds, which is mentioned by Mr. Packham and has been inquired about from time to time by many other correspondents, I regret to say, has not proved to be, on the whole, of much service in fighting outbreaks of injurious locust

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

For a short period, and in restricted localities, with all conditions favourable, good results have occasionally been obtained; but the difficulty of preserving the spores alive and using them when required, has been so great that all entomologists who have experimented with the fungus have, after a short time, relinquished the effort in favour of other methods not so dependent for their most effective use on climatic conditions. Hopper dozers and other mechanical contrivances have proved of much service; but the best results have followed agricultural methods of control, such as the early ploughing down of all stubble lands, in which by preference the eggs are laid, before the young emerge in spring or have grown to such a size as to be able to save themselves by hopping or flying, so as to avoid being ploughed down and buried.

The HESSIAN FLY (*Cecidomyia destructor*, Say.).—Injury by this destructive enemy of the wheat crop has been slight this year. Most reports merely refer to its absence. Last year specimens were found as far west as Indian Head, N.W.T. In Manitoba it has done less harm by far than in 1903. Mr. Norman Criddle, who has been on the lookout for it, says: 'The only report of this insect comes from Mr. Cooper, of Treesbank, who states that quite a number of puparia were to be found on his stubble fields this autumn and that he estimated the damage on his farm at about half a bushel to the acre. Elsewhere in the province, it is just possible that this insect may have escaped notice on account of the damage done by rust. There was no appearance of Hessian Fly here at Aweme.'

Prof. F. M. Webster, who is making a special study of wheat insects in the United States, writes at the end of this season: 'I found Hessian Fly in large quantities in North Dakota, quite as bad as in many places further south. You will be interested in hearing that from a lot of stubble collected west of Fargo, I have not reared a single adult this autumn; but from stubble collected at Lincoln, Nebraska, we get plenty of adults, showing that there must be a dropping out of the fall brood somewhere between these two localities.'

This observation confirms the opinion that there is only one brood of the Hessian Fly each year in our western wheat fields. This is an important fact, as indicating a proper remedy, and shows the value of cutting wheat high and then burning over the stubble before the time when the flies emerge in spring. In the Ontario November Crop Returns we find: 'The crop suffered much less than in recent years from Hessian Fly and other insects;' and 'in the new fall wheat little injury was complained of, compared with the ravages of this pest during the past three or four years.' In Prince Edward Island, where the Hessian Fly is always present to some extent, little harm was done, but specimens of infested straws were received from Mr. A. M. McMillan, of Eldon, P.E.I.

WHEAT-STEM SAWFLY [*Cephus pygmaeus*, L. (?)].—The intermittent manner in which this insect attacks wheat in the North-west was again demonstrated this year. It was not reported from any of the localities where it did harm during the past two years. The only place where a crop was injured conspicuously was at North Portal, Assa. Mr. George Harris writes under date August 24: 'I send samples of wheat injured by a small white worm. The attack is worst on the edges of fields, but is present all through the grain. Where the plants stand thick, you can cut with a binder; but where thin, the wheat falls down and there are patches three and four feet square, which are quite flat.'

The worm which causes this breaking of the straw is the larva of a slender black four-winged sawfly, about one-third of an inch in length, banded and spotted with yellow. The eggs are inserted into the straw by the females near the top of the stem; and the grub on hatching eats its way down to the root, near which it passes the winter in a cocoon spun inside the stem, but above which it has first gnawed almost through the walls of the straw, so that about harvest time injured stems fall over easily and break off, leaving the grub inside the stubble, where it remains, and about June of the following year turns first to a pupa and then to the perfect fly. Burning over

stubble fields and ploughing down all land left for summer-fallow early, so that the cocoons may be destroyed by the burning or buried so deeply that the flies cannot emerge, are the remedies recommended.

The GRAIN APHIS (*Nectarophora granaria*, Kirby).—It is probable that two or three species of plant-lice have been spoken of collectively by correspondents under the name of the Grain Aphis, as there is a remarkable difference in the appearance and colour of many of the plant-lice described in their letters, and very few send in specimens of what they consider a so well known insect. The grain plant-lice were more complained of this year in the West than any other enemies of cereal crops. They were exceedingly abundant in many places, and did some harm by sapping the stem and grain and causing shrunken wheat. Specimens were sent from New Brunswick by Mr. W. H. Moore, of Scotch Lake, and reports of unusual abundance were received from several places in Ontario. Nevertheless, there was little appreciable injury to grain crops in the East. In Manitoba and the North-west grain plant-lice were in places so abundant as to cause a good deal of anxiety. Mr. T. N. Willing, the Chief Territorial Weed Inspector, of Regina, reports that the Grain Aphis was very plentiful at some points, particularly north of Wapella, N.W.T. 'They were so abundant on Mr. F. Carr Dufton's farm, Wapella, and that of Mr. W. M. Gordon, Hazellecliffe, that the binder was actually stopped by reason of the canvas slipping on the rollers, from the slipperiness caused by the crushed plant-lice, and these were cleared off from the platform by the shovelful.'—T. N. WILLING.

'Pilot Mound, Man., Aug. 17.—I send wheat heads attacked by the Grain Aphis. I have a large acreage in which the grain is infested; but the only harm I can see that they do so far is to delay ripening. In walking only a short distance into the standing grain my clothing became covered with these insects.'

'Aug. 28.—The plant-lice which were so abundant when I last wrote, soon afterwards suddenly disappeared. They got wings about August 18 and flew away, I hope, never to return.'—PHIL. W. ROBINSON.

'Winnipeg, Man., Sept. 6.—We send sample of wheat received from a farmer at Wawanesa, Man. You will notice that it is affected by a small insect which is working on the head. The farmer writes: "The heads of the wheat are covered with a small insect of a green and black colour, which seems to be a bad pest. The heads of the wheat are covered with them and there must be millions in a single field. They seem to be sucking out the juice of the straw and the berry."—W. J. BLACK, Editor *Farmers' Advocate*.

'Yorkton District, Assa. (30.25.2.W. of 2nd), Sept. 13.—There was an insect on the grain this year which, had it come sooner, would have done a great deal of damage. There are millions of them on the oats, and I understand they are on the wheat also. They cluster around the kernel.'—A. C. GIBSON.

So far, no treatment has been discovered for controlling plant-lice on grain crops; but fortunately, they very seldom affect the output to any considerable extent; for an excessive occurrence of these insects is invariably attended by a correspondingly abundant development of parasites which feed upon them.

The WHEAT MIDGE (*Diplosis tritici*, Kirby).—It is many years since any noticeable loss from the larvæ of the Wheat Midge, usually called 'The Weevil' by farmers and millers, has taken place. Fifteen years ago the injury through the country was enormous, but suddenly, about 1889, the insect practically disappeared from our wheat fields. In 1898 a rather severe outbreak—the loss amounting to about 25 per cent of the crop—appeared as suddenly in the Niagara Peninsula, particularly along the lake shore in the county of Lincoln. Nothing has been heard of the Wheat Midge since that time, there or elsewhere, until the past summer, when specimens were sent from the fertile Chilliwack district of the Fraser River valley, in British Columbia. Mr. J. R. Anderson, in his report on the crops of the year, says: 'The Wheat Midge

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

(*Diplosis tritici*, Kirby) made its appearance at Chilliwack, but does not seem to have shown itself elsewhere. Where noticed, the infested wheat was destroyed by fire.'

Specimens of wheat heads more heavily infested than any I have ever seen, were received from Mr. Henry Kipp, of Chilliwack.

'Chilliwack, July 27.—I enclose heads of wheat infested by a small red maggot. There were a few last year, but this year my field is ruined. Please let me know what it is, and send a remedy if there is any. I believe there are hundreds of acres more or less injured by this insect. You will be doing the farmers of this district a great favour if you publish a remedy for it so that we may be ready to protect ourselves another year.'—R. ROBERTS.

'Chilliwack, July 28.—I enclose heads of wheat infested with a little red insect, which is attacking all the wheat crops here. Is there any remedy? I suppose not, as the wheat is so far advanced and is just beginning to ripen. I hear rumours of barley being attacked. So far, oats and peas are not. I see under the microscope this little insect resembles a minute worm. Most people, including myself, are going to cut the wheat green.'—G. MAXWELL STUART.

'Chilliwack, Nov. 24.—As far as I can hear, wheat was damaged by the Wheat Midge more or less all over the lower Fraser valley; the extent of the injury varied according to locality and to the state the wheat was in when the Midge attacked it. On the whole, the average would be, I think, less than one-third of the crop for the turn out. I heard of one farmer who only got 10 sacks of wheat off 10 acres; another got 25 bushels off five acres; he estimated the crop, before the Midge attacked it, at at least 20 bushels to the acre. On the other hand, Mr. Evans, of Sumas, had his wheat in very early; and it was not injured at all. I suppose the wheat had got too hard for the Midge; and for the same reason the fall wheat here was not hurt at all. I do not put in much wheat, my land being better suited for clover and peas; but off two acres which looked very well before the Midge came, I got only about two sacks. A good many cut their wheat for hay as soon as they knew it was attacked. Do you think this insect is likely to occur again next year? It would be a useful hint to farmers if you could include in your report a suggestion as to whether it would be wise to sow much wheat or not.'—G. MAXWELL STUART.

As to sowing spring wheat next year in the Chilliwack valley, it would certainly be wiser not to do so, but to use the land for some other crop such as oats or barley, which are not attacked by the Wheat Midge. It is, of course, possible that the Midge may not be abundant next year; but it is much more likely to be present in some numbers, which would make it unwise to grow wheat when the land can be used for so many other valuable crops.

'Chilliwack, November 28.—Re losses from Wheat Midge in this valley, I may say they were even more serious than I first thought. After attending a number of threshings, I am sure fully half of the wheat crop was destroyed by it; there would be found several bushels of the grub underneath the machine after it had worked one or two hours. But a few like myself cut their wheat and made hay when the insect was found to be bad; but I may say the loss was not felt as bad here as it would have been in a wheat-growing district; for the farmers here only grow wheat for feed, and only a comparatively small acreage is annually sown to wheat; so the loss, although considerable, will not be felt very much, and the chickens will have to eat something else. I notice an increase in the acreage of fall wheat sown this fall; for, strange to say, the insect does no harm to fall wheat, and a few fields of very early spring wheat escaped the Midge. I have just rubbed out a few heads of the wheat which I cut for hay, and find the grub still there, with no change, as far as I can see, since I first noticed it.'—R. ROBERTS.

All the samples of infested wheat received were remarkable for the enormous numbers of the larvæ clustered round the grains in each floret; and, although few farmers reported injury by the Midge, this was without doubt great where the insect

occurred. Immediately on receipt of the samples an article was prepared for the *Province* newspaper of Vancouver, B.C., in which the insect was described and the best steps to take were mentioned, so that as much as possible loss might be minimized in the future. The Wheat Midge possibly attacks some grasses, but has never been detected, as suggested above, on barley nor upon oats and peas.

The Wheat Midge and its attack are thus described in my report for 1888, page 49, which I reprint here, as I have nothing further to add to it in the way of useful information:—

'The Wheat Midge is more widely known in Canada under the inaccurate designation of 'Weevil,' a term which must be discouraged, because it belongs to another class of insects altogether. The weevils are hard-shelled beetles, with elongated snouts, while the Wheat Midge in its larval stage is a legless maggot, and, when in the perfect state, a delicate gnat-like creature with gauzy wings. The life history of the Wheat Midge, as at present understood, is as follows:—During the month of June, just when wheat is in blossom, tiny yellow midges with black eyes and yellow bodies may be seen flying over the fields, particularly on dull days or towards evening. Large numbers of the same midges may also be seen in houses as soon as the lamps are lighted. These are the Wheat Midge and the parents of the Red Maggot of wheat.

'The body of the female fly is prolonged into a long slender tube which can be extended or drawn in at pleasure. With this tube, which is called the ovipositor, she pushes her minute eggs down between the chaff of the green wheat ear. In about a week these eggs hatch into small transparent yellowish maggots, which at once attack the forming grain. Gnawing through the outer skin of the kernel of wheat, they extract its juices and prevent it from filling out properly. As these larvæ grow older, they gradually become darker in colour until they acquire the tint which has given them the name they are best known by in England, "the Red Maggot of the wheat." Grain injured by the Midge has a characteristic shrivelled appearance, known amongst millers as "fly struck." There are sometimes four or five maggots to each grain in an ear.* As soon as the maggots are full grown they either work their way up between the scales of chaff and fall to the ground, or remain in the ears until the crop is earried. Those which fall to the ground—and these are by far the most numerous—penetrate about an inch beneath the surface, where they spin a small cocoon of exceeding thinness, which fits so closely to their bodies that it is sometimes thought to be only the skin hardened, in the same manner as takes place in the case of many other flies when they pass through their pupal or quiet state. It was generally supposed that the perfect flies from these pupæ did not appear until June in the following year. This, however, is not always the case, for, on a warm, damp evening in August, and again in the beginning of September, 1888, large numbers flew into my study and were killed at the lamp. Prof. F. M. Webster, a special agent of the United States Department of Agriculture, on one occasion bred considerable numbers of perfect Midges in the month of July, from heads of wheat which had been badly attacked by the red maggots during the previous month; and, off and on, during the rest of the summer until November, he caught the perfect insects at large. In the report of the United States Entomologist for 1884 the same observer records as follows:—"From September 4 to 15, I not only found larvæ in considerable abundance under the sheaths of volunteer wheat, but adults too in the same situation, and also on the outside of the plant or hovering above the upper leaves. From a quantity of this wheat placed in a breeding cage, on September 7, appeared three or four adults." Not only, then, did these maggots of June produce perfect flies that same summer, but there was a second brood which had time to lay eggs in the young fall wheat. That this insect has a double life history, living both in the ears and later in the season in the shoots of young

*There were from 10 to 15 in almost every instance with each grain in the heads sent from British Columbia this year.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

wheat plants, is an important discovery made by Prof. Webster, and suggests another means of checking its ravages.'

Remedies.—The remedies for the Wheat Midge, as for all other insects which attack crops, depend largely upon its habits and the way in which it passes the winter. Those methods which have given the best results are as follows :—

1. Deep ploughing directly the crop is carried, so as to bury the larvæ so deep that the flies cannot work their way out through the soil.

2. The burning of all chaff, dust and rubbish known as 'screenings' or 'tailings' from beneath the threshing machines, as these contain many of the larvæ which are carried with the crop. If fed to chickens or domestic animals, this should be done in a place where none of the puparia can escape destruction.

3. Clean farming, including the cutting of all grasses along the edges of fields and the ploughing down of all volunteer crops found in wheat fields before winter sets in, so as to destroy an autumn brood where one exists.

4. The cultivation of such varieties of wheat as experience has shown are least affected by this insect. There is a great difference in kinds of wheat in this respect, and from time to time so-called 'midge-proof' varieties have been introduced, but it is probable that there is no truly midge-proof variety of wheat as yet known.

The PEA WEEVIL (*Bruchus pisorum*, Linn.).—The satisfactory state of affairs referred to in my last year's report as to the sudden and remarkable decrease in the numbers of this pest has continued, and, even to a greater degree, during the summer of 1904. This sudden cessation of activity on the part of such a persistent enemy cannot be accounted for by any one cause; but it must be claimed to be due, to some extent at any rate, to the persistent work which has been done by entomologists in stirring up farmers to greater care in treating their seed pease before sowing them, and in harvesting and treating the crop as soon as possible after it is ripe. Many farmers, for fear of loss from the depredations of the Pea Weevil, gave up growing peas altogether during the last two seasons. In 1903 the numbers of the Pea Weevil were perceptibly reduced, but no natural parasites such as frequently bring down the numbers of other insects when they increase unduly, could be detected to account for this. The winter of 1903-4 was more severe, both from its duration and the intensity of the cold than has been experienced for many years. There is no doubt that the cold weather destroyed many of the weevils which had emerged in the autumn and were hibernating around barns and buildings. It is probable, too, that many of those still remaining in the seeds through the winter were also killed by the cold. In some rather extensive experiments carried on during two or three years to decide whether there was any exact limit to the low temperature which could be borne with impunity by the Pea Weevil, I found that beetles exposed inside the pease, both with the skin of the pea intact or with the cell cap pushed off, were killed at between 18 to 20 degrees below zero, Fahr. On several occasions during last winter the thermometer dropped lower than 20 degrees below zero, Fahr., in those districts of Ontario where the best seed pease are grown. Mr. Geo. E. Fisher, a practical farmer and careful observer of insect life, writing from Burlington, Ont., on September 29, says: 'The pea crop here is now being threshed. It is a good crop and characterized by the entire absence of bugs. This substantiates my contention that cold weather settles the Pea Bug. I believe there will be a large acreage put in to peas next year.'

Prof. C. C. James, in his November crop report for Ontario, says: 'The round or common field-pea has not been widely sown during the past three or four years owing to the weevil or "bug." The yield and general quality of pease this season, however, will do much to restore confidence in the growing of this crop. The injury from weevil was comparatively slight, and a larger area of peas may be looked for next year.'

Mr. J. D. Evans, President of the Entomological Society of Ontario, who has made inquiries for me in Prince Edward county, one of the most important districts in Canada for the production of first-class seed and pease, writes on November 11: 'The Pea Weevil was not destructive at all this year; in fact, it seems to have entirely disappeared. There were none found at Picton, Bloomfield, Wellington, Trenton or Frankford. Mr. Cooper, of Bloomfield, and Mr. W. P. Niles, of Wellington, both well known to you as first-class men, report its apparent disappearance in the above-mentioned localities.'

I draw special attention to the great diminution in the numbers of the Pea Weevil at the present time, in the hope of inducing growers to avail themselves of this exceptional opportunity of pressing home their advantage now when the infestation is so slight, and when, therefore, every insect killed is of much greater importance in the conflict than when Pea Weevils are occurring in the incredible numbers in which they existed in Canada only three years ago. I again repeat that I can see no reason why the Pea Weevil should not be entirely wiped out in Ontario.

There are special features about the attack of this insect which render its control a simpler matter than is usually the case with injuries of an equal magnitude. The Pea Weevil is not a native of North America, and has no other known food plant than the cultivated pea, which, being an exotic plant, will not live over the winter in our climate if seed is left in the open field; consequently, every seed sown for the pea crop of the year must, before it is sown, have been under the control of some one by whom it could have been treated before sowing to destroy the contained weevil if it had one. Fumigation with bisulphide of carbon is a certain, effective, easy and cheap remedy, which is well known and can be applied by any one. If all growers of pease, will combine to do this this year, when on account of the cool season of 1904, it is not likely that many of the weevils have left the seed, by far the greater number of the Pea Weevils now remaining in the country can be destroyed before another season opens. This, however, alone will not be sufficient. The knowledge of the life history of the insect must be made much more widely known to farmers than is the case; for, notwithstanding all that has been written on the subject and the attention which has been given to it at farmers' institute meetings, I have received during the past season a great many inquiries as to the best means of treating pease before sowing; and further steps must be taken at the proper time of the year to spread more widely a general knowledge of the subject, so that those growing seed and sowing pease, may understand the reason why certain steps are advised. My recommendations are:

1. That all pease for seed should be treated before they are sown, whether the weevil is thought to be present or not, and that seeding should be as early as can be, so as to get the crop ripe and ready for treatment at the earliest possible season.

2. That pea-growers should harvest their pease as much on the green side as is safe, rather than, as is usually done, waiting until they are dead ripe. This has many advantages; not only is the straw of much higher quality for feed, but the seed is heavier and better for every purpose. The pease should be threshed as soon as dry enough, and then fumigated at once. The weevils will not have completed their growth and will have destroyed a smaller proportion of the bulk of the seeds than if they were left until later in the winter. It is certain that weevils in all stages of growth may be killed inside the pease by fumigating with bisulphide of carbon. Consequently, if growers will sow early and harvest and thresh a little earlier than usual, and either themselves treat their seed immediately or sell to the grain buyers, who for their own sakes will do this, much good must surely result. When for any reason pease cannot be treated at once or disposed of, they should be bagged up and the sacks tied up immediately so as to prevent the escape of any weevils which might emerge in the autumn. When the grain is required for feeding, and therefore it is thought not necessary to fumigate, pease should be ground as soon as they are dry enough; and, for the convenience of grinding and to prevent the meal from becoming musty, some old pease should be mixed with the new before passing them through the grain grinder.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

3. That everybody who understands the gravity of this question should use every endeavour to persuade all growers of pease to abstain from sowing any pease which contain living weevils, and, when purchasing seed, to refuse determinedly to buy any without an assurance from the seed merchant that they have been treated, and, even with this assurance, to examine for themselves to see that any contained weevils are really dead. There are two points which should always be remembered by those who purchase pease for sowing. Seeds which have been injured by weevil are so much reduced in vitality and producing power that they are only worth about one-quarter as much as sound seed, and also, that treatment with bisulphide of carbon in no way injures the pease, whether they are to be used for seed or to be fed to stock.

FIELD CROPS.

The irregular nature of the weather during the summer months of 1904, which has already been referred to under cereal crops, was manifested even more plainly by its effects upon fodder crops. Good hay crops were the exception, perhaps the best being secured in western Quebec and central and northern Ontario. Corn was nowhere heavy nor well developed. Complaints of poor seed were frequent; but it is possible that some of the disappointment was due rather to weather conditions than to lack of quality in the seed. Late spring frosts did some injury, and early frosts in autumn reduced very much the weight of ensilage corn per acre. The Ontario returns sum up the crop as follows :—'Corn for the silo is described by some as being of inferior quality, while many others claim that it will be good or of fair quality. Taken altogether, however, it has been a decidedly poor year for corn.' In the Maritime Provinces and Quebec some injury was done by cutworms, necessitating replanting and a consequent retarding of the crop, so that it was caught by frost in the autumn. The drought which prevailed from the Temiscouata district in Quebec to the sea coast reduced enormously hay crops, which up till the first of June were apparently in a flourishing condition. Writing of the climatic conditions in Prince Edward Island, the Rev. Father Burke says :—'The season opened with much promise, and there was more soil moisture than we have had for several years. The weather was warm and genial, and the opportunity for getting the crop in was unexcelled. Towards the end of June, however, the complete absence of rain began to be felt, and, as almost every day we had high winds from the south-west, growing crops became a greater concern to farmers. We had merely a few insignificant showers till away on to the last of September, so that grass and all forage crops were seriously affected. Hay was not half a crop, and grain in land not particularly rich in humus very poor indeed. We are exceedingly short of fodder, and the government is importing hay from Quebec to prevent the wholesale slaughter of cattle.'

A much brighter report comes from British Columbia, notwithstanding that large areas were affected by drought. Mr. J. R. Anderson reports grasses and clovers as giving 'good yields throughout the province, and on account of favourable weather hay was mostly well cured. Red clover, alfalfa, sainfoin and alsike in different localities gave some surprisingly large yields on irrigated lands, as much as three crops being cut in places. Timothy is largely grown, but its production is discouraged, as other grasses are preferable for pasture.'

Insect enemies of these crops were not complained of to any large extent; but this cannot be taken to mean that no injury was done. Enormous losses may be sustained in hay and fodder crops without farmers noticing the fact. Then, again, some losses have become so much a matter of every year occurrence that no mention is made of them in reports. This is particularly the case with the CLOVER-SEED MIDGE, to which I

have drawn attention very frequently. The annual loss at the present time is enormous, and yet, if those who grow clover seed practise the simple remedy of feeding off or mowing the first crop before June 20, the results are always so satisfactory that I cannot understand why the practice is not more generally adopted.

Mr. G. H. Clark, Chief of the Seed Division of the Department of Agriculture, who has exceptional opportunities of learning the condition of crops throughout the country, writes to me as follows:—

'Ottawa, Nov. 30.—Referring to your inquiry about the condition of the clover seed crop for 1904, I have to say that our instructor in seed-growing for the province of Ontario has reported that, on account of the severe winter, the crops of alsike and red clover in June and later months appeared patchy, and, in consequence, a much smaller area was left for seed crop than in previous years. Mr. Newman also inspected fields of red clover that had been left for seed in nearly all of the districts where red clover seed is extensively grown, and found in practically every county that the crops had been badly injured by the midge. These conditions, together with the unfavourable weather for ripening the seed, would indicate that the clover seed crop of 1904 will fall considerably below the average.'

Further efforts will be made next season to draw the attention of the clover seed growers to this important matter; and it is to be hoped that a reduction may be made in the great amount of loss which is now taking place every year. Letters appeared in the newspapers last year at the end of June, advising the best steps to take and a few farmers followed them; but the result of the clover seed harvest of this year is very unsatisfactory. The plants in many places suffered from the severity of last winter, and there was a great deal of winter-killed clover in spring. Alsike seems to have suffered even more than red and mammoth clovers, and red clover in all parts of the province of Ontario was injured by the midge. In travelling over part of New Brunswick and in the Annapolis valley of Nova Scotia in June last, I found red clover in almost every section badly attacked by the midge.

THE CORN WORM (*Heliothis armiger*, Hbn.).—From time to time complaints are received from various parts of the country of more or less injury to sweet corn in autumn by the caterpillar of a noctuid moth, which is known by various popular names. It is what Professor Luggar called the Sweet Corn Moth, or Tassel Worm, in Minnesota, and is also the same as the notorious southern 'Boll Worm' of the cotton, to which crop it frequently does great damage and for which it has been found very difficult to find a practical remedy. The name of widest use is the Corn Worm, although its injuries in Canada are not confined to Indian corn, for the caterpillars have also been found boring into the fruit of tomatoes and attacking many other plants. There is but one brood in the year in Canada, the caterpillars occurring in autumn and the moths from these emerging the following summer. The worst injury by this insect in Canadian crops is to the cobs of sweet corn, because the work of the caterpillars renders the ears unsightly and discoloured so as to be unfit for the table.

In 1898 there was a bad attack at Orillia, Ont., when as much as 95 per cent of the ears of both sweet corn and yellow field corn were injured. There were other outbreaks in the same year in western Ontario and at Ottawa. These caterpillars do not appear till late in the season, generally during the months of September and October, when they may be found of all sizes, eating the young grains near the tips of the ears, frequently as many as five or six caterpillars working in the same ear. As they approach full growth, when they are an inch and a half in length, they frequently eat their way out of one ear and attack another one.

The only account of injury by the Corn Worm this year comes from Nova Scotia, and is the first record I have had of injury by it in that province.

'Mahone Bay, Sept. 7.—I send you under separate cover specimens of what is to us a new pest. It affects garden corn in the way you will see by the portions of seve-

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ral ears I am also sending. There are from one to three of the caterpillars in each ear, and, of about 45 ears picked by me, so far only five were free from them. This pest seems quite general here, and at least for eight or ten miles around. One man only, of all I have asked about it, tells me that his corn is not affected. After a while the caterpillars make a round hole through the husk and disappear, I suppose, into the ground, although I have vainly hunted for them in the ground about the corn roots.'

—CHARLES A. HAMILTON.

The caterpillar is somewhat variable in colour, and is from one and a quarter to one and a half inches in length when full grown. The head is honey yellow, and the body varies in colour from pale greenish to dark brown, and is marked with longitudinal dark stripes and with a conspicuous band along the sides where the breathing pores are situated. This band is white, mottled with pink. On the body are the ordinary tubercles which are found on noctuid larvæ. These are distinct and black, each one bearing a slender bristle. The upper surface is marbled irregularly with white, and the whole surface of the skin has a velvety appearance, owing to numberless very short bristles, which are black and white in about equal numbers. A single specimen, which turned out to be a caterpillar of this moth, was found in a greenhouse late in the year (October 28). It was full grown and buried in the ground on October 31. The jar containing it was kept out of doors for the winter, and the moth emerged on July 8 the following year. This caterpillar was remarkably unlike those occurring on corn the same year, being entirely dark velvety green, without conspicuous markings, and was feeding on the leaves of a scarlet geranium. This moth, however, is by no means a common species in Canada, and nearly all of the specimens I have seen have been taken late in the year. Prof. Luggar states that the insect does not winter in Minnesota, but that all are killed late in the fall. This, he points out, would mean that the insect has to be reintroduced every summer from the south, where it can successfully hibernate. Whether the insect also hibernates as a moth in Canada, I have been unable to decide, but it certainly passes the winter in some instances as a pupa, although the caterpillars vary so much in size late in the year that many of them must be caught by early frost, which destroys their food plant. The moth of this insect is somewhat variable in the intensity of colour, but is usually of a dull pale ochreous yellow, with olive or ruddy markings on the forewings. The yellowish hind wings have a broad blackish band, and are edged with pink. These moths expand a little more than an inch and a half from tip to tip of the opened wings.

The caterpillars of the Corn Worm are recorded as having been found on a great many different kinds of plants, including the following crops: Pumpkins, tobacco, beans and peas; and the full grown caterpillars seem to have a penchant for eating into any solid firm object, such as a fruit or pod of any kind.

Remedies.—Unfortunately this is a very difficult insect to keep in check. When it attacks corn, as described above, it is seldom noticed until a considerable amount of harm has been done. Where the caterpillars are troublesome regularly every year, growers, it is claimed, get into the way of recognizing at a glance, ears which are infested, by the discoloration of the silk earlier than is natural in perfect ears. As soon as an infested ear is discovered, the leaves of the husk are pulled back and the caterpillars destroyed by hand. Where, as in Canada, it is only at long intervals that harm is done in any one place, corn growers are taken by surprise, and the injury is done before it is noticed. It is claimed that many of the moths may be taken in lantern traps consisting of a lamp standing in an open pan containing water with a little coal oil on the top of it. Anyone, therefore, who knew the appearance of the insect, upon recognizing the moths in years of great abundance flying around lights at night, might place lantern traps as described above in his crop, and thus prevent future loss; but this insect, like many others which appear in an intermittent manner, will always be a source of trouble. On fields where a crop of corn is known to have been attacked by the Corn Worm, the old stems should be removed from the field as

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

soon as the crop is gathered, and the land ploughed deeply in autumn so as to break up the cocoons and expose the pupæ to the weather and their various enemies among the small birds and mammals.

The BLACK ARMY WORM (*Noctua fennica*, Tausch.).—This cutworm was found in small numbers at Ottawa, chiefly in gardens and clover fields, but no great harm was done. There was a serious occurrence of the insect at St. Emile de Suffolk, Que. Mr. Elsimère Guérin wrote on May 27: 'This spring I sowed 13 bushels of peas, which have been destroyed by the caterpillars of which I send you specimens. They are beginning to attack my oats. Can you tell me what I can sow in place of the peas without loss? Also, if there is anything I can use to destroy the worms?'

The samples sent were full grown specimens of the Black Army worm, which is a velvety black caterpillar with red head and legs and is striped down the back and sides with distinct but fine white lines. The dorsal area is sometimes more or less washed with a reddish tinge. There is a distinct white waved stigmatal band, washed with yellow and bearing in the centre an irregular black line. The lower side of the body of these caterpillars is a dusky green mottled with white. They become full grown about the end of May, when they burrow into the ground and turn to chrysalids, from which the moths emerge in July. In reply to Mr. Guérin's question, he was advised to leave the pea field and see if the plants did not recover, this having been our experience at Ottawa in 1891, when from a field similarly injured a heavy crop of peas was harvested. Later in the year Mr. Guérin wrote to me that he had reaped a heavy crop of peas from this field.

The COTTONY GRASS SCALE (*Eriopeltis festuæ*, Fonsc.).—In the report of the Entomologist and Botanist for 1895, some account is given of a curious scale insect which has occasionally appeared in vast numbers in pastures and meadows in Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island. From time to time specimens of the egg-sacks of this scale insect on grass (Plate I., fig. 4) are sent in for information, and apparently the species is not uncommon in the Maritime Provinces. During the past summer I observed small colonies in many places, and Mr. W. H. Harrington tells me that he also found them very abundant near Sydney, C.B. Mr. Charles Myers sent specimens from Lake Verd, P.E.I., with the statement that in many places, both in new meadows and on old sod, almost every blade of grass had one or more of the scales upon it.

This insect passes the winter in the egg condition beneath the scales. The young hatch in spring and feed on the leaves and stems of grass. The females become full grown in July, and towards the end of the month lay their eggs in conspicuous elongated oval sacks of closely felted downy white threads. As the eggs pass the winter upon the old grass, the burning over of pastures and meadows late in autumn or before growth begins in spring, would be an easy way of destroying this scale, should it at any time multiply so as to become injurious.

ROOTS AND VEGETABLES.

Both field and garden roots and vegetables have been to some extent affected by weather conditions in spring, and also have suffered considerably from well known enemies, but in most places they picked up well in autumn. Foremost among insect enemies were cutworms, which were extremely abundant and destructive in some parts of the Maritime Provinces, Ontario and the North-west Territories, and also in some places in British Columbia. The Turnip Flea-beetle did a great deal of harm in Nova Scotia, making it necessary sometimes to sow twice and even three times. Turnips

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

in fields as well as in gardens were much injured by the ordinary Cabbage Root Maggot. The Onion Maggot was destructive everywhere. Beets and mangels had their leaves somewhat blistered by the mining larvæ of the fly *Pegomyia bicolor*, Wied., reports being received both from western Ontario and Nova Scotia; little harm, however, was done, as the attack stopped early in the season. The Turnip Aphis, Cabbage Aphis and plant-lice upon several other vegetable crops were numerous and destructive.

Potatoes were in most districts a satisfactory crop. The Colorado Potato Beetle was less aggressive than for many years, and no new enemies of prime importance were reported. The Potato Aphis occurred at Mahone Bay, in Nova Scotia, and did some harm; but this is an insect which so far has only appeared at long intervals. The Potato Rot has been rather prevalent and destructive. In Prince Edward Island 'the root crops were good—potatoes never better nor less attacked by pests of any kind.' (Rev. A. E. Burke.) At the Provincial Exhibition held at Charlottetown in September last, the exhibit of potatoes was simply wonderful, the tubers being even in size and remarkably free of blemish. In Nova Scotia the crop was a good average one, with little mention of rot. In Ontario there was a large yield, but considerable rot appeared, especially on heavy soil or on low land; the extent of the loss is variously estimated at from 20 to 50 per cent. In British Columbia, Mr. J. R. Anderson says: 'Potatoes are decidedly under the average in those sections where the best qualities are produced; fair on low lands; prices firm. The yield of other root crops is about normal, but short in some of the higher regions, although the quality is good.'

Spraying potato fields with Bordeaux mixture to prevent injury by the Potato Rot has again shown the great value of this useful remedy. Four sprayings on August 1, 15, 31 and September 14, gave potatoes absolutely free of all traces of disease. This was on light sandy land, and, as a rule, one or two more sprayings would be advisable. The saving from this treatment for Potato Rot is now so well established and so many object lessons have been given at fall exhibitions and on the experimental farms, that it is a most remarkable thing that more farmers and others do not practise such a simple method of saving a large proportion of their crop. Although, as with every other remedy, there is a variation in the amount of protection, in every instance that has come under my notice, and these have been many since we began to spray potatoes on the experimental farms, to show farmers what an excellent remedy it is—it has been invariably shown that spraying potatoes with the Bordeaux mixture to prevent Potato Rot always pays. Every year such demonstration plots have been grown since 1891, and, besides this, the Horticulturist and Agriculturist now spray all their potatoes as an economic method of obtaining as big a crop as possible.

The Potato Scab, another fungous disease which frequently disfigures and lowers the market value of potatoes very much, was also reduced to a minimum by soaking the tubers used for seed, before sowing, in a solution of 8 ounces of commercial formalin and 15 gallons of water.

CUTWORMS.—The larvæ of several species of noctuid moths known collectively under the name of cutworms (Plate I., fig. 1), as usual, did a large amount of harm in gardens, as well as, in some instances, in fields. By far the greater part of the injury was done by the Red-backed Cutworm (*Paragrotis ochrogaster*, Gn.), which is one of the widest spread and most injurious cutworms we have in Canada, appearing every year in greater or lesser abundance. It is not always possible to determine the species which is reported upon, but in most instances mentioned below actual specimens were received:

I was informed when in Prince Edward Island recently that, in almost all parts of the Island, cutworms had been most destructive last spring. Father Burke says: 'They were never more plentiful than last year and did a great deal of damage to all crops. Your poison bran remedy seems dangerous to apply where there are birds, fowls and other domestic animals about.'

Mr. A. McNeill, Chief of the Fruit Division, Department of Agriculture, writes on July 27: 'During my last visit to Prince Edward Island, I saw in many places, particularly in Queen's County, most serious depredations by cutworms. Our July crop reports emphasize this and show that the root crops as well as garden truck have been almost completely destroyed by cutworms. I trust you will be able to think out some scheme to help farmers get rid of this enemy.'

Mr. Saxby Blair, Horticulturist at the Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S., told me, when visiting the farm in June last, that this same cutworm had done a great deal of damage in his vegetable plots and in the flower beds. I advised him to use the poisoned bran remedy, and he now tells me that, as far as the cutworms are concerned, this was most satisfactory in checking them.

'Mahone Bay, N.S., June 28.—I send specimens of cutworms which are doing damage here. They cut off indiscriminately all kinds of vegetables. One of the specimens sent had just finished cutting off a potato stalk nearly half an inch in diameter. About ten per cent of my peas were taken, and other vegetables were injured. Some of my neighbours suffered somewhat more severely. These grubs, I notice, are becoming more common. Last year there were comparatively few, and the year before I saw none. Please tell me the species. I don't need other information as I find cutworms fully treated in your reports.'—C. A. HAMILTON.

'Tignish, N.S., June 30.—Cutworms are doing much damage in this part of Cumberland County. In my garden, with the exception of potatoes and sweet corn, they have eaten nearly everything.'—G. E. STOPFORD.

'Northport, N.S., July 6.—The cutworms I am sending are destroying cabbages, mangels, beans, &c., and are a perfect pest. What can be done to prevent their still growing more plentiful another year and to put a stop to the damage they are doing now?'—G. BRANDER.

'Forest Glen, N.B., July 1.—I send you specimens of grubs which have given us great trouble this spring in our garden. They eat off the bean stalks just as they come above the ground. After they had destroyed a great many of our early beans they attacked black currant and gooseberry bushes.'—J. BLEAKNEY.

'Hartland, N.B., July 4.—I am very much troubled this year with insect pests. Many of my plants are being cut off by grubs, and the trouble is general in this neighbourhood. In my garden, only cauliflowers and cabbages are attacked; but, with my neighbours, beans and tomatoes are badly destroyed. One man lost half his beans. I see that you recommend mixing bran with Paris green and sweetened water, putting a little of this round the plants. Is there any possibility of the plants absorbing enough of the Paris green so placed to render them unsafe for food?'—JOHN BARNETT.

'Batiscan Station, Que., July 8.—What can I do to destroy grubs that are eating up my onions, cabbages and other vegetables?'—M. SISSONS.

'Trenton, Ont., November 11.—The only instance of serious loss from insect enemies during the past season, which has come under my notice, was when I was at Coe Hill about midsummer. I learned of the almost total destruction of young cabbage plants early in the season by cutworms.'—JOHN D. EVANS.

'Calgary, Alta., June 20.—We are sending herewith some cutworms which are destroying all plants they come in contact with.'—HOLE & ANDERSON.

'Blackfalds, Alta., July 8.—Cutworms are very bad here this year. They have even started to eat off stalks of the potatoes.'—E. DALTON TIPPING.

At Ottawa there was again this year a veritable plague of cutworms. My assistant, Mr. Arthur Gibson, took notes upon some fields which had been treated to save the crops from cutworms; and his observations confirmed us in the belief that the poisoned bran remedy, which I have advised so widely during the last few years, was on the whole the most satisfactory way of stopping injury by cutworms, and is a practical remedy equally applicable for crops growing in fields as in gardens. Mr. Gibson found in a field of tobacco which was being rapidly destroyed, that, by the second day after the remedy was applied, the destruction of the plants stopped entirely, and dead



Fig. 1. A cutworm and its moth.



Fig. 2.—The Plum Curculio: *a*, beetle; *b*, pupa; *c*, larva—natural size.



Fig. 3.—The Plum Curculio: beetle—enlarged.




Fig. 4.—The Cottony Grass Scale: egg-sacks on grass—natural size.



Fig. 5.—Apple infested by Apple Maggot.



Fig. 6.—Flies of the Apple Maggot: *a*, male; *b*, female—enlarged.

(Figs. 2 and 3 kindly lent by J. M. Stedman, Columbia, Mo.; Fig. 6, by the N.H. Agr. Exp. Station.)

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

or-dying cutworms could be found by moving the soil lightly beneath every plant. By actual count, as many as nineteen were found under a single plant, and nearly as many under several others. This is only one instance of the very remarkable effectiveness of this remedy.

Remedy.—The poisoned bran mash is made by mixing half a pound of Paris green with fifty pounds of slightly moistened bran. In making this, it is best first to dampen some of the bran slightly with water containing a little sugar. After mixing thoroughly, add the Paris green by dusting it on the surface and stirring all the time. We have found that when Paris green is added to perfectly dry bran, owing to its weight, it will sink at once to the bottom when stirred, in the same way that it does in water. Half a pound of Paris green is enough to poison fifty pounds of bran, although double this amount may be used. If the mixture is too wet, more dry bran should be stirred in until the mixture will crumble easily and run through the fingers without adhering.

When required for garden use, all that is necessary is to sprinkle a little of the poisoned mixture by hand around such plants as are liable to attack. When crops are planted in drills or in rows, a convenient way is to make the mixture almost dry and then distribute it by means of a Planet Junior or other wheel seeder. In field practice, among such close growing crops as standing grain, which are sometimes injured by the Red-backed Cutworm, the poisoned bran remedy is also serviceable. The mixture can be distributed by means of a paddle or shingle and can be thrown easily to a distance of twenty feet. When distributed in this way, there is much less danger of chickens and birds picking it up than if it is placed in lumps.

The question of danger from the use of this poisoned bait is one which must be considered. It is frequently inquired about by correspondents, and some instances of the poisoning of poultry where it has been used, seemed to be justly attributable to their having eaten some of it. As a rule, there is little danger from this cause. The quantity used is so small that it is not noticed by poultry; and then, in gardens, poultry do so much harm to plants that they should never be admitted, at the time of year when cutworms occur injuriously and only at special times of the year when there are no crops to injure. If, however, there should be a bad infestation by cutworms and there is no means of barring out or driving away the chickens, the owner of the crops must decide whether he will lose his crop or take special means of protecting his chickens. The experience of a great many people who have used this remedy without taking any special precautions, is that injury to domestic animals is extremely rare; and, although I have been on the watch for any trouble of this sort for many years, I do not know of a single instance when poultry have been poisoned, without doubt by eating poisoned bran put out for cutworms. However, there will be many occasions when plants in gardens may be protected by putting out the poisoned bran in small heaps and then covering these up with a piece of shingle or some other covering, so that the material cannot be got at by stray chickens and other poultry.

It has also been asked whether there is any danger of plants absorbing Paris green from this mixture when placed near their roots. In reply to this, it is only necessary to point out that Paris green is practically insoluble and therefore cannot be absorbed by the plant.

ROOT MAGGOTS.—These insects, which every year are a serious tax on market gardeners, were in 1904 particularly aggressive, and from every province frequent demands were made for a practical remedy. Radishes, cauliflowers, cabbages, turnips, onions, and, in a few instances, beans and sweet corn were injured. Only a few years ago there were many districts in the West where root maggots were unknown; but of late years these have been invaded. Bad infestations are reported by Mr. N. H. Holland, from Norquay, Man., who speaks of his success in growing onions in former years, but now finds that he has this year lost a third of his crop and says that the maggots are get-

ting worse every year. Loss is also reported from Regina, Moosejaw and Calgary, as well as from many places at the coast, in British Columbia. In the Ottawa district these maggots were particularly destructive, and on the Central Experimental Farm Onion Maggots worked actively throughout the season from the middle of June till November, when they were destroying the ripe bulbs. The Radish Maggot was abundant in spring, and again in September. Cabbages and cauliflowers which were kept free from these enemies till the middle of July, were not afterwards injured. This was probably due to the hardening of the stems and the abundant root growth. Beans planted late and too deep in the soil were moderately attacked, but this is an unusual injury. Only one instance of corn being injured came to my notice, and this was from the seed having lain in the land for a long time and growth being retarded by cold wet weather. Several remedies were experimented with, but no very satisfactory results were obtained, except in the case of plants grown under a light wooden frame covered with cheese cloth, such as was mentioned in my last report. Under these protections, however, radishes and cauliflowers of high quality were grown which were perfectly free from the attacks of the maggot. Onions were too much drawn up by the shade and did not bulb well. I found that a convenient covering of this nature 8 feet long by 2 feet wide, and 2 feet high, can be made for about 25 cents, the frame being of light one-and-a-half-inch square wood simply nailed together at the corners and with cheese cloth tacked on on the outside. In a frame of these dimensions five cauliflowers and two rows of radishes were grown. The frame was kept on from the time the seeds were sown until the radishes were pulled. Cauliflowers were sufficiently advanced to require no further protection, and the frames were removed about the 1st of August. As a rule, the attack of the root maggots becomes perceptibly less by the first of August; and even late cabbages planted in July are seldom attacked by root maggots. During the season of 1904, the insect in all stages could be found throughout the season.

For plants grown in the open, the best results this year were secured from the following remedies:—

For Onions.—White hellebore dusted along the rows once a week gave comparatively clean onions, very few being attacked. In years when it is necessary to apply the remedy throughout the season, this would be too expensive to be considered a practical remedy. The Cook carbolic wash, which is very effective for radishes, was less so with onions. Pyrethrum insect powder, Bug Death, Paris green and plaster, used as dry powders, had little effect. Sand saturated with coal oil and Jeyes' Gardeners Friend, were also tried this year without any decided results in saving onions from attack.

For Cabbages.—The remedies which have given the best results for cabbages are 1. The Goff tar paper disks, which are pieces of ordinary tarred building paper three inches in diameter, with a slit running to the centre so as to allow of their being placed around the stems of the young cabbages at the time of planting. 2. About half a teacupful of a decoction of pyrethrum insect powder, four ounces to a gallon of water, poured around the roots of each plant after drawing away the earth, right down to the rootlets. The earth should then be pushed back again and hilled up round the stem. As a substitute for pyrethrum insect powder, hellebore was tried this year, not only at the Central Experimental Farm, but also by Mr. Saxby Blair, the Horticulturist at the Experimental Farm for the Maritime Provinces, at Nappan, N.S.. The results were very satisfactory. Mr. Blair writes: 'The Cabbage Root Maggot gave considerable trouble last year; but this season their numbers were much greater and they proved very destructive to all the plots of cabbages and cauliflowers except two. These were where hellebore was used. This remedy exceeded all my expectation and no root maggots could be seen around any of the plants in these two plots; indeed they were the only good cabbages out of some 1,500 set out. The powder was mixed with water and applied with a force pump; I used two ounces to the gallon and four ounces to the gallon, and found the results of the two ounces just as good as when

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

four were used. I am much pleased with this remedy, and, as far as one can judge from a single season, I am inclined to consider this a positive remedy for the root maggot of cabbages.

Hellebore as a remedy for root maggots was first recommended to me many years ago, about 1888, by Mr. S. Greenfield, a successful gardener of Ottawa East; and I have found that, as a rule, it is a useful remedy. At Ottawa this year, as in previous years of heavy infestation, it provided considerable protection, but was not as perfect a remedy as Mr. Blair found it at Nappan.

For Radishes.—The Cook carbolic wash, consisting of one quart of soft soap, or one pound of hard soap, in a gallon of water, with half a pint of crude carbolic acid added, and the whole boiled together for a few minutes, to make the stock emulsion, has proved over and over again an excellent remedy for radish maggots. The stock emulsion can be kept in a closed vessel, so that dust and rubbish will not fall into it; and, when required for use, one part of this mixture by measure is added to fifty of water, and should be sprayed directly upon the growing plants from the time they appear above the ground, once a week until ready for the table. Applications of nitrate of soda, kainit and potash whale-oil soap, all of which have been from time to time recommended, proved to be quite useless at Ottawa.

It must still be acknowledged that up to the present time we have not secured a practical remedy for root maggots on onions. For radishes, which are ready to pull from five to six weeks from the time the seed is sown, the question of protecting them is much simpler than in the case of onions, which are growing throughout the season. The maggots of the first brood are nearly full grown and very destructive about the end of June; and, in some years, if the plants can be protected from injury up to that time, they are as a rule safe for the rest of the season.

There are some features about this attack which make it of interest to the entomologist. Some experiments have seemed to indicate the great value of a certain remedy, and then under other conditions this same remedy has proved comparatively useless.

For next year extensive experiments have been planned, and special attention will be given to this matter, which is one of great importance, both to the professional and amateur gardener from one end of the country to the other. From the limited experience we have had with the cheesed-cloth coverings, I have no hesitation in recommending these to amateur gardeners, however small their gardens may be, as a sure means of obtaining perfectly clean, as well as early, radishes and cauliflowers of the very best quality, at a comparatively light expense.

THE GREEN BLISTER BEETLE (*Cantharis cyanipennis*, Say).—Several kinds of blister beetles occasionally attack cultivated crops, and, unless driven off or poisoned, do much harm in an incredibly short time. Although in the larval state they are predaceous parasites feeding on the eggs of locusts, in the perfect condition they feed voraciously on vegetation. The Green Blister Beetle has not been previously sent in as a crop pest, but on June 15 last Mr. Richard Coates wrote from Cowley, Alta.:—‘Enclosed you will find some insects which have come in numbers to my garden this year. They stay right with the beans and peas and soon destroy them.’

These beetles are long narrow insects, sometimes nearly an inch in length, of a most beautiful deep blue-green colour, which alight in large numbers and then may be noticed crawling quickly over the plants they are attacking and rapidly devouring the foliage. I have collected this species on the wild American vetch, at several places in western Assiniboia and southern Alberta.

CABBAGE AND TURNIP APHIS (*Aphis brassicae*, L.).—Reports of injury by this plant-louse have again this year been received from many and very distant localities. On the whole, however, I do not think it has been quite as destructive as usual.

'Victoria, B.C., November 1.—Aphides of various kinds were in evidence. Swedish turnips and cabbages suffered severely from their ravages.'—J. R. ANDERSON.

'Cowley, Alta., October 19.—My vegetable garden is covered this year with a grayish-green insect, something like the green fly that attacks house plants. They began on the turnip tops, but now the Brussels sprouts are so covered that I cannot use them, and I can only use the large heads of cabbage which are too firm for them to get inside the leaves. Most of the cauliflowers were unfit for use from the same cause.'—F. W. GODSAL.

'Depot Harbor, Ont., September 12.—I send you samples of insects which are destroying my turnips and cabbages. What are they and what is the cure?'—J. F. PRATT.

Other Ontario occurrences which came to my notice were of fields moderately infested at Whitby and at Ottawa. There were a few reports from Quebec and from Prince Edward Island, and one from Mahone Bay, N.S.

The remedies are to watch for the beginning of the infestation when hoeing turnips and cabbages, and destroy the colonies either by spraying with kerosene emulsion or whale-oil soap, and the destruction or deep ploughing down of all turnip tops or refuse of cabbage beds in autumn, so as to destroy the eggs.

Although parasites are generally present in considerable numbers, they have not, as a rule, controlled this species so completely as is the case with many others. On the Ottawa fields, specimens of a parasite were present, which has been kindly identified by Dr. Ashmead, through Dr. Howard, as *Lipolexis (Aphidius) rapa*, Curtis. Dr. Howard says:—This is a European species evidently introduced. We have it also from Michigan.'

PLANT-LICE of various kinds were complained of on many kinds of vegetables and root crops during the past season. Dr. C. A. Hamilton, of Mahone Bay, N.S., has favoured me with some interesting notes which he has made from time to time in his locality during the past summer.

POTATO APHIS (*Nectarophora solanifolii*, Ashm.).—Potatoes are not often troubled with plant-lice in Canada; but at long intervals outbreaks have been observed on this crop, and such a one occurred last summer at Mahone Bay, which was closely watched by Dr. Hamilton.

'Mahone Bay, June 28.—I send you some aphides from potatoes. These are apparently the same species as is now on my salsify and are abundant enough to have appreciably blighted my potato plants.'

'July 10.—There seem to be aphides on almost everything this summer, probably because of the abnormally dry season. Besides those sent, I noticed them to-day on squashes, cucumbers, broad beans, turnips, cabbages, beets and carrots, in fact, on almost everything I looked at.'

'July 14.—The aphid on my potatoes has overrun the whole patch, with the result that the potatoes have stopped growing and look very unhealthy. The blossoms have withered up and fallen, the lower leaves have turned yellow, and many others have turned black, just as if smitten with the blight, and are falling. They occur in immense numbers. Their favourite position is upon the peduncles of the flowers, which they cover completely. They are also found in large clusters on the stems and upon the under surface of the leaves. In many colonies there are a few flesh-coloured individuals.

'July 15.—In re potato aphis, I to-day examined several plots near the village and found one field with about half the plants which had blossoms fairly well covered with aphid; other plants also had a few.

'July 16.—The plant-lice on the potatoes are fast diminishing in numbers; but they have left the crop in a sorry condition.'

'August 1.—I send you to-day a last specimen from my potato plot. They have evidently been killed by a fungus. I first noticed its effects about a week ago on one

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

corner, and it has since spread over the whole piece. Very few aphides are left alive. Since I last wrote, I noticed larvæ of lady-bird beetles and of *Syrphus* flies; but neither of these nor anything else had much effect in reducing the numbers of the plant-lice until this disease appeared. A month ago my potatoes could not have looked more promising. To-day I tried them, and out of six average hills I got 17 tubers, of which two only were large enough to be marketed.—C. A. HAMILTON.

Remedy.—Should this plant-louse again appear in large numbers, infested plants may be freed of them by spraying either with whale-oil soap solution, one pound to six gallons of water, or kerosene emulsion, one to nine. These remedies would also be effective against the Colorado Potato Beetle, the Four-lined Plant Bug, Leaf-hoppers, and probably all other insect pests likely to be found on potatoes. They would not, however, probably be of any use against the Potato Rot fungus for which the Bordeaux mixture is such a useful remedy.

Aphis on celery, carrots and parsnips (*Siphocoryne*, sp.).—Dr. Hamilton sent also some aphides which he had found on celery, carrots and parsnips. It is probable that there were only two species concerned, and that both of these occurred on celery. Plant-lice are very difficult insects to send alive by mail, and, when put in alcohol or other preservative fluids, they lose their colour so much that they are not very suitable for study unless the species is well known. I am sorry to say that, notwithstanding much trouble taken by Dr. Hamilton in sending them, the specimens did not arrive in very good condition. They were, however, referred to Dr. Howard, Chief of the United States Bureau of Entomology, who reports under date July 17: 'Mr. Pergande has examined your aphides and says that 1 and 2 are species of *Siphocoryne*, apparently undescribed. The specimens on potato and salsify were rotten, but they appear to be *Nectarophora solanifolii*.* The two species of *Siphocoryne* referred to above were very different in appearance, and there seems to be little doubt that they are different species. The specific description of these, however, will have to be postponed until further material is available. I shall be obliged to any of my correspondents who may at any time find plant-lice on carrots, parsnips or celery, if they will forward them to me for study.

Injury to celery and parsnips by plant-lice I have never seen before; but the attack on carrots has come to my notice on two or three occasions previously, and has been one of considerable importance.

'Mahone Bay, June 28.—I send aphides from my celery, some have wings and some are without; but, as I always find them together, I take them to be the same species. The small wingless ones are extremely active, disappearing at a touch to the plant. This is the first time I have seen plant-lice on celery in the three years I have been raising that crop. Eight or ten days after I set out the young plants I found them swarming with these insects, and my neighbour's plants are the same. What I think are the same kind of plant-louse, I find also on near-by weeds, *Chenopodium album* and *Galeopsis tetrahit*. I had some carbolic acid and soap wash made up for root maggots. I gave them two sprayings with this and it cleared them out.'

'July 8.—I send a number of aphides with a few celery leaves, which I hope will reach you alive or at least in good condition for examination. It is very difficult to capture these, but by touching the plants with a piece of cotton batting they jump into it and become entangled. The specimens you ask for are in bottle No. 1. Bottle No. 2 contains another kind, I suppose, which are found rather sparsely on the underside of the leaf. In one of my letters I said that I thought that these insects had been brought here from Halifax on plants obtained by a neighbour. I do not think this now, as I find them infesting the celery of another neighbour who raised his plants from seed and who lives over half a mile from either of us. When first noticed, the insects were very plentiful, the celery was only an inch or an inch and a half high,

*Dr. Ashmead's description of this aphis is to be found in 'Canadian Entomologist', vol. XIV., 1882, p. 92

but each leaflet bore from six to ten aphides. They were scattered promiscuously over the plant, not clustered in any way. I sprayed my celery three times at intervals of a few days with the carbolic wash mentioned on page 182 of your 1903 report, with the result that the insects disappeared entirely each time for a day or two, then reappeared, but in diminished numbers. Close observation to-day shows me that these plant-lice are on the celery bed, on the soil and plants of an adjacent salsify bed, one foot away, as well as a few upon beds of carrots; and they appear to be feeding on both of these latter plants. I cannot see that they have injured my celery very much, whatever they might have done, had they been left unchecked; still, they undoubtedly are feeding upon it, and perhaps the injury does not show, because the ground is very rich and the plants are well cared for. No. 2, however, whenever present, distorts the leaves, and, if present in larger numbers, would, I think, be very injurious.

'July 10.—Aphides from Salsify : These are increasing very fast, and my plants are getting overrun, but you will notice that some of them are parasitized, having died and turned white. They are bound down to the leaf with a webby material which covers a small grub.'

'July 14.—Whitish fragments of dead aphides lying in abundance upon my carrot leaves and upon the ground beneath called my attention to them, and I found the new leaves had their petioles swarming with plant-lice. Although very plentiful, they do not yet seem to have done much harm. I find a few species of lady-bird beetles and some other predaceous parasites, of which I send you specimens. I have been more anxious for you to see these insects, because on looking over your reports I find no reference to either a potato or a carrot aphid.'

'July 15.—I find to-day that my parsnips are also infested by aphid. Please notice if these are not the same species as those on carrot; and those on potato look very much to me like those I sent you some time ago, which were found on salsify.'

'July 16.—The dark hopping aphid on celery has disappeared; but I send you more of the green ones from the underside of the leaves, with as many winged specimens as I can find. They have not been very plentiful on the celery, but seem to me very much like those from the carrots and parsnips. I find lady-bird larvæ very plentiful on my carrots to-day, and they are clearing off the aphides nicely. I have been much interested in watching these pests, and shall be obliged if you can send me the names of them : two from celery, one from parsnips, one from carrots, salsify, cabbage and potatoes.'—C. A. HAMILTON.

'Antigonish, N.S., Sept. 7.—My celery has been infested by a green bug. I inclose specimens and should like to know what it is and how to get rid of it.'—F. H. BEALS.

As stated above, there is still some doubt as to the exact identity of the species found on celery, carrots and parsnips. I shall, therefore, be glad to get specimens for further study.

The RED TURNIP BEETLE (*Entomoscelis adonidis*, Fab.).—In travelling through Manitoba and the North-west Territories in July last, I saw very few specimens of this beetle, which is sometimes a rather serious pest of cruciferous crops in the West; but some inquiries have been sent in as to its nature and habits.

'Edmonton, August 21.—Some gardens here are infested with a beetle somewhat like a lady-bird but bigger, which is bright red with black bars down its back and a spot on the collar, about three-eighths of an inch long by a quarter of an inch wide. This is doing some harm to radishes and turnips. In addition to this, some of the white turnips are terribly diseased this year.'—C. H. STUART-WADE.

The same insect was written about from St. Lazare, Man., by Mr. Louis Worms, who says that the insect had appeared in his district, and had been the cause of a good deal of discussion among farmers as to whether or not it was the Colorado Potato Beetle. He speaks of the leaves of turnips being entirely eaten or cut up into rags, and also that a large number of the turnips had rotted.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Mr. Norman Criddle reports that 'The Red Turnip Beetle became rather troublesome last summer to cabbage, radishes, turnips and a few other garden plants. I noticed, too, that it had a preference for radishes in the seedling state. A few of these plants left to go to seed would, I think, make excellent traps for the beetles, and could be sprayed from time to time to destroy those which have gathered there.'

The PURPLE-BACKED CABBAGE WORM [*Evergestis (Pionea) straminealis*, Hbn.].—Occasional reports have been received at different times during the past ten years of the presence of short bristly caterpillars attacking cabbages and turnips in the Maritime Provinces. This injury was for the most part to turnips, and was generally noticed late in the season, the caterpillars congregating on the crowns of the turnips and eating cavities into the roots, as well as consuming the leaves. During the past season this caterpillar seems again to have been somewhat abundant, particularly on Cape Breton Island, whence Mr. E. J. Williams, of Little Bras d'Or, sent specimens, together with notes on the occurrence. He also reports that in some years whole fields of cabbage and turnips have been destroyed by these caterpillars. Among the specimens sent by Mr. Williams were a large number of half-grown larvæ of the Spotted Cutworm (*Noctua c-nigrum*, L.), which undoubtedly had been responsible for some of the injury described by him in the following note. Writing under date of October 24, he says:—'I am sending you some of the caterpillars I spoke of. They are very gregarious in their habits; they start under the leaves right on the ground but mine their way up to the head, tunnelling it hollow.'

In 1903 Mr. C. H. Young, of Ottawa, made some observations on injuries by this species upon cabbages near Old Chelsea, Quebec, twelve miles from Ottawa. The caterpillars, however, were not very numerous in this instance, and were not noticed to bore into the stems as mentioned above, but lay exposed on the leaves, and only two or three caterpillars were found on a single plant. Full-grown larvæ collected by Mr. Young on July 11 produced moths on August 8.

There is little reference to this species in the literature on injurious insects; but under the name of *Pionea cunealis*, Walk., there is an account, with a good figure of the larva, by Thaddeus Harris in his Entomological Correspondence, page 322, stating that on October 30 and November 1, 1841, he had found larvæ on the leaves of horse-radish. He thus describes the attack: 'They eat large holes out of leaves, leaving finally only the veins untouched. They live beneath the leaves, stretched out by the sides of the midrib. They creep regularly, not haltingly, and move pretty fast. When alarmed or disturbed, they curl quickly and loose their hold and fall to the ground. Found the same on turnip leaves, October 20, 1844. Their ravages were considerable.'

The Purple-backed Cabbage Worm is closely related to the Cabbage Pionea (*Evergestis rimosalis*, Gn.), which is a well known pest of the cabbage and turnip. That species, however, does not occur injuriously in Canada. The following is a description of the caterpillar, and is made from the specimens sent by Mr. Williams:—

Body tapering slightly to each end; length, three-quarters of an inch by one-eighth at the widest part; head, a shield divided into two spots on the second segment, and a small plate at the end of the body, black. The general colour of the back, purple with a brownish tinge, the lower part of the body, pale greenish. The body is marked with the ordinary bristle-bearing tubercles and a rather conspicuous yellow band on each side, where the breathing pores are placed. The six tubercles above the side lines are rather more conspicuous than those below the lines and are of a deeper black. The tubercles are all black, but have white marks at their bases, which form a part of an indistinct network of lines over the whole upper part of the body. These lines are broken up into dots, or seem to be narrow, broken, thread-like longitudinal lines connecting the tubercles in each series. There is also an equally indistinct line which runs transversely across the middle of each segment, and one in each intersegmental fold, the whole forming an open network composed of two series of very indistinct but perceptible lines running at right angles to each other. The chief character by

which this caterpillar will be recognized from that of the Cabbage Pionea, is that its head is shining black, while that of the last named is yellowish.

The moth of the Purple-backed Cabbage Worm is a very neat little species, which expands seven-eighths of an inch. The upper wings are of a straw yellow with a satiny lustre, and are marked rather distinctly with a heart-shaped discal spot, two distinct transverse waved lines across the centre of the wing, the inner of which runs through the middle of the heart-shaped spot, and two less distinct lines, one at the base and the other close to the apex. There is also a conspicuous dark blotch bearing a white crescent outwardly, towards the apex of the wing. The spaces between the transverse lines, especially on the nervures, are powdered sparsely with brown scales. The lower wings are silvery white, with a clear, broad black margin and a narrow submarginal line inside this. The fringes of the upper wings gray, of secondaries white.

The full life history of this insect is not yet known; but it passes the winter as a chrysalis in a closely woven cocoon, to the outside of which many particles of earth are attached. The moth emerges in the spring, and there are probably two or three broods in the season.

FRUIT CROPS.

The conditions affecting the value of fruit crops in Canada during the past season are peculiar. The apple crop has not been particularly large in most districts, but was of exceptionally good quality. Early apples were abundant, but the markets were poor and 'thousands of bushels of fall apples remained unpicked or were fed to live stock.'—(Ont. Crop Rep., Nov., 1904.) Winter apples were rather short in quantity and, notwithstanding the quality, the present prices are low, owing to the enormous crop of high quality apples in Europe, which discouraged shipments and kept the fruit in our own markets, glutting them and holding down prices. There was an unusually poor plum crop almost everywhere, except in British Columbia, where it is reported 'plums and cherries were up to the average; large quantities were sent to the North-west, and good average returns were realized. Small fruits also gave our growers good returns this year; raspberries were a fair crop, blackberries good, strawberries yielded well, and those shipped to the North-west and Manitoba arrived in excellent condition.'—J. R. ANDERSON.

The excessive cold of last winter seems to have affected somewhat nearly all of our fruit crops this year. Apples are everywhere reported as rather small in size. Many varieties were severely killed back on the young wood. The same thing, and to a greater degree, is reported of pears; and this fruit was also injured by drought in British Columbia, and Black Spot and Fruit Crack in Ontario. Strawberry plants nearly everywhere suffered from winter-killing. The heaviest loss to fruit-growers from the winter was in the great destruction of the peach orchards in western Ontario, and in the orchards of Northern Spys and Baldwins throughout the country. Grapes were a fair crop, but where not sprayed, were considerably injured by Black Rot (*Læstidia Bidwelli*, V. & R.), the Brown Rot (*Peronospora viticola*, De Bary), and mildew.

Injurious insects were fortunately not very aggressive in 1904. There was, of course, as is always the case, a certain amount of damage done by the regularly occurring pests of the orchard, such as Tent Caterpillars, Cankerworms, the Eye-Spotted Bud-moth, the Oyster-shell Scale, the Cherry Slug, the Imported Currant Sawfly, &c., for which standard remedies are available to all who wish to use them. These insects give no trouble in any properly looked after orchard, where the work is done syste-

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

matically at the proper time and with due regard to the true value of each operation, where regular cultivation and spraying are done as a matter of course, and not as an exceptional expedient which some unusual occurrence has made necessary.

Mr. A. McNeill, Chief of the Fruit Division of the Commissioner of Agriculture's Branch of the Department of Agriculture, has kindly allowed me to examine the reports from his correspondents all over the Dominion; and in this way I have been able to learn many useful facts concerning the condition of fruit crops and the insect and fungous enemies which have affected them during the year. Mr. McNeill writes as follows:—'Our crop reports this year furnished us with a large amount of material bearing upon fungous diseases and insects. On the whole, it may be said that these enemies did not do as much harm as usual. There were, however, several sections where the Apple Scab (Black Spot, *Fusicladium*) was particularly bad. One of these was the western peninsula of Ontario, where it was difficult to secure any clean fruit except in well sprayed orchards. A curious condition prevailed in the Annapolis and Cornwallis valleys of Nova Scotia. One part of the valley was particularly free from fungous diseases, while in another these were decidedly prevalent. There were no serious attacks of insects, and indeed the year 1904 may be said to have been remarkable for the absence of injury by the Codling Moth. This exemption, however, must not be counted on for the future, inasmuch as there were still sufficient insects to propagate the species; and, with favourable conditions, there is no reason why the Codling Moth should not be prevalent again next year.'

Mr. J. R. Anderson writes:—'Victoria, B.C., Nov. 1.—Apples were good, but the yield was only average. Prices ruled high, and those growers who put their product on the market in good shape realized well. Fruit-growing is receiving much greater attention, as it is better realized that, with that care which is due to every branch of agriculture, a very superior article can be produced, with a corresponding profit to the grower. An exhibit sent to England from British Columbia was awarded the highest gold medal of the Royal Horticultural Society. This alone has stimulated the planting of orchards to an unprecedented extent.'

'Wolfville, N.S.—We have been singularly free from injurious insects this year; but Cankerworms and Tent Caterpillars are both on the increase, and there has been some loss from Eye-spotted Bud-mouth and Cigar Case-bearer, the latter of which is especially common in Annapolis County.'—F. C. SEARS, *Horticulturist, Department of Agriculture, Nova Scotia.*

'Alberton, P.E.I.—Our apple crop is large and cleaner than for many years, even in unsprayed plantations. The Black Knot on plums and cherries, wild and domestic, was bad.'—REV. A. E. BURKE.

The following occurrences of insects injurious to fruit crops, among others, have been brought to my notice during the season and have received attention from the officers of the Division.

The SAN JOSÉ SCALE (*Aspidiotus perniciosus*, Cmsk.).—It is satisfactory to be able again to report that no new infestations by this insect have been reported beyond the limits of the area already invaded in 1903. It is probable that during the severe winter of 1903-1904 a large proportion of the wintering scale insects was destroyed. Among reports received, the following is of considerable interest, as coming from one who is specially able to observe and draw correct conclusions. Mr. Geo. E. Fisher, of Freeman, Ont., writes on July 10 last as follows:—

'The past winter was so unusually severe that I have been much interested in examining the condition of the San José Scale, to learn if possible the effect of extrem-cold on this insect. Mr. Davis, of this place, for the past two years, has prepared about 100 barrels of lime and sulphur wash each year, which has been used by the fruit-growers in the district with such good effect that there is really little opportunity for investigation. However, I found a spot where the scale had been for some time, and had not been treated. I made weekly visits to this orchard, beginning about the

middle of June. At that time most of the scale insects appeared to be dead, and, as I had found in my experiments, that the males were more easily killed by treating with various mixtures than the females, I hoped that the winter might have destroyed the males, and that there might be no breeding. The cold weather certainly reduced the scale very much indeed, only a small proportion being alive, and these developed slowly; but I find that some have reached maturity, and at the present time trees which last fall had a lot of live scale upon them, have larvæ in moderate quantity running on the twigs, some with new white cover scales just formed, and some which have reached the drab-coloured state. From what I saw in this orchard, I take it that breeding began about July 5 this year, or two weeks later than usual.

Although the San José Scale has not spread beyond its former limits, there is still a heavy and destructive presence of this insect in the orchards within the infested area. As misstatements with regard to this matter have frequently appeared in newspapers and elsewhere, it may be well to again repeat that the only part of Canada where the San José Scale is found is in the Niagara Peninsula and in the counties along the north shore of the western end of Lake Erie. Every care is being exercised by the Honourable the Minister of Agriculture to prevent any fresh importation from outside countries. The fumigation stations at Vancouver, B.C., Winnipeg, Man., Windsor and Niagara Falls, Ont., St. John's, Que., and St. John, N.B., are kept open in charge of competent men, who unpack, fumigate with hydrocyanic acid gas, and promptly repack and send on, all nursery stock which comes into the country. The fumigation with hydrocyanic acid gas, of the strength and for the time the trees are submitted to it in the government stations, is perfectly certain to kill every scale insect upon them.

A rigorous watch has been kept on every kind of nursery stock which could possibly bring in fresh importations of the San José Scale; and I have again this year the greatest satisfaction in reporting that no single instance has been brought to my notice of living scales having been detected on trees which had passed through the fumigating houses. The superintendents at all of the stations have done their work carefully and well, and no well-founded complaints have been received from importers, either as to the slight delay which must occur while the stock is being treated, or as to any injury to the trees during the necessary unpacking, handling and repacking. Careful experiments have shown that the formula used at our federal fumigation stations is thoroughly effective in killing the San José Scale, and does not in any way injure the stock submitted to the gas. The formula used is one ounce of cyanide of potassium (98 per cent), one ounce of commercial sulphuric acid (66° Baumé), and three ounces of water—exposure, 45 minutes.

In addition to the above, the provincial government of Ontario have strictly enforced an Act compelling nurserymen to fumigate every shrub and tree sent out by them from their nurseries, whether the San José Scale had been found in their nurseries or not. These firms have, wisely, acted well up to the letter of the law, and, while complying with the provisions of the Act, by sending out only first-class stock, have sustained their business reputation in the best way possible.

The federal fumigation houses are kept open, with a superintendent constantly in attendance throughout the seasons of spring and autumn shipments of stock. The fumigation seasons for the various stations are as follows:—

Vancouver, B.C.—October 15 till May 1.

Winnipeg, Man.—March 15 till May 15, and October 7 till December 7.

Windsor, Ont.—March 15 till May 15, and September 26 till December 7.

Niagara Falls, Ont.—March 15 till May 15, and September 26 till December 7.

St. John's, Que.—March 15 till May 15, and September 26 till December 7.

St. John, N.B.—March 15 till May 15, and October 7 till December 7.

The San José Scale Act and the amendments which have from time to time been made, are the result of an effort on the part of the Honourable the Minister of Agri-

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

culture to help the fruit-growers of the Dominion by allowing them to import nursery stock of such new kinds of fruits as from time to time are originated outside of Canada, and which it is claimed by fruit-growers are necessary for the profitable prosecution of their business, but at the same time, to safeguard their interests in every possible way by taking such precautions as would make it practically impossible for any new infestation of the San José Scale to be brought into the country with the nursery stock. The whole expense of the different stations is assumed by the Dominion Government; but all shipments are made entirely at the risk of the shippers or consignees, the government assuming no risk whatever. The packages must be addressed by the shippers so as to enter Canada at one of the above-named ports of entry, and the route by which they are to be shipped must be clearly stated upon each package.

Many horticulturists and nurserymen have availed themselves largely of this concession, and at every point much stock has been imported from the United States and Japan. Nursery stock of all kinds can be imported from Europe without fumigation, as the San José Scale has never gained a foothold in European countries. Certain other plants which are not liable to the attack of the San José Scale are also exempted from treatment under the San José Scale Act. These are: (1) greenhouse plants, including roses in leaf which have been propagated under glass; (2) herbaceous perennials, including strawberry plants; (3) herbaceous bedding plants; (4) all conifers; (5) bulbs and tubers; (6) cottonwood (*Populus monilifera*), grown in Minnesota and the Dakotas.

Remedy.—Frequent inquiries are made as to whether there is a practical remedy for the San José Scale. I believe that it may now be justly claimed that the lime and sulphur wash made by any of the recognized formulæ is a reliable remedy for this insect. Orchards which have been carefully treated, are in better condition than they were at this time last year, and have borne during the past summer satisfactory and profitable crops of fruit. No remedy, however perfect it may be, will give good results unless great care is taken in applying it; and even with the lime and sulphur wash, it is not claimed that a single application will always give perfect results. Any remedy which does not cost too much for labour and materials, and which will ensure a paying crop, is certainly a practical remedy. All remedies will vary in the degree to which they secure the ends aimed at, and all that is claimed for the lime and sulphur wash for the San José Scale, is that up to the present, all things considered, this has proved the best remedy, and is, at any rate, as successful in its results as any known remedy which is used in medicine for controlling the diseases of animals or human beings. Success with any remedial treatment will necessarily always depend on the thoroughness with which it is carried out.

The making of the Lime and Sulphur wash is described with full details in my last report.

The Canadian wash is made by mixing lime and sulphur together in the proportion of twice as much lime as sulphur, and boiling these together in an iron kettle for two hours (or not less than one hour). The quantity of water added to make up the required amount of wash is largely a matter of convenience in using. When boiled with steam, barrels may be used, and to begin with, should be one-quarter filled with water and the steam turned on until the water is boiling; then turn off the steam and put in the lime and sulphur together as quickly as this can be done without making the mixture boil over. When the lime is all slaked, turn on the steam again, and leave the mixture boiling for at least an hour. In Mr. Geo. E. Fisher's outfit, which has been frequently described and has been figured more than once, eight barrels of wash were cooked at once, and he found that with steam at 80 or 90 lbs. pressure, the quarter barrels of water, before the lime and sulphur were turned in, could be brought to a boil in five minutes. Mr. Fisher secured the best results when each gallon of the wash contained one pound of lime and half a pound of sulphur.

The Oregon wash consists of lime 15 pounds, sulphur 15 pounds, blue vitriol 1½ pounds. Dissolve the lime and sulphur by boiling for one hour, then add the blue

vitriol dissolved in hot water, and boil for fifteen minutes longer; fill up to 50 imperial gallons.

The California wash consists of lime 15 pounds, sulphur 15 pounds, salt 15 pounds, water 50 imperial gallons.

The Lime-Sulphur-Soda wash consists of lime 40 pounds, sulphur 20 pounds, caustic soda 5 pounds. In making, the 40 pounds of lime is placed in a barrel, and only enough water is added to make it boil rapidly. While slaking, 20 pounds ground sulphur, which has been made into a thin paste, is stirred in thoroughly; the five pounds of caustic soda dissolved in hot water is then poured in, with more water as needed, and the whole is kept stirred thoroughly all the time. As soon as all chemical action ceases, as shown by the absence of bubbling in the mixture, add hot water up to 60 gallons, and the wash is ready for use. The whole time necessary is twenty minutes.

Dr. E. P. Felt, the State Entomologist of New York State, has made a further modification in this formula, by which he substitutes ordinary washing soda for caustic soda and has secured equally good results.

In all of the above mixtures, it is best to use hot water, and to have the sulphur powdered so as to help the rapid combination of the constituents.

The lime and sulphur mixtures must only be used as winter washes while the trees are dormant, or the trees will be injured. The best time is late in spring, just before the buds expand. If necessary, they may be followed in summer by applications of whale-oil soap solution, one pound to six gallons of water, or kerosene emulsion in the dilution of one part in nine of water.

PLUM APHIS (*Aphis prunifolia*, Fitch).—The Plum Aphis was found rather abundantly on plum trees in Prince Edward Island, and Mr. Saxby Blair found it also troublesome in the orchards at Nappan, N.S. He writes: 'The pests that have worried me most are the plum and apple aphides. They are perfect nuisances. I thought I had them all controlled this year by early spraying, twice with whale-oil soap, one to six, but later on they appeared in myriads on some of the trees. It seems almost impossible to get men to spray their trees thoroughly enough to get at all of the plant-lice. Any information you can give about Plum Aphis will be useful to our fruit-growers; for this insect is becoming a general pest. Another thing is this: you advise whale-oil soap; now the average farmer in this country cannot get whale-oil soap. I tried to get some in this locality last summer, and they wanted 20 cents a pound for what they called whale-oil soap. If you can give in your report definite information where this soap can be procured, and what the usual price is, it would help. Could you not give instructions by which it could be made by the farmers themselves? I must say I find the whale-oil soap much easier and more convenient to use than bothering with tobacco water. Tobacco stems in most places are very difficult to get; but if whale-oil soap is just as good and can be got easily, that is what the average man will use. I find, too, that it takes much more liquid to do thorough work with tobacco wash than with a strong solution of soap.'

Remedies.—The standard remedies for plant-lice are soap washes and kerosene emulsion. Strange as it may seem, dark-coloured species of plant-lice certainly require stronger applications than the green kinds.

Kerosene emulsion in the dilution of one part to six of the stock emulsion has given good results against all kinds of aphides.

Soaps.—The most effective soap wash is made with whale-oil soap, one pound to from four to six gallons of water. The term whale-oil soap is merely a trade name for a fish oil soap, made with either potash or soda. The potash soaps, which are the best, because even strong solutions remain liquid when they cool, are soft soaps. The soda soaps are hard. Of the two the potash soaps are considered the best to use on vegetation, and they are more convenient to use. Both kinds should always be dissolved in hot water.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

When bought at retail prices these soaps cost from 15 to 20 cents per pound, according to the locality, but, if obtained in large quantities, can be got at from 3 to 5 cents per pound. Fifty pound kegs are supplied at 5 cents per pound. Two well known brands of potash soft soaps which have been much used in Canada and have given good satisfaction, are those made by W. H. Owen, of Port Clinton, Ohio, and by Good & Co., of Philadelphia, Pa. If thought desirable, these soaps can be made at home; but it is very unpleasant and dirty work, and it is besides doubtful whether such good or cheap results can be secured as by buying from firms which make a special business of manufacturing soaps with only the required amount of moisture and the proper grade and amount of potash. It has been found in experiments carried on at Washington that what is required for spraying purposes is a caustic potash and fish oil soap, made with a fairly good quality of fish oil and from which water has been eliminated by boiling, so that it does not exceed 25 or 30 per cent of the weight of the soap. Soaps made with caustic soda instead of caustic potash are unsuitable for spraying purposes. Dr. J. B. Smith, in his circular No. 5, 'Whale Oil Soap and its Uses,' says: 'Whale oil or fish oil soap is one of the most reliable materials for use against plant-lice, and generally against sucking insects which can be killed by contact insecticides. It kills by clogging the spiracles or breathing pores of the insects and also to some extent by its corrosive action. The advantages of fish oil over ordinary laundry soap lie in the greater penetrating power, in the fact that it remains liquid when cold at much greater strengths, and that fish oil itself seems to be more fatal to insect life than other animal fats. A good soap can be made as follows:—

Concentrated potash lye.....	3½ lbs.
Water.....	7½ gallons.
Fish oil.....	1 gallon.

Dissolve the lye in boiling water, and to the boiling solution add the fish oil; continue to boil for two hours, and then allow to cool. Any grade of fish oil will answer.'

The PLUM CURCULIO (*Conotrachelus nenuphar*, Herbst.).—The Plum Curculio made serious inroads into the sparse crop of plums of 1904. It was complained of in all localities east of and including Ontario, and was perhaps the fruit pest most mentioned by correspondents. Plums, apricots, cherries and apples were injured.

The injury of the Plum Curculio is known by sight by thousands of fruit-growers who have never seen the beetle to recognize it as the cause of the injury which they know so well on their fruit. The beetle itself (Plate I., figs. 2a and 3) is less than one-fourth of an inch in length, brown and rough, with black and gray mottlings, which give it a remarkable resemblance to a small piece of bark, and make it very difficult to distinguish. There is only one brood of this insect in the year; but perfect insects may be found at all times, because the beetles which emerge during August or September of one year, pass the winter as perfect insects under dead leaves, &c., and feed on the buds and leaves of plum trees early in the spring, and later during the season on leaves and fruit of various kinds; the old insects of the year before may often be collected at the same time as the newly emerged brood. When plums are about as large as pease, the crescent-shaped slit, with a small flap containing the egg, may be seen upon them. The egg hatches soon after, and the white grub (Plate I., fig. 2c) bores into the fruit, so that in the case of the plums they soon fall from the tree. The peach, apricot, cherry, apples and pears are also injured, but do not fall from the trees to nearly the same extent as plums. A great many more of the larvæ of the Plum Curculio come to full growth in plums than in the other fruits; the rotting of the fruit seems to be necessary for these grubs to mature. There is no doubt that by far a larger number of the grubs become beetles when they have fed in plums and cherries than in any other fruit. In apples, to which it causes serious injury also, from the disfiguring of the fruit, very few larvæ mature. By midsummer the larvæ are full grown and burrow a short distance into the ground, where they turn to pupæ, and the adult beetles emerge in August.

Apples badly disfigured were sent by Mr. C. L. Stephens, from Orillia, Ont., and similar samples were also received from two or three localities in Quebec province.

Remedies.—The remedies for the Plum Curculio are as follows : (1.) Spraying the trees early in the season so as to destroy the beetles which for some time feed upon the buds and opening leaves of plum trees. The second spraying, with poisoned Bordeaux mixture, should be made when the plums are about as large as pease. This will coat the young fruit so that the beetles are destroyed when they feed on the fruit or cut the crescents for egg laying. (2.) The destruction of all windfalls or injured fruit that drops, so as to clear away all fruit before the larvæ emerge and enter the ground to pupate. Poultry, pigs and sheep help well in this work. (3) The ploughing up and cultivation of orchards so as to remove grass and other vegetation which, besides weakening the trees, gives places for the insects to hide in. The depth at which the larvæ pupate is about an inch beneath the surface, and the pupation in this part of Canada takes place during July ; therefore cultivation during that month will destroy many of the pupæ, and this has been found the remedy which has given the best results in old orchards which had been in sod for many years and in which the fruit had been seriously injured year after year. (4.) The jarring of plum trees, which is much written about and highly recommended, will certainly destroy many of the beetles, but costs too much for labour when compared with spraying with insecticides, which give more certain results in my experience. As the plum and peach are rather easily injured by some arsenical poisons, arsenate of lead, 1 lb. to 50 gallons, is preferable to Paris green for these trees.

The APPLE MAGGOT (*Trypeta pomonella*, Walsh).—The Apple Maggot has never done much harm in Canada, although its injuries are very serious in the apple orchards of Main and some other States adjoining our borders. The slender white maggots, about a quarter of an inch in length, burrow in all directions through the flesh of attacked apples, feeding upon the pulp and leaving discoloured channels (Plate I., fig. 5). There are sometimes as many as a dozen maggots in a single apple, but even one is sufficient to render it worthless. The eggs are inserted beneath the skin of the fruit by beautifully marked black and white flies, with shining greenish golden eyes. The general appearance of the fly is shown in Plate I., fig. 6. In size it is about half as large as the ordinary house fly. There is only one brood in the year, and the eggs are inserted into the fruit by the females with a sharp ovipositor. Egg-laying takes place from the beginning of July until autumn. The young maggots become full grown in about six weeks, and their work, as a rule, causes the fruit to ripen prematurely and fall to the ground, when the maggots work their way out and enter the soil for a short distance, where they change to pale-coloured puparia, but inside which they remain as maggots until the following spring. The pupa forms only a few days before the perfect insects appear the next summer. The maggots of late-laid eggs are frequently in the fruit at the time it is picked, and these develop, destroying the fruit more and more as they grow. Apples apparently sound when gathered may, by the presence of eggs or young larvæ, afterwards become perfectly useless. The development of the maggot is slower in late and hard fruits.

In September last I received from Mr. R. W. Shepherd, the well known apple shipper, of Como, Que., samples of infested Fameuse apples, with the following information:—

‘Montreal, Que., September 26.—I mail you to-day specimens of Fameuse apples taken from one of my orchards, an old one, which show serious blemishes. There is some disease unknown to me which has affected some of the Fameuse trees in that orchard. The outside skin of the apples shows dents, and, when the apple is cut open, there are brown punky spots in the flesh; the fruit is generally undersized, and in any case is practically worthless for sale. No other varieties are affected here, as far as I have been able to learn; but there are some other orchards which are suffering in a similar way to my own.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

'October 10.—It is only my old orchard, which has been replanted at different times, that is badly affected. I have pigs there eating up the fallen fruit. I do not notice the maggots affecting any other variety than Fameuse, and in that orchard there are St. Lawrence, McIntosh Red, Scott's Winter, and other varieties. I noticed this injury last year for the first time, when the Shiiawassee Beauty was affected. At that time I thought it was a fungus affecting the inside of the apple.

'October 20.—I am glad it was right to put pigs in the orchard; and, as they do not eat up the apples fast enough, I have given instructions that a herd of cows should be put in every day to make sure that all the fallen apples are done away with.'—R. W. SHEPHERD.

'Como, Que., October 25.—I thank you very much for your annual report. I am glad to have it, and hope to profit by your suggestions. Last year was the first time we noticed the Apple Maggot in our fruit; but it has increased a good deal this year. The McIntosh Red does not seem to have been troubled like the Fameuse, but Russets have.'—M. L. GIBB.

In addition to the above occurrence, apples from St. Hilaire, another celebrated locality for the production of first-class Fameuse apples, showed slight infestation. Como is thirty miles west of Montreal, and St. Hilaire twenty-three miles east.

Early and subacid varieties of apples seem to be preferred; but all varieties are said to be liable to attack, including late and winter varieties. When the late varieties are infested, the maggots do not emerge until some time during the winter after the fruit has been stored, the larvæ emerging and the pupæ forming inside the barrels or bins. The destruction of these pupæ and of all fruit when it falls to the ground during the summer and autumn constitutes the most reliable remedy for this injurious insect. The fallen fruit may be collected by children and fed to stock; or sheep and swine may be turned into the orchard from about the middle of July. Poultry will destroy many of the maggots and puparia beneath the trees. Late autumn ploughing will throw up many of the puparia to the surface of the soil, where they will be destroyed by birds, &c. Although the Apple Maggot has never done very much harm in Canada, the losses in Vermont, Maine and parts of New York State are sometimes extensive, occasionally amounting to 50 per cent of the fruit; and, as the injury does not show much on the outside, the uncertainty as to whether fruit is attacked or not renders it useless for sale. It may be well to point out here that, as the egg is inserted beneath the skin of the apple by the female fly, spraying with arsenical mixtures is quite useless as a remedy for this insect.

CODLING MOTH (*Carpocapsa pomonella*, L.).—One of the striking characteristics of the season of 1904 is the absence of injury by the Codling Moth, and this seems to be the case in all the fruit-growing districts of the country. I fear that this state of affairs may have an injurious effect by inducing many to give up spraying their orchards for the control of this pest. The absence of the Black Spot disease of the apple in 1903 had just this result during the past season. In some orchards which were free from disease in 1903, no spraying was done this year, and, as a consequence, what might have been beautiful crops have been ruined. Fungous diseases, although not caused by climatic conditions, are checked or developed enormously in accordance with favourable weather conditions or the reverse. The fruit-grower who is a good business man, has learnt before this that there is no longer any question as to whether spraying pays or not. That it does, is manifest every year by the predominant excellence of the fruit from all orchards which are sprayed, both as to insect presence and as to injury by fungous diseases. Mr. R. W. Shepherd, of Como, Que., and other buyers of the very best apples for the European market, assure me that, when purchasing the high quality fruit they require for that purpose, they cannot afford to waste time even in looking at orchards which have not been sprayed.

Although the Codling Moth was less destructive than usual this year, the presence of the eggs on apples and of the larvæ in fruit could be detected if closely looked for.

The weather throughout the past season has been such that insect occurrence of all kinds has been markedly less than has been the case for the last thirty years, so that the small numbers of the Codling Moth larvæ seen this year must not be taken as an indication that this most injurious enemy of the apple has disappeared to such an extent that spraying for it is no longer necessary. Moreover, it must be remembered that, by spraying apple trees at the times advised, viz., just when the buds are bursting and once a fortnight for two months afterwards, not only is the Codling Moth kept in check to the extent of saving an average of from 75 to nearly 100 per cent of the fruit, from its ravages, but also a great many other insects as well as fungous diseases are destroyed, giving the fruit-grower an enormous profit, compared with the cost of spraying.

GREEN FRUIT WORM (*Xylinia*, sp.).—When examining orchards at Gagetown in New Brunswick, as well as in the Annapolis Valley and other places in Nova Scotia in June last, I frequently came upon the larvæ of a *Xylinia*. These caterpillars, of which there are many species very similar in appearance, are known by the name of Green Fruit Worms, and have the habit of gnawing large cavities in the sides of apples, as well as devouring the foliage. The perfect moths from these caterpillars emerge in the autumn, and after passing the winter as such, lay their eggs on the trees in spring. The best remedy is the regular spraying of fruit trees with the poisoned Bordeaux mixture.

THE RED-HUMPED CATERPILLAR (*Schizura concinna*, S. & A.).—This caterpillar feeds upon a great many different kinds of trees besides the apple, and is seldom destructive except upon young trees. The eggs are laid in clusters, and the caterpillars are gregarious throughout their lives. Mr. E. P. Venables, of Vernon, B.C., reports that they were numerous in his locality last summer and did much damage in young orchards, in many cases the whole foliage being stripped from infested trees. He detected a hymenopterous parasite which was doing good, and is now rearing specimens so as to learn the identity of this useful insect.

THE SHOT BORER (*Xyleborus dispar*, Fab.).—There were several complaints from fruit-growers in the Annapolis Valley, N.S., of injury to apple and plum trees by the small wood boring beetle, which has received the name of the Shot Borer (Plate II, fig. 7). There has not been much complaint concerning this insect since 1897, but last spring its work was noticed in many places in the above district. The attack consists of a small black burrow (Plate II, fig. 8), beginning generally at a bud and running right round the stem inside the wood and near the bark of young living trees. Inside this there is often another burrow, and then a short perpendicular shaft at right angles running down the centre of the twig or branch. There is variation in the nature of the tunnels, according to the size of that part of the tree where they are located; but they are always about one-sixteenth of an inch in diameter, and if in a small branch or stem form a circular gallery with an ascending or descending perpendicular shaft, which serves as a brood chamber. When, as is sometimes the case, they occur in trunks of young trees of moderate size, from 4 to 6 inches in diameter, the galleries are straighter and simpler. These galleries are the homes and breeding chambers of the larvæ and their mother; for, although this insect is the cause of much injury to trees, with the exception of the wood which is gnawed out to make the tunnels, the tissues of the wood are not eaten either by the mature beetles or the larvæ; but the tunnels form caves within which a special kind of fungus is cultivated by the beetles as food for the larvæ, which simply lie in a small cell and feed or are fed by their parents on the fungus as it grows. An account of these beetles and their method of feeding upon the 'ambrosia' is most delightfully described by the late H. G. Hubbard, in an article entitled 'The Ambrosia Beetles of the United States,' one of the most charming narratives to be found in the literature of Economic Entomology. (See Bulletin No. 7, n.s., U. S. Division of Entomology.)




Fig. 7.—Shot-borer: ♂ male; ♀ female enlarged; antenna of female—more enlarged. (Figs. 7 and 8 from H. G. Hubbard, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture.)



Fig. 8.—Gallery of Shot-borer in twig, cut across and lengthwise.



Fig. 9.—The White-marked Tussock-Moth male, female and caterpillar.



Fig. 10.—Branch of Canada Balsam Fir, with roots from base covered by sand. (Photo. by F. T. Shutt.)

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The remedies for this insect aim at either filling up the entrances to the holes in which the broods are being reared, so as to suffocate the larvæ, or in applying some liquid which will penetrate and destroy the fungous food or the larvæ and mature beetles while in the holes. For this purpose, kerosene oil and carbolic washes have been used with success; crude petroleum could probably be used with even greater effect, as on account of its extreme subtilty it would penetrate the burrows more deeply than most liquids, and also would act as a deterrent wash which would keep the mature beetles away from the trees when seeking places to make their breeding burrows.

The carbolic wash which has given good results in Nova Scotia is soft soap, 1 gallon, water 3 gallons, crude carbolic acid $\frac{1}{2}$ pint; the trees to be washed two or three times when the beetles are known to be prevalent. A difficulty with this insect will be found in the intermittent nature of its occurrence. As it is pretty sure to be present in some numbers in the same orchards where it was troublesome last spring, it will be wise for the owners to spray or wash their trees with a deterrent wash next season. Trees noticed to be badly infested at the time of winter pruning should be cut out and burnt before the beetles appear in spring, unless considered to be of special value, when they may be treated.

The BLACK VINE WEEVIL (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*, Fab.).—This weevil seems to have become a regularly occurring pest in gardens around Victoria and some other places on Vancouver Island, and also near New Westminster and Vancouver on the mainland. It is a black snout-beetle, three-tenths of an inch in length, of a dull black, the wing cases being deeply grooved and spotted with fine white points. The grubs are yellowish white, with dark heads, and have the body somewhat curved; they feed on the roots of several kinds of plants. These beetles have no true wings and the two wing-covers are connate or joined together in the middle, so their only means of spreading from place to place is by crawling. The beetle occurs near the coast on both sides of the continent and is sometimes a destructive pest in strawberry beds in Nova Scotia and British Columbia. The plants which have been reported to me as injured by the Black Vine Weevil in Canada do not include the grape vine; the name Black Vine Weevil is taken from European publications, where it is the recognized popular name, and will answer here until a better is suggested. The grubs probably do more harm than the adult weevils and have been found attacking the roots of *Cyclamens* and other plants in greenhouses, particularly *Gloxinias*, *Primulas* and *Maiden-hair ferns*. The most important injury so far recorded against this weevil is of its attacks upon strawberry beds. Mr. J. R. Anderson, reporting on the insects of the season, says 'the Black Vine Weevil did a considerable amount of injury to strawberry beds. This was principally on the lower Fraser. It also attacked the roots of *Primroses* in some localities.'

'New Westminster, B.C., May 30.—The Strawberry Weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) is very bad in several places this spring, and I find that in every case where strawberries are infested, they have been planted on land where the sod had been turned in previously, and that in neighbouring patches where no sod had been turned in they are comparatively few.'—W. D. DASHWOOD-JONES.

'Victoria, B.C., May 30.—I send you specimens of larvæ and pupæ of an insect which is in large numbers in a strawberry bed at Esquimalt, near here. I take these to be *Otiorhynchus sulcatus*; am I right? There are many complaints of injury to strawberry plants this spring from this or a similar pest, chiefly along the Fraser at Hammond, Haney and Mission, but also in the Victoria district.'

'June 13.—I will send you further specimens of *O. sulcatus* from Mr. Fleming's garden near Victoria, and I will also try and get you other specimens from the lower mainland, where by the bye, I am told by Mr. Cunningham that there are two distinct species of weevils infesting strawberry plantations.'

'June 20.—I send you a box containing specimens of weevils, principally in the pupal form, but also including some beetles which were taken from strawberry fields

at Hammond. You will see that there are two species, one much smaller than the other. From the appearance of the infested plants, I take the larger specimens to be either *Tyloderma fragariae*, or *T. foveolatum*. Will you kindly identify and suggest remedial measures?—R. M. PALMER.

The specimens sent forward by Mr. Palmer were extremely interesting, and showed distinctly the work of two different insects which attacked the roots in a similar manner, but could be easily distinguished. All the plants sent were old plants, with large crowns, from a stout caudex; and it was into this that the larvæ bored from the outside, leaving large cavities, and in some instances destroying the whole of the interior of the stems. By the time the parcel reached Ottawa, most of the specimens were pupæ, and from these a little later I reared several specimens of the Black Vine Weevil and of the SLEEPY WEEVIL (*Oliorhynchus ovalus*, L.). This latter is a common weevil, and is a curious slow moving creature, which is frequently found in out-of-the-way places. It may always be found out of doors at almost all times of the year, when sifting moss or leaves to collect beetles. It frequently penetrates into houses, sometimes in large numbers, and it has even been accused, with every appearance of good reason, of having inflicted very painful bites on campers sleeping in tents during the summer time. It occurs commonly throughout Canada east of the prairies, but I had not heard of it previously from British Columbia. The Sleepy Weevil has occasionally been accused of injuring potatoes, and Mr. P. J. D. Edmonds sent me from Summerville, P.E.I., specimens with potato leaves, and the following note: 'I send you a sample of a new kind of potato beetle, showing the way he folds himself up after cutting off the branches of potatoes. Please let me know what this is, and whether he is doing damage or how he can be destroyed. I did not actually see this field, but I am told that many of the stalks are stripped bare of leaves.'

The Sleepy Weevil is only about half the size of the Black Vine Weevil, and is of a dull pitchy brown colour, smooth and without any markings. It is always a very slow moving beetle, and it is probable that some injury may have been attributed to it for which it was not responsible. From its habit of hiding in dark corners, folded leaves and in hollows, it is frequently found in close proximity to injury which may have been done by other culprits. There is now no doubt that the larvæ feed on the roots of strawberries, and it is probable that they also attack the roots of many other plants. I have frequently found the beetles in old grass fields, and I shall not be surprised, especially after the observation made by Mr. Dashwood-Jones that strawberry beds planted on sod were most injured by weevils, to find that the usual food plant of both the Sleepy Weevil and its larger companion, the Black Vine Weevil, may be the roots of grasses. Should these insects become abundant in strawberry beds it will be well for growers to adopt the one-crop plan which has been used very successfully by Mr. Macoun, the Horticulturist of the Central Experimental Farm, and was adopted many years ago by Mr. Peter Dempsey, at Trenton, Ont. This consists of setting out new beds of strawberries in the spring, cultivating these for the first summer, taking one large crop of berries the next spring, and then ploughing the plants up as soon as the crop is off. In the meantime a new bed will have been set out from the runners of the bearing bed early in spring before the fruit ripened. This plan of strawberry culture not only prevents loss from the attacks of such enemies as the White Grub and the above-mentioned Weevils, but is also a paying operation, giving better returns from the higher price secured with the large fruit thus grown than from a large crop of smaller berries.

Both of the weevils here treated of are nocturnal, doing such injury as is attributable to them at night and remaining quiet by day, hidden away in crevices or beneath rubbish and other shelters. They can, therefore, be trapped in considerable numbers by placing objects about the beds convenient for them to hide in by day, and also easy of examination for the destruction of the beetles.

FOREST AND SHADE TREES.

No widespread or extensive injury to forest or shade trees was brought to my notice during the past season, but there were many inquiries sent in with specimens for information concerning these insects.

TENT CATERPILLARS of several species, which a few years ago were so enormously abundant, but which everywhere suddenly decreased in 1900, seem to be again increasing in certain districts, not only on forest trees, but also in orchards. There is some confusion as to the species mentioned in reports; but western references are probably to *Malacosoma (Clisiocampa) californica*, Pack., and *M. americana*, Fab., northwestern to *M. disstria*, Hbn., and *M. fragilis*, Stretch, and eastern to the Apple Tree Tent Caterpillar, *M. americana*, and the Forest Tent Caterpillar, *M. disstria*.

Mr. J. R. Anderson says:—

Victoria, B.C., Nov. 1.—The Tent Caterpillars again appeared in larger numbers than usual this year. In some localities on the lower Fraser and in those places where no steps were taken to check their ravages, fruit and ornamental trees were utterly defoliated, and this was also the case with trees and bushes on the roadside.

When travelling in northern Alberta last summer, holding meetings with Mr. T. N. Willing, the Territorial Weed Inspector and Entomologist, I found, on July 21, two destructive colonies of what I took to be the Forest Tent Caterpillar (*M. disstria*). The first one was in a bush of many acres of Aspen Poplars, a few miles out of St. Albert. The moths were in thousands and were just emerging from the cocoons. Only a few dipterous and hymenopterous parasites were noticed at large or detected by their larvæ in the cocoons. The second colony was close to the town of St. Albert and was less extensive than the first one referred to, the chief injury being done on the tops of young aspen trees. Earlier in the season Mr. Willing sent me specimens of the larvæ of *Malacosoma fragilis*, Stretch, which he had found abundant on rose and other bushes at Medicine Hat. There are a few reports of injury by Tent Caterpillars in orchards and wood lots in western Ontario; and I hear from Nova Scotia that Tent Caterpillars are evidently again increasing in numbers.

The remedy for all these species, where practicable, is prompt spraying as soon as the young caterpillars appear, with poisonous mixtures.

BASSWOOD LOOPER [*Erannis (Hibernia) tiliaria*, Harris].—Mr. T. N. Willing found caterpillars of this eastern moth very abundant on the flat north of the south branch of the Saskatchewan at Medicine Hat. They were stripping the Negundos or Ash-leaved Maples (also called Box-elders in the United States), and skeletonizing all the leaves on some trees over an area of more than two acres. A moth was reared from these caterpillars, which like the larvæ, did not appear to differ in any way from eastern specimens.

THE NEGUNDO TWIG-BORER (*Proteopteryx willingana*, Kearf.).—For many years the Ash-leaved Maples grown at Winnipeg, Brandon, Regina and other points in the West as street shade trees, have been injured every season by the caterpillars of a small moth, which burrow in the bases of small twigs and branches, and hollowing these out, cause them to swell and form elongated galls. These have occasionally been reared, and some years ago moths were sent to a specialist who identified them as *Proteoteras asculanum*, Riley. Under this name the insect has been referred to until the present season, when several specimens were reared by Mr. T. N. Willing, of Regina, and were forwarded to Mr. W. D. Kearfott, a specialist in microlepidoptera.

(See 'Canadian Entomologist,' vol. xxxvi., 1904, p. 306.) After careful examination they were decided to be an undescribed species, which was named in honour of Mr. Willing, as a recognition of the excellent work he is doing in working up the natural history of the North-west Territories. The caterpillars attain full growth during June and then leave their burrows in the twigs, and penetrating a short distance into the ground, spin close cocoons from which the moths emerge early in July. Some caterpillars of this moth, however, reared here in the Division of Entomology, pupated in the twigs where they had been feeding. It cannot be said that this insect does very serious injury to the Negundos; but it is sometimes extremely abundant and by destroying shoots makes it difficult to train these favourite trees in the way desired by those growing them as shade trees.

The NEGUNDO PLANT-LOUSE (*Chaitophorus negundinis*, Thos.).—As might be expected from the enormously extended area over which the Ash-leaved Maple or Box-elder is cultivated of late years, the insects which attack it are gradually spreading from the west with their host plant. One of the most troublesome of these is the Negundo Plant-louse, which for many years has been a disgusting pest of shade trees in the West, covering the trees with honey-dew during the summer and making them very unsightly objects instead of ornaments, in the streets, by reason of the copious growth of the Sooty Fungus (*Fumago salicina*), which always develops as a consequence of their attack. From several points in Ontario during the past summer, even as far east as Ottawa, this plant-louse was reported upon the Ash-leaved Maple trees. When not controlled by spraying with kerosene emulsion or whale-oil soap solution, these plant-lice do serious injury to the trees they infest; and they are so persistent in their attacks that many lovers of trees in the West have given up the cultivation of the desirable and quick-growing Negundo, for other trees less subject to insect attack.

The ASPEN BEETLE (*Lina tremulæ*, Fab.).—Mr. Norman Criddle, of Aweme, Man., writes: 'These beetles, which three or four years ago were so enormously abundant and did so much harm by stripping the aspen poplars, are once more on the increase. They were especially destructive to the young shoots of the aspens, causing many young trees to die.'

In 1900 and 1901 this beetle was so abundant and destructive on the prairies that many miles of beautiful aspen poplars so useful in that country for firewood and shade, were stripped bare of foliage, and a great many of the trees died. This was particularly the case in the Tiger Hills, Man., and in the Moose Mountain and Qu'Appelle districts, N.W.T.

WILLOW BEETLES.—For the last three years willows in the prairie provinces and in British Columbia have been very much injured by the small chrysomelid beetle, *Galerucella decora*, Say. This is a small brown beetle, soft, and rather flat in shape, which, both in the perfect and larval states, feeds on various kinds of willows, stripping the green surface of the leaves and leaving the bushes seared and brown. Mr. Criddle says: 'Willows at Aweme were completely stripped by these beetles and their larvæ. Later in the season, aspen poplars (*P. tremuloides*) were also attacked by the same beetles to such an extent that any one knocking a tree would shake down countless numbers from the leaves, which sounded, as they fell on the dead leaves beneath, like a shower of rain. These insects pass the winter beneath the dead leaves, and attack the trees as soon as they come into leaf the following spring. Many trees were killed by them some years ago.'

The VANCOUVER ISLAND OAK-LOOPER [*Therina (Ellopia) somnaria*, Hbst.].—As stated in my report for 1890, the beautiful oaks on Vancouver Island are periodically stripped, every few years, by hordes of the caterpillars of a geometrid moth. 1904 saw one of these visitations. Mr. J. R. Anderson writes: 'The Oak Looper (*Ellopia somni-*

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

aria) appeared in vast numbers in some places on Vancouver Island this year. Strange to say, in certain localities they were entirely absent, but in others they were so numerous that they consumed every particle of their natural food, and they would then attack other trees. In one place, which I was called to inspect, I found that they had attacked even the fruit on apple trees, eating away a layer of the skin and large holes into the interior near the stem. They were also denuding the apple trees of their leaves. There were hundreds on one tree which stood beneath an oak. The larvæ had defoliated the oak tree, then let themselves down in the usual manner, and were on the apple tree in hundreds eating the foliage and fruit. Other trees, as cherry, elm, &c., farther away were also attacked, but not so much as those near the oaks.'

This variation in the food habits of this insect can, I think, only be considered as exceptional. The natural food of the species in Vancouver Island is the picturesque oak, *Quercus jacobi*, R. Br., which grows round the southern end of Vancouver Island. Among the caterpillars forwarded by Mr. Anderson, some parasitized specimens were found, from which was raised a parasite which has been kindly identified by Mr. W. H. Harrington, as *Pimpla Ontario*, Cress. Another parasite, the species usually responsible for the sudden reduction in the numbers of this species, is *Ichneumon cestus*, Cr., a yellowish brown ichneumon fly about three-eighths of an inch long, with one black band across the abdomen, and was found in considerable numbers by Mr. A. W. Hanham, who writes:—

'Victoria, B.C., October 25.—The moths of the Oak Looper (*E. somnaria*) have this autumn been a sight to see. Out the Cadboro Bay road large oak trees were covered with the moths a couple of weeks ago, particularly on the underside of the branches and close to the trunks. There were numbers of a reddish brown ichneumon, all of one species, which were flying about the trunks of the trees. I bottled several of these, which I send you.'

The specimens forwarded by Mr. Hanham were *Ichneumon cestus*, Cr.

The WHITE-MARKED TUSsock Moth [*Hemerocampa (Orgyia) leucostigma*, S. & A.]—This common pest of city shade trees, which was referred to at some length in my last report, continues to injure shade trees in some of our cities. The most effective remedies are the collection of the egg masses in winter and the spraying of the trees with arsenical poisons in spring before the caterpillars (Plate II., fig. 9) have grown much and injured the leaves. The Toronto civic authorities are this year taking active measures to clear out the infestation, which for many years has injured the appearance of the beautiful horse chestnut trees for which Toronto is celebrated. A reasonably large sum of money has been voted for the collection and destruction of the eggs during the present winter; and there is every reason to hope that by this means private individuals may be stirred up to do their duty in the public interest by destroying the eggs on their own trees in winter and then spraying the foliage in summer for a year or two.

WALKING STICK INSECT (*Diapheromera femorata*, Say).—A remarkable outbreak of the Walking Stick Insect, which is worthy of record, is reported by Mr. J. B. Williams, of Toronto. This is usually a rather uncommon insect; but Mr. Williams found it in such numbers in the Niagara Glen that thousands might have been collected on oak and butternut trees during September. These trees are ordinary food plants for this curious insect, which belongs to the Phasmodæ, a division of the Orthoptera, the same order as contains the locusts and grasshoppers.

THE APIARY.

The Apiary, as in the past, has been under the management of Mr. John Fixter, the farm foreman, whose report I append herewith. The same experiments which have been carried on for some years have most of them been repeated on account of the large amount of interest which has been evinced in the subject by correspondents and visitors to the Central Experimental Farm. The services of Mr. Fixter have been asked for at a great many meetings of bee-keepers, and, whenever his duties at the Central Experimental Farm would permit of it, he has attended these meetings and given addresses.

REPORT OF MR. JOHN FIXTER.

SEASON OF 1904.

The honey crop in the Experimental Farm Apiary has been a fairly good one, giving an average yield of 63 pounds per colony.

In many parts of the Dominion the honey crop was light, owing chiefly to the very heavy losses of the past winter. Many colonies of bees perished from cold, while they had abundance of stores in their hives. The continued long spells of severe weather prevented them from breaking their clusters to reach their stores. Losses were greater in outside than in inside wintering, although many perished inside, either from insufficiency of stores or from confinement in cool, damp and badly ventilated cellars.

Experiments have shown that bees can be successfully wintered in a good cellar, even if it is damp, providing it is well ventilated. Many colonies died also during the spring after being set out, owing to the cold, backward season.

The number of colonies, which was 35 in the spring, was increased by swarming to a total of 50 when the hives were put into winter quarters on November 23.

Meetings were attended at the following places in Ontario :—Merivale, Metcalfe, Crossland, Phelpsston, Minesing, Grenfell, New Lowell, Stayner, Elpin, McDonald's Corners, Balderson, Innisville, Drummond Centre, Locust Hill, Markham, Gananoque, Toronto and Barrie; and in the province of Quebec at Shawville, Buckingham and Venosta.

EXPERIMENTS, 1903-1904.

I. CELLAR WINTERING.

Description of the Bee Cellar.—The cellar is below a private house. The walls are of stone and the floor of cement. The bee-room, 11 feet 6 inches wide by 15 feet long and 7 feet high, allows three tiers of shelves and two passages. It is boarded off from the remainder of the cellar by a partition which extends all around the chamber, and far enough from the stone wall to allow of an air space. Should a person have enough bees to fill the cellar the boarding could be left out. Under the cement floor a layer of one foot of stones of different sizes acts as a drain and keeps the cellar perfectly dry. The lowest shelf is 18 inches from the floor, the second 20 inches in the clear above, and the third 20 inches above that. Neither the hives on the third or uppermost shelf nor the uprights supporting the shelves touch the ceiling, so that no vibration can reach the hives from above. This chamber is thoroughly ventilated, as is also the whole cellar.

Before entering the bee room is a smaller compartment with a door leading to the outside and another leading to the bee-room. Both rooms have sliding ventilators in the doors, so that outside air may be let in at will. Ventilation is carefully attended to, and sudden changes of temperature are avoided; for this, a thermometer which is

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

always kept in the cellar, is watched. The best temperature for the bee cellar has been found to be from 42 to 48 degrees Fahrenheit. This arrangement has given entire satisfaction. In former years there was not proper ventilation, and the cellar was always damp. Since the concrete floor has been laid and the ventilators have been put in, the cellar has been much drier and cleaner. It is also rat and mouse proof, which is a very great advantage.

Experiment No. 1.—The tops of the hives replaced by chaff cushions and the brood chambers raised at the back.

Six colonies were put into winter quarters in the cellar and placed on the shelves. Under the back end of each hive was placed a three-inch block; each hive was, besides, raised from its bottom board by a one-inch block being placed at the back so as to ensure free ventilation. All front entrances were left wide open; the wooden covers were all removed and replaced with cushions made of chaff 4 inches thick, sufficiently wide and long to lap over the hive two inches. Temperatures were taken once each week all through the winter and were kept very even, from 44 to 48 degrees. The bees were quiet, only a very slight hum being noticeable up to February, when the temperature having risen to 48, the bees began to get uneasy and made considerable hum. Cold air was carefully let in during the night by opening the slides in the doors and closing them in the morning; this, of course, lowered the temperature, and the bees quieted down. During the past winter every colony in this experiment was perfectly dry and clean, and all came out in excellent condition. Average weight of each hive when put into winter quarters, 58½ pounds; when taken out on April 22, 49¼ pounds per hive, showing that each hive had lost 9¼ pounds on an average.

Experiment No. 2.—Tops replaced by chaff cushions and the brood chambers raised in front.

Six colonies were put into the cellar and placed on the shelves, a three-inch block being placed only in front, between the bottom board and the brood-chamber, making the full entrance three inches high across the whole front. The wooden covers were removed and replaced with a chaff cushion. Temperature the same as in Experiment No. 1. During the whole winter all the colonies in this experiment were perfectly dry and clean and showed no uneasiness of any kind. The bees could be seen hanging in a quiet cluster below the frames any time during the winter. The average weight when put into winter quarters on November 23 was 59 pounds 12 oz.; when taken out on April 22, 51 pounds 8 oz., showing that each hive had lost on an average 8 pounds 4 ounces.

Experiment No. 3.—Tops replaced by propolis quilts.

Six colonies were put into the cellar and placed on the shelves, with the bottoms of the hives left on, just as they were brought in from the bee-yard. The wooden covers were removed and nothing left on except a tightly sealed propolis quilt; the natural entrance was left wide open. Temperature of cellar same as in Experiment No. 1. During the entire winter the bees kept perfectly dry, and only a very slight hum could be heard. There were but very few dead bees on the bottom board, and no sign of dysentery. On examination when set on their summer stands all the hives were found to be in first-class condition. The average weight when put into winter quarters November 23 was 59 pounds 15 oz.; when taken out on April 22, 51 pounds 3 oz., showing that on an average each had lost 8 pounds 12 oz.

Experiment No. 4.—Tops and bottoms of hives left on.

Six colonies were put into the cellar and placed on the shelves, with tops and bottom boards of the hives left on, just as they were brought in from the bee-yard.

They were watched for dampness, mould, or dysentery, also to compare the amount of honey consumed. Temperature of cellar the same as in Experiment No. 1. During December and January all were very quiet. During February there was considerable humming. Drops of water were noticed along the entrances of three hives. There were but very few dead bees on the bottom board and no sign of dysentery. On examination when set on their summer stands, two of the hives had considerable moulded combs. The average weight when put into winter quarters, 58 pounds 10 oz.; when taken out on April 22, 49 pounds 3 oz., showing that the average loss of each hive was 9 pounds 7 oz.

II.—WINTERING BEES IN DAMP CELLARS.

Many letters are received inquiring whether a damp cellar is a fit place to winter bees in. An experiment was conducted during the winter of 1902-3, with three colonies of bees. During last winter it was thought advisable to try the same experiment (A) with a larger number of colonies—six—and another (B), also with six colonies with a larger amount of moisture.

In both experiments the six colonies were selected, all of about equal strength, and all in Langstroth hives, weighing on an average 58 pounds each at the beginning of the experiment. The wooden covers were removed from the hives and replaced with propolis quilts; the bottom of each hive was loosened from the brood chamber, and a block two inches square was placed at each corner between the bottom board and the brood chamber, insuring free ventilation from the bottom of each hive. The cellar was kept at a very even temperature of 44 to 48 degrees, and was well ventilated during the whole winter. The six hives in each experiment were resting on the edges of seven pails of water, the full surface of the water being exposed.

A.—The bees could be seen hanging below the frames in a quiet cluster all winter. The hives were all examined once each week, and at no time did there appear to be any sign of uneasiness from the extra moisture. There were scarcely any dead bees on any of the bottom boards nor any sign of dysentery, and all came out in excellent condition. The colonies were set out on their summer stands on March 20; the day being fine and warm, all began to fly at once. The average weight of the six colonies when set on their summer stands was 44½ pounds each. From March 20 to April 5, the weather was cool, and no flying took place up to the latter date, which was a good bright warm day. After this the bees had to remain in their hives until April 22, when the weather became warm again. They then built up rapidly and were in excellent condition for the honey flow.

B.—A second experiment was tried in which the amount of moisture in the atmosphere of the cellar was increased in the following way: Besides the seven pails of water placed on the floor with the six hives resting on the edges of these pails, allowing the full surface of the water to be exposed, six inches of sand was spread on the cellar floor between the pails and covering six inches of the floor outside of the pails. There was also a large cotton sheet spread over the six hives. The sand and sheet were kept thoroughly saturated with water which was poured on them once each week during the winter.

The bees in this test were more uneasy than in the experiment first described where no sand or cotton covering was used, having to keep up fanning for ventilation. There were also a great many more dead bees on the bottom boards and several hives had drops of water along the entrance, but there was no sign of dysentery. On March 20, the day being fine, the colonies were removed to the bee-yard, where all began flying at once. The average weight of the six colonies when set on their summer stands, was 44½ pounds each. From March 20 to April 22 the bees had but one good flight. After April 22 the weather became considerably warmer; the colonies began building up rapidly, and were in excellent condition for the clover bloom.

The average strength of the six colonies that had the extra moisture was not as great as in the former test, but as soon as they got fine weather they gained rapidly.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Care was taken that the colonies in both tests had plenty of unsealed stores before fruit bloom and between fruit and clover bloom. This was done by uncapping one side of a frame of honey nearest to the cluster, allowing the bees to use up the honey for food and providing space for the queen to lay her eggs. Although so much moisture was in close proximity to the colonies, a great deal of the success of this experiment is no doubt due to the good cellar in which it was tried, the cellar having stone walls, cement floors, good ventilation and the temperature being easily regulated. This goes to show that good ventilation and even temperature have a great deal to do with successful wintering. An excellent plan for ventilating is to have sliding ventilators in the doors, so that much or little air may be let in as desired. Also connect an extra stove pipe, provided with a damper, to the regular heating stove. This may be done by means of a T, or an extra flue will answer. Allow the pipe to extend into the cellar. This plan of ventilating has proved very successful.

III.—INSULATING HIVES FOR OUTSIDE WINTERING.

For this experiment, the hives were insulated against the winter cold by air cushions in the following manner. Slats 1 inch thick were nailed at intervals all around the hive, on these was tacked one layer of thick brown building paper and then a layer of oiled paper, which increases durability and also keeps out vermin. In order to provide extra protection to the hive, a box six inches wider and six inches longer was placed over this with an opening cut at the entrance, 1 inch by 2 inches, all other openings being closed. The wooden covers of each hive were removed and replaced with a chaff cushion 3 inches thick, the latter placed on the propolis quilt, and lapping over the sides of the hive; two layers of paper were then put on top of the cushion and a second cushion added, which had the top of the outside box over it. This experiment, first tried during the winter of 1902-3 with two hives, was repeated last winter for the second time with four colonies in Langstroth hives. These were all four placed in a large packing case, one foot larger each way than the hives, which were six inches apart in the case, with six inches of cut straw on the bottom of the case for the hives to rest upon. The six-inch space between the hives was packed with cut straw, as well as the one-foot space all around and on top of the hives. The entrances of two of the hives faced each other, and two hives faced west. The entrance to the hives was kept clear of snow all winter to ensure free ventilation. The hives were in a corner well sheltered from cold winds.

No sound could be heard from these colonies all winter. On March 22 the bees made their appearance, many flying briskly, going out and returning. From March 22 to April 22 the bees had but one good flight. On April 22 they were then examined. Very few dead bees were found on the bottom boards; the combs were dry and clean and there were no signs of dysentery. The hives were then removed from the packing case and placed on their summer stands. The average weight of the hives when put into winter quarters was 62½ pounds; when put on their summer stands, 49½ pounds, showing that each hive had lost 13 pounds 4 ounces. The weather after this date (April 22) being bright and warm, the bees built up rapidly and were in excellent condition for the honey flow.

IV.—EXPERIMENTS TO DETERMINE WHICH BEES WOULD CONSUME MOST OF, HONEY OR SUGAR, WHILE CONFINED IN THEIR WINTER QUARTERS.

Eight colonies in Langstroth hives were selected for this experiment, all of as nearly equal strength as could be secured. On September 1 their natural stores were removed from both sets. On September 2 all were weighed as follows:—

(a.) The four colonies fed sugar syrup: No. 1 weighed 30 lbs. 7 oz.; No. 2, 31 lbs. 12 oz.; No. 3, 31 lbs. 10 oz.; No. 4, 31 lbs. 3 oz.; average of weight, 31 lbs. 4 oz.

(b.) The four colonies fed extracted honey: No. 1, weight, 30 lbs. 9 oz.; No. 2, 31 lbs. 10 oz.; No. 3, 30 lbs. 12 oz.; No. 4, 31 lbs. 1 oz.; or an average of 31 pounds.

Miller feeders were placed in empty section supers, close to the top of the brood frames, any part of the brood frames not covered by the feeder being covered by a propolis quilt cut so as to allow the bees a passage through it. By keeping the feeder well packed around, except where the bees enter, the heat is kept in and at the same time the bees cannot daub themselves with the liquid. In both experiments the bees had a constant supply of syrup and honey. Both the honey and the syrup were supplied to the bees at about blood heat. The syrup was made of the best granulated sugar, two parts to one of water by weight. The water was first brought to a boil, then the boiler was set back on the stove and the sugar having been poured in, the mixture was stirred until all was dissolved.

The four colonies fed sugar syrup when put into winter quarters November 24, weighed as follows:—

No. 1, 61 lbs. 4 oz.; No. 2, 62 lbs. 9 oz.; No. 3, 62 lbs. 7 oz.; No. 4, 62 lbs.; or an average of 62 lbs. 1 oz. each.

The four colonies fed extracted honey when put into winter quarters on November 24, weighed as follows:—

No. 1, 62 lbs. 13 oz.; No. 2, 62 lbs. 14 oz.; No. 3, 62 lbs.; No. 4, 62 lbs. 5 oz.; or an average of 62 lbs. 8 oz. each.

The four colonies fed sugar syrup when taken from their winter quarters March 22, weighed as follows:—

No. 1, 47 lbs. 3 oz.; No. 2, 49 lbs. 4 oz.; No. 3, 51 lbs. 5 oz.; No. 4, 51 lbs. 8 oz.; average, 49 lbs. 13 oz.

The four colonies fed extracted honey when taken from their winter quarters March 22, weighed as follows:—

No. 1, 50 lbs. 9 oz.; No. 2, 53 lbs. 1 oz.; No. 3, 51 lbs. 12 oz.; No. 4, 51 lbs. 2 oz.; average, 51 lbs. 10 oz. Difference in favour of the honey feeding, 1 lb. 13 ounces per colony.

When the hives were put into winter quarters and placed on the shelves in the cellar, the wooden covers were raised at one end $\frac{1}{2}$ an inch, while the sealed propolis quilt was left undisturbed. The hives were all given extra ventilation at the bottom by placing at the entrance a wooden block between the bottom board and the brood chamber, thus raising the front of the brood chamber 3 inches extra. During the balance of November and December very slight humming could be heard; during January and February scarcely any appreciable hum could be heard. During the whole winter there was no sign of uneasiness of any kind, and very few dead bees were found about the entrance; the bottom boards were quite clean and there was no sign of dysentery in either experiment. All came out in first-class condition and built up rapidly for the honey flow.

V.—EXPERIMENT WITH QUEEN EXCLUDERS IN HIVES FOR THE PRODUCTION OF EXTRACTED HONEY.

Eight colonies were taken for this test—4 in Langstroth hives, 4 in Heddon hives.

Two colonies in each case had queen excluders between the brood chamber and the extracting frames; thus, every pound of honey secured was pure.

The two remaining colonies in each set had no queen excluders. The queen in every instance went up into the extracting frames where eggs were laid and young brood raised. This latter plan is practised by too many who call themselves bee-keepers. It is impossible to extract honey from frames where brood is present without throwing out the young larvæ at the same time. There are also many who do not use any surplus cases, especially those who use the old box hive. They take their honey out of the brood chamber after smoking or killing the bees. This

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

practice is to be strongly condemned, as the honey taken out of a brood chamber, or out of extracting frames where brood is present is not fit for human food.

On November 8, all colonies were weighed and found to be in good condition. They were then put into their winter quarters.

INTRODUCING QUEENS.

Eight queens have been introduced during the season, four on the Benton plan and four with frames of brood taken from several hives. All queens belonging to the colonies that were to receive the imported queens, were removed 24 hours before introducing the new queens.

ONE METHOD—'BENTON INTRODUCING CAGE.'

The Benton mailing and introducing cage is ordinarily used in this country. It consists of an oblong block of wood with three holes bored nearly through, one of the end holes being filled with good candy, and the other two being left for the occupancy of the bees and queen. On the back of the cover are printed directions for introducing a new queen into a hive, and at each end of the cage is a small hole bored through the end of the block of wood, but which in the mails is stopped by a cork. One hole is for the admission of the bees and queen preparatory to mailing, and the other for the liberation of the queen, by the bees eating out the candy in the course of 20 to 30 hours, thus releasing her in a natural way. When the cage is received, the cork covering the candy is to be removed, as well as the wooden cover over the wire cloth. The cage is then carefully placed on top of the frames, so that the wire cloth be over the space between two frames in the centre of the brood-nest. The queen will then be released by the bees in the manner explained.

I would advise all to have extra cages for introducing, so that no disease may be brought in with the queen. See that the cage you introduce with is thoroughly cleaned, and have fresh food made from your own honey placed in the cage in readiness. Then remove the queen and bees from the cage they were received in, to the one prepared for them and follow the above directions.

How to Make Honey and Sugar Thick for Feeding.

Take good thick honey and heat (not boil) it until it becomes very thin, and then stir pulverized sugar into it. After stirring in all the sugar the honey will absorb, take the mixture out of the vessel, and thoroughly knead it with the hands. The kneading will make it more pliable and soft, so that it will absorb or take up more sugar. For summer use it should be worked, while mixing in a little more sugar, until the dough is so stiff as to be hard to work; it should then be allowed to stand for a day or two; and, if still so soft as to run, a little more sugar should be kneaded in. A good deal will depend upon the season of the year; there should be more sugar in proportion to the honey in warm weather than in cool weather.

ANOTHER METHOD OF INTRODUCING QUEENS.

Select a strong colony, remove the wooden cover of the hive, and place a fine wire netting over the tops of the brood frames to shut in the bees; place on top of this wire cloth a brood chamber with four frames of well sealed brood, selected from different hives, with young bees just hatching out, but with no unsealed brood. Put the queen in this brood chamber, which should then be closed bee-tight, and kept over the strong colony four or five days. By that time a respectable force of young workers will have hatched; the hive may now be placed on the stand where it is to remain, the entrance being made large enough for only one bee to pass at a time, as a precaution against robbing. The entrance may be widened as the colony gets stronger. This latter plan has never failed with me.

JOHN FIXTER.

DIVISION OF BOTANY.

THE RUSTS OF GRAIN CROPS.

The losses from the attacks of different kinds of rusts on the cereal crops of the Dominion during 1904, were considerable, and have been reported from every part of the Dominion. In Manitoba and the North-west Territories rust on grain is very seldom heard of; but during the past autumn just about the time the grain was ripening the climatic conditions were such that rust developed to an alarming extent. The parasites which cause this disease are always present to a certain degree on grain crops as well as on several kinds of the wild prairie grasses, and this year they spread on the grain crops and were the cause in some places of great loss to farmers. There was so much interest created among settlers in the West that I was requested to prepare an article upon the subject for the Montreal *Family Herald and Weekly Star*, which was published in the issue of November 30 last. As it is of general interest and a great many inquiries have been made for a popular description of the disease and its cause, I reproduce the article herewith.

THE RUST OF WHEAT.

The subject of the rusts of grain crops is of special interest just now, owing to the unusual epidemic of these destructive parasites in the large wheat fields of parts of Manitoba and the eastern North-west Territories during the past season.

The loss from this cause was undoubtedly considerable; but there was no such wholesale or widespread destruction of the wheat crop in the prairie provinces, as was described in some United States and English newspapers. I have had opportunities of examining samples of rusted straw from many localities, which have been kindly sent in by Mr. David Horn, Chief Inspector of Grain, at Winnipeg, by the agricultural papers and by several correspondents. As a report on the whole of these samples, it may be said that, although some were seriously affected by rust, not one of them was as badly rusted as crops are frequently found to be in eastern Canada, which are nevertheless thought to be worth cutting for grain.

In passing through the Territories and Manitoba in the second week of August, although the crop was rather late and green, I saw no appearance of rust, nor did I hear any complaints of its occurrence at that time. The first reports were received about the 20th August. Early in September several items in the newspapers showed that there was much anxiety as to the extent of the loss which might occur. The localities where most harm was done, were in the Red River valley, in south-western Manitoba and in eastern Assiniboia. In the Regina district a few crops are said to have been so badly rusted that they were burned. The rust in these fields appears to have been noticed on the leaves and heads about the middle of August. On the 18th of that month there was a hailstorm, accompanied by rain; and immediately afterwards the rust spread rapidly.

In Manitoba, for fear of further injury, some crops of wheat were cut too green to be of use for grain, or were made into hay. Under the circumstances, and, as the season turned out, this was a wise course; for it has been found by Mr. Shutt, the Chemist of the Experimental Farms, that straw attacked by rust makes far better feed for stock even than clean straw, because the presence of the parasite causes the retention in the straw of the nutritious principles which after the seeds are formed are transferred from the straw into the grain.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

THE EFFECT UPON THE WHEAT PLANT.

The physiological effect upon the wheat plant by the presence of the rust parasite is better understood by a consideration of the life history of the minute plants which are known as rusts. The term Rust, as applied to cereals, describes a disease due to the attacks of several different parasitic fungi belonging to the Uredineæ, a family which includes the most destructive parasites of cultivated and wild plants; and it must not be forgotten that rust is a plant, and, although so minute that a strong microscope is required to examine it, it is just as much a true plant with a definite life history of its own, as the wheat, oats, grasses, &c., upon which it grows.

The general belief that rust comes with rain, fog, or heavy dew after a hot day, is in the main correct; but the moisture and hot air are not actually the cause of the trouble; they merely act as the carriers of it and provide the conditions necessary for its injurious propagation.

The rust which was answerable for nearly all the injury in the West last season, was the Black Stem Rust. There are about a dozen different kinds of rusts which occur on wheat, oats and barley in this country. The commonest of these are the Orange Leaf Rust (*Puccinia rubigo-vera*) or Spring Rust, and the Black Stem Rust (*Puccinia graminis*), or Summer Rust, which attack all kinds of small grains, and the Crown Rust, or Orange Leaf Rust, of oats (*Puccinia coronata*), which does not occur on wheat or barley. Each of the first two named species has distinct specialized forms which attack wheat, oats and barley and some other grasses, but which very seldom infest plants belonging to other grains than those upon which they developed. For instance, spores of the Black Stem Rust of wheat will not produce readily on either barley or oats the corresponding rusts of those plants and *vice versa*. The two common rusts of wheat occur in all parts of the world, where that staple crop is grown; and in almost every instance it has been found that the Black Stem Rust is by far the more injurious of the two. The Orange Leaf Rust appears earlier in the season and is the more conspicuous; but the later-developed Black Stem Rust attacks its host in a much more vulnerable spot, namely on the stem, the channel up which the nutritious principles are carried from the vegetative system of the plant to be stored up in the seed. Developing on the stem, it arrests and feeds upon these important elements, thus causing starved and shrunken grain. The Orange Leaf Rust of oats is a different species from the Orange Leaf Rusts that occur on the other small grains; and like them has a red rust or spring form and a dark-coloured or summer form; but the Black Stem Rust of oats is merely a specialized form of the species (*Puccinia graminis*), which is also found on wheat, barley and rye, as well as on many different kinds of grasses.

THE GROWTH OF THE PARASITE.

In the case of the Black Stem Rust, the growth of the parasite is the same, whatever its host plant may be. It passes the winter in a resting condition on the old stems of the previous year. In the fields this will be chiefly on the stubble. The winter-spores or seed-bodies germinate early in spring and produce another kind of spores, which are exceedingly light, and are borne from place to place by the faintest breath of wind. These, alighting on the growing grain plants, produce, later, what is known as the red-rust or uredo stage of the fungus, to be followed in autumn by the resting winter-spores of Black Stem Rust. The sequence of this development is as follows: As soon as the minute spores of the first germination are carried on to a leaf of a growing plant, they germinate and throw out very slender tubes, which enter the tissues of the host plant in the same way that roots penetrate the soil. Here they feed at the expense of their host, and in time produce large numbers of reddish brown spores, which burst through the tissues and cause the red-rust stage, which again, later on in the season, is followed by the black-rust stage, which consists of the pro-

fuse production of another kind of spores, brownish black in colour. These are the teleutospores, and are the means of carrying the parasite over the winter. These black winter-spores frequently appear in this species in the same spots on the stem, where the red-rust stage was earlier in the season, but do not germinate until the following spring.

RUST AND THE BARBERRY.

In addition to these two forms of the Black Stem Rust, there is another stage which has been the subject of much controversy. This comes from the spores of the first generation in spring falling upon the leaves of some species of barberry, where they give rise to a curious fungus, known as Barberry Cluster-cup. After a time this matures and pours out enormous numbers of spores which are carried in all directions by the air and fall upon grain plants, where they give rise to Red Rust. Strange to say, this remarkable fact in the life history of rust was discovered very many years ago, and laws looking to the extermination of the barberry plant date back to 1660, when an Act having this object in view was passed in France.

It is not, however, absolutely necessary for Rust to have its first stage on the barberry, although experiments have shown beyond doubt that it does sometimes occur on that plant. The theory has been advanced that growing in this way in one of its stages on the barberry gives the parasite greater vigour; but it is beyond question that the Black Stem Rust can continue to grow in localities where no barberries are grown, and it is also known to occur in specialized forms on many of the wild prairie grasses. Among the samples of grasses sent to me from Manitoba with the rusted wheat, were specimens of the Skunk-tail grass, or Squirrel-tail (*Hordeum jubatum*), which bore well developed pustules of Black Stem Rust, similar to those which occur on wheat and cultivated barley. The Skunk-tail grass is a very bad weed of the West, and certainly increases in hay lands, owing to a habit farmers have of leaving this grass uncut when mowing, so that it ripens and distributes its seeds. If it were cut down at the same time as hay, the unripe seeds would soon dry up, or might be easily burnt after the hay was carried. Mr. Mark A. Carleton, Cerealist of the United States Bureau of Plant Industry, who has made extensive investigations of rusts, writes as follows:—

‘It is positive now from experiments made by this department that the Rust of *Hordeum jubatum* will easily transfer to wheat and barley, and therefore it would decrease the chance of infection of a wheat field, if this grass could be kept out of the wheat, or if the wheat were sown away from its influence.’

REMEDIES.

Little can be done as a remedy against rusts; but, as the parasite passes the winter on the old straw, land left for seeding on stubble should be burnt over carefully before seeding, and the ploughing down of stubbles for summer-fallow should be done as early as possible in the season, so as to prevent as much as may be the distribution of the first generation of spores. Rusted straw fed to cattle is said to distribute the fungus in grain crops from the spores being carried through with the manure. Fresh manure, therefore, should not be used in fields where grain is to be grown. The investigations which have been carried on in Australia, have run largely towards the discovery of varieties of grain which may be more or less exempt from the attacks of rust. Although probably no variety has yet been found entirely free from these parasites, still much has been learned as to the comparative immunity of some kinds, and Mr. Carleton points out that the investigations are said incidentally to have resulted in Australia now having varieties of wheat which are vigorous, true to name, and of exceptional quality for the particular region in which they are grown.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Ever since the institution of the Experimental Farms, much attention has been paid in our experiments with cereals to the problem of rust-resistance. Seed grain has been obtained from all parts of the world. The Australian and many other varieties said to be of special quality have been secured and experimented with, with a view to ascertaining the rust-resisting power of each. A vast amount of useful information will be found by looking through the annual reports of the Experimental Farms, where in the tables of yields of varieties, a special column is devoted each year to the amount of injury by rust on every variety of wheat and oats grown at the different Branch Farms. The result of these experiments, as stated above, is that no variety of wheat or oats, so far, has been found which is perfectly free from rust, although by constant selection those varieties are being separated, which have the greatest power to resist the attack of the parasites.

It may be mentioned here that up to the present time experiments in spraying grain fields with Bordeaux mixture and other fungicides for the prevention of rust have not been attended with any success.

ENCOURAGING FEATURES.

There are some features of the rust epidemic of 1904 which may well be borne in mind by western farmers.

1. The extent of injury this year was much influenced by the unusual season, owing to which all crops were later than usual. The spring was late, cool and dry, followed by hot weather, which suddenly changed at harvest time to dull, wet weather of long duration. The result of these conditions was that, at the time when wheat and oats should have been ready to cut, which was the exact time when the rust appeared this year, not only were grain crops in an exceptionally late and succulent state, but the atmospheric conditions, which were very unusual for the region, were just such as would allow of the rapid development of parasitic fungi.

2. Such an extensive outbreak of rust is without any precedent in the history of the Canadian West.

3. As in ordinary seasons rust has been almost unknown in the West, such extensive injury as was experienced in 1904, must be considered as exceptional and not likely to occur again for many years.

J. FLETCHER.

PERMANENT PASTURES.

The following table gives the yields from the permanent pasture experimental plots for the past four years:—

Number.	SEED SOWN PER ACRE.		CURED HAY, PER ACRE.					
	Mixtures Nos. 1-17, sown May 4, 1901. Sainfoin, No. 18, sown May 1, 1903.							
			1904.		Total.			
	Grasses.	Clovers.	June 24.	August 12.	1904.	1903.	1902.	
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	
1	Timothy..... 6	Alfalfa..... 2						
	Meadow Fescue.... 4	Alsike..... 2						
	Orchard Grass..... 2	Mammoth Red... 1						
	Kentucky Blue.... 1	Common Red.... 1						
	Red Top..... 1	White Dutch.... 2	3 880	2 3	5 883	4 520	4 40	
2	Meadow Fescue.... 6	Alfalfa..... 4						
	Timothy..... 3	Alsike..... 1						
	Canadian Blue.... 2	White Dutch.... 1						
	Orchard Grass..... 3							
	Red Top..... 3		3 960	2 101	5 1,061	3 1,566	4 660	
3	Timothy..... 5	Alfalfa..... 6						
	Awnless Brome.... 4	Alsike..... 3						
	Orchard Grass..... 2		3 1,021	1 1,320	5 341	4 770	5 120	
4	Meadow Fescue.... 6	Common Red.... 4						
	Orchard Grass..... 2	Alfalfa..... 3						
	Kentucky Blue.... 1	White Dutch.... 1	3 1,079	1 1,381	5 460	4 320	5 1,520	
5	Timothy..... 6	Alfalfa..... 6						
	Upright Brome.... 4	Mammoth Red.... 4	3 1,282	1 1,339	5 621	4 840	4 960	
6	Timothy..... 10	Common Red.... 6	3 880	1 840	4 1,720	2 880	4 760	
7	Timothy..... 10	Mammoth Red.... 6	3 120	1 520	4 640	1 1,520	3 1,200	
8	Orchard Grass..... 18	Alsike..... 5	1 1,680	1,892	2 1,572	2 80	2 1,200	
9	Orchard Grass..... 18	Common Red.... 8	2 360	1 160	3 520	2 1,600	3 1,280	
10	Meadow Fescue.... 20	Common Red.... 8	2 240	1,997	3 237	2 680	3 40	
11	Timothy..... 12	Mammoth Red.... 8	2 1,980	1,942	3 1,922	2 1,400	3 1,760	
12	Timothy..... 12	Common Red.... 8	3 320	1 70	4 390	2 1,920	3 20	
13	Timothy..... 5	Common Red.... 5						
	Awnless Brome.... 10	Mammoth Red.... 5	2 1,840	1 1,240	3 1,080	2 1,840	4 300	
14	Awnless Brome.... 25		1 1,881	840	2 721	1 1,360	3 1,020	
15	Awnless Brome.... 15	Common Red.... 8	2 1,889	1 320	4 209	3 360	4 760	
16	Timothy..... 8	Mammoth Red.... 8	3 1,652	1 129	4 1,781	3 1,160	3 340	
17		Sainfoin..... 40	3 1,998	2 1,400	6 1,398	4 1,160	3 1,160	
18		Alfalfa..... 15	2 840	1 837	3 1,677			

REPORT OF THE EXPERIMENTALIST.

(CHAS. E. SAUNDERS, B.A., Ph.D.)

DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith, the second annual report of the Division of Cereal Breeding and Experimentation.

The cross-fertilising and the selecting of desirable types among cereals occupied much time during the early summer; and, the comparative study of the different varieties of cereals, field roots, &c., as they reached maturity, was the chief work of the later part of the season.

Some attention was also given, during your absence on your annual visit to the branch farms, to the new varieties of hardy, hybrid crab-apples which are being produced for the northern parts of the Dominion.

Good progress has been made during the year in the enlargement of the museum collection of cereals, which is proving of great value.

In the month of December, 1903, I attended the first meeting of the American Breeders' Association at St. Louis, where I presented a paper entitled: 'Some Observations on Heredity in Wheat.'

On the same trip, visits were paid to some of the wheat-testing laboratories in Chicago, Minneapolis and Brookings (South Dakota). Much kindness was received from Prof. Jas. H. Shepard of the South Dakota Experiment Station, who explained in detail the methods used by him in his studies on the milling qualities of the macaroni wheats.

During the winter, much time was spent in the careful study of a large number of selected heads of wheat and other grains for the purpose of starting improved strains of some of the most important varieties. Hand selection of threshed grain from the plots of some of the best sorts of wheat, in order to eliminate certain undesirable types of seed, has also been carried on; while the whole of the grain for the experimental plots was, as usual, carefully hand picked before being sown.

The purchase of a roller-process flour mill for the grinding of small quantities of wheat has enabled me to commence an investigation into the quality of Canadian wheats.

I am much indebted to Mr. George Fixter, for his valuable work as foreman in charge of the experimental plots, and to Miss M. Hager, for the great care with which she performed the work of seed selection in the difficult cases which were entrusted to her.

I am indebted also to Professor C. A. Zavitz, of Guelph, for seed of a strain of Early Yellow Soja beans, to Professor J. H. Shepard of Brookings, for an excellent sample of macaroni made at the South Dakota Experiment Station, to the Sheffield-King Milling Company of Faribault, Minn., for a large sample of patent flour made from macaroni wheat (which proved very good for bread making), to the Lake of the Woods Milling Company and to the Ogilvie Flour Mills Company for fine samples of the products of their mills, to the United States Department of Agriculture for some new varieties of barley, to Mr. C. Boije of Finland, for new sorts of oats, and to Mr.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

A. McMullen of the Guinness Laboratories, Dublin, for some extremely interesting samples of Irish barley.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

CHARLES E. SAUNDERS,
Experimentalist.

CROSSING OF CEREALS.

Owing to the fact that so many cross-fertilised seeds were obtained in 1903, it was not deemed desirable to devote quite so much attention to this part of the work this year. A smaller number of crosses was therefore attempted, but most of these were of unusual interest. The results were quite satisfactory. The work of cross-fertilising was begun on June 20 and continued until July 6. Eleven different crosses were accomplished in wheat, giving 85 seeds, four in barley giving 28 seeds, and one in oats giving one seed. Some mixed crosses (wheat with barley) were also attempted but the seeds obtained were not plump and may not germinate when planted.

The cross-fertilised seed produced in 1903 was sown on April 25. In no case were the seeds put in closer than 4 inches apart each way. This allowed space for the study of each plant by itself. The oats, barley and peas were sown at greater distances apart. Most of the seed germinated well. The following figures give the number of plants harvested: Peas, 20; wheat and emmer, 416; barley, 18; oats, 4. This makes a total of 458 new varieties of grain. Most of these made very strong growth, many of the plants of wheat attaining a height of nearly five feet. The unusual severity of rust, however, very materially reduced the yield of grain. Nevertheless, if the seed germinates well next season, it should give several thousand new varieties; for experience has shown that every seed from an original cross-bred plant produces a new variety of grain.

SELECTION OF PROMISING TYPES OF CEREALS.

The selection of the most promising types from mixed seed found in commerce and from the newer cross-bred sorts produced at this Farm was continued this year with unusual care. Altogether nearly 300 selected strains were sown, and of these about 200 were harvested, a number of them having been rejected during the growing season on account of their lateness or for some other cause. Among these new strains are several very promising types, which are sufficiently distinct to be ranked as new varieties. The best of these will be brought into the uniform test plots as soon as possible.

The cross-bred varieties of wheat described in the report for last year (Preston, Stanley, Huron, Percy and Laurel) were subjected to very careful re-selection, sufficient seed being obtained in each case to sow the one-fortieth acre plot. This has now given a small stock of grain, greatly improved in character, to serve as the foundation for improved strains of these varieties. Early Riga, Downy Riga, Riga and Bishop were also re-selected in a similar manner. White Fife, a variety seldom met with in a condition at all approaching purity, was also treated in the same way.

RUST-RESISTING VARIETIES.

Rust in cereals has attracted more than the usual amount of attention during the past season, the damage from this disease having been greater in some sections of the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

country than is generally the case. It seems desirable, therefore, to call attention to the efforts which have been and are being made at the Dominion Experimental Farms to discover rust-resisting varieties of cereals. For many years careful notes have been made at the Experimental Farms on the extent to which each variety of grain has suffered; and this information has been published in the tables in the annual reports. Many new sorts of cereals (especially wheat) have been obtained from Europe, Asia, Northern Africa, the United States and Australia in the search for rust-resisting sorts. In addition to these, many cross-bred varieties have been produced at this farm (by crossing ordinary wheats with macaroni wheats and wheats with emmers) in the hope of obtaining exceptionally strong types. A careful study of single plants of certain varieties is also being carried on, to see whether individuals can be found to be used as the mother plants of rust-resisting strains.

These lines of investigation have not yet been followed long enough to reach very striking results, but the work is being continued on a larger scale than before.

DESCRIPTION OF CROSS-BRED VARIETIES OF WHEAT.

The following new varieties of wheat produced at this farm are here described for the first time. They are all being propagated as rapidly as possible, but are not yet available for general distribution. It should be noticed that Early Riga, Downy Riga, Riga and Bishop are valuable chiefly on account of their earliness. They are not recommended for cultivation in districts where the ripening season is long.

The measurements given in the descriptions apply to the grain as grown at Ottawa.

Early Riga.—Parentage, Gehun (female) crossed with Onega (male). Kernels red, rather small. Heads beardless, rather small, usually about 3 inches long. Chaff yellowish, smooth and downy mixed. Straw stiff, but not above medium height, usually about 42 inches long. Ripens very early, about 12 days before Red Fife. Gives a rather small yield, especially in seasons when rust is unusually severe. Makes excellent flour.

As this variety is a mixture of two distinct types, easily distinguished by the hairiness or smoothness of the chaff, it has been separated into the two varieties described below.

Downy Riga.—Obtained from Early Riga by selection of the heads having downy chaff.

Riga.—Obtained from Early Riga by selection of the heads having smooth chaff.

Bishop.—Parentage, Ladoga (female) crossed with Gehun (male). Kernels yellowish, of about medium size. Heads beardless, usually about 3½ inches long, rather blunt. Chaff yellowish, smooth. Straw moderately stiff, usually about 43 inches long. Ripens quite early, about 8 days before Red Fife. Gives a fair yield. Makes very good flour. This variety resembles White Fife in some respects, but is distinguished by its rather blunt head, its much greater earliness and its somewhat smaller yield. (White Fife usually ripens with Red Fife).

Red Preston.—The original Preston wheat gave two types of heads, some having yellowish chaff and others red chaff. The name Preston is now being used to designate only the type with yellowish chaff, as described in the Report of the Experimental Farms for 1903, page 219. The name Red Preston is given to the type having red chaff. In other respects Red Preston resembles Preston.

DOUBLE ROWS AND OTHER SMALL PLOTS OF CEREALS.

Well-known varieties of cereals which have been rejected from the uniform test plots as undesirable for general cultivation are retained for reference purposes and are grown annually in the double rows. These rows are 33 feet long and about 6 inches apart; and each pair of rows is separated from the neighbouring pairs by a space of

about 2 feet. In these double rows are also sown all the new varieties of grain, of which there is only a very small quantity of seed on hand. When a larger amount of seed is available a small plot is sown, but the yield per acre is not usually estimated when the plot is less than one-fortieth of an acre in extent.

An alphabetical list of the principal varieties grown in the double rows and other small plots, during the past season, is here given. The total number of these was 157. Those sorts which are given under letters and numbers are new varieties produced at this farm, but not yet named.

Spring Wheat.

6 B 2 (Red Fife × Polish).	Early Sonora.
6 E " "	Galician.
6 T " "	Gurke.
7 D (Red Fife × Roumanian).	Herisson Beardless.
7 E " "	Hungarian Mountain.
8 C (Red Fife × Goose).	Hungarian Red.
8 E " "	Hungarian White.
8 H 1 " "	Japanese.
9 A 1 (Common Emmer × Colorado Wheat).	Japhet.
9 D 1 " "	Ladoga.
9 D 2 " "	Naples.
9 G " "	Norval.
9 H 1 " "	Persian Black.
9 K 2 " "	Pringle's Defiance.
10 C (Colorado Wheat × Common Emmer).	Progress.
10 F " "	Red Bearded.
Alpha.	Red Preston.
Banat.	Red Swedish.
Bearded March.	Rideau.
Beaudry.	Robin's Rust Proof.
Campbell's White Chaff.	Rye Wheat.
Cape.	Sicilian.
Cartier.	Strubes.
Cassel.	Summer Cob.
Chiddam March.	Touzelle.
Club.	Victoria.
Crown.	

Macaroni or Durum Wheat.

Adjini Red.	Mahmoudi Yellow.
Adjini Yellow.	Mishriki.
Arneutka,	Polish.
Italian.	Red Indian.
Madonna.	Sleaford.
Mahmoudi Red.	Sorentino.

Emmer and Spelt.

Black Bearded Spelt.	Double Emmer.
----------------------	---------------

Oats.

Abyssinia.	Doncaster Prize.	Oderbruch.
Aitken Black.	Early Archangel.	Prince Royal.
Australian.	Early Blossom.	Rennie's Prize White.
Bayonet.	Early Gothland.	Russell.
Bergs (black).	Early Maine.	Salines.
Beseler.	Eureka.	Scarboro.
Black Mesdag.	Fichtel Mountain.	Scottish Chief.
Bonanza.	Flying Scotchman.	Selchower.
Brandon White.	Holland.	Sheffield Standard.
Brandon Yellow.	King.	Tobolsk.
Brown Algerian.	Leutenwitzer.	Tunis (brown).
California Prolific (black).	Liberty.	Victoria Prize.
Clydesdale.	Miller.	White Russian.
Cream Egyptian.	Newmarket.	White Schonen.
Cromwell.	New Zealand.	White Wonder.
Dinauer.	Norwegian Black.	Zhelannil.

Six-row Barley.

Blue Short Head.	Petschora.	Success (beardless).
Excelsior.	Phoenix.	Surprise.
Foyston.	Small Blue Naked.	Vanguard.
Hulless White (beardless).		

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Two-row Barley.

Black Two-row.
Duckbill.
Erfurt White.
Gambrinus.
Hofbrau.

Improved Thanet.
Italian.
Jewel.
Kinver Chevalier.
Large Naked.

Nepean.
Prize Prolific.
Rigid.
Triple Naked (beardless).
Victor.

Peas.

Alma.
Bright.
Bruce.
Centennial.
Creeper.
Elder.

Elephant Blue.
Fergus.
French Canner.
Harrison's Glory.
Maple.
Multiplier.

New Potter.
Norwegian Grey.
Oddfellow.
Perth.
Trilby.

UNIFORM TEST PLOTS OF CEREALS, FIELD ROOTS AND FODDER CORN.

The standard and new varieties of cereals which are obtainable commercially are annually grown in plots of one-fortieth of an acre, along with the cross-bred sorts produced at the Farms and a number of other varieties obtained from various sources. The field roots and fodder corn are grown in similar plots, and the yield per acre is estimated from the crop obtained from two rows, each 66 feet long. The object of these tests is to determine the relative productiveness, earliness, &c., of the different varieties. Those which for a series of years are found to be distinctly inferior are rejected, and strong efforts are made to keep the list within as small bounds as possible without omitting anything which may ultimately prove of value.

The number of these larger plots grown during the past season was as follows:—Spring wheat, 98; macaroni wheat, 14; winter wheat, 20; emmer and spelt, 11; oats, 80; six-row barley, 47; two-row barley, 28; winter barley, 1; pease, 34; spring rye, 1; winter rye, 4; soja beans, 3; horse beans, 2; field beans, 4; flax, 7; turnips, 40; mangels, 32; carrots, 20; sugar beets, 16; Indian corn, 50; mixed grain, 8; making a total of 520 plots. These represent about 410 varieties, duplicate plots being necessary, for special reasons, in some cases.

Some of the varieties mentioned in the Report of the Experimental Farms for 1903, have been discontinued on account of lateness, small yield, or for other defects.

PREPARATION OF LAND FOR THE UNIFORM TEST PLOTS.

The system of cultivation adopted for the land devoted to the experimental plots is necessarily somewhat different from that which is generally considered advisable in ordinary farming; but it is worthy of mention that abnormally large quantities of fertilising material are not employed. The land used for the plots consists of three separate fields, and a three-year rotation is practised. Each field receives every third year a dressing of fresh barn-yard manure. This has been applied in the past at the rate of only twelve tons per acre, but this amount has been found insufficient whenever the manure has not been of the highest strength. The quantity is therefore being increased to 18 tons per acre. This is at the rate of 6 tons per acre for each year. While this is a somewhat larger quantity of barn-yard manure than is used in ordinary farming, it must be remembered that there is no opportunity in this case for the ploughing under of soil or for allowing the land to be used sometimes for pasture, as is the common practice. For these reasons it seems necessary to apply the manure in somewhat greater quantities than usual, though it cannot be fairly claimed that the land is unduly enriched by this method. The manure is spread on the ground and ploughed under in spring. This field is then used for roots, fodder corn and other hoed crops. In the autumn, after the harvest is over, the land is ploughed about seven inches deep, and is left in that condition until the following spring, when it is cultivated twice with a two-horse cultivator and harrowed twice with a smoothing harrow. Cereals are then sown. After the grain is harvested the land is ploughed about three or four inches deep, to start the shed grain and any weed seeds present, and is again

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

ploughed a few weeks later about seven inches deep. In the following spring it is prepared as before and cereals are again sown. It is not, however, the practice to sow the same cereal twice in succession on the same piece of land.

SELECTION OF SEED FOR UNIFORM TEST PLOTS.

In order to obtain the seed for the uniform test plots in the best condition, and as nearly as possible in a state of absolute purity, selected heads are gathered by hand from each plot just before the grain is cut. About eight pounds of heads are harvested in this way. During the winter these selected samples are carefully threshed and cleaned by hand; and the grain to be sown the next season is thus brought to a very high standard of purity. This method has been used for several years with wheat and barley; and is being continued with these grains. In oats, however, the selection of heads is not usually carried out unless the grain in the plot shows signs of being mixed. It is much more difficult to select the heads of oats; and the plots are always injured more or less while the work is being done, on account of the growth of the oats being very thick.

In all cases, when the seed for the plots is not obtained by hand selection in the field, the crop from the plot is thoroughly screened and carefully hand-picked before being sown the next season.

WEATHER.

Spring opened late, but the rather unusually cool weather during the month of May gave ample opportunity for the root growth of cereals wherever the seed had germinated well. On some soils, however, the crops made poor progress during this month. June and July were favourable months, but August and September were wet and rather cold. On the whole the season was a good one, except for the unusual severity of rust on cereals. Late-maturing varieties and all plots sown rather late suffered most, wheat being in some cases badly shrivelled in consequence.

SPRING WHEAT.

The following varieties of spring wheat were added to the plots this season :—

Riga.—See 'Description of Cross-bred Varieties of Wheat.'

Downy Riga.—See 'Description of Cross-Bred Varieties of Wheat.'

Pearl.—This is a beardless wheat with large, round, red kernels. It was obtained from Sweden. It proved late in ripening and suffered severely from rust.

Saumur.—Obtained from France under the name of *Saumur de Mars*. The kernels of the imported grain were rather large, red and soft. It gave a very poor yield this season.

Two other sorts, *Piliviers* and *Red Prolific*, obtained from France, proved entirely unsuited to our conditions.

Several varieties have been dropped from the uniform plots this year. Only one of these, however, is of importance : the variety known as *White Connell*. A careful study showed that *White Connell* is an impure strain of *White Fife*. It was, therefore, rejected.

All kinds of wheat were affected by rust this season, but the injury was most severe in the case of those varieties which were late in ripening, whether the lateness was due to a delay in sowing or to the habits of the varieties. The results this year serve to emphasise most strongly the importance of early sowing for wheat.

The sowing of the wheat plots was begun on April 27, but owing to unfavourable weather, was not completed until May 2.

All the plots were one-fortieth of an acre, except in the case of *Pearl*, where the amount of seed on hand was only sufficient for one-eightieth of an acre.

The seed was used at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels to the acre.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 pounds.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.		Yield per Acre.	Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
						Inches.	Inches.			
1	Byron*	Aug. 1	96	39 to 41	Stiff	33 to 43	27 20	63	Badly.	
2	Australian No. 28	" 10	100	44 " 46	"	33 " 42	27 20	60	"	
3	Newdale*	" 3	93	45 " 47	"	33 " 4	26 20	61	Slightly.	
4	Weldon*	" 9	99	44 " 46	"	33 " 4	26 20	59½	Badly.	
5	Australian No. 21	" 10	100	43 " 45	"	33 " 41	25 40	60½	"	
6	Hastings*	" 2	97	42 " 44	"	33 " 3½	25 20	62	"	
7	Admiral*	" 5	95	41 " 43	"	33 " 4	25 ..	61½	"	
8	Spence*	" 4	94	47 " 49	"	33 " 4	24 40	63½	"	
9	Bishop*	" 1	91	36 " 38	"	33 " 3½	24 40	62½	Slightly.	
10	Chester*	" 3	93	39 " 41	"	33 " 4	24 40	61½	Considerably.	
11	Australian No. 12	" 9	99	45 " 47	"	33 " 4	21 20	61½	Badly.	
12	Benton*	" 4	99	43 " 45	"	33 " 41	23 40	60½	"	
13	Advance*	" 4	99	40 " 42	"	33 " 41	23 40	61½	Considerably.	
14	Redpath*	" 9	99	48 " 50	"	33 " 41	23 40	59	Badly.	
15	Nixon*	" 4	99	42 " 44	"	33 " 3½	23 20	60	Considerably.	
16	Harrison Bearded.	" 4	99	39 " 41	"	33 " 2½	23 ..	63½	Badly.	
17	Orleans*	" 4	99	41 " 46	"	33 " 41	23 ..	60½	Considerably.	
18	Plumper*	" 3	93	39 " 41	"	33 " 41	23 ..	63½	Badly.	
19	Red Fern	" 8	98	43 " 45	"	33 " 41	22 40	61½	"	
20	Dawson*	" 9	99	48 " 50	"	33 " 41	22 20	59½	"	
21	Preston*	" 2	97	38 " 40	"	33 " 4	21 20	61½	Considerably	
22	Laurel*	" 8	98	42 " 44	"	33 " 4	21 20	56½	Badly.	
23	Clyde*	" 8	98	41 " 43	"	33 " 41	21 ..	60	"	
24	Crawford*	" 2	92	38 " 40	"	33 " 4	20 20	61½	Slightly.	
25	Countess*	" 3	93	44 " 46	"	33 " 4	19 40	61½	Badly.	
26	Colorado	" 3	93	42 " 44	"	33 " 3½	19 40	62	Considerably.	
27	Ebert*	July 28	92	38 " 40	"	33 " 3½	19 20	62	"	
28	Pringle's Champlain.	Aug. 3	98	38 " 40	"	33 " 41	19 ..	61	Badly.	
29	Dayton*	July 30	94	40 " 42	"	33 " 3½	19 ..	61½	"	
30	Monarch	Aug. 8	103	38 " 40	"	33 " 4	18 40	60	Considerably.	
31	Dawn*	" 4	99	42 " 44	"	33 " 41	18 20	59½	"	
32	Percy*	" 2	97	36 " 38	"	33 " 3½	18 ..	61	"	
33	White Fife.	" 9	99	40 " 42	"	33 " 4	18 ..	60	Badly.	
34	Downy R. ga*	July 28	92	39 " 41	"	23 " 3½	18 ..	60	"	
35	Gehun.	July 29	93	40 " 42	Medium.	23 " 3½	17 40	60	Considerably.	
36	White Russian.	Aug. 8	103	40 " 42	Stiff.	33 " 4	17 ..	60	Badly.	
37	Early Riga*	July 28	92	39 " 41	"	23 " 3½	17 ..	60	"	
38	Stanley*	Aug. 2	97	36 " 38	"	33 " 3½	16 40	58½	Considerably.	
39	Fraser*	July 29	93	35 " 37	Medium.	23 " 3½	16 40	60½	"	
40	McKendry's Fife (Minn. 181)	Aug. 9	99	42 " 44	Stiff.	33 " 41	16 40	59	Badly.	
41	Australian No. 19.	" 9	99	35 " 37	"	23 " 3½	16 40	60½	"	
42	Rio Grande	" 7	97	46 " 48	"	44 " 5	16 20	59½	"	
43	Power's Fife (Minn. 149)	" 9	99	37 " 39	"	33 " 3½	16 20	61	"	
44	Minnesota No. 163	" 11	101	43 " 45	"	33 " 41	15 40	58½	"	
45	Australian No. 15.	" 10	100	33 " 35	"	33 " 3½	15 40	60½	"	
46	Riga*	" 1	96	37 " 39	"	23 " 3½	15 20	60	Considerably.	
47	Australian F	" 8	98	36 " 38	"	33 " 4	15 20	59½	Badly.	
48	Red Fife	" 8	103	37 " 39	"	33 " 4	15 ..	60	"	
49	Harold*	July 28	92	37 " 39	"	23 " 3½	15 ..	60	"	
50	Marvel	Aug. 3	93	39 " 41	"	33 " 4	14 40	59	Considerably.	
51	Wellman's Fife.	" 8	103	40 " 42	"	33 " 4	14 20	56½	Badly.	
52	Blue Stem	" 13	103	46 " 48	"	33 " 41	14 20	57½	"	
53	Hungarian.	" 7	102	37 " 39	Medium.	33 " 3½	14 ..	61	"	
54	Pearl	" 17	107	48 " 50	Stiff.	33 " 41	13 20	55	"	
55	Tracey*	" 9	104	49 " 42	"	33 " 41	12 40	58	Considerably.	
56	Haynes' Blue Stem (Minn. 169)	" 13	103	46 " 48	"	33 " 4	12 40	58½	Badly.	
57	Huron*	" 4	99	36 " 38	"	33 " 4	12 20	59½	"	
58	Saumur	" 11	101	31 " 33	"	23 " 3	12 20	55	"	
59	Australian No. 9.	" 7	97	36 " 38	"	33 " 4	11 20	56½	"	

* Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms are marked with an asterisk.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Most Productive Varieties of Spring Wheat.—Excluding the macaroni wheats, which are considered separately, the most productive varieties of spring wheat at this Farm for the last five years have been Preston, Huron, Herisson Bearded and Pringle's Champlain. These are all bearded varieties. Preston, Huron and Pringle's Champlain are of good quality for milling purposes.

The most productive beardless variety, during the last five years, has been White Fife. Red Fife (beardless) and Red Fern (bearded) have also given very good yields; while White Russian (beardless), Laurel (beardless), and Wellman's Fife (beardless) have proved almost equally productive.

Earliest Varieties of Spring Wheat.—The earliest varieties of spring wheat grown in the plots on this Farm are Harold, Ebert, Fraser, Gehun, Early Riga, Riga and Downy Riga. These sorts are not yet available for general distribution, but the best of them will be introduced as soon as possible.

Preston, Stanley and Percy are the earliest kinds which are now being sent out from the Experimental Farms. They ripen at Ottawa about six days before Red Fife.

MACARONI OR DURUM WHEAT.

The term "macaroni" wheat is generally employed to designate those extremely hard varieties with large kernels of which 'Goose' or 'Wild Goose' is the best-known example in Canada. The different sorts of macaroni wheat are by no means identical in quality, but for commercial purposes they are generally considered as practically the same.

They are looked upon with disfavour by millers; and farmers who grow any wheat of this class should exercise great care to prevent it from becoming mixed with wheat which is to be sold for flour-making.

As a rule, these wheats suffer less from drought and from rust than other sorts. They may, therefore, in some cases, be grown to advantage, especially in rather dry districts where rust is apt to be severe. Though these varieties were attacked by rust during the past season at this Farm, it will be noticed that the evil effects of the disease were not nearly so marked as in the case of spring wheats of the ordinary type, the macaroni wheats being higher in yield and in weight per bushel. They are not, however, to be generally recommended for damp climates. It should also be borne in mind that the market price of macaroni wheat is generally lower than that paid for varieties of wheat which are popular for milling purposes.

The plots of macaroni wheat were one-fortieth of an acre in extent. The seed was sown on May 2 at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels to the acre.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 pounds.



Bishop.

Riga.

Downy Riga.

The photographs show the actual sizes of the heads.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

MACARONI WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Roumanian.....	Aug. 18	108	50 to 52	Stiff.....	2½ to 3	39 40	63	Considerably.
2	Velvet Don.....	" 11	101	45 " 47	Medium...	2½ " 3	36 20	63½	"
3	Goose.....	" 10	100	48 " 50	"	2½ " 2¾	35 20	63½	"
4	Gharnovka.....	" 15	105	48 " 50	Stiff.....	2½ " 3	35 ..	62½	"
5	Black Don.....	" 10	100	45 " 47	"	2½ " 2¾	33 ..	63	Badly.
6	Yellow Gharnovka.....	" 15	105	53 " 55	"	2½ " 3	31 20	63	Considerably.
7	Kubanka.....	" 9	99	49 " 51	Medium...	2½ " 3	30 20	63½	"
8	Kahla.....	" 14	104	41 " 43	"	2 " 2½	26 20	60½	"
9	Mahmoudi.....	" 15	105	46 " 48	Stiff.....	2½ " 3	26 ..	59	Badly.
10	Medeah.....	" 9	99	48 " 50	"	2½ " 3	24 20	59½	Considerably.
11	Beloturka.....	" 11	101	39 " 41	"	2½ " 2¾	24 ..	64	"

These varieties of macaroni wheat have not been grown long enough to permit the drawing of definite conclusions as to their relative yield and earliness through a series of years. Roumanian can, however, be recommended for its large yield.

POLISH OR CORN WHEAT.

Much attention has lately been given by the public to a variety of macaroni wheat called 'Polish' or 'Polonian' or 'Corn Wheat' or 'Giant Rye.' This wheat is characterized by extremely large, bearded heads and long yellowish kernels, and is altogether very striking in appearance. It has, however, been rejected from the larger test plots at this Farm on account of its uniformly very small yield, and its great susceptibility to rust. During the four years ending in 1903 the following average yields were given by Polish, Goose, Red Fife and Preston wheats:—

	Yield per Acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.
Polish.....	13	33
Goose.....	27	3
Red Fife.....	31	23
Preston.....	33	55

WINTER WHEAT.

Several varieties of winter wheat which had not previously been tested at this Farm were added to the uniform plots this year. They were all obtained from seedsmen in America (chiefly in Ontario), except the two Russian sorts, Kharkov and Padi, which were kindly furnished by the Department of Agriculture of the United States.

Kharkov (Washington, No. 7786).—This is a bearded variety with rather small heads and with smooth, yellowish chaff. The kernels are red, rather small and unusually hard for winter wheat. This is a very promising variety for flour-making.

Padi (Washington, No. 9129).—This resembles Kharkov in almost every respect except that the heads are beardless.

Abundance, *American Banner*, *Red Chief*, *Early Windsor*, *Invincible* and *Prosperity* are beardless varieties; and *Silver Sheaf* is a bearded sort.

The plots of winter wheat were sown on September 10, 1903. All the plots were one-fortieth of an acre, and the seed was used at the rate of 1½ bushels to the acre.

When winter set in the plots were looking well, but when growth commenced in spring many of the plots were thin or bare in some spots owing to winter-killing. In

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

most cases, therefore, it was deemed advisable to estimate the yield of grain from one-eighth of an acre only.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 pounds.

WINTER WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days maturing.	Length of Straw, includ'g Head	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Red Velvet Chaff	July 23	317	55 to 57	Medium	3-32	40 40	613	Considerably.
2	Turkey Red	" 20	314	50 " 52	Weak	2-31	39 20	61	"
3	Abundance	" 21	315	47 " 49	Stiff	3-41	39 20	61	"
4	American Banner	" 22	316	56 " 58	"	3-33	38 40	61	"
5	Kharkov	" 20	314	46 " 48	Weak	2-24	38 ..	613	Slightly.
6	Imperial Amber	" 23	317	50 " 52	"	3-33	37 20	582	Badly.
7	Red Chiet	" 23	317	54 " 56	Stiff	3-34	36 ..	601	Slightly.
8	Early Windsor	" 27	321	55 " 57	"	3-32	34 40	583	Badly.
9	Reliable	" 22	316	48 " 50	"	3-41	32 ..	634	Slightly.
10	Silver Sheaf	" 20	314	55 " 57	Medium	3-32	30 ..	59	Considerably.
11	Invincible	" 22	316	47 " 49	Stiff	3-41	28 40	623	Slightly.
12	Buda Pesh	" 22	316	45 " 47	"	3-34	26 ..	62	"
13	Dawson's Golden Chaff	" 23	317	50 " 52	"	3-34	26 ..	582	Considerably.
14	Early Red Clawson	" 22	316	41 " 43	"	2-31	25 20	613	"
15	Golden Cross	" 22	316	46 " 48	"	2-3	25 20	634	"
16	Surprise	" 25	319	50 " 52	"	3-4	24 ..	563	"
17	Prosperity	" 23	317	46 " 48	"	3-4	22 ..	623	"
18	Gold Coin	" 21	315	38 " 40	"	3-31	19 20	603	Slightly.
19	Egyptian Amber	" 25	319	40 " 42	"	3-31	19 20	59	Badly.
20	Padi	" 27	321	43 " 45	"	3-31	17 ..	593	"

STUDY OF THE QUALITY OF DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF WHEAT.

Reference was made in last year's report to the fact that the work of testing the quality of different varieties of wheat was being undertaken, and that preliminary tests of most of the valuable sorts of spring wheat had been completed. In view of the great importance of quality in wheat it seemed highly desirable that thorough investigations into this subject should be conducted at this Farm in order both to study existing varieties commonly cultivated, and also to test all the new sorts which might from time to time be produced here, or brought into Canada from other countries.

The purchase of a small roller-process flour mill made by the Allis-Chalmers Company expressly for grinding very small quantities of wheat was therefore approved by the Minister of Agriculture. This mill is now in use, and though the investigations have not, at this date, proceeded very far the great value of the apparatus has already been shown. The mill is provided with two pairs of steel rollers, one pair corrugated and the other smooth. There is also a sifting apparatus supplied with a dozen sieves of different degrees of fineness, from No. 16 wire gauze up to No. 14 bolting cloth.

With such a machine, it is possible to handle, with satisfaction, any quantity of wheat from a few ounces to several pounds, the most convenient amount being about one or two pounds. A good quality of 'straight' flour can easily be produced, sufficiently well purified to enable the experimenter to make satisfactory comparisons between the different varieties of wheat employed. If a more highly purified product is desired it is possible, by taking special care, to obtain 'patent' flour of very high grade.

The flour made by this apparatus is being subjected to chemical and mechanical analysis; and baking tests are also being carried on. The results of this work will be given to the public as soon as possible, with a view to encouraging the sowing (for flour-making purposes) of only those varieties of wheat which will give a product of high quality.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EMMER AND SPELT.

In June of the present year a bulletin was issued on Emmer and Spelt, giving descriptions of the different varieties and some comparisons between these and other cereals in regard to productiveness and chemical composition. It is therefore unnecessary to give such details in this report.

Single Emmer (*Triticum monococcum*) is again at the head of the list this year. Its extreme lateness in ripening is, however, a strong point against it.

Common 'Emmer ('Speltz') has not proved as productive as some other sorts this year.

Two of the varieties reported upon last year have been dropped from the uniform plots, Ufa Emmer because it proved to be identical with Common Emmer, and Black bearded Spelt because of its very coarse hull and rather small yield.

The plots of emmer and spelt were one-fortieth of an acre. The grain was sown on May 3 at the rate of about 120 pounds per acre.

EMMER AND SPELT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Single Emmer.....	Aug. 28	117	35 to 37	Stiff.....	2½ to 3½	3,060	29½	Slightly.
2	Red Emmer.....	" 19	108	46 " 48	".....	3 " 3½	2,760	36	Considerably.
3	White Emmer.....	" 19	108	49 " 51	".....	3 " 3½	2,540	33	"
4	Smooth Spelt.....	" 19	108	47 " 49	".....	5½ " 5¾	2,260	26	Badly. "
5	Red Spelt.....	" 20	109	48 " 50	".....	4 " 4½	2,240	20½	"
6	Common Emmer.....	" 12	101	45 " 47	".....	2 " 2½	2,040	40	Considerably.
7	Thick Emmer.....	" 13	102	45 " 47	".....	2½ " 3	1,980	34½	"
8	White Spelt.....	" 15	104	48 " 50	".....	4½ " 5½	1,740	24	Badly. "
9	White bearded Spelt.....	" 12	101	48 " 50	".....	4½ " 5	1,680	24½	"
10	Long Emmer.....	" 31	120	51 " 53	".....	3½ " 3¾	1,220	22½	Considerably,

OATS.

The varieties of oats added to the experimental plots this season are as follows :—

Daubigny.—This was obtained in commerce in Ontario. It is a white oat with a loose, open head and ripens rather early.

Garton's Abundance.—A white oat with a loose head. Originated by Garton Bros., England. The imported seed was very plump.

Swedish Ligowo.—This is a strain of the well-known Ligowo oat which was obtained from Sweden and is said to be an improvement on the original variety.

Bell.—A black oat obtained from Sweden. The imported seed weighed 40½ lbs. per bushel.

Whiting.—A white oat of about medium size and with a loose head obtained from Sweden. The imported seed weighed 45½ lbs per bushel.

Gold Rain.—A yellow oat of medium size, obtained from Sweden. The imported grain weighed 43 lbs. per bushel. This variety has a rather small, moderately loose head, and ripens early.

Colossal.—A yellow oat with a loose head. Originated by Garton Bros.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Early Angus.—A white oat, obtained from Ireland. This did not give evidence this season of being an early variety.

Tlola.—A black oat from Finland, kindly sent to this Farm by Mr. C. Boije. The seed of this variety was received too late for sowing among the regular plots.

The plots of oats were sown on the 6th of May, all being one-fortieth of an acre except Swedish Ligowo, Bell, Whiting, and Gold Rain, which were one-eighth. The cold weather in May proved unfavourable for the germination of the seed and for the growth of the young plants, especially in the lower parts of the field on which these plots were situated. Later in the season the oats were severely attacked by rust. The yield from the plots has therefore been somewhat irregular and unsatisfactory. In the case of some of the varieties the yield has been estimated from only one-half of the plot, and in the case of Bavarian, Columbus, Dixon, Golden Fleece, Prolific Black Tartarian, Swedish Select and Wallis, it seemed best not to estimate the yield at all, as it would have been quite misleading.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 34 pounds.

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, includ'g Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Lincoln.....	Aug. 13	99	48-50	Medium...	8 - 9	98 8	36½	Badly.
2	Twentieth Century.....	" 11	97	48-50	Weak.....	7½-9	93 18	34½	"
3	Wide Awake.....	" 13	99	50-52	Medium...	8½-9½	89 14	36	"
4	Garton's Abundance.....	" 10	96	48-50	"	8½-9½	89 14	34½	"
5	Überfluss.....	" 11	97	46-48	"	8½-9½	87 22	34	"
6	Virginia White Abundance..	" 10	96	46-48	"	8½-9½	85 30	35	"
7	Milford White*.....	" 13	99	46-48	Weak....	9-10	85 10	33½	"
8	Swedish Ligowo.....	" 10	96	52-54	Stiff.....	7½-9	84 24	39½	"
9	American Triumph.....	" 13	99	45-47	Medium...	7½-8½	80 20	33½	"
10	Mennonite.....	" 10	96	43-45	"	7-8	79 14	33½	"
11	Sensation.....	" 15	101	47-49	"	8½-9	77 2	37	"
12	Bestehorn's Abundance.....	" 10	96	48-50	Stiff.....	8½-9½	77 2	35½	Considerably.
13	Pioneer (black).....	" 15	101	37-39	Medium...	7-8½	76 16	34½	Badly.
14	Anderbecker.....	" 12	98	48-50	Stiff.....	8½-9	75 30	37½	"
15	Hazlett's Seizure.....	" 16	102	45-47	"	9½-10½	74 4	33	"
16	Holstein Prolific.....	" 17	103	40-42	Medium...	8-9	73 18	32	"
17	Kendal Black*.....	" 15	101	47-49	Stiff.....	10-11	72 12	36½	"
18	Early Golden Prolific.....	" 12	98	44-46	"	8-9½	72 12	33½	"
19	White Giant.....	" 16	102	45-47	"	9½-10½	71 6	33	Considerably.
20	Golden Beauty.....	" 13	99	38-40	"	8½-9	71 6	35	Badly.
21	Abundance.....	" 10	96	46-48	"	8½-9½	71 6	35	"
22	Kendal White*.....	" 16	102	46-48	"	8½-9½	70 20	33	"
23	Milford Black*.....	" 15	101	46-48	"	10-11	70 20	36½	"
24	Thousand Dollar.....	" 11	97	46-48	"	7½-8½	70 ..	36½	"
25	Irish Victor.....	" 15	101	44-46	"	8-9	70 ..	35	"
26	Banner.....	" 16	102	45-47	"	8½-9½	69 14	34	"
27	Pease Black*.....	" 16	102	44-46	Medium...	9½-10½	69 14	35½	"
28	Excelsior (black).....	" 11	97	43-45	Stiff....	8-9	69 14	34½	"
29	Atlantic.....	" 9	95	44-46	"	8½-9½	68 28	37	"
30	Golden Giant.....	" 19	105	44-46	"	9-10	67 2	30½	"
31	Great Northern.....	" 13	99	42-44	"	8-9	66 16	33	"
32	American Beauty.....	" 12	98	45-47	Medium...	8½-9½	65 10	34½	"
33	Buckbee's Illinois.....	" 13	99	36-38	Weak....	5½-6½	64 4	36	"
34	Bell (black).....	" 14	100	50-52	Medium...	8-9½	63 18	30½	"
35	Whiting.....	" 10	96	44-46	Stiff.....	8-9	60 ..	36½	"
36	Gold Rain.....	" 9	95	44-46	"	8-9	58 28	38	"
37	Scotch Potato.....	" 17	103	45-47	"	8½-9½	58 28	34½	"
38	Danish Island.....	" 19	105	48-50	"	8½-9	57 2	34½	Considerably.

*Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms are marked with an asterisk.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES—*Concluded.*

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
39	Big Four.....	Aug. 16	102	45-47	Stiff.....	7½-8½	54 24	33	Badly.
40	Goldfinder.....	" 16	102	40-42	".....	8½-9½	54 4	33	"
41	Olive White*.....	" 17	103	45-47	".....	9½-10½	53 18	34	"
42	Black Beauty.....	" 17	103	44-46	Medium.....	8-9	53 18	32	"
43	Improved American.....	" 17	103	42-44	Stiff.....	8½-9½	53 18	34	"
44	Colossal.....	" 13	99	48-50	Medium.....	9½-11	50 20	33	"
45	Olive Black*.....	" 20	106	40-42	Weak.....	8½-9½	47 2	33	"
46	Forbes*.....	" 20	106	44-46	Medium.....	9½-10½	47 2	34½	"
47	Early Angus.....	" 19	105	47-49	Stiff.....	8½-9½	46 16	32	"
48	Pense White*.....	" 16	102	41-43	".....	8½-9½	46 16	35½	"
49	Daubeney.....	" 15	101	44-46	Weak.....	8-9	45 10	32	"
50	Tartar King.....	" 12	98	38-40	Stiff.....	8½-9½	45 10	31½	"
51	Sorgenfrei.....	" 12	98	42-44	Medium.....	7-8	44 4	37	"
52	Welcome.....	" 11	97	44-46	".....	6½-7½	43 18	35½	"
53	Improved Ligowo.....	" 16	102	40-42	".....	6½-7½	42 12	34	"
54	Joanette (black).....	" 20	106	36-38	".....	7½-8½	41 6	32½	"
55	Probstey.....	" 17	103	44-46	Stiff.....	8-9	40 ..	33	"
56	Chinese Naked.....	" 11	97	38-40	".....	5½-6½	32 12	50½	"
57	Golden Tartarian.....	" 20	106	47-49	Medium.....	10½-11½	31 26	29½	"
58	Siberian.....	" 19	105	45-47	Stiff.....	8½-9½	31 6	33	"
59	Waverley.....	" 18	104	45-47	".....	8-9	25 10	32	"
60	Storm King.....	" 19	105	40-42	".....	10-11	20 20	31	"

Most Productive Varieties of Oats.—The most productive varieties of oats at this Farm during the past five years have been White Giant, Mennonite (yellow), Hazlett's Seizure, Holstein Prolific (white and yellow, mixed), Lincoln, Banner and Uberfluss (white and yellow mixed). Columbus (yellow), Golden Beauty (yellow) Golden Giant (yellow), American Triumph, Sensation, Wide Awake and Abundance have also done very well. The most productive black oat during the past five years has been Black Beauty.

Earliest Varieties of Oats.—Taking the average of the returns for the past five years, Tartar King is the earliest variety of oats which has been grown on this Farm for the full period. The following varieties, which have not been grown for the full five years, are also of interest on account of their earliness : Welcome, Daubeney and Gold Rain (yellow).

SIX-ROW BARLEY.

The following varieties were added to the uniform plots this year :—

Escourgeon ('Escourgeon de Printemps,' 'Carrée de Printemps').—This variety was obtained from France.

Black Japan.—Obtained in commerce in Ontario. This barley is distinguished by the fact that its hull is very dark in colour. The kernel itself is rather dark, but not so dark as the hull.

Eclipse.—This is a so-called 'six-row Chevalier' barley originated by Garton Bros., England. It does not resemble the Chevalier type.

Bere.—This is a variety of barley well-known in Great Britain, where it is sometimes referred to as 'four-row' barley. It, however, belongs to the six-row class. The seed for the plot arrived very late and could not be sown with the other varieties. The

date of ripening and the number of days required for maturing are, therefore, not recorded this season.

The plots were all one-fortieth of an acre. The seed was sown on May 5 at the rate of $1\frac{1}{4}$ bushels to the acre. Both the yield and the quality of the grain were satisfactory.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 48 pounds.

SIX-ROW BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head:	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.	Rusted.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush.	Lbs.		
1	Stella*	Aug. 1	88	42-44	Stiff	3-4	58	16	51	Slightly.
2	Nugent*	July 31	87	43-45	"	4-4½	50	..	50½	"
3	Baxter	Aug. 2	89	39-41	"	3-3½	46	32	51	"
4	Yale*	" 1	88	42-44	"	2½-3½	45	20	48	"
5	Escourgeon	" 1	88	40-42	"	2-2½	45	..	54	Considerably.
6	Sisolsk	" 2	89	40-42	"	3½-4½	44	8	48½	Slightly.
7	Common	July 28	84	36-38	"	3-4	43	36	51	"
8	Odessa	" 31	87	36-38	"	4-4½	43	16	49½	"
9	Argyle*	Aug. 4	91	36-38	"	3-3½	43	16	49½	"
10	Summit*	" 1	88	38-40	"	3½-4½	43	16	49½	"
11	Claude*	" 3	90	37-39	"	3½-4½	43	16	50	"
12	Mensury	July 28	84	36-38	"	3-4	42	24	49½	"
13	Black Japan	Aug. 3	90	28-30	"	2-2½	41	32	49½	Considerably
14	Blue Long Head	" 6	93	34-36	Medium	3-3½	41	12	42	"
15	Empire*	" 3	90	36-38	Stiff	3½-4	41	12	48	"
16	Garfield*	" 3	90	39-41	"	3½-4	40	40	49	Slightly.
17	Rennie's Improved	July 28	84	34-36	"	3-3½	40	..	51½	"
18	Bere	"	..	28 30	Weak	3-3½	39	8	45	Badly.
19	Brome*	Aug. 3	90	37-39	Stiff	2½-3½	37	24	51	Slightly.
20	Hulless Black	" 4	91	34-36	Weak	3-3½	37	4	61½	Considerably
21	Oderbruch	" 3	90	38 40	Stiff	3-3½	36	32	50½	Slightly.
22	Albert*	" 2	89	36-38	"	4-4½	36	12	49	"
23	Royal*	" 1	88	30-32	"	3½-3¾	34	8	59	"
24	Norwegian	" 4	91	34-36	Medium	3-4	34	8	47	"
25	Eclipse	" 4	91	35-37	Stiff	3-3½	32	4	52	"
26	Trooper*	July 29	85	28-30	Medium	3-3½	27	4	49½	"
27	Silver King	Aug. 2	89	30-32	Stiff	3½-4½	26	12	48½	"
28	Champion (beardless)	" 3	90	35-37	"	3-3½	22	24	42	"
29	Mansfield*	" 13	100	32 34	Medium	3-3½	17	44	45	Badly.
30	Chinese Hulless	" 7	94	30-32	"	2½-3½	14	28	59½	"

*Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms are marked with an asterisk.

Most Productive Varieties of Six-row Barley.—Taking the average of the returns for the last five years, the varieties of six-row barley found to be the most productive at this Farm are Stella, Blue Long Head, Odessa and Mensury.

Earliest Varieties of Six-row Barley.—The differences in earliness to be observed among the varieties of six-row barley are not very striking. Among the earliest sorts are Mensury, Common, Odessa and Stella.

Beardless Six-row Barley.—The tests carried on at this Farm indicate that Champion is the best variety of beardless barley that has been grown here. It gives, however, rather a small yield. It ripens early.

Hulless Six-row Barley.—The most productive variety of hulless barley which has been tested at this Farm is Hulless Black. This is a bearded sort.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TWO-ROW BARLEY.

Several additional varieties of two-row barley were included in the plots this season.

Swedish Chevalier, Princess, Primus and Hannchen are selected strains of seed from Sweden, kindly supplied to us through the courtesy of the United States Department of Agriculture.

Swan's Neck is another variety received from Sweden.

The seed of all these new sorts was very plump and heavy.

The plots of two-row barley were sown on May 4, the seed being used at the rate of two bushels to the acre. The plots were one-fortieth of an acre.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 48 pounds.

TWO-ROW BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per measured bush after cleaning.	Rusted.
							Inches.	Lbs.		
1	Swedish Chevalier.....	Aug. 4	92	25-27	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	47	24	52 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
2	Swan's Neck.....	" 4	92	32-34	Stiff.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{3}{4}$	46	12	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
3	Canadian Thorpe.....	" 4	92	28-30	".....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	44	8	52	"
4	French Chevalier.....	" 5	93	31-33	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	44	8	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
5	Princess Sialof.....	" 9	97	23-30	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	43	36	51	Slightly.
6	Bestehorn's Kaiser.....	" 7	95	26-28	".....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	43	16	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
7	Primus.....	" 4	92	31-33	Stiff.....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	42	24	52	Slightly.
8	Princess.....	" 6	94	23-30	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	42	4	51	Considerably.
9	Standwell.....	" 7	95	23-30	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	41	32	51	"
10	Gordon*.....	" 3	91	30-32	Stiff.....	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	39	28	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
11	Invincible.....	" 8	96	27-29	Medium..	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	36	32	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
12	Jarvis*.....	" 1	89	40-42	Stiff.....	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	35	40	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
13	Brewer's Favourite.....	" 8	96	27-29	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{3}{4}$	35	40	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
14	Newton.....	" 8	96	26-28	Stiff.....	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	33	36	50	"
15	Danish Chevalier.....	" 4	92	33-35	".....	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	32	44	51	Slightly.
16	Fulton*.....	" 3	91	34-36	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{3}{4}$	32	44	52 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
17	Clifford*.....	" 3	91	34-36	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	32	24	52	Slightly.
18	Hannchen.....	" 2	90	26-28	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	32	24	53 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
19	Fichtel Mountain.....	" 7	95	30-32	Medium..	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	32	4	52	Considerably.
20	Beaver*.....	" 1	89	39-41	Stiff.....	4-4 $\frac{1}{2}$	31	32	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
21	Sidney*.....	" 3	91	31-33	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	30	20	52	Slightly.
22	Harvey*.....	" 6	94	33-35	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{3}{4}$	29	28	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	Considerably.
23	Pelham*.....	" 3	91	33-35	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	28	36	49 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
24	Plumage.....	" 8	96	32-34	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{3}{4}$	28	36	49	Considerably.
25	Maltster.....	" 13	101	25-27	Medium..	2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -3	21	12	52 $\frac{1}{2}$	"
26	Logan*.....	" 10	98	31-33	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	..	49 $\frac{1}{2}$	Badly.
27	Dunham*.....	" 12	100	32-34	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4	15	..	46 $\frac{1}{2}$	"

*Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms are marked with an asterisk.

Most Productive Varieties of Two-row Barley.—Taking the average of the returns for the past five years, the varieties of two-row barley found to be the most productive at this Farm are: French Chevalier, Canadian Thorpe, Beaver and Danish Chevalier.

Earliest Varieties of Two-row Barley.—The earliest among the more productive varieties of two-row barley grown at this Farm are: Beaver, Jarvis and Gordon. These ripen, as a rule, about two days before French Chevalier and Canadian Thorpe.

WINTER SIX-ROW BARLEY.

A variety of six-row winter barley known as Zero, was added to the plots of autumn-sown grain in 1903. This barley was introduced by Garton Bros., England, who claim extreme hardiness for it. A plot of one-eightieth of an acre was sown on the 10th of September, 1903, though the amount of seed on hand was only sufficient for a rather thin sowing. The plot was partly winter killed, but gave a yield at the rate of 41 bushels 32 lbs. per acre. The date of ripening was July 28.

Further tests of the hardiness and productiveness of this barley are being made.

PEASE.

The plots of pease were one-fortieth of an acre each. The seed was sown on the 4th of May at the rate of from two to three bushels per acre, according to the size of the pea. The crop produced this season was larger than the average.

A few of the less productive varieties of peas grown in previous years have been discontinued.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 pounds.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, includ'g Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per measured bushel after cleaning.
				Inches.		Inches.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Mackay*.....	Aug. 13	101	45-50	Strong...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	45	40	62
2	Victoria*.....	" 19	107	55-60	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	45	..	62 $\frac{3}{4}$
3	Golden Vine.....	" 12	100	50-55	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	42	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
4	Prince*.....	" 14	102	55-60	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	42	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
5	Prince Albert.....	" 13	101	60-65	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	41	20	62
6	Archer*.....	" 15	103	45-60	Medium...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	41	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
7	Pictou*.....	" 12	100	40-45	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	41	..	64
8	King*.....	" 13	101	50-55	Strong...	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	39	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
9	Cooper*.....	" 14	102	45-50	Medium...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	39	..	64
10	Nelson*.....	" 11	99	30-35	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	38	40	64
11	Prussian Blue.....	" 14	102	55-60	Strong...	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	38	20	64
12	White Wonder.....	" 9	97	30-35	Medium...	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	37	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
13	Agnes*.....	" 12	100	45-50	Strong...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	37	20	64
14	Kent*.....	" 15	103	43-48	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	36	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
15	Field Gray.....	" 10	98	25-30	Weak.....	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{4}$	36	40	63
16	Wisconsin Blue.....	" 15	103	45-50	Medium...	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	35	20	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
17	German White.....	" 10	98	45-50	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	40	63
18	Daniel O'Rourke.....	" 10	98	35-40	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	34	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
19	Gregory*.....	" 19	107	40-45	Strong...	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	34	..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
20	White Marrowfat.....	" 13	101	40-45	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	33	20	62
21	Canadian Beauty.....	" 13	101	55-60	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	32	..	63
22	Macoun*.....	" 19	107	60-65	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	32	..	63
23	Black-eyed Marrowfat.....	" 13	101	45-50	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	31	..	62
24	Chancellor.....	" 9	97	45-50	Medium...	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	30	..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
25	Arthur*.....	" 11	99	50-55	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	29	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
26	Mummy.....	" 19	107	43-48	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	29	40	63
27	English Gray.....	" 13	101	45-50	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	29	20	62
28	Early Britain.....	" 14	102	40-45	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	27	20	61
29	Pride.....	" 9	97	35-40	".....	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	26	..	62
30	Crown.....	" 10	98	40-45	Strong...	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	26	..	64
31	Duke*.....	" 12	100	40-45	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	25	..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
32	Pearl*.....	" 11	99	45-50	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	23	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
33	Carleton*.....	" 13	101	45-50	".....	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{4}$	22	..	64
34	Paragon*.....	" 8	96	20-25	Weak.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{3}{4}$	21	20	63

*Cross-bred varieties produced at the Experimental Farms are marked with an asterisk.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Most Productive Varieties of Peas.—Taking the average of the returns for the last five years, the varieties of peas found to be the most productive at this Farm are:—Golden Vine, Prussian Blue, Paragon, Cooper, Prince and Kent.

Earliest Varieties of Peas.—Chancellor appears to be the earliest ripening variety. It ripens, as a rule, about 4 or 5 days before Golden Vine and gives a good yield.

SPRING RYE.

One plot of spring rye (one-fortieth acre) was sown on May 3, the seed being used at the rate of one and one-half bushels to the acre. The rye made strong growth and was ripe August 7 (96 days). The straw was stiff, its length (including the head) being 64 to 66 inches. The length of the heads was from $3\frac{3}{4}$ to $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches. The rye was slightly attacked by rust. The yield, expressed in 'bushels' of 56 lbs., was 34 bushels 36 lbs. per acre; and the weight of the grain (after cleaning) was $58\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. to the measured bushel.

WINTER RYE.

Four varieties of winter rye were sown on September 10, 1903. The plots were one-eighth of an acre. The seed was used at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre.

Giant and Emerald were obtained from France, Mammoth White was procured in New York State and Thousandfold in Ontario.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 56 lbs.

WINTER RYE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw, including Head.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Measured Bushel after Cleaning.	Rusted.
				In.		In.	Bush. lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Giant	July 25...	319	63-65	Weak ...	$4\frac{1}{2}$ - $5\frac{1}{4}$	70 40	$56\frac{1}{2}$	No rust.
2	Emerald	" 25...	319	63-65	Medium..	$4\frac{1}{2}$ - $5\frac{1}{4}$	62 48	55	"
3	Mammoth White.....	" 21...	315	60-62	Stiff....	$4\frac{1}{2}$ -5	57 48	$59\frac{1}{2}$	"
4	Thousandfold	" 23...	317	66-68	"	$3\frac{3}{4}$ -4	40 ..	69	"

GRAIN SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON SANDY LOAM.

These experiments were all conducted on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The wheat was sown May 3 and was ripe August 9. The oats were sown May 3 and were ripe August 9. The barley was sown May 3 and was ripe August 2.

The results of the tests in previous years are published, for comparison, along with the figures obtained this year.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Name of Variety.	Quantity Sown per Acre.	Number of Days from Sowing to Harvesting.				Yield per Acre.							
		1901.	1902.	1903.	1904.	1901.		1902.		1903.		1904.	
						Bus.	Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.
Preston Wheat.....	1 bushel..	100	108	108	98	10	20	24	..	15	..	22	20
" ".....	1½ bushels	100	108	108	98	15	..	20	40	14	20	24	20
" ".....	1½ "	100	108	108	98	19	40	15	20	20	40	20	40
" ".....	2 "	100	108	108	98	20	20	10	40	15	20	17	20
" ".....	2½ "	100	108	108	98	21	..	20	40	13	20	17	20
" ".....	3 "	100	108	108	98	19	40	17	20	16	40	26	40
Banner Oats.....	1½ "	96	107	108	98	41	6	60	..	63	18	43	18
" ".....	2 "	96	107	108	98	59	14	45	30	56	16	73	8
" ".....	2½ "	96	107	108	98	57	2	52	32	79	14	75	10
" ".....	3 "	96	107	108	98	43	18	50	20	84	4	77	22
" ".....	3½ "	96	107	108	98	31	26	50	20	88	8	92	12
" ".....	4 "	96	107	108	98	35	10	54	4	67	22	84	4
Mensury Barley.....	1½ "	84	95	105	91	35	35	40	40	61	32	35	40
" ".....	2 "	84	95	105	91	37	19	28	16	60	..	49	8
" ".....	2½ "	84	95	105	91	43	11	27	24	54	28	40	40
" ".....	3 "	84	95	105	91	42	19	37	24	46	12	32	24
" ".....	3½ "	84	95	105	91	39	23	26	32	47	44	41	32
" ".....	4 "	84	95	105	91	43	11	45	..	35	40	52	44

GRAIN SOWN IN DIFFERENT QUANTITIES PER ACRE ON CLAY LOAM

These experiments were all conducted on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The wheat was sown May 5 and was ripe August 8. The oats were sown May 5 and were ripe August 8. The barley was sown May 5 and was ripe July 28.

The results of the tests in previous years are published, for comparison, along with the figures obtained this year.

Name of Variety.	Quantity Sown per Acre.	Number of Days from Sowing to Harvesting.				Yield per Acre.							
		1901.	1902.	1903.	1904.	1901.		1902.		1903.		1904.	
						Bus.	Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.	Bus.	Lbs.
Preston Wheat.....	1 bushel..	97	108	108	95	28	20	24	40	28	40	16	40
" ".....	1½ bushels	97	108	108	95	28	20	24	40	30	..	23	40
" ".....	1½ "	97	108	108	95	29	..	29	20	30	40	25	40
" ".....	2 "	97	108	108	95	26	20	28	..	28	..	22	20
" ".....	2½ "	97	108	108	95	26	20	30	..	29	40	21	20
" ".....	3 "	97	108	108	95	25	..	24	40	28	20	19	20
Banner Oats.....	1½ "	92	111	110	95	58	28	63	18	72	32	64	24
" ".....	2 "	92	111	110	95	65	30	62	12	78	28	63	38
" ".....	2½ "	92	111	110	95	67	2	72	32	74	4	71	6
" ".....	3 "	92	111	110	95	64	24	67	2	80	20	65	10
" ".....	3½ "	92	111	110	95	61	6	70	20	84	24	75	10
" ".....	4 "	92	111	110	95	57	22	67	2	88	28	68	16
Mensury Barley.....	1½ "	83	99	103	84	37	..	64	8	54	28	48	36
" ".....	2 "	83	99	103	84	40	35	70	40	59	28	46	12
" ".....	2½ "	83	99	103	84	44	3	68	16	48	16	52	24
" ".....	3 "	83	99	103	84	45	35	69	8	50	..	56	12
" ".....	3½ "	83	99	103	84	45	35	65	..	50	..	51	32
" ".....	4 "	83	99	103	84	44	3	62	24	58	16	54	8

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

PLOTS OF MIXED GRAIN.

It has been thought well to undertake some experiments in growing mixed grains, especially with a view to determining which varieties should be selected when two or more kinds are being sown together.

In choosing the varieties for these plots the greatest care is exercised to sow together only such sorts as are known to mature in almost the same number of days, so that they may both be ready for cutting at the same time. Only one column is given for the number of days maturing, as in every case the mixtures ripened with great uniformity.

The plots were one-fortieth of an acre, and the seed was sown on May 7, at the rate of one bushel per acre of each variety. In some instances this did not seem to be a large enough quantity of seed. It is therefore proposed to increase the amount next season.

	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Yield per Acre.	Proportions in Crop Harvested.	
			Lbs.		
Wheat and Oats— Preston wheat and White Giant oats.	Aug. 13..	98	2,140	24 per cent wheat and 76 per cent oats.	
Wheat and Two-row Barley— Gehun wheat and French Chevalier barley.....	" 5..	90	1,380	42 " " 58 " barley.	
Wheat and Pease— Huron wheat and Arthur pease. . . .	" 10..	95	1,700	52 " " 48 " pease.	
Oats and Emmer— Banner oats and Common emmer	" 13..	98	2,560	72 " oats 28 " emmer	
Oats and Two-row Barley— Welcome oats and French Chevalier barley.....	" 8..	93	2,180	57 " " 43 " barley.	
Wallace oats and Princess Sialof bar- ley.....	" 10..	95	1,820	60 " " 40 " "	
Oats and Pease— White Giant oats and Chancellor pease	" 11..	97	2,520	30 " " 20 " pease.	
Two-row Barley and Pease— Maltster barley and Paragon pease...	" 14..	99	2,320	53 " barley 41 " "	

SOJA BEANS.

In addition to the Common Soja Bean, experiments were tried this season with a selected strain of Early Yellow Soja Bean kindly supplied by Prof. C. A. Zavitz, of the Ontario Agricultural College. All the plots were sown on May 28 and cut on October 17. The size of the plots was one-fortieth of an acre. None of the beans ripened properly.

Early Yellow Soja Bean.—The beans were sown with a hand seed drill in rows 28 inches apart, and made strong growth, reaching a height of 30 to 33 inches. Total yield of green crop, 4 tons 600 lbs. per acre.

Common Soja Bean.—Two plots of this variety were sown, the beans being put in with different distances between the rows.

Plot 1.—Sown in rows 21 inches apart; growth strong and even, leafy; average height 35 to 38 inches; total yield of green crop, 4 tons 1,200 lbs. per acre.

Plot 2.—Sown in rows 28 inches apart; growth strong and leafy; average height, 35 to 38 inches; stalks considerably stiffer than in Plot 1; total yield of green crop, 4 tons 1,400 lbs. per acre.

HORSE BEANS.

Two plots of one-fortieth acre each were sown on May 28, with the rows at different distances apart. The plots were cut green on October 17. The beans did not ripen.

Plot 1.—Sown in rows 21 inches apart; growth strong, but rather thin; pods fairly numerous; height, 40 to 45 inches; crop all stood up well; total yield of green crop, 3 tons 1,600 lbs. per acre.

Plot 2.—Sown in rows 28 inches apart; growth very strong; pods fairly numerous; height, 40 to 45 inches; crop all stood up well; total yield of green crop, 5 tons 400 lbs. per acre.

FIELD BEANS.

Four plots of field beans were sown this season, in continuation of some experiments which have been carried on at this Farm for several years past, but which have not previously been mentioned in the Annual Report.

The plots were one-fortieth of an acre, and the beans were sown on May 28.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 lbs.

FIELD BEANS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Length of Pod.	Yield per Acre.
				Inches.	Inches.	Bush. Lbs.
1	White Field.....	Sept. 22..	117	23-27	4-4½	46 40
2	Marrowfat.....	" 22..	117	25-30	3½-4	42 40
3	California Pea Bean.....	" 3..	98	15-17	3½-4½	33 20
4	Norwegian Brown.....	Aug. 27..	91	14-16	5-5½	26 40

FLAX.

Uniform test plots of flax, one-fortieth of an acre each, were commenced this season for the purpose of ascertaining the relative productiveness and earliness of the different varieties. The seed of most of the kinds was obtained from France.

The seed was sown on May 28 at the rate of 60 pounds to the acre.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 56 lbs.

FLAX—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Plants.	Weight of Seed per measured bushel.	Yield per Acre.
				Inches.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
1	Yellow Seed.....	Aug. 21..	85	31-33	52½	20 ..
2	Novarossick.....	" 23..	87	28-30	53½	19 10
3	White Flowering.....	" 15..	79	27-29	55½	16 40
4	Riga.....	" 22..	86	35-37	55½	15 10
5	Russian.....	" 11..	75	34-36	54½	12 20
6	Common.....	" 12..	76	31-33	55	12 ..
7	La Plata.....	Sept. 6..	101	26-28	52	11 10

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TURNIPS.

Two sowings were made of each variety, the first on May 17 and the second on May 31. The seed was used at the rate of about four pounds per acre. Before sowing, the land was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller, which flattened the drills nearly one-half, leaving a firm seed bed. When the young plants were about three inches high they were thinned out, leaving them about seven inches apart in the rows.

The roots were pulled on two different dates: October 14 and October 28. The yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 66 feet long.

A good yield was obtained.

In Canada the ton contains 2,000 lbs.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 2nd Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 2nd Pulling.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Magnum Bonum.....	42	150	17	1,310	47	545	25	1,480
2	Kangaroo.....	40	850	17	980	45	255	27	945
3	Hall's Westbury.....	40	272	20	1,827	46	1,060	31	535
4	utton's Champion.....	39	1,860	24	15	41	1,490	26	965
5	Imperial Swede.....	39	45	19	32	44	1,595	26	965
6	Halewood's Bronze Top ..	37	1,817	19	1,765	41	1,985	26	1,625
7	Hartley's Bronze.....	37	1,652	20	1,910	45	1,245	31	1,030
8	Mammoth Clyde.....	36	1,672	16	1,990	39	705	25	1,645
9	Jumbo.....	36	1,342	18	1,207	41	335	23	1,025
10	Emperor Swede.....	36	930	18	1,290	38	890	22	1,870
11	Good Luck.....	36	435	19	1,022	37	1,900	25	1,315
12	Drummond Purple Top.....	35	785	17	1,887	45	915	26	1,625
13	Perfection Swede.....	35	372	18	1,125	41	500	28	1,090
14	Carter's Elephant.....	34	227	20	260	44	1,265	25	1,150
15	Elephant's Master.....	33	1,155	18	135	37	250	24	1,665
16	Skirvings.....	32	1,010	18	630	41	1,325	26	470
17	Selected Purple Top.....	31	1,607	18	217	41	170	25	655
18	East Lothian.....	31	205	15	360	37	1,570	23	530
19	New Century.....	30	885	17	1,970	47	545	28	925
20	Bangholm Selected.....	24	1,830	18	1,207	32	350	26	1,955

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling, was	35	1,255
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling, was	41	1,845
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling, was	18	1,657
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling, was	26	973

MANGELS.

Two sowings were made of each variety, the first on May 17, and the second on May 31. The seed was used at the rate of about six pounds per acre. Before sowing, the land was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to make a firm seed bed. When the young plants were about three inches high they were thinned out, leaving them about seven inches apart in the rows. The roots were pulled on two different dates: October 14 and October 28. The yield has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 66 feet long.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 2nd Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 2nd Pulling.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	37	167	17	815	29	245	29	1,235
2	Half Long Sugar White.....	36	1,507	18	1,785	39	1,035	20	1,745
3	Mammoth Long Red.....	34	1,630	17	897	38	395	19	940
4	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	34	722	17	1,640	33	1,815	18	135
5	Yellow Intermediate.....	32	1,257	16	1,907	32	1,010	16	175
6	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	32	1,175	16	1,495	32	680	20	260
7	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	31	40	16	422	27	1,770	18	1,290
8	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	30	637	17	1,310	29	1,070	18	795
9	Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....	30	142	15	1,762	22	880	16	670
10	Leviathan Long Red.....	29	1,482	14	1,452	34	1,465	20	1,035
11	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	29	80	16	340	24	1,995	15	195
12	Giant Sugar Mangel.....	28	1,090	15	1,185	23	695	16	1,660
12	Giant Yellow Globe.....	27	285	13	1,390	20	920	13	1,885
14	Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	26	1,542	14	957	30	1,050	17	1,805
15	Selected Yellow Globe.....	24	1,665	14	1,287	24	1,665	14	1,370
16	Gate Post.....	24	1,005	16	670	26	470	17	815

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling, was	30	1,277
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling, was	29	823
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling, was	16	582
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling, was	18	754

CARROTS.

Two sowings were made of each variety, the first on May 17, and the second on May 31. The seed was used at the rate of about four pounds per acre. Before sowing, the land was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to make a firm seed bed. When the young plants were about three inches high they were thinned out, leaving them about five inches apart in the rows. The roots were pulled on two different dates: October 14 and October 28. The yield has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 66 feet long.

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 2nd Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 2nd Pulling.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Giant White Vosges.....	24	1,995	21	570	30	1,545	22	1,045
2	Ontario Champion.....	24	840	16	1,165	26	965	24	180
3	New White Intermediate.....	24	592	18	1,785	24	1,335	20	755
4	Mammoth White Intermediate.....	23	200	19	1,600	27	1,110	18	1,785
5	Improved Short White.....	21	1,560	20	1,415	27	1,110	22	1,045
6	Long Yellow Stump Rooted.....	19	1,930	16	1,330	25	325	19	610
7	Carter's Orange Giant.....	19	1,022	17	1,805	22	1,870	21	1,395
8	Half Long Chantenay.....	18	1,950	13	1,225	17	1,310	13	70
9	Early Gem.....	18	300	17	1,805	16	1,495	18	1,290
10	White Belgian.....	17	815	14	710	18	630	13	895

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling, was	21	520
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling, was	23	1,570
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling, was	17	1,541
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling, was	19	907

SUGAR BEETS.

Two sowings were made of each variety, the first on May 17, and the second on May 31. The seed was used at the rate of about six pounds per acre. Before sowing, the land was made up in drills two feet apart and rolled with a heavy land roller to make a firm seed bed. When the young plants were about three inches high they were thinned out, leaving them about five inches apart in the rows. The roots were pulled on two different dates: October 14 and October 23. The yield has been calculated in each case from the weight of roots gathered from two rows, each 66 feet long. Though all the varieties mentioned here are commonly classed as sugar beets, it should be noted that the only ones recommended for use in the manufacture of sugar are Wanzleben, French Very Rich, and Vilmorin's Improved.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 1st Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 1st Sowing, 2nd Pulling.		Yield per Acre from 2nd Sowing, 2nd Pulling.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Red Top Sugar	32	762	18	465	31	700	18	465
2	Royal Giant	31	40	18	135	31	535	18	795
3	Danish Improved	27	532	15	1,680	27	945	17	980
4	Danish Red Top	26	222	14	380	26	1,955	17	1,640
5	Improved Imperial	23	1,272	15	277	29	410	18	1,620
6	Wanzleben	21	982	15	1,432	18	1,785	16	505
7	Vilmorin's Improved	19	1,847	12	585	18	465	11	935
8	French Very Rich	17	1,062	11	110	24	345	16	1,990

	Tons.	Lbs.
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 1st pulling, was..	24	1,840
The average yield from the 1st sowing, 2nd pulling, was..	25	1,892
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 1st pulling, was..	15	133
The average yield from the 2nd sowing, 2nd pulling, was..	16	1,866

INDIAN CORN.

The corn was sown with the seed drill in rows thirty-five inches apart, and was also sown in hills thirty-five inches apart each way. When the plants were about six inches high they were thinned out, leaving them from six to eight inches apart in the rows, and leaving four or five plants in each hill. The seed was sown June 7, and the corn was cut green for ensilage September 16. The yield has been calculated from the weight of crop cut from two rows, each 66 feet long.

For the making of ensilage the corn should be cut when the kernels are in the late milk or doughy stage; but the summer at Ottawa is not always warm enough to bring the later varieties to this state of maturity before it is necessary to cut the crop to avoid frost.

Thoroughbred White Flint was omitted this season, as it was not found possible to obtain seed of this variety in good condition.

In Canada the ton contains 2,000 pounds.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Height.	Leafiness.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre grown in Rows.		Weight per Acre grown in Hills.	
			Inches.			Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Eureka.....	Strong.....	100-105	Very leafy..	Early milk..	26	140	21	550
2	Superior Fodder.....	Very strong.	110-115	" ..	" ..	25	600	23	100
3	Giant Prolific Ensilage.	" ..	105-110	" ..	" ..	24	1,500	22	1,320
4	Salzer's All Gold ..	" ..	100-105	Medium....	" ..	23	420	26	1,680
5	Red Cob Ensilage.....	" ..	105-110	" ..	" ..	21	1,780	23	970
6	White Cap Yellow Dent	Medium....	85- 90	Very leafy..	" ..	21	1,780	23	530
7	Early Butler	Strong.....	90- 95	Leafy	" ..	19	910	17	1,750
8	Mammoth Cuban	Very strong.	100-105	Very leafy..	Late milk..	18	1,730	19	1,380
9	Pride of the North ..	" ..	105-110	Medium....	Early milk..	18	850	20	370
10	Early Mastodon.....	Strong.....	100-105	Leafy	" ..	18	520	14	820
11	North Dakota White..	Medium....	65- 70	" ..	" ..	17	1,530	18	520
12	Cloud's Early Yellow..	Strong.....	95-100	" ..	" ..	17	980	17	870
13	King Philip.....	Medium....	70- 75	Medium....	Late milk..	17	320	19	830
14	Champion White Pearl.	Strong.....	95-100	Leafy	Early milk..	16	1,350	15	140
15	Compton's Early.....	Medium....	75- 80	Medium....	" ..	16	835	19	1,820
16	Longfellow	" ..	65- 70	Leafy	Late milk..	15	1,240	17	1,200
17	Evergreen Sugar.....	" ..	75- 80	Very leafy..	" ..	15	1,240	16	120
18	Angel of Midnight.....	Strong.....	70- 75	Leafy	Early milk..	14	1,590	17	1,209
19	Selected Leaming.....	" ..	100-105	" ..	" ..	12	750	13	290

The average yield from the rows was 19 tons 109 pounds per acre, and from the hills, 19 tons 1,183 pounds per acre; showing an advantage, this season, of 1,074 pounds per acre in favour of the corn grown in rows.

INDIAN CORN SOWN AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES.

Three varieties were chosen for this test : Champion White Pearl, Selected Leaming, and Longfellow. The seed was sown June 7 and the corn was cut for ensilage September 16. Sixteen rows of each variety were sown, that is, four rows at each of the distances mentioned, and the yield per acre has been calculated from the weight of crop obtained from the two inner rows in each case. The length of the portions of the rows cut for weighing was 66 feet.

Name of Variety.	Distance between the Rows.	Character of Growth.	Height when Cut.	Condition when Cut.	Yield per Acre.	
	In.		In.		Tons.	Lbs.
Champion White Pearl..	21	Very strong.	90- 95	Early milk..	22	1,927
" ..	28		105-110		18	660
" ..	35		105-110		19	1,050
" ..	42		105-110		21	488
Selected Leaming.	21	"	95-100	"	22	1,360
" ..	28		100-105		17	686
" ..	35		100-105		20	1,030
" ..	42		100-105		20	1,548
Longfellow.....	21	Medium....	70- 75	Late milk..	17	209
" ..	28		80- 85		16	7
" ..	35		80- 85		14	1,810
" ..	42		80- 85		16	1,652

It will be seen that, in every case, the largest yield was obtained from the rows which were closest together; though the corn in these rows was not so tall as in the others.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FIELD PLOTS OF POTATOES.

As the experimental plots of field roots and fodder corn do not occupy the whole of the field in which they are placed, it is usual to fill the remaining space with potatoes, such varieties being grown as are likely to be of service in the annual distribution of samples from this Farm.

The area devoted to the different varieties varies considerably.

The potatoes were planted May 28 and dug October 8. A satisfactory crop was obtained. A certain amount of rot was noticed, the varieties chiefly affected being Carman No. 1, Uncle Sam, Bovee and Canadian Beauty.

The yield per acre is expressed in 'bushels' of 60 lbs.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.	
		Bush.	Lbs.
1	Dr. Maercher.....	435	9
2	Burnaby Mammoth.....	421	25
3	Country Gentleman.....	408	..
4	Carman No. 1.....	372	8
5	Late Puritan.....	342	..
6	American Wonder.....	340	48
7	Uncle Sam.....	324	33
8	Swiss Snow-Flake.....	314	24
9	Money Maker.....	309	34
10	Reeve's Rose.....	309	24
11	Early White Prize.....	289	..
12	State of Maine.....	261	51
13	Bovee.....	246	5
14	Canadian Beauty.....	220	2
15	Dreer's Standard.....	210	35
16	Everett.....	202	8
17	Early Andes.....	194	20
18	Maule's Thoroughbred.....	191	24
19	Penn Manor.....	183	25
20	Vick's Extra Early.....	125	..

REPORT OF THE POULTRY MANAGER

(A. G. GILBERT.)

OTTAWA, December 1, 1904.

To DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the pleasure of submitting to you herewith the seventeenth annual report of the Poultry Department of the Central Experimental Farm.

The work of the past year has been marked by important features and results, principally confirmatory of experimental research, began some years ago. New lines of investigation and experiment have been undertaken, in the prosecution of which it is hoped to secure much useful and instructive data. Some of the subjects discussed in this report are :—

1. Advanced phases of poultry keeping.
2. Some features of the egg and poultry markets.
3. Reasons for the high price of strictly new laid eggs in summer.
4. Effects of early moulting on the summer egg supply.
5. Delay in the resumption of egg laying after the hens have moulted.
6. Early pullets required for fall layers.
7. Are fowls as good layers one season as another ?
8. Some reasons why pullets should be kept longer than one year.

The experimental work proper of the year is described in detail, and includes among other matters :—

The treatment of the laying stock last fall so as to have them to go into winter quarters in proper condition.

Effects of various rations on groups of fowls of different ages.

Artificial and natural incubation and results.

Continued investigation into the cause or causes of so many weak germs in eggs laid in early spring by hens which were kept in warm houses and fed for egg production. Particulars are given in a number of tables.

Results of experiments to show how long after removal of the male bird from the breeding pen fertilization of the egg remains strong enough to hatch a strong chicken. The outside limit so far appears to be five days.

An important location of tuberculosis in fowls sent from British Columbia. The result of a *post mortem* examination by Dr. Higgins of the veterinary laboratory.

During the summer a poultry house, consisting of two divisions of 10 feet by 8, with scratching shed attachment 10 by 11 was erected. It is arranged and fitted according to the most approved and up-to-date designs. In the use of this house, which contains 25 pullets in each division, much valuable experience is anticipated.

On the morning of April 8 last, fire was discovered in the centre office of the main poultry building. It was fortunately extinguished before it had made serious headway, but not before 35 birds in adjoining pens had been suffocated; 75 early chickens were also burned to death, and one thousand eggs, set apart for incubator use were destroyed. This mishap caused delay in getting out early chickens and in the sending out of eggs for hatching purposes.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

I have much pleasure in testifying to the ability and zeal displayed by my assistant manager, Mr. Fortier. His skill in the manipulation of the breeding stock and his care and success in the operation of the incubators and brooders were most marked. As a result of the latter, many details of value are embraced in this report.

Mr. George Deavey, I am happy to say, has shown interest and displayed energy in the discharge of his duties, with which, from long experience, he is now so well acquainted.

There were erected during this season, in addition to the poultry house mentioned, a temporary incubator room; colony houses of different sizes and design; brooders for incubators; trap nests, &c., &c. Two incubators of different patterns were also procured.

During the year addresses were delivered by the writer at Meaford, Winnipeg and at different points in Cape Breton, N.S.

Mr. Fortier delivered 43 addresses at meetings held throughout the province of Quebec during the months of January and February last. In March he attended a poultry show at St. Jerome, and another in August at Ayer's Flat. In August and September he attended poultry exhibits at Sherbrooke, Richmond and Ottawa. In the latter case the exhibit was made, during the month of September, at the annual fall show of the Central Canada Association, and consisted of an unusually attractive and instructive display, which elicited much appreciative comment.

Inquiry, both by letter and person during the year, in relation to all branches of poultry-keeping was greater than ever. It may be taken as a fair instance of the gratifying development that is rapidly taking place in the poultry branch of farm work, and which our experimental work is so well calculated to advance.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

A. G. GILBERT.

Before giving an account of the work of the past year it may be interesting and profitable to discuss certain features of poultry development which have made themselves evident during that period. It is gratifying to note that the poultry branch of farm work continues to make steady and satisfactory progress. Where fowls of the utility types are kept progress has been most rapid, and as a sequence of proper fowls and their good management results are satisfactory, because remunerative. Perhaps in no previous year has development in the more advanced phases of poultry-keeping been more apparent, more discussed and more inquired into than in the past twelve months. It may be asked what is meant by advanced phases of poultry-keeping?

ADVANCED PHASES OF POULTRY-KEEPING.

By advanced phases of poultry-keeping are meant the thorough understanding of and putting into practice such methods of up-to-date management as experience has shown to be best calculated to enable producers to fill the requirements of the different markets of to-day to their greatest profit. A thorough and practical appreciation, then, of latest methods of management, as well as of the requirements of the market, is very necessary to success. Producers should realize that methods of poultry-keeping change from time to time, as do the requirements of the markets, and always in the way of advancement. It is to the advantage of producers to study the different features of the markets they are catering to. The city markets of to-day differ from those of even three and four years ago. There is an ever increasing call, from both

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

home and abroad, for better things and more of them. The most suitable product receives the highest value.

SOME FEATURES OF THE EGG AND POULTRY MARKET.

The markets of to-day may be described as follows :—

1.—A winter market with a growing demand for strictly new laid eggs for which high prices are paid more readily than heretofore. An article of guaranteed freshness, however, is required. A farmer's wife in the neighbourhood of the city writes on the 10th of November last (1904) 'that Mr. H. Gatehouse, poultry and game dealer, 806 Dorchester street, Montreal, has written offering me 40 cents per dozen for new laid eggs, but, they must not be more than 4 days old. His former limit was 10 days.' This shows a more exacting demand. It illustrates the trend of the market.

2.—A summer market imperatively calling for strictly new laid eggs with unimpaired flavour. They must also be of inviting appearance. The well-known firm of purveyors, Messrs. Bate & Son, Sparks street, Ottawa, paid as high, during midsummer last, as 25 cents per dozen to those from whom they could get eggs guaranteed strictly new laid. A member of the firm explained to the writer that these eggs were for customers 'who would take no other kind.' He added, 'and I will give now (August) 25 cents per dozen for such guaranteed strictly new laid eggs.'

3.—AN EARLY SUMMER AND LATER MONTHS MARKET for chickens of good size, correct type and in good condition, for which fairly remunerative prices are paid. The demand by the purchasing houses of Toronto heretofore has been for early $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 months of age chickens for export. As to whether it will pay best to kill and dress those chickens for sale on a local or near city market, or to sell them alive, is a feature of the business requiring careful study. So far results go to show that, if the chicks are early and of requisite type and condition, it is best to sell them alive to one of the large purchasing firms for export. Mrs. Joseph Yuill writes 'that last spring she sold her first lot of early hatched chickens to the Canadian Produce Company of Toronto at 20 cents per lb. live weight.' But she must have had exceptional facilities for rearing the chickens at such an early season. It is to be remembered that these early chickens cannot be had except by artificial means, as pointed out in reports of previous years.

A STRIKING FEATURE.

The new and striking feature of the above situation is the enhanced price paid for guaranteed strictly new laid eggs in the summer months, and the effect it may have on the raising of chickens. It is in the summer months that chickens are hatched on the great majority of farms. The question occurs if the eggs are consumed where are the chickens to come from ?

The reasonable conclusion is that whatever branch of the business pays the producer best is the one he is most likely to prosecute. Apart from the inducement offered by the high prices of last summer, it does seem as if the production of eggs during the summer would commend itself to the farmer, at any rate, as it is likely to be attended with the least trouble to him at a time of year when he is busiest. Looking at egg production by the farmer in winter the following is taken from departmental report of last year (1903) p. 245 : 'Observation has shown that there is a greater likelihood of a larger and more immediate supply of new laid eggs in winter from the farm, than of the superior quality of market poultry in later months. For the reason that so many farmers have more time in winter to care for their laying stock (and which attention is absolutely necessary) than they have in spring and early summer to devote to the hatching and rearing of chickens.' So it would seem that from both summer and winter standpoints the production of eggs is likely to be attended with the least difficulty to the farmer. We have also a skilled poultry authority, Mr. Boyer,

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

giving the following advice to an inquirer in a recent number of the *American Poultry Journal* to 'confine himself to the production of eggs as being the most profitable.' It is not likely, however, that a dearth of chickens will immediately follow, and it is quite possible that the high prices of summer and autumn eggs of the past two seasons may not be permanent. But it is a significant phase of the situation and one that the student of events is bound to take cognizance of.

WHY SUMMER EGGS HAVE BEEN SO HIGH.

It is an interesting phase and remarkable instance of the rapidity with which poultry keeping is taking place to find summer egg prices which have usually been 10 and 12 cents per dozen, attaining such values as 18, 20 and 25 cents for the same number. Eggs of the cheaper varieties were certainly to be had at the same time, but the increasing demand was for the better article. To the oft-repeated query, 'Why should new-laid eggs be so high at this season?' the reply was almost invariably given by the dealers, 'Because they are hard to get,' which was doubtless true, but it is not the only reason.

A more likely one is that consumers of the better class have found out, or, are being fast educated to the great difference there is between the clean looking, new-laid egg, with the delicious flavour it should always have, and the comparatively stale article. It is fast being realized that flavour and appearance can only come from carefully-fed and cleanly-kept fowls. Certainly in both appearance and flavour are the first quality eggs preferable to those laid by hens which have access to filthy substances, dirty water, &c., and deposit their eggs in unclean and ill-smelling nests.

Another reason may be that the more exacting demand for such carefully-selected eggs has resulted in city dealers buying from only reliable persons, who can be depended upon to send only what is wanted. These producers must be near the city market, or railway shipping point. A new laid egg stales quickly and shipments must necessarily be made frequently and in small quantities, in order to permit of the choice article being placed, as fast as possible, in the hands of the consumer. And the wideawake city purveyor finds out the number of hens the producer has, for he knows that no one with a few hens can save up eggs to make a large shipment without having the greater number of them in a stale condition. It is all important then that the producer should realize the value of and be guided by the following points:—

1. An egg, as soon—after it is laid—as possible should find its way to the consumer.
2. After being taken from the nest, the egg should be kept in a cool, sweet-smelling cellar or cupboard, and the flavour so preserved from contamination.
3. The nests in which the eggs are laid should be clean and free from odours.
4. The food of the fowls should be pure and wholesome.
5. It should be a strict rule to have no male bird with the hens which lay eggs for market. The eggs will so be unfertilized, which is desirable.
6. For breeding purposes in spring time select a suitable number of the best-shaped, best-laying and largest hens, and mate with them a male bird of good type and undoubted worth. These should be kept in separate quarters. When all the eggs desired for hatching purposes have been secured, the male bird should be disposed of and the hens kept in the breeding pen for two weeks longer before being allowed to run with the others. The above plan will do away with the necessity of having several male birds running promiscuously with the laying-hens in order 'to have eggs for hatching.'

THE MOULTING SEASON.

Another cause which to a certain extent may be affecting the usual summer supply of eggs, is the practice, becoming rapidly more common, of having fowls moult in

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

July, August or September. And in this connection there is another striking instance of rapid development in improved methods. Hardly had summer moulting been shown to be possible and comparatively easy—in the months named—than we had efforts more or less successfully made to shorten the period. The moulting season is one of non-production, during which moulting hens do not lay. It is advisable then to have the season of non-production at a period at which eggs, heretofore, have been at their lowest value, viz., summer. It is also necessary to have hens moult in summer in order to have eggs in winter. It has been a common practice in past years among farmers, and the practice is yet too frequent, to have their hens lay well in spring time, summer and fall, and moult during winter, the period of high prices. With the adoption of the method of having their hens moult in summer may, possibly, come a reduced production of eggs at that season and likely an increased output in winter. In report of last year, while referring to the subject, it was remarked 'that an increased winter supply of eggs and a less number in summer might result in the evening up of prices.' The trend of the markets to-day is towards a much higher summer value. The effect on the winter market of the past two years was not noticeable. Prices were rather higher last winter than ever before.

EFFORTS TO SHORTEN THE MOULTING PERIOD.

The moulting period usually occupies a period of 10 to 12 weeks, extending from end of July to end of September. The proprietor of a large poultry plant in the United States, and who was among the first to practice early moulting, claims to secure satisfactory results in 8 to 10 weeks. His method is to put his fowls at the beginning of July on quarter rations for ten or twelve days, meanwhile, keeping them in limited runs. At the end of this time the fowls are allowed full range and their rations increased to usual quantity. Cut bone, or, boiled livers, &c., &c., are fed, in liberal quantity two or three times per week.

A correspondent, in Nova Scotia, thought that with a diet of boiled and crushed beefheads, grain, grit, a free run, and access to grass, or, vegetables, 6 weeks should be the outside limit of the moulting period.

But developments take place quickly and we now have Mr. James Shackleton in his book on 'System in Poultry Keeping,' making the statement that it is unnecessary that hens should stop laying in order to moult. He says: 'Control of season and duration of moult are possible * * * * Perfect health and condition of fowls, freedom from damp and dirt in houses and absence of lice are essential to any control of moulting.' In a following page will be found full information as to care and treatment of the birds so as to bring on and expedite the moulting period.

DELAY IN RESUMPTION OF WINTER LAYING AFTER MOULTING.

Another interesting phase of poultry keeping which, in connection with summer moulting, has made itself apparent in recent years is delay in the resumption of egg laying after moulting. There seems to be an unnecessary and certainly unprofitable delay in the resumption of laying after the hens have moulted and are seemingly in the very best condition. This delay has also been noticed in early pullets, which show every indication of laying, but do not. A cause for this state of affairs is now engaging the attention of the best authorities on winter egg laying. In relation to the subject, the following quotation from an editorial in 'Farm Poultry' of November 1 last, will be read with interest:—'Soon after November 1 letters will begin to come to us from all quarters and the burden of the refrain of all will be, "Why don't my hens lay?" Each writer will tell how well developed his pullets are, how they have for some time looked as if they ought to lay, how well they are housed, fed and cared for, and how perversely, in spite of all the conditions being right, nature refuses to compel the pullets to produce the proofs of that fact.' Perhaps this delay in

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

the resumption of winter laying has been more marked in the present season than in any previous one. While there are doubtless causes, yet not apparent, close observation has shown that any of the following too common practices, is deterrant to early winter laying, viz.:—

1. In the case of pullets, neglect in care or feeding which has resulted in their becoming immature.
2. Moving hens or pullets from place to place when winter egg laying is expected. Put the birds into their winter quarters and let them remain in them, undisturbed. A run from pen to limited outside run is beneficial.
3. Overcrowding after being put into winter quarters. This applies to both hens and pullets and is more generally practised than is imagined.
4. Unnecessary exposure of pullets or newly moulted hens to cold fall rains, or, damp quarters.
5. Placing birds, suffering from colds, in laying pens instead of hospital. Neglected colds generally end in roup.
6. Lice infested fowls which, usually, is synonymous with filthy quarters.
7. Pullets from constitutionally weak, poor egg laying, or slow maturing strains of fowls.
8. A mistaken notion of economy which leads to the feeding—to growing pullets—of oats (very often of poor quality) instead of wheat, buckwheat or corn.
9. Hens improperly fed during their moult or allowed to hatch chickens late in the season.
10. Hens which have become overfat from being overfed during, or, soon after moulting.

EARLY HATCHED PULLETS TO THE RESCUE.

For the scarcity of eggs during the months of September, October and early part of November, and which has already been commented on, the practical remedy seems to be early-hatched pullets. In order to have pullets laying in these months they would require to be hatched out in April and early May at the very latest. Farmers should certainly have no difficulty in having them at that time. Experience has shown that to have pullets laying in July or August would necessitate their being hatched in January or February, and by artificial means, for it would be almost impossible to get broody hens at that season. For this reason, pullets so hatched are not likely—for some time to come, at least—to be as numerous as those later hatched. Records of our department show the following dates at which early artificially-hatched and reared pullets began to lay :—

1. Brown Leghorn pullet, first egg in July 17, when 4 months and 20 days old.
2. Two White Plymouth Rock pullets, first eggs on July 28, when 5 months of age.
3. A Cross-bred pullet, on July 28, when 5 months old.
4. A W. P. Rock pullet, on August 1, when 5 months and 3 days old.

On another occasion several Barred P. Rock pullets hatched on March 26, began laying when 5 months of age, which would be at the end of August.

April and early May pullets laid at different dates in late September and October. Some of these were hatched by hens and others by incubator.

WHAT EXPERIENCE HAS SHOWN RELATIVE TO EARLY PULLETS.

Experience in connection with the hatching of pullets, intended for early layers, leads to the following conclusions :—

Pullets to prove early layers should come from hens which have shown themselves to be early and prolific layers.



(By Frank T. Shutt.)

EXPERIMENTAL FARM NEW POULTRY HOUSE, WITH SHED ATTACHMENT,
SHOWING WINDOWS *closed* DURING HEAVY SNOW STORMS, AT NIGHT, OR ON VERY COLD DAYS.
WINDOWS FACE SOUTH.



(By Frank T. Shutt.)

SAME POULTRY HOUSE, SHOWING WINDOWS OF SCRATCHING SHED *open* ON FINE BRIGHT DAYS.
THROUGH WINDOWS CLOSED OR OPEN SUNSHINE HAS EASY ACCESS TO INTERIOR.
WIRE NETTING IN FRONT OF WINDOW.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

To make fall layers, pullets should not be hatched out later than second week in May. Pullets should be gently pushed from time of hatching.

Early-hatched pullets should not be fed too much stimulating food, or they will begin to moult instead of laying.

Pullets intended for early layers should have a separate run and not be crowded. Some strains mature much more quickly than others. This applies to all varieties.

Where eggs only are desired, a pullet from one of the Leghorn, Andalusian, Minorca or Hamburg breeds will be found to make rapid maturity.

Where early egg-laying and flesh development are required, one of the Plymouth Rock, Wyandotte, Orpington, Dorking or Faverolle varieties will be found suitable.

Every effort should be made to hatch chickens from none but the best strains, *i.e.*, the most prolific egg-layers and best market types. This may entail some extra trouble, but it is necessary to ensure the beneficial results, almost, sure to follow.

ARE FOWLS AS GOOD LAYERS ONE SEASON AS ANOTHER ?

This is a question of great import. It is an interesting feature of poultry-keeping worth inquiring into. Records of egg-laying by pullets and hens in our department, extending over eight years, go to show that pullets which laid well during their first winter did not make as good layers the next, when hens. It was also shown that pullets which were poor layers during their first winter season did remarkably well when hens the next one. If the experience in the first instance was not offset by that of the second, it would go far to warrant the practice, on the part of many poultry keepers of holding their pullets for only one year and then disposing of them. Doubtless it will take the results of several years, yet to come, to confirm or modify the experience already noted, but meanwhile it is a phase of modern poultry-keeping worthy of remark as having made itself conspicuous on more than one occasion.

REASONS WHY FOWLS SHOULD BE KEPT LONGER THAN THEIR FIRST YEAR.

While the practice of keeping pullets for only one year has many advocates and some good features, experience has led to the conclusion that its general adoption is not advisable in the poultry interests of the country, for the following reasons, *viz.* :—

Pullets, as a rule, do not lay as large eggs as they do when they are hens.

The larger egg of the hen receives the better price and is preferred by city dealers.

Hens are preferable for breeding stock, for a pullet is admittedly an immature fowl. Writing recently on this subject, an eminent breeder strongly advises, 'that the breeding pen should always be composed of two-year-old hens of undoubted merit.'

At twelve months of age a fowl is not old enough to prove her worth as an egg-layer, or as being of suitable market type.

EXPERIMENTAL WORK OF THE YEAR.

Preparation for winter work began (as it should do in every case) in the fall. By the end of September last a number of the laying stock were well over their moult; a month later found them all in new feather and good condition. As in previous years care was taken to avoid getting these prospective winter layers in an overfat condition, which, through a desire to hasten winter laying by too heavy feeding, is often done. As noted in a previous page there is apt to be a tantalizing delay from the time the layers complete their moult until they recommence laying. It is likely, as a result of the improved methods now in vogue, that this interregnum will be shortened, and in the near future.

On November 10 the cold weather set in and the fowls went into their winter quarters. The different breeds were culled of undesirable specimens and were arranged in the pens of the different poultry houses as shown on page 255 of report of last year, 1903. As far as possible the pullets and older hens were placed in separate

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

buildings in order to permit of a correct egg record being kept. When arranged according to varieties, or, breeds the fowls presented a healthy and pleasing appearance, the result doubtless of their having the benefit of outside run until closed in.

THE INTRODUCTION OF SUPERIOR BREEDING STOCK.

On December 15 several new males and females of superior quality and appearance were added to those already in stock. The male birds which had been purchased at the Guelph Fat Stock Show, held in the beginning of the month, were exceptionally fine breeding stock and as they were mated with selected females, their progeny were unusually good. Those persons who purchased eggs from the hens of these matings last spring, could not have failed to be pleased at results, where good hatches were secured.

WHEN THE PULLETS BEGAN TO LAY.

The pullets of the different varieties began to lay as follows:—

White Wyandotte pullet, November 8.

Buff Orpington pullet, November 11.

Jubilee Orpington pullet, November 11.

Silver Grey Dorking pullet, November 12.

Cross-bred pullet, November 20.

Barred P. Rock pullet, November 26.

FIRST HENS TO LAY AFTER MOULTING.

The following hens were the first to resume laying after moulting:—

White P. Rock hen on November 6.

Rhode Island Red hen on November 7.

Barred P. Rock hen on November 9.

White Wyandotte hen on November 11.

Buff P. Rock hen on November 11.

By the middle of the month (December) winter laying had become general. The weather was unusually cold and during the holiday season—at the end of the month—the demand for new laid eggs was very great with a rather limited supply, probably due to the early and continued severity of the weather.

EXPERIMENTAL RATIONS AND THEIR EFFECT.

In order to ascertain their worth as winter egg producers and their effect on the health of the fowls, a number of simple and cheap rations, such as could easily be procured on the farms of the country, were made up and fed to groups of birds of different ages in manner, quantity and frequency, as follows:—

Pen No. 1 was composed of 10 Barred Plymouth Rock hens of one, two and three years of age. Their rations were:—

A.M. ration— $\frac{3}{4}$ lb. of grain— $\frac{1}{2}$ wheat, $\frac{1}{2}$ oats.

Noon ration—1 lb. of mash, composed of $\frac{1}{3}$ shorts; $\frac{1}{3}$ ground oats; $\frac{1}{3}$ gluten meal.

P.M. ration—Same as morning.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The result in eggs during the months named was as follows :—

1903.	
November.....	19
December.....	37
1904.	
January.....	54
February.....	25
March.....	82
April, up to 7th instant, inclusive.....	19
	<hr/>
	236
	<hr/>

A fire which occurred in the main poultry building on the morning of April 8 necessitated the immediate liberation of the birds and they became for the time-being unavoidably mixed. This mishap prevented the continuation of the test beyond the date given. The experiments have been resumed this season under similar conditions.

Pen No. 2, composed of 10 one, two and three-year old Barred Plymouth Rock hens were fed, as follows :—

A.M. ration—10 ozs. of grain, of which $\frac{1}{2}$ was oats and $\frac{3}{2}$ wheat.

Noon ration—3 days of the week 10 ozs. of mash of same composition as in No. 1 pen. Remaining 4 days, 10 ozs. of cut bone in lieu of mash.

P.M. ration—Same as morning ration.

Result in eggs was :—

1903.	
November	10
December	45
1904.	
January	65
February	37
March	98
April, up to 7th instant, included	26
	<hr/>
	284
	<hr/>

Pen No. 3 contained 10 White Plymouth Rock hens one and two years of age. Their food was :—

A.M. ration— $\frac{3}{4}$ of a lb. of wheat.

Noon ration— $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. cut bone and 2 lbs. beets on alternate days.

P.M. ration— $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. wheat.

Number of eggs laid :—

1903.	
November	25
December	31

1904.	
January	32
February	21
March	62
April, up to 7th instant, inclusive.....	26
	<hr/>
	197
	<hr/>

Pen No. 29 was composed of 9 pure-bred hens of different varieties. Their rations numbered only two per day and were :—

A.M. ration— $\frac{3}{4}$ lb. of grain, viz., $\frac{2}{3}$ wheat and $\frac{1}{3}$ oats.

P.M. ration— “ “ “ “

Every day 1 lb. of beets.

Number of eggs laid :—

1903.	
November	2
December.....	41
	<hr/>
1904.	
January	33
February	30
March	82
April, up to 7th instant, inclusive	5
	<hr/>
	193
	<hr/>

Pen No. 30, composed of 9 pure-bred pullets of different varieties. Their rations were two per day, viz. :—

A.M. ration— $\frac{3}{4}$ lb. grain, composed of $\frac{2}{3}$ oats and $\frac{1}{3}$ wheat.

P.M. ration— “ “ “ “

1 lb. mangels every day

Result in eggs was :—

1903.	
December	29
	<hr/>
1904.	
January	28
February	19
March	61
April, up to 7th instant, inclusive	19
	<hr/>
	156
	<hr/>

Pen No. 31, contained 9 pullets of Barred P. Rock and Brown Leghorn cross. Their rations were fed twice per day :—

A.M. ration— $\frac{3}{4}$ lb. grain, composed of $\frac{2}{3}$ oats and $\frac{1}{3}$ wheat.

P.M. ration— “ “ “ “

1 lb. of roots every day.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Number of eggs laid were :—

	1903.	
November	12	
December	64	
	1904.	
January	107	
February	50	
March	90	
April, to 7th instant, inclusive	18	
	<hr/>	
	341	
	<hr/>	

CONTINUED INVESTIGATION INTO CAUSES OF WEAK GERMS IN EARLY SPRING EGGS.

For several years past experiments have been conducted with the object of ascertaining the cause of so many weak germs in eggs laid in early spring. The weak germs directly affect the profitable hatching and rearing of early chickens. It is, therefore, important to discover the cause, or causes, and remedy, if possible. The fowls under observation were in two groups and kept under the following conditions :—

GROUP 1.—Hens were kept in artificially warmed compartments.

They had laid fairly well from early December.

They had been gently stimulated to lay by generous feeding.

They were in numbers of 10 to 15 in pens, each 8 x 14 feet dimensions.

They were confined to these pens from early winter until spring weather permitted their getting to outside runs.

Results noted were :—

That the germs of the eggs from these hens were so weak as to die in large numbers in progress of incubation. Chickens when hatched were weak.

That the germs remained weak until the hens had opportunity, in spring, to get to outside runs and recuperate.

That the germs apparently became strong about the middle of April, and when set at, or, after that time, gave good results. See reports of previous years.

GROUP 2.—The hens in this group were in cold quarters, which were two rough divisions of a shed. Into this shed there was opportunity for limited run.

Eggs from these hens were collected soon after being laid, or they would have been frozen.

The hens were heavily fed and laid exceedingly well.

The germs of the eggs laid by these hens, in early spring, were strong and hatched 9 and 10 chickens per setting of 13 eggs. The chickens grew well.

The hens were mated with vigorous cockerels.

Results were considered in favour of fresh air and plenty of it even if it was cold.

Similar experience on the part of farmers and poultry-keepers has led to the more general adoption of the poultry-house with scratching shed attachment. Illustrations and descriptions of poultry-houses so constructed, are shown in reports of poultry department for 1902 and 1903. In these reports will also be found details of the experimental work carried on, up to that time, in connection with the germination of eggs laid in early spring.

INCREASED OPPORTUNITY FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATION.

In order to permit of further examination into this important phase of poultry-keeping, a poultry-house of moderate dimensions with scratching room attachment and arranged and fitted in the latest and most approved methods, was erected during

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

the past summer in close proximity to our main poultry building. A brief description of this poultry house is as follows:—

Size of building, including scratching sheds, outside measurement, 12 x 40 feet. Size of roosting rooms, inside measurement, 8 x 9'6 feet. Size of scratching sheds, inside measurement, 11 x 9'6 feet.

The walls of the building are of 2 x 3-inch studding, covered with rough boards and matched lumber with tarred paper between and battens on joints. The roosting rooms, inside walls and ceilings are sheathed with rough lumber. The partitions between roosting rooms and scratching sheds are also sheathed with two-ply rough boards with tarred paper between.

The floors of the roosting rooms, one scratching shed and passageway are of concrete. The floor of the remaining scratching shed is of sand placed on a foundation of twelve inches of rough stones. The building is painted on the outside and in the passageway inside with two coats of paint; on the other parts inside are two coats of whitewash.

A building of similar size and calculated to give almost equally good results could be constructed of rough lumber, and a floor of rough boards or earth take the place of the concrete. Whitewash could also be used on the outside in lieu of paint. The estimated cost of such a building would be about \$2.75 per running foot, the lumber being calculated at \$15 per thousand and shingles at \$3 per thousand.

ARTIFICIAL AND NATURAL INCUBATION—HATCHING CHICKENS AT DIFFERENT SEASONS AND RESULTS.

The work of examination into the strength of germs in eggs laid early in spring was continued last season. During the winter the male birds had been placed with the hens in Nos. 1 and 3 houses.

On February 20 last, the first incubator was filled. In previous years hens were mainly used as hatching and rearing mediums, but last season artificial hatching and brooding were generally adopted. With the object of comparison as hatching mediums a certain number of hens were used. Experience of past years has clearly shown that where mid-winter or early spring experimental work is carried on in the testing of the fertility and strength of the germs of eggs, or, hatching of chickens, artificial means are indispensable for hens as hatching mediums are impossible to be obtained in requisite numbers at that season.

When the hatching and rearing of broilers for the spring market is carried on as it is by many establishments, operations generally begin early in December or January. In such cases incubator room and brooding house or houses are imperative means to an end. In the following details of the operating of incubators of various patterns at different times and conditions, much that is interesting and instructive may be learned. To the beginner the results shown from the cooling of the eggs at shorter or longer periods according to the season; the number of times and regularity with which the eggs were turned; ventilation of the incubator; supply or non-supply of moisture; temperature of operating room (which was not well adapted for the purpose) and of the incubators and other details, cannot fail to be useful, because so much inquired about. It was not intended to have a competition of incubators of different designs, for in operation of them, our own methods of manipulation were adopted and were largely experimental. The different tests and results are given in the following tables:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

No. 1 TEST.—PRAIRIE STATE INCUBATOR. HOT AIR.

Filled on February 20, 1904, with eggs in quality and kind as follows:—

Description of Eggs.	No. of Eggs.	Clear Eggs 1st Test.	Dead Germs 16th day.	Chicks dead in Shell.	Chickens Hatched.
Silver Laced Wyandottes.....	20	3	7	2	8
Buff Orpingtons.....	14	3	8	3	0
Silver Grey Dorkings.....	14	2	8	0	4
Faverolle.....	12	2	3	4	3
White Wyandottes.....	9	2	7	0	0
Barred P. Rock (No. 2 pen).....	8	0	3	3	2
Black Hamburg.....	7	3	4	0	0
White Plymouth Rock.....	6	0	0	0	6
Total	90	15	40	12	23

Birds had all the same care and feeding.

Incubator was operated in the office, the atmosphere of which was very dry.

Variation of temperature in room during hatch was from 25 to 30 degrees.

No moisture was used in either machine or room.

Time of cooling the eggs was:—

1st week 10 to 12 minutes.

2nd week 15 to 20 minutes.

3rd week 25 to 30 minutes.

Door of incubator was left open during the cooling of the eggs.

Eggs were turned once per day after cooling.

TEST No. 2.—CHATHAM 'RED BIRD' INCUBATOR. HOT AIR.

Filled on February 27, 1904, with eggs as follows:—

Description of Eggs.	No. of Eggs.	Clear Eggs 1st Test.	Dead Germs 16th day.	Chicks dead in Shell.	Chickens Hatched.
Buff Orpington.....	16	4	5	2	5
Silver Grey Dorkings.....	16	1	8	3	4
Silver Laced Wyandottes.....	15	2	2	1	10
White Wyandottes.....	11	3	6	1	1
Faverolle.....	11	3	3	3	2
Barred P. Rock.....	13	0	3	4	6
Black Hamburg.....	8	2	6	0	0
Black Minorcas.....	5	1	4	0	0
White P. Rock.....	5	2	2	1	0
Total	100	18	39	15	28

Birds were kept under same conditions with exception of Barred and White P. Rocks which were under experiment.

Incubators were placed in same office as No. 1.

Temperature of room and time of cooling the eggs same as No. 1.

Water was constantly kept in moisture pan.

TEST No.3.—CYPHERS INCUBATOR (220-EGG SIZE). HOT AIR.

Filled on March 5, 1904, with following eggs:—

Description of Eggs.	No. of Eggs.	Broken by Accident.	Clear 1st Test.	Dead Germs.	Dead in Shell.	Chickens Hatched.
Buff Orpington	43	4	8	13	5	13
White Wyandotte	32	2	12	9	2	7
Silver Grey Dorkings	27	0	5	18	1	3
White Leghorn	23	0	2	13	7	1
Barred P. Rock.....	20	0	1	10	5	4
Black Minorca	16	0	2	7	3	4
Rhode Island Reds.....	12	0	2	6	4	0
Faverolle.....	10	0	2	5	1	2
Silver Laced Wyandotte.....	16	0	1	3	2	10
Black Hamburg.....	10	0	1	5	2	2
Jubilee Orpington.....	8	0	2	6	0	0
Buff Leghorns.....	7	2	1	1	0	3
White Plymouth Rock.....	4	0	1	2	0	1
S. Spangled Hamburg.....	2	0	0	2	0	0
	230	8	40	100	32	50

Incubator was placed in same office as Nos. 1 and 2, with similar variations of temperature.

Time of cooling eggs same as Nos. 1 and 2.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TEST No. 4.—DESMOINES (HOT WATER) INCUBATOR. 260-Egg Size. FILED APRIL 26, 1904.

This test and the following one was conducted in a new building erected as a result of the fire previously referred to. The incubator was filled with the following eggs:—

Description of Eggs.	No. of Eggs.	Accidentally broken.	Clear 1st Test.	Lead Germ.	Dead in Shell.	Chickens Hatched.	Remarks.	No. of days.	Temp. of Room.		Temp. of Incubator.		Time of Cooling.	Remarks.
									A.M.	P.M.	A.M.	P.M.		
Barred P. Rock.....	38	1	5	8	1	23		1	52	53	92	102		
White Leghorn.....	45	2	5	5	3	30		2	58	58	102	102		
B. P. R.—Ero-Leghorn Cross.....	22	0	8	1	0	13		3	57	67	102	102		
Silver Grey Dorking.....	21	0	8	1	0	12		4	58	64	102	102		
White Wyandotte.....	18	0	3	2	1	12		5	54	64	102	103		
Buff Orpington.....	14	0	5	4	1	4		6	60	80	103	103		
White Plymouth Rock.....	15	1	0	4	0	10		7	65	82	103	103		
White Wyandotte Cross.....	14	0	0	3	0	11		8	52	85	103	103 $\frac{1}{2}$		
Jubilee Orpington.....	9	0	0	2	0	7		9	56	80	102	103		
Light Brahma.....	10	1	2	1	1	5		10	56	74	102	104		
Black Hamburg.....	10	1	2	1	0	6		11	61	84	103	104		
Silver Laced Wyandotte.....	5	0	0	0	0	5		12	67	81	103	103		
Faverolle.....	6	0	2	0	1	3		13	66	87	102 $\frac{1}{2}$	104		
Black Minorca.....	3	0	0	0	0	3		14	59	78	102	103		
S. Spangled Hamburg.....	7	0	1	2	0	4		15	63	70	103	103		
								16	55	75	103	103		
								17	59	83	102 $\frac{1}{2}$	103		
								18	58	84	103	104		
								19	67	61	103	103		
								20	60	64	103	103		
								21	56	78	103	103		
	257	6	41	34	8	148								

Eggs were turned twice per day, the first at cooling time and once afterwards.
Ventilators half open, all the time.
Doors of the incubator were left open all the time of cooling.

TEST No. 5.—CYPHER'S INCUBATOR (220-EGG SIZE). HOT AIR.

Filled on May 14, 1904, with following Eggs. Machine was operated in new building for reason explained in No. 4.

Description of Eggs.	No. of Eggs.	Clear Eggs.	Dead Germs.	Dead in Shell.	Chickens Hatched.	Remarks.	Days.		Temp. of Room.		Temp. of Incubator.		Time of Cooling Eggs.	Remarks.
							A.M.	P.M.	A.M.	P.M.	A.M.	P.M.		
Barred P. Rocks	31	14	0	2	15		1	84	103	103		
Buff Orpington	26	5	3	1	17		2	67	61	103	103	103		
White Plymouth Rock	21	0	5	4	12		3	60	64	103	103	103		
Black Hamburg	15	3	5	4	4		4	56	78	103	104	104		
B. P. R.—Brown Leghorn Cross	16	3	1	0	10		5	57	78	103	103	103		
White Wyandotte	13	8	1	2	4		6	60	65	103	103	103	15 minutes.	1st cooling of eggs.
Silver-Laced Wyandotte	12	3	3	1	3		7	62	72	104	103	103	25 "	1st test.
Faverolle	11	4	2	2	3		8	63	84	104	103	103	25 "	
White Leghorn	14	1	3	1	9		9	68	67	103	103	103	30 "	
Light Brahma	11	5	4	1	1		10	66	76	103	103	103	30 "	
Black Minorca	7	1	1	1	4		11	69	88	104	104	104	30 "	
S. Spangled Hamburgs	7	2	4	0	1		12	70	72	104	103	103	30 "	
Buff P. Rocks	5	0	1	1	3		13	68	83	104	103	103	45 "	
Silver Grey Dorking	9	0	1	1	6		14	66	84	103	103	103	45 "	
							15	56	76	104	103	103	45 "	
							16	58	78	103	103	103	40 "	Last time of testing.
							17	60	76	103	103	103	45 "	
							18	54	71	103	103	103	45 "	
							19	60	69	102	103	103	45 "	
							20	60	71	103	103	103	45 "	
							21	71	76	103	103	103	45 "	Last time of cooling.
	200	52	33	21	94									

Eggs were turned twice per day.
Ventilators half open all the time.
During the time of cooling eggs the incubator doors were left open.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TEST No. 6.—FOUR HENS AS HATCHING MEDIUMS.

On April 20, 1904, they were given 13 eggs each of the following kinds:—

Description of Eggs.	No. of Eggs.	Clear—1st Test.	Dead Chicks in Shell.	Chickens Hatched.
S. G. Dorking.....	8	3	0	5
White Leghorn.....	8	0	3	5
B. P. R.—Brown Leghorn Cross.....	4	1	0	3
Black Hamburgs.....	3	0	0	3
Buff Orpington.....	3	0	0	3
White Wyandotte.....	5	1	0	4
Barred P. Rock.....	5	1	0	4
Faverolle.....	3	0	0	3
Jubilee Orpington.....	3	1	1	1
White Plymouth Rock.....	2	0	0	2
S. Spangled Hamburg.....	2	0	0	2
Buff Plymouth Rocks.....	2	0	0	2
Light Brahmases.....	1	1	0	0
Black Minorcas.....	3	1	0	2
Totals.....	62	9	4	39

TEST No. 7.—In which a number of hens were used as hatching mediums. They were set at different times during May, 1904, on the following eggs:—

Date when set.	Description of Eggs.	No. of Eggs set.	Clear—1st Test.	Dead Germs.	Dead Chicks in Shell.	Chickens Hatched.
1904.						
May 2...	Light Brahmases.....	10	2	0	2	6
" 5...	Buff Leghorns.....	36	10	1	1	24
" 5...	Black Minorcas.....	52	10	8	10	24
" 7...	White Wyandottes.....	60	17	5	6	32
" 14...	S. G. Dorking.....	15	3	2	3	7
" 14...	Faverolle.....	15	3	1	1	10
		188	45	17	23	103

The number of clear eggs on May 2, 5 and 7, goes to show that the birds, in the latter part of the month of April when the eggs were collected, had not completely recovered from the effects of the fire which occurred on the 8th of the latter month. Later, the percentage of clear eggs, it will be noticed, is very much less.

MANAGEMENT OF THE SITTING HENS.

The following has been found a convenient and effective method in managing the sitters. As the hens became broody they were put in wooden cases of suitable size and without bottoms, which were placed in pens by themselves. The boxes had

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

hinged doors in front so as to be opened, or closed as required. Comfortable nests were made of dry lawn clippings, or oat straw. Previous to putting a hen on her nest she was thoroughly dusted with insect powder and so was her nest. Experience has proved that lice infested hens are not successful sitters. The hens are allowed to sit for twenty-four and thirty-six hours on three or four china eggs. Having proved themselves reliable sitters the imitation eggs were removed and they were replaced by the valuable eggs. Borrowed sitters should always be so treated for they are generally infested with vermin and a source of contamination to nest and premises they happen to be placed in. Grain of different kinds mixed, grit and drink water were always before the sitters.

HOW LONG DOES THE EFFECT OF FERTILIZATION LAST.

Two interesting experiments, particulars of which are given in the two following tables, were made at the conclusion of the breeding season last summer. The objects aimed at were:—

1. To find out how long after the removal of the male bird from the breeding stock was fertilization strong enough to hatch out into a healthy chicken.
2. How long after the removal of the male bird could the effect of fertilization be traced?

The questions are answered by the results in the following tests 8 and 9.

TEST No. 8.—With seven Barred P. Rock hens from which the male bird was separated on June 29, 1904. On the same day eggs were put into an incubator and thereafter, from time to time during twenty days. Details are:—

Date.	No. of days male bird separated from hens.	No. of eggs set.	Clear eggs. 1st test.	Dead germs.	Dead in shell.	Chickens hatched out.	Remarks.
1904.							
June 29..	3	1	1	1	Strong chicken.
" 30..	1	1	1	No results from this egg as it was clear.
July 1..	2	3	1	2	Strong chicken.
" 2..	3	3	1	2	"
" 3..	4	2	1	1	Weak chicken.
" 4..	5	2	1	1	Healthy chicken.
" 5..	6	3	1	2	"
" 6..	7	1	1	Egg without germ; no result.
" 7..	8	1	1	Germ dead from weakness.
" 8..	9	1	1	Egg not fertilized; no result.
" 9..	10	1	1	Chicken partly developed; dead from weakness.
" 10..	11	1	No eggs laid this day.
" 11..	12	1	Chicken dead in shell evidently from weakness.
" 12..	13	1	1	Egg without germ; no result.
" 13..	14	No egg laid this day.
" 14..	15	2	2	Eggs without germs; no results.
" 15..	16	1	1	" "
" 16..	17	2	2	" "
" 17..	18	No eggs laid this day.
" 18..	19	1	1	Eggs without germs; no results.
" 19..	20	1	1	" "
" 20..	21	1	1	" "
		31	18	2	2	9	

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TEST No. 9.—With five White Leghorn hens. Cock bird separated from hens on June 23, 1904. Eggs put into incubator five days later and thereafter for twenty days. Details are as follows:—

Date.	No. of days male bird separated from hens.	No. of eggs set.	Clear eggs. — 1st test.	Dead germs.	Dead in shell.	Chickens hatched out.	Remarks.
1904.							
June 28..	5	4	2	2	Strong chickens. Eggs laid 5 days after removal of male bird from hens.
" 29..	6	3	1	1	1	Strong chicken.
" 30..	7	4	1	3	Strong, healthy chickens. Male bird away from hens seven days.
July 1..	8	2	1	1	Strong, healthy chick. Male bird away from hens eight days.
" 2..	9	3	3	Chickens weak; had to be helped out of shells.
" 3..	10	2	2	Fairly strong and healthy. Male bird away from hens ten days.
" 4..	11	3	2	1	Weak and infirm. Male bird away from hens eleven days.
" 5..	12	1	1	Egg without germ. No eggs with germs after this date.
" 6..	13	2	2	Eggs without germ.
" 7..	14	2	2	"
" 8..	15	1	1	"
" 9..	16	2	2	"
" 10..	17	No eggs laid this day.
" 11..	18	"
" 12..	19	1	1	No germ in egg.
" 13..	20	1	1	"
" 14..	21	1	1	"
" 15..	22	1	1	"
" 16..	23	3	3	"
" 17..	24	1	1	"
" 18..	25	3	3	"
		40	25	1	1	13	

It is interesting to note the result of the two tests. In the first test, No. 8, fertilization was strong enough in 6 eggs laid on the 5th day, after removal of the male bird from the breeding pen, to hatch out two healthy chickens. The last trace of fertilization is found in an egg laid eleven days after removal of the male bird. Examination of this egg, in course of incubation, showed a fairly well developed chicken dead in the shell. It had evidently died in progress of development from weak germination. No further evidence of fertilization is found in this test.

In the second case, test No. 9, strong chickens are hatched from eggs laid on the eighth day after removal of the male bird and fairly strong and healthy chicks from eggs laid on the tenth day after separation. From the three eggs laid on the eleventh day after separation a weak and infirm chicken was hatched. After this there is no trace of fertilization. Results seem to endorse the advice given in reports and correspondence of previous years, to the effect that it is not advisable to set eggs for hatching which are laid on or after the fifth day of removal of male from breeding stock.

Another interesting result which made itself evident was the comparative unimpaired condition of the unfertilized eggs at the conclusion of the 21 days' tests. These unfertilized eggs were taken from the incubator on the 22nd day, after they were put into the machine. During that time they were subject to the ordinary temperature of 103 degrees of heat usually maintained for the hatching of chickens from fertilized eggs. On examination, these unfertilized eggs were found to be in as equally good condition and flavour—if not better in numerous instances—than the majority

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

of midsummer eggs. This strongly emphasizes the advice so frequently given in previous reports and repeated in a previous page of this one—'that farmers should make it a rule to keep no male bird with the hens which lay the eggs to be taken to market, or, sold to store or middleman.' This experience in relation to the superior keeping quality of unfertilized eggs is by no means a new one in our department. On the occasion of the two tests described above there was good opportunity for extended and correct examination and the results which were so evident in so many cases, not only go to prove the correctness of previous advice, but should be a useful warning to all who are desirous of obtaining the highest price 'for the strictly new laid egg with flavour intact,' more particularly in summer time when conditions for germ development are so favourable.

CARE AND TREATMENT OF THE CHICKENS.

On the chickens hatching out they were allowed to remain in the incubators for 36 or 48 hours—until strong on their legs. If hatched by hens they were allowed to remain under their mothers for the same length of time. The incubator chicks were placed in brooders heated to 95 degrees. If season permitted the brooders were placed on the grass outside and the hens with their chickens were removed to small coops, also on the grass. Each of these coops had a slatted front through which the chicks could run out and in at pleasure. The brooders containing the incubator-hatched chickens were placed in small yards surrounded by portable wire netting fences of light construction. From time to time the brooders and wire fences were moved to new locations, until the chickens were old enough to run at large. When too large for the brooders the chickens were placed in colony houses situated throughout the fields allotted to the department. The same treatment was extended to the hen-hatched chickens on their attaining sufficient size to warrant their removal.

The growth of the chickens was satisfactory. Their rations and treatment were as follows:—First two days, stale bread crumbs and stale bread soak in milk and squeezed dry, the former principally for the first day. On the second or third day granulated oatmeal was given in addition. This may be varied with rice boiled dry, or cracked wheat. After a few days growth finely crushed corn has been found beneficial and was eaten with avidity. A mistake sometimes made is to overfeed the chickens during the early days of their life. As the chickens grew a mash composed of shorts, cornmeal, stale bread and a small quantity of beef scraps or meat meal was mixed with hot milk or water and when cool was fed 3, 4 or 5 times, as occasion required. Small potatoes were sometimes boiled and added to the mash with benefit. Cut bone in small pieces and fed in small quantity at first and after 14 days is one of the best stimulants to vigorous growth that can be given. So are boiled liver and raw onions cut up fine and mixed. In some cases water was furnished from the first day of the chick's life. In others, more particularly the brooder-raised chicks, no water was given at all, the moisture in the milk-soaked bread being considered sufficient. No apparent difference as a result was evident. Grit, from the first was at all times within reach of the youngsters. As the chickens increase in growth the mash was made of as economical and wholesome ingredients as could conveniently be got hold of. Whole grain, principally wheat, was given after the twelfth or fourteenth day, and was gradually increased in proportion as the first and more dainty rations were reduced. The chickens were fed regularly, and while gently pushed, none of their soft food was allowed to remain uneaten, turn sour, or become soiled.

Fed and treated as outlined the weight development of the cockerels of the utility varieties was equal to that of previous years, the average of five years being 1 lb. weight development per month at and after three months of age. The experience of many years has shown with no uncertain results, that with healthy breeding stock carefully fed and cared for chickens, the farmers of the country should find no difficulty in having a pair of Plymouth Rock, Wyandotte, Dorking, or Orping-

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ton (the last a comparatively new comer) cockerels, weigh 4 lbs. each, or 8 lbs. per pair, at the end of four months. The latter age is mentioned because it is not so easy to find a pound development per month at an earlier age (in the majority of cases), not because it is unattainable, but for the reason that proper effort is not made to secure such a result.

MANAGEMENT OF MATURING COCKERELS.

On the young cockerels, particularly those of the Mediterranean classes, maturing, they were removed to quarters by themselves, or, they would have annoyed the growing pullets by their precocious attentions.

The larger chickens were also removed from the younger ones when circumstances permitted. This is certainly advisable, for unless removed the older chickens are apt to eat most of the food, and the younger ones are so deprived in great part, if not altogether, of the nourishing food when they most require it. This applies with particular force to late chickens which need to be pushed.

SALE OF BREEDING BIRDS.

During the fall and early winter, a number of Barred and White Plymouth Rock, White Wyandotte and Buff Orpington cockerels were picked out and purchased by farmers and others. It is gratifying to state that the demand was in excess of the supply and may be regarded as evidence of the growing preference by the farmers of the country for birds of good quality and correct market type. There was an equally good demand for eggs for hatching purposes, in early spring and summer, from many different parts of the Dominion.

WEIGHT OF EGGS LAID BY FOWLS OF VARIOUS BREEDS.

Variety.	Number of eggs.	Weight.
White Wyandottes (selected stock).... .	Per dozen,	1 lb. 13 oz.
" (ordinary stock)	"	1 " 9 "
Black Minorcas (selected birds).... .	"	1 " 12 "
" (ordinary birds)	"	1 " 9 "
Buff Orpington (selected stock).... .	"	1 " 13 $\frac{3}{4}$ "
" (ordinary)	"	1 " 9 "
Light Brahmas (ordinary)	"	1 " 10 $\frac{1}{4}$ "
Black Hamburg (ordinary).... .	"	1 " 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
Barred P. Rock (ordinary)	"	1 " 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
Faverolle (ordinary).... .	"	1 " 8 $\frac{1}{4}$ "
Silver Grey Dorking (ordinary)	"	1 " 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ "
Silver Laced Wyandotte (ordinary).... .	"	1 " 7 "
White P. Rock (ordinary)	"	1 " 7 "
White Leghorns (ordinary)	"	1 " 7 "
Brown Leghorns (ordinary).... .	"	1 " 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ "

GENERAL ADOPTION OF TRAP NESTS.

It will be noticed from the foregoing enumeration of the weight of eggs that in several instances they are not as heavy per dozen as outside records have shown. This may be accounted for that on the latter occasions the largest eggs were most likely picked out. In the foregoing table the eggs were taken and weighed as they came, except where it is stated that they were from birds selected, not because they were layers of eggs of extra large size, but for their good all-round points. The Wyandotte and Orpington fowls were picked out because they were of correct market types as well as good layers. And to have this combination should certainly be the aim of every

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

breeder of the utility varieties. With the view of ascertaining which hens in our poultry houses are the best layers of the largest eggs, trap nests of various patterns have been fitted in the different pens. A few years ago experiments were conducted in our department with trap nests in a rather limited way, but sufficient to show that their use, on a large scale, necessitated increased assistance in order that the work should be correctly done. It is hoped by the more general adoption of trap nests, on the present occasion, to obtain such correct records as will result in the building up of prolific and large egg-laying strains in all varieties and in the case of utility breeds in combination with the best market types. Without such systematic procedure, experience has shown, that all other effort in the same direction is likely to be of a more or less haphazard nature.

THE POULTRY EXHIBIT AT THE CENTRAL CANADA FAIR.

The exhibit of our poultry department at the fair of the Central Canada Association in this city last September, was very successful. The display was made in the farm building in conjunction with other departments. The intention to make the exhibit educational, as well as attractive, was not lost sight of, and with that object in view the following features were conspicuous, viz.:—

Incubators in operation and chickens hatching in them every day.

Brooders also in operation. In them were placed the chickens hatched by the incubators day by day. The chickens did remarkably well.

Hens sitting in nest box of pattern as used in poultry department.

Hens with brood of chickens hatched from eggs laid from 5 to 8 days after separation of male bird from hens.

Models of poultry house suitable for winter, also models of colony houses.

Groups of chickens from 2 to 4 months of age, showing correct market types.

Hens of different breeds and of exceptional good type and quality.

Chickens being fattened in crates, showing crate fattening.

Chickens being fattened, loose in pens.

Dressed poultry, showing birds as they should be sold on the market, or, in shops. Other specimens drawn and trussed ready for the oven.

New-laid eggs from different breeds. And other features of instruction and interest.

DISEASES OF POULTRY.

IMPORTANT IDENTIFICATION OF TUBERCULOSIS IN FOWLS FROM BRITISH COLUMBIA.

During the year many communications were received describing diseases and asking for remedies for the same. Numerous cases were distinguished as colds, catarrh or incipient roup and for which simple remedies were advised. In several instances the symptoms denoted serious ailment. On such occasions the letters were submitted to Dr. C. H. Higgins, Pathologist, Biological Laboratory which is situated on the Experimental Farm. Dr. Higgins expressed his willingness to examine any subjects that might be sent to him, and identify cause of ailment when possible to do so.

LOCATION OF TUBERCULOSIS IN SICK BIRDS FROM BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Early in the month of May last, a letter was received from Mr. George Lawes, of Enderby, B.C., stating that several of his fowls were in a very emaciated condition without any reason for their being so, as they had been well fed and cared for. One

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

or two had recently died and others seemed as if they would not last long. His letter was submitted to Dr. Higgins, who suggested that if a definite diagnosis was desired by Mr. Lawes that he be requested to send on one or more of the worst specimens. Mr. Lawes, soon after forwarded two sick fowls, and the post mortem examination of one by Dr. Higgins confirmed what from the first was suspected. A copy of his report which was made to the Veterinary Director General, Dr. J. G. Rutherford, and forwarded by that gentleman to our department, is as follows:—

‘BIOLOGICAL LABORATORY,

‘OTTAWA, May 30, 1904.

‘No. 247. This fowl, a Buff Orpington from Geo. R. Lawes, of Enderby, B.C., was chloroformed on the 13th inst. The autopsy revealed lesions of tuberculosis, which cultures and microscopic examinations have confirmed.

‘Very nearly all the tissues of the body were invaded by the lesions. The liver was about twice its normal size and contained tubercles varying in size from a pin point to a hazel nut. The spleen was about three times its normal size.

‘The lesions of the intestines were of a chronic nature and were without doubt instrumental in communicating the disease to other fowls with which she associated.

(Sgd.)

‘CHAS. H. HIGGINS,

‘Pathologist.’

A copy of this report was mailed to Mr. Lawes with the statement that there was no known cure for tuberculosis among fowls, and that his birds were not likely to recover. Mr. Lawes afterwards wrote that his birds continued to die, one by one, and would likely do so until exterminated.

Such being the deadly nature of the disease it is of vital importance to the poultry keepers of British Columbia that its presence in their province and its fatal character should be known to them. From other points in British Columbia reports of a similar kind to that of Mr. Lawes were received. The correspondents were informed of the results of the examination, and advised to take immediate action upon conclusive identification of the disease, by killing off their birds at once. In one case a reply was received that doubtless the situation was serious, but he would risk consequences. Such a mistaken attitude is to be regretted, for it only postpones the inevitable and renders the stamping out of the disease more difficult.

Dr. D. E. Salmon, Chief of the United States Bureau of Animal Industry, in his book entitled ‘The Diseases of Poultry,’ writes as follows on the treatment of tuberculosis in a colony of fowls: ‘The eradication of tuberculosis in birds from an infected premises can only be attempted with a fair prospect of success when all the birds are sacrificed. Any individuals that are preserved are liable to have ulcerations of the intestines, from which the bacilli are constantly distributed. There should consequently, be no attempt to save any birds from an infected flock. When the birds are all killed and disposed of by burning or deeply burying, the premises should be carefully disinfected.’ Then follows detailed instructions as to the proper method of cleaning and disinfecting building and premises. Concluding, Dr. Salmon says: ‘After the cleaning and disinfection is accomplished the premises should be opened to the sun and air for a month, if possible, before new birds are introduced.’

Writing of the tuberculous condition of the fowl from Enderby before being killed for examination, Dr. Higgins says: ‘There can be no doubt that a fowl infected to such a marked degree must have been a constant menace to all others with which it may have come in contact as countless numbers of bacilli were present in the faeces. This is, I believe, the first identification of tuberculosis in poultry in Canada.

Other examinations made by Dr. Higgins are reported as follows:—

218. A fowl from Experimental Farm Poultry Department.—Autopsy reveals large tumour on left side of sternum, cystic, the cysts containing fluid dark in colour and gelatinous. Pericardial sac contains 20 cc. fluid. Heart muscle contains nodules,

abdominal cavity contains much semi-fluid gelatinous material. Spleen, liver, lungs and kidneys normal.

A microscopic examination reveals the structure of the tumour as a cystic myxosarcoma with metastases in the heart muscle and abdominal cavity.

219. *Buff Orpington Cock*.—Autopsy reveals ulcers in the gizzard with a congestion and thickening of the intestinal mucosa. No parasites were detected in the gizzard. Nematode worms, '*Heterakis differens*' were found in the intestines and cæca. Aside from the lesions mentioned other organs were normal.

220. *Barred Plymouth Rock (Pullet)*.—Lesions similar to those noted in former case, but condition not so far advanced. Nematode worms, '*Heterakis differens*' were present in the cæca.

These two cases present an interesting condition and one not usually met with. Before stating definitely the cause, or suspected cause of the trouble, a further investigation will be necessary and other animals examined.

221. *Light Brahma (Pullet)*.—This animal was infested with tape worms. '*Drepanidotaenia*'; also '*Heterakis differens*,' and the large nematode '*Heterakis inflexa*.' To the tape worms can be ascribed the emaciated condition and general unthriftiness.

247. *Fowl sent from British Columbia*.—Dead upon arrival. Autopsy revealed an extreme impaction of the gizzard, due to six large pieces of broken crockery, the largest of which measured one and one-half centimeters by one centimeter. These were evidently swallowed to assist the digestive functions of the gizzard. No other lesions were observed microscopically.

RATIONS OF LAST WINTER.

The rations fed to the laying stock, other, than those on experiment, during last winter were:—

A. M. ration.—Wheat, sometimes buckwheat in proportion of 8 to 10 pounds to every 100 fowls. This scattered, soon after daylight, in the litter on the floors of the pens.

At 11 a.m.—Steamed lawn clippings, 3 or 4 times per week. This was eaten with evident relish. It is a very beneficial way of utilizing a form of waste. Clover leaves, treated in the same way, are equally effective.

At noon.—A few hands full of grain, if found necessary, thrown on the floor of the pens to keep hens busy.

P.M. ration.—Mash as much as could be eaten up clean 3 or 4 times per week. A liberal allowance was given, for at this time there is less likelihood of injurious effect from overfeeding than at a.m. ration.

The mash was composed of two parts shorts, one part ground oats, one part gluten meal or ground barley. Occasionally small potatoes boiled were added. Sometimes mash was fed at morning ration in lieu of grain. At such time, wheat was given at p.m. ration. Grit, mangels or turnips and water were before the fowls all the time.

Variety in the composition of the rations and in the order of feeding them were found beneficial.

Experience has shown that where there is variety in rations and care in feeding them—with requisite allowance for floor space—there is little likelihood of egg eating, or feather picking.

FLESHING CHICKENS AND FATTENING OLD HENS.

SOME OF WHICH WERE LOOSE IN PENS WITH LIMITED RUN AND OTHERS IN CRATES.

In reports of our department for the past two years will be found interesting and instructive results of the pen and crate methods of fattening chickens, which were conducted by Mr. F. T. Shutt, of the Chemical Division, and his assistants.

The experimental fleshing of chickens and fattening of old hens, during the past season, were conducted by our poultry department. Details are given in following pages.

The terms 'fleshing' and 'fattening' are used with intent, for experience has shown, that rations which are calculated to—and really do—go into 'flesh,' in the case of chickens, are frequently found in the shape of 'fat' in old hens. Experience has also shown that while flesh is desirable, fat—particularly that of old hens—is simply waste. The accumulation of fat in old hens doubtless makes increased weight and may mean a little more money to the seller, but, it is certainly loss to the purchaser, for, it is of no value to him whatever.

On the present occasion, hens of two years of age and chickens of two and three months old, were used.

The experimental fleshing of chickens in our department for several years has shown that before the best specimens can be produced the following preliminary conditions must be thoroughly understood, viz:—

1. Chickens intended for fleshing should be of correct market types, such as can only come from the utility breeds. Hence the necessity of the parent stock being of proper breed and type.
2. Chickens should be well cared for and properly fed from time of hatching until put into pen or crate for 'finishing.'
3. The better the condition of the chickens when put into pen or crate to flesh the quicker and more complete will the 'finishing' process be.
4. Chickens which have been permitted 'to pick up their own living,' take more food, a longer period to flesh and in the end seldom make specimens that will bring the highest price.

Attention to the foregoing points will certainly bring about the best results.

In the following experiment of Pen vs. Crate, the chickens were divided into five groups and the old hens into one.

Each chicken and hen had a distinguishing number on a metal band round one of its legs.

Except where described the cross-bred chickens were of the ordinary barn-yard type.

The birds were fed twice per day and the rations were made of the consistency of thin porridge.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

DETAILS OF EXPERIMENT in Fleshing Chickens in Pens and Crates. August 19, 1904.

Pen or Crate.	No. of leg band on Chicken.	Breed.	Cockerel or Pullet.	Age.		Weight.																									
				Months.	Days.	Beginning of Experiment.	1st Week.		2nd Week.		3rd Week.		4th Week.		Average at beginning of Experiment.		Average at close of Experiment.		Average total gain by chicken in 4 weeks.		Average gain by chicken in week.										
							Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.							
Group No. 1.																															
Pen.....	16	B. Ply. Rock	C	3	14	3	15	4	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	6	5	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	1	4	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	7 $\frac{1}{2}$								
".....	25	"	C	3	14	4	5	4	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	10	6	1	6	6									6							
".....	37	"	C	3	4	3	7	3	12	4	1	4	5	4	9 $\frac{1}{2}$									4	8						
".....	52	"	C	3	19	4	14	5	7	6	0	6	8	6	11									6	8						
".....	62	"	C	3	4	3	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	10	5	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	8									5	11						
".....	72	"	C	3	19	4	9	4	15	5	9	5	14	6	3																
Group No. 2.																															
Crate.....	20	B. Ply. Rock	C	3	14	4	11	5	1	5	8	5	15	6	1	3	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	8	1	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$								
".....	21	"	C	3	4	3	5	4	0	4	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	15	4	12									4	12						
".....	31	"	C	3	4	3	1	3	10	4	5	4	7	5	2									3	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	8	1	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
".....	63	"	C	3	14	3	8	4	0 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	0	5	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	15									5	15	5	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	14 $\frac{1}{2}$		
".....	86	"	C	3	14	4	1	4	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	3 $\frac{1}{2}$																
".....	95	"	C	3	14	4	5	4	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	10	5	14 $\frac{1}{2}$																
Group No. 3.																															
Pen.....	91	Crosses.....	C	3	14	3	4	3	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	11	5	0	3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	9 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	8 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	6 1 $\frac{1}{2}$								
".....	23	"	C	3	14	3	7	3	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	11	5	0									3	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	11	5	0		
".....	29	"	P	3	0	2	5	2	12	2	15	3	5	3	3 $\frac{1}{2}$									3	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	11	5	0		
".....	35	"	P	3	4	2	12	3	5	3	5	4	0	4	6									4	9	4	6	9	4		
".....	64	"	P	3	14	3	0	3	8	4	1	4	5	4	9									4	6	9	4	6	9		
".....	66	"	C	3	14	3	7	4	0	4	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	5	0	5	4																
Group No. 4.																															
Crate.....	18	Crosses.....	C	3	14	3	2	3	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	10	3	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	3 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	0	4 1 $\frac{1}{2}$								
".....	21	"	C	3	4	2	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	6	3	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	4	4	7 $\frac{1}{2}$									3	14	4	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	12 $\frac{1}{2}$		
".....	40	"	P	3	4	2	13	3	3	3	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	14	3	14									3	14	3	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	14		
".....	68	"	P	3	14	3	7	3	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	6	4	11	4	12 $\frac{1}{2}$									3	14	4	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	14		
".....	87	"	P	3	14	3	1	3	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	8	3	10	3	10 $\frac{1}{2}$									3	14	4	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	14		
".....	91	"	C	3	14	3	5	3	13	4	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	14	5	1																

CHICKENS *vs.* OLD HENS IN PEN.

Pen or Crate.	No. of leg band on Chicken or Hen.	Breed.	Cockerel, Pullet or Hen.	Age.		Weight.																				
				Months.	Days.	Beginning of Experiment.	1st Week.		2nd Week.		3rd Week.		4th Week.		Average at beginning of Experiment.		Average at close of Experiment.	Average total gain by chickens in 4 weeks.		Average gain by chicken in 1 week.						
							Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.		Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.			
Group No. 5.																										
Pen	19	B. P. Rock.	C	2	29	2	10	3	1	3	12	4	0	4	3	}	2	10	4	5	1	11	0	63		
	20	"	C	2	29	2	12	3	2	3	6	4	5	4	11											
"	29	"	C	3	4	3	9	3	14	4	7	4	13	4	15											
"	32	"	C	2	29	2	13	3	7	4	2	4	8	4	12											
"	99	"	C	3	4	3	11	4	3	5	0	5	7	5	9											
"	50	Cross Wh. Wy. & B. L.	C	2	4	2	8	1	2	8	2	14	3	5	3	9										
"	72	"	C	2	4	1	14	2	5	2	15	3	5	3	8											
"	28	S. L. Wy.	C	2	16	2	8	2	14	3	7	3	13	4	2											
"	42	Buff Orp.	C	2	16	2	4	2	11	3	6	3	14	4	3											
"	87	C. W. & B. L.	C	2	16	2	6	2	12	3	5	3	11	3	14											
Group No. 6.																										
Old Hens.																										
Pen	23	Buff Orp.	H	2	"	Years.	6	6	7	2	7	10	7	13	8	0	}	5	8	3	6	10	1	17	0	41
	27	"	H	2	"	"	5	2	6	2	6	6	6	9	6	13										
"	38	R. I. R.	H	2	"	"	4	11	5	1	5	14	6	4	6	5										
"	90	"	H	2	"	"	5	4	5	15	6	5	6	9	6	9										
"	11	B. P. R.	H	2	"	"	5	12	6	1	5	15	5	12	5	8										
"	17	"	H	2	"	"	8	4	8	10	9	2	9	10	9	12										
"	1	W. P. R.	H	2	"	"	5	7	5	14	6	2	6	3	6	3										
"	9	"	H	2	"	"	5	5	6	6	6	6	4	6	2											
"	58	Cross Wh. Wy. & Br. Leg.	H	1	"	"	5	1	5	15	6	2	6	2	6	1										
"	64	"	H	1	"	"	4	8	4	11	4	14	5	0	4	15										

Summary of Results, Pen vs. Crate. Weight, Development, Cost of Production, Profit from Sale of Carcasses.

Group No.	Pen or Crate.	Number of Chickens.	Weight.				Total increase in four weeks.				Cost of Production.				Returns from sale at 13c. per lb.	Profit on six chickens. Labour not counted.	
			Lbs.	Oz.	At beginning of experiment.	Lbs.	Oz.	At close of experiment.	Lbs.	Oz.	Total	Chickens.	Food.	Cost to produce one pound of increase.	Total cost of production.		
			Lbs.	Oz.		Lbs.	Oz.		Lbs.	Oz.		Value at 10c. per lb.	Amount consumed in 4 weeks.	Value at 14c. per lb.			
1	Pen.	6	24	11	35	6	10	11	24	11		2.47	39	49	2.96	4.60	1.64
2	Crate.	6	22	15	33	0	10	1	22	15		2.29	39	49	2.78	4.29	1.51
3	Pen.	6	18	3	27	6	9	3	18	3		1.82	36	45	2.27	3.56	1.29
4	Crate.	6	18	10	25	15	7	5	18	10		1.86	36	45	2.31	3.37	1.06
5	Pen.	10	23	8	43	4	16	12	26	8		2.65	53	73	3.38	5.62	2.24
6	"	10	55	3	66	4	11	1	55	3		5.52	54	68	6.20	8.61	2.41

Rations for 1 and 2 Groups.

{ Ground oats, 2 parts; ground barley, 1 part; corn meal, 1 part; mixed with skimmed milk.

Rations for Groups 3 and 4.

{ Ground oats, 4 parts; ground barley, 2 parts; ground corn, 1 part; meat meal, 1 part; mixed with skimmed milk.

Rations for Groups 5 and 6.

{ Finely ground oats, 4 parts; ground peas, 1 part; ground corn, 1 part; meat meal, 1 part; mixed with skim milk.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Results of the foregoing experiments permit of the following deductions:—

The pullets with one exception did not make as great gains as cockerels of the same age.

Old hens which are well fed require no further treatment to make them fit for killing.

The older the hen the more readily does she take on fat rather than flesh.

The cross-bred chickens, although fed on a more nutritive ration, did not make as much weight as pure-bred ones.

The chickens which were loose in their pens with limited run, made slightly greater weight development, at cheaper cost, than those in crates.

STOCK ON HAND.

The following list will show the number, kind and disposition of the different varieties in our poultry houses at the present date, December, 1904:—

Pen No.	Breed.	Cock.	Hens.	Cockerels.	Pullets.	Remarks.
1	B. Ply. Rocks.....	1	12			
2	" ".....	1	12			
3	Wh. ".....	1	8		4	
4	Buff Orpingtons.....	1	12			
5	Wh. Leghorns.....			1	12	
6	S. G. Dorkings.....	1	12			
7	Black Minorcas.....			1	11	
8	Wh. Leghorns.....		12	1		
9	Buff Leghorns.....		3	1	8	
10	Cock and cockerels.....	1		3		1 S. S. Hamburg cock, 1 S. S. Wyandotte cockerel, 2 Faverolles.
11	Blk. Minorcas.....			7		
12	Buff Leghorns.....			6		
13	Black Hamburgs.....	1		5		
14	Rock and Wyandottes.....			6		
15	Capons.....			7		
16	Black Hamburgs.....		4	1		
17	" ".....				7	
18	S. S. ".....		4		3	
19	Wh. Leghorns.....				7	
20	S. S. Wyandottes.....		3		3	
21	Rock and Wyandottes.....	1		1		1 B. Ply. Rock and 1 Wh. Wyandotte.
22	Faverolles.....	1	4		6	
23	Blk. Minorcas.....	1	5			
24	S. G. Dorkings.....			1	8	
25	L. Brahmas.....	1	3		3	
26	Crosses.....				10	
27	" ".....			1	10	
28	Buff Orpingtons.....			1	10	
29	Wh. Wyandottes.....		10			
30	Crosses.....		12			
31	Cockerels.....			7		2 B. Ply. Rocks, 4 White Ply. Rocks, 1 Wh. Wyandotte.
32	Pullets (late).....				12	5 B. Ply. Rocks, 7 crosses.
33	Late cockerels.....			12		All kinds.
34	B. Ply. Rocks.....			1	24	
35	Wh. Wyandottes.....			1	24	

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR THE MARITIME PROVINCES.

REPORT OF R. ROBERTSON, SUPERINTENDENT.

NAPPAN, N.S., November 30, 1904.

To DR. W. M. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa,

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith my annual report of operations on the experimental farm for the maritime provinces at Nappan, N.S.

The season just passed has been the most unfavourable for farm crops of any for some years. Crops generally made a good start, but continued dry weather until the latter part of July, resulted in all farm crops being below the average. Owing to the unfavourable season, and also to the breaking of dykes in the fall of 1903, which caused the marsh to be flooded with salt water, the crop of marsh hay was very much lighter than usual. Clover sown with grain crops made a good start, but almost all died out in July due to lack of moisture. Roots also suffered greatly for want of rain. The red-backed cutworm did considerable damage, especially to the mangel crop, and many fields in the maritime provinces were practically destroyed by this pest. Pastures were exceptionally poor except in the very early part of the season.

I wish to again acknowledge my indebtedness for valuable assistance rendered by Mr. J. Thomas Coates, farm foreman, who has kept all records of crop experiments, and to Mr. R. Donaldson, herdsman, who has kept all records of live stock experiments, each doing so in a careful and painstaking manner.

WEATHER.

The temperature during December was higher than usual, but the snowfall was greater than that for a number of years past. There was quite a fall of snow on the 1st, which, with that on the following day, amounted to about six inches, and made very good sleighing. There was rain and snow on the 3rd, and about twelve inches of snow on the 4th. This made the roads heavy for travel, but soon they were in good condition. The weather continued fine, with occasional snowfalls until the 13th, excepting the 10th, when wind and rain took off some of the snow. The 13th was mild, with rain, which took off much of the snow, making sleighing poor. On the 17th the thermometer went to zero, and on the 18th 4° below zero was registered. The weather kept cold to the 21st, when a thaw with rain took the snow all off. The 22nd was also mild, after which cooler weather continued to the 27th, when the thermometer went to 4° below zero, and 5° below on the 29th, and 2° below on the 30th. It snowed again on the 30th, making good sleighing.

January commenced with very bright cold weather on the 1st and 2nd, when the thermometer registered 10° and 14° below zero on these dates respectively. There was a heavy snow and wind storm on the 3rd and on the 4th, roads had to be broken out in many places. This was followed by light cold weather to the 10th, except a light snowfall on the 8th and 9th. The thermometer registered 11°, 14°, 12°, 2° and 5° below zero on the 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th and 8th, respectively. From the 10th to the 17th was quite moderate, with occasional falls of snow, and a light rain on the 14th. On the 17th a snow and wind storm blocked the roads again. The weather was fine from this date to the 23rd, when it thawed and some rain fell. The thermometer registered 0°, 15°, 17° and 12° below zero on the 18th, 19th, 20th and 22nd, respectively. There was a sleet storm on the 25th, followed by quite fine cold weather which con-

tinned to the end of the month. The thermometer registered zero on the 26th and 28th, and 3° and 8° below zero on the 29th and 31st, respectively.

February commenced with rain. The thermometer, however, fell below zero the following day, and on the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th registered 3°, 3°, 6°, 5°, 29°, 2°, 0°, 3° and 8° below zero respectively. This period was more or less windy with occasional snowfalls. From the 10th to the 14th was fine, with snow on the 15th, which drifted badly on the 16th and 17th. The thermometer registered 10°, 8° and 10° below zero on the 13th, 14th and 15th respectively. On the 19th and 21st the thermometer registered 6° and 15° below zero. There was a rain on the 22nd. The balance of the month was more or less broken by wind and snow storms, and very cold on the 27th and 28th, when the thermometer went to 19° and 16° below zero respectively. The month throughout was much colder than usual.

The thermometer went below zero only three times in March, on the 5th, 6th and 18th, when it registered 1°, 4° and 1° below zero respectively. The first of the month was quite cold, with a thaw on the 3rd, and a wind, rain and lightning on March 4th, which made quite a freshet. It froze up again and remained cold to the 7th, when it moderated, followed by a thaw and rain on the 8th and mild on the 9th. From this time to the 16th the weather was fine and quite cold. From the 16th to the 27th was more or less broken with snow and rain storms, with a thaw on the 26th which took off about all the snow and broke up sleighing. The remainder of the month was fine.

April commenced cold but fine, followed by fine moderate weather to the 9th. Rain fell on the 9th, 10th and 12th. The 14th and 15th were cold and windy, and on the 16th there was quite a fall of snow. The 17th and 18th were fine but cold, and on the 19th nearly an inch of rain fell. This was followed by an unusually heavy snow storm, accompanied by wind which made the snow drift badly. The remainder of April was fine, with warm drying winds, except the last two days, when rain fell. The rainfall for the month was 2.92 inches.

May was fine to the 17th, with the exception of the 10th, when a light rain occurred. On the 17th and 19th 1.23 inches of rain fell. The first seeding was done May 4, and continued to the 17th. From the 19th to the end of the month several small showers are recorded, but seeding continued practically uninterrupted. The month throughout was warmer than usual. Frost is recorded only four times during the month. On the 1st, 2nd, 6th and 7th there was 1°, 5°, 6° and 5° of frost respectively. Vegetation made very rapid growth and the season which appeared backward when May opened was at the close of the month as far advanced as usual. The total rainfall for the month was 1.76 inches.

The month of June was exceptionally dry and fine throughout. The rainfall on the 5th of about $\frac{1}{2}$ of an inch, and on the 22nd of nearly $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch were the only rains of any consequence during the month. The total rainfall for the month was 1.74 inches. The thermometer registered frost on the 9th, and 2° of frost on the 10th, which did considerable damage. The thermometer went to 80° and above three times, on the 21st, 26th and 27th, when 80°, 80° and 82° was registered, respectively. The month throughout was considerably warmer than last year. Crops suffered towards the last of the month for want of rain, and seed of late sown roots did not germinate well.

The month of July was unusually warm, and while 2.15 inches of rain fell; yet, warm drying winds following the showers, none of which were sufficient to more than wet the surface of the ground, quickly dried up the ground again and crops suffered greatly. The thermometer registered above 80° twelve times during the month. The highest temperature was on the 13th, 18th and 29th, when 83° was registered.

The month of August, while warmer somewhat than the previous year, was not as warm as usual. The thermometer only once went to 80°, and that was on the 4th. There was a light rainfall on the 1st, but the early part of the month was entirely too dry for growth, and grain crops especially ripened up prematurely. After the 11th the month was more or less broken, and the rainfall of 1.70 inches on the 21st was the

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

first one during the season to wet the ground sufficiently for root crops to grow properly. The rainfall during the month was 3'51 inches. There was a heavy wind storm the 23rd, which did considerable damage to crops, especially corn and apples.

There was a heavy rainfall on September 3, 4 and 6, totalling 1'90 inches; also on the 25th of 1'20 inches, and other showers with these brought the month's fall of rain to 4'52 inches. The month, generally speaking, was fine and a good one for getting along with work. The temperature on the average was not as high as last season, and much lower than for the past three years. The highest temperature for the month was recorded on the 13th and 17th, of 77°. There was a heavy wind storm with some rain on the 15th. This was accompanied by very high tides, one of which was increased by the high wind prevailing, and did great damage by running over and breaking a great amount of dyke and flooding marsh lands in this section. The thermometer went to freezing on the 9th, 20th, 22nd and 29th, and there was 1° of frost on the 1st, 9° on the 23rd, and 2° on the 28th.

During the month of October there was one quite heavy rainfall on the 13th of '97 inches, and a very heavy one on the 22nd of 2'98 inches. Outside of these the month was quite fine, with occasional showers, making the total rain for the month 5 inches. There was more or less frost during the month, and the thermometer went below freezing during 14 nights. The lowest temperature, however, was on the 8th, when 9° of frost was registered.

November commenced with a slight fall of snow, followed by cold weather until the 4th, when there was quite a heavy fall of rain, and a shower on the 6th. The following week was fine but cold, the ground not thawing sufficiently to harvest roots and plough. The 14th commenced wet, followed by snow and wind, and the temperature below freezing. The 16th was milder, followed by colder weather; some snow on the 17th, and rain on the 18th. The weather continued quite fine and moderate until the 26th, with quite a rainfall on the 24th. The 28th and 29th were cold, followed by rain and a thaw on the 30th.

RAINFALL.

April.	2'92 inches.
May.	1'76 "
June.	1'74 "
July.	2'15 "
August.	3'51 "
September.	4'52 "
October.	5'00 "
November.	3'39 "
<hr/>	
Total.	24'99 "

METEOROLOGICAL RECORD.

The maximum and minimum thermometrical observations for the year beginning December 1, 1903, and ending November 30, 1904 :—

Month.	Maximum.	Minimum.
1903.		
December	10th 47° above zero.....	29th 5° below zero.
1904.		
January.....	14th 42° above zero..	20th 17° below zero.
February.....	22nd 43° "	6th 29° "
March.....	26th 53° "	6th 4° "
April.....	30th 66° "	4th 9° above zero.
May.....	9th 75° "	6th 26° "
June.....	27th 82° "	10th 30° "
July.....	13th, 18th and 29th, 83° above zero.	9th 45° "
August.....	4th 80° above zero.	30th 35° "
September.....	15th and 17th 77° above zero.....	23rd 23° "
October.....	21st 78° above zero.....	8th 23° "
November.....	24th 49° above zero.....	29th 12° "

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

Experiments were again continued this year with the leading sorts of oats which were grown in uniform test plots of one-fortieth acre each. Forty-two varieties were included in this test. The plots all received the same treatment and were on soil practically uniform throughout.

The ground was a sandy loam, and was previously in mangels, having been manured for that crop with 30 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. The land was ploughed in the fall after the mangel crop was harvested, and this spring was harrowed twice with the springtooth, and once with the smoothing harrow. The seed was sown May 13, at the rate of 2½ bushels of seed per acre with the seed drill. The ground was also seeded down to clover and Timothy at the rate of 3 pounds Alsike clover, 7 pounds Mammoth Red clover and 12 pounds of Timothy seed per acre by means of a grass seed attachment to the grain drill. The grain used was from heads selected in the field at harvest time before cutting the various plots the previous season, except the variety, Storm King, a new variety originated by Garton Bros., England, seed of which was sent from the Experimental Farm, Ottawa.

No fertilizer was used on these plots this season. The grain started well and made fair growth to the middle of July, when the effect of the continued dry weather was quite apparent. The grain ripened up prematurely, giving a light crop of only fairly well filled oats. The straw was short but stiff, and only a few heads of smut were noticeable. Some slight rust made its appearance early in August. The following yields were obtained from these plots.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Swedish Select.....	Aug. 19	98	36-40	Stiff.....	6-8	Branching..	4,280	72 32	38
2	Siberian	" 22	101	38-42	"	6-8	"	3,600	69 14	35
3	Golden Fleece	" 20	99	33-38	"	5-8	"	4,160	68 8	35
4	Columbus.....	" 19	98	35-40	Medium..	6-7	"	2,800	65 30	36
5	Banner	" 19	98	37-40	Stiff.....	6-8	"	3,600	64 4	35
6	Golden Beauty.....	" 19	98	38-42	"	6-8	"	3,880	63 18	35
7	Golden Tartarian.....	" 27	106	33-37	"	6-9	Sided.....	3,520	63 18	34
8	Irish Victor	" 18	97	38-42	"	6-8	Branching..	3,200	62 12	36
9	Holstein Prolific.....	" 20	99	37-41	"	6-8	"	3,480	61 26	36
10	Wide Awake.....	" 19	98	38-41	"	6-8	"	3,200	61 6	37
11	Lincoln.....	" 22	101	33-38	"	6-8	"	3,120	61 6	36
12	Goldfinder.....	" 24	103	34-38	"	6-8	"	3,160	61 6	35
13	Waverley.....	" 18	97	40-46	"	6-9	"	3,320	60 20	36
14	Golden Giant.....	" 27	106	33-38	"	6-9	Sided.....	3,880	60 20	33
15	Sensation.....	" 18	97	38-43	"	6-9	Branching..	3,240	60 ..	37
16	White Giant.....	" 20	99	32-38	"	5-8	"	3,840	60 ..	34
17	Improved American.....	" 22	101	34-39	"	5-8	"	3,920	60 ..	34
18	Twentieth Century.....	" 18	97	36-40	Medium..	7-8	"	3,040	59 14	37
19	Pioneer.....	" 17	96	35-40	Stiff.....	6-8	"	3,880	58 28	38
20	Abundance.....	" 20	99	33-38	"	5-8	"	3,840	58 28	35
21	Thousand Dollar.....	" 20	99	34-38	"	5-8	"	3,520	57 2	37
22	Jeanette.....	" 17	96	32-36	"	5-7	"	3,200	56 16	36
23	American Beauty.....	" 19	98	39-43	"	6-8	"	3,320	56 16	35
24	Danish Island.....	" 24	103	34-40	"	5-8	"	3,880	56 16	34
25	Improved Ligowo.....	" 18	97	34-40	"	6-8	"	3,600	56 16	37
26	Milford Black.....	" 20	99	33-38	"	6-8	Sided.....	3,720	55 10	38
27	Early Golden Prolific.....	" 19	98	38-42	Medium..	6-8	Branching..	3,400	55 10	35
28	Bavarian.....	" 20	99	32-38	Stiff.....	6-8	"	3,840	55 10	35
29	Kendal White.....	" 20	99	32-36	"	5-8	Sided.....	3,080	55 10	36
30	Scotch Potato.....	" 22	101	38-42	"	6-9	Branching..	3,680	54 4	36
31	Kendal Black.....	" 20	99	35-40	"	6-8	Sided.....	3,480	52 32	38
32	Black Beauty.....	" 17	96	36-41	Medium..	7-9	Branching..	3,000	51 26	36
33	Tartar King.....	" 18	97	37-40	Stiff.....	6-8	Sided.....	3,160	50 20	39
34	Storm King.....	" 19	98	32-38	"	6-8	"	3,520	50 20	38
35	Pense Black.....	" 20	99	33-38	"	5-8	"	3,080	49 14	38
36	Buckbee's Illinois.....	" 23	102	35-40	"	6-9	Branching..	3,240	49 14	36
37	Olive Black.....	" 20	99	32-38	"	6-8	Sided.....	3,280	48 8	38
38	Pense White.....	" 20	99	33-38	"	6-8	"	3,120	48 8	38
39	Milford White.....	" 20	99	32-37	"	5-8	"	2,680	45 30	38
40	Mennonite.....	" 24	103	34-38	"	6-8	Branching..	3,080	45 30	36
41	Olive White.....	" 20	99	33-38	"	6-8	Sided.....	2,920	44 24	38
42	American Triumph.....	" 27	106	34-39	"	6-9	Branching..	3,440	44 24	36

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

Twenty varieties of six-rowed and fifteen varieties of two-rowed were sown May 24 in plots of one-fortieth acre each. The land was a sandy loam and was in corn the previous season, for which crop 25 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre was used. The ground was ploughed after the corn crop was removed, and this spring was worked up twice with the springtooth harrow and once with the smoothing harrow. The seed sown was from heads selected in the field at harvest time before the plots were cut the previous season.

The grain was sown with the seed drill at the rate of 2 bushels per acre, and 3 lbs. alsike clover, 7 lbs. Mammoth Red Clover and 12 lbs. Timothy seed was sown at the same time. No fertilizer was used this season. The seed germinated well but owing to the drought the straw was short and the yield per acre not up to the average. There was no rust, and very few heads of smut. The following table gives further information respecting this test:—

BARLEY, SIX-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				Inches.		Inches.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Trooper	Aug. 16..	84	35-40	Stiff	2-3	4,720	50	44
2	Mensury	" 18..	86	35-40	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{4}$	4,600	49	46
3	Royal	" 23..	91	35-40	Medium	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,440	49	48
4	Yale	" 24..	92	35-40	Stiff	2-3	3,880	47	47 $\frac{1}{2}$
5	Oderbruch	" 16..	84	34-38	Medium	2-3	4,120	45	46 $\frac{1}{2}$
6	Stella	" 25..	93	34-37	Stiff	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,400	45	48
7	Rennie's Improved	" 16..	84	32-36	"	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,040	45	47
8	Empire	" 20..	88	35-40	"	2-3	3,000	45	47
9	Summit	" 25..	93	34-37	"	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,480	44	48
10	Brome	" 20..	88	30-33	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{3}$	3,360	44	46
11	Common	" 16..	84	34-37	Medium	2-3	3,560	43	46
12	Odessa	" 16..	84	31-38	"	2-3	3,720	43	47
13	Garfield	" 20..	88	35-40	Stiff	2-3	3,800	42	46 $\frac{1}{2}$
14	Nugent	" 22..	90	33-36	"	2-3	3,840	41	46
15	Claude	" 16..	81	32-36	Medium	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,600	41	47
16	Albert	" 16..	84	33-36	"	2-3	3,320	40	48
17	Baxter	" 16..	84	31-35	"	2-2 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,040	39	46
18	Champion	" 15..	83	36-42	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,200	38	40
19	Mansfield	" 23..	91	33-33	Stiff	2-2 $\frac{1}{4}$	3,240	37	40
20	Argyle	" 19..	87	33-36	"	2-3	3,160	35	47

BARLEY, TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				Inches.		Inches.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Danish Chevalier	Aug. 22..	90	32-36	Medium	3-4	5,000	57	50
2	French Chevalier	" 22..	90	30-35	"	3-4	3,880	45	49 $\frac{1}{2}$
3	Dunham	" 22..	90	35-40	"	3-4	4,800	44	50
4	Beaver	" 20..	88	32-35	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,800	42	50
5	Logan	" 24..	92	35-40	"	3-4	4,800	41	50
6	Harvey	" 24..	92	32-36	"	2-3	3,600	36	49
7	Clifford	" 22..	90	36-40	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,640	35	50
8	Sidney	" 22..	90	30-35	"	3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,880	32	49
9	Fulton	" 24..	92	34-38	"	2-3	3,680	32	48
10	Invincible	" 24..	92	30-35	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,440	31	47
11	Standwell	" 24..	92	28-33	"	2-3	2,880	27	47
12	Newton	" 24..	92	30-34	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	2,640	26	47
13	Canadian Thorpe	" 24..	92	34-36	Stiff	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3	2,400	22	49
14	Jarvis	" 20..	88	30-36	Medium	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,520	21	48
15	Gordon	" 22..	90	32-36	Stiff	2-3	1,800	21	48

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

The ground selected for the wheat plots was similar to that on which the oats were grown, and received the same treatment. The seed sown was from heads selected in the field at harvest time before cutting the various plots the previous season. The seed was sown May 12, at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre with the grain drill, and 3 lbs. Alsike clover, 7 lbs. Mammoth Red clover, and 12 lbs. Timothy seed per acre was sown at the same time.

The plots were one-fortieth of an acre each and thirty-six varieties were included in the test. The seed started well, but owing to the effect of the continued drought the crop was light, and rust early in August did considerable damage to the straw and the grain did not fill out well. The yield per acre and other information obtained from these plots is given in the following table :—

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				In.		In.		Lbs.		Lbs.	
1	Byron.	Aug. 20.	100	40-41	Stiff.	2-3	Bearded.	4,600	28 40	60	Slightly.
2	Red Fife.	" 24.	104	42-47	"	2-3	Beardless.	4,800	28	59	"
3	White Fife.	" 24.	104	44-48	"	2-3	"	4,400	27 20	60	"
4	Rio Grande.	" 22.	102	43-48	"	2-4	Bearded.	4,480	27	60	"
5	Admiral.	" 22.	102	44-48	"	2-3	Beardless.	3,600	23 40	58½	Badly.
6	Preston.	" 20.	100	42-44	"	2-3	Bearded.	3,400	26	59	Slightly.
7	Australian No. 9.	" 22.	102	40-45	"	2-3	Beardless.	3,040	26	60	Badly.
8	White Russian.	" 24.	104	44-47	"	2-3	"	3,920	25 20	58½	Slightly.
9	Benton.	" 22.	102	42-46	"	2-3	Bearded.	3,720	25	59	"
10	Wellman's Fife.	" 24.	104	43-48	"	2-3	"	4,640	25 20	58½	Badly.
11	Dawn.	" 22.	102	32-36	"	2-3	"	2,840	24 40	59	Slightly.
12	Colorado.	" 20.	100	42-48	"	2-3	Bearded.	3,080	24 40	60½	Medium.
13	Plummer.	" 20.	100	36-40	"	2-3	"	3,840	24 20	60½	Slightly.
14	Early Riga.	" 18.	98	38-43	"	2-3	Beardless.	2,880	24 20	59	"
15	Monarch.	" 25.	105	40-46	"	2-3	"	2,880	24 20	59½	"
16	Crawford.	" 23.	103	42-46	"	2-3	"	2,960	24	58½	Badly.
17	Huron.	" 22.	102	42-45	"	2-3	Bearded.	3,480	24	60	Slightly.
18	Hastings.	" 22.	102	42-45	Medium.	2-3	Beardless.	2,920	24	60½	"
19	Countess.	" 22.	102	36-42	"	2-3	"	2,880	23 20	58	"
20	Harrison Bearded.	" 22.	102	40-44	Medium.	1½-2	Bearded.	3,920	23	60	"
21	Chester.	" 22.	102	40-43	Stiff.	2-3	Beardless.	3,520	23	59	"
22	Power's Fife (Minn. 149).	" 22.	102	40-45	"	2-3	"	2,880	22 40	59½	"
23	Red Fern.	" 22.	102	42-46	"	2-3	Bearded.	2,960	22 40	59	"
24	Laurel.	" 25.	105	45-48	"	3-4	Beardless.	3,880	22 20	59	"
25	Pingel's Champlain.	" 20.	100	45-48	"	2½-3½	Bearded.	3,120	22	60	"
26	Advancer.	" 22.	102	40-44	"	2-3	"	2,520	21 40	59	Badly.
27	Hayne's Blue Stem (Minn. 169).	" 24.	104	40-44	"	2½	Beardless.	2,640	21 40	59	Slightly.
28	Clyde.	" 22.	102	38-42	"	2½	"	2,760	21 20	58	"
29	Hungarian.	" 22.	102	40-42	Medium.	2-3	Bearded.	3,200	21	60	"
30	Australian No. 19.	" 22.	102	36-40	Stiff.	2-3	Beardless.	2,440	21	59	Badly.
31	Weldon.	" 22.	102	40-45	"	2-3	"	2,280	20 40	59	Slightly.
32	Stanley.	" 24.	104	42-46	"	2-3	Bearded.	4,240	20 20	59½	"
33	Fraser.	" 20.	100	34-40	Medium.	2-2½	Beardless.	2,680	20	59	"
34	Minnesota No. 163.	" 21.	104	39-43	Stiff.	2-3½	Bearded.	2,480	20	59	"
35	McKendry's Fife (Minn. 181).	" 24.	104	40-45	"	2-3½	"	3,440	20	59	Badly.
36	Percy.	" 23.	103	43-47	"	2-3½	"	4,080	18 40	58	"

EXPERIMENTS WITH MACARONI WHEAT.

Four varieties of Macaroni wheat were sown. These were grown in plots of one-fortieth acre each, alongside the other wheat plots. The ground was similar and received similar treatment to the wheat plots and was sown at the same time, but the crops of grain harvested were light. A well-known variety of Macaroni wheat is that called 'Goose.' The reason for putting these wheats in a separate table is on account of their inferior milling qualities, as their growth for bread-making would prove unsatisfactory. The following table gives the yield per acre and other information respecting these plots:—

MACARONI WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Goose	Aug. 22	102	33—38	Medium	2—2½	Bearded	2,280	16	61	Slightly.
2	Yellow Gharnovka..	" 24	104	36—40	"	2—2½	"	2,520	14	61	Very slightly.
3	Roumanian	" 24	104	36—40	"	2—2½	"	13	20	62 Slightly.
4	Mahmoudi	" 24	104	30—36	Weak..	1½—2½	"	8	60	Very slightly.

EXPERIMENTS WITH EMMER AND SPELT.

Two varieties of Emmer and two varieties of Spelt were sown in plots of one-fortieth acre each May 12. The land was similar to and received the same treatment as that on which the other wheats were grown. These varieties are separated from the bread wheats for the reason that they are useful principally for grinding for stock feed, and from the fact that in ordinary threshing the chaff is not separated from the kernels. The yield of these plots is given in pounds for the reason that this grain in the chaff cannot fairly be compared with other sorts of wheat which are threshed clean.

EMMER AND SPELT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Proportion Rusted.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Red Spelt	Aug. 30	110	36—42	Stiff	2—3½	Beardless	3,120	1,400	36	Badly.
2	White Spelt	" 30	110	37—43	"	3½—5	"	1,120	33	"
3	Common Emmer....	" 22	102	28—33	Weak....	1½—2	Bearded..	2,280	1,040	40	Slightly.
4	Red Emmer	" 30	110	35—40	Stiff	2½—3	" ..	2,200	1,000	35	"

EXPERIMENTS WITH FIELD PEASE.

The land on which the pease were sown was a clay loam, and was previously in clover and timothy. The land was not in a fertile condition. The only fertilizing it ever received was a crop of green pease ploughed under in 1901, and an application of marsh mud in 1902. The sod was ploughed in the fall and this spring was worked up once each with the disc, spade, and springtooth harrows. No fertilizer was used. The seed was sown with the seed drill at the rate of from 2 to 3 bushels per acre according to the size of the pea. Mammoth Red clover at the rate of 10 lbs. per acre was also sown. The plots were one-fortieth acre each. Thirty-one varieties were sown May 28. The growth of vine was short, and the yield per acre small. The following particulars were obtained from these plots:—

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.		Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
					In.	In.			Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
1	Prince Albert	Sept. 5	100	Strong	35-40	1½-2	Small	36	40	60	
2	Carleton	" 5	100	Medium	32-36	2-2½	Medium	36	..	60	
3	Agnes	" 5	100	Strong	38-42	2-3	Large	34	..	61	
4	Archer	" 5	100	"	36-40	2-2½	Medium	33	20	60½	
5	Nelson	Aug. 30	94	Medium	30-34	2-2½	"	33	20	61	
6	King	" 30	94	"	35-40	2-2½	"	32	..	61	
7	Macoun	Sept. 5	100	Strong	35-40	2-3	Large	31	20	60	
8	Golden Vine	Aug. 30	94	Medium	32-36	1½-2	Small	31	20	61	
9	Pride	Sept. 2	97	"	30-36	2-2½	Medium	30	40	58	
10	Victoria	" 7	102	Strong	35-40	2-3	Large	30	40	61	
11	Prince	" 5	100	"	33-41	2-2½	Medium	29	..	60	
12	Gregory	" 5	100	"	36-40	2-2½	Large	28	40	60	
13	Large White Marrowfat	" 2	97	"	36-42	2-3	"	28	..	61	
14	Mackay	" 5	100	"	33-38	2-2½	"	28	..	60	
15	Crown	Aug. 30	94	Medium	30-34	1½-2	Small	27	20	63	
16	Chancellor	" 30	94	"	24-28	1½-2	"	26	40	60	
17	Duke	Sept. 2	97	"	28-33	2-2½	Medium	26	..	61	
18	German White	Aug. 30	94	"	26-30	1½-2	Small	26	..	62	
19	Black eyed Marrowfat	Sept. 5	100	"	35-40	2-3	Large	25	20	61	
20	Picton	" 5	100	"	30-40	2-2½	Medium	25	..	61	
21	Pearl	" 5	100	"	30-36	2-2½	"	24	40	59	
22	Arthur	Aug. 30	94	"	30-33	2-2½	"	24	..	62	
23	Wisconsin Blue	" 31	95	"	30-33	1½-2	"	24	..	62	
24	Daniel O'Rourke	" 29	93	"	32-36	1½-2	Small	23	20	62	
25	Mummy	" 31	95	"	30-33	2-2½	Medium	22	40	62	
26	Kent	Sept. 5	100	"	35-40	2-2½	Large	22	40	60½	
27	English Grey	Aug. 30	94	"	24-30	2-2½	Medium	21	20	61	
28	Early Britain	" 30	94	"	28-32	1½-2	"	20	40	61	
29	Paragon	" 29	93	Poor	24-30	1½-2	"	20	..	62	
30	Prussian Blue	" 30	94	Medium	28-33	2-2½	Small	19	20	61	
31	White Wonder	" 29	93	Poor	24-26	2-2½	Medium	12	40	62	

EXPERIMENTS WITH BUCKWHEAT.

Five varieties of buckwheat were sown June 11, with the seed drill. The plots were one-fortieth of an acre each. They were cut September 5. The soil was a clay loam and had clover and timothy as a previous crop. The land was not in a fertile condition. The only fertilizing it ever received was a crop of green pease ploughed under in 1901, and an application of marsh mud in 1902. The sod was ploughed in the fall and worked up this spring by going over it twice with the springtooth, and once each with the spade and smoothing harness. The following yields per acre were obtained:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BUCKWHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
	Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Siberian or Tartarian.....	29	8	49
Silverhull.....	22	24	50
Grey.....	21	32	49
Rye.....	21	32	52
Japanese.....	15	..	48

FIELD CROPS OF GRAIN.

Four acres of grain were sown in acre plots, May 30. The land was a light clay loam and was previously in corn, having been manured for that crop with 30 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. The ground was ploughed this spring and worked up with the springtooth and smoothing harrows. The seed was sown with the seed drill. One acre was in barley, sown at the rate of two bushels of seed per acre; one acre White oats and one of Black oats sown at the rate of three bushels per acre, and one acre of mixed grain, made up of two bushels Sensation oats, one bushel of Odessa barley and one peck Golden Vine pease, sown at the rate of three bushels per acre. The land was also seeded down to clover and Timothy. The following table gives the yield per acre, and varieties used:—

Name of Variety.	When Cut.	Weight per Bushel.	Yield per Acre.	
		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1 acre Odessa barley.....	Aug. 22...	48	27	26
1 " Sensation oats.....	Sept. 3....	37	56	25
1 " Black Tartarian oats.....	" 7.....	34	40	27
1 " mixed grain.....	Aug. 30....	42	47	13

FIELD CROP OF MIXED GRAIN—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

Six half-acre plots were sown with mixed grain. The land was in a poor state of fertility. It was previously in clover and Timothy, which sod was ploughed last fall. The seed was sown May 30, and was made up of Sensation oats, two bushels; Odessa barley, one bushel; and Golden Vine pease, one peck; mixed together and sown at the rate of three bushels seed per acre.

The ground was worked up with the spade, springtooth, and smoothing harrows, and the seed sown with the seed drill. Fertilizers were sown on four of these plots by means of a fertilizer attachment to the seeder, and two were left without fertilizers. The crop was cut August 5, and the following yield per acre obtained:—

FIELD CROP OF MIXED GRAIN FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

Size of Plot and Fertilizer Used.	Yield per Acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.
1/2 acre; no fertilizer used.....	26	..
1/2 acre; Pidgeon's Fine Ground Bonemeal, 250 lbs. per acre.....	35	..
1/2 acre; 'Imperial' brand fertilizer, 250 lbs. per acre.....	33	..
1/2 acre; no fertilizer used.....	28	..
1/2 acre; Pidgeon's Fine Ground Bonemeal, 250 lbs. per acre.....	34	..
1/2 acre; 'Imperial' brand fertilizer, 250 lbs. per acre.....	34	20

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

FIELD CROP OF MIXED GRAIN.

One field of six acres was seeded to mixed grain, May 14. Three pounds of Alsike, 7 pounds of Mammoth Red clover and 12 pounds of Timothy seed per acre were also sown at the same time. The land is a clay loam, and was previously in clover, being in grain in 1902, and in roots in 1901, for which crop, stable manure at the rate of 25 one-horse cart loads per acre was used. The ground was in a fair state of fertility. The crop was cut August 17, and the field yielded at the rate of 45 bushels per acre, weighing 42 pounds per bushel. The field was seeded at the rate of three bushels per acre with seed made up as follows:—Sensation oats, 2 bushels; Odessa barley, 1 bushel; Golden Vine pease, 1 peck mixed together.

FIELD CROP OF OATS ON MARSH LANDS.

Three acres of oats were sown May 16 on marsh land that had been ploughed the previous fall. This was seeded broadcast by hand and Timothy and clover seed was also sown. The dry summer was particularly disastrous to marsh grain crops. The ground became hard and dry and very little growth was made after the early part of July. On account of the dykes breaking in the fall of 1903 this land was flooded by tide water, which may also have had a tendency to decrease the yield. The grain was cut August 22, and gave a total yield of 80 bushels or an average yield of 26 bushels, 21 pounds per acre.

FIELD CROP OF BUCKWHEAT.

Five acres of buckwheat was sown on land three acres of which had been in rape last year, and two acres in sand vetch. These two crops made light growth, and were pastured to sheep. This ground is practically new land in a poor state of fertility, and has been used as a sheep pasture for years. It was ploughed this spring and was worked up with the springtooth and smoothing harrows and seeded to buckwheat at the rate of $1\frac{1}{4}$ bushels per acre, on June 16. The crop was harvested September 7. The yield from this field was 64 bushels, or averaging hardly 13 bushels per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

The soil chosen for the corn plots is a light clay loam. The previous crop was turnips, for which crop 35 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre was applied. The ground was not ploughed last fall. It was worked up this spring first with the spade harrow and then manured at the rate of 15 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre, which was ploughed under and again worked up with the spade harrow, followed by the springtooth and smoothing harrows. Complete fertilizer at the rate of 400 pounds per acre was sown along the rows and hills when the seed was planted.

The seed was planted in hills and rows June 1. One set of plots was in hills 3 feet apart each way, and from 4 to 6 plants were left in a hill, and the other was in rows 3 feet apart and the plants were thinned to about 6 inches apart. There were twenty varieties included in this test. The crop was harvested and weighed September 28. The yield per acre is calculated from the weight obtained from two rows each 66 feet long. The wind storm of August 23 and frost September 1 did some damage to those plots, after which they made little growth.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Height.	When Tasselled.	In Silk.	Condition when cut.	Weight per acre grown in rows.		Weight per acre grown in hills.	
		Inches				Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Thoroughbred White Flint....	85	Sept. 20..	Tasselling ...	20	1,030	17	870
2	Salzer's All Gold.....	94	Aug. 31..	Sept. 9..	Silked.....	20	700	15	250
3	Red Cob Ensilage.....	98	" 31..	" 20..	" ..	17	870	17	650
4	Pride of the North.....	102	" 31..	" 20..	" ..	17	320	14	270
5	Superior Fodder.....	84	Sept. 9..	Tasselling ...	17	100	15	30
6	King Philip.....	90	Aug. 15..	Aug. 25..	Soft glazed ..	15	1,900	15	1,350
7	Longfellow.....	84	" 10..	" 20..	Glazed.....	15	1,680	14	1,150
8	Eureka.....	95	" 31..	Silked.....	15	1,350	14	1,700
9	Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	97	Sept. 5..	" ..	15	800	13	1,830
10	Evergreen Sugar.....	86	Aug. 15..	Aug. 25..	Watery.....	15	800	12	200
11	Angel of Midnight.....	86	" 10..	" 20..	Glazed.....	14	1,480	15	140
12	White Cap Yellow Dent.....	100	" 23..	" 31..	Watery.....	14	930	12	970
13	Early Butler.....	78	" 30..	Silked.....	14	490	10	1,230
14	Compton's Early.....	80	" 10..	Aug. 20..	Glazed.....	13	1,500	11	770
15	Early Mastodon.....	82	" 27..	Sept. 5..	Silked.....	13	950	12	750
16	Cloud's Yellow Dent.....	36	" 25..	" 20..	" ..	12	1,850	11	1,100
17	Champion White Pearl.....	96	" 27..	" 3..	Watery.....	12	750	10	1,120
18	North Dakota.....	72	" 13..	Aug. 27..	Soft glazed ..	11	1,650	10	350
19	Mammoth Cuban.....	80	" 27..	Sept. 5..	Silked.....	11	1,430	11	1,850
20	Selected Leaming.....	88	" 25..	" 15..	" ..	10	350	8	1,270

FIELD CROP OF CORN—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

Three acres of corn was planted in rows 3 feet apart, June 10, on a soil of a light clay loam character. One-third running across one end of the field was in clover the previous year; in grain in 1902, and roots in 1901, for which crop 30 one-horse cart loads of stable manure was used per acre. One-third running across the centre of the field was in grain last year, having been manured in 1902 for roots at the rate of 35 one-horse cart loads per acre, and one-third running across the other end of the field was in roots last year, having been manured for that crop with 35 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. The rows ran lengthwise of the field across each of these three differently treated pieces of the field. The two former, clover and grain stubble, were ploughed the fall previous, and the third, on which roots were grown, was not ploughed in the fall. This spring the whole field was worked up by going over it once each with the disc and spade harrows, after which stable manure at the rate of 20 tons per acre was scattered broadcast with the manure spreader and ploughed under. The ground was again gone over with the spade, springtooth and smoothing harrows, and put into good tilth.

Four varieties of corn of $\frac{1}{4}$ acres each were planted. One-third of each variety was fertilized in addition to the manure with 400 lbs. of fertilizer per acre; one-third with 200 lbs. per acre, and the other third manure only. The fertilizer was scattered broadcast and harrowed in. Each plot of $\frac{1}{4}$ acre was six rows running the entire length of the field.

The first frost on September 1, which was much earlier than usual, damaged the crop slightly; while a severe frost on September 23 of 9° did considerable damage, and very materially reduced the yield per acre. The following table gives the name of variety sown, how treated, and yield per acre:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

The land chosen for the turnip plots was in grain the previous season, having been in roots in 1902, for which crop 30 one-horse cart-loads of stable manure per acre was used. It was ploughed in the fall and worked up this spring once with the spade harrow and manured at the rate of 25 one-horse cart-loads of stable manure per acre and ploughed. This was worked up with the spade harrow again and once with the springtooth. Complete fertilizer at the rate of 400 lbs. per acre was sown broadcast, and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow. The ground was run into rows 24 inches apart. The rows were raked off by hand, and the plots planted with the Planet Jr., seed drill No. 5. The plants were thinned to about one foot apart in the rows. The soil was a light clay loam. The plots were sown May 30, and a duplicate set planted June 13. Twenty varieties were included in the test. The crops on both sets of plots were pulled October 24, and the yield per acre calculated from the weight obtained from 2 rows each of 66 feet long. Continued dry weather from the time of sowing up to July 21 resulted in the plants making poor progress. Some plants were destroyed by cut-worms. The latter part of the season was favourable for growth.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre 1st Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre 1st Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre 2nd Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre 2nd Plot Sown.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Perfection Swede.	40	335	1,339	15	34	1,300	1,155	..
2	Jumbo	39	375	1,306	15	35	785	1,179	45
3	Good Luck.	38	1,880	1,298	..	35	125	1,168	45
4	Carter's Elephant.	38	1,220	1,287	..	32	350	1,072	30
5	Hartley's Bronze.	38	625	1,277	5	34	475	1,141	15
6	Emperor Swede.	37	1,900	1,265	..	35	950	1,182	30
7	Selected Purple Top.	37	1,735	1,262	15	30	1,875	1,031	15
8	Kangaroo.	37	745	1,245	45	33	1,980	1,133	..
9	Drummond Purple Top.	37	250	1,237	30	31	1,855	1,064	15
10	Magnum Bonum.	36	1,425	1,223	45	34	145	1,135	45
11	Mammoth Clyde.	35	1,775	1,196	15	33	825	1,113	45
12	Hall's Westbury.	35	950	1,182	30	33	1,650	1,127	30
13	Sutton's Champion.	35	785	1,179	45	33	1,155	1,119	15
14	Halewood's Bronze Top.	35	455	1,174	15	33	..	1,100	..
15	Imperial Swede.	34	1,300	1,155	..	31	700	1,045	..
16	Skirvings.	33	1,980	1,133	..	28	925	948	45
17	Bangholm Selected.	32	1,175	1,086	15	28	255	937	35
18	Elephant's Master.	31	1,855	1,064	15	33	495	1,108	15
19	New Century.	31	205	1,036	45	31	1,525	1,058	45
20	East Lothian.	30	1,545	1,025	45	29	575	976	15

FIELD CROP OF TURNIPS—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

Seven acres of turnips were sown June 10 and 14 on soil of a light clay loam character. The soil was the same as that on which the field corn and mangels were grown. One-third running across one end of the field was in clover the previous year, in grain in 1902, and roots in 1901, for which crop 30 one-horse cart-loads of stable manure per acre was used. One-third running across the centre of the field was in grain last year, having been manured in 1902 for roots at the rate of 35 one-horse cart-loads per acre, and one-third running across the other end of the field was in roots last year, having been manured for that crop with 35 one-horse cart-loads of stable manure per acre. The rows ran lengthwise of the field across each of these three differently treated pieces of land. The pieces on which clover and oats were grown

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

were ploughed last fall, and the root piece was not ploughed until this spring. This spring the whole field was worked up by going over it once each with the disc and spade harrows, after which stable manure at the rate of 20 tons per acre was scattered broadcast with the manure spreader and ploughed under. The ground was again gone over with the spade, springtooth, and smoothing harrows and put into a good state of tilth.

Five acres were sown with a different variety for each acre. One-third of each acre was fertilized in addition to the manure (20 tons per acre) with Bowker's square brand complete fertilizer, at the rate of 500 lbs. per acre; one-third 250 lbs. per acre, and another one-third of each manure only. Two additional acres were sown with one variety. On one-third of each acre there was added to the manure fertilizers at the rate of 1,000 lbs. per acre; one-third of each acre at the rate of 500 lbs. per acre, and one-third of one acre was left for manure only, and one-third of the other acre was given an additional coat of 20 tons stable manure per acre, making a total of 40 tons. Each plot was 8 rows running the entire length of the field, or one-third of an acre. The first part of the summer was so extremely dry that the roots made poor growth. They made fair growth after the first of August. The cutworm also did considerable damage. The following table gives the name of variety sown, how treated, date of harvesting and yield per acre:—

FIELD CROP OF TURNIPS—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

Name of Variety, how Fertilized, size of Plot, and date when pulled.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
<i>Hartley's Bronze Top.</i>				
(Pulled October 21.)				
$\frac{1}{3}$ acre—Manure and Bowker's 'Square' brand fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre..	28	55	934	15
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " " " " 250 " ..	27	1,725	928	45
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " alone, 20 tons per acre.....	24	285	804	45
<i>Purple Top Swede.</i>				
(Pulled October 20.)				
$\frac{1}{3}$ acre—Manure and Bowker's 'Square' brand fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre..	20	785	679	45
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " " " " 250 " ..	21	1,770	729	30
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " alone, 20 tons per acre.....	21	630	710	30
<i>Carter's Elephant.</i>				
(Pulled November 16.)				
$\frac{1}{3}$ acre—Manure and Bowker's 'Square' brand fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre..	25	1,015	850	15
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " " " " 250 " ..	26	935	882	15
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " alone, 20 tons per acre.....	24	1,140	819	..
<i>Kangaroo.</i>				
(Pulled October 19.)				
$\frac{1}{3}$ acre—Manure and Bowker's 'Square' brand fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre..	21	405	706	45
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " " " " 250 " ..	20	770	679	30
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " alone, 20 tons per acre.....	18	285	604	45
<i>Empress Swede.</i>				
(Pulled October 21.)				
$\frac{1}{3}$ acre—Manure and Bowker's 'Square' brand fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre..	19	565	642	45
$\frac{1}{3}$ " " " " " 250 " ..	19	976	649	36
" " alone, 20 tons per acre.....	17	1,760	596	..

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FIELD CROP OF TURNIPS—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.—*Concluded.*

Name of Variety, how Fertilized, size of Plot, and date when pulled.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
<i>Purple Top Swede.</i>	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
(Pulled November 7.)				
$\frac{1}{2}$ acre—Manure and 'Thomas' Phosphate Powder, 1,000 lbs per acre.....	28	250	937	30
$\frac{1}{2}$ " " " alone, 20 tons per acre.....	28	925	948	45
$\frac{1}{2}$ " " " alone, 20 tons per acre.....	26	1,175	886	15
<i>Purple Top Swede.</i>				
(Pulled November 2.)				
$\frac{1}{2}$ acre—Manure and Pidgeon's 'Intense' brand fertilizer, 1,000 lbs. per acre.	26	1,850	897	30
$\frac{1}{2}$ " " " " " 500 " ..	24	1,230	820	30
$\frac{1}{2}$ " " " alone, 40 tons per acre.....	27	1,275	921	15

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

The ground selected for the mangel plots was a light clay loam. It was in clover the previous year, in grain in 1902, and in roots in 1901, for which crop 30 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre was used. The clover sod was ploughed last fall and this spring was worked up with the spade harrow and manured with 25 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre, which was ploughed in and worked up with the spade and springtooth harrows. Complete fertilizer at the rate of 400 lbs. per acre was sown broadcast and harrowed in with the smoothing harrow, after which rows were run 24 inches apart. The plots were sown May 30, and a duplicate set on June 13. The rows were raked off and the seed sown with the Planet Jr., hand seed drill. The crop was harvested October 12. The yield per acre has been determined from the weight obtained from two rows, each 66 feet long. The mangel crop suffered considerably from the ravages of the cutworm. The early-sown plot of Prize Winner Yellow Globe was so badly destroyed that reliable weights could not be obtained. The continued dry weather until after the middle of July also prevented satisfactory growth, while the latter part of the season was fairly suitable.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

No.	Name of Variety	Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre.
		1st Plot Sown.	1st Plot Sown.	2nd Plot Sown.	2nd Plot Sown.
		Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Tons. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
1	Yellow Intermediate.....	40 1,015	1,850 15	31 1,525	1,058 45
2	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	36 435	1,207 15	30 1,880	1,023
3	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	33 660	1,111	31 535	1,042 15
4	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	33 495	1,108 15	27 285	904 45
5	Giant Sugar Mangel.....	28 1,090	951 30	24 530	803 50
6	Half Long Sugar White.....	26 305	871 45	25 490	841 30
7	Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	25 1,810	863 30	24 510	808 30
8	Leviathan Long Red.....	25 490	841 30	21 900	715
9	Giant Yellow Globe.....	22 1,870	761 30	22 550	742 30
10	Selected Yellow Globe.....	22 1,540	759	21 735	712 15
11	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	21 1,395	723 15	24 1,005	816 45
12	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	20 1,085	684 45	20 590	676 30
13	Gate Post.....	20 425	673 45	25 1,480	858
14	Mammoth Long Red.....	19 1,600	660	18 630	610 30
15	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	19 280	638	19 610	643 30
16	Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....			15 855	514 15

SESSIONAL PAPER No 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of sugar beets were tested. These were on plots adjoining the mangel plots and received the same treatment in every particular. The dry weather prevailing during the early part of the season also prevented this crop from making good growth. The seed was sown May 30, and duplicate plots were sown June 13. The plots were each two rows, 66 feet long. The crop was harvested October 12. The following table gives the yield per acre obtained.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

No.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot Sown.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Red Top Sugar	26	140	869	..	27	1,440	924	..
2	Royal Giant	24	1,830	830	30	20	425	673	45
3	Improved Imperial	23	355	772	35	21	75	701	15
4	Wanzleben	22	880	748	..	18	1,455	624	15
5	Danish Improved	20	1,910	698	30	19	775	646	15
6	Danish Red Top	20	95	668	15	20	755	679	15
7	Vilmorin's Improved	18	795	613	15	16	1,330	555	30
8	French Very Rich	14	1,205	486	45	12	1,575	426	15

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

Ten varieties of carrots were under test. They were grown in plots adjoining the turnip plots and received the same treatment in every particular. The seed was sown May 30, and duplicate plots were sown two weeks later, June 13. Each plot was two rows 66 feet long. The carrots also failed to make good growth owing to the dry weather prevailing during the first part of the summer. The crop was harvested October 25, and the following yields were obtained.

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre. 1st Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot Sown.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot Sown.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Mammoth White Intermediate	21	1,890	731	30	18	1,620	627	..
2	Carter's Orange Giant	20	1,910	698	30	19	1,105	651	45
3	White Belgian	20	260	671	..	18	1,445	624	5
4	Long Yellow Stump-rooted	19	1,765	662	45	18	1,950	632	30
5	New White Intermediate	18	1,290	621	30	17	1,145	585	45
6	Giant White Vosges	18	960	616	..	16	340	539	..
7	Ontario Champion	18	630	610	30	18	960	616	..
8	Half Long Chantenay	18	465	607	45	17	1,970	599	30
9	Early Gem	17	1,475	591	15	16	1,330	555	30
10	Improved Short White	16	1,660	561	..	14	710	478	30

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

The land on which the potatoes were grown was a clay loam. The previous crop was clover. The ground was manured early in the fall with stable manure at the

rate of 20 one-horse cart loads per acre and at once ploughed under. In the spring this was gone over with the spade and springtooth harrow and ploughed. It was again worked once each with the spade, springtooth and smoothing harrows. Rows were run 30 inches apart and about 4 inches deep and potato fertilizer at the rate of 400 lbs. per acre scattered along the rows before planting. The sets were dropped one foot apart in these rows and covered with the plough. The tubers were cut so as to have from 2 to 3 eyes in each set. The drills were harrowed down once before the plants were above the ground and again drilled up in a few days and the soil kept loose with the cultivator until the vines were quite large. The field was hoed once by hand. The plots were sprayed with Bordeaux mixture and Paris green on July 20, August 5 and August 26. There was no blight noticeable on these plots and the tubers were free from rot. Forty-five varieties were included in the test. They were planted May 31 and dug September 1 and 3. Each plot was two rows, each 66 feet long. They yielded as follows:—

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Quality.	Total Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre of Marketable.	Yield per Acre of Un- marketable.	Form and Colour.
			Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	
1	Vick's Extra Early.....	Good.....	495 ..	429 ..	66 ..	Long, round, white.
2	Carman No. 1.....	"	462 ..	374 ..	88 ..	Flat, round, white.
3	Irish Cobbler.....	"	439 ..	356 24	83 36	Round, white.
4	Pearce	"	424 36	358 36	66 ..	Long, pink and white.
5	Green Mountain.....	"	418 ..	319 ..	99 ..	Flat, round, white.
6	Country Gentleman.....	"	418 ..	316 48	101 12	Long, pink and white.
7	Delaware	"	413 36	323 24	90 12	Flat, round, white.
8	Rochester Rose.....	"	409 12	352 ..	57 12	Oblong, pink.
9	Rose No. 9	Medium...	400 24	330 ..	70 24	"
10	State of Maine.....	Good.....	398 12	316 48	81 24	Round, white.
11	Everett	"	396 ..	297 ..	99 ..	Flat, round, white.
12	General Gordon.....	"	396 ..	308 ..	88 ..	Oblong, pink.
13	Early St. George.....	"	391 36	275 ..	116 36	"
14	Clay Rose.....	Medium...	385 ..	323 24	61 36	Round, pink.
15	I. X. L.....	Good.....	385 ..	259 36	125 24	Long, pink and white.
16	Pingree	"	374 ..	332 12	41 48	Oblong, white.
17	Early Norther.....	"	374 ..	290 24	83 36	Long, pink and white.
18	Prolific Rose.....	"	369 36	275 ..	94 36	Oblong, pink.
19	Maule's Thoroughbred.....	"	367 24	308 ..	59 24	Long, pink.
20	Uncle Sam.....	"	363 ..	281 36	81 24	Round, white.
21	Empire State.....	"	363 ..	286 ..	77 ..	Long, white.
22	Dreer's Standard.....	"	356 24	264 ..	92 24	Round, white.
23	Bovee.....	"	354 12	255 12	99 ..	Oblong, pink and white.
24	Early Envoy.....	"	352 ..	264 ..	88 ..	Long, pink.
25	Enormous.....	"	352 ..	279 24	72 36	Round, white.
26	Penn Manor.....	"	352 ..	259 36	92 24	Long, dark pink.
27	Burnaby Seedling.....	"	345 24	257 24	88 ..	Long, pink and white.
28	Seedling No. 7.....	Medium...	343 12	281 36	61 36	Oblong, pink.
29	Early Sunrise.....	Good.....	341 ..	264 ..	77 ..	Long, round, pink.
30	Early White Prize.....	"	341 ..	255 12	85 48	Long, white.
31	Early Michigan.....	"	341 ..	231 ..	110 ..	Oblong, white.
32	Rawdon Rose.....	"	336 36	244 12	92 24	Round, pink and white.
33	Cambridge Russet.....	"	334 24	253 ..	81 24	Oblong, white.
34	Canadian Beauty.....	"	332 12	257 24	74 48	Long, pink and white.
35	Money Maker.....	"	331 ..	237 36	92 24	Long, white.
36	Late Puritan.....	"	323 24	233 12	90 12	Long, pink and white.
37	Early Andes.....	"	321 12	248 36	72 36	Round, pink.
38	American Giant.....	Medium...	319 ..	224 24	94 36	Long, pink.
39	Swiss Snowflake.....	Good.....	314 36	176 ..	138 36	Oblong, white.
40	Reeves' Rose.....	"	312 24	246 24	66 ..	Long, pink.
41	Sabeau's Elephant.....	"	308 ..	228 48	79 12	Long, white.
42	Early Rose.....	"	299 12	228 48	70 24	Long, pink.
43	Holborn Abundance.....	Medium...	297 ..	171 36	125 24	Oblong, white.
44	American Wonder.....	Good.....	253 ..	198 ..	55 ..	Long, white.
45	Carman No. 3.....	"	242 ..	213 24	28 36	Flat, round, white.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS TO TEST THE VALUE OF BUG DEATH AS COMPARED WITH PARIS GREEN AND BORDEAUX AND PARIS GREEN ON POTATOES.

Experiments were again conducted to test the comparative value of Bug Death, Paris green and Bordeaux Mixture and Paris green. The plots were alike in treatment of soil, date of planting, &c. The variety, Carman No. 1, was used. The soil was similar on all these plots and was treated in the same way. The plots were each 1-33 of an acre.

On one plot two applications of Bug Death were given, one on July 20 and one August 5. Four pounds of Bug Death was dusted on the plants at each application. The vines were quite large and this amount just nicely covered the leaves. It was applied in the early morning when the dew was on. Bugs were just commencing to feed at the time of the first application, and very few were noticed at the time of second application.

Paris Green and water at the rate of 1 oz. to 10 gallons of water, and one quart of lime water added, was applied by means of a spray pump to one plot, July 20 and August 5. At the time of the second application, about as many bugs were present on this plot as on the plot where Bug Death was used.

Poisoned Bordeaux Mixture, made of 4 lbs. bluestone, 4 lbs. lime, 4 ounces of Paris green and 40 gallons of water, was sprayed on a third plot July 20, August 4 and August 26. As many bugs were noticed at the time of the second application as were on the other two plots. No bugs were present at the time of the third application.

The Bug Death was quite as effective in killing the bugs as either the Paris green or poisoned Bordeaux. No blight was noticeable on any of these plots. The following yields per acre have been calculated from the weight of tubers taken from each of these plots of 1-33 of an acre:—

How treated.	Yield per acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.
Bordeaux and Paris green.. . . .	356	24
Bug Death.. . . .	340	16
Paris green.. . . .	319	..

MATERIALS USED AND COST PER ACRE.

Bug Death Plot.

1st application, 132 lbs. per acre, at 7c. per lb.. . . .	\$ 9 24
2nd application, 132 lbs. per acre, at 7c. per lb.. . . .	9 24
	<hr/>
	\$18 48

Paris Green Plot.

4 lbs. Paris green at 25 cts. per lb.. . . .	\$ 1 00
--	---------

Bordeaux and Paris Green Plot.

50 lbs. bluestone at 8 cts. per lb.. . . .	\$ 4 00
50 lbs. rock lime at 1 ct. per lb.. . . .	50
4 lbs. Paris green at 25 cts. per lb.. . . .	1 00
	<hr/>
	\$5 50

EXPERIMENTS WITH SOJA BEANS AND HORSE BEANS.

Experiments were again conducted with Soja beans and Horse beans to test their relative value as forage crops, and also the yield per acre when grown in rows at different distances apart. The plots were 1-10 acre each. The soil was a clay loam in a good state of fertility. The seed was sown June 13. Many of the plants of both these plots were destroyed by the cutworms. The 'Black Dolphin' aphid destroyed the remaining plants of Horse Beans, and a frost September 1 killed the Soja Beans, making it impossible to obtain any reliable data from either of these plots.

EXPERIMENTS WITH ALFALFA.

A one-fortieth acre plot of Alfalfa was sown early in June, 1902, with barley as a nurse-crop. The nurse-crop was cut early in August. The plants only made fair growth and during the following winter were all killed out except a few plants. These made very poor growth during the season of 1903, and now only two weak plants remain.

In 1903 a similar plot was sown early in June. Wheat being used as a nurse-crop, was left uncut and allowed to remain as a protection to the plants during winter. The Alfalfa plants made a good start and nearly all came through the winter, but were in a sickly condition and made very poor growth this season. A few odd plants of Red clover that happened by chance to get into this plot lived through the winter and made exceptionally good growth. This plot was cut twice through the summer, at which times the Alfalfa was only from 4 to 6 inches high, while the few plants of Red clover in this plot were at least three times their height and weight. The soil of these two plots was a heavy clay, underdrained, in a fair state of fertility and well cultivated before sowing.

This season a plot of 1-10 acre of Alfalfa was sown. The soil was a heavy clay, underdrained, and in a good state of fertility. This land was plowed May 13 and well worked up. It was again worked May 29, June 20 and 29 with the springtooth and smoothing harrows. On July 7 this ground was again worked with the spade, springtooth and smoothing harrows and Alfalfa sown at the rate of 25 lbs. per acre with the grain seed drill. One-half of the plot was sown with wheat at the rate of 2 bushels per acre as a nurse-crop, and the other half with Alfalfa alone. The Alfalfa on the plot without a nurse-crop made a much more satisfactory growth than that with the nurse-crop, and was much better than that of any former year. On October 20 the growth of that sown alone averaged 10 to 12 inches, and that with the nurse-crop averaged only 5 to 7 inches. The nurse-crop, which made a growth of about 24 inches, was allowed to remain as a protection during winter.

MILLET.

Six varieties of millet were grown on land that was in grain last year. The ground was manured last fall at the rate of 15 one-horse cart loads per acre and ploughed under this spring. This ground was again ploughed and worked up with the disc, springtooth and smoothing harrows. The seed was sown June 15 with the Planet Jr. hand drill in plots of one-fortieth acre each. The crop was cut August 29, while still in a green state for feed. The yield per acre is for green feed when cut.

	Tons.	Lbs.
Moha Green California..	14	750
Italian or Indian..	10	350
Pearl or Cat Tail..	7	
White Round French..	5	1,750
Algerian..	5	1,050
Moha Hungarian..	5	250

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CLOVER EXPERIMENTS.

Experiments were again conducted this season for the purpose of determining the gain, if any, from growing clover with grain crops for the purpose of turning under the growth made during the season for the benefit of future crops. The ground was the same as that on which similar clover experiments were conducted last season. The soil was a clay loam in a fair state of fertility. Three kinds of grain were sown and each of these series of plots were treated the same. Six plots were seeded down at the time the grain was sown, May 31, with Mammoth Red clover at the rate of 10 pounds per acre, and six with grain alone without clover. These plots were sown in a similar manner last season, and those seeded to clover this year had been seeded to clover last year, and those not seeded to clover this season had not been seeded to clover last year. The ground was ploughed in the fall and this spring was worked up with the disc, springtooth and smoothing harrows and the seed sown with the seed drill. The growth of clover on these plots was very light in 1903, consequently no very great difference in the yield per acre of grain from them this year could be expected. The clover on the plots seeded to clover was again unusually light and although starting well was killed out badly by the continued dry weather during June and July. Late sown grain rusted badly; especially was this the case with late sown wheat. The White Fife series of plots were so badly rusted that the crop was not worth threshing for the grain alone. The wheat was cut August 30; the oats, August 31; and the barley, August 27. The plots were one-fortieth acre each and gave the following yields per acre.

EXPERIMENTS WITH CLOVER SOWN WITH GRAIN.

Name of Variety of grain and how seeded.	Yield per Acre.	
	Bush.	Lbs.
<i>White Fife Wheat.</i>		
No. 1—Without clover.....	6	40
" 2—With clover.....	6	50
" 3—Without clover.....	6	20
" 4—With clover.....	5	10
<i>Waverley Oats.</i>		
No. 1—Without clover.....	54	24
" 2—With clover.....	62	32
" 3—Without clover.....	57	2
" 4—With clover.....	60	20
<i>Odessa Barley.</i>		
No. 1—Without clover.....	20	20
" 2—With clover.....	23	26
" 3—Without clover.....	27	4
" 4—With clover.....	27	24

SPECIAL EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS.

Special experiments with fertilizers of various kinds commonly used for field crops have been conducted for the past five years. It was decided that the further fertilizing of these plots should be abandoned and the land seeded to grain for some years, to determine the extent to which these fertilizers already applied would continue to supply the crop with the required plant food. Accordingly the field was seeded entirely to grain. Mammoth Red clover was sown on one-half of the field at the rate of 10 pounds per acre at the same time. The other half was not seeded to clover.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

The plots were one-eighth acre each on which fertilizers of different kinds had been previously applied. These plots were divided into ten strips 14 feet wide, each running lengthwise across all the different fertilized plots. These strips were sown with five different kinds of grain: namely, oats, wheat, barley, pease and mixed grain. A margin of two feet was left between each plot, and one foot between each crop plot. The yield from these plots is given in the following table:

Fertilizers used each Year during the past Five Years, per Acre.	Tartar King Oats with Clo- ver.		Tartar King Oats without Clover.		Colorado Wheat with Clover.		Colorado Wheat without Clover.		Standwell Barley with Clover.		Standwell Barley without Clover.		Mixed Grain with Clover.		Mixed Grain without Clover.		Pease, Golden Vine, with Clover.		Pease, Golden Vine, without Clover.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1 Manure, 30 tons.....	67	22 85	10 16	40 22	30 50	56	12 57	20 60	29	10 30
2 Manure, 15 tons, fertilizer, 250 lbs	72	2 73	18 20	.. 19	10 32	4 51	2 61	10 65	.. 30	50 35
3 Complete fertilizer, 1,000 lbs.....	44	4 47	2 15	.. 15	50 35	20 37	4 41	10 40	.. 24	16 23
4 " " 500 lbs.....	45	20 41	6 12	30 14	10 33	16 24	18 37	20 45	.. 21	40 20
5 Check. No fertilizer used.....	36	26 38	8 10	.. 10	50 22	44 27	4 42	20 42	.. 20	15 ..	13 20
6 Bone-meal, 1,000 lbs.....	52	32 48	18 11	40 11	40 33	16 29	8 43	30 41	10 25	.. 22	30
7 " " 500 lbs.....	41	6 52	32 10	50 11	40 31	12 37	24 37	20 45	.. 30	.. 26	40
8 Ashes, 2,500 lbs.....	47	2 55	30 11	40 12	5 43	36 46	42 35	.. 47	20 28	.. 20	27 30
9 Manure, rotted, 20 tons.....	66	6 73	18 15	50 17	30 54	8 50	.. 56	10 65	.. 29	10 31	40
10 Check. No fertilizer used.....	33	28 29	14 6	40 7	30 20	40 22	44 30	.. 27	20 16	.. 40	11 40
11 Land plaster, 500 lbs.....	38	8 32	12 8	20 10	.. 19	38 18	30 35	.. 32	20 15	.. 50	14 10
12 Salt, 500 lbs.....	42	22 39	24 10	50 13	20 25	.. 30	10 41	10 35	.. 25	.. 23	20
13 Marsh mud, 100 tons.....	55	30 54	14 18	20 15	50 36	22 37	24 57	20 55	.. 31	40 26	40
14 Manure, green, 20 tons.....	88	8 32	12 20	50 18	20 60	20 58	16 67	20 63	30 35	50 32	30

EXPERIMENTS WITH FERTILIZERS ON WHEAT.


Experiments were conducted this year with wheat fertilized with different fertilizing materials. The variety Australian No. 19 was used. The seed was sown at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre, June 1, and was harvested September 3. The ground on which this wheat was grown is a clay loam, and was previously in roots, having been manured with 30 one-horse cart loads of stable manure for that crop. The land was ploughed this spring and subsequently worked into good tilth. The growth of straw averaged 40 inches. This crop was practically ruined by rust.

HAY.

The crop of clover and timothy hay was light, being fully one-third less than an average crop. Six acres of upland yielded 13 tons 1,165 lbs., and a 11-acre field yielded 24 tons 1,710 lbs. One acre of Awnless Brome yielded 1 ton 1,250 lbs. The 12 acres of underdrained marsh produced 15 tons 700 lbs., and the 35 acres not underdrained yielded 37 tons 1,270 lbs. This made a total of 93 tons 95 lbs.

SUMMARY OF CROPS GROWN ON THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM THIS SEASON.

Grain Field Crops.	Bush.	Lbs.
Oats	181	18
Barley	27	26
Mixed grain	412	23
Buckwheat	64	..
	685	27



FIELD OF TURNIPS, EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Grain from Trial Plots.	Bush.	Lbs.
Oats	92	11
Barley	52	45
Wheat	25	2
Pease	27	44
	198	22

Roots, &c., Field Crops.	Bush.	Lbs.
Turnips	5,540	2
Mangels	1,767	55
	7,307	57

From Trial Plots.	Bush.	Lbs.
Turnips	277	34
Mangels	157	26
Carrots	74	12
Sugar Beets	66	16
Potatoes—marketable	116	15
Potatoes—not marketable	26	55
	718	38

Corn for Ensilage.	Tons.	Lbs.
Field crop	36	440
From trial plots	12	1,342
	48	1,782

SUMMARY OF FEED USED.

Summary of feeds used in connection with stock on farm, July 1, 1903, to June 30, 1904 :—

	Hay.	Grain or Meal.	Corn or Roots.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Grown on farm	205,272	52,686	640,560
Purchased	51,606	153,200	
Received by exchange	5,600	18,500	
Total	262,478	224,386	

The meal consumed consisted of oats, 37,094 lbs.; bran, 39,200 lbs.; middlings, 40,400 lbs.; mixed grain (oats, pease and barley), 34,104 lbs.; gluten meal, 30,000 lbs.; pea meal, 9,000 lbs.; oil cake, 8,500 lbs.; corn meal, 6,400 lbs.; moulie, 7,000 lbs.; wheat chop, 4,000 lbs.; buckwheat, 5,976 lbs.; barley, 2,712 lbs. Total, 224,386 lbs.

DISPOSITION OF FEEDS.

Disposition of feed harvested, and purchased for use of live stock on farm, July 1, 1903, to June 30, 1904:—

Class fed.	Grain or Meal.	Corn or Roots.	Hay.	Grain or Meal.	Corn or Roots.	Hay.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.			
8 horses	41,200		58,400	Weighed ..	Weighed ..	
27 steers	27,210	214,350	59,292	" ..	" ..	
10 young steers	4,350	66,750	10,780	" ..	" ..	
21 cows (winter)	39,690	159,500	56,700	" ..	" ..	
27 cows (summer)	20,250		10,125	Estimated ..		
22 young stock	20,500	113,400	40,500	" ..	" ..	
Calves under 1 year	1,500			Weighed ..		
3 bulls	4,000		6,000	" ..		
Poultry	2,000			Estimated ..		
18 sheep	3,240	2,000	6,480	Weighed ..	" ..	
70 swine	38,000			" ..		
Seed	5,000			" ..		
On hand July 1	7,000			" ..		
Total account	213,940	556,000	248,277			
Amount harvested	224,386	640,560	262,478			
Shrinkage	10,446	84,560	14,201			
% Shrinkage	4.65%	13.20%	5.41%			

Weighed at intervals and amount
calculated from said weighings.

GRAIN AND POTATO DISTRIBUTION.

Some of the most promising varieties of grain and potatoes were again distributed this year free to farmers who made application. The following number of packages of 3 lbs. each were sent out:—

Oats ..	198
Barley ..	65
Wheat ..	72
Pease ..	51
Buckwheat ..	25
Potatoes ..	331
Total ..	742

HORSES.

The stock of horses at present on the farm consists of three teams of heavy working horses, one express horse and one driver. There have been no changes during the year. All are in good condition.

CATTLE.

The herd of dairy cattle on the farm at present, of all ages and breeds, numbers 49 head as follows:—

1 Guernsey bull, 6 years old.	1 Guernsey heifer, 1 year old.
1 Ayrshire bull, 1½ years old.	5 Ayrshire heifers, 1 to 2 years old.
1 Ayrshire bull calf.	1 Holstein heifer, 1 year old.
2 Guernsey cows.	7 Grade Ayrshire heifers, 1½ years old.
6 Ayrshire cows.	1 Ayrshire heifer calf.
3 Holstein cows.	2 Holstein heifer calves.
14 Grade cows.	4 Grade heifers, Ayr. and Guernseys.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The steers on hand and in experiments number 34 head, as follows:—

- 8 three-year-old steers, short-horn grades.
- 8 two-year-old steers, short-horn grades.
- 8 one-year-old steers, short-horn grades.
- 10 steer calves, short-horn grades.

EXPERIMENT WITH DAIRY COWS.

This experiment was carried on as in former years, to further determine the profit or loss of a fairly good dairy herd, well fed and cared for, with the feeds consumed charged at current market prices, and receiving credit for milk produced, the value of which being established by the price received at the creamery during the season.

The different feeds were charged at the following prices: Wheat bran, \$20 per ton; oats, \$24 per ton; oil cake, \$34 per ton; gluten-meal, \$28.50 per ton; making an average price of mixed meal ration, as per proportion fed to cows, of 1½c. per pound. Roots at \$2 per ton, ensilage at \$2 per ton, and hay at \$8 per ton.

The ration fed to cows in full milk was: Ensilage or roots, 50 lbs.; meal, 9 lbs.; hay, 10 lbs.; making a cost of 19½ cents per cow per day.

In summer months, while milking, they were charged \$2.50 per month, and when dry, \$1 per month.

When dry in winter they were charged \$3 per month. Different quantities were fed to different cows, according to their capacity to consume and produce, or period of lactation, and charged accordingly.

They were kept in the stable from November 1, 1903, to June 1, 1904, except on occasional fine days, when they were allowed out in the yard.

From June 1 to November 1, they were put out in the field the greater part of the time, night and day, but kept in during cold or wet weather.

They were fed, watered and milked each day at as nearly regular intervals as possible, and by the same persons.

The summer feed was practically all summer soiling crops, rye, clover, or oats, pease and vetches grown together and sown at different times after July 15. They were fed some hay, and after August 15, green corn.

The milk of each cow was weighed at milking twice each day, and a careful record kept of the number of pounds given.

The percentage of fat in the milk of each cow was determined by the Babcock milk tester, and the fat credited to the cow; on the basis that 85 pounds of fat produces 100 pounds of marketable butter.

The milk was sent to the Nappan dairy station, and the cows were credited with the butter produced at the prices paid to all patrons of that station, which averaged for the winter months 24 4-7 cents per pound, and for the summer months 20½ cents per pound, less 4 cents per pound for manufacturing and hauling milk, leaving 20 4-7 cents per pound for winter butter and 16½ cents per pound for summer butter.

The skim-milk was fed to calves and pigs, and credited to the cows at the rate of 15 cents per 100 pounds.

Of the 27 cows on hand December 1, 1903, only 21 are reported as in milk. Of the remainder, one died, some failed to breed and others were old and disposed of.

The following table will show the results obtained during the year:—

RECORD OF DAIRY COWS.

Name.	Age.	Breed.	Date of dropping last Calf.	Days in Milk.	Quantity of Milk.	Fat.	Butter.	Value of Skim-milk.	Total Credit.	Cost of Feed.	Cost of Making Butter at 4c. per lb.	Total Cost	Profit.
	Yrs.				Lbs.	p. c.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Corie.....	7	Ayrshire Grade...	Jan. 10, 1904	290	7,070	3.7	307.75	7 07	77 85	47 22	12 31	59 53	18 32
Iida Rooker.....	5	Holstein.....	" 16, 1904.	390	7,300	3.4	292.00	7 30	74 46	48 20	11 68	59 88	14 58
Yellow Kate.....	4	Ayrshire.....	Feb. 1, 1904.	280	6,700	3.6	283.76	6 70	71 96	46 24	11 35	57 59	14 37
Rex's Maud.....	9	Guernsey.....	Jan. 20, 1904.	280	5,260	4.5	278.47	5 26	69 30	46 24	11 15	57 37	11 93
Altow.....	9	Ayrshire Grade...	" 1, 1904.	300	6,120	3.9	280.80	6 12	70 70	48 20	11 23	59 43	11 27
Carrie.....	1	" " " "	Mar. 1, 1904.	270	6,050	3.7	263.35	6 05	66 62	45 26	10 53	55 79	10 83
Curly.....	5	Ayrshire Gn. Grade	Feb. 1, 1904.	300	6,010	3.9	275.75	6 01	69 43	48 20	11 03	59 23	10 20
Sonny.....	8	Ayrshire.....	" 10, 1904.	290	6,300	3.8	266.82	6 30	67 66	47 22	10 67	57 89	9 77
Rae.....	3½	Ayrshire Gn. Grade	Jan. 1, 1904.	300	5,640	4.0	265.41	5 64	66 68	46 40	10 61	57 01	9 67
Lida Rooker.....	4	Holstein.....	" 1, 1904.	300	6,690	3.3	255.84	6 59	65 43	48 20	10 23	58 43	7 00
Daisy.....	9	Ayrshire Grade...	Mar. 1, 1904.	270	5,430	3.6	229.88	5 43	58 30	43 93	9 19	53 12	5 18
Jessie P.....	3½	Ayrshire G. Grade	Dec. 15, 1903.	310	5,210	3.8	232.81	5 21	58 77	45 26	9 31	54 57	4 20
Bluebell.....	10	Ayrshire Grade...	May 13, 1904.	235	5,300	3.8	236.94	5 30	59 79	46 73	9 47	56 20	3 69
Sarah.....	3½	" " " "	Dec. 30, 1903.	210	4,700	3.7	204.58	4 70	51 75	41 90	8 18	50 08	1 67
Norah.....	2½	Ayrshire.....	Feb. 15, 1904.	255	4,740	3.7	206.35	4 74	52 20	42 53	8 25	50 78	1 42
Beatrice.....	2½	" " " "	Jan. 1, 1904.	270	4,760	3.8	212.80	4 76	53 70	43 82	8 51	52 33	1 88
Molly.....	5	" " " "	Sept. 6, 1903.	210	4,880	3.7	212.42	4 88	53 73	44 48	8 49	52 97	0 78
Maggie.....	11	Sh. Ayrshire Grade	Feb. 1, 1904.	295	4,950	3.8	221.29	4 95	55 84	47 21	8 85	56 06	*0 22
Winnie.....	2½	Ayrshire Gn. Grade	Jan. 1, 1904.	240	4,510	3.8	201.62	4 51	50 87	43 40	8 06	51 46	0 59
Betsy.....	3½	Ayrshire Grade...	" 1, 1904.	300	4,540	3.9	208.30	4 54	52 44	45 40	8 32	53 72	1 28
	3½	Ayrshire Grade...	" 10, 1904.	260	4,680	3.6	198.21	4 68	50 26	44 04	7 92	51 96	1 70

*Loss.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH STEERS.

TIED IN STALLS VS. FED IN LOOSE BOX.

This experiment was again carried on with the view of testing the advisability of feeding in loose boxes, as contrasted with similar steers fed tied in stalls.

Sixteen three-year-old steers were used for this test in two lots of eight each, of as nearly as possible equal form, fatness and weight (short-horn grades).

All weights were taken after a fast of fourteen hours, that is, at 9 a.m., without feed.

All were dehorned previous to beginning of test, and by careful weighing, both before and after dehorning, it was found that an average loss of 25 pounds per steer was sustained, requiring from 10 days to two weeks to regain.

All lots were fed alike, as nearly as possible, from start to finish of test, and kept in the stable all the time, except on occasional fine days, when they were let out for a time, averaging not more than once a week. The feeds were charged at the following prices: Hay, \$8 per ton; roots, \$2 per ton; ensilage, \$2 per ton; mixed meals averaged \$24 per ton; as per proportion fed.

The result of this experiment again shows slightly more gain for those fed in loose box stalls, than for those tied up, with a decided advantage as to the labour required, while the amount of straw required for loose steers is at least 50 per cent more than for those tied up.

Following are the results obtained:—

RECORD of steers, fed from November 16, 1903, to April 30, 1904.

LOT I.—DEHORNED, FED IN LOOSE BOX.

Numbers.	Nov. 16.	Dec. 1.	Gain.	Dec. 31.	Gain.	Jan. 30.	Gain.	Mar. 1.	Gain.	Mar. 31.	Gain.	Apr. 30.	Gain.	Total Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
9...	1,075	1,115	40	1,195	80	1,265	70	1,315	50	1,350	35	1,380	30	305
10...	1,230	1,260	30	1,320	60	1,370	50	1,410	40	1,440	30	1,450	10	220
11...	1,220	1,260	40	1,330	70	1,380	50	1,440	60	1,480	40	1,510	30	290
12...	1,140	1,180	40	1,240	60	1,300	60	1,350	50	1,390	40	1,410	20	270
13...	1,065	1,100	35	1,175	75	1,225	50	1,265	40	1,305	40	1,340	35	275
14...	1,340	1,390	50	1,470	80	1,530	60	1,590	60	1,640	50	1,675	35	335
15...	1,275	1,325	50	1,405	80	1,475	70	1,525	50	1,565	30	1,595	30	320
16...	1,000	1,040	40	1,130	90	1,190	60	1,240	50	1,280	40	1,310	30	310
	9,345	9,670	325	10,265	595	10,735	490	11,135	400	11,450	315	11,670	220	2,825

LOT II.—DEHORNED, TIED IN STALLS.

1...	1,415	1,465	50	1,545	80	1,605	60	1,645	40	1,695	50	1,725	30	310
2...	1,300	1,340	40	1,400	60	1,450	50	1,495	45	1,525	30	1,560	35	260
3...	1,225	1,275	50	1,345	70	1,385	40	1,425	40	1,460	35	1,485	25	260
4...	1,130	1,180	50	1,260	80	1,300	40	1,350	50	1,390	40	1,415	25	285
5...	1,065	1,100	35	1,160	60	1,195	35	1,245	50	1,285	40	1,310	25	245
6...	1,175	1,220	45	1,300	80	1,360	60	1,400	40	1,440	40	1,475	35	300
7...	1,080	1,120	40	1,170	50	1,220	50	1,260	40	1,290	30	1,310	10	230
8...	1,070	1,100	30	1,160	60	1,200	40	1,250	50	1,275	25	1,305	30	235
	9,460	9,800	340	10,340	540	10,715	375	11,070	355	11,360	290	11,585	225	2,125

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

EXPERIMENT WITH STEERS—*Continued.*

Ex. 1.—Average cost of 1 steer per day for entire period.

Period.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for period.	Total.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
1903.				
Nov. 16 to Dec. 1.....	Roots 90 Hay. 10 Meal. 2	0 09 0 04 0 02½	1 35 0 60 0 36	2 31
Dec. 1 to Dec. 31.....	Roots 60 Hay. 10 Meal. 4	0 06 0 04 0 04½	1 80 1 20 1 44	4 44
1904.				
Dec. 31 to Jan 30.....	Roots 40 Hay. 10 Meal. 5	0 04 0 04 0 07½	1 20 1 20 2 16	4 56
Jan. 30 to March 1.....	Roots 30 Hay. 12 Meal. 7	0 03 0 04½ 0 08½	0 90 1 44 2 52	4 86
March 1 to March 31.....	Roots 30 Hay. 15 Meal. 8	0 03 0 06 0 09½	0 90 1 80 2 88	5 58
March 31 to April 30.....	Roots 20 Hay. 15 Meal. 8	0 02 0 06 0 09½	0 60 1 80 2 88	5 28
Cost of feed, 1 steer.....				27 03
" 16 steers.....				432 48

SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENT WITH STEERS.

Financial Part.

Original weight of 16 steers, 18,805 lbs., at 4½c. per lb.. \$ 775 70

Weight at finish of 16 steers, 23,255 lbs., at 5 $\frac{40}{100}$ c. per lb. 1,255 77

Balance.... 480 07

Cost of feed for lot, 165 days .. 432 48

Net profit .. 47 59

Daily rate of gain per steer .. Lbs. 1'68

Cost of 1 lb. gain.. Cts. 9'71

Cost of feed per day per steer .. " 16'38

Profit per steer .. \$2 97

It will be observed that an advance in price of 1'11 cents per lb. over buying price is required on a five months' feeding season, to cover feeding operations. The advance this season being 1'275 cents per lb, leaves a balance of \$47.59 for lot. As in all other live stock experiments, no charge is made for labour, nor credit given for manure made.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

STEER CALF EXPERIMENT.

This experiment which was started in May, 1901, with ten calves, in two lots of five each, to determine the comparative economy of feeding calves a 'full fattening ration' from the start, as contrasted with a 'limited growing ration,' was continued with the five termed Ex. I., Lot II., L.G.R. calves of May, 1901, Lot I. of Ex. I., having been considered finished and sold April 30, 1903. Ex. II. calves of May, 1902. Ex. III. calves of May, 1903, were also continued, while Ex. IV. ten calves of May, 1904. was commenced.

EXPERIMENT I.—LIMITED GROWING RATION. CALVES OF MAY, 1901, CONTINUED FROM DECEMBER 1, 1903.

Lot II.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
<i>Period.</i>		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
December 1 to December 31.....	Roots, 90 lbs..... Hay, 8 lbs..... Meal, 3 lbs.....	0 09 0 03½ 0 03½	2 70 0 96 1 08	4 74
December 31 to January 30.....	Roots, 90 lbs..... Hay, 8 lbs..... Meal, 4 lbs.....	0 09 0 03½ 0 04½	2 70 0 96 1 44	5 10
January 30 to March 1.....	Roots, 60 lbs..... Hay, 8 lbs..... Meal, 6 lbs.....	0 06 0 03½ 0 07½	1 80 0 96 2 16	4 92
March 1 to March 31.....	Roots, 40 lbs..... Hay, 10 lbs..... Meal, 8 lbs.....	0 04 0 04 0 09½	1 20 1 20 2 88	5 28
March 31 to April 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs..... Hay, 10 lbs..... Meal, 10 lbs.....	0 03 0 04 0 12	0 90 1 20 3 60	5 70
April 30 to May 30.....	Roots, 20 lbs..... Hay, 12 lbs..... Meal, 10 lbs.....	0 02 0 04½ 0 12	0 60 1 44 3 60	5 64
Cost of feed, 1 steer.....				31 38
" 5 steers.....				156 90

Lot II.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
<i>Period.</i>	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
December 1 to May 30.....	5,160	6,530	1,370

Lbs.

Weight of five steers, December 1, 1903..... 5,160

Weight of five steers, May 30, 1904..... 6,530

1,370

Gain for period.....

1'52

Daily rate of gain per steer.....

Cost of feed per day per steer.....\$ 0 17'43

Cost of 1 lb. gain..... 0 11'45

Cost of feed for lot, 180 days..... 156 90

Cost of 1 lb. gain for entire experiment..... 0 06'15

EXPERIMENT I.—LOT I.—F.F.R. CALVES OF MAY, 1901.

Lot I. finished April 30, 1903, sold and reported page 289 Report of 1903. Inserted for comparison.

SUMMARY OF LOT I.—EXPERIMENT I.

	Lbs.
Weight of five steers, December 1, 1902.. . . .	4,620
Weight of five steers, April 30, 1903.. . . .	6,355
Gain for period.. . . .	1,735
Daily rate of gain per steer.. . . .	2'32
Cost of feed per day per steer.. . . . \$	0 12'54
Cost of 1 lb. gain.. . . .	0'06'
Cost of feed for lot, 150 days.. . . .	104 10
Cost of 1 lb. gain for entire experiment.. . . .	0 05'53

STEER CALF EXPERIMENT—EXPERIMENT II.

Experiment II. (continued from December 1, 1903.)

The following tables show results to March 30, 1904. and December 1, 1904.

The full fattening ration 'Lot I.' of this experiment were finished and sold March 30, 1904. The limited growing ration Lot II. will be kept until spring of 1905.

EXPERIMENT II.—CALVES OF MAY, 1902. CONTINUED FROM DECEMBER 1, 1903.

Lot I.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
Period.		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Dec. 1 to Dec. 31.. . . .	Roots, 60 lbs.. . . . Hay, 8 lbs.. . . . Meal, 3 lbs.. . . .	0 06 0 03½ 0 03½	1 80 0 96 1 08	3 84
Dec. 31 to Jan. 30.. . . .	Roots, 60 lbs.. . . . Hay, 8 lbs.. . . . Meal, 4 lbs.. . . .	0 06 0 03½ 0 04½	1 80 0 96 1 44	
Jan. 30 to Mar. 1.. . . .	Roots, 40 lbs.. . . . Hay, 10 lbs.. . . . Meal, 5 lbs.. . . .	0 04 0 04 0 06	1 20 1 20 1 80	4 20
Mar. 1 to Mar. 31.. . . .	Roots, 30 lbs.. . . . Hay, 10 lbs.. . . . Meal, 6 lbs.. . . .	0 03 0 04 0 07½	0 90 1 20 2 18	4 20
Cost of feed, 1 steer.. . . .				16 50
" 5 steers.. . . .				82 50

	Lbs.
Original weight, 5 steers, December 1, 1903.. . . .	5,220
Weight at finish, 5 steers, March 30, 1904.. . . .	6,230
Gain for period.. . . .	1,010
Daily rate of gain per steer.. . . . lbs.	1'68
Cost of feed per day per steer.. . . . cts.	13'75
Cost of 1 lb. gain.. . . . "	8'16
Cost of feed for lot, 120 days.. . . . \$	82 50

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENT II.—CALVES OF MAY, 1902, CONTINUED FROM DECEMBER, 1, 1903.

Lot II.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total Cost.
<i>Period.</i>		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Dec. 1 to Dec. 31	Roots, 40 lbs.	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 4 lbs.	0 01½	0 48	
	Straw, 5 lbs.	0 01½	0 45	2 13
Dec. 31 to Jan. 30	Roots, 40 lbs.	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 4 lbs.	0 01½	0 48	
	Straw, 5 lbs.	0 01½	0 45	2 13
Jan. 30 to March 1	Roots, 40 lbs.	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 8 lbs.	0 03½	0 96	2 16
March 1 to March 31	Roots, 40 lbs.	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 8 lbs.	0 03½	0 96	2 16
March 31 to April 30	Roots, 30 lbs.	0 03	0 90	
	Hay, 10.	0 04	1 20	2 10
April 30 to May 30	Roots, 20 lbs.	0 02	0 60	
	Hay, 12.	0 04½	1 44	2 04
May 30 to Nov. 1.	5 months at pasture at.			6 00
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.	Roots, 80 lbs.	0 08	2 40	
	Hay, 8 lbs.	0 03½	0 96	
	Meal, 3 lbs.	0 03½	1 08	4 44
Cost of feed for 1 steer, 365 days.....				23 16

STEER CALF EXPERIMENT II.—CONTINUED.

Lot II.	Weight at start.	Weight at finish.	Gain.
<i>Period.</i>	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Dec. 1 to June 1	3,690	4,395	705
June 1 to Dec. 1	4,395	5,475	1,080

Original weight of 5 steers, Dec. 1, 1903 lbs. 3,690
 Weight at finish, of 5 steers, Dec. 1, 1904. 5,475

Gain for period. 1,785

Daily rate of gain per steer. lbs. '97
 Cost of feed per day per steer (winter) cts. 8'17
 " " " (summer) " 4'00
 " " " for period. " 6'34
 Cost of 1 lb. gain. " 6'48
 Cost of feed for lot, 1 year. \$115 80

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

EXPERIMENT III.—LOT I, FULL FATTENING RATION—CALVES OF MAY, 1903.

Period.	Daily Ration.	Daily Cost.	Cost for Period.	Total.
		\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Dec. 1 to Dec. 31.....	Roots, 15 lbs.....	0 01½	0 45	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	1 47
Dec. 31 to Jan. 31.....	Roots, 20 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	
	Hay, 2½ lbs.....	0 01	0 30	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	1 62
Jan. 31 to March 1.....	Roots, 25 lbs.....	0 02½	0 75	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	0 01½	0 48	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	1 95
Mar. 1 to Mar. 31.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	0 01½	0 48	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	2 10
Mar. 31 to April 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	0 01½	0 48	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	2 10
April 30 to May 30.....	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	
	Hay, 4 lbs.....	0 01½	0 48	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	2 10
May 30 to June 30	Roots, 30 lbs.....	0 03	0 90	
	Hay, 5 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	2 22
June 30 to July 15	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	1 08	
	Pasture at.....		1 50	2 58
July 15 to Oct. 1.....	Green feed, 40 lbs.....	0 04	3 00	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	1 80	4 80
Oct. 1 to Nov. 1.....	Pasture at.....		1 00	1 00
Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.....	Roots, 40 lbs.....	0 04	1 20	
	Hay, 5 lbs.....	0 02	0 60	
	Meal, 2 lbs.....	0 02½	0 72	2 52
Cost of feed 1 steer, 365 days.....				24 46

STEER CALF EXPERIMENT III.—CONTINUED.

Period.	LOT I.		
	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gains.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
December 1 to June 1.....	2,895	3,710	815
June 1 to December 1.....	3,710	4,820	1,110

Lbs.

Weight at start, 5 steers, Dec. 1, 1903..... 2,895

Weight at finish, 5 steers, Dec. 1, 1904..... 4,820

Gain for period

1,925

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Daily rate of gain per steer	lbs.	1'05
Cost of feed per day per steer (winter)	cts.	6'60
Cost of feed per day per steer (summer)	"	6'83
Cost of 1 lb. gain	"	6'35
Cost of feed per day per steer for period	"	6'70
Cost of 1 lb. gain	"	6'35
Cost of feed for lot, 1 year		\$122 30

STEER CALF EXPERIMENT IV.

In estimating the cost of feeding calves, the following values were put on the different feeds :—

New milk, \$1 per 100 pounds.

Skim milk, 15 cents per 100 pounds.

Meal (oats, wheat, bran and oil cake), \$1 per 100 lbs.

Roots or ensilage, 10 cents per 100 lbs.

Hay, \$8 per ton.

EXPERIMENT IV.—LOT I. FULL FATTENING RATION—CALVES OF MAY, 1904.

Period.	Daily Ration.	Amount fed during Period.	Cost.		Total Cost.
		Lbs.	\$	cts.	\$ cts.
June 1 to July 1	10 lbs. whole milk	1,500	15	00	17 62½
	10 lbs. skim-milk	1,500	2	25	
	¼ lb. meal	37½	0	37½	
July 1 to August 1	10 lbs. whole milk	1,550	15	50	18 59½
	10 lbs. skim-milk	1,550	2	32	
	½ lb. meal	77½	0	77½	
August 1 to September 1	20 lbs. skim-milk	3,100	4	65	7 44
	2 lbs. hay	310	1	24	
	1 lb. meal	155	1	55	
September 1 to October 1	10 lbs. skim-milk	1,500	2	25	4 95
	2 lbs. hay	300	1	20	
	1 lb. meal	150	1	50	
October 1 to November 1	10 lbs. roots	1,550	1	55	5 11
	2 lbs. hay	310	1	24	
	1½ lbs. meal	232	2	32	
November 1 to December 1	10 lbs. roots	1,500	1	50	5 70
	2 lbs. hay	300	1	20	
	2 lbs. meal	300	3	00	
Cost of feed, 5 calves 180 days					59 42

	Lbs.
Weight of 5 calves, June 1, 1904	905
Weight of 5 calves, Dec. 1, 1904	2,650

Gain for period 1,745

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Daily rate of gain per steer	lbs.	1'90
Cost of 1 lb. of gain	cts.	3'40
Cost of feed per day	"	6'49
Cost of feed for lot, 183 days		\$59 41

STEER CALF EXPERIMENT IV.—LOT II. LIMITED GROWING RATION CALVES OF MAY, 1904.

Period.	Daily Rations.	Amount fed during Period.	Cost.	Total Cost.
		Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
June 1 to July 1	10 lbs. whole milk	1,500	15 00	17 62½
	10 lbs. skim-milk	1,500	2 25	
	¼ lb. meal	37½	0 37½	
July 1 to August 1	5 lbs. whole milk	775	7 75	11 63½
	15 lbs. skim-milk	2,325	3 49	
	¼ lb. meal	38½	0 38½	
August 1 to September 1	20 lbs. skim-milk	3,100	4 65	6 27½
	2 lbs. hay	310	1 24	
	¼ lb. meal	38½	0 38½	
September 1 to October 1	10 lbs. skim-milk	1,500	2 25	4 20
	2 lbs. hay	300	1 20	
	½ lb. meal	75	0 75	
October 1 to November 1	10 lbs. roots	1,550	1 55	3 56½
	2 lbs. hay	310	1 24	
	½ lb. meal	77½	0 77½	
November 1 to December 1	20 lbs. roots	3,000	3 00	4 95
	2 lbs. hay	300	1 20	
	½ lb. meal	75	0 75	
Cost of feed, 5 steers, 183 days				48 24

	Lbs.
Weight of 5 calves, June 1, 1904	650
Weight of 5 calves, Dec. 1, 1904	2,015

Gain for period 1,365

Daily rate of gain per calf	lbs.	1'51
Cost of 1 lb. gain	cts.	3'53
Cost of feed per day per calf	"	5'27
Cost of feed for period, 5 calves		\$48 24

PIGS.

The herd of pigs on the farm consists of **Yorkshires, Berkshires** and their grades and crosses, in all 70 head, as follows :—

- 1 Yorkshire boar.
- 3 Yorkshire sows.
- 2 Berkshire sows.
- 4 Grade-York sows.
- 20 Grade pigs, 6 months old.
- 40 Grade pigs, 1 to 2 months old.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH SWINE.

Feeding in pasture as compared with feeding in pens.

This experiment, carried on in the summers of 1902-03, was repeated this year with 20 pigs of one month old, in two lots of 10 each, of various breeds and crosses, each lot consisting of an equal number from each litter and termed lot I. and lot II.—lot I. in pasture and lot II. in pens.

Lot I. were fed an average daily ration of 2 lbs. meal, largely shorts, and 5 lbs. skim-milk, from July 1 to November 1, and pasture, which consisted of clover, rape, hairy or sand vetch, and spring vetch and peas mixed sown on different parts of a field of one acre in extent.

Lot II. were fed the same daily ration in pens.

A portable house was used for shelter.

On November 1 the pigs were taken into pens, and fed a ration of 3 lbs. meal until December 1.

The results are as follows :—

EXPERIMENTS WITH SWINE—EXPERIMENT I.

LOT I. FED ON PASTURE, JULY 1 TO NOVEMBER 1; FED IN PENS, NOVEMBER 1 TO DECEMBER 1.

Period.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
July 1 to November 1.....	170	1,129	959
November 1 to December 1.....	1,129	1,609	480
Total gain, 10 pigs, 153 days.....			1,439

	Lbs.
Average daily gain on pasture, July 1 to November 1..	'78
Average daily gain in pens, Nov. 1 to Dec. 1. . . .	1'60
Cost per pound gain, entire period.cts.	3'55

LOT II. FED IN PENS, JULY 1 TO DECEMBER 1, 1904.

Period.	Weight at Start.	Weight at Finish.	Gain.
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
July 1 to March 1.....	185	1,169	984
November 1 to December 1.....	1,169	1,472	303
Total gain, 10 pigs, 153 days.....			1,287

	Lbs.
Average daily gain in pens, July 1 to November 1. . .	'80
Average daily gain in pens, Nov. 1 to Dec. 1.	1'01
Cost per pound gain, entire period.cts.	3'94

SHEEP.

The flock of sheep at present consists of:—

- 1 pure bred Leicester ram.
- 3 " " ewes.
- 5 " Shropshire ewes.
- 4 grade ewes.
- 2 Shropshire ewe lambs.
- 3 Leicester ewe lambs.
- 1 Leicester ram lamb.
- 1 grade wether lamb.

POULTRY.

During the year, six breeds of poultry were kept: B. P. Rocks, W. Leghorns, Black Minorcas, W. Wyandottes, Buff Wyandottes and Silver Grey Dorkings.

The breeding pens were made up as follows:—

	Hens	Cocks.
B. Plymouth Rocks.. . . .	14	1
Black Minorcas.. . . .	5	1
White Leghorns.. . . .	4	1
White Wyandottes.. . . .	5	1
Buff Wyandottes.. . . .	3	1
Silver Grey Dorkings.. . . .	2	1

The season's chicks were all hatched by incubators, the incubators being filled five times, with very unsatisfactory results. Partly owing to infertile eggs and weak germs, numerous fully developed chicks died in the shell at pipping stage, and those hatched were not as strong and vigorous as in former years.

The hens were apparently in good condition. So far, we have been unable to locate the trouble satisfactorily.

The eggs laid by the different breeds were as follows.

	Eggs laid	Av. per hen
14 B. P. Rocks.. . . .	686	49
5 Black Minorcas.. . . .	200	40
4 White Leghorns.. . . .	200	50
5 White Wyandottes.. . . .	245	49
3 Buff Wyandottes.. . . .	141	47
2 Silver Grey Dorkings.. . . .	90	45

CORRESPONDENCE.

During the year, 2,030 letters were received, and 1,790 sent out, exclusive of circulars sent out with grain distribution, reports, &c.

EXHIBITIONS, AGRICULTURAL MEETINGS AND EXCURSIONS TO THE FARM.

An exhibit was made of farm produce at the Nova Scotia provincial exhibition, Halifax, N.S., September 7 to 14; the New Brunswick provincial exhibition, St. John, N.B., September 16 to 24, and at the Prince Edward Island provincial exhibition, Charlottetown, P.E.I., September 27 to 30.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

I addressed agricultural meetings during the year at West River, Pictou County, N.S.; Truro, N.S.; Fredericton, N.B.; Woodstock, N.B.; Chatham, N.B.; Pugwash, N.S.; Windsor, N.S.; Barronsfield, N.S.; River Hebert, N.S.; Greenville, N.S.; Wallace Bridge, N.S.; Upper Stewiacke, N.S.; Middle Stewiacke, N.S.; Brookfield, N.S., and Antigonish, N.S. I also delivered a series of lectures to the students of the Sussex, N.B. Dairy School in March. I also attended the Dominion Live Stock Convention at Ottawa, and the Maritime provincial exhibitions.

As usual many visitors have been on the farm this year and there have been several farmers' excursions, the largest of which was that of the Pictou County Farmers' Association on July 13, when over 1,000 were present. Small excursions from surrounding districts were frequently made to the farm.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

R. ROBERTSON,
Superintendent.

REPORT OF THE HORTICULTURIST.

(W. S. BLAIR.)

NAPPAN, N.S., December 1, 1904.

To DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit herewith a report of some of the work done in the horticultural department of the Experimental Farm for the maritime provinces during the year 1904.

The winter of 1903-4 was a severe one, and the temperature was not so variable as usual. The fruit and ornamental trees and shrubs, however, came through the winter in excellent condition and did not suffer more from winter injury than usual.

The spring was later at the start than usual, but toward the last of May favourable warm weather forced along vegetation, and by the middle of June the season was as far advanced as it generally is at that date.

The mean average temperature for May was 4° warmer than the average for the past four years. June was warmer than the same month in 1903 by over 2°. July was also much warmer than usual, being about 5° in the mean average above the same month in 1903. The balance of the season averaged about the same as usual. The following table gives the mean average temperature for the months of May, June, July, August and September, as compared with the same months during the past four years :—

Month.	Mean Temperature at Nappan.					Rainfall.	
	1904.	1903.	1902.	1901.	1900.	1904.	1903.
	°	°	°	°	°	In.	In.
May.....	51·7	47·7	47·6	48·1	46·1	1·76	0·68
June.....	55·9	53·6	54·5	59·3	57·	1·74	2·29
July.....	67·0	62·7	61·7	65·2	64·5	2·15	2·07
August.....	61·5	59·3	63·4	65·3	62·1	3·51	2·40
September.....	53·6	57·5	57·5	58·4	53·4	4·52	3·63

The season was exceptionally favourable for plants that require a fair amount of heat, such as tomatoes, squash and beans, all of which ripened up better than usual. This summer again was too dry for most farm crops, and many of the garden crops suffered greatly; especially was this the case with annual flowering plants. Never before has the lawn appeared so burnt and dried up as it was this summer. Where fruit trees were kept in a good state of cultivation they suffered little for want of moisture; but, generally speaking, the fruit was smaller than it would probably have been had the moisture conditions been more favourable. This was especially apparent in uncultivated orchards.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

There were two frosts in June; one on the 9th of 1°, and one on the 10th of 2°, which did considerable damage to tomatoes, squash and tender annuals that were not covered. Fortunately the most of these were covered, as indications favoured a frost at this time. The only frosts recorded in May were on the 1st, 2nd, 6th and 7th of 1°, 5°, 6° and 5°, respectively. The first fall frost, September 1, of 1°, was earlier this season than usual, but light, doing slight damage. One on the 23rd of 9°, and one on the 28th of 2°, killed all tender plants.

The apple crop here this year was larger than usual. The capacity of the trees for producing is gradually increasing. Some of the varieties produce small unsaleable apples, and some are varieties of inferior quality which are hard to dispose of when such sorts as Gravenstein and Bishop Pippin are on the market in quantity at low prices, as was the case this season. Consequently the revenue from this source is not as high as anticipated. Heavy winds during the latter part of August and early September shook off a considerable quantity of fruit.

Two trees of Gravenstein were lost from the disease known as collar rot. This is a rotting of the bark at the surface of the ground. These trees were in a vigorous state until last season, when they appeared to lack vigour, and although the affected portions were removed, and the wounds well washed with a copper sulphate solution, they died during the winter, which was no doubt partly due to the severe season. One Banks or Red Gravenstein also winter root-killed. This tree had not previously been in a very vigorous state, although the bark appeared healthy. This tree was planted where there was heavy clay near the surface, which was probably the cause of its unthrifty condition. Four trees were injured by sunscalding above the veneering used for protection in the orchard, and had to be removed. In the orchard, protected by a shelter belt of natural spruce, two trees were injured by mice girdling below the veneering, which was not set down close enough to the ground. Mice were very numerous in the protected orchard, which was no doubt largely due to strips of land between the trees being previously in clover. No mice were noticed in the other orchard, where the whole ground was more or less in cultivated crops. Numerous complaints were received from different parts of the provinces of the damage done by mice. A good protection for trees against their attack is strips of veneering (thin hardwood) about 2 feet long wrapped around the trunk of the tree and tied with binder twine or some such strong twine. This veneering can be kept from year to year and made to serve for a number of years. This is also valuable for protecting trees from sunscald.

There was very little apple spot on the fruit this year, and very few apple worms. The apple and plum aphids were more numerous than usual, and were controlled by a spray of whale oil soap and water; 1 lb. soap to 6 gallons of water.

The plum crop was small, which was doubtless largely due to the severe winter. The pear crop was a failure, only the Flemish Beauty producing fruit. The crop of cherries was also small, and, as usual, the birds took the most of the fruit. From our experience it would appear that we cannot grow the sweet cherries here successfully. The common cherry of the country, of Richmond type, found growing in every section of the country, seems adapted to a larger range of conditions than any others. Seedlings of these start up under old trees and if set out and cultivated have given better satisfaction than any of the newer varieties. In some more suitable locations this may not hold good; but, generally speaking from a maritime standpoint, this is the case.

The strawberry plantation was on a piece of heavy soil on which ice formed during the winter, and the crowns of the plants were injured. When the mulch was removed it was found that the majority of the plots were killed out completely. Of the remaining plants the crop was light owing to the dry weather. The crop of gooseberries was fair. The English varieties were badly covered with mildew, rendering them largely unmarketable. They were sprayed several times with potassium sulphide, 1 oz. to 2 galls. water, which only partially controlled this fungus. The currants were a fair crop. Raspberries a light crop.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

The fruit and ornamental trees and shrubs have made a fair growth of wood during the season which seems to have ripened up well. The perennial flowering plants did well, and some additional Japanese Irises were planted. In this report a list of some of the best perennials tested during the past four years, is given. There is also given in this report the results of some experiments conducted to find out the moisture contents of soil treated in different ways. Tests, as usual, have been carried on with different varieties of vegetables, some of which are given herewith. Some experiments were also tried, conducted with materials recommended for the destruction of root maggots and cutworms. The results also of some experiments with cover crops grown in the orchard here are included.

I beg to acknowledge the following donations:—From Prof. Sears, Director School of Horticulture, Wolfville, N.S., scions of 'Red Russet' apple. From Mr. Whitman Ruggles, Nictaux, N.S., scions of 'Red Russet' apple. From Mr. A. C. Starr, Starr's Point, N.S., scions of 'Bose' pear and 'Winterstein' apple. From Mr. Wm. Bustin, Belleisle, Granville, Annapolis Co., N.S., scions of 'Ribston Pippin' apple. From Mr. James Bonneyman, New Annan, N.S., scions of 'Rhymer Pippin' apple. From Mr. John Robertson, 'Inkerman Farm,' New Perth, P.E.I., seedling apple stocks for root grafting. From Mr. Henry Piers, North-west Arm, N.S., seeds of 'Asparagus' pole beans. From D. J. Stewart, Lower Montague, P.E.I., plants of 'Cyclone,' 'Hunn' and 'Excelsior' strawberries.

I also addressed several agricultural meetings in each of the three maritime provinces during the year.

PERENNIALS.

Many different kinds of herbaceous perennials have been tested in the perennial border during the past four years. The following is a list of some of those which have done the best:—

Anemone narcissiflora.—Wind flower. Height 8 to 10 inches. Pretty white flowers. 1 to 1½ inches across. In bloom from the last of May to the last of July.

Arabis alpina.—White Alyssum. Height 6 inches. An abundance of small white flowers borne profusely over the whole plant. In bloom the 10th of May.

Aster Novæ Angliæ roseus.—Pink-flowered New England aster. Height 3 feet. In bloom the last of August. A showy perennial flowering profusely in clusters of bright pink.

Aconitum napellus.—Common monk's hood or helmet flower. Height 4½ feet. Comes into bloom soon after the middle of July. The flowers are blue, borne on large terminal spikes.

Aconitum napellus album.—Similar to the above, except that the flowers are nearly white. These two plants are very desirable for rear border planting.

Achillea ptarmica flore pleno.—Double sneezewort. Height 2 feet. Flowers small, white, round, compact, borne in loose clusters. In bloom from the middle of June to the last of August. One of the finest white flowering perennials for cutting.

Aquilegia chrysantha.—Golden spurred columbine. Height 2½ feet. Large, bright yellow flowers. In bloom the first of July.

Aquilegia oxycypala.—Russian columbine. Height 1 foot. In bloom the last of May. Flowers large purplish-blue. A very desirable early flowering perennial.

Boltonia latiflora.—Height 5 feet. White flowers, somewhat resembling the wild aster, borne profusely in large panicles. In bloom the middle of August. One of the best tall late flowering perennials.

Bellonia asteroides.—False chamomile. Height 4½ feet. Small pale pink flowers similar to the above. A profuse bloomer after the last of August. A showy late perennial that will stand wind without staking.

Campanula persicifolia.—Peach-leaved bellflower. Height 2 feet. Large blue flowers borne in a raceme with long flower stems. In bloom during the month of July.

Campanula persicifolia grandiflora alba.—Double white bellflower. This is one of the best campanulas grown here. Large white double flowers. Height 2 feet. In bloom during July.

Clematis recta.—Erect Virgins Bower. Height 4 feet. In bloom during July. The flowers are white, small, borne profusely in dense clusters. Valuable for back of perennial border. Much admired.

Convallaria majalis.—Lily of the Valley. In bloom the first week in June. The plants do best in a shaded place. The bloom of this well known flower has in the past been injured greatly by our early June frosts.

Coreopsis delphinifolia.—Larkspur-leaved tick-seed. Height 2½ feet. Showy yellow flower with dark centre. In bloom July 20 to the last of August. One of the best perennials.

Delphinium cashmerianum.—Cashmerian larkspur. Height 18 inches. Flowers in different shades of blue; borne in large open heads. In bloom from the middle of July to the last of August.

Dicentra spectabilis.—Bleeding heart. Height 2½ feet. Red and white heart-shaped flowers, borne in pendulous racemes.

Doronicum plantagineum excelsum.—Tall plantain-like leopard's bane. Height 18 inches. In bloom the first of June. Large yellow flowers on long stems. Liked for cutting. One of the best.

Doronicum caucasicum.—Caucasian leopard's bane. Height 12 inches. Yellow flower similar to the above, but smaller. In bloom May 25 to June 18. These are two of the most desirable and showy spring flowering perennials.

Erigeron macranthus.—Fleabane. Height 18 inches. Flowers heliotrope, rays with yellow centres. In bloom during July. A much admired perennial.

Funkia sieboldiana.—Large-flowered plantain lily. Height 15 inches. Flowers pale blue, borne in racemes. In bloom July 18. This with its regular plantain-like leaves overlapping each other makes an interesting plant.

Gypsophila paniculata.—Infant's breath. Height 2½ feet. Small white flowers, borne profusely in large open panicles. In bloom from the last of July to September. Much liked for cutting.

Gaillardia aristata grandiflora.—Large flowered blanket flower. Height 18 inches. Flowers borne singly on long stems, yellow, with deep orange centres. In bloom during July and August. Very useful for cutting.

Helenium grandicephalum striatum.—Large striped Sneezewort. Height 3½ feet. Flowers yellow, with brown markings. A striking perennial in bloom from early August to October.

Helenium autumnale.—Autumn flowering Sneezewort. Height 4 feet. Large yellow flowers. Very showy. In bloom the last of July to the last of September.

Helianthus maximiliana.—Perennial sunflower. Height 4 feet. Flowers large yellow. Very showy. In bloom early in August.

Hermercallis flava.—Yellow day lily. Height 2 feet. Flowers fragrant, orange yellow. In bloom after July 1. This is one of the best day lilies.

Iris pumila.—Dwarf Iris. Height 5 inches. In bloom the last of May. Flowers purple.

SESSIONAL PAPER No 16

Iris Sibirica.—Siberian Iris. Height 3 feet. Flowers white and blue. Small, on long stems. In bloom the middle of June. Not so attractive as some other forms of Iris.

Iris Germanica.—German Iris. Height 2 feet. In bloom from the middle to the last of June. Flowers large, ranging in colours of lilac, blue and purple. Slightly fragrant. One of the most desirable groups of irises of which there are many good varieties. Purple King is an especially striking one.

Iris florentina.—Orris root. Height 2 feet. Flowers pale lilac blue, shading to white. Large flowers, sweet scented, on long stalks. A good one. In bloom from the middle to last of June.

Iris flavescens.—Height 2 feet. Flowers lemon yellow, with purplish brown markings. In bloom at the same time as the above.

Iris variegata.—Height 1½ to 2 feet. Flowers large, much veined with brown on a yellow ground. The variety Honorable is a good one of this group.

Iris Amœna.—Height 18 inches. In bloom second and third week in June. Flowers almost white or lilac-tinted outer segments and purple or purple-tinted centre. This has a variety of markings. Mrs. H. Darwin, an almost pure white variety of this group, is also very fine.

Iris plicata.—Fringed Iris. Height 18 inches. Flowers white in centre of outer segments; veined with lilac toward the margin; inner segments white tinted with lilac or blue. Madame Chereau is a fine variety of this group.

Iris kaempferi.—Japanese Iris. Height 18 inches. In bloom soon after the middle of July. The flowers are very large, with various combinations of colours. A very desirable late flowering plant of which there are a great number of varieties.

Lilium auratum.—Golden-rayed lily of Japan. Height 3 feet. In bloom the second week in June. Flowers large, white petals, spotted with red and purple, and golden centre. Very desirable.

Lilium tenuifolium.—Narrow-leaved Siberian lily. In bloom the first of July. Height 2 feet. Flowers bright scarlet drooping. A very attractive little lily.

Lilium tigrinum.—Common tiger lily. Height 2½ feet. Flowers deep orange, large petals, spotted with many purplish black dots. In bloom the first of July.

Lilium superbum.—Superb lily. Height 4½ feet. Flowers orange red spotted with dark brown. Very showy.

Lilium candidum.—Madonna lily. Flowers large, pure white, fragrant; one of the best for general cultivation. Height, 2 feet. In bloom early in July.

Lilium speciosum.—Showy Japanese lily. Height, 2½ feet. In bloom the middle of July. Flowers white, more or less tinged with pink and dotted with red; a very fine lily, of which there are several varieties.

Paeonia officinalis, and *Paeonia sinensis*.—The common and Chinese peonys are in bloom during the greater part of July. There are a great number of varieties, some of which should be included in every garden.

Papaver orientale.—Oriental poppy. Height 2 feet. In bloom the last of June. Flowers very large; a blaze of scarlet.

Papaver nudicaule.—Iceland poppy. Height 1 foot. In bloom the middle of May and continues through the summer. Flowers orange, white or yellow. Very desirable.

Phlox subulata lilacina.—Moss pink. A profuse bloomer from about May 24 to the middle of June. Low matted growth. Flowers light blue. Very desirable.

Phlox amoena.—Lovely Phlox. In bloom about the same time as the above. Flowers bright pink. Low matted growth of 4 to 6 inches. Very desirable.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Phlox decussata.—Hybrid perennial phlox. Height $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 feet. In bloom during August and September. The many varieties of this beautiful plant show some superb markings in many shades and colours.

Rudbeckia laciniata.—Golden Glow. Height, 5 to 6 feet. Flowers large; bright yellow, double. This is a profuse bloomer during August, and is one of the most desirable tall-growing perennials.

Rudbeckia maxima.—Great cone flower. Height 5 to 6 feet. In bloom during August. Flowers yellow, with a long cone-shaped centre.

Pyrethrum uliginosum.—Great Ox-eye. Height $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet. Flowers white with yellow centre. In bloom after the last of August.

Spiraea filipendula.—Dropwort. Height 2 feet. In bloom from the first to after the middle of July. A profuse bloomer; flowers white, borne in loose panicles.

Spiraea ulmaria.—Meadow sweet. Height $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet. In bloom after the middle of July. Flower heads present a feathery appearance, having numerous cream-coloured flowers borne in large compound heads.

Spiraea filipendula, flore pleno.—Double-flowered Dropwort. Similar to the first-named spiraea, with double pure white flowers. Much admired.

Spiraea palmata elegans.—Japanese spiraea. Height 2 feet. In bloom during July. Flowers white, with crimson anthers, borne in panicles. A very desirable perennial.

Spiraea venusta.—Queen of the Prairie. Height $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet. In bloom during the last of July and early August. Flowers pink, small, profusely borne in large panicles. A much desired pink spiraea.

Thalictrum aquilegifolium.—Columbine rue. Height $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 feet. In bloom the middle of July. Flowers small, white, numerous, borne in loose panicles. A desirable sort.

COVER CROPS.

Cover crops of different kinds have been grown in the orchard here for a number of years. The primary object in growing such a crop in the orchard is to form a cover of vegetation that will serve as a protection to the roots of the trees during winter. Such a crop, however, is also of value from the fact that plant food not required by the fruit tree during the fall, and which is liable to be leached away by late fall or early spring rains, is taken up and held in a convenient form to turn under the following spring; adding, also, humus to the soil by which it is so greatly improved.

In growing cover crops, the aim is to get a fairly thick mat of vegetation, and also a mat that can conveniently be turned under the following spring. It is also advisable to grow one of those crops known as legumes, which enrich the soil by the addition of nitrogen assimilated from the air by means of bacteria on their roots. Common and generally available among these for cover crop purposes are the pea, vetch and clover.

It is very important that an orchard should be worked as soon in the spring as the ground is fit and kept in a good loose condition by frequent cultivation until the middle of July. This practice not only stimulates early active vegetation, but also conserves moisture. Moisture is generally abundantly supplied by frequent rains after this date and the ground can safely be put into a cover crop any time between the middle of July and August. Cover crops should not be sown later than the first of August to get a good mat of growth for winter protection. In 1903 the cover crops were sown July 29, and this season they were sown July 26. The following table gives notes taken upon their growth in 1903, and concerning the ease with which they were turned under in the spring :—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Cover Crop Sown.	Quantity of Seed sown per Acre.	Height of Growth, Oct. 31, 1903.	Character of Cover, Nov. 30, 1903.	Ease with which they were ploughed under, May 10, 1904.
	Bush.			
Peas.	3	Growth of vine, 40 in..	Thick mat 3 to 5 in. deep, good cover.	Very difficult to get well turned under.
Oats	4	" straw, 30 in.	Thick mat 4 to 6 in. deep, good cover.	Very difficult to get well turned under.
Winter Rye.....	3½	5 inches	Fairly thick mat 5 to 6 inches, ground almost covered.	Easily ploughed under and worked.
Buckwheat....	3	30 "	Thin covering 3 to 4 in. deep; leaves all gone, stalks only remaining.	Difficult to plough under; stalks gather ahead of the plough.
	Lbs.			
Sand Vetch	40	6 to 8 inches	Thick covering 2 to 3 in. deep.	Quite easily turned under.
Mammoth Red Clover.	14	2 to 3 "	Thin mat, scarcely covered ground, 1 in. deep.	Easily ploughed under.
Crimson Clover	20	5 to 8 "	Thick mat 4 to 6 in. deep, covers ground well.	Easily turned under.

The experience gained here seems to indicate that Crimson clover is one of the best cover crops for use in orchards. It produces a good thick mat of nitrogenous material easily turned under and out of the way for future cultivation. Crimson clover is an annual, and only odd plants will stand the winter. The killing of the clover in the winter, however, is not considered a disadvantage because the ground is worked as soon as it is fit.

The cost of these different seeds per acre for sowing to cover crop, is as follows:—

3 bush. pease at 80 cents per bushel.	\$2 40
4 " oats at 40 cents per bushel.	1 60
3½ " winter rye at 60 cents per bushel.	2 10
3 " buckwheat at 50 cents per bushel.	1 50
40 pounds sand vetch at 9 cents per pound.	3 60
14 " mam. red clover at 14 cents per pound.	1 96
20 " crimson clover at 8 cents per pound.	1 60

SOIL MOISTURE EXPERIMENTS.

The object of these experiments was to obtain information relative to the moisture contents of soil when growing grain or grass crops as compared with that given clean cultivation from early spring until time for sowing a cover crop. The reason for obtaining this information was to see whether fruit trees growing in soil cropped with grain or grasses had sufficient moisture to make proper growth of wood and fruit during this part of the season.

Fruit trees make their wood growth during the first half of the season; consequently any check to this growth during June and July, should, if at all possible, be prevented, especially for young trees. Grasses and grain crops make their growth principally during the first part of the summer and require large quantities of water for their full development. After this water has been taken up by the roots and performed its function in plant growth it is transpired from the leaves in the form of vapour. This taking up of the soil moisture would probably, if the rainfall during the season were light, provided these crops are grown within the root area of the tree, deprive the tree of the necessary moisture for proper growth.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

The soil of these plots was of as uniform a character as could be had, a clay loam with a heavy clay subsoil, and underdrained. plots were 36 feet wide and 250 feet long. The samples, however, were taken from plots each 36 x 36 feet; the plots adjoining each other. The soil was taken up by means of galvanized iron cylinders, which were 14 inches long. These were driven into the ground and the column of soil to that depth obtained for each set of samples. Two canisters of soil were taken from a plot at each date, and each canister was taken at a different place in the plot. The places where samples were taken from were marked, and future samples, in case the moisture contents would be affected thereby, were taken sufficiently far from these to represent fairly accurately the percentage of moisture in each plot. The soil samples were sent to Ottawa in air-tight cans to prevent any loss of moisture.

I am indebted to the Chemist of the Experimental Farms, Mr. F. T. Shutt, for the data in the following table, giving the percentage of moisture in the samples of soil from these plots which were sent to him every two weeks during the season.

PERCENTAGE of Moisture in soil of plots sent from the Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.

Date when Samples were taken.	Plot No. 1.	Plot No. 2.	Plot No. 3.	Plot No. 4.	Plot No. 4 a.	Plot No. 5.
	per cent.	per cent.	per cent.	per cent.	per cent.	per cent.
May 12.....	18.41	20.00	18.09	20.88	18.93
" 26.....	17.21	18.02	18.43	21.21	22.42	18.97
June 9.....	12.52	17.84	19.24	20.31	17.50	14.04
" 23.....	10.46	17.40	17.71	20.46	19.78	11.65
July 7.....	9.06	16.70	17.46	19.14	19.13	11.22
" 21.....	7.46	13.43	16.35	20.54	17.50	12.06
Aug. 4.....	8.23	9.49	15.10	18.11	17.74	10.36
" 18.....	9.80	10.30	15.71	20.26	21.04	13.66
Sept. 6.....	17.79	16.99	20.13	24.04	24.02	20.22
" 20.....	14.91	16.31	17.99	18.09	18.57	19.87
Oct. 31.....	21.33	19.77	21.42	26.02	26.53	19.71

HOW PLOTS WERE TREATED.

Plot No. 1.—Plot No. 1 was in potatoes in 1903, and was seeded to winter rye September 21, 1903. The rye was sown at the rate of two bushels per acre, together with Red clover at the rate of 10 pounds per acre. The rye made strong growth of about 50 inches and was harvested August 3. The clover sown with it made very poor growth.

Plot No. 2.—This ground was given clean cultivation during the spring and early summer of 1903, and was seeded to Crimson clover at the rate of 20 pounds per acre July 27, 1903. The clover made a strong growth of from five to seven inches, which in the following spring was practically all dead. The ground was ploughed May 26 to a depth of five inches, and on the 29th was worked up with the disc and springtooth harrows. It was again worked June 13 and 20, once each with the springtooth and smoothing harrows. On June 20 it was seeded to oats at the rate of three bushels of seed per acre.

Plot No. 3.—This plot was in Crimson clover the previous season and had been treated in a similar manner to plot 2. The ground was ploughed this spring as soon as fit, May 13, and harrowed once each with the disc and springtooth harrows on May 29. It was again worked in the same manner on June 20 and 29 and July 7. On July 7 this ground was worked up also with the spade harrow. Alfalfa clover was then sown at the rate of 25 lbs. per acre, drilled in with the grain seed drill. The Alfalfa started quickly and made strong growth, attaining an average height of 12 inches.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Plot No. 4.—This ground was treated similar to plots Nos. 2 and 3 during the season of 1903. The ground was ploughed as soon as fit on May 13 and worked up with disc and springtooth harrows. The land was again worked once each with the disc and springtooth harrows on May 29, June 20 and 29, and on July 7, 13 and 25. On July 25 Crimson clover at the rate of 20 lbs. per acre was sown broadcast and harrowed in lightly with the smoothing harrow. This clover started well and made strong growth, giving a thick mat from 5 to 7 inches deep..

Plot No. 5.—This plot was worked up in the spring of 1903 and seeded to oats June 24 at the rate of three bushels per acre, with 5 lbs. Mammoth Red clover, 3 lbs. Alsike and 12 lbs. Timothy per acre. The growth of grain was good and was used for green feed early in September. The catch of clover was good. The growth of clover this season was strong and was cut for green feed June 23, when about two feet high. A second growth of clover started up and quite a growth of Timothy also appeared. The second growth made quite a mat of from 4 to 7 inches, which still remains.

WINTER RYE.

Plot No. 1.—Winter rye is not generally grown here, but was selected for one plot principally to show the drying effect of grain crops on soil. This plot, as compared with the clean cultivated plot, shows a marked difference in percentage of moisture, especially during June, July and August. The rye crop had ceased to grow by August 1, but not until the heavy rain on August 21 did this ground which had been so thoroughly dried out by the rye become sufficiently moist to admit of growth of the clover sown with the rye. It will be seen by referring to the following table that from July 23 to August 21, 2'28 inches of rain fell, yet the ground remained practically the same in moisture content. A thoroughly dried soil does not absorb water quickly, and drying weather generally prevailing at this time of the year quickly evaporates the water from the top soil before it penetrates to much depth. This shows that ground that has been dried out by such crops require very heavy rains to wet it to a sufficient depth for the moisture to be available for the fruit tree. One inch of rain will make the surface of such a piece of land quite wet, but, still not supply the tree with required moisture. When the soil samples were taken August 4, the surface of the plot was quite damp but the soil below was still thoroughly dried out and did not become moist until after the rainfall on September 3 and 4.

Plot No. 2 was ploughed two weeks later than plot No. 3., to determine the effect if any of inverting the top soil by ploughing to check the capillary flow of soil water. A reference to the results obtained will show that there was little difference in the percentage of moisture in these two plots up to July 9. It will also be seen that these plots both had a Crimson clover cover crop in 1903 which died during the winter and left a dead mat, which acted as a mulch preventing No. 2 plot from drying out as much as it actually would have done had this decaying mass not been there. The intention was to sow No. 2 and No. 3 plots to oats after working the land on the last of May, and by taking samples from each throughout the season determine what effect early working of the land had in checking the escape of moisture from the land and holding it for the use of the crop later on; but, owing to circumstances unavoidable grain was not sown until June 20. Plot No. 2 was seeded to oats June 20, and plot No. 3 was seeded to Alfalfa on July 7.

Plot No. 4 was given clean cultivation to July 25. The data in the column marked 4a represent the moisture in the soil to a depth of only 5 inches. The object was to see how the top 5 inches of soil compared in moisture content with that to a depth of 14 inches.

Plot No. 5, it will be seen, was next to plot 4. Quite a striking difference in percentage of moisture between these two plots is shown.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

The following table gives the rainfall and the date on which the rains occurred from March 31 to December 1, 1904:—

RAINFALL, 1904.

April.		May.		June.		July.		August.		September.		October.		November.	
Date.	Ins.	Date.	Ins.	Date.	Ins.	Date.	Ins.	Date.	Ins.	Date.	Ins.	Date.	Ins.	Date.	Ins.
9	·28	10	·17	3	·07	1	·24	1	·13	1	·08	6	·23	4	·77
10	·39	17	·29	5	·74	3	·46	11	·63	3 & 4	1·24	10	·06	6	·07
12	·26	19	·93	7	·07	5	·07	15	·23	6	·66	11	·23	14	1·23
16	·11	21	·05	12	·10	8	·03	17	·29	8	·04	13	·97	18	·47
19	·94	24	·10	18	·04	13	·40	18	·08	12	·26	15	·08	24	·68
21	·26	25	·13	22	·46	20	·03	21	1·70	15	·30	22	2·98	29	·17
29	·16	27	·03	25	·12	23	·69	23	·43	21	·23	26	·18
30	·52	30	·06	30	·14	29	·23	25	·02	25 & 26	1·20	3	·27
										29 15					
										30 36					
Total. 2·92		.. 1·76		.. 1·74		.. 2·15		.. 3·51		.. 4·52		.. 5·00		.. 3·39	

The total Rainfall for the same period in 1903 was :—

.. 3·57	.. 0·68	.. 2·29	.. 2·07	.. 2·40	.. 3·63	.. 5·78	.. 7·98
---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

CABBAGE ROOT MAGGOT.

The Cabbage Root Maggot has given considerable trouble during the past few years, but this season they were much more numerous than usual, and proved very destructive to all the plots of cabbage and cauliflowers, except two plots where hellebore was used. An examination of the cabbage plots early in June showed that many eggs were being deposited near the surface of the ground at the base of the plant. Experiments were tried with various mixtures to determine their effect in controlling this pest. Accordingly nine plots were staked off containing 100 plants each. These plants were in a fairly vigorous state, having been set early in May. The plots were treated with the following mixtures:—

- No. 1.—Hellebore 2 oz. to 1 gallon of water.
- No. 2.—Hellebore 4 oz. to 1 gallon of water.
- No. 3.—Kerosene emulsion, 1 part kerosene oil to 4 parts water.
- No. 4.—Kerosene emulsion, 1 part kerosene oil to 6 parts water.
- No. 5.—Kerosene emulsion, 1 part kerosene oil to 9 parts water.
- No. 6.—Kerosene emulsion, 1 part kerosene oil to 12 parts water.
- No. 7.—Paris green 2 oz. to 10 gallons water.
- No. 8.—Tar paper disks.
- No. 9.—No treatment.

These plots were treated June 18. An examination of a number of the cabbages at this date was made and no maggots could be seen. Some young maggots were found and eggs were being hatched around some of the cauliflowers at this date. The cauliflowers, however, were not included in this test. Notes were taken July 7, 14 and August 16. A summary of the data collected is given in the following table:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

No.	How Treated.	Killed by kerosene emulsion.	Killed by root maggot.	Injured by root maggot and did not recover.	Injured by kerosene emulsion and did not recover.	Weak growth.	Fair growth.	Vigorous growth.
		Plants.	Plants.	Plants.	Plants.	Plants.	Plants.	Plants.
1	Hellebore—2 oz. to 1 gall. water						6	94
2	Hellebore—4 oz. to 1 gall. water						7	93
3	Kerosene emulsion—1 part oil to 4 parts water	36	17	23	14	9	1
4	Kerosene emulsion—1 part oil to 6 parts water	30	16	16	17	17	4
5	Kerosene emulsion—1 part oil to 9 parts water	11	27	41	11	6	4
6	Kerosene emulsion—1 part oil to 12 parts water		36	41	14	8	1
7	Paris green—2 oz. to 10 gall. water		29	39	27	3	2
8	Tar paper disks put on June 1.		27	36	22	11	4
9	No treatment		62	20	12	6

It will be seen that kerosene emulsion in this test has given unsatisfactory results. It appears that an emulsion stronger than one part of oil to nine of water will do serious injury, and that a weaker strength does not appear to have much effect on the eggs or maggot. The kerosene emulsion was applied with a force pump using a single jet of liquid, forcing about a cup full of the mixture into the soil around the base of each plant.

The tar paper disks put around the plants on June 1, did not give as good results as expected. This may possibly have been due to the disks not having been put around the plants early enough. The object of these disks is to prevent the insects from depositing their eggs, which it is claimed they will not do, if these disks are fitted closely around the plant at the surface of the ground.

The hellebore and water recommended by Dr. James Fletcher, Entomologist and Botanist of the Experimental Farms, exceeded expectation, and no root maggots could be found around any of the plants examined in these two plots. The cabbage in these two plots were the only good ones out of some 1,500 plants set. There was no noticeable difference between plot No. 1 and 2, and the heads averaged practically the same. The hellebore was mixed with water and applied with a force pump in the same manner as the emulsion. An equally good way, we should fancy, would be to move some of the earth back from the base of the plant and pour about a cup full of hellebore water into this hollow around the plant. About one cupful of liquid was used to a plant in these plots. Further experiments will be carried on with this material. As far as one can judge from a single season's experience, we are inclined to think that this will prove an excellent remedy for the root maggot. The cabbages were equally infested at the base of the root with eggs when the mixture was used.

CUTWORM—POISONED BRAN REMEDY.

The Red-backed Cutworm *Paragrotis ochrogaster* was extremely troublesome around the flower beds and in the vegetable plots this season. It was found, however, that this pest could be easily controlled by using the poisoned bran bait recommended by Dr. James Fletcher. The poisoned bran is scattered on the ground around the plants, and if fowl are allowed to run where it has been used there is considerable danger. We found that chickens were killed by picking up pieces of the bran six weeks after it had been applied.

Dr. Fletcher advises one pound of Paris green to 80 pounds of bran, which is equal to 1 oz. of Paris green to 5 pounds of bran. The quantity used here was 3 ounces to 10 pounds of bran. The method adopted was to mix 3 ounces of Paris green in a quart bottle nearly full of water by shaking violently. This was added to a little over one-half gallon of water and poured slowly into the bran while it was being stirred with a stick. It is very important to mix the Paris green water thoroughly with the bran in order to get each flake of bran coated with some of the Paris green particles. The bran should be dampened just sufficiently to scatter nicely for if it is too wet this cannot be done to so good advantage.

Ten pounds of bran mixed in this way was found to do 500 feet of a row thoroughly. After it was used in this quantity, on beans where the cutworm was doing the most damage, few plants could be found cut off after the first night, and after the second night no plants were found destroyed. For plants, a greater distance apart, less bran would be required, for it is necessary to only scatter a ring of bran around each one.

The cutworm feeds during the night, cutting off the plant at the surface of the ground. They appear to have a fondness for bran and will feed upon it in preference to plants. A case particularly striking was noticed in the flower garden this year. Bran bags were used to protect some tender annuals from a June frost, and out of one of the bags a handful or two of bran happened to be deposited in one place. This ground was stirred in weeding about a week after and it was noticed that cutworms were collected in the soil under the bran while plants uninjured were close by. On a close examination, eight cutworms were found together, they evidently finding the bran a more suitable and convenient material to feed upon. Experience shows that this is a practical and efficient remedy for controlling this pest.

GARDEN PEASE.

Experiments were conducted with six of the leading early sorts of garden pease to find out the number of pounds of marketable green pease in pod from each. The plots were two rows, each 66 feet long, equal to 1-165 of an acre. These were all fertilized at the rate of 500 lbs. complete fertilizer per acre. The seed was sown May 12, in rows 2 feet apart, and the seed was dropped 2 inches apart in the rows. The soil was a poor sandy loam. The following yields were obtained per acre. Owing to the very dry season these peas did not grow well, and the yield per acre was small:—

Name of Variety.	Date of First Picking.	Pounds from First Picking.	Date of Second Picking.	Pounds from Second Picking.	Yield per Acre.
					Lbs.
Station	July 12.....	33	July 18.....	10	7,095
Thos. Laxton	" 14.....	25	" 21.....	20 $\frac{1}{2}$	7,507
Gradus	" 14.....	28 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 21.....	12 $\frac{3}{4}$	6,765
Prosperity	" 14.....	28 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 21.....	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	6,270
Nott's Excelsior	" 14.....	29	" 24.....	18 $\frac{1}{2}$	7,796
American Wonder.....	" 14.....	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 24.....	42	8,001

SESSIONAL PAFER No. 16

FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS WITH GARDEN PEASE

Experiments were conducted with two kinds of early garden pease by dividing the land devoted to each into three plots. On one complete fertilizer, 'Imperial' brand, at the rate of 500 lbs. per acre was used, on another 250 lbs. per acre, and on the other third, no fertilizer was used. The seed was sown May 12 in rows 2 feet apart, and the seed dropped 2 inches apart in the row. The soil was a light clay loam in a poor state of fertility. Each plot was 2 rows, each 66 feet long. The growth of vine was short and the yield not as large as usual. The yield obtained from these plots is given in the following table.

If we consider the weight of green peas in pod at 40 lbs. to the bushel, we find that we have a gain in the first variety tested of 44 bushels per acre, where 500 lbs. of fertilizer was used per acre, than where not fertilized. If we allow pease in the pod to be worth 30 cents per bushel, we have a gain of \$13.20 per acre. The fertilizer cost \$7.50 per acre—a net gain of \$5.70 per acre in favour of the heavily fertilized plot. With the variety Thomas Laxton there is a still larger gain from the use of the fertilizer.

GARDEN PEASE—FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS.

Name of Variety and how treated.	Date of First Picking.	No. of Pounds from First Picking.	Date of Second Picking.	No. of Pounds from Second Picking.	Total Yield per Acre in Pounds.
'Station'—Complete fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre...	July 12...	33	July 18...	10	7,095
Complete fertilizer, 250 lbs. per acre.....	" 12...	31½	" 18...	10	6,847
No fertilizer.....	" 12...	27	" 18...	5½	5,321
'Thomas Laxton'—Complete fertilizer, 500 lbs. per acre.....	" 14...	25	" 21...	20½	7,507
Complete fertilizer, 250 lbs. per acre.....	" 14...	23	" 21...	13½	5,987
No fertilizer.....	" 14...	23½	" 21...	8	5,156

SNAP BEANS.

Experiments were conducted with fifty-four varieties of snap beans. The seed was planted May 30, being dropped 2 inches apart in the row and the rows 2 feet apart. The ground was previously in horse-beans and was manured in the fall of 1903 with 15 one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre and ploughed. This spring the ground was worked up into good tilth with the spade, springtooth, and smoothing harrows. The plots were one row, 33 feet long. A duplicate plot of one row 33 feet long was also planted which was allowed to ripen if the season permitted. These were cultivated frequently to keep the ground loose and friable.

These beans made fair growth. The cutworm did some damage, but was quickly checked by using the poisoned bran mash, which was scattered along the row. The rust *Anthraxnose* did not develop on the beans until after the middle of August, when some of the plots were attacked quite badly. Some of these varieties which have in the past appeared quite rust-proof, were this year the worst affected, and some sorts that were formerly badly attacked were this season quite free.

From experience gathered from time to time, it would appear that the varieties Bountiful and Improved Goddard are two of the best green podded sorts for general market. Refugee or 1,000 to 1 is an excellent late green podded sort, and Market Wax, Keeney's Rustless Wax and Valentine Wax, are three excellent golden-podded kinds. The following notes were taken from the plots tested:—

SNAP BEANS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	When first fit to use.	First Picking, Aug. 4.	Second Picking, Aug. 12.	Third Picking, Aug. 23.	Total Yield from plot.	Length of Pod.	Colour of Pod.	Form of Pod.	Remarks.
		Lbs	Lbs	Lbs	Lbs	Inch's			
Extra Early Edible Podded	July 28	53	4	21	12	4½-5	Green	Round	Stringless, good, no rust.
Haricot or Golden Skinless	" 28	6	5	24	13	4-4½	Golden	"	"
Emperor of Russia	" 28	43	6	4	14	5½-6	Green	"	"
Matchless	" 28	5	43	3	12	5-5½	"	"	Stringy, fair
Dwarf German Black Wax	" 28	51	10	4	20	4½-5	Golden	"	Few strings, good
Green Pod Lightning	" 28	7	4	2	15	4½-5	Green	Flat	"
Valentine Wax	" 28	6	11	9	26	5-5½	Golden	Round	Stringless, good
Early Warwick	" 28	11	8	7	27	4½-5	Green	Flat	Some strings, fair
Dwarf Horticultural	" 28	6	12	6	26	5-5½	"	"	Stringless, good
Davis Kidney Wax	" 28	5	9	4	19	5½-6	Golden	"	" some rust.
Bountiful	" 28	9	15	6	31	6-7	Green	"	" no rust.
Brittle Wax	" 28	4	3	7	14	4½-5	Golden	Round	" slight rust.
Grencell's Rust Proof	" 28	3	7	2	13	4-4½	"	Flat	"
Market Wax	" 28	3	8	5	17	5-5½	"	"	" no rust.
Currie's Rust Proof	" 28	5	9	1	16	5½-6	"	"	Some strings, fair, some rust.
Davis' Dwarf White Wax	" 28	3	6	3	13	6-6½	"	"	Stringless, good, some rust.
Early Mohawk	" 28	1	5	5	12	5½-5½	Green	"	Some strings, fair, no rust.
Wardwell's New Kidney	" 28	5	4	3	12	5½-6	Golden	"	Stringless, good, some rust.
Flageolet Wax	" 28	3	5	2	11	6-7	"	"	"
Early China	" 28	3	4	1	9	4½-5	"	"	Some strings, fair, no rust.
Keeney's Rustless	" 30	5	8	1	15	5½-5½	"	"	Stringless, good, some rust.
Improved Golden Wax	" 30	2	8	3	14	4-4½	"	"	" fair, slight rust.
Perfection Wax	" 30	1	7	3	12	6½-7	"	"	" good
Golden Crown	" 30	2	6	4	13	4-4½	"	Round	" no rust.
Extra Early Refugee	" 30	3	7	2	13	4½-5	Green	"	"
Saddle Back Wax	" 30	2	5	2	9	4½-5	Golden	"	" slight rust.
Round Yellow Six Weeks	" 30	1	4	2	8	4½-4½	Green	"	" no rust.
Ne Plus Ultra	" 30	4	5	1	11	5½-5½	"	Flat	Some strings, fair, no rust.
Blue Podded Butter	" 30	1	5	1	8	5½-6	Blue	"	Stringless, good
Don Carlos	" 30	3	3	1	8	4-4½	Green	"	Some strings, fair, no rust.
Early Giant Wax	" 30	2	6	2	11	5½-6	Golden	"	Stringless, good
Best of All	" 30	2	4	2	9	5½-6½	Green	"	"
Challenge Black Wax	Aug. 3	3	3	3	7	4½-5	Golden	Round	" some rust.
Early Golden Eye	" 3	1	4	1	8	4½-5	"	Flat	Some strings, fair, no rust.
Long Yellow Six Weeks	" 3	2	7	2	12	5½-6	Green	"	Stringless, fair
Longfellow	" 3	1	9	3	13	5-6½	"	Round	" good, slight rust.
Knickerbocker	" 3	1	5	4	11	5-5½	"	"	" some rust.
Stringless Wax	" 3	1	11	7	20	5½-6	Golden	Flat	"
Golden Scimitar	" 4	1	2	2	5	5-5½	"	Round	" slight rust.
Earliest Red Valentine	" 4	1	4	2	7	4½-5	Green	"	" no rust.
Improved Goddard	" 4	1	8	1	24	6-6½	"	Flat	"
White Kidney	" 4	1	4	9	14	5-6	"	"	Some strings, fair
Fame of Vitry	" 4	2	3	16	26	6-7	"	"	Stringless, good
Refugee Wax	" 4	1	9	1	11	4-4½	Golden	Round	"
Cylinder Ivory Podded	" 4	1	3	3	7	4½-5	"	"	" slight rust.
Dwarf Chocolate	" 4	1	6	3	9	5-5½	Green	"	Some strings, fair, no rust.
Pencil Pod Wax	" 4	1	3	1	5	4½-5	Golden	"	Stringless, good, some rust.
Giant Stringless	" 4	1	4	2	7	4½-5	Green	"	"
Burpee's Stringless	" 4	1	3	1	7	4½-4½	"	"	" no rust.
Royal Dwarf Kidney	" 4	1	2	6	9	4½-5	"	Flat	Stringless, fair
Cream Valentine	" 4	1	4	1	10	4½-4½	"	Round	" good
Refugee or 1,000 to 1	" 12	1	4	8	12	4½-5	"	"	"
Black Speckled	" 12	1	10	5	15	6½-8	Green	Flat	Some strings, fair, no rust.
Eclair	" 12	1	3	6	9	6½-8	"	Round	"

ONIONS.

The ground on which the onions were grown was in a fairly good state of fertility. The soil was a light clay loam well drained but lacking somewhat in humus; so essential to make it an ideal soil for this crop. The best soil for onions is a light clay

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

loam abounding in decomposed vegetable matter, and well drained either naturally or artificially. Almost any good garden soil can be put into shape to grow a good crop of onions by using a liberal supply of manure for one or two years in succession to get a large supply of readily available plant food. The ground should be manured in the fall and ploughed under. In the spring this can be ploughed again and the manure thoroughly incorporated by using the disc and springtooth harrows. Poor soil will not produce good onions, and it requires several years of enriching to bring such soil into condition for the successful culture of the crop. The same ground can be used year after year unless disease or root maggots attack the crop, in which case a change is necessary.

The ground on which these onions were grown was previously in roots and was manured in the fall of 1903 with fifteen one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre, which was ploughed under. It was again manured this spring with fifteen one-horse cart loads of stable manure per acre. The ground was worked into good tilth and the manure thoroughly mixed with the soil, and was run into rows two feet apart.

Complete fertilizer at the rate of 500 lbs. per acre was sown broadcast and lightly harrowed in with the smoothing harrow before the rows were run up. This crop requires a liberal amount of plant food in a readily available form, consequently, the liberal use of commercial fertilizer is necessary. A complete fertilizer is the best; that is one containing nitrogen, potash and phosphoric acid. Wood ashes can be used to good advantage to supply potash.

This ground was intended for roots and was run into rows 24 inches apart. These rows were raked down somewhat and the plots set in rows 2 feet apart. Onions are usually set in rows one foot apart on the level ground. The yield per acre on these plots is calculated from the number of pounds obtained from one row 66 feet long, allowing two feet of space for each row or equal to 1-330 of an acre for each plot; consequently, had these been grown in rows one foot apart the crop yield per acre would have been larger than what is given in this report.

Owing to the shortness of the season here satisfactory results cannot be had from growing onions from seed sown in the ground, although the variety Extra Early Red, will do fairly well in this way. The practice now followed is to start the plants in the hot-bed and transplant to the open ground. The transplanting does not entail much more labour than thinning the plants of seed started in the open.

The seed for these plots was sown in boxes, 15 by 30 inches, holding six inches deep of soil, on March 24. The seed was planted in drills $\frac{3}{4}$ of an inch deep in rows 3 inches apart, using 10 to 12 seeds per inch. It requires 6 or 7 weeks from the date of sowing to get good plants for transplanting. The soil used in these boxes was a rich loose sandy loam. The boxes were set into a hot-bed made March 9, which had a good even bottom heat. They were given ventilation on warm days, and sufficient moisture was supplied to produce good thrifty growth. Onions should not be forced in the hot-bed, as a spindling growth is not wanted, and makes very unsatisfactory plants for transplanting. After May 1 the glass is left off the hot-beds entirely. This hardens up the plants for setting in the open.

Transplanting to the open should be done as early in May as possible, and the nearer the plants can be got to about the size of a lead pencil at this time the better. The boxes were taken to the field when ready for transplanting, May 21. The plants were set 3 inches apart, using a garden line to set by. They were set as deep as the plants were in the starting box. The crop was frequently cultivated to kill weeds, keep the ground loose and friable, conserve moisture, admit air and allow the bulbs to readily develop.

Harvesting should be done when the most of the necks have turned yellow and are considerably withered. It is not advisable to defer this operation much after the middle of September. Even at this time some green tops will be found in the earliest maturing varieties, but they will soon dry up after harvesting. They should be pulled and left in rows for a week or ten days. If there is danger from frost they should be

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

stored in a shed or barn floor and left dry, after which they can be topped and sorted for market.

The best onion for the average grower is the Australian Brown. For the experienced market gardener the Prize taker will prove the most profitable. The following table gives the date on which these plots were pulled and the yield per acre.

ONIONS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	When Harvested.	Yield per Acre.		Size of Onion.	Colour of Skin.	Remarks.
		Bush.	Lbs.			
Prizetaker.....	Sept. 23....	342	40	Large....	Yellow..	Fairly well matured. Large cropper.
Trebon's Large Yellow.....	" 23....	350	00	"	" ..	Not well matured.
Australian Brown	" 13 & 23	228	15	Medium..	Brown..	Well matured. One of the best.
Golden Globe.....	" 13 & 23	228	00	"	Yellow..	" " "
Australian Yellow Globe.....	" 13 & 23	214	30	"	" ..	" " "
Yellow Globe Danvers.....	" 23....	156	45	Large....	" ..	Not well matured.
Large Red Wethersfield.....	" 23....	154	00	" ...	Red....	" "
Red Wonder	" 13 & 23	148	30	Medium..	Brown..	Well matured. Apparently a strain of Australian Brown.
Market Favourite	" 23....	137	30	Large....	Yellow..	Not well matured.
Extra Early Red	" 13....	137	30	Medium..	Red....	Well matured. A good flat early kind.
Vanguard.....	Aug. 16....	111	22	"	White..	Well matured. Good for early market.
Mammoth Silver King.....	" 24....	83	52	Large....	" ..	Well matured. Did not do as well as usual.
Paris Silver Skin.....	" 24....	60	30	Small....	" ..	Well matured. Good for pickling.

SQUASH, PUMPKINS AND CITRON MELON.

Eight of the leading varieties of squash, two of pumpkins and one of citron melon were started May 9 in strawberry boxes filled with earth, set in the hot-bed. These were kept quite cool and were not forced, but made a good strong growth. They were set into hills in the open ground June 1 by cutting the boxes and setting the plants without disturbing the soil around the roots. Five seeds were put into each box, and after they started all but three plants to a box were thinned out. Three of these boxes were set to a hill and later on the plants were thinned out to six plants to a hill.

The hills into which these were set were made May 28 by digging out some of the top soil to a depth of six inches, two feet long and one foot wide, and putting into and tramping manure to a depth of four inches and covering with three or four inches of soil. The plants from the boxes were set practically on the manure.

A duplicate set of the plots started in the hot-bed were started by planting the seed in these hills May 28. About one dozen seeds were planted to a hill, and they were later thinned to six plants to a hill. These hills after planting were covered with a 12 x 20 glass set on a frame of wood, three inches high. Under this enclosure

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

the seed quickly germinated and by the middle of July the plots were apparently as far advanced as those started in the hot-bed. After the plants have appeared under this glass, the glass should be removed during part of bright days, and after the middle of June should be removed altogether. The hills were 12 feet apart each way.

The first cutting was made from these plots September 2, when the then matured squash were gathered and weighed. The balance of the crop was harvested September 21. There appears to be little difference between the two sets of plots. The Boston Marrow is probably the best autumn squash, and the Hubbard the best winter squash.

The Warded Hubbard is similar to the Hubbard, except that it has a rougher shell. It has proven to be a heavier cropper also than the Hubbard tested here. The Golden Hubbard is an excellent sort, but small. The following crop was taken from these plots:—

SQUASH, CITRON MELONS AND PUMPKINS—EXPERIMENTS WITH.

Name of Variety.	How Started.	Sept. 2.		Sept. 21.		Total Number Harvested	Total Number of pounds from Hill.	Average Weight of Squash Harvested.	Colour.
		Number vested.	Weight.	Number vested.	Weight.				
SQUASH.			Lbs.		Lbs.		Lbs.	Lbs.	
Hubbard	Outside...	1	8	4	40½	5	48½	9·7	Green.
	Hotbed...	1	15½	4	29½	5	45	9	
Warted Hubbard	Outside...	2	31	4	54	6	85	14·1	"
	Hotbed...	2	39½	5	46	7	85½	12·2	
Golden Bronze	Outside...	1	16½	6	39½	7	56	8	Dark grayish green.
	Hotbed...	1	10	7	47½	8	57½	7·2	
Bay State.....	Outside...	2	19½	4	29½	6	49½	8·2	Blue.
	Hotbed...	2	20½	4	36	6	56½	9·4	
Boston Marrow.....	Outside...	5	40	3	33½	8	73½	9·2	Bright orange.
	Hotbed...	3	32½	3	32	6	64½	10·7	
Dunlop's Early Marrow ..	Outside...	4	46	6	42½	10	88½	8·8	Orange yellow.
	Hotbed...	4	37½	3	31	7	68½	9·8	
Golden Hubbard	Outside...	4	33	5	29	9	62	6·9	Deep orange yellow.
	Hotbed...	4	23½	3	18½	7	46½	6·6	
Essex Hybrid	Hotbed...	3	34	2	14	5	48	9·6	Orange yellow.
CITRON MELON.									
Colorado Mammoth, Pre-serving.	Outside...	2	21	8	48	10	69	6·9	
	Hotbed...	7	68½	5	33	12	101½	8·5	
PUMPKINS.									
Sugar.....	Outside...	2	10½	8	49	10	59½	5·9	Deep orange, good keeper,
	Hotbed...	2	13½	9	41½	11	54½	4·9	excellent quality.
Jumbo.....	Hotbed...	1	28½	2	57	3	85·5	28·4	Large yellow.

LIST OF THE BEST VEGETABLES TO GROW.

The following list of vegetables are considered the best for general culture. We find from our tests that a number are practically of equal merit; yet, we feel safe in recommending the following as equal to any of the different sorts of vegetables tested here:—

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Pease.—Extra early: Surprise. Early: Thomas Laxton, American Wonder, Nott's Excelsior. Medium: McLean's Advancer, American Champion. Late: Juno, Heroine and Stratagem. The height of these as recorded this year are: 22, 30, 19, 16, 30, 32, 16, 24 and 14 inches respectively.

Tomatoes.—Sparks' Earliana.

Beans.—Green Pod. Early: Bountiful and Improved Goddard. Late: Refugee or 1,000 to 1. Golden Pod: Market Wax, Valentine Wax and Keeney's Rustless Wax.

Corn.—Extra early: Extra Early Beverly. Early: Extra Early Cory and Premo. Medium: Crosby's Early.

Cucumbers.—White Spine. The Cumberland is excellent for pickling.

Squash.—Autumn: Boston Marrow and Golden Hubbard. Late: Hubbard.

Parsnips.—Hollow Crown and Improved Half Long.

Carrots.—Chantenay.

Onions.—Prizetaker and Australian Brown.

Lettuce.—Curled: Black Seeded Simpson. Cabbage: Improved Salamander, Cos, Trianon.

Cabbage.—Extra early: Paris Market. Early: Jersey Wakefield. Medium: Early Spring and Succession. Late: Late Flat Dutch, Late Red, Red Dutch.

Celery.—Paris Golden Yellow Self-Blanching, Improved White Plume and Winter Queen.

Cauliflowers.—Early Snowball and Early Dwarf Erfurt.

Beets.—Eclipse.

Spinach.—Victoria.

Salsify.—Sandwich Island.

Radishes.—French Breakfast and Icicle. Winter: Long Black Spanish.

Parsley.—Double Curled.

Citron Melon.—Colorado Mammoth.

Peppers.—Cayenne.

Water Melon.—Cole's Early and Phinneys' Early.

Egg Plants.—New York Improved Purple.

Brussels Sprouts.—Improved Dwarf.

Kale.—Scotch Dwarf Green Curled.

Asparagus.—Conover's Colossal.

Rhubarb.—Victoria and Linnæus.

Turnips.—Early: Extra Early Milan and Golden Ball. Swede: Selected Purple Top.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your obedient servant,

W. S. BLAIR,
Horticulturist.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR MANITOBA

REPORT OF S. A. BEDFORD, SUPERINTENDENT.

BRANDON, MAN., November 30, 1904.

To DR. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa, Ont.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit, herewith, my sixteenth annual report, with details of experiments undertaken and work accomplished on the Brandon Experimental Farm, during the year.

The past winter was a very cold and stormy one, the last half of January and all of February being particularly so, heavy drifts formed during March and April, filling bluffs of timber and ravines to their full capacity, in some instances native poplar trees were completely stripped of their branches by the weight of snow.

When the immense drifts of snow commenced to thaw, all the rivers rose to unusual heights and overflowed their banks. The flood prevented the sowing of grain on a portion of this farm, so the land was summer-fallowed and is now ready for next year's seeding.

Spring opened late, the first sowing was done here on April 28, fully three weeks later than the average.

The month of May was seasonable. June set in wet, and vegetation grew very rank and soft during the first two weeks of that month.

During the latter part of July and the beginning of August rains were very abundant throughout the province, and growth rapid.

The autumn was unusually favourable for harvesting and crops of all kinds were saved without injury from rain or snow.

A large amount of fall ploughing has also been done, which will allow of rapid seeding next spring.

Although there has been some loss from rust and frost, the injury has been quite local in its character, prices for produce have been higher than usual and the year was a profitable one for the farmer.

On the experimental farm the yield of wheat, owing to the ravages of rust, was only an average crop, but nearly all other products gave the largest returns in the history of the farm.

I beg to call your attention to the following experimental work undertaken here this year for the first time :—

The effect of early harvesting in lessening the injury to wheat by rust.

The results of sowing flax on newly broken virgin soil.

The suitability of flax stubble for different grain crops.

Growing clover in large fields with green fodder as a nurse-crop.

The improvement of pasture fields.

The fattening of swine on pease growing in the field. Barley compared with mixed grain for fattening swine. A comparison of one-year-old, with two-year-old steers for fattening purposes.

The use of incubators in raising poultry.

WHEAT.

The past season will be long remembered among the farming community, owing to the alarming reports prevalent regarding injury to our staple crop from rust.

As a rule this province is not subject to serious injury from rust in wheat, but the abundant rains of June and July, accompanied by several close sultry days, was unusually favourable to the spread of rust, and by August 15 many fields were badly discoloured from this cause. Where the injury was only slight, the colour of the straw was dull red, and the grain only slightly shrunken, but in the fields seriously injured, both heads and straw were of a dark brown colour readily distinguishable at a distance, and the kernel badly shrunken.

The badly injured fields were sometimes scattered among others comparatively free of rust, and often there was no apparent reason for the difference, but excessive growth of straw from any cause appears to encourage the disease. This was particularly noticeable on rank summer-fallow and land heavily manured for root crops.

Many fields lying under the shelter of belts or bluffs of timber were noticed to be badly affected, possibly for want of a free circulation of air; sheltered hollows also suffered badly, especially if the soil was rich in humus.

On this farm most of the uniform test plots were on sheltered land which had been ploughed early and well summer-fallowed, for this reason the growth of straw was very rank and the injury from rust much greater than on the larger fields more exposed and not so carefully summer-fallowed.

In the accompanying tables it will be noticed that some varieties are more subject to injury from this cause than others, all velvet headed kinds such as Hayne's Blue Stem were severely injured, while the Macaroni Wheats are comparatively uninjured, the yield large and kernel plump and heavy.

It will be noticed that a number of the cross-bred varieties are several days earlier than Red Fife, and in districts where there is danger of Red Fife being injured by fall frosts, I would strongly recommend a trial of one of these early ripening kinds, Early Riga is the earliest of all the varieties tested here, but it has not proved as productive as some of the others.

Preston Wheat although not as early as Riga, is more productive, and is usually several days earlier than Red Fife, the area sown to this wheat is increasing each year, especially in districts subject to autumn frosts. At present the millers here are paying the same price for Preston Wheat as they are for Red Fife.

Thirty-six varieties of spring wheat were tested this year, irrespective of Macaroni Wheat and Spelt. All were sown on May 4 on clay loam soil, summer-fallowed, in plots of one-twentieth acre. All the seed was treated with bluestone and the varieties were all free of smut.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Australian No. 9.	Sept.	6	125	53 Fair	4	Beardless.	6,550	36 40	591	Slightly.
Red Fife	"	7	126	53 Stiff	3½	"	6,700	36 40	583	Considerably.
Crawford	"	1	120	44 "	3	"	5,840	36	604	Slightly.
Australian No. 19.	"	8	127	50 "	3½	"	6,840	36	591	Considerably.
Power's Fife (Minn. 149).	"	8	127	51 Weak	4	"	6,800	35	59	Slightly.
Chester	Aug.	6	125	52 Stiff	4	"	6,260	34	591	Badly.
Monarch	Sept.	8	127	51 Fair	3½	"	6,880	33 40	583	Considerably.
Preston	"	4	123	53 Stiff	3½	Bearded.	6,420	33	56	"
Benton	"	1	119	47 "	4	Beardless.	5,520	31 20	58	Badly.
Huron	Aug.	29	117	52 Fair	3½	Bearded.	6,520	31 20	583	Considerably.
Pringle's Champlain.	Sept.	2	121	50 "	3½	"	6,120	31 20	58	"
White Fife	"	7	126	53 Stiff	3	Beardless.	5,600	31 20	574	"
Fraser	Aug.	27	115	41 "	3	Bearded.	6,140	31	583	"
Advance	Sept.	1	120	51 "	3	"	5,840	31	574	Badly.
Admiral	"	6	125	53 "	3½	Beardless.	6,420	29 40	561	Considerably.
Hungarian	"	1	120	47 "	3	Bearded.	5,940	29 20	571	Badly.
Dawn	"	4	123	51 "	3	Beardless.	6,160	29	561	Considerably.
Early Riga	Aug.	24	112	43 Stiff	3	"	5,420	28	553	"
Byron	"	29	117	50 "	3½	Bearded.	5,380	27 40	57	"
Hastings	Sept.	4	123	49 "	3	Beardless.	4,880	27	583	Badly.
Hayne's Blue Stem (Minn. 169).	"	5	124	50 Weak	4	"	7,380	27	554	"
White Russian	"	2	121	47 "	4	"	7,200	26 40	581	"
Weldon	"	4	123	53 Stiff	3½	"	7,120	26 20	57	"
Wellman's Fife.	"	5	124	53 "	4	"	7,520	26 20	561	Considerably.
Stanley	"	1	120	51 Fair	4	"	7,160	26 20	553	"
Percy	Aug.	31	119	50 Stiff	4	"	6,420	26 20	553	"
Clyde	"	31	120	50 "	5	"	6,140	26	544	"
Minnesota No. 163.	Sept.	4	123	52 Weak	4	"	6,560	25 40	561	Badly.
Laurel	"	4	123	53 Stiff	4	"	6,180	25 20	56	Considerably.
Countess	"	1	120	50 Fair	3	"	5,580	25 20	56	Badly.
Red Fern	"	1	120	51 Stiff	3½	Bearded.	6,700	23 20	541	Considerably.
Plumper	"	3	122	49 "	3	"	6,800	23 20	561	"
Herisson Bearded	Aug.	29	117	46 Weak	2	"	5,800	22 20	551	Badly.
Colorado	Sept.	2	121	51 Fair	3½	"	6,080	22	54	Considerably.
Rio Grande	"	6	125	50 "	3½	"	6,920	19 40	524	"
McKendry's Fife.	"	2	121	52 "	4½	Beardless.	6,520	16 20	57	Badly.

MACARONI WHEAT.

This class of wheat has proved almost free of rust, and for that reason it has during the past few years been much more productive than other varieties.

As this kind of wheat is unsuitable for milling purposes in this country, we do not recommend it for general cultivation.

The size of the plots used for this test was one-twentieth acre. The soil a clay loam, summer-fallowed. All were sown on May 4.

MACARONI WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Proportion Rusted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Goose	Sept.	10	129	52 Fair	2½	Bearded	6,700	53 20	60	Slightly.
Roumanian	"	12	131	53 "	2½	"	6,180	45 20	61	Considerably.
Yellow Gharnovka.	"	9	128	53 Weak	3	"	6,600	45 "	621	Slightly.
Mahmoudi.	"	9	128	45 Fair	3½	"	5,320	44 40	61	"

EMMER AND SPELT.

In addition to the Common Emmer, incorrectly called Speltz in this country, a test has been made with one other Emmer and two kinds of Spelt.

The Common Emmer is not only the most productive but the weight per bushel is decidedly greater.

The Common Emmer has suffered during the past two seasons from the heads breaking from the straw just before harvest. For this reason, it may be better to harvest it before it is fully ripe.

The size of the plots used for this test was one-twentieth acre. The soil a clay loam, summer-fallowed. All were sown on May 4.

EMMER AND SPELT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Proportion Rusted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Lbs.	
Common Emmer.....	Sept. 9.	128	44	Weak ..	2½	Bearded..	6,260	4,140	Slightly.
Red Emmer	" 9.	133	50	Stiff ..	2½	" ..	6,720	2,780	"
Red Spelt.....	" 9.	131	48	" ..	4½	Beardless..	5,800	2,000	"
White Spelt.....	" 9.	129	46	" ..	4½	" ..	5,060	1,240	"

FIELD PLOTS OF WHEAT.

Owing to the Assiniboine river overflowing its banks, we were unable to sow as many large grain fields as usual and in some cases where fields had been sown the water partly destroyed the crop, making accurate returns impossible

Variety.	Rust.	Character of Soil.	Size of Field.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Weight per Bushel.	Yield per Acre.
						Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
Preston	Little...	Clay loam...	6 acres..	May 2....	Aug. 23....	60	30 ..
Laurel	None...	Sandy " ..	5 " ..	April 28....	" 17....	59½	24 36
White Fife.....	" ..	" " ..	2 " ..	" 29....	" 22....	60	22 ..
Red "	" ..	" " ..	4 " ..	May 2....	" 22....	59½	29 43
Huron	" ..	" " ..	1 " ..	April 29....	" 17....	60	31 ..
Pringle's Champlain	" ..	" " ..	1 " ..	" 29....	" 17....	60	25 ..

VARIETIES OF WHEAT GROWN FROM SELECTED AND UNSELECTED SEED.

As in former years, the largest heads were selected from standing grain of last year, and the seed was sown this year for comparison with unselected seed from the same plots.

The accompanying table gives the result of each individual variety. A summary is also given which shows the average yield from the selected wheat to be fifty-four pounds more than the unselected. All were sown on summer-fallow land. The soil was a clay loam.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

WHEAT.

Variety.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Countess, unselected.....	$\frac{1}{20}$ acre.....	May 4....	Sept. 1....	25	20	54 $\frac{1}{2}$
" selected.....	$\frac{1}{20}$ ".....	" 4....	" 1....	24	20	54 $\frac{1}{2}$
Stanley, unselected.....	$\frac{1}{20}$ ".....	" 4....	" 1....	26	20	54
" selected.....	$\frac{1}{20}$ ".....	" 4....	" 1....	29	..	54
Byron, unselected.....	$\frac{1}{20}$ ".....	" 4....	Aug. 29....	27	40	55
" selected.....	$\frac{1}{20}$ ".....	" 4....	" 29....	28	40	55

Average yield of 3 varieties (selected) 27 bush. 20 lbs.
 " (unselected) 26 bush. 26 lbs.

CUTTING RUSTY WHEAT AT DIFFERENT STAGES.

Farmers found it somewhat difficult to decide on the most suitable time to cut fields of rusty grain. It was thought by many, that early cutting would arrest the rust, and allow the kernel to fill out in the stock. Others allowed the grain to fully mature before harvesting. For the purpose of gaining some information on this point, four plots of wheat were cut at intervals of one week and a record kept of the returns from each.

From the accompanying table it will be seen that the plot cut in the dough or late milk stage, gave the best results. This experiment should, however, be repeated before definite opinions are reached.

No.	Variety.	When Sown.	When Harvested.	Stage of Straw when Harvested.	Stage of Grain when Harvested.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
						Bush.	Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Red Fife ...	May 18..	Aug. 30..	Quite green.....	In the milk.....	25	40	54
2	" ...	" 18..	Sept. 6..	Greenish.....	In the dough....	26	..	54
3	" ...	" 18..	" 13..	Nearly ripe.....	Nearly hard.....	24	40	54
4	" ...	" 18..	" 22..	Quite ripe.....	Quite hard.....	24	20	54

EXPERIMENTS WITH THE USE OF BARN-YARD MANURE ON WHEAT.

The plots used for this test in 1903 were again sown with wheat this year. Five adjoining plots in fallow last year were also sown at the same time.

The series of plots selected for this purpose were laid out on the upper portion of the farm where the soil is quite light and somewhat exhausted.

The size of the plots was one-twentieth acre, and the soil a very light sandy loam. The previous crop was wheat. The variety sown was Red Fife, sown on May 13 and harvested from August 26 to September 1.

No. Plot.	Treatment in 1903.	Yield in 1903.		Yield in 1904.	
		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	10 loads per acre, rotted manure.....	13	30	18	40
2	No manure	16	10	19	..
3	10 loads fresh manure	18	..	24	..
4	Summer-fallowed in 1903.....	None.		23	20
5	Clover ploughed in.....	"		20	..
6	Peas ploughed in.....	"		21	20
7	10 loads rotted manure.....	"		24	40
8	10 " fresh "	"		25	40

SUMMARY.

1. The plots left without a crop in 1903 gave the largest average yields of grain this year.
2. The two plots treated with fresh manure gave larger returns than the two treated with rotted manure.
3. Peas used as a green manure gave better results than did clover for that purpose.

A TEST OF FERTILIZERS ON WHEAT.

With one exception the fertilized plots have this year given the largest returns. The same result was obtained with this experiment in 1902.

The size of the plots was one-fortieth acre. The soil a sandy loam, summer-fallowed. All were sown on May 18 and all harvested September 9. There was no smut, but considerable rust on all the plots. The variety of wheat sown on all the plots was Red Fife.

Plot.		Length of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
		Inches.	Inches.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
1	100 lbs. per acre of nitrate of soda, half sprinkled when the grain was 2 in. high, balance when 6 in. high.....	45	3	4,720	31 20	56
2	200 lbs. per acre of nitrate of soda, half sprinkled when the grain was 2 in. high, balance when 6 in. high.....	"	"	4,400	30 00	55
3	No fertilizer used.....	"	"	4,960	30 40	55
4	Superphosphate, 400 lbs. per acre, spread just before sowing	"	"	5,800	33 20	56½
5	Muriate of potash, 200 lbs. per acre, spread just before sowing	"	"	4,720	34 40	57
6	A mixture, 200 lbs. superphosphate, 100 lbs. nitrate of soda, 100 lbs. muriate potash, per acre, half spread before sowing, half when grain was 2 or 3 inches high.....	"	"	4,080	35 20	58

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

PREVENTIVES OF SMUT IN WHEAT.

Bluestone and formalin were both used in the tests this year.

The plots were one-twentieth acre each, and the soil a very light sandy loam. The wheat was harvested from August 26 to September 1.

Variety.	How Treated.	Good heads on 9 sq. ft.	Smut heads.
Red Fife.	Sprinkled with 9 oz. of formalin to 10 galls. water.....	379	
"	" " 1 lb. of bluestone to 1 pail "	389	
"	Not treated.....	430	25

DEEP AND SHALLOW SOWING.

Two one-twentieth acre plots of Red Fife were sown on May 18, with a shoe drill. In one case the seed was sown 2 inches deep and the other $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches. As each produced at the rate of $31\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre the depth of sowing made no appreciable difference in the yield.

OATS.

Early sown oats in this part of Manitoba were generally a good crop, and on the experimental farm the uniform plots gave the best returns ever obtained here.

The land used for this purpose was sown with pease in May, 1903. These were ploughed down when in blossom, and the land cultivated on the surface for the balance of the season. This spring the land was harrowed and the oats sown at once. The growth was very rapid, but the straw remained stiff all summer, and there was no lodged grain at any time.

Many complaints are received each year of serious losses from rust in oats and requests for a remedy are numerous; while none of the varieties of oats tested on this farm are entirely free from rust, Banner is as little affected as any of them. As a preventive for rust, early sowing should be practiced. In every instance where late sowing has been done on this farm, rust has considerably injured a large proportion of the crop, while early sown oats on adjoining fields seldom, if ever, suffer much from this cause.

Four plots of oats were seriously injured by blackbirds. The plots were near water, and in spite of the free use of a gun, the birds destroyed a large proportion of the crop.

The test was made with forty-two varieties, on plots of one-twentieth acre each. The soil was a clay loam, the previous crop, pease, ploughed down, two bushels of seed per acre was used. All were sown on May 5.

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
								Lbs.	Bush.		
Improved American.....	Aug. 26	113	49	Stiff....	11	Branching	5280	134	4	36½	Slightly.
Golden Beauty.....	" 26	113	48	"	8	"	4920	132	32	36	Considerably.
Danish Island.....	" 26	113	41	"	9	"	5040	132	12	36½	"
Banner.....	" 27	114	47	"	9	"	5080	131	6	37½	Slightly.
Abundance.....	" 26	113	46	"	9	"	4500	130	20	35	"
Lincoln.....	" 26	113	47	"	8	"	5200	127	22	36½	Considerably.
Siberian.....	" 26	113	43	"	8	"	5520	127	22	35	"
Early Golden Prolific.....	" 23	110	50	"	9	"	4820	127	2	36	Slightly.
Wide Awake.....	" 27	114	48	"	8	"	5360	125	30	37½	"
American Triumph.....	" 26	113	48	"	9	"	4880	125	10	36½	None.
Waverley.....	" 26	113	43	"	9	"	5260	122	32	36½	Slightly.
Buckbee's Illinois.....	" 27	114	45	"	9	"	4900	121	26	36½	"
White Giant.....	" 24	111	49	"	9	"	5800	121	26	35½	Considerably.
Golden Fleece.....	" 26	113	47	"	11	"	5060	120	..	34	"
Scotch Potato.....	" 27	114	49	"	10	"	5880	119	14	36	Slightly.
Columbus.....	" 23	110	45	"	8	"	4640	117	22	35½	"
Thousand Dollar.....	" 22	109	46	"	9	"	5140	117	22	37½	None.
American Beauty.....	" 23	110	48	"	9	"	5140	117	22	34	Slightly.
Golden Giant.....	" 31	118	41	"	11	Sided.....	5780	116	16	35	Considerably.
Olive White.....	" 29	116	48	"	9	"	5580	116	16	36½	Slightly.
Bavarian.....	" 23	110	44	"	9	Branching	4900	115	30	37½	Considerably.
Golden Tartarian.....	" 30	117	43	"	12	Sided.....	5780	113	18	34½	Slightly.
Irish Victor.....	" 23	110	43	"	9	Branching	5400	112	32	33½	Considerably.
Goldfinder.....	" 30	117	42	"	10	½ Sided....	4840	111	26	34	"
Kendal White.....	" 25	112	46	"	10	Sided.....	5460	108	8	38½	Slightly.
Black Beauty.....	" 24	111	43	Weak ..	9	Branching	5260	108	8	37	Considerably.
Joanette.....	" 30	117	44	"	8	"	5580	107	22	37	"
Twentieth Century.....	" 20	107	48	Stiff....	9	"	4380	107	22	37½	None.
Pioneer.....	" 26	113	49	"	8	"	4900	107	2	38½	Considerably.
Tartar King.....	" 26	107	46	"	8	Sided.....	4440	105	30	40	"
Pense Black.....	" 30	117	51	"	9	"	5960	105	30	38	"
Milford White.....	" 23	115	47	"	10	"	5260	105	10	38½	"
Kendal Black.....	" 30	117	50	"	10	"	5980	104	24	38	Slightly.
Milford Black.....	" 29	116	42	"	9	"	5420	103	18	37½	"
Olive Black.....	" 29	116	49	"	10	Branching	5920	103	18	37½	"
Pense White.....	" 28	115	49	"	9	Sided.....	5900	101	6	38½	"
*Holstein Prolific.....	" 17	105	44	"	9	Branching	5120	100	20	34	Considerably.
Storm King.....	" 26	113	47	"	9	Sided.....	4680	90	..	37	Slightly.
*Mennonite.....	" 17	105	44	"	9	Branching	5080	90	..	26	Considerably.
*Sensation.....	" 23	110	46	"	9	"	5440	79	14	33	"
*Improved Ligowo.....	" 17	105	48	"	9	"	6360	58	8	31	Badly.
*Swedish Select.....	" 17	105	47	"	8	"	6460	54	4	29½	"

*Injured by Blackbirds.

FIELD PLOTS OF OATS.

The remarks given under the head of field plots of wheat apply to oats also, only two fields of this grain were left uninjured by the flood.

One field of Banner oats, 11 acres in extent, soil a clay loam, summer-fallowed, was sown on May 13 and cut on August 23. It gave a return of 73 bushels and 27 lbs. per acre, weighing 37 lbs. per bushel. There was very little rust and no smut in this field.

A field of American Beauty oats, 5 acres in extent, soil a clay loam, summer-fallowed, was sown on May 13 and cut on September 1. It gave a return of 81 bushels and 2 lbs. per acre, weighing 38 lbs. per bushel. There was very little rust and no smut in this field.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BARLEY.

This grain has given excellent returns and a heavy kernel. Among the six-rowed varieties I wish to call attention to Yale barley, a hybrid between Duckbill and Rennie's Improved, this variety stands second on the list of the most productive kinds tested here during the past five years, and it has also given excellent returns on nearly all the experimental farms.

We find that barley can be used to a good advantage as a cleaning crop, weedy land cultivated near the surface in early spring, then ploughed deep about May 20 and sown at once with six-rowed barley will generally give large returns, and also leave the land much cleaner of weeds.

Many inquiries are made regarding beardless varieties of barley. Champion and other varieties of this class have been under trial on this farm for many years, but the yield from them has generally been much below that of the bearded kinds, and the weight per bushel is invariably under the standard.

While all varieties of barley stood up well this year, we usually find the six-rowed varieties have the best straw. The two-rowed Thorpe kinds come next, while the Chevalier varieties are usually too weak for summer-fallow land in this climate.

Twenty varieties of six-rowed barley were tested. Size of plots one-twentieth acre. The soil was sandy loam, which had been summer-fallowed. All were sown on May 17 in the proportion of two bushels of seed per acre. There was no rust on any of the varieties.

BARLEY—SIX-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
							Bush.	Lbs.	
			In.		In.	Lbs.			Lbs
Brome	Aug. 18	93	34	Fair	3	3,900	66	32	52½
Yale	" 18	93	38	Stiff	3	3,600	62	24	51½
Empire	" 18	93	38	"	3½	3,480	58	36	52½
Odessa	" 18	93	36	"	3½	2,440	57	24	52
Claude	" 18	93	35	"	2½	3,120	55	40	49½
Argyle	" 16	91	37	"	3	2,380	54	28	51
Trooper	" 18	93	34	"	3	3,780	54	28	52½
Stella	" 16	91	33	"	3	3,600	53	16	52
Baxter	" 14	89	38	"	2½	2,740	53	16	53
Summit	" 18	93	34	"	3	2,980	52	44	52½
Mansfield	" 18	93	37	"	3½	3,140	52	24	52
Garfield	" 17	87	34	"	3	2,980	52	24	52
Nugent	" 18	93	32	"	3	2,700	47	24	52
Mensury	" 14	89	37	"	3½	3,040	47	04	50½
Albert	" 13	88	37	"	3	3,560	46	32	53
Royal	" 14	89	34	"	3	2,780	46	12	50½
Rennie's Improved	" 14	89	36	"	3½	2,720	43	16	52½
Common	" 13	88	33	"	5	2,340	42	44	52
Oderbruch	" 14	89	27	"	3	1,900	41	32	53½
Champion	" 10	85	33	"	3	2,120	21	32	46½

Fifteen sorts of two-rowed barley were tested this season. The soil was a sandy loam, which had been summer-fallowed. All were sown on one-twentieth acre plots, on June 6, in the proportion of two bushels of seed per acre.

BARLEY—TWO-ROWED—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted.
			In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.	
Invincible	Sept. 7	85	45	Stiff....	5	4,380	63 36	50 $\frac{3}{4}$	Considerably.
Gordon	" 8	83	44	"	3	5,280	63 36	51 $\frac{1}{4}$	Slightly.
Standwell.....	" 10	85	39	"	4	5,880	63 36	51 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
Fulton	" 7	82	40	"	3	5,140	59 28	51	"
Clifford.....	" 8	83	43	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	5,180	53 36	52	"
Dunham.....	" 9	84	38	"	3	5,180	56 32	51	"
Sidney	" 7	82	38	"	4	3,720	55 40	50 $\frac{1}{2}$	None.
Jarvis	" 7	82	44	"	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	4,920	55 40	51 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.
Harvey	" 11	86	40	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,620	55 40	51 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
Canadian Thorpe.....	" 12	87	38	"	3	6,540	55 20	51 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
Danish Chevalier.....	" 12	87	34	"	4	4,720	53 36	50	"
Logan	" 12	87	40	"	4	5,080	52 24	51 $\frac{1}{4}$	"
Beaver	" 12	87	35	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	5,580	52 24	52	Considerably.
French Chevalier.....	" 12	87	36	"	4	5,240	42 44	51	"
Newton.....	" 10	85	34	"	4	5,740	30 20	49 $\frac{1}{2}$	Slightly.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FLAX.

Ten varieties of flax were under trial on the experimental farm. The crop was uniformly good and attracted much attention, particularly from the United States visitors, who are much interested in flax growing.

The St. Petersburg, Russian, Improved Russian, and Common are very similar in appearance. The La Plata has a decidedly spreading habit and branches much nearer the ground than the others. It is also about a week later.

The La Plata and Novarossick again head the list for productiveness, evidently they are very desirable kinds for this country.

These plots were all one-fortieth acre each.

FLAX—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Varieties.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Length of Straw.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
			Inches.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
La Plata.....	May 19..	Aug. 26..	20	1,480	23 32	55 $\frac{1}{4}$
Novarossick.....	" 19..	" 20..	23	1,760	22 8	55
Russian.....	" 19..	" 21..	25	1,640	20 40	55 $\frac{1}{2}$
Riga	" 19..	" 23..	33	1,560	18 32	56
Yellow Seeded	" 20..	" 19..	27	1,560	18 32	55
White Flowering	" 19..	" 20..	27	1,560	17 48	56
Bombay	" 19..	" 26..	18	1,200	17 48	56
Improved Russian	" 20..	" 23..	34	1,720	15 40	56
St. Petersburg	" 19..	" 23..	28	1,760	11 24	56
Common.....	" 20..	" 23..	33	1,040	10 ..	56

SOWING FLAX ON NEW BREAKING.

Many inquiries are received from new settlers regarding the advisability of sowing flax on new breaking. I have always recommended that new breaking be left unsown the first year, for the following reasons:—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

1st. The yield of grain of any kind is comparatively small from breaking and the time can be more profitably used in breaking additional land.

2nd. It is almost impossible to procure flax seed-free from foul weed seeds. We have found seven distinct varieties of wild mustard in one lot of flax procured for this farm.

3rd. For some unexplained reason, land sown with flax the first year fails to give full returns for several years afterwards.

Last year two plots of new prairie land were broken in May, one of the plots was sown with Common Flax as soon as broken and harrowed, the other was left unsown, but was ploughed a second time (backsett) in July. The plot sown with flax gave a yield of 8 bushels and 12 pounds per acre.

This year both were again ploughed and sown with Red Fife Wheat, with the following result:—

	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	
			Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Red Fife on backsetting	May 12..	Sept. 5..	4,200	33	20
" after flax crop.....	" 12..	" 5..	3,320	24	49

From the accompanying table it will be noticed that the plot left without a crop gave 8½ bushels per acre more wheat than the land sown with flax.

FLAX STUBBLE FOR GRAIN CROP.

On old land a grain crop following flax has usually given fair returns here. This is probably owing to the small amount of stubble left by a flax crop, permitting of a compact seed bed so necessary for the wheat plant.

DIFFERENT PREPARATIONS FOR A WHEAT CROP.

All on plots of 1-20 acre each.

Preparation.	Rusted.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	
				Lbs. per ac.	Bush.	Lbs.
Wheat after flax.....	Considerably..	May 11..	Sept. 3..	5680	43	40
" wheat.....	" ..	" 11..	" 3..	4880	37	..
" oats	" ..	" 11..	" 3..	4900	36	40
" barley	" ..	" 11..	" 3..	4080	33	40
" millet	" ..	" 11..	" 3..	3280	35	20
Wheat on summer-fallow.....	Badly	" 11..	" 4..	4540	32	46

DIFFERENT GRAIN CROPS FOLLOWING FLAX.

	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	
			Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Wheat (Red Fife) after flax.....	May 11..	Sept. 3..	5680	43	40
Oats (Banner) after flax	" 11..	Aug. 26..	4620	68	8
Barley (Mensury) after flax.....	" 11..	" 22..	3060	52	44
Pease (Mummy)	" 11..	Sept. 2..	53	20

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

Thirty-one varieties of pease were on trial this year. The yield has been very much above the average and the sample excellent.

This grain is nearly always very productive here, the only obstacle to its more general cultivation is the difficulty in harvesting and threshing it.

The pea weevil is unknown, the sample is usually good and the weight per bushel high.

The soil selected this year was a sandy loam summer-fallowed, the size of the plots one-twentieth acre. All were sown on May 11, in the proportion of two bushels of seed per acre for the small kinds and three bushels for the larger ones.

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.		Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
					In.	In.		Bus.	Lbs.	
1	Mackay	Sept. 11.	123	Rank	42	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium	85	..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
2	Macoun	" 12.	124	"	37	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Small	79	40	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
3	Picton	" 12.	124	"	36	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large	77	10	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
4	Arthur	" 2.	114	Medium	34	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium	77	..	65
5	King	" 8.	120	Rank	42	2	"	75	..	65
6	Carleton	" 10.	122	Medium	37	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Small	73	50	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
7	Paragon	" 10.	122	Rank	33	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium	73	20	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
8	Pearl	" 20.	133	"	46	3	"	73	20	62
9	Mummy	" 19.	132	"	53	2	"	73	..	64
10	Victoria	" 20.	133	"	44	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large	71	40	64
11	Early Britain	" 5.	117	"	43	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	71	..	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
12	Gregory	" 9.	121	Medium	54	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium	70	..	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
13	White Marrowfat	" 20.	133	Rank	63	3	Large	68	20	64
14	Daniel O'Rourke	" 16.	129	"	46	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Small	67	..	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
15	Golden Vine	" 5.	117	Fair	43	2	"	66	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
16	Pride	" 20.	133	Rank	54	2	Medium	64	20	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
17	Archer	" 18.	131	"	43	2	"	64	..	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
18	Prince	" 18.	131	"	44	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	63	20	64
19	Kent	" 18.	131	"	44	3	Large	61	20	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
20	Prince Albert	" 15.	123	Fair	63	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Small	60	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
21	English Grey	" 18.	131	"	42	3	Medium	60	..	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
22	Duke	" 20.	133	Rank	42	2	"	58	..	63
23	Prussian Blue	" 1.	113	Fair	43	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	58	..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
24	Nelson	" 10.	122	"	33	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	57	40	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
25	Crown	Aug. 25.	106	Weak	37	2	Small	57	20	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
26	Wisconsin Blue	Sept. 10.	122	"	38	2	"	57	20	66
27	Agnes	" 6.	118	Fair	42	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium	56	..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
28	White Wonder	Aug. 30.	111	Weak	34	2	Small	55	40	66
29	Black-eyed Marrowfat	Sept. 1.	113	Rank	41	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large	52	..	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
30	Chancellor	Aug. 25.	106	Weak	32	2	Small	51	20	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
31	German White	Sept. 5.	117	Medium	36	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large	50	20	65

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

The crop of Indian Corn was slightly above the average this year, but it was scarcely as far advanced as usual when harvested.

In addition to the uniform test of plots of corn, about eight acres were sown for feeding purposes, 38 tons of this was used for ensilage, and the balance cured in stooks, and will be fed during the winter months. We find that all classes of stock relish dry corn fodder, even horses are benefited by one meal of it a day during the slack months of winter.

The seed was sown on May 26, in rows 30 inches apart, using about half a bushel of seed per acre. The crop was cut on September 23. Twenty varieties were under trial. The soil was a rather light sandy loam and the previous crop was corn. The yields were calculated from two rows, each 66 feet long.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Height.	Leafiness.	When Tasselcd.	In Silk.	Early Milk.	Late Milk.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre grown in rows.		Weight per Acre grown in hills.	
		In.							Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Giant Prolific Ensilage	87	Very leafy..	Aug. 29	In tassel	25	160	26	272
2	White Cap Yell'w Dent	87	Fairly leafy..	" 29	"	24	810	24	48
3	Thoroughbred White Flint	76	Very leafy..	" 29	"	24	840	22	1,672
4	Red Cob Ensilage....	90	Fairly leafy..	Sept. 1	"	23	200	21	1,560
5	Superior Fodder.....	74	Very leafy..	" 5	"	22	1,408	22	352
6	Champion White Pearl	97	Few leaves..	Aug. 27	"	21	768	19	808
7	Salzer's All Gold.....	87	Very leafy..	" 30	"	21	240	23	1,520
8	King Philip.....	85	"	" 18	Aug. 23	Aug. 31	Sept. 6	L. milk.	20	920	18	960
9	Mammoth Cuban.....	75	Few leaves..	" 26	Sept. 1	"	19	1,600	19	808
10	Cloud's Early Yellow.	86	"	" 29	In tassel	18	960	17	848
11	Longfellow.....	84	Fairly leafy..	" 17	Aug. 27	Sept. 16	E. milk.	18	432	18	1,488
12	Pride of the North....	74	Very leafy..	Sept. 1	In tassel	17	1,640	16	1,000
13	North Dakota White.	76	"	Aug. 18	Aug. 23	Sept. 1	Sept. 6	L. milk.	17	1,640	19	1,600
14	Angel of Midnight....	93	Leafy.....	" 19	" 30	" 5	E. milk.	17	1,112	17	1,376
15	Compton's Early.....	79	Fairly leafy..	" 18	" 26	" 1	"	16	1,792	18	960
16	Early Mastodon.....	84	Leafy.....	" 29	Sept. 5	In silk.	16	1,000	17	1,640
17	Early Butler.....	104	Quite leafy..	Sept. 1	In tassel	16	1,000	19	280
18	Eureka.....	76	Few leaves..	Aug. 30	"	15	360	18	960
19	Selected Leaming.....	81	"	Sept. 1	"	13	664	10	64
20	Evergreen Sugar.....	73	Leafy.....	Aug. 28	"	11	440	11	1,760

INDIAN CORN—SOWN AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES APART.

Name of Variety.	Distance apart.	Height.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre, cut green for ensilage.	
	Inches.	Inches.		Tons.	Lbs.
Longfellow, 4 rows.....	24	85	Early milk....	26	800
" 4 ".....	30	85	"	22	880
" 4 ".....	36	85	"	19	1,600
" 4 ".....	42	85	"	17	1,252
Selected Leaming.....	24	81	In tassel.....	16	1,000
".....	30	81	"	15	1,680
".....	36	81	"	14	600
".....	42	81	"	17	1,438
Champion White Pearl	24	97	"	20	1,250
" ".....	30	97	"	19	1,072
" ".....	36	97	"	16	1,880
" ".....	42	97	"	15	160

INDIAN CORN.

Average Yield at Different Distances Apart.				Tons.	Lbs.
Average yield of green corn 24 inches apart.....				21	350
" " 30 ".....				19	544
" " 36 ".....				17	26
" " 42 ".....				16	1,616

FIELD ROOTS.

The yield of all kinds of field roots has been unusually good on the experimental farm this year, and a few notes on our manner of growing them may prove useful to new settlers in this country.

For the best results soil intended for field roots should be rich, moist, and fairly free of weed seeds. These conditions can be obtained by sowing on manured summer-fallow land, or by using the same land continuously for a root crop, but alternating the kind of root from year to year; for instance, land in potatoes this year could be sown to turnips next season. The latter plan has been adopted here, and about ten loads of manure per acre is applied every second or third year. If all root tops and other rubbish is ploughed under deeply, just as soon as the crop is off, and the land rolled, there will be no trouble from cutworms.

All manure should be applied in the autumn. Only well rotted manure should be used, and it must be broken up fine for the best results.

All field roots should be sown much earlier than is generally practiced. Carrots can be sown May 1, turnips May 10, and mangels and sugar beets May 15.

Ridged drills dry out quickly, for that reason only level drills should be used.

TURNIPS.

Twenty varieties of turnips have been on trial at the experimental farm this year. The yield was the largest for years, and the quality good.

The soil was a sandy loam, manured in 1902, and the previous crop was potatoes.

As usual two sowings were made of each variety; in every instance the early sown plots gave the largest returns.

The first plots were sown on May 10, the second on May 23, and the roots from both were pulled on October 6. The estimate of yield has been made from the produce of two rows, each 66 feet long.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
		1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Good Luck.....	43	1,120	1,452	..	23	1,520	792	..
2	Junbo.....	37	1,240	1,254	..	25	1,480	858	..
3	Magnum Bonum.....	34	640	1,144	..	25	1,480	858	..
4	Hall's Westbury.....	31	304	1,038	24	23	200	770	..
5	Bangholm, selected.....	30	720	1,012	..	22	880	748	..
6	East Lothian.....	29	1,400	990	..	21	768	712	48
7	Perfection Swede.....	29	1,136	985	36	21	1,560	726	..
8	Kangaroo.....	29	80	968	..	19	1,600	660	..
9	Hartley's Bronze.....	29	80	968	..	21	240	704	..
10	New Century.....	28	1,552	959	32	23	1,520	792	..
11	Selected Purple Top.....	28	760	946	..	22	616	743	36
12	Imperial Swede.....	28	760	946	..	23	200	770	..
13	Drummond Purple Top.....	28	760	946	..	21	1,560	726	..
14	Carter's Elephant.....	28	760	946	..	21	768	712	48
15	Emperor Swede.....	27	1,176	919	36	19	1,600	660	..
16	Sutton's Champion.....	26	1,592	893	12	21	768	712	48
17	Mammoth Clyde.....	26	1,328	888	48	23	1,520	792	..
18	Halewood's Bronze Top.....	26	1,328	888	48	19	280	638	..
19	Elephant's Master.....	26	800	880	..	19	1,600	660	..
20	Skirvings.....	26	800	880	..	22	880	748	..

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Sixteen varieties of mangels have been on trial at the experimental farm this year. The yield was excellent and the roots were saved free of injury from frost.

The soil used for this crop was a black loam fertilized in 1902, with ten loads of well-rotted stable manure, applied in the autumn. The previous crop was potatoes. The first sowing was made on May 7, and the second on May 21. All were harvested on September 24.

The estimate of yield has been made from the product of two rows each 66 feet long.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
		1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Mam. Yellow Intermediate.....	39	1,992	1,333	12	31	568	1,042	48
2	Gate Post.....	37	712	1,245	12	29	872	981	12
3	Half Long Sugar White.....	35	1,544	1,192	24	31	40	1,034	..
4	Yellow Intermediate.....	31	1,624	1,060	24	38	32	1,267	12
5	Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....	31	1,360	1,056	..	30	1,512	1,025	12
6	Mammoth Long Red.....	31	1,095	1,051	36	27	120	902	..
7	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	31	568	1,042	48	28	760	946	..
8	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	31	304	1,038	24	29	1,928	994	48
9	Leviathan Long Red.....	31	40	1,034	..	23	496	941	36
10	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	31	40	1,034	..	31	304	1,038	24
11	Giant Sugar Mangel.....	29	80	968	..	27	648	910	48
12	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	28	496	941	36	30	192	1,003	12
13	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	27	648	910	48	24	1,104	818	24
14	Half Long Sugar Rosy.....	26	800	880	..	23	760	946	..
15	Giant Yellow Globe.....	23	160	836	..	35	1,280	1,188	..
16	Selected Yellow Globe.....	24	1,896	831	36	36	600	1,210	..

CARROTS.

Profiting by last year's experience, a deep friable soil was selected for this test. The land was ploughed deeply in the fall so as to give the root an opportunity to penetrate the soil. The previous crop was mangels.

Ten varieties were tried. The first sowing was made on May 7, the second on May 21. This year, with one exception, the first sown plots gave the largest yield.

The yield per acre has been calculated from the products of two rows, each 66 feet long.

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
		1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	New White Intermediate.....	38	1,440	1,290	40	24	840	814	..
2	Long Yellow Stump Rooted.....	36	600	1,210	..	29	520	975	20
3	Ontario Champion.....	35	1,720	1,195	20	18	840	630	40
4	Improved Short White.....	33	440	1,107	20	26	1,680	894	40
5	White Belgian.....	33	..	1,100	..	18	840	630	40
6	Carter's Orange Giant.....	31	1,360	1,056	..	25	1,040	850	40
7	Mammoth White Intermediate.....	30	280	1,004	40	24	1,720	828	40
8	Half Long Chantenay.....	28	760	946	..	24	1,720	828	40
9	Giant White Vosges.....	26	800	880	..	15	800	513	20
10	Early Gem.....	22	880	748	..	23	1,080	784	40

SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of these roots were on trial this year. The season was a favourable one and a large crop of well-shaped roots was harvested.

Three of the varieties were tested by Mr. F. T. Shutt, Chemist of the Dominion Experimental Farms, Ottawa, and the juice of all was found fairly rich and pure. Full particulars of this test will be found in Mr. Shutt's annual report.

The soil was a black sandy loam. The previous crop was potatoes.

The first plots were sown on May 7, the second on May 21. All were harvested on September 24.

The estimate of yield has been made from the product of two rows, each 66 feet long.

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
		1st Plot.		1st Plot.		2nd Plot.		2nd Plot.	
		Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Royal Giant.....	25	688	844	48	25	1,744	862	24
2	Red Top Sugar.....	24	48	800	48	25	1,480	858	..
3	Danish Red Top.....	23	992	783	12	23	464	774	24
4	Danish Improved.....	23	200	770	..	20	656	677	36
5	Wanzleben.....	22	880	748	..	18	1,488	624	48
6	Improved Imperial.....	21	504	708	24	24	840	814	..
7	French 'Very Rich'.....	21	240	704	..	14	1,040	484	..
8	Vilmorin's Improved.....	17	1,904	598	24	16	208	536	48

POTATOES.

Forty-one varieties of potatoes were on trial on this farm. The yield was larger than usual and the quality excellent. There was no injury from rot or other disease and they were free from the attacks of the Colorado beetle.

The soil selected this year was a sandy loam and the previous crop was turnips. The soil was fertilized with ten tons of well-rotted manure in the autumn of 1902.

The potatoes were planted on May 14 in rows three feet apart and dug on September 26. The yield has been estimated in each case from the product of one row 66 feet long.

It is quite evident from the experience gained on this farm during the past 15 years, that Early Rose potatoes, the kind usually grown here, are no longer as prolific as some other varieties, and I do not hesitate to advise the abandonment of that variety for others mentioned in the accompanying list. As potatoes increase rapidly, the 3-pound packages supplied free by the experimental farms will in a short time produce sufficient to supply a family. It is found that a somewhat long, pink-coloured potato, of the Early Rose type, gives the best satisfaction in this province. This class of potatoes are usually early, dry and mealy.

The following are some of the most productive varieties of this class: Maule's Thoroughbred, Canadian Beauty, General Gordon, Rose No. 9, Seedling No. 7.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	When Matured.	Average Size.	Total Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre of Marketable.		Yield per Acre of Unmarketable.		Form and Colour.
					Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Late Puritan	Rank ..	Sept. 5	Large ..	847	799	20	47	40	Long, round, white.	
2	Prolific Rose	" ..	Aug. 25	" ..	656	20	616	40	20	Round, deep pink.	
3	Dreer's Standard	" ..	Sept. 1	" ..	649	616	33	Flattish oval, white.	
4	Money Maker	Med.	" 16	" ..	634	20	590	20	44	Round " "	
5	Sabeau's Elephant	Rank ..	" 6	Med.	605	561	44	Long round " "	
6	Maule's Thoroughbred	" ..	" 5	Large ..	568	20	553	40	14	40 " deep pink.	
7	Rose No. 9	" ..	" 6	" ..	561	539	22	" flat, deep pink.	
8	Canadian Beauty	" ..	" 1	" ..	557	20	531	40	25	40 " round, lt. pink.	
9	American Giant	" ..	" 6	" ..	553	40	520	40	33	.. Round oval, white.	
10	Uncle Sam	Med.	" 1	" ..	550	524	20	25	40	Flattish " "	
11	Country Gentleman	Rank ..	Aug. 26	Med.	550	509	40	40	20	Long, deep pink.	
12	Empire State	" ..	" 29	Large ..	546	20	506	40	40	20 " white.	
13	American Wonder	" ..	Sept. 6	" ..	546	20	509	40	36	40 Long round, white.	
14	State of Maine	" ..	" 6	" ..	542	40	506	36	40	Flat oval, white.	
15	Irish Cobbler	Med.	" 1	" ..	539	487	40	51	20	Flat, white.	
16	Carman No. 3	Rank ..	" 6	" ..	531	40	517	14	40	Long round, white.	
17	Seedling No. 7	" ..	" 7	" ..	528	506	22	" deep red.	
18	General Gordon	Med.	" 1	Med.	528	506	22	Long round, d'p pink.	
19	Holborn Abundance	V. rank. .	" 6	Large ..	524	20	491	20	33	.. Round, white.	
20	Carman No. 1	" ..	" 6	" ..	517	484	33	Flat " "	
21	Pearce	Rank ..	" 1	Med.	509	40	502	20	7	20 Round, pink.	
22	Cambridge Russet	" ..	" 1	Large ..	509	40	484	25	40	1/2 round, d'p russet.	
23	Enormous	" ..	" "	" ..	495	451	44	Roundish, white.	
24	I. X. L.	" ..	" 6	" ..	495	454	40	40	20	Long round, pink.	
25	Delaware	" ..	" 5	Fair ..	487	40	465	40	22	.. Long oval, white.	
26	Rochester Rose	Med.	Aug. 28	Med.	465	40	451	14	40	Long round, lt pink.	
27	Swiss Snowflake	V. rank. .	Sept. 6	" ..	462	429	33	Irregular, white.	
28	Clay Rose	Rank ..	" 6	Large ..	458	20	429	29	20	Flat oval, deep pink.	
29	Penn Manor	Med.	" 1	" ..	429	410	40	18	20	Long " "	
30	Reeve's Rose	Rank ..	" 6	" ..	407	388	40	18	20	Flat " light pink.	
31	Everett	Med.	Aug. 25	" ..	366	40	333	40	33	.. Long " pink.	
32	Burnaby Seedling	Rank ..	Sept. 5	" ..	355	40	333	40	22	.. Flat " "	
33	Bovee	Weak ..	Aug. 20	Med.	352	315	20	36	40	Long " light pink.	
34	Early St. George	Med.	Sept. 1	Large ..	348	20	333	40	14	40 " deep pink.	
35	Pingree	Weak ..	Aug. 25	Med.	341	311	40	29	20	Flat " white.	
36	Early Andes	" ..	" 24	" ..	330	300	40	29	20	Round oval, white.	
37	Vick's Extra Early	V. rank. .	" "	Large ..	326	20	304	20	22	.. Flat, pink.	
38	Rawdon Rose	Weak ..	Aug. 25	" ..	315	20	293	20	22	.. Round oval, lt pink.	
39	Early Envoy	Med.	" 31	Med.	315	20	389	40	25	40 " pink.	
40	Early Rose	Weak ..	" 25	Large ..	282	20	271	20	11	.. " "	
41	Early White Prize	" ..	" "	" ..	264	231	33	" oval, lt pink.	

GRASSES.

The past season has been a fairly satisfactory one for grasses and the yield was above the average. Bald Wheat grass (*E. Virginicus*) is a native of the province. We have found it quite vigorous on light dry locations where many other grasses give poor returns. It should be cut quite green, otherwise it cures a dark brown colour and is decidedly woody in texture.

Western Rye grass (*A. tenerum*) is also a native of this province. Although a more tender grass than the Wheat grass, it also should be cut directly the head is formed, otherwise the hay is tough and hard.

On this farm we have had good results from sowing a mixture of Western Rye grass and Austrian Brome, using 7 lbs. of each variety of seed. By this plan the Brome cures better and in the mixture the slippery character of pure Rye grass is not so noticeable.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

We find that pasturing clover during the fall months has a very injurious effect on the plants; for that reason a fenced field is the most suitable place for clover of all kinds.

The accompanying table gives this year's yield of the different varieties of clover. The soil was a sandy loam and the previous crop was barley. They were all sown on spring ploughed stubble, without a nurse crop. The weeds and volunteer crop was cut the first year when one foot high, and the cuttings left on the ground to act as a mulch.

Varieties.	When sown.	Seed	Thickness	Yield of Hay	
		per acre.	of	per acre.	
		Lbs.	Aftermath.	Tons.	Lbs.
Alsike and Timothy mixed.....	1902	15	Thin.....	2	1400
Mammoth Red Clover.....	1902	20	Fair.....	2	300
Alsike.....	1902	20	Thin.....	1	1200
Common Red Clover, 1st cutting.....	1902	20	Thick.....	1	600
" " 2nd ".....	1902	20	".....	1	800
Alfalfa clover, 1st cutting.....	1902	25	".....	1	1200
" " 2nd ".....	1902	25	".....	1	1200
" " 1st ".....	1903	25	".....	1	800
" " 2nd ".....	1903	25	".....	1	400
Common Red Clover, 1st cutting.....	1903	20	".....	1	1600
" " 2nd ".....	1903	20	".....	1	1000
White Dutch.....	1902	20	Thin.....	1	1600

MILLETS.

As the land set apart for Millets was flooded, a trial was made of sowing them on wheat stubble land, ploughed in spring, and the result was very unsatisfactory. This plant requires a clean and compact soil, with a liberal supply of moisture; all of these requirements were lacking in the land used. The yield of hay was generally much below the average. Moha Hungarian was the only variety that gave a good return.

The size of the plots for this test were one-fortieth acre and the soil a sandy loam. All were sown on May 20 and cut on September 1.

Variety.	Height.	Stage when cut.	Yield of Hay per acre.	
	In.		Tons.	Lbs.
Moha Hungarian.....	45	Fully headed...	6
Japan.....	40	Not headed....	3	1600
Italian or Indian.....	35	".....	2	1200
Common Millet.....	30	Fully headed....	2	800
Algerian.....	65	Not headed....	2
California.....	50	".....	2
White Round French.....	55	Nearly ripe....	..	1000
Pearl or Cat-tail.....	25	Not headed....	..	600

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

CATTLE.

The herd of cattle on the experimental farm now consists of the following animals:—

Name of Animal.	Breed.	Age.	Weight.
			Lbs.
Alice May	Shorthorn	4 years	1,365
Nancy	"	4 "	1,210
Brandon Myrtle	"	5 "	1,435
Red Knight of Brandon	"	2 "	1,710
Rose of Brandon	"	18 months	899
Lily of Brandon	Ayrshire	2 years	1,145
Dentry	"	2 "	1,020
Haron	"	1 "	1,020
Brandon Maid	Guernsey	2 "	945
Ottawa Prince	"	2 "	1,480
Marie	"	8 months	470
Ruben	Shorthorn Grade	3 "	230
Christie	"	4 years	1,275
Gretchen	"	6 "	1,310
Carrie	"	7 "	1,465
Jennette	"	6 "	1,590
Jenney	"	15 months	530
Margaret	"	11 "	630
Daisy	"	6 "	330
Pet.	Ayrshire Grade	5 years	920
Sis	"	17 months	655

MILKING COWS.

The accompanying table gives the length of the milking period and the weight of milk given by a number of the experimental farm cows for the past year:—

Name.	Age.	Breed.	Milking Period.	Pounds of Milk.
Nancy	4	Shorthorn	335 days ending Nov. 30, 1904	6,751
Brandon Myrtle	5	"	292 " " "	5,219
Brandon Maid	2	Guernsey	268 " " "	4,869
Christie	6	Shorthorn Grade	303 " " "	9,241
Carrie	8	"	279 " " "	6,934
Gretchen	5	"	267 " " "	5,732
Pet.	6	Ayrshire	138 " " "	3,331

EXPERIMENTS IN FEEDING STEERS.

ONE-YEAR-OLD STEERS COMPARED WITH TWO-YEAR-OLDS.

The twelve steers selected for this test were apparently all shorthorn grades. Six of them were about 18 months old, the others 30 months. All were raised in the neighbourhood of Hamiota, Manitoba.

When purchased in November, 1903, the steers cost \$3.25 per hundred pounds live weight and sold in May, 1904, for \$4.25 per hundred pounds. The older steers were the most suitable for export purposes, but all were killed in Winnipeg, and the buyer gave the same price for each lot.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

After two weeks of preparatory feeding they were divided into two groups, according to age.

All were tied in double stalls and fed all they would eat of the following ration:—

Ration per day for each one-year-old steer—

Corn fodder	15 lbs.
Oat straw	8 "
Corn ensilage	17 "
Swede turnips	10 "
Wheat bran	5 "
Ground grain	3 to 6 "

Ration per day for each two-year-old steer—

Corn fodder	15 lbs.
Oat straw	8 "
Corn ensilage	17 "
Swede turnips	10 "
Wheat bran	5 "
Ground grain	4 to 8 "

DESCRIPTION OF FODDER.

The fodder corn was Pearce's Prolific, cut when in the early milk stage, well cured in the stooks outside and drawn in as wanted. This was cut into one-inch lengths. The straw was mixed wheat and oat. The grain was composed of one-third each of barley, oats and wheat screenings, ground somewhat coarsely. The amount of grain fed was increased slightly each month until the test was completed.

COMPARATIVE GAINS.

One year old steers.	Date.	Weight.	Gain.	Total Gain.
Original weight of steers	Dec. 11, 1903..	4,940 lbs. . .		
Weight at end of 1st term	Jan. 8, 1904..	5,235 " . . .	295 lbs. . . .	
" 2nd "	Feb. 5, 1904..	5,520 " . . .	285 "	
" 3rd "	March 4, 1904..	5,865 " . . .	345 "	
" 4th "	April 1, 1904..	6,092 " . . .	227 "	1,152 lbs.
Two year old steers.	Date.	Weight.	Gain.	Total Gain.
Original weight of steers	Dec. 11, 1903..	6,725 lbs. . .		
Weight at end of 1st term	Jan. 8, 1904..	7,095 " . . .	370 lbs. . . .	
" 2nd "	Feb. 5, 1904..	7,390 " . . .	295 "	
" 3rd "	March 4, 1904..	7,765 " . . .	375 "	
" 4th "	April 1, 1904..	7,895 " . . .	130 "	1,170 lbs.

COST OF FEEDING.

One-year-old steers—

9,000 lbs. of fodder corn, at \$4 per ton	\$18 00
4,788 lbs. of straw, at \$1 per ton	2 39
5,940 lbs. of turnips, at 5 cents per bushel	4 95
11,058 lbs. of ensilage, at \$2 per ton	11 05
2,970 lbs. of bran, at \$12 per ton	17 82
2,766 lbs. of chop, at 75c. per 100 lbs.	20 74

\$74 95

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Two-year-old steers—

9,360 lbs. of fodder corn, at \$4 per ton	\$18 72
4,980 lbs. mixed straw, at \$1 per ton	2 49
6,180 lbs. of turnips, at 5c. per bushel	5 05
11,466 lbs. of ensilage, at \$2 per ton	11 46
3,090 lbs. bran, at \$12 per ton	18 54
4,008 lbs. of chop, at 75c. per 100 lbs.	30 60
	<hr/> \$86 86

SUMMARY OF RESULTS.

	First Cost of Steers.	Value of Feed Consumed.	Price per Steer sold for.	Gain per Day.	Profit per Steer.
	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs. Oz.	\$ cts.
One year old steers.	28 81	12 49	43 15	1 11	1 85
Two year old steers.	39 22	14 47	55 92	1 11	2 23

CONCLUSIONS.

The results of this experiment would lead us to the following conclusions :—

First, the amount of gain in weight per day is the same with each lot of steers.

Second, the two-year-olds were slightly more profitable than the one-year-olds.

Third, the feeding of steers provides a ready market on the farm for rough fodder and inferior grain, but unless there is a greater difference than \$1 per 100 lbs. between the buying price in the fall and the selling price in the spring, there is very little profit.

EXPERIMENTS WITH SWINE.

BARLEY COMPARED WITH MIXED GRAIN.

Barley is very productive in this country, and the six-rowed varieties can be sown late in the season, after all other seeding is finished, and still escape injury from frost. But few farmers, however, appear to use it extensively for pig feed.

Eight pigs were used for this test, all were cross-bred Berkshire and Tamworths.

The mixed grain used was one-third each of wheat, oats and barley; all the grain was ground coarsely.

Both kinds of feed were valued at 75c. per 100 lbs.

The pigs were purchased at \$4 per 100 lbs. live weight, and sold at the close of the test at \$5 per 100 lbs.

RATION FED.

Amount and value of food consumed during the fattening term of 70 days, from June 23 to September 1, 1904 :—

	Grain fed.	Value of feed.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.
Pen No. 1, fed barley alone.	1,130	8 47
Pen No. 2, fed mixed grain.	1,090	8 17

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SUMMARY.

	Weight when bought.	Value when bought.	Weight when sold.	Value when sold.	Value of food.	Profit on each pen.
	Lbs.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Pen 1, fed on barley.....	362	14 48	616	30 80	8 47	7 85
Pen 2, fed on mixed grain.	342	13 68	547	27 35	8 15	5 52

CONCLUSIONS.

First, the pen fed on barley consumed 40 lbs more grain during the fattening period than those fed on mixed grain.

Second, the same pen also made a gain of 49 lbs. more than those fed on mixed grain.

Third, the pen fed on barley made \$2.33 more profit than the animals fed on mixed grain.

FEEDING PIGS ON PEASE IN THE FIELD.

Field pease give large returns in this province, but the one great obstacle to their general cultivation is the difficulty in harvesting and threshing the crop. With the object of overcoming this difficulty a trial was made of turning a number of pigs into one acre of nearly ripe pease and allowing them to do the harvesting and threshing.

Ten pigs were used for this test. They were all of mixed breeding and cost on September 3, \$4.75 per hundred pounds, live weight, and sold on October 20 for \$5 per hundred pounds. It was found necessary to ring them, otherwise they covered many of the pease in rooting up the soil.

The variety of pease used was Canadian Beauty, sown on one acre of summer-fallow land, on May 7. Pigs were turned into the field on September 3, and by October 20, they had all the grain eaten clean.

SUMMARY.

	Weight when bought.	Value when bought.	Weight when sold.	Value when sold.	Profit on 1 acre peas fed to pigs
	Lbs.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Group of 10 pigs.....	1,393	66 16	1,670	83 50	17 34

POULTRY.

Three breeds of poultry and their crosses have been kept during the year, namely:—White Wyandottes, Light Brahmas and Barred Plymouth Rocks.

All have kept quite healthy and seventy chicks were raised during the summer. A number of cockerels have been sold to farmers for breeding purposes. Plymouth Rocks are preferred for this purpose.

COMPARISON OF WHITE WYANDOTTES WITH BARRED PLYMOUTH ROCKS AS FATTENING FOWL.

This is a repetition of last year's test, but the comparison is not quite so favourable to the Plymouth Rocks as the previous test.

Four pure bred Barred Plymouth Rock cockerels and an equal number of White Wyandottes were shut up in slatted pens, each 2 x 3 feet, and fed all they would eat of finely ground grain, consisting of one-third each of wheat, oats and barley. This was given in troughs mixed with skim-milk to the consistency of thin porridge.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

In the following tables the meal has been estimated at 75 cents per hundred pounds. The fattening period covered 21 days.

Wyandottes (White).

Weight Oct. 31.		Weight Nov. 21.		Gain.		Cost of Food.		Cost per lb. live weight.
Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	\$	cts.	Cts.
20	6	25	8	5	2	0	18	3½

Barred Plymouth Rocks.

Weight Oct. 31.		Weight Nov. 21.		Gain.		Cost of Food.		Cost per lb. live weight.
Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	Lbs.	Oz.	\$	cts.	Cts.
20	1	26	1	6	0	0	19	3¼

INCUBATORS FOR HATCHING.

Owing to the late spring here it is difficult to obtain sitting hens early in the season. On this account chickens are often too late for early autumn killing, when prices are the highest. An effort has been made to overcome this difficulty by using an incubator.

The incubator was filled for the first time last spring, on April 19, with 120 Plymouth Rock and Wyandotte eggs. Only 60 proved fertile, and 30 of these hatched. It was found impossible to obtain broody hens for a comparison in April.

On May 20 another lot of 120 eggs from the same fowls were started in the incubator, at the same time two broody hens were set on eggs from the same fowls. In each case two-thirds of the fertile eggs hatched.

All the chickens were raised in outside brooders and were equally strong, the loss after hatching being only four per cent.

CONCLUSION.

1st. The percentage of chickens from fertile eggs was the same, whether setting hens or incubator was used.

2nd. It is possible to secure earlier chickens by using an incubator.

3rd. A large proportion of the eggs laid in early spring before the fowls have an opportunity to take exercise are not fertile.

BEES.

Of the thirteen colonies of bees placed in the cellar in the fall of 1903, three late and weak colonies died during the winter.

All were removed from the cellar to their summer stands on April 5, as they appeared quite restless. The first pollen was gathered on April 28 from *Anemone patens*, commonly known as Wild Crocus; this was closely followed by Early Willow pollen.

The months of May and June were not favourable for gathering nectar, but as soon as July set in the bees worked very freely on wild flowers. Perhaps the largest supply was obtained from the Mint family of plants, which were unusually abundant this year, the honey from these plants was very thick, quite aromatic and agreeable to the taste.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Forty pounds of extracted honey was produced per colony, spring count, and eight new swarms obtained.

Bees have now been successfully kept on the farm for a number of years, and I see no reason why the average farmer should not succeed equally well, providing he is located near thickets of wood, where the bees can obtain ready access to abundance of native flowers, most of which secrete nectar, but out in the open prairie at a distance from timber, it may be more difficult to make a success of bee-keeping.

Parties supplied with colonies from this farm last year report having had good success with them.

HORTICULTURE, 1904.

The past season was in nearly every respect an ideal one for the horticulturist. April opened with bright sunny weather, which conditions were very favourable to the successful growing of plants in the hot-bed, and remarkably strong seedlings were ready at planting out time. Perhaps the most gratifying feature in the climatic conditions is the continued absence of spring frosts. Formerly this was the most discouraging factor we had to contend with in horticultural work, resulting, as it frequently did, in the total destruction of the fruit blossoms, and seriously damaging such vegetables as cucumbers, squash, pumpkins, corn and tomatoes. For the past four years these frosts have not been in evidence, and it seems reasonable to hope that in this connection climatic conditions are permanently ameliorated. In the vegetable garden a bountiful crop was harvested. Continuing the practice established some years ago, a complete test was made of one or two kinds of vegetables, this year squash, pumpkins and onions being the vegetables selected.

Such extensive records as these must necessarily be of considerable value to the farmer and market gardener. The fruit crop was also a very satisfactory one. Cross Red apples and Siberian crab apples set heavily, and many varieties of considerable merit fruited for the first time. Plums also produced an abundant crop, among which were some of the best ever fruited on this farm. In the small fruits raspberries gave a very fair crop and showed much improvement over the product of previous years, due principally (in our opinion) to the mulch of green manure given them the preceding fall. Currants also set well, and a moderate crop of excellent quality was gathered, fuller particulars of which will be found under the heading of currants. In the Arboretum the trees have become so thick as render a generous thinning necessary, and a considerable amount of this work has been accomplished.

APPLES, 1904.

Last season again proved favourable for testing these fruits in Manitoba. The absence of spring frosts was followed by a heavy setting, and the somewhat open fall assisted in the ripening of some of the late varieties. The winter of 1903-4 was one of the most severe experienced here for some time past and some damage was occasioned by sun-scald. No permanent injury, however, was sustained, and by cutting off a few of the branches all traces of the trouble was removed. A large number of the varieties under test at the farm are rapidly coming into bearing condition, and each year shows a decided progress in this important branch of work.

STANDARD APPLES.

The following varieties of standard apples, root grafted on *Pyrus baccata*, together with some Russian seedlings, were received from the Central Experimental Farm at Ottawa, in the spring of 1903. The following table shows their condition after having passed through one winter:—

Variety.	Trees No.	Condition 1904.
Hibernal	3	1. Killed back one quarter 1903-4; strong growth 1904. 2. Wintered well 1903-4; strong growth 1904. 3. " " " " " " " " " " " "
Wealthy	3	1. Killed to near ground 1903-4; strong growth 1904. 2. Killed to ground 1903-4; strong growth 1904. 3. Killed back one-quarter 1903-4; fair growth 1904.
North-western Greening	2	1. Killed to ground 1903-04; strong growth. 2. Died 1903-4.
McMahon White	3	1. Killed back slightly 1903-04; fair growth 1904. 2. " " " " " " " " " " " " 3. Killed " back one-quarter 1903-4; strong growth 1904.
Longfield	2	1. " " " " " " " " " " " " 2. " " " " " " " " " " " " 3. " " " " " " " " " " " "
Yellow Transparent	3	1. Killed to ground 1903-4; strong growth 1904. 2. " " " " " " " " " " " " 3. " " " " " " " " " " " "
Pointed Pipka	3	1. Killed back slightly 1903-04; strong growth 1904. 2. Killed to near ground 1903-04, strong growth 1904. 3. Killed to ground 1903-4; fair growth 1904.
Duchess of Oldenburgh	3	1. Killed back one-quarter 1903-4; fair growth 1904. 2. Killed to ground 1903-4; strong growth 1904. 3. Wintered fairly well 1903-4; very weak growth 1904.
Scott's Winter	3	1. Died 1903-4. 2. Killed to ground 1903-4; fair growth 1904. 3. " " " " " " " " " " " "
McIntosh Red	2	1. Killed back one-quarter 1903-4; strong growth 1904. 2. Killed to near ground 1903-4; fair growth 1904.
Russian Seedling No. 3	2	1. Killed back slightly 1903-4; fair growth 1904. 2. Wintered well 1903-04; strong growth 1904.
Russian Seedling No. 7	2	1. " " " " " " " " " " " " 2. " " " " " " " " " " " "
Russian Seedling No. 18	3	1. Killed back slightly 1903-04; fair growth 1904. 2. " " " " " " " " " " " " 3. Wintered well 1903-4; strong growth 1904.
Russian Seedling No. 22	3	1. Killed back slightly 1903-4; strong growth 1904. 2. Killed to ground 1903-4; fair growth 1904. 3. Killed to near ground 1903-4; strong growth 1904.
Russian Seedling No. 26	1	1. Killed to ground 1904; strong growth 1904.

Scions of the following standard apples were received from the Central Experimental Farm in 1903, and were top grafted on *Pyrus laccata* in the orchard south of the barn: Patten's Greening, Duchess, Yellow Transparent, McMahon White, Wealthy, Longfield, Charlamoff, Malinda, North-western Greening, Hibernal. These were all dead in the spring of 1904.

A number of scions of named cross-bred apples were received from the Central Farm for the same purpose. The following table shows their condition:—

Variety.	Condition 1904.
Aurora	Killed back slightly, 1903-04. Strong growth, 1904.
Carleton	" " " " " " " " " " " "
Ruby	Received, 1904. Fair. " " "
No. 218	" " " " " " " " " " " "
Pioneer	Wintered well, 1903-04. Strong " " "
Derby	" " " " " " " " " " " "
Northern Queen	" " " " " " " " " " " "
Rideau	Received, 1904. Fair " " "
Alberta	" failed to unite. Weak " " "
Jewel	" " " " " " " " " " " "
Elsa	" did not take. Strong " " "
Novelty	Wintered well, 1903-04. Received, 1904. " " " "
Columbus	" " " " " " " " " " " "
Tony	" " " " " " " " " " " "

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

THE WEALTHY APPLE.

In previous reports mention has been made of the hardness of an apple received from Mr. A. P. Stevenson, of Nelson, as the Wealthy. Although this tree has fruited for one or two years past, the fruit has been stolen before it approached maturity, consequently we could not verify the correctness of the name.

From specimens grown this season it is evident that it has been mis-named, as the fruit is much too small for Wealthy, and lacks all other characteristics of that variety. It is probably one of the larger crab apples.

DUCHESS OF OLDENBURGH.

Some scions of this variety were received from H. L. Patmore, a local nurseryman, in 1902, and were top grafted on *Pyrus baccata*. A portion of these were used in the *Pyrus* orchard south of the barn, and the balance were put on to a single specimen of *Pyrus baccata* growing on the hillside. The spring following, all in the south orchard were entirely killed, while those on the hillside were perfectly sound. The latter has continued hardy, and a few flowers were produced last spring, though not in sufficient quantity to ensure a set. Should this hardness prove permanent a valuable addition will be made to our list of apples.

CROSS NO. 179.

In the hillside cross-bred orchard under the above number there fruited the past season the largest apple yet grown on the experimental farm. Though the tree is quite small it bore 15 fruits nearly as large as the Wealthy apple, of good colour and flavour, and which ripened early. The tree in question is planted in a very exposed position, and appears to be reasonably hardy. The identity of the cross has not yet been established.

CROSS-BRED SEEDLINGS, 1904.

A large number of cross-bred seedlings fruited for the first time this season, many of which were very promising. All of these show a very marked improvement over *Pyrus baccata*, the pistillate parent, and would be gladly welcomed by the farmers of Manitoba and the North-west. The most promising of these crosses yet fruited are:—

Pyrus baccata x Wealthy.
“ x Tetofsky.

Pyrus baccata x Beautiful Arcade.
“ x Krimscoe.

The Beautiful Arcade cross, though one of the smallest in size, is entirely free from astringency and has a fine aromatic flavour.

SEEDLING OF TRANSCENDENT.

One of the seedlings of the Transcendent fruited during the past season for the first time. The fruit was handsome in appearance, and considerably larger than the parent variety, and much was expected from it. A test of its flavour when ripe, however, speedily dissipated our hopes, as it proved to be excessively astringent, and with hollow core.

SEEDLINGS OF MARTHA CRAB.

These seedlings, which have been referred to in previous reports, are likely to prove one of the most satisfactory additions to the collection of apples. A number of them came into bearing for the first time this year, and, in some a marked improvement was shown over those which have fruited in the past. Two of them were superior to the 'Transcendent' crab, both in size and flavour. The best of them have been named and will be propagated so as to admit of a more general test.

SEEDLINGS OF THE SNYDER CRAB.

Two seedlings of the Snyder crab fruited the past season, both of considerable merit. The fruit though somewhat small in size, was of excellent flavour and made a capital preserve. Most of the seedlings of Snyder have proved tender, but these are promising for hardness.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

TONKA CRAB.

This variety still continues hardy and the original tree from which our scions were obtained again fruited the past season, but by reason of its out-of-the-way location and the consequent difficulty of protecting it the fruit was again stolen before it had gained maturity. A sufficient number of trees have been grafted, however, to perpetuate the variety, some of which show promise of fruit next season. As these are in a fenced orchard, we will doubtless have an opportunity of testing the mature product before long.

TRANSCENDENT CRAB.

Several of the trees in the Pyrus orchard have been top-grafted with the Transcendent crab and have now passed through three winters. So far they have proven quite hardy, and this season some of them fruited for the first time. The fruit was of good size and entirely free from blemish. This is encouraging, as not many years ago we found it difficult to winter the Transcendent. Probably much of the success of the experiment is due to the splendid stock of the *Pyrus baccata*, the hardy Silerian crab introduced by the experimental farms.

PRIDE OF MINNEAPOLIS.

Scions of this variety were received from H. L. Patmore in 1902, and top-grafted on *Pyrus baccata*. Though spoken of highly, we do not see very much to recommend it, judging by the fruit produced this year. It is thoroughly hardy, is very late, and of poor flavour, and not equal to the Transcendent crab in size.

PYRUS BACCATA.

A very heavy crop of fruit was again harvested from this variety, many of the trees producing quite large fruit of fair flavour.

PLUMS.

We have again the pleasure of recording a very heavy crop of this fruit at the Brandon farm, the majority of the trees being so heavily laden as to weigh the branches to the ground. The most interesting feature, however, was the fruiting for the first time of three native varieties, superior to anything we have yet noted, both in earliness and flavour. Three trees, received from Mr. M. Major, of Winnipeg, ripened their fruit early in August, fully two weeks earlier than any other trees on the farm. The product was of comparatively large size, deep red in colour when ripe, the skin very sweet and juicy, with no signs of astringency, while the stone was not out of proportion to the flesh (a serious drawback to many of the types under test.) The first fruit of all three trees was nearly identical, and consequently we have given them the same name, viz., 'Major.' Another tree of exceptional merit was received from the Souris district, and though not quite equal to the former, is well worthy of propagation, and has been given the name of 'Souris.' The last one worthy of special mention is the only yellow variety yet fruited at the experimental farm. When ripe, this is a light yellow in colour, with a few faint reddish dots on the sunny side. The flavour is quite distinct, very sweet and this plum has been named 'Brandon.'

The first of these varieties is greatly superior to the average native plum and is delicious either as dessert or for preserving. Of the seedlings of the American plum (*Prunus Americana*) only those of 'Cheney' have been found satisfactory, none of the others ripening early enough, and the larger portion of these late varieties have been removed to make room for more promising specimens. A quantity of seed was gathered from the earliest and best native trees, was sown this fall, and it is hoped that a sufficient number of seedlings will be obtained to plant out a considerable area, so that further selection may be made.

CURRENTANTS.

A large number of varieties of this fruit was received from the Central Experimental Farm in the spring of 1902. All became well established, and during the past

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

season produced a sufficient crop of fruit to warrant comparisons. Just as the fruit commenced to ripen the currant worm appeared and threatened to defoliate the bushes, but a timely application of white hellebore, one or two ounces to a pailful of water, applied with a spray pump, quickly stopped their depredations, and no serious damage resulted. Following will be found the names of the varieties under test, together with notes on the same :—

CURRANTS, 1904.

Variety.	Colour.	Flavour.	Length of Spike.	Fruit on Spike.	Weight from one Tree.
			In.		Lbs.
White Imperial.....	White.	Slightly acid.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Thicklyset	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Climax.....	"	Sweet.....	2	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Large White.....	"	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Defiance.....	Red.....	"	2	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Houghton Castle.....	"	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Giant Red.....	"	Fairly sweet.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$
Verrier's White.....	White.....	Very fine.....	3	Thinly	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
White Grape.....	"	Slightly acid.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Thicklyset	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
White Kaiser.....	"	Sweet.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Mattie.....	Black	" thin skin.....	2	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
White Cherry.....	White.....	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Star.....	Black	Fairly sweet and juicy, thickish skin.			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Eagle.....	"	Sub-acid and juicy, thick skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Black Grape.....	"	Fairly sweet and dry, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Black English.....	"	" juicy, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Kentish Hero.....	"	Sub-acid and juicy, thickish skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Merveille de Gironde.....	"	" thin skin.....			2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Stirling.....	"	Sweet and juicy, thin skin.....			2 $\frac{1}{2}$
London Red.....	Red.....	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Thicklyset	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Lewis.....	"	"	2	Thinly "	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dominion.....	Black.....	Sub-acid, not juicy; skin moderately thick.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Beauty.....	"	Sweet and juicy, thickish skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Baldwin's Black.....	"	Sub-acid and juicy, thick skin.....			2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Winona.....	"	Sweet and dry, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Standard.....	"	" thick skin.....			2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Ethel.....	"	Fairly sweet and juicy, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Oxford.....	"	Sweet and juicy, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Brandenburg Black.....	"	" thickish skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Wilder.....	Red.....	Fairly sweet.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Thinly set	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
White Dutch.....	White.....	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Eclipse.....	Black.....	Sweet, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Orton.....	"	Sweet and juicy, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Prince of Wales.....	"	Fairly sweet and juicy, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Stewart.....	"	Slightly acid, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Gewöhnliche.....	"	Fairly sweet and dry, thin skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Clipper.....	"	"			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Percy.....	"	Sweet and juicy, thick skin.....			1 $\frac{1}{2}$
North Star.....	Red.....	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Thicklyset	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Moore's Seedling.....	"	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Cumber.....	"	Extremely acid.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Fertile D'Angers.....	"	Sub-acid and juicy.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Simcoe Red.....	"	Acid and juicy.....	2	Thinly set	3 $\frac{1}{2}$
Pomona.....	"	Sweet and juicy.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Thicklyset	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Prince Albert.....	"	"	3	Thinly set	2
Early Scarlet.....	"	Sweet and dry.....	2	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Frauentorfer.....	"	Slightly acid.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Red Grape.....	"	Sweet and juicy.....	2	Thicklyset	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Long Bunch Holland.....	"	"	2	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Rankin's Red.....	"	"	2	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Red Dutch.....	"	"	2	"	3
La Conde.....	"	"	2	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Fay's Prolific.....	"	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	Thinly set	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
New Red Dutch.....	"	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	Thicklyset	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Admirable.....	White.....	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Goliath.....	Red.....	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Versailles.....	"	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	2

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

GOOSEBERRIES.

Twenty-five varieties of gooseberries were received from the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, and planted here on April 22, 1903. Nearly all of these survived the winter of 1903-4, and only a slight amount of winter-killing was noticeable. The plants being quite small, only one variety fruited this year, viz.: the Downing. The fruit of this was quite large, of an elongated shape, and with a perfectly smooth skin. The flavour was excellent.

RASPBERRIES.

The raspberry crop this season was much superior to that of recent years, and it may be that much of this improvement may be attributed to the following cause: For some time past it has been customary here when laying down the canes in the fall of the year for winter protection to use a plough for throwing a furrow over the tips of the canes. Though this method was effective in so far as protection was concerned, it appeared to seriously injure the fibrous roots which are so near the surface, and the following year the canes showed a more or less stunted growth. Two years ago this mode of operation was changed by pressing the canes flat with a long scantling and throwing green manure over the tips. This has resulted in a great improvement in both canes and fruit as compared with the old method, and, as the strawy manure is left on the ground during the ensuing summer, it acts as a mulch, conserving the moisture, and adding in no small degree to the success of the experiment.

STRAWBERRIES, 1904.

A number of plants of the Alpine ever-bearing strawberry were received from the Central Experimental Farm in the spring, and all were quite vigorous before winter set in. Though not as large as the standard varieties these are extremely hardy, and continue their fruit production throughout the entire season, which should make them specially valuable for Manitoba and the North-west.

HEDGES, 1904.

All the small test hedges on the farm continue to do well, one composed of the native Buffalo berry (*Shepherdia argentea*) calling forth much favourable comment from visitors. This hedge is now about 5 feet in height, and is very compact and symmetrical, lending itself readily to the pruning shears, and as it produces thorns abundantly it is almost impenetrable.

The shelter blocks in the south-west corner of the farm surrounded by double maple hedges having become too crowded, every alternate hedge was cut out during the past season, thus reducing the number of blocks about one-half, and giving increased space for planting.

FALL SOWING AS COMPARED WITH SPRING SOWING OF CARAGANA ARBORESCENS.

Until last year, we have invariably sown the seed of this desirable shrub in the spring, but an experiment was made during the fall of 1903 to ascertain if any advantage accrued from fall sowing. A number of drills were sown in the fall of 1903, and sufficient space left alongside for a duplicate sowing the spring following. The results point strongly to the advisability of fall sowing; the plants from the fall sown seed averaging 6 inches taller than those from the spring sown seed, and showing a much greater vigour.

EXPERIMENTS IN COVERING TENDER SHRUBS FOR WINTER PROTECTION, PHILADELPHIUS (MOCK ORANGE.)

Mention was made on page 344 of last year's report of experiments made to ascertain the possibility of flowering this beautiful, but tender shrub, by means of winter protection. During the fall of 1903 a further test was made, the following varieties being included:—



SEEDLING OF MARTHA CRAB. AT THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MAN., 1904.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Philadelphus grandiflorus.
" *coronarius.*

Philadelphus deutziaeflorus.
" *inodorus.*

The branches were bent to the ground and sufficient soil was thrown over the tips to retain them in that position. The result was entirely satisfactory, as all varieties flowered, *P. grandiflorus* and *P. deutziaeflorus* very heavily. As there are many of these half-hardy shrubs, the branches of which kill-back more or less each winter, it would seem well worth while to go to this small amount of labour in order to secure flowers. This test was continued on a larger scale this year, and many other tender varieties were covered, the material used being fresh manure, and the results will be reported on next season.

ARBORETUM, 1904.

Very little addition was made to the Arboretum during the past season, the principal portion of the work done being a generous thinning in portions of the plantation which were becoming crowded. Three trees of *Populus Simoni* were received from H. L. Patmore, nurseryman, Brandon, two of which were living on the approach of winter.

VEGETABLE GARDEN.

ONIONS, 1904.

Thirty-eight varieties of onions were sown in the open on April 28 with Planet Jr. hand drill, in drills 16 inches apart. Although 12 inches apart is the usual distance recommended for this vegetable, we have found that 16 inches is preferable, as with the former distance the rows are too crowded to admit of easy cultivation. A gratifying feature in this test was the uniform germination, there being only two varieties whose germinating power was so low as to not admit of comparisons being made. About a month previous to pulling, the tops were pressed down to the ground, which greatly facilitated ripening, and when they were pulled on September 3, a large number of them were nearly ripe. They were brought inside on September 17, and after lying on the barn floor a week or two, were in good condition for storing. Several of the Italian varieties, though producing large bulbs, do not seem desirable for cultivation here as they lack firmness, and have a loose skin, which would detract from their keeping properties. *Red Madeira* appears to be one of the 'bunching' varieties only suitable for use in countries where they are able to stand the winter, and where they are used as spring onions. Of the pickling varieties *Adriatic Barletta* again proved its superiority, giving the largest percentage of suitable bulbs for this purpose. In connection with this vegetable we would again call attention to the necessity of early sowing. Various complaints have been received here, in regard to the non-ripening of onions, and inquiry has usually disclosed the fact, that the sowing was done too late.

It is important that sowing take place as soon as the soil is in condition in the spring, in fact if a situation is available which is protected from the spring wash, fall sowing may be employed to advantage, as by this means the earliest possible germination is secured. The following list contains the most suitable varieties for cultivation in this province.

1. Extra Early Flat Red.
2. Giant Yellow Globe.
3. Prize Taker Yellow.
4. Red Wethersfield.
5. Yellow Globe Danvers.
6. Southport Yellow Globe.
7. Early Red Globe.

8. Yellow Cracker.
9. Southport Red Globe.
10. Australian Brown.
11. Michigan Yellow Globe.
12. Early Flat Danvers.
13. Australian Yellow Globe.
14. Adriatic White Barletta.

Following will be found the result of the test arranged in order of productive-

ness:—

ONIONS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Variety.	Colour.	Shape.	Ripeness.	Size.	Yield per Acre.	Remarks.
Giant Brown Rocca	Reddish brown.	Globular.	Nearly ripe.	Large	Bush.	
White Tripoli	White.	Flat.	Not ripe.	"	641	Rather late for Manitoba.
Mammoth Pompeii.	Deep red.	"	Nearly ripe.	"	591	Not suitable for storing.
Mammoth Silver King.	White.	Flattish.	"	Med. to large.	580	Not specially desirable.
Prize Taker Yellow.	Deep yellow.	Globular.	Fully	"	563	Not desirable variety.
Red Tripoli	Light red	"	Nearly	"	549	A good onion.
Southport Red Globe	"	"	Fully	Medium	527	Rather late for Manitoba.
Early Red Globe.	Deep red.	"	"	Small to med.	500	A desirable variety.
Trebons Large Yellow.	Pale yellow.	"	"	Medium	492	A good early variety.
Gibraltar	Light "	"	Nearly	Med. to large.	488	A fine keeper.
Prize Taker Red Globe.	Medium red.	"	Fully	Medium	477	A promising variety.
Giant Yellow Rocca	" yellow.	"	Not	"	475	A first class variety.
Southport Yellow Globe.	Deep "	"	Fully	Med. to large.	463	Too late for Manitoba.
Red Wethersfield.	" red	Flattish.	"	"	450	An excellent var. for Manitoba.
Giant Yellow Globe.	" yellow.	Globular.	"	Medium	429	A first class variety.
Yellow Globe Danvers.	"	"	"	Med. to large.	419	A good early variety.
Spanish King.	Yellow.	Flattish.	Nearly	Medium	418	A standard variety.
Extra Early Flat Red.	Bright red	"	Fully	Med. to small.	409	Rather late for Manitoba.
Red Bassano.	Deep "	"	Nearly	Medium	407	A very early variety.
Golden Pheasant.	" yellow.	"	Fully	"	401	Rather late for Manitoba.
Yellow Globe Danvers.	"	Globular.	"	"	392	A new variety of considerable merit.
White Portugal.	White.	Flattish.	Not	"	379	Good early variety.
Australian Brown.	Reddish brown.	Globular.	Fully	"	375	Not a desirable variety.
Northland.	Deep yellow.	Flattish.	"	"	363	A good early variety.
Yellow Cracker.	"	"	"	"	353	"
Michigan Yellow Globe.	"	Globular.	"	Med. to large.	314	"
Market Favorite Keeping.	Brown yellow.	Flattish.	"	Medium.	298	A first class variety.
Round Hard Dutch.	White	Flat.	"	Small to med.	291	A good keeper.
Australian Yellow Globe.	Deep yellow	Globular.	"	"	291	Poor as pickler or large onion.
Red Madeira.	Very light pink.	"	Not	Med. to large.	200	Very badly mixed.
Paris Silver Skin.	White.	Flat.	Fully	Small to med.	183	Late and very thick-necked.
Small Barletta.	"	"	"	Small	175	Too many large tubers.
Small Silver Skin.	"	"	"	"	163	A first class pickler.
White Queen.	"	"	"	Small to v. sin.	161	Of only fair quality.
White Maggiagola.	"	"	"	" to med.	156	Poor as pickler or large onion.
Early Flat Danvers	Deep yellow.	Flattish.	"	Medium.	84	Too many large bulbs.

Red Welsh, light red, of no value except a bunching onion (no bulbs formed).

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

ONION (SETS) 1904.

The following varieties of onion sets were tested during the past season :—

Yellow Dutch sets,
English Multiplier sets,
White Multiplier sets,

Shallots sets
Top of Button sets,
Garlic sets.

These were planted in the open on April 28, and all produced a good crop. Yellow Dutch sets are by far the most useful, as they usually give heavy returns and ripen very early. The Shallot is an excellent keeper, though small in size, and is much in demand here. The White Multiplier would be satisfactory for pickling purposes, but does not equal the seed onions for this purpose, and is a poor cropper.

SQUASH AND PUMPKIN.

Thirty-seven varieties of squash and pumpkin were sown in the open on May 23, 1904, and nearly all germinated well. As usual, a heavy crop was harvested, many of the varieties ripening. A number of complaints are received from growers throughout the province in regard to their inability to grow this vegetable satisfactorily, and we have deemed it advisable to mention one very important factor in the successful cultivation of this class of vegetables.

Squash and pumpkins produce the male and female flowers separately on the same plant, and in order to ensure the setting of the fruit, it is necessary that the pollen from the male flower should be brought into contact with the female flower. When there are bees in the immediate vicinity, this operation is accomplished most thoroughly by their agency, but in the absence of these insects hand pollination is sometimes necessary. The process is extremely simple and consists in removing the male or staminate flower as soon as it is fully open, and transferring it to the female or pistillate flower, which latter is readily distinguished by the immature fruit at its base. When the vines have attained a moderate length, the ends of the runners should be nipped off. This brings several flowers of both sexes into bloom simultaneously, allowing fertilization to be accomplished. If this measure is adopted, growers are likely to have much better success. The following varieties proved most suitable for Manitoba :—

PUMPKINS.

1. *Sweet or Sugar*.—A small variety of excellent flavour and texture, ripening early, and excellent for pie purposes.
 2. *Japanese Pie*.—Somewhat similar to the foregoing.
 3. *Winter Lucury*.—A medium sized variety, light yellow in colour, densely netted and resembling a large musk melon. Fairly early and of fine texture.
 4. *Connecticut Field*.—A large yellow variety generally grown for feed purposes, but also makes a good pie, early and very productive.
- Mammoth Tours*.—This was the largest variety grown this season, and would be useful for feed purposes.

SQUASH.

English Vegetable Marrow.—A standard variety. Productive and early and one of the best for use as a vegetable.

Long White Bush Marrow.—A bush form of vegetable marrow. Early and productive and resembling the English vegetable marrow in texture and flavour.

Extra Early Orange Marrow.—This variety still holds its position as the best variety for Manitoba. It is quite equal to a pumpkin for pie purposes, very early and productive and a splendid keeper.

The results of this test were as follows :—

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

SQUASH AND PUMPKINS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

No.	Variety.	Colour.	Texture and Flavour.	Ripeness.	Average Weight.
1	Connecticut Field	Deep yellow	Poor feed	90 p. c. ripe	28 pounds.
2	Golden Oblong	"	Fair	25 " "	6 "
3	Grey Mammoth	Greyish green	For feed	50 " "	28 "
4	Japanese Pie	"	Very good	80 " "	8 "
5	Large Cheese	Deep yellow	Somewhat coarse	90 " "	18 "
6	Mammoth Tours	Grey and green	For feed	75 " "	35 "
7	Negro	Deep yellow	Fair	5 " "	7 "
8	Red Etampes	Reddish yellow	Very good	5 " "	5½ "
9	Striped Custard	"	Did not nearly approach maturity.	" "	" "
10	Sweet or Sugar	Deep yellow	Very good	85 " "	6 "
11	Tennessee Sweet Potato	"	Did not nearly approach maturity.	" "	" "
12	Winter Luxury	Light yellow	Very good	75 " "	10 "
13	Bay State (Squash)	Greyish green	Not ripe	Not ripe	10 "
14	Boston Marrow	"	Did not nearly approach maturity.	" "	" "
15	Brazilian Sugar	Light yellow	Not ripe	" "	7 "
16	Canadian Crookneck	Dark green	"	" "	5 "
17	Cocozelle	Green white	Very good	50 p. c. ripe	9 "
18	Delicata (Squash)	Light yellow	"	5 " "	11 "
19	Early Golden Bush	"	Poor	10 " "	4 "
20	Early Golden Bush	"	"	50 " "	3½ "
21	English Vegetable Marrow	Yellowish white	Very good	90 " "	8 "
22	Essex Hybrid (Squash)	Terra cotta	Fair	10 " "	10 "
23	Ex. Early Orange Marrow	Reddish yellow	Very good	95 " "	7 "
24	Faxon (Squash)	Light	"	10 " "	11 "
25	Fordhook (Squash)	Dark green	Not ripe	Not ripe	7½ "
26	Golden Hubbard	" yellow	Very good	5 p. c. ripe	7 "
27	Hubbard (Squash)	" green	"	A few ripe	11 "
28	Long White Bush Marrow (Squash)	Yellowish white	"	90 p. c. ripe	9 "
29	Long Island Bush (Squash)	"	"	50 " "	3½ "
30	Marblehead	Green and white	Not ripe	None ripe	8 "
31	Mammoth Whale	Light green	For feed	75 p. c. ripe	27 "
32	Pikes Peak	Green and white	Very good	Nearly ripe	9 "
33	Summer Crookneck	Light yellow	Poor	50 p. c. ripe	3 "
34	Turban	Terra cotta	Very good	65 " "	11 "
35	Warty Hubbard	Dark green	"	A few ripe	8 "
36	Warren	Light yellow	"	10 p. c. ripe	14 "
37	White Bush Scallop	White	"	75 " "	4 "

CUCUMBERS.

Nine varieties of cucumbers were sown in the open on May 23, 1904, in hills 5 feet apart each way, and as usual a very heavy crop of fruit was harvested before frost. Following is the result of the test arranged in order of earliness:—

Variety.	Germination.	Average Weight.	Productiveness.	Average Length.
		Ounces.		Inches.
Early Frame	Very good	4	Very productive	6
Early Green Cluster	"	4	"	6
Chicago Pickling	Good	8	Moderately prod'tive	8
Green Gherkin	"	3½	"	4
Cumberland	Fair	12	Very	10
Early White Spine	Good	9	Not	9
Improved Long Green	"	10	"	9
S. B. Evergreen	Poor	9	Fairly	8
McKenzie's Prolific	Not one seed germinated.			

N.B.—Early Frame, Early Green Cluster, Paris Pickling and Cumberland were the cream of the varieties tested.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CABBAGE, 1904.

Ten varieties of cabbage were sown in cold frame on April 21, and set out in the open on May 31. With two exceptions the germination was exceptionally good and a heavy crop was harvested. Following will be found a list of varieties tested, together with average weights of heads, arranged in order of earliness:—

Variety.	Germination.	Weight.	Shape.
		Lbs.	
Paris Market.	Good.	7½	Conical.
Extra Early Express.	"	6½	"
Early Enfield.	Fair.	6	"
Early Jersey Wakefield.	Good.	7½	"
Midsummer Savoy.	"	6	Flattish.
Early Winningstadt.	"	10	Conical.
Fottler's Drumhead.	"	29	Flat.
Red Drumhead.	"	12	"
Green Globe Savoy.	"	8	Flattish.
Superb Dwarf Imperial.	Did not germinate.		

GARDEN PEASE, 1904.

Seven varieties of garden pease were sown in the open on May 10, in double rows 3 feet apart. With one exception the germination was good, and a splendid crop was harvested. All varieties ripening their seed.

Following is the result arranged in order of earliness:—

Variety.	Length of pod.	Number of peas.	Flavor.	Productiveness.
S. & B. Extra Early	2½ in.	5 to 6	Fair.	Mod. productive.
Extra Early Manifold.	2½ "	5 " 6	Good.	Very productive.
Gradus	4½ "	8 " 9	Very good.	Not "
American Wonder.	2½ "	5 " 6	Good.	Very "
Yorkshire Hero.	4½ "	7 " 8	Very good.	Fairly "
Improved Stratagem.	4½ "	8 " 9	"	" "
Extra Early Leviathan	Did not germinate.			

We would again call special attention to the variety *Gradus*. This is beyond question the earliest large pea yet tested here. The pods are long, and well filled with pease of large size and exceptional quality, and though not a productive variety, the qualities of earliness and flavour which it possesses, make it well worthy of a place in the garden.

TOMATOES.

Four varieties of tomatoes were sown in boxes in hot-bed on April 8, 1904, and after transplanting were transferred to the open ground on June 8, 1904. The varieties represented were Simmers' Earliest, Red Currant, Sparks' Earliana and Earliana. All produced some ripe fruit, there being comparatively little difference between the two Earliana's either in productiveness or earliness, both of them were earlier ripening than Simmers' Earliest. The Red Currant tomato is a small fruited variety, producing its fruit in long bunches, similar to the Currant, and is of fine flavour, making a capital

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

preserve. It has also the merit of earliness. The Earliana seems to be the variety best suited to north-western conditions.

TOBACCO, 1904.

Six varieties of tobacco were grown during the past season. The seed was sown in boxes in the hot-bed on April 15, and after transplanting, the plants were set out in the open on June 15, and were especially strong and vigorous. Despite the somewhat cool season, the product attained a greater degree of maturity than in any previous tests, and it seems quite probable that we may yet succeed in growing tobacco satisfactorily in Manitoba. The plants were set out in rows 3 feet apart, and 3 feet apart in the row. During the summer the flowers were pinched off as fast as they appeared, all suckers were removed, and beyond some damage occasioned by heavy winds, the leaves were nearly perfect.

Following are the leaf measurements of the different varieties under test, together with the stage of ripeness reached.

No. 1. White Burley.—Dimensions of leaf: Length, 2 feet 5 inches; breadth, 16 inches. Commencing to colour.

No. 2. Small Red Canadian.—Dimensions of leaf: Length, 26 inches; breadth, 17 inches. Commencing to colour.

No. 3. Primus.—Dimensions of leaf: Length, 26 inches; breadth, 15 inches. Quite immature.

No. 4. Connecticut.—Dimensions of leaf: Length, 28 inches; breadth, 14½ inches. Quite immature.

No. 5. Simmers' Spanish.—Dimensions of leaf: Length, 24 inches; breadth, 12 inches.

No. 6. Quesnel.—Dimensions of leaf: Length, 16 inches; breadth, 12 inches. Nearly ripe. The earliest of all tested.

It will be seen from the above that the most promising varieties for Manitoba of those tested are: Quesnel, White Burley and Small Red Canadian.

FLOWER GARDEN.

With the overflowing of the Assiniboine river the past spring, and the consequent flooding of the site of our annual flower garden, the prospects for a floral display did not seem at all promising in the early part of the season. After the water receded, the soil was sour, owing to the length of time it had been covered, and it did not seem possible for plants to thrive in it. However, the bed was given a thorough digging, fully two spades deep, and left in a rough condition for a week or ten days in order to give it an opportunity for mellowing. At the expiration of this time a thorough raking was given and the seedlings were planted. The plants grew luxuriantly and flowered profusely, the garden being fully as attractive as in previous years. The following annuals may be of interest:—

Abronia umbellata.—A pretty little trailer of easy cultivation and producing compact little trusses of pink flowers profusely.

Barlonia Aurea.—This was one of the most satisfactory annuals ever grown here. The large bright yellow flowers are produced very abundantly, a single plant covering a space three feet square. Hardy and easy of cultivation.

Nemophila maculata.—A pretty little annual, very dwarf and compact in habit, flowering freely. The colour of the flowers being a very light blue with a dark blue blotch at the base of each petal. Seems to prefer a shady situation.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Phacelia grandiflora.—A member of the Borage family, not valuable, except for a collection.

Whitlavia grandiflora.—A very pretty and free flowering member of the Borage family. The flowers are of an intense blue colour, and are produced for a long period, slightly difficult to transplant.

Schizanthus grandiflorus oculatus.—This was one of the most admired of all the annuals grown this season. It is remarkably floriferous, the plant attaining a large size, and being literally covered with its small orchid-like flowers of every shade. Hardy and easy of cultivation.

Sanvitallia procumbens.—A trailing annual producing numerous small (sun-flower-like) flowers of no special value.

ANNUALS SOWN OUTSIDE.

As many farmers have not the time to spare for a hot-bed, we have for several years experimented in the sowing of annuals outside, and have found that a very creditable flower garden may be had by this means.

The varieties sown this year were as follows, the seed being sown thinly in rows, from May 6-10, two feet apart:—

Nasturtium Lobbianum.

Sweet Alyssum.

Abronia umbellata.

Brachycome iberidifolia.

Candytuft.

Clarkia pulchella.

Clarkia pulcherrima.

Clarkia alba.

Coreopsis Drummondii.

Coreopsis tinctoria.

Coreopsis Hybrida.

Godetia rubicunda splendens.

Godetia Whitneyi.

Godetia Lady Albemarle.

Linum grandiflorum roseum.

Poppies mixed.

Portulaca double.

All these flowered abundantly, the *Godetia* and *Clarkia* were especially showy and were much admired by visitors. By adopting this plan a very fine flower garden may be had with very little labour and expense.

PERENNIAL FLOWERS.

All the herbaceous perennials growing on the farm made a fine showing during the past season. A number of the clumps were divided this fall, and a new border commenced on the hillside along the main road, which will allow of easy access to visitors.

IRIS KOEMPFERI (JAPAN IRIS).

A very welcome addition was made to our collection of perennials by the receipt of a number of plants of this beautiful iris from the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa. Nearly all became well established before winter, and a light covering of strawy manure was given them on the approach of severe weather. This is the most beautiful type of iris known, and we are looking forward with pleasure to their flowering next season.

COLCHICUM AUTUMNALE.

Mention of this bulb was made on page 351 of last year's report, and we have deemed it advisable to again call attention to its unique merits. After severe weather has set in, and often when the ground is covered with snow, this pretty little flower pushes through and makes a really beautiful sight, contrasting strongly with its dull surroundings. A bed of this would be a valuable acquisition to any Manitoba garden.

TENDER PERENNIAL BULBS.

A test was made some years ago to ascertain the possibility of flowering some of the tender bulbs, such as Hyacinths, Narcissi, &c., by means of specially heavy covering. The results were entirely satisfactory, the bulbs coming through the winter in good condition and flowering well. A similar experiment is being tried the present autumn. After planting, the bed was covered with two thicknesses of building tar paper, extending about four feet outside the bed, and on top of this, three feet of green manure was placed.

PROPAGATION OF TREES FOR THE FORESTRY BRANCH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.

All the one million and a half of trees grown here in 1903 for the above department were distributed this spring to farmers in different parts of the province. They were unusually large and vigorous for seedlings and proved highly satisfactory to the farmers receiving them.

About one million trees were grown here this year for future distribution by the Forestry Branch, these were all taken up quickly and with very little expense, by means of a tree-digging plough, and all were healed in in good season ready for spring shipping.

DISTRIBUTION OF GRAIN, POTATOES, &c.

The usual distribution was made of grain, potatoes, maple seed and rhubarb seed. The following quantities were sent out to applicants:—

Seedling trees and shrubs, packages.	613
Potatoes in 3-pound bags.	128
Wheat in 3-pound bags.	134
Oats in 3-pound bags.	166
Barley in 3-pound bags.	60
Pease in 3-pound bags.	49
Maple seed in 1-pound bags.	77
Grass seed, one pound bags.	22
Rhubarb seed, packages.	33

SUMMARY OF REPORTS RECEIVED FROM FARMERS SUPPLIED WITH GRAIN, &c.

Number reporting on their experience with oats.	40
" " " " potatoes.	30
" " " " barley.	17
" " " " wheat.	10
" " " " pease.	13
Variety.	
Largest yield obtained from 3 lbs. wheat (Red Fife).	130
" " " 3 " oats (Banner).	181
" " " 3 " barley (Odessa).	120
" " " 3 " pease (Paragon).	120
" " " 3 " potatoes (Lizzie's Pride)	290

SAMPLES FOR EXHIBITION PURPOSES.

A number of exhibits have been prepared and forwarded to England for two exhibitions held there during the past summer, an exhibit has also been prepared for the Universal Exposition to be held in Liege, Belgium, next year.

As usual exhibits were made at the Brandon Agricultural and Horticultural shows. The Department of the Interior was also supplied with a large quantity of grain and grasses for the use of their immigration offices.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FARMERS' MEETINGS.

The farmers' meetings attended by me during the year had much larger audiences than usual, and the interest in the work of the experimental farms has in no wise abated.

During the year meetings were attended and addresses given at the following places:—

Blythe, December 14, 1903.
 Brandon, January 16, 1904.
 Morris, January 29, 1904.
 Bradwardine, February 5, 1904.
 Minnedosa, February 11, 1904.
 Brandon, February 18, 1904.
 Winnipeg, February 24 to 26, 1904.
 Oak Lake, March 3, 1904.

VISITORS.

Owing to the Assiniboine river overflowing its banks, the road to the farm was impassable for some weeks in the spring, and as a consequence the number of visitors this year was not as large as usual, about 7,350 persons visited the farm during the year, as compared with 12,000 during 1902-3.

METEOROLOGICAL TABLES.

Months.	Highest temperature.		Lowest temperature.		Total rainfall.	Total snowfall.	Total amount of sunshine.
	Day.	Deg.	Day.	Deg.	Inches.	Inches.	Hours.
1903.							
December.....	2	38	13	-32	11	76.9
1904.							
January.....	7	34	24	-43	8	103.1
February.....	27	35	8	-39	27	130.2
March.....	6	33	2	-9	43	136.0
April.....	30	77	15	9	1.72	6	186.4
May.....	28	78	14	24	1.02	261.7
June.....	16	83	6	36	3.24	235.8
July.....	23	84	6	36	1.76	299.1
August.....	11	88	7	36	2.21	228.0
September.....	7	77	26	26	.82	151.2
October.....	11	69	5	15	.42	133.6
November.....	2	67	30	11	3	140.8
					11.19	98	2,081.8

CORRESPONDENCE.

The amount of correspondence shows a rapid increase this year, as 5,300 letters were received and 3,528 despatched, irrespective of circulars sent out.

I have the honour to be, sir,
 Your obedient servant,

S. A. BEDFORD,
Superintendent.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR THE NORTH-WEST TERRITORIES.

REPORT OF ANGUS MACKAY, SUPERINTENDENT.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.,
November 30, 1904.Dr. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR.—I have the honour to submit to you the seventeenth annual report of the operations of the Experimental Farm for the North-west Territories at Indian Head, Assiniboia, during the year 1904.

The past season, for grain growers throughout the Territories, has been a success in many districts, while in others it has been very disappointing.

The winter was exceptionally fine up to the middle of January, when cold weather set in and continued up to April, with heavy falls of snow.

Seeding started late in April, and the soil being wet, very little was sown before the first week in May. Fine weather continued throughout May, and grain all came up evenly, and never made a more promising start; in fact, on well cultivated farms the growth early in June was too rank, and required a set-back for profitable returns. This set-back came in the form of dry, hot weather from June 10 to July 13, when a general rain set in and relieved all fears for the crop so far as moisture was concerned.

Wheat harvest commenced the last week in August, but was not general till September 1, and in many districts frost came on the night of September 10 while considerable grain was still standing, although in all districts the large bulk was in stook.

Drizzling rain retarded harvest work considerably, and continued up to the second week in October, when fine threshing weather set in, and from then to November 23 nothing could excel the wonderfully fine weather experienced throughout the whole of the Territories.

CROPS ON THE EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

The crops on the experimental farm have seldom been better, more uniform, of better quality, or more easily secured than during the past season.

Leaving out a few of the varieties tested, which will be referred to when reached, the returns have been very satisfactory, and the quality above the average.

In no case was the straw as heavy or long as in many previous years, and in only a few places was the grain lodged, or down in the least. The heads, however, were both large and well filled.

Rust, which did injury in parts of Manitoba, did not reach the dangerous stage in the Territories before the grain was ready to cut. On the experimental farm practically no harm was done. While rust appeared on the leaves of the wheat, the grain was too far advanced for the crop to be injured.

Wheat, oats and barley were all in stook when frost visited the country on the night of September 10. Pease were in a good many cases not ripe, and were more or less injured. The yields of all varieties were good, however.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

EXPERIMENTS WITH WHEAT.

Thirty-six varieties were tested on 1-20 acre plots. In no case was the straw heavy, while in many sorts it was light. All were sown by hoe drill on April 29 on fallowed land; $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels seed was sown per acre, the soil being clay loam.

Preston was the first plot sown and among the first cut, and in this, as well as in the field tests, it was in stook before Red Fife was ripe, though the varieties were sown within a few hours of each other.

In this test Preston was cut on August 24 and Red Fife on September 6, a difference of thirteen days in favour of the former.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
									Lbs.	Bush.	
				In.		In.		Lbs.		Lbs.	Lbs.
1	Monarch	Aug. 31	124	40	Strong	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	3,540	50	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
2	Advance	" 31	124	36	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3,480	49	45	59
3	White Russian	Sept. 3	127	37	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	2,590	48	50	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
4	Power's Fife (Minn. 149)	" 5	129	41	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	2,320	48	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
5	McKendry's Fife (Minn. 181)	" 8	132	36	"	4	"	3,300	45	30	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
6	Minnesota, No. 163	" 6	130	36	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	4,120	45	20	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
7	Australian, No. 19	" 7	131	37	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4,640	44	20	62
8	Red Fife	" 6	130	36	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	3,900	43	5	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
9	Laurel	" 5	129	42	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3,780	42	50	62
10	Wellman's Fife	" 6	130	37	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4,900	42	50	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
11	Stanley	Aug. 25	118	36	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	2,515	42	25	64
12	Benton	" 25	118	34	"	3	"	3,445	42	15	63
13	Clyde	Sept. 1	125	40	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3,210	41	50	62
14	Australian, No. 9	Aug. 31	124	38	"	3	"	3,600	41	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
15	Chester	" 27	120	33	"	3	"	2,620	41	10	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
16	Percy	" 27	120	39	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3,295	41	5	63
17	Weldon	Sept. 5	129	38	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	2,860	40	20	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
18	Countess	Aug. 25	118	35	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	3,095	40	5	63
19	Hayne's Blue Stem (Minn. 169)	Sept. 8	132	41	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3,600	40	—	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
20	Preston	Aug. 24	117	36	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	3,600	39	40	65
21	Red Fern	" 31	124	37	"	4	"	2,955	38	45	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
22	White Fife	Sept. 6	130	37	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4,020	38	40	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
23	Admiral	Aug. 25	118	35	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	2,330	38	10	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
24	Huron	" 25	118	35	"	3	Bearded	2,455	36	5	61
25	Early Riga	" 20	113	28	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	2,515	35	45	62
26	Dawn	" 24	117	28	"	3	"	2,385	34	25	65
27	Byron	" 22	115	32	"	3	Bearded	2,175	33	5	65 $\frac{1}{2}$
28	Rio Grande	Sept. 3	127	37	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3,400	32	45	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
29	Hastings	Aug. 25	118	33	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bald	2,050	32	20	61
30	Pringle's Champlain	Sept. 3	127	36	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	2,460	31	25	64 $\frac{1}{2}$
31	Colorado	Aug. 28	121	33	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	3,160	31	5	65
32	Crawford	" 25	118	31	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bald	2,400	30	—	64
33	Hungarian	" 31	124	33	"	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded	2,860	29	50	64
34	Herisson Bearded	Sept. 3	127	34	"	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	2,960	28	55	66
35	Plumper	Aug. 31	124	31	"	3	"	2,530	27	50	63 $\frac{1}{2}$
36	Fraser	" 25	118	30	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	1,885	27	35	65

TEST OF VARIETIES IN FIELD LOTS.

In this test eight sorts were used. Red Fife, Preston, Stanley and Percy were sown on new land which had been fallowed; Red Fife, Laurel, Wellman's Fife, White Fife and Huron were on old land fallowed previous year. All were sown by hoe drill at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre.

In this test Huron heads the list in yield, as it has done in the past three years under the same conditions, and as Huron is equal to Red Fife or Preston in milling

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

qualities, and, like Preston, is earlier than Red Fife, it is worthy of trial in many sections of country.

Like Preston, Huron is a cross-bred variety, White Fife and Ladoga being the parents. Preston's parents were Red Fife and Ladoga.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
		Clay loam.	Acres				Inches		Inches		Bush.	Lbs.
1	Huron, Old land...		2	May 4	Aug. 30	118	38	Strong....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded	42	47
2	White Fife "	"	2	" 4	Sept. 9	128	43	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bald ...	42	30
3	Laurel "	"	6	April 30	" 7	130	44	Medium..	4	" ...	41	30
4	Wellan's Fife."	"	3	May 4	" 8	127	45	Strong....	4	" ...	41	4
5	Red Fife "	"	10	" 2	" 8	129	42	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ...	40	57
6	Red Fife, Newland	"	5	April 29	" 5	129	37	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ...	39	35
7	Stanley "	"	5	" 30	Aug. 28	120	44	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	" ...	38	20
8	Preston "	"	7	" 28	" 26	120	37	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Carded	38	...
9	Percy "	"	4	" 30	" 30	122	36	"	3	Bald ...	31	22

WHEAT—FIELD LOTS.

Number.	Variety.	Cultivation.	Acres.	Yield per Acre.	Total Yield.
				Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
1	Huron....	Fallow....	2	42 47	85 34
2	White Fife.....	"	2	42 30	85 ..
3	Laurel.....	"	6	41 30	249 ..
4	Wellman's Fife.....	"	3	41 4	123 12
5	Red Fife.....	"	10	40 57	409 30
6	Red Fife.....	"	5	39 35	197 55
7	Stanley.....	"	5	38 20	191 40
8	Preston.....	"	7	38 ..	266 ..
9	Percy.....	"	4	31 22	125 28
			44		1,733 19

Or an average of 39 bushels, 23 lbs. per acre.

COMPARISON OF FIELD LOTS OF WHEAT FOR THE LAST FOUR YEARS.

In view of the large demand for an early maturing variety of wheat, I give the date of seeding and ripening, time to mature and yield of four early and two late varieties. The six sorts have been grown in field lots each year under the same conditions with exception of seeding, which on account of weather could not all be done on the same date. Preston, Stanley and Huron mature in practically the same number of days.

Variety.	1901.			1902.			1903.			1904.			Average No. of Days to mature.	Days less than Red Fife.	Average Yield.
	—		Yield.	—		Yield.	—		Yield.	—		Yield.			
	Bush.	Lbs.		Bush.	Lbs.		Bush.	Lbs.		Bush.	Lbs.				
Red Fife	Sown	May 2.	48	April 14.	38	30	April 9.	35	49	April 29.	39	35	131½		Bush. Lbs.
	Ripe	Aug. 27.	..	Aug. 25.	Sept. 4.	Sept. 5.			40 23
	Days	117.	..	133.	148.	129.
Preston (Red Fife and Ladoga)	Sown	May 4.	45	April 17.	29	..	April 14.	38	..	April 28.	38	..	122	9½	37 41
	Ripe	Aug. 16.	..	Aug. 22.	Aug. 29.	Aug. 26.
	Days	104.	..	127.	137.	129.
Stanley (Red Fife and Ladoga)	Sown	May 6.	40	April 17.	34	..	April 14.	37	18	April 30.	38	20	121	10½	37 35
	Ripe	Aug. 16.	..	Aug. 20.	Aug. 29.	Aug. 28.
	Days	102.	..	125.	137.	120.
Percy (White Fife and Ladoga)	Sown	May 8.	36	April 16.	32	39	April 14.	30	18	April 30.	31	22	124½	7½	32 37
	Ripe	Aug. 20.	..	Aug. 22.	Aug. 31.	Aug. 30.
	Days	109.	..	128.	139.	122.
Huron (White Fife and Ladoga)	Sown	May 4.	45	May 6.	39	20	April 16.	40	24	May 4.	42	47	119½	12½	41 52
	Ripe	Aug. 22.	..	Aug. 26.	Aug. 31.	Aug. 30.
	Days	110.	..	112.	137.	118.
Wellman's Fife	Sown	May 2.	39	April 30.	26	..	April 9.	35	10	May 4.	41	4	128½	3½	37 53
	Ripe	Aug. 23.	..	Aug. 30.	Sept. 7.	Sept. 8.
	Days	113.	..	122.	151.	127.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

FOUR YEARS TEST OF FALLOW AGAINST STUBBLE FOR GROWING WHEAT

In this test Red Fife wheat was used.

Cultivation.	1901.		1902.		1903.		1904.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Fallow.....	49		32	40	35	49	40	57
Stubble.....	38	32	25	..	16	..	31	28
Difference	10	8	7	40	19	49	9	29

Difference in four years in favour of fallow, 47 bushels 6 lbs.
Or an average of 11 bushels 46 lbs. per year.

SPRING WHEAT.

TEST OF FERTILIZERS.

Six plots of 1-40 acre each were sown on May 16 with Red Fife wheat, with hoe drill, at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per acre.

Although very little difference could be seen in the growth of straw, there was considerable variation in both straw and grain when threshed. The land was fallowed the previous year, the soil being clay loam.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF FERTILIZERS.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.
			In.		In.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
Plot No. 1—Nitrate of soda, 100 lbs. per acre (half sown when grain was 2 in. high, balance when 6 in. high)...	Sept. 9.	116	46	Strong ...	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,010	23 40
Plot No. 2—Nitrate of soda, 200 lbs. per acre (half sown when grain was 2 in. high, balance when 6 in. high)...	" 9.	116	46	" ..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,640	23 ..
Plot No. 3—Superphosphate No. 1, 400 lbs. per acre (sown before grain and harrowed	" 9.	116	44	" ..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,100	29 20
Plot No. 4—Check plot, unfertilized	" 9.	116	45	" ..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	2,860	26 20
Plot No. 5—Muriate of potash, 200 lbs. per acre (sown before grain and harrowed)	" 9.	116	44	" ..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,160	29 ..
Plot No. 6—Superphosphate No. 1, 200 lbs. per acre; muriate of potash, 100 lbs. per acre; nitrate of soda, 100 lbs. per acre (half sown before grain and harrowed, balance when the grain was 2 in. high)	" 9	116	45	" ..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3,280	31 40

COMPARISON OF RESULTS FOR THREE YEARS OF FERTILIZER TEST.

No. of Plot.	1901.		1902.		1903.	1904.		Average for 3 years.
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.		Bush.	Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
Plot No. 1.....	61	20	23	..	Rusted	28	40	39 20
Plot No. 2.....	58	40	30	40	"	23	..	37 27
Plot No. 3.....	52	..	26	40	"	20	20	36 ..
Plot No. 4 (untreated)	62	40	29	20	"	26	20	39 27
Plot No. 5.....	65	20	30	40	"	29	..	41 40
Plot No. 6.....	65	20	32	..	"	31	40	43 ..

On account of all the plots being destroyed by rust in 1903, comparison can only be made for the three years. From these it will be seen that plot No. 6 (treated with superphosphate No. 1, muriate of potash and nitrate of soda) gave the best results.

SMUT TEST.

Three plots of Red Fife wheat were sown in this test—one untreated, one treated with bluestone at the rate of 1 lb. to 10 bushels of seed, and one treated with formalin, 6 oz. formalin to 10 bushels of seed, 10 gallons of water being used in each case. The seed treated with bluestone was dipped one minute; that with formaline 5 minutes.

Not a single head of smut was found in either of the three plots, showing that the season was not favourable to smut.

TEST OF EMMER AND SPELT.

Two varieties of Emmer and two of Spelt were sown on one-fortieth acre plots, by hoe drill, on fallowed land, clay loam, and Common Emmer was also sown on one acre lot. It will be noticed that in yield of both straw and grain, the Common Emmer gave much the best results.

EMMER AND SPELT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	L. bs.
Common Emmer	May 14.	Sept. 9.	118	42	Medium	2	Bearded..	5,580	3,160
White Spelt	" 14.	" 14.	123	46	Strong..	4½	Bald	2,660	1,720
Red Emmer	" 14.	" 12.	121	51	" ..	3	Bearded..	1,540	1,520
Red Spelt	" 14.	" 14.	123	55	" ..	4½	Bald	1,200	1,120
Common Emmer (field lot)...	" 17.	" 13.	119	36	Medium	2½	Bearded..	2,744

MACARONI WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Four varieties were sown on plots of 1-20 acre each, fallowed land, clay loam. All gave good yields. The straw of the Goose wheat was weak and lodged considerably.

Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
				In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs
1 Roumanian	April 29	Sept. 5.	129	44	Strong..	3	Bearded	3,850	47 50	65
2 Mahmoudi	" 29	" 3.	127	39	" ..	2½	"	2,910	43 20	62½
3 Goose	" 29	Aug. 31.	124	38	" ..	2½	"	3,655	43 5	64
4 Yellow Gharnovka...	" 29	Sept. 5.	129	39	" ..	2½	"	4,655	41 45	64

SUMMER FALLOWS.

In view of the great importance of properly preparing land for crops, and of the large number of new settlers coming into the country, I make no excuse for repeating



CUTTING WESTERN RYE GRASS. COCKING BROME HAY.
EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

what was said in my last two reports respecting summer-fallows, and breaking up and cultivating new prairie land.

It is very gratifying to know that throughout the Territories, summer-fallowing is rapidly becoming general. No matter where farming is carried on, the farmers realize that to be sure of a crop they must prepare a portion of their land the year before the crop is grown, and apart from the value of the stored moisture, there is the inestimable advantage of keeping weeds from overrunning the farm.

The true worth of properly prepared fallows has been clearly demonstrated in past years in every grain-growing district of Assiniboia.

The work of preparing land for crop by fallowing is carried on in so many ways in different parts of the Territories, that perhaps a few words on some of the methods employed may be of help to at least some of the new settlers.

It has been observed in Alberta and Saskatchewan that the land to be fallowed is not, as a rule, touched until the weeds are full grown and in many cases, bearing fully matured seed. It is then ploughed.

By this method, which, no doubt, saves work at the time, the very object of a summer-fallow is defeated. In the first place, moisture is not conserved because the land has been pumped dry by the heavy growth of weeds; and, secondly, instead of using the summer-fallow as a means of eradicating weeds, a foundation is laid for years of labour and expense by the myriads of foul seeds turned under.

The endless fields of yellow-flowered weeds, generally Ball Mustard (*Neslia paniculata*), testify to the indifferent work done in many districts, and while no weed is more easily eradicated by a good system of fallows; there is no weed that is more easily propagated or takes greater advantage of poor work on fallows or of fall or spring cultivation.

As has been pointed out in my previous reports, early and thorough work on fallows is absolutely necessary to success, and I here repeat the methods and results of tests carried on for some years past.

First Method.—Ploughed deep (6 to 8 inches) before last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and just before or immediately after harvest ploughed 5 or 6 inches deep.

Result.—Too much late growth if season was at all wet; grain late in ripening, and a large crop of weeds if the grain was in any way injured by winds.

Second Method.—Ploughed shallow (3 inches deep) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and ploughed shallow (3 to 4 inches deep) in the autumn.

Result.—Poor crop in a dry year; medium crop in a wet year. Not sufficiently stirred to enable soil to retain the moisture.

Third Method.—Ploughed shallow (3 inches) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season, and ploughed deep (7 to 8 inches) in the autumn.

Result.—Soil too loose and does not retain moisture. Crop light and weedy in a dry year.

Fourth Method.—Ploughed deep (7 to 8 inches) before the last of June; surface cultivated during the growing season.

Result.—Sufficient moisture conserved for a dry year, and not too much for a wet one. Few or no weeds, as all the seeds near the surface have germinated and been killed. Surface soil apt to blow more readily than when either of the other methods is followed. For the past fourteen years, the best, safest and cleanest grain has been grown on fallow worked in this way, and the method is therefore recommended.

Fallows that have been ploughed for the first time after the first of July, and especially after July 15, have never given good results; and the plan too frequently followed of waiting till weeds are full grown, and often ripe, and ploughing under with the idea of enriching the soil, is a method that cannot be too earnestly advised against.

In the first place, after the rains are over in June or early in July, as they usually are, no amount of work, whether deep or shallow ploughing, or surface cultivation, can put moisture in the soil. The rain must fall on the first ploughing and be conserved by surface cultivation.

Weeds, when allowed to attain their full growth, take from the soil all the moisture put there by the June rains, and ploughing under weeds with their seeds ripe or nearly so, is adding a thousand-fold to the myriads already in the soil, and does not materially enrich the land.

BREAKING AND BACK-SETTING.

In view of the fact that every year brings to the Territories many new settlers, who are unacquainted with the methods of breaking up and preparing new land for crop, a few suggestions with regard to this very important work may not be amiss.

In all sections where the sod is thick and tough, breaking and back-setting should be done; while in districts where scrub abounds and the sod is thin, deep breaking is all that is necessary.

The former is generally applicable to Assiniboia, and the latter to Alberta and Saskatchewan, especially to the northern parts of these Territories where the land is more or less scrubby.

SHALLOW-BREAKING.

(To be back-set.)

The sod should be turned over as thin as possible, and for this purpose a walking plough with a 12 or 14-inch share, is the best. When the breaking is completed (which should not be later than the second week in July), rolling will hasten the rotting process and permit back-setting to commence early in August.

BACK-SETTING.

Back-setting is merely turning the sod back to its original place, and at the same time bringing up two or three inches of fresh soil to cover it. The ploughing should be done in the same direction as the breaking and the same width of furrow turned. Two inches below the breaking is considered deep enough, but three to four inches will give better results.

After back-setting, the soil cannot be made too fine, and the use of disc or Randall harrow to cut up every piece of unrotted sod, will complete the work.

DEEP BREAKING.

Deep breaking, which in many sections of the country is the only practicable way of preparing new land, and which is, unfortunately, done in some instances where breaking and back-setting would give more satisfactory results, consists in the turning over of the sod as deeply as possible; usually from four to five inches.

When the sod has rotted, the top soil should be worked and made as fine as possible. The use of harrow and disc will fill up all irregularities on the surface, and make a fine, even seed-bed.

Whether the land is broken shallow or deep, it is necessary to have the work completed early, so as to take advantage of the rains which usually come during June or early in July. These rains cause the sod to rot, and without them, or if the ploughing is done after they are over, the sod remains in the same condition as when turned, and no amount of work will make up for the loss.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

To some districts near the foot-hills of the mountains and in districts where scrub abounds and the sod is thin, these remarks may not apply; but as a rule, throughout the Territories, early breaking, whether deep or shallow, is advisable.

WORKING LAND AFTER FIRST CROP.

Inquiries are often made as to what should be done after taking off the first crop on new land, the question being as to whether the land should be ploughed, or cultivated, or sown without any cultivation whatever.

This, however, can only be determined by circumstances. In districts with heavy clay soil, a satisfactory crop may be expected from burning the stubble of the former crop and sowing with or without cultivation; although a shallow cultivation after the stubble is burnt usually gives the best results.

In districts with light soils and especially with gravelly subsoil, cultivation before seeding is necessary.

After taking the second crop from breaking or back-setting, there can be no doubt that the land should be well fallowed to put it in proper condition for succeeding crops. If the fallow is well made and the process repeated every third year, the settler will have started on the right road to future success.

SMUT.

On account of many new settlers coming into the country each year that can have no idea of the prevalence of smut, especially in the wheat crop, and the serious loss caused by this fungous disease, I submit the results obtained during the past years on this farm for their guidance.

Bunt or stinking smut in wheat is a fungous disease that attacks the grain more or less each year, and where at all bad, the crop is rendered unsaleable, and with only a few heads affected, if threshed in damp weather, the grade and price are reduced. No district is proof against smut, and though more prevalent in some seasons than others, it is wise to guard against all danger from this source each year. Three remedies have been tried repeatedly; these are, treating the seed with Bluestone (Copper Sulphate), with Formalin and with Massel powder. Bluestone, from cheapness, ease in application and effectual cure, has proven the best for wheat, while formalin has given the best results with smut in oats and barley. While formalin is not more expensive than bluestone, the application is more difficult in the seed having to be soaked longer.

For wheat apparently free from smut, 1 pound of bluestone crushed and dissolved in warm water and mixed with 10 gallons water, and the seed sprinkled with, or dipped in the solution, is sufficient for 10 bushels. For wheat at all affected, 1 pound bluestone to 5 bushels seed is required. The seed can be sprinkled or dipped as is most convenient, but, in sprinkling, care must be taken that every grain is wet with the solution.

For smut in oats or barley, 1 pound of formalin (which is a liquid), is sufficient for 50 bushels seed. If the seed is smutty the solution should be 8 or 9 ounces formalin to 10 gallons of water; if not smutty, 4½ ounces to the same quantity of water.

The seed should be soaked from 5 minutes to 2 hours, according to condition of grain and strength of solution.

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

The yield of all varieties in both uniform plots and field lots, while not as high as in former years, was quite satisfactory. As will be seen, Banner again heads the list in both tests. The dry spell in June and first week in July reduced the yield considerably.

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Forty-two varieties were sown on May 7, on 1-20 acre plots (excepting three, which were on 1-40 acre), by hoe drill at the rate of two bushels per acre. The soil was clay loam, fallowed during the preceding season. In all the early ripening varieties the yield was reduced by blackbirds, both before being cut and while in stook.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Size of Plot.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
		Ac.			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Banner	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 26.	111	42	Strong..	8	Branching..	2,820	123	28	41
2	Irish Victor	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 27.	112	44	" ..	8	" ..	4,400	102	17	41 $\frac{1}{2}$
3	Golden Tartarian	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sept. 3.	119	42	" ..	9	Sided	4,740	101	26	38 $\frac{1}{2}$
4	Waverley	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 27.	112	50	" ..	8	Branching..	4,960	101	21	42 $\frac{1}{2}$
5	Milford Black	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sept. 2.	118	45	" ..	8	Sided	4,480	101	13	38 $\frac{1}{2}$
6	Danish Island	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 27.	112	45	" ..	8	Branching..	3,740	98	28	41 $\frac{1}{2}$
7	Kendal White	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 27.	112	42	" ..	8	" ..	5,040	98	28	41
8	Golden Giant	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sept. 3.	119	47	" ..	9	Sided	4,820	98	28	36
9	Pioneer	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 20.	105	42	" ..	8	Branching..	5,440	98	18	39 $\frac{1}{2}$
10	Goldfinder	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 29.	114	41	" ..	8	" ..	3,440	97	22	38
11	White Giant	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 28.	111	42	" ..	7	" ..	4,280	97	2	41
12	American Triumph	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 28.	113	40	" ..	7	" ..	2,320	95	30	40 $\frac{1}{2}$
13	Columbus	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 28.	113	40	" ..	8	" ..	2,000	94	19	37 $\frac{1}{2}$
14	Abundance	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 27.	112	45	" ..	9	" ..	4,420	93	23	41
15	Storm King	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 28.	113	41	" ..	8	Sided	6,220	93	19	39
16	Golden Beauty	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 27.	112	41	" ..	8	Branching..	4,040	93	3	41
17	Pense Black	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sept. 2.	118	46	" ..	8	Sided	5,800	92	21	38 $\frac{1}{2}$
18	Milford White	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 28.	113	44	" ..	10	" ..	4,320	90	20	42
19	Olive Black	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sept. 2.	118	47	" ..	8	" ..	5,320	89	32	40
20	Twentieth Century	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 25.	110	45	" ..	7	Branching..	4,620	89	14	41 $\frac{1}{2}$
21	Scotch Potato	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 28.	113	45	" ..	8	" ..	4,120	87	22	40
22	Pense White	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 29.	114	43	" ..	8	Sided	6,220	87	2	40
23	American Beauty	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 27.	112	42	" ..	7	Branching..	3,940	86	11	42
24	Kendal Black	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sept. 2.	118	44	" ..	8	Sided	4,020	84	4	40
25	Bavarian	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 27.	112	40	" ..	7	Branching..	3,440	83	18	39 $\frac{1}{2}$
26	Siberian	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 28.	113	50	" ..	10	Sided	5,320	82	12	38
27	Golden Fleece	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sept. 1.	117	45	" ..	8	Branching..	6,000	82	7	40
28	Swedish Select	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 23.	108	41	" ..	7	" ..	5,580	80	30	42
29	Improved Ligowo	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 25.	110	42	" ..	7	" ..	4,100	80	15	43
30	Sensation	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 22.	107	41	" ..	8	Sided	2,720	80	5	44
31	Joanette	$\frac{1}{20}$	Sept. 3.	119	35	" ..	8	Branching..	4,380	79	14	35 $\frac{1}{2}$
32	Early Golden Prolific	$\frac{1}{20}$	Aug. 27.	112	44	" ..	8	" ..	3,240	78	28	40 $\frac{1}{2}$
33	Holstein Prolific	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 27.	112	42	" ..	8	" ..	2,380	78	13	40
34	Improved American	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 27.	112	43	" ..	9	" ..	4,520	76	1	40 $\frac{1}{2}$
35	*Black Beauty	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 20.	105	43	" ..	7	" ..	4,500	75	30	36 $\frac{1}{2}$
36	Lincoln	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 28.	113	41	" ..	7	" ..	4,680	75	25	42
37	*Wide Awake	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 27.	112	41	" ..	8	" ..	3,320	75	5	43
38	*Thousand Dollar	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 22.	107	43	" ..	7	" ..	4,000	73	3	41 $\frac{1}{2}$
39	*Mennonite	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 22.	107	47	" ..	8	" ..	4,000	71	26	39
40	*Buckbee's Illinois	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 23.	108	46	" ..	8	" ..	4,400	71	6	40
41	*Olive White	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 22.	107	46	" ..	8	Sided	2,040	69	26	39 $\frac{1}{2}$
42	*Tartar King	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 24.	109	45	" ..	8	" ..	3,650	69	..	38 $\frac{1}{2}$

* The plots of these varieties were badly eaten by blackbirds, both before and after being cut.

OATS—FIELD LOTS.

Nine varieties were sown from May 6 to 13, by hoe drill, at the rate of two bushels per acre. Soil, clay loam, fallowed. Banner oats were also sown on Brome sod, broken and back-set the previous year after a crop of hay had been taken off.

The effects of the dry, hot weather were realized when the binders started. The field of 50 acres, in which six of the nine sorts were sown, was surrounded by hedges, and for 100 feet inside these the straw was much heavier, caused by the large quantity of snow lodged there during the winter. Inside the wet belt the dry weather reduced the yield of straw, as well as of grain, very materially.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

OATS.—FIELD LOTS.

Name of Variety.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.
	Acres.				In.		In.		Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
1 Banner.....	10	May 9	Aug. 26	109	43	Strong	8	Branching	85 24	38
2 Wide Awake.....	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 12	Sept. 1	112	39	"	7	"	85 21	42
3 Black Beauty.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	" 13	" 3	113	35	"	7	"	85 3	37 $\frac{1}{2}$
4 Abundance.....	10	" 9	Aug. 27	110	42	"	8	"	77 5	41 $\frac{1}{2}$
5 Banner.....	4	" 6	" 24	110	34	"	8	"	73 14	
6 Goldfinder.....	5	" 10	" 30	112	44	"	9	"	72 27	38
7 Improved Ligowo.....	5	" 12	Sept. 1	112	40	"	7	"	72	41 $\frac{1}{2}$
8 Thousand Dollar....	5	" 10	Aug. 27	109	43	"	7	"	71 24	41
9 Tartar King.....	5	" 12	" 27	107	46	"	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	Sided.	70 22	37 $\frac{1}{2}$
10 Waverley.....	9	" 10	" 27	109	45	"	8	Branching	70 1	42 $\frac{1}{2}$

OAT CROP AND AVERAGE YIELD.

Variety.	Cultivation.	Acres.	Yield per Acre.	Total Yield.
			Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
Banner.....	Fallow.....	10	85 24	857 2
Wide Awake.....	".....	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	85 21	385 9
Black Beauty.....	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	85 3	212 24
Abundance.....	".....	10	77 5	775
Banner.....	Backsetting, Brome-sod..	4	73 14	293 22
Goldfinder.....	Fallow.....	5	72 27	363 33
Improved Ligowo.....	".....	5	72	360
Thousand Dollar.....	".....	5	71 24	358 18
Tartar King.....	".....	5	70 22	333 8
Waverley.....	".....	9	70 1	630 9
		60		4,589 23

Or an average of 76 bushels, 16 lbs. per acre.

COMPARISON OF FIELD LOTS OF OATS FOR LAST FOUR YEARS.

Date of ripening and yield of nine varieties of oats grown in field lots under the same conditions.

Variety.	1901.			1902.			1903.			1904.			Average.	
	Date ripe.	Bush.	Lbs.	Date ripe.	Bush.	Lbs.	Date ripe.	Bush.	Lbs.	Date ripe.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Banner ..	Aug. 19	117		Aug. 21	87		Aug. 25	119	2	Aug. 26	85	24	102	6
Abundance ..	" 22	124	20	" 23	80		" 29	106		" 27	77	5	96	32
Wide Awake ..	" 15	96		" 23	87		" 25	98	14	Sept. 1	85	21	91	17
Improved Ligowo ..	" 17	83		" 25	77	20	" 25	87		" 1	72		79	30
Thousand Dollar ..	" 23	92	4	Sept. 2	64	8	" 31	93	8	Aug. 27	71	24	80	11
Goldfinder ..	" 28	104		" 2	89	17	" 31	91	21	" 30	72	27	89	16
Tartar King ..	" 18	104	10	Aug. 20	85		" 22	86	12	" 27	70	22	86	16
Waverley ..	" 19	94		" 25	82		" 27	82	3	" 27	70	1	82	1
Black Beauty ..	" 19	93		Sept. 5	81	12	" 31	97	13	Sept. 3	85	3	89	7

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

FOUR YEARS TEST OF FALLOW AGAINST STUBBLE FOR GROWING OATS.

In this test Banner oats were used.

Cultivation.	1901.		1902.		1903.		1904.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Fallow	117	..	87	..	119	2	85	24
Stubble	97	32	32	26	47	12	70	24
Difference	19	2	54	8	71	24	15	..

Difference in four years in favour of fallow, 160 bushels; or an average of 40 bushels per year.

OATS—SMUT TEST.

Three plots were sown in this test: (1) Treated with bluestone, 1 pound to 10 bushels of seed; (2) Formalin, 6 ounces to 10 bushels, and (3) untreated.

No smut could be found in either of the three plots.

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

TEST OF VARIETIES.

This test consisted of 19 varieties of two-rowed and 20 varieties of six-rowed barley. All were sown on fallowed land. On May 14, by hoc drill, at the rate of two bushels of seed per acre. Soil, clay loam.

All varieties gave large yields, but were coloured by rains.

TWO ROWED BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.		Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				In.	Character of Straw.	In.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Standwell	Sept. 3..	112	33	Strong	2½	3,610	67	9	52½
2	Invincible	" 3..	112	35	" ..	3	3,835	67	4	53½
3	Swedish Chevalier	" 1..	110	34	" ..	3½	4,510	63	16	53½
4	Primus	Aug. 27..	105	33	" ..	3	3,865	62	24	55
5	Princess	Sept. 6..	115	34	" ..	3½	3,020	60	20	54
6	Hauncher	Aug. 30..	103	33	" ..	3	4,080	60	20	55
7	Danish Chevalier	Sept. 7..	116	33	" ..	2½	3,200	59	8	51½
8	French Chevalier	" 7..	116	32	" ..	4	3,200	58	16	51
9	Canadian Thorpe	Aug. 31..	109	37	" ..	3	3,460	55	40	52
10	Fulton	" 20..	98	35	" ..	2½	3,855	50	45	50½
11	Beaver	Sept. 7..	116	34	" ..	4	4,940	50	45	51
12	Gordon	Aug. 22..	100	40	" ..	2½	2,345	49	23	50
13	Harvey	" 22..	100	37	" ..	3	3,705	49	23	51½
14	Sidney	" 20..	98	36	" ..	3½	2,830	48	46	53
15	Clifford	" 21..	99	34	" ..	3½	3,890	48	46	51½
16	Logan	" 22..	100	40	" ..	3	4,915	47	29	51½
17	Dunham	" 23..	101	32	" ..	3½	2,325	46	27	53
18	Jarvis	" 22..	100	38	" ..	3½	3,685	46	27	51
19	Newton	Sept. 5..	114	33	" ..	2½	4,420	40	..	51½

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SIX-ROWED BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				In.		In.		Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Nugent	Aug. 20.	98	29	Strong.	2½	3,180	67	24	52
2	Claude	" 19.	97	34	"	2½	3,390	66	32	51½
3	Stella	" 20.	98	34	"	2	3,160	65	20	53½
4	Argyle	" 17.	95	37	"	2½	2,740	64	28	52½
5	Common	" 13.	91	30	"	2½	3,000	64	28	53
6	Yale	" 21.	99	34	"	3	4,120	64	8	52½
7	Odessa	" 16.	94	31	"	2	3,660	62	24	51
8	Rennie's Improved	" 16.	94	35	"	2½	3,010	62	9	53½
9	Summit	" 19.	97	31	"	3½	3,830	61	42	53
10	Brome	" 19.	97	32	"	2½	3,220	60	40	52
11	Baxter	" 16.	94	28	"	2	3,650	59	13	53
12	Royal	" 15.	93	32	"	2½	2,760	58	36	51½
13	Oderbruch	" 14.	92	32	"	3	3,245	57	19	52½
14	Empire	" 19.	97	35	"	2½	3,800	57	4	53½
15	Mansfield	" 15.	93	33	"	2½	2,915	57	..	50½
16	Trooper	" 15.	93	34	"	2½	3,210	57	..	51½
17	Garfield	" 15.	93	35	"	2½	2,455	53	41	51½
18	Albert	" 15.	93	33	"	2½	3,000	53	36	52½
19	Mensury	" 15.	93	37	"	2½	2,710	53	26	49½
20	Champion	" 12.	90	33	"	2½	2,880	41	7	47½

BARLEY—FIELD LOTS.

In this test nine varieties were used, five of six-rowed and four of two-rowed sorts. Mensury, Odessa, Royal, Mansfield and Sidney were sown on fallow, by hoe drill, two bushels of seed per acre. Claude was sown on corn land, and Invincible, Standwell and Canadian Thorpe on Brome sod broken after a crop of hay was taken off, and back-set late in the fall. Soil, clay loam.

The dates of breaking and back-setting are given below, and show that to be successful early work is required.

Variety.	Broken.	Backset.
Invincible	4-8 July	17-20 August.
Canadian Thorpe	4-10 August	26-28 September.
Standwell	7-10 "	3-7 November.

Name of Variety.	Cultivation.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripen'g.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Char-acter of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
										Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Mansfield	24	May 13.	Aug. 23.	102	28	Strong.	24	Six-rowed ..	56	32	52½
2	Mensury	4	" 12.	" 22.	102	31	"	24	" ..	55	41	52
3	Royal	2	" 13.	" 20.	99	24	"	24	" ..	55	25	52
4	Invincible	24	" 7.	" 25.	110	22	"	24	Two-rowed ..	55	10	53½
5	Claude	24	" 7.	" 20.	105	30	"	24	Six-rowed ..	53	22	50
6	Odessa	54	" 13.	" 24.	103	28	"	3	" ..	53	18	52
7	Sidney	42	" 16.	" 25.	101	33	"	34	Two-rowed ..	43	42	52
8	Canadian Thorpe	5	" 11.	" 26.	104	26	"	3	" ..	29	..	53
9	Standwell	6	" 14.	" 26.	104	24	"	24	" ..	26	4	52

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

BARLEY CROP AND AVERAGE YIELD.

Variety.	Cultivation.	Acres.	Yield per Acre.		Total Yield.	
			Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Mansfield	Fallow	2½	56	32	127	24
Mensury	"	4	55	41	223	20
Royal	"	2	55	25	111	2
Invincible	Backsetting, Brome-sod	2½	55	10	124	10
Claude	Corn land	2½	53	22	133	31
Odessa	Fallow	5½	53	18	293	27
Sidney	"	4½	43	42	197	21
Canadian Thorpe	Backsetting, Brome-sod	5	29	..	145	..
Standwell	"	6	26	4	156	24
		34			1,512	15

Or an average of 44 bushels and 23 lbs. per acre.

COMPARISON OF FIELD LOTS OF BARLEY FOR THE LAST FOUR YEARS.

Date of ripening and yield of nine varieties of barley grown in field lots under the same conditions.

Variety.	1901.			1902.			1903.			1904.			Average	
	Date ripe.	Bush.	Lbs.	Date ripe.	Bush.	Lbs.	Date ripe.	Bush.	Lbs.	Date ripe.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1. Mensury	Aug. 10	59	40	Aug. 24	*51	12	Aug. 12	56	12	Aug. 22	55	41	55	38
2. Odessa	" 11	58	40	" 24	*65	..	" 12	48	28	" 24	53	18	56	21
3. Mansfield	" 14	*67	4	" 24	*57	44	" 25	50	..	" 23	56	32	55	20
4. Royal	" 12	*63	16	Sept. 4	56	..	" 10	67	3	" 20	55	25	60	23
5. Claude	" 11	66	12	Aug. 26	*66	32	" 25	66	..	" 20	55	22	63	4
6. Invincible	" 22	49	32	Sept. 6	63	16	" 28	59	25	" 25	55	10	56	45
7. Standwell	" 22	48	16	" 6	49	24	" 25	63	20	" 26	26	4	46	40
8. Sidney	" 15	60	10	" 1	66	..	" 21	54	20	" 25	43	42	56	6
9. Canadian Thorpe	" 18	44	..	" 1	68	36	" 21	53	39	" 26	29	..	48	43

*These yields are from the uniform test plots, as there were no field lots of the varieties in the year in question.

FOUR YEARS TEST OF FALLOW AGAINST STUBBLE FOR GROWING BARLEY.

The same variety cannot be given for the four years, as different sorts of barley were sown on stubble each year:—

Variety Sown.	1901.		1902.		1903.		1904.	
	Sidney.		Rennie's Improved.		Canadian Thorpe.		Mensury.	
	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
Fallow	60	10	51	..	53	39	55	41
Stubble	50	36	26	12	20	40	37	24
Difference	9	22	24	36	32	47	18	76

Difference in favour of Fallow in 4 years, 85 bushels 26 lbs.

Or an average of 21 bushels, 6 lbs per year.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

BARLEY—SMUT TEST.

Bluestoned, Formalined and untreated seed was sown of barley, the same as in wheat and oats. The result was, no smut whatever, in either treated or untreated plots.

ROTATION OF CROPS.

The rotation test commenced in 1899 was continued the past year. As soon as crops were taken off in fall of 1903, each half acre was ploughed and harrowed. Before seeding in the spring the land was cultivated by cultivator or gang plow. Soil, clay loam. Sown at the rate of $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels of wheat, and 2 bushels of barley and oats per acre by hoe drill.

The leguminous crops were ploughed under as they obtained their best growth.

ROTATION CROPS.

The following rotation has been carried out since 1899 on half-acre plots. Since 1899, two rotations have been completed, the order of the plots in 1902, 1903 and 1904 being the same as in 1899, 1900 and 1901 respectively :—

No.	1899 and 1902.	1900 and 1903.	1901 and 1904.
1	Wheat	Oats	Soja Beans.
2	"	Wheat	Pease.
3	"	Oats	Tares.
4	"	Wheat	Red Clover.
5	"	Barley	Alsike and Lucern.
6	Pease	Wheat	Wheat.
7	Tares	"	Oats.
8	Soja Beans	"	"
9	Red Clover	"	Wheat.
10	Alsike and Lucern	"	Barley.
11	Rape	"	Summer-fallow.
12	Wheat	"	"
13	"	Oats	"
14	"	Barley	"
15	"	Wheat	Oats.
16	"	Barley	"
17	Oats	Soja Beans	Wheat.
18	Wheat	Pease	"
19	Oats	Tares	"
20	Wheat	Red Clover	"
21	Barley	Alsike and Lucern	"
22	Rye	Summer-fallow	"

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

ROTATION TEST.—Results obtained in 1904. Plots, $\frac{1}{2}$ acre each. Soil, clay loam.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Yield per Acre.	
									Bush.	Lbs.
1	Soja Beans.	May 18..	In.	Ploughed under Aug.	6.
2	Pease.	" 18..	"	"	6.
3	Tares.	" 18..	"	"	Oct. 3.
4	Red Clover.	" 28..	"	"	3.
5	Alsike and Lucern.	" 28..	"	"	3.
6	Wheat, Red Fife.	" 4..	Sept. 5..	124 35	Strong.	3	Bald.	31	24
7	Oats, Banner.	" 13..	" 1..	111 39	"	7	Branching.	70	24
8	"	" 13..	" 1..	111 38	"	7	"	47	28
9	Wheat, Red Fife.	" 3..	" 5..	125 35	"	23	Bald.	29	2
10	Barley, Mensury.	" 13..	Aug. 20..	99 30	"	23	6-rowed	37	24
11	Summer-fallow.
12	"
13	"
14	"
15	Oats, Banner.	May 13..	Sept. 1..	111 44	Strong.	7	Branching.	82	14
16	"	" 13..	" 1..	111 36	"	7	"	50	20
17	Wheat, Red Fife.	" 3..	" 5..	125 36	"	34	Bald.	39	12
18	"	" 3..	" 5..	125 38	"	3	"	36	8
19	"	" 3..	" 5..	125 38	"	3	"	33	..
20	"	" 3..	" 5..	125 37	"	3	"	32	6
21	"	" 3..	" 5..	125 38	"	3	"	28	54
22	"	" 3..	" 5..	125 40	"	34	"	36	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH PEASE.

Thirty-one varieties of pease were tested on one-twentieth acre plots, on fallowed land, sown by hoe drill, on May 16, at the rate of 2 bushels of small, $2\frac{1}{2}$ bushels medium and 3 bushels of large pease per acre. Soil, clay loam.

While all varieties gave large yields, nearly all were late in maturing, caused by the moist weather in August. Nine varieties were badly injured by frost on the night of September 10, and eight others more or less injured, leaving 14 that matured properly.

In addition to the uniform plots, White Wonder and Arthur, two early, medium sized sorts were sown $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres of the former and $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres of the latter, on fallowed land by hoe drill on May 17. Both sorts were entirely ripe when frost came, and the yield and sample satisfactory.

TEST OF GARDEN PEASE IN FIELD PLOTS.

To ascertain the yield of garden pease sown by grain drill, 8 varieties were sown alongside the uniform test plots of field pease on May 16, on plots of one-twentieth acre. Champion of England being a very late variety, did not ripen before the frost came. All the others did so, giving good yields.

Following were the yields per acre :—

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	Bush.	Lbs.
Laxton's Charmer.....	58	40
Horsford's Market Garden.....	52	40
American Wonder.....	50	
Stratagem	48	
Shropshire Hero.....	48	
Premium Gem	42	40
Champion of England.....	42	
Alaska.....	41	20

PEASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.		Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
				In.	In.			Bush.	Lbs.	
1 Picton.....	Sept. 9..	116	Strong.....	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium....		68	20	58 $\frac{1}{2}$
2 Prussian Blue.....	" 9..	116	"	70	2	"		67	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
3 Daniel O'Rourke.....	" 5..	112	"	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Small.....		67	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
4 Prince.....	" 10..	118	"	55	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"		66	..	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
5 Agnes.....	" 7..	114	"	50	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large		65	20	61
6 Black Eyed Marrowfat.....	" 16..	123	"	65	3	"		63	40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
7 Pride.....	" 10..	117	"	55	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Small.....		63	20	59
8 Crown.....	" 4..	111	"	55	2	"		62	40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
9 White Wonder.....	" 1..	108	"	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large		62	20	62
10 Archer.....	" 10..	117	"	72	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium....		61	40	52 $\frac{1}{2}$
11 Arthur.....	" 8..	115	"	55	2	Large ..		61	40	63
12 German White.....	" 10..	117	"	55	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium....		61	40	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
13 Paragon.....	" 13..	120	"	65	3	"		61	20	59
14 Chancellor.....	" 9..	116	"	60	3	Small.....		59	20	63
15 Carleton.....	" 18..	125	"	55	2	Medium....		59	..	53 $\frac{1}{2}$
16 English Grey.....	" 11..	118	"	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large		58	20	53
17 Pearl.....	" 11..	118	"	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium....		58	20	57
18 Golden Vine.....	" 4..	111	"	45	2	Small.....		58	..	62 $\frac{1}{2}$
19 Early Britain.....	" 9..	116	"	65	2	"		55	40	51 $\frac{1}{2}$
20 Large White Marrowfat.....	" 12..	119	"	70	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large		55	..	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
21 Duke.....	" 14..	121	"	75	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium....		54	..	55
22 Wisconsin Blue.....	" 9..	116	"	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Small		54	..	58 $\frac{1}{2}$
23 King.....	" 14..	121	"	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"		51	20	60 $\frac{1}{2}$
24 Mummy.....	" 9..	116	"	50	2	"		50	40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
25 Nelson.....	" 9..	116	"	55	3	Medium....		48	40	61 $\frac{1}{2}$
26 Kent.....	" 17..	124	"	65	2	"		45	40	56
27 Mackay.....	" 17..	124	"	65	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Large		45	40	56
28 Gregory.....	" 11..	118	"	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Medium....		40	..	57
29 Prince Albert.....	" 10..	117	"	55	2	Small		37	40	53 $\frac{1}{2}$
30 Victoria.....	" 14..	121	"	65	2	Medium....		35	20	55
31 Macoun.....	" 17..	124	"	60	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"		31	40	60 $\frac{1}{2}$

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

Twenty varieties of corn were tested in hills and in rows. Both hills and rows were 35 inches apart. The corn was sown on clay loam on May 21, but in nearly all varieties one-third to one-half of the seed did not germinate, causing re-seeding during the first week in June.

Three varieties were also sown in rows at different distances apart. The yield per acre of all the varieties was computed from the weight of two rows, each 66 feet long.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

In addition, six acres were sown with corn for ensilage. On account of poor germination, although re-sown, the crop was poor and unsatisfactory.

The corn land had been fallowed the previous year, and was in good condition.

The corn was cut on September 13, cut up and put in the silo.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Character of Growth.	Height.	Condition when Cut.	Weight per Acre Grown in rows		Weight per Acre Grown in hills.	
		Inches		Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1 Angel of Midnight.....	Strong.....	70	Tasselled.....	22	770	14	710
2 King Philip.....	".....	93	".....	19	500	20	1,800
3 Salzer's All Gold.....	".....	85	".....	18	1,400	23	1,630
4 North Dakota White.....	".....	78	In silk.....	18	300	22	880
5 Compton's Early.....	".....	85	Tasselled.....	18	300	22	220
6 Champion White Pearl.....	".....	90	".....	15	1,130	16	1,000
7 White Cap Yellow Dent.....	".....	83	".....	15	800	19	830
8 Pride of the North.....	".....	88	Not tasselled..	14	1,700	14	1,920
9 Eureka.....	".....	100	Tasselled.....	13	1,500	22	
10 Red Cob Ensilage.....	".....	90	Not tasselled..	13	1,500	21	680
11 Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	".....	80	Tasselled.....	13	1,280	24	180
12 Longfellow.....	".....	80	In silk.....	12	1,410	18	1,400
13 Thoro'bred White Flint.....	".....	90	Not tasselled..	11	1,650	22	1,540
14 Superior Fodder.....	".....	85	".....	11	1,320	18	1,400
15 Early Butler.....	".....	95	".....	11	880	18	80
16 Evergreen Sugar.....	Medium.....	64	Tasselled.....	10	350	15	580
17 Mammoth Cuban.....	Strong.....	92	".....	10	20	15	1,680
18 Cloud's Early Yellow.....	".....	80	".....	9	700	16	780
19 Early Mastodon.....	".....	96	".....	9	700	13	290
20 Selected Leaming.....	Medium.....	80	Not tasselled..	3	600	7	630

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF SEEDING AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES.

Name of Variety.	Distance Between Rows	Character of Growth.	Height.	Weight per Acre grown in Rows	
	Inches.		Inches.	Tons.	Lbs.
Longfellow.....	21	Strong.....	80	16	1,948
".....	28	".....	75	10	1,255
".....	35	".....	82	13	1,168
".....	42	".....	78	9	1,803
Champion White Pearl.....	21	".....	70	9	860
".....	28	".....	75	9	704
".....	35	".....	72	7	829
".....	42	".....	70	6	259
Selected Leaming.....	21	Weak.....	72	5	1,882
".....	28	".....	72	5	1,336
".....	35	".....	65	4	1,848
".....	42	".....	73	3	601

Sown in rows by grain drill, May 21; cut September 13. Land fallowed previous year. Soil, clay loam.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH FLAX.

Five varieties were tested on 1-20 acre plots of fallowed land, sown May 23, by grain drill, at the rate of 40 lbs. seed per acre.

Common flax was sown on 1-20 acre plots, at the rate of 20, 30, 40 and 50 lbs. of seed per acre.

Common flax was sown on $\frac{3}{4}$ acre that had grown flax the previous year, the land being ploughed in the fall, and cultivated just before seeding.

In addition, one acre of flax was sown on fallowed land, and two plots of nearly an acre each on low spots of land that came in too late for a grain crop.

The results of all tests were as follows:—

FLAX—TEST OF VARIETIES

Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.
		Ac.				In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.
White Flowering....	Clay loam ..	$\frac{1}{20}$	May 23..	Sept. 1..	101	28	Strong .	2,960	19 36
Yellow Seeded....	" ..	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 23..	" 1..	101	23	" ..	3,440	18 32
Improved Russian...	" ..	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 23..	Aug. 26..	95	32	" ..	3,180	18 12
Riga.....	" ..	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 23..	Sept. 1..	101	34	" ..	3,060	17 48
Common.....	" ..	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 23..	" 1..	101	33	" ..	3,200	12 28

FLAX—TEST OF SOWING DIFFERENT QUANTITIES OF SEED PER ACRE.

Seed per Acre.									
20 lbs	$\frac{1}{20}$	May 23..	Aug. 31..	100	25	Strong .	1,640	16 24	
30 "	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 23..	" 31..	100	25	" ..	2,700	16 41	
40 "	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 23..	" 31..	100	26	" ..	1,680	18 24	
50 "	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 23..	" 31..	100	26	" ..	1,500	16 44	

Name of Variety.	Cultivation.	Size of Plot.	Date of Sowing.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Yield per Acre.
		Ac.				In.		Bush. Lbs.
Flax.....	Flax stubble, ploughed..	$\frac{2}{20}$	May 23..	Aug. 22..	91	30	Strong .	9 33
"	Fallow.....	$\frac{1}{20}$	" 23..	" 30..	99	26	" ..	19 18
"	"	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 27..	" 29..	94	24	" ..	13 ..
"	"	$\frac{2}{20}$	" 27..	" 29..	94	25	" ..	12 24

EXPERIMENT WITH SPRING RYE.

Sown May 16, on 1-20 acre plot of fallowed land. Ripe August 15; time to mature, 91 days. Straw strong; 42 inches long; weight of straw per acre, 1,880 lbs. Length of head, 3 inches. Yield per acre, 18 bushels.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

EXPERIMENT WITH TARES.

Sown on 1-20 acre plot of fallowed land, May 18. Ripe September 9; time to mature, 114 days. Length of straw, 28 inches; pod, $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches. Yield per acre, $26\frac{1}{2}$ bushels, weighing 54 lbs. per bushel.

EXPERIMENT WITH CANARY GRASS.

(*Phalaris canariensis*.)

Sown May 16, on 1-20 acre plot of fallowed land. Ripe August 18; time to mature, 94 days. Straw strong, 32 inches long; weight of straw per acre, 2,200 lbs. Length of head, $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches. Yield per acre, 15 bushels 20 lbs., weighing 49 lbs. per bushel.

EXPERIMENT WITH SOJA BEANS.

Sown May 17, in rows 21, 28 and 35 inches apart. These were killed by frost, and did not mature or even form pods.

EXPERIMENT WITH HORSE BEANS.

Sown May 17, in rows 21, 28 and 35 inches apart. Cut Sept. 10.

Variety.	Rows, Distance Apart.	Height.	Yield per Acre. Dry Fodder.	
	Inches.	Inches.	Tons.	Lbs.
Horse beans.....	21	38	3	880
".....	28	34	3	896
".....	35	35	2	946

EXPERIMENTS WITH MILLETS.

Six varieties were sown May 23, on 1-40th acre plots of fallowed land. All were very poor and did not mature. Cut for feed September 10.

Variety.	Height.	Yield per acre ; Dry fodder.	
	Ins.	Tons.	Pounds.
Moha Hungarian.....	37	3	
White Round French.....	36	2	800
Italian.....	39	3	400
Cat Tail.....	31	Very little germinated.	
Early Pearl.....	35		
Moha Green Californian.....	39	3	800

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

HAY CROP.

The hay crop the past season was light. Brome averaged about $1\frac{1}{4}$ tons per acre, and Western Rye Grass $1\frac{1}{2}$ tons per acre.

One-half acre of Brome, ploughed 2 inches deep in May, 1903, disced and rolled flat, gave this year one ton of hay without re-seeding.

All the Brome and Rye Grass fields have been cut for hay from 3 to 6 years.

Timothy gave 850 lbs. on a $\frac{1}{2}$ acre plot.

The $\frac{1}{2}$ acre of Alfalfa sown in 1902 was almost entirely killed by the spring frosts.

TEST OF GRASSES.

In May the following clovers and grasses were sown in plots of $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ acre each:—

Western Red Clover, Lucern, Alsike, Turkestan Alfalfa, Utah Alfalfa, Mixture of Red Clover, Alsike, Orchard and Blue Grass, Mixture of Orchard, Blue Grass and Common Alfalfa, Meadow Fescue, Red Top, Kentucky Blue Grass, English Blue Grass, Western Rye Grass.

Three varieties of Alfalfa, Common, Utah and Turkestan, were tested for the Department of Agriculture, Regina.

With the exception of Red Top, which failed to germinate, all the varieties did well. The three kinds of Alfalfa and the Red Clover did extra well.

Common Alfalfa attained a height of 20 inches, Turkestan 18 inches, and Utah Alfalfa 17 inches. All the clovers were quite well headed out before the growing season was over.

Cattle were pastured on the grasses to a small extent after the growing season, for fear of smothering out the plants from too rank a growth.

EXPERIMENTS WITH FIELD ROOTS.

With the exception of carrots, the root crop was very satisfactory. After the carrots were in full leaf, they were eaten close to the ground by the larvæ of a small moth or butterfly, and never recovered from the injury.

Turnips and mangels were good, with the second seeding rather the better.

The land for all the roots had been fallowed the previous year, with two deep ploughings and surface cultivation, and when frost came in the fall, 10 loads of manure per acre were spread on the surface, and cultivated in, shallow, just before sowing the seed in the spring.

The rows were made by grain drill, on the flat, and the seed sown by a Planet Junior turnip drill. All the rows were 28 inches apart.

Soil, clay loam. The yields per acre were obtained by weighing the roots from two rows, each 66 feet long.

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Twenty varieties were sown on May 19, and again on May 27. The roots from both seedings were taken up on October 18.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	YIELD PER ACRE.							
			1st Plot.				2nd Plot.			
			Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Drammond Purple-top.....	Clay loam..	29	268	971	8	29	1,541	992	21
2	Skirving's.....	"	28	854	947	34	30	814	1,013	34
3	New Century.....	"	26	1,318	888	38	30	248	1,004	8
4	Imperial Swede.....	"	26	1,036	883	56	29	834	980	34
5	Emperor.....	"	26	1,036	883	56	28	430	940	30
6	Junbo.....	"	26	611	876	51	24	227	803	47
7	Magnum Bonum.....	"	26	187	869	47	30	1,916	1,032	26
8	Good Luck.....	"	26	46	867	26	30	1,521	1,025	21
9	Hall's Westbury.....	"	25	1,904	865	4	25	1,621	860	21
10	Halewood's Bronze-top..	"	25	1,480	858	..	20	448	674	8
11	Perfection Swede.....	"	25	1,338	855	38	31	228	1,037	8
12	Elephants Master.....	"	24	1,641	827	21	23	247	770	47
13	Mammoth Clyde.....	"	23	1,944	799	4	25	1,763	862	43
14	Bangholm Selected.....	"	23	813	780	13	26	753	879	13
15	Kangaroo.....	"	22	1,964	766	4	26	1,177	919	37
16	Sutton's Champion.....	"	22	1,974	766	4	26	1,743	895	43
17	Hartley's Bronze.....	"	22	974	749	34	26	1,036	883	56
18	East Lothian.....	"	21	570	709	30	22	1,540	759	..
19	Selected Purple Top.....	"	21	287	704	47	28	6	933	26
20	Carter's Elephant.....	"	20	1,438	690	38	26	1,884	898	4

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Sixteen varieties were sown on May 19 and 27. All were taken up October 3.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	YIELD PER ACRE.							
			1st Plot.				2nd Plot.			
			Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Prizewinner Yellow Globe.....	Clay loam..	23	1,237	787	17	27	1,581	926	21
2	Triumph Yellow Globe.....	"	23	388	773	8	27	1,581	926	21
3	Half-long Sugar White.....	"	21	..	700	..	26	1,743	895	43
4	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	"	19	1,176	652	56	18	347	605	47
5	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	"	19	327	638	47	16	953	549	13
6	Yellow Intermediate.....	"	19	186	636	26	16	1,094	551	34
7	Giant Yellow Globe.....	"	18	1,903	631	43	26	1,743	895	43
8	Leviathan Long Red.....	"	18	1,478	624	38	23	1,944	799	4
9	Half-long Sugar Rosy.....	"	18	1,054	617	34	14	1,134	485	34
10	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	"	18	206	603	26	17	1,498	591	38
11	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	"	17	650	577	30	23	1,237	787	17
12	Mammoth Long Red.....	"	16	1,094	551	34	17	1,781	596	21
13	Gate Post.....	"	16	528	542	8	23	247	770	47
14	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	"	..	*	..	*	25	914	848	34
15	Selected Yellow Globe.....	"	..	*	..	*	23	621	777	51
16	Giant Sugar.....	"	..	*	..	*	22	1,823	763	43

* These varieties were not sown at first seeding.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Ten varieties were sown May 19 and were pulled October 20. The second plots were not sown.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	YIELD PER ACRE.			
			1st Plot.			
			Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Improved Short White.....	Clay loam.....	7	284	238	4
2	White Belgian	"	6	1,294	221	34
3	Half-long Chantenay	"	5	1,314	188	34
4	Giant White Vosges.....	"	4	1,051	150	51
5	New White Intermediate.....	"	4	768	146	8
6	Long Yellow Stump-rooted.....	"	2	1,657	94	17
7	Carter's Orange Giant.....	"	2	1,091	84	51
8	Early Gem.....	"	2	1,091	84	51
9	Mammoth White Intermediate.....	"	1	1,536	58	56
10	Ontario Champion.....	"	1	1,536	58	56

SUGAR BEETS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

First plots sown May 19 and second plots May 27. Both were pulled October 6.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	YIELD PER ACRE.							
			1st Plot.				2nd Plot.			
			Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Royal Giant.....	Clay loam..	17	367	572	47	23	383	773	8
2	Danish Red Top.....	" ..	14	1,134	485	34	18	1,054	617	34
3	Improved Imperial.....	" ..	14	286	471	26	16	1,094	551	34
4	Red Top Sugar.....	" ..	14	286	471	26	18	1,196	619	56
5	Danish Improved.....	" ..	12	1,598	426	38	18	1,478	624	38
6	French Very Rich.....	" ..	11	1,194	386	34	12	43	400	43
7	Vilmorin's Improved	" ..	10	1,921	365	21	9	1,800	330	..
8	Wanzleben	" ..	9	810	313	30	9	1,658	327	38

EXPERIMENTS WITH POTATOES.

Forty-one varieties of potatoes were planted on May 20. The land was fallowed the same as for roots, and ten loads of manure applied per acre.

While the potatoes were all of a fair size, sound, and of splendid quality, the yield in no case was equal to that of 1903.

The sets were dropped in drills 30 inches apart, and the potatoes were dug on September 29. The yield per acre was obtained by weighing the potatoes from one row 132 feet long. Soil, clay loam. There was no rot in any of the varieties.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Character of Soil.	Planted.	Dug.	Character of Growth.	Average Size.	Total Yield per Acre.	Form and Colour.
							Bush. Lbs.	
1	Penn Manor	Clay loam.	May 20.	Sept. 29.	Medium	Large	435	Long, red.
2	Uncle Sam	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	"	420	Oval, white.
3	Sabeau's Elephant	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	409	Long "
4	Late Puritan	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	404	Oval "
5	General Gordon	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	393	" pink.
6	American Giant	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	391	Long, white.
7	Prolific Rose	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	Medium	374	" red.
8	Early Envoy	"	" 20.	" 29.	Medium	Large	374	Oval, pink.
9	Reeve's Rose	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	Medium	374	" red.
10	Country Gentleman	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	Large	371	Long, pink.
11	Money Maker	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	Large	371	Oval, white.
12	State of Maine	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	369	"
13	Early St. George	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	Medium	365	Long, red.
14	Pingree	"	" 20.	" 29.	Medium	"	360	" white.
15	Dreer's Standard	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	352	Oval "
16	American Wonder	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	Large	345	Long "
17	Burnaby Mammoth	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	Medium	345	" pink.
18	Carman No. 3	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	Large	343	Oval, white.
19	Seedling No. 7	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	330	" red.
20	Holborn Abundance	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	327	" white.
21	Pearce	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	323	Long, pink.
22	Delaware	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	323	Oval, white.
23	Vick's Extra Early	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	321	" pink.
24	Carman No. 1	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	314	" white.
25	Enormous	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	314	Long "
26	Rose No. 9	"	" 20.	" 29.	Medium	"	314	" red.
27	Everett	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	312	" pink.
28	Irish Cobbler	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	"	305	Oval, white.
29	Rochester Rose	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	"	305	Long, red.
30	Early Rose	"	" 20.	" 29.	Medium	"	288	Oval "
31	Maule's Thoroughbred	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	Medium	281	" pink.
32	Early White Prize	"	" 20.	" 29.	Medium	Small	279	" white.
33	Empire State	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	Large	275	" "
34	Swiss Snowflake	"	" 20.	" 29.	Medium	Medium	259	Long, red.
35	Canadian Beauty	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	Large	257	Oval, pink.
36	Bovee	"	" 20.	" 29.	Light	"	244	" "
37	I. X. L.	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	Medium	239	Long, pink.
38	Clay Rose	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	Large	237	Oval "
39	Early Andes	"	" 20.	" 29.	Medium	Medium	228	" "
40	Rawdon Rose	"	" 20.	" 29.	"	Large	226	" "
41	Cambridge Russet	"	" 20.	" 29.	Strong	Medium	226	Long, russet.

SUMMARY OF CROPS, 1904.

Wheat :

Bushels.

8 varieties, 44 acres	1,733
8 half acres, rotation test	135
36 uniform test plots	92

Oats :

1,960

• 9 varieties, 60 acres	4,589
4 half acres, rotation test	125
42 uniform test plots	157

4,871

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

<i>Barley :</i>	Bushels.	
9 varieties, 34 acres	1,512	
1 half acre, rotation test.	18	
39 uniform test plots.	90	
	<hr/>	
	1,620	
<i>Pease :</i>		
2 varieties, 4 acres.	170	
31 uniform test plots.	85	
	<hr/>	
	255	
Flax.	52	
Rye.	1	
		Tons. Lbs.
Emmer and Spelt.	45	2,254
Corn, ensilage.		
<i>Hay :</i>		
Brome grass.	35	
Rye grass.	25	
Timothy.		850
	<hr/>	
	60	850
	Bushels.	
Roots.	3,000	
Potatoes.	100	
	<hr/>	
	3,100	

VEGETABLE GARDEN.

The experiments with vegetables were fairly successful this year. A few varieties of beans did not mature. Cucumbers, citrons and melons were poor. The balance of the vegetables were satisfactory.

ASPARAGUS.

Old beds of Barr's Mammoth, Barr's Elnira and Conover's Colossal were in use from May 21 to July 14, producing a good crop.

Asparagus seed was sown on May 18.

BEANS.—Sown May 21.

Imported Seed.	In Use, Green.	Ripe.	Remarks.
Dwarf Extra Early.	July 26.	Sept. 14.	Green; very good crop.
" Emperor of Russia.	Aug. 1.	Did not mature.	" good crop.
" Fame of Vitry.	" 5.	" " " " " "	" " "
" Black Speckled.	" 3.	" " " " " "	" " "
" Golden Skinless.	" 2.	" " " " " "	Wax; " "
" Matchless.	" 1.	" " " " " "	Green; fair crop.
Experimental Farm Seed.			
Currie's Rust-proof.	July 30.	Sept. 14.	Wax; very good crop.
Challenge Black Wax.	" 28.	" 14.	" " "
Early Six Weeks.	" 30.	" 14.	Green; " "
Dwarf Kidney.	" 28.	" 14.	Wax; fair crop.
Detroit Wax.	" 30.	Did not mature.	" " "

BEETS.

Sown May 9, in use July 25; pulled October 5.
 Nutting's Dwarf Improved, 847 bushels per acre; large, smooth.
 Early Blood Red Turnip, 435 bushels per acre; medium size, good quality.
 Flat Egyptian, 1,060 bushels per acre; large, good.
 Long Smooth Blood, 411 bushels per acre; small, good.
 Superb Northern Red, 686 bushels per acre; medium long.
 Reselected Perfection, 686 bushels per acre; medium size.

BROCOLI.

Sown in hot-house April 1 and 20; set out May 30 and June 7; in use, August 6.
 Extra Early White.

BRUSSELS SPROUTS.

Sown in hot-house April 1 and 20; set out May 30 and June 7.
 Dwarf Improved, in use August 5; fair crop.
 Northern Prize, in use August 5; fair crop.

CARROTS.

Sown May 9, in use July 26; pulled October 5.
 Long Blood, 609 bushels per acre; large and smooth, good.
 French Horn, 602 bushels per acre; medium size.
 Half-long Chantenay, 484 bushels per acre; large and smooth, good.
 Half-long Luc, 226 bushels per acre; medium size, good quality.

CELERY.

Large Red Ribbed, Paris Golden Yellow, Rose Ribbed Paris, Giant Pascal and White Plume were sown in hot-house, April 1; transplanted, May 2; set out, June 17; in use, September 1.

The crop was of fairly good quality.

CABBAGE.

Sown in hot-house, April 1; set out, May 30; second seeding, April 20; set out June 7.

Name of Variety.	1st Seeding.		2nd Seeding.		Remarks.
	In Use.	Average Weight.	In Use.	Average Weight.	
		Lbs.		Lbs.	
Winningstadt Early.	Sept. 10. . . .	9	Sept. 15. . . .	8	Good.
Early Jersey Wakefield.	" 5. . . .	9	" 10. . . .	11	
Extra Early Express.	" 1. . . .	8	" 6. . . .	9	"
Paris Market.	" 1. . . .	10	" 6. . . .	9	"
Midsummer Savoy.	" 1. . . .	11	" 6. . . .	10	"
Green Globe Savoy.	" 6. . . .	8	" 12. . . .	8	"
Fottler's Drumhead.	" —. . . .	5	" —. . . .	5	Poor.
Large Red Drumhead.	" 10. . . .	12	" —. . . .	11	Good.
Early Enfield Market.	July 30. . . .	8	Aug. 4. . . .	7	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

KALE.

Drumhead Kale was sown in hot-house April 1; set out May 30, but was a failure.

CAULIFLOWER.

Sown in hot-house April 1; set out May 30. Second seeding April 20; set out June 7.

Name of Variety.	1st Seeding.		2nd Seeding.		Remarks.
	In Use.	Average Weight.	In Use.	Average Weight.	
		Lbs.		Lbs.	
Half Early Paris.....	July 21....	6	July 30....	6	Good quality, except that a few heads grew too loosely.
Earliest Dwarf Erfurt....	" 21....	6	" 30....	6	
Early Snowball.....	" 21....	6	" 30....	6	

GARDEN CORN.

Name of Variety.	In Use, Green.	Ripe.	Remarks.
Red Squaw, sown May 20.....	Aug. 23....	Sept. 13 ..	Good crop.
White Squaw, "	" 23....	" 13....	"
Peep o' Day, "	" 13....	"	Did not mature.
New Premo, "	" 13....	"	"
Golden Bantam, "	"	"	"

CUCUMBERS.

Early White Spine and Cumberland were sown May 2 in hot-house; set out May 29; in flower July 10; ripe September 15. A fair crop.

CITRONS.

Preserving.—Sown in hot-house, May 2; set out, May 27; in flower, July 10; ripe, September 16. Medium size.

LETTUCE.

1st sowing, May 9; in use, June 16. 2nd sowing, June 4; in use, July 16. All varieties were of good quality.

The following sorts were sown:—Cabbage—Neapolitan, Tom Thumb, Blond Stonehead, All the Year Round, Red Edged Victoria and Trocadero Red Edged. Cos—Green Paris and Early Trianon.

MELONS.

The following varieties were sown in hot-house, May 2; set out, May 27. Did not mature.

Musk melon—Extra Early Green and Hamilton Market.

Water melon—Cole's Early and Phinney's Early.

The same varieties were sown in the open May 27, but did not come up.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

ONIONS.

Sown in hot-house, April 1; set out, May 30; lifted, September 29.

Variety.	Yield per Acre.		Size and Quality.
	Bush.	Lbs.	
Large Red Wethersfield.	217	48	Large, good.
Danver's Yellow Globe.	205	42	"
Market Favorite.	145	12	Medium, good.
Trebon's Large Yellow.	108	54	Small, good.
Giant Prizetaker.	72	36	Medium, good.
Spanish King.	60	30	Small, good.

Sown in open, May 9; lifted, September 30.

Giant Prizetaker.	181	30	Large, good.
Trebon's Large Yellow.	145	12	Medium, fair.
Spanish King.	133	6	Poor and thick-necked.
Danver's Yellow Globe.	121	..	Medium, fair.
Market Favorite.	121	..	Small, fair.
Paris Silverskin.	121	..	"
Large Red Wethersfield.	96	48	"

PUMPKINS.

Sown in hot-house, May 2; set out, May 27.

Large Yellow Field. Weight of pumpkin, 61 pounds.

New Japanese Pie. Did not fruit.

PEPPERS.

Ruby King, sown in hot-house, April 7; set out, June 10. Did not mature.

SQUASH.

Long White Bush Marrow, sown in hot-house May 2; set out, June 9; ripe, September 13. Average weight, 14 lbs. Fruit good quality, but a poor crop.

This was also sown in open, May 27, but did not come up.

KOHL RABI.

Early Purple Vienna, sown in hot-house, April 1; set out, May 30; in use, July 28; average weight, 9 pounds.

TURNIPS.

Sown, May 20; in use, August 1; pulled, October 7. Good quality.

	Yield per acre. Bushels.
Early White Strap-leaved.	940
Extra Early White Milan.	825
Early Stone.	716
Robertson's Golden Ball.	614

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

TOMATOES.

Sown in hot-house, April 1; set out, May 30; in flower, June 23.

In use, Green.

Earliana, September 16; some ripened; large and smooth.

Sparks' Earliana, September, 10; a little rough when ripe.

Up-to-date, September 10; some ripened; light crop.

Earliest of All, September 10; some ripened; good crop and quality.

PARSNIPS.

Sown, May 9; in use, September 13; lifted, October 6.

Hollow Crown, yield per acre, 355 bushels; fair quality.

The Student, yield per acre, 508 bushels; large and good quality.

GARDEN PEASE.

Variety.	SOWN MAY 14 AND 26.						Remarks.
	1st Seeding.		2nd Seeding.				
	In use, green.	Ripe.	In use, green.	Ripe.			
Admiral.....	July 28.	Sept. 14.	Aug. 1.	Sept. 14.		Fair crop.	
American Wonder.....	Aug. 1.	" 14.	" 10.	" 14.		"	
Anticipation.....	" 1.	" 28.	" 10.	" 28.		Good.	
Alaska.....	" 1.	" 28.		" 28.		"	
Burpee's Profusion.....	July 28.	" 17.		" 25.		"	
Extra Early.....	" 16.	" 6.	July 23.	" 23.		"	
Everbearing.....	Aug. 1.	" 24.	Aug. 12.	" 24.		"	
First of All.....	July 14.	" 30.	July 23.	" 14.		Fair.	
First and Best.....	" 14.	" 6.	" 23.	" 16.		"	
Surprise.....	" 14.	" 6.	" 23.	" 16.		"	
Stratagem.....	" 30.	" 25.	Aug. 12.	" 25.		Good.	
Shropshire Hero.....	Aug. 6.	" 24.	" 6.	" 24.		"	
Laxton's Charmer.....	July 23.	" 24.	" 10.	" 24.		"	
Champion of England.....	Aug. 1.	" 25.	" 12.	" 25.		"	
Horsford's Market Garden.....	July 28.	" 25.	" 10.	" 25.		"	
Wm. Hurst.....	" 14.	" 6.	July 23.	" 16.		"	
Rural New Yorker.....	" 14.	" 6.	" 23.	" 14.		Fair.	
Premium Gem.....	" 14.	" 6.	" 24.	" 10.		"	
Nott's Excelsior.....	" 15.	Aug. 30.	" 24.	Aug. 30.		"	
Harrison's Glory.....	Aug. 1.	Sept. 24.	Aug. 10.	Sept. 24.		No crop.	
Yorkshire Hero.....	Aug. 1.	Sept. 24.	Aug. 10.	Sept. 24.		Fair.	

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

RADISH.

Sown May 9 and June 4.	1st Seeding.	2nd Seeding.	Remarks.
	In use.	In use.	
Early Deep Scarlet.....	June 9....	June 30....	Came up slow and were stringy.
Scarlet Forcing.....	" 21....	" 30....	
Early Scarlet White-tipped.....	" 18....	" 30....	
Early Scarlet Turnip.....	" 18....	" 30....	
French Breakfast.....	" 21....	" 30....	
Olive-shaped Scarlet.....	" 18....	" 30....	" "

Winter Radish, sown May 9; pulled, October 22.

Black Spanish, large and smooth.

Scarlet China, medium smooth.

PARSLEY.

Champion Moss-curled, sown May 9; in use, July 15; good crop.

SUMMER SAVORY.

Sown, May 9; in use, July 16; good crop.

SAGE.

Sown, May 9; in use, July 16; did well.

SPINACH.

Victoria, sown May 14; very good crop.

Flat Seeded, sown May 14; very good crop.

RHUBARB.

Sown, May 14; transplanted, June 25.

Victoria.	} All made good growth.
Myatt's Linnaeus.	
Experimental Farm Seed:	
Scarlet Nonpareil.	
Monarch Seedling.	
Salt's Perfection.	
Tobolsk.	

Old beds in use, May 21 to September 30; good crop.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

THE FLOWER GARDEN.

The flower garden was never better than the past season. While nearly all the flowers were good, Stocks, Asters and Pansies were extra fine. In the perennials, Paeonies and Irises were very good. Tulips were fine, but bloom was cut short by dry weather.

ANNUALS—Propagated in hot-house. Sown April 2.

Variety.	Set out.	Bloom.		Remarks.
		From	To	
Asters, 10 varieties	May 31	July 20	Oct. 1	Grand show.
Antirrhinum, 3 varieties	" 31	" 20	Sept. 26	Fair show.
Abronia Umbellata	June 1	" 10	" 15	Very good.
Ageratum, Dwarf Imperial.	" 1	June 23	" 10	Fine border.
Alyssum maritimum	" 1	" 23	Oct. 20	Very fine.
Adonis	" 10	July 23	Sept. 10	Small red flower.
Alonsoa	" 2	" 5	" 25	Fair show.
Angallis	" 2	" 23	"	Very fine flowers.
Balsam, Camellia-flowered	" 1	June 29	Frost	Very good.
Brachycome Iberidifolia	" 1	" 25	Sept. 20	Good border.
Bartonia Aurea	" 1	" 26	"	Fair show.
Chrysanthemum, 3 varieties	" 1	July 18	Oct. 1	Fair blooms.
Calliopsis	" 1	" 20	" 1	Very fine.
Calendula, Royal Marigold	" 1	June 29	" 20	Good blooms.
Candytuft, Empress	" 1	" 29	" 20	"
Clarkia	" 1	" 23	" 10	Very good.
Celosia, 2 varieties	" 1	"	"	Did not bloom.
Coreopsis, 3 varieties	" 1	July 18	Sept. 10	Fair blooms.
Dianthus, 8 varieties	" 1	" 10	Oct. 20	Very fine
Gaillardia picta Lorenziana	" 1	" 13	" 1	Good show.
Godetia, 4 varieties	" 1	" 10	" 1	"
Helianthus nanus	" 2	" 15	" 20	Very fine.
Helichrysum, 2 varieties	" 1	" 15	" 15	Fair show.
Hollyhock, double	" 2	" 10	" 20	Very good.
Iberis Gibraltaria	" 2	"	"	Did not bloom.
Kaulfussia, mixed	" 2	"	"	"
Linum gr. fl. roseum	" 2	July 20	Sept. 10	Some fine blooms.
Lobelia erinus, Crystal Palace	" 1	" 10	" 15	Very fine, good border.
Lupinus, mixed	" 1	" 20	" 20	"
Mignonette	" 1	" 15	Oct. 10	Very good.
Mathiola bicornis	" 4	June 28	" 1	Fair show.
Nicotiana, 7 varieties	" 1	Aug. 15	Frost	Fine blossoms.
Nemophila Maculata	" 1	July 1	Oct. 20	Good border.
Nurembergia Gracilis	" 2	Aug. 5	Sept. 25	Fair.
Poppy, 5 varieties	" 1	" 1	" 25	"
Phacelia campanularia	" 1	July 25	" 16	Very good.
" grandiflora	" 1	" 25	" 16	"
Portulaca	" 1	June 23	" 19	Fine blooms.
Phlox Drummondii, 3 varieties	" 1	" 23	Oct. 20	Grand show.
Petunia, 4 varieties	" 1	July 10	Sept. 20	Extra fine.
Pansies, 8 varieties	" 1	June 20	Nov. 10	"
Scabiosa, 3 varieties	" 1	Aug. 1	Sept. 10	Fine show.
Sweet William	" 1	Biennial	Biennial	Did not bloom.
Salpiglossis variabilis	" 1	June 10	Oct. 1	Fine blooms.
Schizanthus, 2 varieties	" 1	" 23	" 1	"
Sanvitalia procumbens	" 2	July 15	Sept. 10	Not very good.
Stocks, 10 weeks	" 1	June 28	Oct. 20	Fine large blooms.
Tropaeolum, 5 varieties	" 1	July 12	" 5	Fair show.
Tagetes, 2 varieties	May 31	June 23	" 10	Good border.
Verbena hyb. auricula flora	" 31	July 10	" 20	Very fine show.
Whitlavia gr. fl.	June 2	" 1	" 1	Bloomed well.
Wahlenbergia	" 10	"	"	Did not bloom.
Zinnia elegans, 2 varieties	" 1	July 10	Oct. 1	Fine show.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

ANNUALS.—Sown in the open, May 19.

Variety,	Bloom.		Remarks.
	From	To	
Alyssum, Sweet.....	Aug. 1....	Oct. 20....	Very good.
Asters.....	" 23....	" 10....	Poor.
Antirrhinum.....	" 4....	" 10....	Good.
Ageratum.....	"	"	Did not grow.
Callopsis.....	Aug. 8....	" 1....	Fair show.
Candytuft.....	July 10....	Sept. 28....	Good flowers.
Calendula.....	" 20....	Oct. 10....	"
Clarkia.....	" 20....	" 10....	Good.
Chrysanthemum.....	" 22....	Sept. 24....	Very fair.
Coropsis.....	Aug. 20....	Oct. 1....	"
Eschscholtzia, 4 varieties.....	July 18....	Sept. 24....	Bloomed very fully.
Dianthus.....	Aug. 8....	Oct. 10....	"
Godetia.....	" 8....	" 10....	Fair show.
Helichrysum.....	"	"	Did not grow.
Marigold.....	July 24....	Sept. 24....	Fair show.
Mignonette.....	" 20....	Oct. 10....	Very good.
Phlox Drummondii.....	Aug. 2....	" 20....	"
Poppies.....	July 28....	Sept. 26....	Good.
Salpiglossis.....	Aug. 8....	Oct. 1....	"
Scabiosa.....	" 10....	Sept. 10....	"
Tropeolum.....	July 19....	" 20....	Good show.
Whitlavia.....	Aug. 1....	" 24....	"
*Sweet Pease, 33 varieties.....	July 26....	" 28....	Good succession of bloom.

*Sown May 10.

PERENNIALS.

The old beds of perennials, most of which were planted out in 1900, made very strong growth, and presented a fine succession of bloom throughout the season.

BULBS.

Tulips.—In flower from May 15 to June 2. Very fine, but suffered from the drought, which shortened the flowering period.

Dahlias.—Set out June 2; in flower July 18 till frost. The double ones were especially fine.

Gladioli.—Set out June 10; in flower August 8. Did well.

Iris.—Beds of Iris planted in 1900 bloomed freely from June 4 to July 19.

PAEONIES.

In flower from June 10 to July 15.

Last spring a large number of Japanese Iris, and some Cannas and Dahlias were sent up from the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa. These were planted out and made satisfactory growth. A number of the Dahlias flowered very fully till September 17. Following will be found a list of those living at the close of the season.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

JAPANESE IRISES.

Hana-aoi.	Mahogany.
Momiji-no-taki.	Neptune.
Kumomano-sora.	Zenobia.
Gold Bound.	Kigan-no-misao.
Uji-no-hotaru.	Kasui-no-iro.
Ho-o-jo.	Samidare.
Sofu-no-koi.	Shippo.
Shishi-ikari.	Oscar.
Kumo-isho.	Shishi-odori.
Shichinkwa.	Tsurugi-no-mai.
Violet Cap.	

CANNAS.

Austria.	Mdlle. Berat.
Baron de Poilly.	Paul Marquant.
C. Bernardin.	Pennsylvania.
Gladiator.	Queen Charlotte.

DAHLIAS.

Aurata.	Lord Hawke.
Bishop of Durham.	Mantas la Villa.
Clifford W. Bruton.	Mrs. Wheeler.
Constance.	Mrs. Dodds.
Empress of India.	Mrs. Beedle.
Ernest Glasse.	Mammoth Queen.
Gem.	Matchless.
Grand Duke Alexis.	Perfect Vallon.
Gilt Edge.	Paragon.
Herbert Turner.	Snowclad.
Iridescent.	Snowflake.
John Sladden.	Wm. Agnew.
John Cowan.	Wm. Pearce
Lady H. Grosvenor.	Woman in White.
Little Morris.	

In the Annual Report for 1903 a list of perennial flowers is given, most of which were sent from the Central Experimental Farm in 1900. Nearly all of these proved hardy. Included in this list was a number of varieties of iris, peony and many other attractive perennials. Particulars as to the species and varieties tested will be found on pages 382-4 of that report.

TREES AND SHRUBS.

All trees and shrubs made large growth during the past season. All were well out in leaf by May 24, and no set-back took place up to the time of frost in September.

So rapid has been the growth of trees about fruit, and other garden plots, the last few years, that it has been found necessary to cut out in some cases, and cut back in many, the hedges surrounding these plots. While every season these hedges have been severely trimmed, they have outgrown such work, and are becoming an injury to all produce growing at all close to them. Maple and willow hedges are giving the most trouble in this respect.

Over 100,000 maple trees, in addition to a large number of shrubs have been taken up and heeled in for next spring's distribution.

ARBORETUM.

Three specimens of *Populus Augustifolia* were sent up from Ottawa last spring, and some cuttings of Basket Willow, which were planted out in the Arboretum. The Poplars took root readily and made strong growth, but the Willows were very slow in making a start, and had only made a weak growth at the close of the season.

All the other varieties of trees and shrubs under observation in the Arboretum, numbering about 300, a list of which was given in my report for 1903, made a better growth than usual. Many of the tender and half-hardy species were injured to a larger extent by the severe winter than they generally are, but the effects of this were soon overcome when the spring growth started.

The following trees and shrubs have done the best on the Indian Head Farm, and can be recommended for cultivation throughout the Territories:—

*Botanical Name—**Common Name—*

<i>Acer Negundo.</i>	Box Elder.
<i>Acer Tataricum Ginnala.</i>	Ginnalian Maple.
<i>Alnus glutinosa.</i>	Common Alder.
<i>Betula populifolia.</i>	White Birch.
<i>Caragana arborescens.</i>	Siberian Pea Tree.
<i>Cornus stolonifera.</i>	Red Osier Dogwood.
<i>Cotoneaster integerrima.</i>	Common Cotoneaster.
<i>Crataegus chlorosarca.</i>	
“ <i>coccinea.</i>	Scarlet Haw.
“ <i>Crus galli.</i>	Cockspur Thorn.
<i>Fraxinus americana.</i>	White Ash.
“ <i>pennsylvanica lanceolata.</i>	Green Ash.
<i>Lonicera Alberti.</i>	Albert Regel's Honeysuckle.
“ <i>tatarica.</i>	Tartarian Honeysuckle.
<i>Populus balsamifera.</i>	Balsam Poplar.
“ <i>deltoidea.</i>	Cottonwood.
<i>Rhamnus cathartica.</i>	Common Buckthorn.
“ <i>frangula.</i>	Breaking Buckthorn.
<i>Ribes aureum.</i>	Missouri Currant.
“ <i>Sibirica.</i>	Siberian Currant.
<i>Salix pentandra.</i>	Laurel-leaved Willow.
“ <i>purpurea pendula.</i>	Pendulous Purple Willow.
“ <i>Voronesh.</i>	Voronesh Willow.
<i>Syringa chinensis.</i>	Rouen Lilac.
“ <i>Josikea.</i>	Josika's Lilac.
“ <i>vulgaris.</i>	Common Lilac.
<i>Ulmus americanus.</i>	American Elm.
<i>Viburnum opulus.</i>	Highbush Cranberry.

ARBORETUM.

The Arboretum was very attractive during the past season, and proved of interest to visitors at all times from the early spring till late in the fall. On account of the abundant rains, everything made extra strong growth.

FRUIT TREES.

Crab apples (*Pyrus Baccata*), Currants Red, White and Black, Raspberries Red and Black, and Gooseberries, gave fair crops of fruit this year. Plums were a poor crop, and none of the fruit ripened before frost came. Native fruit was destroyed by spring frosts.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

I am sorry to report that considerable injury was done to many of the young cross-bred apple trees by rabbits last winter. When first noticed, tar-paper was tied about each tree, which protected them till the deep snow of March, when the rabbits were able to reach the branches. In some cases the young trees were entirely girdled.

PLANTING.

Last spring the following cross-bred apples and seedlings of cross-bred apples were received from the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, and planted:—

CROSS-BRED APPLES.

1 Manitou.	4 Northern Queen.
3 Alberta.	2 Elsa.
2 Dawn.	2 Eve.
7 Tony.	1 Bow.
2 Aurora.	

SEEDLINGS OF CROSS-BRED APPLES.

5 seedlings of Apple from Winnipeg.	2 seedlings of Columbia.
19 " Aurora.	2 " Olive.
11 " Martha.	2 " Charles.
19 " Alberta.	2 " Carrie.
17 " Tony.	6 " Prairie Gem.
12 " Carleton.	10 " Cluster.
7 " Progress.	6 " Derby.
2 " Cavan.	2 " Parker.
17 " Pioneer.	12 " Prince.
1 " Ruby.	13 " Sparta.
2 " Eve.	3 " Eaton.
2 " Hunter.	4 " Eastman.

PLUMS.

Twelve seedlings of Mankato were received and set out.

STRAWBERRIES.

Twelve roots of each of the following varieties of strawberries were sent from the Central Experimental Farm and planted. A number of the roots died, but the others made fair growth:—

Greenville.

Enhance.

Crescent.

Daisy.

And Alpine Strawberries—

St. Antoine de Padoue.

St. Joseph.

Jean d'Arc.

Johnson's Early.

Biscl.

Daniel Boone.

Williams.

FRUIT CROP.

SIBERIAN CRAB. (PYRA'S BACCATA.)

The Siberian Crabs planted in 1895 again bore large crops of fruit, which was ripe before frost came hard enough to injure them.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

CROSS-BRED APPLES.

A number of the cross-bred apple trees set out in 1901 blossomed, and a few bore a fair crop of fruit, which much excelled the *Pyrus baccata* in both size and quality.

PLUMS.

A medium crop of fruit set, but owing to the unfavourable weather in August, it was very slow in maturing, and was frozen before any of it was ripe.

SAND CHERRIES.

A few varieties of sand cherry blossomed, and some fruit set, but it was poor and of little value.

SMALL FRUITS.

CURRANTS.

Red, White and Black Currants all bore a heavy crop of fruit of excellent quality. The cross-bred varieties set out in 1902 nearly all fruited this year. Following is a list of the varieties under test:—

Black.—Pomona, Stewart, Clipper, Black Victoria, Black Naples, Native Black, Perry, Eagle, Monarch, Charmer, Beauty, Ontario, Stewart, Ethel, Sterling, Standard, Orton, Star, Madoc, Climax, Kerry, Eclipse, Oxford, Winona, Lewis, Prince of Wales.

Red.—Fay's Prolific, Wilder, North Star, Raby Castle, Red Dutch, Cherry, Versailles, Fertile d'Angers, Prince Albert, Victoria.

White.—White Imperial, White Grape, White Dutch.

RASPBERRIES.

The first fruit that ripened was rather poor and dry, owing to the hot, dry weather, but the rains at the end of July caused the berries to fill out better, and a good crop was produced.

Marlboro, Miller, Dr. Reider, Kenyon Seedling, Caroline, Garfield, Mary, Turner, Hilborn Black and Older Black all fruited well.

GOOSEBERRIES.

Houghton and Smith's Improved produced a good crop of fruit. The young plants set out in 1902 and 1903 did not fruit.

STRAWBERRIES.

Vines all died in winter of 1902-3.

CATTLE.

The herd now consists of 48 head, 25 pure-bred Shorthorns and 23 grade animals. The bull, 'Arbor,' bred by E. Porter, Lowfield, Kirkby, Lonsdale, England, is at the head of the herd.

FEEDING TEST.

On November 7, 10 steers 1½ years old, and 8 steers 2½ years old, were purchased for feeding test. When tested for tuberculosis, two of each lot reacted. They were killed and examined, and the two young ones were found to be slightly, the two older steers seriously affected with tuberculosis.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Two steers raised on the farm were added, which brought the numbers up to 8 steers $1\frac{1}{2}$ years old, and 8 steers $2\frac{1}{2}$ years old.

It was desired to ascertain at which age the animals could be most economically fattened.

The test, which was for sixteen weeks, commenced on December 18, when the animals were divided into two lots, lot 1 comprising the $1\frac{1}{2}$ year cattle, and lot 2 those aged $2\frac{1}{2}$.

They were fed as follows:—

Lot 1. Each animal received per day :—Hay, 8 lbs.; ensilage, 15 lbs.; turnips, 10 lbs.

Meal was fed at the rate of 2 lbs. per head per day for first month, and increased 2 lbs. per head per day each month during the test.

Lot 2. Per head per day :—Hay, 12 lbs.; ensilage, 20 lbs.; turnips, 15 lbs.

Meal, 6 lbs each per day for first month, and increased by 2 lbs. each per day each month of test.

Turnips were only fed during the first half of test.

The meal used consisted of two parts barley, and one part small wheat.

Straw was also fed each lot, but account was not kept of the quantity consumed.

Before the test started the steers were fed the same ration as during the first month of test, and from end of test till sold, the same as during the last month of test.

Following will be found a statement of the monthly and total weights and gains of each lot during the test and till sold; the total amount and estimated value of the feed consumed from the time the steers were bought till they were sold; and a summary of the financial results of the transaction:—

MONTHLY and total weights and gains of each lot of steers.

Lot.	Weight at start of test.	1st 4 weeks.		2nd 4 weeks.		3rd 4 weeks.		4th 4 weeks.		Total gain during test.	Weight when sold.		Total gain.
		Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.	Weight.	Gain.		Weight.	Gain from end of test till sold, 11 days.	
		Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.		Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Lot No. 1, $1\frac{1}{2}$ years old...	6,900	7,100	200	7,410	310	7,690	280	8,010	320	1,110	8,120	110	1,220
Lot No. 2, $2\frac{1}{2}$ years old...	9,150	9,570	420	10,060	490	10,460	400	10,940	480	1,790	11,190	250	2,040
Totals....	16,050	16,670	620	17,470	800	18,150	680	18,950	800	2,900	*19,310	360	3,260

* Sold less 5 per cent shrinkage, leaving net weight 18,345 lbs.

Total weight and estimated value of feed consumed during the whole period—November 7 to April 19:

PREPARATORY FEEDING, 41 DAYS.

Lot 1.

Hay, 2,624 lbs. at \$5 per ton.....	\$ 6 56
Ensilage, 4,920 lbs. at \$2 per ton.....	4 92
Meal, 656 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.....	4 37
Turnips, 3,280 lbs. at 5c. per bushel.....	2 73

\$18 53

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

Lot 2.

Hay, 3,936 lbs. at \$5 per ton.....	\$ 9 84
Ensilage, 6,560 lbs. at \$2 per ton.....	6 56
Meal, 1,968 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.....	13 12
Turnips, 4,920 lbs. at 5c. per bushel.....	4 10

Or for both lots, \$52.20.

\$33 62

DURING TEST, 112 DAYS.

Lot 1.

Hay, 7,168 lbs. at \$5 per ton.....	17 92
Ensilage, 13,440 lbs. at \$2 per ton.....	13 44
Meal, 4,480 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.....	29 87
Turnips, 4,480 lbs. at 5c. per bushel.....	3 73
	<hr/>
	\$64 93

Lot 2.

Hay, 10,752 lbs. at \$5 per ton.....	\$ 26 88
Ensilage, 17,920 lbs. at \$2 per ton.....	17 92
Meal, 8,064 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.....	53 76
Turnips, 6,720 lbs. at 5c. per bushel.....	5 60
	<hr/>
	\$104 16

Or for both lots, \$169.12.

\$104 16

FROM END OF TEST TILL SOLD, 11 DAYS.

Lot 1.

Hay, 704 lbs. at \$5 per ton.....	\$ 1 76
Ensilage, 1,320 lbs. at \$2 per ton.....	1 32
Meal, 704 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.....	4 69
	<hr/>
	\$7 77

Lot 2.

Hay, 1,056 lbs. at \$5 per ton.....	\$ 2 64
Ensilage, 1,760 lbs. at \$2 per ton.....	1 76
Meal, 1,056 lbs. at $\frac{3}{4}$ c. per lb.....	7 04
	<hr/>
	\$11 44

Or for both lots, \$19.21.

\$11 44

SUMMARY OF COST OF FEEDING.

Preparatory.....	\$ 52 20
During test.....	169 12
Till sold.....	19 21
	<hr/>
	\$240 53

Cost of feeding Lot 1—\$91.31, or \$11.41 per head.

Cost of feeding Lot 2—\$149.22, or \$18.65 per head.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

SUMMARY of Financial result of the Transaction.

Lot.	Price per head.	Amount paid.	Add cost of feed.	Total cost.	Weight sold.	At	Amount received.	Gain each lot.	Gain per head.
	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	Lbs.	cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
No. 1.....	21 00	168 00	91 31	259 31	7,714	4	308 56	49 25	6 15
No. 2.....	29 50	236 00	149 22	385 22	10,631	4	425 24	40 02	5 00
Total.....		404 00	240 53	644 53	18,345	4	733 80	89 27	*

* Or an average net gain of \$5.58 per head.

HORSES.

There are 13 horses, young and old, on the farm at present. Two of these are very old and of not much service, one of them having been brought up from Ontario when the farm was started in 1887.

During the summer, one of the driving horses died, and has not yet been replaced.

Last spring a fine colt was born, which keeps the number of horses the same as last year.

SWINE.

Three breeds are kept.—Berkshire, Tamworth and Yorkshire White. The two first breeds have done much the best the past season.

Since sending in my last report, 1 Berkshire boar and 1 sow, and 3 Tamworth boars and 3 sows have been sold to farmers for breeding purposes.

At the present date, November 30, there are 17 Berkshire, 19 Tamworth and 2 Yorkshire White pigs on the farm.

TEST OF PASTURING HOGS ON RAPE.

In compliance with a request of Dr. Elliott, Minister of Agriculture for the North-west Territories, a test was made during the past season of feeding some swine on rape, with the addition of a small quantity of meal.

One acre of corn land, ploughed the previous fall and harrowed, was sown with three pounds of rape seed, in drills 28 inches apart, on June 1. A good catch resulted and the rape made rapid growth. Up to July 19 the acre was scuffled twice, and all weeds in rows taken out by hoe. On July 19, a wire hog-fence was put around the lot, and a cross fence in the centre, cutting the acre in two. At this date the rape was meeting in the rows, when 10 pigs—5 Berkshire and 5 Tamworth grades were put in one of the half acres. Finding the pigs were making no impression on the rape, 7 pure bred Tamworths were added on July 23, when the test commenced.

The pigs were weighed when put in on September 23 and October 23, making a three months test. When taken from the half acre on October 23, one-third of the rape was still nearly meeting in the rows, and was afterwards eaten off by cattle.

During the first two months the swine were given 2,080 pounds of meal (oats and barley, half of each), which is equal to a little less than two pounds per head per day; in the third month they consumed 1,780 pounds, which equals three and a half pounds per head per day. Whether the rape had attained too rank a growth or not, before the pigs were put on, I cannot say, but during the entire period very little was

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

eaten, and for the first month no impression whatever was made on the half acre. Until the meal ration was materially increased the animals were always hungry.

I give below the weights of the pigs at the different dates, with the amount of gain made:—

	July 23. lbs.	Sept. 23. lbs.	Oct. 23. lbs.
17 pigs weighed.	1,345	1,760	2,210
Gain.		415	450
Average weight.	79	103	130
Average gain.		24½	26½

From July 23 to September 23 is 62 days, and from September 23 to October 23 is 30 days. It will be observed that after the quantity of meal was increased, the animals put on flesh more than twice as fast as before.

On the half acre on which no swine were pastured the yield of rape was 16 tons, or at the rate of 32 tons per acre. It attained a height of from two to three feet.

POULTRY.

Plymouth Rock, Light Brahma and Black Minorca fowls are kept on the farm. Eggs for setting and young fowls are sold to applicants as far as they can be supplied.

SEED GRAIN FOR DISTRIBUTION.

Early last winter two cars of 60,000 pounds each, of wheat, oats and barley were made up and shipped to Ottawa for distribution. In November this year, two more 60,000 pound cars loaded with wheat, oats, barley, pease, &c., were shipped to Ottawa.

In addition there is available for seed purposes, in excess of the requirements for the distribution from this farm a considerable quantity of grain which will be sold to settlers in lots of from two to six bushels, the large demand not permitting larger quantities to be sold to one applicant.

MEETINGS ATTENDED.

During last winter I attended, in company with Dr. Elliott, Minister of Agriculture for the Territories, Institute meetings at North Portal, Estevan, Weyburn, Yellow Grass and Milestone, on the Soo line. Other meetings had to be cancelled on account of snow storms.

In February a two-days stock-judging school was held in Indian Head, which I attended and assisted at as far as possible. Stock from the Experimental Farm was provided for the judging.

EXCURSIONS.

On June 16, the Regina fire brigade organized a large excursion from Regina and intermediate points to Indian Head, and large numbers visited the farm. Between 600 and 800 people thronged the gardens and other parts of the farm during the day.

On July 1, a very considerable number, 1,000 or more, drove or walked through the grounds from morning till late in the evening.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

On July 12, the Orangemen of the surrounding districts met in Indian Head, and in great crowds inspected the farm throughout the day.

And on July 19, two large excursions, from Moosomin in the east to Moosejaw in the west, numbering over 1,500 people, and with an additional 300 or 400 from the town and district, spent the day on the farm. This excursion was under the auspices of the Department of Agriculture, Regina, and during the day, Dr. Elliott, Commissioner of Agriculture, and others, addressed the visitors. Mr. Gibson, manager of the creamery at Qu'Appelle Station, gave lessons in butter-making, and W. J. Black, B.S.A., of the *Farmer's Advocate*, gave valuable instruction in stock-judging, to a large and attentive audience. The weather was very fine, and the many visitors enjoyed the day greatly.

DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES.

During the months of March, April and May, the following distribution of samples of the products of the farm was made to applicants throughout the Territories of Assiniboia, Alberta and Saskatchewan.

As usual, it was only possible to supply about half the number of applicants, although the number of samples sent out was considerably larger than in previous years :—

Wheat	420 bags, 3 lbs. each.
Oats	542 “
Barley	367 “
Pease	176 “
Sundries (Flax, Rye and Spelt)	153 “
Potatoes	818 “
Tree Seeds, Maple	810 bags, $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. each.
Tree Seeds, Caragana	900 packets.
Grass Seed, Brome	166 bags, 1 lb. each.
Grass Seed, Western Rye	66 “
Small Seeds	446 packages, containing 7,940 packages of shrub-seed, flower-seed, root-seed, gar- den-seeds and corn.
Rhubarb Roots	88 packages.
Fruit Bushes	186 “
Tree and Shrub seedlings	720 “
Express parcels containing Maple seedlings and other trees and shrubs.	105 parcels.

CORRESPONDENCE.

During the twelve months ending October 31, 1904, 5,849 letters, irrespective of reports on grain and other samples, were received, and 5,871 letters, not counting circulars of instruction sent with samples, were mailed from this office.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

METEOROLOGICAL.

Month.	Temperature, Maximum.		Temperature, Minimum.		Snow- fall.	Rainfall.		Hours of Bright Sunshine.
	Date.	Degrees	Date.	Degrees	Inches.	No. of days.	Inches.	
1903.								
November.....	2	73	19	—16	11			82·6
December.....	26	39	12	—27	14			75·8
1904.								
January.....	7	42	24	—47	8·5			81·4
February.....	29	32	10	—44	22·5			120·3
March.....	30	38	11	—26	33			113·3
April.....	28	72	7	7	3·5	3	19	165·8
May.....	20	78	24	24		9	1·94	165·6
June.....	18	90	4	34		13	2·74	221·7
July.....	23	92	26	38		8	3·81	299·5
August.....	26	86	27	33½		11	1·17	210·8
September.....	7	80	19	24		10	1·79	146·6
October.....	30	69	25	18		3	·32	145·8
					92·5	57	11·96	1,829·2

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

ANGUS MACKAY,
Superintendent.

EXPERIMENTAL FARM FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA.

REPORT OF THOMAS A. SHARPE, SUPERINTENDENT.

AGASSIZ, B.C., November 30, 1904.

To Dr. WM. SAUNDERS,
Director Dominion Experimental Farms,
Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit the following report of the work done and progress made on the Experimental Farm at Agassiz during the year 1904.

The season while in some respects peculiar, has been on the whole, a favourable one.

The winter was mild, the lowest temperature recorded at this station in January, being 15, with a snowfall for the month of four inches and six and a quarter inches of rain. February, the coldest was 17 degrees of frost and nearly nine inches of rain and thirty-two inches of snow.

There was less rain and snow in March, the fall being 5½ and 6½ inches respectively, and the coldest was 30 on two occasions, but the prevailing winds were north-west and north-east and there was very little progress in vegetation.

A temperature of 30 with a sharp frost on April 29 caught many of the fruit trees in bloom and a good deal of the bloom fell off, especially in the case of the plums.

The weather turned drier in May, the rainfall for the month being less than 2½ inches, which is much lower than usual, but the winds were cool and growth very backward.

June remained cool and although the rainfall was a little heavier than that of May, yet the grass and clover began to show need of more rain.

In July the rainfall was light and the weather became warm and growth was rapid, except in clover fields where the second crop had been cut, corn and all sorts of grain made rapid progress. The weather continued bright and warm throughout August, September and October with very light rainfall, very fine for harvest and all other farm work but almost too dry for root crops.

On the whole, the season, although rather dry during most of the summer has been very favourable for farm work, and even root crops, where the soil was kept stirred, have been satisfactory, and the weather for harvesting them exceptionally fine. Up to the present date we have not had a killing frost, roses and sweet peas being still in bloom in the open garden.

FRUIT CROP.

The continued wet weather in May and early June damaged the cherry crop and to some extent injured the strawberry crop, but with clearer, warmer weather the larger fruits had a better chance. Plums and pears were light in many orchards owing to the frost in last of April, and the cool weather in April and May, but the sample was fine and there was less rot in the plums than usual. The apples, too, were freer from skin diseases, and owing to the bright sunny autumn were better coloured and finer than usual.

HEDGES.

Many people are making inquiries as to the best hedges. In evergreens, wherever it will stand the climate the holly makes a very handsome hedge, making a close com-

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

compact growth and, when old enough to produce berries, the glossy green leaves and bright red berries make it a thing of beauty.

The eastern hemlock, eastern arborvitae, Norway spruce, pyramidal arborvitae, and the native cedar all make very compact handsome hedges which look well always.

For flowering hedges, the weigeliæ, deutzias, Japan quince or Japanese snowball all look well when in bloom.

ORNAMENTAL TREES AND SHRUBS.

There was a heavy fall of soft damp snow in February which clung to the trees and shrubs and some were crushed and injured, but none so much as to ruin them, with this exception, the growth has been very strong and many of the flowering trees and shrubs never looked better than they did this year. In flowering trees the double flowering thorns, pink, scarlet and white, the laburnum, and the flowering dogwood, pink and white, grow luxuriantly and flower profusely.

In shrubs the Japanese quince and Japanese snowball, the weigeliæ, spiræas, hydrangæas, syringas, deutzias, philadelphus and many others make a fine display of bloom from the last of March until June, and many of the shrubs and trees having variegated or purple foliage make a strikingly handsome appearance all summer.

The timber and nut trees in the forest belt continue to grow and thrive, and many of the trees planted on the mountain side are getting above the underbrush, and when the trees get their autumn tints are distinctly noticeable.

NUT TREES.

The English and American black walnuts each produced a few nuts, and the Japanese walnut a fine crop. The chestnuts also, many of them, had a fair crop of nuts. Many requests for nuts and tree seeds are received from farmers throughout the province, and reports coming in of the nuts distributed in former years show that there is a live interest being taken in nut tree growing, as the trees when once well established, make a rapid growth and soon become handsome shade trees as well as nut producers. The filberts of all the nut producers are unsatisfactory, the crop on all the varieties being very poor, and the bluejays begin to carry them off before they are properly filled.

DITCHING.

Considerable ditching has been done during the year, and the old ditches where open have been cleaned out, and many of the wet places along the foot of the mountains are now dry and will be cleared of brush and put under cultivation and pasture as rapidly as possible.

NEW BREAKING.

About 8 acres have been ploughed and disked, and are now being ploughed again to be in readiness for a crop next year.

LIVE STOCK.

The cattle here are all registered short-horns, and the herd consists of 9 cows, 4 heifers, 3 bulls and 7 calves, 4 of these are bull calves, and 3 heifer calves. One short-horn cow was sold for beef, as she proved to be barren. One of the bull calves mentioned in my report last year has been sold as a breeder and the other is on hand.

SHEEP.

The flock at present consists of fifteen ewes and ewe lambs, and six rams. Three ewes were lost since my last report, one died of old age, and the other two from unknown causes, as the flock has been at all times healthy. Two barren ewes and two rams were sold to the butcher, and one ram for a breeder. The Dorset Horned sheep appear to make a satisfactory cross with the common sheep, buyers being pleased with the results, and butchers say that the grade lambs dress very well.

PIGS.

The stock now on hand consists of two Yorkshire White sows and a Yorkshire White boar, all very fine individuals, and six young pigs of this breed. A Berkshire boar, three young sows and seven pigs, all fine thrifty animals.

HORSES.

The horse stock is the same as last year, but an effort is being made to get a young heavy team, as the area under cultivation is getting greater and more team force is necessary.

Young heavy teams are very scarce, but it is hoped that before the work commences in spring a team will be got.

BEES.

Seven swarms of bees were taken into winter quarters, but three of them died before spring, and the others were much reduced in strength when spring opened. Three fine swarms have been saved this season, and there are now seven strong colonies which are well supplied with honey to carry them over the winter.

FOWLS.

There are now on the farm five breeds of fowls, Black Minorcas, Rose Comb Brown Leghorns, B. P. Rocks, Brahmias and Buff Orpingtons. As in former years, the Black Minorcas have been the best layers, and their eggs are large; the R. C. Brown Leghorns laid nearly as many eggs as the Black Minorcas, but their eggs were smaller.

Of the last three named breeds, the B. P. Rocks are the best layers.

Brahmias and Buff Orpingtons are about equal with us as layers, but the B. P. Rocks and Buff Orpingtons mature earlier than the Brahmias and all three breeds are good sitters, and good mothers, and are profitable as layers until two and a half years old, when they are apt to get too fat and lay fewer eggs.

The hens are kept in breeding pens, with yards attached, from January 1 to July 1. During the rest of the year they are allowed to run at large.

They are seldom troubled with any disease except sometimes a little rheumatism, which is caused by the wet weather; but crows, hawks and skunks carry off a good many chickens, even after they are well grown.

We have had an average of 60 per cent of chickens from eggs put into the incubator. These chickens are raised in a brooder, which is kept in a brooder-house, and have been strong and thrifty, but they have not been either stronger or healthier than chickens hatched and raised by hens, nor has the per cent of loss been greater from any cause.

The hens are fed mixed grains, $\frac{2}{3}$ wheat, $\frac{1}{3}$ oats and $\frac{1}{3}$ pease, sunflower seeds in the autumn, and during the coldest weather in winter they get once a day boiled roots and chop mixed, and a cabbage head or some vegetable always before them.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

The hen-house is whitewashed several times a year. The roosts and nest boxes are movable, so as to be easily cleaned and renewed, and they are given clean chaff or straw on a swept floor once a week.

EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS.

Forty-three varieties of oats were sown on one-fortieth of an acre plots. The soil was a sandy loam, in fair condition, having been in corn the previous year and the corn had been planted on clover stubble with a luxuriant aftergrowth of clover turned under. The mountain close on the east side of the field and a fir wood on the west deprived it of the early morning and evening sunshine, and perhaps on this account aided the spread and growth of rust, which was more or less in evidence in all the varieties, and which lessened the yield to a considerable degree. All were sown April 16.

OATS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw		Yield per Acre.	Weight per Bushel.	Rusted
								Lbs.	Bush.			
				In.		In.						
1	Golden Fleece.....	Aug. 8	114	44	Medium..	10	Branching..	5,520	67	9	36	Slightly.
2	White Giant.....	" 13	119	46	" ..	9	" ..	5,400	61	16	35 ¹ / ₂	" ..
3	Thousand Dollar ..	" 11	117	42	" ..	10	" ..	5,200	61	6	38	Consider'ably.
4	Holstein Prolific..	" 8	114	40	Strong....	10	" ..	5,840	60	20	34 ¹ / ₂	" ..
5	Irish Victor.....	" 12	118	40	Medium..	10	Sided.....	5,520	60	10	36	" ..
6	Kendal White.....	" 13	119	42	" ..	8	Half sided..	5,680	59	24	36	Badly.
7	Pense Black.....	" 12	118	46	" ..	9	Branching..	5,680	59	14	35 ¹ / ₂	" ..
8	Banner.....	" 8	114	44	" ..	10	" ..	5,800	59	4	36	Slightly.
9	Olive Black.....	" 12	118	44	" ..	8	Sided.....	5,840	58	28	35 ¹ / ₂	" ..
10	Improved Ligowo..	" 8	114	46	" ..	9	Branching..	5,000	58	18	36 ¹ / ₂	" ..
11	Buckbee's Illinois..	" 12	117	44	" ..	9	" ..	5,400	58	8	35	Badly.
12	Improved American.	" 13	119	46	" ..	9	" ..	5,600	57	32	34 ¹ / ₂	Slightly.
13	Lincoln.....	" 13	119	46	" ..	10	" ..	5,920	57	22	35 ¹ / ₂	Badly.
14	Tartar King.....	" 10	116	44	" ..	9	Sided.....	5,840	57	22	35 ¹ / ₂	Slightly.
15	Waverley.....	" 10	116	44	Stiff.....	10	Branching..	5,800	57	2	35	" ..
16	Kendal Black.....	" 13	119	42	Medium..	10	Sided.....	5,800	56	26	34 ¹ / ₂	Badly.
17	Abundance.....	" 13	119	40	" ..	9	Branching..	5,360	56	26	36	" ..
18	Olive White.....	" 12	118	46	" ..	9	Sided.....	5,680	56	16	35 ¹ / ₂	Consider'ably.
19	Pioneer.....	" 9	115	42	" ..	9	Branching..	5,520	56	16	35	Badly.
20	Bavarian.....	" 8	114	44	" ..	9	" ..	5,600	56	6	35	Slightly.
21	Siberian.....	" 11	117	42	" ..	10	" ..	5,600	55	30	35 ¹ / ₂	Badly.
22	American Triumph..	" 8	114	42	Stiff.....	9	" ..	5,320	55	20	35 ¹ / ₂	Consider'ably.
23	Pense White.....	" 9	115	38	Medium..	10	" ..	5,600	55	10	34	Badly.
24	Wide Awake.....	" 12	118	40	" ..	9	" ..	5,600	54	24	35	" ..
25	Twentieth Century ..	" 12	118	40	" ..	11	" ..	5,800	54	14	34 ¹ / ₂	Slightly.
26	Joanette.....	" 11	117	40	" ..	9	" ..	5,520	54	4	36	" ..
27	Black Beauty.....	" 8	114	44	" ..	10	" ..	5,600	53	28	35	Badly.
28	Milford White.....	" 12	118	44	" ..	9	Sided.....	5,520	53	18	35 ¹ / ₂	" ..
29	Storm King.....	" 8	114	46	" ..	11	" ..	5,920	52	32	36 ¹ / ₂	Consider'ably.
30	Swedish Select.....	" 9	115	46	" ..	9	Branching..	5,120	52	27	35	" ..
31	Golden Giant.....	" 15	121	44	" ..	9	Sided.....	5,680	52	22	34 ¹ / ₂	Badly.
32	Golden Tartarian..	" 15	121	42	Stiff.....	11	" ..	5,840	52	12	35	Consider'ably.
33	Scotch Potato.....	" 13	119	40	Medium..	10	Branching..	5,840	51	26	35 ¹ / ₂	Slightly.
34	Danish Island.....	" 9	115	42	" ..	9	" ..	5,760	51	16	36	" ..
35	Swedish Probstey..	" 10	116	46	" ..	9	" ..	5,920	51	6	36	Badly.
36	American Beauty...	" 15	121	41	" ..	10	" ..	4,960	50	30	35	" ..
37	Mennonite.....	" 9	115	44	" ..	10	" ..	5,600	50	25	35	Slightly.
38	Early Golden Prolific.	" 9	115	40	" ..	9	" ..	5,440	50	20	35	" ..
39	Columbus.....	" 12	118	41	Weak.....	8	" ..	5,400	50	10	36	Badly.
40	Golden Beauty.....	" 13	119	42	Medium..	10	" ..	5,600	50	"	34 ¹ / ₂	" ..
41	Milford (black).....	" 8	114	38	" ..	8	" ..	5,400	49	24	35	" ..
42	Goldfinder.....	" 13	119	40	" ..	9	" ..	5,360	48	28	34 ¹ / ₂	Consider'ably.
43	Sensation.....	" 12	118	46	" ..	11	" ..	5,840	47	22	35	" ..

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH BARLEY.

Thirty-five varieties of barley were sown this year, twenty of which were six-rowed sorts, and fifteen two-rowed. The land for this test was a sandy loam which had been in clover and was top dressed in the spring of 1902 with about twelve tons of barn-yard manure, a heavy growth of clover was ploughed under in the fall of that year and repeatedly disked and harrowed in the spring of 1903, and a crop of potatoes grown on it, which left it in good condition for barley this season. The yields have been fairly good, and owing to bright, dry harvest weather, the sample is good. The plots were all one-fortieth of an acre and all sown April 23. There was no rust or smut on any of the varieties grown.

SIX-ROWED BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.		Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
							Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Albert.....	Aug. 4..	102	33	Medium.....	3	Six-rowed	3	1,520	63	36	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Mensury.....	" 4..	102	33	Strong.....	3	"	3	1,720	62	24	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Claude.....	" 4..	102	36	Medium.....	3	"	3	1,320	60	40	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Oderbruch.....	" 2..	100	42	Strong.....	3	"	4	58	58	6	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Brome.....	" 6..	104	39	Medium.....	3	"	3	1,640	57	21	49
Odessa.....	" 1..	99	44	Strong.....	3	"	3	720	57	14	48
Common.....	" 4..	102	36	Medium.....	3	"	3	1,200	56	12	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Empire.....	" 5..	103	40	Strong.....	3	"	3	1,600	55	20	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Argyle.....	" 5..	103	40	Medium.....	3	"	3	1,320	55	..	49
Baxter.....	" 2..	100	36	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,240	54	28	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Stella.....	" 12..	110	36	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	520	53	26	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Champion.....	" 1..	99	33	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,440	53	6	48
Garfield.....	" 5..	103	38	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,420	51	12	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Trooper.....	" 14..	112	38	Strong.....	3	"	3	760	50	50	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Summit.....	" 10..	108	39	Medium.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,200	50	40	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Mansfield.....	" 6..	104	38	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,400	48	36	48
Yale.....	" 13..	111	40	".....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,600	48	26	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Nugent.....	" 11..	109	44	".....	3	"	3	1,420	47	24	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Royal.....	" 9..	107	40	".....	3	"	3	1,720	46	12	48
Rennie's Improved	" 2..	100	40	".....	3	"	3	1,520	45	..	48

TWO-ROWED BARLEY—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.		Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
							Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	
Logan.....	Aug. 10..	109	40	Bright & stiff.	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	two-rowed	3	1,600	67	44	49
Danish Chevalier.	" 12..	111	40	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,360	56	32	49
Sidney.....	" 13..	112	43	Medium.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,720	56	22	48
Canadian Thorpe.	" 10..	109	41	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	320	56	2	49
Strandwell.....	" 13..	112	43	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	4	400	56	12	48
Jarvis.....	" 11..	110	46	Strong.....	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,840	52	24	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
French Chevalier.	" 12..	111	47	Medium.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,720	52	4	49 $\frac{1}{2}$
Gordon.....	" 8..	107	40	".....	3	"	3	1,120	51	12	49
Beaver.....	" 10..	109	44	".....	3	"	3	1,400	50	20	49
Newton.....	" 13..	112	40	Strong.....	3	"	3	1,280	50	10	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Fulton.....	" 9..	108	40	Medfurn.....	3	"	3	1,440	50	..	49
Dunham.....	" 9..	108	43	".....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	3	1,480	49	8	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Harvey.....	" 8..	107	38	".....	3	"	3	1,600	48	16	48 $\frac{1}{2}$
Invincible.....	" 11..	110	42	Strong.....	3	"	3	1,400	46	12	48
Clifford.....	" 9..	108	44	".....	3	"	3	1,840	45	40	48

EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRING WHEAT.

Thirty-six varieties of spring wheat were tested in plots of one-fortieth of an acre each. The land was a sandy loam, had been in grass for two years, followed by corn in 1903, and although the yields are not heavy the sample is good as it had fine dry weather for harvest. The plots were sown at the rate of one and a half bushels per acre. All the plots were sown April 25, and were free from rust or smut.

SPRING WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	
									Bush.	Lbs.
				In.		In.		Lbs.		
1	White Fife.....	Aug. 18..	115.	46	Stiff	3	Beardless..	5,400	33	20
2	White Russian.....	" 19..	116	48	"	4	"	5,800	32	40
3	Wellman's Fife.....	" 19..	116	48	Medium..	3	"	5,600	32	..
4	Red Fife	" 17..	114	48	Stiff	3	"	5,800	32	..
5	Stanley	" 17..	114	48	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	5,800	31	20
6	Minnesota No. 163 ..	" 16..	113	41	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	5,700	30	10
7	Admiral.....	" 16..	113	48	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	5,600	30	..
8	Benton.....	" 18..	115	44	Medium..	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	6,080	29	..
9	Percy	" 13..	110	46	Stiff	3	"	4,520	28	40
10	Hayne's Blue Stem..	" 16..	113	48	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,840	28	20
11	Countess	" 12..	109	44	"	4	"	6,000	27	40
12	Powers' Fife	" 17..	114	43	"	3	"	5,520	27	30
13	McKendry's Fife..	" 16..	113	42	Medium..	3	"	5,400	27	20
14	Laurel.....	" 16..	113	42	"	3	"	5,600	27	20
15	Australian No. 9.....	" 17..	114	46	Stiff	3	"	5,800	27	10
16	Byron	" 17..	114	48	"	3	Bearded..	5,680	27	..
17	Clyde	" 18..	115	40	"	3	Beardless..	6,320	26	50
18	Preston.....	" 13..	110	46	"	3	"	5,480	26	40
19	Weldon	" 18..	115	46	Medium..	3	"	5,600	26	30
20	Huron.....	" 15..	112	44	Stiff	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	6,080	26	..
21	Monarch	" 18..	115	45	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Beardless..	5,680	25	50
22	Hungarian.....	" 16..	113	46	"	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	6,000	25	40
23	Chester.....	" 18..	115	42	Medium..	3	Beardless..	6,200	25	20
24	Plumper	" 16..	113	42	Stiff	3	Bearded..	6,400	24	20
25	Australian No. 19..	" 15..	112	48	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	Beardless..	5,680	24	..
26	Rio Grande.....	" 17..	114	44	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	6,000	23	40
27	Crawford	" 13..	110	46	"	3	"	5,600	23	20
28	Colorado	" 13..	110	44	Stiff	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded..	6,400	23	..
29	Red Fern.....	" 18..	115	43	Medium..	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,810	22	50
30	Pringle's Champlain..	" 16..	113	42	"	3	Beardless..	5,560	22	40
31	Advance	" 15..	112	46	Strong....	3	Bearded..	5,520	22	20
32	Herisson Bearded..	" 18..	115	40	Weak	3	"	5,480	22	..
33	Dawn	" 15..	112	48	Stiff	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	Beardless..	5,000	20	40
34	Hastings	" 15..	112	42	"	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	"	5,680	18	40
35	Early Riga	" 11..	108	40	Weak	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	"	5,000	18	..
36	Fraser	" 13..	110	48	Stiff	3	Bearded..	5,600	17	20

MACARONI WHEAT.

Four varieties of this class of wheat were sown in plots of the same size alongside of the bread wheat plots. The yields are fairly good, but not better than in the regular classes, and as all of them are heavily bearded, they are not better than in become popular. There was no rust or smut in any of these plots.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

MACARONI WHEAT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Yield per Acre.	Proportion Tasted.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	
Goose	Aug. 15.	112	47	Stiff and bright..	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	Bearded	6,400	29 20	No rust or smut.
Mahmoudi	" 20.	114	48	" ..	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	" ..	5,600	28 ..	"
Yellow Gharnovka..	" 19.	116	46	" ..	3	" ..	5,440	26 40	"
Roumanian.....	" 17.	114	48	" ..	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	" ..	5,300	26 ..	"

EMMER AND SPELT.

Four plots of this class were sown alongside of the wheat plots. The yields are very fair and the straw is bright and clean, and is eaten by cattle as a change in their rations, more readily than wheat or oat straw. From reports of samples sent to the dry parts of the interior it has in each case given satisfactory yields, but the bearded sorts are disliked.

EMMER AND SPELT—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	No. of Days Maturing.	Length of Straw.	Character of Straw.	Length of Head.	Kind of Head.	Weight of Straw.	Weight of Grain as threshed.
			In.		In.		Lbs.	Lbs.
Common Emmer.	Aug. 20...	117	36	Weak	2	Bearded....	5,360	1,920
Red Emmer	" 20...	117	40	Strong.....	3	"	5,600	1,840
Red Spelt	" 19...	116	40	Medium.....	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	Beardless....	5,840	1,680
South Dakota No. 524	" 18...	115	38	"	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Bearded....	5,680	1,660
White Spelt	" 20...	117	38	"	5	Beardless....	5,240	1,590
South Dakota No. 3..	" 19...	116	36	Weak	2	Bearded....	5,520	1,470

PEASE.

Thirty-three varieties of field pease were tested this year. They were sown on sandy loam which had a heavy growth of clover turned under. The land was in apple orchard and although a strip of six feet on each side of the rows of apple trees was left unsown, yet the shade of the trees injured the crop and lessened the yield. The clear dry weather at harvest time allowed the crop to be harvested in good condition.

The following is a statement of the yields computed from plots of one-fortieth of an acre each.

PHASE—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Date of Ripening.	Number of Days Maturing.	Character of Growth.	Length of Straw.		Length of Pod.	Size of Pea.	Yield per Acre.		Weight per Bushel.
					In.	Lbs.			Bush.	Lbs.	
1	Kent.....	Aug. 15	122	Strong...	52	5,600	3	Large.....	40	..	62½
2	Macoun.....	" 16	123	"	51	5,400	2½	"	38	50	62
3	Canadian Beauty.....	" 11	118	"	56	5,200	3	"	38	40	61
4	Daniel O'Rourke.....	" 9	116	Medium...	40	5,040	2½	Small	38	40	61½
5	German White	" 9	116	Strong...	56	5,400	3	Medium.....	38	30.	63
6	Duke.....	" 16	123	"	58	5,680	2½	Large....	37	30	60½
7	White Marrowfat	" 15	122	"	50	5,640	3½	"	37	20	60
8	Victoria	" 16	123	Medium...	48	5,360	3	Medium	36	49	61
9	Early Britain	" 9	116	"	50	5,400	3	"	35	40	62
10	English Grey.....	" 13	120	"	56	5,520	3	"	35	30	60
11	Prince.....	" 15	122	Strong...	46	5,200	3	Large.....	35	20	60½
12	Wisconsin Blue	" 12	119	Medium...	60	5,280	2½	Small	34	40	61
13	Gregory.....	" 13	120	Strong...	58	5,200	3	Medium.....	34	20	60
14	Mummy.....	" 12	119	Medium...	56	5,600	3	"	34	..	61½
15	Crown.....	" 8	115	"	54	5,440	3	Small	33	20	61½
16	Pride.....	" 10	117	Strong...	54	5,600	3	Large.....	33	..	61
17	Mackay.....	" 14	121	"	56	5,600	3	Medium.....	32	50	60½
18	Prussian Blue.....	" 9	116	"	48	5,520	3	"	32	40	60
19	Paragon.....	" 16	123	"	58	5,200	3	"	32	30	61
20	Carleton.....	" 12	119	"	60	5,680	2½	"	32	20	62
21	King.....	" 12	119	"	54	5,440	3	Large	32	10	60½
22	Black-eyed Marrowfat.....	" 9	116	"	50	5,720	3	"	32	..	60
23	Nelson.....	" 12	119	"	56	4,800	3	Medium.....	30	40	61
24	White Wonder	" 8	115	Medium...	56	5,840	2½	"	30	30	61½
25	Prince Albert.....	" 13	120	"	48	5,200	2½	Small	30	20	62
26	Pearl.....	" 16	123	Strong...	68	5,320	3	Large	29	20	61
27	Arthur.....	" 13	120	"	50	5,690	3	"	28	40	62½
28	Canadian Beauty.....	" 11	118	"	56	5,200	3	Very large..	28	30	61½
29	Golden Vire.....	" 10	117	Medium...	56	5,920	2½	Small	28	10	61½
30	Picton.....	" 16	123	Strong...	50	5,000	3	Medium	28	..	60½
31	Archer.....	" 16	123	Medium...	50	5,240	2½	"	27	20	60
32	Agnes.....	" 13	120	Strong...	55	5,360	3	"	27	10	62
33	Chancellor.....	" 16	123	"	54	5,280	3	"	26	40	62

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIAN CORN.

Nineteen varieties of corn were tested this year on soil which was quite sandy. This had been in wheat the previous year, and with the wheat about 10 lbs. of red clover seed was sown. The clover made a strong growth after the wheat was harvested, and was ploughed under early the following spring, and harrowed several times before the corn was planted. As in previous years, all the varieties were tested in drills three feet apart in the drill, and the corn thinned to about six inches apart, and in hills three feet apart each way, and about three plants in the hill. In this district where there is as a rule plenty of rain all summer, and a great deal of foliage on the stalks, we have generally found a better development of ears when grown in hills, and where there was corn on the ear it was more matured than that in the rows. Further, the hills give more room for air and sunlight, and a better chance to fight the weeds, as the horse hoe can be used both ways. This probably more than compensates for the larger crops secured from

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

the drills. All the plots were sown May 20, and cut October 8, 10 and 11. Four rows one hundred feet long were planted, and the weight per acre computed from the crop obtained from 66 feet of the two centre rows in each case.

INDIAN CORN—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	When Tasselled.	In Silk.	Early Milk.	Condition when out.	Weight per Acre grown in Rows.		Weight per Acre grown in Hills.	
						Tons.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.
1	Compton's Early.....	Aug. 18..	Aug. 24..	Sept. 20..	Roasting ear..	19	1,840	15	360
2	Superior Fodder.....	Sept. 4..	Sept. 20..	Ears formed..	18	88	15	800
3	Salzer's All Gold.	" 10..	" 28..	In silk.....	16	1,440	15	1,240
4	Mammoth Cuban.....	" 12..	" 30..	"	16	560	10	1,240
5	Eureka.....	Aug. 23..	" 14..	Oct. 6..	Early milk....	14	600	15	800
6	Angel of Midnight.....	" 24..	" 10..	Sept. 30..	"	14	490	11	1,800
7	Giant Prolific Ensilage.....	Sept. 1..	" 20..	Oct. 6..	"	13	1,500	14	1,480
8	Red Cob Ensilage.....	Aug. 23..	" 1..	Sept. 20..	Late milk.....	13	1,500	13	620
9	Early Butler.....	" 24..	" 5..	" 30..	Early milk....	13	400	11	110
10	Cloud's Early Yellow	" 18..	" 7..	" 24..	Late milk.....	12	240	13	180
11	Champion White Pearl.....	Sept. 4..	" 20..	Oct. 1..	Early milk....	11	1,540	13	400
12	Pride of the North.....	" 1..	" 14..	" 8..	"	11	1,430	12	90
13	White Cap Yellow Dent.....	" 1..	" 15..	Sept. 30..	"	10	1,560	11	1,980
14	Longfellow.....	Aug. 18..	" 3..	" 20..	Late milk.....	10	1,120	9	1,800
15	King Philip.....	" 16..	" 14..	Oct. 8..	Early milk....	10	20	9	700
16	Selected Leaming.....	" 28..	" 22..	" 1..	"	9	1,800	10	240
17	Early Mastodon.....	Sept. 4..	Oct. 1..	In silk.....	9	1,690	8	1,820
18	North Dakota White.....	Aug. 26..	Sept. 12..	Oct. 8..	Early milk....	7	300	8	1,380
19	Evergreen Sugar.....	Sept. 6..	" 20..	" 1..	"	5	670	5	10

EXPERIMENTS WITH TURNIPS.

Twenty-five varieties of turnips were tested this year. The land was a sandy loam which had given a crop of wheat in 1902, and was seeded with clover with the wheat, top dressed with about 12 tons of barnyard manure per acre in the winter of 1902 and 1903. The clover, which was a fine stand, was mown twice in 1903, and a fine aftermath turned under in November of 1903. It was disked and harrowed, and given another light dressing of stable manure in early spring. This was well worked into the soil with disk and drag, and the land was in good condition when the seed was sown. Two sowings of each sort were made, the first May 13, and the second May 27. Had the season been a normal one there would doubtless have been a heavy yield. All were sown on the flat in drills, four rows of 100 feet length, 30 inches apart, were sown in each test, and the yield per acre computed from 66 feet of the two centre rows. All were harvested October 24.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

TURNIPS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	1st Plot Sown.	2nd Plot Sown.	1st Plot Pulled.	2nd Plot Pulled.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
						1st Plot.	2nd Plot.	1st Plot.	2nd Plot.	1st Plot.	2nd Plot.	1st Plot.	2nd Plot.
						Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Carter's Elephant	May 13	May 27	Oct. 24	Oct. 24	40	685	1,344	45	31	205	1,036	45
2	Halewood's Bronze Top...					39	870	1,314	30	32	1,670	1,094	30
3	Elephant's Master					38	1,550	1,292	30	34	610	1,144	..
4	Bangholm Selected.....					37	1,900	1,265	..	35	600	1,176	40
5	East Lothian.....					34	1,940	1,165	40	40	520	1,342	..
6	Prize Purple Top.....					34	1,305	1,155	05	29	1,940	1,166	40
7	Perfection Swede.....					34	1,140	1,152	20	31	1,360	1,056	..
8	Skirvings.....					30	390	1,006	30	33	1,220	1,287	..
9	Magnum Bonum					28	1,750	962	30	31	40	1,036	45
10	Drummond Purple Top...					28	100	935	..	23	860	781	..
11	Kangaroo					26	470	874	30	25	490	841	30
12	Good Luck.....					26	305	871	45	24	1,005	816	45
13	Selected Purple Top.....					25	1,480	858	..	23	1,190	786	30
14	Imperial Swede.....					25	1,315	855	15	25	820	817	..
15	Hall's Westbury					25	820	847	..	21	900	715	..
16	Jumbo.....					24	1,005	816	45	20	790	679	50
17	Improved Elephant.....					24	510	808	30	21	900	715	..
18	Mammoth Clyde					23	1,190	786	30	22	880	748	..
19	Queen.....					23	200	770	..	21	1,890	731	30
20	Emperor Swede					22	1,870	761	30	31	1,535	1,058	45
21	Empress					22	1,375	756	15	24	1,170	819	30
22	Sutton's Champion					22	880	748	..	31	1,360	1,056	..
23	Hartley's Bronze					22	220	737	..	21	1,230	720	30
24	Bronze Globe					20	425	673	45	24	1,830	830	30
25	New Century					19	115	635	15	18	1,620	627	..

EXPERIMENTS WITH CARROTS.

Ten varieties of carrots were included in the test this year. As in the other root tests, two separate sowings were made of each variety. The first sowing was made April 25, and the second two weeks later, on May 9. As in previous years, the intermediate or Vosges sorts yield better than the long sorts, and are much easier and consequently cheaper to harvest, and less liable to be broken in handling. Four rows of each sort, each 100 feet long, were sown, and the yield per acre computed from the yield of 66 feet of the two centre rows. These test plots were alongside of the mangels and the soil conditions were the same. The drills were 30 inches apart. All were harvested October 24.

CARROTS—TEST OF VARIETIES

Number.	Name of Variety.	1st Plot Sown.	2nd Plot Sown.	1st Plot Pulled.	2nd Plot Pulled.	Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.		Yield per Acre.	
						1st Plot.	2nd Plot.	1st Plot.	2nd Plot.	1st Plot.	2nd Plot.	1st Plot.	2nd Plot.
						Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Giant White Vosges	April 25	May 9	Oct. 24	Oct. 24	21	1,232	720	32	19	610	643	39
2	Carter's Orange Giant...	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	17	650	577	30	9	975	316	15
3	Mammoth White Intermediate	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	13	520	442	..	13	400	440	..
4	Ontario Champion	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	13	355	439	15	11	1,760	396	..
5	Early Gem	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	13	460	440	..	12	585	409	45
6	Long Yellow Stump Rooted	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	12	1,080	418	..	11	440	374	..
7	White Belgian	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	11	1,760	396	..	10	1,780	363	..
8	New White Intermediate	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	11	770	379	30	10	955	349	15
9	Improved Short White...	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	9	1,460	324	20	11	605	376	45
10	Half Long Chantenay...	" 25	" 9	" 24	" 24	8	1,820	297	..	8	5	266	45

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTS WITH MANGELS.

Eighteen varieties of mangels were tested this season. Two sowings of each sort were made, the first sown April 25, and the second May 9. Four rows of 100 feet long, 30 inches apart were sown at each sowing of each variety, and the weight of the yield computed from 66 feet of the two centre rows in each case. The land was prepared as in the turnip test, and was of the same character. The seed did not germinate evenly and the stand was very irregular, making a light yield per acre. The stand was lighter in the early sown plants than in the second series, but the roots were larger and better grown. All were pulled October 22.

MANGELS—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	1st Plot Sown.		2nd Plot Sown.		1st Plot Pulled.		2nd Plot Pulled.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.		Yield per Acre. 1st Plot.		Yield per Acre. 2nd Plot.	
										Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.	Tons.	Lbs.	Bush.	Lbs.
1	Giant Yellow Globe.....	April 25	May 9	Oct. 22	Oct. 22	30	984	1,016	24	16	473	541	12	16	473	541	12
2	Yellow Intermediate.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	27	912	915	12	9	489	308	..	9	489	308	..
3	Mammoth Long Red....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	27	648	916	48	16	1,264	554	24	16	1,264	554	24
4	Triumph Yellow Globe...	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	24	1,104	818	24	10	1,658	360	53	13	1,192	453	12
5	Giant Sugar Mangel....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	21	240	704	..	13	1,984	466	34	13	1,984	466	34
6	Perfection.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	19	143	635	48	13	1,060	451	..	13	1,060	451	..
7	Half Long Sugar White...	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	19	16	633	36	13	1,204	653	24	13	1,204	653	24
8	Prize Winner Yellow Globe.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	18	1,356	622	36	13	4	433	24	13	4	433	24
9	Selected Yellow Globe...	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	18	696	611	36	13	928	448	48	13	928	448	48
10	Mammoth Yellow Intermediate.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	17	1,904	598	24	13	1,984	466	24	13	1,984	466	24
11	Lion Yellow Intermediate.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	16	826	547	16	14	248	471	48	14	248	471	48
12	Prize Mammoth Long Red.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	15	96	470	48	12	1,872	431	12	12	1,872	431	12
13	Gate Post.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	14	248	466	24	11	1,760	396	..	11	1,760	396	..
14	Leviathan Long Red....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	13	268	437	48	7	1,576	259	36	7	1,576	259	36
15	Giant Yellow Intermediate.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	12	1,344	422	24	13	796	446	36	13	796	446	36
16	Selected Mammoth Long Red.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	12	288	404	48	8	1,160	286	..	8	1,160	286	..
17	Golden Giant.....	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22	10	1,272	354	32								
18	Half Long Sugar Rosy...	" 25	" 9	" 22	" 22												

EXPERIMENTS WITH SUGAR BEETS.

Eight varieties of sugar beet seed were sown alongside the mangels. The soil was of the same nature and the preparation was the same. Two sowings of each sort were made, but the seed did not germinate sufficiently in any of the plots to admit of any estimate as to their relative productiveness.

POTATOES.

Forty-six varieties of potatoes were tested this year. The land was sandy loam which had been heavily dressed with barn-yard manure in the spring of 1903 and sown to pease. It was fall-ploughed last fall and harrowed every few days from early in the spring until May 12, when the potatoes were planted. They were planted in drills thirty inches apart and the sets one foot apart in the drill. They were har-

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

rowed three times before they were well up, which with the harrowing given the ground before planting, left the land pretty clean and cultivation with the horse hoe and two sprayings with Bordeaux mixture, one on July 8, the other three weeks later, was all the treatment given until they were dug. The yields in most cases are very fair and the quality is excellent. There was no rot in any of the varieties. Four rows of one hundred feet each were planted, and the yield calculated from the weight obtained from sixty-six feet of the two centre rows. The seed used was in each case medium sized, smooth potatoes cut in two strong eyes in each set. All were dug September 20 and 21.

POTATOES—TEST OF VARIETIES.

Number.	Name of Variety.	Total Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre of Marketable.	Yield per Acre of Unmarket- able.	Form and Colour.
		Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	
1	Enormous.....	572 ..	486 12	85 48	Long, white.
2	Uncle Sam	528 ..	475 20	52 40	Round, white.
3	Daniel's Sensation	519 12	441 20	77 52	Oval, white.
4	Rose No. 9.....	492 48	443 ..	49 48	Long, rose.
5	Rawdon Rose	479 36	408 ..	71 36	"
6	Sabeau's Elephant.....	471 08	376 08	95 ..	Long, flat, white.
7	Holborn Abundance.....	457 36	411 57	45 45	Round, white.
8	Country Gentleman.....	456 30	366 30	90 ..	Long, pink and white.
9	I.X.L.....	453 12	371 42	81 30	Long, flat, pink.
10	Seedling No. 7.....	448 48	399 18	49 30	Long, red.
11	Empire State.....	440 ..	352 ..	88 ..	Long, pink and white.
12	Rochester Rose.....	435 36	348 ..	87 36	Long, rose.
13	Cambridge Russet	435 36	345 ..	90 36	Oblong, russet.
14	Prolific Rose	431 12	323 12	108 ..	Oblong, rose.
15	Clay Rose	426 48	341 18	85 30	"
16	General Gordon	422 24	336 54	84 30	Oval, pink.
17	Reeves' Rose.....	422 24	340 48	81 36	Long, rose.
18	Early St. George.....	419 40	358 04	61 36	Long, white.
19	Pearce.....	415 16	334 16	81 ..	Long, pink and white.
20	Swiss Snowflake	409 12	328 ..	81 12	Long, white.
21	Vick's Extra Early.....	404 48	325 18	79 30	Round, pale rose.
22	State of Maine	402 36	301 36	101 ..	Long, pink.
23	American Wonder	396 20	317 ..	79 20	Long, flat, white.
24	Late Puritan.....	393 48	324 ..	78 48	Long, white.
25	Early Rose	391 36	274 08	117 28	Oblong, rose.
26	Sutton's Invincible.....	390 30	292 54	97 36	Long, white.
27	New California.....	389 ..	311 ..	78 ..	Round, white.
28	Dreer's Standard	378 24	255 54	113 30	Oval, white.
29	Penn Manor	376 12	319 42	56 30	Long, red.
30	Blue Beauty.....	366 36	275 ..	91 36	Oval, blue
31	Everett	361 8	316 ..	45 08	Oblong, red.
32	Carman No. 1.	356 44	285 20	71 24	Round, white.
33	Sutton's Supreme.....	334 24	267 24	67 ..	Long, white.
34	Delaware.....	325 36	244 06	81 30	Round, white.
35	Burnaby Seedling	320 52	272 52	48 ..	Long, rose.
36	American Giant.....	312 24	250 ..	62 24	Long, white.
37	Canadian Beauty	308 00	246 ..	62 ..	Long, flat, pink.
38	Bovee	305 48	244 18	61 30	Long, rose.
39	Early Andes	290 24	217 54	72 30	Round, rose.
40	Carman No. 3.	288 12	201 42	86 30	Oblong, white.
41	Irish Cobbler.....	270 36	216 36	54 ..	Round, white.
42	Maule's Thoroughbred.....	237 36	142 36	95 ..	Long, rose.
43	Early Envoy	226 36	156 56	69 40	Long, pink and white.
44	Moneymaker	215 36	167 36	48 36	Long, white.
45	Early White Prize.....	206 48	155 18	51 30	Oblong, white.
46	Pingree.....	176 ..	106 ..	70 ..	"



CATTLE IN PASTURE, EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.

[By Frank T. Shutt.]

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

CUT VERSUS WHOLE SEED POTATOES.

A test was made as to the relative merit and cost of large and medium small cut seed and medium sized whole sets. The plots were arranged as in the uniform test plots, drills thirty inches apart and in the case of the cut sets one foot apart in the drills, and in the whole sets they were eighteen inches apart in the drill.

Two plantings were made in each case, the first April 12, and the second April 25.

Plots 1 and 2A.—The seed was cut from large potatoes and the sets were fairly large and each had not less than three eyes, and weighed on an average about 1 ounce each.

Plots 1 and 2B.—The seed was cut from smooth even average sized potatoes and the sets were cut to two eyes each, and would average about $\frac{3}{4}$ oz. each.

Plots 1 and 2C.—The sets were whole, smooth, even-sized potatoes, averaging from $2\frac{1}{2}$ to $3\frac{1}{2}$ oz. each.

All were dug September 21, at which time the tops were ripened and dead.

Name of Variety.	Planted.	Total Yield per Acre.	Yield per Acre of Marketable.	Yield per Acre of Unmarket- able.	Weight of Seed per Acre.
		Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Bush. Lbs.	Lbs.
Rose No. 9, plot 1 A; cut seed, large sets ..	April 18..	620 18	474 ..	146 18	1,090
" " 2 A " " ..	" 25..	572 ..	436 ..	136 ..	1,040
" " 1 B; cut seed, 2 eyes to sets ..	" 18..	591 16	510 16	81 ..	780
" " 2 B " " ..	" 25..	545 36	451 36	94 ..	760
" " 1 C; large, whole sets.....	" 18..	786 24	600 ..	186 24	2,100
" " 2 C " " ..	" 25..	673 ..	519 ..	154 ..	2,048

SUMMARY OF CROPS.

	Tons.	Lbs.
Hay..	114	1,900
Corn for ensilage and red green..	67	..
Clover in silo	56	..
Turnips..	17	600
Mangels	8	1,000
Carrots	2	..
Oats	7	1,000
Pease	3	800
Wheat	1	500
Barley	2	1,000
Potatoes	4	..

FODDER PLANTS.

The following millets and other fodder plants were tested on plots of one-fortieth of an acre each.

The millets were sown April 22, but as only about ten per cent of the seed germinated, the plots were ploughed and sown with rape :—

Plot 1.—Italian Millet.

Plot 2.—Pearl Millet.

Plot 3.—Hungarian Millet.

Plot 4.—Green California.

Plot 5.—White Round.

Plot 6.—Penicillaria.

HORSE BEANS.

Three plots of horse beans were sown April 25.

Plot 7, sown in drills 21 inches apart; seed did not germinate evenly. Growth poor, stalks about 20 inches long, not well podded. Weight when cut 2 tons 840 lbs.

Plot 8, 28 inches apart in the drill; stalks 24 to 30 inches long; not many pods; weight when cut 2 tons 1,620 lbs.

Plot 9, 35 inches apart in the drill; pods 2 to 2½ inches long; not well filled; weight when cut 2 tons 1,080 lbs.

These plots were badly infested with aphids early in August, which doubtless reduced the yield; cut October 10 to October 31.

Soja Beans.—These make a better growth on our warm sandy soil than the horse bean, and as they branch freely, and have a great deal of foliage, as well as many pods, the cattle, horses, pigs and sheep are very fond of them, and on rich land fairly heavy crops can be raised, but clover can be grown so much more cheaply and more feed per acre can be got from clover, that it does not pay except under exceptional conditions to raise Soja beans, especially as the seed seldom ripens sufficiently to be of use.

Three plots were sown April 25 and harvested October 10, at which time a fair percentage of the pods contained seeds in a nearly matured state.

Plot 10, sown at 21 inches apart in the drill; a fair even stand; well podded and very leafy; pods 1 to 1½ inches long, containing from 1 to 3 seeds each; stalks 24 to 30 inches, and well branched; weight when cut 4 tons 400 lbs.

Plot 11, sown at 28 inches apart in the drill; well podded; very leafy and well branched; pods more matured than where closer together in the drills; weight when cut 4 tons 1,160 lbs.

Plot 12, sown at 35 inches apart in the drills; a fine stand; stalks 30 to 40 inches long; well branched and very leafy; well podded and the pods and seeds more mature than those on the plots where the drills were closer together; weight when cut 4 tons 1,040 lbs. per acre.

CLOVER VERSUS CORN FOR ENSILAGE.

As the weather in June is so often showery, that hay is very difficult to harvest and as clover makes good ensilage, it was thought desirable to compare the crop of an acre of average clover, with an acre of corn. Clover had been seeded in the spring of 1903, and immediately after the wheat crop with which it was grown had been harvested a dressing of about ten tons per acre of barn-yard manure was applied, direct from the stable as fast as it was made, and in spring was harrowed to break up the manure.

The first cutting was made June 20, a bright clear day, and the crop was hauled direct to the barn, weighed and put into the silo. The second crop was cut August 3 and put into the silo. The first cutting weighed 13 tons 273 pounds, second cutting, 12 tons 1,450 pounds, making a yield of 25 tons 1,723 pounds per acre.

One acre of Compton's Early corn, which is one of the best for this locality, planted May 20 and cut October 8, when in roasting ear weighed 19 tons 1,840 pounds, making a difference of nearly six tons per acre in favour of clover. There is a difference in favour of the clover in the cost of production and also in the condition in which the land is left for further cropping.

GARDEN VEGETABLES.

RADISHES.—Sown April 11.

Early Scarlet Turnip. Fit for use, May 8. Crisp, sweet.

Olive-shaped Scarlet. Fit for use, May 12. Crisp.

French Breakfast. Fit for use, May 20. Very good.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

LETTUCE.—Sown April 12.

Big Boston. Fit for use, May 18. Crisp, tender.
 Nonpareil Cabbage. Fit for use, May 20. Fine heads, sweet and crisp.
 Deacon. Fit for use, May 24. Solid, crisp, sweet.
 All the Year Round. Fit for use, May 28. Solid, fine quality.

CARROTS.—Sown April 12.

French Horn. Fit for table, June 9. Very sweet and crisp.
 Half Long Scarlet Nantes. Fit for table, June 20. Fine flavoured.
 Luc Half Long. Fit for table, July 8. Very sweet, crisp.
 Long Scarlet Altringham. Fit for table, July 20. Crisp; sweet; good.

TABLE TURNIPS.—Sown April 10.

Early White Milan. Fit for table, June 10. Very sweet and fine.
 Early Snowball. Fit for table, June 14. Rapid grower, good quality.
 Red Top Strapleaf. Fit for table, June 14. Rapid grower, very mild.
 Hazard's Swede. Fit for table, July 28. Very sweet and fine flavoured.

ONIONS.—Sown April 4.

Extra Early Flat Red. Uniform size; mild, firm, sweet, very good.
 Large Red Wethersfield. A fine cropper, solid, smooth, mild, good.
 Yellow Globe Danvers. Medium size, solid, mild, good.

CABBAGE.—Sown in beds in open ground April 10, and transplanted May 19.

Eureka. Fit for table, July 11. Heads small; solid, crisp, fine flavour. A good header.

Express. Fit for table, July 14. Heads small; medium solid; fine, crisp, sweet.

Extra Early Midsummer Savoy. Fit for table, July 20. Heads soft and open.

New Early Flat Head. Fit for table, July 30. Heads medium size, firm, solid, white, fine flavour.

Charleston Wakefield. Fit for table, July 30. Heads fine size, very solid, white, crisp, good.

Early Winingstadt. Fit for table, August 16. Heads rather open and soft, but quality good.

Green Globe Savoy. Fit for table, September 10. Heads solid, medium size, very sweet, good.

Fielderkraut. Fit for table, September 24. Heads medium size; not solid, but white; crisp, sweet, fine flavour.

Fotter's Drumhead. Fit for table, October. A fine uniform header; solid, crisp, and an excellent winter cabbage.

Quintal Drumhead. Fit for table, October. Heads large, but not firm and solid.

Fotter's Improved Brunswick. Fit for table, October. A regular header. Heads flat, solid, crisp, good, and an excellent keeper.

Danish Ball Head. Fit for table, October. Heads round, solid, medium size; a good keeper and of superior quality.

Marblehead Mammoth. Fit for table, October. Not a sure header; a coarse, strong grower, but not of fine quality for table.

Mammoth Red Rock. Fit for table, October. Heads solid and very dark red, fine, crisp, sweet, very good.

Large German Savoy Drumhead. Fit for table, October. A uniform header; very solid, crisp, sweet, delicate flavour, and a good keeper.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

CAULIFLOWERS.—Sown April 12; transplanted May 19.

Extra Early Selected. Fit for table, July 20. Heads extra fine, large, solid, very white, sweet.

Half Early Paris. Fit for table, July 26. Heads small, compact, crisp, and very good.

Early Snowball. Fit for table, July 30. A uniform header; heads large, firm, very fine, crisp, delicate.

BROCOLI.—Sown April 12 and transplanted May 19.

Extra Early White. Fit for table, August 24. A uniform header; heads large, firm, white, flavour delicate, and good.

BRUSSELS SPROUTS.—Sown April 12 and transplanted May 19.

Dwarf Improved. A fine grower, and well furnished with solid, crisp sprouts.

BEETS.—Sown April 28.

Crimson Globe. Fit for table, July 13. A fair size, crisp, sweet, and very dark red.

Egyptian. Fit for table, July 20. An even, rapid grower of very fine flavour.

Early Blood Turnip. Fit for table, July 20. A crisp, sweet, fine flavoured dark red beet.

Long Smooth Blood Red. Fit for table, September. Very fine quality; sweet, crisp and good; a good keeper.

BEANS.—Planted May 1.

Dwarf Golden Skinless. Ripe, July 13. A dwarf grower; very productive; pods 2½ to 4 inches long; crisp; stringless, and of good quality.

Extra Early Edible Podded. Ripe, July 15. A dwarf grower; productive; pods 4 to 5 inches long; quality good.

Royal Dwarf Kidney. Ripe, July 16. A bushy grower; fairly productive; tender and of pleasant flavour.

Crystal White Wax. Ripe, July 19. A bushy grower; fairly productive; pods 4 to 5 inches long; plump, crisp, and of good flavour.

Fame of Vitry. Ripe, July 20. A strong grower; productive; pods 4 to 6 inches long; crisp, tender, of pleasant flavour, good.

Dwarf Emperor of Russia. Ripe, July 20. A bushy, strong grower; very productive; pods 4 to 5 inches long; crisp, and of very fine flavour.

Dwarf Inexhaustible. Ripe, July 22. Very dwarf; bushy; productive; pods 3 to 5 inches long; crisp, of very pleasant flavour, good.

Dwarf Black Speckled. Ripe, July 24. Dwarf; bushy; productive; pods 4 to 6 inches long; fleshy, crisp, juicy, and of very pleasant flavour.

GARDEN PEASE.—Sown April 4.

Sutton's May Queen. Fit for table, June 18. Pods 2 to 3 inches long; well filled; pease of medium size; good quality; productive.

Alaska. Fit for table, June 18. Vines well podded; pods well filled with pease of fine flavour and quality.

American Wonder. Fit for table, June 20. Vines short, and well furnished with long, well filled pods of sweet, fine-flavoured pease.

Nott's Excelsior. Fit for table, June 22. A fine cropper, and fine-flavoured pease.

Premium Gem. Fit for table, June 24. Vines 2 feet long, and productive; pods long, and well-filled.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

McLean's Advancer. Fit for table, June 30. Vines 24 to 30 inches long; well podded; pease of medium size, and very fine quality.

Gradus. Fit for table, July 2. Vines 30 to 36 inches long, and well furnished with long, well filled pods; pease large, sweet and good.

Heroine. Fit for table, July 4. Vines 20 to 24 inches long; a fine producer; pods long, well filled; pease large, and very superior in quality.

Sutton's Conqueror. Fit for table, July 7. Productive; pods long, well filled with large pease of very fine quality.

Duke of Albany. Fit for table, July 10. Fairly productive; pods long, and well filled with medium large pease of very fine flavour.

Admiral. Fit for table, July 11. Vines long and productive; pease large, tender, and of fine quality.

Rent Payer. Fit for table, July 11. Vines of medium length; pods long, and well filled with large pease of superior flavour.

New Dwarf Telephone. Fit for table, July 15. Vines short, but very productive; pease large, sweet, and of fine flavour.

Stratagem. Fit for table, July 15. Vines short; productive; pods long, and well filled; pease large, very sweet, and of fine quality.

Sutton's Perfection. Fit for table, July 18. Vines 12 to 18 inches long, stout and productive; pease large and fine flavoured.

Sutton's Late Queen. Fit for table, July 20. Vines productive; pods containing 5 to 10 large, sweet peas.

SQUASH.—Planted May 7.

Crookneck. Ripe, August 10. Poor growth, but productive.

Faxon. Ripe, August 10. Growth uneven; productive; squash flat, 6 to 10 inches in diameter; flesh solid, and of good quality.

Boston Marrow. Ripe, August 15. Growth feeble; productive; squash 10 to 15 inches long, 4 to 7 inches in diameter; flesh yellow, rich and sweet.

Hardshell Marrow. Ripe, August 15. Growth medium; productive; squash from 9 to 15 inches in length, 5 to 7 inches in diameter; flesh orange; thick, good; very fine quality.

Chicago Orange Marrow. Ripe, September 4. Growth vigorous; productive; squash oval, 10 inches by 8; flesh thick, rich, sweet, good.

Fordhook. Ripe, September 8. Growth feeble; productive; squash 6 to 10 inches in length, 7 to 9 inches in thickest part; flesh orange; very fine quality.

Essex Hybrid. Ripe, September 10. Growth medium; not productive.

Delicata. Ripe, September 10. Growth vigorous; very productive; squash 10 to 12 inches long and 4 to 5 inches in diameter; skin thin, yellow, streaked with dark green; flesh light yellow, firm, thick, of very good quality; a good keeper.

English Vegetable Marrow. Ripe, September 10. Growth medium; productive; squash 10 to 12 inches long, 4 to 6 inches in diameter; flesh pale yellow; quality fair.

Michigan. Ripe, September 15. Growth feeble; productive; squash 6 to 12 inches long, 3 to 4 inches in diameter; colour dark green; flesh solid, of very good quality; similar in size, shape and style of growth to Delicata.

Golden Hubbard. Ripe, September 15. Growth feeble; productive; squash of fair size, and of good quality.

Delicious. Ripe, September 20. Growth fair; productive; squash 5 to 8 inches from stem to blossom, and 4 to 8 inches in diameter; skin dark green; flesh orange thick, solid, of very good quality; a winter squash.

SWEET CORN.—Planted April 20.

Prémo. Fit for table, August 2. Ears 4 to 6 inches long; kernels deep, sweet, and of fine flavour.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

First of All. Fit for table, August 4. Ears 4 to 6 inches long, well filled to tip; corn sweet and finely flavoured.

Cory Sugar. Fit for table, August 4. Productive; ears well filled with deep, large kernels of sweet, rich, full flavoured corn.

SAMPLES DISTRIBUTED.

A large number of sample packages of grain, potatoes, nuts and other tree seeds and scions were distributed to farmers by mail in response to applications received from them. From the reports received it is evident that this work is productive of much good.

Packages of scions and cuttings.. . . .	238
3 lb. samples of potatoes.. . . .	164
3 " oats.. . . .	153
3 " pease.. . . .	120
3 " spring wheat.. . . .	86
3 " barley.. . . .	74
Nut and tree seeds, bulbs, &c.. . . .	599
	<hr/>
	1,444

CORRESPONDENCE.

Letters received, 2,942; letters despatched, 2,772.

APPLES.

The spring was not a good one for fruit, as the weather during the blossoming time was showery and cold, and a light frost during this period caused much of the fruit to fall, but owing to fine, bright weather during the late summer and autumn, the fruit developed well and coloured finely, and the quality has been very good, and the crop of most varieties a medium one. No new sorts have been planted this year, but a good many varieties have fruited for the first time. Only those which were sufficiently matured to describe their quality as well as the outside appearance have been described.

The following is a list of the summer and fall apples fruiting for the first time. While many of these will doubtless prove of little value here, yet there are some that on further test, may prove to be of merit in their season.

1. *Earliest of All*.—Tree a spreading, straggling grower, and not productive. Fruit small, oblate, roundish. Stem short. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow. Skin greenish yellow. Flesh whitish, juicy, firm, sprightly acid, of poor quality. Nothing to recommend it. Ripe last of July.

2. *Thomas Rivers*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stem medium length, cavity deep, and narrow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin clear, bright yellow. Flesh white, coarse, not very juicy, pleasant, mild, sub-acid or nearly sweet. Season August.

3. *September Beauty*.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stem short, cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small and closed. Basin shallow and narrow. Skin greenish yellow, with a bright red cheek and many whitish dots. Flesh yellowish, crisp, not very juicy, a sprightly pleasant acid. Season early August.

4. *Lord Sudely*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit medium to large, oblate conical. Stem short, cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow, deep and

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

furrowed. Skin clear yellow, nearly overspread with bright red, and sprinkled with many gray dots. Flesh yellowish, a little coarse grained, crisp, juicy, mild pleasant sub-acid, slightly vinous, good. Season August.

5. *Domino*.—Tree a strong grower, and an early bearer. Fruit large, conical. Stem of medium length. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx large, closed. Basin deep, narrow and furrowed. Skin pale whitish yellow, with a bright red blush and a few brown dots. Flesh white, crisp, a little coarse grained, juicy, mild, pleasant flavour, sub-acid. Season August.

6. *White Pineating*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit small, round, flattened; stalk long and slender; cavity narrow and deep, calyx small, closed; basin narrow and deep; skin yellow, with a faint blush on sunny side. Flesh crisp, not juicy, mild and of pleasant flavour; not valuable; season August.

7. *Yellow Calville*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, globular; stem short and stout. Cavity moderately deep and wide. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow and narrow. Skin a clear, glossy, yellow with a little pale red on the sunny side. Flesh white, a little coarse, crisp, juicy, of a mild, pleasant flavour, sub-acid. Season August.

8. *Belle du Havre*.—Tree a thrifty grower and an early producer. Fruit above medium size, roundish, conical. Stem long. Cavity wide and deep. Calyx large, closed, Basin wide, deep and corrugated. Skin pale yellow with a bright red cheek and sprinkled with brown dots. Flesh white, crisp, fine-grained, juicy with a pleasant flavour, good. Season August.

9. *Greenup's Pippin*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, globular, with uneven sides. Stem medium in length, slender. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx small, closed, set in a narrow deep basin. Skin clear yellowish green, with a dull red cheek. Flesh white, juicy, sprightly, tender, nearly sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season August.

10. *Early Rivers*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to large, conical. Stem long. Cavity shallow and narrow. Calyx small, closed. Basin, small, shallow and corrugated. Skin greenish yellow. Flesh whitish, a little coarse, granular, juicy, a mild, pleasant flavour, acid, a fine cooking apple. Season August.

11. *Tyra Mosbirne*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, globular, Stem short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep, corrugated. Skin greenish yellow, with streaks and splashes of deep red on the sunny side. Flesh whitish, crisp, juicy, a little coarse and a little granular, a mild pleasant acid. Season August.

12. *Dutch Codlin*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, roundish, ribbed from stem to calyx. Stem long. Calyx large. Basin shallow. Flesh white, coarse, mildly acid. Moderately juicy. A good cooking apple. Season, August.

13. *Barchard's Seedling*. Tree a vigorous grower and productive. Fruit of medium size, oblate, conical. Stalk short. Cavity small. Calyx small, open. Basin narrow and deep. Skin greenish yellow, with stripes and patches of bright red over nearly the whole surface. Flesh white, fine grained, juicy of a mild, pleasant flavour, sub-acid, good. Season, late August.

14. *C. H. R. Starr*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small roundish, oblate. Stalk long. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin yellow, with a bright red cheek. Flesh whitish, juicy, sub-acid, a little coarse, of a mild pleasant flavour. Season, September.

15. *Lady Derby*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to small, oblate. Stem short. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin clear, bright yellow with stripes and splashes of bright red on the sunny side. Flesh yellowish, fine grained, crisp, juicy, acid, and of a pleasant flavour. Season, September.

16. *Bijou*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish oblate. Stem long. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin yellow, nearly overspread with dull red. Flesh yellowish, crisp, moderately juicy, mild, pleasantly acid, with a fine rich flavour. Season, September and October.

17. *Sugar Loaf Pippin*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit below medium size, oblong, conical. Stalk short, often with a fleshy knob at the side. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin clear bright yellow. Flesh yellowish, crisp, juicy, mild, sub-acid or nearly sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season, September.

18. *Grand Sultan*.—Tree a strong, upright, spreading grower. Fruit large, oblong, conical. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep, moderately wide and deeply corrugated. Skin yellow, nearly covered with dull red. Flesh white, a little coarse, fairly juicy and mildly sub-acid. Season, September.

19. *De Moisson*.—Tree a vigorous grower and an early bearer. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep and narrow. Skin greenish yellow, with a dull red cheek. Flesh white, crisp, juicy and pleasantly sub-acid. Season, September.

20. *De Lait*.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit above medium size, oblong, conical. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin pale yellow, with a few stripes and patches of bright red. Flesh white, crisp, juicy, sprightly acid, with a pleasant flavour, good. Season, September.

21. *Oswin*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to large, oblong, oval, stem short, cavity narrow and deep, calyx, large, closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin greenish yellow, with sometimes a faint blush and a few whitish dots. Flesh whitish, crisp, moderately juicy, briskly sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season, September.

22. *Anis Rise (Niemetz)*.—Tree a strong grower and a free producer. Fruit above medium size, globular, somewhat conical. Stem of medium length. Cavity shallow and wide. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep and narrow. Skin pale yellow, with sometimes a few narrow stripes, on sunny side. Flesh white, juicy, crisp, sprightly, tender pleasantly acid, good. Season September.

23. *Bottle Stopper*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit below medium size, oblong, tapering to eye. Stalk short. Cavity wide and shallow. Calyx large, closed. Basin narrow, shallow and corrugated. Skin green, with many white dots. Flesh white, juicy, sprightly, rather corky. Season September. Quality poor.

24. *Scinde Centre*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above middle size, oblong, conical. Stalk short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin whitish yellow, with streaks and spots of bright red over nearly the whole surface. Flesh coarse, white, crisp, juicy, sprightly, pleasantly acid. Season September.

25. *Kieve Reinette*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, oblate, tapering to the eye. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin yellowish white, finely mottled with streaks and patches of red in two shades. Flesh white, a little coarse, moderately juicy, nearly sweet, pleasant. Season September.

26. *White Plikanoff*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, globular, conical. Stem short and slender. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep and narrow. Skin yellowish white, with a few small patches and stripes of bright red). Flesh white, crisp, a little coarse, juicy, mild and pleasantly acid. Season September.

27. *Duchess of Brabant*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large, oblong, conical. Stalk medium. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow and narrow. Skin greenish white, with stripes of dark red on the sunny side, and sprinkled with gray dots. Flesh white, tender, juicy, crisp, with a pleasant, sprightly flavour; a very good cooking apple. Season, September and October.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

28. *Lady Henniker*.—Tree a strong grower and an early producer. Fruit above medium size, roundish, a little conical, ribbed. Stalk short. Cavity wide and deep. Calyx large, open. Basin deep and deeply ribbed. Skin yellow, with a faint red blush, and a few gray dots. Flesh white, tender, moderately coarse, granular, juicy, mild and pleasantly acid. Season October to December.

29. *Lamb Abbey Pearmain*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate, slightly conical. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx moderately open. Basin shallow and flat. Skin yellow, nearly covered with red in two shades. Flesh white, juicy, crisp, sprightly, sub-acid. A splendid apple for sauce or baking. Season October and November.

30. *Jefferson*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit small, round, oblate. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin clear yellow, with a bright red cheek. Flesh whitish, not juicy, mildly acid, not valuable. Season October.

31. *Harvey's Wiltshire Defiance*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate tapering to calyx, irregularly ribbed. Stem of medium length. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow and flat. Skin greenish-yellow with a bronze cheek and many russet dots, and a few small patches of russet. Flesh yellowish, firm, crisp, juicy, sweet. Season October and November.

32. *The Vicar*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, roundish oblate. Stem slender, of medium length. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep, corrugated. Skin yellow with a bright orange blush. Flesh white, moderately juicy, mild and pleasantly acid. Season September and October.

33. *James Grieve*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish, oblate, tapering slightly to the eye. Skin yellowish-white with sometimes a little dull red on sunny side. Flesh white, juicy, tender with a pleasant flavour, nearly sweet. Season October and November.

34. *Prince Lippe*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to small, oblate, conical. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small closed. Basin of medium width and deep. Skin greenish-yellow striped with dull red over nearly the whole surface and sprinkled with small gray dots. Flesh greenish-white, crisp, juicy, fine grained, mild and of pleasant flavour, refreshingly acid, quality good. Season November to January.

35. *Rose*.—Tree a weak grower. Fruit small, flat. Stem slender. Cavity narrow and deep, skin greenish yellow nearly overspread with dull red, with many small whitish dots. Flesh white, not juicy or of fine flavour, nearly sweet. Quality poor. Season, October and November.

36. *Scotch Bridget*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, conical, stalk long, cavity wide and deep, calyx small, closed, basin small and corrugated, skin greenish yellow. Flesh white, soft, juicy and pleasantly acid. A good cooking apple. Season, October and November.

37. *Pioneer*.—Tree a feeble grower. Fruit of medium size, globular, a little flattened, stalk short, cavity wide and shallow, calyx small, closed, basin wide and shallow, skin yellow with a few gray dots. Flesh whitish yellow, juicy, tender, crisp with a pleasant aromatic flavour, sub-acid. Season, October and November.

38. *Schoolmaster*.—Tree a very poor grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish oblate. Stalk medium. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide and shallow, skin greenish yellow with a red blush on the sunny side, and a few gray dots. Flesh, white, juicy, crisp, sprightly with a pleasant flavour. Season, October and November.

39. *Mrs. Barron*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stalk long. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow and narrow. Skin greenish yellow with a dark red cheek. Flesh white, firm, juicy, crisp and sprightly acid; a good cooking apple. Season, October and November.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

40. *Striped Beaufin*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit large, roundish, oblate, heavily ribbed. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin greenish yellow, with a dull red cheek and many gray dots. Flesh yellowish, firm, juicy, mildly acid. Season, October and November.

41. *Queen Caroline*.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit medium to large, oblate, roundish. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep and wide. Skin greenish yellow, with a slight blush and many gray dots. Flesh crisp, juicy, a little coarse, mildly acid. Season, October and November.

42. *Court of Wick*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, conical. Stalk slender. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx large, open. Basin shallow. Skin greenish orange, with many gray dots and a little reddish blush in the sun. Flesh yellow, crisp, juicy, with a rich aromatic flavour, mildly acid. Quality good. Season, October and November.

43. *G. H. Wright*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin of medium width and depth. Skin yellow, with a few russet dots and russet about calyx. Flesh white, tender, granular, not juicy, mildly sub-acid. Season, October and November.

44. *Smith's Seedling*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, oblate. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small. Basin shallow. Skin greenish yellow with a few whitish dots. Flesh white, firm, moderately juicy, a mildly pleasant acid. Season October and November.

45. *Arthur*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to small, oblong, globular. Stalk short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow. Skin golden yellow, with sometimes a bright red blush. Flesh yellowish, granular, juicy, mildly acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season, November.

46. *Duncan*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate conical. Stalk long. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, open. Basin wide and shallow. Skin greenish yellow with a small dull red blush in the sun. Flesh greenish, white, tender, crisp, juicy, mild and pleasantly sub-acid. Season, November and December.

47. *Seaton House*.—Tree a very moderate grower. Fruit large, flat. Stalk short. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin greenish yellow splashed with clear bright red. Flesh white, crisp, moderately juicy, mildly acid. Season, November.

48. *Gibbin's Russet*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, flat. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small. Basin deep and narrow. Skin russet yellow. Flesh juicy, fine grained, mildly acid with a pleasant flavour. Season, November and December.

49. *Peter*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, globular, tapering slightly to eye. Stalk long, slender. Cavity narrow and of medium depth. Calyx small, closed. Basin moderately wide and deep. Skin yellow, nearly entirely overspread with dark and light red. Flesh crisp, juicy, a mild pleasant acid. Season, November and December.

50. *Landsburg Reinette*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large, oblate. Stalk medium. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin orange yellow, with a faint red blush. Flesh yellowish, moderately juicy, tender with a pleasant flavour nearly sweet. Season, November and December.

51. *Walton Abbey Seedling*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit large, roundish, slightly conical. Stem short. Cavity medium in depth. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin yellow, with a dull red blush and a few russet dots and russet about the stalk. Flesh white, fairly juicy, fine grained, tender, mildly sub-acid. Quality good. Season, November and December.

52. *Evagil*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish globular. Stalk long. Cavity wide and deep. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

greenish yellow, with many gray dots. Flesh yellowish, crisp, juicy, mild and pleasantly acid. Season, November and December.

53. *Coos River Beauty*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, oblate, conical, somewhat ribbed. Stalk short. Cavity wide and of medium depth. Calyx medium, open. Basin narrow, deep and corrugated. Skin bright yellow. Flesh white, coarse, not very juicy, mild and pleasantly acid. Season, November and December.

54. *Kingston Black*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep and wide. Skin yellow, nearly overspread with deep red and freely sprinkled with small whitish dots. Flesh white, firm, not juicy, mildly acid. Season, November and December.

55. *Siegfried*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large, oblate, roundish. Stem short. Cavity narrow, funnel-shaped. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin yellow with a dull red cheek. Flesh greenish white, tender, juicy, sprightly with a pleasant flavour. Season, November to January.

56. *Forge*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong, oval. Stalk short. Cavity, narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin deep and corrugated. Skin pale yellow, splashed and mottled with two shades of red. Flesh yellowish white, tender, juicy, pleasantly sub-acid. Season, November and December.

57. *Kronish Rosy*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit small, conical. Stalk medium. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin greenish yellow with a little dull red on sunny side. Flesh yellowish, crisp, juicy, mildly acid, nearly sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season, November to January.

58. *Hornead's Pearmain*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong conical. Stalk short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large. Basin wide and shallow. Skin yellow, with a faint blush on sunny side. Flesh white, tender, crisp, juicy, sub-acid, with a good and pleasant flavour. Season, November.

59. *Bramtot*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, conical. Stem short. Cavity wide and shallow. Calyx large. Basin shallow and flat. Skin yellow, with a bright red cheek. Flesh white, coarse, not juicy, a bitter sweet, suitable for cider. Season, November.

60. *Williams' Russet*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium or below medium in size; oblong, globular, tapering a little to the eye. Stalk short. Cavity wide and deep. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin russet yellow with a pink red cheek. Flesh whitish, tender, juicy, mildly acid, with a pleasant aromatic flavour. Season, November to January.

61. *Betty Gecson*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit large, oblate, ribbed, angular. Stalk short. Cavity wide and deep. Calyx large, open. Basin wide and deep and evenly ribbed. Skin yellow with a small red blush. Flesh whitish, crisp, firm, moderately juicy, of a mild, pleasant acid character. A good cooking apple. Season, November and December.

62. *Siegeude Reinette*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit large, roundish, globular. Stalk short. Cavity shallow and wide. Calyx small, closed. Basin of medium width and deep. Skin greenish russet with a dull red cheek and many whitish dots. Flesh whitish, fine, tender, moderately juicy, with a pleasant aromatic flavour, sub-acid. Season, November and December.

63. *Royal Russet*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, oblate conical. Stalk short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, closed. Basin small. Skin greenish yellow, nearly overspread with a fine russet. Flesh white, crisp, tender, fine grained, with a rich, high flavour, nearly sweet. Season, November to January.

64. *Reinette de Canada*.—Tree a strong grower and an early bearer. Fruit above medium size, conical. Stalk long. Cavity medium deep and wide. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and moderately deep. Skin greenish yellow, with a red cheek and

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

a few yellowish dots. Flesh white, fine grained, juicy, of a brisk, pleasant, acid character. Quality good. Season, November and December.

65. *Pigeon Gris*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed, narrow and shallow. Skin greenish yellow with a fine russet over the surface. Flesh white, fine grained, moderately juicy, with a rich, pleasant flavour; nearly sweet. Season, November to January.

66. *Tom Putt*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to large, globular, conical. Stem medium, and cavity medium in width and depth. Calyx large, open. Basin deep, narrow and corrugated. Skin pale yellow with a small blush in the sun. Flesh white, a little coarse and rather dry; not of fine quality. Season, November and December.

67. *Colville Blanche d'Hiver*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish, conical. Stem short. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide, deep and deeply ribbed. Skin yellow with a faint blush in the sun. Flesh yellowish white, fairly juicy, not of high quality. Season, November to January.

68. *Yellow Arkad*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to large, oblate, conical, heavily ribbed. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx large, open. Basin deep and wide and heavily ribbed. Skin yellow with a mottled red blush and many white dots. Flesh whitish, coarse, juicy, pleasantly sub-acid. Season, November and December.

69. *Svinsovka*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large, conical. Stem short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin shallow and narrow. Skin greenish yellow, with a bright handsome red cheek, and sprinkled with many white dots. Flesh white, a little coarse, juicy, mildly sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. A fine cooking apple. Season, November and December.

70. *Aunt Ginnie*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, conical, irregular, ribbed. Stalk short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx moderately open. Basin deep and narrow. Skin yellow, nearly covered with stripes and patches of light and dark red, with a little russet about the stalk. Flesh greenish white, tender, crisp, fairly juicy, mildly sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season, November and December.

71. *Ringer*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit above medium size, roundish, flattened. Stalk short. Cavity moderately deep and wide. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin greenish yellow, with sometimes a faint blush, and many gray dots. Flesh yellowish, crisp, firm, juicy, pleasantly acid. A very fine cooking apple. Season, November and winter.

72. *Gospatrick*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit below medium size, oblong conical. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx large, closed. Basin narrow and deep. Skin yellow, with a red cheek in the sun. Flesh white, crisp, of fine texture, juicy, mildly sub-acid, with a very pleasant flavour. Season, November and December.

73. *Small's Admirable*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, oblate conical. Stalk long, slender. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and of medium depth. Skin greenish yellow, with russet about the cavity, and sprinkled with russet dots about the eye. Flesh white, juicy, mild, crisp, fine grained, nearly sweet, and of a delicate flavour. Season, November and December.

74. *Gray French Reinette*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, roundish conical. Stem short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and corrugated. Skin greenish russet. Flesh whitish, juicy, with a rich pleasant flavour; sub-acid. Season, November and December.

75. *Jacques Lebel*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, roundish oblate. Stalk short. Cavity small. Calyx small, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin rich yellow, with a dull red cheek, and many gray dots. Flesh white, fine grained, tender, of a mild, pleasant acid character. Season, November and December.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

76. *Cooper's Seedling*.—Tree a feeble grower. Fruit small, roundish globular. Stalk long. Cavity wide and shallow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin greenish yellow, with a purple red cheek and a few gray dots. Flesh white, crisp, moderately juicy, mildly sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season, November and December.

77. *Ornament de Table*.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit below medium size, oblate. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx large, partly open. Basin wide and flat. Skin yellow, with sometimes a red cheek. Flesh yellowish, juicy, tender, mildly sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season, December.

78. *Lord Hindlip*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit small, globular. Stalk medium. Cavity wide and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin pale yellow, nearly covered with russet and sprinkled with gray dots. Flesh yellowish, tender, juicy, nearly sweet, with a fine aromatic flavour. Season, November to January.

79. *Muscat Reinette*.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit small, roundish conical. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx small, closed. Basin small. Skin yellow, striped with red. Flesh yellowish, fine-grained, juicy, rich and aromatic, mildly sub-acid. Season, November and December.

80. *Calville Grand Duke Frederic de Bade*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, roundish globular, somewhat ribbed. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and deep. Skin greenish yellow, with stripes of pale red on sunny side. Flesh yellowish, coarse, tender, of pleasant flavour; of a mild, sprightly, acid character. Season, November, December and January.

81. *Reinette de Dippedalle*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong, globular, slightly tapering to the eye. Stalk short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, open. Basin wide, deep and deeply ribbed. Skin golden yellow, with a small blush in the sun and many gray dots. Flesh white, firm, not very juicy, slightly aromatic, nearly sweet. Season, November and December.

82. *Carter*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit small, roundish, oblate. Stalk short. Cavity wide and shallow. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and flat. Skin yellow, with a few small stripes of dull red. Flesh tender, juicy, sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season, November to January.

83. *Nonsuch*.—Tree a strong, spreading grower. Fruit medium to large, roundish. Stem short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, open. Basin wide and deep. Skin greenish yellow with a dull red cheek. Flesh white, soft, moderately juicy and pleasantly acid. Season, November and December.

84. *Fiessers Erstling*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, conical. Stalk short. Cavity deep and narrow. Calyx large, closed. Basin narrow, deep and corrugated. Skin yellow with a deep red cheek. Flesh white, juicy, vinous, sub-acid. Season, November and December.

85. *Reinette de Middlebourg*.—Tree a strong, upright grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong, tapering a little to the eye. Stalk short. Cavity narrow and deep. Calyx small, closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin greenish yellow, with many gray dots, and a small blush on sunny side. Flesh white, fine-grained, tender, crisp, juicy, of a mild, pleasant acid character. Season, December to January.

86. *Hoover*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish. Stalk long, cavity deep. Calyx large, open. Basin furrowed. Skin yellow, striped with light and dark red, with a little russet about stem. Flesh yellowish, firm, fine-grained, juicy, sub-acid, with a fine flavour. Season, November and December.

87. *Green Crimean*.—Tree a vigorous, spreading grower. Fruit above medium size, conical. Stalk short. Cavity wide and shallow. Calyx large, open. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin yellow, mottled with stripes and patches of dull red, with russet about the stalk. Flesh yellowish, a little coarse, juicy, sprightly and mildly acid. A good cooking apple. Season, November and December.

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

88. *Scarlet Nonpareil*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit small, oblate, flattened. Stalk medium. Cavity deep and wide. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide, shallow. Skin yellow, with a red cheek, and a few whitish dots. Flesh yellowish white, firm, juicy, mildly sub-acid. Season, November and December.

89. *Egremont Russet*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish oblate. Stem short. Cavity narrow and shallow. Calyx large, closed. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin clear golden yellow, with a little russet in basin. Flesh white, firm, juicy, fine grained, rich, sugary, with a pleasant vinous flavour. Season, December.

90. *Rymer*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish oblate. Stalk short. Cavity small. Calyx large, closed. Basin wide and shallow. Skin glossy yellow, with a bright blush. Flesh yellowish, juicy, firm, crisp, sub-acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season, December.

Many of the apples above described as in season during November and December, while fit for the table at that time, are evidently good keepers, and some will no doubt prove valuable as late varieties on further test.

Many of the trees planted in the older orchards having fruited for a number of years, and their relative value pretty well tested, have been removed. In some cases the varieties were poor in quality, in others the trees were unthrifty or unproductive, but as the main object in planting trees on the Experimental Farm is to test their suitability and value for our climate and conditions, a few years' trial after a tree begins fruiting determines its quality and relative usefulness, when, if it is found inferior or lacking in any of the qualities which characterize a first-class fruit, it is removed to make way for other more desirable or untried sorts. A partial list of those which have been removed is appended.

American Pippin.
American Summer Pearmain.
Anis.
Anisovka.
Antonovka.
Aport Grell.
Aport (252).
Aport (23).
Arabka (257).
Arabka.
Arabka Winter.
Arabskoe.
Arkad Solovieff.
Arkansas Beauty.
Autumn Strawberry.
Avenarius.
Bailey Sweet.
Baraboo.
Basil the Great.
Baxter.
Ben Davis.
Bismarck.
Bombshell.
Bottle Greening.
Bradford's Best.
Cabashea.
Canada Baldwin.
Carolina Red June.
Carthouse.
Chenango Strawberry.
Colvert.
Danvers Winter Sweet.
Dickinson.
Dutch Mignonne.
Dwyer.
Early Harvest.

Early May.
Early Ripe.
Excelsior.
Fairmount.
Fallawater.
Fall Jenetting.
Fall Orange.
Fall Wine.
Fameuse.
Fraser River Beauty.
Gideon.
Gideons (No. 20).
Gipsy Girl.
Gracie.
Grandmother.
Green Stripe.
Green Harvest.
Haas.
Hastings.
Hawley.
Hominy.
Isham Sweet.
Jacob Sweet.
Kantil Sinap.
Kara Sinap.
Keswick Codlin.
Lanes Sweet.
Large Anis.
Long Arcade.
Lowell.
Magog Red Streak.
Manks Codlin.
Margil.
Mayne Island.
McMabon White.
Melonen.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Milden.
 Naliv Ansjutin.
 Newton.
 No. 181 Budd.
 Onondaigua.
 Orel No. 1.
 Orel No. 5.
 Orel No. 6.
 Ostrakoff (472) Beadle.
 Paperovka.
 Parson Sweet.
 Persian Bogdanoff.
 Pewaukee.
 Plodovitka Koslov.
 Plums Cider.
 Pointed Pipka.
 Queen Olga.
 Red Astrachan.
 Red Bietigheimer.
 Red Juneating.
 Red Queen.
 Red Streak.
 Rolfe.
 Romenskoe.
 Rosy Repka.
 Rosy Voronesh.
 Royal Table.
 Russian Preserve.
 Russet Henrys.
 Russet Pewaukee.
 Russian Tyrol.
 St. Lawrence.

Scott's Winter.
 Shannon.
 Silken Leaf.
 Simbirsk No. 4.
 Simbirsk No. 5.
 Skirsch.
 Smokehouse.
 Sommitelnoe.
 Striped Anis.
 Summer Queen.
 Summer Red Streak.
 Sweet Bough.
 Taffets Winter.
 Talman Sweet.
 Tetofsky.
 Titovko Solovieff.
 Trenton.
 Twenty Ounce.
 Ukraine.
 Utter's Large Red.
 Volga Anis.
 Walbridge.
 Warner's King.
 Washington.
 Waxed.
 Waxy, Juicy.
 Wellington.
 Western Beauty.
 White Cardinal.
 Winter St. Lawrence.
 Yellow Ingestre.
 York Imperial.

PEARS.

The trees are vigorous and healthy, but they have borne very little fruit at Agassiz. They were full of bloom in spring and looked very promising for a crop, but the April frost caught them just when the fruit was setting, and very few varieties bore any fruit. The following sorts, which have been reported on in previous reports, gave a small crop again this year; Beurre Bose, Bartlett, Emile d'Héyst, La France, Dr. Jules Guyot and the Keiffer. These were the only old trees which bore fruit. The following sorts fruited for the first time this year:—

1. *Elliott's Early*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit below medium size, obtuse, pyriform. Stalk $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch long. Cavity shallow. Calyx small, open. Basin shallow. Skin yellow, with a clear red cheek and many gray dots and a little russet about stalk. Flesh, juicy, sweet, tender, somewhat granular; not high flavoured. Season, last of July.

2. *Saint Michael Archangel*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit above medium size, oblong, pyriform. Stalk stout. Cavity small. Calyx medium, open. Basin shallow and corrugated. Skin pale yellow, splashed with russet and sprinkled with greenish dots. Flesh white, juicy, sweet, tender, aromatic. Season, October and November.

3. *Beurre Spae*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, roundish, pyriform. Stalk of medium length and fleshy at junction. Calyx small and open. Skin yellow, with a little russet, and sprinkled with gray dots. Flesh yellowish, melting, very juicy, sweet, perfumed. Season, October and November.

4. *Daimyo*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, ovate, pyriform. Stalk long, slender and fleshy at junction. Calyx small, open. Basin narrow, deep. Skin yellowish green, with a little russet, and a few russet dots. Flesh coarse, juicy, firm. A cooking pear. Season, November.

5. *Goat-herd*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, acute pyriform. Stem one inch long, no cavity. Calyx large, open. Basin narrow and shallow. Skin greenish russet with a dull red cheek and many gray dots. Flesh white, juicy, buttery, sweet. Season, September.

6. *Charneau*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit small, long, acute pyriform. Stalk one inch long, curved. Calyx small, open. Basin shallow and narrow. Skin russet with a bronze reddish cheek. Flesh yellowish, juicy, melting, sweet. Season, September and October.

7. *Marum Flask*.—Tree a poor grower. Fruit large, oblong, pyriform. Stalk $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch long, set inclined and with a fleshy knob. Calyx large, open, no basin. Skin yellow. Flesh white, sweet, pleasant, moderately juicy. Season, October.

8. *Lincoln of Illinois*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit above medium size, oblong, pyriform. Stalk long. Calyx open. Skin yellowish green. Flesh yellowish, juicy, almost sweet. Season, October.

9. *Prince Imperial*.—Tree a moderate grower. Fruit of medium size, obtuse pyriform. Stalk short, stout. Calyx small, open. Basin wide and deep. Skin clear yellow with small patches of russet and many gray dots. Flesh yellowish, juicy, buttery, sweet, very good. Season, October.

PLUMS.

The plums, like the pears, suffered from the cold rains in April and from the frost. Very few of the older trees bore fruit this year. Many of the trees of the orchard planted in the spring of 1890 have been removed. Some of them were unproductive, some very subject to rot, and others too small or poor in quality.

The following varieties are new to this country, and have fruited for the first time:—

1. *Bonne de Bry*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit below medium size, globular, with a shallow suture, terminating in a slight depression. Skin dark purple, with a heavy whitish blue bloom. Flesh greenish, juicy, sweet, tender. Stone very small and free. Very fine for canning. Season, last of July.

2. *St. Etienne*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit below medium size, globular. Stalk $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch long. Suture well defined, ending in a small basin, one side enlarged. Skin bright orange, with a whitish bloom and a crimson blush. Flesh yellow, firm, juicy, sweet, with a fine flavour. Stone small, free. Season, last of July.

3. *Reine Claude d'Althaus*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit very small, round. Stem, $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch long, set in a small depression. Skin bright clear red, with a whitish bloom. Flesh yellowish, fine grained, not juicy. Stone small, cling. Not valuable. Season, early August.

4. *Climax*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, obtuse, heart-shaped. Stalk short. Cavity small. Calyx well marked. Skin deep red, sprinkled with small golden dots. Flesh yellowish, sweet, juicy, fine grained, with a pleasant flavour. Season, first of August.

5. *Yellow Imperatrice*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit above medium size, roundish oval, with a distinct suture. Skin clear golden yellow, with a little red in streaks about stalks. Flesh yellowish, juicy, sweet, tender, with a very fine flavour. Cling stone. Season, early August.

6. *Reine Claude Davion*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small to medium, roundish oval. Stalk short. Suture well marked. Skin dull greenish yellow, with a few reddish dots, and spots on sunny side. Flesh yellowish, fine grained, juicy, sweet, with a fine rich flavour. Stone small, cling. Season, August.

7. *Prince of Wales*.—Tree a strong upright grower. Fruit large medium, oval shape. Stalk $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch long, and set in a slight depression, with a well defined suture.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

Skin bright reddish purple, with many yellow dots. Flesh yellowish, juicy, firm, sprightly. Cling stone. Season, August.

8. *Mirabelle Grosse*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit below medium size. Round, smooth, yellow, with a few crimson dots. Flesh yellow, juicy, sugary, with a very fine flavour. Stone small, free. Season, August.

9. *Early Red*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit below medium to small, oval. Stalk short set in a small cavity, and a well defined suture ending in a slight depression. Skin dull red with a thin whitish bloom, and sprinkled with golden dots. Flesh yellowish, juicy, a little coarse, with a pleasant flavour. Season, September.

10. *Autumn Compote*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit medium to large oblong oval, with one side enlarged. Stalk long, and set in a small cavity. Skin pale dull yellow, with a thin whitish bloom. Flesh yellowish, a little coarse, juicy, sprightly, with a pleasant flavour. Season, September.

11. *Giant*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oblong, with a neck. Stalk short. Suture distinct, and one side enlarged. Skin red, with a whitish bloom. Flesh yellowish, juicy, fine-grained, tender, sweet. Stone small, nearly free. Season September.

12. *White Bullace*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit small, round. Stem short. Skin yellowish white, mottled with red in the sun. Flesh firm, juicy and sweet. A cling stone. Season last of September.

13. *Cheshire Damson*.—Tree a vigorous grower. Fruit small, round. Stalk short. Skin dark purple, covered with a thick bluish bloom. Flesh greenish, firm, juicy, sprightly and pleasant. Season last of September.

CHERRIES.

The cherry trees were full of bloom early in April and some of the sweet cherries set a fair crop, but the continued showery weather in May and June prevented effective spraying, and the rot was severe, and the showers and sunshine during the time of ripening caused much of the sound fruit to split. So severe was this cause of loss that on two trees which were fairly well loaded with ripening fruit, not more than five per cent were sound, this by count of the fruit on several well loaded branches.

The following sorts fruited for the first time this year:—

1. *Kentish*.—Tree a slow grower. Fruit large, flattened at top and bottom. Stalk short. Skin clear deep shiny red. Flesh yellowish white, juicy, sub-acid, and when allowed to hang on the tree until very ripe has a rich pleasant flavour mildly acid. Season last of June.

2. *Grosse Griotte du Vin*.—Tree a healthy grower. Fruit of medium size, roundish, much flattened. Stalk long. Skin very dark glossy red. Flesh and juice dark red, juicy, mild, sprightly acid, with a pleasant flavour. Season July.

3. *Bohemian Black Bigarreau*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit large, roundish, heart shaped. Stalk short and stout. Skin glossy black. Flesh black, with dark red juice; firm, juicy, rich, sweet, with a very fine flavour. Season July.

4. *Wragg*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit of medium size, oval. Stalk long, set in a narrow cavity. Skin dark glossy red. Flesh red, with dark red juice; rich, with a pleasant flavour, mildly acid. Stone small. Season July.

5. *Cluster Black Heart*.—Tree a strong grower. Fruit medium to small, heart-shaped. Stalk long. Skin glossy black. Flesh and juice very dark red. Flesh tender, juicy, mild and pleasantly acid. Season July.

6. *Early Juicy*.—Tree a strong grower, but late and unproductive. Fruit of medium size, roundish. Stalk long, slender and set in a slight depression. Skin clear glossy red. Flesh yellowish, tender, juicy, nearly sweet. Season July.

7. *Griotte Acher*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, heart-shaped. Stalk long, set in a narrow basin. Skin dark glossy red. Flesh and juice red. Flesh tender, juicy, nearly sweet, with a pleasant flavour. Season late July.

8. *Guigne Choque*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit above medium size, oblong oval. Skin yellowish-red. Flesh juicy, sweet with a pleasant flavour. Stone large. Season July.

9. *Bigarreau Mongin*.—Tree a medium grower. Fruit of medium size, heart-shaped. Skin clear glossy yellow with a bright red cheek. Stalk long, set in a deep basin. Flesh yellowish-white, tender, juicy, sweet with a pleasant flavour. Season July.

10. *Chatenay*.—Tree a weak and slow grower. Fruit small, heart-shaped. Stalk very long set in a narrow basin. Skin yellowish-red with dark red dots. Flesh whitish, juicy, sprightly, not valuable. Season early August.

PEACHES AND APRICOTS.

There are only a few trees of these fruits left on the Experimental Farm and these bore no fruit. The peach trees now growing here are perhaps too young to bear much, and the apricots bloom too early and have never borne much fruit.

QUINCES.

The only one of these fruits to bear is the Portuguese, which fruited again this year. Three other varieties blossomed but did not bear fruit.

MEDLARS.

All of the medlar trees fruited this year. There is practically no difference in productiveness or quality of the fruit of the different named sorts, and all make a fine rich jelly.

MULBERRIES.

As in former years the mulberry trees were loaded with fruit.

MOUNTAIN ORCHARDS.

The mountain orchards have made a strong healthy growth and have borne some fruit, plums, apples, pears and medlars, but, as in former years, birds and wild animals eat or destroy much of the fruit.

NUT ORCHARDS.

The Japanese walnut as usual bore a heavy crop of nuts, the Japanese and Spanish chestnuts a fair crop, and the English walnut and the American black walnut a few nuts per tree. A great many applications for nuts to plant are being received and many reports of success with nuts from samples of nuts distributed in previous years are received.

SMALL FRUITS.

The crop of small fruits has been fairly good this year, and a few days earlier than last year. The fruit was not quite so large as usual, which was caused by the dry weather. We have now under test seventy-three varieties of Red and Yellow raspberries. These have all been described in previous reports.

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

After several years' trial under similar conditions, the following varieties have proved to be the best.

In quality, Sarah is superior to all the others and equal to any in productiveness, but it is not so firm, or so large as the Cuthbert.

RED AND YELLOW RASPBERRIES.

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Phoenix.....	June 26.	Vigorous...	Large.....	Firm, good quality.....	Productive.
Pauline.....	July 1.	"	"	" " continued long in bearing.	"
New Fastolf.....	" 2.	"	"	Firm, good quality.....	"
Northumberland Fill Basket.	" 2.	"	Very large...	" " continued long in bearing.	"
Duke of Brabant.....	" 3.	"	Large.....	Firm, good quality.....	"
All Summer.....	" 4.	"	Large medium	" " continued long in bearing.	"
Sarah.....	" 5.	"	"	Very good quality.....	"
Lord Beaconsfield	" 7.	"	"	Firm, good quality.....	"
London.....	" 7.	"	"	" "	"
Cuthbert.....	" 8.	"	Large.....	" "	"
R. B. Whyte.....	" 8.	"	Large medium	" "	"
French Vice-President..	" 8.	"	Very large....	" "	"
Golden Queen.....	" 4.	"	Large.....	" "	"
Large Yellow.....	" 6.	"	"	" "	"

BLACK CAP RASPBERRIES.

Nineteen varieties of Black Cap Raspberries are under test. Black Caps require very rich ground. They also require moisture as well as sunshine when the berries are growing and ripening, to ensure a good crop.

The following are the best of those tested here:—

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Nemaha.....	July 8.	Vigorous...	Large.....	Good quality.....	Productive.
Palmer.....	" 8.	"	Large medium	"	"
Older.....	" 10.	"	"	"	"
Kansas.....	" 10.	"	"	"	"
Mammoth Cluster.....	" 10.	"	Large.....	"	"
Grogg.....	" 12.	"	"	"	"
Progress.....	" 12.	"	Medium.....	"	"
Ida.....	" 12.	"	"	"	"

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

BLACKBERRIES.

The blackberries gave a fairly good crop this year, there are twenty-nine varieties under test; the following are the best:—

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Early King.....	July 16.	Vigorous...	Large.....	Good quality.....	Productive.
Snyder.....	" 22.	"	Large medium	"	"
Hansel.....	" 22.	"	Medium.....	"	"
Stone's Hardy.....	" 22.	"	Large.....	Very good quality.....	"
El Dorado.....	" 22.	"	"	The very best quality.....	"
Erie.....	" 24.	"	"	Good quality.....	"
Agawam.....	" 25.	"	Large medium	"	"
Taylor.....	" 25.	"	"	"	"
Taylor's Prolific.....	Aug. 1.	"	"	"	"
Minnewaska.....	" 2.	"	"	"	"

RED AND WHITE CURRANTS.

Of the forty-two varieties under test, the following are the best:—

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
La Fertile.....	July 3.	Vigorous...	Large medium	Good quality.....	Productive.
Pomona.....	" 3.	"	"	"	"
Raby Castle.....	" 3.	"	"	"	"
London.....	" 3.	"	"	"	"
Red Cherry.....	" 3.	"	Large.....	"	"
La Conde.....	" 4.	"	"	"	"
Prince Albert.....	" 4.	"	Large medium	"	"
White Cherry.....	" 7.	"	Large.....	"	"
Large White Brandenburg	" 7.	"	"	"	"
White Pearl.....	" 8.	"	Medium.....	"	"
Victoria.....	" 8.	"	Large medium	"	"

BLACK CURRANTS.

Fifty-one varieties of black currants are under test, of these the following are the best:—

Name.	Date of Ripening.	Growth of Plant.	Size of Fruit.	Quality.	Productiveness.
Dominion.....	July 10.	Vigorous....	Large medium	Good quality.....	Productive.
Middlesex.....	" 10.	"	"	"	"
Merveille de la Gironde..	" 10.	"	"	"	"
Boskoop Giant.....	" 10.	"	Very large....	Very good quality.....	"
Baldwin.....	" 10.	"	Large medium	Good quality.....	"
Prince of Wales.....	" 10.	"	Large.....	"	"
London.....	" 12.	"	Large medium	"	"
Black Naples.....	" 12.	"	Large.....	"	"
Lee's Prolific.....	" 12.	"	Large medium	"	"
Pearce.....	" 12.	"	"	"	"
Pomona.....	" 12.	"	Large.....	"	"
Victoria.....	" 12.	"	Large medium	"	"
Climax.....	" 12.	"	"	"	"

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

GRAPES.

The weather during summer and autumn being dry and warm, the following varieties of grapes ripened, in the order named:—

Jessica.	Martha.
Delaware.	Brighton.
Saunders' Seedling No. 2.	Wilder.
Saunders' Seedling No. 4.	Pocklington.
Moore's Early.	Brilliant.
Moyer.	Canada.
Wyoming Red.	Lady.
Poughkeepsie Red.	Champion.
Worden.	Clinton.
Emerald.	Niagara.

METEOROLOGICAL RECORD.

Date of Highest Temperature.	Temperature.	Date of Lowest Temperature.	Temperature.	Rainfall.	Snowfall.	Sunshine.	
				Inches.	Inches.	Hours.	Minutes.
1903.	•		•				
December 3.....	52	December 11 & 27	31	3·31	11	35	18
1904.							
January 3.....	46	January 18 and 19	15	6·30	4	30	24
February 24.....	48	February 8 and 9.	17	2·86	32	23	..
March 25.....	63	March 21 and 22..	30	5·32	3	73	36
April 14.....	80	April 29.....	30	3·46	139	24
May 22.....	82	May 1 and 31....	35	2·34	176	30
June 20.....	88	June 9.....	37	3·42	181	30
July 22.....	93	July 28.....	43	3·45	225	36
August 4.....	90	August 23.....	41	2·30	176	12
September 16.....	81	September 29.....	36	2·37	172	36
October 17.....	78	October 25.....	36	3·20	68	18
November 3.....	67	Nov. 1, 2, 6 & 28..	35	6·43	31	30
		Totals.	44·76	50	1,333	54

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

THOS. A. SHARPE.

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURE ON THE DOMINION EXPERIMENTAL FARMS, FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1904.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

Live stock.....		\$	306 11
Feed for stock.....	\$	680 55	
Supplies from experimental plots.....		547 11	
Grain screenings from grain distribution.....		116 12	
	\$	1,343 78	
LESS—Supplies to poultry department.....		253 80	
			1,089 98
Veterinary services and drugs.....			205 14
Seeds, grain, trees, &c.....	\$	1,655 72	
LESS—Value of seeds for grain distribution.....	\$	281 52	
" trees " tree ".....		93 37	
" " Arboretum.....		106 15	
			481 04
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....			1,174 68
Drainage and drain tiles.....			1,049 56
Manure and fertilizers for experimental plots and horticultural department.....			151 55
Travelling expenses.....			448 17
Exhibition expenses.....			1,738 81
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....			655 95
Bee department.....			736 57
Wages: farm work, including salaries of officers in charge.....			153 27
Wages: care of stock, including salary of herdsman.....			4,708 79
Horticultural division, including salaries of officers in charge, also forestry \$41.59.....			3,377 40
Poultry division, also salaries of officers in charge.....	\$	2,775 25	4,971 25
Value of grain, &c., supplied by farm.....		253 80	
			3,029 05
Experimental division, including salaries of officers in charge.....	\$	3,872 78	
LESS—Value of material supplied for feed.....		547 11	
" potatoes supplied for seed distribution.....		151 00	
			698 11
Care of hedges, avenues, ornamental trees and grounds.....			3,174 67
Office assistance, including English and French correspondence and messenger service.....			1,316 06
Printing of office supplies and stationery.....			4,505 11
Arboretum.....	\$	1,193 79	1,302 77
Value of trees from Seeds, grain, trees, &c.....		106 15	
			1,299 94
Distribution of trees and tree seeds.....	\$	177 51	
Value of trees from Seeds, grain, trees, &c.....		93 37	
			270 88
Seed testing and care of green-houses.....			1,157 97
Dairy branch, including salary of dairyman.....			849 64
Contingencies.....			134 83
Telegrams and telephones.....			244 92
Steers, purchased for feeding experiments.....			1,792 06
Museum.....			56 83
Books and newspapers.....			193 33
			\$ 40,094 59
LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers, purchased for feeding experiments.....			2,875 26
			\$ 37,219 33

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.—EXPENDITURE, 1903-4.

Live stock.....	\$ 209 12
Feed for stock.....	2,422 03
Veterinary services and drugs.....	65 72
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.....	444 11
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....	387 98
Manure and fertilizers.....	411 86
Travelling expenses.....	316 83
Exhibition expenses.....	279 21
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....	417 03
Salary of Superintendent.....	1,500 00
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops.....	2,628 47
Wages, care of stock.....	1,733 75
Poultry branch.....	99 20
Horticultural division, including experimental work with vegetables, fruits, forest and ornamental trees and flowers; also care of grounds and salary of officer in charge.....	1,601 13
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.....	173 19
Contingencies, including postage, \$149; mail delivery, \$97.50.....	333 85
Printing and stationery.....	56 11
Books and newspapers.....	23 92
Telegrams and telephones.....	54 47
Steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	927 50
	<hr/>
	\$ 14,085 48
Less—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	2,000 16
	<hr/>
	\$ 12,085 32

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MAN.—EXPENDITURE, 1903-4.

Live stock.....	\$ 26 00
Feed for stock.....	18 50
Veterinary services and drugs.....	94 10
Seed grain, trees, seeds, &c.....	40 95
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies.....	1,268 67
Travelling expenses.....	110 49
Exhibition expenses.....	235 45
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs.....	507 10
Bee department.....	71 18
Salary of Superintendent.....	1,500 00
Wages, farm work, including experimental work, with farm crops, &c.....	3,334 28
Wages, care of stock.....	1,013 75
Horticultural branch, including experiments with vegetables, fruits and flowers; also care of Arboretum and grounds.....	710 90
Forestry branch, including care of hedges.....	644 25
Poultry branch.....	95 65
Office help, including delivery of mail, \$148.....	813 20
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.....	640 66
Distribution of trees and tree seeds.....	226 97
Contingencies, including postage, \$263.15; renewal of bridge across small lake on farm, \$350.93; sinking well, \$51.....	683 56
Printing and stationery.....	165 14
Books and newspapers.....	19 25
Telegrams and telephones.....	87 67
Drainage and drain tiles.....	40 50
Manure and fertilizers.....	115 00
Steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	398 30
	<hr/>
	\$ 12,861 52
Less—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments.....	\$ 580 42
Value of grain supplied for seed distribution at Ottawa.....	362 62
	<hr/>
	942 44
	<hr/>
	\$ 11,919 08

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.—EXPENDITURE, 1903-4.

Live stock	\$	242 00	
Feed for stock		58 55	
Veterinary services and drugs		103 95	
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.		90 46	
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies ..		1,398 17	
Travelling expenses		112 66	
Exhibition expenses		105 75	
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs ..		199 80	
Salary of Superintendent		1,500 00	
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops ..		3,724 70	
Wages, care of stock		775 02	
Horticultural branch		420 65	
Poultry branch		115 54	
Forestry branch, including hedges		287 65	
Office help, including delivery of mail		750 00	
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.		271 08	
Distribution of trees and tree seed		120 78	
Contingencies, including postage, \$669.39 ..		715 04	
Printing and stationery		65 91	
Telegrams and telephones		42 15	
Manure and fertilizers		14 27	
Books and newspapers		3 50	
Steers purchased for feeding experiments ..		470 00	
	\$	11,587 63	
LESS—Proceeds of sale of steers purchased for feeding experiments ..	\$	785 00	
Value of grain supplied for grain distribution at Ottawa		1,127 35	
		1,912 35	
	\$	9,675 28	

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.—EXPENDITURE, 1903-4.

Live stock	67 13
Feed for stock	47 58
Veterinary services and drugs ..	4 40
Seed grain, seeds, trees, &c.	99 03
Implements, tools, hardware and supplies ..	177 99
Manure and fertilizers	137 37
Travelling expenses	181 94
Exhibition expenses	254 54
Blacksmithing, harness supplies and repairs ..	151 75
Salary of Superintendent	1,500 00
Wages, farm work, including experimental work with farm crops, vegetables, fruit trees, vines, &c.	3,018 57
Wages, care of stock	549 00
Poultry branch	65 95
Forestry branch, including care of hedges ..	216 00
Office help	130 00
Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.	112 80
Distribution of trees and tree seeds	5 13
Clearing land	544 80
Contingencies, including postage, \$155.19 ..	213 69
Printing and stationery	18 24
Books and newspapers	20 50
Drainage and drain tiles	15 20
Bee supplies	1 00
Telegrams and telephones	1 50

7,534 11

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE, 1903-04.

Central Experimental Farm	\$ 37,219 33
Nappan "	12,085 32
Brandon "	11,919 08
Indian Head "	9,675 28
Agassiz "	7,534 11

*General Expenditure.**

Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c., from Central Experimental Farm	\$ 4,804 42	
Value of seeds from, seeds, grain, trees, &c.	281 52	
" grain from Brandon	\$ 362 02	
" " Indian Head	1,127 35	
" potatoes from Experimental Division C.E.F.	151 00	
	\$1,640 37	
Less—Value of screenings charged feed for stock C.E.F.	116 12	
		1,525 24
Entomological and Botanical Division, including salaries of officers in charge		6,610 19
Chemical Division, including salaries of officers in charge		4,098 81
Salaries general, including—		4,720 22
Director, accountant, director's secretary and assistant accountant.		6,137 51
		99,999 85
Printing bulletins and distribution of bulletins and reports	7,000 00	
Less—Special sum in estimates for this item	7,000 00	
		99,999 85

* These items are put under "General Expenditure" for the reason that they are incurred for general purposes.

SUMMARY OF STOCK, MACHINERY, IMPLEMENTS, &c., ON HAND
DECEMBER 1, 1904.

CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM, OTTAWA, ONT.

17 Horses	\$ 3,415 00
18 Ayrshire cattle	2,035 00
13 Guernsey cattle	1,565 00
14 Durham cattle (Shorthorns)	3,175 00
18 Canadian cattle	950 00
16 Grade cattle	605 00
27 Yorkshire swine	728 00
9 Berkshire swine	340 00
7 Tamworth swine	200 00
70 Grade swine	382 00
28 Shropshire sheep	503 00
12 Leicester sheep	230 00
3 Grade sheep	12 00
Farm machinery and implements	3,071 75
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs	1,079 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries	1,152 15
Harness	588 45
Dairy department, machinery, &c.	488 50
Horticultural and forestry departments, implements, tools, &c.	658 50
Botanical department, implements, tools, &c.	5 00
Poultry department, 222 fowls	353 00
Poultry department, implements, furnishings, &c.	146 35
Bees and apiarian supplies	436 02
Chemical department, apparatus and chemicals	1,875 00
Books in several departments	572 86
Greenhouse plants, supplies, &c.	2,229 50
Furniture at Director's house	1,100 00
Office furniture and stationery	1,676 25
Experimental flour mill and electric motor	4 50
	30,037 33

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, NAPPAN, N.S.

8 Horses.....	\$ 1,085 00
4 Guernsey cattle.....	635 00
7 Holstein cattle.....	370 00
14 Ayrshire cattle.....	855 00
60 Grade cattle.....	1,960 00
4 Yorkshire swine.....	95 00
2 Berkshire swine.....	45 00
64 Grade swine.....	300 00
20 Sheep.....	240 00
77 Fowls.....	50 50
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	10 30
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	416 50
Farm machinery.....	547 50
Farm implements.....	207 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	363 50
Harness.....	213 50
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	129 00
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	85 00
	<u>\$ 7,607 80</u>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, BRANDON, MAN.

13 Horses.....	\$ 1,400 00
3 Ayrshire cattle.....	175 00
7 Durham cattle.....	550 00
3 Guernsey cattle.....	175 00
9 Grade cattle.....	285 00
1 Tamworth pig.....	15 00
1 Berkshire pig.....	15 00
13 Yorkshire swine.....	90 00
8 Grade swine.....	30 00
100 Fowls.....	100 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	131 45
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	415 00
Farm machinery.....	2,136 33
Farm implements.....	728 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	654 05
Harness.....	219 25
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	161 55
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	287 30
	<u>\$ 7,567 93</u>

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, INDIAN HEAD, N.W.T.

12 Horses.....	\$ 1,570 00
35 Durham cattle.....	1,960 00
23 Grade cattle.....	830 00
18 Berkshire swine.....	155 00
19 Tamworth swine.....	151 00
2 Yorkshire White swine.....	40 00
66 Fowls.....	66 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	25 75
Vehicles, including farm wagons and sleighs.....	551 00
Farm machinery.....	2,255 33
Farm implements.....	763 00
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	399 75
Harness.....	182 75
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials.....	217 00
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	367 50
	<u>\$ 9,534 08</u>

4-5 EDWARD VII., A. 1905

EXPERIMENTAL FARM, AGASSIZ, B.C.

6 Horses.....	\$ 650 00
12 Durham cattle.....	1,600 00
17 Dorset horned sheep.....	191 00
14 Berkshire swine	129 00
11 Yorkshire White swine	145 00
74 Fowls	68 00
Bees and apiarian supplies.....	54 75
Vehicles, including farm wagons.....	193 50
Farm machinery.....	643 00
Farm implements.....	104 50
Hand tools, hardware and sundries.....	137 70
Harness.....	116 00
Furniture for reception room and bedroom for visiting officials	151 15
Furniture supplies and books for office.....	124 00
	<hr/>
	\$ 4,307 60

THOS. M. CRAMP, *Accountant.*

INDEX

INDEX

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Agriculturist—Report of the..	43	Agriculturist—Report of the—Con.	
Beef production..	61-70	Horses..	44
" influence of age on cost.	63	Bran feeding experiment..	45
Baby beef..	66	Roots and ensilage for..	47
Clover, experiments with..	96	Labour, value and amount of..	44
Corn, varieties grown for ensilage...	99	Letter of transmittal..	43
Cost to grow 40 acres..	100	Live stock..	43
Crops on 200 acre farm..	75	Horses..	43
Dairy herds, the..	49	Cattle..	43
Monthly statements..	49	Sheep..	44
Financial and general statements...	49-50	Swine..	44
Daily dairy herd records..	53	Financial statements..	77-78
Feeding, summer..	48	Mixed crops....	98
Feeding, cost of..	48	Pork production..	70-74
Feed, amount consumed by..	76	Wintering pigs; outside vs. inside..	70
Dairy herd reports..	49	Rations for young pigs..	71-72
Ayrshires..	51	Stock foods for pork production..	73
Ayrshire grades..	52	Uveco for pigs..	74
Canadians..	51	Large blacks..	74
Canadian grades..	52	Rotation experiment..	78
Guernseys..	51	Rotation A, 5 years..	79
Guernsey grades..	52	Rotation B, 5 years..	82
Shorthorns..	51	Rotation E, 3 years..	84
Dairy cows, experiments with..	55-60	Rotation Z, 3 years..	85
Apples as feed for cows..	60	Rotation H, hogs..	96
Ensilage vs. mangels for milk..	55	Rotation T, sheep..	87-88
Dry forage vs. succulent forage for		Rotations D and S. Deep vs. shallow	
dairy cows..	56	ploughing..	90
Sugar mangels, sugar beets and tur-		Rotations M, N, O and P, short vs.	
nips for cows..	57	long and clover vs. no clover..	92-94
Two feeds vs. three feeds for cows..	59	Silo, experimental, how filled, 1904....	102
Farm, the 200 acre..	75	" results from rape..	100
Crop on, in 1904..	75	Steers, experiments with..	61-70
Comparative statement of crops on,		Loose vs. tied..	61
from 1899 to 1903..	75	Influence of age on cost of putting on	
Feed produced on, and utilization		flesh..	63
thereof, in 1902..	76	Dried sugar beet pulp experiments ..	67
Financial summary..	77-78	Uveco for beef production..	70
Grasses, experiments with..	96		
Hay, produced in 1904..	75		
Alfalfa..	98	Bedford, S. A., Superintendent of Experi-	
Oat hay..	98	mental Farm, Brandon, Manitoba, Re-	
Timothy..	97	port of....	371
Timothy and clover..	97		
Yields and cost of various classes of		Blair, W. S. Horticulturist, Experimental	
hay..	95	Farm, Nappan, Report of..	353

	PAGE.
Chemist—Report of the..	143
Acknowledgments..	145
Addresses delivered at agricultural con- ventions..	143
Ashes from carbide works..	154
“ from incinerator..	155
“ from muck..	153
“ rock maple..	153
“ wood..	151, 152
Barley feed..	180
Bran, pea..	180
British Columbia, calcareous deposit from..	155
British Columbia, soil investigations..	145, 147, 148
British Columbia, tour in..	143, 197
Buckwheat..	160, 161
Carrots..	174
Clovers..	163
Conservation of soil moisture, experi- ments at Ottawa and Nappan..	157-164
Corn, dents..	168
“ ensilage..	172
“ flints..	168
“ fodder, as grown in hills and drills..	166
“ Indian..	169
Correspondence..	144
Cotton seed meal..	176
Cypher's beef scraps..	178
Darling's beef scraps..	178, 179
Ensilage, corn..	172
Ensilage, rape..	171
Fertilizers and amendments..	151-157
Ashes from carbide works..	154
“ incinerator..	155
“ muck..	153
“ rock maple..	153
Calcareous deposit..	155
Wood ashes, leached..	152
Wood ashes from saw-mills..	151
Flakerine..	176
Fodders and feeding stuffs..	166-182
Barley feed..	180
Corn grown in hills and drills..	166
Corn, composition of..	167, 168
“ ensilage..	171
“ dents and flints, composition of	168
“ dents, yield and weight of nutri- ents..	170
“ Indian, grown in hills and drills, composition of..	169
“ yield and weight of nutrients..	170
Cotton seed meal..	176
Gluten feed..	175
Ground seeds..	181

	PAGE.
Chemist—Report of the—Con.	
Fodders and feeding stuffs—Con.	
Herbageum..	181
Linseed or oil cake..	175
Meal seeds..	180
Meat meals for poultry..	177
Milling products..	179
Oat dust..	180
Pea bran, ground..	180
Pea chips, ground..	180
Pea dust..	180
Pea meal..	180
Raisins..	180
Rape, rape ensilage, rape and corn en- silage..	171
Roots..	173
Uveco and Flakerine..	176
Freeman's superior meat meal..	178, 179
Gluten feed..	175
Ground seeds..	181
Hairy vetch, effect on soil moisture..	157, 158, 159, 160, 161
Herbageum..	181
Horse beans, effect on soil moisture..	160, 161
Incinerator ashes..	155
Inoculation for the growth of legumes	164
Legumes, inoculation for the growth of.	164
Letter of transmittal..	143
Linseed cake..	175
Mangels, Gate Post..	173, 174
“ Giant Yellow Globe..	173, 174
Meal seeds..	180
Milling products..	179
Morgan's meat meal..	178, 179
Muck, ashes from..	153
Oat dust..	180
Oil cake..	175
Ontario, soil investigation..	151
Peas..	160
Pea bran, ground..	180
Pea chips, ground..	180
Pea meal..	180
Peace River District, soil..	150
Poultry meat meals..	177, 178, 179
Raisins..	180
Rape ensilage..	171
Rust, effect of, on straw and grain of wheat..	144, 189
Roots..	144, 173
“ analysis of..	174
Carrots..	174
Gate Post Mangel, dry matter and sugar in..	173
Giant Yellow Globe Mangel, dry mat- ter and sugar in..	173

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Chemist—Report of the—Con.		Director—Report of the—Con.	
Roots—Con.		Apples, cross-bred, for the Canadian	
influence of inherited qualities on..	173	Northwest—Con.	
influence of season on..	173	Manitou..	9
Mangels..	174	Novelty..	8
Sugar beets..	144, 175	Pioneer..	9
Swedes..	174	Prince..	8
Turnips..	174	Progress..	9
Rye, effect on moisture content of soil.	163	Robin..	8
Samples received for analysis..	145	Silvia..	8
Septic tank for the disposal of sewage..	195	Tony..	8
Soil investigations..	145-151	Clovers, experiments with..	34
“ British Columbia, Cape Scott..	145	Clover, increased crops from ploughing	
“ Conservation of moisture..	159, 162	under of..	34, 37
“ Kingcome Inlet..	147	Clover, results of sowings of oats, wheat	
“ Kault..	148	and barley after..	34, 37
“ moisture, control of, experiments		Clover, results of ploughing under, on	
at Ottawa and Nappan..	157	potato crop..	34, 37
“ Ontario, New Liskeard, Nipissing		Clover, results of ploughing under, on	
District..	151	corn crop..	34, 37
“ Peace River District..	150	Cramp Thos. M., report of..	487, 492
Soja beans, effect on soil moisture..	160, 161	Co-operative experiments by Canadian	
Sugar beets..	144, 175, 182	farmers..	11
“ analysis of..	185	Correspondence..	14
“ chemistry of..	184	Crops, action of fertilizers on..	23
“ for factory..	182	Ellis, Wm., reports of..	17-18
“ fertilizing constituents in.	185,	Experimental Farms, usefulness of..	6
186, 187, 188, 189		Experiments with fertilizers on barley.	23
“ weight per acre of different		Indian corn..	27
parts of..	187	On oats..	25
Swedes, composition of..	174	Mangels..	30
Timothy, effect on soil moisture..	157, 158, 159	Turnips..	30
Turnips, composition of..	174	On wheat..	21
Uveco..	176	Farm crops throughout the Dominion..	5
Waters from farm homesteads..	144, 191	Fertilizers, action of, on oats, clover and	
Whale, bone from, composition of..	156	Brome grass..	33
Wheat, effect of rust on straw and		Fertilizers, special experiments with..	19
grain of..	144, 189	Financial statement..	487
Wood ashes..	151, 152	Grain distribution of, for improvement	
		of seed..	12
Director—Report of the..	5	Grain tests, table of, for each province.	16
Acknowledgments..	41	Green clover as a fertilizer..	34
A journey to the west..	39	Letter of transmittal..	3
Apples, cross-bred, for the Canadian		Meteorological observations..	17
Northwest..	6	Oats, Banner, influence of previous crops	
Alberta..	6	on..	37
Aurora..	9	Publications issued during the year..	38
Bow..	9	Pyrus baccata..	7
Charles..	8	Pyrus prunifolia..	7
Columbia..	8	Pyrus malus..	10
Dawn..	9	Rainfall for past fifteen years..	18
Elsa..	8	Reports on journeys made..	39, 41
Golden..	9	Seed grain, distribution of..	12
Jewel..	8	Seed grain distribution, benefits of..	14
Kent..	9	Seeds, tests of vitality of..	15
Magnus..	9		

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Director—Report of the—Con.		Entomologist and Botanist—Report	
Sunshine, records of.	18	of the—Con.	
Summary of stock, &c., on each Experimental Farm.	490	<i>Camnula pellucida</i>	212
Visit to Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.	40	<i>Cantharis cyanipennis</i>	227
Visit to Experimental Farm, Brandon, M.	39	Carbolic wash, for Radish Maggot.	227
Visit to Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.	39	for Shot Borer.	241
Visit to Experimental Farm, Agassiz, B.C.	39-40	<i>Carpocapsa pomonella</i>	239
Visit to Vernon and Penticton.	40	<i>Cecidomyia destructor</i>	213
Visit to Victoria.	40	<i>Cephus pygmaeus</i> (?).	213
Entomologist and Botanist—Report of the.	205-256	Cereal crops, 1904.	210
Acknowledgments.	209	Cereals, insect enemies of.	210
<i>Agropyrum repens</i>	207	<i>Chaitophorus negundinis</i>	241
Anderson, J. R., on grain crops	210	Cheese cloth enclosures, for Root Maggots.	224
on field crops.	219	Clark, G. H., on Clover-seed Midge.	229
on root crops.	223	<i>Clisiocampa</i> , see <i>Malacosoma</i>	
on fruit crops.	233	Clover-seed Midge.	219
on Vancouver Island Oak-looper.	244	<i>Oniscus arvensis</i>	207
<i>Aphidius rapae</i>	228	Codling Moth.	239
<i>Aphis brassicae</i>	227	Collections of insects and plants	205
<i>prunifolia</i>	238	<i>Conotrachelus nenuphar</i>	237
Apiary, report on.	246	Cook carbolic wash, for Radish Maggot.	227
season of 1904.	246	Corn Worm	220
cellar wintering.	246	remedies for.	221
wintering in damp cellars.	248	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	207
outside wintering.	249	Correspondence of the Division.	209
honey vs. sugar feeding in winter	249	<i>Corylus rostrata</i>	207
queen excluders for production of extracted honey.	250	Cottony Grass Scale.	222
introducing queens.	251	Couch grass, as a sand binder.	207
remedies for.	239	Criddle, Norman, on locusts.	212
Apple Maggot.	238	on Hessian Fly.	213
remedies for.	238	on Red Turnip Beetle.	238
Scab or Black Spot.	233	on Aspen and Willow beetles.	244
<i>Asclepias cornuti</i>	207	Criddle mixture for locusts.	212
Aspen Beetle.	244	Cutworm, Glassy.	211
<i>Aspidiotus perniciosus</i>	233	Red-backed.	211, 223
Basswood Looper.	243	Spotted	228
Beach Grass, as a sand-binder	207	Cutworms in grain.	211
Blackberry, Common, as a sand-binder	207	remedies for.	211
Blair, Saxby, on Cabbage Root Maggot.	226	in gardens.	223
on plum and apple aphides	236	remedy for.	225
Blister Beetle, Green.	227	'Dead heads'	211
Blister beetles, parasites of locusts	212	<i>Diapheromera femorata</i>	245
Bordeaux mixture, for Potato Rot.	223	<i>Diplosis tritici</i>	214
Botany, Division of.	250	Dogwood, Red Osier, as a sand-binder.	207
Bran, poisoned, for cutworms.	212, 225	<i>Ellopiia somnaria</i>	214
<i>Bruchus pisorum</i>	217	Entomology, Division of.	210
Burke, Rev. A. E., on field crops.	219	<i>Entomoscelis adonidis</i>	230
on root crops.	223	<i>Epicauta pennsylvanica</i>	212
on fruit crops.	233	<i>sericans</i>	212
Cabbage Aphis	227	<i>Erannis (Hibernia) tiliaria</i>	243
Maggot, remedies for.	226	<i>Eriopeltis festucae</i>	222
Worm, Purple-backed.	233	Evans, J. D., on Pea Weevil.	218
		on cutworms.	224
		<i>Evergestis (Pionea) straminealis</i>	231
		<i>rimosalis</i>	231
		Field crops, 1904.	219
		insect enemies of.	219

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Entomologist and Botanist—Report of the—Con.		Entomologist and Botanist—Report of the—Con.	
Fir, Canada Balsam, as a sand-binder..	207	<i>Nectarophora granaria</i> ..	214
Fisher, Geo. E., on San José Scale..	233	<i>solanifolia</i> ..	228
Fodder plants..	206, 253	Negundo Plant-louse..	244
Forest and shade trees, insect enemies of..	243	Twig-borer..	243
Fruit crops, 1904..	232	<i>Noctua c-nigrum</i> ..	236
insect enemies of..	233	<i>fennica</i> ..	222
Fruit Worm, Green..	240	McNeill, A., on fruit crops..	233
<i>Fumago salicina</i> ..	244	Meetings attended by the Entomologist and Botanist ..	208
Fumigation stations..	234	by the Assistant Entomologist..	209
<i>Fusicladium</i> ..	233	by the Apiarist..	244
<i>Galerucella decora</i> ..	244	<i>Melanoplus atlantis</i> ..	212
Grain Aphis..	214	<i>pockardii</i> ..	212
Grass mixtures, results from different.	256	<i>spretus</i> ..	212
Grass plots, experimental ..	206	Milkweed, Common, as a sand-binder..	207
Grasshoppers..	212	Oak-looper, Vancouver Island..	244
remedy for..	212	Onion Maggot, remedy for..	226
Green Fruit-worm..	240	Ontario Crop Report, on grain crops. .	210
<i>Hadena devastatrix</i> ..	211	on field crops..	219
Hamilton, Dr. C. A., on Corn Worm..	220	on fruit crops..	232
on cutworms..	224	<i>Orgyia leucostigma</i> ..	245
on plant-lice..	228-230	<i>Otiorynchus ovatus</i> ..	242
Hay, cured, from different grass mixtures..	256	<i>sulcatus</i> ..	241
Hazel, Beaked, as a sand-binder..	207	<i>Paragrotis ochrogaster</i> ..	211, 223
<i>Heliothis armiger</i> ..	220	Paris green mixture for locusts..	212
<i>Hemerocampa (Orgyia) leucostigma</i> ..	245	and bran for cutworms ..	212, 213
Henley, Wm., on wireworms..	211	Pastures, permanent ..	255
Hessian Fly..	243	Pea Weevil..	217
<i>Hibernia tilitaria</i> ..	243	killed by cold..	217
Honeyman, J. R. C., on grain crops ..	210	remedies for ..	218
<i>Hordeum jubatum</i> , rust of..	254	recommendations as to..	218
Howard, Dr. L. O., on plant-lice..	229	<i>Pegomyia bicolor</i> ..	223
Hydrocyanic acid fumigation ..	234	<i>Pimpla Ontario</i> ..	245
<i>Ichneumon cestus</i> ..	245	<i>Pionea cunialis</i> ..	231
Insects, study and collections of..	206	<i>straminealis</i> ..	231
James, Prof. C. C., on Pea Weevil..	217	Plants, collections of..	206
Lime and sulphur wash, for San José Scale..	235	Plant-lice on vegetables..	228
Lime-sulphur-soda, for San José Scale.	236	on celery, carrots and parsnips..	229
<i>Lina tremula</i> ..	244	Plum Aphis..	236
<i>Lipolexis (Aphidius) rapæ</i> ..	228	remedies for..	236
Locust, Lesser Migratory..	212	Plum Curculio..	237
Packard's..	212	remedies for..	238
Rocky Mountain ..	212	Potato Aphis..	228
Lyme Grass, Sea, as a sand-binder ..	207	Rot..	223
<i>Malacosoma (Clisiocampa) americana</i> ..	243	Scab..	223
<i>californica</i> ..	243	<i>Proteopteryx willingana</i> ..	243
<i>distria</i> ..	243	<i>Prototeras æsculanum</i> ..	243
<i>fragilis</i> ..	243	<i>Puccinia coronata</i> ..	253
Meadowsweet, Willow-leaved as a sand-binder ..	207	<i>graminis</i> ..	253
Nature Study movement..	205	<i>rubigo-vera</i> ..	253
		Quack grass, as a sand-binder..	207
		Radish Maggot, remedies for..	227
		Raspberry, Red, as a sand-binder....	207
		Red-humped Caterpillar..	240

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Entomologist and Botanist—Report		Experimentalist—Report of the	257
of—Con.		Acknowledgments.....	257
Roberts, R., on Wheat Midge.....	215	Barley, six-row.....	260, 269
Root and vegetable crops, 1904.....	222	Beardless.....	270
insect enemies of.....	223	Earliest varieties of.....	270
Root Maggots.....	225	Hulless.....	270
remedies for.....	226	Most productive varieties of.....	270
<i>Rosa blanda</i>	207	Test of varieties of.....	270
Roses as sand-binders.....	207	Albert.....	270
<i>Rubus strigosus</i>	207	Argyle.....	270
<i>villosus</i>	207	Baxter.....	270
Rust of oats, Crown, or Orange Leaf.....	253	Bere.....	269, 270
Rust of wheat.....	252	Black Japan.....	269, 270
Black Stem or Summer.....	253	Blue Long Head.....	270
Orange Leaf or Spring.....	253	Brome.....	270
and the barberry.....	254	Champion.....	270
remedies for.....	254	Chinese Hulless.....	270
Rusts of grain crops.....	210, 152	Claude.....	270
<i>Salix alba</i>	207	Common.....	270
San José Scale.....	233	Eclipse.....	269, 270
remedies for.....	235	Empire.....	270
Sand hills, reclaiming.....	206	Escourgeon.....	269, 270
<i>Schizura concinna</i>	240	Garfield.....	270
Shot Borer.....	240	Hulless Black.....	270
remedies for.....	241	Mansfield.....	270
<i>Siphocoryne</i> sp.....	229	Mensury.....	270
Sleepy Weevil.....	242	Norwegian.....	270
Smith, Dr. J. B., on whale-oil soap.....	237	Nugent.....	270
Sooty Fungus.....	244	Oderbruch.....	270
<i>Spiræa salicifolia</i>	207	Odessa.....	270
Strawberry Weevil.....	241	Rennie's Improved.....	270
remedy for.....	242	Royal.....	270
Stuart, G. Maxwell, on Wheat Midge.....	215	Silver King.....	270
Tent Caterpillars.....	243	Sisolsk.....	270
<i>Therina (Elloptia) somnaria</i>	244	Stella.....	270
Thistle Canada, as a sand-binder.....	207	Summit.....	270
<i>Trypeta pomonella</i>	238	Trooper.....	270
Turnip Aphis.....	227	Yale.....	270
Beetle, Red.....	230	Barley, six-row winter.....	272
Tussock Moth, White-marked.....	245	Zero.....	272
Vine Weevil, Black.....	241	Barley, two-row.....	260, 271
remedy for.....	242	Earlies varieties of.....	271
Walking Stick Insect.....	245	Most productive varieties of.....	271
Webster, Prof. F. M., on Hessian Fly.....	213	Test of varieties of.....	271
Weed seeds, collection of.....	206	Beaver.....	271
Whale-oil soap.....	236	Bestehorn's Kaiser.....	271
Wheat Midge.....	214	Brewer's Favourite.....	271
remedies for.....	217	Canadian Thorpe.....	271
Wheat, rust of.....	252	Clifford.....	271
remedies for.....	254	Danish Chevalier.....	271
Wheat-stem Sawfly.....	213	Dunham.....	271
Willow Beetles.....	244	Fichtel Mountain.....	271
Willows, as sand-binders.....	207	French Chevalier.....	271
Wireworms, in grain.....	211	Fulton.....	271
remedy for.....	211	Gordon.....	271
<i>Xyleborus dispar</i>	240	Hannchen.....	271
<i>Xylina</i> , sp.....	240	Harvey.....	271
		Invincible.....	271

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

PAGE.	PAGE.
Experimentalist—Report of the—Con.	Experimentalist—Report of the—Con.
Barley, two-row—Con.	Oats—Con.
Jarvis 271	American Triumph 268
Logan 271	Anderbecker 268
Maltster 271	Atlantic 268
Newton 271	Banner 268
Pelham 271	Bavarian 268
Plumage 271	Bell 267-268
Primus 271	Bestehorn's Abundance 268
Princess 271	Big Four 269
Princess Sialof 271	Black Beauty 269
Sidney 271	Black Tartarian (Prolific) 268
Standwell 271	Buckbee's Illinois 268
Swan's Neck 271	Chinese Naked 269
Swedish Chevalier 271	Colossal 267, 269
Beans, field 276	Columbus 268
Horse 276	Danish Island 268
Soja 275	Daubenev 267, 269
Beets, sugar 279	Dixon 268
Carrots 278	Early Angus 268-269
Crossing of cereals 258	Early Golden Prolific 268
Descriptions of cross-bred wheats 259	Excelsior 268
Donations 257	Forbes 269
Double rows of Cereals 259	Garton's Abundance 267-268
Emmer and Spelt 260, 267	Golden Beauty 268
Test of varieties of 267	Golden Fleece 268
Black Bearded Spelt 267	Golden Giant 268
Common Emmer 267	Golden Tartarian 269
Long Emmer 267	Goldfinder 269
Red Emmer 267	Gold Rain 267-268
Red Spelt 267	Great Northern 268
Single Emmer 267	Hazlett's Seizure 268
Smooth Spelt 267	Holstein Prolific 268
Thick Emmer 267	Improved American 269
Triticum monococcum 267	Improved Ligowo 269
Ufa Emmer 267	Irish Victor 268
White Emmer 267	Joanette 269
White Spelt 267	Kendal Black 268
White Bearded Spelt 267	Kendal White 268
Flax 276	Lincoln 268
Grain sown in different quantities on clay loam 274	Mennonite 268
Grain sown in different quantities on sandy loam 273	Milford Black 268
Indian corn 279	Milford White 268
Sown at different distances 280	Olive Black 269
Test of varieties of 280	Olive White 269
Mangels 277	Pense Black 268
Test of varieties of 278	Pense White 269
Mixed grain, plots of 275	Pioneer 268
Oats 260, 267	Probstey 269
Earliest varieties of 269	Scotch Potato 268
Most productive varieties of 269	Sensation 268
Test of varieties of 268-269	Siberian 269
Abundance 268	Sorgenfrei 269
American Beauty 268	Storm King 269
	Swedish Ligowo 267-268
	Swedish Select 268
	Tartar King 269
	Thousand Dollar 268

	PAGE.
Experimentalist—Report of the—Con.	
Oats— <i>Con.</i>	
Tlola..	263
Twentieth Century..	263
Uberfluss..	263
Virginia White Abundance..	263
Wallis..	263
Waverley..	269
Welcome..	269
White Giant..	263
Whiting..	267-263
Wide Awake..	263
Pease..	262, 272
Earliest varieties of..	273
Most productive varieties of..	273
Test of varieties of..	272
Potatoes, Field Plots of..	281
Preparation of land for uniform test plots..	261
Rust-resisting varieties..	258
Rye, Spring..	273
Winter..	273
Selection of cereals..	258
Selection of seed for test plots..	262
Spelt. <i>See</i> Emmer.	
Turnips..	277
Test of varieties of..	277
Uniform test plots of cereals..	261
Weather..	262
Wheat, Macaroni..	260, 264
Test of varieties of..	265
Beloturka..	265
Black Don..	265
Gharnovka..	265
Goose..	265
Kahla..	265
Kubanka..	265
Mahmoudi..	265
Medeah..	265
Roumanian..	265
Velvet Don..	265
Yellow Gharnovka..	265
Wheat, Spring..	262-263-264
Earliest varieties of..	264
Most productive varieties of..	264
Study of quality of..	266
Test of varieties of..	263
Admiral..	263
Advance..	263
Australian F..	263
Australian No. 9..	263
Australian No. 12..	263
Australian No. 15..	263
Australian No. 19..	263
Australian No. 21..	263
Australian No. 28..	263
Benton..	263

	PAGE.
Experimentalist—Report of the—Con.	
Wheat Spring— <i>Con.</i>	
Bishop..	259, 263
Blue Stem..	263
Byron..	263
Chester..	263
Clyde..	263
Colorado..	263
Corn..	265
Countess..	263
Crawford..	263
Dawn..	263
Dawson..	263
Dayton..	263
Downy Riga..	259, 263
Early Riga..	259, 263
Ebert..	263
Fraser..	263
Gehun..	263
Harold..	263
Hastings..	263
Haynes' Blue Stem..	263
Herisson Bearded..	263
Hungarian..	263
Huron..	263
Laurel..	263
McKendry's Fife..	263
Marvel..	263
Minnesota No. 163..	263
Monarch..	263
Newdale..	263
Nixon..	263
Orleans..	263
Pearl..	262-263
Percy..	263
Pithiviers..	262
Plumper..	263
Polish..	265
Power's Fife..	263
Preston..	259, 263
Pringle's Champlain..	263
Red Fern..	263
Red Fife..	263
Redpath..	263
Red Prolific..	262
Red Preston..	259
Riga..	259, 263
Rio Grande..	263
Saumur..	262-263
Spence..	263
Stanley..	263
Tracy..	263
Weldon..	263
Wellman's Fife..	263
White Connell..	262
White Fife..	262-263
White Russian..	263

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Experimentalist—Report of the—Con.		Experimental Farm, Agassiz—Con.	
Wheat, winter.. . . .	265	Correspondence.. . . .	470
Test of varieties of.. . . .	266	Crops, summary of.. . . .	465
Abundance.. . . .	265-266	Currants, Black, report on.. . . .	484
American Banner.. . . .	265-266	Baldwin.. . . .	484
Buda Pesth.. . . .	266	Black Naples.. . . .	484
Dawson's Golden Chaff.. . . .	266	Boskoop Giant.. . . .	484
Early Red Clawson.. . . .	266	Climax.. . . .	484
Early Windsor.. . . .	265-266	Dominion.. . . .	484
Egyptian Amber.. . . .	266	Lee's Prolific.. . . .	484
Gold Coin.. . . .	266	London.. . . .	484
Golden Cross.. . . .	266	Merveille de la Gironde.. . . .	484
Imperial Amber.. . . .	266	Middlesex.. . . .	484
Invincible.. . . .	265-266	Pearce.. . . .	484
Kharkov.. . . .	265-266	Pomona.. . . .	484
Padi.. . . .	265-266	Prince of Wales.. . . .	484
Red Chief.. . . .	265-266	Victoria.. . . .	484
Prosperity.. . . .	265-266	Currants, red and white, report on.. . . .	484
Red Velvet Chaff.. . . .	266	La Conde.. . . .	484
Reliable.. . . .	266	La Fertile.. . . .	484
Silver Sheaf.. . . .	265-266	Large White Brandenburg.. . . .	484
Surprise.. . . .	266	London.. . . .	484
Turkey Red.. . . .	266	Pomona.. . . .	484
		Prince Albert.. . . .	484
Experimental Farm, Agassiz—Report		Raby Castle.. . . .	484
of Superintendent.. . . .	453	Red Cherry.. . . .	484
Apples, report on, with descriptions of		Victoria.. . . .	484
new varieties fruiting.. . . .	470, 478	White Cherry.. . . .	484
Apples, list of discarded.. . . .	478	White Pearl.. . . .	484
Apricots, report on.. . . .	482	Distribution of seed grain, potatoes, &c.	470
Barley, experiments with.. . . .	457	Ditching.. . . .	454
Test of varieties of.. . . .	457	Emmer and Spelt.. . . .	459
Beans, experiments with.. . . .	468	Fodder crops, experiments with.. . . .	465
Bees, report on.. . . .	455	Fowls.. . . .	455
Beets, experiments with.. . . .	468	Fruit crops.. . . .	453
Blackberries, report on.. . . .	484	Grapes, report on.. . . .	485
Agawam.. . . .	484	Hedges.. . . .	453
Early King.. . . .	484	Horses.. . . .	455
Eldorado.. . . .	484	Horse beans, experiments with.. . . .	466
Erie.. . . .	484	Lettuce, experiments with.. . . .	467
Minnnewaska.. . . .	484	Live stock.. . . .	454
Stone's Hardy.. . . .	484	Mangels, experiments with.. . . .	463
Snyder.. . . .	484	Test of varieties.. . . .	463
Taylor.. . . .	484	Medlars, report on.. . . .	482
Taylor's Prolific.. . . .	484	Meteorological report.. . . .	485
Broccoli, experiments with.. . . .	468	Millets, experiments with.. . . .	465
Brussels Sprouts, experiments with.. . . .	468	Mountain orchards.. . . .	482
Cabbage, experiments with.. . . .	467	Mulberries, report on.. . . .	482
Carrots, experiments with.. . . .	462, 467	New Breaking.. . . .	454
Test of varieties of.. . . .	462	Nut-bearing trees, report on.. . . .	454, 482
Cattle.. . . .	454	Oats, experiments with.. . . .	456
Cauliflowers, experiments with.. . . .	468	Test of varieties of.. . . .	456
Cherries, report on, with descriptions of		Onions, experiments with.. . . .	467
new varieties fruiting.. . . .	481	Ornamental trees and shrubs.. . . .	454
Clearing of land.. . . .	454	Peaches, report on.. . . .	482
Clover versus Corn for ensilage.. . . .	466		
Corn, Indian, experiments with.. . . .	460		
Corn, sweet, experiments with.. . . .	469		

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Experimental Farm, Agassiz—Con.		Experimental Farm, Brandon—Con.	
Pears, report on, with descriptions of new varieties fruiting.. . . .	479	Arboretum.. . . .	401
Pease, garden, experiments with.. . . .	468	Awnless Brome grass.. . . .	387
Field, experiments with.. . . .	459	Bald wheat grass.. . . .	387
Test of varieties.. . . .	460	Barley, experiments with.. . . .	379
Pigs.. . . .	455	Test of varieties of.. . . .	379-380
Plums, report on, with descriptions of new varieties fruiting.. . . .	450	Bees, experiments with.. . . .	394
Potatoes, experiments with.. . . .	463	<i>Bromus inermis</i>	387
Test of varieties of.. . . .	464	Cabbage, experiments with.. . . .	405
Poultry.. . . .	455	Caragana arborecens, experiments in sowing.. . . .	400
Quinces, report on.. . . .	482	Carrots, experiments with.. . . .	385
Radishes, experiments with.. . . .	466	Tests of varieties of.. . . .	385
Raspberries, Black Cap, report on.. . . .	483	Cattle.. . . .	390
Gregg.. . . .	483	Clovers, experiments with.. . . .	388
Ida.. . . .	483	Corn, Indian, experiments with.. . . .	382
Kansas.. . . .	483	Test of varieties of.. . . .	383
Mammoth Cluster.. . . .	483	Corn sown at different distances apart.. . . .	383
Nemaha.. . . .	483	Correspondence.. . . .	409
Older.. . . .	483	Cows, milking.. . . .	390
Palmer.. . . .	483	Crab apple seedlings, report on.. . . .	398
Progress.. . . .	483	Crab, transcendant.. . . .	398
Raspberries, red and yellow, report on.. . . .	483	Cucumbers, report on.. . . .	404
All Summer.. . . .	483	Currants, report on.. . . .	398
Cuthbert.. . . .	483	Distribution of seed grain and potatoes.. . . .	408
Duke of Brabant.. . . .	483	Of forest tree seeds.. . . .	408
French Vice-President.. . . .	483	<i>Elymus virginicus</i>	387
Golden Queen.. . . .	483	Exhibition samples.. . . .	408
Large Yellow.. . . .	483	Farmers' meetings attended.. . . .	409
London.. . . .	483	Field roots.. . . .	384
Lord Beaconsfield.. . . .	483	Flax, experiments with.. . . .	380
New Fastolf.. . . .	483	Flax, different grain crops following.. . . .	381
Northumberland Fillbasket.. . . .	483	Flax, stubble for grain crops.. . . .	381
Phoenix Red.. . . .	483	Flowers, experiments with.. . . .	406
R. B. Whyte.. . . .	483	Flowering shrubs, report on.. . . .	400
Sarah.. . . .	483	Fruit trees, experiments with.. . . .	395
Sheep.. . . .	455	Gooseberries.. . . .	400
Small fruits.. . . .	452	Grasses.. . . .	387
Soja beans, experiments with.. . . .	466	Hedges, report on.. . . .	400
Squash, experiments with.. . . .	469	Horticulture.. . . .	395
Summary of crops.. . . .	465	Mangels, experiments with.. . . .	385
Sugar beets, experiments with.. . . .	463	Test of varieties of.. . . .	385
Turnips, experiments with.. . . .	461	Meetings attended.. . . .	409
Test of varieties of.. . . .	462	Meteorological report.. . . .	409
Turnips, table, experiments with.. . . .	467	Millets, experiments with.. . . .	389
Vegetables.. . . .	466	Oats, experiments with.. . . .	377
Weather.. . . .	453	Oats, field plots of.. . . .	378
Wheat, spring, experiments with.. . . .	458	Test of varieties of.. . . .	378
Test of varieties of.. . . .	458	Onions, experiments with.. . . .	401
Wheat, Macaroni.. . . .	458	Pasture fields, improving.. . . .	388
Experimental Farm, Brandon—Re-		Pease, field, experiments with.. . . .	382
port of the Superintendent.. . . .	371	Test of varieties of.. . . .	382
<i>Agropyrum tenerum</i>	387	Garden.. . . .	405
Apples, grafted.. . . .	395	Perennial flowers.. . . .	407
Report on.. . . .	395	Pigs, experiments with.. . . .	392-393
		Plum trees, report on.. . . .	398

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Experimental Farm, Brandon—Con.		Experimental Farm, Indian Head,	
Potatoes, experiments with.. . . .	386	N.W.T.—Con.	
Test of varieties of.. . . .	387	Barley, comparison of field crops for	
Poultry, report on.. . . .	393	past four years.. . . .	424
<i>Pyrus baccata</i>	398	Smut tests.. . . .	425
Raspberries, report on.. . . .	400	Beans, garden, experiments with.. . . .	435
Samples for exhibition purposes.. . . .	408	Beets, experiments with.. . . .	436
Shrubs and trees, distribution of.. . . .	408	Breaking and backsetting.. . . .	418
Small fruits.. . . .	400	Broccoli.. . . .	436
Squash and pumpkins.. . . .	403	<i>Bromus inermis</i>	431
Steers, experiments in feeding.. . . .	390, 392	Brussels Sprouts.. . . .	436
Strawberries.. . . .	400	Cabbage, experiments with.. . . .	436
Sugar beets, experiments with.. . . .	386	Canary seed, grass.. . . .	430
Swine, experiments with.. . . .	392	Carrots, experiments with.. . . .	433, 436
Tobacco, experiments with.. . . .	406	Test of varieties.. . . .	433
Tomatoes, experiments with.. . . .	405	Cattle.. . . .	446
Top grafting.. . . .	395	Cauliflower, experiments with.. . . .	437
Tree distribution.. . . .	408	Celery, experiments with.. . . .	436
Trees and shrubs, report on.. . . .	400	Citrons, experiments with.. . . .	437
Trees, propagation of, for Forestry		Corn, Indian, experiments with.. . . .	427
Branch, Dept. Interior.. . . .	408	Sown at different distances.. . . .	428
Tulips and other bulbs.. . . .	408	Test of varieties of.. . . .	428
Turnips, experiments with.. . . .	384	Corn, garden.. . . .	437
Turnips, test of varieties of.. . . .	384	Correspondence.. . . .	451
Vegetable garden.. . . .	401	Crab apples, Siberian.. . . .	445
Visitors to the Experimental Farm.. . . .	409	Crops on Experimental Farms.. . . .	411
Weather.. . . .	371	Crops, summary of.. . . .	434
Wheat, Emmer, experiments with.. . . .	374	Cross-bred apples.. . . .	445-446
Wheat, Spelt, experiments with.. . . .	374	Cucumbers, experiments with.. . . .	437
Wheat.. . . .	372	Currants, report on.. . . .	446
Wheat, different methods of preparing		Distribution of grain, potatoes, forest	
land for.. . . .	381	trees, &c.. . . .	450-451
Wheat Macaroni.. . . .	373	Excursions to farm.. . . .	450
Test of varieties of.. . . .	373	Experimental Farm crops.. . . .	411
Wheat, spring, experiments with.. . . .	372	Flax, experiments with.. . . .	429
Deep and shallow sowings of.. . . .	377	Flowers, report on.. . . .	441
Field plots of.. . . .	374	Forest trees and shrubs, distribution of.. . . .	451
Preventives of smut in.. . . .	377	Fruit trees and bushes, report on.. . . .	444
Test of fertilizers on.. . . .	376	Grain, distribution of samples of.. . . .	451
Test of barn-yard manure on.. . . .	375	Gooseberries, report on.. . . .	446
Selected and unselected seed.. . . .	374	Grasses, experiments with.. . . .	431
Test of varieties of.. . . .	373	Hay crop.. . . .	431
Rusty, cutting at different stages.. . . .	375	Horse beans.. . . .	430
		Horses.. . . .	449
Experimental Farm, Indian Head,		Kale.. . . .	437
N.W.T.—Report of the Superinten-		Kohl Rabi.. . . .	438
dent.. . . .	411	Lettuce, experiments with.. . . .	437
Alfalfa, experiments with.. . . .	431	Mangels, experiments with.. . . .	432
<i>Agropyrum tenerum</i>	431	Meetings attended.. . . .	450
Apples, report on.. . . .	445	Melons, experiments with.. . . .	437
Arboretum.. . . .	444	Meteorological report.. . . .	452
Asparagus, experiments with.. . . .	435	Millet, experiments with.. . . .	430
Awnless, Brome grass.. . . .	431	Oat crop and average yield.. . . .	421
Barley, test of varieties of.. . . .	422-423	Oats, experiments with.. . . .	419
Experiments with.. . . .	422	Field lots of.. . . .	420
Field lots of.. . . .	423		
Barley crop and average yield.. . . .	424		

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.—Con.		Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.—Con.	
Test of varieties of.. . . .	420	Wheat, spring—Con.	
Comparison of field crops for past four years.. . . .	421	Comparison of tests for past four years.. . . .	414
Smut tests for.. . . .	422	Test of varieties of.. . . .	412
Onions, experiments with.. . . .	438	Test of bluestone as a smut preventive for.. . . .	416, 419
Parsley.. . . .	440	Test of fallow against stubble.. . . .	415
Parsnips, experiments with.. . . .	439	Test of fertilizers for.. . . .	415
Pease, experiments with.. . . .	426	Crops and average yield.. . . .	414
Tests of varieties of.. . . .	427		
Garden.. . . .	426, 439	Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.—	
Test of varieties of.. . . .	427	Report of the Superintendent.. . . .	313
Peppers.. . . .	438	Acknowledgments.. . . .	313
Perennial flowers, report on.. . . .	422	Alfalfa, experiments with.. . . .	334
Plum trees, report on.. . . .	445-446	Barley, experiments with.. . . .	317
Potatoes, experiments with.. . . .	433	Test of varieties of.. . . .	318
Distribution of.. . . .	451	Buckwheat, field crop of.. . . .	324
Test of varieties of.. . . .	434	experiments with.. . . .	322
Poultry, report on.. . . .	450	Test of varieties of.. . . .	323
Pumpkins, experiments with.. . . .	438	Bug Death, experiments with.. . . .	333
Radish, experiments with.. . . .	440	Carrots, experiments with.. . . .	331
Rainfall.. . . .	452	Test of varieties of.. . . .	331
Raspberries, report on.. . . .	446	Cattle.. . . .	338
Rhubarb, experiments with.. . . .	440	Clover, experiments with.. . . .	335
Roots, field, experiments with.. . . .	431	Corn, Indian, experiments with.. . . .	324
Rotation of crops, experiments in.. . . .	425-426	Field crops of.. . . .	325-326
Rye, Spring.. . . .	429	Planted at different distances.. . . .	326
Rye grass, western.. . . .	431	Test of varieties of.. . . .	325
Sand cherries.. . . .	446	Correspondence.. . . .	350
Seed grain, distribution of.. . . .	451	Cows, experiments with.. . . .	339-340
Small fruits.. . . .	446	Dairy cattle.. . . .	338, 340
Soja beans, experiments with.. . . .	430	Disposition of feeds.. . . .	337
Spinach, experiments with.. . . .	440	Distribution of seed grain and potatoes.. . . .	338
Squash, experiments with.. . . .	438	Exhibitions attended.. . . .	350-351
Steers, experiments with.. . . .	446	Experimental Farm crops.. . . .	336-337
Strawberries, report on.. . . .	445-446	Experiments with field grain.. . . .	323
Summary of crops.. . . .	434	Fertilizers special experiments with.. . . .	335-336
Summer savory.. . . .	440	Grain, field crops of.. . . .	323
Sugar beets, experiments with.. . . .	433	Hay.. . . .	336
Summer fallows.. . . .	416	Horse beans, experiments with.. . . .	334
Swine, report on.. . . .	449	Horses.. . . .	338
Swine, pasturing on rape.. . . .	449	Live stock.. . . .	338
Tares, experiments with.. . . .	430	Macaroni Wheat, experiments with.. . . .	321
Timothy, experiments with.. . . .	431	Mangels, experiments with.. . . .	329
Tomatoes, experiments with.. . . .	439	Field crops of.. . . .	330
Trees and shrubs.. . . .	443	Test of varieties of.. . . .	329
Turnips, experiments with.. . . .	431, 438	Meetings attended.. . . .	351
Test of varieties of.. . . .	432	Meteorological record.. . . .	316
Vegetable garden.. . . .	435	Milch cows, experiments with.. . . .	339
Weather.. . . .	411	Millet, experiments with.. . . .	334
Wheat, Spelt and Emmer, experiments with.. . . .	416	Mixed grain field crops.. . . .	323
Wheat, Spring, experiments with.. . . .	412	Oats, experiments with.. . . .	316
Field lots of	412-413	Field crop on marsh land.. . . .	324

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

PAGE.	PAGE.
Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.—	Experimental Farm, Nappan, N.S.—
<i>Con.</i>	<i>Con.</i>
Oats, experiments with— <i>Con.</i>	Rainfall.. . . . 362
Test of varieties of.. . . . 317	Raspberries.. . . . 354
Pease, field, experiments with.. . . . 322	Shrubs and trees.. . . . 355
Test of varieties of.. . . . 322	Snap beans.. . . . 365
Pigs.. . . . 348	Test of varieties.. . . . 366
Potatoes, experiments with.. . . . 331	Soil moisture, experiments.. . . . 359
Test of varieties of.. . . . 332	Squash.. . . . 363
Poultry.. . . . 350	Strawberries.. . . . 354
Rainfall.. . . . 315	Vegetables, list of best.. . . . 369
Seed grain and potatoes distributed.. 338	Weather.. . . . 353
Sheep.. . . . 350	Fletcher, Dr. J., Entomologist and Bot-
Soja beans, early, experiments with.. . 334	anist—Report of.. . . . 205
Steer calf, experiments.. . . . 343	Gilbert, A. G., Poultry Manager—Report
Steers, experiments with.. . . . 341, 348	of.. . . . 283
On limited and full fattening rations. 343	Grisdale, J. H., Agriculturist—Report of.. 43
Sugar beets, experiments with.. . . . 331	Horticulturist, Central Experimen-
Test of varieties of.. . . . 331	<i>tal Farm</i> —Report of the.. . . . 105
Summary of crops.. . . . 336-337	Acknowledgments.. . . . 107
Summary of feed used.. . . . 337	Apple crop.. . . . 106, 109
Swine, experiments with.. . . . 349	Apples.. . . . 108
Turnips, experiments with.. . . . 327	Cross-bred.. . . . 109
Field crops of.. . . . 327, 329	Effects of winter-killing on top graft-
Test of varieties of.. . . . 327	ed trees of.. . . . 109
Visitors... .. 351	Experimental shipments of, to Ireland
Weather.. . . . 313	and Scotland.. . . . 113
Wheat, spring, experiments with.. 319, 336	Revised list of varieties of, for Dis-
Test of varieties of.. . . . 320	trict No. 7.. . . . 111
Emmer and Spelt, experiments with.. 321	Seedling.. . . . 109, 116
Macaroni, experiments with.. . . . 321	Varieties of, winter-killed, 1903-04... 110
Report of the Horticulturist.. . . . 353	Wealthy, a close planted orchard of.. 111
Acknowledgments.. . . . 355	Arboretum..... 140
Apple crop.. . . . 354	List of genera of trees and shrubs in. 140
Cabbage, experiments with.. . . . 362	Beans, selection of.. . . . 127
Cabbage root maggot.. . . . 362	Botanic Garden.. . . . 140
Cherry orchard.. . . . 354	Bush fruits.. . . . 121
Citron melons.. . . . 328	Character of season.. . . . 105
Cover crops for orchards.. . . . 358	Conservation of moisture.. . . . 127
Cut-worms, poisoned bran remedy.. . 363	Cover crops.. . . . 125
Fruit crops.. . . . 355	English horse beans.. . . . 125
Garden pease.. . . . 364	Rape.. . . . 125
Test of varieties of.. . . . 364	Hairy vetch.. . . . 125
Garden, vegetables.. . . . 353-354	Diseases, fungous.. . . . 123
Gooseberries.. . . . 354	Of the grape.. . . . 124
Meetings attended.. . . . 355	Of the grape in Ontario vineyards, 1904 123
Onions, experiments with.. . . . 366	Black Rot.. . . . 124
Test of varieties of.. . . . 363	Brown Rot.. . . . 124
Ornamental trees and shrubs.. . . . 355	Downy Mildew.. . . . 124
Pease, garden, list of best varieties.. . 364	Grape Leaf Blight.. . . . 125
Perennials, list of, desirable.... 355, 358	Gray Rot.. . . . 124
Plum crop.. . . . 354	Powdery Mildew.. . . . 125
Pumpkins.. . . . 328	Ripe Rot.. . . . 125
	Donations.. . . . 107

	PAGE.
Horticulturist, Central Experimental Farm—Con.	
Enclosure, further experiments in growing vegetables in..	127
Forest Belts at Central Experimental Farm..	136
Growth of trees in the..	138
Fruit and vegetable crops..	106
Fruits, bush..	121
Seedling..	116
Fungous diseases..	123
Of the grape..	124
Grapes..	120
Early varieties of..	120
Fungous diseases of..	124
Lincoln, description of..	120
Read's Hybrid..	120
Hairy vetch..	126
Horse beans..	125
Meetings attended and places visited..	106
Moisture, conservation of..	127
Pease, selection of..	127
Plums..	118
description of varieties of..	118
Seedling..	117
Admiral Schley..	119
Bomberger..	119
Fitzroy..	119
Gloria..	119
Lottie..	120
Oyama..	119
Smith..	120
Swift..	119
Togo..	119
Potatoes..	128
Additional varieties of tested, 1904..	131
Spraying for the prevention of blight and rot..	131
Test of varieties..	129
Twelve best yielding varieties, average for five years, of..	129
Seedling fruits..	116
Shipments of apples, experimental, to Ireland and Scotland..	113
Strawberries..	121
description of varieties of..	121
Early Beauty..	121
Lyon..	121
Pecomohc..	121
Splendid..	121
most productive, fifty varieties, average for five years..	122
most productive twelve varieties, 1904..	122
Tobacco..	135
test of varieties of..	135

	PAGE.
Horticulturist, Central Experimental Farm—Con.	
Tomatoes..	134
pruning, experiment in..	135
six earliest varieties of, 1904..	134
twelve best yielding varieties of, 1904..	134
Vegetables..	127
further experiments in growing, in an enclosure..	127
Wealthy apple orchard, a close planted..	111
Winter killing, effects of, on top grafted trees..	109
Mackay, A., Superintendent Experimental Farm, Indian Head, N.W.T.—Report of..	411
Macoun, W. T., Horticulturist of the Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, Ont., Report of..	105
Poultry Manager—Report of the..	283
Advanced phases of poultry-keeping..	284
Artificial and Natural Incubation, Results of..	294
A striking feature..	285
Are fowls as good layers one season as another..	289
Continued investigation into causes of weak germs in early spring eggs..	293
Care and treatment of the chickens..	302
Chickens vs. old hens in pen..	309
Delay in resumption of winter laying after moulting..	287
Diseases of poultry..	304
Efforts to shorten the moulting period..	287
Early hatched pullets to the rescue..	288
Experimental rations and their effects..	290
Experimental work of the year..	289
Experiments in different ways of fattening chickens..	307
First to lay after moulting..	290
Fleshing chickens and fattening old hens..	307
General adoption of trap nests..	303
How long does the effect of fertilization last..	300
Hatching chickens at different seasons and results..	294
Hatching by hens vs. Incubator..	299
Increased opportunity for further investigation..	293
List of stock..	311
Management of the sitting hen..	299
Management of maturing cockerels..	303
Pen vs. Crate..	307
Reasons why fowls should be kept longer than their first year..	289

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 16

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Poultry Manager—Con.		Poultry Manager—Con.	
Rations of last winter..	306	Weight of eggs laid by fowls of various breeds..	303
Some features of the egg and poultry market..	285	What experience has shown relative to early pullets..	288
Sale of breeding birds..	303	Robertson, R., Superintendent Experimental Farm, Nappan, Report of..	313
The moulting season	286	Saunders, C. E., Experimentalist, Report of..	257
The introduction of superior breeding stock..	290	Saunders, Wm., Director, Report of..	5
Testing fertility of germ..	300	Sharpe, Thomas A., Superintendent Experimental Farm, Agassiz, Report of..	453
The poultry exhibit at the Central Canada Fair..	304	Shutt, F. T., Chemist, Report of..	143
When the pullets began to lay..	290		
Why summer eggs have been so high....	286		



613430
Gov. Doc Canada. Agriculture, Department of.
Can Experimental Farms
AG Reports.
1902-1904.

University of Toronto
Library

DO NOT
REMOVE
THE
CARD
FROM
THIS
POCKET

Acme Library Card Pocket
LOWE-MARTIN CO. LIMITED

